

The Hodge theory of algebraic maps

Mark Andrea A. de Cataldo* and Luca Migliorini†

June 1, 2003

Abstract

This paper investigates the Hodge theory of proper algebraic maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of complex algebraic varieties and its topological implications. The key case is when X and Y are projective and X is nonsingular. In this case, it is shown that the map endows the rational cohomology of X with a filtration (the perverse filtration) by Hodge sub-structures. The choice of ample line bundles η on X and A on Y gives rise to a double, Lefschetz-type, direct sum decomposition of the graded spaces (the perverse cohomology groups) associated with the perverse filtration. Each primitive summand is shown to be naturally polarized by a suitable bilinear form stemming from the Poincaré pairing on X . This result is a generalization of the classical Hodge-Riemann Bilinear Relations for the primitive cohomology of a projective manifold. The relevant graded pieces of the rational singular homology of the fibers of f are shown to be Hodge sub-structures of the part of the perverse cohomology groups which is primitive with respect to the pull-back of A to X , thus generalizing the Grauert-Mumford criterion on the negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of contractible curves on surfaces. From these results we derive a Hodge-theoretic and direct proof of the so-called Decomposition Theorem of Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber for proper maps of complex algebraic varieties, which does not rely on either arithmetic, or D-modules techniques.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Notation and preliminary results	8
2.1	Whitney stratifications of algebraic varieties	8
2.2	The category $D(Y)$ and stratified maps	9
2.3	The local structure of a $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc complex along a stratum	11
2.4	Perverse sheaves	13
2.5	t-exactness	17

*Partially supported by N.S.F. Grant DMS 0202321, NSA Grant MDA904-02-1-0100.

†Partially supported by MIUR project *Proprietà Geometriche delle Varietà Reali e Complesse* and by GNSAGA

2.6	Intersection cohomology complexes, semisimple objects and intermediate extensions	17
2.7	A splitting criterion in $Perv(Y)$	18
2.8	The perverse filtration on hypercohomology	20
2.9	The Poincaré pairing and cup products	22
2.10	Consequences of the existence of an isomorphism $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \simeq G$, . . .	23
2.11	The cup product with an η satisfying the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem	27
2.12	The topology and Hodge Theory of algebraic varieties	28
3	Statements	30
3.1	The projective case	31
3.1.1	The Decomposition Theorem and the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem	31
3.1.2	The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology Groups . . .	31
3.1.3	The bilinear forms Φ_b^l on $H_b^l(X)$	33
3.1.4	$H_{\leq b}^l(X) \subseteq H^l(X)$ is a Hodge sub-structure, the (η, L) -decomposition and the polarizations	34
3.2	Example: Resolution of singularities of a threefold	35
3.3	Example 2: families of varieties	36
3.4	The structure of the proof.	37
4	The Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a	40
4.1	Set-up for the proof of Theorem 3.1.1	40
4.2	The defect of semismallness $r(f)$ decreases taking hyperplane sections . . .	41
4.3	Transversality of the universal hyperplane section	42
4.4	The Weak Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology: the universal hyperplane section	43
4.5	Proof of the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1, except the semi-simplicity statement for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$	45
5	The Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.3 for $H_b^l(X)$	46
5.1	The Weak Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology: hyperplane sections on X and on Y	46
5.2	Proof of Theorem 3.1.3	48
6	Proof of the Hodge Structure, (η, L)-Decomposition and Polarization Theorem 3.1.13, except for P_0^0	49
6.1	The perverse filtration on $\text{Ker} L^s$	49
6.2	Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.a: the Hodge structure on $H_b^{n+h}(X)$	52
6.3	Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.b: the (η, L) -decomposition of $H_b^{n+h}(X)$	52
6.4	Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.c: the polarization on $\eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \neq P_0^0$. . .	54

7	The space $W \subseteq H^n(X)$, its approximability and the polarization of P_0^0	56
7.1	The space $\eta \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2} \subseteq H^n(X)$	56
7.2	The space W	57
7.3	$(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n$ is a polarization of P_0^0	58
8	The Semi-simplicity Theorem 3.1.1.c for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$.	59
8.1	The intersection form on the fibers of the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$	59
8.2	The induction on the strata: reduction to S_0	61
8.3	The local system $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_s^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$ on S_s	63
8.4	${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes	65
8.5	The semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$	67
9	A comment on the content of the Decomposition Theorem with polarizations	70
10	The algebraic case	71

1 Introduction

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of complex projective varieties, with X nonsingular of dimension n . Let η and A be ample line bundles on X and Y respectively, and denote f^*A by L .

If f is a smooth family, then the classical Hard Lefschetz Theorem for η applied to the fibers of f gives isomorphisms for every $i \geq 0$:

$$\eta^i : R^{n-\dim Y-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_X \simeq R^{n-\dim Y+i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_X \quad (1)$$

which give rise to a direct sum decomposition for the direct image complex

$$Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X \simeq \bigoplus_i R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[-i] \quad (2)$$

in the derived category of the category sheaves on Y ; see [7]. This important fact implies, for example, the E_2 -degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence for f . The sheaves $R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_X$ are semi-simple local systems, i.e. they split as a direct sum of local systems with no nontrivial local subsystems. Note that the category of finite dimensional local systems is abelian, noetherian and artinian.

At first sight nothing similar happens for an arbitrary map $f : X \rightarrow Y$. The isomorphisms (1) and (2) fail in general, the Leray spectral sequence may not degenerate at E_2 and the abelian category of sheaves on Y is neither noetherian, nor artinian.

The Leray spectral sequence is associated with the “filtration” of $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X$ by the truncated complexes $\tau_{\leq i}$. The i -th direct image $R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_X$ appears, up to a shift, as the cone of the natural map $\tau_{\leq i-1} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \tau_{\leq i} Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X$, i.e. as the i -th cohomology sheaf of the complex $Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X$.

One of the main ideas leading to the theory of perverse sheaves in [1] is that all the facts mentioned in the case of a smooth family hold for an arbitrary map provided that they are re-formulated with respect to a notion of truncation different from the one leading to the cohomology sheaves, that is with respect to the so-called perverse truncation ${}^p\tau_{\leq i}$, and that we replace the sheaves $R^if_*\mathbb{Q}_X$ with the shifted cones ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X)$ of the mappings ${}^p\tau_{\leq i-1}Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow {}^p\tau_{\leq i}Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X$. These cones are called the perverse cohomology of $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X$ and are perverse sheaves. Despite their name, perverse sheaves are complexes in the derived category of the category of sheaves on Y which are characterized by conditions on their cohomology sheaves. Just like local systems, the category of perverse sheaves is abelian, noetherian and artinian. Its simple objects are the intersection cohomology complexes of simple local systems on strata. Whenever Y is nonsingular and the stratification is trivial, perverse sheaves are, up to a shift, just local systems.

That these notions are the correct generalization of the situation considered above for smooth morphisms is shown by the beautiful *Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem* and *Decomposition Theorem*, proved in [1] by Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne. They generalize the isomorphisms (1) and (2) for a smooth family to the case of an arbitrary map: the map induced by the line bundle η in perverse cohomology

$$\eta^i : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]), \quad (3)$$

is an isomorphism for every $i \geq 0$ and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i]. \quad (4)$$

As a consequence, the so-called perverse Leray spectral sequence $\mathbb{H}^l(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^m(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \Rightarrow H^{n+l+m}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is E_2 -degenerate. This fact alone has striking computational and theoretical consequences. For example, the intersection cohomology groups of a variety Y inject in the ordinary singular cohomology groups of any resolution X of the singularities of Y . The semi-simplicity statement for the local systems $R^if_*\mathbb{Q}_X$ has a far-reaching generalization in the *Semi-simplicity Theorem*, also proved in [1]: there is a canonical isomorphism of perverse sheaves

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq \bigoplus_l IC_{\overline{S_l}}(L_{S_l,i}) \quad (5)$$

where the $IC_{\overline{S_l}}(L_{S_l,i})$ are the Goresky-MacPherson intersection cohomology complexes on Y associated with certain semi-simple local systems $L_{S_l,i}$ on the strata of a finite algebraic stratification $Y = \coprod_{l=0}^{\dim Y} S_l$ for the map f .

These three theorems are cornerstones of the topology of algebraic maps. They have found many applications to algebraic geometry and to representation theory and, in our opinion, should be regarded as expressing fundamental properties of complex algebraic geometry.

The original approach to these theorems is through the purity results proved in [11] concerning the eigenvalues of the Frobenius operator acting on complexes of sheaves on a variety defined over a finite field. A D -module-theoretical proof was subsequently given in the difficult work of M. Saito [20].

In our previous paper [5] we proved that if f is semi-small, then L behaves Hodge-theoretically like an ample line bundle: the Hard Lefschetz Theorem holds for L , i.e. $L^r : H^{n-r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^{n+r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, for every r , and the primitive subspaces $\text{Ker} L^{r+1} \subseteq H^{n-r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ are polarized by means of the intersection form on X . Associated with a stratification of the map f , is a series of intersection forms that, roughly speaking, describes how the fiber of a point in a given stratum intersects the pre-image of the stratum. In the case of a semi-small map there is only one intersection form for each stratum. The discovery of these explicit polarizations, joined with an argument of mixed Hodge structures showing that $H_n(f^{-1}(y), \mathbb{Q})$ injects in $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$, for every $y \in Y$, allowed us to prove that these forms are definite and thus non-degenerate. This generalizes the well-known result of Grauert and Mumford for the contraction of curves on surfaces. By means of an induction on the strata, the statement of the semi-simplicity theorem (5) for semi-small maps f as above was proved to be equivalent to the fact that these forms are non-degenerate. The statements (3) and (4) are trivial for semi-small maps, as, for these maps, $Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. Our result about definiteness can be seen as a “Decomposition Theorem with signs,” i.e. as a polarized version of this theorem.

In this paper, in the spirit of our paper [5], we give a new, Hodge-theoretic and explicit proof of the Relative Hard Lefschetz, Decomposition and Semi-simplicity isomorphisms (3), (4) and (5). We complement these results by uncovering a series of Hodge-theoretic polarized direct sum decompositions for the cohomology of X stemming from the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (see Theorem 3.1.13) as well as by describing the behaviour of the refined intersection forms defined on the homology of the fibers of f (see Theorem 8.4.1). In our approach, not only are these structures complementary to the isomorphisms above, but also are instrumental in proving them.

These results, coupled with a series of simple reductions, give a proof of (4), (5) for proper maps of complex algebraic varieties and of (3) for projective maps of complex algebraic varieties; see §10.

We prove refinements to the statements typical of the situation over the complex numbers: we show that certain bilinear forms, which the Decomposition Theorem implies to be non-degenerate, are in fact underlying a polarization of some Hodge structure and are therefore definite. In addition, we prove several results which are, we believe, of considerable geometric interest. We now briefly discuss them. While the perverse truncation is defined locally by means of topological operations, we discover that its global counterpart in hypercohomology is Hodge-theoretic, resulting in an increasing filtration $H_{\leq b}^l(X, \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq H^l(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by Hodge sub-structures (*the Hodge Structure Theorem 3.1.13.a*). The graded pieces $H_b^l(X)$ are called perverse cohomology groups and, being quotients, are

pure Hodge structures of weight l . The interesting fact is that the perverse cohomology groups allow for a definition as the hypercohomology groups of the perverse cohomology complexes ${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ appearing in (4) and (5), from which the Hodge-theoretic nature does not seem to be evident. The perverse cohomology groups satisfy a Hard Lefschetz Theorem “with shifts” (Theorem 3.1.3) with respect to cupping with L : for every b and for every $k \geq 0$, the cup product map

$$L^k : H_b^{n+b-k}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b+k}(X)$$

is an isomorphism. We have the (η, L) –*Decomposition Theorem* 3.1.13.b, a primitive Lefschetz-type decomposition for the spaces $H_b^l(X)$ which are thus endowed with a bi-graduation

$$H_{-b}^{n-b-h}(X) = \oplus_{i,j} \eta^i L^j P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j}.$$

The summands are Hodge sub-structures mutually orthogonal with respect to certain natural bilinear forms Φ_b^l stemming from the intersection form on X ; see §3.1.3 for their definition. *The Polarization Theorem* 3.1.13.c states that the forms Φ_b^l are, up to a sign, polarizations of the direct summands of the (η, L) –decomposition. As a by-product of our proof, in fact a crucial point of it, we describe explicitly, in terms of this decomposition, the subspace of cohomology classes of $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$ which are limits of cohomology classes primitive with respect to the ample line bundles of the form $L + \epsilon\eta$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. See the discussion of point 2) later in this introduction.

To our knowledge, this rich structure on $H^l(X, \mathbb{Q})$ has not been spelled-out before, and it should have significant geometric applications. We propose the following one which deals with the intersection forms on the fibers of $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification for f , S_l be the l -dimensional stratum, Y_s a transversal slice through a point $s \in S_l$. We consider the sequence of intersection forms inside the not necessarily connected, smooth, $(n-l)$ –dimensional manifold $f^{-1}(Y_s)$:

$$H_{n-l+k}(f^{-1}(s)) \times H_{n-l-k}(f^{-1}(s)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

We determine the behavior of these bilinear forms in Theorem 8.4.1 and Remark 8.4.4, thus giving a generalization of the result of Grauert and Mumford for resolutions of surfaces. In fact, our result concerning these bilinear forms is the main step in the proof of the semi-simplicity isomorphism (5).

The following is a brief outline of our approach. We shall only highlight the three main junctures of our proof, as §3.4 is a detailed account of the structure of it:

- 1) the role of Goresky-MacPherson’s defect of semi-smallness $r(f)$ of the map f ;
- 2) the approximation process yielding the polarization of P_0^0 ;
- 3) the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ via the class map $H_{n,0}(f^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow H_0^n(X)$.

1) Given an embedded projective variety $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$, we get the universal hyperplane morphism $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and we show that $r(g) < r(f)$, unless $r(f) = 0$. This allows

us to prove the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a ((3) in this introduction) by induction using the Relative Weak Lefschetz Theorem and the inductive hypothesis on the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rg_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[\dim \mathcal{X}])$.

The Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1.b ((4) in this introduction) and the Semi-simplicity Theorem 3.1.1.c ((5) for f follow formally, except for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$.

This point shows how our approach differs from the one in [1] and [20]: in this paper, the semi-simplicity (5) of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ has to be established separately to continue the proof by induction and this is accomplished by using the explicit polarizations we introduce.

2) We consider the Kähler classes $L + \epsilon\eta$, $\epsilon > 0$ and the pure Hodge structures given by the primitive spaces $W_\epsilon = \text{Ker}(L + \epsilon\eta) \subseteq H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$, and their limit $W \subseteq H^n(X, \mathbb{R})$. Note that $W \subseteq \text{Ker}L$, but the inclusion is, in general, strict. The Poincaré pairing induces polarizations of W_ϵ and a *semi-polarization* of W : the induced bilinear form is degenerate on W . However, the following remarkable facts occur:

- i) $W = W_{\leq 0} = W \cap H_{\leq 0}^n(X)$,
- ii) the radical of this bilinear form is contained in $W_{\leq -1} = W \cap H_{\leq -1}^n(X)$ and
- iii) $W_0 = P_0^0 \subseteq H_0^n(X)$ which is thus endowed with a polarization.

This is proved using the Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology 3.1.3 for f and the special properties of a suitable decomposition isomorphism (4). The Poincaré pairing induces a polarization of P_0^0 by Poincaré-Verdier Duality and the semi-polarization above. Note the similarity with the absolute case, i.e. when Y is a point. In this situation the cohomology groups $H^l(X, \mathbb{Q})$ can be determined using hyperplane sections, except for the primitive cohomology inside of the middle cohomology group $H^n(X, \mathbb{Q})$. In the general case, the formalism places the middle at zero. Using hyperplane sections on X and Y , we are reduced to studying P_0^0 , i.e. a space which is “primitive” with respect to η and L .

3) The semi-simplicity (5) of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ consists of two separate statements. The first is that the complex in question is isomorphic to a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems on the strata of a stratification for the map f . The second is that the local systems are semi-simple, i.e. any local subsystem is a direct summand.

We study the former question inductively on the strata and, by intersecting the strata with hyperplane sections of Y , reduce the problem to the zero dimensional stratum S_0 . This is the critical case. The Decomposition Theorem induces a natural filtration on $H_n(f^{-1}(y))$ and we get the associated graded space $H_{n,0}(f^{-1}(y))$. We show that the splitting (5) of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ into a direct sum occurs *if and only if* the intersection form induced on $H_{n,0}(f^{-1}(y))$ by the refined cup product in relative cohomology is non-degenerate. The proof that this criterion is met consists of two steps. We first show, using the theory of mixed Hodge structures, that the natural *class* map $H_{n,0}(f^{-1}(y)) \rightarrow H_0^n(X)$ is injective. Then we show that the image is a Hodge sub-structure of $H_0^n(X)$ contained in $\text{Ker}L = \oplus_i \eta^i P_{-2i}^0 \subseteq H_0^n(X)$ *compatibly* with this direct sum decomposition. The sought-for non-degeneration condition follows from the Polarization Theorem 3.1.13.c.

The latter question, the semi-simplicity of the local systems, is addressed by exhibiting them, via a relative version of the injectivity statement for the class map above, as local subsystems of local systems associated with smooth projective families.

The paper is not self-contained as it relies, for instance, on the theory of t -structures. However, at several stages, we need results in a form that seems to be less general but sharper than what we could find in the literature. For this reason, we offer a rather long section of preliminaries. We also hope that having collected results on the theory of stratifications, constructible sheaves and perverse sheaves can in any case be useful to the reader. The statements proved in this paper are collected in Section 3. The proofs of the main results are strongly intertwined and in §3.4 we give a detailed account of the steps of the proof, trying to emphasize the main ideas. Due to the presence of a rich array of structures, many verifications of compatibility are necessary in the course of our proofs. We have decided to include careful proofs of the ones that did not seem to be just routine.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Mark Goresky, Agnes Szilard, Arpad Toth and Dror Varolin for useful remarks. The first-named author would like to thank the following institutions for their kind hospitality while portions of this work were being carried out: the Korean Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul, the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik, Bonn and the Department of Mathematics of the University of Bologna.

The first-named author dedicates this paper to his family and to the memory of Meeyoung Kim.

The second-named author dedicates this paper to his father, E., F. and G.

2 Notation and preliminary results

We work over the complex numbers and denote rational singular cohomology groups by $H^*(-)$.

2.1 Whitney stratifications of algebraic varieties

The references here are: [2], I.1, I.4, [15], I, and the references contained therein. It is known that every algebraic variety Y of dimension d admits a Whitney stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ where the strata are locally closed algebraic subsets with a *finite* number of irreducible nonsingular components. In particular, Y admits a filtration $Y = Y_d \supseteq Y_{d-1} \supseteq Y_{d-2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq Y_0 \supseteq Y_{-1} = \emptyset$ by closed algebraic subsets subject to the following properties.

(1) $S_l := Y_l \setminus Y_{l-1}$ is either empty or a locally closed algebraic subset of pure dimension l ; the connected components of S_l are a finite number of nonsingular algebraic varieties. We have Zariski-dense open sets $U_l := Y \setminus Y_{l-1} = \coprod_{l' \geq l} S_{l'}$, such that $U_l = U_{l+1} \coprod S_l$. Note that U_d is a nonsingular Zariski-dense open subset of Y and that $U_0 = Y$.

We denote by $S_l \xrightarrow{\alpha_l} U_l \xleftarrow{\beta_l} U_{l+1}$ the corresponding closed and open embeddings.

(2) (Local normal triviality) Let $y \in S_l$, $\overline{N}$ be a normal slice through S_l at y , L be the link of S at y , $N := \overline{N} \setminus L$ be the (open) normal slice. The spaces $\overline{N}$, L and N inherit Whitney stratifications. $\overline{N}$ (N , resp.) is homeomorphic in a stratum-preserving manner to the cone $c(L)$ ($c(L) \setminus L$, resp.) over the link L with vertex identified to y . The cone is stratified using the cone structure and the given stratification of the link. The point y admits an open Euclidean neighborhood W in Z which is homeomorphic in a stratum-preserving manner to $\mathbb{C}^l \times N$.

One can shrink W in the two directions of the product. This gives rise to the notion of *standard neighborhoods*, with respect to $\mathfrak{Y}$, for the points of Y .

In this paper, we adopt the term *stratification* to indicate a finite, algebraic Whitney stratification.

Remark 2.1.1 Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ be a quasi-projective variety, $\mathfrak{Y}$ a stratification of Y with strata S_l . Bertini Theorem implies that, for every $l > 0$ for which S_l is not empty, the normal slice N through a point $y \in S_l$ can be chosen to be the trace, in a suitable Euclidean neighborhood of y in Y , of a complete intersection of l hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^N$ passing through y , transversal to all strata of $\mathfrak{Y}$.

2.2 The category $D(Y)$ and stratified maps

We refer to [2], §V and to [17] for an account on the formalism of derived categories and Poincaré-Verdier Duality.

Let Y be an algebraic variety and $D^b(Y)$ be the derived category of bounded complexes of sheaves of rational vector spaces on Y . The following notion will prove very useful. Recall our convention on the term stratification in §2.1.

Definition 2.2.1 Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be stratification of Y . We say that F is *$\mathfrak{Y}$ -cohomologically-constructible* (in short, $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc) if $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\forall l$, the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^j(F)|_{S_l}$ are locally constant (of possibly varying ranks on the connected components of each stratum) and the stalks are finite dimensional.

Let $D(Y)$ be the full triangulated sub-category of $D^b(Y)$ consisting of those complexes which are $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc with respect to *some* stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$. Fix one such $\mathfrak{Y}$. We have the following properties:

- $F \in \text{Ob}(D(Z))$ is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc if and only if its Verdier dual $\mathcal{D}(F)$ is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc.
 - $F \in \text{Ob}(D(Z))$ is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc if and only if all of its truncations $\tau_{\leq k} F$, $\tau_{\geq k} F$ are $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc.
 - If any two vertices of a distinguished triangle in $D^b(Z)$ are $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, then so is the third.
- Hence, the triangulability of $D(Y)$.

- Given $K, K' \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$ we have $\text{Hom}(K, K') \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$. The associated derived functor is simply denoted by $\text{Rhom}(K, K')$. We denote the dualizing complex of Y by $\omega_Y \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$ so that $\mathcal{D}(K) = \text{Rhom}(K, \omega_Y)$.

Given an algebraic map of algebraic varieties $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we denote the four functors $(Rf_!, f^!, f^*, Rf_*)$, simply by $(f_!, f^!, f^*, f_*)$. Here is list of useful facts.

- If f is proper, then $f_! \simeq f_*$.
- The pairs $(f_!, f^!)$ and (f^*, f_*) are pairs of adjoint functors so that there are natural transformations $\text{Id} \rightarrow f_* f^*$, $f^* f_* \rightarrow \text{Id}$, $f_! f^! \rightarrow \text{Id}$, $\text{Id} \rightarrow f^! f_!$.
- Let $\alpha : Z \rightarrow Y$ be the embedding of a closed algebraic subset, $\beta : U \rightarrow Y$ be the embedding of the open complement and $K \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$. There are natural isomorphism: $\alpha_! \simeq \alpha_*$, $\beta^! \simeq \beta^*$ and dual distinguished triangles:

$$\alpha_! \alpha^! K \rightarrow K \rightarrow \beta_* \beta^* K \xrightarrow{[1]}, \quad \beta_! \beta^! K \rightarrow K \rightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* K \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

and the associated long exact sequences in hypercohomology are the ones of the pairs $\mathbb{H}^l(Y, U, K)$ and $\mathbb{H}^l(Y, Z, K)$, respectively.

- There is a canonical isomorphism $f^! \omega_Y \simeq \omega_X$.
- There are canonical isomorphisms: $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D} \simeq \text{Id}_{D(Y)}$, $\mathcal{D}f_* \simeq f_! \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{D}f^* \simeq f^! \mathcal{D}$.
- For f proper, $M \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$, $A \in \text{Ob}(D(X))$ we have the *Change of Coefficients Formula*:

$$M \overset{L}{\otimes} f_* A \simeq f_*(f^* M \overset{L}{\otimes} A)$$

- Given a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{u'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \end{array}$$

with f proper, we have the *Base Change Theorem for Proper Maps*:

$$u^* f_* \simeq f'_* u'^*, \quad f'_* u'^! \simeq u^! f_*.$$

The Thom Isotopy Lemmas, adapted to the algebraic setting, yield the following result. See [15], I.7.

Theorem 2.2.2 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic map of algebraic varieties. There exist finite algebraic Whitney stratifications $\mathfrak{X}$ of X and $\mathfrak{Y}$ of Y such that, given any connected component S of a $\mathfrak{Y}$ stratum S_l on Y :*

- 1) $f^{-1}(S)$ is a union of connected components of strata of $\mathfrak{X}$ each of which is mapping submersively to S ; in particular, every fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ is stratified by its intersection with the strata of $\mathfrak{X}$.
- 2) $\forall y \in S$, there exists an Euclidean open neighborhood U of y in S and a stratum-preserving homeomorphism $h : U \times f^{-1}(y) \simeq f^{-1}(U)$ such that $f|_{f^{-1}(U)} \circ h$ is the projection to U .

Note that if f is an open immersion, then a stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ induces one on X . If f is a closed immersion, one can choose a finite Whitney stratification $\mathfrak{X}$ so that every stratum of it is the intersection of X with strata of $\mathfrak{Y}$ of the same dimension. In either case, one obtains a stratification for f in the sense of Theorem 2.2.2.

One has the following properties; see [2], V.10.16. One should keep in mind that Verdier duality exchanges f^* with $f^!$ and f_* with $f_!$ and that algebraic varieties and maps can be compactified ([2], V.10.13), i.e. there are proper varieties X', Y' containing X and Y as Zariski-dense open subvarieties and a proper map $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$, such that $f'(X) \subseteq Y$ and $f'|_X = f$. If f is proper, then $f'(X' \setminus X) \subseteq Y' \setminus Y$.

- Let F be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, then f^*F and $f^!F$ are $\mathfrak{X}$ -cc.
- Let G be $\mathfrak{X}$ -cc, then f_*G and $f_!G$ are $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc.
- In particular, if F is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, then so are $f_!f^!F$ and f_*f^*F .

2.3 The local structure of a $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc complex along a stratum

The reference is [15], 1.4 and [2], V.3. and Lemma V.10.14.

Let Y be a projective variety, $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification, $y \in S \subseteq S_l$ be a point in a connected component S of a stratum S_l , N be a normal slice through S at y .

Let W be a standard open neighborhood of y in Y , homeomorphic in a stratum-preserving manner to $\mathbb{C}^l \times N$. Let $\pi : W \rightarrow N$ be the corresponding map, $\dot{N} := N \setminus y$, $\dot{W} := W \setminus (S \cap W)$.

We have a commutative diagram where the bottom squares are Cartesian, a , α , i_y , and i_N are closed immersions, b and β are open immersions, c , π and $\dot{\pi}$ are trivial topological $\mathbb{C}^l$ -bundles, $c \circ \alpha_y = Id_y$ and $\pi \circ i_N = Id_N$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 y & \xrightarrow{a} & N & & \\
 \downarrow \alpha_y & \searrow i_y & \downarrow i_N & & \\
 S \cap W & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W & \xleftarrow{\beta} & \dot{W} \\
 \downarrow c & \square & \downarrow \pi & \square & \downarrow \dot{\pi} \\
 y & \xrightarrow{a} & N & \xleftarrow{b} & \dot{N}
 \end{array}$$

The following rules apply: $\alpha_* \simeq \alpha_!$, $\alpha^*\alpha_* \simeq Id \simeq \alpha^!\alpha_*$, $\pi^* \simeq \pi^![-2l]$, $c^* \simeq c^![-2l]$, $\beta_*\dot{\pi}^* \simeq \pi^*b_*$. If G has locally constant cohomology sheaves on S , then $\alpha_y^!G \simeq \alpha_y^*G[-2l]$.

Let F be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc. On W , we have that

$$F \simeq \pi^*\pi_*F \simeq \pi^*F|_N.$$

That is, F has, locally at a point of any stratum, a product structure along the stratum. See [2], Lemma V.10.14. The sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^*F)$ and $\mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^!F)$ on $S \cap W$ are constant with representative stalks $\mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^*F)_y \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(N, F|_N) \simeq \mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^*F|_N)$, and $\mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^!F)_y \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(N, \dot{N}; F|_N) \simeq \mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^!F|_N)$.

Remark 2.3.1 Since π^* is fully faithful, if F is self-dual, then $F|_N[-l]$ is self-dual. If F is perverse (see §2.4) on Y , then, using the characterization of perverse sheaves in Remark 2.4.1, one sees, using Lemma 2.3.3, that $F|_N[-l]$ is perverse on N .

Let $\alpha : Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a closed immersion of algebraic varieties. We have that $\alpha_! \simeq \alpha_*$ are fully faithful so that for every $F \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$, the composition of the adjunction maps $\alpha_! \alpha^! F \rightarrow F \rightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* F$ yields a natural map

$$\alpha^! F \rightarrow \alpha^* F.$$

We need the following slight refinement of §2.2.

Lemma 2.3.2 *Let Y be an algebraic variety, $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification of Y , F be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, S be a connected component of a stratum S_l , $y \in S$, $\alpha : S \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding embedding.*

The natural map $\alpha_! \alpha^! F \rightarrow \alpha_ \alpha^* F$ coincides, when restricted to a standard neighborhood W of y in Y , with c^* of the analogous map $a^! F|_N \rightarrow a^* F|_N$.*

The same is true for the induced maps $\mathcal{H}^j(\alpha^! F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^j(\alpha^ F)$, $\mathcal{H}^j(\alpha^! F)_y \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^j(\alpha^* F)_y$ induced on the cohomology sheaves and on their stalks at y .*

Finally:

$$\mathcal{H}^j(\alpha^! F)_y \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-j-2l}(i_y^* \mathcal{D}(F))^\vee \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-j}(a^* \mathcal{D}(F|_N))^\vee.$$

Proof. The question being local around $y \in Y$, we may work on W . We may assume that $F = \pi^* F|_N$ and that S is closed, so that, since $\alpha_! \simeq \alpha_*$ are fully faithful, it is enough to study the map $\alpha^! F \rightarrow \alpha^* F$.

We have $\alpha^! \pi^* F|_N \simeq \alpha^! \pi^! F|_N[-2s] \simeq c^! a^! F|_N[-2s] \simeq c^*(a^! F|_N)$, i.e. $(\alpha_! \alpha^! F)|_S$ is a pull-back from p and so are its cohomology sheaves. The statement concerning $\alpha^! F \rightarrow \alpha^* F$, the induced maps on the cohomology sheaves and associated stalks at y follow. The duality statements stem from Poincaré-Verdier Duality on y and the isomorphism $\alpha_y^* \alpha^! F \simeq i_y^! F[2l]$, which holds in view of the fact that $\alpha^! F$ has locally constant cohomology sheaves on S . $\square$

A global counterpart of a normal slice is the notion of stratified normally nonsingular inclusion; see [15], I.1.11. The embedding $Z \rightarrow Y$ of a subvariety is said to be a *normally nonsingular inclusion* if Z has a tubular neighborhood in Y , that is, there exists a neighborhood W of Z in Y and a retraction $\pi : W \rightarrow Z$ which is locally homeomorphic to a projection: every point $z \in Z$ has a neighborhood $U \subseteq Z$ and a homeomorphism $\pi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{C}^l$ compatible with the maps to U .

A normally nonsingular inclusion can be produced by intersecting a projective variety Y with a subvariety of the ambient projective space, e.g. a hypersurface, which intersects transversally every stratum of a given stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ of Y ; see Remark 2.1.1. In addition, we can and will choose the homeomorphism $\pi^{-1}(U) \simeq U \times \mathbb{C}^l$ above so that it is stratum-preserving with respect to the induced stratification on $\pi^{-1}(U)$ and to the stratification

on $U \times \mathbb{C}^l$ given by the product of the trivial stratification on $\mathbb{C}^l$ with that induced on U by the transversality assumption. See [15], Theorem I.1.11. The universal hyperplane section construction in §4.3 is one such example. The following fact is well-known and will be used often in this paper.

Lemma 2.3.3 *Let $i : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a normally nonsingular inclusion of complex codimension d of complex varieties, transversal to every stratum of a stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ of Y , and F be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc. Let $\pi : W \rightarrow Z$ be a retraction of a tubular neighborhood of Z in Y onto Z . Then we have a) $F|_W \simeq \pi^* \pi_*(F|_W) \simeq \pi^* F|_Z$ and b) $i^! F \simeq i^* F[-2d]$*

Proof. We denote F_W simply by F .

(a) By virtue of the local triviality assumption, the natural adjunction map $\pi^* \pi_*(F) \rightarrow F$ is an isomorphism by [2], Lemma V.10.14. The second isomorphism follows from the first one and the identification $i^* \pi^* \simeq Id_Z^*$: $\pi^* \pi_* F \simeq \pi^*(i^* \pi^*) \pi_* F \simeq \pi^* i^* F = \pi^* F|_Z$.

(b) We use the natural identifications $\mathcal{D}^2 \simeq Id$, $i^! \simeq \mathcal{D}_Z i^* \mathcal{D}_W$ and the fact that $\pi^! \simeq \pi^*[2d]$, for π is a locally trivial $\mathbb{C}^d$ -bundle. Denote the dualizing complexes of W and Z by ω_W and ω_Z . One has $\omega_W \simeq \pi^! \omega_Z$. We have $i^! F \simeq \mathcal{D}_Z i^* R\text{hom}(F, \omega_W) \simeq \mathcal{D}_Z R\text{hom}(i^* F, i^* \pi^! \omega_Z) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_Z R\text{hom}(i^* F, i^* \pi^* \omega_Z))[-2d] \simeq (\mathcal{D}_Z R\text{hom}(i^* F, \omega_Z))[-2d] \simeq \mathcal{D}^2(i^* F)[-2d]$. $\square$

2.4 Perverse sheaves

Let Y be an algebraic variety. We consider the t -structure on $D(Y)$ associated with the middle perversity see [1], [18], §10. The associated heart is denoted by $Perv(Y)$ and is a full *abelian* sub-category of $D(Y)$. Its objects are called *perverse sheaves*, despite the fact that they are complexes. In short, we have the following structure.

- Two full sub-categories $D^{\leq 0}(Y)$ and $D^{\geq 0}(Y)$ of $D(Y)$ and, $D^{\leq m}(Y) := D^{\leq 0}(Y)[-m]$, $D^{\geq m}(Y) := D^{\geq 0}(Y)[-m]$.

$$Ob(D^{\leq 0}(Y)) = \{F \in D(Y) \mid \dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^j(F) \leq -j, \forall j\};$$

$$Ob(D^{\geq 0}(Y)) = \{Y \in D(Y) \mid \dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{D}(F)) \leq -j, \forall j\}.$$

Remark 2.4.1 These conditions can be re-formulated using stratifications as follows. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification with respect to which F is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, $\alpha_l : S_l \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding embedding. We have:

$F \in Ob(D^{\leq 0}(Y))$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}^j(\alpha_l^* F) = 0$, $\forall l$ and j s.t. $j > -l$. This is known as the *condition of support*.

$F \in Ob(D^{\geq 0}(Y))$ if and only if $\mathcal{H}^j(\alpha_l^! F) = 0$, $\forall l$ and j s.t. $j < -l$. This is known as the *condition of co-support*.

- If $F \in Ob(D^{\leq m}(Y))$ and $G \in Ob(D^{\geq m+t}(Y))$, $t > 0$, then

$$Hom_{D(Y)}(F, G) = 0.$$

The most important point about t -structures is the existence of functors, the *perverse truncations* defined up to unique natural equivalences, ${}^p\tau_{\leq m} : D(Y) \longrightarrow D^{\leq m}(Y)$, and ${}^p\tau_{\geq m} : D(Y) \longrightarrow D^{\geq m}(Y)$, adjoint to the inclusion functors, that is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(Y)}(F, G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D^{\leq m}(Y)}(F, {}^p\tau_{\leq m}G) \text{ if } F \in \mathrm{Ob}(D^{\leq m}(Y)),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(Y)}(G, F) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D^{\geq m}(Y)}({}^p\tau_{\geq m}G, F) \text{ if } F \in \mathrm{Ob}(D^{\geq m}(Y)).$$

Notice that in particular there are adjunction maps $F \longrightarrow {}^p\tau_{\geq m}F$ and $F \longrightarrow {}^p\tau_{\leq m}F$. Since at several points we need the explicit form of these functors, we briefly sketch their construction, referring to [1] for details. We start with the following:

Lemma 2.4.2 *Let Z be nonsingular of complex dimension r , and $F \in D(Z)$ with locally constant cohomology sheaves. Then there are natural isomorphisms:*

$$\tau_{\leq k}\mathcal{D}F \simeq \mathcal{D}\tau_{\geq -k-2r}F \quad \tau_{\geq k}\mathcal{D}F \simeq \mathcal{D}\tau_{\leq -k-2r}F$$

Proof. Since the dualizing complex is in this case isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_Z[2r]$, it is enough to prove that there are natural isomorphisms

$$\tau_{\leq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) \simeq \mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\geq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) \quad \tau_{\geq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) \simeq \mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\leq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z).$$

We prove the first statement. The proof of the second is analogous. Applying Rhom and $\tau_{\leq k}$ to the map $F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq -k}F$, we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\geq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \tau_{\leq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\geq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z) & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z). \end{array}$$

To prove the statement it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\geq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z)$, $\tau_{\leq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(\tau_{\geq -k}F, \mathbb{Q}_Z)$ and $\tau_{\leq k}\mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z)$ have the same cohomology sheaves. Since F and $\mathbb{Q}_Z$ have locally constant cohomology sheaves, there are natural isomorphisms of complexes of vector spaces $\mathrm{Rhom}(F, \mathbb{Q}_Z)_y \simeq \mathrm{Rhom}(F_y, \mathbb{Q}_y) \simeq \bigoplus_i \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{-i}F_y, \mathbb{Q}_y)[-i]$, whence the statement about the cohomology sheaves, which are equal to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}^{-i}F_y, \mathbb{Q}_y)$ for $i \leq k$ and vanish otherwise for the three complexes in question. $\square$

The construction of the perverse truncation is done by induction on the strata of Y starting from the open stratum U_d . Let $F \in \mathrm{Ob}(D(Y))$ be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -constructible for some stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$. All the constructions below will lead to $\mathfrak{Y}$ -constructible complexes. Let us define ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_d} = \tau_{\leq -\dim Y}$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_d} = \tau_{\geq -\dim Y}$.

Suppose that ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_{l+1}} : D(U_{l+1}) \longrightarrow D^{\leq 0}(U_{l+1})$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_{l+1}} : D(U_{l+1}) \longrightarrow D^{\geq 0}(U_{l+1})$ have been defined.

We proceed to define ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_l}$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_l}$ on $U_l = U_{l+1} \amalg S_l$. Let $\alpha : S_l \rightarrow U_l \longleftarrow U_{l+1} : \beta$ be the inclusions: the exact triangles

$$\tau'_{\leq 0}F \rightarrow F \rightarrow \beta_* {}^p\tau_{> 0}^{U_{l+1}} \beta^* F \xrightarrow{[1]} \quad \tau''_{\leq 0}F \rightarrow F \rightarrow \alpha_* \tau_{> -\dim S} \alpha^* F \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

and

$$\beta! {}^p\tau_{<0}^{U_{l+1}} \beta^! F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \tau'_{\geq 0} F \xrightarrow{[1]} \alpha! \tau_{< -\dim S} \alpha^! F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \tau''_{\geq 0} F \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

define four functors (cfr. [1], 1.1.10, 1.3.3 and 1.4.10), i.e. the four objects $\tau'_{\geq 0} F$, $\tau'_{\leq 0} F$, $\tau''_{\geq 0} F$ and $\tau''_{\leq 0} F$ which make the corresponding triangles exact, are determined up to unique isomorphism. Define

$${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_l} := \tau''_{\leq 0} \tau'_{\leq 0}, \quad {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_l} := \tau''_{\geq 0} \tau'_{\geq 0}.$$

Define:

$${}^p\tau_{\leq 0} := {}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_0}, \quad {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} := {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_0}.$$

The perverse truncations so defined have the following properties:

- By the construction above and by §2.2, if F is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, then so are ${}^p\tau_{\leq m} F$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq m} F$.
- The functor

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(-) : D(Y) \longrightarrow \text{Perv}(Y), \quad {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(F) := {}^p\tau_{\leq 0} {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} F \simeq {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} {}^p\tau_{\leq 0} F,$$

is cohomological. Define

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^m(F) := {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(F[m]).$$

These functors are called *the perverse cohomology functors*. Any distinguished triangle $F \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{[1]}$ in $D(Y)$ gives rise to a long exact sequence in $\text{Perv}(Y)$:

$$\dots \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(F) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(H) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{i+1}(F) \longrightarrow \dots$$

If F is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, then so are ${}^p\mathcal{H}^m(F)$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- Poincaré-Verdier Duality exchanges $D^{\leq 0}(Y)$ with $D^{\geq 0}(Y)$. In particular, it fixes $\text{Perv}(Y)$ and it induces functorial isomorphisms for $F \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$

$${}^p\tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{D}F \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} F, \quad {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} \mathcal{D}F \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\leq 0} F \quad \mathcal{D}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(F)) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-j}(\mathcal{D}(F)).$$

This can be seen from the construction above. In fact, by Lemma 2.4.2, the isomorphisms hold for $U = U_d$, since ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^{U_d} = \tau_{\leq -\dim Y}$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_d} = \tau_{\geq -\dim Y}$.

Suppose that ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^U \mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^U$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^U \mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^U$ for $U = U_{l+1}$. It then follows that the same isomorphisms hold for $U = U_l$. In fact, applying the functor $\mathcal{D}$ to the triangle defining $\tau'_{\leq 0} \mathcal{D}F$, and the inductive hypothesis ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}^U \mathcal{D} \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^U$, we get the triangle defining $\tau'_{\geq 0} F$, so that $\mathcal{D} \tau'_{\leq 0} \mathcal{D}F \simeq \tau'_{\geq 0} F$. The argument for $\tau''_{\leq 0}$ is identycal. We get $\mathcal{D} \tau''_{\leq 0} \mathcal{D}F \simeq \tau''_{\geq 0} F$. It follows that $\mathcal{D} \tau''_{\leq 0} \tau'_{\leq 0} \simeq \tau''_{\geq 0} \mathcal{D} \tau'_{\leq 0} \simeq \tau''_{\geq 0} \tau'_{\geq 0} \mathcal{D}$ and the first wanted isomorphism follows. The second is equivalent to the first one. The third one follows formally: $\mathcal{D}({}^p\mathcal{H}^m(F)) \simeq \mathcal{D} {}^p\tau_{\leq 0} {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}(F[-m]) \simeq {}^p\tau_{\geq 0} {}^p\tau_{\leq 0}(\mathcal{D}(F)[m]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-m}(\mathcal{D}F)$.

The functors ${}^p\tau_{\leq m}$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq m}$ satisfy, functorially, the following relations

$${}^p\tau_{\leq m}(F[l]) \simeq {}^p\tau_{\leq m+l}(F)[l], \quad {}^p\tau_{\geq m}(F[l]) \simeq {}^p\tau_{\geq m+l}(F)[l].$$

For every F and m one constructs, functorially, a distinguished triangle

$${}^p\tau_{\leq m}F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow {}^p\tau_{\geq m+1}F \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

The heart of the t -structure, $D^{\leq 0}(Y) \cap D^{\geq 0}(Y)$, is an abelian category, denoted $Perv(Y)$ and its objects are called *perverse sheaves*. An object F of $D(Y)$ is perverse if and only if the two natural maps coming from adjunction ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}F \longrightarrow F$ and $F \longrightarrow {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}F$ are isomorphisms.

We note the following fact for future reference:

Lemma 2.4.3

- a) If P is perverse then $\mathcal{H}^i(P) = 0$ for $i \notin [-\dim Y, 0]$. More precisely, if P is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc and $0 \leq s \leq d$, then $\mathcal{H}^i(P|_{U_s}) = 0$ for $i \notin [-\dim Y, -s]$.
b) If P is perverse and is supported on a closed s -dimensional stratum S_s , then $P \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(P)[s]$.

Proof. a) $\mathcal{H}^i(P) = 0$ for $i > 0$ follows from the support condition. $\mathcal{H}^i(P) = 0$ for $i < -\dim Y$ holds if $y \in U_d$ since ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}^{U_d} = \tau_{\geq -\dim Y}$. Suppose the statement has been proved for $y \in U_{l+1}$. Let $y \in S_l$ and W be a distinguished neighborhood of y . It then follows from the hypercohomology exact sequence that $\mathbb{H}^i(W \setminus W \cap S, P) = 0$, for $i < -\dim Y$. By the condition of co-support $\mathbb{H}^i(W, W \setminus W \cap S, P) = \mathcal{H}^i(\alpha^!P)_y = 0$ for $i < -\dim S$, therefore the long exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(W, W \setminus W \cap S, P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(W, P) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(W \setminus W \cap S, P) \longrightarrow$$

gives $\mathbb{H}^i(W, P) = \mathcal{H}^i(P)_y = 0$ for $i < -\dim Y$.

- b) follows from the fact, evident from the construction of the perverse truncation functors described above, that if $P \simeq {}^p\tau_{\geq 0}{}^p\tau_{\leq 0}P$ is supported on S , then ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}P = \tau_{\leq -\dim S}P$, and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}P = \tau_{\geq -\dim S}P$. $\square$

We record the following for future use.

Lemma 2.4.4 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a triangulated category with t -structure, H^i be the associated cohomology theory and $G \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$ be such that $H^i(G) = 0$, for every $|i| \gg 0$.

- (a) Let $\phi : \oplus_i H^i(G)[-i] \longrightarrow G$ be such that $H^i(\phi)$ is an isomorphism for every i . Then ϕ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{A}$.
(b) Let $\phi : \oplus_i P^i[-i] \longrightarrow G$ be an isomorphism, where P^i is in the heart of the t -structure for every i . Then $H^i(\phi) : P^i \longrightarrow H^i(G)$ is an isomorphism that composed with the isomorphism $\text{diag}(H^i(\phi^{-1}))$ gives an isomorphism $\phi' : \oplus_i H^i(G)[-i] \longrightarrow G$ inducing the identity on $H^i(G)$.

Proof. Elementary and left to the reader. $\square$

2.5 t-exactness

The reference is [1], especially §4. See also [18], §10. A functor $T : D_1 \longrightarrow D_2$ of triangulated categories with t -structures is said to be *left* (*right*, resp.) *t-exact* if $T(D_1^{\geq 0}) \subseteq D_2^{\geq 0}$ ($T(D_1^{\leq 0}) \subseteq D_2^{\leq 0}$, resp.) and it is said to be *t-exact*, if it is left and right *t-exact*.

One of the points of this notion is that if T is *t-exact*, then it preserves the hearts of the two categories. In particular, if T is *t-exact*, then there is a natural isomorphism ${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(T(G)) \simeq T({}^p\mathcal{H}^l(G))$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic map of algebraic varieties. We consider the triangulated categories $D(X)$ and $D(Y)$ with their middle-perversity t -structure. Verdier uality is an auto-equivalence of categories. It exchanges $f^!$ with f^* , $f_!$ with f_* , $D^{\leq 0}(X)$ and $D^{\geq 0}(Y)$ with $D^{\geq 0}(X)$ and $D^{\leq 0}(Y)$. Consequently, statements about the left (right, resp.) *t-exactness* of the four functors $(f_!, f^!, f^*, f_*)$ are equivalent to the analogous statements of right (left, resp.) *t-exactness* of the four functors $(f_*, f^*, f^!, f_!)$. A similar remark holds for these functors used in conjunction with the translation functor $[j]$. E.g. $f^*[j]$ is left *t-exact* if and only if $f^![-j]$ is right *t-exact*.

We have the following properties.

- If f is affine, then f_* is right *t-exact* and $f_!$ is left *t-exact*.
- If f is quasi-finite and affine, then f_* and $f_!$ are *t-exact*.
- If f is smooth of relative dimension d , then $f^*[d] \simeq f^![-d]$ are *t-exact*. If, in addition, f is surjective and with connected fibers, then the induced functor $f^*[d] : \text{Perv}(Y) \longrightarrow \text{Perv}(X)$ is fully faithful; see [1], Prop. 4.2.5.

The left *t-exactness* for affine maps implies the Relative Weak Lefschetz Theorem 4.4.1 which can be thought-of as a relative version of the theorem on the cohomological dimension of affine sets with respect to constructible sheaves.

2.6 Intersection cohomology complexes, semisimple objects and intermediate extensions

Recall that $\text{Perv}(Y)$ is an abelian category. An object $F \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perv}(Y))$ is said to be *simple* if it has no non-trivial subobjects and hence no non-trivial quotients. F is said to be *semisimple* if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple objects.

The category $\text{Perv}(Y)$ is *artinian*, i.e. every $F \in \text{Perv}(Y)$ admits a finite filtration with simple quotients, and *noetherian*, i.e. any increasing sequence of sub-objects of an object stabilizes.

Let $\beta : U \longrightarrow Y$ be the open immersion of a Zariski-dense open subset of Y . Given $F \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perv}(U))$, there is an object $\beta_{!*}F \in \text{Perv}(Y)$, called *the intermediate extension of F* , unique up to isomorphism, with the property that it extends F and it has no non-trivial sub-object and quotient supported on a closed subvariety of $Y \setminus U$. See [1], 1.4.25.

It is also characterized as follows. Given any stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ of Y for which $Y \setminus U$ is a union of connected components of strata, $\beta_{!*}F$ is, up to isomorphism, the unique extension G of F in $D(Y)$ such that, given any connected component, $S \xrightarrow{i} Y \setminus U$, of a stratum

contained in $Y \setminus U$, $\mathcal{H}^j(i^*G) = 0$, $\forall j \geq -\dim S$ and $\mathcal{H}^j(i^!G) = 0$, $\forall j \leq -\dim S$. See [1], Prop.2.1.9.

Remark 2.6.1 The intermediate extension $\beta_{!*}F$ can be described explicitly in terms of stratifications and successive push-forwards and truncations as follows. See [1], Prop. 2.1.11. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification of Y inducing stratifications on U and $Y \setminus U$ with respect to which F is $\mathfrak{Y}_U$ -cc. The construction is by induction on the strata: if $U = U_{l+1}$, then $\beta_{l!*}F \simeq \tau_{\leq -l-1}\beta_{l!*}F$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a local system on an open set U contained in the regular part of Y . The intersection cohomology complex associated with $\mathcal{L}$ is, by definition, $IC_Y(\mathcal{L}) := \beta_{!*}(\mathcal{L}[\dim Y]) \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perv}(Y))$.

The case of $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Q}_U$ is of particular interest and gives rise to the intersection cohomology complex of Y , IC_Y . Up to a cohomological shift, its hypercohomology groups coincide with the rational intersection cohomology groups of Y ; see [14].

The complex $IC_Y(\mathcal{L})$ is characterized, up to isomorphism, by the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{H}^j(IC_Y(\mathcal{L})) = 0$; for all $j < -\dim Y$;
- $\mathcal{H}^{-\dim Y}(IC_Y(\mathcal{L})|_U) \simeq \mathcal{L}$;
- $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{H}^j(IC_Y(\mathcal{L})) < -j$, if $j > -\dim Y$;
- $\dim \text{supp } (\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{D}(IC_Y(\mathcal{L})))) < -j$, if $j > -\dim Y$.

Remark 2.6.2 The last two conditions can be re-formulated using stratifications as follows. Let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification with respect to which $IC_Y(\mathcal{L})$ is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, $\alpha_l : S_l \rightarrow Y$ be the corresponding embedding. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^j(\alpha_l^* IC_Y(\mathcal{L})) &= 0, \forall l \text{ and } j > \dim Y \text{ s.t. } j \geq -l; \\ \mathcal{H}^j(\alpha_l^! IC_Y(\mathcal{L})) &= 0, \forall l \text{ and } j > -\dim Y \text{ s.t. } j \leq -l. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}(IC_Y(\mathcal{L})) \simeq IC_Y(\mathcal{L}^\vee)$.

If Y is smooth, or at least a rational homology manifold, then $IC_Y \simeq \mathbb{Q}_Y[\dim Y]$.

Given a closed subvariety $i : Y' \rightarrow Y$ and a complex of type $IC_{Y'}(\mathcal{L}') \in \text{Perv}(Y')$, we denote $i_* IC_{Y'}(\mathcal{L}')$ simply by $IC_{Y'}(\mathcal{L}')$. It is an object of $\text{Perv}(Y)$ satisfying the conditions above, with Y' replacing Y .

An object $F \in \text{Perv}(Y)$ is simple if and only if $F \simeq IC_{Y'}(\mathcal{L}')$, for some closed subvariety $Y' \subseteq Y$ and some simple local system $\mathcal{L}'$ defined on an open subvariety of the regular part of Y' . A semisimple object of $\text{Perv}(Y)$ is therefore a finite direct sum of such simple objects.

2.7 A splitting criterion in $\text{Perv}(Y)$

The purpose of this section is to prove Lemma 2.7.1, a key ingredient in the proof of the semisimplicity of the perverse cohomology sheaves ${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])$.

Let Y be an algebraic variety, $Q \in \text{Ob}(\text{Perv}(Y))$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification of Y with respect to which Q is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc. Let s be a fixed integer. Assume that $Y = U \amalg S$, where $U =$

$\coprod_{l>s} Y_l$ and $S = S_s$. In particular, S_s is closed. Let $S \xrightarrow{\alpha} Z \xleftarrow{\beta} U$ be the corresponding embeddings.

By the conditions of support, the maps $\tau_{\leq -s} Q \rightarrow Q$ and $\tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta^* Q \rightarrow \beta^* Q$ are isomorphisms. Hence, the adjunction map $Q \rightarrow \beta_* \beta^* Q$ admits a natural lifting

$$t : Q \rightarrow \tau_{\leq -s} \beta_* \beta^* Q.$$

We also have a map

$$c : Q \rightarrow \tau_{\geq -s} Q \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s].$$

Since Q is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q) \subseteq S$ and $\mathcal{H}^j(Q)$ is a, possibly trivial, local system for every j . In particular, the complexes $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s] \simeq \alpha_* \alpha^* \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s] \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q)[s]$ are perverse.

In this set-up, $\beta_{!*} \beta^* Q \simeq \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q$; see Remark 2.6.1.

Lemma 2.7.1 *Let things be as above and suppose that the local systems $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_! \alpha^! Q)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)$ on S have the same rank. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

a) *The natural map*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_! \alpha^! Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)$$

is an isomorphism.

b) *The map $t : Q \rightarrow \tau_{\leq -s} \beta_* \beta^* Q$ has a unique lifting $\tilde{t} : Q \rightarrow \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q$ and*

$$(\tilde{t}, c) : Q \rightarrow \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s]$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.7.2 The equal-rank-condition is automatically satisfied if, for example, Q is a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes, or if Q is self-dual. In the former case, in view of the properties of the intermediate extension functor listed in §2.6, Q must be isomorphic to $\beta_{!*} Q|_U \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s]$ and one has $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha^! Q) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha^* Q)$ in view of the natural isomorphisms $\alpha^* \alpha_* \simeq Id \simeq \alpha^! \alpha_*$. In the latter, we apply the duality statement of Lemma 2.3.2.

Proof of Lemma 2.7.1. Consider the distinguished triangle of $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc complexes.

$$\tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \rightarrow \tau_{\leq -s} \beta_* \beta^* Q \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\beta_* \beta^* Q)[s] \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

We apply the functor $\text{Hom}_{D(Y)}(Q, -)$ and look at the corresponding long exact sequence. We have $\text{Hom}_{D(Y)}^{-1}(Q, \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\beta_* \beta^* Q)[s]) = \{0\}$ by perversity. So that if a lifting of t exists, it must be unique.

Such a lifting exists if and only if the image of t in $\text{Hom}_{D(Y)}(Q, \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\beta_* \beta^* Q)[s])$ is zero. In turn this is equivalent to the natural map $b : \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\beta_* \beta^* Q)$ being zero.

We consider the relevant piece of the long exact sequence associated with the distinguished triangle $\alpha_! \alpha^! Q \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \beta_* \beta^* Q \xrightarrow{[1]}$:

$$\dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_! \alpha^! Q) \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q) \xrightarrow{b} \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\beta_* \beta^* Q) \longrightarrow \dots$$

The map b is trivial, i.e. the lifting exists, if and only if a is surjective.

It is now clear that if a splitting as above exists then we are given that $\tilde{t}$ exists and therefore a is surjective, hence an isomorphism, by virtue of the equal-rank assumption.

Conversely, if a is an isomorphism, then we have a lifting $\tilde{t}$. We use $\tilde{t}$ and c to define the map $\tilde{t} \oplus c$ and to check that it is an isomorphism.

The map $\tilde{t}$ gives rise to an exact sequence in the abelian category $Perv(Y)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}} \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0;$$

since Q and the intermediate extension of $Q|_U$ are isomorphic on U via $\tilde{t}|_U$, C must be trivial by [1] 1.4.25; see §2.6.

By the assumption $\tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \simeq \beta^* Q$, we see that K is supported on S .

We obtain a short exact sequence in $Perv(Y)$, hence a distinguished triangle in $D(Y)$:

$$K \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

K must therefore be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc. Since K is perverse and supported on S it follows, from Lemma 2.4.3 b), that $K \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(K)[s] \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s]$. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s-1}(Q) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{H}^{-s-1}(\beta_* \beta^* Q) \xrightarrow{e} \mathcal{H}^{-s}(K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since a is injective, and $\tilde{t}$ is a lifting of t , d is surjective so that $e = 0$.

Since $Hom_{D(Y)}(\tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q, K[1]) \simeq Hom_{Sh(Y)}(\mathcal{H}^{-s-1}(\beta_* \beta^* Q), \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q))$, we see that the distinguished triangle splits, i.e. that there is some isomorphism $Q \simeq \tau_{\leq -s-1} \beta_* \beta^* Q \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-s}(Q)[s]$. This implies that $\tilde{t} \oplus c$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

2.8 The perverse filtration on hypercohomology

In this paper we employ the following *non-standard* notation for filtrations and associated constructions.

An *increasing filtration* of a vector space V is a family of sub-vector spaces $V_{\leq i} \subseteq V$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that $V_{\leq i} \subseteq V_{\leq j}$, $\forall i \leq j$.

If $V_{\leq i} = \{0\}$ for $i \ll 0$ and $V_{\leq i} = V$, for $i \gg 0$, then we say that the filtration is *finite*.

We set $V_i := V_{\leq i} / V_{\leq i-1}$ and call it the *i -th graded piece* of the filtered V .

A linear map $f : V \longrightarrow W$ of filtered vector spaces is *filtered* if $f(V_{\leq i}) \subseteq W_{\leq i}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The spaces $\text{Ker}(f)$, $\text{Im}(f)$ and $\text{Coker}(f)$ are naturally filtered.

When $f : V \longrightarrow W$ is filtered it induces maps $f_i : V_i \longrightarrow W_i$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Given any filtered map, for every index i there are natural injections $\text{Ker}(f)_i \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(f_i)$, and natural surjections $\text{Coker}(f_i) \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(f)_i$.

We say that a filtered map $f : V \rightarrow W$ is *strict* if $f(V_{\leq i}) = f(V) \cap W_{\leq i}$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is elementary to check that a filtered $f : V \rightarrow W$ is strict if and only if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)_i \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow W_i \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)_i \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for every index i , i.e. if and only if the natural injection and surjection above are in fact isomorphisms. If f is strict, then $(\text{Im} f)_i = \text{Im} f_i$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The vector space $grV := \oplus_i V_i$ is called the associated graded vector space. It admits what we call the *graded filtration* $grV_{\leq i} := \oplus_{j \leq i} V_j$. We always assume that a graded space is filtered with the graded filtration.

Lemma 2.8.1 (a) Let $f : V' \rightarrow V$ be a linear isomorphism, V' and V be filtered, f and f^{-1} be filtered. Then f and f^{-1} are strict. Moreover, $f(V'_{\leq i}) = V_{\leq i}$ and $f^{-1}(V_{\leq i}) = V'_{\leq i}$.
(b) Let $f : V' \rightarrow V$ be a linear isomorphism which is filtered and strict. Then f^{-1} is filtered and strict.

(c) Let $f : V' \rightarrow V$ and $g : W' \rightarrow W$ be filtered strict isomorphisms and $\phi : V' \rightarrow W'$ be filtered strict. Then $g\phi f^{-1} : V \rightarrow W$ is filtered strict.

Proof. Elementary and left to the reader. $\square$

Let $G \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$. The perverse truncation functors define an increasing filtration, which we call the *perverse filtration*, on $\mathbb{H}^j(Y, G) \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^j(Y, G) := \text{Im}(\mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\tau_{\leq i}G)) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^j(Y, G),$$

with graded pieces

$$\mathbb{H}_i^j(Y, G) := \mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^j(Y, G) / \mathbb{H}_{\leq i-1}^j(Y, G).$$

One has a spectral sequence $E_2^{lm}(G) := \mathbb{H}^l(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^m(G)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{l+m}(Y, G)$ whose $E_\infty(G)$ -term is the graded object associated with the perverse filtration on $\mathbb{H}^{l+m}(Y, G)$.

Any morphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ in $D(Y)$ induces a map $\mathbb{H}^j(Y, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^j(Y, G')$ which is filtered with respect to the perverse filtrations and is compatible with the induced morphism of spectral sequences $E_r^{lm}(f) : E_r^{lm}(G) \rightarrow E_r^{lm}(G')$ in the sense that $E_\infty^{lm}(f) : E_\infty^{lm}(G) \rightarrow E_\infty^{lm}(G')$ coincides with the map induced by f on the graded pieces $\mathbb{H}_m^l(Y, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_m^l(Y, G')$.

Consider the distinguished triangles ${}^p\tau_{\leq i-1}G \rightarrow {}^p\tau_{\leq i}G \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \xrightarrow{[1]}$. One has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i]) & & \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \\ & & & & \text{Coker } d_i & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\tau_{\leq i-1}G) \xrightarrow{d_i} \mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\tau_{\leq i}G) & \rightarrow & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow & \mathbb{H}_{\leq i-1}^j(Y, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^j(Y, G) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{H}_i^j(Y, G) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal rows are exact, the vertical arrows pointing down are surjective and the vertical arrow pointing up is injective.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of algebraic varieties. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$H^{n+j}(X) \simeq \mathbb{H}^j(Y, f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]).$$

We denote the resulting increasing filtration on the cohomology groups of X by

$$H_{\leq i}^{n+j}(X) \subseteq H^{n+j}(X)$$

and the corresponding graded pieces by

$$H_i^{n+j}(X) := H_{\leq i}^{n+j}(X) / H_{\leq i-1}^{n+j}(X).$$

We have canonical maps

$$\mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \longleftarrow \text{Coker } d_i \longrightarrow H_i^{n+j}(X).$$

Definition 2.8.2 We call the groups $H_b^l(X)$ the *perverse cohomology groups of X* (relative to f).

2.9 The Poincaré pairing and cup products

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of proper algebraic varieties, with X nonsingular of dimension n .

Let $\epsilon : f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq \mathcal{D}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ be the duality isomorphism, i.e. f_* of the duality isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ which is unique up to a non-zero multiplicative constant. Since Y is compact, hypercohomology can be naturally identified with hypercohomology with compact supports.

For every index l the map ϵ induces the non-degenerate Poincaré pairing

$$\int_X : H^{n-l}(X) \times H^{n+l}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

The map ϵ induces isomorphisms ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k}(\epsilon) : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k}(\mathcal{D}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq \mathcal{D}({}^p\mathcal{H}^k(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])))$ corresponding to non-degenerate pairings

$$\langle, \rangle_k^{\mathbb{H}, l} : \mathbb{H}^{-l}(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \times \mathbb{H}^l(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^k(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Lemma 2.9.1 *The map induced by the Poincaré pairing*

$$H_{\leq i}^{n-l}(X) \times H_{\leq j}^{n+l}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is trivial for every pair of indices i, j such that $i + j < 0$ and for every l .

In particular, for every $k \geq 0$ and for every $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Poincaré pairing descends to a bilinear form

$$\langle, \rangle_k^l : H_{-k}^{n-l}(X) \times H_k^{n+l}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

and, whenever $i + j < 0$, to trivial bilinear forms

$$H_i^{n-l}(X) \times H_j^{n+l}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a triangulated category with a t -structure, $\tau_{\leq}$ and $\tau_{\geq}$ be the truncation functors, $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a contravariant functor of triangulated categories such that $T(\mathcal{A}^{\leq 0}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\geq 0}$ and $e : K \rightarrow T(K)$ be a morphism. Let $r < s$. Since $\tau_{\leq r}(K) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}^{\leq r})$ and $T(\tau_{\leq -s}(K)) \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{A}^{\geq s})$, the axioms of t -structures imply that the composition

$$\tau_{\leq r}K \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{e} T(K) \rightarrow T(\tau_{\leq -s}K)$$

is the zero map.

We apply this to $\mathcal{A} = D(Y)$, $T = \mathcal{D}$, $K = f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$, $e = \epsilon$, $r = i$, $s = -j$. $\square$

Remark 2.9.2 If the Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1.b holds, then the forms $<, >_k^l$ can be naturally identified with the non-degenerate forms $<, >_k^{\mathbb{H}, l}$. See Remark 2.10.1 and Proposition 2.10.3

In what follows, by abuse of notation, we use the same symbol for a line bundle, its first Chern class and all the ensuing maps associated with this class.

Let A be a line bundle on Y and $G \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$. The first Chern class of A , which we still denote by $A \in H^2(Y)$, and its r -th power $A^r \in H^{2r}(Y)$ induce natural transformations $\text{Id}_{D(Y)} \rightarrow \text{Id}_{D(Y)}[2r]$. Since ${}^p\tau_{\leq i}(G[m]) \simeq ({}^p\tau_{\leq i+m}G)[m]$, the induced cup product maps in hypercohomology

$$A^r : \mathbb{H}^j(Y, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{j+2r}(Y, G)$$

satisfy

$$A^r(\mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^j(Y, G)) \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\leq i+2r}^{j+2r}(Y, G).$$

Let η be a line bundle on X . The class η defines a morphism $\eta : \mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_X[2]$ and hence a natural transformation $\eta : \text{Id}_{D(X)} \rightarrow \text{Id}_{D(X)}[2]$. In particular, it defines, for every $F \in \text{Ob}(D(X))$, morphisms $\eta^k : F \rightarrow F[2k]$ in $D(X)$, $\eta^k : f_*F \rightarrow f_*F[2k]$ in $D(Y)$ and $\eta^k : {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(F) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{j+2k}(F)$ in $\text{Perv}(Y)$.

Let $L := f^*A$. We have $L^k : F \rightarrow F[2k]$. Consequently, we have $L^k : f_*F \rightarrow f_*F[2k]$, and $L^k : {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*F) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{j+2k}(f_*F)$. By the properness of f , $f_* \simeq f_!$ and the change of coefficients formula [18], Proposition 2.6.6, ensures that we can identify functorially these two sets of maps with the ones coming from $A : f_*F \rightarrow f_*F[2]$.

The simple, yet important, consequence of what above can be explained as follows. The maps η and L induce the cup product operation with the corresponding first Chern class in the hypercohomology of F . If $f_*F \simeq G \oplus G'$ is *any* splitting, then L preserves it, i.e. the cup product with L acts diagonally with respect to this splitting as A . One can study the cup product with L on each direct summand in $D(Y)$ where it is identified with the cup product with A .

2.10 Consequences of the existence of an isomorphism $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \simeq G$,

The goal of this section is to draw some consequences from the existence of an isomorphism as in the title above. This information will be used after we shall have established the

Relative Hard Lefschetz for the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ which implies formally the existence of an isomorphism $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \simeq f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$.

We retain the notation of §2.9. Let $G \in \text{Ob}(D(Y))$ and assume that there is an isomorphism $\phi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \simeq G$.

The spectral sequence of §2.8 collapses at E_2 and the three spaces

$$\mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i]) \simeq \text{Coker } d_i \simeq \mathbb{H}_i^j(Y, G)$$

are canonically isomorphic, see §2.9. Moreover, if in addition we have a morphism $g : G \rightarrow G'$, and $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G')[-i] \simeq G'$, then $E_\infty^{lm}(g) = E_2^{lm}(g) = \mathbb{H}^l(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^m(g))$.

Remark 2.10.1 If $G = f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$, then we have a canonical identifications:

$$\mathbb{H}^j(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i]) \simeq H_i^{n+j}(X), \quad \forall i, j.$$

Since perverse truncation is a functor, the induced maps in hypercohomology, $\mathbb{H}^j(Y, \phi)$ and $\mathbb{H}^j(Y, \phi^{-1})$, are strict filtered maps, inverse to each other. See Lemma 2.8.1.a.

Since A^r acts diagonally with respect to the decomposition induced by ϕ (see the end of §2.9), the maps induced in hypercohomology by A^r are filtered and strict:

$$A^r(\mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^j(Y, G)) \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\leq i}^{j+2r}(Y, G).$$

Remark 2.10.2 The special case $G = f_*F$ is of interest to us. The map L^r , which can be identified with A^r , acts diagonally with respect to the decomposition and $L^r : {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*F) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{i+2r}(f_*F)$ is the zero map for every $r > 0$.

What above is false, in general, for η not a pull-back from Y .

Because of the geometric meaning of the cup product, we prefer, by a further abuse of notation, to denote the maps induced by A with the symbol L . This is harmless in view of the functoriality of the isomorphisms needed for the identifications to be made.

Let $\epsilon : f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq \mathcal{D}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ be the duality isomorphism.

Proposition 2.10.3 *The components $\epsilon_{ij} : {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-j])$ of $\phi^* \circ \epsilon \circ \phi$ satisfy*

$$\epsilon_{jj} = {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(\epsilon), \quad \forall j, \quad \epsilon_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall i + j < 0.$$

In particular, the bilinear form $<, >_0^{\mathbb{H}, 0}$, which by Remark 2.10.1 we identify with $<, >_0^0$, coincides with the one induced by $\mathbb{H}^0(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\epsilon))$ on $H_0^n(X)$ and is therefore non-degenerate.

Proof. The proof of the first statement is the same as the one for Lemma 2.9.1 with the additional fact that $K = f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ is a direct sum of its shifted perverse cohomology complexes.

The second statement follows from the fact that the restriction of the Poincaré pairing to $H_{\leq 0}^n(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} \mathbb{H}^0(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i])$ corresponds to the map

$$\bigoplus_{i \leq 0, j \leq 0} \epsilon_{ij} : \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\bigoplus_{j \leq 0} {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-j])$$

whose only non-zero entry is the isomorphism $\epsilon_{00} = {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\epsilon)$. $\square$

Let $R : D(Y) \longrightarrow D(Y)$ be an additive functor, $R \longrightarrow Id$ ($Id \longrightarrow R$, resp.) be a natural transformation of additive functors and $\phi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \simeq G \in Ob(D(Y))$ be an isomorphism. The induced map in hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(R(\phi))$ is an isomorphism and defines, transplanting the graded filtration from the domain to the target, an increasing filtration, which we call *the induced graded filtration*, on $\mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G))$. Since R is not assumed to be t -exact, this filtration need not to coincide with the perverse filtration. However, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.10.4 *Let things be as above. The induced graded filtration on $\mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G))$ is independent of the choice of the isomorphism ϕ and the natural map $\mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(Y, G)$ ($\mathbb{H}^*(Y, G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G))$, respectively) and is filtered strict with respect to the induced graded filtration on the left (right, resp.) and the perverse filtration on the right (left, resp.).*

Proof. Let $\psi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i] \simeq G$ be another isomorphism, $\theta := \psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ and $\theta_{jk} : {}^p\mathcal{H}^k(G)[-k] \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(G)[-j]$ be the corresponding component. By the axioms of t -structure, $\theta_{jk} = 0$, if $k < j$. This implies that the induced map in hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(R(\theta))$ is filtered. By symmetry, so is its inverse. By Lemma 2.8.1.a, the map is also strict. By applying Lemma 2.8.1.c, we see that the $Id = \mathbb{H}^*(R(\psi)) \circ \mathbb{H}^*(R(\theta)) \circ \mathbb{H}^*(R(\phi^{-1})) : \mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(Y, R(G))$ is filtered strict with respect to the two graded induced filtrations. By the second assertion of Lemma 2.8.1.a the two filtrations coincide.

The second assertion is proved in the same way, replacing θ with the adjunction map $R(\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i]) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(G)[-i]$ which is in fact a direct sum map.

The proof for the case $Id \longrightarrow R$ is analogous. $\square$

Remark 2.10.5 Assume that $\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \simeq f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$. Let $y \in Y$ and $\alpha : y \longrightarrow Y$ be the embedding. We apply Lemma 2.10.4 to the two adjunction maps $\alpha_! \alpha^! f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ and $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ and obtain that the natural class map $H_{*-j}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H^{*+j}(X)$ and the natural restriction map $H^*(X) \longrightarrow H^*(f^{-1}(y))$ are filtered strict for the perverse filtration on the spaces $H^*(X)$ and the induced graded filtrations on the spaces $H_*(f^{-1}(y))$ and $H^*(f^{-1}(y))$. Similarly, given an open embedding $\beta : U \longrightarrow Y$, we get strict filtered maps $H^*(f^{-1}(U)) \longrightarrow H^*(X)$ with the additional feature that the induced graded filtration on $H^*(f^{-1}(U))$ coincides with the perverse filtration.

Lemma 2.10.6 *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{v} & X \\ \downarrow f' & \square & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian diagram of proper maps of algebraic varieties. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification for f .

Assume that there is an isomorphism $\phi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \longrightarrow f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$.

1) If u is smooth of relative dimension d , then ϕ induces isomorphisms ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n+d]) \simeq u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[d]$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an isomorphism

$$\phi' : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n+d])[-i] \simeq f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n+d].$$

2) If u is a codimension s embedding of a locally closed subvariety of Y , transverse to the strata of $\mathfrak{Y}$, then ϕ induces isomorphisms ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n-s]) \simeq u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-s]$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and an isomorphism

$$\phi' : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n-s])[-i] \longrightarrow f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n-s].$$

3) If u is as in case 1) or 2), then the natural map $v^* : H^k(X) \longrightarrow H^k(X')$ is filtered and strict.

Proof. By the Base Change Theorem for proper maps, one has isomorphisms

$$u^*(\bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i]) \xrightarrow{u^*\phi} u^*f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n].$$

If u is smooth of relative dimension d , then $u^*[d]$ is t -exact so that $u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[d]$ is perverse on Y' . The isomorphism above can be re-written as

$$\bigoplus_i (u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[d])[-i] \simeq f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n+d].$$

This proves 1) in view of Lemma 2.4.4.b.

If u is as in 2), we can re-write the isomorphism above as

$$\bigoplus_i (u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-s])[-i] \simeq f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n-s].$$

To conclude, we need to check that $u^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-s]$ is perverse on Y' for every i . This is a local question. We may assume that $(Y', o) \subseteq (Y, o) \subseteq (B^N, o)$, where B^N is a ball in $\mathbb{C}^N$ centered at o and Y' is the trace on Y of a complex manifold $M \subseteq B^N$ of codimension s meeting all strata of Y transversally. 2) follows from Remark 2.3.1 and Lemma 2.3.3.

To prove 3) we argue as follows. By the Base Change Theorem for proper maps, the map $v^* : H^l(X) \longrightarrow H^l(X')$ can be identified with the maps induced in hypercohomology by the adjunction map $\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow v_*v^*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ and by its push-forward $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow f_*v_*v^*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq u_*f'_*v^*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq u_*u^*f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$. It follows that v^* can be identified with the map induced in hypercohomology by the adjunction map $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow u_*u^*f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$. Since the adjunction map stems from a natural transformation $Id \longrightarrow u_*u^*$, it preserves direct sum decompositions.

The result follows using the identifications and direct sum decompositions of parts 1) and 2). $\square$

Remark 2.10.7 With the notation of Lemma 2.10.6, if u factors as the composition of two or more maps as in 1) or 2), then the analogous conclusion holds.

2.11 The cup product with an η satisfying the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem

The reference is [8] where the set-up is more general than the present one, i.e. the one of §2.9, and where, roughly speaking, it is shown that, using relatively straightforward homological algebra, the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a implies the Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1.b.

The goal of this section is to list some properties of the cup product with a line bundle η satisfying the conclusion of the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem. This information is crucial to our approach and we will use it in the sequel of the paper once we have established, inductively, the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a for the map $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

Assume that $\eta^i : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ for every $i \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i} = \text{Ker}\eta^{i+1} \subseteq {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$, $i \geq 0$ and set $\eta^l = 0$ for every $l < 0$. We have the following primitive Lefschetz decomposition

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^h(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \eta^{h+j} \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-h-2j}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By [8], Proposition 2.4, there is an isomorphism

$$\varphi : \bigoplus_j {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-j] \simeq f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$$

such that:

- 1) it induces the identity on the perverse cohomology complexes; in particular, it induces the natural inclusion $\mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i}[i] \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[i]$ for every $i \geq 0$;
- 2) for every $s > i \geq 0$, the induced morphism $\eta^s : \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i}[i] \rightarrow ({}^p\tau_{\geq s} f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[2s]$ is trivial.

We denote the maps induced in hypercohomology by φ and its translates simply by φ .

The cup product map η^r has good properties with respect to the perverse filtration when expressed using the decomposition φ . The map $\eta^i : H^l(X) \rightarrow H^{l+2i}(X)$ gives rise, via the isomorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus_j (H_j^l(X)) \simeq H^l(X)$, to a map, denoted with the same symbol, $\eta^i : \bigoplus_j H_j^l(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j'} H_{j'}^{l+2i}(X)$. This latter maps $\bigoplus_{j \leq J} H_j^l(X)$ to $\bigoplus_{j' \leq J+2i} H_{j'}^l(X)$ but, in general, it does not respect the direct sum decomposition and the primitive Lefschetz decomposition. This means that even if $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^l(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r}[r]) \subseteq H_{-r}^{n+l}(X)$, the element $\eta^i \alpha$ will have, in general, non trivial components in several different groups $H_b^{n+l+2i}(X)$ and, further, these components will also have, in general, several non trivial components with respect to the primitive Lefschetz summands. The following lemma gives a useful range for the various indices over which the cup product operation respects the direct sum

decompositions given by φ and by the primitive Lefschetz decomposition and also limits the deviation from this ideal behavior in a bigger, also useful, range.

Lemma 2.11.1 *For every pair of indices $0 \leq i \leq r$:*

$$\eta^i H_{-r}^{n+l}(X) \subseteq H_{-r+2i}^{n+l+2i}(X) \subseteq \bigoplus_j H_j^{n+l+2i}(X), \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z};$$

the map η^i is injective from $H_{-r}^{n+l}(X)$, it respects the primitive Lefschetz decomposition acting as the isomorphism induced by η^i on each summand of that decomposition i.e.: if $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^{l-2j}(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r-2j}[r+2j]) \subseteq H_{-r-2j}^{n+l-2j}(X)$, $j \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq r$, then

$$\eta^j \alpha \in \mathbb{H}^l(Y, \eta^j \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r-2j}[r]) \subseteq H_{-r}^{n+l}(X)$$

and

$$\eta^i(\eta^j \alpha) = \eta^{i+j} \alpha \in \mathbb{H}^{l+2i}(Y, \eta^{i+j} \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r-2j}[r-2i]) \subseteq H_{-r+2i}^{n+l+2i}(X).$$

Let $i = r+1 \geq 1$. The map η^{r+1} induces the zero map $H_{-r}^{n+l}(X) \supseteq \mathbb{H}^l(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r}[r]) \longrightarrow H_{r+2}^{l+2r+2}(X)$.

Finally, let $i \geq r+1 \geq 1$.

$$\eta^i H_{-r}^{n+l}(X) \subseteq \bigoplus_{j \leq r} H_j^{n+l+2i}(X).$$

Proof. See [8], Proposition 2.7. □

Remark 2.11.2 The last statement of Lemma 2.11.1 improves greatly on the statement that $\eta^i H_{\leq -r}^{n+l}(X) \subseteq H_{\leq -r+2i}^{n+l+2i}(X)$.

Note that, in general $\varphi(\mathbb{H}^l(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r}[r])) \subseteq H_{\leq -r}^{n+l}(X)$ is not contained $\text{Ker} \eta^{r+1}$, i.e. the space of *classical* primitive classes if $l = -r$. What is true is that $\mathbb{H}^l(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-r}[r])$ is the kernel of $\eta^{r+1} : H_{-r}^{n+l}(X) \longrightarrow H_{r+2}^{n+l+2r+2}(X)$.

2.12 The topology and Hodge Theory of algebraic varieties

In this section we collect classical results concerning the topology and the Hodge theory of projective manifolds.

Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, H be a finitely generated abelian group, $H_{\mathbb{Q}} := H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, $H_{\mathbb{C}} = H \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$.

A pure Hodge structure of weight l on H , or on $H_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a direct sum decomposition $H_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{p+q=l} H^{p,q}$ such that $H^{p,q} = \overline{H^{q,p}}$. The Hodge filtration is the decreasing filtration $F^p(H_{\mathbb{C}}) := \bigoplus_{p' \geq p} H^{p',q'}$. A morphism of Hodge structures $f : H \longrightarrow H'$ is a group homomorphism such that $f \otimes Id_{\mathbb{C}}$ is compatible with the Hodge filtration, i.e. such that it is a filtered map. Such maps are automatically strict. The category of Hodge structures of weight l is abelian.

Let C be the Weil operator, i.e. $C : H_{\mathbb{C}} \simeq H_{\mathbb{C}}$ is such that $C(x) = i^{p-q}x$, for every $x \in H^{pq}$. It is a real operator. Replacing i^{p-q} by $z^p \bar{z}^q$ we get a real action ρ of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. A polarization of the real pure Hodge structure $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real bilinear form Ψ on $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is invariant under the action given by ρ restricted to $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and such that the bilinear form $\tilde{\Psi}(x, y) := \Psi(x, Cy)$ is symmetric and positive definite. If Ψ is a polarization, then Ψ is symmetric if l is even, and antisymmetric if l is odd. In any case, Ψ is non-degenerate. In addition, for every $0 \neq x \in H^{pq}$, $(-1)^l i^{p-q} \Psi(x, \bar{x}) > 0$, where, by abuse of notation, Ψ also denotes the $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear extension of Ψ to $H_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Remark 2.12.1 If $H' \subseteq H$ is a Hodge sub-structure, then $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is fixed by C so that $\Psi|_{H'_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is a polarization, hence it is non-degenerate. We would like to highlight this juncture. Ultimately, our proof of the Decomposition Theorem rests on the fact that the intersection form $<, >_0^0$ of Lemma 2.9.1 on $H_0^n(X)$ remains non-degenerate when restricted to the subspaces (see Theorem 8.4.1) $H_{n,0}(f^{-1}(y))$, $y \in Y$ of Remark 2.10.5. We will show this fact by putting compatible Hodge structures and polarizations on the spaces involved.

Let X be a nonsingular projective algebraic variety of dimension n , η be an ample line bundle on X . For every $r \geq 0$ define $P^{n-r} = \text{Ker} \eta^{r+1} \subseteq H^{n-r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Classical Hodge Theory states that, for every l , $H^l(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is a pure Hodge structure of weight l . Clearly, P^{n-r} is a rational pure Hodge structure of weight $n-r$.

The following theorem summarizes some of the fundamental results of the classical Hodge Theory of projective varieties.

Theorem 2.12.2 (a) (**The Hard Lefschetz Theorem.**) For every $r \geq 0$, the map

$$\eta^r : H^{n-r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^{n+r}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism.

(b) (**The primitive Lefschetz decomposition.**) For every $r \geq 0$ there is the direct sum decomposition

$$H^{n-r}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \eta^j P^{n-r-2j}$$

where each summand is a pure Hodge sub-structure of weight $n-r$ and all summands are mutually orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form $\int_X \eta^r \wedge - \wedge -$.

(c) (**The Hodge Riemann bilinear relations.**) For every $0 \leq l \leq n$, the bilinear form $(-1)^{\frac{l(l+1)}{2}} \int_X \eta^r \wedge - \wedge -$ is a polarization of the pure weight l Hodge structure $P^l \subseteq H^l(X, \mathbb{R})$. In particular,

$$(-1)^{\frac{l(l-1)}{2}} i^{p-q} \int_X \eta^{n-l} \wedge \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall 0 \neq \alpha \in P^l \cap H^{pq}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

The following is a fundamental result for the topology of projective varieties. Let $X^1 \subseteq X$ be a hyperplane section of X for some embedding in projective space.

Theorem 2.12.3 (*The weak Lefschetz Theorem.*) *The natural restriction map*

$$r^* : H^j(X, \mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow H^j(X^1, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism for $j \leq n - 2$ and is injective for $j = n - 1$.

If, in addition, X^1 is nonsingular, then the natural Gysin map (i.e. the Poincaré dual to the map in homology)

$$\tilde{r}_* : H^{n+j-2}(X^1) \longrightarrow H^{n+j}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $j \geq 2$ and is surjective for $j = 1$.

We shall need the following result of Deligne's. A local system $\mathcal{L}$ on an algebraic variety Y is said to be *semi simple* if every local subsystem $\mathcal{L}'$ of $\mathcal{L}$ admits a complement, i.e. a local subsystem $\mathcal{L}''$ of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{L}' \oplus \mathcal{L}''$.

Remark 2.12.4 If Y is normal and $Y' \subseteq Y$ is a Zariski dense open subset, then $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple if and only $\mathcal{L}|_{Y'}$ is semisimple, for we have that the natural map $\pi_1(Y', y') \longrightarrow \pi_1(Y, y')$ is surjective for any $y' \in Y'$.

The following are basic properties of smooth projective maps.

Theorem 2.12.5 *Let $f : X^n \longrightarrow Y^m$ be a smooth, proper and surjective map of smooth algebraic varieties of the indicated dimensions and η be an ample line bundle on X . Then*

$$\eta^i : R^{n-m-i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_X \simeq R^{n-m+i} f_* \mathbb{Q}_X, \quad \forall i \geq 0, \quad Rf_* \mathbb{Q}_X \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[-i]$$

and the local systems $R^j f_ \mathbb{Q}_X$ are semisimple on Y .*

Proof. See [7] and [9], Théorème 4.2.6. □

3 Statements

We state our results for maps of projective varieties $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ with X nonsingular in §3.1. In this case, f is automatically projective. The heart of our analysis is based on this case and the Hodge-theoretic results are strongest and more meaningful in this context.

We outline the proof of the results in the projective case in §3.4.

We state and prove, using the results of §3.1 and some reductions, the more general results for proper algebraic maps in §10.

3.1 The projective case

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective map of projective varieties with X nonsingular of dimension n , η be an ample line bundle on X , A be an ample line bundle on Y , $L := f^*A$. We assume that η and L are *very ample* and that η satisfies the conclusions of Proposition 4.2.4.

The very ampleness assumption means that the linear systems $|\eta|$ and $|L|$ define embeddings of X and Y in some projective spaces. There is no loss of generality in our context, for the statements of the results in §3.1 are insensitive to the operation of replacing η and L by positive multiples $m\eta$ and $m'L$.

3.1.1 The Decomposition Theorem and the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem

Theorem 3.1.1 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, n and η be as in §3.1.*

(a) (**The Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem.**) *For every $i \geq 0$, the map induced by η in perverse cohomology is an isomorphism:*

$$\eta^i : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]).$$

In particular, having set, for $i \geq 0$, $\mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i} := \text{Ker } \eta^{i+1} \subseteq {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$, we have equalities*

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \eta^j \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i-2j}, \quad {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \eta^{i+j} \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i-2j}$$

(b) (**The Decomposition Theorem.**) *There is an isomorphism in $D(Y)$:*

$$\varphi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \simeq f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n].$$

(c) (**The Semisimplicity Theorem.**) *The complexes ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ are semisimple perverse sheaves on Y and therefore are direct sums of intersection cohomology complexes associated with simple local systems on suitable nonsingular locally closed subvarieties of Y .*

Remark 3.1.2 Theorem 3.1.1 holds for η f -ample, i.e. ample when restricted to the fibers of f . In fact, if η is f -ample, then $\eta' := \eta + mL$ is ample for every $m \gg 0$ and, by Remark 2.10.2, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(\eta) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(\eta')$, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that ample implies f -ample. We prefer to state the results using the ampleness assumption because it is closer in spirit to the geometric arguments we use. However, at one point, we need Theorem 3.1.1 for f -ample line bundles; see §4.5.

3.1.2 The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology Groups

Recall Remark 2.10.1.

Theorem 3.1.3 (The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology groups) *Let $k \geq 0$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The map*

$$\mathbb{H}^{-k}(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) = H_b^{n+b-k}(X) \xrightarrow{L^k} H_b^{n+b+k}(X) = \mathbb{H}^k(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$$

is an isomorphism. In particular, $L^k : H_{\leq b}^{n+b-k}(X) \longrightarrow H_{\leq b}^{n+b+k}(X)$ is surjective.

Remark 3.1.4 Theorem 3.1.3 implies that, for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$L^{k'} : H_b^{n+b-k}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b-k+2k'}(X)$$

is injective for $0 \leq k' \leq k$, surjective for $k' \geq k$ and that

$$L^{k'} : H_b^{n+b+k}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b+k+2k'}(X)$$

is surjective for $k' \geq 0$. One also has a primitive Lefschetz decomposition $H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{h+j} Q_b^{-h-2j}$ for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}$; see Lemma 6.1.2.

Remark 3.1.5 In [5], we have showed that L^r is an isomorphism for every r if and only if the so-called defect of semismallness (cf. Definition 4.2.1) $r(f) = 0$, i.e. if and only if f is semismall. Sommese [21] proved that $L^r : H^{n-r}(X) \longrightarrow H^{n+r}(X)$ is an isomorphism for every $r \geq b(f)$, where $b(f) = \max_{y \in Y} \dim f^{-1}(y)$ is the so-called k -ampleness of L . Esnault-Viehweg [13], improved on his result by showing that L^r is an isomorphism for $r \geq r(f)$. Note that where $r(f) \leq b(f)$.

Theorem 3.1.3 and Remark 3.1.4 explain and measure exactly the deviation of L^r from being an isomorphism: the full statement of the Hard Lefschetz Theorem is recuperated by looking at the graded pieces of the perverse filtration, which can be seen as sitting inside the cohomology of X using the isomorphisms induced by φ . These isomorphisms are strict and commute with L . The decompositions $H^l(X) \simeq \bigoplus_b H_b^l(X)$ and $H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{h+j} Q_b^{-h-2j}$ describe precisely the properties of the maps $L^s : H^k(X) \longrightarrow H^{k+2s}(X)$ for every $k, s \geq 0$. The Hodge-theoretic properties of these decompositions are established in Theorem 3.1.13.

The following corollary is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.1.1 and Theorem 3.1.3. A more general statement is proved in Theorem 10.0.4. See Remark 10.0.5.

Corollary 3.1.6 (The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for intersection cohomology.)

Let Y be a projective variety, L be an ample line bundle on Y . Then the cup product map

$$L^r : \mathbb{H}^{-r}(Y, IC_Y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^r(Y, IC_Y)$$

is an isomorphism for every $r \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective resolution of the singularities of Y . By Theorem 3.1.1.c, IC_Y is a direct summand of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. The result follows from Theorem 3.1.3 and the fact that L acts compatibly with any direct sum decomposition; see §2.9. $\square$

3.1.3 The bilinear forms Φ_b^l on $H_b^l(X)$

As it is explained in the introduction, a second series of results is concerned with “polarized versions” of the previous theorems. In order to state these we need to introduce a series of bilinear forms whose very definition necessitates Theorem 3.1.1 and Theorem 3.1.3 and which polarize the direct summands of the (η, L) -decomposition of $H_b^l(X)$ which appear in §3.1.4.

The basic idea underlying the introduction of these forms on the spaces $H_b^l(X)$ is the following. If $b > 0$, then we consider the Hard Lefschetz isomorphisms $\eta^b : H_{-b}^{l-2b}(X) \simeq H_b^l(X)$ to reduce to the case when the perversity index b is non-positive. We then use the Hard Lefschetz isomorphisms $L^h : H_{-b}^{n-b-h}(X) \simeq H_{-b}^{n-b+h}(X)$ to reduce to the case when $l \leq n - b$. The key point to keep in mind is that if we let X_h^b be the intersection of h general sections of L and b general sections of η , then $\int_X \eta^b \wedge L^h \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta = \int_{X_h^b} \alpha|_{X_h^b} \wedge \beta|_{X_h^b}$. Many properties of these forms can therefore be handled by induction using hyperplane sections on X and on Y .

Let $b \geq 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We first define bilinear forms $\Phi_{\leq -b}^l$ on $H_{\leq -b}^l(X)$. Let $h \geq 0$. By Theorem 3.1.3, $L^h : H_{\leq -b}^{n-b-h}(X) \rightarrow H_{\leq -b}^{n-b+h}(X)$ is surjective and it induces an isomorphism $L^h : H_{-b}^{n-b-h}(X) \rightarrow H_{-b}^{n-b+h}(X)$.

Given α and β in $H_{\leq -b}^{n-b+h}(X)$, there are α' and β' in $H_{\leq -b}^{n-b-h}(X)$ such that $\alpha = L^h \alpha'$ and $\beta = L^h \beta'$. Note that $L^h \wedge \alpha' \wedge \beta'$ is independent of the choices for α' and β' .

Definition 3.1.7 Let $b, h \geq 0$. Define $(-1)^l$ -symmetric bilinear forms $\Phi_{\leq -b}^l$ as follows. Let $l = n - b - h$.

$$\Phi_{\leq -b}^l(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \eta^b \wedge L^h \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Let $l = n - b + h$.

$$\Phi_{\leq -b}^l(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \eta^b \wedge L^h \wedge \alpha' \wedge \beta'.$$

By Lemma 2.11.1 and Lemma 2.9.1, the forms $\Phi_{\leq -b}^l$ vanish if one of the classes is in $H_{\leq -b-1}^l(X)$. Therefore, the forms descend to the graded pieces $H_b^l(X)$ and we have the following

Definition 3.1.8 Let $b \geq 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let Φ_{-b}^l be the $(-1)^l$ -symmetric bilinear form on $H_{-b}^l(X) = H_{\leq -b}^l(X)/H_{\leq -b-1}^l(X)$ induced by $\Phi_{\leq -b}^l$.

By abuse of notation, we denote elements in $H_b^l(X)$ and their representatives in $H_{\leq b}^l(X)$ with the same symbol.

Remark 3.1.9 Let $b \geq 0$. If $l = n - b + h \geq n - b$ then, with notation as above:

$$\Phi_{\leq -b}^l(\alpha, \beta) = \Phi_{\leq -b}^{l-2h}(\alpha', \beta'), \quad \Phi_{-b}^l(\alpha, \beta) = \Phi_{-b}^{l-2h}(\alpha', \beta').$$

In the second case, α' and β' are the unique classes in $H_{-b}^{l-2h}(X)$ mapping to α and β via L^h . For every l we have

$$\Phi_{-b}^{n-b-h}(\alpha, \beta) = \langle L^h \alpha, \eta^b \beta \rangle_b^{b-h}, \quad \Phi_{-b}^{n-b+h}(\alpha, \beta) = \langle L^h \alpha', \eta^b \beta' \rangle_b^{b-h}.$$

Definition 3.1.10 Let $b \geq 0$. Assume that the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a holds for $f : X \rightarrow Y$. We have isomorphisms $\eta^b : H_{-b}^{l-2b}(X) \rightarrow H_b^l(X)$. Define a $(-1)^l$ -symmetric bilinear form Φ_b^l on $H_b^l(X)$ as follows

$$\Phi_b^l(\alpha, \beta) = \Phi_{-b}^{l-2b}(\alpha'', \beta''),$$

where α'' and β'' are unique so that $\eta^b \alpha'' = \alpha$ and $\eta^b \beta'' = \beta$.

Remark 3.1.11 Let $l = n + b + h$ with $b \geq 0, h \geq 0$. Then

$$\Phi_b^l(\alpha, \beta) = \Phi_{-b}^{l-2b}(\alpha'', \beta'') = \Phi_{-b}^{l-2b-2h}(\alpha', \beta'),$$

where $L^h \alpha' = \alpha'', L^h \beta' = \beta'', \eta^b \alpha'' = \alpha, \eta^b \beta'' = \beta$.

Remark 3.1.12 Let $b \geq 0, h \geq 0$ and recall the definition of $\langle, \rangle_k^l$ in Lemma 2.9.1. Using the notation above, we have

$$\Phi_b^{n+b-h}(\alpha, \beta) = \langle L^h \alpha'', \eta^b \beta'' \rangle_b^{b-h}, \quad \Phi_b^{n+b+h}(\alpha, \beta) = \langle L^h \alpha', \eta^b \beta' \rangle_b^{b-h}.$$

3.1.4 $H_{\leq b}^l(X) \subseteq H^l(X)$ is a Hodge sub-structure, the (η, L) -decomposition and the polarizations

Let $s, t \geq 0$. Denote the maps $L^s : H^t(X) \rightarrow H^{t+2s}(X)$ and $L^s : H_b^t(X) \rightarrow H_b^{t+2s}(X)$ by L_t^s . The context will make it clear which values of b are being used.

Let $i, j \geq 0, \eta^{i+1} : H_{-i}^{n-i-j}(X) \rightarrow H_{i+2}^{n-j+i+2}(X), L^{j+1} : H_{-i}^{n-i-j}(X) \rightarrow H_{-i}^{n-i+j+2}(X)$ and define

$$P_{-i}^{-j} = \text{Ker} \eta^{i+1} \cap \text{Ker} L^{j+1}.$$

In what below, we set $\eta^a = L^a = 0$ for $a < 0$. Note that, for $b + i, h + j \geq 0$, the maps $\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j}$ are injective on the spaces P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} by Theorem 3.1.1.a and Theorem 3.1.3.

Theorem 3.1.13 (a) (The Hodge Structure Theorem.) For every l and b the subspace $H_{\leq b}^l(X) \subseteq H^l(X)$ is a rational pure Hodge sub-structure of weight l .

In particular, $H_b^l(X) = H_{\leq b}^l(X) / H_{\leq b-1}^l(X)$ inherits naturally a structure of rational pure Hodge structure of weight l .

(b) (The (η, L) -Decomposition Theorem.) Let $b, h \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is a direct sum decomposition, called the (η, L) -decomposition of $H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$:

$$H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j}.$$

The summands are pure Hodge sub-structure of weight $(n + b + h)$ and are mutually orthogonal with respect to the non-degenerate bilinear form Φ_b^{n+b+h} .

(c) (**The Polarization Theorem.**) The bilinear form

$$(-1)^{\frac{(n-h-b-2i-2j)((n-h-b-2i-2j)+1)}{2}} \Phi_b^{n+b+h}.$$

is a polarization of the direct summand $\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$.

3.2 Example: Resolution of singularities of a threefold

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational map from a nonsingular three-dimensional projective variety X . For simplicity, we make the following assumptions:

a) There is a nonsingular curve $C \subseteq Y$ such that $f|_C : f^{-1}(C) \rightarrow C$ is a locally trivial topological fibration with one-dimensional fibers, and $f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(\overline{C})} : X \setminus f^{-1}(\overline{C}) \rightarrow Y \setminus \overline{C}$ is an isomorphism.

b) There is a unique point $p \in \overline{C}$ such that $f^{-1}(p) = D$ is a divisor. Let $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be its irreducible components, $[D_i] \in H^2(X)$ be cohomology class of D_i , i.e. the image of the fundamental class of D_i in $H_4(D)$ under the natural map $H_4(D) \rightarrow H^2(X)$.

Under these hypothesis, we have that $r(f) = 1$, $r(f|_{X \setminus f^{-1}(\overline{C})}) = 0$, hence ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = 0$ if $i \neq -1, 0, 1$. Furthermore, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3])$ and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3])$ are supported at the point p . In fact, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = {}^p\tau_{\leq -1}f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3] = H_4(D)_p$, the skyscraper sheaf with stalk the $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by the irreducible components of D .

Similarly, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = {}^p\tau_{\geq 1}f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3] = H^4(D)_p$.

If η is an ample line bundle on X , then the relative Hard Lefschetz isomorphism, 3.1.1, $\eta : H_4(D)_p = {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = H^4(D)_p = H_4(D)_p^*$ becomes the statement that the bilinear form on $H_4(D)$ given by

$$\langle D_i, D_j \rangle = \int_X \eta \wedge [D_i] \wedge [D_j]$$

is nondegenerate. In fact, being equivalent to the intersection form of the exceptional curves $D_i \cap H$ in a generic hyperplane section H relative to η , the bilinear form is negative definite.

Note that since ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = 0$ if $i \neq -1, 0, 1$, we have that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^o(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3]) = \mathcal{P}_\eta^0$.

In this case, Theorems 3.1.1, 3.1.3 give the following remarkable consequences.

a) The sequence of rational vector spaces

$$H^0(X), H^1(X), H^2(X)/H_4(D), H^3(X), \text{Ker}\{H^4(X) \rightarrow H^4(D)\}, H^5(X), H^6(X)$$

behaves, with respect to the cup product with $L = f^*A$, like the cohomology of a nonsingular projective variety. More precisely, we have Hard Lefschetz isomorphisms $L^3 : H^0(X) \rightarrow H^6(X)$, $L^2 : H^1(X) \rightarrow H^5(X)$, $L : H^2(X)/H_4(D) \rightarrow \text{Ker}\{H^4(X) \rightarrow$

$H^4(D)\}$, while the corresponding “primitive” spaces, in particular $\text{Ker}\{L : H^3(X) \rightarrow H^5(X)\}$, are polarized up to sign by the Poincaré pairing on X .

b) The map $H_3(D) \rightarrow \text{Ker}\{L : H^3(X) \rightarrow H^5(X)\}$ is injective, so that $H_3(D)$ is endowed with a pure Hodge structure of weight 3, and the restriction of the Poincaré pairing $H^3(X) \times H^3(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ to $H_3(D)$ defines a polarization of this Hodge structure.

c) The Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1 in this case reads as follows. For ease of exposition, we further assume that the fiber over $c \in C$ has only one irreducible component of dimension one. If this hypothesis is not fulfilled, then the statement has to be modified taking care of the monodromy of the one-dimensional components of the fibers along C . Let $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}$ be the normalization map. Then, grouping terms using perverse degrees:

$$f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[3] \simeq H_4(D)_p[1] \oplus (H_3(D)_p[0] \oplus \nu_*\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{C}}[1] \oplus IC_Y) \oplus H^4(D)_p[-1].$$

3.3 Example 2: families of varieties

Let $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{C}$ be a surjective map from a nonsingular projective variety $\overline{X}$ of dimension $n+1$ to a projective nonsingular curve $\overline{C}$, smooth over $C := \overline{C} \setminus S$, where $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a finite set of points. We denote by $f : X := f^{-1}(C) \rightarrow C$ the restriction, which is a topological fibration, and by $\beta : C \rightarrow \overline{C} \leftarrow S : \alpha$ the two embeddings. By Theorem 2.12.5, we have an isomorphism in $D(C)$:

$$f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1] \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} R^i f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[1][n-i]$$

so that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-j}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1]) \simeq R^{n-j}f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[1]$, $j \in [-n, n]$. For a local system $\mathcal{L}$ on the curve C , the intermediate extension $IC_{\overline{C}}(\mathcal{L}) = (\beta_*^0 \mathcal{L})[1]$, where we denote by β_*^0 the sheaf theoretic direct image (i.e. not the derived functor). Since the derived category of a finite set of points is trivial, that is every object is isomorphic to the direct sum of its cohomology objects, the Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1 states that there are sheaves K^{-j} supported on S such that

$$\overline{f}_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n+1] \simeq \bigoplus_j (\beta_*^0 R^{n-j}f_*\mathbb{Q}_X)[1][j] \oplus \alpha_* K^{-j}[j].$$

Taking the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{-1-j}$ we find isomorphisms $R^i \overline{f}_*\mathbb{Q}_X \simeq \beta_*^0 \beta^* R^i f_*\mathbb{Q}_X \oplus \alpha_* K^{i-n}$ and, in particular, the natural adjunction map of sheaves

$$R^i \overline{f}_*\mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \beta_*^0 \beta^* R^i f_*\mathbb{Q}_X$$

is surjective. This statement is known as the *Local Invariant Cycle Theorem*. We note that, compared with the sharp versions of this theorem, due to various authors, see for instance [22], [4], [12] and [16], this proof works only for projective (as opposed to Kähler) families over a quasi projective base (as opposed to over the disk).

3.4 The structure of the proof.

The exposition of the proof is somehow complicated by the fact that we cannot use the perverse filtration on $H^l(X)$ and the associated graded objects for Hodge-theoretic considerations, for that their being Hodge-theoretic is precisely one of the properties we are aiming to prove. On the other hand, the existence of the splitting $\varphi : \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-i] \simeq f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ is established rather early in the inductive procedure leading to the proof of all results. The splitting is used only to make the necessary “topological” considerations that will lead to our proof of the Hodge-theoretic statements.

In §4.2 we define the defect of semi-smallness $r(f)$ of an algebraic map f . It is a nonnegative integer. Let R and m be positive integers.

Fact 3.4.1 If $\dim f(X) = 0$, then, L is trivial, Theorem 3.1.3 is trivial, and Theorem 3.1.1, Theorem 3.1.13 hold by classical Hodge Theory. See Theorem 2.12.2.

Assumption 3.4.2 Assume that Theorem 3.1.1 (the Relative Hard Lefschetz, the Decomposition and the Semi-simplicity theorems), Theorem 3.1.3 (the Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology) and Theorem 3.1.13 (the Hodge Structure, (η, L) –Decomposition and Polarization theorems) hold for every projective map $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$ of projective varieties with Z' nonsingular such that either $r(g) < R$, or $\dim f(Z) < m$ and $r(g) \leq R$.

We shall prove that if Assumption 3.4.2 holds, then Theorem 3.1.1, Theorem 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.1.13 for every map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $r(f) \leq R$ and $\dim f(X) \leq m$, X nonsingular, X and Y projective.

In view of Fact 3.4.1, the three theorems will follow *by induction* for arbitrary $f : X \rightarrow Y$, X nonsingular, X and Y projective.

Let us now describe the steps we shall follow in order to prove the three theorems. We shall use of the inductive hypotheses by taking various types of hyperplane sections. The following remarks are useful. In view of our assumptions on η and L (cf. §3.1) we have that: 1) if $\dim f(X) > 0$ and $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ is a hyperplane section, then the induced map $f_1 : f^{-1}(Y_1) \rightarrow Y_1$ satisfies $\dim f_1(X_1) < \dim f(X)$; 2) if $r(f) > 0$, X^1 is a general hyperplane section of X , then the induced map $f_1 : X^1 \rightarrow Y$ satisfies $r(f_1) < r(f)$ (see Proposition 4.2.4); 3) if $r(f) > 0$, $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is the universal hyperplane section, then $r(g) < r(f)$ (see Theorem 4.2.3).

We shall prove the results for f in this order: 3.1.1.a and 3.1.1.c for $i \neq 0$, 3.1.1.b, 3.1.3, 3.1.13.a, 3.1.13.b, 3.1.13.c and, finally the case $i = 0$ of 3.1.1.c.

- **Step 1.** Prove Proposition 4.4.1, a Weak Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology sheaves.
- **Step 2.** Prove Theorem 3.1.1, except for the the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. This is done in §4.5. We first prove the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a

for f . Note that, by Remark 4.2.2, it holds trivially for f semi-small, i.e. when $r(f) = 0$. The Decomposition Theorem 3.1.1.b follows formally. The Semi-simplicity Theorem 3.1.1.c for f but for $i \neq 0$ follows from Step 1 and the inductive semi-simplicity hypothesis Theorem 3.1.1.c applied to the universal hyperplane section $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (see Proposition 4.4.1, or also Proposition 5.1.1). The case $i = 0$, i.e. the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is discussed in Steps 6 and 7.

- **Step 3.** Prove the Hard Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology 3.1.3 for f . This is done in §5. The case $l \neq 0$ follows from the case $b = 0$ by taking sufficiently general η -hyperplane sections of X and using inductively the Semi-simplicity Theorem 3.1.1.c and the Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology 3.1.3 for these sections. To prove the case $b = 0$, we need a Weak Lefschetz Theorem on Y , Proposition 5.1.2, and the inductive Polarization Theorem 3.1.13.c. In order to use this last condition, we need to exercise some caution to ensure the compatibility of the restriction map on graded objects with respect to Hodge structures; note that, at this point, the Hodge Structure Theorem 3.1.13.a has not yet been established for f .
- **Step 4.** We prove the important Proposition 6.1.4 which states that the perverse filtration on any subspace $\text{Ker} L^s \subseteq H^l(X)$ is explicitly given by Hodge sub-structures. This requires a series of checks on graded objects, based on Proposition 6.1.1. In turn, this latter is a consequence of the Hard Lefschetz Theorem for Perverse Cohomology Groups 3.1.3 for f .

Since, for $s \gg 0$, $\text{Ker} L^s = H^l(X)$, the Hodge Structure Theorem 3.1.13.a follows.

The (η, L) -Decomposition Theorem 3.1.13.b for f is a simple consequence of the proved Hard Lefschetz Theorems on the graded spaces $H_b^l(X)$ with respect to η which stem from the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a (see also Lemma 2.11.1) and with respect to L (Theorem 3.1.3) and of the fact that the maps induced by η and L on the graded pieces $H_b^l(X)$ are maps of Hodge structures, where the Hodge structure is the one coming from the just established Hodge Structure Theorem 3.1.13.a for f .

The Polarization Theorem 3.1.13.c for f , *except for the important case P_0^0* , follows from the inductive hypotheses. Let $b, h, i, j \geq 0$ with $b + h > 0$ (the other indices are a matter of bookkeeping) and $X_j^i \subseteq X$ be the transversal intersection of i general hyperplane sections on X with the pre-image under f of j general hyperplane sections of Y . Except for P_0^0 , the restriction map identifies the summand $\eta^i L^j P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j}$ of the (η, L) -Decomposition Theorem 3.1.13.b on X with a Hodge sub-structure of the summand P_{-b}^{-h} on X_j^i . This latter is polarized by virtue of the inductive Polarization Theorem 3.1.13.c. Theorem 3.1.13.c follows, except for P_0^0 , from the identity $\int_X \eta^{b+i} \wedge L^{h+j} \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta = \int_{X_j^i} (\eta^b \wedge L^h \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta)|_{X_j^i}$.

Remark 3.4.3 At this point we are left with proving that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is semi-simple and that the Poincaré pairing $\int_X - \wedge -$ on X descends to a form on $H_0^n(X)$ that, up to sign, is a polarization of the direct summand P_0^0 .

We note the striking analogy with the absolute case where the study of the middle cohomology group $H^n(X)$ is reduced to the study of its primitive space with respect to a hyperplane section. The other groups can be studied by induction using hyperplane sections. The formalism here places the “middle” at zero.

- **Step 5.** Here it is convenient to consider cohomology with real coefficients. Consider the Kähler classes $L_\epsilon := L + \epsilon\eta$, $\epsilon > 0$. By the classical Hodge-Riemann Relations, the associated primitive spaces $W_\epsilon := \text{Ker} L_\epsilon \subseteq H^n(X)$ are polarized, up to sign, by $\int_X \cdot$. In particular, if C is the Weil operator, then $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \int_X - \wedge C(-)$ is symmetric and positive definite on $H^n(X)$. See Theorem 2.12.2. The limit space $W \subseteq H^n(X)$ is described in Lemma 7.2.1, which uses in an essential way Lemma 2.11.1. The form $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \int_X - \wedge C(-)$ is symmetric and semi-positive definite on W_0 by what above. The (η, L) -Decomposition Theorem 3.1.13.b, proved above for f , together with the non-degeneration of $\int_X$ when descended to $H_0^n(X)$ (see Proposition 2.10.6), implies that the same form is non-degenerate. Since $W_0 = \oplus_{b \leq 0} L^{-b} P_b^b$, the form above is positive definite on P_0^0 and hence gives rise to a polarization. See Theorem 7.3.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.13 for f .
- **Step 6.** We are left with proving the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. We introduce a certain stratification for the map f in §8.2 and use the inductive hypotheses and what proved so far, to show in Lemma 8.2.3, that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems on strata if and only if the criterion of Lemma 2.7.1 is met for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ at the 0-dimensional stratum S_0 . Proposition 8.3.2 and Lemma 8.1.2 allow to re-state the criterion as: for every $y \in S_0$, the form Φ_0^n is non-degenerate when restricted to the injective image of the graded space $H_{n,0}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ inside of $H_0^n(X)$. Theorem 8.4.1 proves that the criterion is met, so that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems on strata.
- **Step 7.** To conclude, we must show that the local systems of Step 6 are semi-simple. This is done in §8.5. We give a criterion for semi-simplicity in Proposition 8.5.1, which is a relative version of Lemma 8.3.2. We show that this criterion is met in our situation in Proposition 8.5.2. Theorem 8.4.3 and 8.5.2 conclude the proof that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is semi-simple and hence the proof of Theorem 3.1.1, Theorem 3.1.3 and Theorem 3.1.13 for every map f with $r(f) \leq R$ and $\dim f(X) \leq m$ and hence for *every* map f as in §3.1.

4 The Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ and η be as in §3.1.

Remark 4.0.4 Note that the Deligne-Lefschetz degeneration criterion [8] implies that Theorem 3.1.1.b is a formal consequence of Theorem 3.1.1.a.

Our proof of the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a is inspired by the one in [1], 5.4.10. At the key point, i.e. when studying the map $\eta : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$, they use the fact that, in positive characteristic, the complex ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*IC_{\mathcal{X}})$ is “pure,” hence semi-simple for *every* map g . A similar remark holds for the approach using Mixed Hodge Modules in [20].

We approach semi-simplicity directly using the criterion of Lemma 2.7.1 to split a perverse sheaf in a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes of local systems. We then show that these local systems underlie variations of polarized Hodge structures to conclude that they are semi-simple local systems.

Keeping in mind Fact 3.4.1, we assume that Assumption 3.4.2 holds. See below for the notions of semi-smallness and of defect of semi-smallness $r(g)$ of a map g . In fact, in order to prove Theorem 3.1.1.a, we need to assume less. For clarity, we isolate the considerably weaker statement we need.

Assumption 4.0.5 Assume that Theorem 3.1.1 has been proved for all maps $g : Z' \longrightarrow Z$ such that Z' and Z are projective, Z' is nonsingular and $r(g) < r(f)$.

We shall apply the inductive hypotheses to the universal hyperplane section map $g : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ associated with f . If f is not semi-small, then $r(g) < r(f)$ and the complex ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[\dim \mathcal{X}])$ is semi-simple by the inductive hypotheses 4.0.5 applied to g . Theorem 3.1.1 parts a and b will follow for f . Part c will follow only for $i \neq 0$.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.1.1, we need to prove that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is semi-simple. This fact does not seem to be afforded by the methods employed in this section. This task will be accomplished in §8.5.

4.1 Set-up for the proof of Theorem 3.1.1

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be an embedded quasi projective variety, $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a projective map of quasi projective varieties. We do not assume that X is nonsingular.

Let us consider the universal hyperplane diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \{(x, s) \mid s(x) = 0\} =: \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{i} & X \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee} & \xrightarrow{p'} & X \\ & \searrow g & \downarrow f' & \square & \downarrow f \\ & Y \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee} & =: \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{p} & Y. \end{array}$$

with $f' = f \times Id$, and set also: $j : X \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee} \setminus \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee}$ the open embedding, and $u := (f \times Id)|_{X \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee} \setminus \mathcal{X}} : X \times \mathbb{P}^{\vee} \setminus \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Clearly, u is an affine morphism.

4.2 The defect of semismallness $r(f)$ decreases taking hyperplane sections

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be as in §4.1. We recall the definition of the *defect of semismallness of the map f* . It plays a crucial role in Goresky-MacPherson's version of the Weak Lefschetz Theorem; cf. [15].

Set $Y^i = \{y \in Y \mid \dim f^{-1}(y) = i\}$.

Definition 4.2.1 The defect of semismallness, or perversity, of the map f , is the non-negative integer

$$r = r(f) := \max_{i \mid Y^i \neq \emptyset} \{2i + \dim Y^i - \dim X\}$$

If $r(f) = 0$, then we say f is *semismall*. Note that this implies that f is generically finite. If $r(f) = 0$ and the maximum is realized only for $i = 0$, then we say that f is *small*.

The geometric quantity $r(f)$ plays a crucial role in our proof by induction. The key point is that if it is not zero, then it decreases when we take sufficiently general hyperplane sections.

Let us start by observing that the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a is trivial for f semismall.

Remark 4.2.2 Let f be as in 3.1 and be semismall. The $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] = {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ so that Theorem 3.1.1.a holds trivially. This follows from the observation in [3] that $r(f) = 0$ implies that $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ satisfies the conditions of support of Remark 2.4.1. The conditions of co-support are automatic since $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ is self-dual.

Theorem 4.2.3 (a) If $r(f) > 0$, then $r(g) < r(f)$.
(b) If $r(f) = 0$, then g is small.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Y} = Y \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$, $s \in \mathbb{P}^\vee$, $X_s := \{x \in X \mid s(x) = 0\}$ be the corresponding hyperplane section. If $(y, s) \in \mathcal{Y}$, then the projection $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ identifies $g^{-1}(y, s)$ with $f^{-1}(y) \cap X_s$. Set

$$\mathcal{Y}^{i'} = \{(y, s) : \dim f^{-1}(y) = i = \dim f^{-1}(y) \cap X_s\}.$$

The point $(y, s) \in \mathcal{Y}^{i'}$ if and only if X_s contains a top dimensional component of $f^{-1}(y)$. It is a closed algebraic subset of $\mathcal{Y}^i$. Set

$$\mathcal{Y}^{i''} = \{(y, s) \mid \dim f^{-1}(y) = i + 1 \text{ and } \dim f^{-1}(y) \cap X_s = i\}.$$

It is an open algebraic subset of $\mathcal{Y}^i$. We have that

$$\mathcal{Y}^i = \mathcal{Y}^{i'} \amalg \mathcal{Y}^{i''}.$$

Since the set of hyperplanes in a projective space containing a given irreducible subvariety of dimension d is a linear space of codimension at least $d + 1$, the definition of $r(f)$ implies

that $\dim \mathcal{Y}^{i'} \leq \dim Y^i + \dim \mathbb{P}^\vee - (i - 1) \leq r(f) - 2i + \dim X + \dim \mathbb{P}^\vee - (i - 1) = r(f) - 3i + \dim \mathcal{X}$. It follows that

$$2i + \dim \mathcal{Y}^{i'} - \dim \mathcal{X} \leq r(f) - i, \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

Since the general hyperplane section does not contain any irreducible component of $f^{-1}(y)$, we have that $\dim \mathcal{Y}^{i''} = \dim Y^{i+1} + \dim \mathbb{P}^\vee \leq r(f) + -2(i + 1) + \dim X + \dim \mathbb{P}^\vee = r(f) - 1 + -2i + \dim \mathcal{X}$. It follows that

$$2i + \dim \mathcal{Y}^{i''} - \dim \mathcal{X} \leq r(f) - 1, \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

Suppose that either Y^0 is empty, or $\dim Y^0 - \dim X < r(f)$. Then the first inequality above is strict for $i = 0$. Combining it with the second inequality, we get that $r(g) \leq r(f) - 1$. Suppose that Y^0 is not empty and that $\dim Y^0 - \dim X = r(f)$. Then $r(f) = 0$. The two inequalities above give $r(g) \leq r(f)$, hence $r(g) = 0$. Moreover, $\dim \mathcal{Y}^i - 2i + \dim \mathcal{X} < 0$, $\forall i > 0$ so that g is small. $\square$

Proposition 4.2.4 *Let X be nonsingular and η be an ample line bundle on X . There exists $m_0 \gg 0$ such that for every $m \geq m_0$, having denoted by X^k the transversal intersection of k general hyperplane sections in $|m\eta|$, $k \geq 1$ and by $f_k : X^k \rightarrow Y$ the resulting morphism, we have :*

- (a) *If $r(f) \geq k$, then $r(f_k) \leq r(f) - k$.*
- (b) *If $r(f) = 0$, then f_1 is small.*

Proof. Let $k = 1$. “Hironaka’s principle of counting constants,” as explained in [21], states that there is m_0 , m and X^1 as above such that X^1 meets every positive dimensional irreducible component of every fiber of f in a codimension one algebraic subset. One repeats the proof of Theorem 4.2.3 with the simplification due to the fact that, with notation analogous to the one in Theorem 4.2.3, $Y^{i'} = \emptyset$ by virtue of what above. If $k \geq 2$, then one repeats the argument above $k - 1$ times keeping in mind that all the elements of $|m\eta_{X^l}|$ are restrictions of elements of $|m\eta|$, for $1 \leq l \leq k$, and for $m \gg 0$. $\square$

Remark 4.2.5 Proposition 4.2.4 seems insufficient for the purposes of this paper, namely it seems inadequate to prove the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1 inductively using a *single* well-chosen hyperplane section of X . However, it is used in the proof of Theorem 3.1.3.

4.3 Transversality of the universal hyperplane section

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a projective space, $\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be the dual vector space of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}$, $\mathcal{P} := \{(p, s) \mid s(p) = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ be the universal hyperplane section; $\mathcal{P}$ is nonsingular of codimension one. The natural projection $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is smooth.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ be a quasi-projective variety, $\mathcal{X} = \{(x, s) \mid s(x) = 0\} \subseteq X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ be the universal hyperplane section (for X).

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a stratification of X with strata S_l . We have an induced stratification on $X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ with strata $S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$.

Proposition 4.3.1 *The embedding $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ is transversal with respect to the stratification induced by any stratification of X , i.e. the intersection $\mathcal{P} \cap (X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) = \mathcal{X}$ is transversal along every stratum $S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ of $X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$.*

Proof. The cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{P} \cap (S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) & \longrightarrow & S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee & \longrightarrow & S_l \\ \downarrow & & \square & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P} \end{array}$$

gives the scheme-theoretic identification $\mathcal{P} \cap (S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) = \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathbb{P}} S_l$ which is of pure codimension one in $S_l \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ and nonsingular since we have the smooth projection onto the nonsingular S_l . $\square$

Remark 4.3.2 By Remark 2.6.2 and Lemma 2.3.3.b:

$$IC_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq i^* IC_{X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee}[-1] \simeq i^* p'^* IC_X[d](-1).$$

4.4 The Weak Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology: the universal hyperplane section

Let things be as in §4.1.

Let $K' \in \text{Perv}(X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee)$. Later, we shall be interested in the case $K' = p'^* K[d]$ for $K \in \text{Perv}(X)$. Apply f'_* to the triangle

$$i_! i^! K' \longrightarrow K' \longrightarrow j_* j^* K' \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

to get

$$g_! i^! K' \longrightarrow f'_* K' \longrightarrow u_* j^* K' \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

By the right t -exactness of u_* , the associated perverse cohomology long exact sequence gives isomorphisms

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(g_! i^! K') \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f'_* K'), \quad \forall l \geq 2$$

and an epimorphism

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(g_! i^! K') \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f'_* K') \longrightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, applying $f'_! \simeq f'_*$ to the dual triangle

$$j_! j^! K' \longrightarrow K' \longrightarrow i_* i^* K' \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

the left t -exactness of $u_!$ gives isomorphisms

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-l}(f'_! K') \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-l}(g_! i^* K'), \quad \forall l \geq 2$$

and a monomorphism.

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f'_!K') \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(g_!i^*K').$$

We now specialize to the case of $K' = p'^*K[d]$, for K perverse on X , self-dual and $\mathfrak{X}$ -constructible. Let $M := i^*K'[-1]$. Since, by §4.3, $\mathcal{X}$ is transversal to the stratification of $X \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ coming from X , by Lemma 2.3.3.b and Remark 2.4.1 the complex $M := i^*K'[-1]$ is a self-dual perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}$. The self-duality isomorphism does not play a role in what follows. Therefore, we shall identify K , K' , and M with their respective Poincaré-Verdier duals. We have $i^*K' = M[1]$ and $i^!K' = M[-1]$. Since $f'_* \circ p'^* \simeq p^* \circ f_*$ and $p^*[d]$ is t -exact, p being smooth and of relative dimension d , we get the following

Proposition 4.4.1 (*The Relative Weak Lefschetz Theorem*) *Let K and M be as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^p\mathcal{H}^r(g_*M) &\xrightarrow{\simeq} p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^{r+1}(f_*K)[d], & \forall r \geq 1, \\ p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^{r-1}(f_*K)[d] &\xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^r(g_*M), & \forall r \leq -1, \\ {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M) &\longrightarrow p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d] \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 &\longrightarrow p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)[d] \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M). \end{aligned}$$

We quote the following theorem 5.4.11 from [1]:

Theorem 4.4.2 $p^*[d]$ gives an isomorphism of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)$ with the biggest perverse sub-sheaf of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$ coming from Y , and of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)$ with the biggest quotient perverse sheaf of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$ coming from Y .

Remark 4.4.3 The proof of Theorem 4.4.2 in [1] is based on the homological algebra preliminaries in [1], 4.2.6 which contain some minor, yet confusing, misprints. For precision's sake, here is how to correct them. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an exact fully faithful functor of abelian categories. Assume that F admits left and right adjoints F_l and F_r . Then the following are equivalent: a) F identifies $\mathcal{A}$ with a subcategory of $\mathcal{B}$ stable by sub-quotients; b) the natural map $B \longrightarrow F(F_l(B))$ is an epimorphism, for every $B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$; b') the natural map $F(F_r(B)) \longrightarrow B$ is a monomorphism for every $B \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$. If any of the equivalent conditions is met, then b) gives rise to the notion of biggest quotient of B coming from $\mathcal{A}$, while b') gives rise to the notion of biggest sub-object of B coming from $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\text{Perv}(Y)$ is artinian and $p^*[d]$ preserves simplicity, by [1], 4.2.6.1, 4.2.6.2 we can take $(F_l, F, F_r) = ({}^p\mathcal{H}^d(p_l), {}^p\mathcal{H}^d(p^*), {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-d}(p_*))$ in the proof of Theorem 4.4.2.

4.5 Proof of the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1, except the semi-simplicity statement for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$

Let things be as in §4.1 and §4.4. Let $\eta' := i^*p'^*\eta$. It is g -ample.

Proposition 4.5.1 *Suppose that $\eta^r : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-r}(g_*M) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^r(g_*M)$ for all $r \geq 0$ and that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$ is semisimple. Then $\eta^r : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-r}(f_*K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} {}^p\mathcal{H}^r(f_*K)$ for $r \geq 0$.*

Proof. As in the proof of the classical Hard Lefschetz Theorem the crucial case is when $r = 1$: $\eta : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)$. We treat directly this case, the other ones being analogous and easier: the case $r = 0$ is trivial and, for $r \geq 2$, one has $\eta^r = i_* \circ \eta'^{r-1} \circ i^*$ where i^* and i_* are isomorphisms by the Weak Lefschetz Theorem 4.4.1 and η'^{r-1} is an isomorphism by assumption.

Since $p^*[d]$ is fully faithful, η is an isomorphism if and only if $p'^*\eta[d] : p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)[d] \rightarrow p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d]$ is an isomorphism. This map is the composition of the monomorphism $p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)[d] \xrightarrow{i^*} {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$ with the epimorphism ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M) \xrightarrow{i_*} p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d]$. Suppose $\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \neq 0$. The sequence of perverse subsheaves

$$i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \subseteq \text{Ker } i_* \subseteq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$$

splits by semi-simplicity and we get direct sum decompositions

$$\text{Ker } i_* = i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \oplus R, \quad {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M) = i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \oplus R \oplus S.$$

The restriction of i_* to S is an isomorphism with $p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d]$.

The projection ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M) \rightarrow i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \oplus S \simeq i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] \oplus p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d]$ is an epimorphism. The perverse sheaf $i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d]$ comes from Y and, by Corollary 4.4.2, so does $p^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)[d]$. By the maximality statement of Theorem 4.4.2, $i^*\text{Ker } p'^*\eta[d] = 0$. This implies: $\eta : {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)$ is a monomorphism.

Since K is self-dual, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K) \simeq \mathcal{D}{}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)$. Moreover, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1}(f_*K)$ and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^1(f_*K)$ are both semisimple. It follows that any monomorphism between them must be an isomorphism. $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 3.1.1 parts (a), (b) and (c), except for $i = 0$, for a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as in §3.1.

Proposition 4.5.2 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective map of projective varieties with X nonsingular of dimension n and assume that Assumption 4.0.5 holds.*

Then the statements a) and b) of 3.1.1 hold for f , and c) holds for $i \neq 0$.

Proof. We apply the inductive hypothesis 4.0.5 to $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, which, by §4.3, satisfies $r(g) < r(f)$. Setting $K = \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$, we have $M = \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n + d - 1]$. By the inductive hypothesis and Remark 3.1.2, we have: 1) η^r is an isomorphism for every r and 2) ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$ is semisimple. By Proposition 4.5.1, η^r is an isomorphism for $r \geq 0$. This proves that Theorem 3.1.1.a holds for f . The well-known Deligne-Lefschetz Criterion for E_2 -degeneration [7] yields Theorem 3.1.1.b for f . The semi-simplicity statement b) for $i \neq 0$ follows from the Weak Lefschetz Theorem, Proposition 4.4.1 and the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*M)$. $\square$

5 The Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.3 for $H_b^l(X)$

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, η and L be as in §3.1. Recall that we are assuming that Assumption 3.4.2 holds.

By Proposition 4.5.2, we can apply Theorem 3.1.1 to f , except for the semi-simplicity statement for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. It follows that we are free to use the results of §2.10 and §2.11. In particular, recall Remark 2.10.1.

Note that $r(f) \leq \dim X$. If $r(f) > 0$, let X^k , $1 \leq k \leq r(f)$, be the transversal intersection of k general hyperplane sections of the linear system η , $f_k : X^k \rightarrow Y$ be the resulting map.

By Proposition 4.2.4, we can and will assume that η is such that if $r(f) > 0$, then $r(f_k) \leq r(f) - k$, for every $1 \leq k \leq r(f)$.

5.1 The Weak Lefschetz Theorem for perverse cohomology: hyperplane sections on X and on Y

The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.4.1, using only one hyperplane section, gives the following

Proposition 5.1.1 *Assumptions as in §5. The inclusions $X^k \subseteq X$ induce natural restriction isomorphisms*

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k-l}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-l}(f_{k*}\mathbb{Q}_{X^k}[n-k]), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r, l > 0,$$

natural restriction splitting monomorphisms

$$0 \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-k}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{k*}\mathbb{Q}_{X^k}[n-k]), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r,$$

natural Gysin splitting isomorphisms

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_{k*}\mathbb{Q}_{X^k}[n-k]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^{k+l}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]), \quad 1 \leq k \leq r, l > 0,$$

and natural Gysin splitting epimorphisms

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{k*}\mathbb{Q}_{X^k}[n-k]) \rightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^k(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \rightarrow 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq r.$$

The maps induced by L in hypercohomology are compatible with the splitting. In particular, if, for given h, j and $1 \leq k \leq r$ the map

$$L^j : H_0^h(X^k) \rightarrow H_0^{h+2j}(X^k)$$

is injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective), then the maps

$$L^j : H_{-k}^h(X) \rightarrow H_{-k}^{h+2j}(X), \quad L^j : H_k^{h+2k}(X) \rightarrow H_k^{h+2k+2j}(X),$$

are injective (resp. surjective, resp. bijective).

Proof. Left to the reader. □

The following is another kind of Weak Lefschetz Theorem, but for hyperplane sections on Y .

Lemma 5.1.2 *Let Y be a projective variety, $Y_1 \subseteq Y$ be a hyperplane section $\alpha : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ be the associated closed embedding.*

Let $P \in \text{Ob}(D^{\geq 0}Y)$. Then the restriction map

$$\mathbb{H}^j(Y, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^j(Y_1, \alpha^*P)$$

is an isomorphism for $j \leq -2$ and injective for $j = -1$.

If, in addition, P is self-dual (hence also perverse), the natural Gysin map

$$\mathbb{H}^j(Y_\sigma, \alpha^!P) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^j(Y, P)$$

is an isomorphism for $j \geq 2$ and an injection for $j = 1$.

Proof. Let p be a point and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y & \xleftarrow{\beta} & Y \setminus Y_1 \\ & \searrow a & \downarrow c & b \swarrow & \\ & & p & & \end{array}$$

Note that since Y_1 is ample and Y is projective, $Y \setminus Y_1$ is an affine variety so that b is an affine morphism. Apply the functor $c_!$ to the distinguished triangle $\beta_! \beta^! P \rightarrow P \rightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* P \xrightarrow{[1]}$. Using that $c_! \beta_! \simeq b_!$, $c_! \simeq c_*$, $c_! \alpha_* \simeq c_! \alpha_! \simeq a_! \simeq a_*$, we obtain the following distinguished triangle in $D(p)$: $b_! \beta^* P \rightarrow c_* P \rightarrow a_* \alpha^* P \xrightarrow{[1]}$. Since $\beta^* P \in \text{Ob}(D^{\geq 0}(Y))$ and b is affine, $b_!$ is t -left exact so that $\mathbb{H}^j(p, b_! \beta^* P) = \{0\}$, $\forall j < 0$.

The first statement follows by taking the associated long exact sequence in hypercohomology. The second statement follows by Poincaré-Verdier Duality. □

We need the following easy consequence of Proposition 5.1.1 to prove Theorem 3.1.3. A more precise statement, Proposition 6.1.1, is true, but its proof requires that we prove Theorem 3.1.3 first.

Lemma 5.1.3 *Assumptions as in §5.*

$$\text{Ker} L_{n-1} = \text{Ker} L_{n-1, \leq 0} \subseteq H_{\leq 0}^{n-1}(X).$$

Proof. The statement is equivalent to the statement that $\text{Ker} L_{n-1} \subseteq H_t^{n-1}(X)$ is trivial, for every $t > 0$. By Proposition 5.1.1, $H_t^{n-1}(X)$ is a direct summand of $H_0^{(n-t)-(t+1)}(X^t)$. By Remark 3.1.4, applied to $X^t \rightarrow Y$, L is injective on this latter group, and hence on $H_t^{n-1}(X)$ as well by Proposition 5.1.1. □

5.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1.3

Proposition 5.2.1 *Let things be as in §5. Then Theorem 3.1.3 holds for f , i.e. the cup product maps $L^k : H_b^{n+b-k}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b+k}(X)$ are isomorphisms for every $k \geq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The case $b \neq 0$ follows from the case $b = 0$ and Proposition 5.1.1.

Let $b = 0$. The statement is trivial for $k = 0$. Let $|A|$ be the very ample linear system on Y . It defines a closed embedding $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}$.

The points $\sigma \in |A|$ correspond to the hyperplanes H_σ of $\mathbb{P}$. Denote $Y_1 := Y \cap H_\sigma$, $X_1 := f^{-1}(Y_1)$ and $f_1 := f| : X_1 \longrightarrow Y_1$.

By Bertini Theorem, we can choose σ so that H_σ meets all the positive-dimensional strata S_i of $\mathfrak{Y}$ transversally, avoids S_0 and such that $X_1 := f^{-1}(Y \cap H)$ is nonsingular.

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{H}^{-k}(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) & \xrightarrow{L^k} & \mathbb{H}^k(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \uparrow \gamma \\ \mathbb{H}^{-k+1}(Y_1, {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{1*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_1}[n-1])) & \xrightarrow{L_{Y_1}^{k-1}} & \mathbb{H}^{k-1}(Y_1, {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{1*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_1}[n-1])), \end{array}$$

where ρ is restriction and, given the fact that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is self-dual, γ is the (dual) Gysin map.

By Lemma 5.1.2, ρ and γ , are isomorphisms for every $k \geq 2$. Since $\dim f_1(X_1) < \dim f(X)$, we apply the inductive hypotheses: by Theorem 3.1.3, applied to $f_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow Y_1$, the map $L_{Y_1}^{k-1}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that L^k is an isomorphism for $k \geq 2$.

We are therefore left with checking that the map

$$H_0^{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{L} H_0^{n+1}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

By the self-duality of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$, the two spaces have the same dimension, so it is enough to check that L is injective.

In this case, the bottom horizontal arrow is the identity, ρ is injective and γ is surjective. By contradiction, assume that there exists $0 \neq \alpha \in \text{Ker} L \subseteq H_0^{n-1}(X)$.

Note that

- 1) L and φ commute, for L is a natural transformation,
 - 2) for $j \geq 0$, η^j and φ commute when evaluated starting with a class in $\mathbb{H}^{-1-2j}(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-2j}) \subseteq H_{-2j}^{n-1-2j}(X)$; see §2.11, especially, Lemma 2.11.1.
 - 3) L preserves the η -decomposition, for it preserves any direct sum decomposition.
- We can write $\alpha = \sum \eta^j \beta_j$, where, by 3), $\beta_j \in \mathbb{H}^{-1-2j}(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-2j}) \cap \text{Ker} L \subseteq H_{-2j}^{-1-2j}(X)$. Let $j \geq 0$ be such that $\beta_j \neq 0$.

By 1) and 2), we have $\eta^j \varphi(\beta_j) \in H^{n-1}(X) \cap \text{Ker} L_{n-1}$. By Lemma 5.1.3 the last space equals $H_{\leq 0}^{n-1}(X) \cap \text{Ker} L_{n-1}$.

Consider the natural Hodge structure on $H^{n-1}(X)$. Since L is of type $(1, 1)$, all the (p, q) -components of $\eta^j \varphi(\beta_j)$ are in $\text{Ker} L_{n-1}$.

Since $\beta_j \neq 0$, there is a pair (s, t) such that the corresponding component $\eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t} \in H_{\leq 0}^{n-1}(X) \setminus H_{\leq -1}^{n-1}(X)$ and we automatically have $L \eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t} = 0$.

The restriction map $r : H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(X_1)$ is a map of Hodge structures and maps $\text{Ker} L_{n-1}$ to $\text{Ker}(L|_{X_1})_{n-1}$.

By Lemma 2.10.6.3, the map r is filtered strict and the induced map on the 0-th graded piece $H_0^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H_0^{n-1}(X_1)$ is injective, for it can be identified with ρ .

It follows that the image of $\eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t}$ in $H^{n-1}(X_1)$ lands in $\eta^j P_{-2j}^0(X_1)$ as a non-zero class of pure type $(s+j, t+j)$ for the Hodge structure given by Theorem 3.1.13.a applied to X_1 . Up to a sign, the form $\Phi_0^{n-1}(X_1)$ is a polarization when restricted to $\eta^j P_{-2j}^0(X_1)$. We get

$$0 \neq \int_{X_1} (\eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t})|_{X_1} \wedge \overline{(\eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t})|_{X_1}} = \int_X L \wedge \eta^j \wedge (\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t} \wedge \overline{(\eta^j(\varphi(\beta_j))^{s,t})} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. $\square$

6 Proof of the Hodge Structure, (η, L) -Decomposition and Polarization Theorem 3.1.13, except for P_0^0

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, η and L be as in §3.1.

We assume that Assumption 3.4.2 holds.

We have already proved Theorem 3.1.1 for f , except for the semi-simplicity of the perverse cohomology complex ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])$; see Proposition 4.5.2. We have proved Theorem 3.1.3 for f ; see Proposition 5.2.1. We may use the results in §2.10 and §2.11 for f . In particular, recall Remark 2.10.1.

In this section we are going to prove Theorem 3.1.13 for f , except for P_0^0 .

6.1 The perverse filtration on $\text{Ker} L^s$

In this section we exploit the Hard Lefschetz-type results we have obtained so far to show, using the linear isomorphism given by φ , that the perverse filtration $H_{\leq b}^l(X)$ on $H^l(X)$ is given by Hodge sub-structures; see §6.2.

Recall our conventions on L_t^s in §3.1.4: if $s < 0$, then we set $L^s = 0$, otherwise $L_t^s : H_b^t(X) \rightarrow H_b^{t+2s}(X)$.

Proposition 6.1.1 *Let things be as in §6. Let $s \geq 0$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\text{Ker} L_{n+h}^s = \text{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq s+h-1}^s \subseteq H^{n+h}(X).$$

Proof. The statement is equivalent to the statement that $\text{Ker} L_{n+h}^s \subseteq H_j^{n+h}(X)$ is trivial for every $j \geq s + h$. By Remark 3.1.4, $L^s : H_h^{n+h-l}(X) \longrightarrow H_h^{n+h-l+2s}(X)$ is injective for every $s \leq l$. In particular, $L^s : H_j^{n+h}(X) \longrightarrow H_j^{n+h+2s}(X)$ is injective for every $s \leq j - h$. $\square$

Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the vector spaces

$$H_b^{n+b+h} := \mathbb{H}^h(Y, {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])).$$

Define

$$Q_b^{-h} = \text{Ker} L^{h+1} \subseteq H_b^{n+b-h}, \quad h \geq 0; \quad Q_b^h = 0, \quad h > 0.$$

Lemma 6.1.2 *Let things be as in §6. Let $b, h \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have:*

$$H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{h+j} Q_b^{-h-2j}.$$

Proof. Let $h \geq 0$, then Proposition 5.2.1 implies the following Lefschetz primitive decomposition:

$$H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = L^h \left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^j Q_b^{-h-2j} \right).$$

Let $h < 0$. Set $j' = h + j$, i.e. $-j = -j' + h$. Using that $L^s := 0$ for $s < 0$, we get:

$$\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{h+j} Q_b^{-h-2j} = \bigoplus_{j' \geq 0} L^{j'} Q_b^{+h-2j'}.$$

$\square$

Lemma 6.1.3 *Let things be as in §6. For $s \geq 0$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have:*

$$\text{Ker} L_{n+h}^s = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < s} L^{(h-b)+j} Q_b^{-(h-b)-2j} \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} H_b^{n+h}(X).$$

More precisely,

$$\text{Ker} L_{n+h}^s = \bigoplus_{b \leq s+h-1} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j < s} L^{(h-b)+j} Q_b^{-(h-b)-2j} \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \leq s+h-1} H_b^{n+h}(X) \subseteq \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} H_b^{n+h}(X).$$

Proof. We have

$$H^{n+h}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} H_b^{n+h} = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{(h-b)+j} Q_b^{-(h-b)-2j}.$$

$L^s L^{h-b+j} Q_b^{-(h-b)-2j}$ is trivial iff $s + h - b + j > h - b + j + j$, i.e. $j < s$. This implies the first assertion.

The second one follows from Lemma 6.1.1. $\square$

Proposition 6.1.4 *Let things be as in §6. Let $s \geq 0$, $h \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h}^s = \mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq b}^s \subseteq H^{n+h}(X), \quad \forall b \geq s + h - 1;$$

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq b}^s = \langle \{ L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1} \}_{j=0}^{s-1} \rangle \subseteq H^{n+h}(X), \quad \forall b \leq s + h - 1.$$

In particular, the perverse filtration on $\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h}^s$ is by pure Hodge sub-structures of weight $n + h$.

Proof. The first statement is Lemma 6.1.1. The inclusion $L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h}^s$, for every $0 \leq j < s$ is automatic.

Since L is a filtered map, recalling our convention on the map L^s , Lemma 6.1.1 implies that $L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1} \subseteq \mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq b}^s(X)$, for every $0 \leq j < s$.

This proves that

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq b}^s \supseteq L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1}, \quad \forall b \leq s + h - 1, \forall 0 \leq j \leq s - 1$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, \leq b}^s \supseteq \langle \{ L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1} \}_{j=0}^{s-1} \rangle, \quad \forall b \leq s + h - 1.$$

We now prove the reverse inclusion. Fix $b \leq s + h - 1$. The statement is equivalent to the analogous inclusion for the b' -th graded pieces of both terms, for every $b' \leq b$. By Lemma 6.1.3, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h, b'}^s = \bigoplus_{0 \leq j \leq s-1} L^{h-b'+j} Q_{b'}^{-(h-b')-2j} \subseteq H_{b'}^{n+h}(X).$$

It is enough to show that

$$L^{h-b'+j} Q_{b'}^{-(h-b')-2j} \subseteq L^{(h-b)+j} (\mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1})_{b'}, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq s - 1.$$

We have

$$L^{(h-b)+j} (\mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1})_{b'} = L^{(h-b)+j} \bigoplus_{0 \leq j' \leq h-b+2j} L^{(-h+2b-2j)-(b')+j'} Q_{b'}^{(-h+2b-2j-b')-2j'}.$$

To conclude it is sufficient to consider the j' -th summand above for $j' = h - b + 2j$.

Finally, the last statement follows from the fact that a linear span of Hodge sub-structures, is a Hodge sub-structure. $\square$

Example 6.1.5 The linear span of Theorem 3.1.13.b is not a direct sum. Consider

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n-2, \leq -1}^3 = \langle \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-2}^2, L \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-4}^4 \rangle \subseteq H_{\leq -1}^{n-2}(X)$$

We have that $\varphi(LQ_{-2}^{-2}) \subset \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-2}^2 \cap L \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-4}^4$. However, note that this failure occurs inside $\mathrm{Ker} L_{n-2, \leq -2}^3$, so that it does not show-up in $H_{-1}^{n-2}(X)$.

Remark 6.1.6 On the graded pieces we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h,b}^s = \bigoplus_{j=0,\dots,s-1} L^{h-b+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_{n-h+2b-2j}^{h-b+2j+1} \subseteq H_b^{n+h}(X), \quad \forall b \leq s+h-1,$$

as can be seen from the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 6.1.4, using the Lefschetz direct sum decomposition of Lemma 6.1.2

$$H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} L^{h+j} \mathrm{Ker} L_b^{h+2j+1}.$$

6.2 Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.a: the Hodge structure on $H_b^{n+h}(X)$

Proposition 6.2.1 *Let Things be as in §6. Then Theorem 3.1.13.a holds for f .*

Proof. Given $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a positive integer s' , e.g. $s' = n+1$, such that $\mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h}^{s'} = H^{n+h}(X)$ so that, by Theorem 3.1.13.b, $H_{\leq b}^{n+h}(X) = \mathrm{Ker} L_{n+h,\leq b}^{s'}$ is a Hodge sub-structure of $H^{n+h}(X)$. This proves the first statement. The statement pertaining $H_b^{n+h}(X)$ follows by endowing this space of the natural quotient Hodge structure, which is independent of the choice of s' . $\square$

6.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.b: the (η, L) -decomposition of $H_b^{n+h}(X)$

Let $t \geq 0$. The map of Hodge structures $L^t : H^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H^{n+h+2t}(X)$, is compatible with the perverse filtration, hence the induced maps on the graded pieces $L^t : H_b^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_b^{n+h+2t}(X)$ are maps of Hodge structures.

By Lemma 2.11.1, the map of Hodge structures $\eta^t : H^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H^{n+h+2t}(X)$ induces maps of Hodge structures $\eta^t : H_{\leq b}^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_{\leq b+2t}^{n+h+2t}(X)$ and $\eta^t : H_b^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_{b+2t}^{n+h+2t}(X)$.

Let $b > 0$. Lemma 2.11.1 implies that, for every $0 \leq b' \leq b$, the maps $\eta^{b'} : H_{-b}^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_{-b+2b'}^{n+h+2b'}(X)$ are injective and in fact isomorphisms for $b' = b$. They are also compatible with the direct sum decomposition induced by η in the sense specified by Lemma 2.11.1. Theorem 3.1.3 is valid for any direct summand of any direct sum decomposition of any of the ${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. In particular, it holds for the terms $\mathcal{P}_\eta^{-l} = \mathrm{Ker} \eta^{l+1} \subseteq {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-l}(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$, $l \geq 0$. Define

$$P_{-i'}^{-j'} := \mathrm{Ker} L^{j'+1} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^{-j'-i'}(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i'}[i']) = \mathbb{H}^{-j'}(Y, \mathcal{P}_\eta^{-i'}) \subseteq H_{-i'}^{n-j'-i'}(X),$$

for $i' \geq 0$ and $j' \geq 0$, and $P_{-i'}^{-j'} = \{0\}$ otherwise. Set $\eta^s = L^s = 0$ for $s < 0$. Recalling Lemma 2.11.1, we have

$$H_b^{n+b+h}(X) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j}.$$

We call what above *the (η, L) -decomposition of $H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$* .

Remark 6.3.1 Consider $\eta^{i+1} : H_{-i}^{n-j-i}(X) \longrightarrow H_{i+2}^{n-j+i+2}(X)$. By Lemma 2.11.1 and the decomposition above

$$P_{-i}^{-j} = \text{Ker} \eta^{i+1} \cap \text{Ker} L^{j+1}, \quad i, j \geq 0.$$

Note that the elements of $\varphi(\text{Ker} \eta^{i+1}) \subseteq \varphi(\mathbb{H}^*(Y, \mathcal{P}^{-i}))$ are not necessarily primitive with respect to η . They merely satisfy $\eta^{i+1}(\varphi(\text{Ker} \eta^{i+1})) \subseteq H_{\leq i}^{n-j+i+2}(X)$.

Remark 6.3.2 Let $b \leq 0$ and $b+h \leq 0$. The bilinear form Φ_b^{n+b+h} restricted to the summand $\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$, corresponds to the bilinear form $\Phi_{-b-2i}^{n-b-2i-h-2j}$ on the summand $P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq H_{-b-2i}^{n-b-2i-h-2j}(X)$.

More precisely, let $\alpha = \eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} \alpha''$, $\beta = \eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} \beta''$. If $h \leq 0$, then the two forms are identical. If $h > 0$, then we have, having set $\alpha' = \eta^{b+i} L^j \alpha''$ and $\beta' = \eta^{b+i} L^j \beta''$ (cf. Definition 3.1.7) :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_b^{n+b+h}(\alpha, \beta) &= \int \eta^{-b} \wedge L^h \wedge (L^j \eta^{b+i} \alpha'') \wedge (L^j \eta^{b+i} \beta'') = \\ &= \int \eta^{b+2i} \wedge L^{h+2j} \wedge \alpha'' \wedge \beta'' = \Phi_{-b-2i}^{n-b-2i-h-2j}(\alpha'', \beta''). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.3.3 *Let things be as in §6. Then Theorem 3.1.13.b holds for f .*

Proof. We may assume $b+i \geq 0$ and $h+j \geq 0$, for otherwise the corresponding direct summand is trivial. We have the maps of Hodge structures

$$\begin{aligned} L^{h+j} &: H_{-b-2i}^{n-h-2j-b-2i}(X) \longrightarrow H_{-b-2i}^{n+h-b-2i}(X), \\ \eta^{b+i} &: H_{-b-2i}^{n+h-b-2i}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b+h}(X), \\ L^{j+1} &: H_b^{n+b+h}(X) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b+h+2j+2}(X), \\ \eta^{i+1} &: H_b^{n+b+h}(X) \longrightarrow H_{b+2i+2}^{n+b+h+2i+2}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The equality

$$\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} = \text{Ker} \eta^{i+1} \cap \text{Ker} L^{j+1} \cap \text{Im} \eta^{b+i} \cap \text{Im} L^{h+j}$$

presents the left hand side as a Hodge sub-structure of $H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$.

To prove the orthogonality statement we must show that if either $i \neq i'$, or $j \neq j'$, then the two summands $\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j}$ and $\eta^{b+i'} L^{h+j'} P_{-b-2i'}^{-h-2j'}$ are orthogonal with respect to Φ_b^{n+b+h} .

If $b > 0$, then the form Φ_b^{n+b+h} is computed using Φ_{-b}^{n-b+h} on the corresponding spaces $\eta^i L^{h+j} P_{b-2i}^{-h-2j}$ and $\eta^{i'} L^{h+j'} P_{b-2i'}^{-h-2j'}$.

It follows that we may assume that $b \leq 0$. By Remark 6.3.2, we may also assume that $h \leq 0$. Let us assume that $i' > i$. The case when $j' > j$ being analogous, with the role of η played by L . Since $\eta^{i+1}\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \eta^{-b} \wedge L^{-h} \wedge (\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} \alpha) \wedge (\eta^{b+i'} L^{h+j'} \beta) \\ &= \int_X (\eta^{i+1} \alpha) \wedge L^{-h} \wedge \eta^{b+i'-1} \wedge L^{2h+j+j'} \wedge \beta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

6.4 Proof of Theorem 3.1.13.c: the polarization on $\eta^{b+i} L^{h+j} P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \neq P_0^0$

Let things be as in §6.

Lemma 6.4.1 *Let $Z \xrightarrow{j} X$ be a smooth subvariety of dimension $n-k$, $g = f \circ j : Z \rightarrow Y$ be the induced map. Assume that Theorem 3.1.1.b and Theorem 3.1.13.a hold for g .*

(a) *For every b and h in $\mathbb{Z}$, the natural restriction map, stemming from $r_Z : \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$,*

$$H_b^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_{b+k}^{n+h}(Z)$$

is a map of Hodge structures coinciding with the map induced on the h -th hypercohomology groups by ${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_(r_Z))[-b]$.*

(b) *Let $b < 0$ and $Z = X^{-b}$ be the complete transversal intersection of $(-b)$ general sections of η . Then the natural restriction map*

$$r_{X^{-b}} : H_b^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_0^{n+h}(X^{-b})$$

is an injective map of Hodge structures. If $h \leq 0$, then $r_{X^{-b}}$ exhibits $P_b^h(X)$ as a Hodge sub-structure of $P_0^h(X^{-b})$.

(c) *Let $b = 0$, $h < 0$ and $Z = X_1 = f^{-1}(Y_1)$, where Y_1 is a general section of A , transversal to the strata of Y . Then, for every $b' \in \mathbb{Z}$, the natural restriction map $H_{\leq b'}^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_{\leq b'+1}^{n+h}(X_1)$ factors through $H_{\leq b'}^{n+h}(X_1)$ and hence defines an injective map of Hodge structures*

$$r_{X_1} : H_0^{n+h}(X) \rightarrow H_0^{n+h}(X_1)$$

exhibiting $P_0^h(X)$ as a Hodge sub-structure of $P_0^{h+1}(X_1)$.

Proof. (a) We apply the functors $({}^p\tau_{\leq b-1}, {}^p\tau_{\leq b}, {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(-)[-b])$ to the map $f_*(r_Z) : f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \rightarrow g_* \mathbb{Q}_Z[n-k][k]$. Taking hypercohomology we get a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\leq b-1}^{n+h}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_{\leq b}^{n+h}(X) & \longrightarrow & H_b^{n+h}(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{\leq b+k-1}^{n+h}(Z) & \longrightarrow & H_{\leq b+k}^{n+h}(Z) & \longrightarrow & H_{b+k}^{n+h}(Z) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the two left vertical restriction arrows are maps of Hodge structures: by Proposition 6.2.1 applied to f , by the hypothesis that Theorem 3.1.13.a holds for g and by the fact that the restriction maps $H^l(X) \rightarrow H^l(Z)$ are maps of Hodge structures. It follows that so is the third which is also simultaneously exhibited as the map induced on the graded object and as the map $\mathbb{H}^h({}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*(r_Z))[-b])$.

(b) It follows from (a) and Lemma 5.1.1. The fact that $P_b^h(X)$ maps to $P_0^h(X^{-b})$ can be shown as follows. Let $l > 0$ and $l' \geq 0$ and $Z = X^l$ be the transversal complete intersection of l general sections of η . The map $f_*(\eta^{l+l'}) : f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n+2l+2l']$ factorizes as

$$f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \rightarrow g_*\mathbb{Q}_{X^l}[n-l][l] \xrightarrow{g_*(\eta_{|X^l}^{l'})} g_*\mathbb{Q}_{X^l}[n-l][l][2l'] \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n+2l+2l'].$$

The statement follows from applying the functors $\mathbb{H}^*({}^p\mathcal{H}^b(-))$ to the factorization above when $l = -b$ and $l' = 1$ (cf. §5.2).

(c) It follows from (a), 2.10.6.2 and Lemma 5.1.2. The fact that $P_0^h(X)$ maps to $P_0^{h+1}(X_1)$ follows from the fact that $L^{-h} = \gamma \circ L_{|X_1}^{-h-1} \circ \rho$, where γ is an isomorphism in the range we are using it; see the diagram in §5.2. $\square$

Remark 6.4.2 The summand $\eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$ is not trivial only if $b+i \geq 0$ and $h+j \geq 0$. Since $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$, if $(h+2j, b+2i) = 0$, then $(i, j) = (0, 0)$ and $(h, b) = (0, 0)$. I.e. the only summand with $(h+2j, b+2i) = (0, 0)$ is $P_0^0 \subseteq H_0^n(X)$.

Proposition 6.4.3 *Let things be as in §6. Then Theorem 3.1.13.c holds for the direct summands $\eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \neq P_0^0$.*

Proof. We must show that the bilinear forms

$$(-1)^{\frac{(n-h-b-2i-2j)((n-h-b-2i-2j)+1)}{2}} \Phi_b^{n+b+h}$$

restricted to the direct summand $\eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq H_b^{n+b+h}(X)$ are a polarization of the Hodge structure for every $(h+2j, b+2i) \neq (0, 0)$, i.e. for every summand except, possibly, for $P_0^0 \subseteq H_0^n(X)$.

Let X^l be the transversal intersection of l general sections of η . Let $X_{l'}^l = X^l \cap f^{-1}(Y_{l'})$ be the preimage of the complete intersection of l' general sections of A meeting the strata of Y transversally. Then, for every b and h in $\mathbb{Z}$

$$\Phi_b^{n+b+h}(\eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}\alpha, \eta^{b+i}L^{h+j}\beta) = \Phi_{-b-2i}^{n-b-h-2i-2j}(\alpha, \beta).$$

By Lemma 6.4.1, we have, using the natural restriction maps, inclusions of Hodge structures

$$P_{-b-2i}^{-h-2j} \subseteq P_0^{-h-2j}(X^{b+2i}) \subseteq P_0^0(X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}).$$

We have

$$\Phi_{-b-2i}^{n-b-h-2i-2j}(\alpha, \beta) = \int_X \eta^{b+2i} \wedge L^{h+2j} \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta = \int_{X^{b+2i}} L^{h+2j}|_{X^{b+2i}} \wedge \alpha|_{X^{b+2i}} \wedge \beta|_{X^{b+2i}}$$

$$= \int_{X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}} \alpha|_{X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}} \wedge \beta|_{X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}} = \Phi_0^{n-b-h-2i-2j}(\alpha|_{X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}}, \beta|_{X_{h+2j}^{b+2i}}).$$

Note that $\dim X_{h+2j}^{b+2i} = n - b - h - 2i - 2j$. The statement follows from the fact that $(-1)^{\frac{(\dim X^{b+2i} - h + 2j)(\dim X^{b+2i} - h + 2j + 1)}{2}} \Phi_0^{n-b-h-2i-2j}(X_{h+2j}^{b+2i})$ is a polarization of $P_0^0(X_{h+2j}^{b+2i})$ by induction. Here we have used the fact that at least one of the two quantities $h+2j$ and $b+2i$ is non-zero, and hence positive. Since we have the inclusion of Hodge structures above, the conclusion follows from the fact that then a polarization restricts to a polarization. $\square$

7 The space $W \subseteq H^n(X)$, its approximability and the polarization of P_0^0

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$, η and L be as in §3.1.

We assume that Assumption 3.4.2 holds.

We have proved Theorem 3.1.1 for f , except for the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$; see Proposition 4.5.2. We have proved Theorem 3.1.3 for f ; see Proposition 5.2.1. We may use the results in §2.10 and §2.11 for f . In particular, recall Remark 2.10.1. We have proved Theorem 3.1.13 for f , except for the polarization statement for P_0^0 ; see §6.

In this section we are going to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.13 for f , by polarizing P_0^0 . In this section we consider cohomology with real coefficients.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a real number. Define

$$W_\epsilon := \text{Ker}(\epsilon\eta + L) \subseteq H^n(X).$$

The spaces W_ϵ are Hodge sub-structures. By the classical Hard Lefschetz Theorem, $\dim W_\epsilon = b_n - b_{n-2}$, where b_i are the Betti numbers of X . Define

$$W := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon,$$

where the limit is taken in the Grassmannian $G(b_n - b_{n-2}, H^n(X))$. The space $W \subseteq H^n(X)$ is a real Hodge sub-structure for that is a closed condition.

The main goal of this section is to characterize the subspace W in terms of η and L .

7.1 The space $\eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} \subseteq H^n(X)$

Let $V \subseteq H^n(X)$ be a subspace and denote by $V^\perp$ the orthogonal to V with respect to the Poincaré pairing $\int_X \alpha \wedge \beta$ on $H^n(X)$.

Lemma 7.1.1

$$\eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} \cap (\cap_{i=1}^k (\eta^i \text{Ker} L_{n-2i}^\perp)^\perp) = (\eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2})_{\leq -k} \subseteq H_{\leq -k}^n(X), \quad \forall k \geq 1.$$

More precisely:

$$(\eta \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2})_{\leq -k} = \eta L^k \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2(k+1)}^{k+1}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1.1 and Lemma 2.11.1: $\eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2i}^i = (\eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2i}^i)_{\leq i-1}$, for every $i \geq 1$.

By Theorem 3.1.13.b for f , we have, compatibly with the perverse filtration:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2} &= \varphi\left(\bigoplus_{b \geq 0} \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \eta^j L^b P_{-b-2j}^{-b}\right), \\ \eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2i}^i &= \varphi\left(\bigoplus_{t \leq i-1} (\eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2i}^i)_t\right) = \varphi\left(\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \eta^{i-1} \eta^j P_{-(i-1)-2j}^{-(i-1)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

We prove the first statement by induction on $k \geq 1$. The induction step is proved in the same way as the case $k = 1$ that starts the induction. Therefore, we may assume that we have proved the statement for k and we argue as follows for $k + 1$. By Lemma 2.9.1, the Poincaré pairing induces the bilinear forms $\langle, \rangle_k^0: H_{-k}^n(X) \times H_k^n(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

It is enough to show that given $0 \neq \alpha \in (\eta \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2})_{-k} = \varphi(\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \eta^j L^k P_{-k-2j}^{-k})$, the linear form $\langle \alpha, - \rangle_k^0$ is not identically zero on $(\eta^{k+1} \operatorname{Ker} L_{n-2(k+1)}^{k+1})_k = \varphi(\bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \eta^k \eta^j P_{-k-2j}^{-k})$.

If $j \neq j'$, then Lemma 2.9.1, Lemma 2.11.1 and the definition of the spaces P_* imply that $\langle, \rangle_k^0: \eta^j L^k P_{-k-2j}^{-k} \times \eta^{j'} L^k P_{-k-2j'}^{-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is identically zero. It follows that we may assume that $0 \neq \alpha \in \eta^j L^k P_{-k-2j}^{-k}$.

By Remark 3.1.9, the pairing $\langle, \rangle_k^0: \eta^j L^k P_{-k-2j}^{-k} \times \eta^k \eta^j P_{-k-2j}^{-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is identified with $\Phi_{-k-2j}^{n-2k-2j}$ on P_{-k-2j}^{-k} which, by Theorem 3.1.13.c for f , is a polarization and hence nondegenerate. The first statement follows.

The second statement is equivalent to the same statement on the graded pieces. This, in turn, is a consequence of Lemma 6.1.3 and the (η, L) -decomposition of §6.3. $\square$

7.2 The space W

Lemma 7.2.1

$$W = \operatorname{Ker} L_n \cap (\cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L^i)^\perp) = \varphi\left(\bigoplus_{b \geq 0} L^b P_{-b}^{-b}\right).$$

In particular,

$$W_0 = W_{\leq 0} / W_{\leq -1} = P_0^0.$$

Proof. We show that

$$W_\epsilon \subseteq \cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \operatorname{Ker} L^i)^\perp.$$

It is enough to show the inclusion in each member of the right-hand-side. Let $u_\epsilon \in W_\epsilon$, i.e. $\eta u_\epsilon = -\epsilon^{-1} L u_\epsilon$. We have $\eta^i u_\epsilon = (-\epsilon^{-1})^i L^i u_\epsilon$. Let $\lambda \in H^{n-2i}(X)$ be such that $L^i \lambda = 0$. We have $\int_X u_\epsilon \wedge \eta^i \lambda = (-\frac{1}{\epsilon})^i \int_X u_\epsilon \wedge L^i \lambda = 0$ and the wanted inclusion follows.

It follows that $W \subseteq \cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp$.

We show that

$$W \subseteq \text{Ker} L_n.$$

Let $W \ni u = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} u_\epsilon$, with $u_\epsilon \in W_\epsilon$. We have $Lu = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} Lu_\epsilon = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (-\epsilon \eta u_\epsilon) = 0$.

We have shown that

$$W \subseteq \text{Ker} L_n \cap (\cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp).$$

By Lemma 7.1.1, $\cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp \cap \eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} = \{0\}$.

It follows that

$$W \cap \eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} = \{0\}.$$

By counting dimensions, the internal direct sum $W \oplus \eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} = \text{Ker} L_n$. On the other hand, we also have an internal direct sum $(\text{Ker} L_n \cap (\cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp)) \oplus \eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2} \subseteq \text{Ker} L_n$ and this implies that the inclusion $W \subseteq \text{Ker} L_n \cap (\cap_{i \geq 1} (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp)$ is in fact an equality.

To show the last equality it is enough to show that

$$\varphi(\bigoplus_{b \geq 0} L^b P_{-b}^{-b}) \subseteq W.$$

In fact, the two spaces have the same dimension since $\text{Ker} L_{n,-b} = L^b P_{-b}^{-b} \oplus (\eta \text{Ker} L_{n-2})_{-b}$. Let $\alpha \in L^b P_{-b}^{-b}$. Since $L\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(L\alpha) = 0$, we need to check that $\varphi(\alpha) \in (\eta^i \text{Ker} L^i)^\perp$, $\forall i > 0$.

Since, by Lemma 6.1.1, $\text{Ker} L_{n-2i}^i \subseteq H_{\leq -i-1}^{n-2i}(X)$, it is enough to show that, for every $b \geq 0$, for every $\alpha_{-b} \in L^b P_{-b}^{-b}$, we have that $\int_X \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \wedge \eta^i \wedge \lambda = 0$, for every $i \geq 1$, for every $\lambda \in H_{\leq -i-1}^n(X)$.

We deal separately with the two cases $i \leq b$ and $i > b$.

Let $i \leq b$. We have $\int_X \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \wedge \eta^i \wedge \lambda = \int_X \eta^i \wedge \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \wedge \lambda$ with $\eta^i \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \in H_{-b+2i}^{n+2i}(X)$. Since $(-b+2i) + (-i-1) < 0$ the product above is zero by Lemma 2.9.1.

Let $i > b$. By Lemma 2.11.1, we have that $\eta^i \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \in H_{\leq b}^{n+2i}(X)$ so that $\int_X \eta^i \wedge \varphi(\alpha_{-b}) \wedge \lambda = 0$ again by Lemma 2.9.1. $\square$

7.3 $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n$ is a polarization of P_0^0

Lemma 7.3.1 *The bilinear form $\langle, \rangle_0^n$ on $H_0^n(X)$ induced by the Poincaré pairing on $H^n(X)$ coincides with Φ_0^n is non-degenerate.*

In particular, it is non-degenerate when restricted to P_0^0 .

Proof. The two forms coincide by Remark 3.1.12. The form $\langle, \rangle_0^n$ is identified with the form $\langle, \rangle_0^{\mathbb{H},n}$ via Remark 2.10.1. This last form is non-degenerate by Proposition 2.10.3. The second statement follows from the orthogonality statement of Theorem 3.1.13.b for f . $\square$

Theorem 7.3.2 *The Poincaré pairing on $H^n(X)$ induces the polarization $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n$ on $P_0^0 = (W \cap H_{\leq 0}^n(X)) / (W \cap H_{\leq -1}^n(X))$.*

Proof. The form Φ_0^n on $H_0^n(X)$ is induced by the Poincaré pairing by definition. It is non-degenerate when restricted to P_0^0 by Lemma 7.3.1.

By the classical Hard Lefschetz Theorem the Poincaré pairing multiplied by $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}$ is a polarization of W_ϵ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

It follows that $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \int_X - \wedge C(-)$ is semipositive definite when restricted to W .

It follows that $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n(-, C(-))$ is semipositive definite on P_0^0 . Since C is an isomorphism and Φ_0^n is non-degenerate, $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n(-, C(-))$ is in fact positive definite, i.e. $(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Phi_0^n$ is a polarization of P_0^0 . $\square$

8 The Semi-simplicity Theorem 3.1.1.c for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$.

Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, η and L be as in §3.1.

We assume that Assumption 3.4.2 holds. We have proved Theorem 3.1.1, i.e. the Relative Hard Lefschetz, Decomposition and Semi-simplicity Theorems for f , except for the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$; see Proposition 4.5.2. We have proved the Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.3 for the perverse cohomology groups associated with f ; see Proposition 5.2.1. We may use the results in §2.10 and §2.11 for f . In particular, recall Remark 2.10.1. We have proved the Hodge Structure, the (η, L) -Decomposition and Polarization Theorem 3.1.13 for f ; see §6 and Theorem 7.3.2.

In this section we prove that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is semi-simple, i.e. we establish the remaining case $i = 0$ of Theorem 3.1.1.c for f , thus completing the proof of Theorems 3.1.1, 3.1.3 and 3.1.13.

8.1 The intersection form on the fibers of the map $f : X \longrightarrow Y$.

In this section we introduce the intersection form on the fibers of the map f . We could not find a reference serving the needs of the present paper.

Let Z be an algebraic set, $c : Z \rightarrow pt$ be the constant map. We have $\omega_Z \simeq c^!\mathbb{Q}_{pt}$. Define the Borel-Moore homology groups with rational coefficients of Z as $H_l^{BM}(Z) := \mathbb{H}^{-l}(Z, \omega_Z)$. Let $i : Z \rightarrow W$ be a map of algebraic sets. If i is proper, then the natural adjunction map, the identification $i_* \simeq i_!$ and the isomorphism, $\omega_Z \simeq i^!\omega_W$, give the map $i_*\omega_Z \longrightarrow \omega_W$. The resulting maps in hypercohomology $i_* : H_l^{BM}(Z) \longrightarrow H_l^{BM}(W)$ are the usual proper-push-forward maps. If i is an open immersion, then using the natural adjunction map and the identification $i^* \simeq i^!$, we get a map $\omega_W \longrightarrow i_*\omega_Z$ whose counterparts in hypercohomology are the restriction to an open set maps $H_l^{BM}(W) \longrightarrow H_l^{BM}(Z)$.

Let $y \in Y$ and $i : f^{-1}(y) \longrightarrow X$. Using the isomorphism $\omega_X[-n] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$, we get a natural sequence of maps

$$i_!\omega_{f^{-1}(y)}[-n] \longrightarrow \omega_X[-n] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow i_*\mathbb{Q}_{f^{-1}(y)}[n]$$

where the first and third map are each other's dual. Taking $(-l)$ -hypercohomology we get maps

$$H_{n+l}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_{n+l}^{BM}(X) \simeq H^{n-l}(X) \longrightarrow H^{n-l}(f^{-1}(y)).$$

The resulting pairing

$$H_{n+l}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \times H_{n-l}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is called the refined intersection form on $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq X$. Note that we may replace X by any euclidean open neighborhood of $f^{-1}(y)$. Geometrically, it corresponds to intersecting locally finite cycles supported on $f^{-1}(y)$ with finite cycles of complementary dimension in X supported on $f^{-1}(y)$.

So far we have not used that f is assumed to be proper. In what follows, the properness assumption is used for the canonical identification $H_l^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \simeq H_l(f^{-1}(y))$ and for base-change identifications.

The cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(y) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \Phi & \square & \downarrow f \\ y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y \end{array}$$

and the associated isomorphisms

$$\alpha^* f_* \simeq \Phi_* i^*, \quad \alpha^! f_* \simeq \Phi_* i^!$$

give rise to a sequence of maps:

$$\alpha^! \alpha^! f_* \omega_X[-n] \longrightarrow f_* \omega_X[-n] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$$

which, after taking hypercohomology, give the refined intersection form on $f^{-1}(y)$. Note that the first and last map are the natural adjunction morphisms and that they are each other's dual.

Remark 8.1.1 The point of this construction is the following. We want to apply Lemma 2.7.1, so that we are interested in the map $\alpha^! \alpha^! f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \longrightarrow f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$. The map that arises geometrically, instead, is $\alpha^! \alpha^! f_* \omega_X[-n] \longrightarrow f_* \omega_X[-n]$. On the other hand, we have, using the fact that $\alpha^! \alpha^! \longrightarrow Id$ is a natural transformation of additive functors and the isomorphism $\omega_X[-n] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha^! \alpha^! f_* \omega_X[-n] & \longrightarrow & f_* \omega_X[-n] \\ \downarrow \simeq & \square & \downarrow \simeq \\ \alpha^! \alpha^! f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n] & \longrightarrow & f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]. \end{array}$$

This, in turn, implies that the two horizontal maps are equivalent so that we can check the hypotheses of Lemma 2.7.1 on the top one.

We need to make explicit the role played by the perverse and induced graded filtrations in the refined intersection form.

Since $f_* \omega_X[-n] \simeq f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]$, $H_{n+l}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ is naturally filtered by the induced graded filtration compatibly with the natural maps. More precisely:

Lemma 8.1.2 *Let $U \subseteq Y$ be an euclidean open neighborhood of $y \in Y$, $U' = f^{-1}(U)$. The natural maps from $H_{n+l}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ to $H^{n-l}(U')$, $H^{n-l}(X)$ and $H^{n-l}(f^{-1}(y))$ are filtered strict.*

For every $b \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$H_{n-b, \leq b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) = H_{n-b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)), \quad H_{\leq b-1}^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y)) = \{0\}.$$

In particular, the maps induced on the graded spaces

$$H_{n-b,a}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_a^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$$

are trivial for every $a \neq b$.

Proof. For the first statement see Remark 2.10.4 and 2.10.5.

The third statement follows immediately from the second one. To prove the first statement, it is enough to show that

$$\mathbb{H}^t(Y, \alpha_! \alpha^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n](-l))) = \{0\}, \quad \forall l > b$$

and

$$\mathbb{H}^t(Y, \alpha_* \alpha^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n](-l))) = \{0\}, \quad \forall l < b.$$

This follows from the conditions of co-support and support, respectively, for a perverse sheaf, tested at the point y . See Remark 2.4.1. $\square$

Remark 8.1.3 We shall show in Theorem 8.4.1 that the intersection product induces isomorphisms $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \simeq H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$.

8.2 The induction on the strata: reduction to S_0 .

We introduce the stratification with which we shall work. Let $F \in \text{Ob}(D(X))$. The typical example will be $\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$. We fix once and for all $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ finite algebraic Whitney stratifications for f such that F is $\mathfrak{X}$ -cc. By §2.2 and §2.4, $f_* F$ and all of its perverse cohomology complexes ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_* F)$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$, are $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc.

We employ the notation in §2.1. Let $0 \leq s \leq d$. Denote by

$$S_s \xrightarrow{\alpha_s} U_s \xleftarrow{\beta_s} U_{s+1}$$

the corresponding closed and open embeddings.

The stratification $\mathfrak{Y}$ induces a stratification $\mathfrak{Y}_{U_s}$ on U_s and the trivial one, $\mathfrak{Y}_{S_s}$, on S_s .

The maps α_s and β_s are stratified with respect to these stratifications.

Let G be $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, e.g. $G = f_* F$ or $G = {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_* F)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\alpha_s^* G$ is $\mathfrak{Y}_{S_s}$ -cc and $\beta_s^* G$ is $\mathfrak{Y}_{U_{s+1}}$ -cc.

Let $G \in \text{Ob}(D(U_s))$ be $\mathfrak{Y}_{U_s}$ -cc. By §2.2 (see also Lemma 2.3.2) all terms of the distinguished *attaching triangle*

$$\alpha_{s!}\alpha_s^!G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \beta_{s*}\beta_s^*G \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

are $\mathfrak{Y}_{U_s}$ -cc and the maps induced at the level of cohomology sheaves are, when restricted to the strata S_l , $l \geq s$, maps of local systems and have locally constant rank on S_l , $\forall l \geq s$. Let $n := \dim X$, $m := \dim f(X)$. The stratum S_m has a unique connected component S_f contained in the open subset of $f(X)$ over which f is smooth.

Clearly, all the complexes we shall be interested in have support contained in $f(X)$. In addition, depending on whether they are defined on Y , U_s or S_s , they are either $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc, $\mathfrak{Y}_{U_s}$ -cc, or $\mathfrak{Y}_{S_s}$ -cc.

Remark 8.2.1 By Lemma 2.4.3, $\forall 0 \leq s \leq m$,

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s} \simeq \tau_{\geq -m}\tau_{\leq -s}{}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s}.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{-s}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s})$ is a local system on S_s .

Let $f_s : U'_s := f^{-1}(U_s) \longrightarrow U_s$ be the corresponding maps. Note that $U'_s = \emptyset$, $\forall s > m$. We have natural restriction isomorphisms

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]|_{U_s}) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_{s*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_s}[n]).$$

Recall that, if $P \in \text{Perv}(U_{s+1})$, then $\beta_{s!}P \simeq \tau_{\leq -s-1}P \in \text{Perv}(U_s)$. See [1] 2.1.11.

In this set-up, Deligne's Theorem [7] can be re-formulated in terms of the existence of an isomorphism

$$f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}[n] \simeq \bigoplus_j {}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}[n])[-j]$$

where ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}[n])$ is supported, as a complex on U_m , precisely on S_f and is there isomorphic to $(R^{n-m+j}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{f^{-1}(S_f)})[m]$.

Remark 8.2.2 The local systems $R^{n-m+j}f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}$ on S_f are semi-simple by Deligne Semi-simplicity Theorem 2.12.5. In particular, the complexes ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_{m*}\mathbb{Q}_{U'_m}[n])$ are semi-simple in $\text{Perv}(U_m)$.

The following Lemma essentially reduces the proof of the missing part of the Decomposition Theorem to the local criterion of Lemma 8.2.3.b. To prove that the local criterion is met we reduce it to a global property of projective maps, Theorem 7.3.2.

Lemma 8.2.3 (a) For every $(j, s) \neq (0, 0)$ we have a canonical isomorphism in $\text{Perv}(U_s)$:

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s} \simeq \beta_{s*}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_{s+1}}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{-s}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s})[s],$$

where the projection to the first summand is the necessarily unique lifting of truncation and the projection to the second summand is the natural map stemming from Remark 8.2.1.

(b) For $(j, s) = (0, 0)$

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq \beta_{0*}({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_1}) \oplus \mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))[0]$$

if and only if the natural map of dual skyscraper sheaves (cf. §2.3)

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\alpha_{0!}\alpha_0^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \longrightarrow \alpha_{0*}\alpha_0^* \mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. (a) The perverse sheaf ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is semi-simple for $j \neq 0$ by Theorem 3.1.1.c for f . We apply Lemma 2.7.1 whose hypotheses are met in view of Remark 2.7.2

Let $j = 0$. ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is self-dual by Poincaré-Verdier duality. By Remark 2.7.2, it is enough to check that the splitting criterion of Lemma 2.7.1 holds for $1 \leq s \leq m$. In the case $s = m$, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_m}$ is a shifted local system and there is nothing to prove. Let $1 \leq s \leq m - 1$. Let $Y_s \subseteq Y$ be the complete intersection of s hyperplane sections chosen so that 1) it meets *every* connected component of the pure and positive dimensional S transversally at a finite set T and 2) $X_s := f^{-1}(Y_s)$ is a nonsingular variety. See Remark 2.1.1. We obtain a projective morphism $f_s : X_s \longrightarrow Y_s$. We have $\dim X > \dim X_s$ and we can apply our inductive hypotheses: Theorem 3.1.1.b and c hold and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{s*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_s}[n-s])$ is semi-simple. By Lemma 2.10.6.2: ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{s*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_s}[n-s]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{Y_s}[-s]$.

The semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{s*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_s}[n-s])$ implies, via Remark 2.7.2, that the conditions for the splitting criterion for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_{s*}\mathbb{Q}_{X_s}[n-s])$ of Lemma 2.7.1 are met at every point of T which is a subset of the set of zero-dimensional strata for f_s .

By Lemma 2.3.2, we have that the splitting condition for ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])|_{U_s}$ is met as well.

b) Since we have the result for U_1 , the statement is a mere re-formulation of Lemma 2.7.1. $\square$

8.3 The local system $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_s^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$ on S_s

We need the following result.

Lemma 8.3.1 *Let Z be an affine algebraic variety, $Q \in D^{\leq 0}(Z)$, i.e. $\dim \text{supp}(\mathcal{H}^i(Q)) \leq -i$. Let $\alpha : \Sigma \longrightarrow Y$ be the closed embedding of the possibly empty support of $\mathcal{H}^0(Q)$.*

Then the natural restriction map below is surjective

$$\mathbb{H}^0(Z, Q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Z, \alpha_*\alpha^*Q).$$

Proof. We have the two spectral sequences $E_2^{pq}(Q) = \mathbb{H}^p(Z, \mathcal{H}^q(Q)) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z, Q)$, $E_2^{pq}(\alpha_*\alpha^*Q) = \mathbb{H}^p(Z, \mathcal{H}^q(\alpha_*\alpha^*Q)) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(Z, \alpha_*\alpha^*Q)$.

The natural adjunction map $a : Q \longrightarrow \alpha_*\alpha^*Q$ induces a map of spectral sequences $E_r(Q) \longrightarrow E_r(\alpha_*\alpha^*Q)$.

Note that $\dim \Sigma \leq 0$. The assumptions on Q imply that $E_2^{pq}(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q) = 0$ if either $p \neq 0$, or $q > 0$ so that $E_2(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q) = E_\infty(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q)$. In particular, $\mathbb{H}^0(Z, \alpha_* \alpha^* Q) = E_\infty^{00}(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q) = E_2^{00}(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q)$.

Since $Q \in D^{\leq 0}(Z)$, Q is $\mathfrak{Z}$ -cc with respect to some stratification $\mathfrak{Z}$ of Z , $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^q(Q)$ is a closed affine subset of Z of dimension at most $-q$. The theorem on the cohomological dimension of affine sets with respect to constructible sheaves, [18], Theorem 10.3.8, implies that $E_2^{pq}(Q) = 0$ for every $p + q > 0$.

We have $E_2^{pq}(Q) = E_\infty^{pq}(Q) = 0$ if either $p < 0$ or $p + q > 0$.

It follows that we have the surjection

$$\mathbb{H}^0(Z, Q) \longrightarrow E_\infty^{00}(Q) = E_\infty^{00}(\alpha_* \alpha^* Q) = \mathbb{H}^0(Z, \alpha_* \alpha^* Q).$$

□

In what follows, by the conditions of support for perverse sheaves, $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^0({}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$ is either empty, or a finite set of points. In the first case, Proposition 8.3.2 is trivial.

Proposition 8.3.2 *Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and α be the closed embedding into X of the zero-dimensional set $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^0({}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) = \{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$. The restriction map*

$$H_b^{n+b}(X) = \mathbb{H}^0(Y, {}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(Y, \alpha_* \alpha^* {}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) = \bigoplus_{i=1, \dots, r} H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y_i))$$

is surjective.

Dually, the cycle map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1, \dots, r} H_{n+b, -b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y_i)) \longrightarrow H_{-b}^{n-b}(X)$$

is injective.

Proof. Let $U \subseteq Y$ be an affine open set such that $\text{supp} \mathcal{H}^0({}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \subseteq U'$ and $U' := f^{-1}(U)$.

We look at the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^{n+b}(X) & \xrightarrow{A} & H^{n+b}(U') & \xrightarrow{B} & H^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y)) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H_{\leq b}^{n+b}(X) & \xrightarrow{A_{\leq b}} & H_{\leq b}^{n+b}(U') & \xrightarrow{B_{\leq b}} & H_{\leq b}^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y)) \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_3 \\ H_b^{n+b}(X) & \xrightarrow{A_b} & H_b^{n+b}(U') & \xrightarrow{B_b} & H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y)) \\ \downarrow \parallel \varphi & & \downarrow \parallel \varphi & & \downarrow \parallel \varphi \\ \mathbb{H}^0(Y, {}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^0(U, {}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^0(\alpha_* \alpha^* {}^p \mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])). \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps pointing up are the natural injections, the quotient maps p_i are surjective and the vertical maps on the bottom row are the identifications of Remark

2.10.1. In view of the existing splitting φ , the maps A and B are strict with respect to the perverse filtrations on $H^{n+b}(X)$, $H^{n+b}(U)$ and the induced graded filtration on $H^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$. See Remark 2.10.5.

By Lemma 8.3.1, B_b is surjective.

This implies that $B_b \circ p_2 = p_3 \circ B_{\leq b}$ is surjective.

By Deligne's Theory of Mixed Hodge Structures, [10], Proposition 8.2.6, $\text{Im} B \circ A = \text{Im} B$.

By the strictness with respect to the perverse and to the induced graded filtration, we infer that $\text{Im} B_{\leq b} \circ A_{\leq b} = \text{Im} B_{\leq b}$.

It follows that $p_3 \circ B_{\leq b} \circ A_{\leq b} = (B_b \circ A_b) \circ p_1$ is surjective and so is $B_b \circ A_b$, i.e. we have proved the wanted surjectivity. $\square$

8.4 ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ is a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes

In this section we prove Theorem 8.4.3, i.e. an important step towards the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$. A key ingredient is Theorem 8.4.1, which is concerned with the Hodge-theoretic properties of the refined intersection product $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$ introduced in §8.1. Together with Lemma 8.1.2, this theorem gives complete information on the structure of the refined intersection form on the fibers of f .

Theorem 8.4.1 *Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in Y$.*

(a) *The natural class map $cl_b : H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(X)$ is injective.*

(b) *The image, which by (a) will be identified with $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$, lies inside $H_b^{n+b}(X) \cap \text{Ker} L$ as a Hodge sub-structure compatibly with the (η, L) -decomposition, i.e.*

$$H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \eta^{b+i} P_{-b-2i}^0 \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \eta^{b+i} P_{-b-2i}^0 = H_b^{n+b}(X) \cap \text{Ker} L.$$

(c) *The form $(-1)^{\frac{(n+b-2i)(n+b-2i+1)}{2}} \Phi_b^{n+b}$ is a polarization of $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \cap \eta^{b+i} P_{-b-2i}^0$.*

(d) *The restriction of Φ_b^{n+b} to $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ is non-degenerate. In particular, the natural map $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (a) is the dual statement in Proposition 8.3.2. If $y \notin \text{supp } {}^p\mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$, then $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) = 0$ and the statements are trivial.

(b) The class map $cl : H_{n-b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H^{n+b}(X)$ is a map of mixed Hodge structures so that the image is a pure Hodge sub-structure of $H^{n+b}(X)$. Lemma 8.1.2 implies that $H_{n-b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) = H_{n-b, \leq b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$. Since cl is filtered strict with respect to the perverse and to the induced graded filtration (cf. Remark 2.10.5), we have, by Theorem 3.1.13.a, inclusions of Hodge structures $\text{Im}(cl) \subseteq H_{\leq b}^{n+b}(X) \subseteq H^{n+b}(X)$. It follows that the induced map on graded spaces $cl_b : H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(X)$ has as image a Hodge sub-structure.

Since $\text{supp } \alpha_! \alpha^! f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \subseteq y$, the image of cl_b image lands inside $\text{Ker} L$, for one can find a hyperplane section of Y avoiding y .

The compatibility with the direct sum decomposition with respect to η given by Theorem 3.1.1.a.b for f follows from the additivity of $\alpha_! \alpha^!$.

(c) By Theorem 3.1.13.c for f , the direct summands of $\text{Im } cl_b$ are Φ_b^{n+b} -orthogonal and Φ_b^{n+b} induces a polarization on each direct summand since $\text{Im } cl_b$ is a Hodge sub-structure of $H_b^{n+b}(X)$ by part (b).

(d) It follows immediately from part (c). $\square$

The proof of Theorem 8.4.3 requires only the case $b = 0$ of Theorem 8.4.1. Consider the natural adjunction map

$$A : \alpha_! \alpha^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]).$$

Proposition 8.4.2 *The map*

$$\mathcal{H}^0(A)_y : \mathcal{H}^0(\alpha_! \alpha^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))_y \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))_y$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let

$$A' : \alpha_! \alpha^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \omega_X[-n]) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \omega_X[-n])$$

be the natural adjunction map. In view of Remark 8.1.1, the statement to be proved is equivalent to the analogous statement for the map $\mathcal{H}^0(A')_y$. Consider the composition

$$I : \alpha_! \alpha^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \omega_X[-n]) \longrightarrow {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \omega_X[-n]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \longrightarrow \alpha_* \alpha^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]).$$

By the self-duality of I , the domain and target of $\mathcal{H}^0(A')_y$ have the same rank. The linear map $\mathcal{H}^0(I)_y$ is the refined intersection form $H_{n,0}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_0^n(f^{-1}(y))$ which is an isomorphism by Theorem 8.4.1. This implies that $\mathcal{H}^0(A')_y$ is injective and hence an isomorphism. $\square$

Theorem 8.4.3 *There are canonical isomorphisms in $\text{Perv}(Y)$ for every b :*

$${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\dim Y} IC_{\overline{S}_l}(\alpha_l^* \mathcal{H}^{-l}({}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 8.2.3 and Proposition 8.4.2. $\square$

Remark 8.4.4 Theorem 8.4.1 can be considered as a generalization of the Theorem of Grauert and Mumford on the negativity of the intersection form for resolutions of surfaces; see [19]. Let $y \in Y$. Lemma 8.1.2 implies that the refined intersection pairing stemming from $H_{n-b}(f^{-1}(y)) = H_{n-b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$ induces intersection forms $H_{n-b,a}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_a^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$ which are non-trivial only for $a = b$: $H_{n-b,b}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$.

Suppose that $b \leq 0$. By the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem 3.1.1.a, the cup product map $\eta^{-b} : H_{n-b,b}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_{n+b,-b}(f^{-1}(y))$ is an isomorphism. In view of Theorem 8.4.1, the map cl_b identifies $H_{n-b,b}(f^{-1}(y))$ with a Hodge sub-structure of $H_b^{n+b}(X) \cap \text{Ker} L$. The bilinear form on $H_{n-b,b}(f^{-1}(y))$ defined by

$$(s, t) \rightarrow \int_X \eta^{-b} \wedge cl_b(s) \wedge cl_b(t)$$

coincides, up to a sign, with the restriction to $H_{n-b,b}(f^{-1}(y))$ of the form Φ_b^{n+b} on $H_b^{n+b}(X)$. By Theorem 8.4.1, this bilinear form is non-degenerate and defines a polarizations on the several η -summands. The case $b > 0$ is similar.

Remark 8.4.5 The condition that the natural map $H_{n-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow H_b^{n+b}(f^{-1}(y))$ is an isomorphism is *not* the condition to show that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ extends across the stratum S_s to which y belongs as in Lemma 2.7.1. That condition is that the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_y^! {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])[2s]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_y^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$$

is an isomorphism. This is a different condition, unless, the stratum is a point (i.e. $s = 0$) and corresponds to the non-degeneration of the intersection form (restricted to perversity b) in a generic s -codimension slice $X_s = f^{-1}(Y_s)$. In general, considering codimension r slices Y_r transversal to the stratum containing y , we obtain a whole array of intersection forms $H_{n-r-b}(f^{-1}(y)) \times H_{n-r+b}(f^{-1}(y)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ which, by 8.1.2 are non trivial only in perversity b . Note that these forms are obtained by refining the intersection in X_r , not in X . Using the Relative Hard Lefschetz Theorem as in Remark 8.4.4, these intersection forms define bilinear forms on $H_{n-r-b}(f^{-1}(y))$ which can be identified, up to a sign, with the restriction to $H_{n-r-b,b}(f^{-1}(y))$ of the forms Φ_b^{n-r+b} on $H_b^{n-r+b}(X_r)$. Their behavior is completely determined by the results of this paper.

8.5 The semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 8.5.2. We start by proving a relative version of 8.3.2.

Proposition 8.5.1 *Let*

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi} T \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{Y}$$

be projective maps of quasi-projective varieties such that:

- 1) $\mathcal{X}$ is nonsingular of dimension n , T is nonsingular of dimension s ;
- 2) $F := \pi \circ \Phi$ is surjective and smooth of relative dimension $n - s$;
- 3) the map Φ is stratified in the sense of Theorem 2.2.2 and the strata of $\mathcal{Y}$ map smoothly and surjectively onto T ;
- 4) θ is a section of π , i.e. $\pi \circ \theta = \text{Id}_T$ and $\theta(T)$ is a stratum of $\mathcal{Y}$;
- 5) there is an isomorphism $\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n] \simeq \bigoplus_l {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n])[-l]$.

Then there is a surjective map of local systems on T :

$$R^{n-s}F_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\theta^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n])).$$

In particular, the local system $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\theta^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]))$ on T is semi-simple.

Proof. By assumption 3), the sheaves in question are local systems on T . Let $i : t \longrightarrow T$ be the closed embedding of a point in T . Consider the following induced diagram with Cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{X}_t & \xrightarrow{\Phi_t} & \mathcal{Y}_t & \xrightarrow{\pi_t} & t & \xrightarrow{\theta_t} & \mathcal{Y}_t \\ \downarrow J & \square & \downarrow j & \square & \downarrow i & \square & \downarrow j \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{Y}. \end{array}$$

Apply π_* to the adjunction map for θ and get a map $R : \pi_*\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n] \longrightarrow \pi_*\theta_*\theta^*\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]$. Using 5), the naturality of the adjunction map and $\pi \circ \theta = Id_T$, R splits as direct sum of maps $\oplus_l R_l$, $R_l : \pi_*{}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]) \longrightarrow \pi_*\theta_*\theta^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]) \simeq \theta^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n])$. Moreover, for every l , R and R_l commute with the projections to the l -th direct summands.

The sought-for map of local systems is defined to be the composition of $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(R)$ with the projection induced by 5) $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\pi_*\theta_*\theta^*\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\pi_*\theta_*\theta^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]))$. By the commutativity above, this map coincides with the composition of the projection $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\pi_*\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\pi_*{}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]))$, with $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(R_0)$.

By base-change, the restriction map $r_t : H^{n-s}(\mathcal{X}_t) \longrightarrow H^{n-s}(\Phi_t^{-1}(\theta_t(t)))$ is naturally identified with the map $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(i^*(R)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(R)_t$.

The codimension s embedding $j : \mathcal{Y}_t \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is transverse to all the strata of $\mathfrak{Y}$ by 3). Lemma 2.10.6.2 implies that $j^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_{t*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_t}[n-s])[s]$ and that the decomposition 5) for Φ restricts to a decomposition for Φ_t . Recall that the restriction map r_t is filtered strict with respect to the perverse and induced graded filtration, which are canonical, i.e. independent of the choice of a splitting $\Phi_{t*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_t}[n-s] \simeq \bigoplus_l {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(\Phi_{t*}\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_t}[n-s])[-l]$; see the proof of Proposition 8.3.2.

Repeat what done above concerning R for R_0 and obtain that the 0-th direct summand of the restriction map r_t , $r_{t,0} : H_0^{n-s}(\mathcal{X}_t) \longrightarrow H_0^n(\Phi_t^{-1}(\theta_t(t)))$, is identified with i^* of the map of local systems $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(R_0) : \mathcal{H}^{-s}({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n])) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\theta^*({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]))$.

Lemma 8.3.2 implies that $r_{t,0}$ and hence $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(R_0)$, are surjective.

The local system $R^{n-s}F_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is semi-simple by 2) and by the Semi-simplicity Theorem 2.12.5. Since $\mathcal{H}^{-s}({}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[n]))$ is a direct summand of $R^{n-s}F_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}$ we get the desired surjection and semi-simplicity statement, for a quotient of a semisimple local system is semi-simple. $\square$

Theorem 8.5.2 *Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be as in §8, $s \geq 0$ and S_s be the corresponding stratum as in §8.2. The local systems $\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_s^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$ are semi-simple on each connected components of S_s .*

Proof. The sheaves in question are skyscraper sheaves for $s = 0$ and trivial sheaves for $s > m$. The case $s = m$ follows in view of Remark 8.2.2 Therefore, we may assume that $1 \leq s \leq m - 1$. We will now reduce this case Proposition 8.5.1.

Let S be a connected component of S_s . We shall show that there exists a Zariski-open and dense subset $T \subseteq S$ over which the restriction of the local system in question is semi-simple. This will be enough, in view of Remark 2.12.4.

Let $\mathbb{P}^\vee = |L| \simeq \mathbb{P}^{d'}$ be the very ample linear system on Y associated with L , $\Pi := (\mathbb{P}^\vee)^s$, $d := sd' = \dim \Pi$.

Consider the universal families $\mathcal{Y} := \{(y, p) \mid y \in p\} \subseteq Y \times \Pi$ and $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{Y} \times_{Y \times \Pi} (X \times \Pi) \subseteq X \times \Pi$. Note that $\mathcal{X}$ is nonsingular and the general member of the family $\mathcal{X}$ over Π is nonsingular and connected by the Bertini Theorems; in fact the assumption $s \geq 1$ implies $\dim f(X) \geq 2$. However, the connectedness plays no essential role.

We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
S \times_Y \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & X \times \Pi & \xrightarrow{p} & X \\
\downarrow & & \square & \downarrow g & \square & \downarrow f' & \square \downarrow f \\
S \times_Y \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & Y \times \Pi & \xrightarrow{q} & Y \\
\downarrow & & \square & \downarrow & & & \\
S & \longrightarrow & Y & & & &
\end{array}$$

Proposition 4.3.1, applied to $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, Lemma 2.10.6 and Remark 2.10.7, imply that there is an isomorphism $\bigoplus_l {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(g_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[\dim \mathcal{X}])(-l) \simeq g_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[\dim \mathcal{X}]$.

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a stratification for g . In particular, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(g_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}}[\dim \mathcal{X}])$ is $\mathfrak{Y}$ -cc for every l . By the base-point-freeness of $|L|$, the natural map $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is Zariski-locally-trivial over Y and therefore so is the one $S \times_Y \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S$. Let $S' \subseteq S$ be a Zariski-dense open subset over which the family, $S' \times_Y \mathcal{Y}$, trivializes. The general complete intersection of s hyperplanes meets S' in a non-empty and finite set, so that the natural map $b : S' \times_Y \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Pi$ is dominant.

Let $\Pi^0 \subseteq \Pi$ a Zariski-dense open subset such that

- 1) the surjective map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Pi$ is smooth over Π^0 ;
- 2) the complete intersections Y_s of s elements associated with the points of Π^0 meet all strata of Y transversally;
- 3) the restriction of $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \Pi$ over Π^0 is stratified so that every stratum maps surjectively and smoothly to Π^0 .

Conditions 1) and 2) can be realized on some Zariski-open dense $V \subseteq \Pi$ by Bertini Theorem.

Condition 3) is realized as follows. Let U'' be the complement of the images of the closures of the strata of $\mathfrak{Y}$ that do not dominate Π . By the Thom Isotopy Lemmas h is topologically locally trivial on an Zariski-open dense subset U' of U'' . All strata of $\mathfrak{Y}_{h^{-1}(U')}$ dominate U' . By generic smoothness, there is a Zariski-dense open subset $U \subseteq U'$ over which all the strata of $\mathfrak{Y}_{f^{-1}U}$ are smooth and map surjectively to U .

Set $\Pi^0 = U \cap V$.

Since b is dominant, $b^{-1}\Pi^0$ is Zariski-dense and open in $S' \times_Y \mathcal{Y}$.

Since $S' \times_Y \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow S'$ is a product projection there exists a Zariski-dense open subset $T \subseteq S'$ such that $T \times_Y \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow T$ admits a section $\mu : T \rightarrow T \times_Y \mathcal{Y}$ with the property that $\mu(T) \subseteq b^{-1}\Pi^0$.

By replacing, if necessary, T by a Zariski-dense open subset of T , we may assume that the quasi-finite map $b \circ \mu : T \longrightarrow b(\mu(T)) \subseteq \Pi^0 \subseteq \Pi$ is smooth, of relative dimension zero.

We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T \times_{\Pi} \mathcal{X} & =: & \mathcal{X}_T & \xrightarrow{p'} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{p''} & X \\
& & \downarrow \Phi & \square & \downarrow g & \square & \downarrow f \\
T \times_{\Pi} \mathcal{Y} & =: & \mathcal{Y}_T & \xrightarrow{q'} & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{q''} & Y \\
& & \downarrow \pi & \square & \downarrow h & & \\
& & T & \xrightarrow{b \circ \mu} & \Pi & &
\end{array}$$

The map Φ inherits a stratification from the one on g by pull-back and all strata on $\mathcal{Y}_T$ map surjectively and smoothly onto T .

For every $t \in T$, $Y_s := \pi^{-1}(t)$ is a complete interesection of s hyperplanes passing through $t \in T \subseteq Y$, meeting all the strata of Y transversally and such that $X_{\sigma} := (\pi \circ \Phi)^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $n - s$. Note that the map π has a tautological section $\theta : T \rightarrow T \times_{\Pi} \mathcal{Y}$ assigning to $t \in T$ the same point $t \in \mathcal{Y}_s$.

We have a commutative diagram with the upper square cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{X}_T & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\
\downarrow \Phi & \square & \downarrow f \\
\mathcal{Y}_T & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \\
\downarrow \pi & \nearrow \theta & \uparrow \alpha_T \\
T & = & T.
\end{array}$$

We have proved Thoerem 3.1.1.b for $f : f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n] \simeq \bigoplus_l {}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])[-l]$. By Lemma 2.10.6 and Remark 2.10.7, we have, via pull-back, analogous ones for g and for Φ and an isomorphism $p_Y^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_T}[n])$.

We are now in the position to apply Proposition 8.5.1 to the diagram $\mathcal{X}_T \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{Y}_T \xrightarrow{\pi} T \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{Y}_T$ and infer that

$$\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\theta^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(\Phi_* \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{X}_T}[n])) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\theta^* p_Y^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n])) \simeq \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_T^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$$

is semi-simple and the conclusion follows. $\square$

9 A comment on the content of the Decomposition Theorem with polarizations

We would like to make a comment on the content of the Decomposition Theorem and on the new light shed on it by the polarizations we introduce.

In our approach, as we move deeper into the stratification on Y for $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, i.e. from U_{s+1} to $U_s = U_{s+1} \amalg S_s$, we meet the local systems $L_{S_s, b} := \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\alpha_s^* {}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_* \mathbb{Q}_X[n]))$

on the stratum S_s . The stalk of $L_{S_s,b}$ at a point $y \in S_s$ is naturally identified with the graded piece $H_{n-s-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ of the homology group $H_{n-s-b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$.

By induction, the contribution of the local systems $L_{S_l,b}$, $l > s$, to the homology of the map f over U_{s+1} is in the form of the intersection cohomology complexes $IC_{U_{s+1}}(L_{S_l,b})[-b]$ appearing as the direct summands for $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]|_{U_{s+1}}$.

A priori, it is not clear how the local systems $L_{S_s,b}$ and $L_{S_l,b}$, $l > s$, contribute to the homology of the map f over U_s .

The remarkable answer is that this contribution is in the form of the shifted intersection cohomology complexes of all these local systems appearing as the direct summands, $IC_{U_s}(L_{S_l,b})[-b]$, of $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]|_{U_s}$.

In particular, we have the following information concerning the fibers $f^{-1}(y)$ of f . Let $y \in S_s$. Then there is an isomorphism $H^{n+j}(f^{-1}(y)) \simeq \oplus_b \mathcal{H}^{j-b}({}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))_y$. By the semi-simplicity of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n])$ and the characterization of intersection cohomology complexes, Remark 2.6.2, we have that the summands above are trivial for $b < j + s$. For $b = j + s$ the only contribution comes as the stalk $(L_{S_s,b})_y \simeq H_{n-s-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y)) \simeq H_b^{n-s+b}(f^{-1}(y))$. The other contributions, i.e. for $b > j + s$, come from the stalks of the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{j-b}(IC_{U_s}(L_{S_l,b}))_y$, $l > s$, i.e. from the local systems arising from the previous strata.

Note the feature, true only for complex algebraic maps, that these contributions stem, in the precise way described above, from the local structure of Y around y , i.e. from the recipe yielding the intersection cohomology complexes on U_s of the local systems $L_{S_l,b}$ on S_l , $l > s$.

Note that what above is false if the map is not complex algebraic. E.g. consider the real algebraic map $f : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow Y$ contracting $\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ to a point $y \in Y$. We have that $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[2] = {}^p\mathcal{H}^0(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[2])$ is not semi-simple, it fits into a non-splitting exact sequence in $Perv(Y)$: $0 \rightarrow IC(Y) \rightarrow f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_y \rightarrow 0$. The reason for this is that the criterion of Lemma 2.7.1 is not met: the class map $H_2^{BM}(\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow H^2(X)$ is trivial or, equivalently, the intersection form $H_2^{BM}(\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow H^2(\{0\} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ is trivial.

In the case of semismall maps, we have explored the consequence of this remarkable occurrence for the motive of X , in our paper [6], where we have showed that, roughly speaking, the Decomposition Theorem has a “motivic” interpretation, i.e. the projection operators corresponding to the decomposition are realized by algebraic cycles.

In our approach, the key to the decomposition is the following *global* phenomenon: the graded pieces $H_{n-s-b,b}^{BM}(f^{-1}(y))$ inject into $H_b^{n-s+b}(X)$ as Hodge sub-structures and compatibly with the (η, L) -decomposition (in fact they land automatically in $\text{Ker } L$) so that they are polarized, essentially, by the intersection form on X .

10 The algebraic case

In this section we show that a series of simple reductions allows to prove Theorem 3.1.1 for projective maps of algebraic varieties and Theorem 3.1.1 parts (b) and (c) for proper

maps of algebraic varieties provided one replaces $\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ by IC_X .

In fact the results hold, with obvious modifications left to the reader, for the push-forward of any complex which is a direct sum of shifted intersection cohomology sheaves $IC_{\overline{Z}}(L_Z)$, where Z is a nonsingular locally closed subvariety of X and L_Z is a self-dual local system arising as a direct summand of some ${}^p\mathcal{H}^b(g_*\mathbb{Q}_{Z'}[\dim Z'])$, where $g : Z' \rightarrow Z$ is a proper map of algebraic varieties. Clearly, the same holds for a complex $K \in Ob(D(Y))$ such that $K \simeq \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(K)[-i]$ with the ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(K)$ isomorphic to direct sums of complexes as above. See [1], §6.2.4 for the definition of *semi-simple complexes of geometric origin*, i.e. a natural candidate for a class of complexes for which the methods of this paper should apply.

Lemma 10.0.3 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective map of quasi projective varieties, $n = \dim X$. Then ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)$ is semi-simple for every i .*

Proof. Let $\overline{f} : \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{Y}$ be a projective compactification of $f : X \rightarrow Y$, i.e. $\overline{X}$, $\overline{Y}$ and $\overline{f}$ are projective, X (Y , respectively) is a Zariski dense open subset of $\overline{X}$ ($\overline{Y}$, respectively) and $f = \overline{f}|_X : \overline{f}^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$.

Let $g : X' \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be a projective resolution of singularities of $\overline{X}$ and $f' := \overline{f} \circ g$.

By Theorem 3.1.1 applied to g , $g_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n] \simeq IC_{\overline{X}} \oplus R$ for some $R \in Ob(D(\overline{X}))$. Since $f'_* \simeq \overline{f}_*g_*$, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(\overline{f}_*IC_{\overline{X}})$, being a direct summand of ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[n])$, is semi-simple by Theorem 3.1.1 applied to f' .

The operation of taking perverse cohomology commutes with restriction to euclidean, and a fortiori Zariski, open subsets. The same is true for the operation of forming intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems. It follows that $({}^p\mathcal{H}^i(\overline{f}_*IC_{\overline{X}}))|_Y \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems which are semi-simple by Remark 2.12.4. $\square$

Theorem 10.0.4 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective map of algebraic varieties, $n = \dim X$, η be an f -ample line bundle. Then the conclusions of Theorem 3.1.1 hold if we replace $\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ with IC_X .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.7.1, a perverse sheaf P on Y splits as a direct sum of intersection cohomology complexes associated with local systems on locally closed nonsingular subvarieties on Y if and only if it does on the open sets of an open covering of Y for either the euclidean or the Zariski topology. We apply this to $P = {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)$.

The maps η^i are isomorphisms if and only if they are isomorphisms when restricted to the open sets of an open covering of Y (in either topology).

By what above and by the last paragraph in the proof of Lemma 10.0.3, and since f is projective, we may assume that X and Y are quasi projective and that $\mathbb{P}^\vee \subseteq |\eta|$ is a finite dimensional very ample linear system on X .

Lemma 10.0.3 implies the semi-simplicity part of the statement (part (c))

We repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1.1.a given in §4. The only essential change we need occurs in Proposition 4.5.2 where we can set $K = IC_X$, so that $M = IC_X$ by Remark

4.3.2. To use Proposition 4.5.1, we need to know that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^0(g_*IC_X)$ is semi-simple and this follows from Lemma 10.0.3. Part (a) follows.

It follows that η^i is an isomorphism for every i and, by Deligne's Lefschetz degeneration criterion [8], we get a decomposition isomorphism proving part (b). $\square$

Remark 10.0.5 The special case X projective, $f = Id_X$, $\eta = L$ gives a direct proof of Corollary 3.1.6.

Theorem 10.0.6 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper map of algebraic varieties, Then part (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.1.1 hold if we replace $\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ with IC_X .*

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 10.0.4, to prove part (c), we may assume that Y is quasi projective. Let $g : X' \rightarrow X$ be a Chow envelope of X , i.e. X' is quasi projective and g is projective and birational. Note that $f' := f \circ g$ is projective. As in the proof of Lemma 10.0.3, part (c) follows from the splitting $g_*IC_{X'} \simeq IC_X \oplus R$ for some $R \in Ob(D(X))$ and from the isomorphism $f'_* \simeq f_*g_*$.

To prove part (b) we argue as follows. The isomorphism $g_*IC_{X'} \simeq IC_X \oplus R$ and Theorem 10.0.4 imply the existence of an isomorphism

$$f_*IC_X \oplus f_*R \simeq \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)[-i] \oplus \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(R)[-i].$$

By composing with an automorphism of the right hand side we may assume that the isomorphism above induces the identity in perverse cohomology. Composing the natural maps

$$f_*IC_X \rightarrow f_*IC_X \oplus f_*R \simeq \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)[-i] \oplus \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(R)[-i] \rightarrow \bigoplus_i {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(f_*IC_X)[-i].$$

we obtain the wanted isomorphism using Lemma 2.4.4.b. $\square$

The following gives a sharp bound on the cohomological amplitude bounds for $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ given in [1], §4.2.

Proposition 10.0.7 *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper algebraic map of algebraic varieties, X nonsingular, $r = r(f)$.*

Then ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X]) = 0$ for $|j| > r$.*

However, ${}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X]) \neq 0$ for $|j| = r$.*

Proof. The first statement being local in the classical topology on Y we may assume that Y is quasi projective. Let $g : X' \rightarrow X$ be a Chow envelope: X' is quasi projective and nonsingular, g is projective birational, $f' := f \circ g$ is projective. Since, by Theorem 10.0.4, $f'_*\mathbb{Q}_{X'}[\dim X'] \simeq \mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X] \oplus R$, for some $R \in Ob(D(X))$, we may replace f by f' and assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a projective map of quasi projective varieties, X nonsingular. In view of the statement to prove, by taking a projective completion of f , we may further assume that X and Y are projective.

If $r(f) = 0$, then $f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]$ is perverse and there is nothing left to prove. Let $r = r(f) > 0$. By Proposition 4.2.4, we can choose r sufficiently general very ample divisors of X so that if $X^r \subseteq X$ is their transverse complete intersection and $f_r : X^r \rightarrow Y$ the corresponding map, then f_r is semismall. This implies that ${}^p\mathcal{H}^l(f_{r*}\mathbb{Q}_{X^r}[\dim X - r]) = 0$ for every $l \neq 0$. The first statement follows from Proposition 5.1.1.

As to the second statement. We argue as follows. By Poincaré-Verdier Duality, it is enough to consider the case $j = r$.

Let i be the unique non-negative integer such that, $Y^i \neq \emptyset$, $r = 2i + \dim Y^i - \dim X$ and $s := \dim Y^i$ is maximal.

Since the stratification on Y is part of a stratification of the map f , we necessarily have $S_s \cap Y^i \neq \emptyset$.

We restrict our attention to

$$U_s = Y \setminus Y_{s-1} = \coprod_{l \geq s} S_l.$$

Since there are no strata of dimension smaller than s , the conditions of support imply that

$$\mathcal{H}^{(r-s)-j}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X])|_{U_s}) = 0, \quad j < r.$$

Let $y \in S_s \cap Y^i$. Note that $2i = \dim X + r - s$. The space $H^{2i}(f^{-1}(y)) \neq 0$, for it is dual to the homology space, which is generated by the fundamental classes of the i -dimensional irreducible components of $f^{-1}(y)$. Keeping in mind part (b) of Theorem 10.0.6 and the just proved vanishing statement of this proposition the conclusion follows from the isomorphisms

$$H^{2i}(f^{-1}(y)) \simeq \bigoplus_j \mathcal{H}^{(r-s)-j}({}^p\mathcal{H}^j(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))_y = \mathcal{H}^{-s}({}^p\mathcal{H}^r(f_*\mathbb{Q}_X[n]))_y$$

□

References

- [1] A.A. Beilinson, J.N. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100**, Paris, Soc. Math. Fr. 1982.
- [2] A. Borel et al., *Intersection Cohomology*, Progress in Mathematics Vol. **50**, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Stuttgart 1984.
- [3] W. Borho, R. MacPherson, “Partial resolutions of nilpotent varieties,” Astérisque **101-102** (1983), 23-74.
- [4] C.H. Clemens, “Degeneration of Kähler manifolds,” Duke Math. J. **44** (1977), no. 2, 215–290.
- [5] M. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini, “The Hard Lefschetz Theorem and the Topology of semismall maps” Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **35** (2002), no. 5, 759–772.

- [6] M. de Cataldo, L. Migliorini, “The Chow Motive of semismall resolutions,” preprint math. AG/0204067.
- [7] P. Deligne, “Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales,” Publ.Math. IHES **35** (1969), 107-126.
- [8] P. Deligne, “Décompositions dans la catégorie Dérivée”, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), 115–128, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **55**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [9] P. Deligne, “Théorie de Hodge, II,” Publ.Math. IHES **40** (1971), 5-57.
- [10] P. Deligne, “Théorie de Hodge, III,” Publ.Math. IHES **44** (1974), 5-78.
- [11] P. Deligne, “La conjecture de Weil, II,” Publ.Math. IHES **52** (1980), 138-252.
- [12] F. El Zein, “Théorie de Hodge des cycles évanescents” Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), 107–184.
- [13] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, “Vanishing and Non-Vanishing Theorems,” Actes du Colloque de Théorie de Hodge, Asterisque **179-180** 1989, 97-112.
- [14] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, “Intersection homology II,” Inv. Math. **71** (1983), 77-129.
- [15] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, 3.folge. Band 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1988.
- [16] F. Guillen, V. Navarro Aznar, ”Sur le théorème local des cycles invariants,” Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), no. 1, 133–155.
- [17] B. Iversen, *Cohomology of Sheaves*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1986.
- [18] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg 1990.
- [19] H. Laufer, *Normal Two-Dimensional Singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **71**, Princeton University Press 1971.
- [20] M. Saito, “Decomposition theorem for proper Kähler morphisms,” Tohoku Math. J. (2) **42**, no. 2, (1990), 127–147.
- [21] A.J. Sommese, “Submanifolds of Abelian Varieties,” Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. 3, 229–256.
- [22] J. Steenbrink, “Limits of Hodge Structures,” Inv. Math. **31** (1975-76), 229-257.