

Commuting quantum traces for reflection algebras

Jean Avan¹

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics and Modelization
University of Cergy, 5 mail Gay Lussac, Neuville-sur-Oise,
F-95031 Cergy-Pontoise Cedex

Anastasia Doikou²

Theoretical Physics Laboratory of Annecy-Le-Vieux,
LAPTH, B.P. 110, Annecy-Le-Vieux, F-74941, France

Abstract

We formulate a systematic construction of commuting quantum traces for reflection algebras. This is achieved by introducing two dual sets of generalized reflection equations with associated consistent fusion procedures. Products of their respective solutions yield commuting quantum traces.

1 Introduction

The concept of quantum traces, which will be discussed here goes back to the work of Maillet [1] where commuting quantum analogues of the classical Poisson-commuting traces of powers of Lax matrices $\text{Tr} L^n$; $n \geq 2$ were explicitly constructed in the context of quantum group structures. Starting from the well known fundamental quantum group relation

$$R_{12}(u-v) L_{1q}(u) L_{2q}(v) = L_{2q}(v) L_{1q}(u) R_{12}(u-v); \quad (1.1)$$

where the quantum R matrix obeys the Yang Baxter equation, [2, 3]

$$R_{12}(u-v) R_{13}(u) R_{23}(v) = R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u) R_{12}(u-v); \quad (1.2)$$

(and q denotes the quantum space³ on which the generators of the quantum group, in the Lax matrix, act) quantum commuting objects were built with the generic form

$$H_N = \text{Tr}_{1::N} (R_{1::N} L_{1q} L_{2q} :: L_{Nq}); \quad (1.3)$$

¹e-mail: avan@ptm.u-cergy.fr, on leave of absence of LPTHE Paris VI

²e-mail: doikou@lapp.in2p3.fr

³the quantum space may have the structure of a tensor product of single quantum spaces.

R is any auxiliary-space operator which satisfies a commuting form of the Yang-Baxter equation: precisely it is required that $R_{12::N}$ commute with coproduct-like structures of the form $R_{1a}R_{2a}:::R_{Na}$. In particular, the choice $R_{12::N} = P_{12}R_{12}P_{23}R_{23}:::P_{N-1N}R_{N-1N}$, with P_{ab} the permutation operator acting on the auxiliary spaces $V_a \otimes V_b$, leads to the exact quantum analogue of the classical traces $\text{Tr} L^N$. We shall give a brief account of this construction as a preliminary illustration of the mechanisms involved in our more complicated procedure.

We approach here the problem of formulating a similar construction for the more general braided quadratic algebras, discussed e.g. in [4], of the form

$$A_{12} T_1 B_{12} T_2 = T_1 C_{12} T_2 D_{12}; \quad (1.4)$$

where T encapsulates generators of the algebra and A, B, C, D are c-number structure matrices. Treatment of the general case is a very complex issue and we shall focus here on a specific set of braided algebras known as "reflection algebras" [5] where the matrices A, B, C, D are related to a single R matrix, albeit a priori depending on one complex spectral parameter. This structure is defined as [5, 6]:

$$R_{12}(u_1, u_2) T_1(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2) T_2(u_2) = T_2(u_2) R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) T_1(u_1) R_{21}(u_1, u_2): \quad (1.5)$$

$T_i(u_i)$ is understood as a matrix acting on a finite-dimensional auxiliary space V_i with matrix entries being operators, representing the quantum reflection algebra, acting on some Hilbert space of quantum states hereafter denoted by the single label q .

Explicit realizations of quantum T matrices may easily be built from quantum Lax matrices $L_q(u)$ obeying (1.2) and quantum reflection matrices $K(u)$ obeying (1.5) such as were constructed in [7] (see also references therein) as

$$T_q(u) = L_q(u) K(u) (L_q(u))^{-1} \quad (1.6)$$

see e.g. [6]. Note that the K matrix may act on some extra boundary quantum space q_b along the lines described in [8, 9].

Furthermore it is known [6, 4] that

$$t_{qq^0}(u) = \text{Tr}_1 K_{1q^0}^+(u) T_{1q}(u) \quad (1.7)$$

realize a family of mutually commuting operators on the quantum Hilbert space $q \otimes q^0$:

$$t_{qq^0}^h(u); t_{qq^0}^i(u) = 0; \quad (1.8)$$

where the matrix $K_{q^0}^+$ is a solution of the so called "dual" reflection equation [4, 11]:

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{12}(u_1 + u_2) K_1^+(u_1) M_1^{-1} R_{21}(u_1, u_2, u_2) M_1 K_2^+(u_2) \\ &= K_2^+(u_2) M_1 R_{12}(u_1, u_2, u_2) M_1^{-1} K_1^+(u_1) R_{21}(u_1 + u_2): \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Again K_i^+ is understood as a matrix acting on the same finite-dimensional auxiliary space V_i with matrix entries being operators, representing the quantum reflection algebra, acting on a different

Hilbert space of quantum states denoted by the single label q^0 . Furthermore the K^+ matrix may act on some boundary quantum space denoted by the index q_b^0 .

This notion of duality will be one crucial ingredient of our construction.

The problem is to obtain extensions of (1.7) as traces involving more than one T matrix. As will be commented in the conclusion we expect that results on quantum traces obtained for algebra (1.5) may be extended to the generic braided Yang-Baxter algebras by a suitable generalization of the procedure.

Throughout this paper we shall impose several conditions on the R matrix. We assume that:

I. It obeys the Yang-Baxter equation (1.2).

II. It obeys the following symmetry requirement,

$$R_{12}(\lambda) = R_{21}(\lambda)^{t_1 t_2} : \quad (1.10)$$

For instance Yangian matrices constructed in [7] obey this condition.

III. It obeys unitarity and crossing relations

$$R_{12}(\lambda) R_{21}(\lambda) / 1; \quad R_{12}(\lambda) = V_1 R_{12}^{t_2}(\lambda) V_1 = V_2^{t_2} R_{12}^{t_1}(\lambda) V_2^{t_2}; \quad (1.11)$$

using (1.10) to obtain the second crossing relation.

Furthermore the R matrix obeys the crossing-unitarity relation,

$$R_{21}(\lambda)^{t_1} M_1^{-1} R_{12}(\lambda)^{t_2} M_1 / 1; \quad (1.12)$$

and the commutation relation

$$R_{12}; M_1 M_2 = 0; \quad (1.13)$$

Here V is a c-number matrix such that $V^2 = 1$ and $V^t V = M$. The crossing used in [10] is of such type, and the Yangian R matrices constructed in [7] obey these conditions.

We expect that these conditions are particular formulations, in the context of reflection algebras, of more generic requirements for the structural matrices of braided Yang-Baxter algebras. This aspect will be commented in the conclusion. Remark that general associativity conditions of (1.2) type have already been formulated, see e.g. equations (15) in [4].

Our results are formulated as two basic steps:

1. We introduce two sets of dual generalized reflection equations extending (1.5), (1.9), closely related to the fusion procedure described in e.g. [11]. We establish the existence and the form of the solutions in two basic Lemmas.

2. We then build quantum traces for reflection algebras by combining solutions of the two dual sets of reflection equations in a form similar to (1.7).

We finally conclude with some comments on the perspectives opened by our results.

2 Commuting traces

2.1 Review on Yang-Baxter type algebras

Before we describe the construction of commuting traces associated to reflection algebras, we first would like to present the construction of quantum traces associated to Yang-Baxter algebras, realized in [4], from a slightly different point of view. Namely, we shall introduce a set of generalized fundamental equations with the help of which we will be able to build the commuting traces, and which we will use later in reflection algebras.

Let us first fix some convenient notations based on the coproduct structure of equation (1.1) [12, 13]. We introduce the objects, hereafter denoted as "fused" R matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{NM^0}(N \quad M^0) &= R_{11^0}(1 \quad 1^0) R_{21^0}(2 \quad 1^0) \cdots R_{n1^0}(n \quad 1^0) \\ &\quad R_{12^0}(1 \quad 2^0) R_{22^0}(2 \quad 2^0) \cdots R_{n2^0}(n \quad 2^0) \cdots \\ &\quad R_{1m^0}(1 \quad m^0) R_{2m^0}(2 \quad m^0) \cdots R_{nm^0}(n \quad m^0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where one defines ordered sets $N < 12 \cdots n >$, $M^0 < 1^{02^0} \cdots m^0 >$. We should emphasize that the notation we use here is essentially inspired by the one introduced in [11] describing the fusion procedure for open spin chains. The above object satisfies the following properties:

$$R_{NM^0}(N \quad M^0)^{t_M^0 t_N} = R_{M^0 N}(M^0 \quad N); \quad (2.2)$$

and the generalization of crossing (1.11),

$$R_{NM^0}(\quad) = V_N R_{NM^0}(\quad)^{t_M^0} V_N; \quad R_{NM^0}(\quad) = V_{M^0}^{t_M^0} R_{NM^0}(\quad)^{t_N} V_{M^0}^{t_M^0}; \quad (2.3)$$

It also satisfies unitarity and crossing unitarity, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{NM^0}(N \quad M^0) R_{M^0 N}(M^0 \quad N) &= 1; \\ R_{NM^0}(N + M^0)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{M^0 N}(M^0 \quad N - 2)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0} &= 1; \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and generalized commutation relation

$$\sum_h R_{NM^0}; M_N M_{M^0}^i = 0; \quad (2.5)$$

Here one defines anti-ordered sets $M^0 < m^0(m^0 - 1) \cdots 1^0 >$, $N < n(n - 1) \cdots 1 >$. Now we introduce the set of generalized fundamental equations:

$$R_{NM^0}(N \quad M^0) L_N(N) L_{M^0}(M^0) = L_{M^0}(M^0) L_N(N) R_{NM^0}(N \quad M^0); \quad (2.6)$$

All solutions L_N of the above equation are actually good candidates for the construction of quantum commuting traces. In particular, the objects

$$H_N = \text{Tr}_N L_N(N) \quad (2.7)$$

realize a family of commuting operators $H_N^{(h)}; H_M^{(i)} = 0$. An obvious solution of equation (2.6) is

$$L_N^{(h)} = L_1^{(h)} \cdots L_n^{(h)} \quad (2.8)$$

where L_i 's are Lax matrices obeying the fundamental equation (1.1). Obviously this solution leads to trivially decoupled traces, therefore one defines "dressed" solutions of the generalized fundamental equation (see [1, 4]), for instance:

$$L_N^{(h)} = R_{12}^{(h)} R_{23}^{(h)} \cdots R_{n-1n}^{(h)} L_1^{(h)} \cdots L_n^{(h)}; \quad (2.9)$$

where $R_{12} = P_{12} R_{12}$ and P_{12} is the operator exchanging auxiliary spaces 1 and 2 and spectral parameters λ_1 and λ_2 (this last property is not easy to actually realize and we shall comment later on the practical aspects of this realization). The objects R are characterized by their commutation relations with fused R -matrices:

$$R_{12}^{(h)} R_{13}^{(h)} R_{23}^{(h)} = R_{13}^{(h)} R_{23}^{(h)} R_{12}^{(h)}; \quad (2.10)$$

The role of R 's is in this sense purely technical: they dress the solutions (2.8) so that the traces (2.7) have non-trivial (non-decoupled) structure, but due to (2.10) they do not modify the exchange relations, which guarantee commutation of (2.7). We emphasize that any object obeying the commutation relation (2.10) is a good dressing operator; R is simply an easily constructed example of it.

2.2 Commuting traces related to reflection algebras

We now come to our main concern, which is the explicit construction of the commuting traces related to reflection algebras. For this purpose we shall introduce the notions of generalized reflection equations and duals thereof.

We define, in analogy to the case related to Yang-Baxter algebras, the set of generalized reflection equations associated to the fused R -matrices (2.1) as:

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{Nq}^{(h)} R_{Mq^0}^{(h)} (N + M^0) T_{Mq^0}^{(h)} R_{NM^0}^{(h)} (N + M^0) \\ &= R_{Mq^0}^{(h)} (N + M^0) T_{Mq^0}^{(h)} R_{NM^0}^{(h)} (N + M^0) T_{Nq}^{(h)}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where the object $T_{Nq}^{(h)}$ of the above equation are matrices acting on tensor products of auxiliary spaces indexed by ordered sets N as $V_1 \otimes V_2 \cdots V_n$ with operator entries acting on the "bulk" quantum space labelled by index q . In general they may also act on some extra boundary quantum space (see e.g. [8, 9]), which is denoted by the index q_b .

We also introduce the set of generalized "dual" reflection equations, which has the following structure

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{Nq^0}^{(h)} M_{M^0}^{(h)} R_{Mq^0}^{(h)} (N - M^0 - 2) M_{M^0}^{(h)} K_{Mq^0}^{(h)} R_{NM^0}^{(h)} (N - M^0) \\ &= R_{Mq^0}^{(h)} (N - M^0) K_{Mq^0}^{(h)} M_{M^0}^{(h)} R_{NM^0}^{(h)} (N - M^0 - 2) M_{M^0}^{(h)} K_{Nq^0}^{(h)}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

$K_{N q^0}$ are similarly matrices acting on tensor products of auxiliary spaces indexed by ordered sets N as $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$ with operator entries acting on a a priori different "bulk" quantum space labelled by index q^0 . In general they may act on some extra boundary quantum space as well (see e.g. [8, 9]), which is denoted by the index q_b^0 . We would like at this stage to point out the structural similarity between the generalized refection equation (2.11), and the corresponding refection equation for fused K matrices introduced in [11]. In addition we assume the existence of a transposition antinormorphism $t_{q^0 q_b^0}$ acting on the operator entries of $K_{N q^0 q_b^0}$.

We now establish two basic existence theorems for (2.11) and (2.12).

Lemma 1: Fusion of generalized refection matrices

For simplicity we omit the indices q and q_b .

If T is a solution to the refection equation (1.5) then the following objects:

$$\begin{aligned} T_N &= T_1 R_{21} (1 + 2) R_{31} (1 + 3) \dots R_{n1} (1 + n) T_2 R_{32} (2 + 3) \dots R_{n2} (2 + n) \\ &T_3 \dots T_k R_{k+1k} (k + k+1) \dots R_{nk} (k + n) T_{k+1} \dots T_{n-1} R_{nn-1} (n + n-1) T_n; \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

are solutions to the set of Generalized Refection Equations (2.11).

Lemma 2: Fusion of Dual Generalized refection matrices

Again for simplicity we omit the indices q^0 and q_b^0 .

If K is a solution to the refection equation (1.9) then the following objects:

$$\begin{aligned} K_N^0 &= K_n M_{n-1} R_{n-1n} (n \dots n-1 \dots 2) M_{n-1}^{-1} K_{n-1} \dots \\ &K_{k+1} M_k R_{kn} (k \dots n \dots 2) \dots R_{kk+1} (k \dots k+1 \dots 2) M_k^{-1} K_k \\ &\dots K_2 M_1 R_{1n} (1 \dots n \dots 2) \dots R_{12} (1 \dots 2 \dots 2) M_1^{-1} K_1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

satisfy the dual generalized refection equation.

Note that the fusion procedure operates exclusively on auxiliary spaces; the quantum spaces $q; q^0; q_b; q_b^0$ are untouched.

The proofs are established by a recursion procedure on the total number of fused auxiliary spaces in the considered equations: $n_0 = \text{card}(N + M^0)$.

Proof of Lemma 1

The lemma is established by hypothesis for $n_0 = 2$ where necessarily $\text{card}(N) = \text{card}(M^0) = 1$ and (2.11) reduces to (1.5).

Assuming now that Lemma 1 is proved up to some value $n_0 - 2$ one considers the equations from the set (2.11) at $n_0 + 1$. It is always possible to assume that $\text{card}(N) \geq 2$, indeed if not then necessarily $\text{card}(M^0) \geq 2$ and by multiplying the equation from (2.11) on the l.h.s. by

$R_{N \setminus M^0}(N \setminus M^0)$ and on the r.h.s. by $R_{M^0 \setminus N}(N \setminus M^0)$ one gets back, after exchanging the notations $N \setminus M^0$ and M^0 , a new form of the same equation, this time with $\text{card}(N) - 2$.

One then particularizes the first index of the ordered set N as 1 and rewrites the equation as (denoting the set $N \setminus \{1\}$ as N):

$$\begin{aligned} & T_1(1) R_{N \setminus 1}(1 + N) T_N(N) R_{M^0 \setminus 1}(1 + M^0) R_{M^0 \setminus N}(N^0 + M^0) \\ & T_{M^0 \setminus M^0} R_{N \setminus M^0}(N + M^0) R_{1M^0}(1 + M^0) \\ = & R_{M^0 \setminus N}(N + M^0) R_{M^0 \setminus 1}(1 + M^0) T_{M^0 \setminus M^0} R_{1M^0}(1 + M^0) \\ & R_{N \setminus M^0}(N + M^0) T_1(1) R_{N \setminus 1}(1 + N) T_N(N): \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

One now establishes validity of this equality by successive operations on the l.h.s. of (2.15):

1 using the (already proved by recursion hypothesis) exchange relation for index sets N and M^0 .

2 using a fused Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{N \setminus 1}^+ R_{M^0 \setminus 1}^+ R_{M^0 \setminus N} = R_{M^0 \setminus N} R_{M^0 \setminus 1}^+ R_{N \setminus 1}^+ \quad (2.16)$$

where the compact notations R are self-explanatory.

3 using a second fused Yang-Baxter equation:

$$R_{N \setminus 1}^+ R_{N \setminus M^0}^+ R_{1M^0} = R_{1M^0} R_{N \setminus M^0}^+ R_{N \setminus 1}^+ \quad (2.17)$$

4 using the (already proved by recursion hypothesis) exchange relations for sets $\{1\}$ and M^0 .

Note that the fused Yang-Baxter equations (2.16), (2.17) are immediate consequences of the coproduct structure of the ordinary Yang-Baxter equation.

This establishes Lemma 1.

Proof of Lemma 2

The proof is similar, successively applying to the r.h.s. of (2.12) decoupled as in (2.15)

1 the reflection equation for sets N and M^0 .

2 the dual fused Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{N \setminus M^0}^+ R_{1M^0} R_{1N} = R_{1N} R_{1M^0} R_{N \setminus M^0}^+ \quad (2.18)$$

where the notation R is also self-explanatory from (2.12)

3 the dual fused Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{M^0 \setminus 1} R_{M^0 \setminus N} R_{1N} = R_{1N} R_{M^0 \setminus N} R_{M^0 \setminus 1} \quad (2.19)$$

4 the reflection equation for $\{1\}$ and M^0 ,

5 and at several places the commutation relation (2.5).

Both lemmas are thus established, hence the set of solutions of (2.11), (2.12) is not empty.

Notice that the fixed solution K_N has a structure similar to T_N , but with a reversed order of the auxiliary spaces; in particular one has an identification of formal solutions as: $K_N(N) = T_N(N)$. This is expected because of the form of the equations (2.11) and (2.12): equation (2.11) is formally the "transposed" of equation (2.12).

We now establish an interesting "dressing" or invariance property of general solutions in the case of the dual equations. Indeed they will have the most practical importance in the future (see also discussion in the conclusion), hence they are the ones which we consider here. It is described by:

Proposition 1: dressing of solutions

Given a set of solutions to the dual generalized reflection equations (2.12) one obtains a new set of solutions by multiplying K_N on the left with operators of the form Q_N acting on the sole auxiliary spaces, and such that for all sets M disjoint from N one has:

$$Q_N ; R_{M \cup N}(N \cup M) = 0 ; Q_N ; R_{N \cup M}(N \cup M) = 0 : \quad (2.20)$$

These two conditions are equivalent by unitarity of fixed R -matrices. The proof is immediate from the form of (2.12) and the use of (2.5).

A similar property will hold for (2.11) under right multiplication by Q_N .

In particular the following product of R 's defined by (2.10):

$$Q_N = R_{12}(1 \ 2) :: R_{n \ 1n}(n \ 1 \ n) \quad (2.21)$$

realizes such a dressing.

As in the Yang-Baxter type algebras, having determined the proper generalized exchange relations, we are now able to build commuting traces. We establish the fundamental

Theorem

Let K_N be a set of solutions to the dual generalized reflection equations (2.12), acting on the auxiliary spaces labelled by N , the quantum space labelled by q^0 , and a possible boundary space labelled by q_b^0 ;

Let T_N be a set of solutions of the generalized reflection equations (2.11) acting on the tensor product of the auxiliary spaces labelled by N , the quantum space labelled by q , and the boundary space labelled by q_b .

The following trace operators acting on the quantum space $q \cup q_b \cup q^0 \cup q_b^0$:

$$H_N = \text{Tr}_N (K_N(N) T_N(N)) ; \quad (2.22)$$

where $K_N = K_N^{t_{q^0 q_b^0}}$, build a family of mutually commuting operators:

$$H_N ; H_M = 0 : \quad (2.23)$$

Proof

The proof is identical to the proof of (1.8) (see e.g. [6]) thanks to the fusion relations of Lemma 1 and 2; it is however worth being given in detail. Quantum indices will again be dropped for simplicity.

One starts from the product $H_M \circ H_N$; the idea is to pass the unprimed indices of the product through the primed indices. For this purpose we first act by the partial transposition t_M^0 , yielding:

$$\begin{aligned} H_M \circ H_N &= \text{tr}_M^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) \text{tr}_N^0 K_N(\text{ }_N) T_N(\text{ }_N) \\ &= \text{tr}_M^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} \text{tr}_N^0 K_N(\text{ }_N) T_N(\text{ }_N) \\ &= \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N) T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} T_N(\text{ }_N): \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

We now use the crossing-unitarity of the R matrix

$$M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{M^0 N}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0} R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0)^{t_M^0} = Z(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0); \quad (2.25)$$

where Z is just a function of θ 's. Then the product $H_M \circ H_N$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N) M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2)^{t_N} M_{M^0} \\ &R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0)^{t_M^0} T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} T_N(\text{ }_N) \\ &= Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2) M_{M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N)^{t_N} \\ &T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) T_N(\text{ }_N)^{t_M^0} \\ &= Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2) M_{M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N)^{t_N} t_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 \\ &T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) T_N(\text{ }_N): \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Using the unitarity of the R matrix

$$R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) R_{M^0 N}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0) = Z(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0); \quad (2.27)$$

we obtain the following expression for the product

$$\begin{aligned} &Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0) Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} M_{M^0}^{-1} \\ &R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2) M_{M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N)^{t_N} t_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 \\ &R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) R_{M^0 N}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0) T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) T_N(\text{ }_N) \\ &= Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0) Z^{-1}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) \text{tr}_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 R_{M^0 N}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) K_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0)^{t_M^0} \\ &M_{M^0}^{-1} R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0 - 2) M_{M^0} K_N(\text{ }_N)^{t_N} t_M^0 \text{tr}_N^0 \\ &R_{M^0 N}(\text{ }_M^0 \text{ }_N^0) T_{M^0}(\text{ }_M^0) R_{NM^0}(\text{ }_M^0 + \text{ }_N^0) T_N(\text{ }_N): \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

One now recognizes in the r.h.s.: 1. the r.h.s. of the exchange equation (2.11); 2. the full transposition under $t_{M^0 N^0}^0$ of the l.h.s. of the exchange relation (2.12) (recall (2.2)). The specific

forms of unitarity (2.27) and crossing-unitarity (2.25) are crucial in yielding this form. This hints at a close connection between the crossing-unitarity properties and the duality "transformation" between (2.11) and (2.12).

Now, with the help of equations (2.11), (2.12), (2.25) and (2.27), and by repeating the previous steps in a reverse order we establish that the last expression is indeed $H_N H_M^0$. This concludes the proof of the commutativity relation (2.23).

Note that it is needed at the very beginning of this proof to use mutual commutation of the matrix elements of K and T . We then emphasize that the above proof is valid as long as neither the left and right boundary spaces q and q^0 , nor the quantum spaces q and q^0 "talk" to each other.

3 Conclusion and prospective

In order to define lines of future investigation it is important to characterize the basic steps of the procedure described in the previous section. It crucially depends on four fundamental features:

Step 1 - Existence of an algebraic reflection-like structure (1.5) with the notion of a dual structure (1.9); associated unitarity and crossing relations, and dual trace formula generating commuting objects as in (1.7).

Step 2 - Existence of mutually consistent fusion procedures for both algebraic structures as described in Lemma 1 and 2.

Step 3 - Dressing of fused solutions by commuting (fused) operators Q on the auxiliary spaces, such as characterized by Proposition 1.

Step 4 - One then combines 1, 2 and 3 to get commuting traces by products of fused solutions T and K .

This now indicates several directions of investigation:

Construction of dressing operators

This construction is needed to get non-trivial commuting traces. Indeed, it is easy to prove:

Proposition 2

Operators built from the simple fused solutions (2.13), (2.14) decouple as $H_N = \text{Tr}_N K_N T_N = \text{Tr}_1 K_1 T_1^{\text{card} N}$.

The proof is achieved by successive use of partial transpositions with respect to successive indices of N from 1 to n as defined in (2.13), (2.14). These partial transpositions systematically bring together fused transposed R matrices which cancel each other by fused crossing relations (2.4). Hence the complete elimination of the R matrices, yielding $\text{Tr}_N K_N T_N = \text{Tr} (K_n :: K_1) T_1 :: T_n$, then by using the antimorphism property of the operation one gets $\text{Tr} K_1 :: K_n T_1 :: T_n = \text{Tr} K_1 T_1^n$. Such was also the more obvious case for usual Yang Baxter algebras (2.7), (2.8).

Therefore additional non-trivial dressing operators are required to get non trivial traces. As commented in section 2, examples of such objects are already formally available as products of neighboring-indexed $P_{a,a+1} R_{a,a+1}$, however the explicit construction of a full exchange generator P_{ab} acting on the space of functions of two complex parameters a, b is a difficult task. It follows that one should first consider for simplicity the case of non-degenerate reflection algebras. More generally, the problem of constructing generators such that $Q_N; R_{NM} = 0$ for general fixed R matrices must be connected to the understanding of the underlying coproduct structure and universal algebra. In this context Q objects may be constructed generically as coproducts of central elements⁴.

Remark

Actually the fusion procedure which we developed makes use of coproduct formulation for the Yang-Baxter equation (or more precisely Yang-Baxter equations for fixed R matrices) and can be interpreted as a manifestation of a consistent partial coproduct structure for the reflection algebra (1.5).

Extension of the procedure to general reflection algebras of (1.4) type

Interest in this generalization stems in particular from the occurrence in physical systems of relevant examples of braided YB algebras: the structure matrices $A; B; C; D$ are in general not independent or free. For instance the reflection algebra itself is characterized by $A_{12} = D_{21} = R_{12}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$ and $B_{12} = C_{21} = R_{12}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$. Different choices of constraints realize different algebraic objects. Such are for instance the reflection-transmission algebras [14] where $A; B; C; D$ are given by one single quantum R matrix depending however on two independent spectral parameters instead of one single combination $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$; the non-ultralocal monodromy matrix algebra from KdV equations [15, 16] where the B matrices are diagonal c-number matrices; and cases where the R matrix does not satisfy necessarily the crossing symmetry (1.11), but a more general form of crossing (see e.g. [17]).

It should be undertaken by following Steps 1 to 4 as previously defined. Step 1 was actually realized in [4] where a dual reflection algebra was defined. It is indeed easy to check that it gives back (1.9) in our case once one uses unitarity, crossing and transposition relations (1.11) and (1.10). The commuting traces are again of the form (1.7). However in [4] the matrices K are taken to be pure c-number objects, not quantum operators; hence it remains to prove consistency of the definition for quantum K matrices.

In view of physical applications such as already mentioned, it is very interesting to examine which restrictions on A, B, C, D are compatible with the duality construction and how they relate to particular crossing and unitarity conditions. This in turn will need a careful analysis of the discrete (possibly infinite) symmetry groups of the braided YB equations analogous to the studies conducted e.g. in [18].

The next problem is then to realize such fusion procedures as described by Lemma 1 and 2 for generic algebras. Following on our previous Remark we notice that fusion procedures should be obtained easily once a coproduct structure has been formulated. The construction of commuting

⁴We are indebted to Daniel A. Maundon for this suggestion

quantum traces for quantum groups in [1] as discussed in our preliminary Section 2.1 is now visibly a textbook example of the procedure for $B = C = 1$; the dual structure is identical to the original one; the fusion procedure follows from the usual coproduct structure of the quantum group; and the dual matrix K is taken to be $1 \quad 1 :: \quad 1$.

However it is to be expected that fundamentally different coproduct structures, hence fusion procedures and trace formulae, exist for different subclasses of braided algebras characterized in particular by different restrictions on the structure matrices $A; B; C; D$. This is clearly indicated by the obvious differences between fusion procedures in our case (which would seem to be essentially characterized by the restriction $B_{12} = B_{21}$); the case studied in [19] where one imposes instead $B_{12} = C_{21}$; and the quantum group case [1] where $B = C = 1$. This will require a very careful analysis of the braided algebras.

Extensions of the braided algebra of dynamical type

Here the matrices R and K should depend on some extra "dynamical" parameters identified as coordinates on the dual of some (possibly non-abelian, see [20]) Lie algebra, and the exchange relations take a form along the lines of the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation described in [21, 20]. The first problem at this time is to actually construct such extensions. Some examples are currently being considered [22, 23]. Quantum traces formulae may then be obtained by suitably manipulating our construction along the lines in [24] for dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, so as to incorporate the shifted dynamical parameter. Again one essentially needs to define consistent fusion procedures for such algebras, and consistent unitarity and crossing properties.

In particular, if one obtains solutions K of the dynamical quantum reflection equation defined by Felder's dynamical R matrix [21], used in [24], one expects to be able to define generalizations of the Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonians [25] obtained in [24]. Such generalizations, incorporating non-trivial reflection algebras, may be connected with the quantum Hamiltonians presented e.g. in [26, 27, 28, 29] as the construction [28] suggests, however more general integrable Hamiltonians may also arise.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to D. A. Maudon, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy for helpful discussions. We are also indebted to J.-M. Maillet for valuable comments and discussions. A.D. is supported by the TMR Network "EUCALID"; "Integrable models and applications: from strings to condensed matter", contract number HPRN-CT-2002-00325. J.A. thanks as ever LAPTH Annecy for kind support.

References

- [1] J.-M. Maillet, "Lax operators and quantum groups", Phys. Lett. B 245 (1990) 481.
- [2] R. J. Baxter, "Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model", Ann. Phys. 70 (1972) 193; "Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics" (Academic Press, 1982).
- [3] V. E. Korepin, "New effects in the massive Thirring model, repulsive regime", Comm. Math. Phys. 76 (1980) 165; V. E. Korepin, G. Izergin and N. M. Bogoliubov, "Quantum Inverse Scat-

- tering Method, Correlation Functions and Algebraic Bethe Ansatz" (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- [4] L. Freidel and J.M. Maillet, "Quadratic algebras and integrable systems", Phys. Lett. B 262 (1991) 278.
 - [5] I. Cherednik, "Factorizing particles on half-line and root systems", Theor. Math. Phys. 61 (1984) 977.
 - [6] E.K. Sklyanin, "Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems", J. Phys. A 21 (1988) 2375.
 - [7] D. A. Maudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, "R matrix representation for super Yangians $Y(g)$ ", J. Math. Phys. 44 (2003) 302.
 - [8] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi, "Sine-Gordon quantum field theory on the half-line with quantum boundary degrees of freedom", Nucl. Phys. B 649 (2003) 491.
 - [9] A. Doikou and P.P. Martin, "Hecke algebraic approach to the reflection equation for spin chains", J. Phys. A 36 (2003) 2203.
 - [10] D. A. Maudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, "Classification of reflection matrices related to (super) Yangians and applications to open spin chains", math-qa/0304150, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B.
 - [11] L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, "Fusion procedure for open chains", J. Phys. A 25 (1992) 2533; L. Mezincescu, R.I. Nepomechie and V. Rittenberg, "Bethe ansatz solution of the Fateev-Zamolodchikov quantum spin chain with boundary terms", Phys. Lett. A 147 (1990) 70.
 - [12] V.G. Drinfeld, "Quantum Groups" in Proc. Intern. Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley (1986), edited by A.V. Gleason, AMS, Providence (RI) (1987), 78.
 - [13] P.P. Kulish, N.Yu. Reshetikhin and E.K. Sklyanin, "Yang-Baxter equation and representation theory", Lett. Math. Phys. 5, (1981), 393.
 - [14] M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, "Reflection-transmission algebras", hep-th/0303187.
 - [15] A. Kundu, "Exact Bethe ansatz solution of non ultralocal quantum MKdV model", Mod. Phys. Lett. A 10 (1995), 2955; L. Hlavaty, "Quantum Braided groups", J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994), 2560.
 - [16] D. Fioravanti and M. Rossi, "From the braided to the usual Yang-Baxter relation", J. Phys. A 34 (2001), 567.
 - [17] A. Doikou, "Quantum spin chain with 'soliton non-preserving' boundary conditions", J. Phys. A 33 (2000) 8797.
 - [18] M. Bellon, J.M. Maillet and C.M. Viallet, "On the symmetries of integrability", Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, (1991), 1373.
 - [19] J. Donin and A.I. Mudrov, "Reflection equation, twist and equivalent quantization", math-qa/0204295; J. Donin, P.P. Kulish and A.I. Mudrov, "On universal solutions to reflection equation", math-qa/0210242.
 - [20] Ping Xu, "Quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation over a non-abelian base", Comm. Math. Phys. 226 (2002) 475.

- [21] J.L. Gervais and A. Neveu, "Novel triangle relations and absence of tachyons in Liouville string field theory", Nucl. Phys. B 238 (1984) 125; G. Felder, "Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves", hep-th/9407154.
- [22] Z. Nagy, in preparation.
- [23] E. Ragoucy, private communication.
- [24] J. Avan, O. Babelon and E. Billey, "The Gervais-Neveu-Felder equation and quantum Calogero-Moser systems", Comm. Math. Phys. 178 (1996) 281.
- [25] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, "A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons", Ann. Phys. 170 (1986) 370; S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, "Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems", Comm. Math. Phys. 110 (1987) 191.
- [26] T.H.R. Koornwinder, "Askey-Wilson polynomials for root systems of type BC", Contemp. Math. 138 (1992) 189.
- [27] J.F. van Diejen, "Commuting difference operators with polynomial eigenfunctions", Comp. Math. 95 (1995) 183.
- [28] Y. Komori, "Quantum integrability of the generalized elliptic Ruijsenaars model", J. Phys. A 30 (1997) 4341; "The R-matrix and the generalized elliptic Ruijsenaars model", J. Math. Phys. 35 (1998) 6175; K. Hikami and Y. Komori, "Diagonalization of elliptic Ruijsenaars model", Europhysics J. B 5 (1998) 583.
- [29] J. Avan and G. Rollet, " $C_{N+1}^{(2)}$ Ruijsenaars-Schneider models", Lett. Math. Phys. 60 (2002) 177.