

Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations

Paul Terwilliger*
Raimundas Vidunas†

August 3, 2021

Abstract

Let \mathbf{K} denote a field and let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. We consider an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ which satisfy the following two properties:

- (i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal.
- (ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A is diagonal.

We call such a pair a *Leonard pair* on V . Referring to the above Leonard pair, we show there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} such that both

$$\begin{aligned} A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^* &= \gamma^*A^2 + \omega A + \eta I, \\ A^*A^2 - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^*2 - \gamma^*(A^*A + AA^*) - \varrho^*A &= \gamma A^*2 + \omega A^* + \eta^*I. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the Leonard pair provided the dimension of V is at least 4. The equations above are called the *Askey-Wilson relations*.

1 Introduction

We begin by recalling the notion of a *Leonard pair* [16, 17, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. We will use the following terms. Let X denote a square matrix. Then X is called *tridiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. Assume X is tridiagonal. Then X is called *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero.

We now define a Leonard pair. For the rest of this paper \mathbf{K} denotes a field.

*University of Wisconsin at Madison

†University of Antwerp; Supported by the ESF NOG programme, and by the EC TMR project.

Definition 1.1 [29] Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard pair* on V we mean an ordered pair (A, A^*) , where $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ are linear transformations which satisfy the following two properties:

- (i) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A^* is diagonal.
- (ii) There exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing A is diagonal.

Remark 1.2 According to a common notational convention, A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A . We are not using this convention. In a Leonard pair (A, A^*) the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to the conditions (i) and (ii) above.

Leonard pairs occur in combinatorics [3, 4, 16, 26, 33], representation theory [6, 7, 8, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 33], and the theory of orthogonal polynomials [2, 24, 33, 36, 37]. The connection to polynomials is as follows: there is a natural correspondence between Leonard pairs and a class of orthogonal polynomials consisting of the q -Racah polynomials [1, 5] and some related polynomials of the Askey-scheme [18, 36, 37]. This correspondence is illustrated in the following example.

Example 1.3 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and define a set $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Let V denote the vector space over the complex field \mathbf{C} consisting of all functions from Ω to \mathbf{C} . The cardinality of Ω is $d+1$ so $\dim V = d+1$. Consider the Krawtchouk polynomials [18, Chapter 1.10]:

$$y_n(x) = K_n(x; p, d) = {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} -n, -x \\ -d \end{matrix}; \frac{1}{p} \right), \quad 0 \leq n \leq d. \quad (1)$$

We view y_0, y_1, \dots, y_d as elements of V . The Krawtchouk polynomials satisfy the following three-term recurrence. For $0 \leq n \leq d$ and for $x \in \Omega$,

$$-x y_n(x) = p(d-n) y_{n+1}(x) - (p(d-n) + (1-p)n) y_n(x) + (1-p)n y_{n-1}(x), \quad (2)$$

where $y_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $y_{d+1}(x) = 0$. The Krawtchouk polynomials satisfy the following difference equation. For $0 \leq n \leq d$ and for $x \in \Omega$,

$$-n y_n(x) = p(d-x) y_n(x+1) - (p(d-x) + (1-p)x) y_n(x) + (1-p)x y_n(x-1), \quad (3)$$

where $y_n(-1) = 0$ and $y_n(d+1) = 0$. We now define linear transformations $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$. We begin with A . For $f \in V$, Af is the element of V which satisfies

$$(Af)(x) = x f(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

We now define A^* . For $f \in V$, A^*f is the element in V which satisfies

$$(A^*f)(x) = p(d-x) f(x+1) - (p(d-x) + (1-p)x) f(x) + (1-p)x f(x-1)$$

for $x \in \Omega$. We mentioned the polynomials y_0, y_1, \dots, y_d are elements of V . These elements form a basis of V since they are linearly independent. According to (2), the matrix representing A in this basis is irreducible tridiagonal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} pd & p-1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ -pd & p(d-2)+1 & 2(p-1) & & \\ & -p(d-1) & p(d-4)+2 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & d(p-1) \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & -p & -pd+d \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to (3), the matrix representing A^* in this basis is $\text{diag}(0, -1, -2, \dots, -d)$. For $0 \leq n \leq d$ let y_n^* denote the element of V which satisfies

$$y_n^*(x) = \delta_{nx}, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where δ_{nx} is the Kronecker delta. The sequence $y_0^*, y_1^*, \dots, y_d^*$ forms a basis of V . With respect to this basis the matrix representing A is $\text{diag}(0, 1, 2, \dots, d)$ and the matrix representing A^* is irreducible tridiagonal:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -pd & 1-p & & & \mathbf{0} \\ pd & p(2-d)-1 & 2(1-p) & & \\ & p(d-1) & p(4-d)-2 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & d(1-p) \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & p & pd-d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore (A, A^*) is a Leonard pair on V . □

In order to motivate our main result we cite a theorem of Terwilliger.

Theorem 1.4 [29, Theorem 1.12] *Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} such that both*

$$[A, A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^*] = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$[A^*, A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A + AA^*) - \varrho^*A] = 0. \quad (5)$$

Here $[r, s]$ means $rs - sr$. The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair (A, A^*) provided the dimension of V is at least 4.

The equations (4), (5) are called the *tridiagonal relations* [28, Lemma 5.4]. See [16, 26, 27, 29, 30] for more information on these relations.

The main result of this paper is the following extension of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 *Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Then there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} such that both*

$$A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma (A A^* + A^* A) - \varrho A^* = \gamma^* A^2 + \omega A + \eta I, \quad (6)$$

$$A^{*2} A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^{*2} - \gamma^* (A^* A + A A^*) - \varrho^* A = \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^* I. \quad (7)$$

The sequence is uniquely determined by the pair (A, A^) provided the dimension of V is at least 4.*

As far as we know, the relations (6), (7) first appeared in [38]. In that article it is shown that the Askey-Wilson polynomials give a pair of infinite matrices which satisfy (6), (7). See [6, 7, 8, 39] for related work. In these articles the relations (6), (7) are called the *Askey-Wilson relations* and we shall also use this term. One of the relations (6), (7) shows up in work of Grünbaum and Haine on the “bispectral problem” [13] where it is called a *q-analog of the string equation*. See [9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15] for related work.

The plan for the rest of this paper is as follows. We will first give a proof of Theorem 1.4 which is considerably shorter than the one in [29]. We will then display some formulae which can be used to compute the scalars from Theorem 1.4. After this we will use Theorem 1.4 to obtain Theorem 1.5. We will then display some formulae which can be used to compute the scalars from Theorem 1.5. Finally we will illustrate Theorem 1.5 using Example 1.3.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we review some notation and basic concepts. Let d denote a non-negative integer. Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with dimension $d + 1$. We let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the \mathbf{K} -algebra consisting of all linear transformations from V to V . For $A \in \text{End}(V)$, by an *eigenvalue* of A we mean a root of the characteristic polynomial of A . The eigenvalues of A are contained in the algebraic closure of \mathbf{K} . We say that A is *multiplicity-free* whenever it has $d + 1$ distinct eigenvalues, all of which lie in \mathbf{K} . Assume A is multiplicity-free. Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ denote an ordering of the eigenvalues of A , and for $0 \leq i \leq d$ put

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j},$$

where I denotes the identity in $\text{End}(V)$. By elementary algebra,

$$A E_i = E_i A = \theta_i E_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d, \quad (8)$$

$$E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq d, \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I, \quad A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i. \quad (10)$$

Let \mathcal{D} denote the \mathbf{K} -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . Using (8)–(10) we find E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d form a basis for the \mathbf{K} -vector space \mathcal{D} . We refer to E_i as the *primitive idempotent* of A associated with θ_i . We have

$$V = E_0V + E_1V + \dots + E_dV \quad (\text{direct sum}). \quad (11)$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$, E_iV is the (one-dimensional) eigenspace of A associated with the eigenvalue θ_i , and E_i acts on V as the projection onto this eigenspace. We remark that $\{A^i | 0 \leq i \leq d\}$ is a basis for the \mathbf{K} -vector space \mathcal{D} , and that $\prod_{i=0}^d (A - \theta_i I) = 0$.

Later in this paper we will encounter sequences of scalars which satisfy a certain recurrence. We take a moment to discuss this recurrence. Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} . Given $\beta \in \mathbf{K}$, we say the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is β -*recurrent* whenever

$$\theta_{i-2} - (\beta + 1)\theta_{i-1} + (\beta + 1)\theta_i - \theta_{i+1} = 0 \quad (12)$$

for $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Given scalars β, γ in \mathbf{K} , we say the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -*recurrent* whenever

$$\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = \gamma \quad (13)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Observe that for $\beta \in \mathbf{K}$ the following are equivalent: (i) the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is β -recurrent; (ii) there exists $\gamma \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent. We also have the following.

Lemma 2.1 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} . Let β, γ denote scalars in \mathbf{K} . Then the following (i), (ii) hold.*

(i) *Assume $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent. Then there exists $\varrho \in \mathbf{K}$ such that*

$$\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) = \varrho, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d. \quad (14)$$

(ii) *Assume there exists $\varrho \in \mathbf{K}$ such that (14) holds. Further assume $\theta_{i-1} \neq \theta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Then the sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent.*

Proof. Let p_i denote the left-hand side of (14) and observe

$$p_i - p_{i+1} = (\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i+1})(\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} - \gamma)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Our assertions (i), (ii) are routine consequences of this. \square

3 General setting

In this section we establish some basic results concerning Leonard pairs. We begin with a comment.

Lemma 3.1 [29, Lemma 1.3] *Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Then each of A, A^* is multiplicity-free.*

Proof. Set $d + 1 = \dim V$. Recall that there exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is diagonal. Hence the eigenvalues of A are in \mathbf{K} . Moreover the degree of the minimal polynomial of A is equal to the number of distinct eigenvalues of A . Recall there exists a basis for V with respect to which the matrix representing A is irreducible tridiagonal. From the shape of this matrix we find that I, A, A^2, \dots, A^d are linearly independent. Therefore the degree of the minimal polynomial of A is equal to $d + 1$. It follows that the eigenvalues for A are mutually distinct. We have now shown that A is multiplicity-free. Applying this argument to the Leonard pair (A^*, A) we find that A^* is multiplicity-free. \square

For the rest of this paper we adopt the following notational convention.

Definition 3.2 Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with dimension $d + 1$. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Let v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d denote a basis for V which satisfies condition (ii) of Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector v_i is an eigenvector of A ; let θ_i (resp. E_i) denote the corresponding eigenvalue (resp. primitive idempotent). Let $v_0^*, v_1^*, \dots, v_d^*$ denote a basis for V which satisfies condition (i) of Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ the vector v_i^* is an eigenvector of A^* ; let θ_i^* (resp. E_i^*) denote the corresponding eigenvalue (resp. primitive idempotent). Let the sequence a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d denote the diagonal of the matrix which represents A with respect to $v_0^*, v_1^*, \dots, v_d^*$. Let the sequence $a_0^*, a_1^*, \dots, a_d^*$ denote the diagonal of the matrix which represents A^* with respect to v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d . We remark $a_i = \text{tr}(E_i^* A)$ and $a_i^* = \text{tr}(E_i A^*)$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

Lemma 3.3 *With reference to Definition 3.2, the following (i), (ii) hold.*

$$(i) \ E_i^* A E_j^* = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |i - j| > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

$$(ii) \ E_i A^* E_j = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |i - j| > 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from the irreducible tridiagonal shape of the matrix representing A in the basis $v_0^*, v_1^*, \dots, v_d^*$. Assertion (ii) is similarly obtained. \square

Lemma 3.4 *With reference to Definition 3.2, the following (i), (ii) hold.*

$$(i) \ E_i^* A E_i^* = a_i E_i^*, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

$$(ii) \ E_i A^* E_i = a_i^* E_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

Proof. (i) Abbreviate $\mathcal{A} = \text{End}(V)$. Observe $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of the \mathbf{K} -vector space \mathcal{A} . The element E_i^* is nonzero and contained in $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$

so it spans $E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$. Observe $E_i^* A E_i^* \in E_i^* \mathcal{A} E_i^*$, so there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $E_i^* A E_i^* = \alpha_i E_i^*$. In this equation we take the trace of both sides and use $\text{tr}(XY) = \text{tr}(YX)$ to obtain $\alpha_i = a_i$. The result follows. \square

(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. \square

The following lemma gives some consequences of Lemma 3.3(i) which we will find useful. Of course Lemma 3.3(ii) has similar consequences.

Lemma 3.5 *With reference to Definition 3.2, the following (i)–(iii) hold for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$.*

$$(i) \quad E_i^* A^r E_j^* = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < |i - j|.$$

$$(ii) \quad E_i^* A^r E_j^* \neq 0 \quad \text{for } r = |i - j|.$$

$$(iii) \quad \text{For } 0 \leq r, s \leq d,$$

$$E_i^* A^r A^s E_j^* = \begin{cases} \theta_{j+s}^* E_i^* A^{r+s} E_j^*, & \text{if } i - j = r + s, \\ \theta_{j-s}^* E_i^* A^{r+s} E_j^*, & \text{if } j - i = r + s, \\ 0, & \text{if } |i - j| > r + s. \end{cases}$$

Proof. These are routine consequences of the irreducible tridiagonal shape of the matrix A in the basis $v_0^*, v_1^*, \dots, v_d^*$. \square

4 The proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we establish Theorem 1.4. We start in a fashion similar to [29].

Lemma 4.1 [29, Lemma 12.1] *With reference to Definition 3.2, let \mathcal{D} denote the \mathbf{K} -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . Then*

$$\text{Span}\{XA^*Y - YA^*X \mid X, Y \in \mathcal{D}\} = \{ZA^* - A^*Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{D}\}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. For notational convenience set $E_{-1} = 0$ and $E_{d+1} = 0$. We claim that for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$E_i A^* E_{i+1} - E_{i+1} A^* E_i = L_i A^* - A^* L_i, \quad (16)$$

where $L_i = E_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_i$. To see this, observe by (10) and Lemma 3.3(ii) that for $0 \leq j \leq d$ both

$$E_j A^* = E_j A^* E_{j-1} + E_j A^* E_j + E_j A^* E_{j+1} \quad (17)$$

$$A^* E_j = E_{j-1} A^* E_j + E_j A^* E_j + E_{j+1} A^* E_j. \quad (18)$$

Summing both (17) and (18) over $j = 0, 1, \dots, i$, and taking the difference between these two sums gives (16). We mentioned earlier that E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d form a basis for

the \mathbf{K} -vector space \mathcal{D} . From this we find L_0, L_1, \dots, L_d form a basis for \mathcal{D} . We may now argue

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Span}\{XA^*Y - YA^*X \mid X, Y \in \mathcal{D}\} \\
&= \text{Span}\{E_iA^*E_j - E_jA^*E_i \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq d\} \\
&= \text{Span}\{E_iA^*E_{i+1} - E_{i+1}A^*E_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} \\
&= \text{Span}\{L_iA^* - A^*L_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq d\} \\
&= \{ZA^* - A^*Z \mid Z \in \mathcal{D}\}
\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. \square

In order to state the next lemma we introduce some notation.

Definition 4.2 Given scalars β, γ, ϱ in \mathbf{K} we define a polynomial

$$P(x, y) = x^2 - \beta xy + y^2 - \gamma(x + y) - \varrho.$$

Given scalars $\beta, \gamma^*, \varrho^*$ in \mathbf{K} we define a polynomial

$$P^*(x, y) = x^2 - \beta xy + y^2 - \gamma^*(x + y) - \varrho^*.$$

Lemma 4.3 Let β, γ, ϱ denote scalars in \mathbf{K} . Then with reference to Definition 3.2 and Definition 4.2,

$$[A, A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^*] = 0 \quad (19)$$

if and only if $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.

Proof. Let C denote the expression on the left in (19) and observe

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{j=0}^d E_i C E_j. \quad (20)$$

For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we evaluate $E_i C E_j$ using (8), (19) and get

$$E_i C E_j = (\theta_i - \theta_j) P(\theta_i, \theta_j) E_i A^* E_j. \quad (21)$$

First assume (19) holds, so that $C = 0$. We show $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let i be given. Observe $E_{i-1} C E_i = 0$ so $(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i) P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) E_{i-1} A^* E_i = 0$ in view of (21). Observe $\theta_{i-1} \neq \theta_i$ by Lemma 3.1 and $E_{i-1} A^* E_i \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.3(ii), so $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$. We have now proved the lemma in one direction. To obtain the converse, assume $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Since $P(x, y)$ is symmetric in x, y we have $P(\theta_i, \theta_{i-1}) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. We show $C = 0$. By (20) it suffices to show $E_i C E_j = 0$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. Let i, j be given. We show at least one of the factors on the right in (21) is zero. If $|i - j| > 1$ then $E_i A^* E_j = 0$ by Lemma 3.3(ii). If $|i - j| = 1$ then $P(\theta_i, \theta_j) = 0$. If $i = j$ then $\theta_i - \theta_j = 0$. We have now shown at least one of the factors on the right in (21) is zero. Therefore $E_i C E_j = 0$. Now each term on the right in (20) is zero so $C = 0$. We now have (19). \square

Corollary 4.4 *Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote scalars in \mathbf{K} . Then with reference to Definition 3.2 and Definition 4.2, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) *The sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ satisfies (4) and (5).*

(ii) *for $1 \leq i \leq d$ both*

$$P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0, \quad P^*(\theta_{i-1}^*, \theta_i^*) = 0.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.3 to both (A, A^*) and (A^*, A) . □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Set $d+1 = \dim V$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let θ_i and E_i (resp. θ_i^* and E_i^*) denote the eigenvalues and the primitive idempotents of A (resp. A^*) as in Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{D} denote the \mathbf{K} -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A .

We first assume that $d \geq 3$. By Lemma 4.1 (with $X = A^2$ and $Y = A$) there exists $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$A^2 A^* A - A A^* A^2 = Z A^* - A^* Z. \quad (22)$$

Recall \mathcal{D} has a basis I, A, A^2, \dots, A^d so there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathbf{K}[x]$ which has degree at most d and satisfies $Z = p(A)$. Let k denote the degree of p .

We show $k = 3$. We first suppose $k > 3$ and obtain a contradiction. We multiply each term in (22) on the left by E_k^* and on the right by E_0^* . We evaluate the result using (8) and Lemma 3.5 to find $0 = c(\theta_0^* - \theta_k^*) E_k^* A^k E_0^*$, where c denotes the leading coefficient of p . The scalars c and $\theta_0^* - \theta_k^*$ are nonzero by the construction. Moreover $E_k^* A^k E_0^*$ is nonzero by Lemma 3.5. Therefore $0 \neq c(\theta_0^* - \theta_k^*) E_k^* A^k E_0^*$ for a contradiction. We have now shown $k \leq 3$. We next assume $k < 3$ and obtain a contradiction. We multiply each term in (22) on the left by E_3^* and on the right by E_0^* . We evaluate the result using (8) and Lemma 3.5 to find $(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) E_3^* A^3 E_0^* = 0$. The scalar $\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*$ is nonzero. Moreover $E_3^* A^3 E_0^*$ is nonzero by Lemma 3.5. Therefore $(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) E_3^* A^3 E_0^* \neq 0$ for a contradiction. We have now shown $k = 3$.

We divide both sides of (22) by c . The result is

$$(\beta+1)(A^2 A^* A - A A^* A^2) = A^3 A^* - A^* A^3 - \gamma(A^2 A^* - A^* A^2) - \varrho(A A^* - A^* A), \quad (23)$$

where $\beta = c^{-1} - 1$ and where γ, ϱ are appropriate scalars in \mathbf{K} . From (23) we routinely obtain (4). Concerning (5), pick any integer i ($2 \leq i \leq d-1$). We multiply each term in (4) on the left by E_{i-2}^* and on the right by E_{i+1}^* . We evaluate the result using (8) and Lemma 3.5 to find $E_{i-2}^* A^3 E_{i+1}^*$ times

$$\theta_{i-2}^* - (\beta+1)\theta_{i-1}^* + (\beta+1)\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^* \quad (24)$$

is zero. Observe $E_{i-2}^* A^3 E_{i+1}^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.5 so (24) is zero. Apparently the sequence $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ is β -recurrent. Therefore there exists $\gamma^* \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ is (β, γ^*) -recurrent. By Lemma 2.1 there exists $\varrho^* \in \mathbf{K}$ such that $P^*(\theta_{i-1}^*, \theta_i^*) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where P^* is from Definition 4.2. By this and Lemma 4.3 we find $\beta, \gamma^*, \varrho^*$ satisfy (5).

We have now shown there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (4), (5). We show this sequence is unique. Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote any sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (4), (5). Applying Lemma 4.3 we find $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where P is from Definition 4.2. By this and Lemma 2.1 we find $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent. Therefore $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is β -recurrent. By these remarks and since $d \geq 3$ we find each of β, γ, ϱ is uniquely determined. Interchanging A, A^* in this argument we find each of γ^*, ϱ^* is uniquely determined. We have now proved the theorem for the case $d \geq 3$.

Next assume $d \leq 2$. Let β denote any scalar in \mathbf{K} . If $d = 2$ define $\gamma = \theta_0 - \beta\theta_1 + \theta_2$ and if $d \leq 1$ let γ denote any scalar in \mathbf{K} . If $d \geq 1$ define

$$\varrho = \theta_1^2 - \beta\theta_1\theta_0 + \theta_0^2 - \gamma(\theta_1 + \theta_0)$$

and if $d = 0$ let ϱ denote any scalar in \mathbf{K} . Observe $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent; applying Lemma 2.1(i) we find $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Applying Lemma 4.3 we find β, γ, ϱ satisfy (4). Interchanging A, A^* in the above argument we find there exists scalars γ^*, ϱ^* in \mathbf{K} such that $\beta, \gamma^*, \varrho^*$ satisfy (5). \square

We finish this section with a comment.

Theorem 4.5 [30, Theorem 4.3] *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with dimension $d + 1$. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Let the scalars θ_i, θ_i^* be as in Definition 3.2. Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (4) and (5). Then the following (i)–(v) hold.*

(i) *The expressions*

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} \quad (25)$$

are both equal to $\beta + 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq d - 1$.

(ii) $\gamma = \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d - 1.$

(iii) $\gamma^* = \theta_{i-1}^* - \beta\theta_i^* + \theta_{i+1}^*, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d - 1.$

(iv) $\varrho = \theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$

(v) $\varrho^* = \theta_{i-1}^{*2} - \beta\theta_{i-1}^*\theta_i^* + \theta_i^{*2} - \gamma^*(\theta_{i-1}^* + \theta_i^*), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$

Proof. (iv), (v) By Corollary 4.4 we have $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$ and $P^*(\theta_{i-1}^*, \theta_i^*) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, where P and P^* are from Definition 4.2.

(ii) Combine Lemma 2.1(ii) with part (iv) of this lemma.

(iii) Similar to the proof of (ii) above.

(i) The sequence $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ is (β, γ) -recurrent by (ii) so this sequence is β -recurrent. The sequence $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ is (β, γ^*) -recurrent by (iii) so this sequence is β -recurrent. The result follows. \square

5 The proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5. We begin with an extension of Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\beta, \gamma, \varrho, \gamma^*, \omega, \eta$ denote scalars in \mathbf{K} . Then with reference to Definition 3.2 and Definition 4.2,*

$$A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^* = \gamma^*A^2 + \omega A + \eta I \quad (26)$$

if and only if both

$$P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad (27)$$

$$a_i^* P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = \gamma^* \theta_i^2 + \omega \theta_i + \eta, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d. \quad (28)$$

Proof. Let L (resp. R) denote the expression on the left (resp. right) in (26). Observe

$$L = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{j=0}^d E_i L E_j, \quad R = \sum_{i=0}^d \sum_{j=0}^d E_i R E_j. \quad (29)$$

Observe further that for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ both

$$E_i L E_j = P(\theta_i, \theta_j) E_i A^* E_j, \quad (30)$$

$$E_i R E_j = \delta_{ij} (\gamma^* \theta_i^2 + \omega \theta_i + \eta) E_i. \quad (31)$$

First assume (26), so that $L = R$. We show (27), (28). Concerning (27), for $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $E_{i-1} R E_i = 0$ by (31) so $E_{i-1} L E_i = 0$. By this and (30) we find $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) E_{i-1} A^* E_i = 0$. Recall $E_{i-1} A^* E_i \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.3(ii) so $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$. We now have (27). Concerning (28), for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have $E_i L E_i = E_i R E_i$. Evaluating this using (30), (31) and Lemma 3.4(ii) we find $a_i^* P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = \gamma^* \theta_i^2 + \omega \theta_i + \eta$. We now have (28). We have now proved the lemma in one direction. To obtain the converse, assume (27), (28). We show $L = R$. By (29), it suffices to show $E_i L E_j = E_i R E_j$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ we have $E_i L E_i = a_i^* P(\theta_i, \theta_i) E_i$ by Lemma 3.4(ii) and (30). Moreover $E_i R E_i = (\gamma^* \theta_i^2 + \omega \theta_i + \eta) E_i$ by (31) so $E_i L E_i = E_i R E_i$ in view of (28). For $1 \leq i \leq d$ we have $E_{i-1} L E_i = 0$ by (27), (30) and $E_{i-1} R E_i = 0$ by (31) so $E_{i-1} L E_i = E_{i-1} R E_i$. For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ with $|i - j| > 1$, recall $E_i A^* E_j = 0$ by Lemma 3.3(ii) so $E_i L E_j = 0$ in view of (30). Also $E_i R E_j = 0$ by (31) so $E_i L E_j = E_i R E_j$. Apparently $E_i L E_j = E_i R E_j$ for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$. By this and (29) we find $L = R$. We now have (26). \square

Corollary 5.2 *Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ denote scalars in \mathbf{K} . Then with reference to Definition 3.2 and Definition 4.2, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

(i) *The sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ satisfies (6) and (7).*

(ii) *For $1 \leq i \leq d$ both*

$$P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0, \quad P^*(\theta_{i-1}^*, \theta_i^*) = 0$$

and for $0 \leq i \leq d$ both

$$a_i P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = \gamma^* \theta_i^2 + \omega \theta_i + \eta, \quad (32)$$

$$a_i P^*(\theta_i^*, \theta_i^*) = \gamma \theta_i^{*2} + \omega \theta_i^* + \eta^*. \quad (33)$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 5.1 to both (A, A^*) and (A^*, A) . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Set $d+1 = \dim V$. For $d=0$ the result is trivial so assume $d \geq 1$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let θ_i and E_i (resp. θ_i^* and E_i^*) denote the eigenvalues and the primitive idempotents of A (resp. A^*) as in Definition 3.2. Let \mathcal{D} denote the \mathbf{K} -subalgebra of $\text{End}(V)$ generated by A . By Theorem 1.4 there exists scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ in \mathbf{K} which satisfy (4), (5). We show there exist scalars ω, η, η^* in \mathbf{K} such that the sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ satisfies (6), (7). Define

$$U = A^2 A^* - \beta A A^* A + A^* A^2 - \gamma (A A^* + A^* A) - \varrho A^*. \quad (34)$$

By (4) the element U commutes with A . By this and since A is multiplicity-free we find $U \in \mathcal{D}$. Recall \mathcal{D} has a basis I, A, A^2, \dots, A^d so there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{K}[x]$ which has degree at most d and satisfies $U = f(A)$. Let h denote the degree of f . We show $h \leq 2$. To do this we assume $h > 2$ and get a contradiction. We multiply each term in $U = f(A)$ on the left by E_h^* and on the right by E_0^* . We evaluate the result using (8) and Lemma 3.5 to find $0 = \alpha E_h^* A^h E_0^*$, where α denotes the leading coefficient of f . The scalar α is nonzero by the construction and $E_h^* A^h E_0^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.5(ii). Therefore $0 \neq \alpha E_h^* A^h E_0^*$ for a contradiction. We have now shown $h \leq 2$, so there exist scalars λ, ω, η in \mathbf{K} such that $U = \lambda A^2 + \omega A + \eta I$.

For the moment assume $d=1$. In this case A^2 is linearly dependent on I, A , so λ, ω, η can be chosen such that $\lambda = \gamma^*$. Next assume $d \geq 2$. We show $\lambda = \gamma^*$. To do this, we multiply each term in $U = \lambda A^2 + \omega A + \eta I$ on the left by E_2^* and on the right by E_0^* . We evaluate the result using (8) and Lemma 3.5 to find

$$(\theta_0^* - \beta \theta_1^* + \theta_2^*) E_2^* A^2 E_0^* = \lambda E_2^* A^2 E_0^*. \quad (35)$$

Observe $E_2^* A^2 E_0^* \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.5(ii); by this and (35) we find $\theta_0^* - \beta \theta_1^* + \theta_2^* = \lambda$. Setting $i=1$ in Theorem 4.5(iii) we find $\theta_0^* - \beta \theta_1^* + \theta_2^* = \gamma^*$. We now see $\lambda = \gamma^*$.

Now $\beta, \gamma, \varrho, \gamma^*, \omega, \eta$ satisfy (6). Interchanging the roles of A and A^* in the argument so far, we find there exist scalars ω^*, η^* in \mathbf{K} such that

$$A^{*2} A - \beta A^* A A^* + A A^{*2} - \gamma^* (A^* A + A A^*) - \varrho^* A = \gamma A^{*2} + \omega^* A^* + \eta^* I. \quad (36)$$

We show $\omega^* = \omega$. We proceed as follows. We first find the commutator of each side of (6) with A^* . The result is

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 A^{*2} - \beta A A^* A A^* + \beta A^* A A^* A - A^{*2} A^2 - \gamma (A A^{*2} - A^{*2} A) \\ = \gamma^* (A^2 A^* - A^* A^2) + \omega (A A^* - A^* A). \end{aligned}$$

We next find the commutator of each side of (36) with A . The result is

$$\begin{aligned} A^{*2} A^2 - \beta A^* A A^* A + \beta A A^* A A^* - A^2 A^{*2} - \gamma^* (A^* A^2 - A^2 A^*) \\ = \gamma (A^{*2} A - A A^{*2}) + \omega^* (A^* A - A A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Adding the last two equations and simplifying the result we obtain

$$0 = (\omega - \omega^*)(AA^* - A^*A). \quad (37)$$

Observe that $AA^* \neq A^*A$ since

$$E_1^*(AA^* - A^*A)E_0^* = (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)E_1^*AE_0^* \quad (38)$$

is nonzero. By (37) and since $AA^* \neq A^*A$ we find $\omega^* = \omega$. Hence $\beta, \gamma^*, \varrho^*, \gamma, \omega, \eta^*$ satisfy (7).

We have now shown there exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (6), (7). We now assume $d \geq 3$ and show this sequence is unique. Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ denote any sequence of scalars in \mathbf{K} which satisfies (6), (7). Then the sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ satisfies (4), (5) and is therefore uniquely determined by Theorem 1.4. By (6) and since I, A are linearly independent we find ω, η are uniquely determined. By (7) we find η^* is uniquely determined. We have now shown the sequence $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ is uniquely determined. \square

We finish this section with a comment. Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension and let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (6), (7). Observe the scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*$ satisfy (i)–(v) in Theorem 4.5. Concerning ω, η, η^* we have the following.

Theorem 5.3 *Let d denote a positive integer and let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with dimension $d + 1$. Let (A, A^*) denote a Leonard pair on V . Let $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ denote a sequence of scalars taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (6), (7). Let the scalars $\theta_i, \theta_i^*, a_i, a_i^*$ be as in Definition 3.2. For notational convenience, let θ_{-1} and θ_{d+1} (resp. θ_{-1}^* and θ_{d+1}^*) denote scalars in \mathbf{K} which satisfy Theorem 4.5(ii) (resp. Theorem 4.5(iii)) for $i = 0$ and $i = d$. Then the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

$$(i) \quad \omega = a_i^*(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) + a_{i-1}^*(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-2}) - \gamma^*(\theta_i + \theta_{i-1}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

$$(ii) \quad \omega = a_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*) + a_{i-1}(\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_{i-2}^*) - \gamma(\theta_i^* + \theta_{i-1}^*), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

$$(iii) \quad \eta = a_i^*(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) - \gamma^*\theta_i^2 - \omega\theta_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

$$(iv) \quad \eta^* = a_i(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i-1}^*)(\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*) - \gamma\theta_i^{*2} - \omega\theta_i^*, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

Proof. (iii) Let i be given. We claim

$$P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = (\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}), \quad (39)$$

where P is from Definition 4.2. To verify (39) for $1 \leq i \leq d$, first eliminate θ_{i+1} using $\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = \gamma$. Evaluate the result using $P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = (2 - \beta)\theta_i^2 - 2\gamma\theta_i - \varrho$ and $P(\theta_{i-1}, \theta_i) = 0$. To verify (39) for $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$, first eliminate θ_{i-1} using $\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} = \gamma$. Evaluate the result using $P(\theta_i, \theta_i) = (2 - \beta)\theta_i^2 - 2\gamma\theta_i - \varrho$ and $P(\theta_i, \theta_{i+1}) = 0$. We now have (39). Combining (39) with (32) we obtain the desired formula.

- (iv) Similar to the proof of (iii) above.
(i) Subtract (iii) (at i) from (iii) (at $i - 1$) and simplify.
(ii) Similar to the proof of (i) above. □

6 Concluding remarks

We illustrate Theorem 1.5 by computing the Askey-Wilson relations for the Leonard pair in Example 1.3.

Example 6.1 Let (A, A^*) denote the Leonard pair from Example 1.3. Referring to that example, in the basis y_0, y_1, \dots, y_d the matrices for A and A^* have diagonal entries

$$a_i = p(d - i) + (1 - p)i, \quad \theta_i^* = -i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

In the basis $y_0^*, y_1^*, \dots, y_d^*$ the matrices for A and A^* have diagonal entries

$$\theta_i = i, \quad a_i^* = -p(d - i) - (1 - p)i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$$

Define $\beta = 2$, $\gamma = \gamma^* = 0$, $\varrho = \varrho^* = 1$, $\omega = 1 - 2p$, $\eta = pd$, $\eta^* = -pd$. One readily verifies these scalars satisfy Corollary 5.2(ii). Applying that corollary we find

$$A^2A^* - 2AA^*A + A^*A^2 - A^* = (1 - 2p)A + pdI, \quad (40)$$

$$A^{*2}A - 2A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - A = (1 - 2p)A^* - pdI. \quad (41)$$

These are the Askey-Wilson relations for (A, A^*) . □

We conclude this paper with a kind of converse to Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 6.2 *Let V denote a vector space over \mathbf{K} with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $A^* : V \rightarrow V$ denote linear transformations. Suppose that:*

- *There exists a sequence of scalars $\beta, \gamma, \gamma^*, \varrho, \varrho^*, \omega, \eta, \eta^*$ taken from \mathbf{K} which satisfies (6), (7).*
- *q is not a root of unity, where $q + q^{-1} = \beta$.*
- *Each of A and A^* is multiplicity-free.*
- *There does not exist a subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$, $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$.*

Then (A, A^) is a Leonard pair on V .*

Proof. By [30, Theorem 3.10] the pair (A, A^*) is a tridiagonal pair on V in the sense of [16]. Now by [30, Lemma 2.2] and since each of A, A^* is multiplicity-free, we find (A, A^*) is a Leonard pair on V . □

References

- [1] R. Askey and J.A. Wilson. A set of orthogonal polynomials that generalize the Racah coefficients or $6-j$ symbols. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **10** (1979) 1008–1016.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito. *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*. Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.
- [3] J. S. Caughman IV. The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite P - and Q -polynomial schemes. *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999) 65–95.
- [4] B. Curtin and K. Nomura. Distance-regular graphs related to the quantum enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **12** (2000) 25–36.
- [5] G. Gasper and M. Rahman. *Basic hypergeometric series*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. Nature of the symmetry group of the $6j$ -symbol. *Zh. Èksper. Teoret. Fiz.* **94** (1988) 49–54.
- [7] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ, I. M. Lutzenko, and A. S. Zhedanov. Mutual integrability, quadratic algebras, and dynamical symmetry. *Ann. Physics.* **217**(1) (1992) 1–20.
- [8] Ya. I. Granovskiĭ and A. S. Zhedanov. Linear covariance algebra for $sl_q(2)$. *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) L357–L359.
- [9] F. A. Grünbaum. Some bispectral musings. In: *The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, 31–45.
- [10] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. Bispectral Darboux transformations: an extension of the Krall polynomials. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **8** (1997) 359–392.
- [11] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. The q -version of a theorem of Bochner. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **68** (1996) 103–114.
- [12] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. Some functions that generalize the Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **184** (1997) 173–202.
- [13] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. On a q -analogue of the string equation and a generalization of the classical orthogonal polynomials. In: *Algebraic methods and q -special functions (Montréal, QC, 1996)* 171–181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [14] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine. The Wilson bispectral involution: some elementary examples. In: *Symmetries and integrability of difference equations (Canterbury, 1996)*, 353–369, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [15] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine, and E. Horozov. Some functions that generalize the Krall-Laguerre polynomials. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **106** (1999) 271–297.

- [16] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P - and Q -polynomial association schemes. In *Codes and association schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, 167–192, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. **56**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001.
- [17] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, submitted.
- [18] R. Koekoek and R. Swarttouw. *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analog*, volume 98-17 of *Reports of the faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics*. Delft, The Netherlands, 1998. Available at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/research.html>
- [19] H. T. Koelink. Askey-Wilson polynomials and the quantum $su(2)$ group: survey and applications. *Acta Appl. Math.* **44**(3) (1996) 295–352.
- [20] H. T. Koelink. q -Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type B . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000) 4789–4813.
- [21] H. T. Koelink and J. Van der Jeugt. Convolutions for orthogonal polynomials from Lie and quantum algebra representations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998) 794–822.
- [22] H. T. Koelink and J. Van der Jeugt. Bilinear generating functions for orthogonal polynomials. *Constr. Approx.* **15** (1999) 481–497.
- [23] T. H. Koornwinder. Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the $su(2)$ quantum group. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24** (1993) 795–813.
- [24] D. Leonard. Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **13** (1982) 656–663.
- [25] H. Rosengren. *Multivariable orthogonal polynomials as coupling coefficients for Lie and quantum algebra representations*. Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Sweden, 1999.
- [26] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **1**(4) (1992) 363–388.
- [27] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme II. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2**(1) (1993) 73–103.
- [28] P. Terwilliger. The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **2**(2) (1993) 177–210.
- [29] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **330** (2001) 149–203.
- [30] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations. In *Physics and combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, 377–398, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001

- [31] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*. **32**(2) (2002) 827–888.
- [32] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD - D and the LB - UB canonical form. *J. Algebra*, submitted.
- [33] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. OPSFA Rome 2001. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **153**(2) (2003) 463–475.
- [34] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems. *Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1109):67–79, 1999. Algebraic combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).
- [35] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition. *Indag. Math.*, submitted.
- [36] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array. *Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics 2*, Oisterwijk, The Netherlands 2002, submitted.
- [37] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs and q -Racah polynomials. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, submitted.
- [38] A. S. Zhedanov. “Hidden symmetry” of Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **89**(2) (1991) 190–204.
- [39] A. S. Zhedanov. Quantum $su_q(2)$ algebra: “Cartesian” version and overlaps. *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **7** (1992) 1589–1593.

Paul Terwilliger
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Wisconsin
 480 Lincoln Drive
 Madison, WI 53706 USA
 email: terwilli@math.wisc.edu

Raimundas Vidunas
 Dept. Mathematics and Computer Science
 RUCA, Antwerp University
 Middelheimlaan 1
 2020 Antwerp, Belgium
 email: Raimundas.Vidunas@ua.ac.be