PRODUCTS OF CHARACTERS AND FINITE p-GROUPS II

EDITH ADAN-BANTE

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Denote by $\overline{\chi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ the complex conjugate of χ . Assume that $\chi(1) = p^n$. We show that the number of distinct irreducible constituents of the product $\chi \overline{\chi}$ is at least 2n(p-1)+1.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group. Denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ the set of irreducible complex characters of G. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Define $\overline{\chi}(g)$ to be the complex conjugate $\overline{\chi(g)}$ of $\chi(g)$ for all $g \in G$. Observe that $\overline{\chi} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Through this work, we will use the notation of [2].

Let $\chi, \psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Since the product of characters is a character, $\chi \psi$ is a character of G. So it can be expressed as an integral linear combination of irreducible characters. Let $\eta(\chi, \psi)$ be the number of distinct irreducible constituents of the product $\chi \psi$.

The main result is the following

Theorem A. Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in Irr(G)$ with $\chi(1) = p^n$. Then

$$\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) \ge 2n(p-1) + 1.$$

In Proposition 6.1 we show that, given a prime p and an integer $n \geq 0$, there exist a p-group G and a character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi(1) = p^n$ and $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2n(p-1) + 1$. Thus the bound in Theorem A is optimal.

Fix an odd prime p. In [1], we considered the set of possible values that $\eta(\chi,\psi)$ can have for any finite p-group G and faithful characters $\chi,\psi\in\operatorname{Irr}(G)$. There we proved that there is a "gap" among the set of all possible values that $\eta(\chi,\psi)$ can have, namely either $\eta(\chi,\psi)=1$ or $\eta(\chi,\psi)\geq\frac{p+1}{2}$. The following theorem is another example of "gaps".

Theorem B. Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi \in Irr(G)$. Then one of the following holds:

- (i) $\chi(1) = 1$ and $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 1$.
- (ii) $\chi(1) = p$ and $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2p 1$ or p^2 .
- (iii) $\chi(1) \ge p^2$ and $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) \ge 4p 3$.

We can check that $p^2 \ge 4p-3$ if p>2. Thus Theorem B implies that if $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) < 4p-3$ and p>2, then either $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 1$ or $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 2p-1$.

Date: 2003.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 20c15.

Key words and phrases. Products of characters and nilpotent groups.

2. Notation

Given a character Θ of G, we denote by $\Xi(\Theta) = \{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \mid [\chi, \Theta] > 0\}$ the set of irreducible constituents of Θ .

Given subgroups N and M of G, and characters $\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ and $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$, we say that

$$(N, \nu) \leq (M, \mu)$$

if $N \leq M$ and $[\nu, \mu_N] \neq 0$. Similarly we say that $(N, \nu) < (M, \mu)$ if N < M and $[\nu, \mu_N] \neq 0$.

Let N be a normal subgroup of G. Let $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and $\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ be such that $(N,\nu) \leq (G,\chi)$. We denote by $G_{\nu} = \{g \in G \mid \nu^g = \nu\}$ the stabilizer of ν in G. Also we denote by $\chi_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\nu})$ the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν , i.e. the unique character $\chi_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\nu})$ such that $(\chi_{\nu})_N = \frac{\chi_{\nu}(1)}{\nu(1)}\nu$ and $(\chi_{\nu})^G = \chi$.

We set $\operatorname{Irr}(M \mod N) = \{ \mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(M) \mid \operatorname{Ker}(\mu) \geq N \}$ and $\operatorname{Lin}(G) = \{ \chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G) \mid \chi(1) = 1 \}$. The principal character of a group H is denoted by 1_H .

3. Preliminaries

In this section, we will prove a series of lemmas that will be used in the proof of Theorems A and B.

Hypotheses 3.1. Let p be a prime number and G be a finite p-group. Let $\chi \in Irr(G)$ be such that $\chi(1) = p^n$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let H be a subgroup of G and $\gamma \in Irr(H)$. Assume that $\gamma^G = \chi$. Then $\Xi((\gamma \overline{\gamma})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$.

Proof. Since $\gamma^G = \chi$, we have that $[\overline{\gamma}, \overline{\chi}_H] \neq 0$. By Exercise 5.3 of [2] we have that $(\gamma \overline{\chi}_H)^G = \chi \overline{\chi}$. Thus $\chi \overline{\chi} = (\gamma \overline{\gamma})^G + \Theta$, for some character Θ of G.

Lemma 3.3. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Assume $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi \overline{\chi})_M)$. Then for each $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(M \bmod N)$, there exists $\theta_\delta \in \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$ such that $(\theta_\delta)_M = \theta_\delta(1)\delta$. In particular, there are at least p-1 distinct elements in $\Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_N)^M - 1_M)$.

Proof. Since G is a p-group, G acts trivially on M/N. Therefore every $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(M \operatorname{mod} N)$ is G-invariant and linear. By hypothesis we have that, given $\delta \in \operatorname{Irr}(M \operatorname{mod} N)$, there exists some $\theta_{\delta} \in \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$ such that $[(\theta_{\delta})_M, \delta] \neq 0$. Since δ is G-invariant and linear, we have that $(\theta_{\delta})_M = \theta_{\delta}(1)\delta$. Thus if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(M \operatorname{mod} N)$ and $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$, then $\theta_{\delta_1} \neq \theta_{\delta_2}$.

Lemma 3.4. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ and $\nu \in \operatorname{Irr}(N)$ be characters such that $(N,\nu) < (M,\mu) \le (G,\chi)$. Assume that $G_{\mu} < G_{\nu}$. Then $|G_{\nu} : G_{\mu}| = p$ and $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\chi \overline{\chi})_M)$.

Proof. Let $\chi_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\nu})$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν . Therefore $(\chi_{\nu})_N$ is a multiple of ν . Because $G_{\mu} < G_{\nu}$ and |M/N| = p, there are p conjugates of μ , and they are all the characters of M lying above ν . Therefore $|G_{\nu}:G_{\mu}|=p$ and $(\chi_{\nu})_M$ is a multiple of the sum of these p-conjugates. The last sum is ν^M . Therefore $(\chi_{\mu})_M$ is a multiple of ν^M . Thus $\Xi(\nu^M)\subseteq\Xi((\chi_{\nu})_M)$. We conclude that $\Xi((1_N)^M)\subseteq\Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M)\subseteq\Xi((\nu^M(\overline{\nu})^M)\subseteq\Xi((\chi_{\overline{\nu}})_M)$.

Lemma 3.5. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let $N \triangleleft G$ and $\nu \in Irr(N)$ be such that $(N,\nu)<(G,\chi)$. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Assume that $M\cap G_{\nu}=N$. Set $\mu = \nu^M$. Then $\mu \in Irr(M)$, $G_\mu = G_\nu M$ and $(M, \mu) \leq (G, \chi)$. Also $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq$ $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi}).$

Proof. Since $G_{\nu} \cap M = N$, by Clifford theory it follows that $\mu = \nu^{M} \in Irr(M)$, and that μ_N is the sum of the p distinct M-conjugates of ν .

Let $\chi_{\nu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\nu})$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to ν . By Mackey's Theorem we have that $((\chi_{\nu})^{(G_{\nu}M)})_M = ((\chi_{\nu})_N)^M$. Since $((\chi_{\nu})_N)^M$ is a multiple of μ , it follows that $G_{\nu}M \leq G_{\mu}$. Since $\mu = \nu^M \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ is the unique character of M above ν and $[\chi_N, \nu] \neq 0$, we have that $[\chi_M, \mu] \neq 0$. Let $\chi_\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_\mu)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ . Observe that

$$(\chi_{\mu})_N = ((\chi_{\mu})_M)_N = (\frac{\chi(1)}{\mu(1)}\mu)_N.$$

This last expression is a multiple of the p distinct M-conjugates of ν , which must therefore be the G_{μ} -conjugates of ν . Therefore $|G_{\mu}:G_{\nu}|=p$. Since $|G_{\nu}M:G_{\nu}|=p$ and $G_{\nu}M \leq G_{\mu}$, it follows that $G_{\mu} = G_{\nu}M$.

Since $\nu^M = \mu$, by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\nu \overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu \overline{\mu})$. Observe that $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\nu\overline{\nu})^M)$ and $\Xi(\mu\overline{\mu}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M)$. Thus $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.

Lemma 3.6. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Assume also that $\chi(1) \geq p$. Set $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$. Then Z < G. Let M/Z be a chief factor of G. Let $\nu \in \text{Lin}(Z)$ be the unique character such that $\chi_Z = \chi(1)\nu$. Fix $\mu \in Irr(M)$ such that $(Z,\nu) < (M,\mu) \le$ (G,χ) . Then

- $(i) \ \Xi((1_Z)^M) \le \Xi((\chi \overline{\chi})_M).$ $(ii) \ \mu(1) = p^0 = 1 \ and \ |G: G_{\mu}| = p.$
- (iii) $\Xi((1_{G_n})^G) \leq \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi}).$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Ker(\chi) = 1$ and thus Z = 1 $\mathbf{Z}(\chi) = \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Since $\chi(1) > 1$, we have that Z < G. Since $Z = \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$ and |M:Z|=p, it follows that $(\mu)_Z=\nu$. Observe that $\chi_M\neq\chi(1)\mu$ since M> $Z={f Z}(\chi).$ Thus $G_{\mu} < G=G_{\nu}.$ Observe that (i) and (ii) follow by Lemma 3.4. Let $\chi_{\mu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(G_{\mu})$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ . Then $(\chi_{\mu})^G = \chi$ and by Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\chi_{\mu}\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Therefore $\Xi((1_{G_{\mu}})^G) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_{\mu}\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ and (iii) follows.

Lemma 3.7. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let M/N be a chief factor of G. Let $\nu \in$ $\operatorname{Irr}(N)$ be such that $[\nu, \chi_N] \neq 0$. Assume that $\nu^M = \mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$. Then $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq$ $\Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_M).$

Proof. Observe that $[\mu, \chi_M] \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2 we have that $\Xi((\nu \overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu \overline{\mu})$. Thus $\Xi((1_N)^M) \subseteq \Xi((\nu \overline{\nu})^M) \subseteq \Xi(\mu \overline{\mu})$. Since $\Xi(\mu \overline{\mu}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi \overline{\chi})_M)$, the result follows.

4. Theorem A

Hypotheses 4.1. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Let $1 = N_0 < N_1 < \cdots < N_j < \cdots < \infty$ $N_t = G$ be a composition series of G. Pick $\nu_j \in Irr(N_j)$, for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, t$, such that

$$(4.2) (1,1_1) = (N_0, \nu_0) < (N_1, \nu_1) < \dots < (N_i, \nu_i) < \dots < (N_t, \nu_t) = (G, \chi).$$

Definition 4.3. Assume Hypotheses 4.1. Fix i = 1, ..., t. We say that the chain (4.2) is stable at i if $\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$ and $G_{\nu_{i-1}} = G_{\nu_i}$. Otherwise we say that that chain is **unstable at** i.

Lemma 4.4. Assume Hypotheses 4.1. Fix i = 1, ..., t. If the chain (4.2) is unstable at i, then $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Also one of the following holds:

(a)
$$\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$$
, $G_{\nu_i} < G_{\nu_{i-1}}$ and $|G_{\nu_{i-1}} : G_{\nu_i}| = p$.

(a)
$$\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$$
, $G_{\nu_i} < G_{\nu_{i-1}}$ and $|G_{\nu_{i-1}} : G_{\nu_i}| = p$.
(b) $\nu_i = (\nu_{i-1})^{N_i}$ and $G_{\nu_i} = G_{\nu_{i-1}}N_i$. Thus $|G_{\nu_i} : G_{\nu_{i-1}}| = p$.

Proof. Since N_i/N_{i-1} is a chief factor of a p-group, it is cyclic of order p. Either ν_{i-1} extends to N_i , or $(\nu_{i-1})^{N_i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(N_i)$.

Assume that ν_{i-1} extends to N_i . Since N_i/N_{i-1} is a cyclic group, it follows that $\nu_{i-1} = (\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}$. Observe that $(\nu_i)^g = \nu_i$ implies that $(\nu_{i-1})^g = \nu_{i-1}$ for any g in G. Thus $G_{\nu_i} \leq G_{\nu_{i-1}}$. Since the chain is unstable at i, we have that $G_{\nu_i} < G_{\nu_{i-1}}$. By Lemma 3.4 we have that $|G_{\nu_{i-1}}:G_{\nu_i}|=p$ and $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i})\subseteq\Xi((\chi\overline{\chi})_{N_i})$. Hence the lemma holds with option (a).

We may assume now that $(\nu_{i-1})^{N_i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(N_i)$. Since $[(\nu_i)_{N_{i-1}}, \nu_{i-1}] \neq 0$, we have that that $\nu_i = (\nu_{i-1})^{N_i}$. Also $G_{\nu_{i-1}} \cap N_i = N_{i-1}$. By Lemma 3.5 we have that $G_{\nu_i} = G_{\nu_{i-1}} N_i$ and $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$. So $|G_{\nu_i} : G_{\nu_{i-1}}| = |N_i : G_{\nu_{i-1}} \cap$ $N_i = |N_i| : N_{i-1} = p$. Hence the lemma holds with option (b) and the proof is complete.

Lemma 4.5. Assume Hypotheses 4.1. For i = 1, ..., t, let r_i and s_i be such that $p^{r_i} = |G: G_{\nu_i}| \text{ and } p^{s_i} = \nu_i(1). \text{ Set}$

 $m_i = |\{k \mid 1 \le k \le i \text{ and the chain } (4.2) \text{ is unstable at } k \}|.$ (4.6)

Then for any $i = 1, \ldots, t$

- (i) $\Xi((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}) \subseteq \Xi((\chi \overline{\chi})_{N_i})$ if the chain is unstable at i.
- (ii) $m_i = 2s_i + r_i$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 we have that (i) holds. It remains to prove that (ii) also holds.

Since G is a p-group and $N_1/1$ is a chief factor of G, we have that $N_1 \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Therefore $p^{r_1} = |G:G_{\nu_1}| = |G:G| = 1$ and $p^{s_1} = \nu_1(1) = 1$. Thus $r_1 = 0$ and $s_1 = 0$. Since i is stable, we have that $m_1 = 0$. So (ii) holds for i = 1.

We may assume by induction that we have some integer j = 2, ..., t such that the lemma holds for any $i \leq j-1$. Either the chain is stable at j, or it is unstable

If the chain is stable at j, then by (4.6) we have that $m_i = m_{i-1}$. Also $p^{r_j} =$ $|G:G_{\nu_j}|=|G:G_{\nu_{j-1}}|=p^{r_{j-1}}$ and $p^{s_j}=\nu_j(1)=\nu_{j-1}(1)=p^{s_{j-1}}.$ Thus

$$m_i = m_{i-1} = 2s_{i-1} + r_{i-1} = 2s_i + r_i.$$

So (ii) holds for i = j.

Now we may assume that the chain is unstable at j. By (4.6) we have that $m_j = m_{j-1} + 1$. Also either (a) or (b) of Lemma 4.4 holds. If (a) holds, then $p^{r_j} = |G: G_{\nu_j}| = p|G: G_{\nu_{j-1}}| = p^{r_{j-1}+1}$ and $p^{s_j} = \nu_j(1) = \nu_{j-1}(1) = p^{s_{j-1}}$. Thus

$$m_i = m_{i-1} + 1 = 2s_{i-1} + r_{i-1} + 1 = 2s_i + r_i$$
.

So (ii) holds.

Finally, assume that Lemma 4.4 (b) holds. Thus $pp^{r_j} = p|G: G_{\nu_j}| = |G: G_{\nu_{j-1}}| = p^{r_{j-1}}$. Therefore $r_j = r_{j-1} - 1$. Since $p^{s_j} = \nu_j(1) = p\nu_{j-1}(1) = pp^{s_{j-1}}$, we have that $s_j = s_{j-1} + 1$ and

$$m_i = m_{i-1} + 1 = 2s_{i-1} + r_{i-1} + 1 = (2s_{i-1} + 2) + (r_{i-1} - 1) = 2s_i + r_i.$$

So (ii) holds and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem A. We may assume that Hypotheses 4.1 hold.

Fix $i=1,\ldots,t$. Let $\theta\in\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ be a character that lies above an irreducible constituent of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}-1_{N_i})$. If j>i, then $[\theta_{N_j},\delta]=0$ for any $\delta\in\operatorname{Irr}(N_j\operatorname{mod}N_{j-1})$. Otherwise $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta)\geq N_{j-1}\geq N_i$. Therefore $[1_{N_{j-1}},\theta_{N_{j-1}}]\neq 0$. Since $N_{j-1}\leq G$, we have that $N_{j-1}\leq\operatorname{Ker}(\theta)$. Thus $\theta_{N_i}=\theta(1)1_{N_i}$ and θ does not lie above an irreducible constituent of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}-1_{N_i})$. If j< i then $\theta_{N_j}=\theta(1)1_{N_j}$. We conclude that for any $i,j=1,\ldots t$ with $i\neq j$, the elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}-1_{N_i})$ are distinct from the elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i}-1_{N_i})$.

Using the notation of Lemma 4.5, we have that $s_t = n$ and $r_t = 0$. Thus $m_t = 2n$ by Lemma 4.5 (ii). If the chain is unstable at $i = 1, \ldots, t$, then by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.5 (i) we have that there are at least p-1 non-principal distinct elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ lying above irreducible constituents of $((1_{N_{i-1}})^{N_i} - 1_{N_i})$. Therefore, by the previous paragraph there are at least $m_t(p-1)$ distinct non-principal elements of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. Since 1_G is an element of $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$, we conclude that $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ has at least $m_t(p-1)+1=2n(p-1)+1$ distinct elements.

A corollary of Theorem A is

Corollary 4.7. Let p be a prime number. Let G be a finite p-group and $\chi, \psi \in Irr(G)$ with $\chi(1) = p^n$. Assume that there exists $\alpha \in Lin(G)$ such that $[\alpha, \chi\psi] \neq 0$. Then

$$\eta(\chi, \psi) \ge 2n(p-1) + 1.$$

Proof. Observe that $[\chi\psi,\alpha] = [\psi,\alpha\overline{\chi}] \neq 0$. Since $\alpha(1) = 1$, we have that $\psi = \alpha\overline{\chi}$. Let $\theta_1,\theta_2 \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$. Observe that $\alpha\theta_1 = \alpha\theta_2$ if and only if $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Also observe that $[\theta_1,\chi\overline{\chi}] = [\alpha\theta_1,\chi\psi]$. Since $\alpha\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ for any $\theta \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$, it follows that $\eta(\chi,\psi) = \eta(\chi,\overline{\chi})$. Applying Theorem A, we get that $\eta(\chi,\psi) \geq 2n(p-1) + 1$. \square

Let p be an odd prime and n>0 be an integer. Let E be an extra-special group of order p^{2n+1} and exponent p. Let $\chi\in\operatorname{Irr}(E)$ be such that $\chi(1)=p^n$. Since p>2, we can choose $\psi\in\operatorname{Irr}(E)$ such that $\psi(1)=p^n$ and $\psi\neq\overline{\chi}$. We can check that $\eta(\chi,\psi)=1$. Thus Corollary 4.7 does not remain true without the existence of $\alpha\in\operatorname{Lin}(G)$ such that $[\chi\psi,\alpha]\neq 0$.

5. Theorem B

Lemma 5.1. Assume Hypotheses 3.1. Assume also that $\chi(1) = p$. Let $\theta \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $[\theta, \chi \overline{\chi}] \neq 0$. Then $[\theta, \chi \overline{\chi}] = 1$ and one of the following holds:

- (i) $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2p 1$ and $\chi \overline{\chi}$ is the sum of p distinct linear characters and p 1 distinct irreducible characters of degree p.
 - (ii) $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p^2$ and $\chi \overline{\chi}$ is the sum of p^2 distinct linear characters.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi) = 1$. Set $Z = \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Let M/Z be a chief factor of G. Let $\nu \in \operatorname{Lin}(Z)$ be the unique character of Z such that $\chi_Z = \chi(1)\nu$. Let $\mu \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$ be such that $(Z,\nu) < (M,\mu) \le (G,\chi)$. Set $H = G_{\mu}$. Let $\chi_{\mu} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ be the Clifford correspondent of χ with respect to μ . By Lemma 3.6 (ii) we have that |G:H| = p.

Since $\chi(1) = p$, |G: H| = p, $\chi_{\mu} \in Irr(H)$ and $(\chi_{\mu})^G = \chi$, we have that $\chi_{\mu}(1) = 1$. By Exercise 2.8 of [2] we have that H is an abelian subgroup of G since χ is faithful and H is normal.

Fix $g \in G \setminus H$. Since H is normal and $(\chi_{\mu})^G = \chi$, we have that

$$(5.2) (\chi \overline{\chi})_H = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (\chi_{\mu})^{g^i} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} [\chi_{\mu} (\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j}]^{g^i}.$$

Consider the set $\Omega = \{\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j} \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Observe that the elements of Ω are linear characters of H and Ω has exactly p elements. Also observe that, given $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, the product $(\chi_{\mu})^{g^i}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ is G-conjugate to an element in Ω .

Claim 5.3. Assume that for some $s \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$, the character $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant. Then for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, the character $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$ is G-invariant. Thus the set $\Xi((\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G)$ contains p linear characters. Also $\Xi((\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G)$ and $\Xi((\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G)$ are disjoint sets for all $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ with $i \neq j$. In particular, the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ contains p^2 distinct elements, all of which are linear characters. Thus $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = p^2$ and Lemma 5.1 (ii) holds.

Proof. Since $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant, $K = \text{Ker}(\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^s})$ is a normal subgroup of G with $Z \leq K \leq H$.

Suppose that K > Z. We choose $L \le K$ such that L/Z is a chief factor of G. Let $\beta = (\chi_{\mu})_L$. Observe that $\beta \in \text{Lin}(L)$ since $\chi_{\mu}(1) = 1$. If $G_{\beta} = G$, then $\chi_L = \chi(1)\beta$ and therefore $L \le \mathbf{Z}(\chi) = Z$. Since L/Z is a chief factor of G, we conclude that $G_{\beta} = H$. Observe that $(\chi_{\mu})_L^{g^s} = \beta^{g^s} \ne \beta$ since $g^s \in G \setminus H$. Thus $L \not\le K$ since $\beta \overline{\beta^{g^s}} \ne 1_L$. We conclude that K = Z.

Now $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^s}$ is a G-invariant linear character with kernel K=Z. So G centralizes H/Z. Hence all the characters in $\operatorname{Irr}(H \operatorname{mod} Z)$ are G-invariant. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi \overline{\chi}) = Z$, we have that $\operatorname{Ker}(\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}) \geq Z$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Thus the character $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i} \in \operatorname{Lin}(H)$ is G-invariant for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$.

Since $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$ is G-invariant, for $i=0,1,\ldots,p-1$, and |G:H|=p, it follows that $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$ extends to G and $(\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G$ is the sum of the p distinct extensions of $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$. Since $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i} \neq \chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j}$ for any $i,j=0,1,\ldots,p-1$ with $i\neq j$, the set of extensions of $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$ is disjoint from the set of extensions of $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^j}$. Since $((\chi_{\mu})^{g^i})^G = \chi$ for any $i=0,1,\ldots,p-1$, we have that $\Xi((\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G) \subseteq \Xi((\chi_{\mu})^G((\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G) = \Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$. We conclude that the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$ has at least $p \times p = p^2$ distinct elements. Since $\chi(1)=p$, it follows that $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi})=p^2$.

If for some $s=1,\ldots,p-1$, the character $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^s}$ is G-invariant, the lemma follows by Claim 5.3.

Now we assume that none of the characters $\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i}$, for $i=1,\ldots,p-1$, is G-invariant. Since |G:H|=p, it follows that $(\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ and

 $(\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G(1) = p \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p-1. \text{ By } (5.2) \text{ we have } [(\chi \overline{\chi})_H, \chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})] \neq 0.$ Thus

$$[\chi \overline{\chi}, (\chi_{\mu}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G] \neq 0.$$

We conclude that the set $\{((\chi_{\mu})^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G \mid i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \text{ and } i \neq j\}$ has at most p-1 characters, all of which are irreducible characters of degree p, and lying in the set $\Xi(\chi\overline{\chi})$.

Since $\chi_{\mu}^{G} = \chi$, by Lemma 3.5 we have that $\Xi((1_H)^G) \subseteq \Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$. Observe that $(1_H)^G$ has p distinct irreducible constituents. Observe also that $\Xi((1_H)^G)$ and $\{((\chi_{\mu})^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i})^G \mid i,j=0,1,\ldots,p-1 \text{ and } i\neq j\}$ are disjoint sets since $(\chi_{\mu})^{g^j}(\overline{\chi_{\mu}})^{g^i} \neq 1_H$ for any $i,j=0,1,\ldots,p-1$ with $i\neq j$. We conclude that the set $\Xi(\chi \overline{\chi})$ has at most 2p-1 members, i.e. $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) \leq 2p-1$. By Theorem A we have that $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) \geq 2p-1$ and therefore $\eta(\chi,\overline{\chi}) = 2p-1$. Since $(p-1)p+p=p^2$, we have that all the irreducible constituents of $\chi \overline{\chi}$ appear with multiplicity 1 and have the right degree. So the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem B. Theorem B follows from Lemma 5.1 and Theorem A. \Box

6. Examples

Proposition 6.1. Let p be a prime number and $n \ge 0$ be an integer. There exist a finite p-group G and a character $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ such that $\chi \ne \overline{\chi}$, $\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = 2n(p-1)+1$ and $\chi(1) = p^n$.

Proof. The statement is clearly true for n=0. So we may assume that n>0. By induction on n, we may assume that there exist a finite p-group A and a character $\alpha \in \operatorname{Irr}(A)$ such that $\alpha \neq \overline{\alpha}$, $\eta(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) = 2(n-1)(p-1) + 1$ and $\alpha(1) = p^{n-1}$.

Let $C = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ be the additive group of integers modulo p. Let $H = A \times \cdots \times A$ be the direct product of p copies of A. Let γ be a generator of C. Define the action of γ in H by

$$(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1})^{\gamma} = (a_{p-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{p-2})$$

for any $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{p-1} \in A$.

Let G be the semi-direct product of H and C. Then G is the wreath product $A \wr C$ of A by C.

For i = 0, ..., p-1, set $\theta_i((a_0, a_1, ..., a_{p-1})) = \alpha(a_i)$. Observe that $\theta_i \in Irr(H)$. Also observe that the stabilizer of θ_0 is H. Thus $\chi = \theta_0^G \in Irr(G)$ and $\chi(1) = p\theta_0(1) = p\alpha(1) = p^n$.

Claim 6.2. $\chi \neq \overline{\chi}$.

Proof. Since $\alpha \neq \overline{\alpha}$, there exists some $a \in A$ such that $\alpha(a) \neq \overline{\alpha(a)}$. Observe that

$$\chi((a, 1, \dots, 1)) = \theta_0((a, 1, \dots, 1)) + \theta_0((1, a, \dots, 1) + \dots + \theta_0((1, 1, \dots, a))$$

$$= \alpha(a) + \alpha(1) + \dots + \alpha(1)$$

$$= \alpha(a) + (p - 1)\alpha(1)$$

$$\neq \overline{\alpha(a) + (p - 1)\alpha(1)}$$

$$= \overline{\chi((a, 1, \dots, 1))},$$

and the claim follows.

Observe that $\chi_H = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \theta_i$. Consider the set $\Omega = \{\theta_0 \overline{\theta_k} \mid k = 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Observe that for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$, $i \neq j$, we have that $\theta_i \overline{\theta_j} \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ and $\underline{\theta_i} \overline{\theta_j}$ is G-conjugate to the character $\theta_0 \overline{\theta_k}$, where $k \cong j - i \mod p$. Since $\theta_0 \overline{\theta_i} \neq \theta_0 \overline{\theta_j}$ if $i \neq j$, the set Ω has p-1 distinct elements.

For any $i=1,\ldots,p-1$, the character $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i}$ is not G-invariant. Otherwise $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i}=\underline{\alpha}\times 1_A\times\cdots\times 1_A\times \overline{\alpha}\times 1_A\times\cdots\times 1_A$, where $\overline{\alpha}$ is in the i position, is equal to $\overline{\theta_0}\theta_{p-i}=\overline{\alpha}\times 1_A\times\cdots\times 1_A\times\alpha\times 1_A\times\cdots\times 1_A$, where α is in the p-i position. Hence $\alpha=\overline{\alpha}$, a contradiction with our hypothesis. Since |G:H|=p and $\theta_0\overline{\theta_i}\in \mathrm{Lin}(H)$ is not G-invariant, for $i=1,\ldots,p-1$, it follows that $(\theta_0\overline{\theta_i})^G\in\mathrm{Irr}(G)$. Therefore the set $\{(\theta_0\overline{\theta_i})^G\mid i=1,\ldots,p-1\}=\{(\theta_i\overline{\theta_j})^G\mid i,j=0,1,\ldots,p-1 \text{ and } i\neq j\}$ has exactly p-1 distinct irreducible characters.

Since $\theta_0 \overline{\theta_0} = \alpha \overline{\alpha} \times 1_A \times \cdots \times 1_A$ and $\eta(\alpha, \overline{\alpha}) = 2(n-1)(p-1)+1$, it follows that $\theta_0 \overline{\theta_0}$ has 2(n-1)(p-1)+1 distinct irreducible constituents. Among those constituents, only the character 1_H is G-invariant. It follows that $(\theta_0 \overline{\theta_0})^G$ has exactly p + 2(n-1)(p-1) distinct irreducible constituents.

We conclude that
$$\eta(\chi, \overline{\chi}) = p + 2(n-1)(p-1) + p - 1 = 2n(p-1) + 1$$
.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor Everett C. Dade for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] E. Adan-Bante, Products of characters and finite p-groups, submitted.
- [2] I. M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups. New York-San Francisco-London: Academic Press 1976.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, $61801\,$

E-mail address: adanbant@math.uiuc.edu