

COMPUTATIONS WITH MODULI OF PERVERSE POINT SHEAVES

DAN ABRAMOVICH AND JIUN C. CHEN

1. INTRODUCTION

We work with schemes of finite type over \mathbb{C} .

1.1. Setup and Bridgeland's results. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective birational contraction satisfying the following two assumptions:

- (B1) $\mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$, and
- (B2) $\dim f^{-1}\{z\} \leq 1$ for every $z \in Y$.

In [3], T. Bridgeland constructs a moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X/Y)$ of *perverse point sheaves* on X/Y . This moduli space admits a natural morphism $\mathcal{M}(X/Y) \rightarrow Y$, and there is an irreducible component $W(X/Y) \subset \mathcal{M}(X/Y)$ - the main component of $\mathcal{M}(X/Y)$ - such that $W(X/Y) \rightarrow Y$ is birational.

In the main result of [3], one further assumes that X is a smooth threefold and $X \rightarrow Y$ is a flopping contraction. In this situation it is shown that $W(X/Y) \rightarrow Y$ is isomorphic to the flop $X^+ \rightarrow Y$, and further that the correspondence given by the universal perverse point sheaf gives an equivalence of derived categories $D_{coh}(X^+) \rightarrow D_{coh}(X)$. This result was generalized by the second author in [4] to the case where X is a terminal Gorenstein threefold. Further results on equivalence of derived categories were proven by Bondal and Orlov [2], Kawamata, e.g. [6] and others.

In this note we collect some results orthogonal to the derived category discussion about Bridgeland's moduli space of perverse point sheaves. Some of these are used in the paper [?], to which this note is a companion.

1.2. The main result. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective birational contraction, and let M be an f -ample line bundle on X . If the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -algebras

$$A^+ := \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} f_* M^i$$

is finitely generated, we denote

$$X^+ = \mathcal{P}roj_Y A^+.$$

As part of the minimal model program, it is conjectured that $X^+ \rightarrow Y$ exists, in other words, A^+ is finitely generated, whenever f is a flipping or flopping contraction (or, more generally, a log-flipping contraction with respect to a suitable divisor); in such situation $X^+ \rightarrow Y$ is called the flip, respectively flop, of $X \rightarrow Y$.

Now assume $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies conditions (B1)-(B2) above. It is tempting to ask if $W(X/Y)$ is always isomorphic to X^+ , or, at least, for criteria for comparing $W(X/Y)$ with X^+ . It turns out that the *normalization* W^n of $W(X/Y)$ is more approachable.

Date: November 21, 2018.

Research of D.A. partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0070970.

The first result concentrates on the case when $X \rightarrow Y$ and $W \rightarrow Y$ do not contract divisors:

Proposition 1.2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a birational morphism satisfying (B1) and (B2) above. Let M be an f -ample invertible sheaf. Denote by W the main component of the moduli space of perverse point sheaves on X/Y , and let $W^n \rightarrow W$ be the normalization.*

Assume further that X and Y are normal algebraic varieties and the exceptional loci of $X \rightarrow Y$ and $W \rightarrow Y$ have codimension > 1 . Then

$$W^n = \mathcal{P}roj_Y \bigoplus_{i \leq 0} M^i.$$

We denote by U the open set where $X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. The following *flatness criterion* has been applied in [4]:

Proposition 1.2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective birational morphism of normal varieties, satisfying (B1)-(B2) above.*

Let $W \rightarrow Y$ be the main component of the moduli space of perverse point sheaves on X/Y . Then

- (1) *the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{X \times_Y W}$ of the fibered product $X \times_Y W$ inside $X \times W$ is flat over W ,*
- (2) *the universal perverse point sheaf on $X \times W$ is the structure sheaf of the fibered product $X \times_Y W$, and*
- (3) *if V is a scheme and $h : V \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that every associated component of V intersects $h^{-1}U$, then h factors through $W \rightarrow Y$ if and only if the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{X \times_Y V}$ of the fibered product $X \times_Y V$ in $X \times V$ is flat over V .*

Combining the two propositions we obtain:

Corollary 1.2.3. *let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a small birational contraction. Assume X^+ exists and the ideal sheaf of $X \times_Y X^+$ is flat over X^+ . Then $W^n = X^+$.*

1.3. Computations. To illustrate the possible applications of our propositions we use our results to test some basic cases of birational contractions.

The first case of interest is that of a surface contraction $X \rightarrow Y$. This case does not fall under Proposition 1.2.1, but we can still use the flatness criterion 1.2.2 to study the situation.

We have the following basic cases:

Proposition 1.3.1. (1) *Suppose $X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper birational map between smooth surfaces. Then $W = Y$.*

- (2) *Suppose $X \rightarrow Y$ is a contraction of an irreducible (-2)-curve on a smooth surface X . Then W^n is isomorphic to X .*

We remark that in case (2) above, and indeed for an arbitrary crepant contraction of surfaces with canonical singularities, the results of [4] imply that W is isomorphic to X .

The first case of a threefold flip is described in [5], page 39: it is the Francia flip $X_n \rightarrow Y_n \leftarrow X_n^+$ of index n .

We have:

Proposition 1.3.2. (1) $W^n(X_n/Y_n) = X_n^+$.

- (2) *The morphism $X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ does not factor through the moduli space $W(X_2^+/Y_2)$.*

Part (2) is a natural example of a log-flip (i.e. the inverse of the Francia flip) which is not directly related to Bridgeland's construction. It should not be difficult to show that the same holds when 2 is replaced by $n > 1$.

In higher dimensions we have:

Proposition 1.3.3. *Let Y be the cone over the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^k$. Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be the small resolution having \mathbb{P}^1 as the exceptional locus. Then $W^n(X/Y) = X^+$, the other small resolution.*

1.4. Related work.

- (1) In [4], the second author treats the case of a terminal treefold flopping contraction $X \rightarrow Y$ with hypersurface singularities. In that case the full analogue of Bridgeland's theorem is shown.
- (2) In [1], the Francia flip of index n is treated in detail. It is shown that by endowing X^- with a natural smooth stack structure \mathcal{X}^- , and using perverse point sheaves of perversity $(-1, 0)$ instead of the definition of [3], one gets an isomorphism $W^{(-1,0)}(\mathcal{X}^-/Y) = X^+$ and a fully faithful functor on derived categories $D_{coh}(X^+) \rightarrow D_{coh}(\mathcal{X})$.
- (3) In [6] the general case of a toric flip is considered without moduli spaces. It is shown that, again using natural orbifold (or stack) structures, that, in a wide variety of cases one obtains a fully faithful functor of derived categories.

1.5. Acknowledgements. We thank Tom Bridgeland, David Eisenbud and Johan de Jong for helpful discussions.

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2.1

2.1. Perverse point sheaves and perverse ideal sheaves. In [3], the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X/Y)$ is defined via an exotic t -structure on $D_{coh}(X)$, whose heart is the category of perverse coherent sheaves $Per(X/Y)$. However, for what we say below we only need the following:

Definition 2.1.1. A *perverse point sheaf* (PPS) for \mathcal{X}/Y is a complex $E = [F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}]$, with \mathcal{O} positioned in degree 0, where

- (1) the sheaf $f_*(F)$ is an ideal sheaf of a point in Y , with an embedding $f_*(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ induced by pushforward from $E = [F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}]$, and
- (2) the natural map $f^*f_*(F) \rightarrow F$ is surjective.

The sheaf F is called *the perverse point-ideal sheaf* (PPIS) associated to the PPS E .

We note that, by the naturality of f^* and the fact that $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ we have that the composition of $f^*f_*(F) \rightarrow F$ with the given $F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ coincides with the map $f^*f_*(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ obtained by pulling back $f_*(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$.

2.2. Brief account of Bridgeland's construction. In [3], section 5, the moduli space of perverse point sheaves is constructed as the moduli space of the corresponding perverse *point-ideal* sheaves using geometric invariant theory. Let $U \subset X$ be the open set where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism, and pick a point $x \in U$. The maximal ideal sheaf $F_0 = I_x$ is a perverse point-ideal sheaf associated to the perverse point sheaf \mathcal{O}_x . Pick an ample line bundle L_Y on Y , and write $L = f^*L_Y$. One considers the vector space

$$V = Hom(L_Y^{-1}, f_*F_0) = H^0(Y, L_Y \otimes f_*F_0).$$

It is shown that every perverse point-ideal sheaf F is a quotient of $V \otimes L$. Such a quotient is characterised by the surjective linear map

$$H^0(X, V \otimes L \otimes M^n) \rightarrow H^0(X, F \otimes M^n),$$

for a sufficiently large integer n . Such a map corresponds to a point in a Grassmannian \mathbb{G} . It is shown that, for large n , the moduli space is the GIT quotient of the set of semistable points \mathcal{Z} on a closed subset $\overline{\mathcal{Z}} \subset \mathbb{G}$, linearised through the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ of the Plücker embedding $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. All these semistable points are strictly stable.

2.3. Comparison of line bundles. Denote by $(\mathbb{P}^N)^s$ the locus of semistable points, and similarly $\mathbb{G}^s \subset \mathbb{G}$. We use the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \overline{\mathcal{Z}} & \subset & \mathbb{G} & \subset & \mathbb{P}^N \\ \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\ \mathcal{Z} & \subset & \mathbb{G}^s & \subset & (\mathbb{P}^N)^s \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}(X/Y) & \xrightarrow{i} & & & \mathbf{Q} \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{Q} is the projective spectrum of the ring of invariant sections on \mathbb{P}^N . By construction we have that the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1)$ to $(\mathbb{P}^N)^s$ is the same as the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$.

We keep the notation $U \subset X$ for the open set where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. There is a natural embedding $j : U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X/Y)$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Consider the composite morphism*

$$h = i \circ j : U \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}.$$

Then there is an integer k and an isomorphism

$$h^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}}(1) \simeq j^*(L^k \otimes M^{-n}).$$

Proof. The universal perverse ideal sheaf on U is the ideal sheaf of the graph Γ_j of $j : U \rightarrow X$ in $U \times X$. Denote the two projections by $\pi_U : U \times X \rightarrow U$ and $\pi_X : U \times X \rightarrow X$. Since L_Y is sufficiently ample and f is isomorphic over U , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{U*}(I_{\Gamma_j} \otimes \pi_X^* L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \otimes H^0(X, L) \rightarrow j^* L \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote the sheaf on the left hand side of the sequence

$$\mathcal{V} := \pi_{U*}(I_{\Gamma_j} \otimes \pi_X^* L)$$

and its rank by $\mathbf{m} = \text{Rank } \mathcal{V}$. It follows that $c_1(\mathcal{V}) = j^* L^{-1}$.

Let \mathbb{H} be the total space of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_U^{\mathbf{m}}, \mathcal{V})$ and $g : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow U$ the natural projection. There is a tautological determinant section $s \in H^0(\mathbb{H}, g^* L^{-1})$, whose non-zero locus is the principal frame bundle of \mathcal{V} :

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{H} \setminus \text{div}(s) \subset \mathbb{H},$$

on which \mathcal{V} is trivialized. Note the isomorphism of invertible sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}}(\text{div}(s)) \simeq g^* L^{-1}$.

The morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ lifts to a morphism $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ through the quotient

$$g^*(\mathcal{V} \otimes \pi_{U*} \pi_X^*(L \otimes M^n)) \rightarrow g^* \pi_{U*}(I_{\Gamma_j} \otimes \pi_X^* M^n).$$

The pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)$ is the determinant of $g^* \pi_{U*}(I_{\Gamma_j} \otimes \pi_X^* M^n)$. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_{U*}(I_{\Gamma_j} \otimes \pi_X^* M^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U \otimes H^0(X, M^n) \rightarrow j^* M \rightarrow 0$$

we see that

$$g^*h^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1) \simeq g^*j^*M^{-n}.$$

Since $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow U$ is an affine bundle we have an isomorphism $g^* : \mathbf{Pic}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Pic}(\mathbb{H})$, and the excision sequence gives

$$\text{Pic}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbf{Pic}(\mathbb{H}) / \mathbb{Z} \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{H}}(\text{div}(s))] = \mathbf{Pic}(U) / \mathbb{Z} \cdot [j^*L].$$

The Lemma follows. ♣

2.4. Conclusion of proof. Since $X \setminus U$ has codimension ≥ 2 and X is normal, every section of $(M^{-n} \otimes L^k)^i|_U$ extends to a section on X , and similarly for W^n . The proposition follows. ♣

3. THE FLATNESS CRITERION

3.1. Torsion-freeness of flat sheaves. We say that an open subscheme $U \subset W$ is schematically dense if it contains all associated primes of W . We use the following well-known lemma:

Lemma 3.1.1. *Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow W$ be a morphism of schemes, and let Q be a coherent sheaf on Z , flat over W . Assume there is a schematically dense open subscheme $U \subset W$ such that $Q_{\pi^{-1}U}$ is torsion-free. Then Q is torsion-free.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. The question is local, so we may replace Z and W by affine schemes. If s is a torsion section of Q , then the image $T \subset W$ of its support is disjoint from the dense open U . Let f be a function on W which vanishes on T . Since U is schematically dense, f is not a zero divisor in \mathcal{O}_W , thus the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V(f)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since Q is flat we have that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{f} Q \rightarrow Q_{V(f)} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. But s is in the kernel of the homomorphism $Q \xrightarrow{f} Q$, which is a contradiction. ♣

3.2. Proof of the proposition. Let $[F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}]$ be the universal perverse point sheaf. The sheaf F is flat over W by definition. Over the open set U , this coincides with the natural embedding $[I_{\Gamma_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U \times X}]$, and the sheaf I_{Γ_j} is clearly torsion free. By the lemma F is torsion free. Also, since $[I_{\Gamma_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U \times X}]$ is injective, the kernel of $F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}$ is torsion, therefore it vanishes. Thus $F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}$ is injective and F is an ideal sheaf. The pushforward $(id \times f)_*F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times Y}$ is the ideal sheaf of the graph of $W \rightarrow X$, thus the image of the pullback

$$(id \times f)^*(id \times f)_*F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}$$

is the ideal sheaf of $W \times_Y X$. But, as we have seen in 2.1, this sheaf homomorphism factors through $F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}$. Since the latter is injective we have that F coincides with the ideal sheaf of $W \times_Y X$, which proves (1). Part (2) of the proposition follows since $[I_{W \times_Y X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{W \times X}] = [\mathcal{O}_{W \times_Y X}]$ in the derived category.

We show the ‘‘only if’’ implication of part (3): suppose $Z \rightarrow W$ is given such that the composite $Z \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the assumption in part (3). The pullback of $F = I_{W \times_Y X}$ to $Z \times X$ is flat over Z , by the lemma it is torsion free, and as above it injects to $\mathcal{O}_{Z \times X}$, therefore it is an ideal sheaf, which coincides with the ideal of $Z \times_Y X$ by right exactness of the tensor product.

Assume conversely that $I_{Z \times_Y X}$ is flat over Z . Its direct image on $Z \times Y$ is the ideal $I_{\Gamma_{Z \rightarrow Y}}$ of the graph of $Z \rightarrow Y$, and by definition the inverse image of $I_{\Gamma_{Z \rightarrow Y}}$ surjects onto $I_{Z \times_Y X}$. Thus $I_{Z \times_Y X}$ is a perverse point-ideal sheaf, and therefore $Z \rightarrow Y$ factors through W , proving the “if” implication of part (3). \clubsuit

4. SURFACE CONTRACTIONS AND SEGRE CONES

We now address Proposition 1.3.1.

4.1. Smooth surface contractions. First let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction of smooth surfaces. By the flatness criterion, to show that $W = Y$ it suffices to show that the ideal of the graph of f in $X \times Y$ is flat over Y . The problem being local in the étale topology, we may assume $Y = \mathbb{A}^2$, with coordinates x, y , and $X = \mathbb{A}^2$, with coordinates u, v , with $x = f_1(u, v)$ and $y = f_2(u, v)$. Then the ideal I is generated by

$$x - f_1(u, v), \quad y - f_2(u, v).$$

The ideal is complete intersection, with Koszul resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{(y-f_2, f_1-x)} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}^2 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x-f_1 \\ y-f_2 \end{pmatrix}} I \longrightarrow 0.$$

We use the local criterion for flatness. To check that I is flat over Y it suffices to check that $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_Y}(I, E) = 0$ where E is the structure sheaf of the center P of blowing up, with maximal ideal (x, y) , and for this it suffices to show that

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes E \xrightarrow{(y-f_2, f_1-x)} (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes E)^2$$

is still injective. The latter coincides with the map

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{(-f_2, f_1)} \mathcal{O}_X^2,$$

which is injective since f_i is not identically 0. This proves part (1) of Proposition 1.3.1.

4.2. Contractions of (-2) curves. Now let $X \rightarrow Y$ be the contraction of a (-2) -curve. To show $W^n = X$ it suffices to show that the

- (a) ideal of $X \times_Y X$ is flat over X , and
- (b) that the ideal of the graph of f is *not* flat over Y .

Again we can work étale locally, and reduce to the case where $Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$. one chart of the blow up is $X = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[u, v]$, with the morphism given by

$$x = u, \quad z = uv, \quad y = uv^2.$$

For the other chart we have

$$y = u, \quad z = uv, \quad x = uv^2.$$

To prove (a) we need to consider several affine charts on the product. We describe one, leaving the others as an easy exercise to the reader. Consider the affine chart on $X \times X$ with coordinates u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 , where the ideal is

$$I_{X \times_Y X} = (u_1 - u_2, u_1 v_1 - u_2 v_2, u_1 v_1^2 - u_2 v_2^2).$$

Note that the first two generators already generate the ideal, so $I_{X \times_Y X} = (u_1 - u_2, u_1 v_1 - u_2 v_2)$. The Koszul resolution gives the result as in part (1).

For (b), the ideal of the graph is generated by

$$x - u, \quad z - uv, \quad y - uv^2.$$

It is a straightforward, though a bit tedious, to check that it fails to be flat over Y . Alternatively, one can use the following Macaulay 2 code:

```
R=QQ[x,y,z,u,v]/(x*y-z^2);
J=module(ideal(x-u, z-u*v,y-u*v^2));
K = R^1/module(ideal(x,y,z));
Tor_1(J,K)==0
```

Of which the result is:

```
o4 = false
```

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.3.1.

4.3. Other surface contractions. Proposition 1.3.1 leaves out many interesting cases of surface contractions, in particular the case of arbitrary crepant contractions of surfaces with only rational double points.

The latter class of contractions turns out to follow from the work of the second author [4]. Indeed, any such crepant contraction locally admits a one-parameter deformation deformation $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow C$, so-called simultaneous partial resolution, which on the total space is a threefold terminal flopping contraction, where \mathcal{X}^+ is the other simultaneous partial resolution. In [4] it is shown that $W(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{X}^+$. It is also shown that the central fiber of $W(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})$ is $W(X/Y)$. Finally it is known that the fibers of the simultaneous partial resolution \mathcal{X}^+ are isomorphic to those of \mathcal{X} , which shows that $W(X/Y) = X$.

4.4. The Segre cone. We now address Proposition 1.3.3. Again, it suffices to show that the ideal of $X \times_Y X^+$ is flat over X^+ . Equivalently, it suffices to show that the structure sheaf of $X \times_Y X^+$ has *Tor*-dimension 1. We can work locally on X^+ , where an affine chart can be taken as \mathbb{A}^{k+2} , and the inverse image of this chart in $X \times_Y X^+$ is the blowing up of $\mathbb{A}^k \times \{0, 0\}$. The fact that this has *Tor*-dimension 1 follows from the case of a smooth surface (Proposition 1.3.1, part 1), or can be seen directly: an affine chart for $X \times_Y X^+$ is the hypersurface in $\mathbb{A}^{k+2} \times \mathbb{A}^1$, with coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n, x, y, t given by equation $y = xt$. We thus have a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{k+2} \times \mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{k+2} \times \mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \times_Y X^+} \rightarrow 0,$$

giving the result.

5. THE FRANZIA FLIPS

We now address Proposition 1.3.2 about the Francia flips of index n . This is used in the paper [1].

5.1. The setup. We follow the notation in Kollár-Mori [5], page 39: denote by Y_1 the usual threefold quadratic singularity $xy = uv$, and let $X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be one of the two small resolutions, blowing up (x, v) , so $X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is the standard flopping $(-1, -1)$ contraction. We denote by $X_1^+ \rightarrow Y_1$ the other resolution, blowing up the ideal (x, u) . Denote by C_n the cyclic group of n elements, acting on Y_1 via $(x, y, u, v) \mapsto (\zeta x, y, \zeta u, v)$, which lifts to an action on X_1 and on X_1^+ . The quotients of X_1, Y_1, X_1^+ are denoted X_n, Y_n, X_n^+ respectively.

In the proposition, we need to prove that $W^n(X_n/Y_n)$ is X_n^+ .

5.2. Flatness of the ideal. By Corollary 1.2.3 it suffices to verify that the ideal of $X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+$ is flat over X_n^+ .

The case $n = 1$ follows from the main result of Bridgeland [3] (and can easily be checked by an explicit calculation). We thus have that the ideal of $X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+$ is flat over X_1 . Since X_n is smooth and $X_1 \rightarrow X_n$ is finite, we have that $X_1 \rightarrow X_n$ is flat, therefore the ideal of $X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+$ is flat over X_n as well.

We have an exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_1^+}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow I_{X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times X_1^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the diagonal action of C_n on $X_1 \times X_1^+$. Taking invariants in the exact sequence above we get a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(X_1 \times X_1^+)/C_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is still exact (since taking invariants of a finite group is exact in characteristic 0). Since the morphism $X_1 \times X_1^+ \rightarrow X_1^+$ is equivariant, we get an induced morphism $(X_1 \times X_1^+)/C_n \rightarrow X_n^+$. Since $I_{X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+}$ is flat over X_n^+ , and since the module of invariants $I_{(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n}$ is a direct summand, the latter is also flat over X_n^+ . This means

$$\text{Tor}_1(I_{(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n}, M) = 0$$

for every $\mathcal{O}_{X_n^+}$ -module M , or, equivalently,

$$\text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{O}_{(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n}, M) = 0.$$

The Lemma below says that $(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n \rightarrow X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+$ is an isomorphism. This means that

$$\text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{O}_{X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+}, M) = 0,$$

which in turn implies that

$$\text{Tor}_1(I_{X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+}, M) = 0,$$

so the ideal is flat ♣

We used the following:

Lemma 5.2.1. *The natural morphism $(X_1 \times_{Y_1} X_1^+)/C_n \rightarrow X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+$ is an isomorphism*

Proof. The morphism is finite and birational, so it suffices to show that $X_n \times_{Y_n} X_n^+$ is normal. We check this by direct computation.

We have Y_1 given by the equation $xy - uv$, therefore

$$Y_n = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\{x^n\}, \{x^{n-1}u\}, \dots, \{xu^{n-1}\}, \{u^n\}, y, v]/R$$

where R is some ideal of relations. Here, as well as later, expressions in braces are variables, and the expression inside the brace is the image in the function field of Y_1

We have two affine charts for X_1 :

$$X_1(1) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x}{v} \right\}, y, v \right], \quad X_1(2) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{v}{x} \right\}, x, u \right]$$

giving the following charts on X_n :

$$X_n(1) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x^n}{v^n} \right\}, y, v \right],$$

$$X_n(2) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\{x^n\}, \{x^{n-1}u\}, \dots, \{xu^{n-1}\}, \{u^n\}, y, v, \left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\} \right] / R'$$

where

$$R' = \left(\dots, \left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\} \{x^n\} - v^n, \dots, \left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\} \{u^n\} - y^n \right)$$

We have two affine charts for X_1^+ :

$$X_1^+(1) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x}{u} \right\}, y, u \right], \quad X_1^+(2) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{u}{x} \right\}, x, v \right]$$

giving the following charts on X_n^+ :

$$X_n^+(1) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x}{u} \right\}, y, \{u^n\} \right], \quad X_n^+(2) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{u}{x} \right\}, \{x^n\}, v \right].$$

We have the following charts on the fibered product:

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(1) \times_{Y_n} X_n^+(1) &= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x^n}{v^n} \right\}, y, \left\{ \frac{x}{u} \right\} \right] \\ X_n(1) \times_{Y_n} X_n^+(2) &= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{x^n}{v^n} \right\}, v, \left\{ \frac{u}{x} \right\} \right] \\ X_n(2) \times_{Y_n} X_n^+(1) &= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\}, \{u^n\}, y, \left\{ \frac{x}{u} \right\} \right] / \left(\left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\} \{u^n\} - y^n \right) \\ X_n(2) \times_{Y_n} X_n^+(2) &= \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \left[\left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\}, \{x^n\}, v, \left\{ \frac{u}{x} \right\} \right] / \left(\left\{ \frac{v^n}{x^n} \right\} \{x^n\} - v^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

The first two are smooth, the last two are a product of \mathbb{A}^1 with an A_{n-1} -surface singularity, which is normal. 

5.3. Non-flatness of the ideal. The second part of the proposition boils down to showing that $I_{X_2 \times_{Y_2} X_2^+}$ is not flat over X_2 . This can be done by a direct calculation, e.g. the following Macaulay 2 code. The first output line verifies the result already proven, namely flatness over X_2^+ . The second shows non-flatness over X_2 :

```
R = QQ[E,G,H,A,B,C];
S = QQ[e,g,h];
F = map(S,R,{e^2,g^2,h^2,e*g,e*h,g*h});
T = QQ[a,b,c] ** image F;
J = module(ideal(E-a^2*c,G-c,A-a*c,C-b,B-a*b));
K = T^1/module(ideal(a,b,c));
L = T^1/module(ideal(A,B,C,E,G,H));
Tor_1(J,K)==0
Tor_1(J,L)==0
```

with output

```
o8 = true
o9 = false
```

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich and J. C. Chen, *Flops, flips and perverse point sheaves on threefold stacks*, preprint [math.AG/0304354](#).
- [2] A. Bondal and D. Orlov *Semiorthogonal decomposition for algebraic varieties*, preprint [alg-geom/9506012](#)
- [3] T. Bridgeland, *Flops and derived categories*, *Invent. Math.* 147 (2002), no. 3, 613–632.
- [4] J. C. Chen, *Flops and equivalences of derived categories for threefolds with only terminal Gorenstein singularities*, preprint [math.AG/0202005](#).
- [5] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 134. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] Y. Kawamata, *Francia's flips and derived categories*, *Algebraic geometry*, 197–215, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOSTON UNIVERSITY, 111 CUMMINGTON STREET, BOSTON, MA 02215, U.S.A.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Box 1917, Brown University, Providence, RI, 02912

E-mail address: abrmovic@math.bu.edu, abrmovic@math.brown.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138, U.S.A.

E-mail address: jcchen@math.harvard.edu