

# Moduli of Prym curves

Edoardo Ballico, Cinzia Casagrande and Claudio Fontanari

## Abstract

Here we focus on  $\overline{R}_g$ , the compactification of the moduli space of curves of genus  $g$  together with an unramified double cover, constructed by Arnaud Beauville in order to compactify the Prym mapping. We present an alternative description of  $\overline{R}_g$ , inspired by the moduli space of spin curves of Maurizio Cornalba, and we discuss in detail its main features, both from a geometrical and a combinatorial point of view.

A.M.S. MATH. SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION (2000): 14H10, 14H30.

KEY WORDS: moduli of curves, étale and admissible double covers, spin and Prym curves.

## Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth curve of genus  $g$ . As it is well known (see for instance [Bea96], p. 104, or [ACGH85], Appendix B, § 2, 13.), a square root of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  corresponds to an unramified double cover of  $X$ .

A compactification  $\overline{R}_g$  of the moduli space of curves of genus  $g$  together with an unramified double cover was constructed by Arnaud Beauville ([Bea77], Section 6; see also [DS81], Theorem 1.1) by means of admissible double covers of stable curves. This moduli space was introduced as a tool to compactify the mapping which associates to a curve plus a 2-sheeted cover the corresponding Prym variety; however, we believe that it is interesting also in its own and worthy of a closer inspection.

Here we explore some of the geometrical and combinatorial properties of this beautiful space. In order to do that, we present a description of  $\overline{R}_g$  which is different from the original one and is inspired by the construction performed by Maurizio Cornalba in [Cor89] of the moduli space of spin curves  $\overline{S}_g$ . This is a natural compactification over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  of the space of pairs  $(X, \zeta)$ , where  $\zeta \in \text{Pic } X$  is a square root of the canonical bundle  $K_X$ .

In Section 1 we define a *Prym curve* to be just the analogue of a spin curve; Cornalba's approach can be easily adapted to our context and allows us to

put a structure of projective variety on the set  $\overline{Pr}_g$  of isomorphism classes of Prym curves of genus  $g$ . This variety has two irreducible components  $\overline{Pr}_g^-$  and  $\overline{Pr}_g^+$ , where  $\overline{Pr}_g^- \simeq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  contains “trivial” Prym curves; moreover, by comparing Prym curves and admissible double covers, we give an explicit isomorphism between  $\overline{Pr}_g^+$  and  $\overline{R}_g$  over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

Next, in Section 2 we reproduce the arguments in [Fon02] in order to show that  $\overline{Pr}_g$  is indeed a subvariety of the compactification of the universal Picard variety constructed by Lucia Caporaso in [Cap94], just like  $\overline{S}_g$ .

Finally, in Section 3 we turn to the combinatorics of  $\overline{Pr}_g$ . Applying the same approach used in [CC02] for spin curves, we study the ramification of the morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  over the boundary. The numerical properties of the scheme-theoretical fiber  $Pr_Z$  over a point  $[Z] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  depend only on the dual graph  $\Gamma_Z$  of  $Z$ . From this combinatorial description, it follows that the morphisms  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and  $\overline{S}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  ramify in a different way.

The moduli space  $\overline{R}_g$  of admissible double covers has been studied also by Mira Bernstein in [Ber99], where  $\overline{R}_g$  is shown to be of general type for  $g = 17, 19, 21, 23$  ([Ber99], Corollary 3.1.7) (for  $g \geq 24$  it is obvious, since  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is).

We work over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers.

We wish to thank Lucia Caporaso for many fruitful conversations on the subject of the present paper.

This research was partially supported by MIUR (Italy).

## 1 Prym curves and admissible double covers

Let  $X$  be a Deligne-Mumford semistable curve and  $E$  an irreducible component of  $X$ . One says that  $E$  is *exceptional* if it is smooth, rational, and meets the other components in exactly two points. Moreover, one says that  $X$  is *quasistable* if any two distinct exceptional components of  $X$  are disjoint. In the sequel,  $\tilde{X}$  will denote the subcurve  $\overline{X} \setminus \bigcup_i \overline{E_i}$  obtained from  $X$  by removing all exceptional components. We fix an integer  $g \geq 2$ .

**Definition 1.** A *Prym curve* of genus  $g$  is a pair  $(X, \eta)$  where  $X$  is a quasistable curve of genus  $g$  and  $\eta \in \text{Pic } X$  is such that:

- (i)  $\eta$  has total degree 0 on  $X$  and degree 1 on every exceptional component of  $X$ ;
- (ii) there exists a homomorphism of invertible sheaves  $\beta: \eta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  which is non zero at a general point of every non-exceptional component of  $X$ .

We say that  $X$  is the support of the Prym curve  $(X, \eta)$ .

An isomorphism between two Prym curves  $(X, \eta)$  and  $(X', \eta')$  is an isomorphism  $\sigma: X \rightarrow X'$  such that there is an isomorphism  $\tau: \sigma^*(\eta') \rightarrow \eta$  which is compatible with the canonical isomorphism between  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_{X'})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

Let  $(X, \eta)$  be a Prym curve and let  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  be the exceptional components of  $X$ . From the definition it follows that  $\beta$  vanishes identically on all  $E_i$  and induces an isomorphism

$$\eta^{\otimes 2}|_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-q_1^1 - q_1^2 - \dots - q_r^1 - q_r^2),$$

where  $\{q_i^1, q_i^2\} = \tilde{X} \cap E_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . In particular, when  $X$  is smooth,  $\eta$  is just a point of order two in the Picard group of  $X$ . The number of such points, as it is well-known, is exactly  $2^{2g}$ .

We denote by  $\text{Aut}(X, \eta)$  the group of automorphisms of the Prym curve  $(X, \eta)$ . As in [Cor89], p. 565, one can show that  $\text{Aut}(X, \eta)$  is finite. We say that an isomorphism between two Prym curves  $(X, \eta_1)$  and  $(X, \eta_2)$  having the same support is *inessential* if it induces the identity on the stable model of  $X$ . We denote by  $\text{Aut}_0(X, \eta) \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, \eta)$  the subgroup of inessential automorphisms.

Let  $(X, \eta_1)$  and  $(X, \eta_2)$  be two Prym curves having the same support. By Lemma 2.1 in [Cor89], there exists an inessential isomorphism between  $(X, \eta)$  and  $(X, \eta')$  if and only if  $\eta|_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \eta'|_{\tilde{X}}$ . So the set of isomorphism classes of Prym curves supported on  $X$  is in bijection with the set of square roots of  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-q_1^1 - q_1^2 - \dots - q_r^1 - q_r^2)$  in  $\text{Pic } \tilde{X}$ , modulo the action of the group of automorphisms of  $\tilde{X}$  fixing  $q_1^1, q_1^2, \dots, q_r^1, q_r^2$ .

A *family of Prym curves* is a flat family of quasistable curves  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  with an invertible sheaf  $\eta$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  and a homomorphism

$$\beta: \eta^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

such that the restriction of these data to any fiber of  $f$  gives rise to a Prym curve. Two families of Prym curves  $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$  and  $f': \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow S$  are isomorphic if there are isomorphisms  $\sigma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  and  $\tau: \sigma^*(\eta') \rightarrow \eta$  such that  $f = f' \circ \sigma$  and  $\tau$  is compatible with the canonical isomorphism between  $\sigma^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ .

We define the moduli functor associated to Prym curves in the obvious way:  $\overline{\mathcal{P}r}_g$  is the contravariant functor from schemes to sets, which to every scheme  $S$  associates the set  $\overline{\mathcal{P}r}_g(S)$  of isomorphism classes of families of Prym curves of genus  $g$ .

Let  $\overline{Pr}_g$  be the set of isomorphism classes of Prym curves of genus  $g$  and  $Pr_g$  be the subset consisting of classes of smooth curves. We define a natural structure of analytic variety on  $\overline{Pr}_g$  exactly as in [Cor89], § 4 and 5. Namely, fix a Prym curve  $(X, \eta)$ , call  $Z$  the stable model of  $X$  and denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  the exceptional components of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow B'$  be the universal deformation of  $Z$ , where  $B'$  is the unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3}$  with coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_{3g-3}$  such that  $\{t_i = 0\} \subset B'$  is the locus where the node corresponding to  $E_i$  persists for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $B$  be another unit polydisc in  $\mathbb{C}^{3g-3}$  with coordinates  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{3g-3}$ , and consider the map  $B \rightarrow B'$  given by  $t_i = \tau_i^2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $t_i = \tau_i$  for  $i > r$ . Call  $\mathcal{Z}$  the pull-back of  $\mathcal{Z}'$  to  $B$ . For  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  the family  $\mathcal{Z}|_{\{\tau_i=0\}} \rightarrow \{\tau_i = 0\} \subset B$  has a section  $V_i$ , corresponding to the locus of the  $i$ th node. Let  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  be the blow-up of  $V_1, \dots, V_r$  and call  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_r$  the exceptional divisors.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & B & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

The variety  $\mathcal{X}$  is smooth and  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B$  is a family of quasistable curves, with  $X$  as central fiber. Up to an inessential automorphism, we have  $\eta^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\sum_i \mathcal{E}_i)|_{\mathcal{X}}$ . By shrinking  $B$  if necessary, we can extend  $\eta$  to  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \text{Pic } \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(-\sum_i \mathcal{E}_i)$ . The resulting family  $(f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow B, \boldsymbol{\eta})$  provides a *universal deformation* of  $(X, \eta)$ . Then an analytic structure on a neighbourhood of  $(X, \eta)$  in  $\overline{Pr}_g$  is induced by the injective map  $B/\text{Aut}(X, \eta) \rightarrow \overline{Pr}_g$ . Moreover, the arguments in [Cor89] apply verbatim to exhibit  $\overline{Pr}_g$  as a projective variety and a coarse moduli space for the functor  $\overline{Pr}_g$ . The variety  $\overline{Pr}_g$  has finite quotient singularities; in particular, it is normal.

Associating to  $(X, \eta) \in \overline{Pr}_g$  the stable model  $Z$  of  $X$  defines a morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , which is finite of degree  $2^{2g}$ . The fiber over a smooth curve  $Z$  is just the set of points of order two in its Picard group, modulo the action of  $\text{Aut}(Z)$  if non trivial. When  $Z$  is a stable curve, the set-theoretical fiber over  $[Z]$  consists of isomorphism classes of Prym curves  $(X, \eta)$  such that the stable model of  $X$  is  $Z$ . The morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is étale over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0 \setminus D_{irr}$ , where  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0$  is the locus of stable curves with trivial automorphism group and  $D_{irr}$  is the boundary component whose general member is an irreducible curve with one node. We will describe precisely the scheme-theoretical fiber over  $[Z]$  in Section 3, following [Cor89] and [CC02].

Let  $Pr_g^-$  denote the subvariety of  $Pr_g$  corresponding to smooth Prym curves with  $\eta = \mathcal{O}_X$ ; it is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_g$  and is an irreducible component of  $Pr_g$ . Its complement  $Pr_g^+$  parametrizes connected unramified

double covers of smooth curves of genus  $g$ ; from [Bea77], Construction 6.1, it follows that also  $Pr_g^+$  is irreducible. Finally, it turns out that  $\overline{Pr}_g$  is the disjoint union

$$\overline{Pr}_g = \overline{Pr}_g^+ \cup \overline{Pr}_g^-,$$

where  $\overline{Pr}_g^- \simeq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . As for  $\overline{Pr}_g^+$ , it is isomorphic to the moduli space  $\overline{R}_g$  of admissible double covers of stable curves. This is constructed in [Bea77], Section 6 (see also [DS81, ABH02]), as the moduli space of pairs  $(C, i)$  where  $C$  is a stable curve of genus  $2g - 1$  and  $i$  is an involution of  $C$  such that:

- the set  $I$  of fixed points of  $i$  is contained in  $\text{Sing } C$ ;
- for any fixed node,  $i$  does not exchange the two branches of the curve.

If so, the quotient  $Z = C/i$  is a stable curve of genus  $g$ , and  $\pi: C \rightarrow Z$  is a finite morphism of degree 2, étale over  $Z \setminus \pi(I)$ . This is called an *admissible double cover*. Remark that  $\pi$  is not a cover in the usual sense, since it is not flat at  $I$ .

An automorphism of the admissible cover  $\pi: C \rightarrow Z$  is an automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(Z)$  such that there exists  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(C)$  with  $\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi$ , so  $\text{Aut}(C \rightarrow Z) \subseteq \text{Aut}(Z)$ . Note that all elements of  $\text{Aut}(C \rightarrow Z)$  are induced by automorphisms of  $C$ , different from  $i$ , and that commute with  $i$ .

Let  $(C, i)$  be as above; we describe its universal deformation. Let  $\mathcal{C}' \rightarrow W'$  be a universal deformation of  $C$ . The involution  $i$  extends to an involution of  $\mathcal{C}'$  and of  $W'$ , which we still denote by  $i$ . Let  $W \subset W'$  be the locus fixed by  $i$  and  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow W$  the induced family;  $(\mathcal{C}, i) \rightarrow W$  is a universal deformation of  $(C, i)$  and the corresponding family of admissible double covers is  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{C}/i \rightarrow W$ .

The variety  $\overline{R}_g$  is normal (see [ABH02], p. 97); this implies at once that  $\overline{Pr}_g^+$  and  $\overline{R}_g$  are isomorphic over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Indeed, they are both isomorphic to the normalization of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  in the function field of  $Pr_g^+$ . We are going to give an explicit isomorphism between these moduli spaces.

Let  $(X, \eta)$  be a Prym curve with  $\eta \not\sim \mathcal{O}_X$ . We associate to  $(X, \eta)$  an admissible double cover of the stable model  $Z$  of  $X$ , in the following way. Since  $\eta_{|\tilde{X}}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-q_1^1 - q_1^2 - \dots - q_r^1 - q_r^2)$ , the line bundle  $\eta_{|\tilde{X}}^{\otimes(-1)}$  determines a double cover  $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ , ramified over  $q_1^1, q_1^2, \dots, q_r^1, q_r^2$ , which are smooth points of  $\tilde{X}$ . Now call  $C_{(X, \eta)}$  the stable curve obtained identifying  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(q_i^1)$  with  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(q_i^2)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then the induced map  $C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z$  is an admissible double cover.

Conversely, let  $C \rightarrow Z$  be an admissible double cover,  $I \subset C$  the set of fixed points of the involution and  $J \subset Z$  their images. Let  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  and

$\nu: \tilde{X} \rightarrow Z$  be the normalizations at  $I$  and  $J$  respectively. Then  $i$  extends to an involution on  $\tilde{C}$ , whose quotient is  $\tilde{X}$ , namely:  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is a double cover, ramified over  $q_1^1, q_1^2, \dots, q_r^1, q_r^2$ , where  $r = |J|$  and  $\nu(q_i^1) = \nu(q_i^2) \in J$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $L \in \text{Pic } \tilde{X}$  be the associated line bundle, satisfying  $L^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(q_1^1 + q_1^2 + \dots + q_r^1 + q_r^2)$ . Finally let  $X$  be the quasistable curve obtained by attaching to  $\tilde{X}$   $r$  rational components  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  such that  $E_i \cap \tilde{X} = \{q_i^1, q_i^2\}$ . Then for any choice of  $\eta \in \text{Pic } X$  such that  $\eta|_{\tilde{X}} \simeq L^{\otimes(-1)}$ , the pair  $(X, \eta)$  is a Prym curve with  $\eta \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $C = C_{(X, \eta)}$ . Moreover, for different choices of such an  $\eta$ , the corresponding Prym curves differ by an inessential isomorphism. We have an exact sequence of automorphism groups:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(X, \eta) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, \eta) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z) \rightarrow 1.$$

Let  $(\mathcal{X} \rightarrow B, \boldsymbol{\eta})$  the universal deformation of  $(X, \eta)$  constructed above. Keeping the same notations, the line bundle  $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\otimes(-1)}$  determines a double cover  $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ , ramified over  $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_r$ . The divisor  $\mathcal{E}_i$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $V_i \subset B$ , and the restriction of its normal bundle to a non trivial fiber  $F$  is  $(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{X}})|_F \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ . The inverse image  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_i$  of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$  is again a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $V_i \subset B$ , but now the restriction of its normal bundle to a non trivial fiber  $\overline{F}$  is  $(\mathcal{N}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_i/\overline{\mathcal{P}}})|_{\overline{F}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  be the blow-down of  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_1, \dots, \overline{\mathcal{E}}_r$ . We get a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathcal{P}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow B \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$  is a family of admissible double covers whose central fiber is  $C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z$ . Therefore there exists a morphism  $B \rightarrow W$  such that  $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow B$  is obtained by pull-back from the universal deformation  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow W$  of  $C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z$ . Now notice that  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow W$  is a family of stable curves of genus  $g$ , with  $Z$  as central fiber: so it must be a pull-back of the universal deformation  $\mathcal{Z}' \rightarrow B'$ . In the end we get a diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\overline{\mathcal{P}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & & (\text{genus } 2g-1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}' \\
& \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
& & B & \xrightarrow{f} & W & \longrightarrow & B' \\
& & \text{Aut}(X, \eta) \curvearrowright & & \text{Aut}(C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z) \curvearrowright & & \text{Aut}(Z) \curvearrowright
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{l} \\ (\text{genus } g) \\ (\text{bases}) \end{array}$$

Notice that automorphisms act as indicated in the diagram. It is not difficult to see that  $f$  is the quotient with respect to the action of  $\text{Aut}_0(X, \eta) \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, \eta)$ . In fact,  $f(b_1) = f(b_2)$  if and only if there exists an inessential isomorphism between  $(\mathcal{X}_{b_1}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{b_1})$  and  $(\mathcal{X}_{b_2}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{b_2})$ . So this gives:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
B/\text{Aut}(X, \eta) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & W/\text{Aut}(C_{(X, \eta)} \rightarrow Z) & \longrightarrow & B'/\text{Aut} Z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\overline{Pr}_g^+ & & \overline{R}_g & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g.
\end{array}$$

## 2 Embedding $\overline{Pr}_g$ in the compactified Picard variety

Let  $g \geq 3$ . For every integer  $d$ , there is a *universal Picard variety*

$$P_{d,g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g^0$$

whose fiber  $J^d(X)$  over a point  $X$  of  $\mathcal{M}_g^0$  parametrizes line bundles on  $X$  of degree  $d$ , modulo isomorphism. Denote by  $Pr_g^0$  the inverse image of  $\mathcal{M}_g^0$  under the finite morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ ; then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Pr_g^0 & \hookrightarrow & P_{0,g} \\
& \searrow & \downarrow \\
& & \mathcal{M}_g^0
\end{array}$$

Assume  $d \geq 20(g-1)$ ; this is not a real restriction, since for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  there is a natural isomorphism  $P_{d,g} \cong P_{d+t(2g-2),g}$ . Then  $P_{d,g}$  has a natural compactification  $\overline{P}_{d,g}$ , endowed with a natural morphism  $\phi_d: \overline{P}_{d,g} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , such that  $\phi_d^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_g^0) = P_{d,g}$ . It was constructed in [Cap94] as a GIT quotient

$$\pi_d: H_d \longrightarrow H_d // G = \overline{P}_{d,g},$$

where  $G = SL(d - g + 1)$  and

$$H_d := \{h \in \text{Hilb}_{d-g}^{dx-g+1} \mid h \text{ is } G\text{-semistable} \\ \text{and the corresponding curve is connected} \}$$

(the action of  $G$  is linearized by a suitable embedding of  $\text{Hilb}_{d-g}^{dx-g+1}$  in a Grassmannian).

Fix now and in the sequel an integer  $t \geq 10$ . We are going to show that the diagram above completes as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{Pr}_g & \hookrightarrow & \overline{P}_{2t(g-1),g} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \end{array}$$

More precisely, define

$$K_{2t(g-1)} := \{h \in \text{Hilb}_{2t(g-1)-g}^{2t(g-1)x-g+1} \mid \text{there is a Prym curve } (X, \eta) \text{ and} \\ \text{an embedding } h_t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2t(g-1)-g} \text{ induced by } \eta \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes t}, \\ \text{such that } h \text{ is the Hilbert point of } h_t(X)\}.$$

Our result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *The locus  $K_{2t(g-1)}$  is contained in  $H_{2t(g-1),g}$ ; set*

$$\Pi_t := \pi_{2t(g-1)}(K_{2t(g-1)}) \subset \overline{P}_{2t(g-1),g}.$$

*There is a natural morphism*

$$f_t: \overline{Pr}_g \longrightarrow \overline{P}_{2t(g-1),g}$$

*which is an isomorphism between  $\overline{Pr}_g$  and  $\Pi_t$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 will be achieved in several steps and will take the rest of this section. The argument is the one used in [Fon02] to show the existence of an embedding  $\overline{S}_g \hookrightarrow \overline{P}_{(2t+1)(g-1),g}$  of the moduli space of spin curves in the corresponding compactified Picard variety.

One can define (see [Cap94], §8.1) the contravariant functor  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}$  from schemes to sets, which to every scheme  $S$  associates the set  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}(S)$  of equivalence classes of polarized families of quasistable curves of genus  $g$

$$f: (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow S$$

such that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a relatively very ample line bundle of degree  $d$  whose multi-degree satisfies the following Basic Inequality on each fiber.



**Definition 2.** Let  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$  be a projective, nodal, connected curve of arithmetic genus  $g$ , where the  $X_i$ 's are the irreducible components of  $X$ . We say that the multidegree  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  satisfies the Basic Inequality if for every complete subcurve  $Y$  of  $X$  of arithmetic genus  $g_Y$  we have

$$m_Y \leq d_Y \leq m_Y + k_Y$$

where

$$d_Y = \sum_{X_i \subseteq Y} d_i, \quad k_Y = |Y \cap \overline{X \setminus Y}| \quad \text{and} \quad m_Y = \frac{d}{g-1} \left( g_Y - 1 + \frac{k_Y}{2} \right) - \frac{k_Y}{2}$$

(see [Cap94] p. 611 and p. 614).

Two families over  $S$ ,  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  and  $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{L}')$  are equivalent if there exists an  $S$ -isomorphism  $\sigma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$  and a line bundle  $M$  on  $S$  such that  $\sigma^* \mathcal{L}' \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes f^* M$ .

By [Cap94], Proposition 8.1, there is a morphism of functors:

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\cdot, \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}) \quad (1)$$

and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}$  coarsely represents  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}$  if and only if

$$(d - g + 1, 2g - 2) = 1. \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 2.** *For every integer  $t \geq 10$  there is a natural morphism:*

$$f_t: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{2t(g-1),g}.$$

*Proof.* First of all, notice that in this case (2) does not hold, so the points of  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d,g}$  are *not* in one-to-one correspondence with equivalence classes of very ample line bundles of degree  $d$  on quasistable curves, satisfying the Basic Inequality (see [Cap94], p. 654). However, we claim that the thesis can be deduced from the existence of a morphism of functors:

$$F_t: \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{2t(g-1),g}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, since  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g}$  coarsely represents  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g}$ , any morphism of functors  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\cdot, T)$  induces a morphism of schemes  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g} \rightarrow T$ , so the claim follows from (1). Now, a morphism of functors as (3) is the datum for any scheme  $S$  of a set-theoretical map

$$F_t(S): \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{r_g}(S) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{2t(g-1),g}(S),$$

satisfying obvious compatibility conditions. Let us define  $F_t(S)$  in the following way:

$$(f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S, \eta) \mapsto (f: (\mathcal{X}, \eta \otimes \omega_f^{\otimes t}) \rightarrow S).$$

In order to prove that  $F_t(S)$  is well-defined, the only non-trivial matter is to check that the multidegree of  $\eta \otimes \omega_f^{\otimes t}$  satisfies the Basic Inequality on each fiber, so the thesis follows from the next Lemma. ■

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(X, \eta)$  be a Prym curve. If  $Y$  is a complete subcurve of  $X$  and  $d_Y$  is the degree of  $(\eta \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes t})|_Y$ , then  $m_Y \leq d_Y \leq m_Y + k_Y$  in the notation of the Basic Inequality. Moreover, if  $d_Y = m_Y$  then  $\tilde{k}_Y := |\tilde{Y} \cap \overline{X \setminus Y}| = 0$ .*

*Proof.* In the present case, the Basic Inequality simplifies as follows:

$$-\frac{k_Y}{2} \leq d_Y \leq \frac{k_Y}{2}.$$

By the definition of a Prym curve, the degree  $d_Y$  depends only on the exceptional components of  $X$  intersecting  $Y$ .

For any exceptional component  $E$  of  $X$  with  $E \subseteq \overline{X \setminus Y}$ , let  $m := |E \cap Y|$ . The contribution of  $E$  to  $k_Y$  is  $m$ , while its contribution to  $d_Y$  is  $-\frac{m}{2}$ .

Next, for any exceptional component  $E$  of  $X$  with  $E \subseteq Y$ , let  $l := |E \cap \overline{X \setminus Y}|$ . The contribution of  $E$  to  $k_Y$  is  $l$ , while its contribution to  $d_Y$  is  $1 - \frac{2-l}{2} = \frac{l}{2}$ .

Summing up, we see that the Basic Inequality holds. Finally, if  $\tilde{k}_Y \neq 0$ , then there exists a non-exceptional component of  $X$  intersecting  $Y$ . Such a component contributes at least 1 to  $k_Y$ , but it does not affect  $d_Y$ ; hence  $-\frac{k_Y}{2} < d_Y$  and the proof is over. ■

By applying [Cap94], Proposition 6.1, from the first part of Lemma 3 we deduce

$$K_{2t(g-1)} \subset H_{2t(g-1)}.$$

Moreover, the second part of the same Lemma provides a crucial information on Hilbert points corresponding to Prym curves.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $h \in K_{2t(g-1)}$ , then the orbit of  $h$  is closed in the semistable locus.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \eta)$  be a Prym curve such that  $h$  is the Hilbert point of an embedding  $h_t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2t(g-1)-g}$  induced by  $\eta \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes t}$ . Just recall the first part of [Cap94], Lemma 6.1, which says that the orbit of  $h$  is closed in the semistable locus if and only if  $\tilde{k}_Y = 0$  for every subcurve  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $d_Y = m_Y$ , so the thesis is a direct consequence of Lemma 3. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is easy to check that  $f_t(\overline{Pr}_g) = \Pi_t$ . Indeed, if  $(X, \eta) \in \overline{Pr}_g$ , then any choice of a base for  $H^0(X, \eta \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes t})$  gives an embedding  $h_t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2t(g-1)-g}$  and  $f_t(X, \eta) = \pi_{2t(g-1)}(h)$ , where  $h \in K_{2t(g-1)}$  is the Hilbert point of  $h_t(X)$ . Conversely, if  $\pi_{2t(g-1)}(h) \in \Pi_t$ , then there is a Prym curve  $(X, \eta)$  and an embedding  $h_t: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2t(g-1)-g}$  such that  $h$  is the Hilbert point of  $h_t(X)$  and  $f_t(X, \eta) = \pi_{2t(g-1)}(h)$ .

Next we claim that  $f_t$  is injective. Indeed, let  $(X, \eta)$  and  $(X', \eta')$  be two Prym curves and assume that  $f_t(X, \eta) = f_t(X', \eta')$ . Choose bases for  $H^0(X, \eta \otimes \omega_X^{\otimes t})$  and  $H^0(X', \eta' \otimes \omega_{X'}^{\otimes t})$  and embed  $X$  and  $X'$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{2t(g-1)-g}$ . If  $h$  and  $h'$  are the corresponding Hilbert points, then  $\pi_{2t(g-1)}(h) = \pi_{2t(g-1)}(h')$  and the Fundamental Theorem of GIT implies that  $\overline{O_G(h)}$  and  $\overline{O_G(h')}$  intersect in the semistable locus. It follows from Lemma 4 that  $O_G(h) \cap O_G(h') \neq \emptyset$ , so  $O_G(h) = O_G(h')$  and there is an isomorphism  $\sigma: (X, \eta) \rightarrow (X', \eta')$ .

Finally, in order to prove that  $f_t^{-1}$  is a morphism, we outline a construction of  $f_t^{-1}$  inspired by [Cap94], proof of Proposition 8.1. Recall that the Hilbert scheme  $\text{Hilb}_r^{p(x)}$  carries a universal polarized family  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_r^{p(x)}$  (see for instance [Cap94], p. 601). The restriction  $\mathcal{U}_K \xrightarrow{u} K_{2t(g-1)}$ , together with the line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \omega_u^{\otimes (-t)}$  (where  $\omega_u$  is the relative dualizing sheaf), is a family of Prym curves, hence it yields a morphism

$$K_{2t(g-1)} \longrightarrow \overline{Pr}_g.$$

This morphism is clearly  $G$ -invariant, so by the universal property of the GIT quotient it induces the morphism

$$f_t^{-1}: \Pi_t \longrightarrow \overline{Pr}_g.$$

■

### 3 Combinatorial properties

Let  $Z$  be a stable curve of genus  $g$ . We recall that the dual graph  $\Gamma_Z$  of  $Z$  is the graph whose vertices are the irreducible components of  $Z$  and whose edges are the nodes of  $Z$ . The first Betti number of  $\Gamma_Z$  is  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) = \delta - \gamma + 1 = g - g^\nu$ , where  $\delta$  is the number of nodes of  $Z$ ,  $\gamma$  the number of its irreducible components and  $g^\nu$  the genus of its normalization.

We denote by  $Pr_Z$  the scheme parametrizing Prym curves  $(X, \eta)$  such that the stable model of  $X$  is  $Z$ , modulo inessential isomorphisms, and by  $S_Z$  the analogue for spin curves. When  $\text{Aut}(Z) = \{\text{Id}_Z\}$ ,  $Pr_Z$  is the fiber over  $[Z]$  of the morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Recall that this morphism is finite of degree  $2^{2g}$ , and étale over  $\mathcal{M}_g^0$ .

For any 0-dimensional scheme  $P$  we denote by  $L(P)$  the set of integers occurring as multiplicities of components of  $P$ .

In this section we show that the numerics of  $Pr_Z$ , namely the number of irreducible components and their multiplicities, depends only on the dual graph  $\Gamma_Z$  of  $Z$ . Using this, we give some properties of  $L(Pr_Z)$ , and show that in some cases the set of multiplicities  $L(Pr_Z)$  gives informations on  $Z$ . In particular, we see that the morphism  $\overline{Pr}_g \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is étale over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g^0 \setminus D_{irr}$ .

We use the techniques and results of [CC02], where the same questions about the numerics of  $S_Z$  are studied (see also [CS02], § 3). Quite surprisingly, the schemes  $P_Z$  and  $S_Z$  are not isomorphic in general.

Finally we will show with an example that, differently from the case of spin curves, the set of multiplicities  $L(Pr_Z)$  appearing in  $Pr_Z$  does not always identify curves having two smooth components.

Let  $X$  be a quasistable curve having  $Z$  as stable model and consider the set

$$\Delta_X = \{z \in \text{Sing } Z \mid z \text{ is not the image of an exceptional component of } X\}.$$

Given  $Z$ , either  $\Delta_X$  or  $\Delta_X^c = \text{Sing } Z \setminus \Delta_X$  determine  $X$ . Remark that any subset of  $\text{Sing } Z$  can be seen as a subgraph of the dual graph  $\Gamma_Z$  of  $Z$ .

We recall that the *valency* of a vertex of a graph is the number of edges ending in that vertex and a graph  $\Gamma$  is *eulerian* if it has all even valencies. Thus  $\Gamma_Z$  is eulerian if and only if for any irreducible component  $C$  of  $Z$ ,  $|C \cap \overline{Z \setminus C}|$  is even. The set  $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$  of all eulerian subgraphs of  $\Gamma$  is called the cycle space of  $\Gamma$ . There is a natural identification of  $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$  with  $H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , so  $|\mathcal{C}_\Gamma| = 2^{b_1(\Gamma)}$  (see [CC02]). Reasoning as in [CC02], Section 1.3, we can show the following:

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $X$  be a quasistable curve having  $Z$  as stable model.*

*The curve  $X$  is the support of a Prym curve if and only if  $\Delta_X^c$  is eulerian.*

*If so, there are  $2^{2g^\nu + b_1(\Delta_X)}$  different choices for  $\eta \in \text{Pic } X$  such that  $(X, \eta) \in Pr_Z$ .*

*For each such  $\eta$ , the point  $(X, \eta)$  has multiplicity  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Delta_X)}$  in  $Pr_Z$ .*

Hence the number of irreducible components of  $Pr_Z$  is

$$2^{2g^\nu} \cdot \sum_{\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}} 2^{b_1(\Sigma^c)},$$

and its set of multiplicities is given by

$$L(Pr_Z) = \{2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Delta)} \mid \Delta^c \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}\}.$$

Remark that since  $|\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}| = 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$ , we can check immediately from the proposition that the length of  $Pr_Z$  is

$$\sum_{\Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}} (2^{2g^\nu + b_1(\Sigma^c)} \cdot 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Sigma^c)}) = 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)} \cdot 2^{2g^\nu + b_1(\Gamma_Z)} = 2^{2g}.$$

As a consequence of Proposition 5, we see that a point  $(X, \eta)$  in  $Pr_Z$  is non reduced if and only if  $X$  is non stable.

*Example (curves having two smooth components).* Let  $Z = C_1 \cup C_2$ ,  $C_i$  smooth irreducible,  $|C_1 \cap C_2| = \delta \geq 2$ .



Let  $X$  be a quasistable curve having  $Z$  as stable model and let  $\Delta_X$  be the corresponding subset of  $\text{Sing } Z$ . The subgraph  $\Delta_X^c$  is eulerian if and only if  $|\Delta_X^c|$  is even. Therefore  $X$  is support of a Prym curve if and only if it has an even number  $2r$  of exceptional components. If so, for each choice of  $\eta \in \text{Pic } X$  such that  $(X, \eta) \in Pr_Z$ , this point will have multiplicity  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Delta_X)}$ . We have  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) = \delta - 1$  and  $|\Delta_X| = \delta - 2r$ , so

$$b_1(\Delta_X) = \begin{cases} \delta - 2r - 1 & \text{if } 2r \leq \delta - 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \delta - 1 \leq 2r \leq \delta \end{cases}$$

and we get

$$L(Pr_Z) = \{2^{2r} \mid 0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta - 1\} \cup \{2^{\delta-1}\}.$$

**Proposition 6 (combinatorial properties of  $L(Pr_Z)$ ).** *The following properties hold:*

- (1)  $1 \in L(Pr_Z)$ ;
- (2)  $\max L(Pr_Z) = 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$ ;
- (3)  $2^g \in L(Pr_Z)$  if and only if  $Z$  has only rational components;
- (4)  $Pr_Z$  is reduced if and only if  $Z$  is of compact type;
- (5) if  $\Gamma_Z$  is an eulerian graph, then  $L(Pr_Z) = L(S_Z)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Choosing  $\Delta_X = \Gamma_Z$ , we get  $X = Z$ ; since the empty set is trivially in  $\mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}$ , there always exists  $\eta \in \text{Pic } Z$  such that  $(Z, \eta) \in Pr_Z$ . This  $\eta$  is a square root of  $\mathcal{O}_Z$ ; there are  $2^{2g^\nu + b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$  choices for it, and it will appear with multiplicity 1 in  $Pr_Z$ . So  $1 \in L(Pr_Z)$ .

(2) From Proposition 5 we get  $\max L(Pr_Z) \leq 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$ . Set  $M = \max\{b_1(\Sigma) \mid \Sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}\}$  and let  $\Sigma_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}$  be such that  $b_1(\Sigma_0) = M$ . By Proposition 5, we know that  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Sigma_0^c)} \in L(Pr_Z)$ . We claim that  $b_1(\Sigma_0^c) = 0$ . Indeed, if not,  $\Sigma_0^c$  contains a subgraph  $\sigma$  with  $b_1(\sigma) = 1$  and having all valencies equal to 2. Then  $\Sigma_0 \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}$  and  $b_1(\Sigma_0 \cup \sigma) > M$ , a contradiction. Hence we have points of multiplicity  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$  in  $Pr_Z$ , so  $\max L(Pr_Z) = 2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z)}$ .

Property (3) is immediate from (2), since  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) = g$  if and only if  $g^\nu = 0$ .

Also property (4) is immediate from (2), because  $L(Pr_Z) = \{1\}$  if and only if  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) = 0$ .

(5) Assume that  $\Gamma_Z$  is eulerian. Then  $\Delta_X^c \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}$  if and only if  $\Delta_X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}$ , so we have


$$L(Pr_Z) = L(S_Z) = \{2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - b_1(\Delta_X)} \mid \Delta_X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Gamma_Z}\}$$

(see [CC02] for the description of  $L(S_Z)$ ). ■

Property (1) tells us, in particular, that in general  $Pr_Z$  and  $S_Z$  are not isomorphic and do not have the same set of multiplicities: indeed, for spin curves, it can very well happen that  $1 \notin L(S_Z)$  (see example after Corollary 7).

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $Z$  be a stable curve and  $\nu: Z^\nu \rightarrow Z$  its normalization. Assume that for every irreducible component  $C$  of  $Z$ , the number  $|\nu^{-1}(C \cap \text{Sing } Z)|$  is even and at least 4.*

(i) *If  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - 2} \notin L(Pr_Z)$ , then  $Z = C_1 \cup C_2$ , with  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  smooth and irreducible.*



(ii) *If  $2^{b_1(\Gamma_Z) - 3} \notin L(Pr_Z)$ , then either  $Z$  is irreducible with two nodes, or  $Z = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ , with  $C_i$  smooth irreducible and  $|C_i \cap C_j| = 2$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ .*



*Proof.* By hypothesis  $\Gamma_Z$  is eulerian, so property (5) says that  $L(Pr_Z) = L(S_Z)$ . Then (i) follow immediately from [CC02], Theorem 11. Let us show (ii). If  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) \geq 4$ , by property (2) we can apply [CC02], Theorem 13; then  $Z$  has three smooth components meeting each other in two points. Assume  $b_1(\Gamma_Z) \leq 3$  and let  $\delta, \gamma$  be the number of nodes and of irreducible components of  $Z$ . Since all vertices of  $\Gamma_Z$  have valency at least 4, we have  $\delta \geq 2\gamma$ , so  $\gamma \leq b_1(\Gamma_Z) - 1 \leq 2$ . Then by an easy check we see that the only possibility which satisfies all the hypotheses is  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = 2$ . ■

In [CC02] it is shown (Theorem 11) that  $L(S_Z)$  allows to recover curves having two smooth components. Instead, when the number of nodes is odd, it is no more true that these curves are characterized by  $L(Pr_Z)$ . For instance, consider the graphs:



It is easy to see that if  $Z_1, Z_2$  are stable curves with  $\Gamma_{Z_i} = \Gamma_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $L(P_{Z_1}) = L(P_{Z_2}) = \{1, 4, 16\}$ , while  $L(S_{Z_1}) = \{4, 8, 16\}$  and  $L(S_{Z_2}) = \{2, 8, 16\}$ .

## References

- [ABH02] Valery Alexeev, Christina Birkenhake, and Klaus Hulek. Degenerations of Prym varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 538:73–116, 2002.
- [ACGH85] Enrico Arbarello, Maurizio Cornalba, Phillip Griffiths, and Joseph Harris. *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, I*, volume 267 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [Bea77] Arnaud Beauville. Prym varieties and the Schottky problem. *Invent. Math.*, 41:149–196, 1977.
- [Bea96] Arnaud Beauville. *Complex Algebraic Surfaces*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 1996.
- [Ber99] Mira Bernstein. *Moduli of curves with level structure*. PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1999. Available at the web page <http://www.math.berkeley.edu/~mira>.
- [Cap94] Lucia Caporaso. A compactification of the universal Picard variety over the moduli space of stable curves. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 7:589–660, 1994.

- [CC02] Lucia Caporaso and Cinzia Casagrande. Combinatorial properties of stable spin curves. Preprint math.AG/0209018, 2002. To appear in Comm. Algebra.
- [CS02] Lucia Caporaso and Edoardo Sernesi. Characterizing curves by their odd theta-characteristics. Preprint math.AG/0204164, 2002. To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [Cor89] Maurizio Cornalba. Moduli of curves and theta-characteristics. In *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces: Proceedings of the College on Riemann Surfaces, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, 1987*, pages 560–589. World Scientific, 1989.
- [DS81] Ron Donagi and Roy Campbell Smith. The structure of the Prym map. *Acta Math.*, 146:25–102, 1981.
- [Fon02] Claudio Fontanari. On the geometry of the compactification of the universal Picard variety. Preprint math.AG/0202168, 2002.

Edoardo Ballico  
 Università degli Studi di Trento  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Via Sommarive 14  
 38050 Povo (Trento)  
 Italy  
 e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Cinzia Casagrande  
 Università degli Studi Roma Tre  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Largo S. L. Murialdo 1  
 00146 Roma  
 Italy  
 e-mail: casagran@mat.uniroma3.it

Claudio Fontanari  
 Università degli Studi di Trento  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Via Sommarive 14  
 38050 Povo (Trento)  
 Italy  
 e-mail: fontanar@science.unitn.it