HIGHER GENUS GROMOV {WITTEN INVARIANTS AS GENUS ZERO INVARIANTS OF SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS

KEVIN COSTELLO

Abstract. I prove a form ula expressing the descendent genus g G rom ov-W itten invariants of a projective variety X in terms of genus 0 invariants of its symmetric product stack $S^{g+1}(X)$. When X is a point, the latter are structure constants of the symmetric group, and we obtain a new way of calculating the G rom ov-W itten invariants of a point.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective variety. The genus 0 G rom ov-W itten invariants of X satisfy relations which imply that they can be completely encoded in the structure of a Frobenius manifold on the cohom ology H (X;C). In this paper I prove a form ula which expresses the descendent genus g G rom ov-W itten invariants of a sm ooth projective variety X in term s of the descendent genus 0 invariants of the symmetric product stack $S^{g+1}X$. The latter are encoded in a Frobenius manifold structure on the orbifold cohomology group $H_{orb}(S^{g+1}(X);C)$. This in plies that the G rom ov-W itten invariants of X at all genera are described by a sequence of Frobenius manifold structures on the hom ogeneous components of the Fock space

 $F = Sym (H (X;C) _{C} tC[t]) = _{d 0} H_{orb} (S^{d} (X);C)$

Standard properties of genus 0 invariants, such as associativity, when applied to the sym m etric product stacks S^dX, yield in plicit relations am ong higher-genus G rom ov-W itten invariants of х.

W hen X =is a point, the sym m etric product is the classifying stack B § of the sym m etric group. The Frobenius manifold associated to the genus 0 invariants of BSd is in fact a Frobenius algebra, which is the centre of the group algebra of the symmetric group, C $[\beta_d]^{S_d}$. Our result therefore gives a new way of expressing the integrals of tautological classes on \overline{M}_{qn} in terms of structure constants of C $[S_d]$.

M ore generally, the associativity constraints, together with some other simple properties, are su cient to determ ine the sm all quantum cohom ology of the sym m etric product stack S dX in terms of the small quantum cohom ology of X. The construction of Lehn-Sorger [24], (see also Fantechi-Gottsche [13]), which calculates the orbifold cohom ology of $S^{d}X$ in terms of the ordinary cohom ology of X, applies verbatim to calculate the sm all quantum cohom ology of S^dX in term s of that of X. In general, the large quantum cohom ology of $S^{d}X$ is not determ ined by that of X .

Let m e sketch the geometric relation between G rom ov-W itten invariants of X and S^dX . Stacks of stable m aps to the sym m etric product stack S^dX are identied with stacks of certain $C^0 \ ! \ X$, where C and C^0 are twisted balanced nodal curves, and $C^0 \ ! \ C$ correspondences C is etale of degree d. W e introduce stacks \overline{M} (X), parameterizing such correspondences with certain markings on C^0 and C, where g(C) = 0.¹ Here is some label remembering the genera of C^0 and C, the stack structure at the marked points, the hom ology class of the map C^0 ! X,

 $^{^{1}}$ W e identify the genus of a twisted curve with that of its coarse m oduli space.

and so forth. There is a nite group G acting without xed points on \overline{M} (X), by reordering marked points of C^0 , such that \overline{M} (X)=G is a stack of stable m aps from genus 0 curves to $S^d X$. This implies that integrals on \overline{M} (X) are G rom ov-W itten invariants of $S^d X$.

There is a map $p:\overline{M}(X) ! \overline{M}_{g;r;}(X)$, for some g;r and $2 H_2(X)$, de ned by taking the coarse moduli space $C^0 \circ fC^0$, with its natural map $C^0 ! X$, and forgetting some marked points. We show that p is nite of degree k 2 Q, in the virtual sense. By this we mean

(1.0.1)
$$p [M (X)]_{virt} = k [M_{gr} (X)]_{virt}$$

We then express the pull back p $_{\rm i}$ of the tautological classes on $\overline{M}_{\rm q,r}$ (X), in terms of

classes and boundary divisors of $\overline{M_{v^0! v}}$ (X). The boundary cycles of $\overline{M_{v}}$ (X) are again products of sim ilar stacks of etale correspondences. Further, there is a commutative diagram of evaluation m aps



This allows us to translate integrals on $\overline{M}_{g;r}(X)$ of classes, and cohomology classes pulled back from X^r, into sum s of products of similar integrals on $\overline{M}_{0;n}(S^m X)$ for varying m and n.

The most technically di cult part of this procedure is proving the push-forward form ula (1.0.1). We do this by working in a \universal" setting, where all the moduli stacks are smooth; and deduce it for arbitrary X by base change, in the virtual sense, by the stack \overline{M}_{gi} , (X) of curves in X with no markings. We need to introduce moduli stacks of curves with markings in a sem igroup. Let A be a sem igroup with indecom posable zero; for each a 2 A we de ne a moduli stack M $_{gm,ia}$ of all (possibly unstable) connected nodal curves of genus g, with n marked points, and certain A-valued marking on the irreducible components. These curves must satisfy some stability conditions; for example when a = 0, but not otherwise, M $_{gm,i0} = \overline{M}_{gm}$ is the usual D eligne-M um ford moduli stack of stable curves. In general, M $_{gm,i0}$ is smooth, proper, locally of nite type, but non-separated. The advantage of these moduli stacks over the more familiar stacks M $_{gm}$ of all nodal curves, is that there are (proper, separated) contraction maps M $_{gm,ia}$! M $_{gm-1,ia}$, which identify M $_{gm,ia}$ with the universal curve over M $_{gm-1,ia}$. This is not the case for M $_{gm}$.

Let C (X) be the M ori cone of positive 1-cycles on X m odulo num erical equivalence. For each $2 \ C$ (X) we have the associated sm ooth m oduli stacks M $_{g;n}$; , and the stacks of stable m aps M $_{g;n}$; (X). We have

$$\overline{M}_{g;n}$$
; (X) = $M_{g;n}$; $M_{a;}$ \overline{M}_{g} ; (X)

M ore generally, for any connected m odular graph with labellings in C (X), so that denes a stratum of M_{gin} ; (X), we see that

$$\overline{M}$$
 (X) = M M_g; \overline{M} (X)

Further, these bre products are compatible with virtual fundam ental classes. That is, the system of stacks of stable m aps to X, together with their natural m orphism s and virtual classes, is pulled back, via the m ap \overline{M}_{g} ; (X) ! M_g; , from the stacks M_{g;n;a} with their natural m orphism s.

W e can extend this observation to stacks of etale correspondences to X :

$$\overline{M}$$
 (X) = M M_{g} ; \overline{M}_{g} ; (X)

where M is some stack of etale m aps of curves C^0 ! C. This bre product is also compatible with virtual fundamental classes.

Since all of these bre products are in the virtual sense, they behave quite like at base changes for the purposes of intersection theory. We show that to prove the map \overline{M} (X) ! $\overline{M}_{g;r;}$ (X) is nite in the virtual sense as in formula (1.0.1), it is su cient to show that M ! M $_{g;r;}$ is actually nite. W ith the correct choices of , this is not di cult.

1.1. Relation to previous work.

Intersection numbers on moduli stacks of curves. The G rom ov-W itten theory of a point has been known since K ontsevich's proof [19] of W itten's conjecture [30]. There are two parts to K ontsevich's proof. Firstly, he reduces the geometric problem to a combinatorial problem, using a topological cell decomposition of the moduli stack of curves to derive form ulae for integrals of tautological classes. Then he derives a matrix integral form ula for these expressions, and uses this to prove W itten's conjecture.

The results of this paper, applied to a point, give a new way to do the rst part of this procedure; that is we nd a combinatorial expression for integrals of tautological classes on the moduli stack. The techniques are purely algebro-geom etric, and thus have a very di erent avour from K ontsevich's topological model.

M ore recently, another proof of the K ontsevich-W itten theorem has appeared. A combinatorial expression for intersection numbers on the moduli stack of curves in terms of H urw itz numbers was announced by Ekedahl, Lando, Shapiro and Vainshtein in [11] and proved in [12]. A nother proof of this form ula was obtained by G raber and Vakil [16], building on a special case proved by Fantechi and P andharipande [14]. This result was used by O kounkov and P andharipande [26] to give another proof of the K ontsevich-W itten theorem.

The geom etric part of this proof relies on spaces of ram i ed covers of P¹ to relate intersection numbers on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ to Hurw itz numbers. Spaces of covers of genus 0 curves also play a central role in this work. However, the compactic cations we use are dierent, as are the methods for obtaining form ulae for integrals on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$. For example, in [16], G raber and Vakil calculate certain G rom ov-W itten invariants of P¹ in two dierent ways: rstly, using virtual localization, and secondly, by using a \branching m ap" to con guration spaces of points on P¹. Equating these yields the desired form ula. On the other hand, the techniques used here can be viewed, in the case of a point, as rstly constructing a correspondence $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, which is nite over both $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and $\overline{M}_{g,m}$, and then calculating the pullbacks of tautological classes from $\overline{M}_{g,m}$. The expressions we end up with are dierent from those obtained by the authors cited above.

The results presented here work for arbitrary target space, and not just a point; it does not seem to be clear how to generalize the results of [11, 12, 14, 16, 19] to arbitrary target X.

O rbifold G rom ov-W itten theory. G rom ov-W itten invariants for orbifolds were rst de ned by C hen and R uan [7] in sym plectic geom etry. A bram ovich and V istoli [3] de ned stable m aps to D eligne-M um ford stacks, and proved these form reasonable stacks. In [2], A bram ovich, G raber and V istoli use these results to give an algebraic de nition of G rom ov-W itten invariants for DM stacks.

The G rom ov-W itten theory of the classifying stack B G of a nite group G was studied by Jarvis and K in ura [18]. In a recent preprint, Jarvis, K aufm ann and K in ura [17] study the algebraic structure de ned by G -equivariant quantum cohomology for a nite group G. The

KEVIN COSTELLO

reader should refer to these works for more details on the structure of the genus 0 G rom ov-W itten invariants of B S_n and of SⁿX . Note, however, that the notation for tautological classes, etc., used here, di ers from their notation by constants.

1.2. P lan of the paper. W e de ne som e of the basic moduli stacks we need in section 2. These are certain stacks of nodal curves with markings in a sem igroup; we show they are smooth Artin algebraic stacks and describe certain maps between them, as well as tautological classes. Section 3 is devoted to setting up various categories of labelled graphs, together with functors which associate to a graph a certain moduli stack of curves. In section 4 we calculate how tautological classes and cycles pull back under morphisms of moduli stacks, coming from morphisms of graphs. These pull backs are expressed as sum s over graphs, weighted by tautological classes.

Section 5 contains the main technical theorem, which says roughly that a map of nite degree remains of nite degree in the virtual sense, after a virtual base change. We use Behrend-Fantechi's virtual fundam ental class technology, and this result follows from an analysis of their \relative intrinsic norm alcone stacks". In section 6, we construct, for each g;r; , a label for a moduli stack of etale covers M , with a nite map M $! M_{g;r;}$.

In section 7, we base change by \overline{M}_{g} ; (X), to get stacks of stable m aps and etale correspondences to X. In section 8, we put these results together to give a form ula for all descendent G rom ov-W itten invariants of X in term s of genus 0 invariants of sym m etric products S^dX. Finally, in section 9, I illustrate the general result by calculating som e low-genus G rom ov-W itten invariants of a point.

1.3. Future w ork. The results presented here provide in plicit constraints on the G rom ov-W itten invariants of an arbitrary variety, coming from associativity properties of quantum cohom ology of symmetric products. It would be interesting to see what relation these constraints have with the conjectural V irasoro constraints, rst proposed by E guchi, H ori and X iong [10]. A rst step in this direction would be to use the results of this paper to give a new proof of W itten's conjecture. I in agine this is far from easy; in the two proofs of the K ontsevich-W itten theorem of which I am aware, even once the geometric work has been done, signi cant insight is required to prove the theorem.

In another direction, I think that one can prove a reconstruction theorem, analogous to the rst reconstruction theorem of K ontsevich and M anin [20], which would imply that for certain Fano m anifolds X, the quantum cohom ology of S^dX is determined by the quantum cohom ology of X. This would imply that all G rom ov-W itten invariants of X are determined by the genus 0 invariants. It's not clear in what generality one can make such a statement: we need K $_{\rm X}$ 0, which implies many genus 0 invariants of S^dX vanish for dimension reasons.

Note that such a statement has a close relationship with certain corollaries of the V irasoro conjecture. D ubrovin and Zhang [8, 9] have shown that the V irasoro conjecture in plies that when X has sem i-sim ple quantum cohom ology, all higher genus G rom ov-W itten invariants of X are determined by the genus 0 invariants. C on jecturally, m any Fano m anifolds have sem isim ple quantum cohom ology.

I hope to return to these points in a future paper.

1.4. A cknow ledgem ents. I am very grateful to my PhD. supervisor Ian G rojnowski for his support, both m athem atical and m oral, over the last four years. I'd like to thank A lessib C orti, E zra G etzler, T im Penutz, R ichard Thom as, B urt Totaro, H sian-H ua T seng, and especially C onstantin Telem an and R avi V akil for their interest in this work, and for very helpful conversations and correspondence. This paper will form part of my PhD. thesis at C am bridge U niversity. I have been nancially supported by the EPSRC, the C am bridge E uropean Trust, and the C ecil K ing M em orial Foundation.

1.5. N otation. W e work always over a eld k, algebraically closed and of characteristic zero. Stacks are in the sense of Laum on and M oret-B ailly [25]. In particular, a stack is not required to have an atlas, an algebraic stack m ust adm it a sm ooth atlas, and a D eligne-M um ford stack m ust adm it an etale atlas. I will sometimes use the phrase Artin stack as a synonym for algebraic stack.

Later we will de ne various categories of graphs. Here is a sum m ary of som e notation needed for these:

sted tack I !	
1100	
Labels for disconnected sm ooth m arked curves, and disconnected sm ooth m arked tw isted curves, respectively.	
sted	
! C	
! C	
ooth	
ooth	
bels	
abel	
a la- :°, C	

2.M odulistacks

Let g 2 Z $_0$ and let I be a nite set. Let M $_{g;I}$ be the stack of all nodal curves of genus g with I m arked sm ooth points. M $_{g;I}$ is a sm ooth algebraic stack; it is non-separated, and locally but not globally of nite type.

Let $g \ge Z_0$, let I be a nite set and let $m : I ! Z_{>0}$ be a function. Let $M_{g;I,m}$ be the moduli stack of all twisted (balanced) curves of genus g, with marked points labelled by I and the degree of twisting at the marked points given by $m \cdot Explicitly$, $M_{g;I,m}$ is the category of

com m utative diagram s



where:

U is a scheme of nite type.

C is a proper separated at D M stack over U, etale locally a nodal curve over U. The map C ! C exhibits C as the coarse moduli space of C, and C is connected of genus g.

U B $_{m(i)}$, C is an embedding of a disjoint union of trivial $_{m(i)}$ -gerbes into C, and U I! U B $_{m(i)}$ are sections of these gerbes.

C! C is an isom orphism away from the nodes and marked points of C.

Etale locally near a node of C, C ! U looks like

(SpecA [u;v]=(uv t))= r ! SpecA

where t2 A, and the group of r-th roots of unity r acts on A [u;v]=(uv t) by u! lu, $v! l^{1}v$, where l2 r.

This de nition is due to Abram ovich and V istoli; for more details see [3]. Note that we use trivialized gerbes, where they use possibly non-trivial gerbes. Our stack is simply the ber product of all the universal gerbes lying over their version.

P roposition 2.0.1. M $_{q;I,m}$ is a smooth stack.

By smooth Imean in the sense of the form alcriterion for smoothness over the base Speck. I expect that M $_{g,I,m}$ is algebraic, although I don't know a reference for this. P resumably, one could prove this using the techniques of A bram ovich and V istoli [3]. How ever, we don't really need any properties of M $_{g;I,m}$; for us it is essentially a placeholder.

There is a m ap M $_{g;I,m}$! M $_{g;I}$ which associates to a twisted curve its coarse m oduli space. W e need variants of these de nitions, which depend on a sem igroup. Let A be a commutative sem igroup, with unit 0 2 A, such that

A has indecomposable zero: $a + a^2 = 0$ implies $a = a^0 = 0$.

A has nite decomposition: for every a 2 A, the set $f(a_1;a_2) \ge A$ A $ja_1 + a_2 = ag$ is nite.

For example, A = 0, or A is the M ori cone C (X) of curves in a projective variety X up to num erical equivalence, or A = f0; 1g where 1 + 1 = 1.

Fix any such A. Let (g;I;a) be a triple where $g \ge Z_0$, I is a nite set, and a $\ge A$. We say (g;I;a) is stable, if either a ≤ 0 or a = 0 and $\ge 2 + \# I > 0$. For any such triple (g;I;a) we de ne the stack M $_{g;I;a}$ over M $_{g;I}$. Roughly, M $_{g;I;a}$ parameterizes curves C with I m arked sm ooth points, together with a labelling of each irreducible component of C by an element of A. The sum over irreducible components of the associated elements of A must be a, and a certain stability condition must be satis ed. The simplest form alde nition is inductive.

- (1) If (g; I; a) is unstable, then M $_{g; I; a}$ is empty.
- (2) Suppose (g;I;a) is stable. Then an object of M $_{g;I;a}$ is
 - An object of $M_{g,I}$, that is, a at fam ily C ! U, of nodal curves over a scheme U, together with I sm ooth marked points U I ! C.

6

Let C_{gen} ! U be the complement of the nodes and marked points of C. The additional data we require is a constructible function $f:C_{gen}$! A. f must be locally constant on the geometric bres of C_{gen} ! U.

- (3) If U_0 U is the open subscheme parameterizing non-singular curves $C_0 ! U_0$, then $f : C_{0 \text{ gen}} ! A$ must be constant with value a.
- (4) We require that f satis as a gluing condition along the boundary of M $_{g,I}$. Precisely, suppose we have a decomposition $g = g^0 + g^{00}$ and $I = I^0 \quad I^{00}$, a map V ! U, and a factorization of the map V ! M $_{g,I}$ into

where the second map is obtained by gluing the marked points $s^0; s^0$. Let $C_V^0 ! V$ and $C_V^0 ! V$ be the associated families of curves. We require that the pulled-back constructible functions $f^0: C_{V_{\text{den}}}^0 ! A$ and $f^0: C_{V_{\text{den}}}^0 ! A$ de neamorphism

V !
$$M_{g^0;I^0}$$
 $f_{s^0g;a^0}$ $M_{g^0;I^0}$ $f_{s^{00}g;a^{00}}$

(5) In a similar way, suppose we have a map V $\, ! \,$ U , and a factorization of the map V $\, ! \,$ M $_{\rm g,I}$ into

Then, the family of genus g $~1~{\rm curves}~C_V~!~V$, together with the pulled-back constructible function f:C_V $_{gen}$! A, must de ne a map

V ! M g 1;I fs;s⁰g;a

P roposition 2.0.2. The map M $_{g;I;a}$! M $_{g;I}$ is etale, and relatively a scheme of nite type. Therefore M $_{g;I;a}$ is a smooth algebraic stack.

De ne M_{g;Im} $_{a} = M_{g;Im} M_{g;I}$. The stack M_{g;Im} $_{a}$ is smooth.

2.1. C ontraction m aps. The main advantage of M $_{g_iI,a}$ over M $_{g_iI}$ is the existence of contraction m aps $_i: M_{g_iI,a} ! M_{g_iIni,a}$ for each i2 I. G iven a curve C 2 M $_{g_iI,a}$ with m arked points P_j, j2 I, $_i(C)$ is obtained from C by removing P_i, and contracting the irreducible component of C containing P_i to a point if it is unstable. Unstable components are components of genus 0 with m arking 0 2 A and containing < 3 nodes or m arked points, and components of genus 1 with no nodes or m arked points. To construct the m ap $_i$, we need

P roposition 2.1.1. There is an isomorphism $C_{g;Ini;a} = M_{g;I;a}$ where $C_{g;I;ni;a}$ is the universal curve over M $_{g;Ini;a}$.

Proof. Just as in [22], De nition 2.3, there is a map $C_{g;n-1}$! M $_{g;n}$. This lifts to a map $C_{g;n-1;a}$! M $_{g;n;a}$, by labelling any irreducible component which is contracted in the map $C_{g;n-1;a}$! M $_{g;n}$ $_{1;a}$ by 0.2 A.As in [4], lem ma 7, the form alcriterion for etaleness shows that $C_{g;n-1;a}$! M $_{g;n;a}$ is etale. To show it is an isom orphism, it is enough to show this on the level of k-points, which is easy.

2.2. M aps to sym m etric products. Let X be a scheme. Let $S^d X = [X^d = S_d]$ be the symmetric product stack of X.

Lem m a 2.2.1. The stack whose groupoid of U points, for U a scheme, has objects diagrams

U U⁰! X

where U^0 ! U is proper, separated, surjective, and etale of degree d; and has m orphism s, isom orphism s U^0 ! U^0 such that the diagram



commutes, is equivalent to the stack $S^d X$.

Proof. By de nition, to give a map $U \, ! \, S^d X$ is to give a right, etale locally trivial, principle S_d -bundle $P \, ! \, U$, together with an S_d -equivariant map $P \, ! \, X^d$. Given such, let $U^0 = P^{S_d}$ fl;:::;dg. Then $U^0 \, ! \, U$ is etale of degree d. One can recover P from U^0 as the sheaf on the sm alletale site of U,

$$P = Iso_{et} (U'; U f1; ...; dg)$$

O bærve that P is an etale locally trivial principle S_d bundle. This is because the m ap U^0 ! U is etale locally isom orphic to U f1:::dg - proper, separated, surjective, etale m aps of degree d are precisely the m aps with this property. Then,

Hom
$$(P; X^{d})^{S_{d}} = Hom (P \quad fl; :::; dg; X)^{S_{d}} = Hom (P \quad S_{d} fl; :::; dg; X) = Hom (U^{U}; X)$$

C orollary 2.2.2. Let V be a DM stack. The 2-groupoid Hom (V;S^dX) is equivalent to the 2-groupoid of diagram s V V⁰! X, with V⁰! V proper, separated surjective, and etale of degree d. Further, the 2-groupoid Hom Rep(V;S^dX) of representable m aps V ! S^dX is equivalent to the 2-groupoid of such diagram s V V⁰! X, where the inertia groups of V act faithfully on the bres of V⁰! V.

Proof. We prove the statement about representability. V ! S^dX is representable if and only if the principle S_d -bundle, P ! V, is an algebraic space. This is equivalent to saying that the inertia groups of V act faithfully on the bress of V⁰! V.

2.3. Stacks of etale covers. We need some notation to shorten the cumbersome g;I;m; a labels. Let ${}^{t}(A)$ be the groupoid of quadruples = (g();T();m;a()) where $g() 2 Z_0$, T() is a nite set, m:T() ! $Z_{>0}$ is a function, and a() 2 A. We impose the stability condition as before: if a() = 0, then 2g() 2 + #T() > 0. The morphism s are isom orphism s preserving all the structure. Let ${}^{u}(A)$ be the groupoid of triples v = (g(v);T(v);a(v)) satisfying the stability condition. There is a map r: ${}^{t}(A)$! ${}^{u}(A)$ sending (g;I;m;a) ! (g;I;a). For $2 {}^{t}$ we have the moduli stack M ; sim ilarly for $v 2 {}^{u}$ we have M v. There is a map r: M ${}^{t}(A)$ which associates to a twisted curve its coarse moduli space.

We also want labels form oduli stacks of disconnected curves. We de ne a groupoid exp $\binom{t}{}$. An object $2 \exp\binom{t}{}$, is a nite set V(), and a map V()! Ob ^t. A morphism ! ⁰ in exp(^t), is an isomorphism : V() = V(⁰) of nite sets, together with an isomorphism v = (v) of the associated element of ^t, for each $v \ge V()$. De ne exp(^u) in a similar way. Given $2 \exp\binom{t}{}$, let

$$T() = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ T(v) \end{bmatrix}$$

The groupoid exp (^t) labels possibly disconnected nodal curves. Let

$$M = \begin{array}{c} Y \\ M \\ v^2 V \end{array} M _v$$

Next, we want to de ne labels for etale m aps of twisted curves, C^0 ! C. C^0 m ay be disconnected. A covering , consists of

- (1) An elements () $2 \exp(t)$, the source, and an element t() 2^{t} , the target.
- (2) These must satisfy

- (3) A map of nite sets, p:T(s())! T(t()).
- (4) For each $t^0 2 T$ (s()), we require that (t^0) divides $(p(t^0))$. Let $d(t^0) = m(p(t^0)) = m(t^0)$.
- (5) We require that for each $t \ge T(t())$,

m (t) =
$$\lim fd(t^0) jp(t^0) = tg$$

where lom stands for lowest common multiple.

- (6) A function d : V (s()) ! Z 1, the degree.
- (7) For each t2 T (t()), and each v2 V (s()),

X
d (
$$t^0$$
) = d (v)
 $t^{0_2 T}(v)$
 $p(t^0) = t$

We de ned() = $P_{v2V(s())} d(v)$.

(8) The Riem ann-Hurwitz form ula holds: for each v 2 V (s()),

$$2 (g (s ()_v) = 2d (v) (g (t ()) = 1) + (d (t^0) = 1)$$

Let $\ ^{\rm c}$ be the groupoid of all coverings $\$, with the obvious isom orphism s. There are source and target functors,

We will often write to mean a covering with s() = and t() = .Associated to a covering 2^c, we de ne a stack M of etale covers $f:C^0$! C.M is the category whose objects are

An object of $M_{t()}$, with associated family of twisted nodal curves C ! U, sections T (t()) ! C and constructible function f : C_{gen} ! U, where C is the coarse moduli space of C.

An object of $M_{s()}$, with associated family of possibly disconnected twisted nodal curves C^{0} ! U, sections T (s())! C^{0} and constructible function $f^{0}:C^{0}_{gen}$! U, where C^{0} is the coarse m oduli space of C. An etale map p: \hat{C} ! C.

These must satisfy:

The diagram



m ust be Cartesian over U. This implies, in particular, that the marked points of C^0 are precisely those lying over marked points of C.

Let pf^0 be the constructible function on C_{gen} given by pushing forward f^0 ; we require that $p f^0 = f$.

The map C ! B \S associated to the etale map C⁰ ! C must be representable.

Proposition 2.3.1. The map M ! M $_{t()}$ is etale; therefore, M is smooth. Further, M is algebraic.

Before we prove this, we need a lem m a.

Lem m a 2.3.2. Let G be a nite group. The stack

 $M_{q;I,m}$ (BG) = Hom Rep_{M q,I,m} (C_{q;I,m};BG $M_{q;I,m}$)

of representable m aps C ! BG from curves C 2 M $_{q;I,m}$ is a bebraic.

Sketch of proof. A representable map from a twisted nodal curve C to BG is the same as a principle G bundle P ! C, whose total space is an ordinary nodal curve. This is the same, just as in [1], Theorem 4.3.2, as a nodal curve P, with a G-action, such that the map P ! P=G to the scheme quotient is generically a principle G-bundle; the G action must also have some compatibility at the nodes. We recover C as P=G], the stack quotient.

The stack of nodal curves P is algebraic. Further, the stack of nodal curves with a G action is algebraic, because a G action on a curve P can be identified with its graph in P P G. It follows that $M_{q;I,m}$ (BG) is algebraic.

Proof of Proposition 2.3.1. The deform ations of an etale cover C^0 ! C are the same as deformations of the base, as in [1]; therefore the map M ! M_{t()} is etale.

Consider the m ap M ! M $_{g(t());T(t());m}$ (B S_d). This map is relatively a scheme; it follows that M is algebraic.

The fact that M is algebraic in plies that its in age in M $_{t()}$, which is an open substack, is also algebraic.

Let us look at coverings C^0 ! C 2 M locally. Given a tail t 2 T (t()), in an etale neighbourhood of the twisted marked point t ! C, C^0 ! C looks like

 $\operatorname{Spec}_{t^{0}2 p^{-1} (t)} \begin{array}{c} d(t^{0}) \\ i = 1 \end{array} k [k_{t^{0}};i] \quad ! \quad \operatorname{Spec} k [y] = m(t)$

As before, $d_{j}(t^{0}) = m$ (t)=m (t⁰). The algebra map which induces this map of schemes is of course y ! $x_{t^{0};i}$. The $_{m}(t)$ action sends y ! ly, for l2 $_{m}(t)$; and $x_{t^{0};i}$! $lx_{t^{0};i+1m \text{ od } d(t^{0})}$. This action is faithful, which is equivalent to representability of the associated map C ! B S_d, because m (t) is the lowest common multiple of d(t⁰) for t⁰ 2 p⁻¹ (t). The stabilizer of $x_{t^{0};i}$ is $_{m}(t^{0})$. There is a similar picture near the nodes, except k [k] is replaced by k [u;v]=uv.

10

2.4. Stacks of stable m aps. Let X be a smooth projective variety. W e will let our sem igroup A, be the M oricone of e ective 1-cycles on X, up to num erical or hom ological equivalence. For each $v = (g; I;) 2^{u}$, we have the stack $\overline{M}_{v}(X)$ of K ontsevich stable m aps in X. There is a map

M
$$_{\rm v}$$
 (X) ! M $_{\rm v}$

which associates to a stable map C ! X with marked points, the curve C, with its marked points, and the constructible function C_{gen} ! C (X) given by taking the hom ology class of an irreducible component. In a similar way, for each 2 exp(^u), labelling disconnected curves, we have a moduli stack \overline{M} (X) with a map \overline{M} (X)! M.

Lem m a 2.4.1.

$$\overline{M}_{g;n}$$
; (X) = $M_{g;n}$; $M_{g;n-1}$; $\overline{M}_{g;n-1}$; (X)

Proof. It was shown in [6] that $\overline{M}_{g;n}$; (X) is the universal curve over $\overline{M}_{g;n-1}$; (X), which is pulled back from $M_{g;n-1}$; . But we have shown that $M_{g;n}$; is the universal curve over $M_{g;n-1}$;.

M ore generally,

$$\overline{M}_{g;n}$$
; (X) = $M_{g;n}$; $M_{g;}$ $\overline{M}_{g;}$ (X)

so that all stacks ofm arked stable m aps to X , arise by base change with the stack of unm arked stable m aps $\overline{M}_{\rm q}$ (X).

Let V be a proper projective D eligne-M um ford stack. A bram ovich and V istoli [3] de ned the stack of stable m aps to V : this is the stack of representable m aps f : C ! V from twisted nodal curves with m arked points to V, such that Aut(f) is nite. We are only interested in the case V = $S^{d}X$, the sym m etric product stack of a smooth projective variety X. Take our sem igroup to be C (X) as above. For each = (g;I;m;) 2 ^t, let \overline{M} ($S^{d}X$) be the stack of stable m aps from curves C 2 M $_{g;I,m}$, such that if C C^{0} ! X is the associated correspondence, then C^{0} ! X has class 2 C (X).

For each covering 2 ^c, de ne

$$\overline{M}$$
 (X) = M $M_{s()}$ $\overline{M}_{s()}$

Let Aut(jt()) be the group of autom orphisms of which act trivially on t().

Lem m a 2.4.2. There is a natural isom orphism

$$\frac{d}{M} (X) = Aut(jt()) = \overline{M}_{v}(S^{d}X)$$

2.5. Tautological line bundles. Let 2 ^u or ^t. For each t 2 T (), there is a section t :M ! C of the universal curve. De ne $L_t = \frac{1}{t}$ to be the relative cotangent bundle. L_t is the tautological line bundle. If 2 ^t, so that r() 2 ^u, we have a map M ! M_{r()}. For each t 2 T () = T (r()), we have r $L_t = L_t^{m(t)}$.

For 2 ^c, for each t2 T (s()) (ort2 T (t())) there is a tautological line bundle L_t , pulled back from M _{s()} (respectively M _{t()}). If $p(t^0) = t$ under the projection T (s()) ! T (t()), then $L_{t^0} = L_t$.

Let $t = c_1 (L_t)$ on any of the three types of moduli stack.

2.6. A utom orphism s and deform ations of twisted nodal curves. Let v 2 ^t, let C 2 M v and let C 2 M $_{r(v)}$ be the coarse m oduli space of C. W e want to describe Aut (C jC), the group of autom orphism s of C which are trivial on the coarse m oduli space C. This group splits as a product of contributions from each twisted node and twisted marked point of C: a twisted node or marked point with inertia group $_{r}$ contributes $_{r}$.

The bre of M_v ! M_{r(v)} over a curve C 2 M_{r(v)} can similarly be described as a product of local contributions from the nodes and marked points of C. For each tail t 2 T (v) = T (r(v)), we have a factor of B_{m(t)}. For each node of C, we have a factor of $_{k2Z_{>0}}$ B_k. For more details, see [1].

For v 2 ^t, let $T_1(v)$ T (v) be the set of tails with multiplicity m (t) = 1. For C 2 M_v and t 2 $T_1(v)$, the marked point P_t 2 C is untwisted. The rst-order deformations of C are given by

$$Ext^{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} X\\ t^{2}T_{1}(v)\end{array}\right)$$

The deform ation theory is unobstructed. We can identify this space with

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 & 1 \\ X \\ H^{0} @ !_{C} & \frac{1}{C} (P_{t})^{A} \\ t2 T_{1} (v) \end{array}$$

where $!_{C}$ is the dualizing line bundle.

Let C 2 M $_{r(v)}$ be the coarse moduli space of C. We have a map : C ! C. In [3], it is shown that is an exact functor, and so

The space of rst order deform ations of C is similarly given by H 0 !_c $^{1}_{c}$ $^{P}_{c}$ $^{1}_{c}$ $^{P}_{t_{2T}(r(v))}$ P_t) $^{-}$. Observe that we have a pole at all tails, not just those with multiplicity one. C learly

0	0		0	-
0 ! _c	1 Q X	$P_t^{AA} = !_c$	1 Q X	$\mathtt{P_t}^{A}$
t2 T ₁ (v)			t2 T (r ((v))

away from the nodes and marked points of C. In fact, this equality extends also to the marked points. At the nodes, however, this is no longer true. The map M_v ! M_{r(v)} is ram i ed along the divisor of singular curves. The degree of ram i cation along the divisor along the divisor in M_v corresponding to a node with inertia group $_k$ is k 1 (i.e. a function vanishing to degree 1 on the divisor in M_{r(v)} vanishes to degree k along the divisor in M_v when its pulled back). One can see this by looking at the local picture, as in [1], section 3.

Let 2 ^c and let C⁰! C 2 M be an etale cover of twisted balanced curves. Let C⁰! C be the corresponding ram i ed covering of the coarse m oduli spaces of C⁰ and C. We are interested in Aut (C⁰! C jC⁰! C), the autom orphism swhich are trivial on the coarse m oduli space. As before, this splits as a product with a contribution from each twisted node and twisted m arking. Each t 2 T (t()) { that is each m arking of C { contributes m(t). However, in this case the contribution from the nodes is trivial. This follows from the fact that the the map C ! B S_d is representable. The m arked points of C⁰ do not contribute anything extra.

We have seen already what the deform ations of C^0 ! Care: they are the same as deform ations of C, that is the map M ! M_{t()} is etale.

3.Graphs

We de ne categories of graphs, which label various avours of nodal curve, as well as etale covers of twisted nodal curves. We introduce three categories: ^u, which contains labels for untwisted nodal curves; ^t, which has labels for twisted nodal curves; and ^c, which has labels for pairs of twisted nodal curves C⁰, C, with an etale map C⁰! C. These categories depend on a sem igroup A, which we will usually not mention. The morphism s in these categories correspond to degenerating curves, or dually to contracting graphs. There are functors, denoted M, from each of these categories to the category of stacks, which take a label to the moduli stack of all curves with that label; as well as functors

s and t stand for source and target, and take the labels for a pair C^0 ! C to the labels for C^0 or C respectively. r takes the labels for C to the labels for its coarse m oduli space C. These functors get translated into m orphism s of stacks after applying the m oduli stack functor M; that is, there are m aps of stacks s: M ! M _{s()}, and sim larly for t and r.

Now we de ne these categories. Let ^t be the category whose objects are objects of exp(^t), together with an order two isom orphism :T() = T(), commuting with the multiplicity map m :T()! Z₁. De ne the objects of ^u in a similar fashion, using ^u instead of ^t and om itting references to m. There are forgetfulm aps F: ^t! exp(^t), and F: ^u! exp(^u). For 2 ^t (or 2 ^u), the vertices of , written V(), is the set of vertices V(F()) of the underlying element of exp(^t). The half-edges of is the set H() = T(F()). The set of edges of , E(), is the set of free Z=2 orbits on H(). The set of tails of , T(), is the set of - xed points on H(). We can t the structure of a graph 2 ^t into the diagram



Given 2 ^t or ^u, let M = $\begin{array}{c} Q \\ v^{2V()}Mv$. For every half-edge h 2 H (), there is a tautological line bundle L_h . For every edge e 2 E () corresponding to the -orbit (h_1 ; h_2) let $L_e = L_{h_1}$ L_{h_2} .

3.1. C ontractions. Now we want to de ne the morphism s in the categories ^t and ^u, called contractions. In terms of the cell complex C () associated to a graph , a contraction ⁰! is a surjective continuous m ap C (⁰)! C () which is an isom orphism away from the vertices of C (), and possibly m aps some edges of C (⁰) to vertices of C (). It is better to describe contractions m ore formally. Let ; ⁰2 ^t. A contraction ⁰! is a surjective m ap of sets f: H (⁰) V (⁰)! H () V (), such that

$$V(^{0})$$
 f¹($V()$).

The diagram



commutes, where is the involution on H (0) or H (), and H () V () ! V () comes from the map H () ! V () assigning to a half-edge the vertex it is attached to. f induces an isomorphism H (0) f 1 (H ()) = H (), commuting with the multiplicity functions.

W e require that f does not contract any tails to vertices, so that T ($^0)$ = H ($^0)$ f 1 (H ()). This implies that f induces an isom orphism T ($^0)$ = T ().

For each v 2 V (), we can de ne a graph $_{v}^{0}$ 2 ^t, with vertices f ¹ (v) \ V ($_{v}^{0}$), edges f ¹ (v) \ E ($_{v}^{0}$), and tails f ¹ (H (v)) (f ¹ (v) \ T ($_{v}^{0}$)). We require that $_{v}^{0}$ is connected of genus g ($_{v}^{0}$) = g (v).

We de ne contractions of graphs ; ${}^{0}2$ u in the same fashion, leaving out references to the multiplicity function.

Let E (f) E (⁰) be the set of edges contracted by f. G iven any subset I E (⁰) there is a unique contraction f : ⁰! with E (f) = I. One can think of E (f) as being the kernel of f.

Contractions correspond to degenerating curves by adding more nodes. If we have a contraction f: 0 !, we can identify M $_{\circ} = {}^{v_{2\,V}} ()_{v_{v}} M {}^{\circ}_{v_{v}}$. There are maps M $_{\circ}_{v_{v}}$! M $_{v}$, which induces a map

The map f has cotangent complex on M o

W e can compute the cohomology of this complex in terms of tautological line bundles on M (0).

i

Ker f
$$\stackrel{1}{_{M}}$$
 ! $\stackrel{1}{_{M}} \stackrel{1}{_{0}} = {}_{i2 E (f)}L$
CoKer f $\stackrel{1}{_{M}}$! $\stackrel{1}{_{M}} \stackrel{1}{_{0}} = 0$

32. C overings. We de ne labels for etale m aps C⁰! C of twisted nodal curves. A covering ⁰ is a m ap of sets p:V(⁰)! V(), and for each v2 V(), a covering p¹(v)! v. Here we consider p¹(v) as an object of exp(^t). We require that the associated m ap H(⁰)! H() is equivariant with respect to the involution, takes tails to tails, and edges to edges.

G iven a covering p : 0 , for v 2 V (), let $^{0}_{v} = p^{-1}$ (v) 2 exp(^t) be the vertices lying over v. W e de ne a stack M $^{\circ}$ of coverings, by

$$M \circ = M \circ_{v \vee v}$$

14

A susual there are maps M $_{\circ}$! M , which is etale, and M $_{\circ}$! M $_{\circ}$. If we also have a contraction !, there is a unique covering 0 and a contraction 0 ! such that the diagram



com m utes.

Let ^c be the category whose objects are coverings ⁰ with ⁰; 2 ^t and whose morphism s are diagram s as above. There are source and target functors s;t: ^c! ^t. There is a functor ^c! stacks, sending a covering = s() t() to M, and an arrow ! to the associated m ap of stacks M ! M.

Let ; 2 ^c and suppose we have a morphism f : ! . There is an induced morphism t()! t(): let E (f) E (t()) be the set of edges contracted. There is a map M ! M , which is etale over its in age. The relative cotangent bundle is $\frac{1}{f} = \frac{12 \text{ E}(f) \text{ L}_{i}}{12 \text{ E}(f) \text{ L}_{i}}$. The diagram



com mutes, and the vertical maps are etale.

4. Pull backs of tautological classes

We want to do intersection theory on our moduli stacks, later with virtual fundamental classes. We will work both with Artin algebraic stacks and with Deligne-Mum ford stacks. For DM stacks, we will use the theory A of Vistoli [29], with Q-coe cients. For Artin stacks, K reach has de ned in [23] intersection theory, as has Toen in [28]. However, all we ever need to do with Artin stacks is intersect regularly embedded sm ooth divisors with regularly embedded sm ooth closed substacks, and also take rst Chern classes of line bundles. No particularly sophisticated technology is required { what we need is basically the same as that used by Behrend in [4] to de ne G rom ov-W itten classes.

We want to intersect cycles corresponding to graphs. If we have a map f: ⁰! in one of our categories of graphs ^c; ^t; ^u, we have an associated map f: M \circ ! M . Let M _f , M be the closed substack of M which is supported on the image of f. M _f is smooth, and the map M _f , M is a closed regular embedding. The map M \circ ! M _f is etale, in general non-representable, of degree kfk, where:

(1) If f: ⁰! is a morphism in ^u, then

is the number of autom orphism s of 0 commuting with the map 0 !. (2) If f: 0 ! is a morphism in ^t, then

$$kfk = \frac{\# Aut(0! j)}{Q_{i2E(f)}m(i)}$$

where $E(f) = E(^{0})$ is the set of edges contracted.

(3) If f: ⁰! is a morphism in ^c, then

$$kfk = \frac{\#Aut(^{0}! j)}{Q_{i2E(f)}m(i)^{2}}$$

where E (f) E (t(0)) is the set of edges contracted.

Let e 2 E (f). Observe that the line bundle L_e on M $_{\circ}$ descends to a line bundle L_e on M $_{f}$. Let $_{e} = c_1 (L_e) 2 A^{1}M_{f}$. The conorm albundle to the embedding M $_{f}$, M is $_{e2E(f)}L_e$. Let A be one of the categories c ; t ; ^u. Suppose we have a diagram



in A.We want to calculate f $[M_g]$ 2 A M \circ . For simplicity we will assume that M_g , M is a divisor, or equivalently # E (g) = 1. This the only case we will need.

Lem m a 4.0.1. f $[M_g]$ can be expressed as a sum with a term for each map h: ⁰! ⁰⁰ such that g h = f. Each such term of this is weighted by _e, where e is the unique element of E (f) n E (h). There is also a term $[M_h]$ for each isom orphism class of commutative diagrams



where # E(h) = 1 and $E(h) \in E(k)$.

The factor $_{e}$ is the rst Chem class of the norm albundle. Now we calculate pullbacks under the m aps of stacks induced by the functor r: ^t! ^u.

Lem ma 4.0.2. Let 2^t, and suppose f: ! r() is a morphism in ^u, with # E(f) = 1. Let r:M ! M_{r()} be the canonical map.

$$r [M_{f}] = \begin{array}{c} X \\ m (e) [M_{g}] \\ g: {}^{0!} \end{array}$$

where the sum is over $g: {}^{0}!$ such that r(g) = f, and $e \ge E(g)$ is the unique element.

The factors m (e) come from the fact that the map M ! M $_{r()}$ has ram i cation along the boundary divisors of M $_{r()}$.

Next we calculate pullbacks under s. Let 2 ^c, and let f: ! s() be a morphism in ^t, where again # E(f) = 1. There is a map s:M ! M_{s()}.

Lem m a 4.0.3. s $[M_f]$ 2 A M can be expressed as a sum over isomorphism classes of pairs of maps g: ⁰! in ^c, and h:s(⁰)! in ^t, such that f h = s(g), and # E(g) = 1:

$$s [M_f] = [M_g]$$

Observe that since t: $M + M_{t}$ is etale, it is easy to calculate pullbacks under t.

16

4.1. Pullbacks of -classes. So far we have seen how to pullback divisors corresponding to graphs. Next, we want to pullback Chem classes of tautological line bundles.

Under a morphism f: ⁰! in any of our categories ^c; ^t; ^u, for any half-edge h 2 H () there is a unique half-edge h⁰ 2 H (⁰) with $f(h^0) = h$. Then, $f_{h} = {}_{h^0}$.

For the functors s;t: ^c! ^t, for any 2 ^c and half edge h 2 H (s()), by de nition s $_{h} = _{h}$; and similarly for t. Also, if $h_{s} 2$ H (s()) lies above $h_{t} 2$ H (t()), then $_{h_{s}} = _{h_{t}}$. For the functor r: ^t! ^u: if 2 ^t, then H () = H (r()). If h 2 H (), then r $_{h} = m$ (h) $_{h}$.

There is another case which is not so trivial. Let $2 \, ^{\circ}$. Let I (T (s()) be such that after removing the tails in I, s() remains stable. This always happens if g(s()) > 0 or if a(s()) 2 A is non-zero. Let v 2 u be obtained from r(s()) by removing the tails in I. There is a map $:M_{r(s())} ! M_{v}$. We are interested in calculating the pullbacks of tautological classes in M_v, under the morphism s in the diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{s} M_{s()} \xrightarrow{r} M_{r(s())} \longrightarrow M_{v}$$

To do this we need to introduce yet m ore notation.

Let t 2 T (v) be any tail. Let 2 ^u with a contraction ! r(s()). So, I T () and t 2 T () n I. For e 2 E (), let _e be the graph obtained by contracting all edges except e. W e de ne S (e;t;I) 2 f0;1g to be 1, if and only if t is in a vertex of _e that is contracted after forgetting the tails I. This happens if and only if _e looks like

where $v_1;v_2$ are the vertices of e, the genus $g(v_1) = 0$, and the class $a(v_1) = 0 \ 2 \ A$. De ne S (e;t;I) = 0 otherwise. Observe that for each $e \ 2 \ E ($, there is at most one t 2 T (v), T () such that S (e;t;I) = 1.

Lem m a 4.1.1. For each t2 T (v),

where the sum is over f: ! r(s()) with # E() = # E(f) = 1, and e 2 E() is the unique edge.

Proof. This is a rephrasing of a standard result.

Corollary 4.1.2. For each t2 T (v),

$$r \qquad t = m (t) t \qquad M_{f} m (e) S (e;t;I)$$

$$f: ! s()$$

where the sum is over f: ! s() with # E () = # E (f) = 1, and e 2 E () is the unique edge.

Corollary 4.1.3. For each t2 T (v),

sr
$$t = m(t) t$$
 M_f $m(e)S(e;t;I)$
f: $e^{2E(s())}$

where the sum is over f: ! with # E (t()) = # E (f) = 1.

For each tailt 2 T (v), let z_t be a form all parameter. For each map ! in ^c, and for each edge e 2 T (t()) or tailt 2 T (t()), de ne form all parameters w_e and w_t . We impose the relations between z and w parameters:

Fort 2 T (t()), $w = \int_{t^0 2p^{-1}(t) \setminus T(v)}^{P} m(t^0) z_{t^0} w$ here the sum is over tails of T (v) , T (s()) lying overt. P P Fore 2 E (t()), $w = \int_{e^0 2p^{-1}(e)}^{P} p_{t^2 T(v)} m(e^0) S(e^0;t;I) z_t.$

1

Proposition 4.1.4. W ith this notation,

(4.1.1) sr
$$e^{\sum_{t=1}^{P} x_{t}} = \sum_{t=1}^{V} e^{\sum_{t=1}^{P} x_{t}} \frac{1}{e^{\sum_{t=1}^{P} x_{t}}} \frac{1}{e^{\sum_{t=1}$$

where the sum is over isomorphism classes of maps f: ! , and i : M $_{\rm f}$,! M is the inclusion.

Proof. When all variables z; w are zero, both sides are evidently equal. Now apply the operator $\frac{d}{dz_t}$ to both sides; it su ces to show that $\frac{d}{dz_t}$ acts by intersection with s r t on the right hand side. This follows from corollary 41.3, and lemma 4.0.1.

42. Pullbacks from Deligne-M um ford space. Let v 2 u , be such that g(v) = 0 and # T(v) = 3. There is a map

where M $_{0;T(v)}$ is the usual D eligne-M um ford stack of genus 0 stable curves. This map is at; this follows from the analogous result in [4]. Suppose # T (v) 4. For each distinct i; j;k;12 T (v), there is a map

In the usual way, by pulling back two rationally equivalent divisors on $\overline{M}_{0;fi;j;k;lg} = P^1$, we get the associativity equation on M_v :

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ M \\ f_{ijjk1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ M \\ f_{ikj1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_{ikj1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the left hand side, is the sum over graphs ! v, such that # E() = 1, and the tails i; j and k; lare on separate vertices of ; and similarly for the right hand side.

For each vertex 2^{t} , with g() = 0 and # T() 4, pulling this relation back from the map M ! M_{r()}, we get the associativity relations on M :

 $\begin{array}{ccc} X & & X \\ & & M & f_{ijjk1} \mbox{]m (e)} = & & M & f_{ikjj1} \mbox{]m (e)} \\ & & f_{ijjjk}: \ ! \ v & & & f_{ikj1}: \ ! \ v \end{array}$

where, as before, the graphs $_{ijkl}$ have one edge, e, and the tails i; j and k; l are in di erent vertices of .

For v 2 ^u with g(v) = 0 and # T(v) 3 as before, and for each distinct i; j; k 2 T(v) consider the map $p:\overline{M}_{0;T(v)}$! $\overline{M}_{0;fi;j;kg}$. $_{i} = 0$ on $\overline{M}_{0;fi;j;kg}$, because this is a point. It follows that, on M_v, we have the equation

$$\mathbf{i} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{f}_{ijk1}: \mathbf{i} \in \mathbf{v}}}^{\mathbf{X}} M_{\mathbf{f}_{ijk1}}]$$

where the sum is over graphs f_{ijkl} : ! v, such that # E (v) = 1, and the tails i and fk; lg are on di erent vertices.

Now let 2 ^t, be such that g() = 0 and # T() 3. Pulling back this relation from $M_{r()}$ to M , gives us, for each i; j;k 2 T(),

 $m (i) _{i} = M_{f_{ijk1}} m (e)$

where the sum is over m aps $f_{i;kl}$: ! v where e 2 E () is the unique edges, and the tails i and fk; lg are on di erent vertices of .

Finally, observe that for each 2 $^{\rm c}$ with t() having only one vertex, we can pull these relations back via the etale map M ~! M $_{\rm t()}$ in an obvious way.

5. V irtual fundamental classes

I will use the Behrend-Fantechi [5] construction of virtual fundam ental classes.

Let F be a D eligne-M um ford stack, V an Artin stack, and suppose there is a m ap F $\,!\,$ V . A perfect relative obstruction theory [5] is a two-term complex of vector bundles E = E $^{-1}$! E 0 on F, together with a m ap

in the derived category D (F) to the relative cotangent complex $L_{F=V}$, which is an isom orphism on H 0 and surjective on H 1 .

A spociated to a perfect relative obstruction theory, Behrend-Fantechi in [5] associate a cone C $, \ (E^{-1})-$, and de ne the virtual fundam ental class

where s:F, E is the zero section. Here s is the Gysin map, which is defined to be the inverse of the pull-back isomorphism : A F ! A E. Behrend-Fantechi show that [F;E] only depends on the quasi-isom orphism class of E.

Let m e recall som e of the details of their construction. The relative intrinsic norm alcone of a map F ! V, $C_{F=V}$, is a cone stack over F, with the property that if locally we factor F ! V into F μ^{i} M ! V, where i:F ! M is a closed embedding and p:M ! V is smooth, then, $C_{F=V}$ is the quotient stack

$$C_{F=V} = [C_{F=M} = i T_{M=V}]$$

Here $C_{\,F\,=\!M}$ is the usual norm alcone, which is acted on by the additive group scheme i $T_{M\,=\!V}$.

Suppose we have a perfect relative obstruction theory $E \ ! \ L_{F=V}$. Let $E_1 = E^{-1-}$ and $E_0 = E^{-0-}$. One can show that there is a closed embedding $C_{F=V}$, $E_1=E_0$, where $E_1=E_0$ is the quotient stack. Form the Cartesian diagram



where the vertical arrows are smooth, the horizontal arrows are closed embeddings, and C ! F is a usual cone, in particular a scheme over F.W e then de ne

where s:F, E_1 is the zero section.

Let X⁰;X be pure dimensional schemes of the same dimension, with X irreducible, and let f:X⁰! X be a map between them . We say f is of degree d, if f O_{X⁰} is of rank d over the generic point of X. If X is not irreducible, we say f is of pure degree d if it is of degree d for every irreducible component of X. This property is local in the smooth topology of X. That is, if U ! X is a surjective smooth map, and U⁰ = X⁰ X U, then U⁰! U is of pure degree d if and only if X⁰! X is. Further, this property is local in the etale topology of X⁰, in the following sense. For each irreducible component X_i of X, pick an etale cover $_{j}U_{ij}$! X⁰_i, where U_{ij} are connected and U_{ij}! X⁰_i is of degree e_{ij}. Then,

$$\deg(X_{i}^{0}=X_{i}) = \sum_{j}^{X} \frac{\deg(U_{ij}=X_{i})}{e_{ij}}$$

Let V^0 ; V be Artin stacks of the same pure dimension, and let V^0 ! V be a map of relative D eligne-M um ford type. This means that for every scheme U ! V, U _V V⁰! U is a D eligne-M um ford stack. We say V^0 ! V is of pure degree d, if for some smooth surjective map U ! V from a scheme, for each irreducible component U_i of U, for some etale atlas $_{j}U_{ij}^{0}$! U⁰_i = V^0 _V U_i, with U_{ij}^0 ! U⁰_i of degree e_{ij}, d = $_{j} deg(U_{ij}^0=U_i)=e_{ij}$.

This property does not depend on the choices of sm ooth and etale at lases, because for schemes it is local in the sm ooth and etale topologies, as above. In particular, if V⁰; V are DM stacks, then this de nition, using the sm ooth topology for V, agrees with the de nition using the etale topology for V.

Theorem 5.0.1. Suppose we have a Cartesian diagram such that

(5.0.1)
$$\begin{array}{c} F_2 \xrightarrow{f} F_1 \\ F_2 \downarrow & \downarrow^{p_1} \\ V_2 \xrightarrow{q} V_1 \end{array}$$

that

F are Deligne-M um ford stacks.

 $\ensuremath{\underline{\mathsf{Y}}}$ are Artin stacks of the same pure dimension.

g is a morphism of relative D eligne-M um ford type, and of pure degree d for som e d 2 $_{\rm 0}$.

f is proper.

F ! V_1 has perfect relative obstruction theory E_1 , inducing a perfect relative obstruction theory $E_2 = f E_1$ on F_2 ! V_2 .

T hen

$$f [F_2; E_2] = d[F_1; E_1]$$

Proof. Let $C_{F_1=V_1}$ be the relative intrinsic norm alcone stack. First, we reduce to proving that $C_{F_2=V_2}$! $C_{F_1=V_1}$ is of pure degree d (observe that $C_{F_1=V_1}$ are of the same pure dimension). As, if $E_1 = E_1^{-1}$! E_1^0 , let $E_{1;1} = E_1^{-1-}$ and let $E_{1;0} = E_1^{0-}$. Recall we have a closed embedding $C_{F_1=V_1}$! $E_{1;1}=E_{1;0}$], where this is the stack quotient. Let $C_1 = C_{F_1=V_1} = E_{1;1}=E_{1;0}E_{1;1}$. C1 is an ordinary cone, so C_1 ! F_1 is a scheme, and there is a closed embedding C_1 ! $E_{1;1}$. In a similar way de ne C_2 ! $E_{2;1}$. The map C_1 ! $C_{F_1=V_1}$ is smooth and surjective, and $C_2 = C_{F_2=V_2} = C_{F_1=V_1} = C_1 \cdot C_{F_2=V_2}$! $C_{F_1=V_1}$ being of pure degree d is equivalent to C_2 ! C_1

being of pure degree d. Form the diagram



By de nition, $p_i [F_i; E_i] = [C_i]$ in A $E_{i;1}$. To show f $[F_2; E_2] = d[F_1; E_1]$ is equivalent to show ing $f^0[C_2] = d[C_1]$, for which it is enough to show that $C_2 ! C_1$ is of pure degree d.

To do this we work locally, and reduce to the case of schemes. We pick a local chart $U_1 \, ! \, V_1$, where U_1 is an irreducible scheme, and $U_1 \, ! \, V_1$ is smooth. Pick an etale map of degree n, $U_2 \, ! \, U_1 \, V_1 \, V_2$, where U_2 is a scheme, and an etale map from a scheme $X_1 \, ! \, F_1$. By possibly passing to smaller charts, we can pick a factorization of the map $X_1 \, ! \, U_1$ into $X_1 \, ! \, M_1 \, ! \, U_1$, where M_1 is a scheme, $X_1 \, ! \, M_1$ is a closed embedding, and $M_1 \, ! \, U_1$ is smooth. Without loss of generality, we can assume that U_2, X_1 and M_1 are irreducible. We have a diagram



Now, we prove it in this case using the at deform ation to the norm alcone, as in [15], and the fact that the degree is constant in a at fam ily of maps. Let Z_i be the blow up of $M_i = P^1$ along X_i fl g. Let M_i^0 be the blow up of M_i along X_i . There is a commutative diagram



The maps $f_i : Z_i ! P^1$ are at, and $f_i^{-1}(1) = P(C_i - 1) + M_i^0$, as Cartier divisors, with multiplicity. Clearly $Z_2 ! Z_1$ is of pure degree dn, as is $M_2^0 ! M_1^0$. It follows that the map $P(C_2 - 1) ! P(C_1 - 1)$ is of pure degree dn. There are open embeddings C_i , $P(C_i - 1)$, which im plies $C_2 ! C_1$ is of pure degree dn as desired.

6. Finite degree theorem

Let v 2 u . We want to construct 2 c , together with a set of tails A T (s()), such that:

s() has just one vertex.

v is obtained from r(s()) by removing the tails A.

g(t()) = 0.

dim M = dim M $_{v}$ and the map M ! M $_{v}$ is of degree d 2 Q $_{>0}$.

The idea is quite simple: if C 2 M $_v$ is a generic genus g(v) curve with some marked points P_i, and D = $_iP_i$ is a positive divisor of degree g + 1, there is a unique (up to isom orphism) m ap f : C ! P¹ with f ¹(1) = D. If everything is generic this m ap is simply ram i ed. There is a unique etale m ap of twisted curves C ! C, such that the m ap C ! B S_{g+1} is representable, which yields the ram i ed m ap f : C ! P¹ after taking coarse m oduli spaces. We let be the combinatorial data which labels this etale m ap C ! C, together with the marked points. There is a map M ! M v, which is generically nite.

Let us construct more form ally. We assume that g(v) > 0 and $T(v) \in P$. Pick a partition T(v) = I J into to subsets, and a multiplicity function $d:I ! Z_{>0}$, with $_{i2I} d(i) = g + 1$. We dene the covering = s() t(), by

where

 $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} # I + 3q 1$

The degree of isg + 1. The tails of s() are

$$T(s()) = (J [g+1])^{a} I^{a} (g] [k])$$

The m ap T (s()) ! T (t()) sends I ! 1 , and is the natural product m ap on the other factors,

~

We de nethemultiplicity function m on T (t()).m (1) is the lowest common multiple of d(i) for i 2 I, m (j) = 1 for j 2 J and m (r) = 2 for r 2 k].

We de ne the multiplicity function on s(). m (i) = m (1)=d(i) for i 2 I, m (r; j) = 1 for (r; j) 2 [g+1] J, and on [g] [k]m is de ned by

This implies that for (r;s) 2 [g] [k],

22

The Riemann-Hurwitz form ula becomes

$$2q \quad 2 = 2(q+1) + q + 1 \quad \# I + k$$

which is true by our choice of k. The form ulae for the dimensions of M $\,$ and M $_{\rm v}$ are

dim M =
$$k + \# J$$
 2
dim M v = 3q 3 + $\# I + \# J$

which are equal.

The map M ! M_v, comes from forgetting the tails a a a $(T \ [r])$ $([r] \ [k])$! $(J \ [r + 1])$ $([r] \ [k])$ I = T(s())

Lem m a 6.0.2. The map M ! M $_v$ is of degree

$$\frac{k!(g!)^{\# J}((g \ 1)!)^{k}}{2^{k}m(1)}$$

Proof. Let C 2 M_v be generic, and de ne a divisor D = P_{i21} d(i)i C. deg D = g + 1 and D > 0. For a generic curve with generic marked points C, I claim that there is a unique up to isomorphism map f:C ! P^1 with f¹(1) = D, and further f is simply ram i ed.

As, let D⁰ be a divisor on C with 0 $D^0 < D$. Let I⁰ I be the set of points which occur with non-zero multiplicity in D⁰. Firstly, we would like to show that the locus of sm ooth curves C which admit a map f:C ! P¹ with f¹(1) = D⁰ is of positive codimension in M_v. Any such curve C 2 M_v, with its marked points, is determined up to nite ambiguity by the branch points in P¹ of the map f:C ! P¹, up to C n C -action, and by the marked points J (I n I⁰) C. It follows from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula that f has strictly less than 3g 1+ # I⁰ branch points. The C n C -action on P¹ reduces the dimension of the space of possible branch points by 2; so we nd that the moduli space of sm ooth curves C with marked points, which admit such a map f:C ! P¹, is of dimension strictly less than 3g 3+ # J + # I. That is, it is of positive codimension in M_v.

This implies that for a generic curve C, for all 0 $D^0 < D$, dim H ${}^0(C;O_C(D^0)) = 1$. Riem ann-Roch tells us that dim H ${}^0(C;O_C(D))$ 2. Take D^0 to be of degree g. We must have H ${}^1(C;O_C(D^0)) = 0$, which implies H ${}^1(C;O_C(D)) = 0$ and dim H ${}^0(C;O_C(D)) = 2$. This last fact implies that there is precisely one map f : C ! P¹, up to isomorphism, such that f ${}^1(1) = D$. I claim that if C is generic, this map is simply ram i ed. One can see this by observing, using the Riem ann-Hurw itz form ula as before, that the locus of sm ooth curves C 2 M v which adm it a map f : C ! P¹ with f ${}^1(1) = D$ and non-simple ram i cation is of positive codim ension.

The degree of our map $M ! M_v$ can now be calculated from dimensional error ways of ordering tails of , and automorphisms of twisted curves in M over their coarse moduli space.

7. Stable curves in X

Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let C (X) be the M ori cone of curves in X m odulo num erical equivalence. C (X) is a sem igroup with indecom possible zero and nite decom position. We de ne our categories of graphs and vertices using C (X).

For every 2 ^u, we have [4] the moduli stack \overline{M} (X) of stable maps to X of type \overline{M} (X) is a separated, proper D eligne M um ford stack of nite type. There is a map \overline{M} (X)! M, with a perfect relative obstruction theory (R f TX)-, where :C! \overline{M} (X) is the universal curve and f:C! X the universal map. The target M for this perfect relative obstruction theory is slightly di erent to the version used in Behrend's construction [4], because of the

labellings by elements of the sem igroup A. The virtual fundam ental classes, however, are the same. This follows from the fact that the map M $_{qm,a}$! M $_{qm}$ is etale.

Suppose we have a map 0! in ". Then we have a bre square,



Further, the perfect relative obstruction theory of p^0 is pulled back from that of p.

If ⁰ is obtained from by adding on some tails, then we have a bre square exactly as above, and again the perfect relative obstruction theory of $p^0:\overline{M} \circ (X) ! M \circ is$ pulled back from that of $p:\overline{M} \circ (X) ! M \circ is$

If 0 is obtained by cutting an edge of , then M $_{\circ}$ = M . We have a bre square,



The perfect relative obstruction theories of $\overline{M} \circ (X)$ and $\overline{M} \circ (X)$ over $M \circ = M$ are compatible with this Cartesian diagram, in the sense of [5], section 7.

Let 2 ^c. De ne \overline{M} (X) by the Cartesian square



This is the stack of diagram s C C^0 ! X, where C^0 ! C is a map from M and, if C^0 is the coarse moduli space of C^0 , the map C^0 ! X is a stable map from $\overline{M}_{r(s(\cdot))}(X)$. Give $\overline{M}_{r(s(\cdot))}(X)$! M the perfect relative obstruction theory pulled back from that for $\overline{M}_{r(s(\cdot))}(X)$! M $_{r(s(\cdot))}$.

If ⁰! is a map in ^c, then we have a bre square



and the perfect relative obstruction theory for p^0 is pulled back from that for $\mathsf{p}.$

Let 2 ^c and let e 2 E(t()). Let I E(s()) be the set of edges lying over e. Let ${}^{0}2$ ^c be obtained from by cutting the edges e; I. Then, M $\circ = M$, and we have a Cartesian

diagram



which is compatible with perfect relative obstruction theories over M = M $_{\circ}$.

Observe that since M $~!\,$ M $_{t(\,)}$ is etale, M $_{(X)}$ has a perfect relative obstruction theory over M $_{t(\,)}$ also.

7.1. Stable m aps to sym m etric products. We have described stacks of stable m aps to a smooth projective variety X. We also have stacks of stable m aps to a smooth DM stack V, as de ned by Abram ovich and V istoli in [3]. We are interested in these when $V = S^d X$. We need something to play the role of the M ori cone, that is to hold hom ology classes of curves. We simply use again C (X), the M ori cone of X. For 2^{t} (C (X)) = t, let \overline{M} ($S^d X$) be the stack of stable representable m aps from curves in M to $S^d X$, in a way compatible with the C (X)-m arkings on the curve.

Lem m a 7.1.1. For a covering 0 , let Aut(0 j) be the group of automorphism s 0 , com m uting with the covering 0 . Then,

$$\overline{M} (S^{d}X) = \bigcup_{0}^{a} \overline{M} \circ (X) = Aut(\overset{0}{j})$$

where the union is over all 0 of degree d. Further, this identi cation is compatible with perfect relative obstruction theories over M $\,$.

Proof. The isom orphism at the level of stacks follows from section 2.2. We need to prove compatibility of perfect relative obstruction theories. Suppose we have a representable stable m ap f:C! $S^{d}X$, corresponding to a diagram $C^{p^{0}}C^{0}f^{0}X$, and equivalently to a principle S_{d} bundle p:P! C, where P is an algebraic space, and an S_{d} -equivariant m ap g:P! X^{d} . The perfect relative obstruction theory for stable m aps to $S^{d}X$, is given by H (C;f $TS^{d}X$). But,

$$f TS^{d}X = p^{S_{d}}q TX^{d} = p^{0}f^{0}TX$$

Observe p^0 and p^{S_d} are exact. Let $g^0 : C^0 ! X$ be the map from the coarse moduli space of C^0 to X, and let $m : C^0 ! C^0$ be the canonical map. Observe m is exact, and $f^0 = g^0 m : C^0 ! X$. Now,

as desired.

There are evaluation m aps \overline{M} \circ (X)! X T ($^{\circ}$). These come from the evaluation m aps

 \overline{M} (S^dX)! twisted sectors of S^dX

which are used to de nequantum cohomology of $S^{d}X$. The stack of twisted sectors ∇ of a DM stack V is the stack of cyclic gerbes in V [2], [7], [28],

$$\hat{\nabla} = H \text{ om } R \text{ ep } (B_k; V)$$

We can identify $\frac{1}{3}^{d}X$ with a disjoint union $2_{S_d}(X^d) = C()$, where the disjoint union is over conjugacy classes in S_d , is a representative of each conjugacy class, (X^d) is the - xed points and C() is the centralizer of . There is a commutative diagram of evaluation maps



Further, the tautological -classes on \overline{M} (S dX) are pulled back to classes on \overline{M} $_{\odot}$ (X). Thus one can identify integrals of the form

where $h_{t^0} 2 H$ (X), with G rom ov-W itten invariants of $S^d X$.

Let v 2 ^u, so that v labels a stack of stable m aps to X. A sum e g(v) > 0 and # T (v) > 0. W e will use the notation of section 6. There we constructed 2 ^c, such that v is obtained by removing som e tails of r(s()), and g(t()) = 0. The associated m ap

was shown to be of degree

$$n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{k ! (g !)^{\# J} ((g 1) !)^{k}}{2^{k} m (1)}$$

Form the bre square

Lem m a 8.0.2. The map $q:\overline{M}(X)!$ $\overline{M}_{v}(X)$, is of degree n, in the virtual sense,

$$q \overline{M} (X)_{virt} = n \overline{M}_v (X)_{virt}$$

Proof. We apply theorem 5.0.1. Observe that M ! M $_v$ is relatively of D eligne-M um ford type and generically nite of degree n, M and M $_v$ are algebraic stacks, and that \overline{M} (X) ! \overline{M}_v (X) is proper.

We have seen in section 4 how to express the pulled-back tautological classes p $_t$, and their products, fort 2 T (v), in term s of tautological classes pushed forward under contractions ! in ^c. Let us combine this result with the previous one to calculate G rom ov-W itten invariants of X in term s of integrals over \overline{M} (X), which are genus 0 invariants of S^dX.

W e have a com m utative diagram

The integrals we want to calculate are

$$\frac{\sum_{v=1}^{P} C_{v} e^{\frac{t_{2T}(v)^{Z_{t}}}{E} eV_{v}}}{\sum_{v} (X)_{v}}$$

where $2 H X^{T(v)}$.

Let us recall some of the notation of section 4.1. For each map f: ! in ^c, we de ned

$$kfk = \frac{\#Aut(! j)}{e^{2E(t())}m(e)^{2}}$$

Let I T (s()) be the set of tails we forget to obtain v. For each f : ! , each edge e 2 E (s()), and each tailt 2 v, we de ned S (e;t;I) 2 f0;1g as follow s. Let s() be obtained from contracting all edges other than e. If the vertex of s() containing t becomes unstable after forgetting the tails I, we set S (e;t;I) = 1, otherwise S (e;t;I) = 0.

For each tailt 2 T (v), de ne a form al variable $z_t,$ and for each edge e 2 E (t()), de ne a variable w_e,w ith the relations \cdots

$$w_{e} = \sum_{e^{0}2 p^{1}(e) \in S(c)}^{X} m (e^{0}) S (e^{0};t;I) z_{t}$$

U sing this notation, we have

Т

Theorem 8.0.3 (Main theorem).

The left hand side is the general form for descendent genus g invariants of X. The right hand side is an expression in the genus 0 invariants of the symmetric product stack $S^{g+1}X$.

Proof. Firstly, the projection formula shows that $\frac{1}{7}$

$$C_{v}e^{\sum_{t=2T}^{P}(v)Z_{t-t}}ev_{v} = \frac{1}{n} \prod_{W} q C_{v}e^{\sum_{t=2T}^{P}(v)Z_{t-t}}qev_{v}$$
he form ula 4.1.1 shows that
$$0 \qquad 1$$

$$q C_{v}e^{\sum_{t=2T}^{P}(v)Z_{t-t}} = c \left(\begin{array}{c} X & P \\ e^{-t_{2T}(t(x))W_{t-t}} \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{e} e^{W_{t-t}}e^{W_{t-t}} \frac{1}{e} e^{W_{t-t}}e^{W_$$

Now, for each term in the sum , M ~!~ M $_{\rm f}$ is etale of degree kfk. The standard compatibility of virtual fundam ental classes show s that

$$c [M_f] = \frac{1}{kfk} f [M_X]$$

where $f:\overline{M}(X)!$ $\overline{M}(X)$ is the canonical map. This implies the result.

9.Examples

9.1. G eneralities. I will calculate some examples in the case where X is a point. We will work with the sem igroup A = 0. For each 2^{c} , we have the associated moduli stack \overline{M} , with a map

The stack M $_{g(t(-));T(t(-))}$ is the usual D eligne-M um ford stack of stable curves. It follows from the results of [1] that M is closely related to the norm alization of a stack of adm issible covers.

In this section, we will always assume g(t()) = 0, and that # T(t()) = n. Further, we pick an ordering on the set T(t()), and so an isom orphism

The map p is now a map \overline{M} ! $\overline{M}_{0,n}$; we want to calculate it's degree. For each vertex v 2 V (s()), and tail $t^{0} 2 T$ (s()), recall we have numbers d(v); d(t^{0}) 2 Z 1. Let i 2 [n] = T (t()). Then, the set

denes a partition of d(v), and so a conjugacy class C_{iv} $S_{d(v)}$. Let

$$(v) = f_{i} 2 S_{d(v)} \text{ for } i = 1 ::: n j_{i} 2 C_{iv}; \qquad i = 1; \# (d(v)) = h_{1}; :::; n i) = 1g$$

The last condition means that the group h_1 ;:::; $_n$ i acts transitively on the set [d(v)] = f1;:::;d(v)g.

Let Aut(jt();V (s())) be the group of autom orphism s of acting trivially on t() and the set of vertices V (s()).

Proposition 9.1.1. The map \overline{M} ! $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is of degree

$$\frac{\# \operatorname{Aut}(jt(); V(s()))}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} m(i)} \frac{Y}{\sqrt{d(v)!}} \frac{(v)}{d(v)!}$$

Recall that for each i2 [n],

$$p_i = m(i)_i$$

Let $k_i 2 Z_0$, for i = 1 ::: n. W e have

$$(9.1.1) \qquad \begin{array}{c} Z & Y^{n} \\ & & \\ \hline M & \\ \hline M & & \\ \hline M$$

which allows us, at least in principle, to calculate the integrals on the left hand side.

92. Calculations. The rst example we will compute is

Example 9.2.1.

$$1 = 1 = 24$$

Wedene by

s() has just one vertex; g(s()) = 1 and g(t()) = 0. The tails are

Ζ

$$T (s()) = fX_1; X_2; X_3; X_4 g d(X_i) = 2 m(X_i) = 1$$

$$T (t()) = fx_1; x_2; x_3; x_4 g m(x_i) = 2$$

The map T (s()) ! T (t()) sends $\chi 7 x_i$.

Forgetting the marked points X₂;X₃;X₄ gives us a map

Now we apply the main theorem . Note that the only graph f: ! that arises with non-zero coe cient on the right hand side of (8.0.2) is = . Therefore

$$\frac{1}{M_{1;1}} = 3^{1} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{M_{1}} = 1$$

Next, we apply form ula (9.1.1). In this case, (v) = 1 for the unique vertex v 2 V (s()), and # Aut(jt(); V (s())) = 1, so we nd that Z Z

$$1 = 2^{6} \frac{1}{M_{0;4}} = 2^{6}$$

Combining these form ulae yields

1 = 1 = 24

Next we calculate

Example 9.2.2.

$$\frac{4}{M_{2}} = 1 = 1152 = 2^{-7}3^{-2}$$

O ne can see this is correct by applying the K ontsevich-W itten theorem . We de ne ~2~ $^{\rm c}$ in this case by

s() has just one vertex, with g(s()) = 2 and g(t()) = 0. W e de ne the sets of tails by

Ζ

Ζ

$$T(s()) = fX_1; X_2; Y_2; X_3; Y_3; \dots; X_7; Y_7g$$

$$T(t()) = fx_1; x_2; x_3; \dots; x_7g$$

with the map T (s()) ! T (t()), sending X_i 7 x_i and Y_j 7 x_j . The multiplicities of these tails are dened by

Forgetting the marked points X_i ; Y_i for all i 2, gives a map

:M ! M 2;1

Now we apply the main formula (8.0.2). In this case, we not that there are non-trivial graphs f: ! appearing on the right hand side. For each i = 2:::7 de ne a graph $_i 2$ ^c with a map $f_i: _i !$, as follows.

 $s_{(i)}$ has 3 vertices, $V_1; V_2; W_2$, and $t_{(i)}$ has two vertices $v_1; v_2$. The map $V(s_{(i)}) ! V(t_{(i)})$ sends $V_i ! v_i$ and $W_2 ! v_2$.

The genera of the vertices are given by

$$g(v_1) = 0$$
 $g(V_1) = g(W_2) = 0$ $g(V_2) = 2$

Their is an edge e joining y and v_2 , an edge E joining V_1 and V_2 , and an edge F joining V_1 and W_2 . The multiplicities of these edges is given by

The sets of tails of the vertices are given by

O beeve that after contracting the edge F, X₁ is on a genus 0 vertex that becomes unstable after removing the other marked points. This implies $f_i : i !$ occurs with non-zero coecient in the expansion in the main formula (8.0.2). In fact, i are the only non-trivial graphs which occur. We have $\frac{1}{kf_1k} = 4$. Note also that on \overline{M}_i , i = 0 because the marked point x_1 is on a genus 0 curve with two marked points and one edge. Applying the main formula, we see

$$Z_{M_{2,1}} = \frac{2^{6}}{6!} 3^{Z}_{M} + \frac{X^{7}}{4} 4^{Z}_{i=2} + \frac{X^{7}}{4} \frac{X^{7}}{4}$$

Next, we apply formula (9.1.1) to calculate $\frac{1}{M}$ 4.0 ne can calculate easily that

f ₁; ₂;...; ₇ 2 S₃ j ₁ is a 3-cycle; _i are transpositions for i 2; _j = 1g = 3^5 2

Further, # Aut(jt(); V (s())) = 1, so that Z Z $\frac{4}{1} = 2^{6} 3^{1} \frac{4}{M_{0,7}} = 2^{6} 3^{1}$

Now we calculate $\frac{R}{M_{i}}$ $\frac{3}{e}$. As in subsection 32, \overline{M} is splits as a product of contributions from the vertices oft(i). Let p:V(s(i))! V(t(i)) be the natural map. For each v 2 V(t(i)), let p¹(v) v 2^c be the natural covering, whose tails consist of tails and germs of edges in i at the vertices v;p¹(v). We have

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{i} = \underbrace{\mathbf{Y}}_{v2V(t(i))} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{p^{-1}(v) v}$$

This implies that integrals split in a similar way. There are two vertices v_1 ; v_2 on t(i). We have $p^{-1}(v_1) = V_1$ and $p^{-1}(v_2) = fV_2$; W_2g . Further,

$$\dim \overline{M}_{p^{1}(v_{1})} = 0$$

30

Denote by e the germ of the edge at v_2 , which we consider as a tail. We have

An easy application of form ula (9.1.1) now shows that

Finally, we see that

$$Z = \frac{4}{M_{2;1}} = \frac{2^{6}}{6!} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{3^{1}}{6!} \cdot \frac{24}{2} \cdot \frac{4^{2}}{3^{1}} \cdot \frac{3^{1}}{6!} = 2 \cdot \frac{7}{6} \cdot \frac{3^{2}}{3^{2}}$$

as desired.

References

- [1] D. Abram ovich, A. Corti and A. Vistoli, Twisted bundles and admissible covers, math AG /0106211
- [2] D. Abram ovich, T. Graber and A. Vistoli, Algebraic orbifold quantum products, math AG /0112004
- [3] D.Abram ovich and A.V istoli, C om pactifying the space of stable m aps, m ath AG /9908167, J.Am er.M ath. Soc.15 (2002), no.1, 27{75
- [4] K.Behrend, Grom ov-W itten invariants in algebraic geom etry, alg-geom /9601011, Invent.M ath.127 (1997), no. 3, 601{617
- [5] K.Behrend and B.Fantechi, The intrinsic norm alcone, alg-geom /9601010, Invent. M ath. 128 (1997), no. 1,45{88
- [6] K.Behrend and Yu.M anin, Stacks of stable m aps and G rom ov-W itten invariants, alg-geom /9506023, D uke M ath. J. 85, No.1, 1-60 (1996)
- [7] W .Chen and Y.Ruan, Orbifold quantum cohomology, mathAG/0005198
- [8] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints, mathAG/9808048, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 5 (1999), no. 4, 423 (466
- [9] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, Norm al form s of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Grom ov-W itten invariants, math DG /0108160
- [10] T.Eguchi, K.Hori and C.-S.X iong, Quantum cohomology and V irasoro algebra, hep-th/9703086, Phys. Lett. B 402 (1997), no.1-2, 71 [80
- [11] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, On Hurwitz numbers and Hodge integrals, m ath AG /9902104, C.R. A cad. Sci. Paris Sr. IM ath. 328 (1999), no. 12, 1175 (1180
- [12] T. Ekedahl, S. Lando, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein, Hurwitz num bers and intersections on moduli spaces of curves, m ath AG /0004096, Invent. M ath. 146 (2001), no. 2, 297 [327
- [13] B.Fantechi and L.G ottsche, O rbifold cohom obgy for global quotients, m ath AG /0104207
- [14] B.Fantechi and R.Pandharipande, Stable m aps and branch divisors, m ath AG /9905104, C om positio M ath. 130 (2002), no.3, 345{364
- [15] W .Fulton, Intersection theory, Springer 1984
- [16] T.G raber and R.Vakil, Hodge integrals and Hurwitz numbers via virtual localization, m ath AG/0003028
- [17] T.J. Jarvis, R.K aufm ann and T.K im ura, Pointed adm issible G -covers and G -equivariant cohom ological eld theories, m ath AG /0302316
- [18] T. J. Jarvis and T. K im ura, Orbifold quantum cohomology of the classifying space of a nite group, m ath AG /0112037
- [19] M.Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, Comm. M ath.Phys.147 (1992), no.1, 1-23.
- [20] M.Kontsevich and Yu.Manin, Grom ov-W itten classes, quantum cohomology and enumerative geometry, hep-th/9402147, Comm.Math.Phys.164 (1994), no.3, 525{562

KEVIN COSTELLO

- [21] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, Relations between the correlators of topological sigm a-model coupled to gravity, alg-geom /9708024, Comm. Math. Phys. 196 (1998), no. 2, 385 (398)
- [22] F.F.K nudsen, On the projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves, II: The stacks M g,n M ath. Scan 52 (1983), 161-199
- [23] A.K resch, Cycle groups for Artin stacks, m ath AG /9810166, Invent. M ath. 138 (1999), no. 3, 495 (536
- [24] M .Lehn and C.Sorger, The cup product of the H ibert scheme for K 3 surfaces, m ath AG /0012166
- [25] G. Laum on and L. M oret-B ailly, C ham ps algebriques, E rgebnisse der M athem atik und ihrer G renzgebiete 39, Springer-Verlag 2000
- [26] A. O kounkov and R. Pandharipande, G rom ov-W itten theory, Hurwitz numbers and matrix models, I m ath AG /0101147
- [27] A. O kounkov and R. Pandharipande, G rom ov-W itten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles, m ath AG /0204305
- [28] B.Toen, Theorem es de Riem ann-Roch pour les cham ps de Deligne-M um ford, m ath AG /9803076, K -Theory 18 (1999), no.1, 33{76
- [29] A .V istoli, Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and their moduli spaces, Invent. m ath. 97, 613-670 (1989)
- [30] E.W itten, 2-dim ensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space, Surveys in di erential geom etry (C am bridge M A 1990), 243-310.

Department of Pure M athematics and M athematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, W ilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0W B, England

E-m ailaddress: K.J.Costello@dpmms.cam.ac.uk