

INVARIANT METRICS AND HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

GABRIELA OVANDO

ABSTRACT. Via a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form we identify the coadjoint representation with a new representation and so we induce on the orbits a symplectic form. By considering Hamiltonian systems on the orbits we study some features of them and finally find commuting functions under the corresponding Lie-Poisson bracket.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of mechanical models in the last years had a mayor development aimed at a unified treatment of many examples known previously and at a construction of new ones [STS1]. The Toda lattice is an example in which the problem is reduced to representation theory [Ko1]. This was an indication that classical completely integrable systems have an intimate relation to Lie groups [R-STS] but even the simplest systems such as the open Toda lattice require an advanced technique on representation theory ([Ko1], [STS]). One of the algebraic methods used in analytical Mechanics to investigate Hamiltonian systems is related to the well known Theorem of Adler-Kostant-Symes (AKS-Theorem) (see for example [Ge]). By using an ad-invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form it is possible to identify the coadjoint representation from the Lie group G into $Aut(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the adjoint representation from G into $Aut(\mathfrak{g})$ and so to induce a symplectic form on the orbits of the adjoint representation. The AKS-Theorem studies the dynamical part of the system; it describes the solutions of the Hamiltonian systems corresponding to ad-invariant functions. Moreover it asserts that the ad-invariant functions are in involution with respect to the corresponding Lie-Poisson bracket. This method was succesfully applied on semisimple Lie groups attached with the Killing form and the Bruhat decomposition (as example see the generalized Toda lattices [Ko2]).

Our aim in this work is to give a method to construct integrable systems also by using group theory. Although the results could be applied in general, it is our interest to see them in the case of solvable Lie groups, where the AKS theorem is difficult to be applied (in fact, there is no natural ad-invariant metric in the solvable case [M-R] and this fact requires itself an study). The idea is to identify the coadjoint representation with the “transadjoint” representation from the Lie group G into $Aut(\mathfrak{g})$ by using a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (not necessarily ad-invariant). In this way we induce a symplectic structure on the orbits and we study the corresponding Hamiltonian systems. As in AKS-Theorem the invariant functions play an important role, they are in involution with respect to the corresponding Lie-Poisson bracket and it is possible to describe the solution of the corresponding Hamiltonian system. In the final section we give some applications

Date: May 5, 2019.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53D99 81R12 53C50 22E27 22E25.

of these ideas. The first example shows the convenience of having considered the analog of AKS for arbitrary metrics on a nilpotent Lie group. Indeed the exhibited example does not admit an ad-invariant metric but by considering another metric we apply our results and produce a Hamiltonian system on a orbit. In the second and third examples we apply all the machinery of our results on fixed Lie algebras. In the second example we work on a nilpotent Lie algebra and on the third one on a solvable (not nilpotent) Lie algebra. In the nilpotent case we have a Hamiltonian system corresponding to an invariant function. This system admits two invariant functions in involution (The invariants are obtained by following [C-G]). Finally we find the solution of the Hamiltonian system and study the applicability of the Liouville Theorem. In the solvable case we follow a similar procedure as in the nilpotent case by considering an invariant function but in this case the corresponding Hamiltonian system is completely integrable.

All the examples suggest a new direction of study related with the results. Although the representations are equivalent to the coadjoint one, it could be helpful to work on the Lie algebra, specially on the study of the geometric aspects of the Hamiltonian system. A question that arises is: what kind of properties (geometrical or others) determine that the Lie group admits many functions in involution?

We will assume through this work that Lie groups and their corresponding Lie algebras are real and finite dimensional. The Lie algebra of a Lie group G will be identified with the left invariant vector fields of G and denoted with greek letters as usual.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A Lie group G acts on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by the adjoint representation $\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\text{Ad}(g)X = dI(g)_e X$ for all $g \in G$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ where $I(g) : G \rightarrow G$ is defined by $I(g)h = ghg^{-1}$ for all $g, h \in G$.

We use the notation $\text{ad}_X Y$ for $[X, Y]$, where X, Y are elements of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{g}^* be the dual space of \mathfrak{g} , i. e. the space of linear functionals on \mathfrak{g} . The coadjoint representation from G into $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is defined by:

$$(\text{Ad}^*(g)\phi)(Y) = \phi(\text{Ad}(g^{-1})Y) \quad \forall g \in G, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Under this action of G , any point $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ sweep out an orbit denoted by $O_\phi = \{\text{Ad}^*(g^{-1})\phi, \text{ for all } g \in G\}$. The tangent vectors to the orbit at a point $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ are the induced vector fields defined as usual by

$$X_\phi^* = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \text{Ad}^*(\exp sX)\phi = -\phi \circ \text{ad}_X, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

On each orbit O_ϕ (of dimension $2n$) we define a symplectic form ω as follows: let X, Y be vectors in \mathfrak{g} and let X^*, Y^* be the induced vectors on \mathfrak{g}^* , then

$$(1) \quad \omega_\phi(X^*, Y^*) = \phi([X, Y]) \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

It is known that this ω defines a symplectic form on each orbit of the coadjoint representation, that is, ω is a closed non-degenerate bilinear two-form at the orbit such that ω^n is non zero at every point of O . (see for example [E]).

We recall that if (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function on M , then there is exactly one vector field X_f on M with the property:

$$v_p(f) = df_p(v) = \omega_p(v, X_f) \quad \forall p \in M, \forall v \in T_p M$$

The vector field X_f is called the *Hamiltonian* vector field associated to the function f , and f is said to be the *Hamiltonian* (or the Hamiltonian function). Moreover, the *Hamiltonian system* for the function f is

$$(2) \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = X_f(x(t))$$

for $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ a curve on M .

A function $G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a integral of motion for (2) if G is constant along the solutions of (2).

Definition 2.1. A metric on a Lie group G is a smooth function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : TG \times TG \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $g \in G$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g : T_g G \times T_g G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form.

We are interested on left invariant metrics, that is, those such that left multiplication by elements of the group are isometries. In this situation to define the metric it is enough to know its definition at the origin $T_e G$. We identify then a left invariant metric with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

The dual space \mathfrak{g}^* can be identified with \mathfrak{g} by using a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form (not necessarily ad-invariant). In fact, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote such bilinear form, to each $\phi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ it corresponds exactly one $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\phi = \ell_Z$, where $\ell_Z(Y) = \langle Z, Y \rangle$. In this way the coadjoint representation is equivalent to a new representation that we call the “*transadjoint*” representation, which is defined by

$$(3) \quad \langle \tau(g)X, Y \rangle = \langle X, Ad(g^{-1})Y \rangle.$$

In particular, if the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is surjective, then

$$\langle \tau(\exp X)Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, Ad(\exp -X)Z \rangle = \langle Y, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\text{ad}_X)^j}{j!} Z \rangle,$$

and so

$$(4) \quad \tau(\exp X)Y = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\text{ad}_X^t)^j}{j!} Z$$

where $\text{ad}_X^t : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the endomorphism defined by $\langle \text{ad}_X^t Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, \text{ad}_X Z \rangle$.

In the special case when G is an n-step nilpotent simply connected Lie group the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is a diffeomorphism from the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} onto G and so the transadjoint representation is defined by 4.

If $U \in \mathfrak{g}$, the orbit through this point is the set $G.U = \{\tau(g)U, \forall g \in G\}$, which is diffeomorphic to the coset G/G_U , with G_U the isotropy group $G_U = \{g \in G / \tau(g)U = U\}$. A vector field at the orbit is induced by a vector field $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and will be denoted by \tilde{Y} , that is, at a point $U \in \mathfrak{g}$ it satisfies

$$\tilde{Y}_U = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \tau(\exp sY)U = -\text{ad}_Y^t U.$$

The Lie algebra of G_U is the set of elements $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \tau(\exp sY)U = \text{ad}_Y^t U$$

3. HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

In this section we study some Hamiltonian systems giving the principal results. To do it we begin by constructing a symplectic manifold, which will be an orbit of the transadjoint representation, denoted by \mathcal{M} .

In fact each orbit of the transadjoint representation has a natural symplectic structure which is induced by the canonical symplectic structure of the orbits of the coadjoint representation (see (1)). Explicitly for $U \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ the symplectic form in \mathcal{M} at the point U is defined by

$$\omega_U(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \langle U, [Y, Z] \rangle$$

In fact ω is well defined. If $\tilde{Y}_1 = \tilde{Y}$ then $Y_1 = Y + Y - Y_1$ and $Y - Y_1$ belongs to the Lie algebra of the isotropy group at U , that is $\text{ad}_{Y-Y_1}^t U = 0$ and so, $\langle U, [Y, Z] \rangle = \langle U, [Y_1, Z] \rangle$. By using a similar argument with Z one proves the assertion and it is not hard to prove that ω is symplectic and we will call it the *orbit symplectic structure*.

Definition 3.1. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. The Poisson bracket on $C^\infty(M)$ related to the symplectic form ω is defined by

$$\{f, g\} = \omega(X_f, X_g) = X_f(g) = -X_g(f)$$

Definition 3.2. Smooth functions f_1, \dots, f_k on a symplectic manifold M are said to be in involution or commute if $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.

A subset N of a manifold M is said to be *invariant* under the flow of X_f if the solution of $x' = X_f(x)$ lies in N whenever $x(0) \in N$.

Definition 3.3. A Hamiltonian f on a symplectic manifold M (of dimension $2n$) is completely integrable if there exist f_1, \dots, f_n such that

- (i) $\{f, f_i\} = 0 = \{f_i, f_j\}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,
- (ii) df_1, \dots, df_n are linearly independent on an open subset invariant under the flow of X_f .

In general, m commuting Hamiltonians f_1, \dots, f_m on a symplectic manifold M give rise to an action of \mathbb{R}^m on M . Let $(\phi_i)_t$ be the one-parameter subgroup generated by X_{f_i} . then

$$(t_1, \dots, t_m) \cdot p = (\phi_1)_{t_1} (\phi_2)_{t_2} \dots (\phi_m)_{t_m}$$

defines an \mathbb{R}^m -action on M . Fix constants c_1, \dots, c_m , since $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0$, the set $N = \{x \in M / f_i(x) = c_i\}$ is invariant under the \mathbb{R}^m -action. If N is compact, then the \mathbb{R}^m -action descends to a torus action on N . When $m = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$, we get the Liouville Theorem:

Theorem 3.4. (Liouville) *Suppose f is completely integrable on (M^{2n}, ω) and f_1, \dots, f_n are commuting Hamiltonians such that df_1, \dots, df_n are linearly independent. Assume $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is proper then $F^{-1}(c)$ is invariant under the \mathbb{R}^n -action and descends to a torus T^n -action. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ denote the angle coordinates on the invariant tori. Then i) $\{f_i, f_j\} = \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0$ and ii)*

$\{f_i, \theta_j\} = c_{ij}(F)$ for some functions $c_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In particular, the flow of X_f in coordinates $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is linear.

Definition 3.5. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} and $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function on \mathfrak{g} , we define the gradient of f at the point U , $\nabla f(U)$, by

$$\langle \nabla f(U), Y \rangle = df_U(Y) \quad \text{for all } U, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Let us consider the restriction of a function $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to an orbit \mathcal{M} , that is $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}}$. What is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to H ? Let $U \in \mathcal{M}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then

$$dH_U(\tilde{Y}_U) = \langle \nabla f(U), \tilde{Y} \rangle = \langle \nabla f(U), -\text{ad}_Y^t U \rangle = \langle -[Y, \nabla f(U)], U \rangle$$

and so

$$dH_U(\tilde{Y}_U) = -\omega_U(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\nabla} f(U))$$

On the other hand

$$dH_U(\tilde{Y}_U) = \omega(\tilde{Y}, X_H)$$

and so we have,

$$(5) \quad X_H(U) = -\tilde{\nabla} f(U)(U) = \text{ad}_{(\nabla f(U))}^t(U)$$

Example Let \mathfrak{h} be a Lie algebra with a metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function given by $f(V) = \langle Q, V \rangle$ for a fixed point $Q \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then the gradient of f is $\nabla f(V) = Q$. In fact,

$$\langle \nabla f(V), Y \rangle = df_V(Y) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} f(V + sY) = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \langle Q, V + sY \rangle = \langle Q, Y \rangle$$

Consider now the orbits by the transadjoint representation with the symplectic form ω above defined. By using equation (5), the Hamiltonian vector field associated to $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is

$$X_H(U) = \text{ad}_Q^t(U)$$

and the Hamiltonian system for H

$$\begin{cases} x' &= \text{ad}_Q^t(x) \\ x(0) &= P \end{cases} \text{ for any fixed element } P \in \mathfrak{h}$$

has the solution

$$x(t) = \tau(\exp tQ)P.$$

In particular if the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is ad-invariant, then the solution is $x(t) = \text{Ad}(\exp tQ)P$ (compare with [Ge] chapter 2).

Definition 3.6. A smooth function $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be τ -invariant if

$$f(\tau(g)U) = f(U) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, U \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Proposition 3.7. Let f be a τ -invariant function, then the following relations hold:

- (i) $\nabla f(\tau(g)U) = \text{Ad}(g)\nabla f(U)$ for all $g \in G, U \in \mathfrak{g}$;
- (ii) $\text{ad}_{\nabla f(U)}^t U = 0$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. (i) For the first assertion, take $g \in G$ and differentiate $f(\tau(g)U) = f(U)$ with respect to U . Thus,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} f(\tau(g)(U + sV)) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{s=0} f(U + sV)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} df_{\tau(g)U}(\tau(g)V) &= \langle \nabla f(\tau(g)U), \tau(g)V \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{Ad}(g^{-1})\nabla f(\tau(g)U), V \rangle \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$df_U(V) = \langle \nabla f(U), V \rangle \quad \text{for all } V$$

and so we obtain,

$$\text{Ad}(g^{-1})\nabla(\tau(g)U) = \nabla f(U).$$

(ii) Let $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then by definition we have $f(\tau(\exp sY)U) = f(U) \forall U, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. If we differentiate at $s = 0$ we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} f(\tau(\exp sY)U) = df_U(\tilde{Y}_U) \\ &= \langle \nabla f(U), -\text{ad}_Y^t U \rangle \\ &= \langle -\text{ad}(Y)\nabla f(U), U \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{ad}(\nabla f(U))Y, U \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, \text{ad}_{(\nabla f(U))}^t U \rangle \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

and that means

$$\text{ad}^t(\nabla f(U))(U) = 0 \quad \forall U \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proposition 3.8. *Let P be a vector field on M and let g be a map $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ which satisfies $dL_{g^{-1}}g' = P(\tau(g(s))x_0)$. Then*

$$u(s) = \tau(g(s))u_0$$

is the solution of the equation

$$(6) \quad u' = -\text{ad}_{P(u)}^t(u) \quad u(0) = u_0$$

Proof. Let $y_0 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and let f be the function $f(s) = \langle \tau(g)u_0, y_0 \rangle \langle u_0, \text{Ad}(g(s)^{-1})y_0 \rangle$. Here we are considering the curve $y(s) = \text{Ad}(g(s)^{-1})y_0$ which satisfies $y' = -\text{ad}_{dL_{g^{-1}}g'} y$. If we differentiate now $f(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(s) &= \langle u_0, y'(s) \rangle = \langle u_0, -[dL_{g^{-1}}g', y] \rangle \\ &= \langle u_0, -\text{ad}_{P(u)} y \rangle \\ &= \langle -\text{ad}_{P(u)}^t u_0, \text{Ad}(g^{-1})y_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau(g)[- \text{ad}_{P(u)}^t u_0], y_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle -\text{ad}_{P(u)}^t \tau(g)u_0, y_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and that implies (6).

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose \mathfrak{g} has a non degenerate, symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and that $\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$ are Lie subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} such that*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$$

is a direct sum of linear subspaces. Let $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} : \langle X, Y \rangle = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_+\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g} : \langle X, Y \rangle = 0, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_-\}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $\ell_X \in \mathfrak{g}^$ be defined by $\ell_X(Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$. Then*

i) \mathfrak{g} can be decomposed as a direct sum of subspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$.

ii) The maps $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_+^*$ defined by $X \rightarrow \ell_{X|_{\mathfrak{g}_-}}$ and $X \rightarrow \ell_{X|_{\mathfrak{g}_+}}$ respectively are linear isomorphisms. So the action of G_- on \mathfrak{g}_-^* (respectively of G_+ on \mathfrak{g}_+^*) induces an action of G_- onto \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp (and another one of G_+ onto \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp), denoted by $\tilde{\tau}$.

iii) Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}$ be the projection of \mathfrak{g} onto \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp with respect to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp + \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$. If $X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_-$ then

$$\tilde{\tau}(\exp Y)X = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\tau(\exp Y)X).$$

iv) The infinitesimal vector field corresponding to $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_-$ at the point $X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ is

$$\tilde{Y}(X) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(-\text{ad}_Y^t X).$$

Proof. i) and ii) follow from linear algebra. Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$, $V, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_-$, a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}^*(\exp Y)\ell_X(V) &= \langle X, \text{Ad}(\exp -Y)V \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau(\exp Y)X, V \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\tau(\exp Y)X), V \rangle \\ &= \ell_{\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\tau(\exp Y)X)}(V) \end{aligned}$$

which proves iii) and iv) follows from iii).

Theorem 3.10. Let \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{g}_+ , \mathfrak{g}_- and \langle, \rangle be as in Proposition 3.9. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ be a G_- orbit, ω the orbit symplectic structure and $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function. Then:

(1) The Hamiltonian vector field of $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}}$ at $U \in \mathcal{M}$ is

$$X_H(U) = -\tilde{\nabla}f(U)_- = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{ad}_{\tilde{\nabla}f(U)}^t U)$$

(2) If $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is τ -invariant, then the Hamiltonian equation for $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is

$$\frac{du}{ds} = -\text{ad}_{\tilde{\nabla}f_+(u)}^t u = \text{ad}_{\tilde{\nabla}f_-(u)}^t(u)$$

(3) Let $f_1, f_2 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be τ -invariant functions and let $H_i = f_i|_{\mathcal{M}}, i=1,2$, the restrictions respectively. Then

$$\{H_1, H_2\} = 0$$

(4) Assume the multiplication map from $G_+ \times G_- \rightarrow G$ defined by $(g_+, g_-) \rightarrow g_+g_-$ is a diffeomorphism, and that $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is τ -invariant. Then the initial value problem

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du}{ds} &= -\text{ad}_{\tilde{\nabla}f_+(u)}^t u \\ u(0) &= U_0 \end{cases}$$

can be solved by factorization. In fact, if we write

$$\exp(s\tilde{\nabla}f(U_0)) = g_+(s)g_-(s) \in G_+ \times G_-$$

then

$$u(s) = \tau(\exp -s\tilde{\nabla}f_+(U_0))U_0$$

is the solution of (7).

Proof.

Let $U \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_-$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dH_U(\tilde{Y}(U)) &= \langle \nabla f(U), \tilde{Y}(U) \rangle = \langle \nabla f(U), \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(-\text{ad}_Y^t(U)) \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla f_-(U), -\text{ad}_Y^t(U) \rangle \\ &= \langle -\text{ad}(Y)\nabla f_-(U), U \rangle \\ &= -\omega_U(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\nabla} f_-(U)) \end{aligned}$$

But on the other hand

$$dH_U(\tilde{Y}(U)) = \omega_U(\tilde{Y}, X_H)$$

which implies

$$X_H(U) = -\tilde{\nabla} f_-(U)(U) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{ad}_{\nabla f_-(U)}^t(U)).$$

If f is τ -invariant then by Proposition 3.7 we have

$$0 = \text{ad}_{\nabla f(U)}^t U = \text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U + \text{ad}_{\nabla f_-(U)}^t U$$

Now

$$X_H(U) = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{ad}_{\nabla f_-(U)}^t U) = -\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U)$$

and we compute for $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_+$

$$\langle \text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U, Y \rangle = \langle U, \text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)} Y \rangle = 0$$

that means that $\text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ for all U , and so

$$X_H(U) = -\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U) = -\text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(U)}^t U = \text{ad}_{\nabla f_-(U)}^t U$$

which proves (2).

To prove (3), let $U \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{H_1, H_2\} &= \langle U, [\nabla f_{1-}(U), \nabla f_{2-}(U)] \rangle = \langle \text{ad}_{\nabla f_{1-}U}^t U, \nabla f_{2-}(U) \rangle \\ &= \langle -\text{ad}_{\nabla f_{1+}(U)}^t U, \nabla f_{2-}(U) \rangle = \langle U, -[\nabla f_{1+}(U), \nabla f_{2-}(U)] \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{ad}_{\nabla f_{2-}(U)}^t U, \nabla f_{1+}(U) \rangle \\ &= -\langle U, [\nabla f_{2+}(U), \nabla f_{1+}(U)] \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove (4). First note that $\text{ad}_{\nabla f(U_0)}^t U_0 = 0$ implies

$$\tau(\exp s\nabla f(U_0))U_0 \equiv U_0$$

Write $g(s) = \exp s\nabla f(U_0) = g_+(s)g_-(s)$. Since $\tau(g_+g_-)U_0 \equiv U_0$, then $\tau(g_+^{-1})U_0 = \tau(g_-)U_0$. But

$$dR_{g_-^{-1}}g' = \nabla f(U_0),$$

where $g' = \frac{dg}{ds}$. We compute directly to get

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f(\tau(g_+^{-1})U_0) &= \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})\nabla f(U_0) = dL_{g_+^{-1}}dR_{g_+}dR_{g_-^{-1}}g' \\ &= dL_{g_+^{-1}}dR_{g_+}dR_{g_+^{-1}}dR_{g_-^{-1}}(dR_{g_-}g'_+ + dL_{g_+}g'_-) \\ &= dL_{g_+^{-1}}(g'_+) + dR_{g_-^{-1}}(g'_-) \in \mathfrak{g}_+ + \mathfrak{g}_- \end{aligned}$$

So $\nabla f_+(\tau(g_+^{-1})U_0) = dL_{g_+^{-1}}(g'_+)$. But

$$u' = -\text{ad}_{dL_{g_+^{-1}}(g'_+)}^t u = -\text{ad}_{\nabla f_+(u)}^t u$$

As we can see the new representation τ has a direct relation with the metric. This metric is the tool that realizes the equivalence between the coadjoint representation and the transadjoint representation. Thus, since the representations are equivalent the invariants are the same, up to equivalence, that is, they are the invariants of the coadjoint representation. However it could be helpful to work on the Lie algebra and not on the dual space. And in this context, to produce interesting Hamiltonian systems, without the assumption of having an ad-invariant metric. We are interested in particular on the solvable case. To apply the theorem we need to investigate the existence of double Lie algebras, that is, a decomposition of a given Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum as vector spaces of two Lie subalgebras, and also the existence of “enough” functions in involution.

4. APPLICATIONS

The topic of having “enough” functions in involution (that is a maximal family of commuting functions, see Definition 3.3) is a question to solve when we try to apply Theorem (3.10). In the case of nilpotent Lie groups, Corwin and Greenleaf [C-G] developed a method to construct the generators of the ring of rational τ -invariant functions. We will use this method to produce the required invariant functions on each case and show the functions we obtained. (The reader could prove by simple computation that the given functions are invariant).

The first example below shows the convenience of having considered the analog of AKS for arbitrary metrics. Indeed the exhibited example does not admit an ad-invariant metric but by considering another metric we may apply our results and produce Hamiltonian systems on a orbit with enough functions in involution. In the second example we work on a nilpotent Lie algebra. We are interested on a particular Hamiltonian system corresponding to an invariant function. This function is completely integrable (see Definition 3.3). Finally we study the applicability of the Liouville theorem according to a decomposition of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum as vector spaces of subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_- and \mathfrak{g}_+ . In the third example we apply Theorem (3.10) on a four dimensional solvable Lie algebra. This Lie algebra admits an ad-invariant metric, but by taking another metric we get an equivalent Hamiltonian system for the same Hamiltonian function on a two dimensional orbit. Furthermore the system is completely integrable (in the Liouville sense).

Example i) If we do not ask the metric to be ad-invariant, then it is possible to construct a Hamiltonian system with enough functions in involution. This fact will be shown in this example.

Consider the 4-step nilpotent Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} generated by the elements e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5 and the Lie bracket relations given by:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3 \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_4, \quad [e_5, e_1] = e_2, \quad [e_5, e_2] = e_3 \quad [e_5, e_3] = e_4,$$

and the metric such that the set $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis.

Then for $X = \sum x_i e_i, Y = \sum y_i e_i$, the ad^t -action is the following one

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_X^t Y &= (x_5 y_2 - x_3 y_4 - x_2 y_3) e_1 + (x_1 y_3 + x_5 y_3) e_2 + (x_1 y_4 + x_5 y_4) e_3 - \\ &\quad - (x_1 y_2 + x_3 y_4 + x_2 y_3) e_5. \end{aligned}$$

By following the method developed by Corwin and Greenleaf in [C-G] we obtain three functions which generate the ring of rational τ -invariant functions. In fact,

for a point $x = \sum x_i e_i$ they are

$$f_1(x) = x_4 \quad f_2(x) = x_2 x_4 - \frac{1}{2} x_3^2 \quad f_3(x) = x_4^2 (x_1 - x_5) - x_2 x_3 x_4 + \frac{1}{3} x_3^3$$

The gradients of the functions f_2 and f_3 are respectively

$$\nabla f_2(U) = u_4 e_2 - u_2 e_4 - u_3 e_3, \quad \nabla f_3(x) = x_4^2 e_1 - x_4^2 e_5 + [2(x_1 - x_5)x_4 - x_2 x_3] e_4 + x_3^2 e_3.$$

Take the following decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum (as vector spaces) of subalgebras, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ where

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\} \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{e_5\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_- \quad \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \mathfrak{g}_+.$$

As we said G_+ acts on \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp . The orbits at a point $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, 0)$ have dimension zero if $y_3 = 0 = y_4$ and have dimension two if $y_4 \neq 0$ or $y_3 \neq 0$. So for example for the element $p = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ the orbit at p is the set $\mathcal{M} = \{(u, 0, v, 1, 0) / u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Consider now H_3 the restriction of f_3 to a symplectic manifold \mathcal{M} , which is the orbit at a point $U = \sum U_i^0 e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_+$ with $U_4^0 \neq 0$. For $x \in \mathcal{M}$ the function $H_3 = f_3|_{\mathcal{M}}$ takes the value $H_3(x) = (x_4^0)^2 x_1 - x_2 x_3 x_4^0 + \frac{1}{3} x_3^3$, which is not constant on the orbit. Then the Hamiltonian system for H_3 with initial value $u(0) = \sum u_i^0 e_i$ is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du}{ds} &= -u_4^2 u_2 e_1 - u_4^2 u_3 e_3 - u_4^3 e_3 \\ u(0) &= \sum u_i^0 e_i \end{cases}$$

This system has a solution $u(t)$ with coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= -(u_4^0)^7 \frac{t^3}{3!} + \frac{1}{2} (u_4^0)^4 u_3^0 t^2 - (u_4^0)^2 u_2^0 t + u_1^0 \\ u_2(t) &= \frac{1}{2} (u_4^0)^5 t^3 - (u_4^0)^2 u_3^0 t + u_2^0 \\ u_3(t) &= -(u_4^0)^3 t + u_3^0 \\ u_4(t) &= u_4^0. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to prove that the set $N = \{y \in \mathcal{M} : H_3(y) = c\}$ for a constant c , is not compact.

Example ii) In this example we apply the results we obtained on a 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra. This Lie algebra admits an ad-invariant metric and was considered by the author in [O1] for the applicability of the AKS-Theorem. But here we are interested on the Hamiltonian system corresponding to a τ -invariant function. This function is completely integrable (see Definition 3.3). Finally we study the applicability of the Liouville theorem according to a decomposition of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum as vector spaces of subalgebras \mathfrak{g}_- and \mathfrak{g}_+ .

Consider the simply connected Lie group G corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} generated by elements $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8$ with the Lie-bracket relations:

$$[e_1, e_4] = -e_6 \quad [e_1, e_6] = -e_7 \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_5 \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_2$$

$$[e_4, e_2] = -e_8 = [e_6, e_3] \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_4 \quad [e_5, e_2] = e_7 \quad [e_5, e_3] = -e_6$$

We have then a 5-step nilpotent Lie algebra with a metric (which is not ad-invariant) defined by:

$$\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = \langle e_6, e_6 \rangle = \langle e_8, e_8 \rangle = 1 = -\langle e_3, e_5 \rangle = -\langle e_4, e_7 \rangle$$

The ad^t -action from \mathfrak{g} into \mathfrak{g} is given for elements $X = \sum x_i e_i$, $Y = \sum y_i e_i$, by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_X^t(Y) &= (x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2 + x_4 y_6 - x_6 y_4) e_1 + (x_1 y_4 + x_3 y_8) e_6 + \\ &\quad + (-x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_7 - x_4 y_8 - x_5 y_4) e_2 + (x_1 y_6 - x_2 y_8) e_7 + \\ &\quad + (-x_2 y_4 - x_3 y_6) e_3 + (-x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_7 + x_5 y_6 + x_6 y_8) e_5 \end{aligned}$$

As we said, we followed the theory developed by Corwin and Greenleaf in [C-G] and obtained for an element $X = \sum x_i e_i$ the following invariant polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(X) &= x_4 & P_3(X) &= x_4 x_7 - x_3 x_8 - \frac{1}{2} x_6^2 \\ P_2(X) &= x_8 & P_4(X) &= x_1 x_8 + x_5 x_4 + x_6 x_2 + x_7 x_3 \end{aligned}$$

We observe that the first two polynomials P_1 and P_2 are always constant under the transadjoint action and the gradients of P_3 and P_4 are respectively

$$\nabla P_3(X) = -x_4 e_4 + x_8 e_5 + x_6 e_6 - x_7 e_7 - x_3 e_8,$$

$$\nabla P_4(X) = x_8 e_1 + x_6 e_2 - x_4 e_3 - x_3 e_4 - x_7 e_5 + x_2 e_6 - x_5 e_7 + x_1 e_8.$$

Consider now the following subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \text{span}\{e_2, e_3, e_4, e_6, e_7, e_8\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{e_1, e_5\}.$$

Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum as vector spaces of \mathfrak{g}_+ and \mathfrak{g}_- , that is,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, e_3\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \text{span}\{e_2, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8\}.$$

By Theorem 3.10, G_+ acts on \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp by the so called transadjoint representation. Moreover the ad^t -action for elements $X = \sum x_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, where $x_1 = 0 = x_5$, and $Y = \sum y_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$, where $y_1 = 0 = y_3$, is given by:

$$\text{ad}^t(\exp X)Y = (x_3 y_7 - x_4 y_8) e_2 + (x_2 y_7 + x_6 y_8) e_5 + x_3 y_8 e_6 - x_2 y_8 e_7.$$

It is not difficult to see that the orbits are 4-dimensional if $y_8 \neq 0$, are 2-dimensional if $y_8 = 0$ but $y_7 \neq 0$ and are 0-dimensional if $y_8 = 0 = y_7$. Moreover two elements $U = \sum u_i e_i$, $V = \sum v_i e_i$ are in the same orbit if and only if $u_4 = v_4$ and $u_8 = v_8$.

Consider now the restriction of P_3 and P_4 , namely H_3 and H_4 respectively, to the orbit \mathcal{M} of the point $X^o = \sum x_i^o e_i$, where $x_1^o = 0 = x_3^o$. Then H_3 and H_4 have the following expressions at the point X on the orbit

$$H_3(X) = x_7 x_4^o - \frac{x_6^2}{2} \quad H_4(X) = x_4^o x_5 + x_2 x_6$$

which are not trivial on the orbits. Consider now the Hamiltonian system corresponding to H_4 , that is, for a curve $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ this is

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \text{ad}_{\nabla P_4^-(x)}^t x &= x_7 x_4 e_2 - (x_2 x_8 + x_7 x_6) e_5 + x_8 x_4 e_6 + (x_8 x_6 e_7 \\ x(0) &= x^o \end{cases}$$

For the point $x^o \in \mathfrak{g}_\perp^\perp$, $x^o = \sum x_i^o e_i$ with $x_1^o = 0 = x_3^o$ we get for the system (8), the curve $x(t)$ with the following coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_2(t) &= x_4^{o2} x_8^{o2} \frac{t^3}{3!} + x_6^o x_8^o x_4^{o2} \frac{t^2}{2} + x_4^o x_7^o t + x_2^o \\ x_4(t) &= x_4^o \\ x_5(t) &= -[x_4^{o2} x_8^{o3} \frac{t^4}{3!} + \frac{2}{3} x_4^o x_6^o x_8^{o2} t^3 + (x_4^o x_7^o x_8^o + \frac{1}{2} x_6^{o2} x_8^o) t^2 + (x_2^o x_8^o + x_7^o x_6^o) t] + x_5^o \\ x_6(t) &= x_4^o x_8^o t + x_6^o \\ x_7(t) &= x_4^o x_8^{o2} \frac{t^2}{2} + x_6^o x_8^o t + x_7^o \\ x_8(t) &= x_8^o \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to prove that the functions H_3 and H_4 are integrals of motion for (8). For the initial condition $x^o = \sum x_i^o e_i$ with $x_1 = 0 = x_3$ the set $\mathcal{N} = \{(0, x_2, 0, x_4^o, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8^o)\}$ is invariant under the flow of H_3 and H_4 . Moreover dH_3 and dH_4 are linearly independent on \mathcal{N} . And so we obtain that H_4 is completely integrable (Definition 3.3) (by Theorem (3.10) the functions are in involution). However the system is not completely integrable. In fact the set

$$M_{c_1, c_2} = \{x \in \mathcal{M} / H_3(x) = c_1, \quad H_4(x) = c_2\}$$

is not compact.

Example iii) In this example we have a Hamiltonian system which is completely integrable, attached to different metrics on the Lie algebra. This case was constructed on a solvable Lie algebra, which admits an ad-invariant metric (and was also considered on [O1]. In particular the simply-connected Lie group corresponding to this Lie algebra is a model of the time space (see [M-R]).

Let \mathfrak{g} be the solvable Lie algebra generated by elements e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 with the Lie bracket relations given by:

$$[e_3, e_1] = e_2, \quad [e_3, e_2] = -e_1, \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_0$$

and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the metric that makes of the set $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ an orthonormal basis. Then the ad^t -action from \mathfrak{g} into \mathfrak{g} for elements $X = \sum x_i e_i$, $Y = \sum y_i e_i$ is given by:

$$\text{ad}_X^t Y = (x_3 y_2 - x_2 y_0) e_1 + (x_1 y_0 - x_3 y_1) e_2 + (x_2 y_1 - x_1 y_2) e_3$$

By simple computation one proves that the function

$$P(X) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_0 x_3)$$

for $X = \sum x_i e_i$ is invariant under the corresponding τ -action. The gradient of P is:

$$\nabla P(X) = x_3 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_0 e_3$$

Consider now the following subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{e_3\}.$$

Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum as vector spaces of \mathfrak{g}_+ and \mathfrak{g}_- , that is,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \text{span}\{e_3\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}.$$

By Theorem 3.10, G_+ acts on g^\perp by the so called transadjoint representation. Moreover the ad^t -action for elements $X = \sum x_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, where $x_3 = 0$, and $Y = \sum y_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}^\perp$, where $y_3 = 0$, is given by:

$$\text{ad}_X^t Y = x_1 y_0 e_2 - x_2 y_0 e_1$$

It is not difficult to see that the orbits are 2-dimensional if $y_0 \neq 0$. Consider now the restriction of P to the orbit, namely for $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $H(X) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Then the Hamiltonian system of H for a curve $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $x = x_0 e_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2$ is

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \text{ad}_{\nabla H_-(x)}^t x &= \text{ad}_{x_0 e_3}^t(x) = x_0 x_2 e_1 - x_0 x_1 e_2 \\ x(0) &= x^o \end{cases}$$

For the point $x^o \in \mathfrak{g}^\perp$, $x^o = \sum x_i^o e_i$ with $x_3^o = 0$ we get as solution of the system (9) the curve $x(t)$ with the following coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= A \sin(x_3^o t) + B \cos(x_3^o t) \\ x_2(t) &= A \cos(x_3^o t) - B \sin(x_3^o t) \\ x_3(t) &= x_3^o \end{aligned}$$

where A and B are constants which depend on x^o . Now the set $\mathcal{N} = \{X \in \mathcal{M}/H(X) = c\}$ is compact and non empty for $c \geq 0$.

As we said, the considered Lie algebra admits an ad-invariant metric [O], defined by:

$$\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = \langle e_0, e_3 \rangle = 1$$

Thus the function P is the quadratic form corresponding to the ad-invariant metric and so, the gradient of P is

$$\nabla P(X) = X$$

for a point $X = \sum x_i e_i$. Consider the same decomposition of \mathfrak{g} as before, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ + \mathfrak{g}_-$, where

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{g}_+ = \text{span}\{e_0, e_1, e_2\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{e_3\}.$$

Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a direct sum as vector spaces of \mathfrak{g}_+ and \mathfrak{g}_- , but also of \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp and \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp where

$$\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \text{span}\{e_0\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}.$$

By AKS-Theorem G_+ acts on \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp by the so called coadjoint representation. Moreover the ad-action for elements $X = \sum x_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_+$, where $x_3 = 0$, and $Y = \sum y_i e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$, where $y_0 = 0$, is given by:

$$\text{ad}_X^* Y = -x_1 y_3 e_2 + x_2 y_3 e_1$$

It is not difficult to see that the orbits are 2-dimensional if $y_3 \neq 0$. Consider now the restriction of P to the orbit, namely for $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $H(X) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. Then the Hamiltonian system of H for a curve $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $x = x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + x_3 e_3$ is

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \text{ad}_{\nabla H(x)}^* x &= \text{ad}_{x_3 e_3}^*(x) = -x_3 x_2 e_1 + x_3 x_1 e_2 \\ x(0) &= x^o \end{cases}$$

For the point $x^o \in \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$, $x^o = \sum x_i^o e_i$ with $x_0^o = 0$ we get for the system (11), the curve $x(t)$ with the following coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= A \cos(x_3^o t) - B \sin(x_3^o t) \\ x_2(t) &= A \sin(x_3^o t) + B \cos(x_3^o t) \\ x_3(t) &= x_3^o \end{aligned}$$

where A and B are constants which depend on x^o . As before, the set $\mathcal{N} = \{X \in \mathcal{M}/H(X) = c\}$ is compact and non empty for $c \geq 0$.

The system (11) has the following matricial realization: take M and L be the following matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & 0 \\ x_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -x_3 & x_1 \\ x_3 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the system can be written as $L' = [M, L] = ML - LM$.

Consider the same Lie algebra but with the metric defined by

$$\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = -\langle e_0, e_3 \rangle = 1$$

which is not ad-invariant and take the same decomposition of \mathfrak{g} into a direct sum as vector spaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ + \mathfrak{g}_-$, where, \mathfrak{g}_+ and \mathfrak{g}_- are as above (10). By considering the corresponding τ -action of G_+ into \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp one constructs also a Hamiltonian system for the function H which is the restriction of the function P to the orbit. The system one obtains is equivalent to (9).

By taking the subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{X_0, X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n} \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{X_{n+1}\}$$

and following a similar procedure as used in all previous examples, we get the phase space on \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp . In this way we obtain a Hamiltonian system for the restriction of the function $\frac{1}{2}q(X)$ to the orbit, which is the function H considered above.

This example is also known as the Hamiltonian flow on the sphere. Furthermore every quadratic, positive definite Hamiltonian function is symplectically equivalent to ones of the form $H = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x_j^2 + y_j^2)$, which is a generalization of the considered in our example (see [Mc-S]).

Acknowledgement The author thanks D. Alekseevski for helpful suggestions on the first version of this work and thanks I. Dotti Miatello for her valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [A] ARNOLD V., *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Graduate texts in mathematics 60); New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer 1989.
- [C-G] CORWIN L., GREENLEAF F. P., *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications. Part 1: Basic theory and examples*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 18, Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [E] EBERLEIN P., *Left invariant geometry of Lie groups* (2002).
- [F] FOMENKO A., *Symplectic Geometry*, Advanced Studies in Mathematics, vol 5, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1988.
- [Ge] GUEST M., *Harmonic maps, Loop groups and integrable systems of several variables*, (London Math. Soc. Stud. texts; 38); New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [Ko1] KOSTANT B., *Quantization and Representation theory*, in Representation Theory of Lie Groups, Proc.SRC/LMS Res. Symp., Oxford 1977. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series; **34**, 287-316, 1979.
- [Ko2] KOSTANT B., *The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and Representation theory*, Adv. Math, **34**, 195-338, 1979.
- [L-M] LIBERMAN P., MARLE CH. M., *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.
- [Mc-S] McDUFF D., SALAMON D., *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Science Publications, (1998).
- [M-R] MEDINA A., REVOY PH., Algèbres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant , Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **18** (1985), 391 - 404.
- [O] OVANDO G., *Solvable Lie algebras with ad-invariant metrics*, send.
- [O1] OVANDO G., *Hamiltonian systems on orbits of solvable Lie groups*, preprint.
- [P] PERELOMOV A., *Integrable Systems of classical Mechanics and Lie algebras*, vol I, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel Boston Berlin (1990).
- [R-STs] REYMAN A., SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY M., *Group-theoretical methods in the theory of finite dimensional integrable systems*, in: Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, vol. 16. Springer-verlag, Berlin a.o (1994).
- [STs] SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY M., *Quantization of open Toda lattice*, in: Encyclopaedia of Math. Sciences, vol. 16. Springer-verlag, Berlin a.o. (1994)
- [STs1] SEMENOV-TIAN-SHANSKY M., *Quantum and Classical Integrable Systems*, arXiv:q-alg/9703023 v1, (1997).

CIEM - FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICA, ASTRONOMÍA Y FÍSICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CÓRDOBA 5000, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: `ovando@mate.uncor.edu`