

Linear series on a special rational surface

Hal Schenck*
 Texas A & M University
 College Station, Texas, 77843
 schenck@math.tamu.edu

February 8, 2020

Abstract

We study a result of Postnikov-Shapiro concerning the Hilbert series of a family of ideals J_ϕ generated by powers of linear forms in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Using the results of Emsalem-Iarrobino, we formulate this as a problem about fatpoints in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . In the three variable case this is equivalent to studying the dimension of a linear series on a blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 . The ideals that arise have the points in very special position, but because there are only seven points, we can apply results of Harbourne to obtain the classes of the negative curves. Reducing to an effective, n.e.f. divisor and using Riemann-Roch yields the desired Hilbert series. Postnikov and Shapiro observe that for a family of ideals closely related to J_ϕ a result similar to theirs seems to hold. Our methods allow us to prove this for $n = 3$.

1 Introduction

Let $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring. We consider the following two families of $2^n - 1$ generated ideals:

$$I_\phi = \langle x_1^{\phi(1)}, \dots, x_n^{\phi(1)}, (x_1 x_2)^{\phi(2)}, \dots, (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r})^{\phi(r)} \dots \rangle$$

$$J_\phi = \langle x_1^{\phi(1)}, \dots, x_n^{\phi(1)}, (x_1 + x_2)^{2\phi(2)}, \dots, (x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_r})^{r\phi(r)} \dots \rangle,$$

where ϕ is a *linear degree function*, i.e.

$$\phi(r) = l + k(n - r) > 0, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*This work was partially supported by NSA grant 02Y-138.

AMS subject classifications: 14J26, 14C20, 13D40.

keywords: linear series, rational surface, blowup, Hilbert series.

Theorem 1.1 (*Postnikov and Shapiro, [13]*) For a linear degree function ϕ ,

$$P(R/I_\phi, t) = P(R/J_\phi, t).$$

The proof uses the correspondance between parking functions and labelled trees; they note that except in very special cases a Gröbner basis approach *cannot* work because the monomial generators do not lie on the boundary of the Newton polytopes of their polynomial deformations.

In this paper we first give an alternate proof for the case $n = 3$. For a related family of *almost linear* degree functions, Postnikov and Shapiro observe that the equality of Theorem 1.1 often holds. In particular, for an almost linear degree function, the first example they found where equality does not hold is when $n = 4$. Our methods allow us to prove that for an almost linear degree function and $n = 3$, it is indeed true that the equality of Theorem 1.1 holds. The techniques are quite different than those employed in [13]. It is easy to write down an explicit free (generally non-minimal) resolution of any monomial ideal (see, e.g., Taylor [14]), so $P(R/I_\phi, t)$ is known; the interesting case is R/J_ϕ .

We first use Macaulay's inverse systems approach to relate the dimension of J_ϕ in degree j to the degree j piece of an ideal F of fatpoints on \mathbb{P}^2 . J_ϕ is generated by seven forms, so F is supported at seven points. Following Harbourne, we blow up \mathbb{P}^2 at the seven points and study linear series on the resulting surface X ; because only seven points were blown up we can determine all the negative classes on X , which allows us to determine $P(R/J_\phi, t)$. When $n = 2$, J_ϕ behaves as if the forms were generic. In [3], Fröberg made a conjecture about the behaviour of the Hilbert series of an ideal generated by generic forms in n variables, and proved the conjecture when $n = 2$; the $n = 3$ case was subsequently solved by Anick in [1]. We show that the deviation of the dimension of $(J_\phi)_j$ from the dimension of an ideal generated by generic forms of the same degrees is measured by $H^1(D_j)$, where D_j is a divisor on X corresponding to the degree j piece of a fatpoint ideal on \mathbb{P}^2 .

Acknowledgement I thank Boris Shapiro for explaining the problem to me, M.F.I.-Oberwolfach for bringing us together and for a wonderful stay, and Brian Harbourne for several enlightening conversations.

2 Linear Forms and Fat points

In [2], Emsalem and Iarrobino proved that there is a close connection between ideals generated by powers of linear forms, and ideals of fatpoints. Let $p_i = [p_{i0} : p_{i1} : \dots : p_{in}] \in \mathbb{P}^n$, $I(p_i) = \wp_i \subseteq R = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$, and $L_{p_i} = \sum_{j=0}^n p_{ij} y_j$. Let $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ be a set of distinct points. A fat points ideal is an ideal of the form

$$F = \cap_{i=1}^m \wp_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Let $S = k[y_0, \dots, y_n]$, and define an action of R on S by partial differentiation, i.e. $x_j \cdot y_i = \partial(y_i)/\partial(y_j)$. We think of S both as a ring, isomorphic to R , and as

an R -module. Since F is a submodule of R , it acts on S , and we can ask what elements of S are annihilated by this action. The set of such elements is denoted by F^{-1} . The essential result of Emsalem and Iarrobino is that for $j \gg 0$, $(F^{-1})_j = \langle L_{p_1}^{j-\alpha_1+1}, \dots, L_{p_m}^{j-\alpha_m+1} \rangle_j$, and that $\dim(F^{-1})_j = \dim(R/F)_j$. This generalizes the classical Terracini lemma (which holds when the α_i are all two).

Theorem 2.1 (*Emsalem and Iarrobino, [2]*) *Let F be an ideal of fat points, i.e.*

$$F = \wp_1^{n_1+1} \cap \dots \cap \wp_m^{n_m+1}.$$

Then we have

$$(F^{-1})_j = \begin{cases} S_j & \text{for } j \leq \max\{n_i\} \\ L_{p_1}^{j-n_1} S_{n_1} + \dots + L_{p_m}^{j-n_m} S_{n_m} & \text{for } j \geq \max\{n_i + 1\} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\dim_k(F^{-1})_j = \dim_k(R/F, j) = H(R/F, j).$$

Suppose we have an ideal generated by powers of linear forms, and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we wish to compute the dimension of

$$\langle L_{p_1}^{t_1}, \dots, L_{p_m}^{t_m} \rangle_j.$$

Since the t_i are fixed, to apply the approach above we fix a degree j . Put

$$F(j) = \wp_1^{j-t_1+1} \cap \dots \cap \wp_m^{j-t_m+1}.$$

Then

$$\dim \langle L_{p_1}^{t_1}, \dots, L_{p_m}^{t_m} \rangle_j = \dim (R/F(j))_j.$$

Hence, we will be studying an infinite family of ideals of fat points. For additional information on this correspondance we refer to the original paper [2], Geramita [4], or Macaulay [10].

3 Blow-ups, Rational Surfaces, and the problem

Recall now the problem: given a linear degree function

$$\phi(r) = l + k(n - r) > 0, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

determine the Hilbert series of the quotient of R by the ideal generated by (over all tuples)


$$(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_r})^{r\phi(r)}.$$

As we saw in the previous section, this is equivalent to determining the Hilbert function of a *family* of ideals of fatpoints. In [12] Nagata studied fatpoints

in \mathbb{P}^2 , obtaining results for small numbers of points and posing a number of conjectures. This continues to be a very active area of research; see [6] or [11] for overviews. Surprisingly, even for a set of points in general position in \mathbb{P}^2 the Hilbert function is unknown, though a formula is conjectured by Segre, Harbourne, Gimigliano, and Hirschowitz. We now specialize to the case of three variables. Given ϕ , we want to find the Hilbert function of the ideal

$$\langle x^{\phi(1)}, y^{\phi(1)}, z^{\phi(1)}, (x+y)^{2\phi(2)}, (x+z)^{2\phi(2)}, (y+z)^{2\phi(2)}, (x+y+z)^{3\phi(3)} \rangle.$$

Consider the following seven points of \mathbb{P}^2 :



$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= (1 : 0 : 0) \\ p_2 &= (0 : 1 : 0) \\ p_3 &= (0 : 0 : 1) \\ p_4 &= (1 : 1 : 0) \\ p_5 &= (1 : 0 : 1) \\ p_6 &= (0 : 1 : 1) \\ p_7 &= (1 : 1 : 1) \end{aligned}$$

and let $\wp_i = I(p_i)$. The results of the previous section show that $\dim (J_\phi)_j = \dim (R/F(j))_j$, where

$$F(j) = \langle \wp_1^{a_1} \cap \wp_2^{a_2} \cap \wp_3^{a_3} \cap \wp_4^{a_4} \cap \wp_5^{a_5} \cap \wp_6^{a_6} \cap \wp_7^{a_7} \rangle,$$

and $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = j - \phi(1) + 1$, $a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = j - 2\phi(2) + 1$, and $a_7 = j - 3\phi(3) + 1$. The key to solving this problem is work of Harbourne [7] which shows how to determine the dimension of a linear system on a blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at eight or fewer points.

We begin by recalling that there is a correspondance between the graded pieces of an ideal of fatpoints F and the global sections of a certain line bundle on the surface X which is the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at the points. Let E_i be the class of the exceptional curve over the point p_i , and E_0 the pullback of a line on \mathbb{P}^2 . Put

$$D_j = jE_0 - \sum_{i=1}^7 a_i E_i,$$

with a_i as above. The canonical divisor on X is:

$$K_X = -3E_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 E_i.$$

D_j is effective so $H^2(D_j) = 0$ and Riemann-Roch yields:

$$\chi(D_j) = H^0(D_j) - H^1(D_j) = \frac{D_j^2 - D_j K_X}{2} + 1.$$

We compute

$$\frac{D_j^2 - D_j K_X}{2} + 1 = \binom{j+2}{2} - 3 \binom{j+2-\phi(1)}{2} - 3 \binom{j+2-2\phi(2)}{2} - \binom{j+2-3\phi(3)}{2}.$$

Since

$$F(j)_j = H^0(D_j),$$

the dimension of $(J_\phi)_j$ is given by:

$$3 \binom{j+2-\phi(1)}{2} + 3 \binom{j+2-2\phi(2)}{2} + \binom{j+2-3\phi(3)}{2} - H^1(D_j).$$

Notice the connection to Anick's result. J_ϕ is generated by three forms of degree $\phi(1)$, three forms of degree $2\phi(2)$, and one form of degree $3\phi(3)$. Thus, the maximal dimension of $\langle J_\phi \rangle_j$ is obtained by multiplying all monomials of degree $j - \phi(1)$ with the generators of degree $\phi(1)$, and similarly for the other generators, i.e. the maximal dimension of $\langle J_\phi \rangle_j$ is

$$3 \binom{j-\phi(1)+2}{2} + 3 \binom{j-2\phi(2)+2}{2} + \binom{j-3\phi(3)+2}{2}.$$

In other words, $(J_\phi)_j$ is as large as possible iff $H^1(D_j) = 0$, i.e. exactly when the divisor D_j is nonspecial. The original problem may be restated as follows: for a linear degree function, determine the number of global sections i.e. compute $H^0(D_j)$.

Example 3.1 For $n = 3$, take $l = 3$ and $k = 1$. Let G_ϕ be generated by generic forms of the same degree as the generators of J_ϕ . Then

$$P(R/G_\phi, t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 18t^5 + 19t^6 + 18t^7 + 12t^8$$

$$P(R/I_\phi, t) = P(R/J_\phi, t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 10t^3 + 15t^4 + 18t^5 + 19t^6 + 18t^7 + 12t^8 + 6t^9.$$

There are many examples of this type, which is to be expected, since monomial ideals will very rarely have generic Hilbert series.

Example 3.2 For $n = 3$, take $l = 1$ and $k = 2$. Then the ideal J_ϕ is generated by

$$\langle x^5, y^5, z^5, (x+y)^6, (y+z)^6, (x+z)^6, (x+y+z)^3 \rangle.$$

j	$\chi(D_j)$	$\dim (R/J_\phi)_j$
0	0	1
1	0	3
2	0	6
3	1	9
4	3	12
5	12	12
6	6	6
7	-6	0

In degree 3, where J_ϕ first has a generator, the corresponding fatpoint ideal is the ideal of the point $(1 : 1 : 1) = \langle x - z, y - z \rangle = F(3)$ and the dimension of $R/F(3)$ in degree 3 is obviously 1. In degree six we consider the fatpoint ideal

$$F(6) = \langle \wp_1^2 \cap \wp_2^2 \cap \wp_3^2 \cap \wp_4 \cap \wp_5 \cap \wp_6 \cap \wp_7^4 \rangle.$$

To determine the dimension of $(R/F(6))_6$, we need to understand effective divisors on X . We tackle this in the next section.

4 Effective Divisors and a solution when $n = 3$

From now on, we assume that ϕ is a linear degree function and $j \geq \max\{\phi(1), 2\phi(2), 3\phi(3)\}$, so all the generators are involved. Everything works similarly for smaller degree; in fact, since there are fewer generators, it is simpler. For seven *general* points in \mathbb{P}^2 , Harbourne shows that the subsemigroup of effective divisor classes on the surface X obtained by blowing up the points is generated by the -1 curves. These consist of the exceptional divisors, lines thru pairs of points, conics through any five points, and cubics through six points, with a double point at the seventh. As the points specialize, these -1 curves can become reducible, but the classes of their irreducible components generate the subsemigroup of effective divisor classes.

For any divisor F , we take a Zariski decomposition of F as $G + Z$, where G is effective and n.e.f. Then $H^1(G) = H^2(G) = 0$ and

$$H^0(F) = H^0(G).$$

In order to compute $H^0(D_j)$ we need to determine the divisor G ; [6] tells us to intersect D_j with the subsemigroup of effective divisor classes. When D_j meets a class negatively, subtract that class from D_j and continue. Since we know the actual locations of the seven points corresponding to the fatpoint ideal, we need to compute the effective divisor classes. As in the previous section, let E_i be the exceptional divisor over a point p_i , and E_0 the class of the pullback of a line.

Lemma 4.1 *The negative curves on X are given by the six -2 curves corresponding to lines thru three collinear points and the three -1 curves corresponding to lines thru the pairs $\{p_4, p_5\}$, $\{p_4, p_6\}$, $\{p_5, p_6\}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{124} &= E_0 - E_1 - E_2 - E_4 \\
C_{135} &= E_0 - E_1 - E_3 - E_5 \\
C_{236} &= E_0 - E_2 - E_3 - E_6 \\
C_{167} &= E_0 - E_1 - E_6 - E_7 \\
C_{257} &= E_0 - E_2 - E_5 - E_7 \\
C_{347} &= E_0 - E_3 - E_4 - E_7 \\
C_{45} &= E_0 - E_4 - E_5 \\
C_{46} &= E_0 - E_4 - E_6 \\
C_{56} &= E_0 - E_5 - E_6
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Direct calculation. \square

We return to Example 3.2:

$$D_6 = 6E_0 - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6 - 4E_7$$

meets $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ negatively. These three curves are mutually orthogonal and meet D_j with the same multiplicity, so they can all be removed, and the result is an effective, n.e.f. divisor:

$$G = 3E_0 - E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_7.$$

The rest is easy: $G^2 = 5, GK = -5$, so $H^0(G) = 6$ and $H^1(G) = 0$. Similiar computations show that this J_ϕ behaves generically.

This example illustrates the crucial idea for the general case. Observe that the classes corresponding to lines with three collinear points (i.e. all the C_{ijk}) are orthogonal. $D_j = jE_0 - \sum_{i=1}^7 a_i E_i$ meets C_{124} negatively when

$$j < a_1 + a_2 + a_4,$$

and since $C_{124}, C_{135}, C_{236}$ each intersect D_j with the same multiplicity, anytime that we remove one class, we need to remove all three classes. Of course, this depends on the fact that we began with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$ and $a_4 = a_5 = a_6$. In the same vein,

$$D_j \cdot C_{167} < 0 \text{ if } j < a_1 + a_6 + a_7,$$

and the curves $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ meet D_j with the same multiplicity, so again, when we remove one of these three, we have to remove all of them. The point is that both of these reductions preserve the equality of the coefficients of $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ and of $\{E_4, E_5, E_6\}$.

Lemma 4.2 *If $j \geq \phi(1) + \phi(2) - 1$, then D_j meets $C_{124}, C_{135}, C_{236}$ negatively. Put*

$$t_1 = j - 2l - 3k + 2 = j - \phi(1) - \phi(2) + 2.$$

Then

$$D'_j = (j - 3t_1)E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i - 2t_1)E_i - \sum_{i=4}^6 (a_i - t_1)E_i - a_7 E_7$$

meets $C_{124}, C_{135}, C_{236}$ non-negatively, and has $H^0(D_j) = H^0(D'_j)$.

Proof.

$$D_j - C_{124} - C_{135} - C_{236} = (j-3)E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i - 2)E_i - \sum_{i=4}^6 (a_i - 1)E_i - a_7 E_7,$$

so substituting $a_1 = j - \phi(1) + 1, a_2 = \dots$ shows that when

$$j \geq \phi(1) + \phi(2) - 1,$$

removing $j - \phi(1) - \phi(2) + 2$ copies of $C_{124} + C_{135} + C_{236}$ from D_j makes the resulting divisor meet these classes non-negatively, and preserves the number of global sections. \square

Lemma 4.3 *If $j \geq 2\phi(2) + \phi(3) - 1$, then D_j meets $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ negatively. Put*

$$t_2 = j - 3l - 2k + 2 = j - 2\phi(2) - \phi(3) + 2.$$

Then

$$D''_j = (j - 3t_2)E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^6 (a_i - t_2)E_i - (a_7 - 3t_2)E_7$$

meets $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ non-negatively, and has $H^0(D_j) = H^0(D''_j)$

Proof. Same as above. \square

Lemma 4.4 *D_j can be reduced to G without using the classes C_{45}, C_{46}, C_{56} .*

Proof. We use the linearity of the degree function. D_j meets C_{45} negatively if $j < a_4 + a_5$; from the initial values of $a_4 = a_5 = j - 2\phi(2) + 1$, C_{45} meets D_j negatively when $j \geq 4\phi(2) - 1 = 4l + 4k - 1$. But since $l, k > 0$, $4l + 4k - 1 > 2l + 3k - 1$, and the constraint $j \geq 2l + 3k - 1$ is what indicates that D_j meets $C_{124}, C_{135}, C_{236}$ negatively, so we can just remove these curves instead. \square

It is easy to find degree functions where this lemma fails, but they cannot be linear.

Theorem 4.5 *Let ϕ be a linear degree function, a_i and D_j as in section 3. Put*

$$t_1 = \max\{j - \phi(1) - \phi(2) + 2, 0\}, \quad t_2 = \max\{j - 2\phi(2) - \phi(3) + 2, 0\}$$

Then

$$G = (j - 3t_1 - 3t_2)E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^3 (a_i - 2t_1 - t_2)E_i - \sum_{i=4}^6 (a_i - t_1 - t_2)E_i - (a_7 - 3t_2)E_7$$

is an effective, n.e.f. divisor with $H^0(D_j) = H^0(G) =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{j+2}{2} - 3 \binom{j+2-\phi(1)}{2} - 3 \binom{j+2-2\phi(2)}{2} - \binom{j+2-3\phi(3)}{2} \\ & + 6 \binom{j+2-\phi(1)-\phi(2)}{2} \\ & + 6 \binom{j+2-2\phi(2)-\phi(3)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

The first row is precisely the dimension when $H^1(D_j) = 0$, while the second row is zero iff $t_1 < 2$ and the third row is zero iff $t_2 < 2$.

Proof. Combine the three previous lemmas for the first part; to compute the dimension of $H^0(G)$ just apply Riemann-Roch. \square

The theory of inverse systems tells us that $\dim H^0(D_j) = \dim (R/J_\phi)_j$ as long as $j \geq \max\{\phi(1), 2\phi(2), 3\phi(3)\}$, and a check of the above formula shows that this dimension is zero when $j = \phi(1) + \phi(2) + \phi(3) - 2$. Thus, the formula above is valid when

$$\phi(1) + \phi(2) + \phi(3) - 2 \geq j \geq \max\{\phi(1), 2\phi(2), 3\phi(3)\}.$$

As noted, for smaller j the same computations apply, and we obtain:

Corollary 4.6 For $n = 3$ and ϕ linear, $P(R/J_\phi, t) =$

$$\frac{1 - 3t^{\phi(1)} - 3t^{2\phi(2)} - t^{3\phi(3)} + 6t^{\phi(1)+\phi(2)} + 6t^{2\phi(2)+\phi(3)} - 6t^{\phi(1)+\phi(2)+\phi(3)}}{(1-t)^3}.$$

A degree function is called *almost linear* if

$$\phi(r) - \phi(r+1) = k \text{ or } k+1 \quad \forall r \in 1..n-1.$$

When $n = 3$, an almost linear degree function satisfies the same key property satisfied by a linear degree function. In particular, a divisor can be reduced without ever using the classes C_{ij} .

Lemma 4.7 For an almost linear degree function, D_j can be reduced to G without using the classes C_{45}, C_{46}, C_{56} .

Proof. There are two cases: First, if

$$\phi(1) - \phi(2) = k, \phi(2) - \phi(3) = k+1,$$

then $\phi(1) = \phi(2) + k, \phi(3) = \phi(2) - k - 1$. We know D_j meets $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ negatively if $j \geq \frac{\phi(1)+2\phi(2)+3\phi(3)}{2} - 1$; in the linear case, this is equivalent to

$j \geq 2\phi(2) + \phi(3) - 1$. Just as in Lemma 4.4, C_{45} meets D_j negatively when $j \geq 4\phi(2) - 1$. Substituting for $\phi(1), \phi(3)$, we obtain

$$\frac{\phi(1) + 2\phi(2) + 3\phi(3)}{2} - 1 = 3\phi(2) - k - \frac{3}{2} - 1 \leq 4\phi(2) - 1.$$

The case $\phi(1) - \phi(2) = k + 1$, $\phi(2) - \phi(3) = k$ is similar; we conclude that for an almost linear ϕ , whenever we can remove a class C_{ij} , we can remove the classes $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$ instead. \square

It is interesting to remark that in the linear case it was always the classes $C_{124}, C_{135}, C_{236}$ which could be removed instead of the C_{ij} , whereas in the almost linear case, the classes which can always be removed change to $C_{167}, C_{257}, C_{347}$. An almost linear degree function (which is not linear) does not satisfy

$$\frac{\phi(1) + 2\phi(2) + 3\phi(3)}{2} = 2\phi(2) + \phi(3),$$

so when ϕ is almost linear but not linear, we obtain a slightly modified version of Theorem 4.5, with

$$t_2 = \lfloor j + \frac{3 - \phi(1) - 2\phi(2) - 3\phi(3)}{2} \rfloor.$$

Postnikov and Shapiro note that when the degree function is not linear or almost linear, they were unable to find a case where the equality of Theorem 1.1 held. In general, the methods found here can be applied to determine the Hilbert series of any ideal generated by powers of linear forms in three variables, as long as there are at most seven generators.

5 Examples

Throughout this section G_ϕ will denote an ideal of generic forms generated in the same degrees as J_ϕ and \prec means coefficientwise \leq , with a strict inequality at some position.

Example 5.1 We revisit example 3.2. For this example we have:

$$P(R/G_\phi, t) = P(R/J_\phi, t) = P(R/I_\phi, t),$$

the Hilbert series for all three is

$$1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 9t^3 + 12t^4 + 12t^5 + 6t^6.$$

Example 5.2 In this example we show that for a nonlinear degree function there can be a strict inequality of Hilbert series

$$P(R/G_\phi, t) \prec P(R/J_\phi, t) \prec P(R/I_\phi, t).$$

Take the degree function $\phi(1) = 7, \phi(2) = 3, \phi(3) = 1$. Then

$$P(R/G_\phi, t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 9t^3 + 12t^4 + 15t^5 + 15t^6 + 9t^7$$

$$P(R/J_\phi, t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 9t^3 + 12t^4 + 15t^5 + 15t^6 + 9t^7 + 3t^8$$

$$P(R/I_\phi, t) = 1 + 3t + 6t^2 + 9t^3 + 12t^4 + 15t^5 + 15t^6 + 12t^7 + 6t^8$$

Example 5.3 We conclude with an example of an almost linear degree function. Let

$$\phi(1) = 8, \phi(2) = 5, \phi(3) = 3.$$

$$P(R/G_\phi, t) = \dots + 28t^6 + 36t^7 + 42t^8 + 45t^9 + 42t^{10} + 33t^{11} + 18t^{12}$$

$$P(R/I_\phi, t) = P(R/J_\phi, t) = \dots + 28t^6 + 36t^7 + 42t^8 + 45t^9 + 42t^{10} + 33t^{11} + 18t^{12} + 6t^{13}$$

We illustrate the computation of $H^0(D_{13})$. Since $t_1 = 2$ we first reduce to $D_{13} - 2(C_{124} + C_{135} + C_{236})$, yielding

$$D' = 7E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^6 2E_i - 5E_7.$$

Finally, we check that $t_2 = 1$ (this is the nonlinear t_2), so

$$G = 4E_0 - \sum_{i=1}^6 E_i - 2E_7.$$

We find

$$H^0(G) = \frac{G^2 - GK_X}{2} + 1 = 6,$$

as expected.

References

- [1] D. Anick, Thin algebras of embedding dimension three, *J. Algebra*, **100** (1986), 235–259.
- [2] J. Emsalem and A. Iarrobino, Inverse system of a symbolic power I, *Journal of Algebra*, **174** (1995), 1080–1090.
- [3] R. Fröberg, An inequality for Hilbert series of graded algebras, *Math. Scand*, **56** (1985), 117–144.
- [4] A. Geramita, Inverse Systems of Fat Points: Waring’s Problem, Secant Varieties of Veronese Varieties, and Parameter Spaces for Gorenstein Ideals, *Queens Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **102**, The Curves Seminar, Volume X, (1996), 1–114.

- [5] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, Macaulay II, A program for commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, contact the authors: dan@math.uiuc.edu mike@math.cornell.edu.
- [6] B. Harbourne, Problems and progress: survey on fat points in \mathbb{P}^2 . math.AG/0101112.
- [7] B. Harbourne, Rational surfaces with $K^2 > 0$. *Proceedings of the A.M.S.*, **124** (1996), 727-733.
- [8] B. Harbourne, Anticanonical rational surfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **349** (1997), 1191-1208.
- [9] A. Iarrobino, Inverse system of a symbolic power III: thin algebras and fat points, *Compositio Math.*, **108** (1997), 319-356.
- [10] F.H.S. Macaulay, "The algebraic theory of modular systems," Cambridge University Press, London/New York, 1916.
- [11] R. Miranda, Linear systems of plane curves. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **46** (1999), 192-201.
- [12] M. Nagata, On rational surfaces. II. *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math.*, **33** (1960), 271-293.
- [13] A. Postnikov and B. Shapiro, Trees, Parking Functions, Syzygies, and Deformations of Monomial Ideals. preprint, **C0.0301110**.
- [14] D. Taylor, Ideals generated by monomials in an R-sequence *Thesis, U. Chicago*, (1966).