

Parametrized Braid Groups of Chevalley Groups

Jean-Louis Loday and Michael R. Stein

Abstract

We introduce the notion of a braid group parametrized by a ring, which is defined by generators and relations and based on the geometric idea of painted braids. We show that the parametrized braid group is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the Steinberg group (of the ring) with the classical braid group. The technical heart of the proof is the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1, which asserts that certain elements of the parametrized braid group commute with the pure braid group.

More generally, we define, for any crystallographic root system, a braid group and a parametrized braid group with parameters in a commutative ring. The parametrized braid group is expected to be isomorphic to the semi-direct product of the corresponding Steinberg group with the braid group. The first part of the paper (described above) treats the case of the root system A_n ; in the second part, we handle the root system D_n . Other cases will be treated in the sequel [LS2].

0 Introduction

Suppose that the strands of a braid are painted and that the paint from a strand spills onto the strand beneath it, modifying the color of the lower strand as in the picture below.




figure 1

This gives rise, for any ring A , to the parametrized braid group $B_{r_n}(A)$, which is generated by elements y_i^a , where i is an integer, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and a is an element of A , subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (A1) \quad & y_i^a y_i^0 y_i^b = y_i^0 y_i^0 y_i^{a+b} \\ (A1) \quad (A1) \quad & y_i^a y_j^b = y_j^b y_i^a \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2; \\ (A2) \quad & y_i^a y_{i+1}^b y_i^c = y_{i+1}^c y_i^{b+ac} y_{i+1}^a \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b, c \in A$

(A variation of this group first appeared in [L]. The choice of names for these relations will be explained in §2 below. The derivation of these relations from the painted braid model can be seen in Figures 2 and 3 of §2 below.)

Observe that when $A = \mathbb{F}_0$ (the zero ring), one obtains the classical Artin braid group B_{r_n} , whose presentation is by generators y_i , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A1) \quad & Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i && \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2; \\
 (A2) \quad & Y_i Y_{i+1} Y_i = Y_{i+1} Y_i Y_{i+1}
 \end{aligned}$$

A question immediately comes to mind: does Figure 1 correctly reflect the elements of the parametrized braid group? Up to equivalence, a picture would be completely determined by a braid and a linear transformation of the set of colors. This linear transformation lies in the subgroup $E_n(A)$ of elementary matrices. Hence if the parametrized braid group corresponds exactly to the pictures, it should be the semidirect product of $E_n(A)$ and $B r_n$. We will show that this is almost the case: we need to replace $E_n(A)$ by the Steinberg group $St_n(A)$ (cf. [St] [Stb]).

Theorem . For any ring A there is an isomorphism

$$B r_n(A) = St_n(A) \circ B r_n ;$$

where the action of $B r_n$ is via the symmetric group S_n .

The quotient of $B r_n(A)$ by the relation $y_i^0 y_i^0 = 1$ is the group studied by Kassel and Reutenauer [K-R] (in this quotient group, our relation (A1) becomes $y_i^a y_i^0 y_i^b = y_i^{a+b}$, which is exactly the relation used by [K-R] in place of (A1)). They show that this quotient is naturally isomorphic to the semidirect product $St_n(A) \circ S_n$ of the Steinberg group with the symmetric group. So our theorem is a lifting of theirs.

More generally, when A is commutative, a Steinberg group $St(\Phi; A)$ exists for any crystallographic root system Φ . We construct a parametrized braid group, $B r(\Phi; A)$, using a parametrized version of the relations

$$Y Y Y = Y Y Y$$

with $m(\Phi; \alpha)$ (see x1 for the definition) generators on each side. Our aim is to prove in all generality the existence of an isomorphism

$$B r(\Phi; A) = St(\Phi; A) \circ B r(\Phi; 0) :$$

Whenever such an isomorphism exists, it implies an analogue of the theorem of Kassel and Reutenauer for Φ .

When $\Phi = A_n$, the only values of $m(\Phi; \alpha)$ are 1, 2 and 3, and the corresponding relations are (A1); (A1 - A1) and (A2) respectively. The same is true for the case $\Phi = D_n$ that we treat in x3.

More cases will be treated in the sequel [L-S2].

Content. In x1 we outline the proof for a general crystallographic root system Φ . We define the parametrized braid group $B r(\Phi; A)$ of type Φ with parameters in a commutative ring A . For such data a Steinberg group $St(\Phi; A)$ has been constructed in [Stb] and [St]. We explain how it is equipped with an action of the Weyl group $W(\Phi)$, and (since $W(\Phi)$ is an epimorphic image of $B r(\Phi)$), with an action of the braid group $B r(\Phi) = B r(\Phi; 0)$. We define a map $\pi : B r(\Phi; A) \rightarrow St(\Phi; A) \circ B r(\Phi)$ which we conjecture is an isomorphism

for a general crystallographic root system Φ , and we indicate a procedure for proving this conjecture, which depends on a crucial technical result called the Pure Braid Lemma (1.4.2). Our proof of the Pure Braid Lemma requires a manageable family of generators for the pure braid group. Such a family is easily obtained for the cases $\Phi = A_{n-1}$ and $\Phi = D_n$ that we treat in this paper.

In §2 we treat the case $\Phi = A_{n-1}$ (the parametrized braid group presented in the introduction). Though this case follows the general pattern outlined in the first section, §2 can be read independently. Moreover, in this case we need not assume that A is either commutative or unital.

In §3 we treat the case $\Phi = D_n$, which is technically more difficult due to the complexity of the generators of the pure braid group.

Acknowledgements. The first author gratefully acknowledges support from Northwestern University for a three month visit in 2002. The second author gratefully acknowledges partial support from l'Université Louis Pasteur during a visit in academic year 1998–99 during which this collaboration began.

1 The Braid group and Steinberg group associated to a root system

In discussing Coxeter groups and root systems, we will adopt the terminology of Humphreys [H, §§2.2; 2.8; 2.9], in which all root systems are reduced Φ that is, the only root parallel to α is $-\alpha$ but are not necessarily crystallographic ([H, 2.9]). Let Φ be an irreducible root system and let Φ_0 be a fixed simple subsystem of Φ . For $i, j \in \Phi_0$, define the integer $m(i, j)$ by

$$m(i, j) = \begin{cases} 8 & \text{if } i = j; \\ 2 & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are not connected in the Coxeter graph of } \Phi; \\ m & \text{if the edge connecting } i \text{ and } j \text{ in the Coxeter graph is labelled } m > 2 \end{cases}$$

(cf. [Car], [H], [Bour]).

The Weyl group, $W(\Phi)$, associated to Φ is presented with generators the simple reflections s_j $j \in \Phi_0$ and defining relations

$$(1.1) \quad (s_i s_j)^{m(i, j)} = 1;$$

If the root system Φ is crystallographic and irreducible (i.e. the root system of a simple Lie algebra over the complex numbers), we must have $m(i, j) = 1, 2, 3, 4$; or 6 ([H, Proposition, p. 38]). In this case, there can be at most 2 root lengths, and $W(\Phi)$ acts transitively on roots of the same length.

1.1 The braid group of a root system

For Φ (not necessarily crystallographic) as above, define $B_r(\Phi)$, the braid group of type Φ , as the group with generators y_j , $j \in \Phi$ and defining relations

$$(1.1.1) \quad y_j y_i y_j \dots = y_i y_j y_i \dots$$

where there are $m = m(\Phi)$ factors on each side. The map γ defined on generators induces a surjective homomorphism $B_r(\Phi) \rightarrow W(\Phi)$ whose kernel, $PB_r(\Phi)$, is called the pure braid group of type Φ . We will write b to denote the image in $W(\Phi)$ of an element $b \in B_r(\Phi)$. Since $W(\Phi)$ is obtained from $B_r(\Phi)$ by adding the relations $y_j^2 = 1$ for $j \in \Phi$, it follows that $PB_r(\Phi)$ is generated by all y_j^2 , $j \in \Phi$, together with their conjugates by arbitrary elements of $B_r(\Phi)$.

1.2 The parametrized braid group of a root system

Now assume that the root system Φ is crystallographic (so that $m(\Phi) = 2, 3, 4$, or 6 when $\Phi \in \Phi_n$), and let A be a ring, assumed commutative if $\Phi \in \Phi_n$. The parametrized braid group of type Φ with parameters in A , $B_r(\Phi; A)$, has generators y_j^a , $j \in \Phi$, $a \in A$, and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (A1) \quad & y_j^a y_j^0 y_j^b = y_j^0 y_j^0 y_j^{a+b} \\ (A1-A1) \quad & y_j^a y_j^b = y_j^b y_j^a & \text{if } m(\Phi) = 2 \\ (A2) \quad & y_j^a y_j^b y_j^c = y_j^c y_j^{b+ac} y_j^a & \text{if } m(\Phi) = 3 \\ (B2) \quad & y_j^a y_j^b y_j^c y_j^d = y_j^d y_j^{c+ad} y_j^{b+2ac+a^2d} y_j^a & \text{if } m(\Phi) = 4 \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in \Phi$; $a, b, c, d \in A$ (see below), and $a, b, c, d, e, f \in A$. There is also a relation (G2) when $m(\Phi) = 6$ which has 6 terms on each side; that relation and its consequences will be discussed in [LS2].

The conditions in relations (A2) and (B2) refer to an ordering which will be made explicit in those cases (cf. 2.0.5 and 3.1.1).

When $\Phi = A_{n-1}$ this is precisely the group $B_{r_n}(A)$ defined in the introduction. The relations have been named to reflect the type of irreducible crystallographic root system in which they occur.

Of course the root system determines which of these relations occur; for instance for the simply-laced root systems $\Phi = A_n; D_n; E_6; E_7; E_8$ only the relations (A1); (A1-A1) and (A2) occur. In the cases $\Phi = B_n; C_n; F_4$ one needs to add relation (B2). The case $\Phi = G_2$ uses relations (A1) and (G2).

This presentation depends on the choice of a simple subsystem Φ' , as do the presentations given in x1.1. However, it is easily checked that changing the simple subsystem results in a group isomorphic to the original group.

When $A = 0$, relation (A1) becomes a tautology and the presentations of $B_r(\Phi; 0)$ and $B_r(\Phi)$ (x1.1) coincide. The unique ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0$ induces a split epimorphism $B_r(\Phi; A) \rightarrow B_r(\Phi)$, and thus $B_r(\Phi; A)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product

$$\text{Ker } \phi \rtimes B_r(\Phi) :$$

Our aim is to identify $\text{Ker } \phi$.

1.3 The Steinberg group of a root system

Let Φ be an irreducible crystallographic root system, and let A be a commutative ring. The Steinberg group, $St(\Phi; A)$, of type Φ over A (cf. [Stb] [St]), is presented with generators $x_\alpha(a) = x^\alpha(a)$, $\alpha \in \Phi$, $a \in A$ (we will freely mix the notations $x_\alpha(a)$ and $x^\alpha(a)$ depending on how complicated the coefficient a is) subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (R1) \quad & x_\alpha(a)x_\alpha(b) = x_\alpha(a+b) \\ (R2) \quad & [x_\alpha(a); x_\beta(b)] = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} a^i b^j \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ such that $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$, where the product is taken over all roots of the form $i\alpha + j\beta$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ in some fixed order, and the c_{ij} are certain integers depending only on the structure constants of the simple Lie algebra of type Φ .

We shall see that the Weyl group $W(\Phi)$ (and therefore, the braid group $Br(\Phi)$) acts on $St(\Phi; A)$. This action takes the form

$$x_\alpha(t) \mapsto x_{\sigma(\alpha)}(\epsilon(\alpha; \sigma) t) \quad \sigma \in W(\Phi)$$

where the $\epsilon(\alpha; \sigma) = \pm 1$ are signs to be determined. Thus we can form the semidirect product $St(\Phi; A) \rtimes Br(\Phi)$. The explicit form of relations (R1) and (R2), and of the c_{ij} ([Car, Theorem 5.2.2, p. 77; x4.2, p. 55]) play an important role in defining the action of the Weyl group on $St(\Phi; A)$.

In many cases, the group $St(\Phi; A)$ is the universal central extension of $E(\Phi; A)$, the elementary subgroup of the points in A of a Chevalley-Demazure group scheme with (crystallographic) root system Φ ([St]).

1.4 The Main Theorem and How It Is Proved

In this paper and its sequel [LS2], we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4.1. For any crystallographic root system Φ and any commutative ring A , the map

$$: Br(\Phi; A) \rightarrow St(\Phi; A) \rtimes Br(\Phi)$$

induced by $y^\alpha \mapsto (x^\alpha; y)$ is an isomorphism of groups. This is true when $\Phi = A_{n-1}$ even when A is neither commutative nor unital.

Because the details in the proof of Theorem 1.4.1 can become rather complicated, we summarize the steps first for the reader's convenience.

Step (a). We show that there exists a well-defined map $: Br(\Phi; A) \rightarrow St(\Phi; A) \rtimes Br(\Phi)$ induced by $y^\alpha \mapsto (x^\alpha; y)$ and we verify that it is a group homomorphism.

Step (b). A crucial technical result needed to show that σ is invertible is

Lemma 1.4.2 (Pure Braid Lemma). For any $\alpha \in \Phi$ and any $a \in A$, the element $y^\alpha (y^0)^{-1} \in Br(\Phi; A)$ commutes with every element of the pure braid group $PBr(\Phi)$ (viewed as a subgroup of $Br(\Phi; A)$).

The proof of this lemma requires a manageable set of generators of the pure braid group $PBr(\Gamma)$. Such a result is classical for $\Gamma = A_{n-1}$ (cf. [Bir]), but less well-known in the other cases. For the case D_n in this paper, we deduce a set of generators from the work of Digne and Gomi [DG].

Step (c). We want to construct a group homomorphism $\sigma: St(\Gamma; A) \rightarrow Br(\Gamma; A)$ which is inverse to τ . We begin by defining a map σ which, for $\alpha \in \Gamma$, sends y to y^0 and x^α to $y^\alpha (y^0)^{-1}$. But $St(\Gamma; A)$ has many more generators, namely all the elements x^α for $\alpha \in \Gamma$ (and not just those in the simple subsystem Γ_0), so we need to extend the definition of $\sigma(x^\alpha)$ to any $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Now since the Weyl group acts transitively on the set of roots of each length, we can choose $\alpha' \in \Gamma_0$ such that $\alpha = w(\alpha')$. Choosing a lifting $\tilde{\alpha}' \in Br(\Gamma)$ of α' , we define $\sigma(x^\alpha)$ as $\tilde{\alpha}'^{-1} \sigma(x^{\alpha'})$. To prove that σ is well-defined (that is, independent of the choice of α' and of its lifting $\tilde{\alpha}'$) and is a group homomorphism requires Step (b).

Since it is clear that $\sigma(y)$ and $\sigma(x^\alpha)$ are identity maps, these three steps establish the main theorem.

In this paper we treat completely two cases for which $m(\Gamma) = 3$, those of $\Gamma = A_{n-1}$ (which does not require the hypothesis of commutativity on A) and $\Gamma = D_n$.

Before beginning the case by case proof we state two results which will prove useful later on.

Lemma 1.4.3. The following relations in $Br(\Gamma; A)$ are consequences of relation (A1) of x1.2.

$$\begin{aligned} (y^0 y^0) y^\alpha &= y^\alpha (y^0 y^0); \\ y^\alpha (y^0)^{-1} y^\beta &= y^{\alpha+\beta}; \\ y^{-\alpha} &= y^0 (y^\alpha)^{-1} y^0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Replacing β by 0 in (A1) shows that $y^0 y^0$ commutes with y^α . From this follows

$$\begin{aligned} y^\alpha (y^0)^{-1} y^\beta &= (y^0 y^0)^{-1} y^\alpha (y^0 y^0) (y^0)^{-1} y^\beta \\ &= (y^0 y^0)^{-1} y^\alpha y^0 y^\beta \\ &= (y^0 y^0)^{-1} y^0 y^0 y^{\alpha+\beta} \\ &= y^{\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\beta = -\alpha$ in the second relation yields the third relation. \square

Lemma 1.4.4. Assume that the Pure Braid Lemma 1.4.2 holds for the root system Γ . Suppose that $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and $\beta \in Br(\Gamma)$ are such that $\beta(\alpha) \in \Gamma$ (where $\beta \in W(\Gamma)$ denotes the image of β ; cf. x1.1). Then for any $\alpha' \in A$

$$\beta y^\alpha (y^0)^{-1} \beta^{-1} = y_{\beta(\alpha)}^\alpha (y_{\beta(\alpha)}^0)^{-1} \quad \text{in } Br(\Gamma; A):$$

Proof. First let us show that there exists $\beta^0 \in Br(\Gamma)$ such that $\beta^0 y^\alpha \beta^{0^{-1}} = y_{\beta(\alpha)}^\alpha$. The two roots α and $\beta(\alpha)$ have the same length, hence they are connected, in the Dynkin diagram, by a finite sequence of edges with $m = 3$ ([Car, Lemma 3.6.3]). Therefore it is sufficient to prove the existence of β^0 when α and $\beta(\alpha)$ are adjacent. In that case α and $\beta(\alpha)$ generate

a subsystem of type A_2 ; we may assume $e = e_1$ and $b(\alpha) = \alpha_2 \in A_2$; and we can use the particular case of relation (A2), namely

$$y_1^0 y_2^0 y_1^a = y_2^a y_1^0 y_2^0$$

to show that

$$y_1^0 y_2^0 y_1^a (y_2^0)^{-1} (y_1^0)^{-1} = y_2^a :$$

(Here $b^0 = y_1^0 y_2^0$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$; $b^0(\alpha) = \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$.)

To conclude the proof of the Lemma it is sufficient to show that

$$b y^a (y^0)^{-1} b^{-1} = y^a (y^0)^{-1}$$

whenever $b(\alpha) = \alpha$. According to [H, Theorem, p. 22], b is a product of simple reflections s_i for $i \geq 2$ which are not connected to α in the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} . Hence we can write b as the product of an element in the pure braid group and generators y_i which commute with y^a by relation (A1 – A1). Since we have assumed that the Pure Braid Lemma holds for \mathfrak{g} , we can thus conclude that $b y^a (y^0)^{-1} b^{-1} = y^a (y^0)^{-1}$ as desired. \square

2 The parametrized braid group for $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}$

Let A be a ring, in this section not necessarily unital or commutative. We consider the special case of the parametrized braid group $Br(\mathfrak{g}; A)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}$. In this case we will write y_i instead of y_{α_i} , and $Br_n(A)$ for $Br(A_{n-1}; A)$. Because A_{n-1} is a simply-laced root system, we have

Definition 2.0.5. Let A be a ring (not necessarily unital nor commutative). The parametrized braid group $Br_n(A)$ is generated by the elements y_i^a , where i is an integer, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and a is an element of A , subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (A1) \quad & y_i^a y_i^0 y_i^b = y_i^0 y_i^0 y_i^{a+b} \\ (A1 - A1) \quad & y_i^a y_j^b = y_j^b y_i^a \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2; \\ (A2) \quad & y_i^a y_{i+1}^b y_i^c = y_{i+1}^c y_i^{b+ac} y_{i+1}^a \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b, c \in A$.

The geometric motivation for the defining relations of this group, and its connection with braids, can be seen in the following figures in which u, v, w (the colors) are elements of A , and a, b, c are the coefficients of spilling. Relation (A1) comes from Figure 2.

The diagram illustrates the commutation of two braiding operators Y_i^a and Y_i^b . The left side shows the sequence $Y_i^b \circ Y_i^0 \circ Y_i^a$, while the right side shows $Y_i^{a+b} \circ Y_i^0 \circ Y_i^0$. The strands are labeled with u and v , and the crossings are labeled with a and b . The equation is represented as:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{cc} u & v \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ v+bu & u \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ u & v+bu \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ v+bu+au & u \end{array} & = & \begin{array}{cc} u & v \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ v+(a+b)u & u \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ u & v+(a+b)u \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \\ | & | \\ \textcircled{a} & \textcircled{a} \\ | & | \\ v+(a+b)u & u \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 2

Relation (A1)–(A1) arises because the actions of y_i^a and of y_j^b on the strands of the braid are disjoint when $|i-j| \geq 2$, so that these two elements commute.

Relation (A2) derives from Figure 3.




Figure 3

2.1 Braid group and pure braid group


The group $B_{r_n}(0) = B_{r_n}$ is the classical Artin braid group with generators $y_i; 1 \leq i \leq n-1$; and relations

$$\begin{aligned} y_i y_j &= y_j y_i; \quad |j-i| \geq 2; \\ y_i y_{i+1} y_i &= y_{i+1} y_i y_{i+1}; \end{aligned}$$

The quotient of B_{r_n} by the relations $y_i y_i = 1; 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ is the symmetric group S_n ; the image of B_{r_n} in S_n is denoted by b . The kernel of the surjective homomorphism $B_{r_n} \rightarrow S_n$ is the pure braid group, denoted PB_{r_n} . It is generated by the elements

$$a_{ji} := y_j y_{j-1} \cdots y_i y_i y_{j-1} \cdots y_j;$$

for $n \geq j \geq i \geq 1$, ([Bir]; see Figure 4 below).

Figure 4: the pure braid a_{ji}

Lemma 2.1.1 (Pure Braid Lemma for \mathcal{A}_{n-1}). Let y_k^a be a generator of $B_{r_n}(\mathcal{A})$ and let $!^0 \in PB_{r_n} = PB_{r_n}(0)$. Then there exists $!^0 \in PB_{r_n}$, independent of a , such that

$$y_k^a !^0 = !^0 y_k^a :$$

Hence for any integer k and any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the element $y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} \in PB_{r_n}(\mathcal{A})$ commutes with every element of the pure braid group PB_{r_n} .

Notation. Before beginning the proof of Lemma 2.1.1, we want to simplify our notation. We will abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} y_k^0 &= k \\ (y_k^0)^{-1} &= k^{-1} \\ y_k^a &= k^a \end{aligned}$$

Note that k^{-1} does not mean k^a for $a = -1$.

If there exist $!^0$ and $!^0 \in PB_{r_n}$ such that $k^a !^0 = !^0 j^a !^0$, we will write

$$k^a !^0 = j^a !^0 :$$

Observe that $=$ is not an equivalence relation, but it is compatible with multiplication on the right by elements of B_{r_n} : if $\varphi \in B_{r_n}$,

$$k^a !^0 = j^a !^0, \quad k^a !^0 = !^0 j^a !^0, \quad k^a !^0 = !^0 j^a !^0, \quad k^a !^0 = j^a !^0$$

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} k^a k k &= k^a && \text{by Lemma 1.4.3;} \\ k^a (k^{-1}) k &= (k^{-1})^a && \text{by relation (A2);} \\ k^a (k^{-1}) &= (k^{-1})^a k && \text{by relation (A2):} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1.1. It is clear that the first assertion implies the second one: since $!^0$ is independent of a , we can set $a = 0$ to determine that $!^0 = y_k^0 !^0 (y_k^0)^{-1}$. Substituting this value of $!^0$ in the expression $y_k^a !^0 = !^0 y_k^a$ completes the proof.

To prove the first assertion, we must show, in the notation just introduced, that $k^a ! = k^a$ for every $! \in PB_n$, and it suffices to show this when $!$ is one of the generators $a_{j,i} = j(j-1) \dots i(i-1) \dots (j-1)j$ above. Since $!$ commutes with y_i^0 for all $i \notin \{k-1, k, k+1\}$, it commutes with $a_{j,i}$ whenever $k < i-1$ or whenever $k > j+1$. So we are left with the following 3 cases:

$$(2.1a) \quad (j+1)^a a_{j,i} \quad (j+1)^a$$

$$(2.1b) \quad j^a a_{j,i} \quad j^a$$

$$(2.1c) \quad k^a a_{j,i} \quad k^a \quad i-1 \quad k \quad j-1$$

Our proof of case (2.1a) is by induction on the half-length of $! = a_{j,i}$. When $i = k-1$, $! = (k-1)(k-1)$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^a (k-1)(k-1) &= k^a (k-1) k^{-1} k (k-1) \\ &= (k-1)^a k (k-1) \\ &= k^a : \end{aligned}$$

and more generally,

$$\begin{aligned} k^a (k-1)(k-2) \dots (k-2)(k-1) &= k^a k (k-2) \dots (k-2)(k-1) \\ &= (k-1)^a (k-2) \dots (k-2) k (k-1) \\ &= (k-1)^a k (k-1) \quad (\text{by induction}); \\ &= k^a : \end{aligned}$$

The proof of case (2.1b) is also by induction on the half-length of $! = a_{j,i}$. When $i = k$, $! = k k$, and we have

$$k^a k k = k^a \quad \text{by Lemma 1.4.3:}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} k^a k (k-1) \dots (k-1) k &= k^a k k (k-1) k k^{-1} (k-2) \dots (k-2)(k-1) k \\ &= k^a (k-1) k (k-1) (k-2) \dots (k-2) k (k-1) k \\ &= (k-1)^a (k-1) (k-2) \dots (k-2)(k-1) k (k-1) \\ &= (k-1)^a k (k-1)^{-1} \quad (\text{by induction}) \\ &= k^a : \end{aligned}$$

For case (2.1c) it is sufficient to check the cases

$$(2.2a) \quad ! = (k+1)(k+1)$$

$$(2.2b) \quad ! = (k+1) k k (k+1)$$

$$(2.2c) \quad ! = (k+1) k (k-1) \dots (k-1) k (k+1)$$

which are proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k^a (k+1)(k+1) &= k^a (k+1) k^{-1} k (k+1) \\ &= (k+1)^a k (k+1) \\ &= k^a \quad \text{Case (2.2a)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k^a (k+1) k k (k+1) &= (k+1)^a k (k+1) \\ &= k^a \quad \text{Case (2.2b)} \end{aligned}$$

$$k^a (k+1) k (k-1) \quad (k-1) k (k+1) \quad (k+a) (k-1) \quad (k-1) k (k+1) \\ (k+1)^a k (k+1) \\ k^a \quad \text{Case (2.2c)}$$

□

Proposition 2.1.2. For any $! \in B_{r_n}(0)$ the element $! y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} !^{-1}$ depends only on the class $!$ of $!$ in S_n . Moreover if $!(j) = k$ and $!(j+1) = k+1$, then $! y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} !^{-1} = y_j^a (y_j^0)^{-1}$.

Proof. The first statement is a consequence of Lemma 1.4.2 since the Weyl group $W(A_{n-1}) = S_n$ is the quotient of B_{r_n} by $P B_{r_n}$.

The second part is a consequence of relation (A1) and the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-1} 1^{-1} 2^a 12 &= 1^a 2^{-1} 1^{-1} 2^{-1} 12 \\ &= 1^a 1^{-1} 2^{-1} 1^{-1} 12 \\ &= 1^a 1^{-1} ; \end{aligned}$$

2.2 The Steinberg group for $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}$ and an action of the Weyl group

When $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}$, the Steinberg group of §1.3 is well-known and customarily denoted $St_n(A)$. In that case it is customary to write $x_{ii+1}^a = x_{ii+1}(a)$ for the element $x(a)$; $= i \rightarrow i+1 \in \Delta$, and, more generally, x_{ij}^a when $= i \rightarrow j \in \Delta$.

Definition 2.2.1. The Steinberg group of the ring A , denoted $St_n(A)$, is presented by the generators x_{ij}^a ; $1 \leq i, j \leq n$; $i \neq j$; $a \in A$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (St0) \quad & x_{ij}^a x_{ij}^b = x_{ij}^{a+b} \\ (St1) \quad & x_{ij}^a x_{kl}^b = x_{kl}^b x_{ij}^a; \quad i \neq l; j \neq k \\ (St2) \quad & x_{ij}^a x_{jk}^b = x_{jk}^b x_{ik}^{ab} x_{ij}^a; \quad i \neq k: \end{aligned}$$

We should make two observations about this definition. First, it follows from (St0) that $x_{ij}^0 = 1$. Second, relation (St2) is given in a perhaps unfamiliar form (i.e. different from the commutator relation (R2) of §1.3 in the case $\mathfrak{g} = A_{n-1}$). We have chosen this form, which is easily seen to be equivalent to (R2), because of its geometric significance (cf. [KS] for the relationship with the Stasheff polytope), and for the simplification it brings in computation.

The Weyl group $W(A_{n-1})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n . Its action on the Steinberg group is induced by the formula

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{x}_{ij}^a = x_{(i)(j)}^a; \quad \sigma \in S_n; a \in A$$

Hence, in the notation of §1.3, one has $(\sigma; \sigma) = +1$.

2.3 The main result for \mathcal{A}_{n-1}

Theorem 2.3.1. For any (not necessarily unital) ring A the map

$$: B r_n(A) \rightarrow St_n(A) \circ B r_n$$

from the parametrized braid group to the semi-direct product of the Artin braid group with the Steinberg group induced by $(y_i^a) = x_{i+1}^a y_i$ is a group isomorphism.

Proof. Step (a). We show that is a well-defined group homomorphism.

Relation (A1):

$$\begin{aligned} (y_i^a y_i^0 y_i^b) &= x_{i+1}^a y_i y_i x_{i+1}^b y_i; & \text{since } x_{i+1}^0 &= 1; \\ &= y_i y_i x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^b y_i & \text{since } \overline{y_i y_i} &= 1 \text{ in } S_n; \\ &= y_i y_i x_{i+1}^{a+b} y_i & \text{by (St0);} \\ &= (y_i^0 y_i^{a+b} y_i^0): \end{aligned}$$

Relation (A1' A1) follows immediately from (St1).

Relation (A2) is proved by using the relations of $B r_n$ and the 3 relations (St0); (St1); (St2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (y_i^a y_{i+1}^b y_i^c) &= x_{i+1}^a y_i x_{i+1}^b y_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} y_i \\ &= x_{i+1}^a y_i \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} x_{i+1}^b y_{i+1}^c y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^a y_i \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} x_{i+1}^b y_{i+1}^c y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c y_i x_{i+1}^b y_{i+1}^c y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^c x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} x_{i+1}^b y_i y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^c x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} y_i y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^c x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} y_i y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^c x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} y_i y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= x_{i+1}^c x_{i+1}^a x_{i+1}^c \overline{\{z_{i+1}\}} y_i y_{i+1} y_i; \\ &= (y_{i+1}^c y_i^{b+ac} y_{i+1}^a): \end{aligned}$$

Step (b). This is the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1 for \mathcal{A}_n .

Step (c). We construct a homomorphism $: St_n(A) \circ B r_n \rightarrow B r_n(A)$. We first construct $: St_n(A) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \pi$, where π is the surjection $B r_n(A) \rightarrow B r_n$, by setting

$$(x_{ij}^a) := ! y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} !^{-1}$$

where $!$ is an element of $B r_n$ such that $!(k) = i$ and $!(k+1) = j$ (for instance, $(x_{12}^a) = y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1}$ and $(x_{13}^a) = y_2^0 (y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1}) (y_2^0)^{-1}$). Observe that this definition does not depend on the choice of $!$ (by Lemma 1.4.4), and does not depend on how we choose a lifting $!$ of $!$ (by the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1).

In order to show that is a homomorphism, we must demonstrate that the Steinberg relations are preserved.

Relation (St0): it suffices to show that $(x_{12}^a x_{12}^b) = (x_{12}^{a+b})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{12}^a x_{12}^b) &= y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} = y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-2} y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_1^a y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} && \text{by 2.1.1;} \\ &= y_1^{a+b} (y_1^0)^{-1} && \text{by (A1);} \\ &= (x_{12}^{a+b}): \end{aligned}$$

Relation (St1): it suffices to show that $(x_{12}^a x_{34}^b) = (x_{34}^b x_{12}^a)$ and that $(x_{12}^a x_{13}^b) = (x_{13}^b x_{12}^a)$. The first case is an immediate consequence of the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1 and of relation (A1 – A1). Let us prove the second case, which relies on the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1 and relation (A2):

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{12}^a x_{13}^b) &= y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} y_2^b y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_1^a y_1^b y_2^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_1^a y_2^b y_1^b y_2^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_2^b y_1^b y_2^a (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} y_1^a \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_2^b y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} y_1^a y_1^b \\ &= (y_1^0)^{-2} y_2^b y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} (y_1^0)^2 y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} \\ &= (x_{13}^b) (x_{12}^a): \end{aligned}$$

Relation (St2): it suffices to show that $(x_{12}^a x_{23}^b) = (x_{23}^b x_{13}^{ab} x_{12}^a)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{12}^a x_{23}^b) &= y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} y_2^b (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} y_2^b y_1^b (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= y_1^a y_2^b y_1^b (y_2^0)^{-1} (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} \\ &= y_2^b | \{z\} y_1^{ab} | \{z\} y_2^a (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} (y_1^0)^{-1} \\ &= y_2^b (y_2^0)^{-1} y_2^0 y_1^{ab} (y_1^0)^{-1} y_1^a y_2^a (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} (y_1^0)^{-1} \\ &= y_2^b (y_2^0)^{-1} y_2^0 y_1^{ab} (y_1^0)^{-1} (y_2^0)^{-1} y_1^a (y_1^0)^{-1} \\ &= (x_{23}^b) (x_{13}^{ab}) (x_{12}^a); \end{aligned}$$

as a consequence of relation (A2).

From 2.1.2 it follows that the action of an element of $B r_n$ by conjugation on Ker depends only on its class in S_n . The definition of γ on $\text{St}_n(\mathcal{A})$ makes clear that it is an S_n -equivariant map.

Defining γ on $B r_n$ by $\gamma(y) = y^0 \circ B r_n(0)$ yields a group homomorphism

$$\gamma: \text{St}_n(\mathcal{A}) \circ B r_n \rightarrow \text{Ker} \gamma \circ B r_n = B r_n(\mathcal{A}):$$

The group homomorphisms γ and γ^{-1} are clearly inverse to each other since they interchange y^a and $x^a y$. Hence they are both isomorphisms, as asserted.

Corollary 2.3.2 (Kassel-Reutenauer [KR]). The group presented by generators y_i^a , $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (y_i^0)^2 &= 1 \\ y_i^a (y_i^0)^{-1} y_i^b &= y_i^{a+b} \\ y_i^a y_j^b &= y_j^b y_i^a \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2 \\ y_i^a y_{i+1}^b y_i^c &= y_{i+1}^c y_i^{b+ac} y_{i+1}^a \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{A}; b; c \in \mathcal{A}$, is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $St_n(\mathcal{A}) \ltimes S_n$.


Observe that when the first relation in this Corollary is deleted, the second relation has several possible non-equivalent liftings. The one we have chosen, (A1), is what allows us to prove Theorem 2.3.1.

3 The parametrized braid group for $\mathfrak{g} = D_n$

In this section we discuss the parametrized braid group $\text{Br}(D_n; A)$ (for a commutative ring A) and prove Theorem 1.4.1 in this case: $\text{Br}(D_n; A)$ is isomorphic to the semi-direct product of $\text{St}(D_n; A)$ with $\text{Br}(D_n; 0)$.

3.1 The braid group and the parametrized braid group for D_n

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_{2; 2^0; 3; \dots; n}$ be a fixed simple subsystem of a root system of type $D_n; n \geq 3$. We adopt the notation of [D-G] in which the simple roots on the fork of D_n are labeled $\alpha_2; \alpha_{2^0}$. The system D_n contains 2 subsystems of type A_{n-1} generated by the simple subsystems $\mathfrak{f}_{2; 3; \dots; n}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{2^0; 3; \dots; n}$, and, for $n \geq 4$, a subsystem of type D_{n-1} generated by the simple subsystem $\mathfrak{f}_{2; 2^0; 3; \dots; n-1}$.



Dynkin diagram of D_n

The Weyl group $W(D_n)$ is generated by the simple reflections $f_i = s_{\alpha_i}$, $i \in \{2, 2^0, 3, \dots, n\}$, with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^2 &= 1 \\ (f_i f_j)^{m(i,j)} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j \in \{2, 2^0, 3, \dots, n\}$, where

$$m(i, j) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i, j \text{ are not connected in the Dynkin diagram,} \\ 3 & \text{if } i, j \text{ are connected in the Dynkin diagram} \end{cases}$$

Since the only values for $m(i, j)$ are 1, 2 and 3, the group $\text{Br}(D_n; A)$ involves only relations (A1); (A1 - A1) and (A2).

Definition 3.1.1. The parametrized braid group of type D_n with parameters in the commutative ring A , denoted $\text{Br}(D_n; A)$, is generated by the elements y^a , where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $a \in A$. The relations are, for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $i, j \in \{2, 2^0, 3, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A1)} \quad y^a y^0 y^b &= y^0 y^0 y^{a+b} \\ \text{(A1 - A1)} \quad y^a y^b &= y^b y^a && \text{if } m(i, j) = 2 \\ \text{(A2)} \quad y^a y^b y^c &= y^c y^{b+a} y^a && \text{if } m(i, j) = 3 \text{ and } i < j \end{aligned}$$

Note that the simple roots in D_n are ordered so that $\alpha_{2^0} < \alpha_3$.

3.2 The Steinberg group of D_n and the main result

The roots of D_n are $\{\pm \epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, [Car], [H]. The Weyl group $W(D_n) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1} \rtimes S_n$ [Bour, p. 257, (X)] acts on the roots by permuting the indices (action of S_n) and changing the signs (action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$). For the simple subsystem we take $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$; $\alpha_n = \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$. If u and v are positive integers and α, β two roots, the linear combination $u\alpha + v\beta$ is a root if and only if $u = 1 = v$, $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha = -\beta$ and $u = v = 0$. Hence in the case $\mathfrak{g} = D_n$, Definition 1.3 becomes

Definition 3.2.1. The Steinberg group of type D_n with parameters in the commutative ring A , denoted $St(D_n; A)$, is generated by elements x^α , where $\alpha \in D_n$ and $a \in A$, subject to the relations (for $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha, \beta \in D_n$)

$$\begin{aligned} (St0) \quad & x^a x^b = x^{a+b} \\ (St1) \quad & x^a x^b = x^b x^a \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \notin D_n \text{ and } \alpha + \beta \neq 0; \\ (St2) \quad & x^a x^b = x^b x^{ab} y^a \quad \text{if } \alpha + \beta \in D_n: \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl group $W(D_n)$ acts on $St(D_n; A)$ by $\bar{x} = x^{\alpha(\gamma)}$ (i.e., in the notation of x1.3, one has $\gamma(\alpha) = +1$), and we can construct the semi-direct product $St(D_n; A) \rtimes Br(D_n)$ with respect to this action.

Theorem 3.2.2. For any commutative ring A the map

$$: Br(D_n; A) \rightarrow St(D_n; A) \rtimes Br(D_n)$$

induced by $(y^a) = x^a y$ is a group isomorphism.

Corollary 3.2.3. The group presented by generators y_i^a , $i = 2^0, 2; 3; \dots; n$, $a \in A$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} (y_i^0)^2 &= 1 \\ y_i^a (y_i^0)^{-1} y_i^b &= y_i^{a+b} \\ y_i^a y_j^b &= y_j^b y_i^a \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq 2; \text{ or } i=2; j=2^0; \\ y_i^a y_{i+1}^b y_i^c &= y_{i+1}^c y_i^{b+ac} y_{i+1}^a \quad \text{where } i+1=3 \text{ when } i=2^0 \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c \in A$, is isomorphic to the semi-direct product $St(D_n; A) \rtimes W(D_n)$.

Proof of Corollary. For each simple root $\alpha_i \in D_n$, write y_i^a for $y^{\alpha_i a}$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.2. The proof follows the general pattern indicated in x1.4.

Step (a). Since the relations involved in the definitions of $Br(D_n; A)$ and $St(D_n; A)$ are the same as the relations in the case of A_{n-1} , the map is well-defined (cf. Theorem 2.3.1).

Step (b). The proof of the Pure Braid Lemma in the D_n case will be given below in x3.3.

Step (c). Let $\pi : Br(D_n; A) \rightarrow Br(D_n)$ be the projection which sends each $a \in A$ to 0 (as usual we identify $Br(D_n; 0)$ with $Br(D_n)$). We define

$$\pi : St(D_n; A) \rtimes Br(D_n) \rightarrow Br(D_n; A) = \text{Ker } \pi \rtimes Br(D_n)$$

on the first component by $(x^a) = y^a (y^0)^{-1} \in \text{Ker } \pi$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $a \in D_n$ there exists $\tilde{a} \in W(D_n)$ such that $\pi(\tilde{a}) = a$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{Br}(D_n)$ be a lifting of γ , and define $(x^a) = \tilde{\gamma}^{-1} (x^a_{\tilde{\gamma}}) \tilde{\gamma} \in \text{Ker } \pi$. This element is well-defined since it does not depend on the lifting of γ by the Pure Braid Lemma for D_n (Lemma 3.3.2), and does not depend on the choice of $\tilde{\gamma}$ by Lemma 1.4.4.

In order to show that π is a well-defined group homomorphism, it suffices to show that the Steinberg relations are preserved. But this is the same verification as in the A_{n-1} case, (cf. Theorem 2.3.1.)

The group homomorphisms π and $\tilde{\pi}$ are inverse to each other since they interchange y^a and $x^a y$. Hence they are both isomorphisms.

3.3 The Pure Braid Lemma for D_n

3.3.1 Generators for the Pure Braid Group of D_n

In principle, the method of Reidemeister-Schreier [RS] is available to deduce a presentation of $\text{PB r}(D_n)$ from that of $\text{Br}(D_n)$. The details have been worked out by Digne and Gomi [DG], although not in the specificity we need here. From their work we can deduce that the group $\text{PB r}(D_n)$ is generated by the elements $y^2; \pi$, together with a very small set of their conjugates. For example, $\text{PB r}(D_4)$ is generated by the 12 elements

$$2^2; 2^{\pi}; 3^2; 3^{\pi}; 2^2; 2^{\pi}; 3^{22^0} 3^2; 4^2; 4^{\pi}; 3^2; 4^3 2^{\pi}; 4^3 2^2; 4^{322^0} 3^2; 4^{322^0} 4^2$$

where a pre-fixed exponent indicates conjugation: ${}^h g = h g h^{-1}$. Here (and throughout) we use the simplified notations $k^a = y^a_k$ and $k = y^0_k$ similar to those of 2.1.

Proposition 3.3.1. For $n \geq 4$, $\text{PB r}(D_n)$ is generated by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j,i} &= j(j-1) \cdots (i+1) i i(i+1) \cdots (j-1) j; n \quad j-i \geq 2, \text{ and} \\ b_{j,i} &= j(j-1) \cdots 3 2 2^0 3 \cdots (i-1) i i(i-1) \cdots 3 2^0 2 3 (j-1) j; n \quad j-i \geq 3, \text{ where} \\ i+1 &= 3 \text{ when } i = 2^0. \end{aligned}$$

Note. Since the notation can be confusing, let us be clear about the definition of these generators in certain special cases:

$$\text{When } i = j; a_{j,i} = i^2.$$

$$\text{When } i = 3; b_{j,3} = j(j-1) \cdots 3 2 2^0 3 3 2^0 2 \cdots (j-1) j.$$

Proof of (3.3.1). We work in the case where W (in the notation of [DG]) $= W(D_n)$ (in our notation; cf. (1.1)). In the proof of [DG, Corollary 2.7], we see that $P_W = U_n \circ P_{W_{I_{n-1}}}$; taking $I_n = f s_1; s_2; s_2^0; \dots; s_{n-1} g; n \geq 4$, as on [DG, p. 10], we see that their P_W is equal to (our) $\text{PB r}(D_n)$ and their $P_{W_{I_{n-1}}}$ is equal to (our) $\text{PB r}(D_{n-1})$. It follows that a set of generators for $\text{PB r}(D_n)$ can be obtained as the union of a set of generators for $\text{PB r}(D_{n-1})$ with a set of generators for U_n . This sets the stage for an inductive argument, since $D_3 = A_3$ (with $f 2; 3; 2^0 g \subset D_3$ identified with $f 1; 2; 3 g \subset A_3$). Because $W(D_n)$ is a finite Weyl group, it follows from [DG, Proposition 3.6], that U_n is generated (not just normally generated) by the elements $a_{p,s}$, and a list of these generators in our case is given on [DG, p. 10].

The calculations necessary to prove the Pure Braid Lemma for D_n are simpler if we replace the Digne-Gomi generators by the equivalent set in which conjugation is replaced

by reflection; that is, we replace a generator ${}^h g = h g h^{-1}$ by $h g h^0$, where if $h = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_k}$, $h^0 = y_{i_k} \cdots y_{i_1}$. (We already used this trick in the case of A_{n-1} .) For D_4 , this procedure yields as generators of $PBr(D_4)$ the set

$$2^2; 2^{\otimes}; 3^2; 32^2 3; 32^{\otimes} 3; 322^0 3^2 2^0 23; 4^2; 43^2 4; 432^2 34; 432^{\otimes} 34; 4322^0 3^2 2^0 234; 4322^0 34^2 32^0 234$$

and, more generally, $PBr(D_n); n \geq 4$ is generated by the elements stated in the Proposition. \square

Lemma 3.3.2 (Pure Braid Lemma for D_n). Let $k \geq 2$; let y_k^a be a generator of $Br(D_n; A)$, and let $! \in PBr(D_n)$. Then there exists $!^0 \in Br(D_n)$, independent of a , such that

$$y_k^a ! = !^0 y_k^a$$

Hence for any integer k and any element $a \in A$, the element $y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} \in Br(D_n; A)$ commutes with every element of the pure braid group $PBr(D_n)$.

Proof. Let us show that the first assertion implies the second one. Let $! \in PBr(D_n)$. $PBr(D_n; A)$. By the first assertion of the Lemma we have

$$y_k^a ! = !^0 y_k^a$$

for some $!^0 \in Br(D_n; 0)$, independent of a . Setting $a = 0$ tells us that $!^0 = y_k^0 ! (y_k^0)^{-1}$. Thus

$$y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1} ! = !^0 y_k^a (y_k^0)^{-1}$$

as desired.

Before beginning the proof of the first assertion, we recall some notation introduced in §2.1. We abbreviate y^a by k^a and y^0 by k . Whenever there exist $!^0$ and $!^{\otimes} \in Br(D_n)$ such that $k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}$, we will write $k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}$. This is not an equivalence relation, but it is compatible with multiplication on the right by elements of $Br(D_n)$: if $! \in Br(D_n)$,

$$k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}, \quad k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}, \quad k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}, \quad k^a ! = !^0 j !^{\otimes}$$

From defining relations (A1); (A1 \rightarrow A1), and (A2) of §1.2, we can deduce the following:

- | | | |
|--------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| (3.1a) | $k^a k k$ | k^a |
| (3.1b) | $k^a (k-1) k$ | $(k-1)^a$ |
| (3.1c) | $k^a (k-1) k^{-1}$ | $(k-1)^a$ |
| (3.1d) | $k^a (k+1) k$ | $(k+1)^a$ |
| (3.1e) | $(k+1)^a k (k+1)$ | k^a |
| (3.1f) | $(k+1)^a k (k+1)^{-1}$ | k^a |
| (3.1g) | $k^a (k+1) k^{-1}$ | $(k+1)^a$ |
| (3.1h) | $k^a (k+1)^{-1} k^{-1}$ | $(k+1)^a$ |
| (3.1i) | $(k+1)^a k^{-1} (k+1)^{-1}$ | k^a |
| (3.1j) | $k^a k (k-1)$ | $(k-1)^a (k-1) k^{-1}$ |

Proof, continued. In the notation just introduced, we must show, for every $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, that $k^a \cdot b_{ji} = b_{ji} \cdot k^a$, and it suffices to show this when i is one of the generators a_{ji} or b_{ji} of $x_{3.3.1}$. That is, we must show

$$(3.2a) \quad k^a a_{ji} = k^a \cdot n \cdot j \cdot i \cdot 2; \cdot 1 \cdot k \cdot n$$

$$(3.2b) \quad k^a b_{ji} = k^a \cdot n \cdot j \cdot i \cdot 3; \cdot 1 \cdot k \cdot n$$

The proofs of (3.2a) for $i \leq 3$ are exactly the same as the corresponding proofs for A_{n-1} (see x2); the additional case $i = 2^0$ presents no new issues. Thus we shall concentrate on proving (3.2b); the proof proceeds by induction on n .

The case $n = 3$ is the case of the root system $D_3 = A_3$, which is part of the Pure Braid Lemma 2.1.1 for A_{n-1} . Hence we may assume $n \geq 4$, and that (3.2b) holds whenever $j, k \leq n-1$. That is, we must prove (3.2b) in these cases:

$$k = n; j \leq n-1; k \leq n-1; j = n; k = n; j = n$$

which further subdivide into the cases

$$(3.3) \quad k = n; j \leq n-2$$

$$(3.4) \quad k = n; j = n-1$$

$$(3.5) \quad k \leq n-2; j = n$$

$$(3.6) \quad k \leq n-1; j = n$$

$$(3.7) \quad k = n; j = n$$

Case (3.3) $k = n$ and $j \leq n-2$. Since $i \leq j \leq n-2$, it follows from relation (A1 - A1) that n^a commutes with every generator which occurs in the expression for b_{ji} ; hence

$$\begin{aligned} n^a b_{ji} &= n^a j(j-1) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1)ii(i-1) \cdots 32^0 23(j-1)j \\ &= b_{ji} n^a \\ &\quad n^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case (3.4) $k = n$ and $j = n-1$.

If $i \leq n-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n^a b_{n-1,i} &= n^a (n-1)(n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1)ii(i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-2)(n-1) \\ &= \underbrace{n^a (n-1)n^{-1}}_{\{z\}} n (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1)ii(i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-2)(n-1) \\ &\quad (n-1)^a n (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1)ii(i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-2)(n-1) \\ &= \underbrace{(n-1)^a (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1)ii(i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-2)}_{\{z\}} n (n-1) \\ &\quad (n-1)^a n (n-1) \\ &\quad n^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

The case $k = n; i = j = n-1$, is considerably more complicated. We first prove some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.3.3.

$$n^a (n-1)(n-2) ::: 322^0 \quad 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)n$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} n^a (n-1)(n-2) ::: 322^0 &= n^a (n-1)n^{-1}n(n-2) ::: 322^0 \\ &\quad (n-1)^a n(n-2) ::: 322^0 \\ &= (n-1)^a (n-2) ::: 322^0 n \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad 3^a 22^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)n \\ &= 3^a 23^{-1} 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)n \\ &\quad 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)n \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3.4.

$$32^0 4354 ::: (n-3)(n-1)(n-2)nn = 345 ::: (n-1)nn2^0 34 ::: (n-2)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 32^0 4354 ::: (n-3)(n-1)(n-2)nn &= 32^0 4354 ::: (n-3)(n-1)nn(n-2) \\ &= 32^0 4354 ::: (n-1)nn(n-3)(n-2) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= 345 ::: (n-1)nn2^0 34 ::: (n-2) \end{aligned}$$

We now complete the case $k = n; i = j = n-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} n^a b_{n-1, n-1} &= n^a (n-1)(n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \\ &\quad 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)n3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.3.3}) \\ &= 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)(n-1)3 ::: (n-2) \underbrace{n(n-1)n^{-1}n(n-1)(n-2) :::}_{\{z\}} \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \\ &= 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2) \underbrace{(n-1)3}_{\{z\}} ::: (n-2)(n-1)^{-1}n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \\ &= 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)3 ::: \underbrace{(n-1)(n-2)(n-1)^{-1}n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) :::}_{\{z\}} \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \\ &= 2^a 32^0 4 ::: (n-2)3 ::: \underbrace{(n-2)^{-1}(n-1)(n-2)}_{\{z\}} n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdots \\
& = 2^a 32^0 \underline{434}^1 54 \dots (n-1)(n-2)n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a \underline{32^0 3}^1 4354 \dots (n-1)(n-2)n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a \underline{2^0 132^0}^1 4354 \dots (n-1)(n-2)n(n-1)n(n-1)(n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& \quad 2^a 32^0 4354 \dots (n-1)(n-2)n \underline{(n-1)n(n-1)}^1 (n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 32^0 4354 \dots (n-1)(n-2)n \underline{n(n-1)n}^1 (n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 345 \dots (n-1)nn2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n(n-2) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \text{ (by Lemma 3.3.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

We now manipulate part of this expression so that we can apply induction.

$$\begin{aligned}
2^a 345 \dots (n-1)nn2^0 &= 2^a 345 \dots (n-1)nn \underline{(n-1) \dots 5433^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-1)^1 2^0}^1 \\
& \quad 2^a 3^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-1)^1 2^0
\end{aligned}$$

(by the case of $A_{n-1} = f_2; f_3; \dots; f_n g$). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
n^a b_{n-1} n-1 &= 2^a 3^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-1)^1 2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n(n-2)(n-3) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 3^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-1)^1 2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)(n-2)n(n-3) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 3^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-1)^1 2^0 34 \dots (n-1)(n-2)(n-1)n(n-3) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 3^1 4^1 5^1 \dots (n-2)^1 2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n(n-3) \dots \\
& \quad \dots 32^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& = 2^a 3^1 2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n2^0 23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 3^1 2^0 32^0 4 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 3^1 32^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 2^0 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& \quad 2^a 34 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n23 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& = 2^a 324 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n3 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& \quad 3^a 4 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n3 \dots (n-2)(n-1) \\
& \quad \vdots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{(n-1)^a n (n-1)}{n^a}$$

as desired.

Case (3.5) $k = n-2$ and $j = n$.

$$\begin{aligned} k^a b_{n,ji} &= k^a n (n-1) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &\quad \underbrace{k^a (k+1) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (k+1)}_{\{z\}} (k+2) ::: (n-1)n \\ &= k^a (k+2) ::: n \quad (\text{by induction}) \\ &= k^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case (3.6) $k = n-1$ and $j = n$.

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)^a b_{n,ji} &= (n-1)^a n (n-1) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= \underbrace{(n-1)^a n (n-1)}_{\{z\}} ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 223 ::: (n-1)n \\ (y) \quad &= n^a (n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that $i = n-2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (y) &= n^a (n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= (n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (i-1)ii(i-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-2)n^a (n-1)n \\ &= n^a (n-1)n \\ &= (n-1)^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If $i = n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} (y) &= n^a (n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\ &\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= \underbrace{n^a (n-1)(n-1)}_{\{z\}} (n-2) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= n^a (n-2) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \quad (\text{by the case } A_2 = f_{n-1};_n g) \\ &= n^a (n-1)n \quad (\text{by relation (A1-A1)}) \\ &= (n-1)^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If $i = n$

$$\begin{aligned} (y) &= n^a (n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-1)nn(n-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= n^a (n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= (n-1)^a n (n-1)(n-2) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= n^a (n-2) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n \\ &= n^a (n-1)n \\ &= (n-1)^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Case (3.7) $k = n$ and $j = n$.

$$\begin{aligned} n^a b_{n,i} &= n^a n (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1) i i (i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ (y) \quad & (n-1)^a (n-1) n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1) i i (i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \end{aligned}$$

If $i = n-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (y) &= (n-1)^a (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1) i i (i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots \underbrace{n^{-1} (n-1) n}_{\{z\}} \\ &= \underbrace{(n-1)^a (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (i-1) i i (i-1) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n}_{\{z\}} (n-1)^{-1} \\ &\quad (n-1)^a n (n-1)^{-1} \quad (\text{by induction}) \\ &\quad n^a \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If $i = n-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (y) &= (n-1)^a (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 322^0 3 \cdots (n-2) n^{-1} (n-1) (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 35^{-1} 4 \cdots (n-1)^{-1} (n-2) n^{-1} (n-1) (n-1) (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 35^{-1} 4 \cdots (n-1)^{-1} (n-2) \underbrace{n^{-1} (n-1) n^{-1} (n-1) (n-2) \cdots}_{\{z\}} \\ &\quad \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 35^{-1} 4 \cdots \underbrace{(n-1)^{-1} (n-2) (n-1) n}_{\{z\}} \underbrace{(n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-1)}_{\{z\}} (n-2) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 35^{-1} 4 \cdots (n-3) \underbrace{(n-2) (n-1) (n-2)^{-1} n}_{\{z\}} \underbrace{n^{-1} (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots}_{\{z\}} \\ &\quad \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 35^{-1} 4 \cdots (n-3) (n-2) (n-1) n n (n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= 3^a 322^0 4^{-1} 34 \cdots (n-2) (n-1) n n 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &\quad 2^a 2 \underbrace{3^{-1} 2^0 3 43^{-1} 5}_{\{z\}} \cdots (n-1) n n 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 2^a 22^0 32^0 14 \cdots (n-1) n n 3^{-1} 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 2^a 22^0 34 \cdots (n-1) n n 2^0 13^{-1} 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &\quad 2^a 234 \cdots (n-1) n n 2^0 13^{-1} 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \\ &= 2^a 234 \cdots (n-1) n n \underbrace{(n-1) \cdots 4322^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1}}_{\{z\}} \cdots (n-1)^{-1} 2^0 13^{-1} 4^{-1} 5^{-1} \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \cdots 32^0 23 \cdots (n-1) n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots (n-1)^{-1} 2^0 1^3 1^4 1^5 \dots ts(n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-2) \dots : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
& \quad (\text{by the case of } A_{n-1} = f_2; \dots; g_n) \\
& 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots (n-1)^{-1} 2^0 1^3 1^4 1^5 \dots : (n-3)^{-1} \underbrace{(n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^{-1} (n-2)}_{\{z\}} n^{-1} (n-3) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots (n-1)^{-1} 2^0 1^3 1^4 1^5 \dots ts(n-3)^{-1} \underbrace{(n-1)(n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^{-1}}_{\{z\}} n^{-1} (n-3) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots (n-1)^{-1} (n-1) 2^0 1^3 1^4 1^5 \dots : (n-3)^{-1} (n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-3) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots (n-2) 2^0 1^3 1^4 1^5 \dots : (n-3)^{-1} (n-2)^{-1} (n-1)^{-1} n^{-1} (n-3) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
& \quad \vdots \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 2^0 1^3 1^4 \dots : n^{-1} 2^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} \underbrace{2^0 1^3 1^4}_{\{z\}} 2^0 4^{-1} \dots : n^{-1} 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 32^0 1^3 1^4 \dots : n^{-1} 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
& 2^a 2^{-1} 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots : n^{-1} 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a \underbrace{2^{-1} 3^{-1} 2^0 4^{-1}}_{\{z\}} \dots : n^{-1} 3 \dots (n-1)n \\
= & 2^a 32^0 1^3 1^4 \dots : n^{-1} 3 \dots (n-1)n \\
& 3^a 3^{-1} 4^{-1} \dots : n^{-1} 3 \dots (n-1)n \quad (\text{by (3.1g)}) \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& (n-1)^a (n-1)^{-1} \underbrace{n^{-1} (n-1)n}_{\{z\}} \quad (\text{by (3.1g)}) \\
= & (n-1)^a (n-1)^{-1} (n-1)n (n-1)^{-1} \\
= & (n-1)^a n (n-1)^{-1} \\
& n^a
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

If $i = n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(y) &= (n-1)^a (n-1)n^{-1} (n-2) \dots : 322^0 3 \dots (n-1)nn(n-1) \dots : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
&= (n-1)^a (n-1)(n-2) \dots : 322^0 3 \dots \underbrace{n^{-1} (n-1)n}_{\{z\}} (n-1) \dots : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
&= (n-1)^a (n-1)(n-2) \dots : 322^0 3 \dots (n-2)(n-1)n \underbrace{(n-1)^{-1} n (n-1)}_{\{z\}} \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
&= (n-1)^a (n-1)(n-2) \dots : 322^0 3 \dots (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)n^{-1} (n-2) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots (n-1)n \\
&= (n-1)^a (n-1)(n-2) \dots : 322^0 3 \dots (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) \dots \\
& \quad : 32^0 23 \dots : n^{-1} (n-1)n
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (n-1)^a (n-1)(n-2) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&\quad (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-1)^{-1}(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \quad (\text{by (3.1g)}) \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-1)^{-1}(n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)(n-2)^{-1}nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-2)^{-1}(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2)(n-1)^{-1} ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-3)(n-1)^{-1}(n-2)(n-1)n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-3)(n-2)(n-1)(n-2)^{-1}n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= (n-2)^a (n-2)(n-3) ::: 322^0 3 ::: (n-2)(n-1)nn(n-1)(n-2) ::: \\
&\quad ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n(n-2)^{-1}(n-1)^{-1} \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad 2^a 22^0 3 ::: (n-1)nn(n-1) ::: 32^0 23 ::: (n-1)n2^{-1}3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \quad (\text{by (3.1g)}) \\
&\quad \underline{2^a 23 ::: (n-1)nn(n-1) ::: 32^0 23} \{z\} ::: (n-1)nn2^{-1}3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \\
&\quad 2^a 2^0 3 ::: (n-1)n2^{-1}3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \quad (\text{by the case of } A_{n-1} = f_2;_3;::;_ng) \\
&\quad 2^a 3 ::: (n-1)n2^{-1}3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \\
&= 2^a 32^{-1} ::: (n-1)n3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \\
&= 3^a 4 ::: (n-1)n3^{-1} ::: (n-1)^{-1} \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&= (n-1)^a n(n-1)^{-1} \\
&= n^a
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

References

- [Bir] Birman J.S., Braids, links, and mapping class groups. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, No. 82. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [Bour] Bourbaki, N., Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapitres IV, V et VI. *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, No. 1337 Hermann, Paris 1968.
- [Car] Carter, Roger W., Simple groups of Lie type. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.
- [D-G] Digne, F., Gomi, Y., Presentation of pure braid groups. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* 10 (2001), no. 4, 609{623.
- [H] Humphreys, J.E., Reflection groups and Coxeter groups. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, 29. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [K-S] Kapranov, M.M., Saito, M., Hidden Stashe polytopes in algebraic K-theory and in the space of Morse functions. in *Higher homotopy structures in topology and mathematical physics* (Poughkeepsie, NY, 1996), 191{225, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 227, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [K-R] Kassel Ch. et Reutenauer Ch., Une variante à la Coxeter du groupe de Steinberg. *K-Theory* 14 (1998), no. 4, 305{318.
- [L] Loday J.-L., Homotopical syzygies, in *Une dégustation topologique: Homotopy theory in the Swiss Alps*, *Contemporary Mathematics* no 265 (AMS) (2000), 99{127.
- [L-S2] Loday, J.-L., Stein, M.R., Parametrized Braid Groups of Chevalley Groups. *Non-simply laced root systems*, in progress.
- [M-K-S] Magnus, Wilhelm, Karrass, Abraham, Solitar, Donald, Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations. Interscience Publishers [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney 1966.
- [St] Stein, M.R., Generators, relations and coverings of Chevalley groups over commutative rings. *Amer. J. Math.* 93 (1971), 965{1004.
- [Stb] Steinberg, R., Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques. 1962 Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962) pp. 113{127 Librairie Universitaire, Louvain, Gauthier-Villars, Paris.

Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée,
 CNRS et Université Louis Pasteur
 7 rue R. Descartes,
 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France
 Courriel: loday@math.u-strasbg.fr

and

Department of Mathematics
Northwestern University
2033 Sheridan Road
Evanston IL 60208-2730 USA
e-mail: mike@math.northwestern.edu