

GROUP OBJECTS AND INTERNAL CATEGORIES

MAGNUS FORRESTER-BARKER

ABSTRACT. Algebraic structures such as monoids, groups, and categories can be formulated within a category using commutative diagrams. In many common categories these reduce to familiar cases. In particular, group objects in **Grp** are abelian groups, while internal categories in **Grp** are equivalent both to group objects in **Cat** and to crossed modules of groups. In this exposition we give an elementary introduction to some of the key concepts in this area.

This expository essay was written in the winter of 1999-2000, early in the course of my PhD research, and has since been updated with supplementary references. I hope you will find it useful. I am indebted to my supervisor, Professor Tim Porter, for his help in preparing this article.

1. GROUPS WITHIN A CATEGORY

Let \mathcal{C} be a category with finite products. For this it is necessary and sufficient that \mathcal{C} have pairwise products (i.e. for any 2 objects $C, D \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, there is a product $C \times D$) and a terminal object, which we shall denote by $\mathbf{1}$. Examples of suitable categories include **Set**, **Grp**, **Top** and **Ab**.

Let G be an object of \mathcal{C} . Then $G \times G$ is also an object of \mathcal{C} . Suppose we can find a morphism $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{id_G \times m} & G \times G \\ m \times id_G \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

commutes. Now, m is a binary operation and if we temporarily think in terms of elements we see that the diagram ensures that the operation is associative. For example, take $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$; then G is a set and $(id_G \times m)(a, b, c) := (a, bc)$ for $a, b, c \in G$, etc. We may take this diagram as a general definition of associativity, even for categories in which the objects do not have elements. Therefore $\langle G, m \rangle$ gives us an abstract semigroup in \mathcal{C} . It is often convenient, in categories

Date: 4 December 2002.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16B50, 18B40, 18D35, 20J15, 55U30.

Key words and phrases. Group object, internal category, crossed module, cogroup.

such as **Set**, to think in terms of the elements and their images under the given morphisms¹, but in fact the definitions are much more general.

Suppose we also have a morphism $e : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow G$ from the terminal object to G , such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{1} \times G & \xrightarrow{e \times id_G} & G \times G & \xleftarrow{id_G \times e} & G \times \mathbf{1} \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow m & \swarrow \cong & \\ & & G & & \end{array}$$

commutes². Then e acts as an identity for G . For example, in **Set**, the terminal object $\mathbf{1}$ is a singleton and so e picks out an element of G which acts as the identity under the “multiplication” m .

Hence an object G with morphisms m, e as above can be thought of as a monoid in \mathcal{C} . In fact we can define (see MacLane [10, section 3.6]) a monoid in \mathcal{C} to be precisely a triple $\langle G, m, e \rangle$ such that the above diagrams commute. In the case of **Set**, the monoids thus given correspond exactly to monoids in the classical, i.e. non-categorical, sense. It is clear that, given any classical monoid (written multiplicatively), we can define m by $m(x, y) := xy$ and if 1 denotes the identity element, we define $e : \star \mapsto 1$ (taking $\mathbf{1} = \{\star\}$). Conversely, given any categorical monoid in **Set**, the morphism m gives a well-defined associative binary operation for which $e(\star)$ is an identity.

To get from here to groups it remains to define inverses. Again we must do this using morphisms, as the objects in our category need not have elements. Let i be a morphism $G \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & G \times G & \xrightarrow{i \times id_G} & G \times G \\ \exists! \downarrow & & & & \downarrow m \\ \mathbf{1} & \xrightarrow{e} & & & G \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$ is the diagonal morphism (i.e. $p\Delta = q\Delta = id_G$, where p, q are the projections from the product to its components). In **Set**, if $g \in G$ then $\Delta(g) = (g, g)$ and the commutativity of the diagram gives $m(i(g), g) = e(\star)$, so $i(g)$ is a left inverse for g . We can also replace $i \times id_G$ in the diagram with $id_G \times i$, which would give $i(g)$ as a right inverse for g . Thus

¹Sometimes it is actually more confusing to think in terms of elements, for example when dealing with opposite categories.

²Note that the isomorphisms $\mathbf{1} \times G \cong G \cong G \times \mathbf{1}$ follow automatically from the definition of the product and the fact that $\mathbf{1}$ is a terminal object (so there is a unique arrow $G \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$).

to get a two-sided inverse for each element in G , we may stipulate that i should exist so that both diagrams commute.

We are now in a position to define a *group object* in \mathcal{C} to be an ordered quadruple $\langle G, m, e, i \rangle$ with morphisms defined as above. In **Set**, the group objects are just groups in the classical sense, as we have seen. In **Top**, each set G is a topological space and all maps are continuous, so the group objects are topological groups. In the category of differentiable manifolds³, the group objects are Lie groups. In **Grp**, the objects are themselves groups; in this case, the group objects are abelian groups. Why?

Firstly, every abelian group is a group object in **Grp**. Suppose A is an abelian group. Then it consists of a set A together with an associative, commutative binary operation with an identity and inverses. We can view the binary operation as a map $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$ and the identity can be considered as a map $e : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A$ which selects the identity element; similarly there is a map $i : A \rightarrow A$ which takes each element in A to its inverse. These maps then clearly satisfy the diagrams given above, so that $\langle A, m, e, i \rangle$ is a group object in **Set**. However, A is a group, so it is an object of **Grp**. It remains to show that m, e, i are group homomorphisms and hence morphisms in **Grp**. Now $A \times A$ is a direct product of groups so its multiplication is defined componentwise. Writing \otimes for the multiplication in $A \times A$ we thus have

$$(x, y) \otimes (x', y') := (m(x, x'), m(y, y')).$$

We now get

$$\begin{aligned} m((x, y) \otimes (x', y')) &= m(m(x, x'), m(y, y')) = (x + x') + (y + y') \\ &= (x + y) + (x' + y') = m(m(x, y), m(x', y')). \end{aligned}$$

Note that here we switched notation, writing $m(x, y) = x + y$, so that the associativity and commutativity could be visualised more easily. Comparing the left and right hand ends of this chain of equalities shows that m is a homomorphism, as required. The other two are, fortunately, easier to write down. We have $e : \{\star\} \rightarrow A$; now $\{\star\}$ is the trivial group. Thus we get $e(\star\star) = e(\star) = id_A = m(id_A, id_A) = m(e(\star), e(\star))$ and hence e is a homomorphism from the trivial group. Finally, $i : A \rightarrow A$. We have (again writing additively for convenience) $i(a+b) = -(a+b) = (-a) + (-b) = i(a) + i(b)$, so this too is a homomorphism. Since these maps are all homomorphisms, they exist in **Grp** and hence we get that $\langle A, m, e, i \rangle$ is a group object in **Grp**, as required.

³The morphisms are smooth maps of class C^∞ , i.e. they are infinitely differentiable.

Conversely, suppose we have a group object $\langle G, m, e, i \rangle$ in **Grp**. We must show that G is an abelian group. Now G , being a group, already has an operation which we shall write as $x \cdot y$. This is associative, with inverses and an identity, but not necessarily commutative. We have a second operation defined by the homomorphism m , which we shall write as $x * y := m(x, y)$. G has an identity, denoted⁴ 1_G , under \cdot ; it also has an identity under $*$ given by $e : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow G$. Since e is a homomorphism and \star is the identity element of the group $\{\star\}$, we deduce that $e(\star) = 1_G$. Now, for $x, y, z, w \in G$, we have $m(x, y) \cdot m(z, w) = m((x, y) \otimes (z, w))$, since m is a homomorphism. But, by definition of the product \otimes in $G \times G$, this is just $m(xz, yw)$. Hence, switching notation, we get the *interchange law*:

$$(x * y) \cdot (z * w) = (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot w).$$

Using this, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot y &= (x * 1_G) \cdot (1_G * y) = (x \cdot 1_G) * (1_G \cdot y) = x * y \\ &= (1_G \cdot x) * (y \cdot 1_G) = (1_G * y) \cdot (x * 1_G) = y \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle G, \cdot \rangle$ is abelian, as required. Note also that the first line shows that the operation given by m is necessarily the same as the group operation already defined on G .

Group Actions. Having formulated groups within **Set**, we can also formulate group actions by means of suitable diagrams. Let $\langle G, m, e, i \rangle$ be a group and X a set. Then a (left) action of G on X is given by a map $n : G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{id_G \times n} & G \times X \\ m \times id_X \downarrow & & \downarrow n \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{n} & X \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{1} \times X & \xrightarrow{e \times id_X} & G \times X \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow n \\ & & X \end{array}$$

In the usual notation for (left) group actions, the first of these diagrams gives $g(g'x) = (gg')x$, while the second gives ${}^1Gx = x$. Hence the action so defined is just a group action in the usual sense and indeed the two sorts of action are entirely equivalent.

⁴Note that we are using 1_G to denote the identity element in the group G and id_G to denote the identity homomorphism $G \rightarrow G$. Similar notation is applied throughout.

A similar construction can be made for group objects in other categories. For instance in **Top**, we get continuous actions of topological groups on spaces. The definition of an action did not make use of the group inverses, so we can talk about more general monoid actions using the same definition. One case worth mentioning is in the category **Ab**, where a monoid $\langle M, m, e \rangle$ relative to the tensor product, \otimes , and the “terminal object” \mathbb{Z} gives us a ring⁵. In this case the action of M on an abelian group A makes A into a left M -module.

Right actions of groups (and monoids) can be defined similarly with a map $X \times G \rightarrow X$. These are not intrinsically different from left actions, but the notation of right actions (for example, x^g) is sometimes more natural.

2. OTHER STRUCTURES

In the same way that we have defined groups, we could define other structures such as rings and lattices within a category by giving suitable morphisms and commutative diagrams. This can, in principle, be done for any algebraic structure that is defined in terms of operations satisfying specified properties expressed by equations.

Also, we can dualize the construction of group objects by reversing all the arrows in the given diagrams and replacing products by coproducts and terminal objects by initial objects. This gives us an operation $w : C \rightarrow C \amalg C$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{w} & C \amalg C \\ w \downarrow & & \downarrow w \amalg id_C \\ C \amalg C & \xrightarrow{id_C \amalg w} & C \amalg C \amalg C \end{array}$$

commutes (giving “co-associativity”). Similar analogues exist to the other diagrams given earlier, noting that we now have $\eta : C \rightarrow I$ (where I is the initial object of \mathcal{C}). In place of the diagonal morphism in the inverses diagram, we use a morphism $\nabla : C \amalg C \rightarrow C$ which maps both copies of an element in the coproduct to the same element in C . This definition gives us a *cogroup object* in \mathcal{C} (see Rotman [16, chapter 11] for more details). In a similar way we could dualize

⁵Note that this is not the monoid object defined above, since \otimes is not the product (defined as a limit) in **Ab**, nor is \mathbb{Z} a terminal object. However $\langle Ab, \otimes, \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ forms a monoidal category, so the given diagrams work with \times , $\mathbf{1}$ replaced by \otimes , \mathbb{Z} respectively. See MacLane [10, introduction, ch. 7]

any of the other algebraic structures within a category, to get comonoids, corings, colattices, etc.

These dual constructions do not seem to correspond to any standard algebraic structures with which I am familiar (although presumably a cogroup in \mathbf{Set}^{op} would correspond to a group in \mathbf{Set} , etc.). They do find uses in modern mathematics, however. A quick search of the MathSciNet database⁶ reveals a number of papers on cogroups and corings (although only one mentioning colattices). Putting together coalgebra and algebra structures, along with some further conditions yields Hopf Algebras, which in turn can be extended to give quantum groups [11].

3. INTERNAL CATEGORIES

Just as groups and other algebraic structures can be defined in a category by giving suitable objects and morphisms, we can sometimes build categories within a category. Let \mathcal{C} be a finitely complete category (in fact, it is sufficient for \mathcal{C} to have pullbacks). Suppose there are objects $A, O \in \mathit{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and morphisms

$$A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \end{array} O$$

such that $se = id_O = te$. We can consider A as a collection of directed edges, O as a collection of vertices and the maps s, t, e as giving respectively the source and target vertices of each edge and a loop at each vertex. Thus $\langle A, O, s, t, e \rangle$ specifies an internal reflexive directed graph (or “digraph”) in \mathcal{C} .

Recall that every (small) category has an underlying digraph and conversely a reflexive digraph can be turned into a category by considering vertices as objects and edges as morphisms and specifying a composition (this may necessitate adding further edges). The loops given by the reflexive property become identity morphisms on each object. Similarly we now seek to extend our internal digraph in \mathcal{C} to an internal category by defining a suitable composition.

We can form the pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_t \times_s A & \xrightarrow{p_2} & A \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ A & \xrightarrow{t} & O \end{array}$$

⁶<http://klymene.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/mathscinet/>

The pullback object $A_t \times_s A$ can be considered as the collection of all composable pairs of morphisms. In a category such as **Set** or **Grp** where the objects have elements and the product is an ordered set of elements (possibly with some algebraic structure imposed) we get $A_t \times_s A = \{(f, g) \in A \times A : tf = sg\}$. In order to form a category (with objects O and morphisms A) inside \mathcal{C} , we need to define a composition $m : A_t \times_s A \rightarrow A$ which is associative and respects identities; note in particular that m is also a morphism in \mathcal{C} .

I shall formulate internal categories for a category \mathcal{C} in which the objects contain elements we can work with, although in principle they could be formulated for more general categories. In particular, we can describe pullbacks in terms of their elements, as above. Define the morphism m as in the previous paragraph so that $t(m(f, g)) := tg$, $s(m(f, g)) := sf$. It will be convenient to write $g \circ f$ for $m(f, g)$. Now, using the maps $tm : A_t \times_s A \rightarrow O$ and $s : A \rightarrow O$, we can form the pullback object $(A_t \times_s A)_t \times_s A$, which in terms of elements is the set $\{(f, g, h) \in A \times A \times A : tf = sg, t(g \circ f) = sh\}$. Similarly we can form the pullback object $A_t \times_s (A_t \times_s A) = \{(f, g, h) \in A \times A \times A : tf = s(h \circ g), tg = sh\}$. Since $s(h \circ g) = sg$ and $t(g \circ f) = tg$ we deduce that these two pullback objects are in fact equal. Hence we can form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_t \times_s A_t \times_s A & \xrightarrow{m \times id_A} & A_t \times_s A \\ id_A \times m \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ A_t \times_s A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \end{array}$$

To get associativity of composition we now just require that this diagram commute.

Our final requirement for a category is that the composition respect identities. The morphism $e : O \rightarrow A$ selects the identity arrow id_x for each object x in O . If $f \in A$ with $sf = x$, $tf = y$ then we need $id_y \circ f = f = f \circ id_x$. Now, using the composite map $te = id_O$ we can form the pullback $O_{id_O \times_s A} = \{(x, f) : x = sf\}$. Similarly with $se = id_O$ we get $A_t \times_{id_O} O = \{(f, y) : tf = y\}$. These pullbacks have obvious projections onto A , namely $p : O_{id_O \times_s A} \rightarrow A$ with $p(x, f) = f$ and $q : A_t \times_{id_O} O \rightarrow A$ with $q(f, y) = f$. These are clearly bijective, since we can define inverses $p^{-1}(f) := (sf, f)$, $q^{-1}(f) := (f, tf)$. Then $pp^{-1}(f) = f = id_A(f)$ and $p^{-1}p(x, f) = (sf, f) = (x, f) = id_{O_{id_O \times_s A}}$, so p is an isomorphism; similarly for q . Using these maps we express our requirement

for identities in the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 O & \xrightarrow{id_O \times s} & A & \xrightarrow{e \times id_A} & A \times A & \xleftarrow{id_A \times e} & A_t \times id_O & O \\
 & \searrow \cong & & & \downarrow m & & \swarrow \cong & \\
 & & & & A & & &
 \end{array}$$

p q

Thus an internal category in \mathcal{C} is defined to be a sextuple $C = \langle A, O, s, t, e, m \rangle$ where A, O are objects (giving respectively the morphisms and the objects of the internal category) and s, t, e, m are morphisms satisfying the given diagrams. These represent the category axioms, hence the internal categories in **Set** are just ordinary small categories. In **Grp**, an internal category is a small category in which both the objects and the morphisms form groups and all the structure maps are homomorphisms. Suppose A has multiplication μ_A and O has multiplication μ_O . Then for s to be a homomorphism means that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & A \\
 s \times s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
 O \times O & \xrightarrow{\mu_O} & O
 \end{array}$$

and similarly for the other morphisms. We can define a multiplication on C as $\mu : C \times C \rightarrow C$ with $\mu = \mu_O$ on objects and $\mu = \mu_A$ on arrows. Then it is straightforward to check that μ is a functor on C . Similarly, since both O and A are groups, these have multiplicative identities and inverses and so we can build functors $\varepsilon : \mathbf{0} \rightarrow C$ (where $\mathbf{0}$ is the terminal object in **Cat**, i.e. the one-object discrete category) and $\iota : C \rightarrow C$ which pick out respectively an identity object and arrow and inverses for multiplication. But C is a small category, so it is an object of **Cat** and the functors are all morphisms of **Cat**, hence from our internal category we have constructed a group object⁷ in **Cat**. Conversely, given a group object, G , in **Cat** we have sufficient data to reconstruct G as an internal category in **Grp** (essentially the above process in reverse). Hence internal categories in **Grp** are equivalent to group objects in **Cat**.

Interlude. Suppose A, O are groups with homomorphisms $A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \end{array} O$ such that $se = id_O$. Then s is an epimorphism since if $a, b : O \rightarrow B$ with $as = bs$, then $ase = bse \Rightarrow a = b$. We call s a *split epimorphism* and e a *splitting* of s (note that e is itself a monomorphism, by much the same proof). This definition

⁷The associativity of multiplication follows immediately from its functoriality.

does not use any specific properties of groups or homomorphisms, so it is valid in any category.

Given two groups C, G with a left G -action on C , we can form a group $C \rtimes G = \{(c, g) : c \in C, g \in G\}$ with multiplication $(c, g) \cdot (c', g') := (c^g c', gg')$. This is like the direct product of C and G , except that the component of multiplication in C is “twisted” by the G -action. The group $C \rtimes G$ is called the *semidirect product* of C by G .

Now let us return to the split epimorphism $s : A \rightarrow O$ and its splitting e . For each $a \in A$, we can write $a = ke(x)$, where $k = a(es(a))^{-1} \in \text{Ker } s$ and $x = s(a)$. Suppose $a' = k'e(x')$. Then $aa' = ke(x)k'e(x') = ke(x)k'(e(x))^{-1}e(x)e(x')$. We can define an action of O on $\text{Ker } s$ by ${}^x k := e(x)k(e(x))^{-1}$ (since e is a homomorphism, we can of course rewrite $(e(x))^{-1}$ as $e(x^{-1})$). We can then write $aa' = k^x k' e(xx')$. Note that unless brackets indicate otherwise, x acts only on the symbol to its immediate right (and similarly for morphisms). There is a map $\phi : A \rightarrow \text{Ker } s \rtimes O$, $\phi(ke(x)) = (k, x)$. Now $\phi(aa') = \phi(k^x k' e(xx')) = (k^x k', xx') = (k, x)(k', x') = \phi(a)\phi(a')$, so ϕ is a homomorphism. Also, there is an obvious inverse $\phi^{-1} : \text{Ker } s \rtimes O \rightarrow A$, $\phi^{-1}(k, x) := ke(x)$, which is also a homomorphism. Hence ϕ is an isomorphism and we have established that $A \cong \text{Ker } s \rtimes O$.

Let $\partial : C \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of groups. Then $\langle C, G, \partial \rangle$ is a *crossed module* (of groups) if there is a (left) G -action on C such that the following two properties hold for all $c, d \in C$, $g \in G$:

1. $\partial^g c = g\partial cg^{-1}$
2. $\partial^c d = cdc^{-1}$

The first property is known as *equivariance* of ∂ with respect to the action (see [6, p. 220]) and the second is called the *Peiffer identity* (see [13, p. 250])⁸. For example, if $N \triangleleft G$ then the inclusion $N \hookrightarrow G$ gives a crossed module with the trivial action. Further generic examples of crossed modules may be found in [4]. An immediate consequence of the crossed module axioms is that $\text{Ker } \partial$ is abelian, while the image is a normal subgroup of G .

Crossed Modules and Internal Categories. We shall show that crossed modules of groups are equivalent to internal categories in **Grp**. In other words, given any crossed module, we can construct an internal category and vice versa.

Let $\langle C, G, \partial \rangle$ be a crossed module. Since G acts on C , we can form the semidirect product $C \rtimes G$ as defined above and define maps $s, t : C \rtimes G \rightarrow G$ and

⁸Note that neither of these names for the crossed module axioms is consistently applied in the literature. Most authors seem content just to number the axioms.

$e : G \rightarrow C \rtimes G$ by $s(c, g) := g$, $t(c, g) := \partial cg$ and $e(g) := (1_C, g)$. Then s is clearly a homomorphism. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} t((c, g) \cdot (d, h)) &= t(c^g d, gh) = \partial(c^g d)gh = \partial cg \partial d g^{-1} gh \\ &= \partial cg \partial dh = t(c, g)t(d, h) \end{aligned}$$

and $e(gh) = (1_C, gh) = (1_C, g) \cdot (1_C, h) = e(g) \cdot e(h)$ so t and e are also homomorphisms (for e we implicitly used the fact that the action of G on C determines a map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C)$ and hence ${}^g 1_C = 1_C$, $\forall g \in G$). Furthermore, for all $g \in G$, $se(g) = s(1_C, g) = g = id_G(g)$ and $te(g) = t(1_C, g) = \partial(1_C)g = g$ so $se = te = id_G$, i.e. s and t are split epimorphisms with common splitting e .

We have thus constructed an internal (reflexive) digraph $C \rtimes G \begin{matrix} \rightrightarrows \\ \leftleftarrows \end{matrix} G$. The elements can be pictured as follows:

$$g \xrightarrow{(c, g)} \partial cg$$

Vertices correspond to elements of G and edges to elements of $C \rtimes G$. The source and target vertices of a given edge (c, g) are given by $s(c, g)$ and $t(c, g)$ respectively and $e(g)$ gives a loop on vertex g . There is an obvious composition of edges:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} g & \xrightarrow{(c, g)} & \partial cg \xrightarrow{(c', \partial cg)} \partial c' \partial cg. \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & & (c'c, g) \end{array}$$

Thus we define $(c', \partial cg) \circ (c, g) := (c'c, g)$ (note that $s(c', \partial cg) = \partial cg = t(c, g)$). Since ∂ is a homomorphism, we have $\partial(c'c) = \partial c' \partial c$ as required.

To get an internal category we now just require that \circ be a homomorphism. In other words, we need

(1)

$$((c', \partial cg) \cdot (d', \partial dh)) \circ ((c, g) \cdot (d, h)) = ((c', \partial cg) \circ (c, g)) \cdot ((d', \partial dh) \circ (d, h))$$

This is the familiar interchange law (see page 4). Evaluating the two sides separately, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= (c'^{\partial cg} d', \partial cg \partial dh) \circ (c^g d, gh) = (c'^{\partial cg} d' c^g d, gh) \\ &= (c' c^g d' c^{-1} c^g d, gh) = (c' c^g d'^g d, gh) \\ &= (c' c^g (d' d), gh) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{RHS} = (c'c, g) \cdot (d'd, h) = (c'c^g (d'd), gh)$$

whence equality. Therefore \circ is indeed a homomorphism and so we have constructed an internal category in **Grp**.

Conversely, suppose that we have an internal category $\langle A \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \\ \xleftarrow{e} \end{array} O, \circ \rangle$. We have seen that $A \cong \text{Ker } s \rtimes O$ with O acting on $\text{Ker } s$ by ${}^xk := e(x)ke(x^{-1})$ for $x \in O, k \in \text{Ker } s$. Objects of the category are the elements of O while morphisms are of the form (k, x) with $k \in \text{Ker } s, x \in O$. The maps s, t give respectively the source and target objects of each morphism (note that the x in (k, x) is effectively a label to show the source of the morphism), while e gives the identity arrow for each object.

Define $\partial : \text{Ker } s \rightarrow O$ to be the restriction of t to $\text{Ker } s$, i.e. $\partial = t|_{\text{Ker } s}$. Then ∂ is automatically a homomorphism, since t is one. For any $k \in \text{Ker } s, x \in O$, we have $\partial({}^xk) = t({}^xk) = t(e(x)ke(x^{-1}))$ by definition. But t is a homomorphism so this is the same as $te(x)tkte(x^{-1}) = xt(k)x^{-1}$ (since $te = id_O$) = $x\partial kx^{-1}$. Hence ∂ is equivariant with respect to the action. It remains to verify the Peiffer identity. We know that composition is a morphism and hence with the multiplication in $\text{Ker } s \rtimes O$ it satisfies the interchange law, i.e. we have:

$$((k', \partial(k)x) \cdot (l', \partial(l)y)) \circ ((k, x) \cdot (l, y))) = ((k', \partial(k)x) \circ (k, x)) \cdot ((l', \partial(l)y) \circ (l, y)).$$

Evaluating the two sides of this equation gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= (k'^{\partial kx}l', \partial kx\partial ly) \circ (k^xl, xy) \\ &= (k'^{\partial kx}l'k^xl, xy) \end{aligned}$$

(this composition is defined since $\partial(k^xl)xy = \partial k\partial^x lxy = \partial kx\partial lx^{-1}xy = \partial kx\partial ly$ by equivariance) and

$$\text{RHS} = (k'k, x) \cdot (l'l, y) = (k'k^x(l'l), xy).$$

Since the two sides are equal, we know that their first components must be equal. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} k'^{(\partial kx)}l'k^xl &= k'^{\partial k(xl')}k^xl \\ &= k'k^x(l'l) \\ &= k'k^xl'l \\ &= k'k^xl'k^{-1}k^xl. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling on both sides and writing $m = xl' \in \text{Ker } s$, we get $\partial^k m = kmk^{-1}$, which is the Peiffer identity as required. Hence $\langle \text{Ker } s, O, \partial \rangle$ is a crossed module with the action arising from the semidirect product $\text{Ker } s \rtimes O$.

We have shown that crossed modules of groups are equivalent to internal categories in **Grp**. We saw earlier that these are in turn equivalent to group objects

in **Cat** and hence have arrived at a result proved by Brown and Spencer [3] in the 1970s, namely that crossed modules of groups are equivalent to group objects in **Cat** (they did not go via internal categories of groups but went directly between the two using a functor). In fact, they went slightly further and showed that if a category is equipped with a group structure it must in fact be a groupoid and hence the group objects in **Cat** are the same as the group objects in **Grpd**, the category of groupoids. Thus they proved the equivalence of crossed modules of groups and group objects in the category of groupoids.

Since doing this work I have gained access to the new edition of MacLane's seminal volume [10], which now includes some material on internal categories as well as on group objects and other internal algebraic structures. The reader may also find it useful to consult the following sources, which treat various aspects of this area [1, 2, 5, 7–9, 12, 14, 15].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ALP & C. D. WENSLEY, Enumeration of cat^1 -groups of low order, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, **10**(4):407–424, 2000.
- [2] E. R. AZNAR GARCIA, *Cohomologia no abeliana en categorias de intres*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Santiago de Compostela, 1981. Available as Alxebra 33.
- [3] R. BROWN & C. B. SPENCER, \mathcal{G} -groupoids, crossed modules and the fundamental groupoid of a topological group, *Proc. Konink. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.*, pp. 296–302, 1976.
- [4] R. BROWN & C. D. WENSLEY, Computation and homotopical applications of induced crossed modules, 2002. Available as math.AT/0209068.
- [5] G. J. ELLIS, *Crossed Modules and their Higher Dimensional Analogues*, Ph.D. thesis, UCNW, Bangor, 1984.
- [6] K. H. KAMPS & T. PORTER, *Abstract Homotopy and Simple Homotopy Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.
- [7] K. H. KAMPS, D. PUMPLÜN & W. THOLEN (eds.), *Category Theory (Gummersbach, 1981)*, no. 962 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin, 1982, Springer-Verlag.
- [8] R. LAVENDHOMME & J. ROISIN, Cohomologie non-abélienne de structures algébriques, *J. Algebra*, **67**:355–414, 1980.
- [9] J.-L. LODAY, Spaces with finitely many non-trivial homotopy groups, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **24**:179–202, 1982.
- [10] S. MACLANE, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, no. 5 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd edn., 1998.
- [11] S. MAJID, *Foundations of Quantum Group Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [12] K. J. NORRIE, *Crossed Modules and Analogues of Group Theorems*, Ph.D. thesis, King's College, London, 1987.
- [13] T. PORTER, Internal categories and crossed modules, in KAMPS *et al.* [7], pp. 249–255.
- [14] —, Crossed modules in **Cat** and a Brown-Spencer theorem for 2-categories, *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.*, **26**(4):381–388, 1985.

- [15] —, Extensions, crossed modules and internal categories in categories of groups with operations, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.*, **30**:373–381, 1987.
- [16] J. J. ROTMAN, *An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, no. 119 in Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

E-mail address: map601@bangor.ac.uk

YSGOL GWYBODEG, PRIFYSGOL CYMRU BANGOR, BANGOR, GWYNEDD, UK, LL57
1UT