On a distribution property of the residual order of $a \pmod{p} - \text{II}$

Leo Murata*and Koji Chinen**

Dedicated to P.D.T.A. Elliott on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

Abstract

Let *a* be a positive integer which is not a perfect *h*-th power with $h \ge 2$, and $Q_a(x; 4, l)$ be the set of primes $p \le x$ such that the residual order of *a* (mod *p*) in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^{\times}$ is congruent to *l* modulo 4. When l = 0, 2, it is known that calculations of $\sharp Q_a(x; 4, l)$ are simple, and we can get their natural densities unconditionally. On the contrary, when l = 1, 3, the distribution properties of $Q_a(x; 4, l)$ are rather complicated. In this paper, which is a sequel of our previous paper [1], under the assumption of Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we determine completely the natural densities of $\sharp Q_a(x; 4, l)$ for l = 1, 3.

This manuscript is the one which we submitted to Crelle Journal in September 2001. The first author talked on this subject at Oberwolfach "Theory of Riemann Zeta and Allied Functions" at 20.09.2001.

1 Our Result

This paper is a sequel to our previous paper [1]. Let $a \geq 2$ be a fixed natural number. For every residue class $l \pmod{4}$, l = 0, 1, 2, 3, we consider the asymptotic behavior of the cardinality of the set

$$Q_a(x;4,l) := \{ p \le x ; \ \sharp \langle a \pmod{p} \rangle \equiv l \pmod{4} \},$$

where $\sharp \langle a \pmod{p} \rangle$ denotes the order of the class $a \pmod{p}$ in $(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$, the set of all invertible residue classes modulo a prime p.

In our previous paper, we proved

Theorem 1.1 We assume a is a square free positive integer with $a \ge 3$. (I) We have, for l = 0, 2,

$$#Q_a(x;4,l) = \frac{1}{3} \text{li} x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right)$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Economics, Meiji Gakuin University, 1-2-37 Shirokanedai, Minatoku, Tokyo 108-8636, Japan. E-mail: leo@eco.meijigakuin.ac.jp

^{**}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Institute of Technology. Omiya, Asahi-ku, Osaka 535-8585, Japan. E-mail: YHK03302@nifty.ne.jp

(II) We assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) and further assume $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Then, for l = 1, 3, we have

$$\sharp Q_a(x;4,l) = \frac{1}{6} \mathrm{li}\,x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log\log x}\right).$$

Although $\#Q_a(x; 4, 0)$ and $\#Q_a(x; 4, 2)$ are not difficult to study, yet the distributions of $Q_a(x; 4, 1)$ and $Q_a(x; 4, 3)$ are rather complicated. In the above theorem, we treated only the simplest case, but numerical examples show that, when l = 1, 3, natural densities of $\#Q_a(x; 4, l)$, with a varies, are not always 1/6.

In this paper, we remove those conditions on a and will prove the following much more general result:

Theorem 1.2 We assume $a \in \mathbf{N}$ is not a perfect h-th power with $h \geq 2$, and put

$$a = a_1 a_2^2$$
, a_1 : square free.

When $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we define a'_1 by

$$a_1 = 2a'_1.$$

We assume GRH. And we define an absolute constant C by

$$C := \prod_{\substack{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ p: \text{prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{2p}{(p^2 + 1)(p - 1)} \right).$$
(1.1)

Then, for l = 1, 3, we have an asymptotic formula

$$\sharp Q_a(x;4,l) = \delta_l \operatorname{li} x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right),$$

and the leading coefficients δ_l (l = 1, 3) are given by the following way: (I) If $a_1 \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = 1/6$.

(II) When $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$,

(i) If $a'_1 = 1$, *i.e.* $a = 2 \cdot (a \text{ square number})$, then

$$\delta_1 = \frac{7}{48} - \frac{C}{8}, \quad \delta_3 = \frac{7}{48} + \frac{C}{8}.$$

(ii) If $a'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ with $a'_1 > 1$, then

(ii-1) if a'_1 has a prime divisor p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = 1/6$, (ii-2) if all prime divisors p of a'_1 satisfy $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \frac{1}{6} - \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a_1'} \left(\frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right), \\ \delta_3 &= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a_1'} \left(\frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right). \end{split}$$

(iii) If $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

(iii-1) if a'_1 has a prime divisor p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = 1/6$, (iii-2) if all prime divisors p of a'_1 satisfy $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left(\frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right),$$

$$\delta_3 = \frac{1}{6} - \frac{C}{8} \prod_{p|a'_1} \left(\frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \right).$$

For our results, see also [3] and [4].

It seems an interesting phenomenon that, in (II)–(ii) and –(iii), the densities δ_1 and δ_3 are controlled by whether a'_1 has a prime factor p with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or not. Moreover, we can check easily that, in all cases, we have a *mysterious* inequality

$$\delta_1 \leq \delta_3.$$

In this paper, we limited our arguments to $\sharp Q_a(x; 4, l)$ for l = 1, 3, because these are more interesting than the other cases. Actually, we can prove *unconditionally* that

$$\delta_0 = \delta_2 = 1/3,$$
 if $a_1 \neq 2$
 $\delta_0 = 5/12$ and $\delta_2 = 7/24,$ if $a_1 = 2$

Throughout this paper, p denotes an odd prime number, and for a natural number n,

$$\zeta_n = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right).$$

For an integer $l \ge 0$, and for a natural number $f \ge 1$, we define

$$k = k(l, f) = 2^{f}(4l + 1),$$

$$k' = k'(l, f) = 2^{f}(4l + 3),$$

and

$$k_0 = \prod_{p|k} p \quad \text{(the core of } k\text{)},$$

$$k'_0 = \prod_{p|k'} p \quad \text{(the core of } k'\text{)}.$$

For a square free integer $n \ge 1$, and $d|k_0$, we construct extension fields

$$G_{k,n,d} = \mathbf{Q}(a^{1/kn}, \zeta_n, \zeta_{kd}),$$

$$\tilde{G}_{k,n,d} = G_{k,n,d}(\zeta_{2^{f+2}}).$$

Furthermore, for l = 1, 3, let σ_l be the automorphisms of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{f+2}})$ over \mathbf{Q} , which are defined by

Now we define the number $c^{(l)}(k, n, d)$ (l = 1, 3) by

$$c^{(l)}(k,n,d) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the map } \sigma_l \text{ can be extended}^* \text{ into the} \\ & \text{automorphism } \sigma_l^* \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Under the above notations, in [1] we obtained the following results: for l = 1, 3, under GRH, we have an asymptotic formula

$$#Q_a(x;4,l) = \delta_l \operatorname{li} x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x \log \log x}\right),$$

and the coefficients δ_1 and δ_3 are given by

$$\delta_{1} = \sum_{f \ge 1} \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k_{0}}{\varphi(k_{0})} \sum_{d \mid k_{0}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)c^{(1)}(k, n, d)}{[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d} : \mathbf{Q}]} + \sum_{f \ge 1} \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k'_{0}}{\varphi(k'_{0})} \sum_{d \mid k'_{0}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)c^{(3)}(k', n, d)}{[\tilde{G}_{k',n,d} : \mathbf{Q}]}, \qquad (1.2)$$

$$\delta_{3} = \sum_{f \ge 1} \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k_{0}}{\varphi(k_{0})} \sum_{d \mid k_{0}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)c^{(3)}(k, n, d)}{[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d} : \mathbf{Q}]} + \sum_{f \ge 1} \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k'_{0}}{\varphi(k'_{0})} \sum_{d \mid k'_{0}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)c^{(1)}(k', n, d)}{[\tilde{G}_{k',n,d} : \mathbf{Q}]}. \qquad (1.3)$$

In our proof of Theorem 1.1 (see [1, Theorem 1.2]), we compared the coefficients (1.2) and (1.3). And for a square free a with $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we can prove that, for any k, k', n, d,

$$c^{(1)}(k,n,d) = c^{(3)}(k,n,d)$$

$$c^{(1)}(k',n,d) = c^{(3)}(k',n,d).$$

Then the above expressions (1.2) and (1.3) give the same number — this is the key idea of our previous work. This method is available only to prove $\delta_1 = \delta_3$, but numerical examples show that the equality $\delta_1 = \delta_3$ does not always hold (*cf.* Tables 2.1 and 2.2 in §2, and for example a = 2). In this paper, we calculate the infinite sums (1.2) and (1.3) directly.

We calculate the extension degree $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$ in §3, determine the value of the coefficients $c^{(l)}(k, n, d), l = 1, 3$ in §4. And we will prove Theorem 1.2 in §5. Preceding our proof, in order to clarify our results, we mention some numerical examples in §2.

In what follows, $\langle x, y \rangle$ denotes the least common multiple of x and y,

 $\underline{x} =$ the odd part of x,

and \sum'_n means the sum over "square free" numbers *n*'s.

* " $\sigma_l^* \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d})$ is the extension of σ_l " means that " $\sigma_l^*|_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2f+2})} = \sigma_l$."

2 Numerical Examples

We will compare the theoretical density δ_l with the experimental density $\sharp Q_a(x; 4, l)/\pi(x)$ for various *a*'s. Here we take $x = 10^7$. And according to our results, we omit the cases l = 0, 2 (*cf.* also Tables 5.1 – 5.4 in [1]). As for the number *C* defined in (1.1), we use a rough approximate value

type of a_1		a	the theoretical density δ_1	$\sharp Q_a(10^7;4,l)/\pi(10^7)$	
$a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$		5	1/6	0.166771	
		$33 = 3 \cdot 11$	1/6	0.166991	
		$45 = 5 \cdot 3^2$	1/6	0.167141	
$a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	$a_1' = 1$	2	$7/48 - C/8 \approx 0.06538$	0.065425	
		$50 = 2 \cdot 5^2$	7/48 - C/8	0.065351	
	$a_1' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$10 = 2 \cdot 5$	1/6	0.166644	
		$42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$1/6 - 3C/1144 \approx 0.16498$	0.165129	
		$210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	1/6	0.166878	
	$a_1' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$	$6 = 2 \cdot 3$	$1/6 - 3C/56 \approx 0.13219$	0.132179	
		$14 = 2 \cdot 7$	$1/6 - 7C/1144 \approx 0.16273$	0.162875	
		$30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	1/6	0.166354	
$a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$		11	1/6	0.166531	
		$55 = 5 \cdot 11$	1/6	0.166875	
		$75 = 3 \cdot 5^2$	1/6	0.166372	

 $C \approx 0.64365.$

Table 2.1. The densities of $Q_a(x; 4, 1)$.

type of a_1		a	the theoretical density δ_1	$\sharp Q_a(10^7;4,l)/\pi(10^7)$	
$a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$		5	1/6	0.166810	
		$33 = 3 \cdot 11$	1/6	0.166274	
		$45 = 5 \cdot 3^2$	1/6	0.166324	
$a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$	$a_1' = 1$	2	$7/48 + C/8 \approx 0.22629$	0.226407	
		$50 = 2 \cdot 5^2$	7/48 + C/8	0.226345	
	$a_1' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$10 = 2 \cdot 5$	1/6	0.166522	
		$42 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$	$1/6 + 3C/1144 \approx 0.16835$	0.168277	
		$210 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$	1/6	0.166490	
	$a_1' \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$	$6 = 2 \cdot 3$	$1/6 + 3C/56 \approx 0.20115$	0.201471	
		$14 = 2 \cdot 7$	$1/6 + 7C/1144 \approx 0.17061$	0.170289	
		$30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$	1/6	0.166991	
$a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$		11	1/6	0.166766	
		$55 = 5 \cdot 11$	1/6	0.166691	
		$75 = 3 \cdot 5^2$	1/6	0.166896	

Table 2.2. The densities of $Q_a(x; 4, 3)$.

3 The Extension Degree $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$

In order to calculate the extension degree $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$ which appears in (1.2) and (1.3), we need a few lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 Let u be a natural number. The maximal normal subfield which is contained in $\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u})$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{Q}, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd,} \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}), & \text{if } u \text{ is even} \end{array} \right.$$

We omit the proof.

Lemma 3.2 The minimal cyclotomic field which contains $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_1})$ is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{a_1}), & \text{if } a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4a_1}), & \text{if } a_1 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Moree [2, Lemma 1].

Lemma 3.3 Let v_1 , v_2 be two natural numbers. When $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{v_1}) \supset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{v_2})$, then $v_2|v_1$ or $v_2|2v_1$ with v_2 : even and v_1 : odd.

Proof. We put

$$V = (v_1, v_2)$$
 and $v_1 = V v'_1$
 $v_2 = V v'_2$,

then

$$\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{v_1}) \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{v_2}) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_V) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{Vv_2'}).$$

Thus

$$\varphi(V) = \varphi(Vv_2'). \tag{3.1}$$

When v_2 is odd, v'_2 must be one, i.e. $v_2|v_1$. If v_2 is odd and $v_2 \neq 1$, then (3.1) yields V is odd and $v'_2 = 2$. This shows that $v_2 = 2V$ and $v_1 = Vv'_1$ with v'_1 : odd.

Proposition 3.1 Let u and v be natural numbers, and

$$D_{u,v} = [\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}, \zeta_v) : \mathbf{Q}].$$

Then we have

(1) When u is odd, $D_{u,v} = u\varphi(v)$.

(2) When u is even, $D_{u,v} = u\varphi(v)$ or $u\varphi(v)/2$ and the latter happens, if and only if, one of (i), (ii), (iii) is satisfied:

(i)
$$a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
 and $a_1|v$
(ii) $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $4a_1|v$
(iii) $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $4a_1|v$.

Proof. From the assumption of a, we have

$$[\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}):\mathbf{Q}] = u,$$

then

$$D_{u,v} = \frac{[\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}) : \mathbf{Q}][\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) : \mathbf{Q}]}{[\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}) \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) : \mathbf{Q}]}$$
$$= \frac{1}{[\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}) \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) : \mathbf{Q}]} \cdot u\varphi(v).$$

The field $\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}) \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v)$ is a normal extension of \mathbf{Q} , then, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathbf{Q}(a^{1/u}) \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) = \mathbf{Q} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a_1}).$$

The latter happens, if and only if, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a}) \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v)$. Thus, when $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) \supset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{a_1})$, and Lemma 3.3 shows $a_1|v$.

When $a_1 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, Lemma 3.2 implies $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_v) \supset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{4a_1})$, and Lemma 3.3 shows again $4a_1|v$.

Corollary 3.1 (The Values of $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$) When one of (i), (ii), (iii) is satisfied, then

$$[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:\mathbf{Q}] = \frac{1}{2}nk \cdot 2^{f+1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle),$$

(i)
$$a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
 and $a_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle$,
(ii) $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a'_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle$, where $a'_1 = a_1/2$,
(iii) $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $a_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle$.

Otherwise,

$$[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:\mathbf{Q}] = nk \cdot 2^{f+1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle).$$

When we exchange k for k', then we get the values of $[\tilde{G}_{k',n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$.

Proof. From the definition of $\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}$,

$$\tilde{G}_{k,n,d} = \mathbf{Q}(a^{1/nk}, \zeta_n, \zeta_{kd}, \zeta_{2^{f+2}})$$

and

$$\langle n, kd, 2^{f+2} \rangle = 2^{f+2} \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle.$$

Corollary 3.2 We assume d is odd, then the extension degree $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: G_{k,n,d}] = 4$ or 2, and the latter case happens, if and only if, one of (i)', (ii)', (iii)' is satisfied:

(i)'
$$a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad d: \text{odd}, \quad a'_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle \text{ and } f = 1,$$

(ii)' $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad d: \text{odd}, \quad a'_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle \text{ and } f = 2,$
(iii)' $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad d: \text{odd}, \quad a_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle \text{ and } f = 1.$

Proof. $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:G_{k,n,d}]=2$ happens, if and only if,

$$[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:\mathbf{Q}] = \frac{1}{2}nk \cdot 2^{f+1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle)$$

and

$$[G_{k,n,d}:\mathbf{Q}] = nk \cdot 2^{f-1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{kd} \rangle).$$
(3.2)

Proposition 3.1 shows that (3.2) happens, if and only if, one of the following (i)'', (ii)'', (iii)'' is satisfied:

(i)"
$$a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad a_1 \nmid \langle n, kd \rangle,$$

(ii)" $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \quad 4a'_1 \nmid \langle n, kd \rangle,$
(iii)" $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \quad 4a_1 \nmid \langle n, kd \rangle.$

Combining (i)", (ii)", (iii)" with (i), (ii), (iii) of Corollary 3.1, we can verify (i)', (ii)', (iii)' easily.

4 The Coefficients $c^{(l)}(k, n, d)$

In this section, we consider the value of the coefficient $c^{(l)}(k, n, d)$, which appeared in (1.2) and (1.3).

Lemma 4.1 If d is even, then for any k, n,

$$c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 0,$$

and the same for k'.

Proof. Let σ_1^* be the extension of σ_1 . Then

$$\sigma_1^*(\zeta_{2^{f+1}}) = \sigma_1^*(\zeta_{2^{f+2}}) = \{(\zeta_{2^{f+2}})^{1+2^f}\}^2$$
$$= \zeta_{2^{f+2}}^{2+2^{f+1}} = -\zeta_{2^{f+1}},$$

and this contradicts the condition $\sigma_1^*|_{G_{k,n,d}} = \text{id.}$ This proves $c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = 0$, and similarly $c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 0$.

Lemma 4.2 If d is odd and $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}: G_{k,n,d}] = 4$, then $c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 1$, and the same for k'.

Proof. Both two extensions $\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d}$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{f+2}})/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^f})$ are Galois extensions. And when $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:G_{k,n,d}]=4$,

$$\operatorname{Gal}(\hat{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d}) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{f+2}})/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{f}})).$$

So we can extend $\sigma_1, \sigma_3 \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{f+2}})/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^f}))$ uniquely to $\sigma_1^*, \sigma_3^* \in \operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d})$, and we have

$$c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 1.$$



Lemma 4.3 If d is odd and $f \ge 2$, then, for any l, n,

$$c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d),$$

and the same for k'.

Proof. When the extension σ_1^* exists, then, since $\sigma_1^* \circ \sigma_1^* \circ \sigma_1^* = \sigma_3^*$, σ_3^* exists, and vice versa. Now we consider the case "d: odd and $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}:G_{k,n,d}] = 2$."

Case (i)' of Corollary 3.2

 $f = 1, d: \text{ odd}, a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \text{ and } a'_1 |\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle.$



We define an automorphism τ by $\operatorname{Gal}(\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d}) = {\operatorname{id}_{\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}}, \tau}$. We remark that

$$\tau(\sqrt{2}i) = \sqrt{2}i \iff c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = 1, \ c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 0$$
(4.1)

$$\tau(i) = i \iff c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 0$$
(4.2)

$$\tau(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} \iff c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = 0, \ c^{(3)}(k, n, d) = 1.$$
 (4.3)

Here we further assume that $\underline{a'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}$. Then, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a'_1}) \subset \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{(\underline{n}, kd)})$ and

$$\tau(\sqrt{a_1'}) = \sqrt{a_1'}.$$

Since $\sqrt{a_1} \in G_{k,n,d}$ a priori, $\tau(\sqrt{a_1}) = \sqrt{a_1}$. Thus

$$\tau(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$$

and by (4.3), we have

$$^{(1)}(k,n,d) = 0$$
 and $c^{(3)}(k,n,d) = 1.$ (4.4)

When $\underline{a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}}$, we consider the field

$$G'_{k,n,d} = G_{k,n,d}(\zeta_4).$$

It is easily seen that $G'_{k,n,d} \neq G_{k,n,d}$, this shows that

C

$$i \notin G_{k,n,d}.\tag{4.5}$$

Now we will prove $\sqrt{2}i \in G_{k,n,d}$. The condition " $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $4 \nmid \langle n, kd \rangle$ " implies

$$\sqrt{a_1'} \notin \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{\langle n, kd \rangle}),$$

and " $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $4|\langle n, kd, 4 \rangle$ " implies

$$\sqrt{a_1'} \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{\langle n, kd \rangle}, \zeta_4)$$

Since $[G'_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}] = 2[G_{k,n,d}: \mathbf{Q}]$, we have

$$G_{k,n,d} \not\supseteq \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{\langle n,kd \rangle}, \zeta_4) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{\langle n,kd \rangle}, \sqrt{a_1}),$$

therefore

$$\sqrt{a_1'} \notin G_{k,n,d}$$

We have $\sqrt{2a'_1} \in G_{k,n,d}$ a priori, then

$$\sqrt{2} \notin G_{k,n,d}.$$

Combining with (4.5), we can conclude $\sqrt{2}i \in G_{k,n,d}$, and

$$c^{(1)}(k,n,d) = 1$$
 and $c^{(3)}(k,n,d) = 0.$ (4.6)

Case (ii)' of Corollary 3.2

f = 2, d: odd, $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $a'_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$.

In this case, from Lemma 4.3, we have already

$$c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d)$$

Since $[\tilde{G}_{k,n,d} : G_{k,n,d}] = 2$, $\# \text{Gal}(\tilde{G}_{k,n,d}/G_{k,n,d}) = 2$, then $c^{(1)}(k,n,d) = c^{(3)}(k,n,d) = 1$ " is impossible. Therefore

$$c^{(1)}(k,n,d) = c^{(3)}(k,n,d) = 0.$$
(4.7)

Case (iii)' of Corollary 3.2

f = 1, d: odd, $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $a_1 | \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$.

We consider again the field $G'_{k,n,d} = G_{k,n,d}(\zeta_4)$. A simple application of Proposition 3.1 (2) shows that

$$[G'_{k,n,d}:\mathbf{Q}] = \frac{1}{2}nk\varphi(4\langle \underline{n},\underline{k}d\rangle),$$

this means $G'_{k,n,d} = G_{k,n,d}$, i.e.

 $\zeta_4 \in G_{k,n,d}.$

Therefore

$$c^{(1)}(k,n,d) = c^{(3)}(k,n,d) = 0.$$
 (4.8)

It is clear that these arguments are true for k' instead of k.

Summing up the above results, we get the following table:

Proposition 4.1 The value of the coefficients $c^{(l)}(k, n, d)$ and $c^{(l)}(k', n, d)$, l = 1, 3, are given as follows:

(1) When d is even, $c^{(l)}(k, n, d) = c^{(l)}(k', n, d) = 0.$

(2) When d is odd,

		$c^{(1)}(k,n,d)$	$c^{(3)}(k,n,d)$	
(i) $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$		1	1	
(ii) $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$				
(a) $a'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$				
if $a'_1 \nmid \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$		1	1	
if $a_1' \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$,	f = 1	0	1	(4.4)
	f = 2	0	0	(4.7)
	$f \ge 3$	1	1	
(b) $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$				
if $a'_1 \nmid \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$		1	1	
if $a_1' \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$,	f = 1	1	0	(4.6)
	f = 2	0	0	(4.7)
	$f \ge 3$	1	1	
(iii) $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$				
if $a_1 \nmid \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$		1	1	
if $a_1 \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$,	f = 1	0	0	(4.8)
	$f \ge 2$	1	1	

And the same results hold for k'.

Here we remark that, except for the two cases (4.4) and (4.6), we have always $c^{(1)}(k, n, d) = c^{(3)}(k, n, d)$.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to calculate the infinite sums (1.2) and (1.3), we first consider the following sums: let

$$I^{(1)}(f) = \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k_0}{\varphi(k_0)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k_0 \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n'} \frac{\mu(n)}{nk\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle)},$$
$$I^{(3)}(f) = \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k'_0}{\varphi(k'_0)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k'_0 \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n'} \frac{\mu(n)}{nk'\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k'}d \rangle)}$$

,

and for square free integer $s \ge 1$, let

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) = \sum_{\substack{l \ge 0 \\ s \mid \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k} d \rangle}} \frac{k_0}{\varphi(k_0)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k_0 \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_n' \frac{\mu(n)}{nk\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k} d \rangle)},$$
$$J^{(3)}(f,s) = \sum_{\substack{l \ge 0 \\ s \mid \langle \underline{n}, \underline{k'} d \rangle}} \frac{k'_0}{\varphi(k'_0)} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k'_0 \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_n' \frac{\mu(n)}{nk'\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k'} d \rangle)}.$$

We remark here that, when s = 1, $J^{(l)}(f, 1) = I^{(l)}(f)$ and the sums $J^{(1)}(f, s)$ and $J^{(3)}(f, s)$ are partial sums of $I^{(1)}(f)$ and $I^{(3)}(f)$, respectively.

We can calculate these sums as follows:

Lemma 5.1 For any $f \geq 1$,

$$I^{(1)}(f) + I^{(3)}(f) = \frac{1}{2^f}.$$

Lemma 5.2 For any $f \ge 1$ and any square free s > 1,

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) + J^{(3)}(f,s) = 0.$$

Lemma 5.3 We use the number C defined by (1.1). For any $f \ge 1$, we have

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+C}{2^{f+1}}, & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } s \text{ has a prime divisor } p \text{ with } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{C}{2^{f+1}} \prod_{p|s} \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1}, & \text{if all prime divisors } p \text{ of } s \text{ satisfy } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ J^{(3)}(f,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1-C}{2^{f+1}}, & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } s \text{ has a prime divisor } p \text{ with } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{-C}{2^{f+1}} \prod_{p|s} \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1}, & \text{if all prime divisors } p \text{ of } s \text{ satisfy } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1.

We put the primary decomposition of k as

$$k = 2^{f}(4l+1) = 2^{f}q_{1}^{e_{1}}\cdots q_{r}^{e_{r}}.$$
(5.1)

Since d is odd and $d|k_0$, we have

$$d = q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots q_r^{\varepsilon_r}$$
 with $\varepsilon_i = 0$ or 1.

For a square free n,

$$\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle = q_1^{e_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots q_r^{e_r + \varepsilon_r} \prod_{\substack{p \mid \underline{n} \\ p \neq q_i}} p,$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{d|k_0\\d:\text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \cdot \frac{1}{\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle)} = \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon_i = 0, 1\\e_i = 0, 1}} \frac{(-1)^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots (-1)^{\varepsilon_r}}{q_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots q_r^{\varepsilon_r}} \prod_{\substack{p|\underline{n}\\p \neq q_i}} \frac{1}{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(q_i-1)q_i^{e_i+\varepsilon_i-1}} \\
= \prod_{\substack{p|\underline{n}\\p \neq q_i}} \frac{1}{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{(q_i-1)q_i^{e_i-1}} + \frac{-1}{(q_i-1)q_i^{e_i+1}}\right) \\
= \prod_{\substack{p|\underline{n}\\p \neq q_i}} \frac{1}{p-1} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i+1}{q_i^{e_i+1}}.$$
(5.2)

Now

$$\sum_{n}^{\prime} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \prod_{\substack{p|\underline{n}\\p \neq q_i}} \frac{1}{p-1} = \left(\sum_{n:\text{odd}}^{\prime} + \sum_{n:\text{even}}^{\prime} \right) \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \prod_{\substack{p|\underline{n}\\p \neq q_i}} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{q_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{p \neq q_i\\p:\text{odd}}} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{p(p-1)} \right).$$
(5.3)

Consequently we have

$$I^{(1)}(f) = \sum_{l \ge 0} \frac{k_0}{k\varphi(k_0)} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{e_i + 1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \prod_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{q_i - 1}{q_i}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \ne q_i \\ p: \text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{l \ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{2e_i + 1}} \prod_{\substack{p \ne q_i \\ p: \text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right).$$
(5.4)

And, instead of $k = 2^{f}(4l + 1)$, we consider the similar sum for

$$k' = 2^{f}(4l+3) = 2^{f}q_{1}^{e_{1}}\cdots q_{r}^{e_{r}},$$

then we have

$$I^{(3)}(f) = \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{l \ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{2e_i + 1}} \prod_{\substack{p \ne q_i \\ p: \text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} I^{(1)}(f) + I^{(3)}(f) &= \frac{1}{2^{f}} \left(\sum_{\substack{4l+1\\l\geq 0}} + \sum_{\substack{4l+3\\l\geq 0}} \right) \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}^{2e_{i}+1}} \prod_{\substack{p\neq q_{i}\\p:\text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{f}} \prod_{p:\text{odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \sum_{k:\text{odd}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \left(\frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}^{2e_{i}+1}} \cdot \frac{q_{i}(q_{i}-1)}{q_{i}^{2}-q_{i}-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{f}} \prod_{p:\text{odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \prod_{p:\text{odd}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^{2}-p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{f}}, \end{split}$$

this proves Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.2.

Since s is square free, d is independent from the condition $s|\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$ and (5.2) holds again. Now we put

 $s = p_1 \cdots p_t$ with p_i : odd prime

and $(s, k_0) = z$. Then the condition " $s|\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle$ " is equivalent to the condition "(s/z)|n". And in the same way to (5.3), we have

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) = \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{l \ge 0} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{2e_i}(q_i - 1)} \sum_{\substack{n:\text{odd} \\ \frac{s}{z} \mid n}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \ne q_i}} \frac{1}{p - 1}.$$
 (5.5)

Here we introduce the sets, for y|s,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}_{y}^{(1)} &:= \{k \in \mathbf{N} \ ; \ k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \ (k,s) = y\}, \\ \mathbf{N}_{y}^{(3)} &:= \{k \in \mathbf{N} \ ; \ k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \ (k,s) = y\} \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathbf{N}_y := \mathbf{N}_y^{(1)} \cup \mathbf{N}_y^{(3)} = \{k : \text{odd} \ ; \ (k, s) = y\}$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{y|s} \mathbf{N}_y^{(1)} = \{k \in \mathbf{N} \ ; \ k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}\},\$$

and this is a disjoint union. Now we calculate the partial sum of (5.5) over such a $k \in \mathbf{N}_y^{(1)}$.

First we consider the sum, for a fixed y|s,

$$S_{y}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{n:\text{odd}\\\frac{s}{y}|n}} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \prod_{\substack{p|n\\p \neq q_{i}}} \frac{1}{p-1}.$$
(5.6)

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be odd and square free, we put

$$n = \frac{s}{y} \cdot m,$$

then

$$\begin{split} S_{y}^{(1)} &= \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd}\\(m,\frac{s}{y})=1}}^{\prime} \frac{\mu\left(m \cdot \frac{s}{y}\right)}{m \cdot \frac{s}{y}} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m \cdot \frac{s}{y}\\p \neq q_{i}}} \prod_{p \neq q_{i}} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ &= \frac{\mu(s)}{s} \cdot \frac{y}{\mu(y)} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd}\\(m,\frac{s}{y})=1}}^{\prime\prime} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \prod_{p \mid \frac{s}{y}} \frac{1}{p-1} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m\\p \neq q_{i}}} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)}\right) \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{m:\text{odd}\\(m,\frac{s}{y})=1}}^{\prime\prime} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \prod_{\substack{p \mid m\\p \neq q_{i}}} \frac{1}{p-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)}\right) \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}\\p \neq q_{i}}} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{p-1}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}\\p \neq q_{i}}} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{p}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)}\right) \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \frac{\varphi(\underline{k}_{0})}{\underline{k}_{0}} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}\\p \neq q_{i}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right), \end{split}$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{l\geq 0\\4l+1\in\mathbf{N}_{y}^{(1)}}}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}^{2e_{i}}(q_{i}-1)}S_{y}^{(1)} = \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)}\right)\left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1}\sum_{\substack{l\geq 0\\4l+1\in\mathbf{N}_{y}^{(1)}}}\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{q_{i}+1}{q_{i}^{2e_{i}+1}}\prod_{\substack{p\nmid y\\p\neq q_{i}\\p:\text{odd}}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right).$$
(5.7)

We can prove the similar formula for $\sum_{l\geq 0,\ 4l+3\in \mathbf{N}_y^{(3)}}$ and now we consider the sum

$$T_y := \left(\sum_{\substack{l \ge 0\\4l+1 \in \mathbf{N}_y^{(1)}}} + \sum_{\substack{l \ge 0\\4l+3 \in \mathbf{N}_y^{(3)}}}\right) \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i+1}{q_i^{2e_i+1}} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}\\p \neq q_i\\p: \text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right).$$

Taking into account the following equivalence relation

$$k \in \mathbf{N}_y \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall p | y \Rightarrow p | k \\ p | s \text{ and } p \nmid y \Rightarrow p \nmid k, \end{array} \right.$$

we have

$$\begin{split} T_y &= \prod_{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_y} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{2e_i + 1}} \cdot \frac{q_i(q_i - 1)}{q_i^2 - q_i - 1} \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \prod_{p \mid y} \left\{ \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^2 - p - 1} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \right\} \prod_{\substack{p \mid s \\ p \nmid y}} 1 \\ &\cdot \prod_{p \nmid s} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^2 - p - 1} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \right\} \\ &= \prod_{p \nmid \frac{s}{y}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \right) \prod_{p \mid y} \frac{1}{p^2 - p - 1} \prod_{p \nmid s} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2 - p - 1} \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$T_y = \left(\frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)}\right) \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \prod_{p|y} \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$$
$$= \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} \cdot \mu(y).$$

Consequently, we have

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) + J^{(3)}(f,s) = \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{y|s} T_y = \frac{1}{2^f} \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} \sum_{y|s} \mu(y)$$
$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s > 1, \\ \frac{1}{2^f}, & \text{if } s = 1, \end{cases}$$

this proves Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Lemma 5.3.

We use the same notations with the proof of Lemma 4.2. Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 show that

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) + J^{(3)}(f,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^f}, & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } s > 1. \end{cases}$$
(5.8)

Now we calculate the sum $J^{(1)}(f,s) - J^{(3)}(f,s)$, and we start from the formula (5.7).

Here we construct the completely multiplicative function γ by

$$\gamma(p) = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

then, for an odd integer k,

$$k \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \gamma(k) = -1.$$

Making use of this relation, we have

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) - J^{(3)}(f,s) = \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{y|s} \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \frac{s}{y} \\ p: \text{odd}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p(p-1)}\right)$$
$$\cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_y} \prod_{i=1}^r \gamma(q_i^{e_i}) \frac{q_i + 1}{q_i^{2e_i + 1}} \cdot \frac{q_i(q_i - 1)}{q_i^2 - q_i - 1}.$$

As for the sum $\sum_{k\in \mathbf{N}_y}$ in the right hand side, we have

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{y}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \gamma(q_{i}^{e_{i}}) \frac{(q_{i}-1)(q_{i}+1)}{q_{i}^{2}-q_{i}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{q_{i}^{2e_{i}}}$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{p \mid y \\ \gamma(p)=1}} \left(\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p^{2}-p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid y \\ \gamma(p)=-1}} \left(\frac{(p-1)(p+1)}{p^{2}-p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{p^{2j}} \right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid s \\ p \nmid y}} 1$$

$$\cdot \prod_{\substack{p \nmid s \\ \gamma(p)=1}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^{2}-p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^{2j}} \right\} \prod_{\substack{p \mid s \\ \gamma(p)=-1}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^{2}-p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j}}{p^{2j}} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} J^{(1)}(f,s) - J^{(3)}(f,s) &= \frac{1}{2^f} \sum_{y|s} \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} \left(\frac{\mu(y)}{y\varphi(y)}\right)^{-1} \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{p|y\\\gamma(p)=1}} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \prod_{\substack{p|y\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{-(p+1)}{p(p^2+1)} \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1}{(p^2+1)(p-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^f} \cdot \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} C \sum_{y|s} \prod_{\substack{p|y\\\gamma(p)=1}} (-1) \prod_{\substack{p|y\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{(p+1)(p-1)}{p^2+1} \\ &\cdot \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{(p^2+1)(p-1)}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \\ &= \frac{C}{2^f} \cdot \frac{\mu(s)}{s\varphi(s)} \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{2p^2(p-1)}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1} \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=-1}} (1+\mu(p)) \\ &= \frac{C}{2^f} \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=1}} \frac{-(1+\mu(p))}{p(p-1)} \prod_{\substack{p|s\\\gamma(p)=-1}} \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1}. \end{split}$$

This proves

$$J^{(1)}(f,s) - J^{(3)}(f,s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } s \text{ has a prime divisor } p \\ with \ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{C}{2^f} \prod_{p \mid s} \frac{-2p}{p^3 - p^2 - p - 1}, & \text{if all prime divisors } p \text{ of } s \\ \text{satisfy } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Combining with (5.8), we get Lemma 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

(I) When $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $a_1 > 1$, with Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 (1), (1.2) and (1.3) turn into

$$\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \sum_{f \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{f+1}} \left(I^{(1)}(f) + J^{(1)}(f, a_1) \right) + \sum_{f \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{f+1}} \left(I^{(3)}(f) + J^{(3)}(f, a_1) \right)$$

and Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 show that

$$\delta_1 = \delta_3 = \sum_{f \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{2f+1}} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

Clearly, the same argument yields the same result for the case $a_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. (II) When $a_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ with $a_1 = 2a'_1$. Case (i) $a'_1 = 1$.

By Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 4.1(1) again, we have from (1.2) that

$$\delta_{1} = \sum_{f \geq 3} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{k_{0}}{\varphi(k_{0})} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k_{0} \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)}{\frac{1}{2}nk \cdot 2^{f+1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k}d \rangle)} \\ + \sum_{\substack{f \geq 3 \\ f=1}} \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{k_{0}'}{\varphi(k_{0}')} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k_{0}' \\ d: \text{odd}}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{n} \frac{\mu(n)}{\frac{1}{2}nk' \cdot 2^{f+1}\varphi(\langle \underline{n}, \underline{k'}d \rangle)} \\ = \sum_{f \geq 3} \frac{1}{2^{f}} \left(I^{(1)}(f) + I^{(3)}(f) \right) + \frac{1}{2} I^{(3)}(1)$$

Then Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.3 give

$$\delta_1 = \sum_{f \ge 3} \frac{1}{2^{2f}} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1-C}{4}$$
$$= \frac{7}{48} - \frac{C}{8}.$$

Similarly,

$$\delta_3 = \frac{7}{48} + \frac{C}{8}.$$

Case (ii) $a'_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ with $a'_1 > 1$.

Applying Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 (2) (a) to (1.2), we can prove that

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \sum_{f \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{f+1}} \left(I^{(1)}(f) + J^{(1)}(f, a_1') + I^{(3)}(f) + J^{(3)}(f, a_1') \right) \\ &- \sum_{f \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{f+1}} \cdot 2 \left(J^{(1)}(f, a_1') + J^{(3)}(f, a_1') \right) \\ &+ \sum_{f \ge 3} \frac{2}{2^{f+1}} \left(J^{(1)}(f, a_1') + J^{(3)}(f, a_1') \right) + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} J^{(3)}(1, a_1') \\ &= \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} J^{(3)}(1, a_1'), \end{split}$$

and Lemma 5.3 gives the desired result.

Case (iii) $a'_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

The same argument gives

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2}J^{(1)}(1, a_1')$$

and Lemma 5.3 gives the desired result.

References

- [1] Chinen, K. and Murata L. : On a distribution property of the residual order of $a \pmod{p}$, preprint.
- [2] Moree, P. : Uniform distribution of primes having a prescribed primitive root, preprint.
- [3] Chinen K. and Murata L. : On a distribution property of the residual order of *a* (mod *p*) (in Japanese) in Proceedings of the Conference *AC2001* (algebra and Computation) held at the Tokyo Metropolitan Univ. (2001), published electronically in tfp://tnt.math.metro-u.ac.jp/pub/ac/2001.
- [4] Chinen K. and Murata L. : On a distribution property of the residual order of a (mod p), II (in Japanese) in New Aspects of Analytic Number Theory, RIMS Kokyuroku 1274 (2002), 62-69.