

Limits of residually irreducible p -adic Galois representations

Chandrashekhara Khare

Abstract: In this note we produce examples of converging sequences of Galois representations, and study some of their properties.

AMS Subject Classification numbers: 11R32, 11R39

1 Introduction

Consider a continuous representation

$$\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$$

of the absolute Galois group G_L of a number field L , with K a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p , with \mathcal{O} its ring of integers, $|\cdot|$ its norm, and k its residue field. Then ρ has an integral model taking values in $GL_m(\mathcal{O})$, and the semisimplification of its reduction modulo the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathcal{O} , denoted by $\bar{\rho}$, is independent of the choice of integral model. We assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible and in fact will assume that all the p -adic representations considered in this paper are *residually absolutely irreducible*.

Definition 1 *An infinite sequence of (residually absolutely irreducible) continuous representations $\rho_i : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ tends to $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$, if $|\mathrm{tr}(\rho_i(g)) - \mathrm{tr}(\rho(g))| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for all $g \in G_L$. We also say that the ρ_i 's converge to ρ , or ρ is their limit point.*

By Theorem 1 of [Ca], which we can apply because of our blanket assumption of residual absolute irreducibility, this is equivalent to saying that given any integer n , for all $i \gg 0$, the reduction mod \mathfrak{m}^n , $\rho_{i,n}$, of (an integral model of) ρ_i is isomorphic to the reduction mod \mathfrak{m}^n , ρ_n , of (an integral

model of) ρ . Note that we are not assuming that the ρ_i 's (or ρ) are *finitely ramified*, though we do know by the main theorem of [KhRa] that the density of primes which ramify in a given ρ_i is 0.

In this note we study the limiting behavior of the lifts produced in [R1] and completely characterise the limit points of these lifts (see Theorem 1 below). This suggests another approach to certain special cases of the modularity lifting theorems of Wiles, Taylor-Wiles et al. In the process we construct many sequences of converging p -adic Galois representations (of fixed determinant and fixed ramification behaviour at p). This raises many questions that can be posed far more easily than answered.

Consider $\bar{\rho} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(k)$ that satisfies the conditions of [R1], namely:

- $\bar{\rho}$ and $\text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$ are absolutely irreducible Galois representations, and the finite field k of characteristic p is the minimal field of definition of $\bar{\rho}$.
- The (prime to p) Artin conductor $N(\bar{\rho})$ of $\bar{\rho}$ is minimal amongst its twists. Denote by S set of primes given by the union of the places where $\bar{\rho}$ is ramified and $\{p, \infty\}$.
- If $\bar{\rho}$ is even then for the decomposition group G_p above p we assume that $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is not twist equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ or twist equivalent to the indecomposable representation $\begin{pmatrix} \chi^{p-2} & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where χ is the mod p cyclotomic character.
- If $\bar{\rho}$ is odd we assume $\bar{\rho}|_{G_p}$ is not twist equivalent to the trivial representation or the indecomposable unramified representation given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.
- $p \geq 7$ and the order of the projective image of $\bar{\rho}$ is a multiple of p .

Let $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ be a finite set of primes such that $q_i \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$, unramified in $\bar{\rho}$, and the ratio of the eigenvalues of $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_{q_i})$ equal to $q_i^{\pm 1}$. We will call the primes as in Q above *Ramakrishna primes for $\bar{\rho}$* or *R-primes* for short (suppressing the $\bar{\rho}$ which is fixed). We consider the deformation ring $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}}$ of [KR] (see Definition 1 of loc. cit.). To orient the reader we recall the definition of $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}}$. For this we need:

Definition 2 *If q is a prime, $G_{\mathbf{Q}_q}$ the absolute Galois group of \mathbf{Q}_q and R a complete Noetherian local ring with residue field k , a continuous representation $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}_q} \rightarrow GL_2(R)$ is said to be special if up to conjugacy it is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon\chi' & * \\ 0 & \chi' \end{pmatrix}$ for ε the p -adic cyclotomic character, and $\chi' : G_{\mathbf{Q}_q} \rightarrow R^*$ a continuous character. A continuous representation $\tilde{\rho} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(R)$, is said to be special at a prime q if $\tilde{\rho}|_{D_q}$, with D_q a decomposition group at q , is special.*

Then $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}}$ is the universal ring that parametrises deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ that are minimally ramified at S and such that at primes $q \in Q$ these deformations are *special*. The ring $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}}$ is a complete Noetherian local $W(k)$ -algebra, with $W(k)$ the Witt vectors of k . The deformation rings considered here are for the deformation problem with a certain fixed (arithmetic) determinant character, and all the deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ we consider will have this fixed determinant character.

Definition 3 *A finite set of R -primes Q is said to be auxiliary if $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}} \simeq W(k)$.*

In [R1] auxiliary sets Q of the above type were proven to exist. The representation corresponding to $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}} \simeq W(k)$ is denoted by $\rho_{S \cup Q}^{Q\text{-new}}$. We will call these lifts *Ramakrishna lifts* of $\bar{\rho}$ or *R-lifts* for short (suppressing the $\bar{\rho}$ which is fixed).

Theorem 1 *A continuous representation $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(W(k))$ that is a deformation of $\bar{\rho}$, is a limit point of distinct R -lifts, if and only if ρ is unramified outside S and the set of all R -primes, and minimally ramified at primes of S .*

Remark: Thus we have a complete description of the “ p -adic closure” of R -lifts. Note that in particular each R -lift is a limit point of other R -lifts. Note also that any deformation $G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(K)$ of $\bar{\rho}$ that is a limit point of R -lifts has a model that takes values in $GL_2(W(k))$. The above theorem can be viewed in a sense as producing an “infinite fern” structure (cf., [M]) in the set of all R -lifts of a given $\bar{\rho}$ as above. From the proof of Theorem 1 above, we in fact can deduce that each R -lift gives rise to infinitely many “splines” passing through it, where a “spline” consists of a sequence of R -lifts converging to it, and each element in a spline gives rise to its own infinitely many splines.

Missing from the picture are the limit points of R -lifts which themselves are not R -lifts and which the theorem above characterises completely.

Here is the plan of the paper. In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1 which is a simple consequence of the methods of [R1] and [T1]. In Section 3 we prove a result about converging sequences of representations arising from newforms, point out a possible approach to the lifting theorems of Wiles et al that is suggested by the work here. In Section 4 we raise questions about rationality and motivic properties of converging sequences of p -adic Galois representations.

2 Converging sequences of Galois representations

We now prove Theorem 1. It follows from the methods of [R1] and [T1]. For the proof we need the following lemma which follows from the methods of [R1] (see also Lemma 1.2 of [T1]) and Lemma 8 of [KR].

Lemma 1 *Let $\rho_n : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(W(k)/(p^n))$ be a lift of $\bar{\rho}$ that is unramified outside S and the set of all R -primes, minimally ramified at primes of S , and special at all the primes outside S at which it is ramified. Let Q'_n be any finite set of primes that includes the primes of ramification of ρ_n , such that $Q'_n \setminus S$ contains only R -primes and such that $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is special for $q \in Q'_n \setminus S$. Then there exists a finite set of primes Q_n that contains Q'_n , such that $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is special for $q \in Q_n \setminus S$, $Q_n \setminus S$ contains only R -primes and $Q_n \setminus S$ is auxiliary.*

Proof: We use [R1] and Lemma 8 of [KR] to construct an auxiliary set of primes T_n such that $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is special for $q \in T_n$. Then as $Q'_n \setminus S$ contains only R -primes, it follows (using notation of [R1]) from Proposition 1.6 of [W] that the kernel and cokernel of the map

$$H^1(G_{S \cup T_n \cup Q'_n}, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S \cup T_n \cup Q'_n} H^1(G_v, \text{Ad}^0(\bar{\rho}))/\mathcal{N}_v$$

have the same cardinality. Then using Proposition 10 of [R1], or Lemma 1.2 of [T1], and Lemma 8 of [KR], we can augment the set $S \cup T_n \cup Q'_n$ to get a set Q_n as in the statement of the lemma.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. If $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(W(k))$ is a limit point of R -lifts, then it is clear that ρ is unramified outside S and the set of

all R -primes, and minimally ramified at primes of S . We prove the converse. So let ρ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, and recall that we denote by ρ_n the reduction modulo p^n of ρ . It is easily checked that if q is a R -prime any deformation of $\bar{\rho}|_{D_q}$ to a *ramified* p -adic representation is *special*: this follows from the structure of tame inertia and the fact that $q^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Further from the method of proof of Proposition 1 of [KhRa], we easily deduce that the set of primes q for which $\rho|_{D_q}$ is special is of density 0. Thus using Chebotarev and the assumptions on $\bar{\rho}$ in the introduction, we choose a finite set of primes Q'_n such that

- $Q'_n \setminus S$ consists of R -primes and $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is special for $q \in Q'_n \setminus S$,
- Q'_n contains all the ramified primes of ρ_n ,
- for some prime $q \in Q'_n \setminus S$, $\rho|_{D_q}$ is not special.

Using Lemma 1 we complete Q'_n to a set Q_n such that $Q_n \setminus S$ is auxiliary and $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is special for $q \in Q_n \setminus S$. Then we claim $\rho_{\text{SU}Q_n}^{Q_n \setminus S - \text{new}} \equiv \rho \pmod{p^n}$. The claim is true as there is a unique representation $G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(W(k)/(p^n))$ (with the determinant that we have fixed) that is unramified outside $S \cup Q_n$, minimal at S and special at primes of $Q_n \setminus S$ (as $R_{\text{SU}Q_n}^{Q_n \setminus S - \text{new}} \simeq W(k)$). By construction the sets Q_n contain at least one prime at which ρ is not special. Thus we see that we can pick a subsequence of mutually distinct representations ρ_i from the $\rho_{\text{SU}Q_n}^{Q_n \setminus S - \text{new}}$'s such that $\rho_i \rightarrow \rho$.

Remark: It is of vital importance that ρ is $GL_2(W(k))$ -valued as otherwise we would not be able to invoke the disjointness results that are used in the proof of Lemma 1 (Lemma 8 of [KR]).

Remark: Theorem 1 can be applied in practise to give many examples of converging sequences of p -adic representations: for a non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} for most primes p the mod p representation satisfies the conditions given in the introduction, and the corresponding p -adic representation is minimally ramified and $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ valued.

We end this section with a result that refines the main result of [KhRa].

Proposition 1 *If $\rho_i : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ is a sequence of (residually absolutely irreducible) continuous representations that converges to ρ , then the set of primes where any of the ρ_i 's is ramified (i.e., $\cup \text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ where $\text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ is the set of primes at which ρ_i is ramified) is of density zero.*

Proof: Denote by $\rho_{i,n}$ (resp., ρ_n) the reduction mod \mathfrak{m}^n of an integral model of ρ_i (resp., ρ). The proof consists in applying Theorem 1 of [KhRa] twice: more precisely first its statement, and then its proof. By an application of its statement we conclude that the density of $\cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ is 0 for any n . Now applying the proof of Theorem 1 of [KhRa], we define $c_{\rho,n}$ to be the upper density of the set $S_{\rho,n}$ of primes q of L that

- lie above primes which split in L/\mathbf{Q}
- are unramified in ρ_1 and $\neq p$,
- $\rho_n|_{D_q}$ is unramified, but there exists a “lift” of $\rho_n|_{D_q}$, with D_q the decomposition group at q , to a representation $\tilde{\rho}_q$ of D_q to $GL_m(K)$ that is ramified at q : by a lift we mean some conjugate of $\tilde{\rho}_q$ reduces mod \mathfrak{m}^n to $\rho_n|_{D_q}$.

We have from [KhRa] (see Proposition 1 of loc. cit. which was stated in greater generality than needed there with the present application in mind):

Lemma 2 *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an integer N_ε such that $c_{\rho,n} < \varepsilon$ for $n > N_\varepsilon$.*

To prove Proposition 1 it is enough to show that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, the upper density of the set $\cup \text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ is $< \varepsilon$. As $\cup_{i=1}^n \text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ has density 0 for (the finite) n that is the supremum of the i 's such that ρ_{i,N_ε} is not isomorphic to ρ_{N_ε} , and ρ_{N_ε} is finitely ramified, it follows from the lemma above that the upper density of $\cup \text{Ram}(\rho_i)$ is $< \varepsilon$. Hence Proposition 1.

Remark: One can ask for more refined information about the asymptotics of ramified primes in (limits of) residually absolutely irreducible p -adic Galois representations. For instance in Theorem 1 of [KhRa] one can ask (clued by Theorem 10 of [S1]) if the order of growth of ramified primes can be proved to be bounded by $O(x^{1-\frac{1}{2N}+\epsilon})$, where N is the p -adic analytic dimension of $\text{im}(\rho)$, for any $\epsilon > 0$. Such quantitative refinements were asked for by Serre in an e-mail message to the author and seem hard and will require a new idea (that goes beyond [KhRa]) and a strong use of effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem.

3 Finite and infinite ramification

Let L be a number field and K a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p as before.

Definition 4 *We say that a residually absolutely irreducible continuous representation $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_n(K)$ is motivic if ρ arises as a subquotient of the i^{th} étale cohomology $H^i(X \times_L \overline{L}, K)$ of a smooth projective variety X defined over a number field L .*

A *motivic* representation is *finitely ramified*. In [R] examples of residually irreducible representations $\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(K)$ were constructed that were infinitely ramified (see also the last section of [KR]). Infinitely ramified p -adic representations cannot be *motivic*. But they can arise as limits of p -adic representations that are *motivic*. Fix an embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$. Then as in [R] (and the last section of [KR]), there is a sequence of eigenforms $f_i \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N_i))$, for a sequence of squarefree integers N_i such that $N_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $(p, N_i) = 1$, new of level N_i such that the corresponding p -adic representations $\rho_{f_i} : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ have a p -adic limit ρ , with ρ *infinitely ramified*. Such a ρ is *non-motivic*, but is the limit of *motivic* p -adic representations. Such limits of eigenforms (in the works of Serre and Katz for instance, cf., [Ka]) have been considered when varying weights or varying the p -power level, while fixing the prime-to- p part of the level.

Proposition 2 *Let $f_i \in S_2(\Gamma_0(N_i))$ be a sequence of eigenforms with coefficients in a finite extension K of \mathbf{Q}_p with $(N_i, p) = 1$ and $p \geq 3$, that tend in the p -adic q -expansion topology to an element $f \in K[[q]]$, such the corresponding residual representation $\overline{\rho}$ satisfies the conditions in the introduction. The element f , that gives rise naturally to a Galois representation $\rho_f : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow GL_2(K)$, is the q -expansion of a classical eigenform (of weight 2) if and only if ρ_f is finitely ramified.*

Proof: The only if part is clear. The if part follows from the methods of Wiles (see Chapter 3 of [W] and also [TW]) and their refinements: note that ρ_f is finite, flat at p .

Remark: Applying Theorem 1 when $\overline{\rho}$ is odd and finite flat at p , in which case the R -lifts are modular by Theorem 1 of [K], we can construct systematically many examples of sequences of eigenforms $f_i \rightarrow f$ ($f \in K[[q]]$), with the levels of f_i unbounded and such that ρ_f is finitely ramified (f in fact then

a classical eigenform as above). On the other hand as recalled above in [R] (see also last section of [KR]) we have examples of situations as above with ρ_f infinitely ramified.

It will be of interest to see if Proposition 2 could be proved in a more self-contained manner. The proof above does not use seriously the fact that one does know that f arises as a limit of the classical forms f_i . If such a proof could be devised, in conjunction with Theorem 1 above and Theorem 1 of [K] (which is due to Ravi Ramakrishna) it would give in special cases a simpler approach using R -primes to the modularity lifting theorems of Wiles et al (see also [K]) that directly works with the p -adic Galois representation that needs to be proved modular, and if it could be implemented would avoid (in special cases albeit) the sophisticated deformation theoretic approach of [W].

We elaborate on this: Assume that $\bar{\rho}$ is modular. In Theorem 1 we have characterised the limit points of R -lifts. By Theorem 1 of [K] which proves that the representation corresponding to $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q-new} \simeq W(k)$ is modular as a consequence of the isomorphism $R_{S \cup Q}^{Q-new} \simeq \mathbf{T}_{S \cup Q}^{Q-new}$ (using notation of [K]), we know that R -lifts are modular. Hence limits of R -lifts do arise as limits of p -adic representations arising from classical newforms. It only (!) remains to prove that a limit of a converging sequence of p -adic representations arising from newforms (say of weight 2 and level prime to p to avoid delicate considerations at p) that is finitely ramified itself arises from a newform (i.e., prove Proposition 2 without appealing directly to [W]). Note that for a semistable elliptic curve E , for all large enough primes p (bigger than 3 for the methods here to directly work unfortunately!), $T_p(E)$ is a limit point of R -lifts.

Note: *In recent work we have indeed been able to give a self-contained approach to a result like Proposition 2 above under some technical restrictions: see [K1].*

4 Questions

Proposition 2 suggests that a representation that arises as a limit of motivic representations (of “bounded weights”: see Definition 6 below) is finitely ramified if and only if it is motivic. We first recall one of the main conjectures in [FM] in a form that is most pertinent for the considerations here.

Conjecture 1 (*Fontaine-Mazur*) Consider a continuous residually absolutely irreducible representation $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ that is potentially semistable at places above p . Then the following are equivalent:

1. ρ is motivic
2. ρ is finitely ramified.

From our earlier considerations it is natural to ask the following weaker question.

Question 1 Consider a continuous residually absolutely irreducible representation $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ that is potentially semistable at places above p that arises as the limit of motivic representations ρ_i . Then if ρ is finitely ramified, is ρ motivic?

It seems unlikely that the infinitely ramified representations produced in [R] are *algebraic* (see definition below). This motivates the following considerations.

Definition 5 A continuous (residually absolutely irreducible) representation $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ is said to be *algebraic* if there is a number field F such that the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\text{Frob}_q)$ has coefficients in the ring of integers of F for all primes q which are unramified in ρ . The minimal such field is the *field of definition* of ρ .

As by the main theorem of [KhRa], the set of primes at which ρ ramifies is of density 0, the definition above is a sensible one.

Definition 6 A continuous (residually absolutely irreducible) algebraic representation $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ is said to be of *weight* $\leq t$ ($t \in \mathbf{Z}$) if for primes q that are unramified in ρ , any root α of the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\text{Frob}_q)$ satisfies $|\iota(\alpha)| \leq |k_q|^{\frac{t-1}{2}}$ for any embedding $\iota : \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, with k_q the residue field at q .

Question 2 If $\rho_i : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ is an infinite sequence of (residually absolutely irreducible) distinct algebraic representations, all of weight $\leq t$ for some fixed integer t , converging to $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$, and K_i the field of definition of ρ_i , does $[K_i : \mathbf{Q}] \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$?

Remark:

- It is observed in [R] (this is a remark of Fred Diamond) that in the situation of Question 2 only finitely many of the ρ_i 's can arise from elliptic curves: this is a consequence of the Mordell conjecture which gives that suitable twists of the classical modular curves $X(p^n)$ for $n \gg 0$ have finitely many L -valued points for a given number field L .
- If Question 2 has a negative answer, using Proposition 1, we deduce that for a set of primes $\{r\}$ of density one, the characteristic polynomials of $\rho_i(\text{Frob}_r)$ are eventually constant. Hence we deduce that the characteristic polynomials of $\rho(\text{Frob}_r)$ are defined and integral over a fixed number field F , i.e., ρ is algebraic (in the case when ρ is infinitely ramified this is linked to the questions below).

Question 3 *Let $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$ be a continuous, residually absolutely irreducible representation that is potentially semistable at places above p . Then are the following equivalent:*

1. ρ is motivic
2. ρ is finitely ramified
3. ρ is algebraic?

In the question above, the equivalence of 1 and 2 is the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture recalled above: the possible equivalence of 3 to 1 and 2 is the main thrust of the question. One might even ask the stronger question: If $\rho : G_L \rightarrow GL_m(K)$, a continuous, residually absolutely irreducible representation, is algebraic, then is ρ forced to be both finitely ramified, and potentially semistable at places above p ? All the questions of this section have a positive answer when $m = 1$.

5 Acknowledgements

I thank Gebhard Böckle, Christophe Breuil, Dipendra Prasad and Ravi Ramakrishna for helpful correspondence, Kirti Joshi and C. S. Rajan for useful conversations, Haruzo Hida, David Rohrlich, J-P. Serre and the anonymous referee for helpful remarks on the manuscript.

6 References

- [Ca] Carayol, H., *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes avec valeurs dans un anneau local complet*, in *p-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture*, 213–237, Contemp. Math., 165, AMS, 1994.
- [FM] Fontaine, J.-M., Mazur, B., *Geometric Galois representations*, Elliptic curves, modular forms, and Fermat’s last theorem, Internat. Press, Cambridge (1995), 41–78
- [K] Khare, C., *On isomorphisms between deformation rings and Hecke rings*, preprint available at <http://www.math.utah.edu/~shekhar/papers.html>.
- [K1] Khare, C., *Modularity of p-adic Galois representations via p-adic approximations*, in preparation.
- [KhRa] Khare, C., Rajan, C. S. , *The density of ramified primes in semisimple p-adic Galois representations*, International Mathematics Research Notices no. 12 (2001), 601–607.
- [KR] Khare, C., Ramakrishna, R., *Finiteness of Selmer groups and deformation rings*, preprint available at <http://www.math.utah.edu/~shekhar/papers.html>.
- [Ka] Katz, N., *Higher congruences between modular forms*, Annals of Math. 101 (1975), 332–367.
- [R] Ramakrishna, R., *Infinitely ramified representations*, Annals of Mathematics 151 (2000), 793–815.
- [R1] Ramakrishna, R., *Deforming Galois representations and the conjectures of Serre and Fontaine-Mazur*, to appear in Annals of Math.
- [S1] Serre, J-P., *Quelques applications du theoreme de densite de Chebotarev*, Collected Works, Vol. 3, 563–641.
- [T1] Taylor, R., *On icosahedral Artin representations II*, preprint.
- [TW] Taylor, R., Wiles, A., *Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 141 (1995), 553–572.
- [W] Wiles, A., *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. 141 (1995), 443–551.

Addresses of the author: Dept of Math, Univ of Utah, 155 S 1400 E, Salt
lake City, UT 84112. e-mail address: shekhar@math.utah.edu
School of Mathematics, TIFR, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, INDIA.
e-mail addresses: shekhar@math.tifr.res.in