

Dynamics of surface diffeomorphisms relative to homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits

Pieter Collins

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of Liverpool

Liverpool L69 7ZL, U.K.

pieter.collins@liverpool.ac.uk

Draft February 8, 2020

Abstract

The Nielsen-Thurston theory of surface diffeomorphisms shows that useful dynamical information can be obtained from a finite collection of periodic orbits. In this paper, we extend these results to homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits of saddle points. These orbits are most readily computed and studied as intersections of unstable and stable manifolds comprising homoclinic or heteroclinic tangles in the surface. We show how to compute a map of a one-dimensional space similar to a train-track which represents the isotopy-stable dynamics of the surface diffeomorphism relative to a tangle. All orbits of this one-dimensional representative are globally shadowed by orbits of the surface diffeomorphism, and periodic, homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits of the one-dimensional representative are shadowed by similar orbits in the surface. By constructing suitable surface diffeomorphisms, we prove that these results are optimal in the sense that the topological entropy of the one-dimensional representative is the greatest lower bound for the entropies of diffeomorphisms in the isotopy class.

Mathematics subject classification: Primary: 37E30. Secondary: 37B10, 37C27, 37E25.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Tangles and Trellises	7
2.1	Tangles	7
2.2	Trellises	9
2.3	Attractors	13
2.4	Extensions	14
2.5	Reducibility	15
2.6	Cutting	18
3	Biasymptotic Orbits and Minimal Trellises	21
3.1	Biasymptotic orbits	21
3.2	Existence of minimal trellises	23
3.3	Uniqueness of minimal trellis	27
3.4	Minimal extensions	28
4	Graphs	30
4.1	Combinatorics of graph maps	30
4.2	Compatible graph maps	32
4.3	Graph representatives	33
4.4	Properties of graph representatives	36
4.5	Reducibility of graph representatives	39
4.6	Uniqueness of graph representatives	40
5	Computing a Graph Representative	43
5.1	Graph moves	43
5.2	Effect of graph moves	44
5.3	Algorithm to compute the graph representative	46
5.4	Algorithm for reduction	49
6	Symbolic Dynamics and Shadowing	52
6.1	Symbolic dynamics	52
6.2	Global shadowing	52
6.3	Shadowing and Nielsen numbers	54
6.4	Shadowing by trellis orbits	55
6.5	Shadowing by stable and biasymptotic orbits	57
7	Entropy-Minimising Diffeomorphisms	59
7.1	Nielsen entropy of minimal extensions	60
7.2	Regular domains	63
7.3	Existence of entropy minimisers	69
7.4	Approximate entropy minimising diffeomorphisms	70
7.5	Non-existence of entropy minimisers	74
A	Intersections and Isotopies of Curves in Surfaces	76

A.1	Minimal intersections	76
A.2	Ambient isotopies of curves	78
A.3	Nielsen intersection theory	78

1 Introduction

The importance of geometry in dynamical systems theory was first realised by Poincaré [Poi99], who showed that the presence of a homoclinic tangle in the three-body problem was enough to show that the system was non-integrable. Since then, geometric and topological methods have become prevalent in dynamical systems theory. More work on homoclinic tangles was later undertaken by Birkhoff [Bir49], but modern interest was inspired by Smale's horseshoe map [Sma63]. The Smale school developed a powerful theory of uniformly hyperbolic systems, which are structurally stable and for which the dynamics can be represented symbolically via a Markov partition. Other work focused on the topological methods of index theory, such as the Conley index [Con78] and the fixed point index [Fri83, Jia93]. One of the most important problems of dynamics is the forcing problem; when does the presence of a particular geometric structure in a system allow one to deduce the existence of another structure in some class of system. Sharkovskii's theorem for interval maps is a classic example of a forcing result: an ordering of the natural numbers is given such that the presence of a periodic orbit of period p forces periodic orbits of all periods q with $p \prec q$. Sharkovskii's theorem can be strengthened to consider the ordering of points on the orbit, and this gives a complete (though less elegant) description of the forcing relation for periodic orbits of interval maps.

The next important generalisation is to consider the forcing relation for periodic orbits of diffeomorphisms of a compact surface. Here, the period is no longer a useful characterisation of the orbit; instead, we need to consider the braid type which is the conjugacy class of diffeomorphisms relative to the orbit in question. Just as for periodic orbits of interval maps, orbits of different periods may have different braid types.

The theory of isotopy classes of surface diffeomorphisms relative to periodic orbits is known as Nielsen-Thurston theory [CB88]. For each braid type there is a canonical representative such that a braid type $B T_1$ forces $B T_2$ if and only if the Thurston minimal representative of $B T_1$ contains an orbit of type $B T_2$. A Thurston minimal representative has the minimum number of periodic points of any period, and minimal topological entropy in the isotopy class [Han85]. The most interesting case is when the canonical representative is pseudo-Anosov. A pseudo-Anosov map preserves a pair of transverse foliations, the leaves of the stable foliation being uniformly contracted and the unstable foliation being uniformly expanded. Pseudo-Anosov maps therefore exhibit a type of uniformly hyperbolic behaviour, and Thurston's classification theorem can be seen as a persistence result for the uniform hyperbolicity.

Unfortunately, Thurston's original proof was non-constructive, and thus of little use in actually determining the forcing relation. It was not until an algorithm of Los [Los93] that computations became possible. Los' algorithm was soon followed by an algorithm which was discovered independently by Franks and Misiurewicz [FM93] and Bestvina and Handel [BH95]. A description of the moves of this algorithm with an emphasis on implementation details was given by Kiel [Kie97]. Even so, the global properties of the forcing relation are still only partially understood and this is an active area of research [dCH].

In this paper we consider the dynamics forced by the presence of a homoclinic or het-

eroclinic tangle in the system. The standard result that a system with a transverse homoclinic point must be chaotic with positive topological entropy dates back to Smale [Sm a63], though of course the complexity of the dynamics was noted by Poincaré. These results have since been extended to topologically transverse intersections which are not geometrically transverse [BW 95, Ray98], and a great deal is known about bifurcations near a homoclinic tangency [New 80, M V 93]. All these results are based on a local analysis, and so give no information on the global dynamics.

In this paper we are concerned with the global forcing behaviour of a homoclinic or heteroclinic tangle. This problem has been previously considered by Easton [Eas98], McRobie and Thompson [MT 94], who carried out a detailed study of the spiral 3-shoe, and Rom-Kedar [RK 94], who obtained results on entropy bounds and homoclinic forcing using a topological approximation method. Homoclinic tangles have also been used by Christiansen and Politi [CP 96] to compute generating partitions for symbolic dynamics. Orbits of the Hénon map have also been obtained using continuation methods by Sterling, Dullin and Meiss [SDM 99]. In this paper, we find all orbits, including periodic, homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits forced by a given tangle. The results are a completion of the theory begun in [Col99]. They are related to the pruning theory of de Carvalho [dC 99].

Instead of considering an entire homoclinic tangle, which consists of immersed curves of infinite length, we only consider a subset consisting of curves of finite length. We call such a figure a trellis, after Birkhoff [Bir49] and Easton [Eas86]. Aside from being easier to work with, trellises have the advantage that there exist a number of algorithms to compute them to a very high degree of accuracy. We therefore obtain results which can be directly applied to physically-relevant systems. In Section 2 we give a formal description of trellises and discuss the features of their geometry which we shall need later.

In a similar vein, algorithms for computing dynamics forced by homoclinic orbits were recently given independently by Handel [Han99] and Hulme [Hul00]. In Section 3, we show how to relate a collection of homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits to a canonical trellis type, thus unifying the viewpoints of homoclinic orbits and tangles. In particular, given a collection of homoclinic orbits, we construct an essentially canonical trellis which forces the same orbits. This result can be applied to classify homoclinic orbits by braid type. In particular, it is straightforward to obtain a classification of horseshoe homoclinic orbits, which are homoclinic orbits of the Smale horseshoe map.

For the rest of the paper, we try to mimic the results of Nielsen-Turston theory as closely as possible. While the fact that we are dealing with homoclinic orbits often complicates matters, it sometimes can yield simplifications. In Section 4, we show how to associate a graph map to a given trellis map, in much the same way that a pseudo-Anosov braid type gives rise to a train track. The graph we obtain is unique (unlike a train track) and gives a convenient way of specifying the trellis. From this, we also obtain a canonical graph representative for a homoclinic orbit.

We consider the problem of computing the graph representative in Section 5. We give an algorithm modelled on the Bestvina-Handel algorithm, but again the invariant manifolds simplify matters by enabling us to avoid the notorious valence-2 homotopies of the graph map. We follow an idea of Los [Los96] by replacing the valence-2 homotopy by a valence-

3 homotopy which did not require a global knowledge of the map. Unfortunately, Los' analysis of the effect of the move on entropy was erroneous; the entropy may increase during this move, and this meant that the algorithm was not guaranteed to terminate. Our analysis goes along different lines and avoids entropy computations altogether. We also unify the process of absorbing into the peripheral subgraph with the main algorithm, which also simplifies matters somewhat. As with the Bestvina-Handel algorithm, reductions are obtained and dealt with accordingly. Finally, we give a simplified algorithm for periodic braid types based on our analysis of the algorithm for trellises.

In Section 6, we discuss how the dynamics of the graph representative model the dynamics of the original map. These results are based on the relative periodic point theory developed in [Col01]. We first deduce the existence of periodic orbits; the existence of other orbits follows by taking limits. In particular, these results show that the topological entropy of the graph map is a lower bound for that of the surface diffeomorphism. Orbits are related by global shadowing, a relation introduced by Katok, though here we give a new definition more in keeping with the spirit of our topological analysis. One important fact is that periodic orbits which globally shadow each other have the same braid type, so we obtain information about the braid types of the orbits of the system. Finally, we show by a careful construction that homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits of the graph map are shadowed by homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits of the surface diffeomorphism.

The final section, Section 7 is devoted to showing that the dynamics computed by our algorithm is indeed all the dynamics forced by the trellis. In particular, the lower entropy bound computed by the algorithm is sharp. Unfortunately, unlike Nielsen-Thurston theory, there may not be a canonical diffeomorphism whose topological entropy equals the entropy bound given by the Nielsen entropy. We show that existence of a diffeomorphism with minimal topological entropy is equivalent to the existence of a diffeomorphism for which all homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits are forced by the trellis. We also show that by carefully extending the original trellis, we can always find a diffeomorphism whose entropy approximates the entropy bound given by the Nielsen theory. Finally, we complete the link with Nielsen-Thurston theory by finding pseudo-Anosov maps which approximate the entropy bound when such models exist.

A unifying theme throughout this paper is to consider isotopy classes of curves embedded in the surface. We are particularly concerned with curves that have the minimum possible number of intersections with the stable curves of the trellis. Stable curves can be thought of as cohomology classes, though we do not use this interpretation here, as the abelian homology theory is insufficient for many of our constructions, which require the non-abelian homotopy groups. Many of the results we need are intuitively obvious "folk theorems," but we give complete proofs in Appendix A.

2 Tangles and Trellises

In this section we discuss the geometry of homoclinic and heteroclinic tangles, and introduce trellises and trellis mapping classes, which are our main topic of study. We give a number of definitions which allow us to describe some of the properties of trellises. We discuss reducibility of trellis mapping classes, and explain how this differs from reducibility of mapping classes of surface diffeomorphisms. Finally, we discuss the procedure of cutting along the unstable set, which gives us a way of relating trellis maps to maps of topological pairs, and hence a way of studying the dynamics.

2.1 Tangles

A tangle is the figure formed by the stable and unstable manifolds of saddle points.

Definition 2.1 (Tangle) Let f be a diffeomorphism of a surface M , and P a finite invariant set of saddle points of f . Let $W^s(f;P)$ be the stable set of P , and $W^u(f;P)$ the unstable set of P . The pair $W = (W^u(f;P);W^s(f;P))$ is a tangle for f . If P consists of a single point, then W is a homoclinic tangle, otherwise W is heteroclinic.

If p is a point of P , then the stable and unstable curves passing through p are denoted $W^s(f;p)$ and $W^u(f;p)$ respectively.

We use the notation $W^{u=s}$ in statements which hold for both stable and unstable manifolds. If the diffeomorphism f under consideration is clear from the context, we abbreviate $W^{u=s}(f;p)$ to $W^{u=s}(p)$, and if the periodic point set P is also clear, to $W^{u=s}$.

We use the obvious notation for intervals of $W^{u=s}$: The closed interval in $W^{u=s}$ with endpoints a and b is denoted $W^{u=s}[a;b]$ and the open interval is denoted $W^{u=s}(a;b)$. Half-open intervals are denoted $W^{u=s}[a;b)$ and $W^{u=s}(a;b]$.




Figure 1: Tangles in the Henon map: (a) a homoclinic tangle (b) a heteroclinic tangle.

Each stable or unstable curve $W^{u=s}(p)$ is naturally separated into two pieces by the periodic point p .

Definition 2.2 (Branch) A branch of a tangle is a component of $W^{U=S}(P) \cap P$. We orient each stable branch W_B^S so that the positive orientation is in the direction of p , and each unstable branch W_B^U so that the positive orientation is away from p . A branch $W_B^{U=S}$ is closed if there is a branch $W_B^{S=U}$ such that $W_B^{U=S} \setminus W_B^{S=U} \neq \emptyset$.

If necessary, we index the branches of $W^{U=S}(p)$ by integers $W^{U=S}(p; n)$. Note that each branch maps to another branch under f .

Definition 2.3 (Fundamental domain) A fundamental domain is an interval in $W^{U=S}$ bounded by points q and $f^n(q)$, where $q \notin W^P$, and n is the least integer such that q and $f^n(q)$ lie in the same branch.

A branch of a tangle can be found by taking the union of the n -th iterates of a fundamental domain.

Definition 2.4 (Bisymptotic points) An intersection point is a point in $W^U(P) \setminus W^S(P)$. An intersection point not in P is a bisymptotic point. (A bisymptotic point is a point in $(W^U \cup W^S) \cap P$.) A bisymptotic point $q \in W^U(p_1) \setminus W^S(p_2)$ is a homoclinic point if p_1 and p_2 are part of the same periodic orbit, otherwise q is a heteroclinic point. If W^U and W^S cross transversely at a bisymptotic point q , then q is a transverse homoclinic or heteroclinic point.




Figure 2: intersections: Transverse and tangential intersections.

We distinguish six different types of isolated intersection point, shown in Figure 2. There are two transverse intersections \times_j , with positive (+) and negative (-) crossings respectively. There are four tangential intersections $\hat{\times}$, which we denote LL, LR, RL and RR depending on whether the intersection is on the left or right of the unstable and stable curves respectively.

It is well known [Sm a63] that if a diffeomorphism f has a transverse homoclinic point, then f must be chaotic and have positive topological entropy. If f is a uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphism, then any bisymptotic point is transverse.

Definition 2.5 (Primary intersection point) An intersection point $q \in W^U(p_1) \setminus W^S(p_2)$ is a primary intersection point or pip if $W^U(p_1; q)$ and $W^S(q; p_2)$ are disjoint.

2.2 Trellises

There are a number of difficulties in working with tangles directly. If any branch intersects another, then it will be an immersed, rather than embedded, curve, and so its geometry and the topology of the tangle are extremely complicated. Further, since branches may have infinite length, tangles are impossible to compute directly. Instead, we work with finite subsets of tangles known as trellises.

Definition 2.6 (Trellis) Let f be a diffeomorphism of a surface M with a finite invariant set P of hyperbolic saddle points. A trellis for f is a pair $T = (T^U; T^S)$, where T^U and T^S be subsets of $W^U(f; P)$ and $W^S(f; P)$ respectively such that

1. T^U and T^S both consist of finitely many compact intervals with non-empty interiors, and
2. $f(T^U) \subset T^U$ and $f(T^S) \subset T^S$.

We denote the set of periodic points of T by T^P , and the set of intersections of T^U and T^S by T^V .

Definition 2.7 (Trellis map) If f is a diffeomorphism and T is a trellis for f , then the pair $(f; T)$ is called a trellis map.

There are two important relations between trellis maps, namely isotopy and conjugacy.

Definition 2.8 (Isotopy) Trellis maps $(f_0; T)$ and $(f_1; T)$ are isotopic if there is an isotopy of surface diffeomorphisms (f_t) such that for each t , $(f_t; T)$ is a trellis map.

Definition 2.9 (Conjugacy) Trellis maps $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(f_1; T_1)$ are conjugate if there is a homeomorphism h such that $h \circ f_0 = f_1 \circ h$ and $h(T_0^{U=S}) = T_1^{U=S}$.

These relations allow us to define equivalence classes of trellis maps. It is these equivalence classes which will be our primary object of study.

Definition 2.10 (Trellis mapping class) The trellis mapping class $[f; T]$ is the set of all trellis maps which are isotopic to f .

Definition 2.11 (Trellis type) Trellis maps $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(f_1; T_1)$ are equivalent if there is a conjugacy between an element of $[f_0; T_0]$ and an element of $[f_1; T_1]$. Equivalence classes are called trellis types.

If $[f; T]$ is a trellis mapping class or trellis type, a diffeomorphism in $[f; T]$ is a model of $[f; T]$.

Just as we can define branches of a tangle, we can also define branches of a trellis.

Definition 2.12 (Branch) If $W^{U=S}(p; n)$ is branch of a tangle for f , then the corresponding branch of a trellis $T = W$ is given by $T^{U=S}(p; n) = fpg[W^{U=S}(p; n)]$.

Note that a branch of a trellis is an embedded closed interval, whereas a branch of a tangle is an immersed open interval. In particular, a branch of a trellis contains the periodic saddle point.

Most numerical algorithms compute trellises by growing out the branches from P , and the resulting trellises have connected branches. While this is the most natural case to visualise, it is often important to consider trellises with branches that are not connected. Disconnected branches can be useful when considering trellises which are subsets of tangles with unbounded branches.

The most important subsets of $T^{U=S}$ are intervals with endpoints in T^V , especially those which have no intersections in their interior. We call such intervals arcs and segments.

Definition 2.13 (Arc) An arc of $T^{U=S}$ is a closed subinterval of $T^{U=S}$ with endpoints in T^V .

Definition 2.14 (Segment) A segment of $T^{U=S}$ is an arc of $T^{U=S}$ with no topologically transverse intersection points in its interior. The ends of a trellis are the subintervals of $T^{U=S}$ which do not lie in any segment.

Similarly, the most important subsets of the surface M are those bounded by T^U and T^S .

Definition 2.15 (Domain) An open domain of T is an open subset D of M with boundary in $T^U \cup T^S$. A closed domain is the closure of an open domain.

Definition 2.16 (Region) An open region of T is a component of $M \setminus (T^U \cup T^S)$. A closed region is the closure of an open region, and hence includes the stable and unstable boundary segments. We will denote regions by the letter R .

There are two special types of region which play an important role later.

Definition 2.17 (Rectangle) A rectangle is a disc bounded by two stable and two unstable segments which make acute angles with each other.

A rectangle is a regular region; all other regions are singular.

Definition 2.18 (Bigon) A bigon is a disc bounded by one stable and one unstable segment which make acute angles with each other.

In general, we do not have much control over the geometry of a trellis. The exception is near a point of T^P , where the dynamics are conjugate to a linear map. The stable and unstable curves partition neighbourhoods of T^P into subsets called quadrants. These quadrants are defined by the stable and unstable branches bounding them.

Definition 2.19 (Quadrant) A quadrant Q of T at a point $p \in T^P$ is a pair $(T^U(p; b_u); T^S(p; b_s))$ of closed branches at p . The unstable branch of Q is denoted $T^U(Q)$ and the stable branch $T^S(Q)$. The image $f(Q)$ of f is the quadrant at $f(p)$ whose branches intersect $f(T^U(Q))$ and $f(T^S(Q))$.

A stable segment S with endpoint $q \in T^U(Q)$ intersects lies on the Q -side of T^U if locally S lies on the same side of $T^U(Q)$ at q as $T^S(Q)$ does at p . Similarly, an unstable segment U with endpoint $q \in T^S(Q)$ intersects lies on the Q -side of T^S if locally U lies on the same side of $T^S(Q)$ at q as $T^U(Q)$ does at p .

We usually think of quadrants as a sector whose straight boundary arcs are subintervals of $T^U(p; b_1)$ and $T^S(p; b_2)$ respectively. Similarly, we can define a secant of p is a neighbourhood of an open branch of $T^{U=S}(p)$ which does not intersect $T^{S=U}(p)$.




Figure 3: smale: The Smale horseshoe trellis.

Example (The Smale horseshoe trellis)

The Smale horseshoe trellis is formed by the stable and unstable manifolds of the direct saddle fixed point (i.e. the saddle point with positive eigenvalues) of the Smale horseshoe map, and is depicted in Figure 3. The stable and unstable sets are subsets of the stable and unstable manifolds of the saddle fixed point p . The branches of this trellis are connected and the all intersection points are transverse. The points q_0, q_1 and q_2 are primary intersection points on a single homoclinic orbit. The orbits of the biasymptotic points v_0 and v_1 are shown in white dots. These orbits are called forcing orbits for the Smale horseshoe trellis; it is essentially impossible to remove any intersection points of the Smale horseshoe trellis by an isotopy without first removing v_0 and v_1 in a homoclinic bifurcation.

There are eight regions, an unbounded region R_1 , three bigons and four rectangles. The quadrant Q is contained in the region R_0 . Under the Smale horseshoe map, there is a Cantor set of nonwandering points contained in the (closed) rectangular regions R_0 and R_1 , including a fixed point b in R_1 . All other points are wandering except for an attracting fixed point at the end of one unstable branch of $T^U(p)$ in R_1 . The topological entropy of the Smale horseshoe map is $\log 2$. We shall see later that any diffeomorphism with this trellis type must have topological entropy $h_{\text{top}} > \log 2$.

Notice that one of the unstable branches of the Smale horseshoe trellis ends in an attracting fixed point, and one of the stable branches is non-existent. We could isotope the Smale horseshoe map in a neighbourhood of the saddle point p to obtain a pseudo-diffeomorphism with a one-prong singularity at p . The new trellis is shown in Figure 4. It is topologically equivalent to the Smale horseshoe trellis (minus the unstable branch ending at a) but has a different geometric type, and is known as the singular Smale horseshoe




Figure 4: singular smale: The singular Smale horseshoe trellis.

trellis M any of the properties of the singular Smale horseshoe trellis are the same as that of regular Smale horseshoe trellis, except that now there is no pseudo-diffeomorphism in the trellis mapping class which has topological entropy $\log 2$. Instead, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism f with topological entropy $\log 2 - \epsilon < h_{\text{top}}(f) < \log 2 + \epsilon$.

The most important dynamical feature of a trellis mapping type is its entropy.

Definition 2.20 (Entropy) The entropy of a trellis mapping class $[f; T]$, denoted $h_{\text{top}}[f; T]$ is the infimum of the topological entropies of diffeomorphisms in $[f; T]$; that is

$$h_{\text{top}}[f; T] = \inf\{h_{\text{top}}(f') : f' \in [f; T]\}$$

We really give two subclasses of trellis maps which are important for technical reasons. In both these subclasses, we treat the stable and unstable sets differently; this anticipates the loss of time-reversal in the cutting procedure of Section 2.6.

We consider proper trellises in order that the stable set be wellbehaved after cutting.

Definition 2.21 (Proper trellis) We say a trellis $T = (T^U; T^S)$ is proper if $\partial T^S \subset T^U$ and $\partial T^U \cap T^S = \emptyset$. In other words, a trellis is proper if the endpoints of intervals in T^S lie in T^U , but the endpoints of intervals in T^U do not lie in T^S .

Note that considering proper trellises is no great loss of generality; an unstable curve with an endpoint in T^S can be extended by an arbitrarily short piece of curve, and a stable curve with an endpoint not in T^U can be shrunk by removing its end. Further, since the ends of a trellis give no information about the dynamics, both these procedures are harmless and do not affect the results in any way.

We consider well-formed trellises in order that the graph representative obtained in Section 4.3 be wellbehaved; in particular, that its entropy is an optimal entropy bound for the trellis mapping class.

Definition 2.22 (Well-formed trellis) THIS MAY NEED CHANGING !! We say a proper trellis $T = (T^U; T^S)$ for a diffeomorphism f is well-formed if $T^U \cap T^S$ is connected, for every open stable segment S , either $f^{-1}(S) \subset T^S$ or $f^{-1}(S) \cap T^S$ is empty, and for every open unstable segment U , either $f(U) \subset T^U$ or $f(U) \cap T^U$ is either empty, or is an end of T^U .

Again, taking well-formed trellises is no great loss of generality, as we can easily restrict the stable set to obtain this property, and typically do not lose much dynamical information, especially if the branches of the trellis are connected.

2.3 Attractors

As well as saddle periodic orbits, generic diffeomorphisms also have attracting and repelling periodic orbits. Clearly, a stable segment cannot be in the basin of attraction of an attracting orbit, and neither can an unstable segment be in the basin of a repelling orbit. However, it is possible for the interior of an entire region to lie in the basin of an attracting or repelling periodic orbit. Such regions are called stable or unstable regions.

Definition 2.23 (Stable and unstable regions) A region R is stable if there is a diffeomorphism in $[f; T]$ and a periodic orbit P of f such that $f^n(x) \rightarrow p$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all x in the interior of R . If R contains a point of P , then R is attracting.

Similarly, a region R is unstable if there is a diffeomorphism in $[f; T]$ and a periodic orbit P of f such that $f^{-n}(x) \rightarrow P$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all x in the interior of R , and if R contains a point of P , then R is repelling.




Figure 5: Attracting regions. (a) A valence-1 region. (b) A valence-2 region.

Two examples of stable regions are shown in Figure 5. All points in the shaded region are in the basin of attraction of the stable periodic point. Note that T^S is disjoint from the interior of A . Repelling regions are similar. Regions which contain an attractor and a repeller are called infinite regions, since they correspond to the unbounded region of the planar Smale horseshoe. These regions can sometimes be decomposed into attracting and repelling regions. However, if there is no expansion or contraction in these regions at all, we need a different approach, similar to that in the Smale horseshoe. Figure 6 shows an infinite region R near a fixed point p . All points in A lie in the basin of attraction of an attracting fixed point a , and all points in B lie in the basin of a repelling fixed point b . Since A and B cover the interior of R , all points in the interior of R are nonwandering, apart from a and b .

A stable or unstable region (except for one which is attracting or repelling) is wandering, so supports no entropy. An open stable segment which is in the basin of a repelling




Figure 6: infinity: A finite region.

periodic orbit is also wandering, as is an open unstable segment which is in the basis of an attracting periodic orbit. We shall see later that under certain conditions, all other segments and regions must be nonwandering. However, there are some segments which contain intersection points under any diffeomorphism in the trellis mapping class, but for which any arbitrary iterate need not do so. We call such segments almost wandering.

Definition 2.24 (Almost wandering segment) An open segment is almost wandering if it is not wandering, but for any integer n there exists a diffeomorphism $f \in [f; T]$ the n^{th} iterate of the segment by f contains no intersection points.

In other words, an open stable segment S is almost wandering if for any positive integer n , there exists $f \in [f; T]$ such that $f^n(S) \setminus T^U = \emptyset$, but for any diffeomorphism $f \in [f; T]$, there exists n such that $f^n(S) \setminus T^U \neq \emptyset$. (A similar statement holds for unstable segments.)

2.4 Extensions

Given a trellis T for a diffeomorphism f , there is a natural way of making a larger trellis by iterating forward the unstable manifold under f and iterating the stable manifold backward under f . This operation results in an extension of the given trellis.

Definition 2.25 (Extension) A trellis \mathcal{P} is an f -extension of a trellis T if there exists n such that

$$T^U \subset \mathcal{P}^U \subset f^n(T^U) \quad \text{and} \quad T^S \supset \mathcal{P}^S \supset f^{-n}(T^S):$$

A trellis map $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P})$ is an extension of a trellis mapping class $[f; T]$ if $\mathcal{P} \in [f; T]$ and \mathcal{P} is an f -extension of T . An extension is a stable extension if $\hat{T}^U = T^U$, and an unstable extension if $\hat{T}^S = T^S$.

The tangle $W(f; T^P)$ is called the infinite f -extension of T , and is given by the union of all trellises which are f -extensions of T ,

$$W^U = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(T^U) \quad \text{and} \quad W^S = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-n}(T^S):$$

Clearly an f -extension gives more information about the dynamics of f than the original trellis.

Of particular interest are those extensions which do not introduce any more intersection points than absolutely necessary. Such trellises are called minimal extensions, and are discussed in detail in Section 3.




Figure 7: minimal extensions: Extensions of the Smale horseshoe trellis (a) is a minimal extension, (b) is not.

Example (Extensions)

The trellis shown in Figure 7 (a) is a minimal extension of the Smale horseshoe trellis, but the trellis shown in Figure 7 (b) is not, since the intersection points x_0 and x_1 can be removed.

Conversely, we can define subtrellises in the obvious way.

Definition 2.26 (Subtrellis) Given a trellis $\hat{\mathbb{P}} = (\hat{\mathbb{P}}^U; \hat{\mathbb{P}}^S)$ for a surface diffeomorphism f , a trellis $T = (T^U; T^S)$ is a subtrellis of \hat{T} if $T^U \subset \hat{\mathbb{P}}^U$ and $T^S \subset \hat{\mathbb{P}}^S$. If T is a subtrellis of $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$, we say $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is a supertrellis of T . Similarly, T is a subtrellis of a tangle $(W^U; W^S)$ if $\mathbb{P}^U \subset W^U$ and $\mathbb{P}^S \subset W^S$.

Note that while our definition of extension means that we do not allow any changes in the periodic point set T^P , a subtrellis may have a smaller periodic point set.

2.5 Reducibility

The concept of reducibility for a trellis mapping class is complicated by the fact that it may not be possible to decompose a system into a number of invariant subsets of negative Euler characteristic, but may be possible to decompose the system into an attractor-repeller pair. This gives two slightly different notions of a reduction, namely an invariant curve reduction and an attractor-repeller reduction

Definition 2.27 (Invariant curve reduction) A trellis mapping class $[f; T]$ has an invariant curve reduction if there is a diffeomorphism $f^2 : [f; T] \rightarrow [f; T]$ and a one-manifold C

which is disjoint from T , invariant under f , and each component of the complement of C either contains a subtrellis of T , or has negative Euler characteristic. If the complement of C is disconnected, we have a separating reduction, otherwise we have a non-separating reduction.

Definition 2.28 (Attractor-repeller reduction) A trellis mapping class $[f; T]$ has an attractor-repeller reduction if there is a diffeomorphism $\phi \in [f; T]$ and a one-manifold C such that C divides M into subsets A and B such that $\text{cl}(f(A)) = \text{int}(A)$, and each component of the complement of C is either contains a point of T^P , or has negative Euler characteristic.




Figure 8: a reduction: A trellis with an attractor-repeller reduction.

In both cases, the set C is called a set of reducing curves.

The essence of both these definitions is that the manifold simplifies into two subsets which we can treat independently. However, the invariant curve reduction allows for curves that do not divide the manifold, but instead decrease its genus. In both cases, if we find a reduction, we cut along the reducing curves, to obtain a simpler problem, or a number of simpler problems, which we deal with independently. After cutting along the reducing curves of an invariant curve reduction, we immediately obtain a diffeomorphism. After cutting along the reducing curves of an attractor-repeller curve reduction, we need to glue on an annulus to each curve. After gluing, we extend the diffeomorphism ϕ to the annulus in such a way that the remaining boundary is an attractor/repeller.

For most of this paper, we only consider irreducible trellis mapping classes. We consider the problem of finding reductions in Section 5.

We now examine the hypothesis of irreducibility in more detail. Recall that the main effect of reducibility is that the dynamics of a reducible trellis mapping class can be separated into simpler pieces. We now show that the converse is also true; the dynamics forced by an irreducible trellis essentially lies in one minimal component. To prove this, we

need to carefully consider the intersections of branches of the trellis, and how this affects the graph representative. We write $W^U(p_u; b_u) \perp W^S(p_s; b_s)$ if $W^U(p_u; b_u)$ and $W^S(p_s; b_s)$ intersect transversely.

For the case of trellises, we consider a relationship based on heteroclinic chains.

Definition 2.29 (Heteroclinic chains) Let $[f; T]$ be an irreducible transverse trellis mapping class. Let $p_u, p_s \in T^P$. We say there is a heteroclinic chain from p_u to p_s of length n if there are points $p_u = p_0; p_1; \dots; p_n = p_s$ in T^P and an integer m such that for every $\beta \in [f; T]$ we have $T^U(p_i) \setminus \beta^m(T^S(p_{i+1})) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 0; 1; \dots; n-1$. We write $p_u \rightsquigarrow p_s$ if there is a heteroclinic chain from p_u to p_s . A subset P of T^P is chain transitive if $p_1 \rightsquigarrow p_2$ for all $p_1, p_2 \in P$.

Clearly, \rightsquigarrow is a transitive relation, though it need not be reflexive or symmetric.

Note that although $p_u \rightsquigarrow p_s$ implies $W^U(f; p_u)$ intersects $W^S(f; p_s)$ for any f in the trellis mapping class, it is not necessarily true that there exists an n such that $T^U(p_u)$ intersects $f^{-n}(T^S(p_s))$ for any f in the trellis mapping class. In other words, the intersections may lie in arbitrarily high iterates. For the next results, we therefore consider some results which concern tangles, rather than trellises.

Lemma 2.30 Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class, and $p_u = p_0; p_1; \dots; p_n = p_s$ be a heteroclinic chain. Let W be the infinite f -extension of T . Then $W^U(p_u) \perp W^S(p_s)$.

Proof: By the Lambda lemma, if $W^U(p_{i-1}; b_{i-1}) \perp W^S(p_i)$ and $W^U(p_i) \perp W^S(p_{i+1}; b_{i+1})$, then $W^U(p_{i-1}; b_{i-1})$ transversely intersects $W^S(p_{i+1}; b_{i+1})$. Induction on the length of the heteroclinic chain completes the proof. 2

We now use the above observation to show that the chain transitive components of T are permuted.

Lemma 2.31 Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class. Suppose P is a chain transitive subset of W^P . Then there exists least $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^N(P) = P$. Further, if $0 < i < N$, then $p \in f^i(P) \iff p \in P$ for any i .

Proof: Suppose $p_u \rightsquigarrow p_s$. Let \tilde{f} be a diffeomorphism such that $\tilde{f}^n(T^U(p_u)) \perp T^S(p_s)$ and $(\tilde{f}^{n+1}(T^U); T^S)$ is a minimal extension of T . Then $\tilde{f}^{n+1}(T^U)(p_u) \perp \tilde{f}(T^S(p_s))$, so $\tilde{f}^n(T^U(f(p_u))) \perp T^S(f(p_s))$, so $f(p_u) \rightsquigarrow f(p_s)$. Similarly, we can see that $f^{-1}(p_u) \rightsquigarrow f^{-1}(p_s)$, and hence $f^n(p_u) \rightsquigarrow f^n(p_s)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since the points of P are periodic and reflexive under \rightsquigarrow , there exists least $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \rightsquigarrow f^N(p)$ for some $p \in P$. Then $f^{iN}(p) \rightsquigarrow f^{(i+1)N}(p)$, for any i , and since p is periodic, we deduce $p \rightsquigarrow f^{iN}(p) \rightsquigarrow p$ for any i .

Now suppose $q \in P$, so $p \rightsquigarrow q \rightsquigarrow p$. Then for any i , we have $p \rightsquigarrow f^{iN}(p) \rightsquigarrow f^{ni}(q) \rightsquigarrow f^{iN}(p) \rightsquigarrow p$, so $f^{iN}(q) \in P$. Conversely, we can show that if $f^{iN}(q) \in P$, then $q \in P$. Therefore, $P = f^N(P)$. 2

Note that the construction implies that N must divide the period of any point of P .

The relation induces a weak partial order on the chain transitive components of T^P (that is, \leq is transitive, and $P_1 \leq P_2 \leq P_1 \implies P_1 = P_2$, but \leq is not necessarily reflexive). If P is a reflexive component, then P contains a homoclinic orbit, so induces some chaotic behaviour. If $P_R \leq P_A \leq P_R$, then we hope to find an attractor-repeller reduction with P_A in the attractor and P_R in the repeller. If $P = f(P)$, then the tangle of the orbits of P is connected, but if $P = f^N(P)$ for some least $N > 1$, then the tangle of the orbits of P may have several components, which may form the basis for some invariant curve reduction. If P is not reflexive, then $W^U(P)$ may not intersect $W^S(P)$, and P is a non-chaotic saddle.

The following theorem makes this interpretation precise.

Theorem 2.32 (Intersections of infinite extensions of irreducible trellises) Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed irreducible transverse trellis mapping class, and let W be the infinite f -extension of T . Then if $T^U(p_u; b_u)$ and $T^S(p_s; b_s)$ are closed branches of T , the extensions $W^U(p_u; b_u)$ and $W^S(p_s; b_s)$ intersect transversely.

Proof: The relationship clearly defines a partial order on the chain transitive sets. Choose a set P which is minimal in this partial order, and let $P_A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(P)$.

Let $[f_0; T_0] = [f; T]$, and for $i = 1; \dots; \infty$, let $[f_i; T_i]$ be a minimal extension of $[f_{i-1}; T_{i-1}]$ with $T_i = (f_i(T_{i-1}^U); T_{i-1}^S)$. Let K_i be a set consisting of $T_i^U(P_A)$, and all regions of T_i which are topological discs or annuli and all of whose stable boundary segments lie in $T^S(P_A)$. Since $T_i^U(P_A) \setminus T_i^S(P_R) = \emptyset$, $K_i \subset K_{i+1}$. Let A_i be a closed neighbourhood of K_i which deformation retracts onto K_i , and such that $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$. Now, $K_i \cap T^U > K_{i+1} \cap T^U >$

M , so the Euler characteristics are a decreasing bounded sequence of integers, so have a limit, which occurs for some A_n . Then A_{n+1} deformation retracts onto K_n . Further, $f(K_n) \subset K_{n+1} \subset A_{n+1}$, so by taking A_n sufficiently small, we can ensure $f(A_n) \subset A_{n+1}$. Choose an isotopy h_t such that $h_1(A_{n+1}) = A_n$ and h_t is fixed on K , and let $f_t = h_t \circ f$. Then $f_1(A_n) = h_1(f_n(A_n)) \subset h_1(A_{n+1}) = A_n$, so $f_1 \in [f; T]$ and maps A_n into itself.

If $P_A \in P$, then this gives an attractor-repeller reduction. If $P_A = P$ and $(A_n) \in (M)$, we can isotope f so that the boundary of A_n maps to itself, giving an invariant curve reduction. Otherwise, $P_A = P$ and there is no reduction. Since $T^U(p_u; b_u)$ and $T^S(p_s; b_s)$ are closed branches, there are periodic points p_u and p_s such that $T^U(p_u; b_u) \cap T^S(p_u)$ and $T^U(p_s) \cap T^S(p_s; b_s)$. Then $T^U(p_u) \cap T^S(p_s)$, and so $T^U(p_u; b_u) \cap T^S(p_s; b_s)$ as required. \square

2.6 Cutting

Given a trellis, we can cut along the unstable manifold to obtain a new space $\text{Cut}(T)$. Notice that the ends of the unstable curves gives cusps in the new surface. If T is a proper trellis, the stable segments lift to cross-cuts in the new surface. Therefore, $\text{Cut}(T)$ is a topological pair, which we can write $(\text{Cut}_{T^U}(M); \text{Cut}_{T^U}(T^S))$.

Cutting along the unstable curve of the Smale horseshoe trellis gives the set shown in Figure 9.




Figure 9: cut small: Cutting along the unstable curve.

If f is a trellis map, $f(T^U)$ covers T^U , so we have a well-defined function $\text{Cut}(f)$ on the new space. This function is not a diffeomorphism since a point u of T^U which maps to a point $f(u) \notin T^U$ will lift to two points with the same image. However, $\text{Cut}(f)$ is surjective and at most two-to-one; in particular, f has the same topological entropy. Since $\text{Cut}(f)$ maps $\text{Cut}_{T^U}(T^S)$ into itself, so is a map of the topological pair.

Our main tool for studying the geometry, topology and dynamics associated with trellis maps will be to consider curves embedded in the cut surface $\text{Cut}(T)$. As this surface is a topological pair, our curves will be maps in this category, so a curve in T is a mapping $\gamma : (I; J) \rightarrow (\text{Cut}_{T^U}(M); \text{Cut}_{T^U}(T^S))$, where I is the unit interval $[0; 1]$, and J is a subset of I . The path of such a curve is the set $\gamma(I)$.

Since the surface $\text{Cut}(T)$ is obvious from the trellis, we will usually draw curves in $\text{Cut}_{T^U}(M)$ as curves embedded in M , and, wherever possible, ensure these curves are disjoint from T^U . However, as long as a curve in M does not cross T^U , it lifts to a curve in $\text{Cut}_{T^U}(M)$. This simplifies many of the diagrams, and hence clarifies the geometry of the situation.

For the most part, we are only interested in curves up to homotopy or isotopy, and we always take homotopies and isotopies of curves through maps of pairs. We will usually construct curves in one of two classes, which affects how we treat the endpoints during the isotopy. The first class of curves join points on two specified orbits, in which case we keep the endpoints fixed during homotopies. The second class of curves join segments of stable manifold (in which case the set J will be $f[0; 1]g$ or a superset of this set) and we allow homotopies to move the endpoints (but keeping the endpoints in this segment).

Reparameterising a curve does not change its path, but may change the set J which maps into T^S . This means that reparameterisations of the same path may not even be comparable under homotopy. However, we still consider all such curves equivalent.

Definition 2.33 (Equivalence of curves) Curves $\gamma_1 : (I; J_1) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ and $\gamma_2 : (I; J_2) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ are equivalent if there is a homeomorphism $h : (I; J_1) \rightarrow (I; J_2)$ with $h(J_1) = J_2$ such that $\gamma_1 \circ h$ as curves $(I; J) \rightarrow (X; Y)$. (The homotopy may be taken relative to endpoints, as appropriate.)

To study a trellis mapping class $[f; T]$, we consider the iterates of an exact curve $\alpha : (I; J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ with endpoints in $\text{Cut}_{T^U}(T^S)$ under models of $[f; T]$. We are especially interested in iterates with minimal number of intersections with T^S .

Definition 2.34 (Minimal iterate) Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class, and $\alpha : (I; J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ be a simple curve with endpoints in $\text{Cut}(T^S)$ and transverse intersections with $\text{Cut}(T^S)$. Then a minimal iterate of α is a curve $f_{\text{min}}(\alpha)$, which is isotopic to $\text{Cut}(f)$ relative to J which minimises the number of intersections with T^S . We let $J^0 = f_{\text{min}}(\alpha)^{-1}(\text{Cut}(T)^S)$, and consider $f_{\text{min}}(\alpha)$ as a curve $(I; J^0) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$.

Theorem A.11 shows that the minimal iterate of a curve α is well-defined up to the equivalence relation defined above. In particular, the number and order of intersections with T^S is well-defined.




Figure 10: iterate curves: Curve α_0 and iterates $\alpha_1 = f_{\text{min}}(\alpha_0)$ and $\alpha_2 = f_{\text{min}}(\alpha_1)$.

An example of the first two minimal iterates of a curve is given in Figure 10. Minimal iterates are closely related to minimal extensions.

Sometimes we want to relate a curve $b : (\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ to a curve $\alpha : (I; J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$. If $(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}) \notin (I; J)$, the curves cannot be homotopic, but if $(I; J) \supset (\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P})$ then the curve b may be in some sense more complicated than α , as defined below.

Definition 2.35 (Relaxing curves) Let $b : (\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ and $\alpha : (I; J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ be exact curves such that $(I; J) \supset (\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P})$. We say b relaxes onto α if the curve $\alpha_0 : (I; J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ given by $\alpha_0(t) = b(t)$ is homotopic to $\alpha_1 = \alpha$.

It is clear that the relation " b relaxes onto α " is a partial order on homotopy classes of curves.

To simplify the terminology and notation, we will sometimes consider curves as functions $\alpha : (I; J) \rightarrow (M \cap T^U; T^S)$. Such a curve lifts naturally to a unique curve in $\text{Cut}(T)$, and conversely, any curve in $\text{Cut}(T)$ which does not intersect $\text{Cut}(T^U)$ projects to a unique curve in $M \cap T^U$. Since the main reason for working with $\text{Cut}(T)$ as opposed to $M \cap T^S$ is that many technical results require compactness, we will explicitly work with curves with image in $\text{Cut}(T)$ when rigour is required, and use curves with image in $M \cap T^S$ otherwise.

3 Biasymptotic Orbits and Minimal Trellises

We use the term *biasymptotic orbit* to refer to an orbit which is either homoclinic or heteroclinic to the set of periodic points. In this section, we consider how to extend a set of biasymptotic orbits to a trellis, and how to extend a trellis to a larger trellis. We shall usually consider extensions with a given Birkhoff signature. As previously remarked, this does not guarantee a unique trellis type. Instead, we define what it means for a trellis to be minimal given a biasymptotic mapping class. By carefully isotoping a given trellis map, we can construct a minimal trellis, and we then show that such a trellis is unique.

3.1 Biasymptotic orbits

The problem of considering dynamics of trellis types is equivalent to that of computing dynamics for biasymptotic braid types as discussed by Handel [Han99] and Humé [Hu00].

Just as we can consider isotopy classes of surface diffeomorphisms relative to periodic orbits and trellises, we can also consider isotopy classes relative to homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits to periodic saddle orbits.

Definition 3.1 (*Biasymptotic mapping class*) Let f be a surface diffeomorphism, and X a closed, invariant set consisting of a set of periodic saddle orbits X^P and a set X^V of biasymptotic orbits to X^P . The isotopy class of f relative to X is called the *biasymptotic mapping class* $[f; X]$. The conjugacy class of a biasymptotic mapping class is a *biasymptotic type*.

Since X^P is a finite set of saddle orbits, we can compute the stable and unstable manifolds $W^{u,s}(f; X^P)$. Since the points of X^V are biasymptotic to X^P , they are all intersection points of W^u and W^s . However, W^u and W^s may have extra intersections which are not in X , and indeed, typically have infinitely many such intersections. Different representatives of a biasymptotic mapping class will have different tangles.

Definition 3.2 (*Compatible tangle/trellis*) Let $[f; X]$ be a biasymptotic mapping class. Then if \mathcal{P} is any diffeomorphism in $[f; X]$, the tangle

$$\tilde{W} = W^u(\mathcal{P}; X^P); W^s(\mathcal{P}; X^P)$$

is a *compatible tangle* for $[f; X]$. A *trellis map* $(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P})$ is *compatible* with $[f; X]$ if \mathcal{P} is a subtrellis of a compatible tangle \tilde{W} .

There are many different trellis types compatible with a biasymptotic type $[f; X]$. To restrict the possible trellises, we consider only trellises with connected branches, and specify the endpoints of each branch as a point of X . This gives rise to the notion of a Birkhoff signature.

Definition 3.3 (*Birkhoff signature*) A Birkhoff signature for a biasymptotic mapping class $[f; X]$ is a pair $B = (B^u; B^s)$ of subsets of X such that B^u contains one point of

X from each branch of $W^U(f; X^P)$ and B^S contain one point of X from each branch of $W^S(f; X^P)$.

We can further restrict the compatible trellises by specifying whether the intersection of W^U and W^S is transverse or tangential at each point of X . Note that all points on the same orbit must have the same intersection type.




Figure 11: Trellises compatible with the orbits $f q_j g$ and $f v_i g$.

Example (Biasymptotic orbits)

Figure 11 shows some trellises compatible with the biasymptotic mapping class $[f; X]$, where

$$X = f p g [f q_j g [f v_i g$$

The orbits q_j and v_i shown in Figure 11 (a) are orbits of the Smale horseshoe map homoclinic to the saddle point p . Both these orbits lie in the same branch of $W^U(p)$ and $W^S(p)$, so a signature consists of a pair of points from either orbit. The trellis in (b) has signature $(f q_j g; f v_i g)$ and no intersections other than p . The trellises in (c) and (d) have signature $(f q_j g; f v_i g)$. However, the trellis in (c) has a tangency at v_1 , whereas the trellis in (d) has a transverse intersection with negative orientation. The trellises in (e) and (f) both have signature $(f q_j g; f q_j g)$ but again have different types; the trellis in (f) has an extra pair of transverse intersections.

Clearly, even given a Birkhoff signature, there are still many, indeed infinitely many, trellis types compatible with a biasymptotic type. However, some trellises have extra intersections which can be removed by an isotopy in the biasymptotic mapping class.

A trellis with no extra intersections is called a minimal compatible trellis. However, it is easiest to give a local definition which characterises the properties of the trellis more precisely, and from which minimality of the number of intersection follows trivially.

Definition 3.4 (Minimal trellis) Let $[f; X]$ be a biasymptotic mapping class. Then a trellis map $(\mathcal{F}; \mathbb{F})$ is a minimal compatible trellis map if $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{F}^2 [f; X]$ and

1. The endpoints of T^U and T^S are points of X .
2. Every bigon of T either contains a point of X in the interior of one of its boundary segments, or both vertices are points of X .
3. Every tangency of T^U and T^S is a point of X .

The trellis \mathcal{F} is called a minimal trellis for $[f; X]$.

The rest of this section is mostly devoted to proving the existence and (essential) uniqueness of minimal trellises.

We can also extract the biasymptotic orbits from a trellis. Clearly, if $(f; T)$ is a trellis map, the set

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^n(T^V)$$

is a set of biasymptotic orbits, and T is a minimal compatible trellis with $[f; X]$. However, there may be smaller sets of biasymptotic orbits for which T is a minimal compatible trellis. Such a set is called a set of forcing orbits.

Definition 3.5 (Forcing) Let $(f; T)$ be a proper trellis map. A set X is a set of forcing orbits for T if T is a minimal compatible trellis for $[f; X]$. We say that T is forced by X .

3.2 Existence of minimal trellises

To find a minimal trellis \mathcal{F} for a biasymptotic mapping class $[f; X]$ with a given Birkhoff signature B , we start with any compatible trellis map $(f_0; T_0)$ try to remove intersection points by isotopy of maps in $[f; X]$. Suppose f_t is such an isotopy, and T_t is the trellis for f_t with Birkhoff signature B . We can see how the intersections of T_t change as t varies; an example is shown in Figure 12.

We will consider isotopies which preserve the unstable set T^U , but allow us to change the stable set T^S . Unfortunately, changes made to one piece of stable manifold may affect other pieces. Therefore, we only consider of the form $f_t = f \circ h_t^{-1}$, where h_t is supported on a set K whose iterates are controlled. The following lemma shows how precomposing with the inverse of a diffeomorphism h changes the stable and unstable manifolds.

Lemma 3.6 Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class with signature B , and K be an open subset of M such that




Figure 12: smaleisotopy: An isotopy starting with the Smale horseshoe trellis and ending with a minimal trellis relative to the marked orbits.

1. $T^U \setminus f(K) = T^S \setminus f^N(K) = ?$,
2. $T^U \setminus f^N(K) = f^N(T^U \setminus K)$, and
3. the sets $K_n = f^n(K)$ are disjoint for $0 \leq n < N$.

Let h be a diffeomorphism supported on K such that h is the identity on orbits of B . Let $\mathcal{F} = f \circ h^{-1}$, and \mathcal{F} be the trellis of \mathcal{F} with signature B . Then

1. $\mathcal{F}^U = T^U$,
2. $\mathcal{F}^S = T^S$ outside of $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N-1} f^n(K)$, and
3. $\mathcal{F}^S = h(T^S)$ in K .

Proof:

1. Since $f^{-1}(T^U) \setminus K = ?$, if $x \in T^U$, then $f_t^{-1}(x) = h_t^{-1}(f^{-1}(x)) = f^{-1}(x)$, so $x \in T_t^U$.
2. $f^N(T^S) \setminus K = f^N(T^S \setminus f^N(K)) = ?$. Therefore, if $x \in T^S$ and $f^n(x) \notin K$ for $0 \leq n < N$, then x never enters K , so $f^m(x) = f_t^m(x)$ for all m . Hence $x \in T_t^S$.
3. If $1 \leq n < N$, then

$$f^n(T^S \setminus K) \setminus K \quad f^n(K) \setminus K = ?;$$

and if $N \leq n$, then

$$f^n(T^S \setminus K) \setminus K \quad f^n(T^S) \setminus K \quad f^N(T^S) \setminus K = ?:$$

Therefore, if $x \in h_t(T^S \setminus K)$, for $x \in T^S$, so $f_t(x) = f(h_t^{-1}(x)) \in f(T^S \setminus K)$. So $f_t^n(x) = f^n(h_t^{-1}(x))$ as $f^n(h_t^{-1}(x)) \notin K$ for any $n > 0$. Hence $x \in T_t^S$.

Typically, we choose W to be a neighbourhood of a bigon or a vertex of T .

Assuming we always have finitely many intersections, the trellis type only changes at a tangency of T^U and T^S . Passing through such a tangency allows us to remove a pair of intersection points. These intersection points must be the vertices of a special kind of bigon called an inner bigon.

Definition 3.7 (Inner bigon) A bigon R of a trellis T with connected branches is inner if there exist positive integers N_U and N_S such that both $f^{N_U}(R)$ and $f^{N_S}(R)$ are bigons, but $f^{N_U+1}(R^U) \setminus T^U = \emptyset$ (or is an end of T^U) and $f^{N_S+1}(R^S) \setminus T^S = \emptyset$, where R^U and R^S are respectively the open unstable and stable boundary segments of R . A first inner bigon is an inner bigon for which $N_S = 0$, and a last inner bigon is an inner bigon for which $N_U = 0$.

Essentially, an inner bigon has no bigons inside it or any iterate. In particular, the sets $f^n(R)$ are disjoint for $N_S \leq n \leq N_U$. Clearly, every inner bigon is the image of some first inner bigon. Note that the conditions preclude an inner bigon with a vertex in T^P .




Figure 13: inner bigon: The bigon R_1 is inner, but R_0 is not, since $R_1 \subset f^{-2}(R_0)$

The following lemma shows that if we have a trellis map with a bigon for which neither vertex is a periodic point, there must be an inner bigon.

Lemma 3.8 Suppose $(f; T)$ is a trellis map, where T is a proper trellis with connected branches, and let R be a bigon which does not contain a point of T^P . Then T has an inner bigon.

Proof: Let R be any bigon, and let N_S be the greatest integer such that $f^{N_S}(R^S)$ is bounded by an interval in T^U and an interval in T^S . Then $f^{N_S}(R)$ contains no stable curves in its interior, but may contain unstable curves. Since $f^{N_S}(R)$ is a topological disc, it must either be a bigon, or contain a bigon B as a subset. Then there exists a least integer N_U such that $f^{N_U+1}(B^U) \setminus T^U = \emptyset$. Since R is a bigon, $N_U < N_S$, and therefore $f^{N_U}(B) \setminus T^S \subset f^{N_U-N_S}(R) \setminus T^S \subset f^{N_U-N_S}(R^S) \subset f^{N_U}(B^S)$, so $f^{N_U}(B)$ is also a bigon. Hence B is an inner bigon. 2

We can now prove the main result of this section

Theorem 3.9 (Existence of minimal trellis) Suppose $[f; X]$ is a biasymptotic mapping class and $B = (B^U; B^S)$ is a signature in X . Then there is a minimal trellis map $(\mathcal{F}; \mathcal{P})$ compatible with $[f; X]$ with signature B .

Proof: Suppose $(f; T)$ is not minimal. Then either T has a tangency v which is not in X , or by Lemma 3.8 we can find a last inner bigon R such that at least one vertex of R is not a point of X .




Figure 14: bigon isotopy: Isotopies removing intersections in K : (a) removing intersections from a bigon, (b) removing a tangency, (c) making a tangency at a point of X and (d) moving a transverse intersection to a point of X .

If v is a tangency point which is not in X , then there exist greatest integers N_U and N_S such the $f^{N_U}(v) \in T^U$ and $f^{N_S}(v) \in T^S$. Clearly then we can find a neighbourhood K of $f^{N_U}(v)$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.6. Let h be a diffeomorphism supported in K such that $h(T^S) \setminus T^U \setminus K = \emptyset$. Since K is contractible, h is isotopic to the identity by an isotopy h_t supported in K ; in particular, h_t acts as the identity on X . Let $f_t = f \circ h_t^{-1}$, and T_t be the trellis for f_t with signature B . Then, by Lemma 3.6, $T_t^U = T^U$ and $T_t^S = T^S$ except on $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N_S} f^{-n}(K)$. Further, T_1^U and T_1^S do not intersect in $\bigcup_{n=0}^{N_S} f^{-n}(K)$, so T_1 has fewer intersection points than T . This case is shown in Figure 14 (a).

Suppose T has an inner bigon R such that neither vertex of R is a point of X . Then $f^{N_U}(R) \setminus T^U = f^{(N_S+1)}(R) \setminus T^S = \emptyset$ since the end vertices of T are points of X . Since the sets $f^n(R)$ are disjoint for $N_S \leq n \leq N_U$, we can find a contractible neighbourhood K of $f^{N_U}(R)$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.6. Then, as before, we can find isotope f to obtain a trellis with fewer intersections. This case is shown in Figure 14 (b).

It remains to consider the cases where there is an inner bigon R for which at least one vertex x is a point of X . Now, it may be the case that $f^{N_U+1}(x) \in T^U$ or $f^{(N_S+1)}(x) \in T^S$, so Lemma 3.6 does not apply directly to R . In this case, we can first precompose with an isotopy supported in a neighbourhood of $f^{N_U+1}(x)$ to obtain an inner bigon whose vertices are not points of X , as shown in Figures 14 (c) and 14 (d), and then remove this inner bigon as previously discussed. This again reduces the number of intersection points.

The result follows by induction on the number of vertices, since we can always obtain a trellis with finitely many vertices by perturbing f . 2

Note that this construction does not change the unstable set T^U .

3.3 Uniqueness of minimal trellis

We now show that a minimal trellis compatible with a given biasymptotic mapping class with a given signature is essentially unique. Unfortunately, there is one problem; the intersections of two points of X which are adjacent on both the stable and unstable manifolds is unspecified, as shown in Figure 15. We call such intersections unspecified.




Figure 15: smallenonunique: Different minimal compatible trellises.

We use the following preliminary theorem, which is derived from Theorem A.8 and Theorem A.11.

Proposition 3.10 Suppose $(f_0; T)$ and $(f_1; T)$ are minimal trellis maps compatible with a biasymptotic mapping class $[f; X]$. Then $(f_0; T)$ and $(f_1; T)$ are in the same trellis mapping class.

Proof: Let $h = f_0^{-1} \circ f_1$. Then h is isotopic to id relative to X . Since T^U consists of cross-cuts to X , there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1 = h$ and $h_t(T^U) = T^U$ for all t . If we now cut along T^U , we find that h is isotopic to the identity by the isotopy h_t , and T^S is a set of cross-cuts. Therefore, there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1 = h$, and for all values of t , $h_t(T^U) = T^U$ and $h_t(T^S) = T^S$. Then $f_t = f_0 \circ h_t$ gives the required isotopy from f_0 to f_1 .

We need to check that f_t preserves T^U and T^S . Note that $f_t^{-1}(T^U) = h_t^{-1}(f_0^{-1}(T^U)) = f_0^{-1}(T^U)$ since $f_0^{-1}(T^U) = T^U$, and $f_t(T^S) = f_0(h_t(T^S)) = f_0(T^S)$ as required. 2

This result is important in its own right, since it shows that as long as the topology of the surface M is simple, we can specify a trellis mapping class by giving the trellis up to homeomorphism, and then only need to give one biasymptotic orbit on each branch. This

greatly simplifies the representation of a trellis mapping class required for computations, and justifies our custom of specifying a trellis mapping class by drawing the trellis and part of a biasymptotic orbit.

We can now show that a minimal trellis compatible with a set of biasymptotic orbits is essentially unique.

Theorem 3.11 (Uniqueness of minimal trellis) Let X be a set of biasymptotic orbits to a set of periodic saddle points P . Let $B^{U=S}$ be a set consisting of one point of X for each branch of $W^{U=S}(P)$. Suppose $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(f_1; T_1)$ are trellis maps forced by $[f; X]$, and the ends of T_0 and T_1 are points of B . Suppose further that whenever there are points x_1 and x_2 of X such that $T^U[x_1; x_2] \cup T^S[x_1; x_2]$ bounds a bigon R in T_0 and there are no other points of X on the boundary of R , that T_0 and T_1 have the same type of crossing (transverse or tangential) at x_1 and x_2 . Then $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(f_1; T_1)$ have the same type.

Proof: Since the curves T^U and T^S are mutually homotopic, have the same types of intersection at X , and have minimal intersections with respect to X , by Theorem A.11, there is a homeomorphism h such that $h(T_0) = T_1$ and h is isotopic to the identity relative to X . Then $(h^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ h; h^{-1} \circ T_1) = (h^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ h; T_0)$, and f_0 and $h^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ h$ are isotopic relative to X . Then by Proposition 3.10, $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(h^{-1} \circ f_1 \circ h; T_0)$ are in the same trellis mapping class, so $(f_0; T_0)$ and $(f_1; T_1)$ are equivalent. 2




Figure 16: bigon nonunique: Possibilities for bigons with both vertices in X .

Note that if there is a bigon with both vertices in X , we may have different local behaviour as shown in Figure 16.

3.4 Minimal extensions

We have considered how to extend a set of biasymptotic orbits to a trellis with a given signature. We can also extend trellises to longer trellises. Of particular interest are those which do not introduce any more intersections than necessary. Such trellises are called minimal extensions. They are best characterised as being extensions which are minimal with respect to the orbits of the original trellis.

Definition 3.12 (Minimal extension) A trellis map $(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$ is a minimal extension of $(\mathcal{E}; T)$ if $(\mathcal{E}; T) \leq (\mathcal{E}; T)$ and is a minimal trellis in $(\mathcal{E}; X)$, where the set X is given by $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^n(T^V)$, the union of the orbits of the intersections of T .

The following result follows almost directly from the definition of a minimal extension.

Theorem 3.13 (Existence and uniqueness of minimal extensions) Let $(\mathcal{E}; T)$ be a trellis mapping class, $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} f^n(T^V)$, B the signature class of T in X , and \mathcal{E} a signature class in X with $\mathcal{E} > B$. Then there is a unique trellis type $(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$ with signature \mathcal{E} which is a minimal extension of $(\mathcal{E}; T)$.

The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 3.11.

Since minimal extensions have the same forcing orbits as the original trellis, we expect the dynamics to be the same as that forced by the original trellis, or indeed, the biasymptotic orbits themselves. This is in fact the case, as we shall see later. Minimal extensions provide a way of getting more information about the dynamics without increasing entropy.

4 Graphs

Any connected compact surface with nonempty boundary is homotopy-equivalent to a one-dimensional space. Further, such spaces, and maps on them, are very easy to describe combinatorially. We can use these properties to provide a framework for representing surface diffeomorphisms and computing their dynamical properties. In classical Nielsen-Thurston theory, surface homeomorphisms are represented on one-dimensional spaces with a differentiable structure called train tracks. When representing trellises, we also need to take into account the topology of the stable and unstable curves. By cutting along the unstable curves, we introduce new loops in the graph. The stable curves are represented by special edges called control edges, since these control the behaviour of the one-dimensional representative of a trellis map.

4.1 Combinatorics of graph maps

We first give some standard definitions concerning graphs embedded in compact surfaces.

Definition 4.1 (Graph) A graph G is an one-dimensional CW-complex. The vertices of G are the zero-dimensional cells, and the edges are the one-dimensional cells. The edges are oriented, and the reverse of the edge e is denoted \bar{e} , so $e = \bar{\bar{e}}$. The initial vertex of a oriented edge e will be denoted $i(e)$. The valence of a vertex v is the number of directed edges for which v is the initial vertex. A graph can be described up to homeomorphism by giving its vertices and the incident edges at each vertex.

The Euler characteristic of a graph is $\chi(G) = |V(G)| - |E(G)|$ where $|V(G)|$ is the total number of vertices and $|E(G)|$ is the total number of edges. χ is a homotopy type invariant.

Definition 4.2 (Edge-path) An edge-path is a list $e_1 \dots e_n$ of oriented edges of G such that $i(e_i) = t(e_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i < n$. An edge loop is a cyclically-ordered list of edges. The trivial edge-path contains no edges and is denoted \emptyset . An edge-path $e_1 \dots e_n$ backtracks if $e_{i+1} = \bar{e}_i$ for some i , otherwise it is tight.

Definition 4.3 (Surface embedding) We will always consider a graph embedded in a surface by an embedding i . This induces a natural cyclic order to the edges incident at each vertex. The graph can be described up to local ambient homeomorphism by giving the cyclic ordering of the incident edges at each vertex.

Definition 4.4 (Turn) A pair of edges (e_1, e_2) is a turn in G at vertex v if $v = i(e_1) = i(e_2)$ and e_2 immediately follows e_1 in the cyclic order at v .

Definition 4.5 (Peripheral loop) An edge-loop γ is peripheral in G if (p_{k-1}, p_k) is a turn in G for all k . A peripheral loop γ is simple if γ is a simple closed curve, or equivalently, if $i(p_i) \neq i(p_j)$ for $i \neq j$. Peripheral loops which are not simple may repeat vertices, or even edges (with opposite orientation). Peripheral loops define anti-clockwise curves around boundary components of the surface M .

Definition 4.6 (Frontier) If H is a subgraph of G , an edge-loop γ is a frontier loop of H if γ is peripheral in H but not in G . If H is a subgraph of G , then the link of H , denoted $Lk(H)$ is the set of edges e of G with $\{e\} \cap H \neq \emptyset$, but $e \notin H$.

Definition 4.7 (Graph maps) A graph map g is a self-map of G taking a vertex to a vertex, and edge e to an edge-path $e_1 \dots e_k$ such that $g(e) = e_1 \dots e_k$ for all directed edges e . If g is a graph map on G , where G is embedded in M by an embedding i , then we say g is embeddable if there are arbitrarily small perturbations of $i \circ g$ which are embeddings and preserve the cyclic order at any vertex. (A combinatorial definition of an embeddable graph map also exists.)

Definition 4.8 (Derivative map) The derivative map ∂g takes oriented edges to oriented edges or \emptyset , with $\partial g(e) = e_j$ if $g(e) = e_j \dots e_k$ and $\partial g(e) = \emptyset$ if $g(e) = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.9 (Peripheral subgraph) The peripheral subgraph P of g is a maximal invariant subset of G consisting of simple peripheral loops. Edges of P are called peripheral edges. If $g^n(e) \in P$ for some n , then e is pre-peripheral. The set of pre-peripheral edges is denoted $Pre-P$.

The dynamics of g can be split into transitive components. We can define a transitive relation on the edges E of G by $e_1 > e_2$ if there exists an n such that $g^n(e_1)$ contains the edge e_2 . Equivalence classes under this relation give the transitive components of g . The quotient of this relation by the equivalence classes gives a partial order on the transitive components.

A transitive component of a graph map may split into subsets which are permuted, which gives a further reduction. We can define a stronger transitive relation on the edges E of G by $e_1 < e_2$ if there exists n such that $g^m(e_1)$ contains the edge e_2 for all $m > n$. Equivalence classes under this relation are irreducible components of G .

Definition 4.10 (Transition matrix and growth rates) The transition matrix of g is the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ where a_{ij} is the number of times the edge e_j appears in the image path of edge e_i . The largest eigenvalue of A is the growth rate of g , and the logarithm of the growth rate gives the topological entropy of g . We can restrict the transition matrix to any transitive component; and the growth rate is equal to the largest growth rate on any component.




Figure 17: graph: A graph embedded in a disc with four punctures.

Example 4.11

Figure 17 shows a graph embedded in a disc with four punctures. The peripheral loops are p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 (surrounding the punctures) and $ap_1ap_4cp_3cp_5bp_2b$. There is a graph map g such that

$$g(p_1) = p_2; g(p_2) = p_3; g(p_3) = p_1; g(p_4) = p_5; g(p_5) = p_4; \\ g(a) = cp_3cp_5b; g(b) = cp_3cp_5bp_2bp_5c; g(c) = a;$$

The peripheral subgraph of g is $fp_1;p_2;p_3;p_4;p_5g$.

4.2 Compatible graph maps

Our main tool for computing and describing the dynamics of a trellis mapping class is to relate a trellis mapping class to a map of a one-dimensional space.

Definition 4.12 (Graph map) A graph map is a map $g : (G; W) \rightarrow (G; W)$, where G is a one-dimensional CW-complex, which we call a graph, and W is a finite set of points of G . We sometimes denote a graph map g of $(G; W)$ as $(g; G; W)$.

Graph maps are useful, since they are easy to describe and work with combinatorially. We do not relate a trellis mapping class directly to a graph map, but instead use the map induced by cutting along the unstable curves. To relate this map to a map on a different space, we introduce a new concept of equivalence, that of exact homotopy equivalence, which is the natural extension of the concept of homotopy equivalence to maps of pairs.

We first need to define what it means for a map of pairs to be exact.

Definition 4.13 (Exact map of pairs) A map of topological pairs $f : (A; B) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ is exact if $f^{-1}(Y) = B$. (Equivalently, f is exact if $f(B^c) = Y^c$.)

Note that this is equivalent to saying that f is a map of triples, $f : (A; B; B^c) \rightarrow (X; Y; Y^c)$. However, we do not use this notation, as we treat exact maps as a special class of map in the category of topological pairs. In particular, we will often take homotopies between exact maps and non-exact maps in the category of topological pairs.

We can compare systems on different spaces via exact homotopy equivalence.

Definition 4.14 (Exact homotopy equivalence) Topological pairs $(A; B)$ and $(X; Y)$ are exact homotopy equivalent if there are exact maps $p : (A; B) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ and $q : (X; Y) \rightarrow (A; B)$ such that $q \circ p \simeq id_A$ and $p \circ q \simeq id_X$. p and q are called exact homotopy equivalences. Maps $f : (A; B) \rightarrow (A; B)$ and $g : (X; Y) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ are exact homotopy equivalent if there is an exact homotopy equivalence $p : (A; B) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ such that $p \circ f = g \circ p$.

Note that the homotopies used in the definition of exact homotopy equivalence can be taken through any map of pairs and not just exact maps.

A graph map representing the topology of a trellis is called compatible with the trellis.

Definition 4.15 (Compatible graph map) A pair $(G;W)$, where G is a graph and W is a subset of G is compatible with a trellis T if $(G;W)$ and $\text{Cut}(T) = (\text{Cut}_{T^c}(M); \text{Cut}_{T^c}(T^S))$ are exact homotopy equivalent by an embedding $i: (G;W) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$. The exact homotopy inverse of i can be chosen to be a retraction r .

A graph map g of $(G;W)$ is compatible with the trellis mapping class $[f;T]$ if $(G;W)$ is compatible with T , and g is exact homotopy equivalent to $\text{Cut}(f)$.




Figure 18: henonembedded: A subset of a compatible graph.

The controlled graph shown in Figure 18 is a subset of the graph compatible with T . The edges where the graph crosses T^S are shown as thick green lines. To simplify the combinatorics of a compatible graph, we will later insist that these edges are invariant and map into exactly one edge. Since these edges effectively control the dynamics of the graph map, we call them control edges. The combinatorics of graph maps with control edges will be discussed in detail in Section 4.3




Figure 19: tangencycompatibility: A trellis with tangencies may not have a compatible graph with W a finite point set.

Whenever possible, we choose compatible graphs $(G;W)$ with W a finite set. However, if T has tangencies, this may not be possible, as shown in Figure 19.

4.3 Graph representatives

While compatible graph representatives are a very useful concept when studying trellis maps, it is useful to have more control over the behaviour near the invariant set W . To simplify the exposition, we restrict attention to transverse proper trellises, thus ensuring that we can take W to be a finite set, though all our results can be extended in a fairly

straightforward way to trellises with tangencies. We therefore restrict attention to a subset of compatible graph maps called compatible controlled graph maps.

Definition 4.16 (Compatible controlled graph map) Let T be a transverse trellis, and $(G; W)$ be a graph pair which is compatible with T such that no edge of G contains more than one point of W . We say $(G; W)$ is a controlled graph, and the edges of G containing points of W are control edges. The set of control edges are denoted Z . Control edges z are oriented so that the crossing $(S; z)$ gives the positive orientation on M . (Recall that stable segments are oriented in the direction of T^P .)

If $[f; T]$ be a transverse trellis mapping class, and g a compatible graph map of a compatible controlled graph $(G; W)$, then g is a controlled graph map if for every control edge z , the image $g(z)$ is a single control edge, and g is orientation preserving on Z if f is orientation preserving, and orientation reversing on Z if f is orientation reversing.

A vertex of G which is the endpoint of a control edge is called a control vertex. All other vertices are called free vertices, and edges which are not control edges are called free edges. Free edges which are neither peripheral nor pre-peripheral are called expanding edges.

A controlled graph is proper if it is connected and free vertex has valence at least 3. A proper controlled graph has at most $3\mathcal{J}(G) + 3$ edges, and $3\mathcal{J}(G) + 2$ vertices, where $\mathcal{J}(G)$ is the number of control edges.

We next define a class of graph maps, the efficient graph maps, which have minimal entropy in their exact homotopy class, and a subclass of optimal graph maps, which give a canonical representative of an exact homotopy class. Efficient and optimal graph maps can be defined in terms of their actions on the turns of G . Some of following notions are used in the definitions, and all are useful in describing the algorithms.

Definition 4.17 (Good, bad and inefficient turns) Let g be a graph map of G , and $(e_0; e_1)$ a turn of G . Then

If $\mathcal{C}g(e_0) \neq \mathcal{C}g(e_1)$, the turn is a good turn.

If $\mathcal{C}g(e_0) = \mathcal{C}g(e_1)$ and at least one of e_0 and e_1 is a control edge, the turn is a controlled turn. If both e_0 and e_1 are control edges, the turn is fully controlled, if only one is, the turn is half controlled.

If $\mathcal{C}g(e_0) = \mathcal{C}g(e_1)$ and both e_0 or e_1 are free edges, the turn is a bad turn.

A bad turn $(e_0; e_1)$ is inefficient if in addition there is an edge e such that $g^n(e) = \dots e_0 e_1 \dots$.

In order to find efficient graph maps, we pass through intermediate graphs where there are no obvious ways of reducing the dynamics locally. Such maps are called tight.

Definition 4.18 (Tight graph map) Let g be a graph map of a controlled graph G . Then g is vertex tight if there is no free vertex v such that $\mathcal{C}g$ takes the same value for all edges e with $\{e\} = v$, and g is edge tight if for every edge e , the edge path $g(e)$ is nontrivial and does not back-track. g is tight if it is both vertex tight and edge tight.

(Note that ∂g is constant at a vertex v if there is an edge e such that $g(e_i) = e$ for all edges e_i at v .)

Definition 4.19 (Efficient graph map) A graph map g is efficient if there are no inefficient turns.

Certain efficient graph maps have no bad turns. Such graph maps are called optimal.

Definition 4.20 (Optimal graph map) A graph map g is optimal if there are no bad turns and every invariant forest contains a control edge.

Note that the inclusion i induced a bijection between the regions of $\text{Cut}(T)$ (and hence of T) with the regions of $(G;W)$, and that all compatible graphs are exact homotopy equivalent.

Definition 4.21 (Graph representative) A graph map g is a graph representative of a proper irreducible trellis type $[f;T]$ if g is an optimal graph map which is compatible with $(f;T)$.

Every trellis mapping class without an invariant curve reduction has a graph representative.

Theorem 4.22 (Existence of graph representatives) Let $[f;T]$ be a proper trellis mapping class with no invariant curve reduction. Then $[f;T]$ has a graph representative.

We prove this result in Section 5 by giving an algorithm to compute a graph representative. This algorithm also finds an invariant curve reduction, if one exists, and shows how to compute the irreducible components for such a trellis. It is clear that the trellis type can be reconstructed from the graph representative. We shall see in Section 4.6 that this graph representative must be unique.

To capture the topology of a trellis, we need to consider an embedded graph with an invariant set of points W , giving a topological pair $(G;W)$. To further control the local dynamics near W , we give restriction on the edges of G containing points of W . The edges crossing the stable set are special edges called control edges, since they control the homotopy class of the representative graph map.

Just as for trellis mapping classes, some graph maps are reducible and can be split into simpler pieces.

Definition 4.23 (Reducible graph map) A controlled graph map g of $(G;W)$ is reducible if G has an invariant subgraph H such that H does not contain any control edges and either

1. H has negative Euler characteristic. We call this an n -component separating reduction, where n is the number of components of H .
2. H is a union of n simple closed curves but is not a subset of P . We call this an n -curve non-separating reduction.

g has an attractor-repeller reduction if there is an invariant subgraph H such that

3. H and H^c both contain controlled edges.

An algorithm of Bestvina and Handel for free groups [BH 92] considers the reducible case as a single graph.

4.4 Properties of graph representatives

We now give some elementary properties concerning relationship between a trellis and a compatible graph, and of the dynamics of a graph representative. Our main tool will be to look at curves in $\text{Cut}(T)$ with endpoints in T^S which cross T^S transversely. These curves can be projected to $(G;W)$ by the exact homotopy retract $r : \text{Cut}(T) \rightarrow (G;W)$. Since the inclusion $i : (G;W) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ is an exact homotopy inverse for r , there is a natural equivalence between exact homotopy classes of curves in $\text{Cut}(T)$ and in $(G;W)$.

First, note that a compatible graph map is embedded in the surface obtained by cutting along the unstable set T^U . For each n -prong point p of T^P , the boundary component obtained by cutting along $T^U(p)$ consists of n smooth pieces. These pieces are homotopic to a curve in the graph called an unstable-parallel curve.

Definition 4.24 (Unstable-parallel curve) A simple curve in $M \setminus T^U$ with endpoints in T^S is unstable-parallel isotopic to T^U if there is an exact isotopy $\tau : (I;J) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ such that $\tau_0 = \gamma$ and $\tau_1 \subset T^U(p)$.




Figure 20: horseshoe embedded: Graph compatible with the Smale horseshoe trellis.

In Figure 20 we show a graph compatible with the Smale horseshoe trellis. Passing anticlockwise around the unstable $T^U(p)$, we have two smooth curves, one curve with the same orientation as $T^U(p)$ and lying to its right, and one curve with opposite orientation to its left. These curves can be projected onto the graph representative $(G;W)$ giving edge-paths $z_3 z_2 z_1 c z_5 z_5 z_1$ and $z_0 a z_6 z_4 b z_1 z_1 b z_4 z_6 a z_0$. Note that each edge is traversed twice. This is always the case if we traverse all boundary components of $\text{Cut}(T)$ (including those which are boundary components of M).




Figure 21: cusp: Cusps at the end of an unstable curve. (a) shows a cusp where the last intersection is a transverse crossing. (b) shows a cusp where the last intersection is an endpoint of T^S .

The ends of T^U give rise to cusps in $(G; W)$, as shown in Figure 21. In Figure 21 (a), the control edges z_0 and z_1 surround an end of T^U . Then $g(z_0)$ and $g(z_1)$ must be the same, unless the intersection point q is a point of T^P . In Figure 21 (b), the point q is an endpoint of T^S . In this case, the initial edge of $g(a_1)$ is $g(z_1)$, and the turn is only half controlled. As we shall see, this is impossible if the trellis T is well-formed.




Figure 22: turn: Turns surrounding a bigon.

If z_0 and z_1 form a cusp, then unless z_0 and z_1 are periodic, in which case the cusp forms at the end of an open branch of T^U , we have $g(z_0) = g(z_1)$. The only other possibility for a turn where both edges are control edges is shown in Figure 22 (a). Here, the control edges z_0 and z_2 bound a region parallel to the unstable boundary U of a bigon whose stable boundary crosses a control edge z_1 . If $f(U) \setminus T^U = ?$, then $g(z_0) = g(z_1) = g(z_2)$. Removing the stable boundary segment S_2 crossing z_2 as shown in Figure 22 (b) does not significantly change the topology of the graph; in particular, the valence-3 vertex remains a control vertex. However, if we also remove the stable boundary segment S_0 crossing z_0 , this vertex is no longer a control vertex, so the resulting graph map is no longer optimal. Indeed, the graph representative for the resulting trellis may not be surface embeddable.

If the trellis T is not well-formed, a minimal iterate may have self-intersections, as shown in Figure 23. However, if T is not well-formed, a minimal iterate does not self-intersect.

Lemma 4.25 Suppose $[f; T]$ is a trellis mapping class where T is a well-formed trellis, and γ is a simple curve in $M \setminus T^U$ with endpoints in T^S and transverse crossings. Then γ has a minimal iterate which is simple (i.e. has no self-intersections.)

Proof: We use induction on the number of crossings. If γ is a minimal iterate, we are done. Suppose γ has a pair of inner crossings, $f(r_0)$ and $f(r_1)$. Removing these results in a simple curve with fewer intersections, unless there is a piece of γ between




Figure 23: bad minimal iterate: Curve α_0 and minimal iterate α_1 which self-intersects.




Figure 24: iterate isotope: If q_0 lies between p and q_1 on T^s , then r_0 must be in T^s .

these two intersections. In this case there is either a single intersection $f(q_0)$, where q_0 is an endpoint of α , or a pair of intersections $f(q_0)$ and $f(q_1)$ where q_0 and q_1 are in T^s . We assume $q_0 \leq_s q_1$ in the natural order along the stable curve, and $f(r_0) \leq_s f(r_1)$. Then $f(r_0) \leq f(q_0)$, so r_0 lies between a periodic saddle point p and q_0 in the stable manifold, so $r_0 \in T^s$ since T is well-formed. 2

Lemma 4.26 If e is not a peripheral edge, then there exists n such that $g^n(e)$ contains a control edge.

Now consider the graph shown in Figure 25. The curve α_0 from w_3 to w_2 maps to α_1 from w_2 to w_1 . We shall see that if the curve α_0 does not back-track except in control edges, and g is an efficient graph map, then $g \circ \alpha_0$ is a minimal iterate. This will allow us to prove the existence of (bi)asymptotic orbits directly from the graph map. Also, by relating minimal extensions to the graph map using curves isotopic to T^u , we can easily find properties of minimal extensions.

One important feature about unstable-parallel curves is that if $[\mathbb{E}; \mathbb{F}]$ is a minimal unstable extension of $[f; T]$ and α is an unstable-parallel curve for T , then $f_{\min}(\alpha)$ is unstable-parallel curve for \mathbb{F} . Therefore, we can use unstable parallel curves to construct minimal extensions, as shown in Figure 26. An unstable-parallel curve in a graph G compatible with a trellis T is a subpath of a peripheral loop.




Figure 25: henonembeddediterate: A minimal iterate of a curve in a graph.




Figure 26: unstableisotopic: Curve α_1 is unstable isotopic to T^U , and α_1 follows a minimal extension.

4.5 Reducibility of graph representatives

If $[f; T]$ has a separating invariant curve reduction which gives rise to an invariant sub-surface with no components of T , then there cannot be a graph representative, since we cannot move bad turns in the corresponding invariant subgraph to controlled edges. Therefore, we can only hope to find graph representatives for a trellis mapping class without invariant curve reductions. The next result gives a condition for a trellis mapping class to have an attractor-repellor reduction.

Theorem 4.27 (Attractor-repellor reductions) Let g be a proper graph map compatible with a well-formed trellis mapping class $[f; T]$. If g has a proper invariant subgraph H which is disjoint from W and either has negative Euler characteristic, or is a union of non-peripheral circles. Then $[f; T]$ has an invariant curve reduction. If g has any other proper invariant subgraph which either has negative Euler characteristic or is a union of non-peripheral circles, then g has an attractor-repellor decomposition.

Proof: If H is an invariant subgraph which is disjoint from W , then we can take the boundary curves of H to be a set of reducing curves. If H is any other invariant subgraph, let $\overline{H} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} g^{-n}(H)$ and let \mathcal{H} be the set of components of \overline{H} which either contain points

of H or contain control edges mapping into H . Since $g(H) \subset H$, we must have $g(\mathbb{H}^P) \subset \mathbb{H}^P$, and further, $g(\partial\mathbb{H}^P) \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^P$. $\partial\mathbb{H}^P$ is contained in free edges. We introduce control edges at points of $\partial\mathbb{H}^P$, which corresponds to introducing extra stable curves T_N^S curves in T . The region bounded by T^U and T_N^S is then an attractor, which we cut out to give one component of the reduction. The complement of this region is a repeller. We can find the dynamics of this repeller by removing all control edges in \mathbb{H}^P , which corresponds to removing all stable curves in A . We cut along these curves to obtain the attractor. 2




Figure 27: a reduction graph: Graph of a trellis with an attractor-repeller reduction.

Example 4.28

Figure 27 shows the graph of a trellis with an attractor-repeller reduction. The control edges z_i map

$$z_6; z_7; z_8 \mapsto z_4 \mapsto z_2 \mapsto z_0 \mapsto z_0; \quad z_5 \mapsto z_3 \mapsto z_1 \mapsto z_0;$$

and the other control edges map

$$7; 6 \mapsto 3; \quad 5; 4; 3 \mapsto 2; \quad 2 \mapsto 1; \quad 1; 0 \mapsto 0;$$

$$0; 1; 2 \mapsto 0; \quad 3 \mapsto 1; \quad 4 \mapsto 2; \quad 5 \mapsto 3; \quad 6; 7; 8 \mapsto 4; \quad 9 \mapsto 5; \quad 10 \mapsto 6; \quad 11; 12; 13 \mapsto 7;$$

The boundary points of the invariant set A are marked with crosses, and the control edges not in A are those labelled z_i . The trellis with this graph representative is the one given in Figure 8 (a).

4.6 Uniqueness of graph representatives

It is clear that given an efficient graph map compatible with a trellis map, the trellis mapping type can be reconstructed from the graph map. The converse is also true; up to isomorphism there is only one efficient controlled graph map compatible with a given trellis mapping class.

Theorem 4.29 (Uniqueness of graph representative) Let $[f; T]$ be an irreducible trellis mapping class. Then, up to isomorphism, there is a unique efficient graph map $(g; G)$ compatible with $[f; T]$.

To prove this we need the following lemma, which is an easy consequence of irreducibility.

Lemma 4.30 Suppose $(g;G)$ is an efficient graph map for an irreducible trellis mapping class $[f;T]$. Then there exists n such that for every edge e , $g^n(e)$ either contains a control edge or is a peripheral edge.

The next result gives a verifiable criterion for an exact homotopy equivalence between two graphs to be homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Lemma 4.31 Suppose there are functions n_1 and n_2 from the edges of G_1 and G_2 to \mathbb{N} such that whenever γ_1 and γ_2 are paths joining controlled edges with $p(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2, q(\gamma_2) = \gamma_1$, we have $n_1(\gamma_1) = n_2(\gamma_2)$. Then p is homotopic to an isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 .

Proof: For each vertex v , choose edge-paths γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 from v to C . Then $p(\gamma_1), p(\gamma_2)$ and $p(\gamma_3)$ are edge-paths with the same initial vertex. If γ_1, γ_2 and γ_3 all have the same initial edge-path γ , we can homotope p along γ to remove this initial edge path. If now γ_1, γ_2 have the same initial edge-path γ , we can homotope p along γ . We now have the situation that for each




Figure 28: graph eqn: Local topology of graphs

with distinct initial edges there is a natural image $h(v)$ obtained by tightening $p(\gamma_i)$ to paths γ_i , and then homotopic along a maximal common initial path of two of the edges. However, if v has valence > 4 , different paths from v may give different results. Suppose this is the case; that there are four edge-paths $a_1 :::: a_4$ from v , but $p(\gamma_i) = \gamma_i^0$ with γ_1^0 and γ_2^0 having the same initial edge-path γ_0^0 . Counting control edge preimages, we find that γ_0^0 cannot have any, a contradiction. 2

The proof of the main theorem is as follows

Proof: Let $p : (g;G) \rightarrow (g^0;G^0)$ be an exact homotopy equivalence with homotopy inverse q . Thus $q \circ p = id, p \circ q = id$ and $g \circ q = g^0 \circ p$, taking homotopies relative to W . It is clear that we can choose p and q to be mutual inverses on the control and peripheral edges, so merely need to consider the expanding edges. We therefore make no distinctions between controlled edges in G and G^0 .

First, consider an edge-path γ in G which does not back-track, and has endpoints in W but no interior points in W . Let γ^0 be the edge-path homotopic to $p(\gamma)$ which does not

back-track. Then $q(p) = q^0$. Suppose $g = c_0 c_1 \dots c_n$. Then since g does not back-track,

$$g = q \circ g \circ p = q(g^0) = c_0 c_1 \dots c_n$$

where c_i relative to endpoints. Since g^0 does not back-track, and q maps C^0 into C , we have $g^0 = c_0^0 c_1^0 \dots c_n^0$ for paths c_i^0 with $q(c_i^0) = c_i$. But then c_i^0 cannot contain any control edges, since then so would c_i . Therefore g and g^0 cross equivalent control edges in the same order.

Further applications of this argument show that g^n and g^0 cross equivalent control edges in the same order. In particular, every such edge path contains the same number of n^{th} control edge preimages. Hence, by Lemma 4.31, G_1 and G_2 are homeomorphic by a graph map homotopic to p . 2

5 Computing a Graph Representative

We now give algorithms for obtaining optimal and efficient graph maps. The algorithms are all variants of the fundamental algorithm 5.6, which considers the case with control edges and without peripheral edges. This algorithm terminates by finding a reduction, a peripheral subgraph or an optimal controlled graph map. The other algorithms use this algorithm, or slight variants, to find a reduction, or to compute an optimal or efficient graph map.

In the case of surface mapping classes, there are no control edges. If there are peripheral edges, we can use these to give graph norms. If not, we create temporary control edges at periodic points of expanding edges. In both these cases, we must use entropy to show that the algorithm terminates.

5.1 Graph moves

We now describe the moves we need for the algorithm. Each move is an exact homotopy equivalence; in particular, the Euler characteristic and number of control edges are unchanged. The property of being surface embeddable need not be preserved in general; however, performing any move followed by all possible vertex and edge tightenings does preserve this property.

Edge tightening If $g(e_0) = e_1 \dots e_{i+1} \dots e_n$ and $e_{i+1} = e_i$, homotope g to g^0 with $g^0(e_0) = e_1 \dots e_{i-1} e_{i+2} e_n$.




Figure 29: edge tightening: Tightening the edge e .

Vertex tightening If v is a vertex with incident edges $e_1 \dots e_n$ and $g(e_i) = \alpha_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, homotope so that $g^0(e_i) = \alpha_i$.

Edge collapsing If $g(e_0) = \alpha$, identify the initial and final vertices of α and remove e_0 .

Vertex splitting If $e_1 \dots e_k$ are adjacent edges in the cyclic order of edges at v , a new edge e_0 at v to a new vertex w , and move the initial vertex of all edges $e_1 \dots e_k$. The edge e_0 should occupy the position formerly occupied by $e_1 \dots e_k$ in the cyclic order at v , and $e_k < e_0 < e_1 < \dots < e_{k-1}; e_k; e_0 \dots$ at w . Set $g^0(e_0) = \alpha$, and replace each occurrence of e_i in $g(e)$ for any edge e with $e_0 e_i$.

Vertex homotopy Suppose v is a non-control vertex with edges $e_1; \dots; e_k$ such that $g(e_i) = \alpha_i$ for $i > 1$, and α_0 is an edge-path with $\alpha_0(0) = g(v)$. We homotope g at v across edge-path α_0 so that $g^0(e_i) = \alpha_0 \alpha_i$.

Edge collapsing and vertex splitting are inverse operations.

The following moves can all be expressed in terms of the basic moves described above.

Collapsing an invariant forest If $T \subseteq G$ is a set of expanding edges with $g(T) = T$ such that every component of T is simply connected, collapse every edge of T to a point. This move can be realised as a combination of homotopies and edge collapsing (though at intermediate stages, the set of control edges may not be invariant.) The move is useful since the topology and dynamics of an invariant forest are trivial, and are removed to preserve transitivity of the graph map.

Valence-3 homotopy Suppose v is a valence-3 vertex with incident edges e_1, e_2 and e_3 such that $g(e_i) = e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $g(e_3) = e_3$. Homotoping $g(v)$ across e_1 and tightening to give $g^0(e_1) = e_1$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $g^0(e_3) = e_3$.

Folding Suppose suppose the are edges e_1, e_2 at a vertex v with $g(e_1) = e_1$ and $g(e_2) = e_2$. We first split e_1 and e_2 to a new vertex w with new edge e_0 from w to v . We then perform any possible tightenings (except at w). Finally, we homotope at w across edge-path e_0 .

Tidying This move consists of performing edge tightenings, vertex tightenings, and collapsing invariant forests until the graph map is tight. However, we never collapse peripheral edges at this stage, even if they have a trivial image.




Figure 30: folding : Folding edges a and b.

5.2 Effect of graph moves

The growth rate of the number of control edges in edge images is the same as the growth rate of stable segments under backward iteration. We can measure this by the control zeta function, defined as follows:

Definition 5.1 (Control zeta function) Let g be a graph map of a graph G and Z a set of control edges. The control length of an edge path γ , denoted $|\gamma|_Z$ is the number of occurrences of an edge of Z in γ . The control norm of a graph map g is given by

$$\|g\|_Z = \sum_{e \in E(G)} |g(e)|_Z$$

and the control zeta function of g is

$$\zeta_g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|g^n\|_Z t^n$$

It is straightforward to show that if every invariant subgraph of G contains an edge in Z , then the growth rate of $\mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$ equals the topological entropy of g . This is equal to $\log(1+R)$, where R is the radius of convergence of \mathfrak{g} .

The definition of control norm and control zeta function generalises to any invariant subgraph H . The following result shows if H is any invariant subgraph, then most norms decrease $\mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Lemma 5.2 Let g be a graph map and H an invariant subgraph of G . Then

1. If g^0 is obtained from g by edge tightening or vertex tightening, then $j(g^0)^n_{\mathfrak{H}} \geq \mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$.
2. If g^0 is obtained from g by collapsing an edge e , then $j(g^0)^n_{\mathfrak{H}} < \mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$ if $e \in H$ and $j(g^0)^n_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$ otherwise.
3. If g^0 is obtained from g by collapsing an invariant forest, then $j(g^0)^n_{\mathfrak{H}} \geq \mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$.
4. If g^0 is obtained from g by inserting an edge e to give a new graph G^0 with invariant subgraph H not containing e , then $j(g^0)^n_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^n_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

The proof is straightforward.

Valence-3 homotopies are more complicated, but the following result shows that H -norms are still reduced.

Lemma 5.3 Suppose g^0 is obtained from g by a valence-3 homotopy across edge path γ , and that $g^{n-1}(\gamma)$ does not contain any edges of H , but $g^n(\gamma)$ does. Then

1. For any $k \leq n$, $j(g^0)^k(e)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(e)_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for all edges e , and $j(g^0)^k_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k_{\mathfrak{H}}$.
2. $j(g^0)^{n+1}_{\mathfrak{H}} < \mathfrak{J}^{n+1}_{\mathfrak{H}}$

Proof: Note that $g(e_0) = \gamma_0$, $g^0(e_0) = \gamma_0$, $g(e_i) = \gamma_i$, $g^0(e_i) = \gamma_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $g^0(e_i) = g(e_i)$ otherwise. Also, $g^k(\gamma)$ does not contain any control edges for $k < n$, so $\mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} = 0$.

For part 1, we use induction on k . The result is trivial for $k = 0$. Assume the result is true for $k < n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} j(g^0)^{k+1}(e_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} &= j(g^0)^k(\gamma_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \mathfrak{J}^k(e_0)_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} j(g^0)^{k+1}(e_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= j(g^0)^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} g^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} \\ &= \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^{k+1}(e_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} + \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \\ j(g^0)^{k+1}(e_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} &= j(g^0)^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k(\gamma_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^{k+1}(e_i)_{\mathfrak{H}} \text{ otherwise:} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly then, $j(g^0)^k_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{e \in G} j(g^0)^k(e)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \sum_{e \in G} \mathfrak{J}^k(e)_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^k_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for $k \leq n$.

Part 2 follows since $j(g^0)^{n+1}_{\mathfrak{H}} = \mathfrak{J}^{n+1}_{\mathfrak{H}} - \mathfrak{J}^n(\gamma)_{\mathfrak{H}}$. 2

Corollary 5.4 Suppose g is a map of a graph G with invariant subgraph H , and there are no invariant subgraphs of g which do not contain an edge in H . Suppose g^0 is obtained from g by a valence-3 homotopy. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j(g^0)^k = j^k$ for $k \leq n$ and $j(g^0)^{n+1} = j^{n+1}$.

Proof: Let K_0 be the subgraph containing the edges of H , and define K_i by $K_i = K_{i-1} \cup g(K_{i-1})$. If K_n contains an edge of H for some least n , then $g^n(\cdot)$ contains an edge of H and we are done. If not, then K_n has more edges than K_{n-1} , and hence has at least $n+1$ edges. Therefore, K_n contains an edge of H for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the number of edges in $G \setminus H$. 2

Corollary 5.5 Suppose an algorithm consists of graph moves such that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that at each stage, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $j(g^0)^n < j^n$ and $j((g^0)^k) = j^k$ for $k < n$. Then the algorithm terminates.

Proof: We use induction on n . Clearly, the result is true for $N = 0$, since $j^0 = j$ is a non-negative integer.

Suppose the result holds for $N - 1$. Any move either decreases j^N or j^k for $k \leq N - 1$, but since j^N is a non-negative integer, there is no infinite sequence of moves only decreasing j^N . By the inductive hypothesis, there can only be finitely many moves for which j^k with $k < n$ is decreased, and the result follows. 2

5.3 An algorithm to compute the graph representative

We now give the algorithm to compute a graph representative, or find an invariant curve reduction.

Algorithm 5.6 Suppose g is a controlled graph map. Perform the following moves, followed by tidying (noting that tidying does not affect the peripheral subgraph), until the graph map is optimal or there is an invariant subgraph of free edges which is not a forest.

Fold an illegal turn at a vertex of valence greater than 3, or at a control vertex.

Homotope an illegal turn at a free vertex of valence 3.

If no other moves are possible, collapse any peripheral edges which have trivial image.

The proof of termination considers the control zeta function of the graph map. Peripheral subgraphs complicate matters since they are invariant topologically nontrivial subgraphs which cannot be simplified by reduction; therefore, we also consider the peripheral zeta function and the number of peripheral edges. The standard procedure for dealing with them is absorbing, which yields a graph map for which there is no invariant set which deformation-retracts onto P other than P itself. However, absorbing into the peripheral

We compute g on the expanding edges, which map:

$$a_0; d \Vdash a_0 z_8 u z_6 b_1; \quad a_1 \Vdash c_1; \quad c_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 u z_6 a_1 c_1$$

$$u \Vdash \quad ; \quad v \Vdash \quad ; \quad w \Vdash v; \quad x \Vdash vw$$




Figure 33: algorithm intermediate: G graph obtained by collapsing invariant set.

The edges u, v, w and x form an invariant subset which does not contain any control edges. Each of these edges can be collapsed to a point to give the graph in Figure 33. The expanding edges a_0, a_1, c_1 and d map:

$$a_0; d \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 b_1; \quad a_1 \Vdash c_1; \quad c_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a_1 c_1$$




Figure 34: algorithm folded: G graph obtained after folding.

The edges a_1 and c_1 map to a edge-paths with the same final edge c_1 so we fold them together to form edges a'_1, b'_1 and c'_1 where $a_1 = a'_1 b'_1$ and $c_1 = c'_1 b'_1$, as shown in Figure 34. The new graph map g^0 is

$$a_0; d \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a'_1 b'_1; \quad a'_1 \Vdash b'_1 c'_1; \quad b'_1 \Vdash \quad ; \quad c'_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a'_1 b'_1 c'_1$$

We see that the image of c'_1 back-tracks, which we remove by a homotopy so that $c'_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a'_1 c'_1$. Since now each of a'_1, b'_1 and c'_1 are expanding, we can homotope so that

$$a'_1 \Vdash b'_1; \quad b'_1 \Vdash c'_1; \quad c'_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a'_1$$




Figure 35: algorithm efficient: The optimal graph representative.

Dropping the primes, we obtain the graph shown in Figure 35, and an optimal graph map

$$a_0; d \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a_1 b_1; \quad a_1 \Vdash b_1; \quad b_1 \Vdash c_1; \quad c_1 \Vdash a_0 z_8 z_6 a_1$$

If there are no control edges, we are in the realm of Nielsen-Thurston theory. This case cannot be treated directly by our algorithm, since there is no optimal graph map in the homotopy class. However, by artificially introducing control edges, we can find an optimal graph map, and obtain an algorithm for this situation by choosing a suitable control edge so as to obtain an efficient graph map.

Algorithm 5.10 Repeat the following steps in order until a reduction is found, or until an efficient graph map is obtained after step 3.

1. Find a periodic orbit Q of minimal period. Introduce vertices at points of Q if necessary. Split all edges e_i at Q and introduce control vertices z_i , which map $g(z_i) = z_j$ if $\partial g(e_i) = e_j$.
2. Perform Algorithm 5.6.
3. Collapse all control edges to points, and tidy the resulting graph map.
4. Find a sequence of bad turns $(a_i; b_i)$ with an edge e mapping across $:::a_1 b_1 :::$, and $\partial g(a_n) = \partial g(b_n)$.

Theorem 5.11 Algorithm 5.10 terminates at a reduction or an efficient graph map.

Proof: To show this algorithm terminates, the most important observation is that for any homotopy equivalence class of graph maps, there is an integer n such that any graph map in the class has a periodic orbit of (not necessarily least) period n . As remarked in [BH95], this follows by considering the Lefschetz numbers of g and using elementary linear algebra. Therefore, the periodic orbit Q consists of at most n points.

The points of Q may be valence-2 vertices, but in step 2, all other valence-2 vertices are removed. The resulting graph has at most $|Q| - 2$ expanding edges, and there are only finitely many possible entropies for such graphs. The entropy is not increased in step 2, and must be decreased by step 4. Therefore, we can only reach step 2 a finite number of times. 2

5.4 Algorithm for reduction

We finally give algorithms for performing reductions. We give two algorithms, one for separating reductions, and one for non-separating reductions. These algorithms are modelled on those of Keil [Kei97], but are simpler to apply in practice, since they do not require 2-simplices. Since reduction is not the main focus of this paper, and the algorithms do not introduce any significant new ideas, we provide only a brief analysis.

Algorithm 5.12 (Separating reduction) Let g be a controlled graph map with an invariant subgraph H consisting of n components, all of which have negative Euler characteristic and contain no control edges. Without loss of generality, we can assume the components of H are cyclically permuted by g .

1. Let p_1 be an edge in H such that the preceding edge in the cyclic order of vertices at $\{p_1\}$ does not lie in H . Let γ be the edge path p_1 .
2. Let p_k be the last edge of γ , with $v_k = \{p_k\}$, and let p_{k+1} be next edge in the cyclic order of edges at v which lies in H .

If v_k is not the initial vertex of an edge in γ , adjoin p_{k+1} to the end of γ and return to step 2.

If p_{k+1} lies in γ , this step terminates.

Otherwise, split off all edges from p_k to p_{k+1} inclusive.

If p_{k+1} is not a directed edge in γ , adjoin p_{k+1} to the end of γ and return to step 2.

Otherwise, $p_{k+1} = p_i$ in γ . Homotope so that all edges at the new vertex v_k^0 so that $g^0(p_{k+1}) = \gamma$, collapse p_{k+1} and return to step 2.

3. Let P be the frontier loop of H .
4. Restrict g to a map g^0 of $G^0 = G \setminus H \cup P$. Tighten this restricted map.
5. The output of the algorithm is the pair of controlled graph maps $g^0|_K$, where K is a component of H , and g^0 on G^0 .

This algorithm works since once we have a situation where the frontier of H consists of simple closed curves, tightening g restricted to $G^0 = G \setminus H \cup P$ gives a graph map g^0 of G^0 by virtue of the fact that g is embeddable and acts as an automorphism of the fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$.

Algorithm 5.13 (Non-separating reduction)

1. Homotope to collapse all edges which eventually map into H .
2. Tighten g restricted to H , homotoping vertices if necessary.
3. Consider a component of H with vertices $v_0; v_1; \dots; v_{k-1}; v_k = v_0$ and edges $p_1; \dots; p_k$ with $\{p_i\} = v_{i-1}$ and $\{p_i\} = v_i$. Create two new components of G , one with vertices v_i^+ and edges p_i^+ from v_{i-1}^+ to v_i^+ and one with vertices v_i and edges p_i from v_i to v_{i-1} . Move all the edges at vertex v_i in the interval $(p_{i-1}; p_i)$ to the interval $(p_{i-1}^+; p_i^+)$ at v_i^+ , and the edges in the interval $(p_i; p_{i-1})$ to the interval $(p_i; p_{i-1})$ at v_i .
4. Let p be an edge in H , and suppose g is orientation-preserving. If $g(p) = q$ is a positively oriented edge, take $g^0(p^+) = q^+$ and $g^0(p^-) = q^-$, and if $g(p) = q$, take $g^0(p^+) = q^-$ and $g^0(p^-) = q^+$. If instead g is orientation-reversing, take $g^0(p^+) = q^-$ and $g^0(p^-) = q^+$ if $g(p) = q$, and $g^0(p^+) = q^+$ and $g^0(p^-) = q^-$ if $g(p) = q$.
5. Let e be an edge not in H with $g(e) = \gamma$ where γ is an edge path with n edges e not in H , and $\gamma = p_1; \dots; p_j$ is an edge path in H . If $\{e_k\} = v^+$ for some vertex, take $g^0(e) = p_1^+; \dots; p_j^+; \dots$, and if $\{e_k\} = v^-$, take $g^0(e) = p_1^-; p_2^-; \dots; p_j^-; \dots$.
6. H is not connected to the new graph G^0 and can be removed.

7. The output of the algorithm is a the controlled graph $m \text{ ap } g^0$ of G^0 , together with an identification of pairs of peripheral loops P .

This algorithm works since the construction of the splitting of H into two copies of itself keeps track of the connections to the rest of the graph, and this allows us to easily construct a continuous graph $m \text{ ap } g^0$.

After step 1, all edges not in H map to at least one edge in H . After step 2, the edges in H are permuted; in particular, g is a homeomorphism on H . The final graph $m \text{ ap}$ is continuous since the separate definitions of g^0 on H^0 and $G^0 \cap H^0$ match up by considering orientations. In particular, note that since g is a homeomorphism on H and is embeddable, we cannot have an edge path in $G \cap H$ which enters a component of H on one side and leave on the other.

6 Symbolic Dynamics and Shadowing

In this section, we discuss ways of representing the dynamics of a chaotic map. There are two main methods, the standard technique of symbolic dynamics, and the more powerful notion of global shadowing, which allows us to relate one map to another which is well understood.

6.1 Symbolic dynamics

Definition 6.1 (Itinerary) Let f be a map of a space X , and $R_1; R_2; \dots; R_k$ be a set of compact subsets of X . Let (x_i) be an orbit of f , so $f(x_{i-1}) = x_i$ for all i . Then a bi-infinite sequence $\dots; R_{n-2}R_{n-1}R_0R_1R_2 \dots$ is an itinerary for (x_i) if $x_i \in R_{n_i}$ for all i . If x_0 is a point of X , an $x_i = f^i(x_0)$ for all $i > 0$, then the infinite sequence $R_{n_0}R_{n_1}R_{n_2} \dots$ is an itinerary for x_0 if $x_i \in R_{n_i}$ for all $i > 0$.

Note that if the sets R_j do not cover X , an orbit may not have any itinerary (if for some i , x_i is not in R_j for any j), and may have more than one itinerary if the sets R_j are not disjoint. Typically, the sets R_j are chosen to have disjoint interiors, and so that the set of points with more than one itinerary has measure zero.

If $f : (X; Y) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ is a map of pairs, we typically take the regions to be the union of Y with a component of $X \times Y$. If $(f; T)$ is a trellis map, we take the sets R_i to be the regions of T , though we restrict the itineraries of points in T^U and T^S . One major advantage of trellis maps over other maps of surfaces is that the regions are specified in a natural way.

Definition 6.2 (Shift space) The shift space $\Sigma(f)$ of a map f on the regions $R_1; \dots; R_k$ is the subset of $\{1; 2; \dots; k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ consisting of itineraries of points under f .

6.2 Global shadowing

Global shadowing is an equivalence relation on orbits of a system which gives a condition under which two orbits can be considered to be close to each other over infinite time intervals. The classical definition was introduced by Katok, and is given in terms of lifts of the map to the universal cover.

Definition 6.3 Let X be a Riemannian manifold with distance function d , and $f : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. Let \tilde{X} be the universal cover of X , let \tilde{d} be an equivariant metric covering d , and let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a lift of f . Then orbits (x_i) and (y_i) globally shadow each other if they lift to orbits (\tilde{x}_i) and (\tilde{y}_i) of \tilde{f} such that $f\tilde{d}(\tilde{x}_i; \tilde{y}_i)$ is bounded.

This definition is independent of the metric used, and can be extended to certain equivariant metrics which are non-Riemannian.

As the concept is topological in spirit, it would be useful to have a purely topological classification. We will also be concerned with spaces which are topological pairs, and seek

a definition which extends naturally to this setting. We would also like to talk about arbitrary sets of orbits as well as just pairs of orbits. Another frequently-recurring theme in this work will be considering homotopy classes of curves, so a definition in terms of this formalism, rather than that of universal covers will also be useful.

We will use the following definition:

Definition 6.4 (Global shadowing) Orbits (x_i) and (y_i) of a map $f : (X; Y) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ are said to globally shadow each other if there are sets $J_i \supseteq I$ such that $J_i \supseteq J_{i+1}$ and exact curves $\gamma_i : (I; J_i) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ from x_i to y_i such that $f \circ \gamma_i$ is homotopic relative to endpoints to γ_{i+1} via curves $(I; J_{i+1}) \rightarrow (X; Y)$, and the curves γ_i have bounded lengths. The curves γ_i are called relating curves.

Similarly, (x_i) and (y_i) forwards shadow each other if there are shadowing curves for $i > 0$, and backwards shadow each other if there are shadowing curves for $i \leq 0$.

There are many possible definitions of the term "bounded length," and these mostly give identical definitions of global shadowing. In the setting of smooth curves on Riemannian manifolds, we can use the natural definition of the length of a smooth curve. In the setting of absolute neighbourhood retracts, we can use the following definition

Definition 6.5 (Bounded lengths) Let X be a compact ANR. A set of curves has bounded lengths if for any closed ANR subset Z of X , there is a number $N(Z)$ such that each curve γ is homotopic to a curve γ' such that $(I) \setminus Z$ has at most N components.

The following gives a more easily verifiable condition

Lemma 6.6 Let X be a manifold, and let Z be a set of disjoint hypersurfaces in X such that $X \setminus Z$ is simply-connected. Suppose there is a number N such that for any γ , γ has at most n intersections with Z . Then the curves in \mathcal{C} have bounded lengths.

The following results give two important properties of sets of curves with bounded lengths.

Lemma 6.7 Let \mathcal{C} be a set of curves with the same endpoints which have bounded lengths. Then the set of isotopy classes $f[\mathcal{C}] : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is finite.

The proof is straightforward on lifting to the universal cover.

Lemma 6.8 Let U be a contractible open subset of a space X . Then there is a set of curves of bounded lengths contained in U such that for any two points x_0, x_1 in U , there is a curve in \mathcal{C} from x_0 to x_1 .

The proof is obvious.

Note that we really need to allow the J_i to be an increasing sequence of sets; for example, we could take (x_i) and (y_i) to be orbits which start in X and map into Y .

Given a homotopy between two maps different, we can also define what it means for an orbit of one to globally shadow an orbit of the other.

Definition 6.9 (Global shadowing for homotopic maps) If $f_t : (X; Y) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ is a homotopy from f_0 to f_1 , then orbits (x_i) of f_0 and (y_i) of f_1 globally shadow each other under the homotopy f_t if the orbits $(x_i; 0)$ and $(y_i; 1)$ of the fat homotopy $F : (X \times I; Y \times I) \rightarrow (X \times I; Y \times I)$ of f_t globally shadow each other (under Definition 6.4).

6.3 Shadowing and Nielsen numbers

One of the main tools we have to relate the dynamics of maps with the same homotopy or isotopy type is Nielsen periodic point theory.

Definition 6.10 (Nielsen equivalence) Period n orbits (x_i) and (y_i) are Nielsen equivalent if there is a subset J of I and exact curves $\gamma_i : [0; n-1] \rightarrow (I; J) \rightarrow (X; Y)$ from x_i to y_i such that $f_{\gamma_i} = \gamma_{i+1 \bmod n}$.

It is clear that if (x_i) and (y_i) are n -Nielsen equivalent, then they globally shadow each other. The converse is also true:

Lemma 6.11 Periodic orbits (x_i) and (y_i) globally shadow each other if and only if they are n -Nielsen equivalent for some n .

Proof: Clearly, if (x_i) and (y_i) are Nielsen equivalent, they globally shadow each other. Conversely, if (x_i) and (y_i) globally shadow each other with shadowing curves $[\gamma_i]$, then the possible homotopy classes for the γ_i are bounded and the number of components of the J_i can be made to be bounded by suitable choices of the γ_i . Hence, the J_i can be taken to be fixed, say $J_i = J$ for sufficiently large i . Then the homotopy classes of the γ_i must eventually repeat, say $\gamma_{k+n} = \gamma_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n > 0$. We can then take the curves to be $\gamma_i = \gamma_{k+i}$ for $0 \leq i < n$. 2

It is easy to show that Nielsen equivalence classes are closed in X , and hence have a well-defined fixed point index.

Definition 6.12 (Nielsen number) A Nielsen class is essential if its Nielsen number is nonzero. The n th Nielsen number of f , denoted $N_n(f)$ is the number of essential Nielsen classes of period- n orbits. If $R = R_{i_0}; R_{i_1}; \dots; R_{i_{n-1}}$ is a code, the Nielsen number for that code is the number of essential Nielsen numbers with code R .

The most important property of exact homotopy equivalence is that it preserves the relative Nielsen numbers of a map of pairs. Relative Nielsen theory can be used to find periodic orbits and an entropy bound for a map of pairs. A complete exposition is given in [Col01]. The relative Nielsen numbers are invariants of exact homotopy equivalence:

Example 6.13

Let $X = S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, $Y = \{1\}$; $1g$ and f be the map $f(z) = z^2$. Then the fixed points shadow each other, so are Nielsen equivalent. However, they are only Nielsen equivalent as period-2 points.

The growth rate of the Nielsen numbers gives the Nielsen entropy.

Definition 6.14 (Nielsen entropy) The Nielsen entropy of a map f is the equal to the growth rate of the n th Nielsen numbers,

$$h_{\text{niel}}(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N_n(f)}{n} :$$

6.4 Shadowing by trellis orbits

It is important to know how the dynamics of the graph map models that of the trellis map. In Nielsen-Thurston theory, train-track maps have the important property that orbits of the graph map shadow those of the original map. We can prove similar results here. The main theorem is stated below. It shows that orbits of g are shadowed by orbits of f , and further, that periodic, asymptotic and biasymptotic orbits of g are shadowed by periodic, asymptotic and biasymptotic orbits of f .

Theorem 6.15 (Shadowing by trellis orbits) Let $(f; T)$ be a trellis map and $(g; G; W)$ an efficient controlled graph map compatible with $(f; T)$. Then for any orbit (y_i) of g there is an orbit (x_i) of f which shadows (y_i) . Further,

1. If (y_i) is periodic, then (x_i) can be chosen to be periodic.
2. If $y_0 \in C$ (so the ω -limit set of (y_i) is in C), then (x_i) can be chosen with $x_0 \in T^S$, and hence x_i is in the stable set of T^P for all i .
3. If the ω -limit set of y_i is in C and $y_0 \in C$, then (x_i) can be chosen so that x_i is biasymptotic to T^P .

As an immediate corollary, we obtain the following result

Theorem 6.16 (Entropy of efficient graph maps) If g is an efficient controlled graph map compatible with $(f; T)$, then $h_{\text{top}}(g) \leq h_{\text{top}}(f)$.

We shall see in Section 7 that this entropy bound is sharp if T is well-formed, but otherwise need not be.

For the remainder of this section we implicitly assume that $(G; W)$ is embedded as a subset of $\text{Cut}(T)$. We let π be a projection $\text{Cut}(T) \rightarrow (G; W)$, and f_t be a homotopy from $f = f_0$ to $f_1 = g$ with fat homotopy F .

The following result is contained in [Col99]. It shows that periodic orbits of g are globally shadowed by an orbit of $\text{Cut}(f)$. The result is proved by showing that all Nielsen classes (sets of Nielsen equivalent periodic orbits) of g are essential (have non-zero fixed-point index).

Theorem 6.17 (Shadowing by periodic orbits) Let (y_i) be a period- n orbit of g . Then there is a period- n orbit (x_i) of $\text{Cut}(f)$ which is Nielsen equivalent to (y_i) .

This result is the basis for all other shadowing results.

For the remainder of this section we implicitly assume that $(G;W)$ is embedded as a subset of $\text{Cut}(T)$. We let π be a projection $\text{Cut}(T) \rightarrow (G;W)$, and f_t be a homotopy from $f = f_0$ to $f_1 = g$ with isotopy F .

The relative Nielsen theory shows that all periodic orbits of g are shadowed by periodic orbits of f . To show that all orbits of g are shadowed by orbits of f , we need to find a uniform bound for the lengths of shadowing curves in g .

Lemma 6.18 Let g be a good irreducible controlled graph map of $(G;W)$. Then if (x_i) and (y_i) are orbits of g which globally shadow each other, there are relating curves γ_i from x_i to y_i such that the γ_i lie in C, P or $P \text{ re-} P$.

Proof: Suppose the curves γ_i are tight and do not cross any control edges. Then $\gamma_{i+1} = g \gamma_i$ for all i , so the γ_i are eventually periodic or disjoint. Since g has no invariant subgraphs apart from P , and g is expanding outside $P \text{ re-} P$, the γ_i can only be eventually periodic if they lie in $P \text{ re-} P$.

If the γ_i do cross C , they must do so in periodic control edges. We can split the curves into pieces that cross C and pieces that do not. The pieces that do not must then lie in $P \text{ re-} P$. 2

Thus there is a uniform bound on the length of any relating curve in G . The following result shows that all orbits of g are shadowed by those of f .

Lemma 6.19 Let (y_i) be an orbit of $(g;G;W)$. Then there is an orbit (x_i) of $(f;T)$ which shadows (y_i) .

Proof: If (y_i) is periodic, the result follows from the relative Nielsen theory. If not, suppose there are periodic orbits (y_i^j) such that for every i , $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_i^j = y_i$. We choose orbits (x_i^j) of f such that $(x_i^j;0)$ shadows $(y_i^j;1)$. Choosing a subsequence if necessary, since M is compact, we can assume the sequence x_0^j converges to a point x_0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and this means that x_i^j converges to $x_i = f_0^i(x_0)$ for all $i > 0$. Choosing further subsequences, we can assume further that x_i^j converges to a point x_i as $j \rightarrow \infty$ for $i < 0$.

We therefore have an orbit (x_i) such that $x_i = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_i^j$ for all i . We now let γ_i^j be the relating curves for $(x_i^j) \xrightarrow{f_t} (y_i^j)$. Let U be an open cover of $\text{Cut}(T)$, and V be an open cover of G , each by sets such that the components of $U \cap T^S$ and $V \cap W$ are simply-connected, and let γ_i^j and γ_i^j be curves from $(x_i^j;0)$ to $(x_i^j;0)$ and from $(y_i^j;1)$ to $(y_i^j;1)$ in a component of U and V respectively, which must exist for large enough j . Then $f_0 \gamma_i^j \gamma_{i+1}^j$ and $f_1 \gamma_i^j \gamma_{i+1}^j$ whenever j is sufficiently large.

Now let $\gamma_i^j = \gamma_i^j \gamma_i^j \gamma_i^j$. The curves γ_i^j have bounded lengths, since they are joins from three sets of curves which each have bounded lengths. Therefore, there must be finitely many homotopy classes $[\gamma_i^j]$, at least one of which must occur infinitely often. By taking an subsequence if necessary, we can assume $[\gamma_i^j]$ has the same value for all j . Now,

$$F \gamma_i^j = F \gamma_i^j F \gamma_i^j F \gamma_i^j \gamma_{i+1}^j \gamma_{i+1}^j \gamma_{i+1}^j = \gamma_{i+1}^j$$

and since this must be true whenever γ_i^j is defined, we have $[\gamma_i^j]$ is independent of j for fixed i . By taking subsequences if necessary, we can also ensure this is the case for i

negative (or this follows automatically if F has a homotopy inverse). Hence, we have curves γ_i from x_i to y_i of bounded lengths such that $F(\gamma_i) \subset \gamma_{i+1}$. 2

6.5 Shadowing by stable and biasymptotic orbits

We now show that orbits of g which enter W (equivalently C) are shadowed by orbits of f in $W^S(T^P)$, and that orbits of g which are biasymptotic to C correspond to orbits of f in $W^U(T^P) \setminus W^S(T^P)$. In other words, stable orbits of g are shadowed by stable orbits of f (a similar result holds for unstable orbits), and biasymptotic of g are shadowed by biasymptotic orbits of f ,

Lemma 6.20 Let g be a tight graph map for an irreducible trellis mapping class $[f; T]$, and (y_i) be an orbit of g . Then there is a sequence of exact curves $\gamma_i : (I; f_0; l_g) \rightarrow (G; W)$ such that $y_i \in \gamma_i(I)$ and $g(\gamma_i)$ contains γ_{i+1} as a sub-curve.

Proof: By irreducibility, there exists N such that the complement of $g^{-N}(C)$ consists of homotopy points and circles. For every pair of control vertices in the same region, there are two embeddable curves between them (for an annulus) and one for a disc. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all these curves. Let $\gamma_n, n \geq 0$ be the set of sequences such that $g(\gamma_i) \supset \gamma_{i+1}$ for $n \geq i \geq 0$. This is nonempty, since if $y_i \in \gamma_i$, then $g(\gamma_i) \supset y_{i+1}$, and is closed, since \mathcal{C} is finite. Clearly $\gamma_{n+1} \subset \gamma_n$ so the γ_n form a sequence of nested sets, hence $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n$ is nonempty. We now let $\gamma_n = g^N(\gamma_{n-N})$, which is an exact curve $(I; f_0; l_g) \rightarrow (G; W)$. 2

Lemma 6.21 Let (y_i) be an orbit of g with $y_0 \in W$. Then there is an orbit (x_i) of f which globally shadows (y_n) with $x_0 \in T^S$.

Proof: Let (γ_i) be a sequence of exact curves $(I; f_0; l_g) \rightarrow (G; W)$ such that $g(\gamma_i)$ contains γ_{i+1} as a subpath (we write $g(\gamma_i) \supset \gamma_{i+1}$) and $y_i \in \gamma_i$. Let S_i be the stable interval containing y_i for $i > 0$; without loss of generality we assume $y_1 \in \emptyset$.

We now use induction to construct a sequence of segments S_i of $W^S(T^P)$ for $i < 0$. Suppose therefore for $i > n$ (where n is negative) we have a stable segment S_i of $f^{-n}(T^S)$ with an essential intersection with γ_i at a point z_i and that $f(S_i) \supset S_{i+1}$. Then $g(\gamma_n)$ has an essential intersection with S_{n+1} at z_{n+1} , so $f(\gamma_n)$ has an essential intersection with S_{n+1} . Therefore, one of the segments S_n of $f^{-1}(S_{n+1})$ is such that $f(\gamma_n)$ contains an essential intersection with $f(S_{n+1})$ in the same intersection class as z_{n+1} . Let $f(z_n)$ be this essential intersection, so that z_n is an essential intersection of S_n with γ_n .

We now have constructed a sequence of segments S_n of $W^S(T^P)$ such that $f(S_n) \supset S_{n+1}$ for $n < 0$, so $f^n(S_n) \supset f^{n+1}(S_{n+1}) \supset S_0$. Hence the $f^n(S_n)$ are a nested sequence of compact intervals, so contain a limit point x_0 . We claim the orbit $(x_i) = f^i(x_0)$ globally shadows (y_i) .

Let f_t be a homotopy from f_0 to $f_1 = g$ and $F : (Cut(T) \setminus I) \rightarrow (Cut(T) \setminus I)$ be the corresponding fat homotopy. We need to show that the orbits $(x_n; 0)$ and $(y_n; 1)$ globally

shadow each other under F . Let α_n be a curve from $(x_n; 0)$ to $(z_n; 0)$ in S_n , β_n a curve from $(z_n; 0)$ to $(g^{-1}(z_{n+1}); 1)$ in \tilde{S}_{n+1} and γ_n the curve from $(g^{-1}(z_{n+1}); 1)$ to $(y_n; 1)$. Then $F^{-1}(\alpha_n) \cap T^S \cap I$ and $F^{-1}(\beta_n)$ is a curve in \tilde{S}_{n+1} flg. The curve $F^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ goes from $(f(z_n); 0) \in S_{n+1}$ to $(z_{n+1}; 1) \in S_{n+1}$, and is homotopic to a curve whose path lies in $S_{n+1} \cap I$ since z_{n+1} is an essential intersection. Hence $H_1(S_{n+1} \cap I)$ is homotopic to $H_1(S_{n+1} \cap I)$ as required. 2

Proof: We now construct the relating curves. Since z_n and y_n both lie in the image of β_n , we can take the segment e_n from z_n to β_n and the segment b_n from β_n to y_n . Since the z_n and x_n both lie in S_n , we can take curve α_n from x_n to z_n in S_n . Let $\gamma_n = \alpha_n \cup e_n \cup b_n$. Clearly, the curves γ_i have bounded lengths, as the trellis segments and curves α_n do, and are disjoint from T^U and T^S for $n > 0$.

It remains to show that $F^{-1}(\gamma_n(s); s) \subset (S_{n+1}(s); s)$. Now, $F^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ is a subset of S_{n+1} . Further, since the intersection of $f^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ with S_{n+1} at $f(z_n)$ and the intersection of γ_n at $g(z_n) = z_{n+1}$ lie in the same intersection class, the curve $F^{-1}(\gamma_n(s); s)$ is homotopic to a curve from $(f(z_n); 0)$ to $(z_{n+1}; 1)$ in $S_{n+1} \cap I$. Further, γ_n is a curve from y_{n+1} to z_{n+1} , so is homotopic to $e_{n+1} \cup b_{n+1}$. This is enough to show the desired homotopy. 2

Lemma 6.22 Let (y_i) be an orbit of g which is biasymptotic to the control set C . Then there is an orbit (x_i) of f which is biasymptotic to T^P and which shadows (y_i) .

Proof: Without loss of generality we can assume that $y_0 \in W$. Also assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n$ is a fixed point of g ; the periodic case is similar. By 6.20, there exists $n < 0$ such that y_i is in the path of β_n for $i \leq n$, where β_n is the path from S_p to S_q following T^U , and γ_n contains β_n .

Construct S_i for $i > n$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.21. Now let x_n be the intersection of $T^U[p; q]$ with S_n . We claim (x_i) is the required orbit. Clearly (x_i) is biasymptotic to T^P . Further, (x_i) shadows (y_i) for the same reason as in Lemma 6.21 since $f^n(x_n)$ is in a segment S_{n+i} for $i \leq 0$ which has an essential crossing with β_n , since the first crossing of $f^i(S_n)$ with β_n must be in the same initial segment; $f^{-1}(S_n)$ cannot cross $T^U[p; q]$ since $f(T^U[p; q]) \subset T^U$. 2

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.15

7 Entropy-Minimising Diffeomorphisms

In this section, we show that the entropy bounds obtained in Section 6 are sharp, at least for well-formed trellises. That is, the topological entropy of the graph representative g for a trellis mapping class $[f; T]$ (which is the same as the Nielsen entropy $h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$) is the infimum of the topological entropies of diffeomorphisms in the class. The main results of this section are summarised in the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1 (Existence of entropy-minimising models) Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}) < h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T] + \epsilon$. If there exists a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} \in [f; T]$ such that any \tilde{f} -extension of T is minimal, then there is a uniformly-hyperbolic diffeomorphism $\hat{f} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\hat{f}) = h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$. Further, if $[f; T]$ is irreducible and $\hat{f} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\hat{f}) = h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$, then any \hat{f} -extension of T is minimal.

The hypothesis that the trellis is well-formed is necessary, as the following example shows.




Figure 36: illformed1: Two ill-formed trellises. The trellis in (a) has zero Nielsen entropy, whereas the trellis in (b), which is the time reversal of that in (a), has Nielsen entropy $\log 2$.

Example 7.2

The trellis mapping classes in Figure 36(a) are not well-formed. For the trellis in (a), the edges of the corresponding graph representative are all control edges, so the Nielsen entropy is zero. However, any trellis in the trellis mapping class must have topological entropy at least $\log 2$. Hence, the map $\text{Cut}(f)$ of $\text{Cut}(T)$ is homotopic to a map which is dynamically trivial, but this homotopy does not correspond to an isotopy of trellis maps. Indeed, the vertices v_0 and v_1 in Figure 36 each lie on a forcing orbit for the full Smale horseshoe. Reversing time yields the trellis of Figure 36(b) for which the Nielsen entropy is $\log 2$, which shows that the Nielsen entropy of a trellis which is not well-formed may be different from that of its time reversal, even though the topological entropy of a diffeomorphism is the same as that of its inverse.

Even if a trellis mapping class is well-formed, it is not necessarily true that this infimum is realised. In the case where this infimum is realised, we show how to construct a minimal-

entropy uniformly-hyperbolic diffeomorphism in the trellis mapping class. Otherwise, we show, for any $\epsilon > 0$, how to construct a diffeomorphism whose entropy is within ϵ of the Nielsen entropy. The following example gives a trellis mapping class for which the Nielsen entropy cannot be realised.




Figure 37: non-minimal entropy: A trellis for which the Nielsen entropy is not realisable.

Example 7.3

A trellis mapping class for which the Nielsen entropy is not realisable is shown in Figure 37. The non-realisability of the entropy bound is closely related to the non-existence of a diffeomorphism such that every extension is a minimal extension. Consider the segment S . Taking backward minimal iterates of S eventually yields a segment lying in the region $R(p)$ with endpoints in the segment $U(p)$. Then, by the Lambda Lemma, under any diffeomorphism f in the trellis mapping class, $f^{-n}(S)$ tends to the closed branch of $T^S(p)$, so contains an intersection with T^U , even though any minimal iterate of S has no intersections with T^U . Similarly, $f^n(U)$ must intersect T^S even though any minimal iterate does not. Given these extra intersections of iterates of U and S , the Nielsen entropy of the extension is greater than that of the original trellis mapping class.

7.1 Nielsen entropy of minimal extensions

Most of the procedures we use to construct diffeomorphisms in a given trellis mapping class rely on extending the original trellis in a controlled way. The most important type of extension is a minimal extension. As noted in Section 3.4, we expect the Nielsen entropy of a minimal extension to be the same as that of the original trellis mapping class, and we show this in Theorem 7.6. The proof we give relies on results about the graph representative of a minimal extension given in Lemma 7.5. We also consider methods for introducing new points of T^P without increasing the Nielsen entropy. The only difficulty here is finding the correct initial segment of a branch; once this has been achieved, we can take minimal iterates in the usual way. Since the Nielsen entropy is computed via a graph representative, which induces an asymmetry between unstable and stable curves, we need to consider each separately. We consider initial unstable segments in Lemma 7.8, and initial stable segments in Lemma 7.9.

We first state a simple result which shows that we can puncture at points of an essential periodic orbit without changing the Nielsen entropy.

Lemma 7.4 Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class with graph representative $(g; G; W)$. Suppose P is an essential periodic orbit of f which does not globally shadow T^S or $@M$. Then the trellis mapping class $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$ obtained by puncturing M at the points of P has the same Nielsen entropy as $[f; T]$.

Proof: Since P is an essential periodic orbit of f , it is shadowed by a periodic orbit of g . Replacing the periodic orbit of g shadowing P with a peripheral loop yields the graph representative \mathfrak{g} of $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$, which clearly has the same topological entropy as that of g . \square

Lemma 7.5 Let $[f; T]$ be an irreducible trellis mapping class with graph representative $(g; G; W)$, and let $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$ be a minimal stable extension with graph representative $(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{G}; \mathfrak{W})$. Then there is an embedding $i: (G; W) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{G}; \mathfrak{W})$ such that $i \circ g = \mathfrak{g} \circ i$. Further, if l is a length function on G such that $l(g(z)) = l(z)$ for any periodic controlled edge, $l(g(p)) = l(p)$ for any peripheral edge, and $l(e) \leq l(g(e)) < l(e)$ for any other edge, there is a length function \mathfrak{l} on \mathfrak{G} with the same properties such that $\mathfrak{l}(i(e)) = l(e)$ for any edge of G .

Proof: First note that $(\mathfrak{G}; \mathfrak{W})$ is exact homotopy equivalence to $(G; W)$, and this exact homotopy equivalence also gives an exact homotopy equivalence between \mathfrak{g} considered as a map of $(\mathfrak{G}; \mathfrak{W})$ and g . There is also a natural embedding $W \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ induced by the inclusion on the stable segments.

Let e be a path in \mathfrak{G} with endpoints only in W and minimal intersections with \mathfrak{W} . Since \mathfrak{f} is a minimal extension, the path $\mathfrak{g}(e)$ also does not back-track. Since any vertex of $(G; W)$ is contained in the span of Z , this is enough to prove the embedding. Therefore all control edges of \mathfrak{G} are either in the span of Z , or in a forest which is attached to the span of Z . Since all control edges of this forest map into Z , and have no control edge preimages, all edges of \mathfrak{G} map into the span of Z under \mathfrak{g} .

Any edge of \mathfrak{G} maps to an edge-path which is the embedding of an edge of G under \mathfrak{g} . We take the length function \mathfrak{l} in the span of Z to be given by $\mathfrak{l}(e) = l(g(e)) - (l(e) - l(g(e)))$ where e is the edge of g containing e . We take the length of an edge e not in the span of Z to be $l(g(e)) - \epsilon$, where $0 < \epsilon < \delta$. This gives the required length function. \square

Note that a stable extension which is not minimal may change the topology of the graph.

Theorem 7.6 (Nielsen entropy of minimal extensions) Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class. If $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$ is a minimal extension of $[f; T]$, then $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}] = h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$.

Proof: By Lemma 7.5, the Nielsen entropy of a minimal stable iterate is the same as that of the original trellis mapping class. Thus the Nielsen entropy of any minimal stable extension is the same as that of the original trellis mapping class. Now if $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$ is any minimal extension, then there exists n such that $\mathfrak{f}^n(\mathfrak{F}^U) \rightarrow T^U$. Then $[\mathfrak{f}; (\mathfrak{f}^n(\mathfrak{F}^U); \mathfrak{f}^n(\mathfrak{F}^S))]$ is a trellis mapping class which is conjugate to $[\mathfrak{f}; \mathfrak{F}]$ and is a subtrellis of $(T^U; \mathfrak{f}^n(T^S))$, a

minimal stable extension. Hence $h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] \leq h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{F}] = h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{F}; (\mathbb{F}^n(\mathbb{F}^U); \mathbb{F}^n(\mathbb{F}^S))] \leq h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{F}; (T^U; \mathbb{F}^n(T^S))] = h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$, yielding $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{F}] = h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$ as required. \square

We now give an example of a trellis and a minimal stable iterate, together with the graph representatives.




Figure 38: minimal extension: (a) A minimal extension and (b) its graph representative.

Example 7.7

The minimal extension of the trellis map shown in Figure 38 (a) is shown in Figure 38 (b), together with the graph representatives. The control edges for the original trellis are shown with shaded dots, and those of the extension with white dots. This clearly shows the embedding of the graph representative of the trellis in that of its minimal extension.

We now turn to extensions and supertrellises formed by introducing new branches.

Lemma 7.8 Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class. Suppose there are exact curves $f_i : (I; f_0; l_i) \rightarrow \text{Cut}(T)$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ such that $f_{m \text{ in } (i)} = f_{i+1 \text{ in } (i)}$. Then there is a well-formed trellis mapping class $[\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{F}]$ such that $\mathbb{F} \in [f; T]$, $\mathbb{F}^U = T^U$, $\mathbb{F}^S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i(I)$, and $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{F}; \mathbb{F}] = h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f_{m \text{ in } (i)} = f_{i+1 \text{ in } (i)}$. Then the curves $f(i)$ and $f_{m \text{ in } (i)}$ are isotopic by an isotopy mapping the endpoints into $f(T^S)$. Extend this isotopy to an ambient isotopy h_t which is fixed on T^U and maps $f(T^S)$ into $f(T^S)$. Let $\mathbb{F} = h_1 \circ f$. Then $\mathbb{F}(T^U) = h_1(f(T^U)) = h_1(T^U) = T^U$, $\mathbb{F}(T^S) = h_1(f(T^S)) = f(T^S) \cup T^S$ and $\mathbb{F}(i) = h_1(f(i)) = f_{m \text{ in } (i)} = f_{i+1}$. Now consider the projection of the f_i to g . Since $f_{m \text{ in } (i)} = f_{i+1}$, we have $g(f_i) = f_{i+1}$. Therefore, the graph representative \mathbb{G} of \mathbb{F} is obtained by cutting along edges of G to obtain a new controlled graph $(\mathbb{G}; \mathbb{W})$. \square

Lemma 7.9 Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class with graph representative $(g; G; W)$. Suppose P is an essential periodic orbit of f which does not globally shadow T^S or $@M$. Then there is a well-formed trellis mapping class $[\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}]$ such that T is a subtrellis of \mathcal{F} , the periodic orbit P shadows \mathcal{F}^P , and $h_{\text{rel}}[\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}] = h_{\text{rel}}[f; T]$.

Proof: The construction of the new stable curves is similar to the proof of Lemma 7.9. For each incident edge of G at a point of P in g , introduce a stable segment of g . Let S_P be the stable segments introduced. Since the points of P are invariant under G , we can take a minimal iterate \mathcal{S}_P of the segments of S_P such that $S_P \subset \mathcal{S}_P$ in the natural way. Then $f(\mathcal{S}_P)$ is isotopic to S_P in relative to $f(T^S)$, so by the isotopy extension theorem we can find an ambient isotopy h_t such that h_t preserves T^U and $f(T^S)$, and $h_1(f(\mathcal{S}_P)) = S_P$. Take $\mathcal{E} = h_1^{-1} \circ f$, so $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{L}[f; T]$, and let \mathcal{F} be the trellis $(T^U; T^S \cup \mathcal{S}_P)$.

Let $(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{C}; \mathcal{W})$ be the graph representative of $[\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F}]$. It remains to show that $h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{G}) = h_{\text{top}}(g)$. To do this, consider a tight curve γ in \mathcal{C} with endpoints only in W . The embedding of this curve in $\text{Cut}(T)$ is a curve with minimal intersections with \mathcal{F}^S . Since $\mathcal{E}_{\text{min}}(\gamma) \setminus S_P = \mathcal{E}_{\text{min}}^{-1}(S_P) = \mathcal{S}_P$, \mathcal{E}_{min} has minimal intersections with T^S , so the graph mapping is given by $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is a stable extension of T^S , $(\mathcal{C}; W)$ has the same exact homotopy type as $(G; W)$. Hence the topology of \mathcal{C} is the same as that of G except that \mathcal{C} has some trees attached with endpoints in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since any arc of \mathcal{F}^S crossing this tree bounds a bigon with T^U , none of these arcs are periodic arcs. Therefore, the attached trees eventually map into $G \subset \mathcal{C}$, and so carry no entropy. Hence $h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{G}) = h_{\text{top}}(g)$. \square

7.2 Regular domains

Any diffeomorphism is conjugate to a linear hyperbolic diffeomorphism in a neighbourhood of a point of a periodic saddle point. We therefore expect a trellis map $[f; T]$ to behave in a fairly predictable way in a neighbourhood of a point of T^P . In particular, we know how a rectangle with sides parallel to the local stable and unstable foliations behaves. Unfortunately, for a given trellis mapping class, the neighbourhood of T^P on which we have hyperbolic behaviour may be arbitrarily small. To deal with this problem, we introduce the concept of a regular domain, which is a rectangular domain which behaves similarly to a sufficiently small rectangular neighbourhood of a periodic saddle point.

Definition 7.10 (Regular domain) A rectangular domain D is a regular domain for a period- n quadrant Q if D has sides $T^U[p; q^u] \xrightarrow{f^n} (T^U)$, $T^S[p; q^s] \xrightarrow{f^n} (T^S)$, $T^U[q^s; r]$ and $T^S[q^u; r]$, such that $f^n(T^U[p; q^u]) \setminus D = T^U[p; q^u]$, $f^{-n}(T^S[p; q^s]) \setminus D = T^S[p; q^s]$, and $f^{-n}(T^U[q^s; r]) \setminus D = f^n(T^S[q^u; r]) \setminus D = ?$.

The sides $T^U[p; q^u]$ and $T^S[p; q^s]$ are called adjacent sides of D , and the sides $T^U[q^s; r]$ and $T^S[q^u; r]$ are adjacent sides. Note that the definition is given purely in terms of the topology of the trellis and the mapping of its vertices; no extensions are needed.

A regular domain is shown in Figure 39. If D is a regular domain, we shall always denote its vertices by p , q^u , q^s and r as shown above. We now give a number of elementary




Figure 39: regularquadrant : The domain D is a regular domain for Q .

properties of a regular domain.

Lemma 7.11 Let D be a regular domain for Q , and $[p; \bar{p}]$ an extension of $[f; T]$ with $\bar{p}^s = \bar{p}^{-1}(T^s)$. Then D is a regular domain for Q and $\bar{p}^{-1}(D)$ is a regular domain for $f^{-1}(Q)$.

Proof: We have $\bar{p}^s[\bar{p}^{-1}(p); \bar{p}^{-1}(q^s)] = \bar{p}^{-1}(T^s[p; q^s]) \cap \bar{p}^{-1}(f^n(T^s)) \cap \bar{p}^{-1}(T^s) = \bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{p}^s)$. The other conditions are trivial. 2

Lemma 7.12 Let D be a regular domain for Q , and $T^s[q^u; r] \cap D$ be a curve with endpoints $q^u \in T^u(p; q^u)$ and $r \in T^u(q^s; r)$. Then the rectangular domain \mathcal{D} with vertices at $p; q^u; q^s; r$ is a regular domain for Q . Similarly, if $T^u[q^s; r] \cap D$ is a curve with endpoints $q^s \in T^s(p; q^s)$ and $r \in T^s(q^u; r)$, then the rectangular domain vertices at $p; q^u; q^s; r$ is a regular domain for Q .

Proof: The only nontrivial step is to show $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$. First, note that $f^n(q^u) \in T^u(q^u; f^n(q^u))$, so $f^n(q^u) \notin \mathcal{D}$. Further, $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap T^u[p; q^u] = f^n(T^s[q^u; r] \cap f^{-n}(T^u[p; q^u])) = f^n(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap T^u[p; q^u] = f^n(T^s[q^u; r] \cap f^{-n}(T^u[p; q^u])) \cap f^{-n}(T^u[p; q^u]) = \emptyset$, so $f^n(T^s[q^u; r])$ does not intersect the unstable boundary of \mathcal{D} . Further, $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap T^s[p; q^s] = f^n(T^s[q^u; r] \cap f^{-n}(T^s[p; q^s])) \cap f^{-n}(T^s[p; q^s]) = \emptyset$. It remains to show that $f^n(T^s[q^u; r])$ does not intersect itself. We have already seen that $f^n(T^s[q^u; r])$ does not contain q^u or r . Further, $f^n(f(q^u; g)) \cap T^s[q^u; r] = f^n(T^u(p; q^u)) \cap T^s[q^u; r] = f(q; g)$, but clearly $f^n(q^u) \notin q^u$, so $f^n(q^u) \notin T^s[q^u; r]$. Since $T^s[q^u; r]$ is an interval, this is enough to show that $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap T^s[q^u; r] = \emptyset$. Hence $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$, so $f^n(T^s[q^u; r]) \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$.

A similar analysis proves the statement for a curve $T^u[q^s; r]$. 2

Example 7.13

Two applications of Lemma 7.12 show that the region $\mathcal{D} \cap D$ is a regular domain of Q if D is a regular domain.

One of the most important properties of a regular domain is that iterates of the sides opposite Q must cross each other.

trivial observation that if $D \setminus T^U = @D^U$ and if \mathbb{P} is an extension of T with $\mathbb{P}^U = T^U$, then $D \setminus \mathbb{P}^U = @D^U$.

Lemma 7.16 Let D be a regular domain for a quadrant Q such that $D \setminus T^U = @D^U$ and D contains no smaller regular domains, and $D \setminus T^P = \text{fpg}$. Then for any exact curve $\alpha : (I; f_0; 1g) \in \text{Cut}(D)$ with $(I) \subset D$, $(0) \in T^S [p; q^s]$ and $(1) \in T^S [p; q^s]$, there exists k such that $f_{m \text{ in}}^{nk}(\alpha) \setminus T^S [q^u; r] \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let S be the segment containing the endpoint of α . Suppose $f^{\text{in}}(S) \subset D$ for all i . Then $f_{m \text{ in}}^{\text{in}}(S) \setminus T^S [p; q^s] = \emptyset$ for all i , and since there are only finitely many stable segments in D , one must map into itself under f^{in} for some e, j , giving a periodic point in D distinct from p , a contradiction. Therefore $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(S) \not\subset D$ for some e, k , and for this k , we must have $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha) \setminus T^S [q^u; r] \neq \emptyset$. 2

Recall the definition of a curve relaxing onto \mathbb{P} given in Definition 2.35. We show that if D and \mathbb{P} are regular domains for a quadrant, then some iterate of α_D relaxes onto \mathbb{P} . The importance of this result is that if a curve b relaxes onto \mathbb{P} , and both curves are tight curves embedded in a graph, then $(I) \subset b(I)$.

Lemma 7.17 Let D be a regular domain for a quadrant Q such that $D \setminus T^U = @D^U$, and let $\mathbb{P} \subset D$ be a regular domain. Then there exists k such that $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha_{\mathbb{P}})$ relaxes onto D .

Proof: Let k be the least integer such that $f^{kn}(q^u) \in T^U [p; q^u]$. Then $f^{kn}(q^u) \in D$, so the endpoint of $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha_{\mathbb{P}})$ does not lie in D . Further, the first intersection of $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha_{\mathbb{P}})$ with $@D^S$ must be with $T^S [q^u; r]$, so $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha_{\mathbb{P}})$ relaxes onto (D) , which is the curve from $T^S [p; q^s]$ to $T^S [q^u; r]$ in D with minimal intersections with T^S . 2




Figure 42: α -cover: A curve α_D in a regular domain D and some of its minimal iterates.

An example of the minimal iterates of a curve $\alpha = \alpha_D$ for a regular domain D is shown in Figure 42.

Lemma 7.18 Let D be a regular domain for a quadrant Q , and $\mathbb{P} \subset D$ a regular domain for Q such that $\mathbb{P} \setminus T^U = @P^U$. Let α be a curve in D such that $(0) \in T^S [p; q^s]$ and $(1) \in T^S [q^u; r]$. Then there exists k such that $f_{m \text{ in}}^{kn}(\alpha)$ relaxes onto Q .

Proof: For any i , $f_{m \text{ in}}^{\text{in}}(\cdot)$ must cross $T^S [q^u; r]$, and do so before it crosses $T^S [p; q^s]$. Choose k such that $f_{m \text{ in}}^{k_n}(\cdot) \subset T^S [p; q^s]$. Then $f_{m \text{ in}}^{k_n}(\cdot)$ relaxes onto Q . 2

Since for any regular domain D , the n th iterate of f_D relaxes onto itself, there is some recurrent behaviour for the graph representative. If such a curve then have some iterate which relaxes onto D for a different quadrant, the graph representative maps edges from one quadrant to another. This provides the definition of an α -chain.

Definition 7.19 (Alpha chain) Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class and Q_U and Q_S be quadrants of T which are contained in regular domains which do not intersect T^U in their interiors. We say there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S if there exists n such that $f_{m \text{ in}}^n(Q_U)$ relaxes onto Q_S .

Lemma 7.20 Suppose D_U and D_S are regular domains for Q_U and Q_S respectively such that $D_U \setminus D^S$ is a rectangular domain with unstable edges contained in ∂D_U^U and stable edges in ∂D_S^S . Then there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S .

Proof: Let γ be a curve in $D^U \setminus D^S$ homotopic to the initial interval of $T^U(p_U) \setminus D^S$. Then $f_{m \text{ in}}^{k_u n_u}(Q_U)$ relaxes onto γ for some k_u , and since γ is a curve joining the stable sides of D_S , we also have $f_{m \text{ in}}^{k_s n_s}(\gamma)$ relaxes onto Q_S for some k_s . Hence $f_{m \text{ in}}^{k_u n_u + k_s n_s}(Q_U)$ relaxes onto Q_S as required. 2




Figure 43: alpha chain: A trellis with an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S .

An example of an alpha chain from a quadrant Q_U to Q_S is shown in Figure 43.

If there is an alpha chain from any segment to any other, the trellis is said to be transitive.

Definition 7.21 (Transitive trellis) We say that T is transitive if every quadrant Q is contained in a regular domain regular D_Q such that $D_Q \setminus T^U = \partial D_Q$, and for every pair of quadrants Q_U and Q_S , there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S .

Transitivity is preserved by taking stable extensions.

Lemma 7.22 If there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S for $[f; T]$ and \mathbb{P} is a stable \mathbb{P} -extension of T , then there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S for $[\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}]$. In particular, if $[f; T]$ is transitive, and \mathbb{P} is a stable \mathbb{P} -extension of T , then $[\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}]$ is transitive.

Proof: It is clear that $f^{kn}(b_{Q_U})$ relaxes onto Q_U for some k , and that $f^{nk}(b_{Q_S})$ relaxes onto Q_U for some k . Further, $f_{min}^i(Q_U)$ relaxes onto Q_S . Therefore, there is an alpha chain from Q_U to Q_S . 2

A transitive trellis has a transitive graph representative.

Lemma 7.23 Let $[f;T]$ be an transitive trellis mapping class. Then the graph representative $(g;G;W)$ of $[f;T]$ has a single transitive component with positive topological entropy.

Proof: Let e be any expanding edge of g . Then there exists an integer n_1 such that $g^{n_1}(e)$ contains a sub-path joining two control points, and an integer n_2 such that $g^{n_2}(\cdot)$ has one endpoint in a periodic point, and the next intersection with W is not in a periodic point. Therefore $g^{n_1+n_2}(e)$ contains a subpath (Q) for some quadrant Q .

We now consider preimages of edges. Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{n=0}^T g^n(G)$, and e be any expanding edge of g . There exists a path γ_0 in \mathcal{G} with endpoints in W such that γ_0 is homotopic to a subinterval of a branch $T^U(p;i)$. We then find a path γ_1 in \mathcal{G} homotopic to a subinterval of a branch $T^U(f^{-1}(p);i)$. Proceeding inductively gives a path $\gamma_n = (Q)$ where γ_i is a sub-path of $g(\gamma_{i+1})$ for $0 \leq i < n$. Therefore, there exists a quadrant Q and an integer n such that $g^n(Q) \ni e$.

Now if Q_U and Q_S are any two quadrants, there exists n such that $g^n(Q_U)$ contains a sub-path (Q_S) . Since $(f;T)$ is irreducible, we can find N such that for any two quadrants and any $n > N$, the iterate $g^n(Q_U)$ contains a sub-path (Q_S) . Therefore, any such path generates the same graph component under iteration.

Combining these results shows that there exists N such that if e_1 and e_2 are any two edges, and $n > N$, then $e_2 \in g^n(e_1)$. 2

Finally, we show that the hyperbolicity near T^P is enough to create intersections from which we can deduce regularity and transitivity.

Lemma 7.24 Let $[f;T]$ be a trellis mapping class and Q a quadrant of $[f;T]$. Let $q^u \in T^U(Q)$ be the endpoint of an unstable segment S_0 on the Q -side of $T^S(Q)$, and let $q^s \in T^S(Q)$ be the endpoint of a stable segment U on the Q -side of $T^S(Q)$. Then there exists k such that $f^{-kn}(S)$ intersects U at a point r such that $fp; f^{-kn}(q^u); q^s; rg$ are the vertices of a regular domain for Q .

Proof: By the Lambda Lemma, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, $f^{-in}(S)$ limits on $W^S(Q)$ in the C^1 topology. Take a neighbourhood K of q^s such that $f^{-n}(K) \cap T^S[p; q^s] = \emptyset$, and choose k such that $f^{-kn}(S)$ intersects U in at a point r in K such that $T^S[q^s; r] \subset K$, and the domain D with vertices at $fp; f^{-kn}(q^u); q^s; rg$ is a rectangle which does not intersect $f^{-n}(K)$, and such that $f^{-n}(T^U(p; f^{-kn}(q^u))) \cap D = T^U[p; f^{-kn}(q^u)]$. Let \mathcal{P} be the k th stable f -iterate of T . Then $f^{-n}(T^U[q^s; r]) \cap D = f^{-n}(K) \cap D = \emptyset$, so D is regular. 2

7.3 Existence of entropy minimisers

To prove the existence of a diffeomorphism in a trellis mapping class whose topological entropy is the Nielsen entropy of the class, we first show how to construct such a diffeomorphism for a particularly simple class of trellises.

Lemma 7.25 Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class. Suppose that every region of $[f; T]$ is either an attractor, a repeller, a stable or unstable region, or a rectangle. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}) = h_{\text{top}}[f; T]$.

Proof: Let $(\mathcal{E}; \mathcal{F})$ be a minimal extension of $[f; T]$. Then the regions of T which are rectangles are partitioned into rectangles by \mathcal{F} , since otherwise there would have to be an extra bigon on \mathcal{F} . We foliate the rectangles of T with unstable leaves which are parallel to \mathcal{F}^U , and with stable leaves which are transverse to the unstable leaves. We can now isotope \mathcal{F} to obtain a diffeomorphism \tilde{f} which preserves the stable and unstable foliations. In all other regions, we isotope so that points are in the basin of a periodic attractor or repeller (or both) depending on whether the region is stable, unstable or bistable. Clearly, \tilde{f} is a uniformly-hyperbolic diffeomorphism with entropy $h_{\text{top}}(\tilde{f}) = h_{\text{top}}(g) = h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$. 2

We can use this to prove the general case.

Theorem 7.26 (Existence of entropy minimisers) Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class. Suppose there is a diffeomorphism $\tilde{f} \in [f; T]$ such that every extension of T by \tilde{f} is minimal. Then there is a uniformly-hyperbolic diffeomorphism $\mathcal{E} \in [f; T]$ such that every extension of T by \mathcal{E} is minimal, and $h_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}) = h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$.

Proof: By Theorem 7.6, any trellis mapping class of the form $[\tilde{f}; (T^U; \tilde{f}^{-n}(T^S))]$ has the same Nielsen entropy as $[f; T]$. By Lemma 7.23 we can therefore take a \tilde{f} -extension \tilde{T} of T such that every quadrant of \tilde{T} lies in a rectangular region. Let $(g; G; W)$ be the graph representative of $[f; T]$, and let v be a vertex of G of valence at least three. Suppose v lies in the control set Z . Then either $g^n(v)$ lies in an attracting region for some n , or $g^n(v)$ lies in a periodic control vertex in a rectangular region, which is a contradiction since then T would have a nonwandering stable segment with no intersections with T^U in any iterate. Therefore, if $g^m(v)$ lies in the control set for some m , then $g^n(v)$ lies in an attracting region for some n , so taking an extension of T^S by \hat{f}^{-1} results in a graph representative for which v lies in a stable region. Otherwise, $g^n(v)$ does not lie in the control set for any n , so v is part of a periodic orbit of vertices of the same valence. Taking a stable extension about v results in v being contained in an attracting region as before. Therefore, there is a minimal supertrellis \mathcal{E} of T for a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{E} \in [f; T]$ such that every region of \mathcal{E} is either a rectangle, or is stable or unstable, and the result follows from Lemma 7.25. 2

7.4 Approximate entropy minimizing diffeomorphisms

Throughout this section we take $[f; T]$ to be an irreducible trellis mapping class, $h_{\text{Niel}} = \exp(h_{\text{Niel}}[f; T])$ and $h_{\text{Niel}}^+ = \exp(h_{\text{Niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon)$. To show that we can always find a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{P}^2 [f; T]$ which has entropy less than h_{Niel}^+ , we first consider how to perform non-minimal extensions in a controlled way. The technical details are given in Lemma 7.27 and Lemma 7.28, which deal directly with the graph representatives. We then prove Lemma 7.29, which allows us to consider non-minimal extensions directly.

Our aim is to construct a trellis mapping class which satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.26. To do this, we may need to introduce new periodic points to the trellis to create attracting and repelling regions. We then iterate curves bounding an attractor or repeller into regular domains, and finally take non-minimal iterates to move bigon boundaries into attractors and repellers.




Figure 44: quadrant graph: A trellis and graph representative in the neighbourhood of a periodic controlled edge z_p .

To show that we can take non-minimal iterates without increasing the Nielsen entropy, we consider a length function on a graph near a periodic controlled edge, as shown in Figure 44. We let x^u be the first intersection of $T^U(Q)$ with T^S , and $S_X(Q)$ be the stable segment on the Q -side of $T^U(Q)$ and x^u , as shown. Let z_p be the controlled edge crossing $S_P(Q)$, and z_x the controlled edge crossing $S_X(Q)$. We let β be the curve from the periodic control vertex in Q at z_p up to and including z_x ; note that β is a sub-curve of the inclusion of the path z_p in M .

Lemma 7.27 Let $[f_i; T_i]$ be the minimal extension of $[f; T]$ with $[f_0; T_0] = [f; T]$ and $T_i = (T^U; f_i^{-1}(T_{i-1}))$. Let $(g; G; W)$ be the graph representative of $[f; T]$ with natural embeddings $(G_{i-1}; W_{i-1}) \hookrightarrow (G_i; W_i)$, and let l_i be a length function on $(G_i; W_i)$ preserved by this embedding. Let Q be a quadrant of $[f; T]$, let z_p be the controlled edge crossing $S_P(Q)$, and let z_i be the controlled edge crossing the first stable segment crossing $T^U(Q)$. Let β_i be the edge-path in G_i with initial vertex in z_p and final edge z_i . Then $l_i(\beta_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow 1$.

Proof: The result follows since $g_i(\beta_i) = \beta_{i-1}$, and β_i does not contain any periodic controlled edges. 2

Lemma 7.28 Using the notation of Figure 44, if $2l(\beta(Q)) < (1 - \epsilon)l(z_p(Q))$, then there is a trellis mapping class $\mathbb{P}^1 [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{Niel}}[\mathbb{P}^1 [f; T]] < h_{\text{Niel}}^+$ and $U_X(Q)$ intersects $\mathbb{P}^{-1}(S_X(f(Q)))$.

Proof: The trellis mapping class $[\mathfrak{P}; \mathfrak{P}]$ is well-formed, so its Nielsen entropy is the topological entropy of its graph representative \mathfrak{g} . To obtain the graph \mathfrak{G} , we subdivide the control edge z_p into two control edges z_p and z_q , and two expanding edges, one joining p to q , and one joining q to the beginning of the preimage of the initial point of \cdot . We then recursively subdivide control edges mapping to z_p into a control edge eventually mapping to z_p and an expanding edge.

The graph representative is homotopic to a map taking z_p to $g(z_p)$ with $g(z_q) = z_q$, and having the same effect on all other edges of G . Then $l(g(z_p)) = l(g(z_p)) + 2l(\cdot) < l(z_p)$, so $h_{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{g}^0) < \cdot$. 2




Figure 45: quadrant bifurcation: A non-minimal extension.

The construction is shown in Figure 45.

We now use these technical results to show how to create intersections bounding regular domains.

Lemma 7.29 Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class and Q a quadrant of $[f; T]$. Let $q^u \in T^u(Q)$ be the endpoint of an unstable segment S_0 on the same side of $T^s(Q)$ as Q , and let $q^s \in T^s(Q)$ be the endpoint of a stable segment U on the same side of $T^s(Q)$ as Q . Further, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a minimal stable extension $[\mathfrak{P}; \mathfrak{P}]$ and an integer k such that $\mathfrak{P}^{kn}(S) \cap \mathfrak{P}^s$ and intersects U at a point r such that $f^k p; f^{kn}(q^u); q^s; r; g$ are the vertices of a regular domain for Q .

Proof: Let S_i be the segment of $f_{\text{in}}^k(S_0)$ containing $f_i^{\text{in}}(q^u)$, let z_i be the control edge crossing S_i . Let z_p be the control edge crossing $S_p(Q)$, and let γ_i be the edge path from z_p to z_i which contains z_i as its final edge, but does not contain z_p . Then the hypotheses of the length functions for the graph representative imply that $l(\gamma_i) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix k such that $1 + 2l(\gamma_k) < \epsilon$. 2

Before proving the main theorem, we show how to ensure that every quadrant Q is contained in a regular domain whose opposite sides bound attractors or repellers.

Lemma 7.30 Let $[f; T]$ be a transitive trellis mapping class, and P be an essential periodic orbit of $[f; T]$ which does not shadow T^P . Then there is a minimal supertrellis $[\mathfrak{E}; \mathfrak{E}]$ of $[f; T]$ obtained by blowing up at P such that every quadrant Q is contained in a regular rectangular region with the opposite sides not in T .

Proof: Since P is an essential periodic orbit, every curve $\gamma(Q)$ eventually maps across P , so crosses a stable segment of P . Hence iterating this segment backwards gives a curve

bounding a regular domain, and further backward iterates give a regular region. A similar argument holds for forward iterates of unstable segments, since in this case we can simply reverse time. 2

Theorem 7.31 (Existence of approximate entropy minimisers) Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed trellis mapping class. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{P} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{P}) < h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon$.

Proof: We repeatedly construct extensions $[\mathbb{P}_i; \mathbb{P}_i]$ for which $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_i; \mathbb{P}_i] < \log \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \exp(h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon)$. By Lemma 7.29, we can find a non-minimal extension $[\mathbb{P}_{i+1}; \mathbb{P}_{i+1}]$ of $[\mathbb{P}_i; \mathbb{P}_i]$ such that Q is contained in a rectangular region of \mathbb{P}_{i+1} .

Now suppose $T^U(Q_U) \setminus T^S(Q_S) \neq \emptyset$. Taking minimal extensions of $T^S(Q_S)$ either gives a curve crossing $R(Q_U)$, or gives a bigon with both vertices in $T^U([p(Q_U); q^U(Q_U)])$. Then by Lemma 7.29 we can find a non-minimal extension satisfying the entropy bounds with such that $\mathbb{P}^S(Q_S)$ crosses $R(Q_U)$.

If $\mathbb{P}^S(Q_S)$ cuts $R(Q)$ and $\mathbb{P}^S(Q)$ cuts $R(Q_U)$, then taking minimal extensions gives a trellis with $\mathbb{P}^S(Q_S)$ cutting $R(Q_U)$. We therefore obtain a trellis for which for any pair of regions Q_U and Q_S , there exists n such that $f_{\text{min}}^n(Q_U)$ contains Q_S , so the trellis is transitive. In particular, the trellis mapping class contains an essential periodic orbit which does not shadow P , and in the graph representative, any expanding edge eventually maps over this point. Take an unstable extension at this periodic orbit, and then a stable extension. Taking minimal iterates of these new orbit yields a trellis mapping class such that every quadrant is a rectangle with opposite sides in $T^{U=S}(P)$.

For any bigon with a nonwandering stable boundary S , take minimal iterates of S to a rectangle containing a periodic point. Again by Lemma 7.29 we can find a non-minimal extension satisfying the entropy bounds with such that $\mathbb{P}^S(f^{-n}(S))$ crosses $R(Q_U)$ and gives a bigon with stable segment in the basin of repulsion of P . Similarly, by taking forward iterates of nonwandering unstable segments, and then by taking extensions, we can ensure that the segment crosses $T^S(P)$ and hence lies in the basin of attraction of P .

The resulting trellis is such that every nonwandering segment has a minimal iterate with an intersection, so there is a diffeomorphism in $[\mathbb{P}_1; \mathbb{P}_1]$ such that every extension is minimal. Hence by Theorem 7.26 there is a diffeomorphism \mathbb{P} in $[\mathbb{P}_1; \mathbb{P}_1]$ whose entropy equals $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_1; \mathbb{P}_1]$. Then $\mathbb{P} \in [f; T]$ and $h_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{P}) < h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon$ as required. 2

We now prove the existence of pseudo-Anosov map which approximate the Nielsen entropy. The condition on attractors and repellers is to ensure that the trellis mapping class contains a pseudo-Anosov map, since pseudo-Anosov maps have no attractors or repellers. The strategy is to create the periodic orbits which will give the one-prong singularities of the pseudo-Anosov map.

Theorem 7.32 (Existence of pseudo-Anosov representatives) Let $[f; T]$ be a trellis mapping class with no attractors or repellers. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism $\mathbb{P} \in [f; T]$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\mathbb{P}) < h_{\text{top}}[f; T] + \epsilon$.

Proof: If T has a pair of open branches, take an extension such that these two branches intersect. This does not affect the Nielsen entropy. If T has any other open branches, take a minimal extension such that these branches have an intersection point. Next, take an extension satisfying the entropy bound which is transitive. Finally, take an extension satisfying the entropy bounds such that every unstable branch intersects every stable branch with both orientations; such an extension is possible since we can take a minimal extension to find a new intersection of two branches, and then isotope this single intersection to three intersections, one of which has opposite orientation to the other two. This does not increase the Nielsen entropy, and results in a trellis mapping class $[\mathbb{P}_1; \mathbb{P}_1]$.




Figure 46: chaotic bigon: The domain with vertices q_0 and q_1 must have periodic orbits in the rectangles R_0 and R_1 .

Let B be an inner bigon of \mathbb{P}_1 . By Lemma 7.29, we can find a stable extension $[\mathbb{P}_2; \mathbb{P}_2]$ such that $\mathbb{P}_2 \circ i(B^s)$ crosses a regular domain for some i . Further, by removing intersections if necessary, we can ensure that $\mathbb{P}_2 \circ i(B^s)$ crosses D twice, and gives a new inner bigon \mathbb{P} . A further application of Lemma 7.29 shows that we can find a stable extension $[\mathbb{P}_3; \mathbb{P}_3]$ such that \mathbb{P}^u crosses an some regular domain. The resulting trellis is shown in Figure 46. Since the regions R_1 and R_2 are mapped over by (Q_U) and mapped over (Q_S) , they must contain a periodic orbit.

Performing this construction for every inner bigon of \mathbb{P}_1 gives a trellis mapping class $[\mathbb{P}_3; \mathbb{P}_3]$ such that every inner bigon of \mathbb{P}_1 contains a periodic point of \mathbb{P}_3 , and $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_3; \mathbb{P}_3] < h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon$. Puncture M at a collection of periodic orbits P to obtain a new surface \mathcal{M} such that every inner bigon of \mathbb{P}_1 contains a point of P . Let $[\mathbb{P}_4; \mathbb{P}_4]$ be the trellis mapping class obtained by this puncturing, and let $[\mathbb{P}_5; \mathbb{P}_5]$ be the trellis mapping class obtained by pruning away all intersections of \mathbb{P}_4 which are not forced by \mathbb{P}_1^v . The resulting trellis mapping class is transitive and has no attractors, repellers or bigons. Perform a minimal stable extension of $[\mathbb{P}_5; \mathbb{P}_5]$ to obtain a trellis mapping class $[\mathbb{P}_6; \mathbb{P}_6]$ for which every region is a rectangle. This can be achieved by ensuring that the stable set has an n -prong singularity shadowing every valence n vertex of the graph representative of $[\mathbb{P}_5; \mathbb{P}_5]$. Foliating these rectangles with stable and unstable leaves gives a singular foliation for a pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphism $\mathbb{P} \in [\mathbb{P}_6; \mathbb{P}_6]$. The topological entropy is given by $h_{\text{top}} \mathbb{P} \leq h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_6; \mathbb{P}_6] \leq h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_3; \mathbb{P}_3] < h_{\text{niel}}[f; T] + \epsilon$ as required. 2

7.5 Non-existence of entropy minimisers

Suppose that an irreducible trellis mapping class has a uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphism realising the Nielsen entropy. The following lemma shows that any isotopy removing intersections results in a trellis mapping class with strictly smaller Nielsen entropy.

Lemma 7.33 Let $[f; T]$ be a well-formed irreducible trellis mapping class, and suppose f be a uniformly-hyperbolic diffeomorphism such that $h_{\text{top}}(f) = h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$. Then if $[\bar{f}; \bar{T}]$ is a trellis mapping class which does not force $[f; T]$, then $h_{\text{Nielsen}}[\bar{f}; \bar{T}] < h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$.

Proof: By taking an f -extension \mathbb{P} of T , we can ensure that every inner bigon of $[f; \mathbb{P}]$




Figure 47: bifurcation decrease: Change in trellis and graph at a homoclinic bifurcation.

is contained in a larger domain with the topology of Figure 47 (a), and that these domains are separate. Further, we can ensure that every quadrant is contained in a rectangular region. Now consider the effect of a homotopy to a trellis mapping class $[f_1; T_1]$ removing one pair of orbits on the same inner bigons. The resulting trellis and graph locally have the topology of the right of Figure 47 (b). The edges a_0 and a_2 must be expanding, since they forward iterate to a closed segment, and since every quadrant is contained in a rectangular region, $\partial g^n(a_0) = \partial g^n(a_2) = b$ for some edge b . Therefore a_0 and a_2 are folded together to obtain the graph representative for $[\bar{f}; \bar{T}]$. Further, there must be an edge mapping $z_0 \rightarrow z_1$. Hence the entropy of an efficient graph representative of $[\bar{f}; \bar{T}]$ is less than that of $[f; T]$. 2

We use this to prove that the sufficient condition given for the existence of entropy minimisers in Theorem 7.26 is necessary.

Theorem 7.34 (Non-existence of entropy minimisers) Let $[f; T]$ be an irreducible trellis mapping class. Suppose that for every diffeomorphism $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}_2[f; T]$ there is a \mathbb{P} -extension of T which is not minimal. Then there does not exist a diffeomorphism in $[f; T]$ whose topological entropy equals $h_{\text{Nielsen}}[f; T]$.

Proof: Suppose there is an f -extension \mathbb{P}_1 of T which is not minimal. Take an f -extension \mathbb{P}_2 of \mathbb{P}_1 which is transitive, and a further f -extension \mathbb{P}_3 such that every non-wandering segment of T crosses a regular domain. Next blow up at an essential periodic orbit P of $[f; \mathbb{P}_3]$ which does not shadow \mathbb{P}_3^P , and take minimal extensions so that every \mathbb{P}_3 segment of T crosses P . Then every nonwandering segment of T crosses $\mathbb{P}_n T_3$, so we can isotope \mathbb{P}_3 to remove intersections which are not forced by T to obtain a trellis

mapping class $[\mathbb{P}_4; \mathbb{P}_4]$ such that every \mathbb{P}_4 -extension of \mathbb{P}_4 is minimal. However, \mathbb{P}_4 still contains intersections which are not forced by T , so by Lemma 7.33, $h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_4; \mathbb{P}_4] > h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$. Then $h_{\text{top}}(f) > h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_3; \mathbb{P}_3] > h_{\text{niel}}[\mathbb{P}_4; \mathbb{P}_4] > h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$, giving $h_{\text{top}}(f) > h_{\text{niel}}[f; T]$ as required.

2

A Intersections and Isotopies of Curves in Surfaces

In this section we prove some technical results on isotopies of curves in surface. (A curve is a function $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$; a path is the image of such a function.) We consider a surface M with a set $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ of finitely many cross-cuts. (A cross-cut is a simple curve or path with both endpoints but no interior points in ∂M .) All homotopies and isotopies of cross-cuts will be assumed to fix endpoints. Homotopies and isotopies of curves with endpoints on cross-cuts will be assumed to keep this property.

The main results are Theorem A.6, which gives conditions under which two sets of curves can be isotoped to reduce the number of intersections with each other, Theorem A.8, which shows how to isotope curves in a controlled way, and Theorem A.11, which shows that there is essentially a unique configuration of curves with minimal intersections in any isotopy class.

We begin by quoting some standard results of (surface) topology. The first is due to Epstein [Eps66], the can be found in [Hir76], and the third is an easy consequence of the classification of orientable surfaces. All these results also hold in the differentiable category.

Theorem A.1 Let c_0 and c_1 be two simple curves in a surface M with the same endpoints. Then c_0 and c_1 are homotopic if and only if they are isotopic (relative to endpoints).

Theorem A.2 Suppose c_0 and c_1 are two simple curves in a surface M which are isotopic via an isotopy h_t . Then there is an isotopy $h_t : M \rightarrow M$ with $h_0 = \text{id}$ such that $h_t(c_0) = c_1$.

Theorem A.3 Let M be a surface and c a cross-cut in M . Then if c_0 and c_1 are curves in $M \setminus c$ which are homotopic in M , then c_0 and c_1 are homotopic in $M \setminus c$. An alternative statement is that the inclusion-induced map $\pi_1(M \setminus c) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is injective.

We also have the following differentiable version of the Alexander trick:

Theorem A.4 Suppose M is a polygon, and $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map which preserves the vertices and edges of M . Then f is isotopic to the identity.

A.1 Minimal intersections

A particularly important concept will be that of two sets of cross-cuts having minimal intersections with each other. We give a definition based on a local definition, and then show that this implies that the two sets have minimize the total number of intersections in the isotopy class.

Definition A.5 (Minimal intersections) A set of mutually disjoint cross-cuts \mathcal{C} has minimal intersections with \mathcal{C}' if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are transverse and there is no disc in M bounded by an arc in \mathcal{C} and an arc in \mathcal{C}' .




Figure 48: Intersections of a curve with two cross-cuts. (a) Not minimal intersections. (b) An isotopic curve with minimal intersections.

The following elementary result relates this local definition to the global property of having fewest intersections in the isotopy class.

Theorem A.6 Let M be a surface, γ a set of m mutually disjoint cross-cuts in M , and α a cross-cut. Then there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_1(\alpha)$ has minimal intersections with γ . Further, $j(h_1(\alpha)) \setminus \gamma = j(\alpha) \setminus \gamma$ with equality if and only if α has minimal intersections with γ .

The proof is an easy consequence of the following lemma:

Lemma A.7 Suppose α is a curve in M , and D a disk bounded by an arc in α and an arc of γ , and that the intersection of α and γ is (topologically) transverse. Let U be a simply-connected neighbourhood of D such that $\alpha \setminus U \cap \gamma \cap U = \emptyset$. Then there is an isotopy $h_t : M \rightarrow M$ supported in U such that $h_1(\alpha)$ and α agree outside of U , but $h_1(\alpha)$ has no intersections with γ in U .

Proof: Let $[t_1; t_2]$ be the arc of γ bounding D , and t_0, t_3 be parameters such that $[t_0; t_1]$ and $[t_2; t_3]$ lie in $U \cap \alpha$. Then there is a smooth curve β which agrees with α except in $(t_0; t_2)$, and $\beta \setminus U \cap \gamma \cap U = \emptyset$. There is a homeomorphism h_1 of M supported in U such that $h_1(\alpha) = \beta$, and since U is simply-connected, h_1 is isotopic to id by an isotopy supported in U . 2

Proof of Theorem A.6: If the intersections of α with γ are not isolated, we take a small perturbation h_{t_0} which is isotopic to the identity and for which $h_{t_0}(\alpha)$ has isolated intersections with γ . In particular, $h_{t_0}(\alpha)$ has finitely many intersections with γ while α has infinitely many. Now, if $h_{t_0}(\alpha)$ does not have minimal intersections with γ , there is a disc D bounded by an arc in α and an arc of γ , so by Lemma A.7, we can isotope further by h_t to obtain $h_{t_1}(\alpha) = h_{t_1}(h_{t_0}(\alpha))$ with two fewer intersections with γ . (If one of the bounding points of D is an endpoint of α , we can still isotope to remove one intersection.) We continue isotoping until we reach a curve α_1 with minimal intersections with γ . The procedure must terminate in a finite number of steps, since $h_{t_0}(\alpha)$ has finitely many intersections. 2

A.2 Ambient isotopies of curves

Theorem A.8 Let M be a surface and γ a set of cross-cuts in M . Then if h is isotopic to id and $h(\gamma) = \gamma'$ there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1 = h$ and $h_t(\gamma) = \gamma'$.

Lemma A.9 Suppose γ_0 and γ_1 are homotopic curves which do not intersect γ . Then there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1(\gamma_0) = \gamma_1$ and $h_t \gamma = \text{id}$.

Proof: By Theorem A.3, $\gamma_0 \sim \gamma_1$ is a homotopy between γ_0 and γ_1 in $M \setminus \gamma$, and hence in a subsurface K of M such that $K \cap \gamma = \emptyset$. Then by Theorem A.1, there is an isotopy γ_t between γ_0 and γ_1 supported in K . By Theorem A.2, there is an isotopy h_t supported in K such that $h_1(\gamma_0) = \gamma_1$. 2

This result can be extended to collections of curves.

Lemma A.10 Let $\mathcal{C}_0 = \{ \gamma_0^1, \dots, \gamma_0^n \}$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 = \{ \gamma_1^1, \dots, \gamma_1^n \}$ be two sets of disjoint curves in a surface M such that γ_0^i is homotopic to γ_1^i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$ and $h_1(\gamma_0^i) = \gamma_1^i$.

Proof: Assuming the result for $n-1$ curves, we can find an isotopy such that $h_t(\gamma_0^i) = \gamma_1^i$ for $i \leq n-1$, and $\gamma_1^1, \dots, \gamma_1^{n-1}$ and γ_0^n are both disjoint from γ_1^n and isotopic in M . The result follows by taking $\mathcal{C} = \{ \gamma_1^1, \dots, \gamma_1^{n-1}, \gamma_0^n \}$ in Lemma A.9. 2

We can now prove Theorem A.8.

Proof: Let \mathcal{C} be a set of cross-cuts which is disjoint from γ such that $M \setminus (\mathcal{C} \cup \gamma)$ is simply-connected. By Lemma A.10, there is an isotopy \tilde{h}_t such that $\tilde{h}_0 = \text{id}$, $\tilde{h}_1(\mathcal{C}) = h(\mathcal{C})$ and $\tilde{h}_t(\gamma) = \gamma$. Then $\tilde{h}_1^{-1} \circ h$ is a homeomorphism of a polygon, and clearly must preserve the sides of the polygon. Hence by Theorem A.4, there is an isotopy \hat{h}_t such that $\hat{h}_0 = \text{id}$, $\hat{h}_1 = \tilde{h}_1^{-1} \circ h$ and $\hat{h}_t(\gamma) = \gamma$. Let $h_t = \tilde{h}_t \circ \hat{h}_t$. Then $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1 = h$ and $h_t(\gamma) = \tilde{h}_t(\hat{h}_t(\gamma)) = \tilde{h}_t(\gamma) = \gamma$ as required. 2

A.3 Nielsen intersection theory

Theorem A.11 Let M be a surface, γ a set of cross-cuts in M . Then if \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_1 are homotopic sets of cross-cuts with m minimal intersections with γ , there is an isotopy h_t such that $h_0 = \text{id}$, $h_1(\mathcal{C}_0) = \mathcal{C}_1$ and $h_t(\gamma) = \gamma$. In particular, h_1 is a homeomorphism $(M; \mathcal{C}_0; \gamma) \rightarrow (M; \mathcal{C}_1; \gamma)$.

To prove Theorem A.11, we need to develop a form of Nielsen theory for intersections of curves on surfaces. We first define an intersection class, and then show that each class has a well-defined intersection number.

Definition A.12 (Intersection class) Let γ be a set of cross-cuts in a surface M , α a curve in M , and x_0 and x_1 points in $M \setminus \gamma$, with $x_i = (s_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. We say x_0 and x_1 lie in the same intersection class if $\gamma_{[s_0, s_1]}$ is homotopic to a curve in γ .

If γ_t is an isotopy, and there are functions $\alpha_i; \beta_i : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\alpha_i(t) \leq \beta_i(t)$ for all t , then we say $\alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(1)$ and $\beta_i(0) \leq \beta_i(1)$ are connected by the isotopy; essentially they lie in equivalent intersection classes.

Lemma A.13 Suppose γ_t is an isotopy of curves, and there are functions α_i and β_i such that $\alpha_i(0) \leq \alpha_i(1)$ and $\beta_i(0) \leq \beta_i(1)$ are connected by the isotopy γ_t , and $\alpha_i(0) = \beta_i(0)$. Then $\alpha_i(1)$ and $\beta_i(1)$ lie in the same intersection class.

Proof: Let

$$\hat{\gamma}_t(s) = \alpha_i(t) + t(\beta_i(s) - \alpha_i(s));$$

Then $\hat{\gamma}_0(s) = \alpha_i(s)$, $\hat{\gamma}_1(s) = \beta_i(s)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_t(i) = \alpha_i(i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. 2

Lemma A.14 Suppose γ_t is a homotopy, and there are functions $\alpha_i; \beta_i : I \rightarrow I$ for $i = 0, 1$ such that $\alpha_i(t) \leq \beta_i(t)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Then the curves $\hat{\gamma}_i : [i(0), i(1)] \rightarrow M$ are homotopic via curves with endpoints in $\alpha_i(0)$.

Proof: A homotopy is given by

$$\hat{\gamma}_t(s) = \alpha_i(s) + t(\beta_i(s) - \alpha_i(s));$$

since we can check that $\hat{\gamma}_i(s) = \alpha_i(s)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_i(i) = \alpha_i(i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. 2

It is clear that for a given curve, the intersection classes are open in the intersection set.

Definition A.15 (Intersection number) The intersection number of an intersection class is the topological intersection number of that class. An intersection class is essential if its intersection number is non-zero.

We now show that essential intersection classes preserve their relative ordering under homotopies of curves.

Lemma A.16 Let γ_0 and γ_1 be isotopic curves in M with endpoints in α and minimal intersections with β . Let t_0^k and t_1^k be ordered parameter values of the intersections of γ_0 and γ_1 with β respectively. Then for each curve γ_i , there are integers k_1, \dots, k_{n_i} such that the intersections of γ_0 and γ_1 with β are $t_0^{k_1}, \dots, t_0^{k_{n_i}}$ and $t_1^{k_1}, \dots, t_1^{k_{n_i}}$ respectively.

Proof: Since γ_0 and γ_1 have minimal intersections with β , any two intersections of γ_0 or γ_1 with β are transverse and lie in different intersection classes. Let γ_t be an isotopy between γ_0 and γ_1 , let $X = \{s; t : \gamma_t(s) \in \beta\}$, and let $X_t = \{s : \gamma_t(s) \in \beta\}$. Since points in the same component of X with the same t -value must correspond to points in the same intersection class of γ_t , for each intersection point of γ_0 there is a connected subset of X which contains a point of X_t for any t ; in particular, it contains a point of X_1 , and this point must be unique since γ_1 also has minimal intersections with β . This gives the required bijection from $\gamma_0 \setminus \beta$ to $\gamma_1 \setminus \beta$. 2

Lemma A.17 Let γ_0 and γ_1 be isotopic curves in M with endpoints in ∂M and m minimal intersections with Σ . Parameterise γ_0 and γ_1 so that the intersections occur at the same parameter values $t_1; t_2; \dots; t_n$. Then there are tubular neighbourhoods $U; V$ of Σ with $\text{cl}(U) \cap V = \emptyset$, and a homeomorphism supported on V , such that $h(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 agree on U , and consist of curves, each crossing Σ once transversely. Further, on each interval $[t_i; t_{i+1}]$ for $i < n$ and for the intervals $[0; t_1]$ and $[t_n; 1]$, the curves $h(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 are homotopic.

Proof: By Lemma A.16, we can find a U, V and h such that $h(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 agree on U , so need only show that $h(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 consist of mutually homotopic curves outside U . By Lemma A.14, the curves are homotopic on each interval. 2

Using these results, we can prove Theorem A.11.

Proof: By Lemma A.16 we can find an isotopy h_t such that $h_1(\gamma_0)$ and γ_1 agree on a neighbourhood U of Σ . Without loss of generality, therefore, we can assume that γ_0 and γ_1 agree on U . Now let V be a tubular neighbourhood of Σ such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap U = \emptyset$, and K be the surface $M \setminus V$. Then $\gamma_0 \setminus K$ and $\gamma_1 \setminus K$, give families of mutually disjoint homotopic curves, and the result follows from Lemma A.10. 2

R e f e r e n c e s

- [A sh99] Sara B. A sher. The D ynam ics of C ontinuous Baker M aps. PhD thesis, North-western University, 1999.
- [BH 92] M laden Bestvina and M ichael H andel. Train tracks and autom orphism s of free groups. *Ann. of M ath. (2)*, 135 (1) :1{51, 1992.
- [BH 95] M laden Bestvina and M ichael H andel. Train-tracks for surface hom eom orphism s. *Topology*, 34 (1) :109{140, 1995.
- [Bir49] G arrett B irkho . Theorie et applications des treillis. *Ann. Inst. H . Poincare*, 11 :227{240, 1949.
- [BW 95] Keith Burns and Howard W eiss. A geom etric criterion for positive topological entropy. *Com m . M ath. Phys.*, 172 (1) :95{118, 1995.
- [CB 88] A . Casson and S. B leiler. Autom orphism s of surfaces, after Nielsen and Thurston, volum e 9 of London M athem atical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University P ress, 1988.
- [Co199] Pieter Collins. Dynam ics forced by surface trellises. In M arcy Barge and K rystyna K uperberg, editors, *Geom etry and Topology in Dynam ics*, number 246 in *Contem porary M athm atics*. American M athem atical Society, 1999.
- [Co101] Pieter Collins. Relative periodic point theory. *Topology Appl.*, 115 (1) :97{114, 2001.
- [Con78] Charles Conley. Isolated Invariant Sets and the Morse Index, volum e 38 of CBM S Regional Conference Series in M athem atics. AM S Publications, 1978.
- [CP 96] Freddy Christiansen and Antonio Politi. Symbolic encoding in sym plectic m aps. *Nonlinearity*, 9 (6) :1623, 1996.
- [dC 99] Andre de Carvalho. Pruning fronts and the form ation of horseshoes. *Ergodic Theory Dynam ical System s*, 19 (4) :851{894, 1999.
- [dCH] Andre de Carvalho and Toby Hall. The forcing relation for horseshoe braid types. P reprint.
- [Eas86] Robert Easton. Trellises form ed by stable and unstable m anifolds in the plane. *Trans. Am er. M ath. Soc.*, 294 (2) :719{732, 1986.
- [Eas98] Robert W . Easton. Geom etric m ethods for discrete dynam ical system s. Number 50 in *Oxford Engineering Science Series*. Oxford University P ress, 1998.
- [Eps66] David Epstein. Curves on 2-m anifolds and isotopies. *Acta M ath.*, 115 :83{107, 1966.
- [FM 93] John Franks and M ichael M isiurewicz. Cycles for disk hom eom orphism s and thick trees. In Nielsen Theory and Dynam ical System s, number 152 in *Contem porary M athem atics*, pages 69{139, 1993.

- [Fri83] David Fried. Periodic points and twisted coefficients. *1007-261*{293, 1983.
- [Han85] Michael Handel. Global shadowing of pseudo-Anosov homeomorphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, 5 (3) :373{377, 1985.
- [Han99] Michael Handel. A fixed-point theorem for planar homeomorphisms. *Topology*, 38 (2) :235{264, 1999.
- [Hir76] Morris W. Hirsch. *Differential Topology*. Number 33 in *Graduate Texts In Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [Hul00] Helen Hume. Ph.D. Thesis. Ph.D. thesis, University of Liverpool, 2000.
- [Jia93] Boju Jiang. Nielsen theory for periodic orbits and applications to dynamical systems. In Christopher McCord, editor, *Nielsen Theory and Dynamical Systems*, number 152 in *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 183{202. American Mathematical Society, 1993.
- [Kei97] Ulrich Keil. *Construction Algorithmique D'un Collage Efficace Pour Les Homomorphismes D'une Surface A Bord* (Algorithmic Construction of an Efficient Splicing for Homeomorphisms of a Punctured Surface). Ph.D. thesis, L'Universite Paul Sabatier De Toulouse, 1997.
- [Los93] Jérôme Los. Pseudo-Anosov maps and invariant train tracks in the disc: A finite algorithm. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 66 (2) :400{430, 1993.
- [Los96] Jérôme Los. On the conjugacy problem for automorphisms of free groups. *Topology*, 35 (3) :779{806, 1996.
- [MT94] F. Allan M cRobie and J. M. T. Thompson. Knot-types and bifurcations sequences of homoclinic and transient orbits of a single-degree-of-freedom driven oscillator. *Dynam. Stab. Sys.*, 9:223{251, 1994.
- [MV93] L. Mora and M. Viana. A bundance of strange attractors. *Acta Math.*, 171:1{71, 1993.
- [New80] Sheldon E. Newhouse. Lectures on dynamical systems. In *Dynamical Systems (C.I.M.E. Summer School, Bressanone, 1978)*, volume 8 of *Progress in Mathematics*, pages 1{114. Birkhauser, 1980.
- [Poi99] Henri Poincare. *Les methods nouvelle de la m echanique celeste*. 1892{1899.
- [Ray98] Victoria Rayskin. Ph.D. thesis, university of california, berkeley. Ph.D. Thesis, 1998.
- [RK94] Vered Rom-Kedar. Homoclinic tangles | classification and applications. *Non-linearity*, 7 (2) :441{473, 1994.
- [SDM99] D. Sterling, Holgar R. Dullin, and J.D. Meiss. Homoclinic bifurcations for the henon map. *Phys. D*, 134:153{184, 1999.

- [Sm a63] Stephen Smale. A structurally stable differentiable homeomorphism with an infinite number of periodic points. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Nonlinear Vibrations, volume 2, pages 365{366, 1963.
- [Thu97] William P. Thurston. Three-dimensional geometry and topology, volume 1. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.