

# Diassociativity in Conjugacy Closed Loops

Michael K. Kinyon, Kenneth Kunen\*, and J.D. Phillips

October 22, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $Q$  be a conjugacy closed loop, and  $N(Q)$  its nucleus. Then  $Z(N(Q))$  contains all associators of elements of  $Q$ . If in addition  $Q$  is diassociative (i.e., an extra loop), then all these associators have order 2. If  $Q$  is power-associative and  $|Q|$  is finite and relatively prime to 6, then  $Q$  is a group. If  $Q$  is a finite non-associative extra loop, then  $16 \mid |Q|$ .

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a conjugacy closed loop (CC-loop) is due to Goodaire and Robinson [10], and independently to Со́йкис [18], with somewhat different terminology. Following, approximately, [10]:

**Definition 1.1** *A loop  $(Q, \cdot)$  is conjugacy closed (or a CC-loop) if and only if there are functions  $f, g : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$  such that for all  $x, y, z$ :*

$$RCC : x \cdot yz = f(x, y) \cdot xz \qquad LCC : zy \cdot x = zx \cdot g(x, y) \quad .$$

As usual, define the left and right multiplications by  $xy = xR_y = yL_x$ , so that  $R_y$  and  $L_x$  are permutations of the set  $Q$ . Using these, we can express “CC-loop” in terms of conjugations:

**Lemma 1.2** *A loop  $Q$  is a CC-loop if and only if there exist functions  $f, g : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$  such that*

$$L_x^{-1}L_yL_x = L_{f(x,y)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_x^{-1}R_yR_x = R_{g(x,y)}.$$

---

\*Author supported by NSF Grant DMS-0097881

*Proof.*  $RCC$  and  $LCC$  assert that  $L_y L_x = L_x L_{f(x,y)}$  and  $R_y R_x = R_x R_{g(x,y)}$ .  $\square$

Thus, in a CC-loop, the left multiplications are closed under conjugation and the right multiplications are closed under conjugation; hence the name “conjugacy-closed”.

These loops have a number of interesting properties, surveyed in Sections 2 and 3; for example, by [10], the left and right inner mappings are automorphisms. These properties allow a rather detailed structural analysis to be made; in particular, all CC-loops of orders  $p^2$  and  $2p$  (for primes  $p$ ) are known (see [13]). This paper yields additional structural information about CC-loops — especially for the ones which are *power-associative* (that is, each  $\langle x \rangle$  is a group) or *diassociative* (that is, each  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is a group).

It is shown in [11] that the CC-loops which are diassociative (equivalently, Moufang) are the extra loops studied by Fenyves [8, 9]. By [9], if  $Q$  is an extra loop, then  $Q/N(Q)$  is a boolean group (where  $N(Q)$  is the nucleus). It is immediate that a finite extra loop of odd order is a group. We show here (Corollary 7.7) that a finite power-associative CC-loop of order relatively prime to 6 is a group. The “6” cannot be improved, since there are non-associative power-associative CC-loops of order 16 (e.g., the Cayley loop) and of order 27 (see Section 9) (we do not know if there are ones of order divisible by 6 but not by 4 or 9). Also, one cannot drop the “power-associative”, since by [10], there are non-power-associative CC-loops of order  $p^2$  for every odd prime  $p$ .

More generally, we show that every power-associative CC-loop satisfies a weakening of diassociativity — namely,  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is a group whenever  $x$  is a cube and  $y$  is a square. Then, if  $|Q|$  is relatively prime to 6, every element must be a sixth power by the Lagrange property, so that  $Q$  is diassociative, and hence an extra loop of odd order, and hence a group. Of course, we must verify that the Lagrange property really holds for CC-loops, since it can fail for loops in general. This is easy to do (see Corollary 3.2) using the result of Бачараб [2]. He showed that for any CC-loop,  $Q/N(Q)$  is an abelian group (this answers a question from [10]); we include a proof of this here (see Theorem 3.1), since it is fairly short using the notion of autotopy (see Белоусов [3] II§3 or Bruck [5] VII§2), together with some facts about the autotopies of CC-loops proved by Goodaire and Robinson (see [10] and Section 2).

We also establish two theorems about general CC-loops. First, whenever  $S \subseteq Q$  and  $S$  *associates* in the sense that  $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z$  holds for all  $x, y, z \in S$ , we prove that  $\langle S \rangle$  also associates, and hence is a group (see Corollary 5.4). Second (see Theorems 6.8 and 6.10), we use this fact to show that  $\langle b, c^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle b^2, c \rangle$  are groups whenever  $\langle b \rangle$  and  $\langle c \rangle$  are groups and  $c$  satisfies  $c \cdot ((xc) \setminus 1) = x \setminus 1$  (such  $c$  are called *WIP elements*; see Definition 2.19).

Finally, in a power-associative CC-loop, we show that all cubes are WIP elements (see Section 7), so that the subloop generated by a square and a cube always is a group.

Our investigations were aided by the computer programs OTTER, developed by McCune [14], and SEM, developed by J. Zhang and H. Zhang [19].

## 2 Background

Let  $Q$  be a loop. We shall reformulate the notion of CC-loop in terms of autotopisms, the definition of which we now recall.

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $\text{Sym}(Q)$  denote the group of all permutations of the set  $Q$ , and let  $I$  denote the identity element of  $\text{Sym}(Q)$ . A triple  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in (\text{Sym}(Q))^3$  is an autotopism of  $Q$  if  $y\alpha \cdot z\beta = (yz)\gamma$  for all  $y, z \in Q$ . Let  $\text{Atop}(Q)$  denote the set of all autotopisms of  $Q$ .*

It is easy to see that  $\text{Atop}(Q)$  is a subgroup of  $(\text{Sym}(Q))^3$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *A loop  $Q$  is a CC-loop if and only if there exist mappings  $F, G : Q \rightarrow \text{Sym}(Q)$  such that*

$$(F_x, L_x, L_x) \quad \text{and} \quad (R_x, G_x, R_x)$$

*are in  $\text{Atop}(Q)$ . In this case,  $F_x$  and  $G_x$  are given by:  $yF_x = f(x, y)$  and  $yG_x = g(x, y)$  (see Definition 1.1).*

We shall also use the division and the left and right inverse permutations:

**Definition 2.3** *In any loop  $Q$ , define permutations  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$ , along with  $D_x$  for  $x \in Q$ , by:*

$$y\lambda = 1/y \quad y\rho = y \backslash 1 \quad yD_x = y \backslash x \quad .$$

*We write  $y^\lambda, y^\rho$  for  $y\lambda, y\rho$ , respectively; when these values are the same, they are denoted by  $y^{-1}$ . If  $y^\lambda = y^\rho$  for all  $y$ , we let  $J = \lambda = \rho$ .*

Note that  $yD_x^{-1} = x/y$ ,  $\rho = D_1$ , and  $\lambda = D_1^{-1}$ . These permutations are used in the following explicit expressions for  $F_x$  and  $G_x$ , which are obtained from Definition 1.1:

**Lemma 2.4** *For all  $z$ ,*

$$F_x = R_z L_x R_{xz}^{-1} = D_z L_x D_{xz}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad G_x = L_z R_x L_{zx}^{-1} = D_z^{-1} R_x D_{zx}.$$

*In particular,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= (xy)/x = x \cdot yx^\rho = x/(xy^\rho) = [x(y \setminus x^\rho)]^\lambda \\ F_x &= L_x R_x^{-1} = R_{x^\rho} L_x = \rho L_x D_x^{-1} = D_{x^\rho} L_x \lambda \\ g(x, y) &= x \setminus (yx) = x^\lambda y \cdot x = (y^\lambda x) \setminus x = [(x^\lambda / y)x]^\rho \\ G_x &= R_x L_x^{-1} = L_{x^\lambda} R_x = \lambda R_x D_x = D_{x^\lambda}^{-1} R_x \rho \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*  $F_x = R_z L_x R_{xz}^{-1}$  is immediate from *RCC*. Replacing the  $z$  in *RCC* by  $y \setminus z$  we obtain  $xz = f(x, y) \cdot x(y \setminus z)$ , which yields  $F_x = D_z L_x D_{xz}^{-1}$ . The rest of the expressions for  $F_x$  are obtained by setting  $z$  to equal either 1 or  $x^\rho$ . The expressions for  $G_x$  are likewise obtained from *LCC*.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5**  $F_x G_x = G_x F_x = I$

**Corollary 2.6**  $(x \cdot yx^\rho) \cdot xy^\rho = x$  and  $y^\lambda x \cdot (x^\lambda y \cdot x) = x$ .

We remark that a loop has the *anti-automorphic inverse property (AAIP)* iff it satisfies  $(xy)^\rho = y^\rho x^\rho$ . This is equivalent to  $(xy)^\lambda = y^\lambda x^\lambda$ , and these conditions imply  $\rho = \lambda$ . In Corollary 2.6,  $yx^\rho$  can be an arbitrary element of the loop, so the AAIP would give us  $xz \cdot z^{-1} = x$ , which is the inverse property (IP). Since a CC-loop with the IP is an extra loop [11], we have:

**Remark 2.7** *A CC-loop with the AAIP is an extra loop.*

The following lemma lists some additional conjugation relations among the left and right translations; (3) and (4) are from [13], Lemma 3.1:

**Lemma 2.8** *In any CC-loop:*

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad L_x L_y L_x^{-1} &= L_{g(x, y)} & R_x R_y R_x^{-1} &= R_{f(x, y)} \\ 2. \quad x \cdot g(x, y)z &= y \cdot xz & z f(x, y) \cdot x &= zx \cdot y \\ 3. \quad L_x^{-1} R_y L_x &= R_x^{-1} R_{xy} = R_{y/x^\rho} R_x^{-1} & R_x^{-1} L_y R_x &= L_x^{-1} L_{yx} = L_{x^\lambda \setminus y} L_x^{-1} \\ 4. \quad L_x R_y L_x^{-1} &= R_{x^\rho}^{-1} R_{x \setminus y} = R_{yx^\rho} R_{x^\rho}^{-1} & R_x L_y R_x^{-1} &= L_{x^\lambda}^{-1} L_{y/x} = L_{x^\lambda y} L_{x^\lambda}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For (1), use Lemma 1.2 and Corollary 2.5. (2) is equivalent to (1). For the first equality of (3), use Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 to get

$$R_x L_x^{-1} R_z L_x = G_x R_z L_x = F_x^{-1} R_z L_x = R_{xz} \quad .$$

For the second one, use (1) and Lemma 2.4 to get  $R_x R_{y/x^\rho} R_x^{-1} = R_{f(x,y/x^\rho)} = R_{xy}$ . For the first equality of (4), use Lemma 2.4 with  $z$  replaced by  $x \setminus z$  to obtain  $R_{x^\rho} L_x R_z = R_{x \setminus z} L_x$ . For the second equality, use Lemmas 1.2 and 2.4:  $R_{x^\rho}^{-1} R_{x \setminus y} R_{x^\rho} = R_{g(x^\rho, x \setminus y)} = R_{yx^\rho}$ .  $\square$

The left nucleus ( $N_\lambda$ ), the middle nucleus ( $N_\mu$ ), the right nucleus ( $N_\rho$ ), and the nucleus ( $N$ ) are defined by:

**Definition 2.9** *Let  $Q$  be a loop.*

$$\begin{aligned} N_\lambda(Q) &:= \{a \in Q : \forall x, y \in Q [a \cdot xy = ax \cdot y]\} \\ N_\mu(Q) &:= \{a \in Q : \forall x, y \in Q [xa \cdot y = x \cdot ay]\} \\ N_\rho(Q) &:= \{a \in Q : \forall x, y \in Q [x \cdot ya = xy \cdot a]\} \\ N(Q) &:= N_\lambda(Q) \cap N_\mu(Q) \cap N_\rho(Q) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify the following equivalents, in terms of autotopy.

**Lemma 2.10** *For any loop  $Q$ :*

1.  $N_\lambda(Q) = \{a \in Q : (L_a, I, L_a) \in \text{Atop}(Q)\}$ .  
 $N_\mu(Q) = \{a \in Q : (R_a^{-1}, L_a, I) \in \text{Atop}(Q)\}$ .  
 $N_\rho(Q) = \{a \in Q : (I, R_a, R_a) \in \text{Atop}(Q)\}$ .
2. *If  $(\alpha, I, \gamma) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$ , then  $\alpha = \gamma$ ,  $1\alpha \in N_\lambda(Q)$  and  $\alpha = L_{1\alpha}$ .*

*Proof.* For (2),  $x\alpha \cdot y = (xy)\gamma$ , so taking  $y = 1$  gives  $\alpha = \gamma$ . Then let  $a = 1\alpha$  and  $x = 1$  to obtain  $ay = y\alpha$ , so that  $ax \cdot y = a \cdot xy$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.11** *For any loop  $Q$ ,  $Z(Q) = \{x \in N(Q) : \forall y(xy = yx)\}$ ,*

By Goodaire and Robinson [10]:

**Theorem 2.12** *In any CC-loop  $Q$ ,  $N(Q) = N_\lambda(Q) = N_\mu(Q) = N_\rho(Q)$  and  $N(Q)$  is a normal subloop of  $Q$ . Also,  $Z(Q) = \{x \in Q : \forall y(xy = yx)\}$ , so that every commutative CC-loop is a group.*

Autotopies are useful for producing automorphisms:

**Lemma 2.13** *In any loop  $Q$ , if  $1\alpha = 1$  and either  $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$  or  $(\beta, \alpha, \alpha) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$  and  $\alpha$  is an automorphism.*

*Proof.* If  $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$ , we have  $x\alpha \cdot y\beta = (xy)\alpha$ . Setting  $x = 1$  yields  $\alpha = \beta$ .  $\square$

Following Bruck [5] §IV.1, define the generators of the right and left inner mapping groups by:

**Definition 2.14**  $R(x, y) := R_x R_y R_{xy}^{-1}$  and  $L(x, y) := L_x L_y L_{yx}^{-1}$ .

Using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.13, we get

**Lemma 2.15** ([10]) *In any CC-loop,  $R(x, y)$  and  $L(x, y)$  are automorphisms for all  $x, y$ .*

The following definitions will be useful in Sections 6 and 7:

**Definition 2.16**  $E_x = R(x, x^\rho) = R_x R_{x^\rho}$ .

**Definition 2.17**  *$a$  is a power-associative element if  $\langle a \rangle$  is a group. A loop is power-associative iff every element is power-associative.*

By ([13], Lemma 3.20):

**Lemma 2.18** *Let  $Q$  be a CC-loop. The following are equivalent for an element  $a \in Q$ : (i)  $a$  is power-associative; (ii)  $1/a = a \backslash 1$ ; (iii)  $a \cdot aa = aa \cdot a$ . In particular,  $Q$  is power-associative if and only if  $\rho = \lambda$ .*

Following Osborn [15]:

**Definition 2.19**  *$c$  is a WIP element (briefly:  $c$  is WIP) iff  $\lambda R_c \rho = L_c^{-1}$ . A loop has the weak inverse property iff every element is WIP;*

For convenience, we collect the following easy equivalents of WIP.

**Lemma 2.20** *In any loop, each of the following four equations is equivalent to the statement that  $c$  is a WIP element:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lambda R_c \rho = L_c^{-1} & \rho L_c \lambda = R_c^{-1} \\ R_c \rho L_c = \rho & L_c \lambda R_c = \lambda \end{array}$$

### 3 $Q/N(Q)$

**Theorem 3.1** (Басапаб [2]) *For a CC-loop  $Q$ ,  $Q/N(Q)$  is an abelian group.*

*Proof.* By Goodaire and Robinson [10], every CC-loop is a G-loop; that is, it is isomorphic to all its loop isotopes. In particular, for any element  $v$ , the isotope  $(Q; \circ)$  defined by  $x \circ y = x \cdot (v \backslash y)$  satisfies *RCC*:

$$x \cdot (v \backslash (y \cdot (v \backslash z))) = h(x, y, v) \cdot (v \backslash (x \cdot (v \backslash z))) ,$$

where  $h : Q^3 \rightarrow Q$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $vz$ , this simplifies to:

$$x \cdot (v \backslash (y \cdot z)) = h(x, y, v) \cdot (v \backslash (x \cdot z)) .$$

We may set  $z = 1$  to get  $h(x, y, v) = (x(v \backslash y))/(v \backslash x)$ , so we have

$$x \cdot (v \backslash (y \cdot z)) = [(x(v \backslash y))/(v \backslash x)] \cdot [v \backslash (x \cdot z)] ,$$

which implies that  $(L_v^{-1}L_xR_{v \backslash x}^{-1}, L_xL_v^{-1}, L_v^{-1}L_x) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$  for all  $x$  and  $v$ . Since also  $(F_vF_x^{-1}, L_vL_x^{-1}, L_vL_x^{-1}) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$  by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(F_vF_x^{-1}L_v^{-1}L_xR_{v \backslash x}^{-1}, I, L_vL_x^{-1}L_v^{-1}L_x) \in \text{Atop}(Q)$$

Then, by Lemma 2.10,  $1 F_vF_x^{-1}L_v^{-1}L_xR_{v \backslash x}^{-1} = (x(v \backslash 1))/(v \backslash x) \in N_\lambda(Q)$ . Applying Theorem 2.12,  $Q/N(Q)$  is a CC-loop satisfying the additional equation  $(x(v \backslash 1))/(v \backslash x) = 1$ , or  $xv^\rho = v \backslash x$ . Hence, in  $Q/N(Q)$ , we have (using Lemma 2.4)  $f(v, y) = v \cdot yv^\rho = y$ , so that *RCC* becomes  $x \cdot yz = y \cdot xz$ . Setting  $z = 1$ , we get  $xy = yx$ , so that  $Q/N(Q)$  is commutative and satisfies the associative law,  $x \cdot zy = xz \cdot y$ .  $\square$

This is roughly the proof in [2], although Басапаб studies in more detail those loops  $Q$  such that  $Q$  and all its loop isotopes satisfy *RCC*.

Recall that a finite loop has the *weak Lagrange property* if the order of any subloop divides the order of the loop, and a finite loop has the *strong Lagrange property* if every subloop has the weak Lagrange property [16]. In general if  $H$  is a normal subloop of  $Q$ , and  $H$  and  $Q/H$  both have the strong Lagrange property, then so does  $Q$  (see Bruck [5], §V.2, Lemma 2.1; see also [7]). It is now immediate from Theorem 3.1 that:

**Corollary 3.2** *Every finite CC-loop has the strong Lagrange property.*

**Corollary 3.3** *If  $Q$  is a finite power-associative CC-loop and  $|Q|$  is relatively prime to  $n$ , then every element of  $Q$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  power.*

The following Cauchy property is also immediate from Theorem 3.1:

**Corollary 3.4** *If  $Q$  is a finite power-associative CC-loop and  $|Q|$  is divisible by a prime  $p$ , then  $Q$  contains an element of order  $p$ .*

Also, the fact that finite  $p$ -groups have non-trivial centers generalizes to:

**Corollary 3.5** *If  $Q$  is a CC-loop of order  $p^n$  for some prime  $p$  and  $n > 0$ , then*

1.  $|Z(Q)| = p^r$ , where  $r \neq 0$  and  $r \neq n - 1$ .
2. For all  $m \leq n$ ,  $Q$  has a normal subloop of order  $p^m$ .

*Proof.* For (1): Let  $N$  be the nucleus. For  $x \in Q$ , let  $T_x = R_x L_x^{-1}$ . By [10], each  $T_x \upharpoonright N$  is an automorphism of  $N$ . Furthermore, if we define  $\mathcal{T} : Q \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$  by  $\mathcal{T}(x) = T_x \upharpoonright N$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is a homomorphism by [13], Corollary 3.7. Thus,  $\mathcal{T}(Q)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(N)$ , and  $|\mathcal{T}(Q)|$  is a power of  $p$ , so the size of each orbit is a power of  $p$ . Since  $|N| = p^\ell$  for some  $\ell > 0$ , there must be at least  $p$  elements  $y$  whose orbit is a singleton (equivalently,  $y \in Z(Q)$ ). Hence  $r \neq 0$ .

If  $r \geq n - 1$ , then  $Q = \langle Z(Q) \cup \{a\} \rangle$  for any  $a \notin Z(Q)$ , but then  $Q$  is commutative, so  $r = n$ .

For (2): Let  $P$  be a subgroup of  $Z(Q)$  of order  $p$ . Then the  $m = 1$  case is immediate, using  $P$ , and the case  $1 < m \leq n$  follows by applying induction to  $Q/P$ .  $\square$

## 4 Associators and Inner Mappings

**Definition 4.1** *In a loop  $Q$ , associators are denoted by:*

$$(x, y, z) := (x \cdot yz) \setminus (xy \cdot z) \quad [x, y, z] := (x \cdot yz) / (xy \cdot z) \quad .$$

Since the two notions of “associator” are mirrors of each other, we concentrate on  $(x, y, z)$  in the following:

**Lemma 4.2** *In any loop  $Q$  with nucleus  $N$ , if  $a \in N$ , then*

- (i)  $(ax, y, z) = (x, y, z)$
- (ii)  $(xa, y, z) = (x, ay, z)$
- (iii)  $(x, ya, z) = (x, y, az)$
- (iv)  $(x, y, za) = a^{-1}(x, y, z)a$

*In addition, if  $N$  is normal in  $Q$ , then*



- (v)  $(xa, y, z) = (x, y, z)$
- (vi)  $(x, ya, z) = (x, y, z)$
- (vii)  $a^{-1}(x, y, z)a = (x, y, z)$

*Proof.* (i)-(iv) are straightforward consequences of the definitions. Now assume  $N$  is normal in  $Q$ . Then for  $u \in Q$ ,  $ua = bu$  for some  $b \in N$ . Thus (v) follows from (i), (vi) follows from (ii) and (v), and (vii) follow from (iv), (iii), and (vi).  $\square$

Theorem 3.1 implies that associators are nuclear, so we have:

**Corollary 4.3** *The nucleus of a nonassociative CC-loop has a nontrivial center which contains the subgroup generated by the associators.*

**Theorem 4.4** *In a CC-loop, the associators  $(x, y, z)$  and  $[x, y, z]$  are invariant under all permutations of the set  $\{x, y, z\}$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$  and  $(x, y, z) = (x, z, y)$ , since the transpositions  $(x y)$  and  $(y z)$  generate  $\text{Sym}(\{x, y, z\})$ .

For  $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$ :  $x \cdot yz = f(x, y) \cdot xz$  by *RCC* and  $xy \cdot z = f(x, y)x \cdot z$  by Lemma 2.4, so  $(x, y, z) = (f(x, y), x, z)$ . By Theorem 3.1, there exists  $a \in N$  such that  $f(x, y) = ay$ , so  $(f(x, y), x, z) = (ay, x, z) = (y, x, z)$  by Lemma 4.2(i).

For  $(x, y, z) = (x, z, y)$ : Apply a similar argument, using *LCC*.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5** *In a CC-loop,*

$$zL(y, x) = z(x, y, z)^{-1} \quad xR(y, z) = [x, y, z]^{-1}x \quad .$$

*Proof.* We have:

$$(x \cdot yz)(x, y, z) = (xy \cdot z) \quad [x, y, z](xy \cdot z) = (x \cdot yz) \quad .$$

Since associators are nuclear, this can be rewritten as

$$\{z(x, y, z)\}L(y, x) = z \quad \{[x, y, z]x\}R(y, z) = x \quad .$$

Now use the fact that  $L(y, x)$  and  $R(y, z)$  are automorphisms which fix all elements of the nucleus.  $\square$

Applying Theorem 4.4:

**Corollary 4.6** *In a CC-loop,  $L(x, y) = L(y, x)$  and  $R(x, y) = R(y, x)$ .*

Furthermore, the  $L(x, y)$  and  $R(u, v)$  all commute with each other; more generally, they commute with all nuclear automorphisms:

**Definition 4.7** *Let  $Q$  be a loop with nucleus  $N$ . An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $Q$  is nuclear iff  $x\alpha \in xN$  for each  $x \in Q$ .  $\text{NAut}(Q)$  is the set of nuclear automorphisms of  $Q$ .*

**Lemma 4.8**  *$\text{NAut}(Q)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Q)$ .*

**Theorem 4.9** *Let  $Q$  be a CC-loop. Then  $Z(\text{NAut}(Q))$  contains all  $R(x, y)$  and  $L(x, y)$ .*

*Proof.* The  $R(x, y)$  and  $L(x, y)$  are automorphisms by Lemma 2.15 and nuclear by Theorem 3.1. Now, if  $\alpha$  is nuclear, we have

$$zL(y, x)\alpha = \{z(x, y, z)^{-1}\}\alpha = z\alpha \cdot (xa, yb, zc)^{-1} = z\alpha \cdot (x, y, z)^{-1} ,$$

where  $a, b, c \in N(Q)$ , whereas

$$z\alpha L(y, x) = (z\alpha) \cdot (x, y, z\alpha)^{-1} = (z\alpha) \cdot (x, y, zd)^{-1} = z\alpha \cdot (x, y, z)^{-1} ,$$

where  $d \in N(Q)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.10** *In a CC-loop, the group generated by all the automorphisms  $R(x, y)$  and  $L(x, y)$  is abelian.*

We conclude this section with some applications to extra loops. As mentioned in the Introduction, extra loops are Moufang CC-loops, and as CC-loops, they have several characterizations. Indeed, each of the following is sufficient for a CC-loop to be an extra loop: (i) the left or right alternative laws ( $x \cdot xy = x^2y$  or  $xy \cdot y = xy^2$ ), (ii) the flexible law ( $x \cdot yx = xy \cdot x$ ) [11], (iii) the AAIP (see Remark 2.7), (iv) the left or right IP ( $x \setminus y = x^\lambda y$  or  $x/y = xy^\rho$ ) [11], (v) diasociativity. The nucleus of an extra loop contains every square [9]. However, there are non-extra CC-loops  $Q$  in which all squares are in the nucleus;  $Q$  can both be power-associative and have the weak inverse property; see Section 9.

**Lemma 4.11** *In a CC-loop,  $z(x, y, z)^{-1} = (x, y, z^\lambda)z$ .*

*Proof.* Applying the automorphism  $L(y, x)$  to the equation  $z^\lambda z = 1$ , and using Lemma 4.5, we get  $z^\lambda(x, y, z^\lambda)^{-1} \cdot z(x, y, z)^{-1} = 1 = z^\lambda z$ . The result now follows because associators are in the nucleus.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.12** *Let  $Q$  be a CC-loop such that  $N(Q)$  contains every square. For  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , choose  $\epsilon_i \in \{I, \rho, \lambda\}$ . Then  $(x, y, z) = (x\epsilon_1, y\epsilon_2, z\epsilon_3)$ . Hence  $L(y, x) = L(y\epsilon_1, x\epsilon_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $z^2 z^\lambda = z^\rho z^2 = z$  (since  $z^2 z^\lambda z = z^2$ ). Then, Lemma 4.2 implies  $(x, y, z) = (x, y, z^\lambda) = (x, y, z^\rho)$ . The remainder follows from Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.13** *Let  $Q$  be an extra loop.*

1.  $L(x, y) = R(x, y) = L(y, x) = R(y, x)$  and  $L(x, y)^2 = I$ .
2.  $(x, y, z) = [x, y, z]$  and  $(x, y, z)^2 = 1$ , so that the subgroup of  $N(Q)$  generated by the associators is a boolean group.
3. Each  $(x, y, z)$  commutes with  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ .

*Proof.* (1) In Moufang loops,  $R(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = L(x, y) = L(y, x)^{-1}$  (see [5], Lemma VII.5.4). Now apply Corollary 4.6 and Lemma 4.12.

(2) In diassociative loops,  $(x, y, z)^{-1} = [z^{-1}, y^{-1}, x^{-1}]$ . Now, apply (1), along with Theorem 4.4 and Lemmas 4.5 and 4.12.

(3) This follows from (2), Lemmas 4.11 and 4.12, and Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

Hence, the nucleus of a nonassociative extra loop must contain elements of order 2.

**Corollary 4.14** *If  $Q$  is a finite nonassociative extra loop, then  $16 \mid |Q|$ .*

*Proof.* Since the order of  $N = N(Q)$  is even, and  $Q/N$  is a boolean group, it is sufficient to show that  $|Q : N| \geq 8$ . Choose  $a \notin N = N_\mu$ , and then choose  $b$  such that  $R(a, b) \neq I$  (that is,  $(xa)b \neq x(ab)$  for some  $x$ ). Then  $N < \text{fix}(R(a, b)) < Q$ , since  $a, b \in \text{fix}(R(a, b))$ . Next, note that  $\langle N \cup \{a\} \rangle = Na = aN$ , and that  $b \notin aN$  (otherwise  $R(a, b)$  would be  $I$ ), so  $N < aN < \text{fix}(R(a, b)) < Q$ , so  $|Q : N| \geq 8$ .  $\square$

## 5 Subgroups of CC-loops

Here, we show that some subloops of CC-loops are groups.

**Definition 5.1** *A triple of subsets  $(A, B, C)$  of a loop  $Q$  associates iff  $x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z$  whenever  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$ , and  $z \in C$ . A subset  $S$  of  $Q$  associates iff  $(S, S, S)$  associates.*

Applying Theorem 4.4,

**Lemma 5.2** *In a CC-loop, the property “ $(A, B, C)$  associates” is invariant under all permutations of the set  $\{A, B, C\}$ .*

By modifying an argument of Bruck and Paige [6] for A-loops:

**Lemma 5.3** *In a CC-loop, if  $(A, B, C)$  associates then  $(\langle A \rangle, \langle B \rangle, \langle C \rangle)$  associates.*

*Proof.* For each  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ , the map  $R_b R_c R_{bc}^{-1}$  is an automorphism (see Lemma 2.15) and is the identity on  $A$ , so it is the identity on  $\langle A \rangle$ , which implies that  $(\langle A \rangle, B, C)$  associates. By Lemma 5.2, we may apply this argument two more times to prove that  $(\langle A \rangle, \langle B \rangle, \langle C \rangle)$  associates.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4** *In a CC-loop, if  $S$  associates, then  $\langle S \rangle$  associates, and is hence a group.*

## 6 WIP Elements

Throughout this section,  $(Q, \cdot)$  always denotes a CC-loop. By [13], power-associative elements  $x$  satisfy a number of additional properties. In this section, we shall derive some further properties of these  $x$  and their associated  $E_x$  when  $x$  is also a WIP element (see Definitions 2.16, 2.17, and 2.19).

Whenever  $x$  is power-associative, all elements of the group generated by  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  are of the form  $E_x^r R_x^s L_x^t$  for some  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is immediate from the following lemma, which is taken from Lemmas 3.17 and 3.19 of [13]:

**Lemma 6.1** *If  $x$  is power-associative, then for all  $r, s, t, i, j, k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following hold:*

1.  $E_x$  commutes with  $L_x$  and  $R_x$ .
2.  $R_x^{-j} L_x^t R_x^j = E_x^{-jt} L_x^t$ .
3.  $E_x^r R_x^s L_x^t \cdot E_x^i R_x^j L_x^k = E_x^{r+i-jt} R_x^{s+j} L_x^{t+k}$ .
4.  $R_{x^n} = E_x^{(n-1)n/2} R_x^n$
5.  $L_{x^n} = E_x^{-(n-1)n/2} L_x^n$ .
6.  $E_{x^n} = E_x^{(n^2)}$ .

**Lemma 6.2** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is a power-associative WIP element, then for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c^n$  is a WIP element.*

*Proof.* Let  $m = (n - 1)n/2$ . Applying Lemma 6.1, we have

$$R_{c^n} \rho L_{c^n} = E_c^m R_c^n \rho E_c^{-m} L_c^n = R_c^n \rho L_c^n = \rho.$$

We are using the fact that  $E_c$  commutes with  $\rho$  (because it is an automorphism) and with  $R_c$  (by Lemma 6.1(1)).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is a power-associative WIP element, then the following hold:*

$$\begin{array}{ll} D_c = L_{c^{-1}} \rho & D_c^{-1} = R_{c^{-1}} \lambda \\ \lambda L_c \rho = R_c^{-1} & \rho R_c \lambda = L_c^{-1} \\ L_c \rho R_c = \rho & R_c \lambda L_c = \lambda \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Note that since  $yD_c = y \setminus c$  and  $yD_c^{-1} = c/y$ , the equations in the right column are mirrors of the ones in the left, so we need only prove one from each row. For the first row, use  $c \cdot g(c, y)z = y \cdot cz$  (see Lemma 2.8), and set  $z = g(c, y)^\rho$  to get  $c = y \cdot cg(c, y)^\rho = y \cdot c(c^{-1}y \cdot c)^\rho$  (see Lemma 2.4). Since  $R_c \rho L_c = \rho$ , we get  $c = y \cdot (c^{-1}y)^\rho$ , which implies  $D_c = L_{c^{-1}} \rho$ .

For the second row, apply both equations in the first row to  $c^{-1}$ , which is also WIP, to get  $L_c \rho = D_{c^{-1}} = \rho R_c^{-1}$ . The third row restates the second.  $\square$

Lemmas 2.20 and 6.3 provide conjugation relations which, together with Lemma 6.1, show that whenever  $c$  is power-associative and WIP, all elements of the group generated by  $L_c$ ,  $R_c$ , and  $\rho$  are of the form  $\alpha E_c^r R_c^s L_c^t$  for some  $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and some  $\alpha \in \langle \rho \rangle$ . It is also easy to see now that if  $c$  is a power-associative WIP element, then each of  $R_c, L_c$  commutes with each of  $\lambda^2, \rho^2$ .

**Lemma 6.4** *In a CC-loop,  $x(yz \cdot x) = (x^\lambda \setminus y) \cdot zx$  and  $(x \cdot yz)x = xy \cdot (z/x^\rho)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 and Corollary 2.5,  $(R_x, G_x, R_x)(F_x, L_x, L_x) = (L_{x^\lambda}^{-1}, R_x, R_x L_x)$  is an autotopism. Thus  $x(yz \cdot x) = (x^\lambda \setminus y) \cdot zx$  for all  $y, z$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is a power-associative WIP element and  $x$  is arbitrary, then  $x \cdot (xE_c^{-1} \cdot c) = x^2 \cdot c$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $x^2 = (x^\lambda \setminus c^{-1}) \cdot cx = (x/c) \cdot cx$  using Lemma 6.4 and  $D_{c^{-1}} = \rho R_c^{-1}$ . Thus  $x^2 \cdot c = ((x/c) \cdot cx) \cdot c = (x/c) c \cdot g(c, cx) = x \cdot x L_c L_{c^{-1}} R_c = x \cdot (xE_c^{-1} \cdot c)$  by LCC, Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 6.1(5).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6** *In a CC-loop, if  $b$  and  $c$  are power-associative, then  $\langle b, c \rangle$  is a group if and only if  $cE_b = c$  and  $bE_c = b$ . If  $c$  is also a WIP element, then  $cE_b = c$  iff  $bE_c = b$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\langle b, c \rangle$  is a group, then obviously  $cE_b = c$  and  $bE_c = b$ . Conversely, to prove that  $\langle b, c \rangle$  is a group, it is sufficient, by Corollary 5.4, to show that  $\{b, c\}$  associates; that is,  $(x, y, z) = 1$  whenever  $x, y, z \in \{b, c\}$ . However, since  $\langle c \rangle$  and  $\langle b \rangle$  are groups and the associators are invariant under permutations (Theorem 4.4), it is sufficient to show that  $b^2 \cdot c = b \cdot bc$  and  $c^2 \cdot b = c \cdot cb$ . By Lemma 6.1(5), these equations are equivalent to  $cE_b = c$  and  $bE_c = b$ , respectively.

Now if  $c$  is a WIP element, then Lemmas 6.5 and 6.1(5) give  $bE_c^{-1} \cdot c = cL_{b^2}L_b^{-1} = b \cdot cE_b^{-1}$ . Thus  $bE_c = b$  if and only if  $cE_b = c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.7** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is power-associative and WIP, then  $E_c^2 = I$ .*

*Proof.* In Lemma 6.4, set  $x = c$ ,  $y = c \setminus u$ , and  $z = (c \setminus u)^\rho$  to obtain  $c^2 = u((c \setminus u)^\rho / c^{-1})$ ; equivalently,  $D_{c^2} = L_c^{-1} \rho R_{c^{-1}}^{-1}$ . Now, applying Lemmas 6.3 and 6.1, we get  $D_{c^2} = L_{c^{-2}} \rho = L_c^{-2} E_c^{-3} \rho$  and  $L_c^{-1} \rho R_{c^{-1}}^{-1} = L_c^{-1} L_{c^{-1}} \rho = L_c^{-2} E_c^{-1} \rho$ . so that  $E_c^{-3} = E_c^{-1}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.8** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is WIP, and if  $b$  and  $c$  are power-associative, then  $\langle b, c^2 \rangle$  is a group.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.1(6) and 6.7,  $bE_{c^2} = bE_c^4 = b$ . Now apply Lemma 6.6 to  $c^2$ , which is WIP by Lemma 6.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.9** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is WIP, and if  $b$  and  $c$  are power-associative, then  $cE_b^2 = c$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 6.5 implies  $b^{-1} \cdot (b \setminus (b^2 \cdot c)) = b^{-1} \cdot (bE_c^{-1} \cdot c)$ . Now  $L_b^2 L_b^{-1} L_{b^{-1}} = E_b^{-2}$  by Lemma 6.1(5), and  $bE_c^{-1} R_c = bR_{c^{-1}}^{-1} = b \lambda D_c = b^{-1} \setminus c$  by Lemmas 6.1(4) and 6.3. Therefore  $cE_b^{-2} = b^{-1} \cdot (b^{-1} \setminus c) = c$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.10** *In a CC-loop, if  $c$  is WIP, and if  $b$  and  $c$  are power-associative, then  $\langle b^2, c \rangle$  is a group.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 6.1(6) and 6.9,  $cE_{b^2} = cE_b^4 = c$ . Now apply Lemma 6.6.  $\square$

Applying either Theorem 6.8 or 6.10 we see that a power-associative WIP CC-loop in which every element is a square must be a group. Then, applying the Lagrange property (Corollary 3.2), we get:

**Corollary 6.11** *A finite power-associative WIP CC-loop of odd order is a group.*

This corollary is not really new. In [1], Bacapa6 shows that a loop satisfies Wilson's identity iff it is a "generalized Moufang loop" with squares in the nucleus. Then Goodaire and Robinson [11] showed that a loop satisfies Wilson's identity iff it is a WIP CC-loop. Thus, in fact, all squares are nuclear in a WIP CC-loop, so that  $Q/N(Q)$  is a boolean group. We give an example in Section 9 of a power-associative WIP CC-loop of order 16 in which  $|Q/N(Q)| = 4$ ; this is not an extra loop (that is, some  $\langle b, c \rangle$  fails to be a group), so that Theorems 6.8 and 6.10 are best possible.

## 7 Power-Associative CC-loops

Throughout this section,  $(Q, \cdot)$  always denotes a power-associative CC-loop. We shall derive some further results beyond Lemma 6.1. In particular, every cube is a WIP element (see Definition 2.19), and each  $E_x^6 = I$  (see Definition 2.16).

**Lemma 7.1**  $R_x L_x = D_{x^{-1}} D_x$  and  $L_x R_x = (D_x D_{x^{-1}})^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5,  $I = G_x F_x = D_{x^{-1}}^{-1} R_x \rho \cdot \rho L_x D_x^{-1} = D_{x^{-1}}^{-1} R_x L_x D_x^{-1}$ , and  $I = F_x G_x = D_{x^{-1}} L_x \lambda \cdot \lambda R_x D_x = D_{x^{-1}} L_x R_x D_x$ .  $\square$

Note that this lemma requires that power-associativity hold in  $Q$ , not just that the particular element  $x$  is power-associative, since we needed  $\rho^2 = I$ , or equivalently,  $\rho = \lambda$ ; see Lemma 2.18.

Compare the following lemma with Corollary 2.6.

**Lemma 7.2**  $(x \cdot xy) \cdot y^{-1} x^{-1} = x$  and  $x^{-1} y^{-1} \cdot (yx \cdot x) = x$ .

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_x^2 &= E_x R_{x^{-1}} L_x^2 R_x && \text{(Lemma 6.1)} \\
 &= E_x F_x D_{x^{-1}}^{-1} D_x^{-1} && \text{(Lemmas 2.4 and 7.1)} \\
 &= E_x F_x G_x J R_x^{-1} D_x^{-1} && \text{(Lemma 2.4)} \\
 &= E_x J R_x^{-1} D_x^{-1} && \text{(Corollary 2.5)} \\
 &= J E_x R_x^{-1} D_x^{-1} && \text{(Lemma 2.15)} \\
 &= J R_{x^{-1}} D_x^{-1} && \text{(Lemma 6.1(4))}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x \cdot xy = x/(y^{-1} x^{-1})$  or  $(x \cdot xy) \cdot y^{-1} x^{-1} = x$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.3**  $y^{-1} \cdot (y R_x^3) = x^3$  and  $(y L_x^3) \cdot y^{-1} = x^3$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.8,  $R_x^{-1} L_u R_x = L_{x^{-1} \setminus u} L_x^{-1}$ , so  $R_x L_{y^{-1}} = L_{x^{-1} y^{-1}} R_x L_x$ . Thus,  $y^{-1} \cdot (y R_x^3) = (yx \cdot x) R_x L_{y^{-1}} = (yx \cdot x) L_{x^{-1} y^{-1}} R_x L_x = x R_x L_x = x^3$  by Lemma 7.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.4** *In a power-associative CC-loop, every cube is a WIP element.*

*Proof.* From Lemmas 6.1(4,5) and 7.3,  $E_x^3 L_{x^3} = L_x^3 = JD_{x^3}^{-1}$  and  $E_x^{-3} R_{x^3} = R_x^3 = JD_{x^3}$ . Thus  $I = JE_x^3 L_{x^3} JE_x^{-3} R_{x^3} = JL_{x^3} JR_{x^3}$ , by Lemma 6.1. Therefore  $JL_{x^3} J = R_{x^3}^{-1}$ , that is,  $x^3$  is a WIP element.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.5** *For each  $b, c$  in a power-associative CC-loop,  $\langle b, c^6 \rangle$  and  $\langle b^2, c^3 \rangle$  are groups.*

*Proof.*  $c^3$  is WIP, so apply Theorems 6.8 and 6.10.  $\square$

The examples in Section 9 show that some  $\langle b^2, c^2 \rangle$  can fail to be a group (see Table 1), and so can some  $\langle b^3, c^3 \rangle$  (see Table 2).

**Corollary 7.6** *If  $Q$  is a power-associative CC-loop in which every element is sixth power, then  $Q$  is a group.*

*Proof.*  $Q$  is diassociative, and hence an extra loop. However, in an extra loop, all squares are in the nucleus [9], and so  $Q = N(Q)$  is a group.  $\square$

Then, applying the Lagrange property (Corollary 3.2), we get:

**Corollary 7.7** *If  $Q$  is a finite power-associative CC-loop of order relatively prime to 6, then  $Q$  is a group.*

In a power-associative CC-loop, Lemma 6.1(6), Theorem 7.4, and Lemma 6.7 imply  $E_x^{18} = E_{x^3}^2 = I$ . We conclude this section with an improvement of this.

**Lemma 7.8** *In a power-associative CC-loop,  $x^2 = y \cdot ((x^{-1}y)^{-1} \cdot x)$  and  $x^2 = (x \cdot (yx^{-1})^{-1}) \cdot y$ . Thus  $D_{x^2} = L_{x^{-1}} J R_x$  and  $D_{x^2}^{-1} = R_{x^{-1}} J L_x$ .*

*Proof.* In Lemma 6.4, set  $y = x^{-1}u$  and  $z = (x^{-1}u)^{-1}$  to get  $x^2 = u \cdot ((x^{-1}u)^{-1} \cdot x)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 7.9** *Every power-associative CC-loop satisfies  $E_x^6 = I$  for all  $x$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_x^{-3} L_x^6 &= L_{x^2}^3 && \text{(Lemma 6.1(5))} \\
 &= JD_{x^6}^{-1} && \text{(Lemma 7.3)} \\
 &= JR_{x^{-3}} J L_{x^3} && \text{(Lemma 7.8)} \\
 &= L_{x^{-3}}^{-1} L_{x^3} && \text{(Theorem 7.4)} \\
 &= E_x^6 L_x^3 E_x^{-3} L_x^3 && \text{(Lemma 6.1(5))} \\
 &= E_x^3 L_x^6 && \text{(Lemma 6.1(1))}
 \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging, we have  $E_x^6 = I$ .  $\square$



## 8 Semidirect Products

This standard construction from group theory generalizes to loops. We follow Goodaire and Robinson [12].

### Definition 8.1

1. Let  $A, K$  be loops, and assume that  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}(K)$  satisfies  $\varphi_{1_A} = I$  and  $(1_K)\varphi_a = 1_K$  for all  $a \in A$ . The external semidirect product  $A \ltimes_\varphi K$  is the set  $A \times K$  with the binary operation

$$(a, x)(b, y) := (ab, (x)\varphi_b \cdot y).$$

for  $a, b \in A, x, y \in K$ . We write  $A \ltimes K$  when  $\varphi$  is clear from context.

2. A loop  $Q$  is an internal semidirect product of subloops  $A$  and  $K$  if  $K$  is normal in  $Q$ ,  $Q = AK$ ,  $A \cap K = \{1\}$ , and each of  $(K, A, K)$ ,  $(A, A, K)$ , and  $(A, K, Q)$  associates.

The external semidirect product  $A \ltimes K$  is clearly a loop with left and right division operations given, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned} (a, x) \backslash (b, y) &= (a \backslash b, [(x)\varphi_{a \backslash b}] \backslash y) \\ (a, x) / (b, y) &= (a / b, (x/y)\varphi_b^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

The following comes from [12], Thms. 2.3 and 2.4.

### Proposition 8.2

1. If  $Q = A \ltimes K$  is an external semidirect product of loops  $A$  and  $K$ , then  $Q$  is isomorphic to the internal semidirect product of the subloops  $A \times \{1\}$  and  $\{1\} \times K$ .
2. If a loop  $Q$  is an internal semidirect product of subloops  $A$  and  $K$ , then  $Q$  is isomorphic to an external semidirect product  $A \ltimes_\varphi K$ , where  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}(K)$  is defined by:  $\varphi_a = R_a L_a^{-1} \upharpoonright K$ .

For CC-loops, the notion of semidirect product is much closer to its group-theoretic specialization than for arbitrary loops. Recall from Definition 4.7 the notion of a nuclear automorphism.

**Lemma 8.3** *Let  $Q$  be a CC-loop which is an internal semidirect product of subloops  $A$  and  $K$ , and define  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}(K)$  by  $\varphi_a := R_a L_a^{-1} \upharpoonright K$  for each  $a \in A$ . Then  $\varphi(A) \subseteq \text{NAut}(K)$ , and  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{NAut}(K)$  is a homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $(A, K, Q)$  associates, we apply Lemma 5.2 repeatedly in what follows without explicit reference. (In CC-loops, the conditions that  $(K, A, K)$  and  $(A, A, K)$  associate are redundant.) For  $x, y \in K$ ,  $a \in A$ ,

$$a \cdot (xy)\varphi_a = x \cdot ya = x(a \cdot (y)\varphi_a) = xa \cdot (y)\varphi_a = (a \cdot (x)\varphi_a) \cdot (y)\varphi_a.$$

Thus  $(xy)\varphi_a = (x)\varphi_a \cdot (y)\varphi_a$ , and so  $\varphi_a \in \text{Aut}(K)$ . Now for each  $x \in K$ ,  $a \in A$ , Theorem 3.1 implies there exists  $c \in N(Q)$  such that  $x\varphi_a = xc$ . But since  $x\varphi_a \in K$ , we have  $c \in K \cap N(Q) \subseteq N(K)$ . Thus  $\varphi_a \in \text{NAut}(K)$ . Finally, for  $a, b \in A$ ,  $x \in K$ , we compute

$$ab \cdot (x)\varphi_{ab} = xa \cdot b = (a \cdot (x)\varphi_a)b = a((x)\varphi_a \cdot b) = a(b \cdot (x)\varphi_a\varphi_b).$$

Thus  $(x)\varphi_{ab} = (x)\varphi_a\varphi_b$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We take notational advantage of Lemma 8.3 as follows: if  $A \ltimes K$  is a CC-loop, then we set  $x^a := (x)\varphi_a$  for  $x \in K$ ,  $a \in A$ . Note that  $x^{a^\lambda} = x^{a^\rho} = x\varphi_a^{-1}$ .

We now prove that the necessary conditions for a semidirect product to be a CC-loop given in Lemma 8.3 are also sufficient (Theorem 8.4). This generalizes D.A. Robinson's characterization of when  $A \ltimes K$  is an extra loop in the case where  $A$  is a group [17].

**Theorem 8.4** *Let  $A, K$  be CC-loops, and  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(A, \text{Aut}(K))$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $A \ltimes_\varphi K$  is a CC-loop.
2.  $\varphi_b \in \text{NAut}(K)$  for all  $b \in A$ .
3. The triples

$$\mathcal{U}(x, b) := (L_{x^b}R_x^{-1}, L_x, L_{x^b}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}(x, b) := (R_x, R_{x^b}L_x^{-1}, R_{x^b})$$

are in  $\text{Atop}(K)$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $b \in A$ .

*Proof.* For (2)  $\leftrightarrow$  (3): Fix  $x \in K$  and  $b \in A$ . We have  $\mathcal{L}_x := (L_xR_x^{-1}, L_x, L_x) \in \text{Atop}(K)$  by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. Hence,  $\mathcal{U}(x, b)\mathcal{L}_x^{-1} = (L_{x^b}L_x^{-1}, I, L_{x^b}L_x^{-1})$ . Now if  $\mathcal{U}(x, b) \in \text{Atop}(K)$ , then by Lemma 2.10(2),  $1L_{x^b}L_x^{-1} = x \setminus (x^b) \in N(K)$ , so that  $\varphi_b$  is a nuclear automorphism. Conversely, if  $\varphi_b$  is nuclear, fix  $k \in N(K)$  such that  $x^b = xk$ . Then  $L_{x^b}L_x^{-1} = L_k$ , and so  $\mathcal{U}(x, b)\mathcal{L}_x^{-1} = (L_k, I, L_k) \in \text{Atop}(K)$  by Lemma 2.10(1). Thus  $\mathcal{U}(x, b) \in \text{Atop}(K)$  since  $\text{Atop}(K)$  is a group. A similar argument shows the equivalence of  $\varphi_b \in \text{NAut}(K)$  and  $\mathcal{V}(x, b) \in \text{Atop}(K)$ .

For  $(1) \leftrightarrow (3)$ : Fix  $(a, x), (b, y), (c, z) \in A \ltimes K$ , and write out the two sides of  $RCC$  in  $A \ltimes K$  using  $f(u, v) = (uv)/u$  (Lemma 2.4) in  $K$ . The left side is

$$(a, x) \cdot (b, y)(c, z) = (a \cdot bc, x^{bc} \cdot y^c z) \quad .$$

The right side is

$$[(a, x)(b, y)]/(a, x) \cdot (a, x)(c, z) = (f(a, b) \cdot ac, [(x^{bc}y^c)/(x^c)] \cdot x^c z) \quad .$$

Equating the  $K$ -components, replacing  $z$  by  $z^c$  and then applying the automorphism  $\varphi_c^{-1}$ , we get  $x^b \cdot yz = [(x^b y)/x] \cdot xz$ . Thus  $A \ltimes K$  satisfies  $RCC$  iff each  $\mathcal{U}(x, b) \in \text{Atop}(K)$ .

Likewise, we can write out the two sides of  $LCC$  in  $A \ltimes K$  using  $g(u, v) = u \setminus (vu)$  in  $K$ . The left side is

$$(c, z)(b, y) \cdot (a, x) = (cb \cdot a, z^{ba}y^a \cdot x) \quad .$$

The right side is

$$(c, z)(a, x) \cdot [(a, x) \setminus ((b, y)(a, x))] = (ca \cdot g(a, b), (z^{ba}x^{a \setminus (ba)})([x^{a \setminus (ba)} \setminus (y^a x)])) \quad .$$

Equating the  $K$ -components, replacing  $x$  by  $x^{b^\lambda a}$ ,  $z$  by  $z^{b^\lambda}$ , and then applying the automorphism  $\varphi_a^{-1}$ , we get  $zy \cdot x^d = zx \cdot [x \setminus (yx^d)]$  where  $d = b^\lambda$ . Thus  $A \ltimes K$  satisfies  $LCC$  iff every  $\mathcal{V}(x, d) \in \text{Atop}(K)$ .  $\square$

We remark that the implication  $(1) \rightarrow (2)$  follows directly from Lemma 8.3. However, the proof of Theorem 8.4 has the advantage of offering a characterization of when  $A \ltimes_\varphi Q$  satisfies  $RCC$  or  $LCC$  alone, while our proof of Lemma 8.3 relies on Theorem 3.1. We also remark that in proving  $(1) \leftrightarrow (3)$ , the arguments for  $LCC$  and  $RCC$  are similar, but we could not simply say that the  $LCC$  case follows from the  $RCC$  case “by mirror symmetry”, since there is an asymmetry in the definition of  $A \ltimes Q$ .

Theorem 8.4 suggests that a natural definition of *holomorph* for a CC-loop  $Q$  is  $\text{NAut}(Q) \ltimes_\varphi Q$ , where  $\varphi$  is the identity map. (This differs slightly from the usage in §5 of Bruck [4].) If  $Q$  is a group, then  $\text{NAut}(Q) = \text{Aut}(Q)$ , and  $\text{NAut}(Q) \ltimes_\varphi Q$  reduces to the usual definition of holomorph in group theory.

## 9 Examples

The example in Table 1 is a power-associative CC-loop of order 27 and exponent three.  $Z(Q) = N(Q) = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$  is a normal subloop. Note that  $|Z(Q)| = 3$  is required for non-associative CC-loops of order 27 by Corollary 3.5.

•	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
1	1	2	0	4	5	3	7	8	6	10	11	9	13	14	12	16	17	15	19	20	18	22	23	21	25	26	24
2	2	0	1	5	3	4	8	6	7	11	9	10	14	12	13	17	15	16	20	18	19	23	21	22	26	24	25
3	3	4	5	6	7	8	0	1	2	12	13	14	16	17	15	11	9	10	22	23	21	24	25	26	20	18	19
4	4	5	3	7	8	6	1	2	0	13	14	12	17	15	16	9	10	11	23	21	22	25	26	24	18	19	20
5	5	3	4	8	6	7	2	0	1	14	12	13	15	16	17	10	11	9	21	22	23	26	24	25	19	20	18
6	6	7	8	0	1	2	3	4	5	15	16	17	11	9	10	13	14	12	26	24	25	18	19	20	22	23	21
7	7	8	6	1	2	0	4	5	3	16	17	15	9	10	11	14	12	13	24	25	26	19	20	18	23	21	22
8	8	6	7	2	0	1	5	3	4	17	15	16	10	11	9	12	13	14	25	26	24	20	18	19	21	22	23
9	9	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	15	18	19	20	22	23	21	24	25	26	0	1	2	5	3	4	8	6	7
10	10	11	9	13	14	12	17	15	16	19	20	18	23	21	22	25	26	24	1	2	0	3	4	5	6	7	8
11	11	9	10	14	12	13	15	16	17	20	18	19	21	22	23	26	24	25	2	0	1	4	5	3	7	8	6
12	12	13	14	15	16	17	10	11	9	21	22	23	26	24	25	20	18	19	4	5	3	8	6	7	1	2	0
13	13	14	12	16	17	15	11	9	10	22	23	21	24	25	26	18	19	20	5	3	4	6	7	8	2	0	1
14	14	12	13	17	15	16	9	10	11	23	21	22	25	26	24	19	20	18	3	4	5	7	8	6	0	1	2
15	15	16	17	9	10	11	13	14	12	24	25	26	18	19	20	22	23	21	8	6	7	2	0	1	3	4	5
16	16	17	15	10	11	9	14	12	13	25	26	24	19	20	18	23	21	22	6	7	8	0	1	2	4	5	3
17	17	15	16	11	9	10	12	13	14	26	24	25	20	18	19	21	22	23	7	8	6	1	2	0	5	3	4
18	18	19	20	21	22	23	26	24	25	0	1	2	5	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	13	14	12	16	17	15
19	19	20	18	22	23	21	24	25	26	1	2	0	3	4	5	7	8	6	10	11	9	14	12	13	17	15	16
20	20	18	19	23	21	22	25	26	24	2	0	1	4	5	3	8	6	7	11	9	10	12	13	14	15	16	17
21	21	22	23	24	25	26	20	18	19	3	4	5	6	7	8	2	0	1	13	14	12	16	17	15	9	10	11
22	22	23	21	25	26	24	18	19	20	4	5	3	7	8	6	0	1	2	14	12	13	17	15	16	10	11	9
23	23	21	22	26	24	25	19	20	18	5	3	4	8	6	7	1	2	0	12	13	14	15	16	17	11	9	10
24	24	25	26	18	19	20	23	21	22	6	7	8	1	2	0	4	5	3	17	15	16	10	11	9	14	12	13
25	25	26	24	19	20	18	21	22	23	7	8	6	2	0	1	5	3	4	15	16	17	11	9	10	12	13	14
26	26	24	25	20	18	19	22	23	21	8	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	16	17	15	9	10	11	13	14	12

Table 1: A Power-Associative CC-Loop

This loop also has the Automorphic Inverse Property (AIP); that is,  $J \in \text{Aut}(Q)$ .

The example in Table 2 is a power-associative CC-loop of order 16. The loop must have the weak inverse property because  $3 \nmid 16$ , so every element is a cube (see Theorem 7.4). It is not diassociative because  $4 \cdot (8 \cdot 4) \neq (4 \cdot 8) \cdot 4$ ; also,  $Q = \langle 4, 8 \rangle$ .  $Z(Q) = N(Q) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ , so all squares are in the nucleus.

These examples were found by the program SEM [19]. As usual, once one is given such an example, it is easy to write a very short program (in, e.g., C or java or python) to verify the claimed properties for it.

•	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	1	2	3	0	5	6	7	4	9	10	11	8	13	14	15	12
2	2	3	0	1	6	7	4	5	10	11	8	9	14	15	12	13
3	3	0	1	2	7	4	5	6	11	8	9	10	15	12	13	14
4	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	12	13	14	15	10	11	8	9
5	5	6	7	4	1	2	3	0	13	14	15	12	11	8	9	10
6	6	7	4	5	2	3	0	1	14	15	12	13	8	9	10	11
7	7	4	5	6	3	0	1	2	15	12	13	14	9	10	11	8
8	8	9	10	11	15	12	13	14	0	1	2	3	7	4	5	6
9	9	10	11	8	12	13	14	15	1	2	3	0	4	5	6	7
10	10	11	8	9	13	14	15	12	2	3	0	1	5	6	7	4
11	11	8	9	10	14	15	12	13	3	0	1	2	6	7	4	5
12	12	13	14	15	11	8	9	10	6	7	4	5	3	0	1	2
13	13	14	15	12	8	9	10	11	7	4	5	6	0	1	2	3
14	14	15	12	13	9	10	11	8	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	0
15	15	12	13	14	10	11	8	9	5	6	7	4	2	3	0	1

Table 2: A Power-Associative WIP CC-Loop

## References

- [1] А. С. Басараб, Об одном классе  $G$ -луп, *Математические Исследования* Том 3, Вып. 2 (8) (1968) 72–77.
- [2] А. С. Басараб, Класс ЛК-луп, *Математические Исследования* Вып. 120 (1991) 3–7.
- [3] В. Д. Белоусов, *Основы Теории Квазигрупп и Луп*, Издательство «Наука», Москва, 1967.
- [4] R. H. Bruck, Contributions to the theory of loops, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 60 (1946) 245–354.
- [5] R. H. Bruck, *A Survey of Binary Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [6] R. H. Bruck and L. J. Paige, Loops whose inner mappings are automorphisms, *Ann. of Math.* (2) (1956) 308–323.
- [7] O. Chein, M. K. Kinyon, A. Rajah, and P. Vojtěchovský, Loops and the Lagrange property, to appear in *Results Math.*
- [8] F. Fenyves, Extra loops I, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 15 (1968) 235–238.

- [9] F. Fenyves, Extra loops II, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 16 (1969) 187–192.
- [10] E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson, A class of loops which are isomorphic to all loop isotopes, *Canadian J. Math.* 34 (1982) 662–672.
- [11] E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson, Some special conjugacy closed loops, *Canadian Math. Bull.* 33 (1990) 73–78.
- [12] E. G. Goodaire and D. A. Robinson, Semi-direct products and Bol loops, *Demonstratio Math.* 27 (1994) 573–588.
- [13] K. Kunen, The structure of conjugacy closed loops, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000) 2889–2911.
- [14] W.W. McCune, *OTTER 3.0 Reference Manual and Guide*, Technical Report ANL-94/6, Argonne National Laboratory, 1994; or see:  
<http://www-fp.mcs.anl.gov/division/software/>
- [15] J. M. Osborn, Loops with the weak inverse property, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960) 295–304.
- [16] H. O. Pflugfelder, *Quasigroups and Loops: Introduction*, Sigma Series in Pure Math. 8, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [17] D. A. Robinson, Holomorphy theory of extra loops, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 18 (1971), 59–64.
- [18] Л. Р. Сойкис, О Специальных лупах, in *Вопросы Теории Квазигрупп и Луп* (В. Д. Белоусов, ed.), Редакц.-Издат. Отдел Акад. Наук Молдав. ССР, Кишинев, 1970, pp. 122–131.
- [19] J. Zhang and H. Zhang, SEM: a system for enumerating models, *Proc. 14th Int.. Joint Conf. on AI (IJCAI-95)*, Montréal, 1995, pp. 298 – 303; available at URL: <http://www.cs.uiowa.edu/~hzhang/>