

TOPOLOGY OF 3-MANIFOLDS AND A CLASS OF GROUPS

Sayed Khaled Roushon

March 15, 2002

Abstract. This paper grew out of an attempt to find a suitable finite sheeted covering of an aspherical 3-manifold so that the cover either has infinite or trivial first homology group. With this motivation we define a new class of groups. These groups are in some sense eventually perfect. We prove some basic results on these groups and state two conjectures. A direct application of one of the conjectures to the Betti number conjecture of Thurston is mentioned.

0. Introduction

The main motivation to this paper came from 3-manifold topology while trying to find a suitable finite sheeted covering of an aspherical 3-manifold so that the cover has either infinite or trivial first integral homology group. In [R] it was proved that $M^3 \times D^n$ is topologically rigid for $n > 1$ whenever $H_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ is infinite. Also the same result is true when $H_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. The remaining case is when $H_1(M^3; \mathbb{Z})$ is nontrivial finite. There are induction techniques in surgery theory which can be used to prove topological rigidity of a manifold if a certain finite sheeted cover of the manifold is also topologically rigid. In the case of manifolds with nontrivial finite first integral homology groups there is a natural finite sheeted cover namely the one which corresponds to the commutator subgroup of the fundamental group.

So we start with a closed aspherical 3-manifold M with nontrivial finite first integral homology group and consider the finite sheeted covering M_1 of M corresponding to the commutator subgroup. If $H^1(M_1; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ or $H_1(M_1; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then we are done otherwise we again take the finite sheeted cover of M_1 corresponding to the commutator subgroup and continue. The group theoretic conjecture (Conjecture 0.5) below implies that this process stops in the sense that for some i either $H^1(M_i; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ or $H_1(M_i; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Motivated by the above situation we define the following class of groups.

Definition. An abstract group G is called adorable if $G^i = G^{i+1} = 1$ for some i , where $G^i = [G^{i-1}; G^{i-1}]$, the commutator subgroup of G^{i-1} , and $G^0 = G$. The smallest

i for which the above property is satisfied is called the degree of adorability of G . We denote it by $\text{doa}(G)$.

Obvious examples of adorable groups are finite groups, perfect groups, simple groups and solvable groups. The first two classes are adorable groups of degree 0. The free products of perfect groups are adorable. The abelian groups and symmetric groups on $n \geq 5$ letters are adorable of degree 1. Another class of adorable groups are $GL_n(R) = \text{Lim}_{n! \rightarrow 1} GL_n(R)$. Here R is any ring with unity and $GL_n(R)$ is the multiplicative group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices. These are adorable groups of degree 1. This follows from the Whitehead lemma which says that the commutator subgroup of $GL_n(R)$ is generated by the elementary matrices and the group generated by the elementary matrices is a perfect group. Also $SL_n(C)$, the multiplicative group of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries is a perfect group. In fact we will prove that any connected Lie group is adorable as an abstract group. The full braid groups on more than 4 strings are adorable of degree 1.

We prove the following basic theorem:

Theorem 0.1. A group G is adorable if and only if there is a filtration $G_n < G_{n-1} < \dots < G_0 = G$ of G so that G_i is normal in G_{i-1} , G_{i-1}/G_i is abelian for each i and G_n is a perfect group.

Theorem 0.2. Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G . Then H is adorable if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) G/H is solvable
- (2) for some i , G^i/H^i is abelian
- (3) for some i , G^i is simple
- (4) for some i , G^i is perfect and the group G^i/H^{i+1} does not have any proper abelian normal subgroup

Also the braid group on more than 4 strings are the examples to show that an arbitrary finite index normal subgroup of an adorable group need not be adorable.

Theorem 0.3. Every connected real or complex Lie group is adorable as an abstract group.

Below we give some examples of non-adorable groups. Proof of non-adorability of some of these examples are easy. Proofs for the other examples are given in the next section.

Some examples of groups which are not adorable are non-abelian free groups and fundamental groups of surfaces of genus greater than 1; for the intersection of a monotonically decreasing sequence of characteristic subgroups of a non-abelian free group consists of the trivial element only. The commutator subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is the nonabelian free group on 2 generators. Hence $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is not adorable. Also by Stallings' theorem fundamental groups of compact 3-manifolds which have finitely generated commutator subgroup with infinite cyclic abelianization are also not adorable. It is known that most of these 3-manifolds support hyperbolic metric

by Thurston. It is easy to show that the pure braid group is not adorable as there is a surjection of any pure braid group of more than 2 strings onto a nonabelian free group. A torsion free Bieberbach groups is non-adorable unless it is solvable. A knot group is adorable if and only if it has trivial Alexander polynomial. In fact in this case the commutator subgroup of the knot group is perfect. All other knot groups are not adorable. On the other hand any knot complement supports a complete nonpositively curved Riemannian metric.

Note that all the torsion free examples of non-adorable groups we mentioned above act freely and properly discontinuously (except the braid groups case, which is still an open question) on a simply connected complete nonpositively curved Riemannian manifold. Considering this fact we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 0.4. Fundamental group of generic class of complete nonpositively curved Riemannian manifolds or more generally of generic locally CAT(0) metric spaces are not adorable.

One can even ask the same question for hyperbolic groups.

Now we state the conjecture we referred before.

Conjecture 0.5. Let G be a finitely presented torsion free group such that $G^i = G^{i+1}$ is a finite group for all i . Then G is adorable.

There is another consequence of this conjecture. That is, proving this conjecture for the particular case when the group G is the fundamental group of an aspherical 3-manifold will imply that the Thurston Betti number conjecture is true if a modified (half) version of it is true. We mention it below :

Modified Thurston Betti number conjecture. Let M be a closed aspherical 3-manifold such that $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Then there is a finite sheeted covering \tilde{M} of M with $H_1(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ finite.

It is easy to see that the Conjecture 0.5 and the Modified Thurston Betti number conjecture together implies the Thurston Betti number conjecture.

Thurston Betti number conjecture. Any closed aspherical 3-manifold has a finite sheeted covering with finite first homology group.

Acknowledgment. This work was presented in the conference on Algebraic and Geometric Topology, January 01-04, 2002, Delhi University, India and in the Satellite conference on Geometric Topology of the ICM 2002, August 12-16, 2002, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China. The author would like to thank the organizing committees for the invitation to participate and lecture in the conferences.

1. Properties of Adorable groups

In this section we prove some basic results on adorable groups.

Recall that a group is called perfect if the commutator subgroup of the group is the whole group.

Proposition 1.1. Let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a surjective homomorphism with G adorable. Then H is also adorable and $\text{doa}(H) = \text{doa}(G)$.

Proof. f induces surjective homomorphism $G^i \rightarrow H^i$ for each i . Again the proof follows from the definitions of adorable groups and its' doa .

Example 1.2. The Artin pure braid group on more than 2 strings is not adorable, for it has a quotient a non-abelian free group. In fact the full braid group on n -strings is not adorable for $n \geq 4$ and adorable of degree 1 otherwise. (see [GL]).

Proposition 1.3. The product $G \times H$ of two groups are adorable if and only if both the groups G and H are adorable. Also if $G \times H$ is adorable then $\text{doa}(G \times H) = \max\{\text{doa}(G); \text{doa}(H)\}$.

Proof. Note that $(G \times H)^i = G^i \times H^i$. If G and H are both adorable then it clearly follows that so is their product and also it follows that $\text{doa}(G \times H) = \max\{\text{doa}(G); \text{doa}(H)\}$. The 'only if' part follows from Proposition 1.1.

Remark 1.4. Note here that free product of two adorable groups need not be adorable; for example the non-abelian free group on two generators is not adorable, but the infinite cyclic group is. But it is plausible that the free product of two nonsolvable adorable group is adorable.

Proposition 1.5. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G with quotient F such that both H and F are perfect, then G is also perfect.

Proof. We have

$$G^1 = H^1 = F^1 = F^1 = (G/H)^1 = G^1/H^1 = G^1 \setminus H^1 = G^1/H^1 = G^1 = H^1 = G^1/H^1$$

This proves the Proposition.

Lemma 1.6. Let G be an adorable group and H is a normal subgroup of G . Assume that for some i_0 , G^{i_0} is simple. Then H is also adorable and $\text{doa}(H) = \text{doa}(G)$.

Proof. Note that H^{i_0} is a normal subgroup of G^{i_0} and hence either $H^{i_0} = 1$ or $H^{i_0} = G^{i_0}$. In any case H is adorable and $\text{doa}(H) = \text{doa}(G)$.

Remark 1.7. In the above lemma instead of assuming the strong hypothesis that G^{i_0} is simple we can assume only that G^{i_0} is perfect and $G^{i_0} = H^{i_0+1}$ does not have any proper normal abelian subgroup. With this weaker hypothesis the proof follows from the fact that the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $G^{i_0} = H^{i_0+1} \rightarrow G^{i_0} = H^{i_0}$ is either trivial or $G^{i_0} = H^{i_0}$. In either case it follows that H is adorable.

Lemma 1.8. Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G such that $G^{i+1} = H^i$ is abelian for some i . Then H is also adorable.

Proof. There is an $i_0 > i$ so that $G^{i_0+1} = G^{i_0}$. Also as $G^{i+1} = H^i$ is abelian we get $G^{i+1} = H^i$. Now we have

$$H^{i_0} = G^{i_0} = G^{i_0+2} = G^{i+1+(i_0-i+1)} = H^{i_0+1}$$

Also $H^{i_0+1} = H^{i_0}$. Hence $H^{i_0+1} = H^{i_0}$. Therefore H is adorable.

Lemma 1.9. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G^i = H^i$ is abelian for some i . Then G is adorable if and only if H is adorable.

Proof. One way of the proof follows from the previous Lemma. So assume that H is adorable. Choose $i_0 > i$ so that $H^{i_0+1} = H^{i_0}$. From the hypothesis $G^{i+1} = H^i$. Now

$$G^{i_0+1} = G^{i+1+i_0-i} = H^{i_0} = H^{i_0+2} = G^{i_0+2}$$

Also we have $G^{i_0+2} = G^{i_0+1}$. Thus $G^{i_0+2} = G^{i_0+1}$ and hence G is adorable.

Theorem 1.10. A group G is adorable if and only if there is a filtration $G_n < G_{n-1} < \dots < G_0 = G$ of G so that G_i is normal in G_{i-1} , $G_{i-1} = G_i$ is abelian for each i and G_n is a perfect group.

Proof. We use Proposition 1.11 below and induction on n to prove the 'if' part of the Theorem. So assume there is a filtration of G as in the hypothesis. Then there is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow G_{n-1}/G_n \rightarrow 1$$

such that G_{n-1}/G_n is abelian and G_n is perfect and hence adorable. By Proposition 1.11 G_{n-1} is adorable. By induction $G_0 = G$ is adorable. The 'only if' part of the Theorem follows from the definition of adorable groups.

Proposition 1.11. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G = H$ is solvable. Then H is adorable if and only if so is G .

Proof. Before we start with the proof, we note down some generality: Suppose G has a filtration as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.10. Since G_{i-1}/G_i is abelian for each i , we have $G_{i-1}^0 = G_i$. Replacing i by $i+1$ we get $G_i^0 = G_{i+1}$. Consequently, $G_0^i = G^i = fG^0 g^{i-1} = G_1^{i-1} = fG_1^0 g^{i-2} = G_2^{i-2} = \dots = G_i^0 = G_i$. Thus we get $G^n = G_n$.

Denote $G = H$ by F . As F is solvable we have $1 = F^k = \dots = F^0 = F$ where F^k is abelian. Let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H$ be the quotient map. We have the following sequence of normal subgroups of G :

$$G \supseteq H \supseteq H^n \supseteq \dots \supseteq H \supseteq H^k \supseteq \dots \supseteq H^0 = G/H$$

Note that this sequence of normal subgroup satisfies the same properties as those of the filtration G_i of G above. Hence $G^{k+i} = H^{i-1}$. Now if G is adorable then for some i , G^{k+i} is perfect. We have

$$H^{k+i} = G^{k+i} = G^{k+k+i-2} = H^{k+i-1}$$

But we already have $H^{k+i-1} = H^{k+i}$. That is H^{k+i} is perfect, hence H is adorable. Conversely if H is adorable then for some i , H^i is perfect. By Theorem 1.10 it follows that G is also adorable.

Corollary 1.12. Let M^3 be a compact 3-manifold with the property that there is an exact sequence of groups $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ such that H is finitely generated nonabelian but not the fundamental group of the Klein bottle and F is an infinite solvable group. Then $\pi_1(M)$ is not adorable.

Proof. By Theorem 11.1 in [He] it follows that H is the fundamental group of a compact surface. Also as H is not the Klein bottle group, it is not adorable. The Corollary now follows from Proposition 1.11.

Corollary 1.13. Let G be a torsion free infinite group and F be a finite quotient of G with kernel H such that H is free abelian and also central in G . Then G is adorable.

Proof. Recall that equivalence classes of extensions of F by H are in one to one correspondence with $H^2(F; H)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(F; (Q=Z)^n)$ where n is the rank of H (see exercise 3, page 95, in [Br]). If F is perfect then $\text{Hom}(F; (Q=Z)^n) = 0$ and hence the extensions $1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$ splits. But by hypothesis G is torsion free. Hence F is not perfect. By a similar argument it can be shown that F^i is perfect for no i unless it is the trivial group. Since F is finite this proves that F is solvable and hence G is adorable, in fact solvable.

We sum up the above Lemmas and Propositions in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.14. Let H be a normal subgroup of an adorable group G . Then H is adorable if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (1) $G=H$ is solvable
- (2) for some i , $G^i=H^i$ is abelian
- (3) for some i , G^i is simple
- (4) for some i , G^i is perfect and the group $G^i=H^{i+1}$ does not have any proper abelian normal subgroup

Remark 1.15. It is known that any countable group is a subgroup of a countable simple group. Also we mentioned before that even finite index normal subgroup of an adorable group need not be adorable. So the above theorem is best possible in this regard.

In the following Proposition we show that if a group is virtually adorable then the group need not be adorable.

Proposition 1.16. Let G be a torsion free group and H a free (abelian or non-abelian) normal subgroup of G with quotient F a non-trivial finite perfect group. Then G is not adorable.

Proof. If H is non-abelian then by Stallings' Theorem G itself is free and hence not adorable. So assume H is free abelian. Since F is a perfect group, the restriction of the quotient map $G \rightarrow F$ to G^i is again surjective for each i with $H \setminus G^i$ as kernel. And since G is infinite and torsion free, $H \setminus G^i$ is non-trivial free abelian for all i .

This shows that each G^i is a Bieberbach group. It is known that if $H^1(G^i; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then G^i is centerless and centerless Bieberbach groups are meta-abelian and hence solvable ([HS]). But since each G^i surjects onto a non-trivial perfect group it cannot be solvable. Hence $H^1(G^i; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ for each i . This proves the Proposition.

The conclusion of the above Proposition remains valid if we assume that F is non-solvable adorable.

By Bieberbach theorem ([Ch]) we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.17. The fundamental group of a closed orientable Riemannian manifold is non-adorable unless it is solvable.

In the following Proposition we give another class of nonpositively curved manifold whose fundamental group is not adorable. By now it is well known that the manifolds in this Proposition supports a complete nonpositively curved Riemannian metric.

Proposition 1.18. Let $G = \pi_1(S^3 - k)$, where k is a nontrivial knot in the 3-sphere with non-trivial Alexander polynomial. Then G is not adorable.

Before we give the proof we recall some definition from [St]. For any commutative ring with unity let $E(R)$ be the class of groups G such that the trivial G -module R has an $R[G]$ -projective resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

for which the map $\theta_2 : R \otimes_{R[G]} P_2 \rightarrow R \otimes_{R[G]} P_1$ is injective.

Proof of Proposition 1.18. Note that by Alexander duality $G = G^1$ is infinite cyclic. Also since $H_2(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and cohomological dimension of G is 2 it follows from [St] that G lies in the class $E(R)$ for each R . Now by Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 in [St] $G^i = G^{i+1}$ is free abelian for each i . Also the commutator subgroup of a knot group is perfect if and only if the knot has trivial Alexander polynomial. This proves the Proposition.

The following is an analogue of a Theorem of Hirsch for poly-cyclic groups.

Theorem 1.19. The following are equivalent:

- (1) G is a group which admits a filtration $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$ with the property that each G_{i+1} is normal in G_i with quotient G_i/G_{i+1} cyclic and G_n is a perfect group which satisfies the maximal condition for subgroups.
- (2) G is adorable and it satisfies the maximal condition for subgroups, i.e., for any sequence $H_1 < H_2 < \dots$ of subgroups of G there is an i such that $H_i = H_{i+1} = \dots$.

Proof. The proof is on the same line as Hirsch's theorem. The main lemma is the following:

Lemma A. Let H_1 and H_2 be two subgroups of a group G and $H_1 \leq H_2$. Let H be a normal subgroup of G with the property that $H \setminus H_1 = H \setminus H_2$ and the subgroup generated by H and H_1 is equal to the subgroup generated by H and H_2 . Then $H_1 = H_2$.

(1) implies (2): By Theorem 1.10 it follows that (1) implies that G is adorable. Now we check the maximal condition by induction on n . As G_n already satisfy maximal condition we only need to check that G_{n-1} also satisfy maximal condition which follows from the following Lemma and by noting that $G_{n-1} = G_n$ is cyclic:

Lemma B. Let H be a normal subgroup of a group G such that both H and G/H satisfy the maximal condition then G also satisfies the maximal condition.

Proof. Let $K_1 < K_2 < \dots$ be an increasing sequence of subgroups of G . Consider the two sequences of subgroups $H \setminus K_1 < H \setminus K_2 < \dots$ and $fH_1/g < fH_2/g < \dots$. Here fA/g denotes the subgroup generated by the subgroups A and B . As H and G/H both satisfy the maximal condition there are integers k and l so that $H \setminus K_k = H \setminus K_{k+1} = \dots$ and $fH_l/g = fH_{l+1}/g = \dots$. Assume $k \leq l$. Then by Lemma A $K_k = K_{k+1} = \dots$.

(2) implies (1): As G is adorable it has a filtration $G = G_0 > G_1 > \dots > G_n$ with G_n perfect and each quotient abelian. Also G_n satisfies maximal condition as it is a subgroup of G and G satisfies maximal condition. Since G satisfies maximal condition each quotient $G_i = G_{i+1}$ is finitely generated. Now a filtration as in (1) can easily be constructed.

This proves the theorem.

Definition 1.20. A Lie group is called adorable if it is adorable as an abstract group.

Theorem 1.21. Every connected (real or complex) Lie group is adorable.

Proof. Let G be a Lie group and consider its derived series:

$${}^n G \supseteq G^{n-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq {}^1 G \supseteq G^0 = G$$

Note that each G^i is a normal subgroup of G . Define $\bar{G}^i = \overline{G^i}$. Then we have a sequence of normal subgroups:

$${}^n \bar{G} \supseteq \bar{G}_{n-1} \supseteq \dots \supseteq {}^1 \bar{G} \supseteq \bar{G}_0 = G$$

so that \bar{G}_i is a closed Lie subgroup of G and $\bar{G}_i = \bar{G}_{i+1}$ is abelian for each i . Suppose for some i , $\dim \bar{G}_i = 0$, i.e., \bar{G}_i is a closed discrete normal subgroup of G . We claim \bar{G}_i is abelian. For, $x, y \in \bar{G}_i$ and consider the continuous map $G \rightarrow \bar{G}_i$ given by $g \mapsto g y g^{-1}$. As G is connected and \bar{G}_i is discrete the image of this map is the singleton $\{y\}$. That is y commutes with all $g \in G$ and hence \bar{G}_i is abelian.

As $\bar{G}^i = \bar{G}_i$, \bar{G}^i is also abelian. Thus G is solvable and hence adorable.

Next assume no \bar{G}_i is discrete. Then as G is finite dimensional and \bar{G}_i 's are Lie subgroups of G there is an i_0 so that $\bar{G}_j = \bar{G}_{j+1}$ for all $j \geq i_0$ and $\dim \bar{G}_{i_0} \geq 1$. We need the following Lemma to complete the proof of the Theorem.

Lemma 1.22. Let G be a (real or complex) Lie group such that $\overline{G^1} = G$. Then $G^2 = G^1$, that is G^1 is a perfect group.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem XII.3.1 and Theorem XVI.2.1 of [Ho].

We have $G^{i_0} = G_{i_0}$ and hence

$$G_{i_0} = G_{i_0+1} = \overline{G^{i_0+1}} = \overline{G_{i_0}^1} = G_{i_0}$$

This implies $\overline{G_{i_0}^1} = G_{i_0}$. Now from the above Lemma we get G_{i_0} is adorable. Thus G_{i_0} is a normal adorable subgroup of G_{i_0-1} with quotient G_{i_0-1}/G_{i_0} abelian and hence by Proposition 1.11 G_{i_0-1} is also adorable. By induction it follows that G is adorable.

References

- [B] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [Br] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1982.
- [Ch] Leonard S. Charlap, *Bieberbach groups and flat manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris Tokyo, 1986.
- [GL] E. A. Gorin and V. Ja. Lin, Algebraic equations with continuous coefficients, and certain questions of the algebraic theory of braids, *Mat. Sb.* 78 (1969), 579{610.
- [He] John Hempel, *3-manifolds*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton New Jersey, 1976.
- [HS] H. Hiller and C. Sah, Holonomy of flat manifolds with $b_1 = 0$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 37 (1986), 177{187.
- [Ho] G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, Inc., 728 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, California, 1965.
- [R] Sayed K. Roushon, L-theory of 3-manifolds with non-vanishing first Betti number, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 2000, no. 3., 107{113.
- [R1] _____, Vanishing structure set of Haken 3-manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 318 (2000), 609{620.
- [St] Ralph Strebel, Homological methods applied to the derived series of groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 49 (1974), 302{332.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India.
E-mail address: roushon@math.tifr.res.in , <http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~roushton/paper.html>