

Michigan Math. J. 52 (2004)

A Purity Theorem for Abelian Schemes

ADRIAN VASIU

1. Introduction

Let K be the field of fractions of a discrete valuation ring O . Let Y be a flat O -scheme that is regular, and let U be an open subscheme of Y whose complement in Y is of codimension in Y at least 2. We call the pair (Y, U) an extensible pair. Let $q : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \text{Sch}_O$ be a stack over the category Sch_O of O -schemes endowed with the Zariski topology. Let \mathcal{S}_Z be the fibre of q over an O -scheme Z . Answers to the following Question provide information on \mathcal{S} .

QUESTION 1.1. Is the pull-back functor $\mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_U$ surjective on objects?

Question 1.1 has a positive answer in any one of the following three cases:

- (i) \mathcal{S} is the stack of morphisms into the Nèron model over O of an abelian variety over K , and Y is smooth over O (see [N]);
- (ii) \mathcal{S} is the stack of smooth, geometrically connected, projective curves of genus at least 2 (see [M-B]);
- (iii) \mathcal{S} is the stack of stable curves of locally constant type, and there is a divisor DIV of Y with normal crossings such that the reduced scheme $Y \setminus U$ is a closed subscheme of DIV (see [dJO]).

Let p be a prime. If the field K is of characteristic 0, then an example of Raynaud–Gabber–Ogus shows that Question 1.1 does not always have a positive answer if \mathcal{S} is the stack of abelian schemes (see [dJO, Sec. 6]). This invalidates [FaC, Chap. IV, Thms. 6.4, 6.4', 6.8] and leads to the following problem.

PROBLEM 1.2. Classify all those Y with the property that, for any extensible pair (Y, U) with U containing Y_K , every abelian scheme (resp., every p -divisible group) over U extends to an abelian scheme (resp., to a p -divisible group) over Y .

We call such Y a healthy (resp., p -healthy) regular scheme (cf. [V, 3.2.1(2),(9)]). The counterexample of [FaC, p. 192] and the classical purity theorem of [G, p. 275] indicate that Problem 1.2 is of interest only if K is of characteristic 0 (resp., only if O is a faithfully flat $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra). We shall therefore assume hereafter that O is of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$. Let $e \in \mathbb{N}$ be the index of ramification of O . If $e \leq p - 2$, then a result of Faltings states that Y is healthy and p -healthy regular, provided it is formally smooth over O (see [Mo, 3.6] and [V, 3.2.2(1) and 3.2.17], a correction to step B of which

is implicitly achieved here by Proposition 4.1). If $p \geq 5$, then there are local O -schemes that are healthy and p -healthy regular but are not formally smooth over some discrete valuation ring (see [V, 3.2.2(5)]). The goal of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. *If $e = 1$, then any regular, formally smooth O -scheme is healthy and p -healthy regular.*

The case $p \geq 3$ is already known, as remarked previously. The case $p = 2$ answers a question of Deligne. In Section 2 we present complements on the crystalline contravariant Dieudonné functor. These complements are needed in Section 3 to prove Lemma 3.1, which pertains to extensions of short exact sequences of finite, flat, commutative group schemes. In Section 4 we use Lemma 3.1 and [FaC] to prove Theorem 1.3.

Milne used an analogue of Question 1.1(i) to define integral canonical models of Shimura varieties (see [Mi, Sec. 2] and [V, 3.2.3, 3.2.6]). Theorem 1.3 implies the uniqueness of such integral canonical models and extends parts of [V] to arbitrary mixed characteristic (see [V, 3.2.3.2, 3.2.4, 3.2.12, etc.]). Also one can use Theorem 1.3 and the integral models of compact, unitary Shimura varieties used in [K] to provide the first concrete examples of Nèron models (as defined in [BLR, p. 12]) of projective varieties over K whose extensions to \overline{K} are not embeddable into abelian varieties over \overline{K} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We would like to thank G. Faltings for mentioning to us that his result should hold for $p = 2$ as well. I also thank W. McCallum and the referee for several suggestions.

2. The Crystalline Dieudonné Functor

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let σ_k be the Frobenius automorphism of the Witt ring $W(k)$ of k , and let R be a regular, formally smooth $W(k)$ -algebra. Let $Y := \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Let Φ_R be a Frobenius lift of the p -adic completion R^\wedge of R that is compatible with σ_k . Let Ω_R^\wedge be the p -adic completion of the R -module of relative differentials of R with respect to $W(k)$, and let $d\Phi_{R/p}$ be the differential of Φ_R divided by p . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the reduction mod p^n of $d\Phi_{R/p}$ is denoted in the same way. If Z is an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -scheme, let

$$p - FF(Z)$$

be the category of finite, flat, commutative group schemes of p -power order over Z .

Let $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ be the Faltings–Fontaine category defined as follows. Its objects are quintuples

$$(M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla),$$

where M is an R -module, F is a direct summand of M , both $\Phi_0 : M \rightarrow M$ and $\Phi_1 : F \rightarrow M$ are Φ_R -linear maps, and $\nabla : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R \Omega_R^\wedge$ is an integrable, nilpotent mod p connection on M , such that the following five axioms hold:

1. $\Phi_0(m) = p\Phi_1(m)$ for all $m \in F$;
2. M is R -generated by $\Phi_0(M) + \Phi_1(F)$;

3. $\nabla \circ \Phi_0(m) = p(\Phi_0 \otimes d\Phi_{R/p}) \circ \nabla(m)$ for all $m \in M$;
4. $\nabla \circ \Phi_1(m) = (\Phi_0 \otimes d\Phi_{R/p}) \circ \nabla(m)$ for all $m \in F$; and
5. locally in the Zariski topology of Y , M is a finite direct sum of R -modules of the form $R/p^s R$, where $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

A morphism $f : (M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla) \rightarrow (M', F', \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla')$ between two such quintuples is an R -linear map $f_0 : M \rightarrow M'$ taking F into F' and such that the following three identities hold: $\Phi'_0 \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ \Phi_0$, $\Phi'_1 \circ f_0 = f_0 \circ \Phi_1$ and $\nabla' \circ f_0 = (f_0 \otimes_R 1_{\Omega_R^\wedge}) \circ \nabla$. We refer to M as the underlying R -module of $(M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$. Disregarding the connections (and thus axioms 3 and 4), we obtain the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(Y)$. Categories like $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$, in the context of arbitrary smooth $W(k)$ -schemes, were first introduced in [Fa] as inspired by [F] and [FL], which worked with the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(\text{Spec}(W(k)))$. In the sequel we will need the following result of Faltings.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *We assume that Ω_R^\wedge is a flat R -module. Then the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ is abelian and the functor from it into the category of R -modules that takes f into f_0 is exact.*

Proof. This follows from [Fa, pp. 31–33]. Strictly speaking, in [Fa] the result is stated only for smooth $W(k)$ -algebras, but the inductive arguments work also for regular, formally smooth $W(k)$ -algebras. In fact, we can use Artin's approximation theorem to reduce Proposition 2.1 to the result in [Fa] as follows.

Let f and f_0 be as before. We denote also by Φ_0, Φ_1, ∇ and $\Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla'$ the different Φ_R -linear maps and connections obtained from them via restrictions or via natural passage to quotients (for ∇ and ∇' this makes sense because Ω_R^\wedge is a flat R -module). We need to show that the three quintuples $(\text{Ker}(f_0), F \cap \text{Ker}(f_0), \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$, $(f_0(M), f_0(F), \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla')$ and $(M'/f_0(M), F'/f_0(F), \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla')$ are objects of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ and that $f_0(F) = F' \cap f_0(M)$. Since Ω_R^\wedge is a flat R -module, axioms 3 and 4 hold and so from now on we do not mention ∇ and ∇' . Hence we are interested only in the morphism $g : (M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1) \rightarrow (M', F', \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1)$ of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(Y)$ defined by f_0 . We can assume that M and M' are annihilated by p^n and that R is local. Using devissage as in [Fa, p. 33, ll. 4–11], it is enough to handle the case $n = 1$. So all the R -modules involved in the three quintuples listed are in fact R/pR -modules. Thus, to check that they are free, we can also assume that R is complete. Based on [Ma, p. 268], there is a k -subalgebra k_1 of R/pR that is isomorphic to the residue field of R . We easily get that R/pR is a k -algebra of the form $k_1[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$, where $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Because $n = 1$, the choice of Φ_R plays no role in the study of the three quintuples and so we can also assume that k_1 is perfect.

We choose R/pR -bases \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' of M and M' (respectively) such that certain subsets of them are R/pR -bases of F and F' . With respect to \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' , the functions $f_0, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi'_0$, and Φ'_1 involve a finite number of coordinates that are elements of R/pR . Let A_0 be the k_1 -subalgebra of R/pR generated by all these coordinates, and observe that A_0 is of finite type. Hence, from [BLR, p. 91] we derive the existence of an A_0 -algebra A_1 that is smooth over k_1 and such that the k_1 -monomorphism $A_0 \hookrightarrow R/pR$ factors through A_1 . Localizing A_1 , we can assume that A_1 is the reduction mod p of

a smooth $W(k_1)$ -algebra R_1 . Now fix a Frobenius lift of the p -adic completion of R_1 that is compatible with σ_{k_1} ; hence we can speak about $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. We get that g is the natural tensorization with R of a morphism g_1 of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}(R_1)$. Applying [Fa, pp. 31–32] to g_1 and tensoring with R , we deduce that axioms 1, 2, and 5 hold for the three quintuples and that $f_0(F) = F' \cap f_0(M)$. \square

CONSTRUCTION 2.2. Let $W_n(k) := W(k)/p^n W(k)$. There is a contravariant, \mathbb{Z}_p -linear functor

$$\mathbb{D} : p - FF(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y).$$

Similar functors but with Y replaced by $\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$ (resp., by a smooth $W(k)$ -scheme and with $p > 2$) were first considered in [F] (resp. [Fa]). The existence of \mathbb{D} is a modification of a particular case of [BBM, Chap. 3]. We now include the construction of \mathbb{D} based in essence on [BBM] and [Fa, 7.1]. We will use Berthelot's crystalline site $\mathrm{CRIS}(Y_{W_n(k)}/\mathrm{Spec}(W(k)))$ (see [B, Chap. III, Sec. 4]) and its standard exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)}$ (see [BBM, p. 12]).

Let G be an object of $p - FF(Y)$ that is annihilated by p^n . Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\nabla})$ be the evaluation of the Dieudonné crystal $\mathbb{D}(G_{Y_k}) = \mathrm{Ext}_{Y_k/W(k)}^1(\underline{G}_{Y_k}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_k/W(k)})$ (see [BBM, p. 116]) at the thickening naturally attached to the closed embedding $Y_k \hookrightarrow Y_{W_n(k)}$. Hence \tilde{M} is an R -module, $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ is a Φ_R -linear endomorphism of \tilde{M} , $\tilde{V}_0 : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \otimes_R \Phi_R R$ is a Verschiebung map, and $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an integrable and nilpotent mod p connection on \tilde{M} . Identifying $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ with an R -linear map $\tilde{M} \otimes_R \Phi_R R \rightarrow \tilde{M}$, we have

$$(1) \quad \tilde{V}_0 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_0(x) = px \quad \forall x \in \tilde{M} \otimes_R \Phi_R R, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Phi}_0 \circ \tilde{V}_0(x) = px \quad \forall x \in \tilde{M}.$$

Let \tilde{F} be the direct summand of \tilde{M} that is the Hodge filtration defined by the lift $G_{Y_{W_n(k)}}$ of G_{Y_k} . The triple $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{V}_0, \tilde{\nabla})$ is also the evaluation of $\mathbb{D}(G_{Y_{W_n(k)}}) = \mathrm{Ext}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)}^1(\underline{G}_{Y_{W_n(k)}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)})$ at the trivial thickening of $Y_{W_n(k)}$. So \tilde{F} is the image of the evaluation at this trivial thickening of the functorial homomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)}^1(\underline{G}_{Y_{W_n(k)}}, \mathcal{J}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)}^1(\underline{G}_{Y_{W_n(k)}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y_{W_n(k)}/W(k)})$.

To define the map $\tilde{\Phi}_1 : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ and to check that axioms 1–5 hold for the quintuple $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\nabla})$, we can work locally in the Zariski topology of Y . Hence we can assume that G is a closed subgroup of an abelian scheme A' over Y (cf. Raynaud's theorem of [BBM, 3.1.1]). Let $A := A'/G$, and let $i_G : A' \rightarrow A$ be the resulting isogeny. We now define $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ using the cokernel of a morphism f of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ associated naturally to i_G .

Let $R(n) := R/p^n R$. Let $M := H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A_{R(n)}/R(n)) = H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(A_{R(n)}/R(n))$ as in [BBM, 2.5]. Let F be the direct summand of M that is the reduction mod p^n of the Hodge filtration F_A of

$$H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A/R^\wedge) := \mathrm{proj.lim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A_{R(l)}/R(l)) = \mathrm{proj.lim}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\mathrm{dR}}^1(A_{R(l)}/R(l)).$$

Now let Φ_0 be the reduction mod p^n of the Φ_R -linear endomorphism Φ_A of $H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A/R^\wedge)$, and let Φ_1 be the reduction mod p^n of the Φ_R -linear map $F_A \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{crys}}^1(A/R^\wedge)$ taking

$m \in F_A$ into $\Phi_A(m)/p$. Let ∇ be the reduction mod p^n of the Gauss–Manin connection ∇_A of A_{R^\wedge} . That $\mathcal{C} := (M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla)$ is an object of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ is implied by the fact that the quadruple $(H_{\text{crys}}^1(A/R^\wedge), F_A, \Phi_A, \nabla_A)$ is the evaluation at the thickening attached naturally to the closed embedding $Y_k \hookrightarrow Y^\wedge := \text{Spec}(R^\wedge)$ of a filtered F -crystal over R/pR in locally free sheaves (see [Ka, Sec. 8]). Similarly, starting from A' we construct $\mathcal{C}' = (M', F', \Phi'_0, \Phi'_1, \nabla')$. Let $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ be the morphism of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ associated naturally to i_G .

Let $f_0 : M \rightarrow M'$ defining f . Let

$$\mathbb{D}(G) = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\nabla}) := \text{Coker}(f)$$

(cf. Proposition 2.1). Then $\tilde{M} := M'/f_0(M)$, $\tilde{F} := F'/f_0(F)$, and so forth. That the quadruple $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\nabla})$ is as defined previously follows from [BBM, 3.1.6, 3.2.9, 3.2.10].

The association $G \rightarrow (\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\nabla})$ is functorial. In order to check that $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ is well-defined and functorial, we can assume that R is local. To ease the notations we will check directly that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is itself well defined and functorial. So let $m : G \rightarrow H$ be a morphism of $p - FF(Y)$. If H is a closed subgroup of an abelian scheme B' over R , then $\mathbb{D}(G \times_Y H)$ is computed via the product embedding of $G \times_Y H$ into $A' \times_Y B'$. We thus obtain $\mathbb{D}(G) \oplus \mathbb{D}(H) = \mathbb{D}(G \times_Y H)$. We now define $\mathbb{D}(m)$. If m is a closed embedding, then the construction of $\mathbb{D}(m)$ is obvious because i_G factors through the isogeny $i_H : A' \rightarrow A'/H$. In general, the homomorphism $(1_G, m) : G \rightarrow G \times_Y H$ is a closed embedding. Hence $\mathbb{D}(m) : \mathbb{D}(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$ is defined naturally via the epimorphism $\mathbb{D}(1_G, m) : \mathbb{D}(G) \oplus \mathbb{D}(H) = \mathbb{D}(G \times_Y H) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$.

One easily checks that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ and $\mathbb{D}(m)$ are well-defined; that is, they depend neither on the chosen embeddings into abelian schemes nor on the choice of a power of p annihilating G and H . For instance, let G be a closed subgroup of another abelian scheme C' over Y . By embedding G diagonally into $A' \times_Y C'$ and then using the snake lemma in the context of any one of the two projections of $A' \times_Y C'$ onto its factors, we get that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ defined via $A' \times_Y C'$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{D}(G)$ defined via A' or C' . This ends the construction of \mathbb{D} .

REMARKS 2.3. (1) We have

$$(2) \quad \tilde{V}_0 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_1(x) = x \quad \forall x \in \tilde{F} \otimes_R \Phi_R R,$$

as this identity holds in the context of A and A' . Since \tilde{M} is R -generated by the images of $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_0$, it follows that \tilde{V}_0 is uniquely determined by $\tilde{\Phi}_0$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_1$. We therefore deem it appropriate to denote $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_0, \tilde{\Phi}_1, \tilde{\nabla})$ by $\mathbb{D}(G)$. As \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' depend only on $A_{Y_{W_{n+1}(k)}}$ and $A'_{Y_{W_{n+1}(k)}}$ (respectively), $\mathbb{D}(G)$ also depends only on $G_{Y_{W_{n+1}(k)}}$.

(2) If \tilde{F} is neither $\{0\}$ nor \tilde{M} , then \tilde{V}_0 has a nontrivial kernel and so $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ is not determined by \tilde{V}_0 . The advantage we gain by using $\tilde{\Phi}_1$ instead of \tilde{V}_0 is that we can exploit axiom 5 and the exactness part of Proposition 2.1 (see the proof of Lemma 3.1).

(3) Let $Y_1 = \text{Spec}(R_1)$ be an affine, regular, formally smooth $W(k)$ -scheme. We assume that R_1^\wedge is equipped with a Frobenius lift Φ_{R_1} compatible with σ_k and that there is a morphism $l : Y_1 \rightarrow Y$ whose p -adic completion l^\wedge is compatible with the Frobenius lifts. Let $l^* : p - FF(Y) \rightarrow p - FF(Y_1)$ and $l^* : \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y_1)$ be the pull-back functors. Hence $l^*(G) = G \times_Y Y_1$ and

$$l^*(M, F, \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \nabla) = (M \otimes_R R_1, F \otimes_R R_1, \Phi_0 \otimes \Phi_{R_1}, \Phi_1 \otimes \Phi_{R_1}, \nabla_1),$$

where ∇_1 is the natural extension of ∇ to a connection on $M \otimes_R R_1$. These constructions then yield the equality $\mathbb{D} \circ l^* = l^* \circ \mathbb{D}$ of contravariant, \mathbb{Z}_p -linear functors from $p - FF(Y)$ to $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y_1)$.

(4) As in [Fa, 2.3], we see that the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ does not depend (up to isomorphism) on the choice of the Frobenius lift Φ_R of R^\wedge compatible with σ_k . The arguments of [Fa] apply even for $p = 2$ because we are dealing with connections that are nilpotent mod p . One can use this to show that remark (3) makes sense even if Y and Y_1 are not affine or if no Frobenius lifts are fixed.

(5) If R is local, complete, and has residue field k , then one can use a theorem of Badra [Ba] on the category $p - FF(Y)$ to obtain directly that $\mathbb{D}(G)$ is functorial.

3. A Lemma

In this section we prove the following Lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume that $e = 1$. Let (Y, U) be an extensible pair, with Y a regular and formally smooth O -scheme of dimension 2 and with U containing Y_K . Then any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_{1U} \rightarrow G_{2U} \rightarrow G_{3U} \rightarrow 0$ in the category $p - FF(U)$ extends uniquely to a short exact sequence in the category $p - FF(Y)$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{O}_X be the sheaf of rings on a scheme X . Let $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ be the open embedding of U in Y . For $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the \mathcal{O}_Y -module $\mathcal{F}_i := j_*(\mathcal{O}_{G_{iU}})$ is locally free (cf. [FaC, Lemma 6.2 of p. 181]). The commutative Hopf algebra structure of the \mathcal{O}_U -module $\mathcal{O}_{G_{iU}}$ extends uniquely to a commutative Hopf algebra structure of \mathcal{F}_i . Hence there exists a unique finite, flat, commutative group scheme G_i over Y extending G_{iU} . We have to show that the natural complex

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_3 \rightarrow 0$$

is, in fact, a short exact sequence. This is a local statement for the faithfully flat topology of Y . We may therefore assume that Y is local and complete and that its residue field k is separable closed and of characteristic p ; we may also assume that U is the complement in Y of the maximal point y of Y . We write $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$. From Cohen's coefficient ring theorem (see [Ma, pp. 211, 268]) we have that R is a $K(k)$ -algebra, where $K(k)$ is a Cohen ring of k . Since R/pR is regular and formally smooth over O/pO (and thus also over k), we can identify $R = K(k)[[x]]$ as $K(k)$ -algebras. Hence, by replacing R with the

faithfully, flat R -algebra $W(\bar{k})[[x]]$, we can assume that $k = \bar{k}$ and $K(k) = W(k)$ and so can use the notations of Section 2 (e.g. $\Phi_R, \Omega_R^\wedge, \dots$). Since $\Omega_R^\wedge = dxR$ is a free R -module, we can also appeal to Proposition 2.1.

Let \mathcal{O} be the local ring of Y , which is a discrete valuation ring that is faithfully flat over $W(k)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1 := W(k_1)$, where k_1 is the algebraic closure of the residue field $k((x))$ of \mathcal{O} . We consider a Teichmüller lift $l : \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_1) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R^\wedge)$ that—at the level of special fibres—induces the inclusion $k[[x]] \hookrightarrow k_1$. Hence, \mathcal{O}_1 has a natural structure of an \mathcal{O} -algebra. Let

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_1) \rightarrow 0$$

be the complex of $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ corresponding to (3). Let M_1, M_2 and M_3 be the underlying R -modules of $\mathbb{D}(G_1), \mathbb{D}(G_2)$, and $\mathbb{D}(G_3)$, respectively. Let

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$$

be the complex of R -modules defined by (4). Let $N_{1,2}$ be the underlying R -module of $\text{Coker}(\mathbb{D}(G_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_1))$. The key point is that $\text{Coker}(\mathbb{D}(G_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G_1))$ exists in the category $\mathcal{MF}_{[0,1]}^\nabla(Y)$ and the sequence $M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow N_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ is exact (cf. Proposition 2.1). We show that $N_{1,2} = \{0\}$. Because $N_{1,2}$ is a direct sum of R -modules of the form $R/p^s R = W_s(k)[[x]]$ for $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ (cf. axiom 5), to show that $N_{1,2} = \{0\}$ it is enough to show that $N_{1,2}[\frac{1}{x}] = \{0\}$. It is thus enough to show that the complex

$$(6) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

obtained from (5) by tensoring with \mathcal{O}_1 is a short exact sequence. Note that (6) is the complex obtained by pulling back (3) to $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_1)$, applying \mathbb{D} , and then taking underlying \mathcal{O}_1 -modules (cf. Remark 2.3(4) applied to l). But the pull-back of (3) to $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is a short exact sequence (since the pull-back of (3) to U is so). Thus (6) is the complex associated via the classical contravariant Dieudonné functor to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_{1k_1} \rightarrow G_{2k_1} \rightarrow G_{3k_1} \rightarrow 0$ (cf. [BBM, pp. 179–180]). From the classical Dieudonné theory we therefore have that (6) is a short exact sequence, cf. [F, p. 128 or p. 153]. So $N_{1,2} = \{0\}$.

Hence the natural $W(k)$ -linear map $j_{1,2} : M_2/(x)M_2 \rightarrow M_1/(x)M_1$ is an epimorphism. But $j_{1,2}$ is the $W(k)$ -linear map associated via the classical contravariant Dieudonné functor to the homomorphism $G_{1k} \rightarrow G_{2k}$, so this homomorphism is a closed embedding (cf. the classical Dieudonné theory). It follows by Nakayama's lemma that G_1 is a closed subgroup of G_2 . Both G_3 and G_2/G_1 are finite, flat, commutative group schemes extending G_{3U} and so we have $G_3 = G_2/G_1$. Hence (3) is a short exact sequence. This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 3.2. For $p > 2$, Lemma 3.1 was proved by Faltings using Raynaud's theorem [R, 3.3.3] (see [Mo, 3.6] and [V, 3.2.17, Step B]).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let O , K , e , and Y be as in Section 1. We start with a general Proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If Y is p -healthy regular then Y is also healthy regular.*

Proof. Let (Y, U) be an extensible pair with U containing Y_K , and let A_U be an abelian scheme over U . We need to show that A_U extends to an abelian scheme A over Y . Since Y is p -healthy regular, the p -divisible group D_U of A_U extends to a p -divisible group D over Y . From now on we forget that Y is p -healthy regular and we will use just the existence of D to show that A exists.

Let $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ be prime to p . To show that A exists, we can assume that Y is local, complete, and strictly henselian, that U is the complement of the maximal point y of Y , and that A_U has a principal polarization p_{A_U} and a level N structure $l_{U,N}$ (see [FaC, (i)-(iii) of pp. 185, 186]). We write $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$. Let p_{D_U} be the principal quasi-polarization of D_U defined naturally by p_{A_U} ; it extends to a principal quasi-polarization p_D of D (cf. Tate's theorem [T, Thm. 4]). Let g be the relative dimension of A_U . Let $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ be the moduli scheme over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}])$ parameterizing principally polarized abelian schemes over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}])$ -schemes, of relative dimension g and with level N structure (see [MFK, 7.9, 7.10]). Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$ be the universal principally polarized abelian scheme over $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$.

Let $f_U : U \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ be the morphism defined by $(A_U, p_{A_U}, l_{U,N})$. We show that f_U extends to a morphism $f_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$.

Let $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be prime to p . From the classical purity theorem we get that the étale cover $A_U[N_0] \rightarrow U$ extends to an étale cover $Y_{N_0} \rightarrow Y$. But as Y is strictly henselian, Y has no connected étale cover different from Y . So each Y_{N_0} is a disjoint union of N_0^{2g} -copies of Y . Hence A_U has a level N_0 structure l_{U,N_0} for any $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to p .

Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$ be a projective, toroidal compactification of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ such that (a) the complement of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$ has pure codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$ and (b) there is a semi-abelian scheme over $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$ extending \mathcal{A} (cf. [FaC, Chap. IV, Thm. 6.7]). Let \tilde{Y} be the normalization of the Zariski closure of U in $Y \times_O \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N_O}$. It is a projective, normal, integral Y -scheme having U as an open subscheme. Let C be the complement of U in \tilde{Y} endowed with the reduced structure; it is a reduced, projective scheme over the residue field k of y . The \mathbb{Z} -algebras of global functions of Y , U and \tilde{Y} are all equal to R (cf. [Ma, Thm. 38] for U). So C is a connected k -scheme (cf. [H, 11.3, p. 279]).

Let $\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}$ be the semi-abelian scheme over \tilde{Y} extending A_U . Owing to existence of the l_{U,N_0} 's, the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion (see [BLR, p. 183]) implies that $\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}$ is an abelian scheme in codimension at most 1. Therefore, since the complement of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$ has pure codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{g,1,N}$, it follows that $\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}$ is an abelian scheme. So f_U extends to a morphism $f_{\tilde{Y}} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$. Let $p_{\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}} := f_{\tilde{Y}}^*(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}})$. Tate's theorem implies that the principally quasi-polarized p -divisible group of $(\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}, p_{\overline{A}_{\tilde{Y}}})$ is the pull-back $(D_{\tilde{Y}}, p_{D_{\tilde{Y}}})$ of (D, p_D) to \tilde{Y} . Hence the pull-back (D_C, p_{D_C}) of $(D_{\tilde{Y}}, p_{D_{\tilde{Y}}})$ to C is constant; that is, it is the pull-back to C of a principally quasi-polarized p -divisible group over k .

We check that the image $f_{\tilde{Y}}(C)$ of C through $f_{\tilde{Y}}$ is a point $\{y_0\}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$. Since C is connected, to check this it suffices to show that, if \widehat{O}_c is the completion of the local ring O_c of C at an arbitrary point c , then the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{O}_c) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ defined naturally by $f_{\tilde{Y}}$ is constant. But as (D_C, p_{D_C}) is constant, this follows from Serre–Tate deformation theory (see [Me, Chaps. 4, 5]). So $f_{\tilde{Y}}(C)$ is a point $\{y_0\}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$.

Let R_0 be the local ring of $\mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ at y_0 . Because Y is local and \tilde{Y} is a projective Y -scheme, each point of \tilde{Y} specializes to a point of C . Hence each point of the image of $f_{\tilde{Y}}$ specializes to y_0 and so $f_{\tilde{Y}}$ factors through the natural morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$. Since R is the ring of global functions of \tilde{Y} , the resulting morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$ factors through a morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R_0)$. Therefore, $f_{\tilde{Y}}$ factors through a morphism $f_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N}$ extending f_U . This ends the argument for the existence of f_Y . We conclude that $A := f_Y^*(\mathcal{A})$ extends A_U , which completes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.2. In the proof of Proposition 4.1, the use of semi-abelian schemes can be replaced by de Jong’s good reduction criterion [dJ, 2.5] as follows. If we define \tilde{Y} to be the normalization of the Zariski closure of U in $Y \times_O \mathcal{A}_{g,1,N,O}$, then [dJ] implies that the morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ of O -schemes of finite type satisfies the valuative criterion of properness with respect to discrete valuation rings of equal characteristic p . Using (as in the proof of Proposition 4.1) the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion, one checks that the morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ of O -schemes satisfies the valuative criterion of properness with respect to discrete valuation rings whose fields of fractions have characteristic 0. Hence the morphism $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ of O -schemes is proper. The rest of the argument is entirely the same.

CONCLUSION 4.3. We assume that $e = 1$ and that Y is formally smooth over O . Based on Proposition 4.1, in order to prove Theorem 1.3 it suffices to show that Y is p -healthy regular. So let (Y, U) be an extensible pair with U containing Y_K . We need to show that any p -divisible group D_U over U extends to a p -divisible group D over Y . This is a local statement for the faithfully flat topology, so we can assume that Y is local, complete, and strictly henselian and that U is the complement of the maximal point y of Y (see [FaC, p. 183]). Write $Y = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the dimension of R/pR . We show the existence of D by induction on d .

If $d = 1$ then, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D_U[p^n] \rightarrow D_U[p^{n+m}] \rightarrow D_U[p^m] \rightarrow 0$ in the category $p - FF(U)$ extends uniquely to a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow D_{n+m} \rightarrow D_m \rightarrow 0$ in the category $p - FF(Y)$ (cf. Lemma 3.1). Hence there is a unique p -divisible group D over Y such that $D[p^n] = D_n$. Obviously D extends D_U . For $d \geq 2$, the passage from $d - 1$ to d is entirely as in [FaC, pp. 183, 184] applied to R and any regular parameter $x \in R$ such that R/xR is formally smooth over O . This ends the induction and so establishes the existence of D , concluding the proof of Theorem 1.3.

References

- [Ba] A. Badra, *Déformations des p -groupes finis commutatifs sur un corps parfait et filtration de Hodge*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 291 (1980), pp. 539–542.

- [B] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique $p > 0$* , Lecture Notes in Math., 407, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [BBM] P. Berthelot, L. Breen, and W. Messing, *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline II*, Lecture Notes in Math., 930, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [dJ] J. de Jong, *Homomorphisms of Barsotti–Tate groups and crystals in positive characteristic*, Invent. Math. 134 (1998), pp. 301–333.
- [dJO] J. de Jong and F. Oort, *On extending families of curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), pp. 545–562.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Crystalline cohomology and p -adic Galois representations*, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, 1998), pp. 25–80, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, 1989.
- [FaC] G. Faltings and C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [F] J.-M. Fontaine, *Groupes p -divisibles sur les corps locaux*, Astérisque 47/48 (1977).
- [FL] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations p -adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15 (1982), pp. 547–608.
- [G] A. Grothendieck, et al. *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental*, Lecture Notes in Math., 224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Grad. Texts in Math., 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [Ka] N. Katz, *Travaux de Dwork*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 24eme annee (1971/1972), Exp. no. 409, pp. 167–200, Lecture Notes in Math., 317, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [K] R. E. Kottwitz, *Points on some Shimura varieties over finite fields*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), pp. 373–444.
- [Ma] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, Benjamin, New York, 1980 (second edition).
- [Me] W. Messing, *The crystals associated to Barsotti–Tate groups, with applications to abelian schemes*, Lecture Notes in Math., 264, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [Mi] J. S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction*, The Zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, pp. 153–255, Univ. Montreal Pres, Montreal, Quebec, 1992.
- [Mo] B. Moonen, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristics*, Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996), pp. 267–350, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 254, pp. 267–350, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1998.
- [M-B] L. Moret-Bailly, *Un théorème de pureté pour les familles de courbes lisses*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 300 (1985), pp. 489–492.
- [MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, *Geometric invariant theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [N] A. Néron, *Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 21 (1964).

- [R] M. Raynaud, *Schémas en groupes de type (p, \dots, p)* , Bull. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), pp. 241–280.
- [T] J. Tate, *p -divisible groups*, Proceedings of a conference on local fields (Driesbergen, 1966), pp. 158–183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [V] A. Vasiu, *Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type*, Asian J. Math. 3 (1999), pp. 401–518.

Department of Mathematics
 University of Arizona
 617 N. Santa Rita, P.O. Box 210089
 Tucson, AZ-85721-0089
 adrian@math.arizona.edu