

Equivariant Bloch-Kato conjecture and non-abelian Iwasawa Main Conjecture

A. Huber* and G. Kings†

June 2002

Abstract

In this talk we explain the relation between the (equivariant) Bloch-Kato conjecture for special values of L -functions and the Main Conjecture of (non-abelian) Iwasawa theory. On the way we will discuss briefly the case of Dirichlet characters in the abelian case. We will also discuss how “twisting” in the non-abelian case would allow to reduce the general conjecture to the case of number fields. This is one of the main motivations for a non-abelian Main Conjecture.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G40, 11R23, 19B28

Keywords and Phrases: Iwasawa theory, L -function, motive

1. Introduction

The class number formula expresses the leading coefficient of a Dedekind- ζ -function of a number field F in terms of arithmetic invariants of F :

$$\zeta_F(0)^* = -\frac{hR_F}{w_F}$$

(h the class number, R_F the regulator, w_F the number of roots of unity in F). By work of Lichtenbaum, Bloch, Beilinson, and Kato among others, the class number formula has been generalized to other L -functions of varieties (or even motives) culminating in the Tamagawa number conjecture by Bloch and Kato.

Iwasawa, on the other hand, initiated the study of the growth of the class numbers in towers of number fields. His decisive idea was to consider the class group of the tower as a module under the completed group ring of the Galois group

*Math. Institut, Universität Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10/11, 04109 Leipzig, Germany. E-mail: huber@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de

†NWF I-Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg Germany. E-mail: guido.kings@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de

of the tower. From his work evolved the “Main Conjecture” describing this growth in terms of the p -adic L -function.

It is a surprising insight of Kato that an equivariant version of the Tamagawa number conjecture can be viewed as a version of the Main Conjecture of Iwasawa theory. Perrin-Riou, in her efforts to develop a theory of p -adic L -functions, arrived at a similar conclusion.

The purpose of this paper is to make the connection between the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture and the Iwasawa Main Conjecture precise. In the spirit of Kato, we formulate an Iwasawa Main Conjecture (3.2.1) for arbitrary motives and towers of number fields whose Galois group is a p -adic Lie group. This formulation does not involve p -adic L -functions. We show that it is implied by the equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture formulated by Burns and Flach. For ease of exposition, we restrict to the case of L -values at very negative integers, where the Bloch-Kato exponential does not play a role. The study of non-abelian Iwasawa theory was initiated by Coates. Recently, there have been systematic results by Coates, Howson, Ochi, Schneider, Sujatha and Venjakob.

Our interest in allowing general towers of number fields is motivated by the possibility of reducing the Tamagawa number conjecture to an equivariant class number formula (modulo hard conjectures, see 3.3.).

Important special cases of the Main Conjecture were considered by (alphabetical order) Coates, Greenberg, Iwasawa, Kato, Mazur, Perrin-Riou, Rubin, Schneider, Wiles and more recently by Ritter and Weiss.

It is a pleasure to thank C. Deninger, S. Howson, B. Perrin-Riou, A. Schmidt, P. Schneider for helpful comments and discussions.

2. Non-abelian equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture

2.1. Notation

Fix $p \neq 2$ and let M be a motive over \mathbb{Q} with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} , for example $M = h^r(X)$, X a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{Q} . It has Betti-realization M_B and p -adic realization M_p . Let M^\vee be the dual motive. In the p -adic realization it corresponds to the dual Galois module. We denote by $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, M(k))$ the “integral” motivic cohomology of the motive M in the sense of Beilinson [1].

For any finite Galois extension K/\mathbb{Q} with Galois group G , let $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ be the group ring of G . It is a non-commutative ring with center denoted $Z(\mathbb{Q}[G])$.

We consider the *deformation* $\mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes M := h^0(K) \otimes M$. If $M = h^r(X)$ and K/\mathbb{Q} is a number field, then $h^0(K) \otimes M = h^r(X \times K)$ considered as a motive over \mathbb{Q} .

We consider a finite set of primes S satisfying:

(*) $\mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes M$ and K have good reduction at all primes not dividing S , and $p \in S$.

2.2. Equivariant L -functions

We *assume* the usual conjectures about the L -functions of motives, like meromorphic continuation and functional equation etc., to be satisfied.

In order to define the *equivariant* L -function for G (without the Euler factors at the primes dividing S), consider a Galois extension E/\mathbb{Q} such that $E[G] \cong \bigoplus_{\rho} \text{End}_E(V(\rho))$, where $V(\rho)$ are absolutely irreducible representations of G . Then the center of $E[G]$ is $Z(E[G]) \cong \bigoplus_{\rho} E$ and the motives $V(\rho) \otimes M$ have coefficients in E . We define

$$L_S(G, M, k)^* := \left(L_S(V(\rho) \otimes M, k)^* \right)_{\rho} \in Z(E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}[G])^*$$

to be the element with ρ -component the leading coefficient at $s = k$ of the $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -valued L -functions $L_S(V(\rho) \otimes M, s)$ without the Euler factors at S . Then $L_S(G, M, k)^*$ has actually values in $Z(\mathbb{R}[G])^*$ (see [4] Lemma 7) and is independent of the choice of E . We will always consider $L_S(G, M, k)^*$ as an element in $Z(\mathbb{R}[G]) \subset \mathbb{R}[G]$.

Remark: In [22] Kato uses a different description of this equivariant L -function.

2.3. Non-commutative determinants

We follow the point of view of Burns and Flach. Let A be a (possibly non-commutative) ring and $V(A)$ the category of virtual objects in the sense of Deligne [12]. $V(A)$ is a monoidal tensor category and has a unit object $\mathbf{1}_A$. Moreover it is a groupoid, i.e., all morphisms are isomorphisms. There is a functor

$$\det_A : \{\text{perfect complexes of } A\text{-modules and isomorphisms}\} \rightarrow V(A)$$

which is multiplicative on short exact sequences. The group of isomorphism classes of objects of $V(A)$ is $K_0(A)$ and

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbf{1}_A) = K_1(A) = \text{Gl}_{\infty}(A)/E(A)$$

($E(A)$ the elementary matrices). In general $\text{Hom}_{V(A)}(\det_A X, \det_A Y)$ is either empty or a $K_1(A)$ -torsor. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism, we get a functor $B \otimes : V(A) \rightarrow V(B)$ such that tensor product and \det_A commute.

Convention: By abuse of notation we are going to write $z \in \det_A X$ for $z : \mathbf{1}_A \rightarrow \det_A X$ and call this a *generator* of $\det_A X$.

If A is commutative and local, then the category of virtual objects is equivalent to the category of pairs (L, r) where L is an invertible A -module and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. One recovers the theory of determinants of Knudson and Mumford. The unit object is $\mathbf{1}_A = (A, 0)$ and one has $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{1}_A) = K_1(A) = A^*$. Thus $K_1(A)$ is used as generalization of A^* to the non-commutative case. Generators of $\det_A X = (L, 0)$ in the above sense correspond to A -generators of L .

2.4. Formulation of the conjecture

The original conjecture dates back to Beilinson [1] and Bloch-Kato [3]. The idea of an equivariant formulation is due to Kato [23] and [22]. Fontaine and Perrin-Riou

gave a uniform formulation for mixed motives and all values of L -functions at all integer values [14], [15]. The generalization to non-abelian coefficients is due to Burns and Flach [4].

For simplicity of exposition, we restrict to values at very negative integers. In the absolute case this coincides with the formulation given by Kato in [23]. We consider a motive M and values at $1 - k$ where k is *big enough*. In the case $M = h^r(X)$, k big enough means that

- $k > \inf\{r, \dim(X)\}$, $(r, k) \neq (1, 0)$; $(2 \dim(X), \dim(X) + 1)$ and $2k \neq r + 1$.
- for all $\ell \in S$ the local Euler factor $L_\ell(M_p^\vee, s)^{-1}$ at ℓ does not vanish at $1 - k$.

Consider the (injective) reduced norm map $rn : K_1(\mathbb{R}[G]) \rightarrow Z(\mathbb{R}[G])^*$ and recall that $L_S(G, M^\vee, 1 - k)^* \in Z(\mathbb{R}[G])^*$. By strong approximation (see [4] Lemma 8) there is $\lambda \in Z(\mathbb{Q}[G])^*$ such that $\lambda L_S(G, M^\vee(1 - k))^*$ is in the image of $K_1(\mathbb{R}[G])$ under rn . Let

$$\lambda L_S(G, M^\vee(1 - k))^* \in \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}[G_n]}$$

be the corresponding generator. For k big enough, we define the *fundamental line* in $V(\mathbb{Q}[G])$ as

$$\Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k)) = \det_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}^{-1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes M(k)) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}[G]}(\mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes M_B(k - 1))^+.$$

Here $+$ denotes the fixed part under complex conjugation.

Conjecture 2.4.1 *Let M be as in 2.1., $p \neq 2$ a prime and k big enough.*

1. *The Beilinson regulator $r_{\mathcal{D}}$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k)) \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}[G]}$$

2. *Under this isomorphism the generator $(\lambda L_S(G, M^\vee(1 - k))^*)^{-1}$ is induced by a (unique) generator*

$$(\lambda^{-1} \delta(G, M, k)) \in \Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k))$$

The reduced norm is an isomorphism $K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p[G]) \cong Z(\mathbb{Q}_p[G])^$. Using the operation of $K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p[G])$ on generators in $\Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, we put*

$$\delta_p(G, M, k) := (\lambda^{-1} \delta(G, M, k)) \lambda \in \Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Note that this generator is independent of the choice of λ .

3. *The p -adic regulator r_p induces an isomorphism*

$$\Delta_f(G, M^\vee(1 - k)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G]}^{-1} H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathbb{Q}_p[G] \otimes M_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G]}(\mathbb{Q}_p[G] \otimes M_B(k - 1))^+$$

4. *Let $T_B \subset M_B$ be a lattice such that $T_p = T_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \subset M_p$ is Galois stable. Under the last isomorphism $\delta_p(G, M, k)$ is induced by a generator*

$$\tilde{\delta}_p(G, M, k) \in \det_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \otimes T_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \otimes T_B(k - 1))^+$$

Remark: a) The conjecture is compatible with change of group G . If $G \rightarrow G'$ is a surjection, then the equivariant conjecture for G tensored with $\mathbb{Q}[G']$ over $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ gives the conjecture for G' .
 b) The element $\tilde{\delta}_p(G, M, k)$ is determined up to an element in the kernel of the map $K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p[G])$. In the commutative case, this map is always injective.
 c) The conjecture is independent of T . It is also independent of S . This computation shows that the definition of the equivariant L -function forces the use of the reduced norm in the formulation of the conjecture.

3. Non-abelian Main Conjecture

3.1. Iwasawa algebra and modules

Let K_n be a tower of finite Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} with Galois groups G_n such that $G_\infty := \varprojlim G_n$ is a p -adic Lie group of dimension at least 1. Moreover, we assume that only finitely many primes ramify in $K_\infty := \bigcup_n K_n$.

The classical example is the cyclotomic tower $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$ with ζ_{p^n} a p^n -th root of unity. A non-abelian example is the tower $K_n := \mathbb{Q}(E[p^n])$, where $E[p^n]$ are the p^n -torsion points of an elliptic curve E without CM defined over \mathbb{Q} .

The *Iwasawa algebra*

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}_p[[G_\infty]] = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$$

is the ring of \mathbb{Z}_p -valued distributions on G_∞ . It is a possibly non-commutative Noetherian semi-local ring. If G_∞ is in addition a pro- p -group without p -torsion it is even a regular and local ring.

For the cyclotomic tower, $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[G_1][[t]]$ is the classical Iwasawa algebra. For the tower of p^n -torsion points of E , the Iwasawa algebra was studied by Coates and Howson [8], [9]. Modules over such algebras are studied recently by Venjakob [36] and by Coates-Schneider-Sujatha [10].

We are concerned with the complex of Λ -modules $R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p(k))$ and $(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T_B(k-1))^+$. They are perfect complexes. Note that

$$R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p(k)) = \varprojlim R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K_n}[1/S], T_p(k))$$

where \mathcal{O}_{K_n} is the ring of integers of K_n .

3.2. Formulation of the non-abelian Main Conjecture

The Main Conjecture can be viewed as a Bloch-Kato conjecture for the deformed “motive” $\Lambda \otimes M$ with coefficients in Λ .

Recall from 2.4.1 that the generators $\delta_p(G_n, M, k)$ are compatible under the transition maps $\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[G_{n-1}]$. They define

$$\delta_p(G_\infty, M, k) = \varprojlim \delta_p(G_n, M, k) \in \varprojlim \left[\det_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \otimes M_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]} (\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \otimes M_B(k-1))^+ \right],$$

more precisely an element of $\varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_{V(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]}, \cdot)$.

The map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \otimes_{\Lambda} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k)) \rightarrow R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M_p(k)) .$$

Conjecture 3.2..1 (Non-abelian Main Conjecture) *Let M and S be as in 2.1., G_{∞} as in 3.1., $p \neq 2$, $T_B \subset M_B$ a lattice such that $T_p := T_B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is Galois stable and k big enough (cf. section 2.4.). Then $\delta_p(G_{\infty}, M, k)$ is induced by a generator*

$$\tilde{\delta}_p(G_{\infty}, M, k) \in [\det_{\Lambda} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \otimes T_B(k-1))^+] .$$

The conjecture translates into the Iwasawa Main Conjecture in the case of Dirichlet characters or CM-elliptic curves. See section 5. for more details.

Remark: a) The conjecture is independent of the choice of lattice T_B . The correction factor $(\Lambda \otimes T_B(k-1))^+$ compensates different choices of lattice.

b) Perrin-Riou [31] has defined a p -adic L -function and stated a Main Conjecture for motives in the abelian case. She starts at the other side of the functional equation, where the exponential map of Bloch-Kato comes into play. Her main tool is the ‘‘logarithme élargi’’, which maps Galois cohomology over K_{∞} to a module of p -adic analytic nature. It would be interesting to compare her approach with the above.

c) A Main Conjecture for motives and the cyclotomic tower was formulated by Greenberg [16], [17]. Ritter and Weiss consider the case of the cyclotomic tower over a finite non-abelian extension [32].

Proposition 3.2..2 (see section 6.) *The equivariant Bloch-Kato conjecture for M , k and all G_n is equivalent to the Main Conjecture for M , k and G_{∞} .*

3.3. Twisting

Assume that T_p becomes trivial over K_{∞} , for example let G_{∞} be the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(T_p)$. Let T_p^{triv} be the \mathbb{Z}_p -module underlying T_p with trivial operation of the Galois group. The map $g \otimes t \mapsto g \otimes g^{-1}t$ induces an equivariant isomorphism $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p^{\mathrm{triv}}$. Hence there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{\Lambda} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \otimes T_B(k-1))^+ &\cong \\ \det_{\Lambda} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p^{\mathrm{triv}}(k)) \otimes \det_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \otimes T_B^{\mathrm{triv}}(k-1))^+ & \end{aligned}$$

Note that T_B^{triv} can be viewed as a lattice in the Betti-realization of the trivial motive $h^0(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes M^{\mathrm{triv}} = \mathbb{Q}(0) \otimes M^{\mathrm{triv}}$ where M^{triv} is M_B considered as \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

Corollary 3.3..1 *If the Main Conjecture is true for M and $\mathbb{Q}(0) \otimes M^{\mathrm{triv}}$ and k , then*

$$\tilde{\delta}_p(G_{\infty}, M, k) = \tilde{\delta}_p(G_{\infty}, M^{\mathrm{triv}}, k)$$

up to an element in $K_1(\Lambda)$ under the above isomorphism.

Remark: Even if T_p is not trivial over K_∞ , the same method allows to twist with a motive whose p -adic realization is trivial over K_∞ . A particular interesting case is the motive $\mathbb{Q}(1)$ if K_∞ contains the cyclotomic tower. It allows to pass from values of the L -function at k to values at $k + 1$.

Strategy: This observation allows the following strategy for proving the Main Conjecture and the Bloch-Kato conjecture for all motives:

- first prove the equivariant Bloch-Kato conjecture for the motive $h^0(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}(0)$, one fixed k and all finite groups G_n . For $k = 1$ this is an equivariant class number formula.
- by proposition 3.2.2 this implies the Main Conjecture for the motives $\mathbb{Q}(k) \otimes M^{\text{triv}}$ and all p -adic Lie groups G_∞ .
- for any motive M there is a K_∞ such that T_p becomes trivial. Using corollary 3.3.1 it remains to show that $\tilde{\delta}_p(G_\infty, M^{\text{triv}}, k)$ induces $\delta_p(G_n, M, k)$ for all n . This is a compatibility conjecture for elements in motivic cohomology and allows to reduce to the case of number fields.
- the equivariant Bloch-Kato conjecture follows by 3.2..2.

4. Relation to classical Iwasawa theory in the critical case

4.1. Characteristic ideals

We restrict to the case G_∞ a pro- p -group without p -torsion. In this case the Iwasawa algebra is local and Auslander regular ([36]). Its total ring of quotients is a skew field D . Then $K_0(\Lambda) \cong K_0(D) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $K_1(\Lambda) = (\Lambda^*)^{\text{ab}}$, and $K_1(D) = (D^*)^{\text{ab}}$ where \cdot^{ab} denotes the abelianization of the multiplicative group.

Let \mathcal{T} be the category of finitely generated Λ -torsion modules. The localization sequence for K -groups implies an exact sequence

$$(\Lambda^*)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow (D^*)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow 0$$

If X is a Λ -torsion module, then we call its class in $K_0(\mathcal{T})$ the *characteristic ideal*. By the above sequence it is an element of D^* up to $[D^*, D^*] \text{Im } \Lambda^*$. If G_∞ is abelian, $K_0(\mathcal{T})$ is nothing but the group of fractional ideals that appears in classical Iwasawa theory.

The characteristic ideal can also be computed from the theory of determinants. The class of X in $K_0(\Lambda)$ is necessarily 0, hence there exists a generator $x \in \det_\Lambda(X)$. Its image in $D \otimes \det_\Lambda(X) = \det_D(0) = \mathbf{1}_D$ is an element of $K_1(D)$. This construction yields a well-defined element of $K_1(D)/\text{Im } K_1(\Lambda) \cong K_0(\mathcal{T})$, in fact the inverse of the characteristic ideal of X .

Note that a complex is perfect if and only if it is a bounded complex with finitely generated cohomology. Such complexes also have characteristic ideals if their cohomology is Λ -torsion.

Remark: Coates, Schneider and Sujatha study the category of Λ -torsion modules in [10]. In particular, they also define a notion of characteristic ideal as object of $K_0(\mathcal{T}^b/\mathcal{T}^1)$ where $\mathcal{T}^b/\mathcal{T}^1$ denotes the quotient category of bounded finitely generated Λ -torsion modules by the sub-category of pseudo-null modules. They construct a map

$$K_0(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}^1) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}^b/\mathcal{T}^1)$$

which maps the class of a module to the characteristic ideal in their sense. If G_∞ is abelian, then the two maps are isomorphisms and all notions of characteristic ideals agree. In the general case, we do not know whether the map is injective. However, it seems to us that the problem is not so much in passing to the quotient category modulo pseudo-null modules but rather in projecting to the bounded part.

4.2. Zeta distributions

Let M , k , S and G_∞ as before. Assume

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}[G_n] \otimes M(k)) = 0 \text{ for all } G_n.$$

For k big enough, this implies that $M_B(k-1)^+ = 0$ and K_n totally real. The motives $\mathbb{Q}[G_n] \otimes M(k)$ are *critical* in the sense of Deligne. Note that the only motives expected to be critical and to satisfy our condition k big enough (see 2.4.) are Artin motives (with $k > 1$).

In this case, the Beilinson conjecture asserts that $L_S(G_n, M^\vee, 1-k) \in Z(\mathbb{Q}[G_n])^*$ (no leading coefficients has to be taken). We call

$$\mathcal{L}_S(G_\infty, M^\vee, 1-k) = \varprojlim L_S(G_n, M^\vee, 1-k) \in \varprojlim Z(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])^*$$

the *zeta distribution*.

Let $f, g \in \Lambda$ such that the images $f_n, g_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$ are units in $\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]$. Via the reduced norm, they define a distribution

$$(\text{rn}(f_n g_n^{-1}))_n \in \varprojlim Z(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])^*.$$

Remark: It is not clear to us if the class of $f/g \in K_1(D) = (D^*)^{\text{ab}}$ is uniquely determined by the sequence $f_n g_n^{-1}$. In the abelian case this is true and f/g is a generalization of Serre's pseudo measure (cf. [35]).

In this case the complexes $R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K_n}[1/S], T_p(k))$ are torsion. Hence the complex $R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k)) = \varprojlim_n R\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{K_n}[1/S], T_p(k))$ is bounded and its cohomology is Λ -torsion (see [18]). The main conjecture 3.2..1 takes the following form:

Conjecture 4.2..1 *Let M be an Artin motive, $k > 1$, S , G_∞ as before (in particular G_∞ pro- p and without p -torsion) and $\mathbb{Q}[G_n] \otimes M(k)$ critical for all n . There exist $f, g \in \Lambda$ such that the induced distribution $(\text{rn}(f_n g_n^{-1}))_n \in \varprojlim Z(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])^*$ is the zeta distribution $\mathcal{L}_S(G_\infty, M^\vee, 1-k)$ and the characteristic ideal*

$$[R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k))[1] \in K_0(\mathcal{T})$$

coincides with the image of $fg^{-1} \in (D^)^{\text{ab}}$.*

- Remark:** a) The conjecture is isogeny invariant, i.e., independent of the choice of lattice T_p . The correction term $(\Lambda \otimes T_B(k))^+$ vanishes.
- b) In the abelian case this means that the zeta distribution is a pseudo measure and generates the characteristic ideal.
- c) In the case of the cyclotomic tower, a similar conjecture is formulated by Greenberg, [16], [17].
- d) If G_∞ is abelian, the above conjecture is easily seen to be implied by conjecture 3.2..1. The argument also works in the non-abelian case if the set of all elements of Λ which, for all n , are units in $\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n]$ is an Ore set.

5. Examples

5.1. Dirichlet characters

Let χ be a Dirichlet character, $V(\chi)$ its associated motive with coefficients in E . Let $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \bigcup_n \mathbb{Q}_n$ be the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of \mathbb{Q} and $G_\infty = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) = \varprojlim_n G_n$. In this case the equivariant L -function is $L_S(G_n, V(\chi), s) = (L_S(\rho\chi, s))_\rho$, where ρ runs through all characters of G_n and $L_S(\rho\chi, s)$ is the Dirichlet L -function associated to $\rho\chi$. Let k be big enough, i.e., $k > 1$.

Critical case: $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$.

Here $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, E[G_n] \otimes V(\chi)(k)) = 0$ for all n . As in section 4.2., the equivariant L -values give rise to the zeta distribution $\mathcal{L}_S(G_\infty, V(\chi)^\vee, 1-k) \in \varprojlim_n E[G_n]$. It is a classical calculation (Stickelberger elements) that this is in fact a pseudo measure, which gives rise to the Kubota-Leopoldt p -adic L -function. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset E$ be the ring of integers, $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_p[[G_\infty]]$ the Iwasawa algebra and $T_p(\chi) \subset V_p(\chi)$ a Galois stable lattice. The Iwasawa Main Conjecture 4.2..1 amounts to the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1..1 *The zeta distribution $\mathcal{L}_S(G_\infty, V(\chi)^\vee, 1-k)$ generates*

$$\det_\Lambda^{-1} H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\chi)(k)) \otimes \det_\Lambda H^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\chi)(k)).$$

Remark: This is a reformulation of the main theorem of Mazur and Wiles in [29]. There is an extension to the case of totally real fields by Wiles [37] and an equivariant version by Burns and Greither [6].

Non-critical case: $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{k-1}$.

Here $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, E[G_n] \otimes V(\chi)(k))$ has $E[G_n]$ -rank 1. It is a theorem of Borel (resp. Soulé) that $r_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $r_p \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$) is an isomorphism. By a theorem of Beilinson-Deligne (see [21] or [19]), the image of $\delta_p(G_n, V(\chi), k)$ under r_p is given by

$$c_k(G_n, t_p(\chi))^{-1} \otimes t_p(\chi)(k-1),$$

where

$$c_k(G_n, t_p(\chi)) \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathcal{O}_p[G_n] \otimes T_p(\chi)(k))$$

is a twist of a cyclotomic unit and $t_p(\chi)(k-1)$ is a generator of $T_p(\chi)(k-1)$. Let $c_k(G_\infty, t_p(\chi)) := \varprojlim_n c_k(G_n, t_p(\chi))$.

Theorem 5.1..2 *There is a canonical isomorphism of Λ -determinants*

$$\det_{\Lambda} (H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\chi)(k))/c_k(G_{\infty}, t_p(\chi))) \cong \det_{\Lambda} H^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\chi)(k)).$$

Remark: For $p \nmid \text{ord}(\chi)$ this is a consequence of theorem 5.1..1 and was shown directly by Rubin [33] with Euler system methods. The restriction at the order of χ is removed in Burns-Greither [5] and Huber-Kings [20] by different methods.

The Tamagawa number conjecture for $V(\chi)(r)$ (and hence for $h^0(F)(r)$ with F an abelian number field) can be deduced from theorems 5.1..1 and 5.1..2, see Burns-Greither [5] or Huber-Kings [20]. Previous partial results were proved in Mazur-Wiles [29], Wiles [37], Kato [22], [23], Kolster-Nguyen Quang Do-Fleckinger [26] and Benois-Nguyen Quang Do[2].

We would like to stress that the strategy 3.3. is used in Huber-Kings [20] to prove theorems 5.1..1, 5.1..2 and the Tamagawa number conjecture from the class number formula.

5.2. Elliptic curves

Let E be an elliptic curve over an imaginary quadratic field K with CM by \mathcal{O}_K . The motive $h^1(E)$ considered with coefficients in K decomposes into $V(\psi) \oplus V(\bar{\psi})$, where ψ is the Größencharacter associated to E . The L -function of $V(\psi)$ is the Hecke L -function of ψ , which has a zero of order 1 at $2 - k$, where $k \geq 2$. Let $S = Np$, where N is the conductor of ψ and let $K_n := K(E[p^n])$.

It is not known if $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(\mathcal{O}_K, K[G_n] \otimes V(\psi)(k))$ has $K[G_n]$ -rank 1 but Deninger [13] shows that $r_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is surjective and that the Beilinson conjecture holds. It is a result of Kings [25] that the image in étale cohomology of the zeta element $\delta_p(G_n, V(\psi), 2 - k)$ given by Beilinson's Eisenstein symbol is given by

$$e_k(G_n, t_p(\psi))^{-1} \otimes t_p(\psi),$$

where $e_k(G_n, t_p(\psi)) \in H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \mathcal{O}_p[G_n] \otimes T_p(\psi)(k))$ is the twist of an elliptic unit. Let $\Lambda := \mathcal{O}_p[[G_{\infty}]]$ and $e_k(G_{\infty}, t_p(\psi)) = \varprojlim_n e_k(G_n, t_p(\psi))$.

Theorem 5.2..1 *There is a canonical isomorphism of determinants*

$$\det_{\Lambda} (H^1(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\psi)(k))/e_k(G_{\infty}, t_p(\psi))) \cong \det_{\Lambda} H^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], \Lambda \otimes T_p(\psi)(k)).$$

Remark: 1) This is a reformulation of Rubin's Iwasawa Main Conjecture [34].
2) In [25] the (absolute) Bloch-Kato conjecture for $V(\psi)$ is deduced from this under the condition that $H^2(\mathbb{Z}[1/S], T_p(\psi)(k))$ is finite (fulfilled for almost all k for fixed p).

Kato [24] has investigated the case of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and the cyclotomic tower. His approach to the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture uses the idea

of twisting cup-products of Eisenstein symbols to the value of the L -function at 1. As a consequence he can prove one inclusion of the Iwasawa main conjecture in this case. The result supports our general philosophy of twisting to the case of number fields.

6. Proof of proposition 3.2..2

We want to give the proof of proposition 3.2..2. The implication from the Main Conjecture to the equivariant Bloch-Kato conjecture is trivial. Conversely, we have to show the following abstract statement:

Lemma 6.0..2 *Let $\nabla \in V(\Lambda)$ and $\tilde{\delta}(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n] \otimes \nabla$ generators such that their images $\delta(n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[G_n] \otimes \nabla$ are compatible under transition maps. Then there is a generator $\tilde{\delta}'(\infty) \in \nabla$ inducing all $\delta(n)$.*

The proposition follows with $\tilde{\delta}(n) = \tilde{\delta}_p(G_n, M, k)$ and

$$\nabla = \det_{\Lambda} R\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}[1/pS], \Lambda \otimes T_p(k)) \otimes \det_{\Lambda}(\Lambda \otimes T_B(k-1))^+$$

We now prove the lemma. We first reduce to a statement about elements of K_1 . By assumption, $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n] \otimes \nabla$ has a generator, in particular, its isomorphism class is zero in $K_0(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$. As $K_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow \varprojlim K_0(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$ is an isomorphism, this implies that the class of ∇ is zero in $K_0(\tilde{\Lambda})$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\nabla = \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda}$. Recall that by our convention, a generator of $\mathbf{1}_A$ is nothing but an element of the abelian group $K_1(A)$ for all rings A .

Let $B_n = \text{Im } K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}_p[G_n])$. By assumption $\delta(n) \in B_n$. There is a system of short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow SK_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]) \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow 0$$

By [11] 45.22 the groups $SK_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$ are finite. The system of these groups is automatically Mittag-Leffler. Hence we get a surjective map

$$\varprojlim K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]) \rightarrow \varprojlim B_n$$

The system $(\delta(n))_n$ has a preimage $(\tilde{\delta}'(n))_n \in \varprojlim K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$.

All $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$ are semi-local, hence by [11] 40.44

$$K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]) \cong \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])/E_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$$

where E_2 is the subgroup of elementary matrices. We represent $\tilde{\delta}'_p(n)$ by an element of $\text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$. By assumption the image of $\tilde{\delta}'(n)$ in $K_1(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{n-1}])$ differs from $\tilde{\delta}'(n-1)$ by some elementary matrix in $E_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{n-1}])$. Elementary matrices can be lifted to elementary matrices in $\text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$. Hence we can assume that the elements $\tilde{\delta}'(n) \in \text{Gl}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n])$ form a projective system. The system defines an element

$$\tilde{\delta}'_p(n) \in \text{Gl}_2(\Lambda)$$

whose class in $K_1(\Lambda)$ has the necessary properties.

References

- [1] A. Beilinson, Higher regulators and values of L-functions, *Jour. Soviet. Math.*, 30 (1985), 2036–2070.
- [2] D. Benois, Thong Nguyen Quang Do, La conjecture de Bloch et Kato pour les motifs $\mathbb{Q}(m)$ sur un corps abélien, Preprint 2000.
- [3] S. Bloch, K. Kato, L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives, *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Vol. I, 333–400, Progr. Math., 86, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [4] D. Burns, M. Flach, Tamagawa numbers for motives with (non-commutative) coefficients, *Doc. Math.*, 6 (2001), 501–570 (electronic).
- [5] D. Burns, C. Greither, On the equivariant Tamagawa conjecture for Tate motives, Preprint 2001.
- [6] D. Burns, C. Greither, Equivariant Weierstrass preparation and values of L-functions at negative integers, preprint 2002.
- [7] J. Coates, Fragments of the GL_2 Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves without complex multiplication. *Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves (Cetraro, 1997)*, 1–50, Lecture Notes in Math., 1716.
- [8] J. Coates, S. Howson, Euler characteristics and elliptic curves, *Elliptic curves and modular forms (Washington, DC, 1996)*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 94 (1997), no. 21, 11115–11117.
- [9] J. Coates, S. Howson, Euler characteristics and elliptic curves. II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 53 (2001), no. 1, 175–235.
- [10] J. Coates, P. Schneider, R. Sujatha, Modules over Iwasawa algebras, Preprint 2001.
- [11] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory*, Vol. I. and Vol. II, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981 and 1987.
- [12] P. Deligne, Le déterminant de la cohomologie, *Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, 93–177, Contemp. Math., 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [13] C. Deninger, Higher regulators and Hecke L-series of imaginary quadratic fields I, *Invent. Math.*, 96 (1989), no. 1, 1–69.
- [14] J.-M. Fontaine, Valeurs spéciales des fonctions L des motifs, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1991/92. Astérisque No. 206, (1992), Exp. No. 751, 4, 205–249.
- [15] J.-M. Fontaine, B. Perrin-Riou, Autour des conjectures de Bloch et Kato: cohomologie galoisienne et valeurs de fonctions L, *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, 599–706, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [16] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory for motives, *L-functions and arithmetic, Proceedings of the Durham Symposium 1989*, LMS Lecture Notes Series Vol. 153, Cambridge University press, 211–234.
- [17] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa Theory and p -adic Deformation of Motives, *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, 193–223, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 55, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [18] M. Harris, p -adic representations arising from descent on Abelian Varieties, Harvard PhD Thesis 1977, also *Comp. Math.*, 39 (1979), 177–245.

- [19] A. Huber, G. Kings, Degeneration of l -adic Eisenstein classes and of the elliptic polylog, *Invent. math.*, 135 (1999), 545–594.
- [20] A. Huber, G. Kings, Bloch-Kato Conjecture and Main Conjecture of Iwasawa Theory for Dirichlet Characters, Preprint 2001, revised 2002. To appear: *Duke Math. Journal*.
- [21] A. Huber, J. Wildeshaus, Classical motivic polylogarithm according to Beilinson and Deligne, *Doc. Math. J. DMV*, 3 (1998), 27–133 and 297–299.
- [22] K. Kato, Iwasawa theory and p -adic Hodge theory, *Kodai Math. J.*, 16 (1993), no. 1, 1–31.
- [23] K. Kato, Lectures on the approach to Iwasawa theory for Hasse-Weil L -functions via B_{dR} . I, *Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Trento, 1991)*, 50–163, Lecture Notes in Math., 1553, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [24] K. Kato, p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, preprint 2000.
- [25] G. Kings, The Tamagawa number conjecture for CM elliptic curves, *Invent. Math.*, 143 (2001), no. 3, 571–627.
- [26] M. Kolster, Th. Nguyen Quang Do, V. Fleckinger, Twisted S -units, p -adic class number formulas, and the Lichtenbaum conjectures, *Duke Math. J.*, 84 (1996), no. 3, and Correction, *Duke Math. J.*, 90 (1997), no. 3, 641–643.
- [27] M. Kolster, Th. Nguyen Quang Do, Universal distribution lattices for abelian number fields, Preprint 2000.
- [28] T.Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 131.
- [29] B. Mazur, A. Wiles, Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbb{Q} , *Invent. Math.*, 76 (1984), no. 2, 179–330.
- [30] Y. Ochi, O. Venjakob, On the structure of Selmer groups of p -adic Lie extensions, to appear: *Journal of Alg. Geom.*
- [31] B. Perrin-Riou, *p -adic L -functions and p -adic representations*, SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs, 3., Paris, 2000.
- [32] J. Ritter, A. Weiss, Toward equivariant Iwasawa theory, to appear: *Manuscripta Mathematica*.
- [33] K. Rubin, *Euler Systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 147, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
- [34] K. Rubin, The “main conjectures” of Iwasawa theory for imaginary quadratic fields, *Invent. Math.*, 103 (1991), no. 1, 25–68.
- [35] J-P. Serre: Sur le résidu de la fonction zêta p -adique d’un corps de nombres, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A*, 287 (1978), A183–A188.
- [36] O. Venjakob, On the structure theory of the Iwasawa algebra of a p -adic Lie group, to appear: *Jour. Eur. Math. Soc.*
- [37] A. Wiles, The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields, *Annals of Math.*, 131 (1990), 493–540.