

QUADRATIC FUNCTIONS AND 3-MANIFOLDS WITH COMPLEX SPIN STRUCTURES

FLORIAN DELOUP AND GWÉNAËL MASSUYEAU

ABSTRACT. We investigate the role played by quadratic functions in the topology of closed oriented 3-manifolds endowed with Spin^c -structures. In particular, we show how they naturally emerge from a Spin^c -refinement of the Goussarov-Habiro theory.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Quadratic functions	4
2.1. Basic notions about quadratic functions	5
2.2. Presentations of quadratic functions	5
2.3. The stable classification theorem	10
2.4. A complete system of invariants	13
2.5. Proof of the Fundamental Lemma	15
3. 3-manifolds with Spin^c -structures	19
3.1. Complex spin structures	19
3.2. Spin^c -structures in dimension 3	22
3.3. Gluing of Spin^c -structures	28
3.4. Combinatorial descriptions associated to surgery presentations in \mathbf{S}^3	30
4. Quadratic functions associated to 3-manifold with Spin^c -structures	33
4.1. A 4-dimensional definition	33
4.2. Properties of ϕ_M	37
4.3. The cokernel of ϕ_M	39
4.4. An intrinsic definition for $\phi_{M,\sigma}$	39
4.5. The maximal Abelian Reidemeister-Turaev torsion mod 1	43
5. Goussarov-Habiro theory for 3-manifolds with complex spin structures	47
5.1. A brief review of the Y -equivalence relation	47
5.2. The Y^c -equivalence relation	48
5.3. Proof of Theorem 3	55
References	57

1. INTRODUCTION

Ever since the beginning of algebraic topology, quadratic functions have played a prominent role. This paper is an attempt to study systematically the role of quadratic functions in 3-dimensional topology, at least in the case of closed oriented 3-manifolds.

Received by the editors July 17, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57M27; Secondary 57R15.

Key words and phrases. 3-manifolds, quadratic functions, Spin^c -structures, finite type invariants.

The first part (§2) of the paper is entirely algebraic and is devoted to the study of quadratic functions on torsion modules. Our main new result is about quadratic functions on torsion Abelian groups. A quadratic function on a finite Abelian group G is a function $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that the map $b_q : (x, y) \mapsto q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$ is bilinear. If b_q is a nondegenerate bilinear pairing, we say that q is a nondegenerate quadratic function. Because of 2-torsion in G , a quadratic function q cannot be recovered from its associated bilinear pairing b_q in general. Therefore, on finite Abelian groups, the theory of quadratic functions is finer than the theory of symmetric bilinear pairings. Only the latter are classified ([W1] over odd p -groups, and [KK] over 2-groups). Note that our definition includes quadratic functions that are not homogeneous, that is, that do not satisfy $q(x) = q(-x)$. It is therefore natural, for a quadratic function q , to consider the homogeneity defect $d_q \in \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, defined by $d_q(x) = q(x) - q(-x)$. There is the usual notion of isomorphism between quadratic functions. Two quadratic functions q, q' on finite Abelian groups G and G' respectively, are isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $q = q' \circ \psi$. An invariant of the isomorphism class $[q]$ of q is provided by its Gauss sum, defined by $\gamma(q) = |G|^{-1/2} \sum_{x \in G} e^{2\pi i q(x)} \in \mathbb{C}$. Our main result is a complete system of invariants for $[q]$.

Theorem 1. *Let $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $q' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be two nondegenerate quadratic functions on finite Abelian groups. Then $[q] = [q']$ if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $b_q = b_{q'} \circ \psi^{\otimes 2}$, $d_q = d_{q'} \circ \psi$ and $\gamma(q) = \gamma(q')$.*

We also extend this result to some kind of possibly degenerate quadratic functions on torsion Abelian groups (Corollary 2.26).

Turning to topology in §3, we then consider closed oriented 3-manifolds and some additional structures with which they can be endowed, called complex spin structures or Spin^c -structures. They are related to spin structures in the sense that if M is a closed oriented 3-manifold, there is then a canonical map $\text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(M)$, from the space of its Spin-structures to the space of its Spin^c -structures. Complex spin structures are also worth to be taken into account in 3-dimensional topology, at least because they are in natural correspondance with so-called Euler structures, which have been introduced by Turaev in order to refine Reidemeister torsions.

We give here a general homotopy-theoretical treatment of Spin^c -structures (following that of Spin-structures given in [BM]). Having in mind the importance of “cut and paste” techniques in 3-dimensional topology, we deal with the technical problem of gluing Spin^c -structures. For that aim, we define for any compact oriented 3-manifold with boundary and any Spin-structure σ on ∂M , a space denoted by $\text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma)$, of complex spin structures on M relative to σ (see Theorem 3.10). We also describe how Spin^c -manifolds can be presented by surgery on \mathbf{S}^3 and we give the corresponding Spin^c -refinement of Kirby theorem.

We then relate in §4 algebra to topology, quadratic functions to closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3. By means of that Spin^c -refinement of Kirby theorem, we associate to an arbitrary closed Spin^c -manifold (M, σ) of dimension 3 a quadratic function

$$\phi_{M, \sigma} : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

whose associated bilinear pairing, denoted by $L_M : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, is obtained from the torsion linking pairing $\lambda_M : \text{TH}_1(M) \times \text{TH}_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, by composing it with $B^{\otimes 2}$, where $B : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{TH}_1(M)$ is the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the short exact sequence of coefficients $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$. Note that L_M (and therefore $\phi_{M,\sigma}$) is nondegenerate if and only if M is a rational homology 3-sphere.

When σ comes from a spin structure, the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ turns out to be equivalent with previous works ([LL], [MS], [T2]). More generally, when σ is torsion (which means that its Chern class $c(\sigma) \in H^2(M)$ is torsion), $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ factorizes via B to a quadratic function:

$$\phi_{M,\sigma} : TH_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

over the torsion linking pairing λ_M and then coincides with analogous constructions from [Gi] (see also [De2]) and [LW].

We then show that for a given closed oriented 3-manifold M , the Spin^c -structures on M are determined by their corresponding quadratic functions.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a closed connected oriented 3-manifold. Then, the map $[\sigma \mapsto \phi_{M,\sigma}]$ defines an affine embedding:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xhookrightarrow{\Phi_M} \text{Quad}(L_M).$$

We also identify the cokernel of ϕ_M (Theorem 4.10 and §4.3). Via the map ϕ_M , topological notions can be put in correspondance with algebraic notions. For instance, the Chern class $c(\sigma)$ corresponds with the homogeneity defect $d_{\phi_{M,\sigma}}$ of the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$.

When σ is identified with an Euler structure, a geometric intrinsic formula (making no reference to the dimension 4) for the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ is given. When M is a rational homology sphere, $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ can be recovered from the Reidemeister-Turaev torsion (Theorem 4.18).

In the final part (§5) of the paper, we apply the previous to solve a problem related to the Goussarov-Habiro theory for compact oriented 3-manifolds. Their finite type invariants theory (developped in [Go], [Ha] and [GGP]) is based on an elementary move called Y -surgery. The Y -equivalence, which is defined to be the surgery equivalence relation generated by this move, is then of crucial and basic importance in the Goussarov-Habiro theory, since two manifolds are Y -equivalent if and only if they are not distinguished by degree 0 finite type invariants. It has been characterized in the closed case by Matveev, who showed in [Mat] that two closed oriented connected 3-manifolds are Y -equivalent if and only if they have identical first Betti numbers and isomorphic torsion linking pairings. Latterly, the second author introduced in [Mas] a non-trivial Spin -refinement of the Goussarov-Habiro theory (the possibility of which was announced in [Go] and [Ha]). He also characterized degree 0 invariants of Spin -manifolds by refining that theorem of Matveev.

Using the gluing techniques developped in §3, we show that Y -surgeries make also sense for Spin^c -manifolds, and generate a surgery equivalence relation among them called Y^c -equivalence. There exists a Spin^c -refinement of the Goussarov-Habiro theory, the degree 0 invariants of which are characterized in the closed case as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let (M, σ) and (M', σ') be two closed connected oriented 3-manifolds with complex spin structures. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the Spin^c -manifolds (M, σ) and (M', σ') are Y^c -equivalent;*
- (2) *there is an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ such that $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \circ \psi^\sharp$;*
- (3) *there is an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ such that:*
 - $\lambda_M = \lambda_{M'} \circ (\psi|)^{\otimes 2}$,
 - $\psi(P^{-1}c(\sigma)) = P^{-1}c(\sigma')$,

- $\gamma(\phi_{M,\sigma} \circ s) = \gamma(\phi_{M',\sigma'} \circ s')$ for any pair (s, s') of compatible sections of the respective Bockstein homomorphisms $B : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TH}_1(M)$ and $B : H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{TH}_1(M')$.

In assertion (2), $\psi^\# : H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the isomorphism dual to ψ by the intersection pairings:

$$H_1(M) \times H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1(M') \times H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

which are right nonsingular. In assertion (3), P stands for a Poincaré duality isomorphism, and the required compatibility of sections s, s' is the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{s'} & \mathrm{TH}_1(M') \\ \psi^\# \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \psi| \\ H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{s} & \mathrm{TH}_1(M). \end{array}$$

The equivalence between assertions (2) and assertions (3) will be derived from Theorem 1.

The case of rational homology 3-spheres deserves to be singled out. Indeed, if M is an oriented rational homology 3-sphere, any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(M)$ is torsion and, according to what has been said above, $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ can be regarded as a quadratic function $H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ over λ_M . In that case, Theorem 3 specializes to:

Corollary 4. *Let (M, σ) and (M', σ') be two oriented rational homology 3-spheres with complex spin structures. The following assertions are then equivalent:*

- (1) *the Spin^c -manifolds (M, σ) and (M', σ') are Y^c -equivalent;*
- (2) *there is an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ such that $\phi_{M,\sigma} = \phi_{M',\sigma'} \circ \psi$;*
- (3) *there is an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ such that:*
 - $\lambda_M = \lambda_{M'} \circ \psi^{\otimes 2}$,
 - $\psi(P^{-1}c(\sigma)) = P^{-1}c(\sigma')$,
 - $\gamma(\phi_{M,\sigma}) = \gamma(\phi_{M',\sigma'})$.

It follows also from Theorem 3 and [Mas] that, although the canonical map $\mathrm{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}^c(M)$ needs not to be injective, the Spin-refinement of Goussarov-Habiro theory *embeds in degree 0* into its Spin^c -refinement.

Acknowledgments. Part of this work was done while the first author was supported through a European Marie Curie Fellowship (MCFI 200100038). The second author thanks his advisor, Christian Blanchet.

2. QUADRATIC FUNCTIONS

Let R be a Dedekind ring. Denote by $\mathrm{Fr}(R)$ the field of fractions of R . We assume throughout this section that the characteristic of $\mathrm{Fr}(R)$ is not two. Given an R -module M , TM denotes the submodule of M consisting of all torsion elements. If M and N are two R -modules, $\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$ denotes the R -module of all R -maps $M \rightarrow N$. A set X on which M acts freely and transitively is an *affine space over M* . For such actions, the left multiplicative notation is used. Any bilinear pairing $b : M \times M' \rightarrow N$, where M, M' and N are R -modules, has a left (resp. right) adjoint map $\hat{b} : M \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M', N)$ (resp. $\hat{b}_* : M' \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$), defined by $\hat{b}(x)(y) = b(x, y)$ (resp. $\hat{b}_*(y)(x) = b(x, y)$), $x \in M, y \in M'$. In the case when $M = M'$ and b is symmetric, $\hat{b} = \hat{b}_*$ is denoted by \hat{b} .

In many cases (but not all), M will be a finitely generated free R -module or a torsion R -module. We set $C_M = R$ (resp. $C_M = \mathrm{Fr}(R)/R$) if M is free (resp. if

M is torsion). It is convenient to define the dual of M by $M^* = \text{Hom}(M, C_M)$. Except in §2.1 and §2.2 where full generality is assumed, R will be \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} .

2.1. Basic notions about quadratic functions. Let M and N be R -modules. A *quadratic function* on M with values in N is a map $q : M \rightarrow N$ such that the formula $b_q(x, y) = q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$, $x, y \in M$, defines a symmetric bilinear pairing $b_q : M \times M \rightarrow N$, called the *associated bilinear pairing*. Note that according to the definition we adopt in this paper, any quadratic function q satisfies $q(0) = 0$. In the case when q satisfies the additional property that $q(rx) = r^2q(x)$ for all $x \in M$, $r \in R$, we say that q is *homogeneous*. To each quadratic function q , we associate an element $d_q \in \text{Hom}(M, N)$, called the *homogeneity defect*, defined by $d_q(x) = q(x) - q(-x)$, $x \in M$. The quadratic function q is *nondegenerate* (resp. *nonsingular* or *unimodular*) if the adjoint map $\hat{b}_q : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N)$ is injective (resp. bijective). If M is a finite torsion module and $N = C_M$, q is nondegenerate if and only if q is nonsingular.

Let $b : M \times M \rightarrow N$ be a symmetric bilinear pairing and let H be a submodule of M . The submodule $\{x \in M, b(x, H) = 0\}$ is called the *orthogonal* of H and is denoted H^\perp . The orthogonal M^\perp of M is called the *radical* of b . Let $q : M \rightarrow N$ be a quadratic function on M . A submodule H of M is said *isotropic* rel. b (resp. rel. q) if $b(H, H) = 0$ (resp. $q(H) = 0$). An *orthogonal summand* H of M is a submodule H of M such that $M = H \oplus H^\perp$. A direct summand of M may not be an orthogonal summand in general.

Lemma 2.1. [W1, Lemma 1] *Let $b : M \times M \rightarrow N$ be a nonsingular symmetric bilinear pairing. Let H be a submodule of M . The induced pairing $b|_{H \times H} : H \times H \rightarrow N$ is nondegenerate if and only if H is an orthogonal summand of M .*

A quadratic function $q : M \rightarrow N$ is a quadratic function *over* $b : M \times M \rightarrow N$ if $b_q = b$. Denote by $\text{Quad}(b)$ the set of quadratic functions over b . The R -module $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ acts freely and transitively on $\text{Quad}(b)$ by addition. Thus, if b is nonsingular then $\text{Quad}(b)$ is an affine space over M with action defined by

$$\alpha \cdot q = q + \hat{b}(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in M.$$

It follows that, in that case, $\text{Quad}(b)$ and M are equipotent.

Let $\psi : M' \rightarrow M$ be an isomorphism of R -modules. We define $\psi^*b : M' \times M' \rightarrow N$ to be the symmetric bilinear pairing defined by $\psi^*b(x, y) = b(\psi(x), \psi(y))$ for all $x, y \in M'$. Similarly we define the quadratic function $\psi^*q : M' \rightarrow N$ by $\psi^*q(x) = q(\psi(x))$ for all $x \in M'$. We say that two symmetric bilinear pairings b, b' (resp. two quadratic functions q, q') defined on M and M' with values in N are *isomorphic*, and we write $b \sim b'$ (resp. $q \sim q'$), if there exists an isomorphism $\psi : M' \rightarrow M$ such that $\psi^*b = b'$ (resp. $\psi^*q = q'$). An isomorphism $\psi : M' \rightarrow M$ induces a bijective correspondence between $\text{Quad}(b)$ and $\text{Quad}(\psi^*b)$. In particular, the subgroup $\text{Iso}(b)$ of automorphisms of M preserving b acts on $\text{Quad}(b)$: $\psi \cdot q = \psi^*q$. The relation between the action of $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ and the action of $\text{Iso}(b)$ on $\text{Quad}(b)$ is the key to the problem of classification of quadratic functions. This problem is partially solved when R is a complete discrete valuation ring (e.g. [Du]) for finitely generated free R -modules and torsion R -modules. It remains unsolved in general.

2.2. Presentations of quadratic functions.

2.2.1. Lattices and their characteristic forms. A *lattice* M is a finitely generated free R -module. A (*symmetric*) *bilinear lattice* (M, f) is a symmetric bilinear form $f : M \times M \rightarrow R$ on a lattice M . Let $F = \text{Fr}(R)$ be the quotient field of R . Then

$M \otimes F$ is a vector space V over F . The dimension of V is finite and equal to the rank of M . Any bilinear lattice (M, f) gives rise by extension of scalars to a bilinear pairing $f_F : V \times V \rightarrow F$. Let $M^\sharp = \{x \in V : f_F(x, M) \subset R\}$ be the *dual lattice* for (M, f) . Clearly $M \subset M^\sharp$. More generally, for any submodule N over R of V , we can define $N^\sharp = \{x \in V : f_F(x, N) \subset R\}$. A *fractional* (resp. *integral*) *Wu class* for (M, f) is an element $v \in V$ (resp. an element $v \in M$) such that

$$\forall x \in M, f(x, x) - f_F(w, x) \in 2R.$$

The set of fractional (resp. integral) Wu classes is denoted by $\text{Wu}^F(f)$ (resp. $\text{Wu}(f)$) and is contained in M^\sharp . Furthermore, $\text{Wu}^F(f)$ is an affine space over $2M^\sharp$. A *characteristic form* for f is an element $c \in M^*$ satisfying

$$\forall x \in M, f(x, x) - c(x) \in 2R.$$

The set $\text{Char}(f)$ of characteristic forms for f is an affine space over $\text{Hom}(M, 2R)$. Each fractional Wu class gives a characteristic form by the equivariant map $w \mapsto f_F(w, -)|_M$, $\text{Wu}^F(f) \rightarrow \text{Char}(f)$.

Lemma 2.2. *If f is nondegenerate, then the map $w \mapsto f_F(w, -)|_M$ is a bijective correspondence between $\text{Wu}^F(f)$ and $\text{Char}(f)$.*

Proof. Since f is nondegenerate, $\widehat{f} : M \rightarrow M^*$ is injective. Then $\widehat{f_F} : V \rightarrow V^*$ is bijective. Hence, the map $x \mapsto f_F(x, -)|_M$, $\text{Wu}^F(f) \rightarrow \text{Char}(f)$ is also bijective. \square

The quotient module $\bar{M} = M/\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$ is a finitely generated free R -module. Hence, the short exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \widehat{f} \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} \bar{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

is split. Any section s of p induces an isomorphism

$$(M, f) \simeq (\bar{M}, \bar{f}) \oplus (\text{Ker } \widehat{f}, 0),$$

where $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \times \bar{M} \rightarrow R$ is the nondegenerate pairing induced by f .

Lemma 2.3. *There is an injection $p^*|_{\text{Char}(\bar{f})} : \text{Char}(\bar{f}) \rightarrow \text{Char}(f)$ induced by p , and any section s of p induces an affine retraction $s^*|_{\text{Char}(f)} : \text{Char}(f) \rightarrow \text{Char}(\bar{f})$ for $p^*|_{\text{Char}}$.*

Proof. Since $p \circ s = \text{Id}_{\bar{M}}$, we have $s^* \circ p^* = \text{Id}_{\bar{M}^*}$. Moreover, one easily verifies $p^*(\text{Char}(\bar{f})) \subset \text{Char}(f)$ and $s^*(\text{Char}(f)) \subset \text{Char}(\bar{f})$. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Every symmetric bilinear lattice (M, f) has a characteristic form.*

Proof. Using Lemma 2.3, we can suppose that f is nondegenerate. In the nondegenerate case, [LW, Lemma 1.6 (i)] applies mutatis mutandis. \square

2.2.2. The discriminant construction. Suppose we are given a bilinear lattice (M, f) as above. Consider the torsion R -module $G_f = M^\sharp/M$ and the symmetric bilinear pairing

$$L_f : G_f \times G_f \rightarrow F/R$$

defined by

$$(2.2) \quad L_f([x], [y]) = f_F(x, y) \bmod R, \quad x, y \in M^\sharp.$$

Since $M^{\sharp\sharp} = M + \text{Ker } \widehat{f_F}$, the radical of L_f is

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Ker } \widehat{L_f} = (M + \text{Ker } \widehat{f_F})/M = \text{Ker } \widehat{f_F}/\text{Ker } \widehat{f} = (\text{Ker } \widehat{f}) \otimes F/R.$$

In particular, L_f is nondegenerate if and only if f is nondegenerate.

Consider the torsion submodule $\text{T Coker } \widehat{f}$ of $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$. The adjoint map $\widehat{f}_F : V \rightarrow V^*$ restricted to M^\sharp induces a canonical epimorphism $B_f : G_f \rightarrow \text{T Coker } \widehat{f}$. Hence there is a short exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \rightarrow G_f \rightarrow \text{T Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the symmetric bilinear form L_f factorizes to a nondegenerate pairing

$$\lambda_f : \text{T Coker } \widehat{f} \times \text{T Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow F/R.$$

It also follows from this sequence that $G_f = 0$ if and only if $M^\sharp = M$ if and only if f is unimodular. Furthermore, since the above exact sequence splits (non-canonically), there is a (non-canonical) orthogonal decomposition:

$$(2.5) \quad (G_f, L_f) \simeq \left(\text{T} \left(\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \right), \lambda_f \right) \oplus \left(\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f, 0 \right).$$

Suppose next that (M, f, c) is a bilinear lattice equipped with a characteristic form $c \in M^*$. Denote by $c_F : V^* \rightarrow F$ the linear extension of c . We associate to (M, f, c) a quadratic function $\phi_{f,c} : G_f \rightarrow F/R$ over L_f by

$$\phi_{f,c}([x]) = \frac{1}{2}(f_F(x, x) - c_F(x)) \bmod R, \quad x \in M^\sharp.$$

Observe that the homogeneity defect $d_{\phi_{f,c}} \in G_f^* = \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$ is given by

$$(2.6) \quad d_{\phi_{f,c}}([x]) = -c_F(x) \bmod R, \quad x \in M^\sharp.$$

Definition 2.5. The triple (M, f, c) is said to be a *presentation* of the quadratic function $\phi_{f,c}$ on the torsion module G_f . The assignation $(M, f, c) \mapsto (G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ is called the *discriminant* construction.

Lemma 2.6. *The discriminant construction preserves orthogonal sums.*

Remark 2.7. The following conditions are seen to be equivalent from (2.3) and (2.4):

- f is nondegenerate;
- L_f is nondegenerate;
- G_f is a finite product of cyclic R -modules \mathfrak{b}^{-1}/R ;
- $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ is a finite product of cyclic R -modules R/\mathfrak{b} ;
- G_f and $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ are isomorphic R -modules.

If one of those conditions is satisfied, $B_f : G_f \rightarrow \text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ is an isomorphism which induces a bijective correspondence: $\text{Quad}(\lambda_f) \simeq \text{Quad}(L_f)$. Hence $\phi_{f,c}$ factorizes via B_f to a nondegenerate quadratic function over λ_f . This case arises if and only if c can be taken to be the image of a fractional Wu class (cf. Lemma 2.2). This particular case coincides with the usual discriminant construction, as presented for example in [De1, section 1.2.1].

The map $(M, f, c) \mapsto (G_f, \phi_{f,c})$, from the monoid of nondegenerate bilinear lattices equipped with characteristic forms to the monoid of isomorphism classes of nondegenerate quadratic functions on torsion R -modules, is known to be surjective in the case when R is a discrete valuation ring (see [Du, §2, 3 & 4]) and when $R = \mathbb{Z}$. For this surjectivity problem, see [Du, §4] and [La].

2.2.3. Properties of the discriminant construction. Consider the bilinear map $M^* \times M^\sharp \rightarrow F/R$ defined by $(\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha_F(x) \bmod R$. This map induces a bilinear pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \times G_f \rightarrow F/R.$$

Lemma 2.8. *The bilinear pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \times G_f \rightarrow F/R$ is left nondegenerate (respectively left nonsingular if and only if f is nondegenerate) and right nonsingular.*

Proof. Consider the left adjoint map $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R), [\alpha] \mapsto \langle [\alpha], - \rangle \pmod{R}$. An element $[\alpha]$ lies in the kernel if and only if $\alpha_F(x) = 0 \bmod R$ for all $x \in M^\sharp$. It suffices to prove that for $\alpha \in M^*$, we have $\alpha_F(M^\sharp) = 0 \bmod R$ if and only if there exists $v \in M$ such that $\alpha = \widehat{f}(v)$. Assume first that f is nondegenerate. Then \widehat{f}_F is bijective, so there is an element $v \in V$ such that $\alpha_F = \widehat{f}_F(v)$. Since $\alpha_F(M^\sharp) = f_F(v, M^\sharp) \subset R$, this means that $v \in M^{\sharp\sharp} = M$. Now if f is degenerate, consider the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear pairing \bar{f} induced by f on the lattice $\bar{M} = M/\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$. Set $\bar{V} = \bar{M} \otimes F$. Since $\alpha(\text{Ker } \widehat{f}) \subset R$, α induces a form $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{M} \rightarrow R$ such that $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{M}^\sharp) \subset R$. Applying the previous case to $\bar{\alpha}$ yields an element $\bar{v} \in \bar{M}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} = \widehat{\bar{f}}(\bar{v})$. Any lift $v \in M$ of \bar{v} satisfies $\alpha = \widehat{f}(v)$. So $[\alpha] = 0$ as desired.

Suppose that f is nondegenerate. By Remark 2.7, G_f (resp. $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$) is a product of cyclic modules R/\mathfrak{b} (resp. \mathfrak{b}^{-1}/R). The injective left adjoint map $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$ is between two modules of the same finite length, so must be surjective as well. Suppose that f is not nondegenerate. Then by $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ (resp. G_f) has a summand R (resp. a summand which is F/R). It suffices to show that the map $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F/R, F/R), r \mapsto \langle r, - \rangle$ is not surjective. Recall that $F/R = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where the direct sum is over all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the submodule consisting of elements $x \in F/R$ such that $x\mathfrak{p}^k = 0$ for some k . Let $p \in \mathfrak{p}$ and consider the map $\pi_p : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is multiplication by p . Then the product $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \pi_p \in \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{Hom}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}, F/R) = \text{Hom}(F/R, F/R)$ is not in the image.

Consider the right adjoint map $G_f \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f}, F/R)$. An element $[x] \in G_f$ lies in the kernel if and only if $\alpha_F(x) = 0 \bmod R$ for all $\alpha \in M^*$. In particular, for a unimodular bilinear pairing g on M , $g_F(v, x) = 0 \bmod R$ for all $v \in V$. Unimodularity of g implies that $x \in M$. Thus $[x] = 0$.

We now prove that the right adjoint map is surjective. Consider the canonical isomorphism $V \rightarrow V^{**}, v \mapsto \langle -, v \rangle$. This map sends M^\sharp onto $N = \{ \langle -, w \rangle \in V^{**} : \langle \widehat{f}(M), w \rangle \subset R \}$ which is a submodule over R of V^{**} . The submodule $N^0 = \{ x \in \text{Hom}(M^*, F) : \langle \widehat{f}(M), x \rangle \subset R \}$ embeds in N by linear extension over F : $M^* \rightarrow M^* \otimes F = V^*$. Any $x \in N^0$ induces a map $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow F/R$, hence there is an induced R -map $N^0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f}, F/R)$, which is obviously surjective (since M^* is R -free). Hence any $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f}, F/R)$ lifts to an element $x \in N^0 \subset N$ where $x = \langle -, v \rangle$ for some $v \in M^\sharp$. Thus $\varphi = \langle -, [v] \rangle$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *The cokernel of the inclusion $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \hookrightarrow \text{Coker } \widehat{f}^*$ is $(\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^*$.*

Proof. Since $M/\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$ is free, the inclusion $\text{Ker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow M$ induces a surjective map $M^* \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^*$ which sends $\widehat{f}(M)$ to 0. Hence we obtain a well-defined surjective

map $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^*$, the kernel of which, since $(\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^*$ is free of same rank as $\text{Coker } \widehat{f}$, consists of all torsion elements. \square

By (2.3), any R -map $\text{Ker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow R$ induces by tensoring with F/R an R -map $\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \rightarrow F/R$. Denote by $j_f : (\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^* \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f)^*$ the corresponding R -map.

Let $c \in \text{Char}(f)$. Observe that $\phi_{f,c+2\widehat{f}(u)} = \phi_{f,c}$ for any $u \in M$. Hence $\phi_{f,c}$ depends on c only mod $2\widehat{f}(M)$. Also, the Abelian group $M^*/\widehat{f}(M) = \text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ acts freely and transitively on $\text{Char}(f)/2\widehat{f}(M)$ by

$$[\alpha] \cdot [c] = [c + 2\alpha] \in \text{Char}(f)/2\widehat{f}(M), \quad \alpha \in M^*, \quad c \in \text{Char}(f).$$

The following result is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.10. *The map $c \mapsto \phi_{f,c}$ induces an affine embedding*

$$\phi_f : \text{Char}(f)/2\widehat{f}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Quad}(L_f)$$

over the opposite of the group monomorphism $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$. Furthermore,

$$\text{Coker } \phi_f \simeq \text{Coker } j_f = \frac{(\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f)^*}{j_f((\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^*)}$$

and given $q \in \text{Quad}(L_f)$, the following two assertions are equivalent:

- $q \in \text{Im } \phi_f$;
- $q|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f} \in \text{Im } j_f$.

As a consequence of Remark 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we have:

Corollary 2.11. *The map ϕ_f is bijective if and only if f is nondegenerate.*

Remark 2.12. This construction applies in particular when $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and (M, f) is the homology group $H_2(X)$ of a compact oriented simply-connected 4-manifold X , equipped with its symmetric bilinear intersection pairing. Then λ_f can be identified with the torsion linking pairing on $TH_1(\partial X)$ (up to sign depending on the orientation of $X, \partial X$). For details, see for example [Du] [De1]. Furthermore, we will see (in §4 and §3 respectively) that G_f identifies with $H_2(\partial X; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and that the affine space $\text{Char}(f)/2\widehat{f}(M)$ identifies with the affine space of Spin^c -structures on ∂X .

Proof of Theorem 2.10. Let us prove that the map ϕ_f is indeed affine over the homomorphism stated above. Let $\alpha \in M^*$ and $x \in M^\sharp$: $\phi_{f, [\alpha] \cdot [c]}([x]) - \phi_{f, [c]}([x]) = \phi_{f, c+2\alpha}([x]) - \phi_{f, c}([x]) = -\alpha_F(x) \bmod R$. Hence $\phi_{f, [\alpha] \cdot [c]}([x]) = \phi_{f, [c]}([x]) - \langle [\alpha], [x] \rangle$. The fact that ϕ_f is injective follows from the fact that the map $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$ is injective and this was proved in Lemma 2.8.

Next, we proceed to determine $\text{Coker } \phi_f$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{T Coker } \widehat{f} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \widehat{f} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \widehat{f})^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \widehat{\lambda}_f & & \downarrow \langle -, - \rangle & & \downarrow j_f \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\text{T Coker } \widehat{f})^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R) & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f)^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The first row is given by Lemma 2.9. The second row is obtained from the short exact sequence (2.4) by applying the exact functor $\text{Hom}(-, F/R)$ (the R -module F/R is injective). It follows from the definitions that the diagram is commutative.

A standard argument (for instance, the “snake lemma”) applied to the diagram leads to $\text{Coker } \langle -, - \rangle \simeq \text{Coker } j_f$.

Finally we prove the last statement of the theorem. By definition, $c(\text{Ker } \widehat{f}) \subset 2R$. In particular, $\phi_{f,c}|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f} : \text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \rightarrow F/R$ is a homomorphism given by

$$(2.7) \quad \phi_{f,c}([x]) = -\frac{1}{2}c_F(x) \bmod R, \quad x \in M + \text{Ker } \widehat{f}_F \subset M^\sharp.$$

Hence $\phi_{f,c}|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f} = j_f(-\frac{1}{2}c) \in \text{Im } j_f$. Conversely, let $q \in \text{Quad}(L_f)$ such that $q|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f} \in \text{Im } j_f$. Pick $c \in \text{Char}(f)$. Then the restriction map $(G_f)^* \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f)^*$ induced by the inclusion $\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \hookrightarrow G_f$ sends $q - \phi_{f,c}$ into $\text{Im } j_f$. Since

$$\text{Coker } \langle -, - \rangle \simeq \text{Coker } j_f,$$

there is an element $[\alpha] \in \text{Coker } \widehat{f}$ such that $q - \phi_{f,c} = \langle [\alpha], - \rangle$. Since the map ϕ_f is affine over $\text{Coker } \widehat{f} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$, it follows that $q = \phi_{f,[-\alpha] \cdot [c]}$. \square

Corollary 2.13. *An R -map $h : G_f \rightarrow F/R$ is in the image of $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_f, F/R)$ if and only if $h|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f} : \text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \rightarrow F/R$ lifts to an R -map $\text{Ker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow R$.*

Proof. Set $h' = h|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f}$. By definition, h' lifts to $\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$ if and only if $h' \in \text{Im } j_f$. Now apply Theorem 2.10. \square

2.3. The stable classification theorem. The goal is to generalize a result due to Wall and Durfee ([W2, Corollary 1] and [Du, Corollary 4.2.(ii)]). We define a natural notion of stable equivalence on lattices equipped with a characteristic form. The resulting stable classification problem is shown to be equivalent to the classification of the quadratic functions induced by the discriminant construction (§2.2).

There is a natural notion of isomorphism among triples (M, f, c) defined by bilinear lattices with characteristic forms: we say that two triples (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\psi^* f' = f$ and $\psi^* c' = c \bmod 2\widehat{f}(M)$. All such triples form a monoid for the orthogonal sum \oplus . Two triples (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') are said to be *stably equivalent* if they become isomorphic after stabilizations with some unimodular lattices, that is, there is an isomorphism (called a *stable equivalence*) between $(M, f, c) \oplus (U, g, s)$ and $(M', f', c') \oplus (U', g', s')$ for some unimodular lattices (U, g, s) and (U', g', s') equipped with characteristic forms s and s' respectively. Because, as we have seen in §2.2, unimodular lattices induce trivial discriminant bilinear forms and quadratic functions, a stable equivalence between two triples induce an isomorphism of the corresponding quadratic functions. We are interested in whether the converse holds and to which extent. In fact, a positive answer is provided in the case of nondegenerate lattices.

Proposition 2.14. *Two nondegenerate symmetric bilinear lattices (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') equipped with characteristic forms are stably equivalent if and only if their associated quadratic functions $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ and $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f',c'})$ are isomorphic. In fact, any isomorphism ψ between their associated quadratic functions $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ and $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f',c'})$ lifts to a stable equivalence between (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') .*

Proof. This is proved in [LW, §1.7.3] which relies on [W2]. \square

In the general case, we have to deal with the potential degeneracy of lattices. The first observation is that it is *not true* that any isomorphism between $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ and $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f',c'})$ will lift to a stable equivalence between (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') . In

fact, the simplest counterexample is given by the degenerate bilinear lattice $(R, 0, 0)$ (with 0 as characteristic form). We have $G_0 = F/R$, $\phi_{0,0}([x]) = 0$. Trivially any automorphism of F/R is an automorphism of the degenerate quadratic function $(F/R, 0)$. However, not every automorphism of F/R lifts to an automorphism of F . For each bilinear lattice (M, f, c) , Lemma 2.8 gives an isomorphism $\langle -, - \rangle_\cdot : G_f \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Coker } \hat{f}, F/R)$. Therefore any isomorphism $\psi : \text{Coker } \hat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}'$ induces an isomorphism $\psi^\sharp : G_{f'} \rightarrow G_f$. The map

$$\psi \mapsto \psi^\sharp, \quad \text{Iso}(\text{Coker } \hat{f}, \text{Coker } \hat{f}') \rightarrow \text{Iso}(G_{f'}, G_f)$$

is injective (but not surjective, unless f, f' are nondegenerate: see Lemma 2.17 below). We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.15. *Two bilinear lattices (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') with characteristic forms are stably equivalent if and only if their associated quadratic functions $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ and $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f',c'})$ are isomorphic via an element*

$$\psi^\sharp \in \text{Im} \left(\text{Iso}(\text{Coker } \hat{f}, \text{Coker } \hat{f}') \rightarrow \text{Iso}(G_{f'}, G_f) \right).$$

Furthermore, any such isomorphism between $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f',c'})$ and $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ lifts to a stable equivalence between (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') .

In the sequel, (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') denote bilinear lattices with characteristic forms. Set $N_f = \text{Ker } \hat{L}_f$ and $N_{f'} = \text{Ker } \hat{L}_{f'}$.

Lemma 2.16. *Any isomorphism $\psi : \text{Coker } \hat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}'$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Ker } \hat{f}' \rightarrow \text{Ker } \hat{f}$. Furthermore, if $\psi([c]) = [c']$ then ψ induces an isomorphism from the triple $(\text{Ker } \hat{f}', 0, c'|_{\text{Ker } \hat{f}'})$ onto the triple $(\text{Ker } \hat{f}, 0, c|_{\text{Ker } \hat{f}})$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T \text{Coker } \hat{f} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \hat{f} & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \hat{f})^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi|_{T \text{Coker } \hat{f}} \simeq & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow [\psi] \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T \text{Coker } \hat{f}' & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \hat{f}' & \longrightarrow & (\text{Ker } \hat{f}')^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the two exact rows are given by Lemma 2.9 and where $[\psi]$ is induced by ψ and is an isomorphism. Applying $\text{Hom}(-, R)$ to $[\psi]$ yields an isomorphism $[\psi]^* : (\text{Ker } \hat{f}')^{**} = \text{Ker } \hat{f}' \rightarrow (\text{Ker } \hat{f})^{**} = \text{Ker } \hat{f}$. For the second statement, the image of $[c] \in \text{Coker } \hat{f}$ in $(\text{Ker } \hat{f})^*$ is just $c|_{\text{Ker } \hat{f}}$. Thus $\psi([c]) = [c']$ implies that $[\psi](c|_{\text{Ker } \hat{f}}) = c'|_{\text{Ker } \hat{f}'}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.17. *Let $\Psi \in \text{Iso}(G_{f'}, G_f)$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) *there exists $\psi \in \text{Iso}(\text{Coker } \hat{f}, \text{Coker } \hat{f}')$ such that $\Psi = \psi^\sharp$;*
- (2) *$\Psi(N_{f'}) = N_f$ and the map $\Psi|_{N_{f'}} : N_{f'} \rightarrow N_f$ lifts to an isomorphism $\text{Ker } \hat{f}' \rightarrow \text{Ker } \hat{f}$.*

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Lemma 2.16 gives an isomorphism $[\psi]^* = \text{Hom}([\psi], F/R) : \text{Ker } \hat{f}' \rightarrow \text{Ker } \hat{f}$. Since $\Psi = \psi^\sharp$ is induced by $\psi^* = \text{Hom}(\psi, F/R)$ via the right adjoint map $\langle -, - \rangle_\cdot$, $[\psi]^*$ is a lift of $\Psi|_{N_{f'}} : N_{f'} \rightarrow N_f$.

(2) \implies (1): for $[\alpha] \in \text{Coker } \widehat{f}$, consider the R -map

$$h : [x] \mapsto \langle [\alpha], \Psi([x]) \rangle, \quad G_{f'} \rightarrow F/R.$$

Since by hypothesis, $\Psi|_{N_{f'}}$ lifts to $\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$, the map $h|_{N_{f'}}$ also lifts to an R -map $\text{Ker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow R$. Hence by Corollary 2.13 applied to h , we obtain that $h : G_{f'} \rightarrow F/R$ lies in the image of $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Coker } \widehat{f'} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_{f'}, F/R)$. Thus there exists $[\beta] \in \text{Coker } \widehat{f'}$ such that $h = \langle [\beta], - \rangle$. In other words,

$$\forall [x] \in G_{f'}, \quad \langle [\alpha], \Psi([x]) \rangle = \langle [\beta], [x] \rangle \in F/R.$$

The assignment $\psi : [\alpha] \mapsto [\beta]$ is an R -map and is bijective since Ψ is bijective. By construction, $\Psi = \psi^\sharp$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.15. The nondegenerate case is treated by Proposition 2.14. Consider now the general case. Let s be a stable equivalence between lattices, say an isomorphism of symmetric bilinear lattices $s : (M', f', c') \oplus (U', g', u') \rightarrow (M, f, c) \oplus (U, g, u)$ where (U', g', u') and (U, g, u) are unimodular lattices. The map s induces via the discriminant construction an isomorphism $\Psi : G_{f'} \rightarrow G_f$ between $\phi_{f', c'}$ and $\phi_{f, c}$ since unimodular lattices induce trivial quadratic functions. The map s also induces in the obvious way an isomorphism $\psi : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \widehat{f'}$, and it is easily verified that $\psi^\sharp = \Psi$.

Conversely, suppose that the given isomorphism Ψ between $(G_{f'}, \phi_{f', c'})$ and $(G_f, \phi_{f, c})$ is induced by an isomorphism $\psi : \text{Coker } \widehat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \widehat{f'}$. First, the homogeneity defects are preserved by Ψ : $d_{\phi_{f', c'}} = d_{\phi_{f, c}} \circ \Psi$. Since $\Psi = \psi^\sharp$, it follows from (2.6) that $\psi([c]) = [c']$. Thus Lemma 2.16 implies that $(\text{Ker } \widehat{f'}, 0, c'|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{f'}})$ and $(\text{Ker } \widehat{f}, 0, c|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{f}})$ are isomorphic via $\psi^\sharp : \text{Ker } \widehat{f'} \rightarrow \text{Ker } \widehat{f}$.

Let (\bar{M}, \bar{f}) be the nondegenerate lattice induced by f (see (2.1)). Choose a section s of the canonical projection $p : M \rightarrow \bar{M}$. Lemma 2.3 yields a characteristic form $\bar{c} = s^*|_{\text{Char}(f)}(c) \in \text{Char}(\bar{f})$. The section s of p induces a map $s : G_{\bar{f}} \rightarrow G_f$ such that $\phi_{\bar{f}, \bar{c}} = \phi_{f, c} \circ s$. We have, for $x, y \in \text{T}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{f, c} \circ s)(x + y) - (\phi_{f, c} \circ s)(x) - (\phi_{f, c} \circ s)(y) &= L_f(s(x), s(y)) \\ &= \lambda_f(B_f \circ s(x), B_f \circ s(y)) \\ &= \lambda_f(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

so $\phi_{f, c} \circ s$ is a quadratic function over λ_f , in particular we recover the fact that $\phi_{f, c} \circ s$ is nondegenerate. Then $s' = \Psi^{-1} \circ s \circ (\psi|_{\text{T}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f})})^{-1}$ is a section of $B_{f'} : G_{f'} \rightarrow \text{T}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f'})$. If we set $\bar{c}' = (s')^*|_{\text{Char}(f')}(c') \in \text{Char}(\bar{f}')$, we find that $\phi_{\bar{f}', \bar{c}'} = \phi_{f', c'} \circ s'$. It follows from the definitions that $\phi_{\bar{f}', \bar{c}'} \circ \psi|_{\text{T}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f})} = \phi_{\bar{f}, \bar{c}}$. Thus $\phi_{\bar{f}', \bar{c}'} \simeq \phi_{\bar{f}, \bar{c}}$. Since these quadratic functions are nondegenerate, Proposition 2.14 applies: the isomorphism $\psi|_{\text{T}(\text{Coker } \widehat{f})}$ lifts a stable equivalence between the lattices $(\bar{M}, \bar{f}, \bar{c})$ and $(\bar{M}', \bar{f}', \bar{c}')$.

Hence finally, there is a stable equivalence between $(M, f, c) \simeq (\bar{M}, \bar{f}, \bar{c}) \oplus (\text{Ker } \widehat{f}, 0, c|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{f}})$ and $(M', f', c') \simeq (\bar{M}', \bar{f}', \bar{c}') \oplus (\text{Ker } \widehat{f'}, 0, c'|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{f'}})$. By construction, the isomorphism $G_{f'} \rightarrow G_f$ induced by this stable equivalence is Ψ . \square

We turn to the particular case $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Consider two bilinear forms, denoted ± 1 , defined on \mathbb{Z} by $(1, 1) \mapsto \pm 1$, and both equipped with the Wu class $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $n(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$ the n -fold orthogonal sum of $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$.

Corollary 2.18. *Two bilinear lattices (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') over \mathbb{Z} equipped with characteristic forms are stably equivalent if and only if there exists $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(M, f, c) \oplus n(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1) \cong (M', f', c') \oplus n'(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$.*

Proof. One direction is obvious. For the converse, we apply Theorem 2.15. We obtain unimodular lattices (U, g) and (U', g') , equipped with characteristic forms s and s' respectively, such that there is an isomorphism ψ sending $(M, f, c) \oplus (U, g, s)$ onto $(M', f', c') \oplus (U', g', s')$. By stabilizing if necessary with $(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, -1)$, we may assume that, as a symmetric bilinear pairing, (U, g) is indefinite¹ and not even². Then a theorem asserts that (U, g) is isomorphic to an orthogonal sum of copies of $(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, -1)$ [S]. It follows that (U, g, s) is isomorphic to a sum of copies of $(\mathbb{Z}, 1, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, -1, 1)$. (Here we use the fact that any odd integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ induces a characteristic form for $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1)$ and any such triple $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, a)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$.) A similar observation holds for (U', g', s') . We conclude that there is a stable equivalence between (M, f, c) and (M', f', c') involving only a stabilization with copies of $(\mathbb{Z}, 1, 1)$ and $(\mathbb{Z}, -1, 1)$, which is the desired result. \square

Remark 2.19. This is a generalization of [De1, Lemma 2.1(b)] (whose proof contains a misprint).

2.4. A complete system of invariants. We present a complete system of invariants for nondegenerate quadratic functions on finite Abelian groups.

Let $b : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a symmetric bilinear pairing on an Abelian group G . To any $q \in \text{Quad}(b)$, we associate the quadratic functions $-q \in \text{Quad}(-b)$ and $\bar{q} \in \text{Quad}(b)$ respectively by $(-q)(x) = -q(x)$ and $\bar{q}(x) = q(-x)$, $x \in G$. Clearly the maps $q \mapsto -q$ and $q \mapsto \bar{q}$ are involutive bijections and induce involutions on the monoid of isomorphism classes of quadratic functions on finite Abelian groups. For any quadratic function $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on a finite Abelian group G , we define the Gauss sum

$$\gamma(q) = |G|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{x \in G} e^{2i\pi q(x)} \in \mathbb{C},$$

which belongs to \mathbf{S}^1 if q is nondegenerate. Note that $\gamma(-q) = \overline{\gamma(q)}$ and $\gamma(\bar{q}) = \gamma(q)$. An important observation is the relation

$$(2.8) \quad \gamma(\alpha \cdot q) = e^{-2i\pi q(\alpha)} \gamma(q), \quad \alpha \in G.$$

Recall that b_q (resp. $d_q = q - \bar{q} \in G^*$) is the bilinear pairing (resp. the homogeneity defect) associated to q . The following result is the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.20. *Two nondegenerate quadratic functions $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $q' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on finite Abelian groups are isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $\psi^* b_{q'} = b_q$, $\psi^* d_{q'} = d_q$ and $\gamma(q') = \gamma(q)$.*

That two isomorphic quadratic functions have same Gauss sums and isomorphic associated bilinear pairings and homogeneity defects is straightforward. The difficult part lies in the converse. The key step is the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 2.21 (Fundamental Lemma). *Let $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a nondegenerate quadratic function on a finite Abelian group. If $\alpha \in G$ is an element of order 2 such that $q(\alpha) = 0$, then $\alpha \cdot q \sim q$.*

Proof of Th. 2.20 from Lemma 2.21. With no loss of generality, we may assume that $G = G'$, $b_q = b_{q'}$, $d_q = d_{q'}$ and $\gamma(q) = \gamma(q')$ and then show that $q \sim q'$. The equality $b_q = b_{q'}$ implies (cf. §2.1) that $q' = \alpha \cdot q$ for some $\alpha \in G$. The equality

¹i.e. g takes both positive and negative values.

²even means that $g(u, u) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $u \in U$.

$d_q = d_{q'}$ implies $2\alpha = 0$. The equality $\gamma(q) = \gamma(q')$, together with (2.8) imply that $q(\alpha) = 0$. Hence Lemma 2.21 applies. \square

The proof of Theorem 2.20 reduces to Lemma 2.21 which uses only elementary means. Since homogenous quadratic functions on finite Abelian groups are characterized by having trivial homogeneity defect, we deduce the following result, obtained in [Mas]. There the proof required the full classification of symmetric bilinear pairings [W1] [KK].

Corollary 2.22. *Two nondegenerate homogeneous quadratic functions $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $q' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on finite Abelian groups are isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $\psi^* b_{q'} = b_q$ and $\gamma(q') = \gamma(q)$.*

For further use, we need a version of Theorem 2.20 which allows for some degeneracy.

Lemma 2.23. *Consider the following commutative diagram of extensions of Abelian groups:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & G & \xrightarrow{p} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq \psi|_A & & \downarrow \simeq \psi & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{i'} & G' & \xrightarrow{p'} & B' \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

If the extension of A by B is split, then the extension of A' is also split.

Proof. Let $[\psi] : B \rightarrow B'$ be the isomorphism induced by ψ . Let also $s : B \rightarrow G$ be a section of p . Then $s' = \psi \circ s \circ [\psi]^{-1}$ is a section of p' . \square

Definition 2.24. Given a diagram as in Lemma 2.23, two sections s and s' of p and p' respectively are said *ψ -compatible*, or simply *compatible*, if they are related as in the proof of Lemma 2.23:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xleftarrow{s} & \\ G & \xrightarrow{p} & B \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow [\psi] \\ G' & \xrightarrow{p'} & B' \\ & \xleftarrow{s'} & \end{array}$$

Let now $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be a quadratic function on a Abelian group. Clearly G can be regarded as the extension of $A = \text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q$ by $B = G/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q$. We shall say that (G, q) meets the *finiteness condition* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- $G/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q$ is finite;
- the extension G of $\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q$ by $G/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q$ is split.

Example 2.25. Let (M, f, c) be a bilinear lattice over \mathbb{Z} equipped with a characteristic form c . Then, the quadratic function $(G_f, \phi_{f,c})$ meets the finiteness condition since the short exact sequence (2.4) is split (a section is induced by any section of the canonical projection $M \rightarrow \bar{M} = M/\text{Ker } \widehat{f}$).

For any given section s of the projection $p : G \rightarrow B$, $q \circ s$ is a nondegenerate quadratic function on B . Note that the finiteness condition implies that $\gamma(q \circ s)$ is well-defined for any section s .

In the sequel, we denote by $r_q : \text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ the homomorphism $q|_{\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q}$.

Corollary 2.26. *Two quadratic functions $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $q' : G' \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the finiteness condition are isomorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G'$ such that $\psi^*b_{q'} = b_q$, $\psi^*d_{q'} = d_q$, $\psi^*r_{q'} = r_q$ and $\gamma(q' \circ s') = \gamma(q \circ s)$ for ψ -compatible sections s and s' .*

Proof. Consider the nondegenerate quadratic functions $q_1 = q \circ s$ and $q'_1 = q' \circ s'$. The isomorphism ψ induces an isomorphism $[\psi] : G/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q \rightarrow G'/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_{q'}$. It is readily checked that $[\psi]^*b_{q'_1} = b_{q_1}$, $[\psi]^*d_{q'_1} = d_{q_1}$ and $\gamma(q_1) = \gamma(q'_1)$. By Theorem 2.20, q_1 and q'_1 are isomorphic. We deduce that $(G, q) \simeq (G/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q, q_1) \oplus (\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_q, r_q)$ is isomorphic to $(G', q') \simeq (G'/\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_{q'}, q'_1) \oplus (\text{Ker } \widehat{b}_{q'}, r_{q'})$. \square

Remark 2.27. Theorem 2.20 does not say that if $\psi^*b_{q'} = b_q$, $\psi^*d_{q'} = d_q$ and $\gamma(q') = \gamma(q)$, then $\psi^*q' = q$. In general, the isomorphism between q and q' will be different from ψ . In fact, how the isomorphism between q and q' will differ from ψ can be read off from the proof of the Fundamental Lemma.

The next subsection is devoted to the proof of the Fundamental Lemma.

2.5. Proof of the Fundamental Lemma. The paragraphs §§2.5.1, 2.5.2 and 2.5.3 are preliminaries. The heart of the proof is §2.5.4.

2.5.1. Some useful specimens. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}^\times$ and let a be a non-zero odd integer. We denote by $(\frac{a}{2^k})$ the unique nondegenerate bilinear pairing on \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} sending $(1, 1)$ to $\frac{a}{2^k} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $[\frac{a}{2^{k+1}}]$ the unique nondegenerate homogeneous quadratic function over $(\frac{a}{2^k})$ sending $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ to $\frac{a}{2^{k+1}} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. It follows from §2.1 that there is only one other homogeneous quadratic function over $(\frac{a}{2^k})$, namely $[\frac{a+2^k}{2^{k+1}}]$.

We will also consider the bilinear pairings defined on $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2^k} \\ \frac{1}{2^k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} & \frac{1}{2^k} \\ \frac{1}{2^k} & \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}$. They are denoted by E_0^k and E_1^k respectively (notation from [KK]); E_0^k and E_1^k are defined for all $k \geq 1$ and $E_1^1 = E_0^1$.

It is known since Minkowski that any nondegenerate symmetric bilinear pairing on a finite Abelian group splits as an orthogonal sum consisting of cyclic bilinear pairings, E_0^k 's and E_1^k 's.

Consider the (homogeneous) quadratic functions defined on $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ by $U_{2^k}(x, y) = \frac{xy}{2^k} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $V_{2^k}(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + xy + y^2}{2^k} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ for $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Clearly, U_{2^k} and V_{2^k} are quadratic functions over E_0^k and E_1^k respectively. Then, any quadratic function over E_j^k can be obtained as $g \cdot U_{2^k}$ or $g \cdot V_{2^k}$ for some $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Note that if $k = 1$, we actually have $V_2 = g \cdot U_2$ with $g = (1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

2.5.2. Period 2 groups. A group G of period 2 is a group G such that $2G = 0$. The set of isomorphism classes of nondegenerate quadratic functions on such groups is a monoid M (with orthogonal sum \oplus). We begin with a useful lemma describing M .

Lemma 2.28. *Any quadratic function $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ on a group G of period 2 is homogeneous. The monoid M is generated by $[\frac{\pm 1}{4}]$, U_2 and V_2 . Furthermore, the following relations hold in M :*

- (1) $2U_2 = 2V_2$
- (2 $^\pm$) $[\frac{\pm 1}{4}] \oplus [\frac{\pm 1}{4}] \oplus [\frac{\pm 1}{4}] = [\frac{\mp 1}{4}] \oplus V_2$
- (3 $^\pm$) $[\frac{\pm 1}{4}] \oplus [\frac{1}{4}] \oplus [\frac{-1}{4}] = [\frac{\pm 1}{4}] \oplus U_2$.

Proof. The relations (the isomorphisms) are straightforward. \square

Remark 2.29. In fact, the system of relations given above is complete, that is, any other relation is generated by the ones stated in Lemma 2.28 but we shall not use that fact.

For instance, we deduce from Lemma 2.28 the following relation:

$$(2.9) \quad 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = 4 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.5.3. Elements of order 2. Throughout this paragraph, $q : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a fixed nondegenerate quadratic function on a finite Abelian group and $\alpha \in G$ is an element of order 2.

Lemma 2.30. $G = \langle \alpha \rangle \oplus \langle \alpha \rangle^\perp$ if and only if $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$.

Proof. The order of $b_q(\alpha, \alpha)$ divides 2. Hence the restriction of b_q to the subgroup (of order 2) generated by α is nondegenerate if and only if $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$. Now apply Lemma 2.1. \square

Lemma 2.31. If $q(\alpha) = 0$ then $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. In particular, α does not generate an orthogonal summand in G .

Proof. We have $0 = q(2\alpha) = q(\alpha + \alpha) = 2q(\alpha) + b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = b_q(\alpha, \alpha)$. The last statement follows from Lemma 2.30. \square

The previous observation can be generalized as follows.

Lemma 2.32. Fix a maximal orthogonal splitting of (G, q) . For any orthogonal summand K_i of G , let α_i be the image of α by the orthogonal projection of G onto K_i . Denote by N the number of cyclic orthogonal summands K_i of order 2 generated by the α_i 's. Then $b_q(\alpha, \alpha)$, as an element in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, is equal to $N \bmod 2$.

It is not assumed that the summands of the orthogonal splitting of G are all cyclic.

Proof. By Lemma 2.30, for each i , $b_q(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ is non-zero if and only if α_i is a generator of the orthogonal summand K_i (which then must be of order 2). Since $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = \sum_i b_q(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$, the result follows. \square

Remark 2.33. It follows that the number $N \bmod 2$ of cyclic orthogonal summands K_i which are generated by α_i is an invariant of (G, b_q, α) . In particular, it is independent of the orthogonal splitting of G (which is not unique in general).

Lemma 2.34. The order of $q(\alpha)$ divides 4 and is maximal (equal to 4) if and only if α generates an orthogonal summand in G .

Proof. The order in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} of $q(\alpha)$ divides 4 since $4q(\alpha) = q(4\alpha) - 6b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. There exists $g \in G$ such that $q = g \cdot q_0$, where q_0 is homogeneous; then, $2q(\alpha) = 2q_0(\alpha)$ and it is sufficient to verify the second statement for homogeneous quadratic functions. If α generates an orthogonal summand in G , then $q(\alpha)$ has order 4 by direct computation. Conversely, if $2q(\alpha) \neq 0$, we deduce that $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = q(2\alpha) - 2q(\alpha) = 2q(\alpha) \neq 0$ and then apply Lemma 2.30. \square

2.5.4. Proof of the Fundamental Lemma. Let (G, q) be a nondegenerate quadratic function on a finite Abelian group and let $\alpha \in G$ be of order 2 and such that $q(\alpha) = 0$. We aim at proving that $\alpha \cdot q \sim q$. Here is the idea of the proof. If we were just looking for a permutation σ of G such that $q \circ \sigma = \alpha \cdot q$, we could simply choose the involution $(x \mapsto x + \alpha)$ since $q(x + \alpha) = q(x) + b_q(x, \alpha) + q(\alpha) = q(x) + b_q(\alpha, x) = (\alpha \cdot q)(x)$, $x \in G$. So it is sufficient to find $\phi \in \text{End}(G)$ such that

$\text{Id}_G + \phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $q(x + \phi(x)) = q(x + \alpha)$, for any $x \in G$. Such an endomorphism ϕ must satisfy $q(\phi(x)) + b_q(x, \phi(x)) = b_q(x, \alpha)$, for any $x \in G$. Various attempts to solve this equation (for ϕ) led to the proof below. We start with a particular case.

Lemma 2.35. *The Fundamental Lemma is true if q is a quadratic function over $(\frac{a}{2^k})$, E_0^k or E_1^k .*

In other words, we claim that Lemma 2.21 is true for three particular orbits.

Proof. Consider first the case $q \in \text{Quad}(\frac{a}{2^k})$. Then $k \geq 2$, otherwise G would be a group of order 2 generated by α , contradicting Lemma 2.31. So we can assume $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ with $k \geq 2$ and $\alpha = 2^{k-1} \bmod 2^k$; $q = g \cdot [\frac{a}{2^{k+1}}]$ for some $g \in G$. Then the map $x \mapsto (1 + 2^{k-1})x$ is the desired automorphism of \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} .

If $q \in \text{Quad}(E_j^k)$, then $q = g \cdot U_{2^k}$ or $q = g \cdot V_{2^k}$, where $g \in G = \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$. Then, $\alpha = (2^{k-1}, 0)$, $(0, 2^{k-1})$ or $(2^{k-1}, 2^{k-1})$. By possibly composing with the automorphism $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$, it suffices to consider the two cases $\alpha = (2^{k-1}, 0)$ and $\alpha = (2^{k-1}, 2^{k-1})$. Then one of the following automorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ will be the desired one:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{k-1} \\ 2^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 2^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last automorphism applies when $k = 1$ and $\alpha = (1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. \square

Proof of the Fundamental Lemma. Set $q' = \alpha \cdot q$. Denote by H the subgroup (of order 2) generated by α in G . We have $q'|_{H^\perp} = q|_{H^\perp}$. Let K be a minimal orthogonal summand of G such that $H \subset K$. Note that since $q(\alpha) = 0$, $H \neq K$ (otherwise H would be an orthogonal summand, contradiction with Lemma 2.31). To show that $q' \sim q$, it suffices to show that $q'|_K \sim q|_K$.

Decompose K orthogonally: $(K, q) = \oplus_{i \in I} (K_i, q|_{K_i})$ where each orthogonal summand $(K_i, q|_{K_i})$ is either a cyclic one or $\delta \cdot U_{2^k}$ or $\delta \cdot V_{2^k}$ for some $\delta \in K_i$. Decompose α accordingly: $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, where $\alpha_i \in K_i$. By assumption of minimality, α projects non-trivially on each K_i so each $\alpha_i \in K_i$ is an element of order 2. Suppose that $q(\alpha_i) = 0$. Then Lemma 2.35 yields an explicit isomorphism $q'|_{K_i} \sim q|_{K_i}$. Let $I_0 = \{i \in I \mid q(\alpha_i) = 0\}$ and $I_1 = \{i \in I \mid q(\alpha_i) \neq 0\}$ so that $I = I_0 \cup I_1$. It then suffices to show that $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in I_1} K_i} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in I_1} K_i}$. Note also that $q(\alpha) = 0$ implies that $\sum_{i \in I_1} q(\alpha_i) = 0$.

Let $i \in I_1$. It follows from Lemma 2.34 that $q(\alpha_i) \in \{\frac{\pm 1}{4} \bmod 1, \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1\}$. Denote by $I_\pm = \{i \mid q(\alpha_i) = \frac{\pm 1}{4} \bmod 1\}$. By Lemma 2.34, K_i is an orthogonal cyclic summand of order 2 generated by α_i if and only if $i \in I_+ \cup I_-$. Set $n_\pm = |I_\pm|$. From Lemma 2.31, we have $b_q(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. Thus by Lemma 2.32, the number of orthogonal cyclic summands K_i of order 2 must be even. Hence $n_+ + n_- \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. If $n_+ = n_-$ then $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \cup I_-} K_i} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \cup I_-} K_i}$. The automorphism of $\oplus_{i \in I_+ \cup I_-} K_i$ is induced by a permutation of the summands K_i . (Note that $q'|_{K_i} \sim q|_{K_i}$ and $1 \cdot [\frac{\pm 1}{4}] = [\frac{\pm 1}{4}]$ where $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.) Suppose now that $n_+ = n_- + 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. By symmetry, we can assume that $k > 0$. Choose a subset $S \subset I_+$ such that $|S| = |I_-|$. Then as in the case previously discussed, $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in S \cup I_-} K_i} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in S \cup I_-} K_i}$ where the automorphism of $\oplus_{i \in S \cup I_-} K_i$ is induced by a permutation on the summands K_i . If $k \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ then $|I_+ \setminus S| = 2k \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. Use relation (2.9) to conclude that $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \setminus S} K_i} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \setminus S} K_i}$. If $k \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ then $2k - 2$ is divisible by 4. Choose a subset $S' \subset I_+$, disjoint from S , such that $|S'| = 2k - 2$. Use relation (2.9) again to conclude that $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in S'} K_i} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in S'} K_i}$. The set $S'' = I_+ \setminus (S \cup S')$ consists of exactly two elements. Hence $q(\sum_{i \in S''} \alpha_i) = \sum_{i \in S''} q(\alpha_i) = 2 \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$. Since $\sum_{i \in I_1} q(\alpha_i) = 0$, there must exist an orthogonal summand $K' \subset \oplus_{i \notin I_+ \cup I_-} K_i \subset K$ such that $q|_{K'}(\alpha') = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$, where α' denotes the projection of α on K' . Note

that K' does not contain cyclic summands of order 2. We can take K' to be minimal (with respect to inclusion) so that K' is either cyclic (with order $|K'| \geq 4$) or $q|_{K'} = \delta \cdot U_{2^k}$ (or $q|_{K'} = \delta \cdot V_{2^k}$) for some $\delta \in K'$. Explicit isomorphisms

$$(2.10) \quad \left[\frac{-1}{4} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{-1}{4} \right] \oplus \underbrace{q|_{K'} \odot \alpha'}_{q'|_{K'}} \sim \left[\frac{1}{4} \right] \oplus \left[\frac{1}{4} \right] \oplus q|_{K'}$$

are given by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2^{k-1} & 2^{k-1} & 1 & 2^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

for K' cyclic and K' non-cyclic respectively. We conclude that $q'|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \cup I_-} K_i \oplus K'} \sim q|_{\oplus_{i \in I_+ \cup I_-} K_i \oplus K'}$. Now none of the remaining orthogonal summands K_i ($i \notin I_+ \cup I_-$ and $K_i \neq K'$) is cyclic of order 2. It remains to find an appropriate automorphism on the orthogonal sum of the remaining orthogonal summands. Let L be such an orthogonal summand. By the discussion above, $q(\alpha_L) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$ where α_L denotes the orthogonal projection of α on L . Hence there must exist another orthogonal summand M with the property that $q|_M(\alpha_M) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$, where α_M denotes the orthogonal projection of α on M . It suffices to verify that $q'|_{L \oplus M} \sim q|_{L \oplus M}$, that is $(\alpha_L \cdot q|_L) \oplus (\alpha_M \cdot q|_M) \sim q|_L \oplus q|_M$. Clearly $q|_L$ and $q|_M$ play symmetric roles. There are a finite number of cases to consider, according to whether L (resp. M) is cyclic or not.

Case 1: both L and M are cyclic. Denote by 2^l and 2^m their respective order. Then $q|_L \sim \delta \cdot [\frac{a}{2^{l+1}}]$, resp. $q|_M = \eta \cdot [\frac{b}{2^{m+1}}]$, where a, b are odd integers and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^l}$, $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$. It is enough to check that

$$(2.11) \quad q|_L \oplus q|_M \sim (2^{l-1} \cdot q|_L) \oplus (2^{m-1} \cdot q|_M)$$

since the only element of order 2 in \mathbb{Z}_{2^N} is $2^{N-1} \bmod 2^N$. The fact that $q|_L(2^{l-1}) = q|_{L'}(2^{m-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$ yields conditions on δ, η . Using those conditions, we see that the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ defined by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{l-1} \\ 2^{m-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is suitable.

Case 2: L is cyclic and M is not cyclic. Let 2^l be the order of L and let 2^m be the exponent of M . Then $q|_L \sim \delta \cdot [\frac{a}{2^{l+1}}]$ for some odd integer a and some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ and $q|_M \sim \eta \cdot W$ where W denotes U_{2^m} or V_{2^m} and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$. The unique element of order 2 in \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} is $2^{l-1} \bmod 2^l$. The elements of order 2 in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ are $(2^{m-1}, 0)$, $(0, 2^{m-1})$ and $(2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) \pmod{2^m}$. Subcase 1: $\alpha_M = (2^{m-1}, 0)$. Then we have to verify that

$$(2.12) \quad q|_L \oplus q|_M \sim (2^{l-1} \cdot q|_L) \oplus ((2^{m-1}, 0) \cdot q|_M).$$

Using the fact that $q|_L(2^{l-1}) = q|_M(2^{m-1}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$, we obtain conditions on δ, η . Using those conditions, we see that the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ given

by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2^{l-1} \\ 2^{m-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is suitable. Subcase 2: $\alpha_M = (0, 2^{m-1})$. Com-

pose the automorphism of the previous subcase with $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z, y)$. Subcase 3: $\alpha_M = (2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})$. We have to verify that:

$$(2.13) \quad q|_L \oplus q|_M \sim (2^{k-1} \cdot q|_L) \oplus ((2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) \cdot q|_M).$$

Using the fact that $q|_L(2^{l-1}) = q|_M(2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$, we obtain conditions on δ, η . As a consequence of those conditions, the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$

given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{l-1} & 2^{l-1} \\ 2^{m-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is suitable.

Case 3: neither L nor M is cyclic. Let 2^l (resp. 2^m) be the exponent of L (resp. of M). Then $q|_L \sim \delta \cdot W$ where W denotes U_{2^k} or V_{2^k} and $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l}$. Similarly $q|_M \sim \eta \cdot W'$ where W' denotes U_{2^m} or V_{2^m} and $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$.
Subcase 1: $\alpha_L = (2^{l-1}, 0)$, $\alpha_M = (2^{m-1}, 0)$. We have to verify that:

$$(2.14) \quad q|_L \oplus q|_M \sim ((2^{l-1}, 0) \cdot q|_L) \oplus ((2^{m-1}, 0) \cdot q|_M).$$

The fact that $q|_L(2^{l-1}, 0) = q|_M(2^{m-1}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \bmod 1$ implies conditions on δ, η . Using those conditions, we see that the automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$

given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^{l-1} & 0 & 2^{l-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{m-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is suitable.

Subcase 2: $\alpha_L = (2^{l-1}, 0)$, $\alpha_M = (2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})$. A suitable automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2^{l-1} & 2^{l-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{m-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{m-1} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Subcase 3: $\alpha_L = (2^{l-1}, 2^{l-1})$, $\alpha_M = (2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1})$. A suitable automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^l} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ is given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2^{l-1} & 2^{l-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 2^{l-1} & 2^{l-1} \\ 2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

All other subcases can be treated analogously – using the symmetric role played by k and l – or reduced to one of the three subcases mentioned above, by composing appropriately with permutations $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto (y, x, z, t)$ and $(x, y, z, t) \mapsto (x, y, t, z)$. \square

3. 3-MANIFOLDS WITH Spin^c -STRUCTURES

We begin with generalities on Spin^c -manifolds and specialize afterwards to dimension 3.

3.1. Complex spin structures. The homotopy-theoretical exposition of Spin^c -structures we give here, follows mutatis mutandis from an analogous description of Spin -structures given by Blanchet and Masbaum in [BM]. We first fix a few conventions. Vector bundles will be stabilized from left. If G is a group, $\omega_G : EG \rightarrow BG$ will denote the universal principal G -bundle. The map induced by a bundle morphism F on the base spaces is denoted by the corresponding lower case letter f . All manifolds are assumed to be compact, smooth and oriented. The real interval $[0, 1]$ will be denoted by I . Unless otherwise specified, all (co)homology groups are assumed to be with integer coefficients.

3.1.1. The Spin^c group. The Spin group is the 2-fold covering of the special orthogonal group SO :

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spin} \xrightarrow{\pi} SO \rightarrow 1.$$

The group Spin^c is defined by:

$$\text{Spin}^c = \frac{\text{Spin} \times U(1)}{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $[(-1, -1)]$, hence this short exact sequence of groups:

$$1 \longrightarrow U(1) \xrightarrow{j} \text{Spin}^c \xrightarrow{\rho} SO \longrightarrow 1,$$

where j sends z to $[(1, z)]$, and where ρ sends $[(x, z)]$ to $\pi(x)$. We obtain the following fibration for classifying spaces:

$$BU(1) \xrightarrow{Bj} B\mathrm{Spin}^c \xrightarrow{B\rho} BSO.$$

Denote by γ_{SO} the universal stable oriented vector bundle over BSO , and by γ_{Spin^c} the pull-back of γ_{SO} by $B\rho$. Analogously, for any $n \geq 1$, starting from $SO(n)$ we define the groups $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}^c(n)$.

3.1.2. Rigid Spin^c -structures. Let M be a n -manifold (assumed to be compact smooth and oriented by convention). We denote by T_M (resp. τ_M) its oriented (resp. and stable) tangent bundle.

Definition 3.1. A *rigid Spin^c -structure* on M is a vector bundle morphism $G : \tau_M \rightarrow \gamma_{\mathrm{Spin}^c}$ which is orientation-preserving on each fiber. A *Spin^c -structure* (or *complex spin structure*) on M is a homotopy class of rigid Spin^c -structures on M . We denote by $\mathrm{Spin}_r^c(M)$ the set of rigid Spin^c -structures on M , and by $\mathrm{Spin}^c(M)$ the set of its Spin^c -structures.

In the sequel, the letter β will stand for a Bockstein homomorphism associated to the following short exact sequence of coefficients:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We now recall a well-known fact concerning existence and parametrization of Spin^c -structures.

Proposition 3.2. *The manifold M can be given a Spin^c -structure if and only if the cohomology class:*

$$w(M) := \beta w_2(M) \in H^3(M)$$

vanishes, where $w_2(M)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class of M . If then, $\mathrm{Spin}^c(M)$ is an affine space over the Abelian group $H^2(M)$.

We use the multiplicative notation to denote this affine action. Thus, when $w(M) = 0$ and given $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(M)$, the unique $h \in H^2(M)$ such that $\sigma' = h \cdot \sigma$ is denoted by σ'/σ .

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $G : \tau_M \rightarrow \gamma_{\mathrm{Spin}^c}$ is a rigid Spin^c -structure on M . By composing G with the canonical morphism $\gamma_{\mathrm{Spin}^c} \rightarrow \gamma_{SO}$, we obtain a morphism $F : \tau_M \rightarrow \gamma_{SO}$. At the level of base spaces, $f : M \rightarrow BSO$ is a classifying map for τ_M and $g : M \rightarrow B\mathrm{Spin}^c$ is then a lift of f by $B\rho$. By construction of γ_{Spin^c} , the rigid Spin^c -structure G on M is equivalent to the data (F, g) where:

- (1) $F : \tau_M \rightarrow \gamma_{SO}$ is a morphism of oriented vector bundles,
- (2) g is a lift of f by $B\rho$.

Thus, giving us a Spin^c -structure on M is equivalent to giving us a homotopy class of such pairs (F, g) . Suppose now that *the bundle map F is fixed*. The space of bundle maps:

$$\mathrm{Map}_{SO}(\tau_M, \gamma_{SO})$$

is arc connected and contractible (see for instance [Hu, Ch. 7, Prop. 3.3] and [Hu, Ch. 7, Th. 3.4]). It can then be deduced from these two facts that giving us a Spin^c -structure on M is the same as giving us a lift g of f by $B\rho$, up to lift homotopy. This useful observation allows us to apply usual obstruction theory to the fibration $B\rho : B\mathrm{Spin}^c \rightarrow BSO$. This is a principal fibration with fiber $BU(1) \simeq BK(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)$, and with characteristic class $w := \beta w_2 \in H^3(BSO)$. The proposition then follows. \square

Let us now explain why our definition of Spin^c -structures agrees with the usual one.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that M comes equipped with a Riemannian metric and denote by F_M the bundle of its oriented orthonormal frames. Then a Spin^c -structure on M is equivalent to an isomorphism class of pairs (η, H) , where η is a principal $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ -bundle and where $H : \eta/U(1) \rightarrow F_M$ is an isomorphism of principal $SO(n)$ -bundles.*

Proof. Let (η, H) be such a pair: let us prove that it determines a rigid Spin^c -structure G on M by showing that it determines an equivalent data (F, g) , as described in the proof of Prop. 3.2. Pick a morphism of $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ -bundles $\eta \rightarrow \omega_{\text{Spin}^c(n)}$ which, when composed with the canonical map $\omega_{\text{Spin}^c(n)} \rightarrow \omega_{\text{Spin}^c}$, gives a certain $\tilde{G} : \eta \rightarrow \omega_{\text{Spin}^c}$. This \tilde{G} induces a morphism $\eta/U(1) \rightarrow \omega_{SO}$; by composing it with H^{-1} , we obtain $\tilde{F} : F_M \rightarrow \omega_{SO}$. The map \tilde{F} then induces a morphism $F : \tau_M \rightarrow \gamma_{SO}$. We put $g := \tilde{g}$, induced by \tilde{G} at the level of base spaces. Then, the assignation $(\eta, H) \mapsto (F, g) \equiv G$ induces the announced correspondance between the two definitions of Spin^c -structures. \square

3.1.3. Relative Spin^c -structures. Suppose in this paragraph that M is a n -manifold with non-empty boundary. We orient ∂M with the “outward normal vector first” rule; then since vector bundles are stabilized from left, the bundle $\tau_{\partial M}$ can be identified with $\tau_M|_{\partial M}$, hence a restriction map $\text{Spin}_r^c(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M)$.

Definition 3.4. For a fixed $s \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M)$, a Spin^c -structure on M relative to s is a homotopy class rel ∂M of rigid Spin^c -structures on M which extend s . We denote by $\text{Spin}^c(M, s)$ the set of such structures.

Obstruction theory can again be applied to obtain the following relative analog of Proposition 3.2:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $s \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M)$ be fixed. Then, s can be extended to M if and only if a certain cohomology class:*

$$w(M, s) \in H^3(M, \partial M)$$

vanishes. If then, $\text{Spin}^c(M, s)$ is an affine $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -space. Furthermore, the restriction of $w(M, s)$ to M is $w(M) = \beta w_2(M) \in H^3(M)$.

3.1.4. Orientation reversal. We denote by $-M$ the manifold obtained from M by reversing its orientation. There exists a map $\text{Spin}_r^c(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_r^c(-M)$ inducing a $H^2(M)$ -equivariant map:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xrightarrow{-} \text{Spin}^c(-M),$$

It is defined by composing rigid structures with the orthogonal reflection $\tau_{-M} \rightarrow \tau_M$ in the direction of the first stabilization.

Suppose that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ and let $s \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M)$. Pick a nonsingular section v of τ_M extending the outward normal vector field on ∂M (v is well-defined up to relative homotopy). By composing rigid structures with the orthogonal reflection $\tau_{-M} \rightarrow \tau_M$ in the direction of v , we obtain a map:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M, s) \xrightarrow{-} \text{Spin}^c(-M, -s),$$

which is $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -equivariant. It does not depend on v .

3.1.5. *From Spin to Spin^c.* Replacing Spin by Spin^c in what has been previously done, we can analogously define *rigid Spin-structures* and recover the usual Spin-structures: see [BM]³ for details. In particular, the bundle γ_{Spin} is defined to be the pull-back of γ_{SO} by $B\pi$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spin} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \text{Spin}^c \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \rho \\ & & SO \end{array}$$

where the morphism β is defined by $\beta(x) = [(x, 1)]$. We then have $B\pi = B\rho \circ B\beta$ at the level of classifying spaces, hence a bundle map $\gamma_{\text{Spin}} \rightarrow \gamma_{\text{Spin}^c}$, defined in the obvious way.

So, if M is a n -manifold, there exists a map $\text{Spin}_r(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_r^c(M)$. It induces a canonical map:

$$\text{Spin}(M) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

which is affine over the Bockstein homomorphism $\beta : H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M)$.

If now M has non-empty boundary and if $s \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M)$, there is also a map:

$$\text{Spin}(M, s) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Spin}^c(M, \beta(s)),$$

which is affine over the Bockstein homomorphism $\beta : H^1(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(M, \partial M)$.

3.1.6. *Chern classes.* Let M be a n -manifold and let $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$. Because of the groups homomorphism $\text{Spin}^c(n) \rightarrow U(1)$ defined by $[(x, y)] \mapsto y^2$ and since α induces an isomorphism class of principal $\text{Spin}^c(n)$ -bundles over M (by Lemma 3.3), α then determines an isomorphism class of principal $U(1)$ -bundles. We denote by $c(\alpha)$ its first Chern class.

Definition 3.6. The class $c(\alpha) \in H^2(M)$ is called the *Chern class of the Spin^c-structure α* . When $c(\alpha) \in \text{TH}^2(M)$, the torsion subgroup of $H^2(M)$, the Spin^c-structure α is said to be *torsion*.

Remark 3.7. The Chern class map $c : \text{Spin}^c(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$, is affine over the square map $H^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ defined by: $x \mapsto x^2$. It follows that $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$ comes from $\text{Spin}(M)$ by the map β defined at §3.1.5 if and only if $c(\alpha) = 0$.

3.2. **Spin^c-structures in dimension 3.** We now give a more specific treatment of Spin^c-structures in dimension 3. Manifolds are still supposed to be smooth compact and oriented. Note that any 3-manifold M can be given a Spin^c-structure since $w_2(M) = 0$.

3.2.1. *About $SO(3)$, $\text{Spin}(3)$ and $\text{Spin}^c(3)$.* Let \mathbb{H} be the quaternion algebra:

$$\mathbb{H} = \{q = a + b \cdot i + c \cdot j + d \cdot k : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and let \mathbb{R}^3 be the subspace of pure quaternions: $\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R} \cdot i + \mathbb{R} \cdot j + \mathbb{R} \cdot k$. The multiplicative group of unitary quaternions $\mathbf{S}^3 = \{q = a + b \cdot i + c \cdot j + d \cdot k : a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1\}$ can be identified with $SU(2)$ by:

$$q = a + b \cdot i + c \cdot j + d \cdot k \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a + b \cdot i & -d + c \cdot i \\ d + c \cdot i & a - b \cdot i \end{pmatrix}$$

³Rigid Spin-structures are called “ w_2 -structures” in [BM]. Following this terminology, our rigid Spin^c-structures might have been called “ βw_2 -structures”.

We then define an homomorphism $\pi : SU(2) \rightarrow SO(3)$, sending a unitary quaternion q to the transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(q) : \mathbb{R}^3 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ h &\mapsto qhq^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The map π is a connected two-fold covering. Hence we can put $\text{Spin}(3) = SU(2)$. Because of the isomorphism:

$$\frac{SU(2) \times U(1)}{\mathbb{Z}_2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} U(2)$$

sending $[(A, z)]$ to zA , we can then also put: $\text{Spin}^c(3) = U(2)$.

Remark 3.8. The canonical homomorphism $\beta : \text{Spin}(3) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(3)$ (§3.1.5) corresponds to the usual inclusion $SU(2) \hookrightarrow U(2)$, while the short exact sequence involving the groups $U(1)$, $\text{Spin}^c(3)$ and $SO(3)$ can be rewritten as:

$$1 \longrightarrow U(1) \xrightarrow{j} U(2) \xrightarrow{\rho} SO(3) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $j(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$ and where $\rho(A) = \pi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(A)}} \cdot A\right)$. Finally, the canonical homomorphism $\text{Spin}^c(3) \rightarrow U(1)$ (§3.1.6) is the determinant map $\det : U(2) \rightarrow U(1)$.

Lemma 3.9. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SO(2) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & U(1) & \hookrightarrow & U(2) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \rho \\ SO(3) & \xlongequal{\quad\quad\quad} & & & SO(3), \end{array}$$

Here, $SO(2)$ embeds into $SO(3)$ by $A \mapsto (1) \oplus A$, and $U(1)$ into $U(2)$ by: $A \mapsto A \oplus (1)$.

Proof. It is a short, straightforward calculation. \square

3.2.2. Relative Spin^c -structures in dimension 3. In the previous, rigid structures have naturally appeared as representatives for some homotopy classes. However, rigid Spin^c -structures and so, relative Spin^c -structures as they were defined, are not a natural object from a geometric-topological viewpoint. In dimension 3, this can be partially corrected.

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary and let $s_0, s_1 \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M)$ represent the same spin structure: $[s_0] = [s_1] \in \text{Spin}(\partial M)$. Then, the rigid Spin^c -structures $\beta(s_0)$ and $\beta(s_1)$ can be extended to M and there exists a canonical bijection:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M, \beta s_0) \xrightarrow{\rho_{s_0, s_1}} \text{Spin}^c(M, \beta s_1),$$

which is $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -equivariant.

Definition 3.11. Theorem 3.10 allows us to associate to any 3-manifold M with boundary and any $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(\partial M)$, the space of Spin^c -structures on M relative to σ . Denoted by:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma),$$

it is an affine $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -space.

Example 3.12. Let us consider the particular case when ∂M is a disjoint union of tori. The torus \mathbf{T}^2 has a *distinguished* Spin-structure: that one which is induced by its Lie group structure, we denote it by σ_0 . Thus, ∂M can be given the distinguished Spin-structure $\dot{\cup}\sigma_0$. It can be verified that the space $\text{Spin}^c(M, \dot{\cup}\sigma_0)$ is in canonical bijection with the space of relative Spin^c -structures defined by Turaev in [T5, §1.2].

Proof of Theorem 3.10. Let $w_2(M, s_i) \in H^2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ denote the obstruction to extend s_i to a rigid spin structure on M . It satisfies to:

$$\beta(w_2(M, s_i)) = w(M, \beta(s_i)) \in H^3(M, \partial M),$$

where $w(M, \beta(s_i))$ is the relative obstruction defined by Proposition 3.5. Thus, $w(M, \beta(s_i))$ is of order at most 2 and so vanishes since $H^3(M, \partial M)$ is torsion free.

We now prove the second statement. Let $(s_t)_{t \in I}$ denote a homotopy between s_0 and s_1 : s_t is a bundle morphism $\tau_{\partial M} \rightarrow \gamma_{\text{Spin}}$. Such a homotopy defines a rigid spin structure $s : \tau_{\partial M \times I} \rightarrow \gamma_{\text{Spin}}$ over $\partial M \times I$ by putting:

$$s|_{\tau_{\partial M \times I}|\partial M \times t} := s_t,$$

where $\tau_{\partial M \times I}|\partial M \times t$ is identified with $\tau_{\partial M}$. This defines a map:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M, \beta s_0) \xrightarrow{\rho_s} \text{Spin}^c(M, \beta s_1)$$

by the gluing formula: $\rho_s([u]) = [u \cup \beta s]$, where u is a rigid Spin^c -structure on M extending $\beta(s_0)$ (here we are identifying M with its “collar growing” $M \cup (\partial M \times I)$). Observe that ρ_s is $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -equivariant.

It suffices now to prove that if $(s'_t)_t$ is another homotopy between s_0 and s_1 , then $\rho_s = \rho_{s'}$. Putting $\rho_{s_0, s_1} := \rho_s$ will give the theorem. The image of:

$$\text{Spin}(\partial M \times I, (-s_0) \times 0 \cup s_1 \times 1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Spin}^c(\partial M \times I, (-\beta s_0) \times 0 \cup (\beta s_1) \times 1)$$

is a singleton since it is affine over $\beta : H^1(\partial M \times I, \partial M \times \partial I; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(\partial M \times I, \partial M \times \partial I)$ which is trivial (its codomain is isomorphic to the free Abelian group $H_1(\partial M)$). It then follows that the rigid Spin^c -structures βs and $\beta s'$ on $\partial M \times I$ are homotopic rel $\partial M \times \partial I$, so $\rho_s = \rho_{s'}$. \square

Remark 3.13. Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary and let $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(\partial M)$. We define:

$$\text{Spin}(M, \sigma) := \{\alpha \in \text{Spin}(M) : \alpha|_{\partial M} = \sigma\}.$$

One can show that there exists a canonical map:

$$\text{Spin}(M, \sigma) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma),$$

which is induced by the map $\text{Spin}(M, s) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(M, \beta(s))$, defined in §3.1.5, for an arbitrary $s \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M)$ representing σ .

3.2.3. Spin^c -structures as vector fields: the closed case. We now review the geometric Euler structures introduced by Turaev in [T3]. In this paragraph, we fix a closed 3-manifold M .

Definition 3.14. A *geometric Euler structure* on M is a nonsingular vector field tangent to M , up to punctured homotopy. Precisely, two nonsingular vector fields v and v' on M are considered as equivalent, when there exists a point $x \in M$ such that the restrictions of v and v' to $M \setminus x$ are homotopic among nonsingular vector fields on $M \setminus x$.

If a cellular decomposition of M is given, punctured homotopy coincides with homotopy on the 2-skeleton of M . From obstruction theory, we then deduce that geometric Euler structures exist (since $\chi(M) = 0$) and that they form an affine $H^2(M)$ -space denoted by:

$$\text{Vect}(M).$$

The affine action is denoted multiplicatively.

Lemma 3.15 (Turaev, [T4]). *There exists a canonical $H^2(M)$ -equivariant bijection:*

$$\text{Vect}(M) \xrightarrow{h_M} \text{Spin}^c(M).$$

Proof. Let v be a nonsingular vector field tangent to M . Endow M with a Riemannian metric. First, v determines a reduction of F_M to $SO(2)$ with respect to this injection $SO(2) \hookrightarrow SO(3)$ featured by Lemma 3.9: this reduction is F_{v^\perp} , the bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of the oriented vector bundle v^\perp , when this one is oriented with the right-hand rule (v =right thumb). Then, by the homomorphism $SO(2) \rightarrow U(2)$ of Lemma 3.9, F_{v^\perp} defines a principal $U(2)$ -bundle η . According to that lemma, this $U(2)$ -bundle can be accompanied with an isomorphism of principal $SO(3)$ -bundles $H : \eta/U(1) \rightarrow F_M$, and so defines (according to Lemma 3.3) a Spin^c -structure on M . That one only depends on the punctured homotopy class of v : so is defined $h_M([v])$. Moreover, one can verify that the assignation $([v] \mapsto h_M([v]))$ is $H^2(M)$ -equivariant; in particular, it is bijective. \square

There is a noteworthy involution of $\text{Vect}(M)$. Called *inversion* and denoted by $\xi \mapsto \xi^{-1}$, it is defined by $\xi^{-1} = [-v]$ if $\xi = [v]$.

Lemma 3.16. *For any $\xi \in \text{Vect}(M)$, we have:*

$$c(h_M(\xi)) = \frac{\xi}{\xi^{-1}} \in H^2(M).$$

Proof. Both of the maps $\text{Vect}(M) \rightarrow H^2(M)$ which are defined by $\xi \mapsto \xi/\xi^{-1}$ and by $\xi \mapsto c(h_M(\xi))$, are affine over the square map (according to [T3, Th. 5.3.1] and Remark 3.7). It then suffices to show the following implication:

$$(3.1) \quad (c(h_M(\xi)) = 1) \implies (\xi/\xi^{-1} = 1).$$

Give M a Riemannian metric. According to the last statement of Remark 3.8, the isomorphism class of principal $U(1)$ -bundles defined by the Spin^c -structure $h_M(\xi)$, is represented by F_{v^\perp} , so that $c(h_M(\xi)) = c_1(F_{v^\perp})$. Consequently, the class $c(h_M(\xi))$ is the obstruction to find a nonsingular section of T_M transverse to v . Assertion (3.1) then follows. \square

In the latter proof and by Remark 3.7, it appears that the image of $\text{Spin}(M)$ in $\text{Spin}^c(M)$ correspond to the Euler structures $\xi = [v]$ for which there exists a nonsingular tangent vector field to M transverse to v . Let us make this fact more precise. We denote by:

$$\text{Parall}(M)$$

the set of parallelizations of M , up to punctured homotopy. A parallelization of M is a trivialization $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ of the oriented vector bundle T_M .

Let V be a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. The space of trivializations of T_V on the 1-skeleton of V , which can be extended to the 2-skeleton, and considered up to homotopy, is empty or is parametrized by $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It can also be put in a canonical correspondance with $\text{Spin}(M)$ (see [Ki, Chap. 4]). Since $\pi_2(SO(3)) = 0$ and since M is of dimension 3, we obtain the following lemma which can be proved similarly to Lemma 3.15.

Lemma 3.17. *There exists a canonical and $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -equivariant bijection:*

$$\text{Parall}(M) \xrightarrow{h_M} \text{Spin}(M).$$

We define a map:

$$\text{Parall}(M) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Vect}(M).$$

by putting $\beta([e]) = [e_1]$, if $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is a parallelization of M . It then follows from the various definitions that:

Lemma 3.18. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Parall}(M) & \xrightarrow{h_M} & \text{Spin}(M) \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \text{Vect}(M) & \xrightarrow{h_M} & \text{Spin}^c(M). \end{array}$$

where the map $\beta : \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(M)$ has been defined in §3.1.5.

3.2.4. Spin^c -structures as vector fields: the boundary case. We now want to define some relative geometric Euler structures.

On the hand, all of the definitions and results of §3.2.3 are relative to T_M and work for any 3-dimensional oriented vector bundle. In particular, if S is a closed surface, they can be applied to $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_S$, where ϵ^i stands for the i -dimensional trivial vector bundle. Thus, we define:

$$\text{Vect}(S_{st}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Parall}(S_{st}),$$

to be respectively the set of nonsingular sections of $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_S$ and the set of trivializations of this oriented vector bundle, all of them being considered up to homotopy in S . Then, obstruction theory says that they are respectively affine spaces over $H^2(S)$ and $H^1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Some analogs of Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.17 state the existence of canonical affine isomorphisms:

$$\text{Vect}(S_{st}) \xrightarrow{h_S} \text{Spin}^c(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Parall}(S_{st}) \xrightarrow{h_S} \text{Spin}(S).$$

Finally, an analog of Lemma 3.18 states that they fit both together in a commutative diagram.

Example 3.19. The canonical section $v = (1, 0)$ of $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_S$ determines a Spin^c -structure $h_S([v])$ on S . Its Chern class is equal to the Euler class $e(S)$ of the surface S (since here $v^\perp = T_S \leq \epsilon^1 \oplus T_S$ for a product metric).

On the other hand, one can speak of rigid structures for any kind of structures which are defined as homotopy classes of something. Thus, there are rigid versions of $\text{Vect}(N)$ and $\text{Parall}(N)$, when $N = M$ is a 3-manifold or when $N = S_{st}$ with S a closed surface. These rigid versions are denoted with a decorating subscript “r”. Thus, if M is a 3-manifold with non-empty boundary and if $v \in \text{Vect}_r((\partial M)_{st})$ is a nonsingular section of $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_{\partial M} = T_M|_{\partial M}$, one can define:

$$\text{Vect}(M, v)$$

the space of *geometric Euler structures on M relative to v* . This space is empty or is an affine $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -space. In exactly the same way we obtained Theorem 3.10, we get:

Theorem 3.20. *Let M be a 3-manifold with non-empty boundary and let $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$, $e' = (e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$ be some homotopic trivializations of $T_M|_{\partial M}$. Then, the*

nonsingular sections e_1 and e'_1 of $T_M|_{\partial M}$ can be extended to M , and there exists a canonical bijection:

$$\text{Vect}(M, e_1) \xrightarrow{\rho_{e, e'}} \text{Vect}(M, e'_1),$$

which is $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -equivariant.

Definition 3.21. Theorem 3.10 allows us to associate to any 3-manifold with boundary and any $\rho \in \text{Parall}((\partial M)_{st})$, the space of *geometric Euler structures on M relative to ρ* . Denoted by:

$$\text{Vect}(M, \rho),$$

it is an affine $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -space.

Hence this relative version of Lemma 3.15 which may be proved similarly:

Lemma 3.22. *Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary and let $\rho \in \text{Parall}((\partial M)_{st})$. There exists then a canonical and $H^2(M, \partial M)$ -equivariant bijection:*

$$\text{Vect}(M, \rho) \longrightarrow \text{Spin}^c(M, h_{\partial M}(\rho)).$$

3.2.5. Relative Chern classes. We now define some relative versions of the Chern classes of Spin^c -structures (§3.1.6).

Lemma 3.23. *Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary and let $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(\partial M)$. There exists then a canonical map:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma) \xrightarrow{c} H^2(M, \partial M),$$

which is affine over the square map defined by $x \mapsto x^2$.

Definition 3.24. If $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma)$, $c(\alpha)$ is called the *Chern class of the relative Spin^c -structure α* .

Proof of Lemma 3.23. Let $\rho \in \text{Parall}((\partial M)_{st})$ correspond to σ by $h_{\partial M}$. In fact, we will define a map $c : \text{Vect}(M, \rho) \rightarrow H^2(M, \partial M)$ (and then apply Lemma 3.22).

Let $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ be a trivialization of $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_{\partial M}$ representing ρ and let $\xi \in \text{Vect}(M, e_1)$ be represented by v : v is a nonsingular tangent vector field to M whose restriction to ∂M coincides with e_1 . The vector field e_2 is a nonsingular section of T_M on ∂M transverse to v . We then define $c(\xi)$ to be the first obstruction to extend e_2 to a nonsingular section of T_M transverse to v ; we are then led to a map $\text{Vect}(M, e_1) \rightarrow H^2(M, \partial M)$. If e' is another representant of ρ , the analogously obtained map $\text{Vect}(M, e'_1) \rightarrow H^2(M, \partial M)$ coincide with the latter one via the isomorphism $\rho_{e, e'}$ involved in Th. 3.20. We then get a well-defined map c .

Let now $x \in H^2(M, \partial M)$ and suppose that its Poincaré dual $P^{-1}x \in H_1(M)$ is represented by a smooth oriented knot $L \subset \text{int}(M)$. Then, just as in the closed case, it can be shown that the geometric Euler structure $x \cdot \xi$ is represented by the vector field w obtained from v by *Reeb turbulentization* along L (see [T3, §5.2] or [T6, §1.1]). It is then not difficult to prove, by a direct calculus of obstruction in the oriented 3-manifold M using this concrete description of w , that $c([w]) = x^2 \cdot c([v])$. \square

Remark 3.25. For any $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma)$, the Chern class $c(\alpha)$ vanishes if and only if α comes from $\text{Spin}(M, \sigma)$ (Remark 3.13).

We now give a modulo 2 formula for these relative Chern classes. Recall that the cobordism group Ω_1^{Spin} is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 : the generator is \mathbf{S}^1 endowed with

the Spin-structure which is induced by its Lie group structure (see [Ki, p. 35, 36]). For a closed oriented surface S , Johnson constructs in [J] a canonical bijection:

$$\text{Spin}(S) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{q} \text{Quad}(\bullet)$$

between spin structures on M and quadratic forms over the modulo 2 intersection pairing \bullet of S . For any $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(S)$, the quadratic form $q_\sigma : H_1(S; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is defined as follows. If γ is an oriented simple closed curve on S , we have:

$$q_\sigma([\gamma]) = [(\gamma, \sigma|_\gamma)] \in \Omega_1^{\text{Spin}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Lemma 3.26. *Let M be a 3-manifold with boundary, $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(\partial M)$ and $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma)$. Then:*

$$\forall y \in H_2(M, \partial M), \quad \langle c(\alpha), y \rangle = q_\sigma(\partial_*(y)) \pmod{2},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes Kronecker evaluation, and where $\partial_* : H_2(M, \partial M) \rightarrow H_1(\partial M)$ is the connecting homomorphism of the pair $(M, \partial M)$.

Proof. The modulo 2 reduction of $c(\alpha)$ is:

$$w_2(M, \sigma) \in H^2(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z}_2),$$

which is the obstruction to extend σ to the whole manifold M . Let Σ be a connected immersed surface in M such that $\partial\Sigma = \partial M \cap \Sigma$, $\partial\Sigma$ has no singularity and Σ represents the modulo 2 reduction of y . Then, $\langle c(\alpha), y \rangle = \langle w_2(M, \sigma), [\Sigma] \rangle \pmod{2}$ is equal to $\langle w_2(\Sigma, \sigma|_{\partial\Sigma}), [\Sigma] \rangle$ and so is the obstruction to extend the Spin-structure $\sigma|_{\partial\Sigma}$ to the whole surface Σ . Since Σ is connected, this is the class of $(\partial\Sigma, \sigma|_{\partial\Sigma})$ in Ω_1^{Spin} . Thus, $\langle c(\alpha), y \rangle = q_\sigma([\partial\Sigma]) = q_\sigma(\partial_*(y)) \pmod{2}$. \square

Example 3.27. Suppose that M is a 3-manifold with a disjoint union of tori as boundary. We denote by $\rho_0 \in \text{Parall}((\mathbf{T}^2)_{st})$ this distinguished parallelization of the torus corresponding to its distinguished Spin-structure σ_0 (Example 3.12). Then, the geometric Euler structures on M relative to $\dot{\cup}\rho_0$, as introduced in Definition 3.21, correspond to the relative geometric Euler structures of Turaev (see [T3, §5.1] or [T6, §1.1]). In particular, Lemma 3.26 is a generalization of [T6, Lemma 1.3].

3.3. Gluing of Spin^c -structures. We are now ready to deal with the technical problem of gluing Spin^c -structures.

Let us consider two oriented compact smooth n -manifolds M_1 and M_2 , together with a positive diffeomorphism $f : -\partial M_2 \rightarrow \partial M_1$. Form the closed manifold:

$$M = M_1 \cup_f M_2.$$

The inclusion $M_i \hookrightarrow M$ will be denoted by j_i .

Lemma 3.28. *Let some rigid structures $s_1 \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M_1)$ and $s_2 \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M_2)$ be such that $f^*(s_1) = -s_2$. We suppose that the relative obstruction $w(M_i, s_i) \in H^3(M, \partial M)$ vanishes for $i = 1$ and 2. Then, the absolute obstruction $w(M)$ vanishes and there exists a gluing map:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_1, s_1) \times \text{Spin}^c(M_2, s_2) \xrightarrow{\cup_f} \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

which is affine over:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(M_1, \partial M_1) \oplus H^2(M_2, \partial M_2) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & H^2(M) \\ \downarrow P^{-1} \times P^{-1} & & \uparrow P \\ H_{n-2}(M_1) \oplus H_{n-2}(M_2) & \xrightarrow{j_{1,*} \oplus j_{2,*}} & H_{n-2}(M) \end{array}$$

where the letter P stands for a Poincaré duality isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\alpha_i \in \text{Spin}^c(M_i, s_i)$ be represented by a rigid structure a_i . Then, a_1 and a_2 can be glued by means of f : we obtain a rigid Spin^c -structure on M whose homotopy class does not depend on the choices of a_1 and a_2 in their respective classes α_1 and α_2 . We denote it by:

$$\alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(M).$$

Let us prove that the so obtained map \cup_f is affine.

The manifolds M_1 and M_2 being smooth, they are triangulable. Let \mathcal{C}_i be a triangulation of M_i for $i = 1$ and 2 , such that $\mathcal{C}_1|_{\partial M_1}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{C}_2|_{\partial M_2}$ by f . We denote by \mathcal{C}_i^* the cellular decomposition of M_i dual to the triangulation \mathcal{C}_i .

On the one hand, we consider the *union* \mathcal{C} of the triangulations \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 : a simplex of \mathcal{C} is a simplex of \mathcal{C}_i for $i = 1$ or 2 , and simplices on ∂M_1 are identified with simplices on ∂M_2 by f . On the other hand, we consider the *gluing* \mathcal{C}^* of the cellular decompositions \mathcal{C}_1^* and \mathcal{C}_2^* : a cell of \mathcal{C}^* either is a cell of \mathcal{C}_i^* which does not intersect ∂M_i , either is the gluing by f of a cell belonging to \mathcal{C}_1^* with a cell of \mathcal{C}_2^* along a face lying in ∂M_i . Then, \mathcal{C} is a triangulation of M and \mathcal{C}^* is its dual cellular decomposition. Cohomology will be calculated with \mathcal{C} while homology will be computed with \mathcal{C}^* .

Let $\alpha_i, \alpha'_i \in \text{Spin}^c(M_i, s_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2$ and $\alpha' = \alpha'_1 \cup_f \alpha'_2$. We want to prove this equality:

$$(3.2) \quad j_{1,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha'_1} \right) + j_{2,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha'_2} \right) = P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'} \right) \in H_{n-2}(M).$$

Let $a_i, a'_i \in \text{Spin}^c_r(M_i)$ be some respective representatives for α_i and α'_i which coincides on the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{C}_i (and, of course, on ∂M_i). We have fixed a bundle morphism $\tau_{M_i} \rightarrow \gamma_{SO}$ and, as in the proof of Prop. 3.2, we identify the rigid structure a_i and a'_i with some lifts $M_i \rightarrow B\text{Spin}^c$ of the corresponding map $M_i \rightarrow BSO$ at the level of base spaces. Then $\alpha_i/\alpha'_i \in H^2(M_i, \partial M_i)$ is the class of the 2-cocycle which assigns to each 2-cell e_k^i of \mathcal{C}_i outside ∂M_i , this element z_k^i of $\pi_2(BU(1)) \simeq \pi_2(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ obtained by gluing $a_i|_{e_k^i}$ and $a'_i|_{e_k^i}$ along ∂e_k^i . Then, $P^{-1}(\alpha_i/\alpha'_i) = \left[\sum_k z_k^i \cdot e_k^{*,i} \right]$ where $e_k^{*,i}$ denotes the $(n-2)$ -cell dual to e_k^i .

Moreover, $a := a_1 \cup_f a_2$ and $a' := a'_1 \cup_f a'_2$ respectively represent α and α' . Similarly, using these rigid structures, we can describe explicitly a 2-cocycle representing α/α' . This 2-cocycle sends any 2-cell of $\mathcal{C}_1 \cup_f \mathcal{C}_2$ contained in $\partial M_1 \cong -\partial M_2$ to $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $P^{-1}(\alpha/\alpha')$ is represented by $\sum_k z_k^1 \cdot e_k^{*,1} + \sum_k z_k^2 \cdot e_k^{*,2}$. \square

We now come back to the dimension $n = 3$. Here is the gluing lemma which we will practice.

Corollary 3.29. *Let $\sigma_1 \in \text{Spin}(\partial M_1)$ and $\sigma_2 \in \text{Spin}(\partial M_2)$ be such that $f^*(\sigma_1) = -\sigma_2$. There exists then a canonical gluing map*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_1, \sigma_1) \times \text{Spin}^c(M_2, \sigma_2) \xrightarrow{\cup_f} \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

which is affine over

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(M_1, \partial M_1) \oplus H^2(M_2, \partial M_2) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & H^2(M) \\ \downarrow P^{-1} \times P^{-1} & & \uparrow P \\ H_1(M_1) \oplus H_1(M_2) & \xrightarrow{j_{1,*} \oplus j_{2,*}} & H_1(M) \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $\alpha_i \in \text{Spin}^c(M, \sigma_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), the following identity between Chern classes holds:

$$P^{-1}c(\alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2) = j_{1,*}P^{-1}c(\alpha_1) + j_{2,*}P^{-1}c(\alpha_2) \in H_1(M).$$

Proof. According to Th. 3.10, the relative obstructions vanish: the first statement is a direct application of Lemma 3.28 and definition of Spin^c -structures relative to Spin-structures. The second statement is again a calculus of gluing of obstructions in oriented manifolds and its proof uses the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.28. \square

Remark 3.30. If M is obtained from M_1 and M_2 by gluing them along only part of their boundaries (so that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$), there are relative versions of Lemma 3.28 and Corollary 3.29 (involving Spin^c -structures on M relative to Spin-structures).

3.4. Combinatorial descriptions associated to surgery presentations in \mathbf{S}^3 . In this paragraph, we fix an ordered oriented framed n -component link L in \mathbf{S}^3 and we denote by $B_L = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ the linking matrix of L . We call V_L the 3-manifold obtained from \mathbf{S}^3 by surgery along L and we denote by W_L the trace of the surgery. So:

$$V_L = \partial W_L \quad \text{with:} \quad W_L = \mathbf{D}^4 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{D}^2)_i,$$

where the 2-handle $(\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{D}^2)_i$ is attached by embedding $-(\mathbf{S}^1 \times \mathbf{D}^2)_i$ into $\mathbf{S}^3 = \partial \mathbf{D}^4$ in accordance with the specified framing and orientation of L_i .

The group $H_2(W_L)$ is then free Abelian of rank n . It is given the *preferred* basis $([S_1], \dots, [S_n])$. Here, the closed surface S_i is taken to be $(\mathbf{D}^2 \times 0)_i \cup (-\Sigma_i)$, where Σ_i is a Seifert surface for L_i in \mathbf{S}^3 which has been push-off into the interior of \mathbf{D}^4 as shown in Figure 3.1. Also, $H^2(W_L)$ will be identified with $\text{Hom}(H_2(W_L), \mathbb{Z})$ by




FIGURE 3.1. The preferred basis of $H_2(W_L)$.

Kronecker evaluation, and will be given the dual basis. In the sequel, we simplify our notation by putting $H = H_2(W_L)$ (so that $H^2(W_L)$ is identified with H^*) and by denoting $f : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ the intersection pairing of W_L ; recall that the matrix of f relatively to the preferred basis of H is B_L . Since (H, f) is a symmetric bilinear lattice, it can be applied the results of §2.2.

3.4.1. *Combinatorial description of Spin-structures.* We begin by recalling a combinatorial description of $\text{Spin}(V_L)$ due to Blanchet ([B]). Define the set:

$$\mathcal{S}_L = \left\{ [r] = ([r_i])_{i=1}^n \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n : \forall i = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} r_j \equiv b_{ii} \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

The elements of \mathcal{S}_L are called *characteristic solutions of B_L* . Here, \mathcal{S}_L is referred to as the *combinatorial description of $\text{Spin}(V_L)$* , as justified by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.31. *There are canonical bijections:*

$$\text{Spin}(V_L) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Wu}(f)/2H \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{S}_L.$$

A refined Kirby theorem dealing with surgery presentations of closed Spin-manifolds of dimension 3 can then be derived from this lemma (see [B, Th. (I.1)]).

Proof of Lemma 3.31. The preferred basis of H induces an isomorphism $H/2H \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$: the bijection between $\text{Wu}(f)/2H$ and \mathcal{S}_L is obtained by this way.

We now describe a bijection between $\text{Spin}(V_L)$ and $\text{Wu}(f)/2H$. Let σ be a Spin-structure on V_L , the obstruction $w_2(W_L, \sigma)$ to extend σ to W_L belongs to the group $H^2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq H/2H$. Since $w_2(W_L, \sigma)$ goes to the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(W_L)$ by the restriction map $H^2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^2(W_L; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, a representative for $w_2(W_L, \sigma)$ in H has to be a Wu class for f . \square

3.4.2. *Combinatorial description of Spin^c -structures.* We now give a Spin^c analogue of the previous paragraph. For this, we define the set:

$$\mathcal{S}_L^c = \frac{\{s = (s_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \forall i = 1, \dots, n, s_i \equiv b_{ii} \pmod{2}\}}{2 \cdot \text{Im } B_L},$$

which is referred to here as the *combinatorial description of $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$* . Indeed, we have:

Lemma 3.32. *There are canonical bijections:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(V_L) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{S}_L^c.$$

Proof. The preferred basis of H defines an isomorphism $H^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$, which induces a bijection between $\text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f}$ and \mathcal{S}_L^c .

The restriction map $\text{Spin}^c(W_L) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ is affine over the map $H^2(W_L) \rightarrow H^2(V_L)$ induced by inclusion. By exactness of the pair (W_L, V_L) , the latter is surjective and its kernel coincides with the image of $\hat{f} : H \rightarrow H^*$ (by Poincaré duality). Moreover, since $H^2(W_L)$ is free Abelian, a Spin^c -structure α on W_L is determined by its Chern class $c(\alpha) \in H^2(W_L) \simeq H^*$. Such a form has to be a characteristic form for f since its modulo 2 reduction coincides with the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(W_L) \in H^2(W_L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Z}_2)$. There is then a bijection between $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ and $\text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f}$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto c(\tilde{\sigma})$ where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an extension of σ to W_L . \square

Concretely, in a surgery diagram for the Spin^c -manifold (V_L, σ) , we draw the framed link L with the blackboard framing convention and we add a decorating integer s_i to each component L_i of L so that the multi-integer $s = (s_i)$ is a combinatorial representant for the Spin^c -structure σ .

We now give the corresponding refined Kirby theorem dealing with surgery presentations of closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3.

Theorem 3.33. *Let L and L' be some ordered oriented framed links in \mathbf{S}^3 , and let $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^c$ and $[s'] \in \mathcal{S}_{L'}^c$, correspond respectively to $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ and $\sigma' \in \text{Spin}^c(V_{L'})$. Then, the manifolds (V_L, σ) and $(V_{L'}, \sigma')$ are Spin^c -diffeomorphic if, and only if, the pairs $(L, [s])$ and $(L', [s'])$ are related one to another by a finite sequence of the moves depicted on Figure 3.2.*

* Stabilization:

$$L \longleftrightarrow L \amalg \begin{array}{c} \text{+1} \\ \text{+1} \end{array}$$

$$L \longleftrightarrow L \amalg \begin{array}{c} \text{-1} \\ \text{-1} \end{array}$$

* Orientation reversal:

$$\begin{array}{c} s_i \\ \text{+} \end{array} \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} -s_i \\ \text{-} \end{array}$$

* Handle sliding:

$$\begin{array}{c} (i \neq j) \\ s_i \quad s_j \end{array} \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{c} s_i \quad s_i + s_j \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3.2. Spin^c -Kirby moves

Proof. This follows from the usual Kirby theorem. It suffices to show that, for each Kirby move $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, the corresponding canonical diffeomorphism $V_{L_1} \rightarrow V_{L_2}$ acts at the level of Spin^c -structures as combinatorially described on Figure 3.2. This is a straightforward verification. \square

Example 3.34. Look at the *slam dunk* move, depicted on Figure 3.3, between surgery presentations in \mathbf{S}^3 of closed Spin^c -manifolds. Here, we are considering the ordered union $L \cup (K_1, K_2)$ of a n -component ordered oriented framed link L with a framed oriented knot K_1 together with its meridian K_2 . The move is:

$$(L \cup (K_1, K_2), [(s_1, \dots, s_n, y, 0)]) \longleftrightarrow (L, [(s_1, \dots, s_n)]),$$


where y is the framing number of K_1 . It can be shown by rewriting the proof of [FR, Lemma 5] with Spin^c -Kirby calculi.

Remark 3.35. As follows from the following commutative diagram with exact rows, there is a canonical isomorphism $\text{Coker } \hat{f} = H^*/\text{Im } \hat{f} \rightarrow H^2(V_L)$ which we denote by v :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(W_L, V_L) & \longrightarrow & H^2(W_L) & \longrightarrow & H^2(V_L) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow \simeq P & & \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq v & & \\ H & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & H^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \hat{f} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The affine action of $H^2(V_L)$ on $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ writes then combinatorially:

$$\forall [x] \in \text{Coker } \hat{f}, \forall [c] \in \text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f}, \quad [x] \cdot [c] = [c + 2x].$$

FIGURE 3.3. A Spin^c -version of the slam dunk move.

Also, the Chern clas map $\text{Spin}^c(V_L) \rightarrow H^2(V_L)$ is combinatorially described by the map $\text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}$ defined by $c([c]) = [c]$.

Therefore, as follows from Lemma 3.16, the involution of $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ defined in §3.2.3 corresponds to the involution of $\mathcal{S}_L^c \simeq \text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f}$ given by: $[s] \mapsto [-s]$.

3.4.3. From Spin to Spin^c in a combinatorial way. We now relate the combinatorial description of $\text{Spin}(V_L)$ with that of $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$.

Lemma 3.36. *The canonical map $\beta : \text{Spin}(V_L) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ is combinatorially described by the map $\beta : \text{Wu}(f)/2H \rightarrow \text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \hat{f}$ given by $\beta([w]) = [\hat{f}(w)]$ or, equivalently, by the map $\beta : \mathcal{S}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_L^c$ defined by $\beta([r]) = [B_L \cdot r]$.*

Proof. Take $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(V_L)$ and let $r_\sigma \in H^2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be an integer representative for the obstruction $w_2(W_L, \sigma) \in H^2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n$ to extend σ to W_L . Let also $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ be an extension of $\beta(\sigma) \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$. Then, the lemma will follow from the fact that r_σ goes to $c(\tilde{\sigma})$ by the natural map $H^2(W_L, V_L) \rightarrow H^2(W_L)$ when $\tilde{\sigma}$ is appropriately chosen with respect to r_σ . It can be proved indirectly as follows. In case when σ can be extended to W_L , this is certainly true: indeed, we can then take $r_\sigma = 0$ and we may choose as $\tilde{\sigma}$ the image by β of the unique extension of σ to W_L , so that $c(\tilde{\sigma}) = 0$. The general case can be reduced to this particular one for the following two reasons. First, it is easily verified that for each Kirby move $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ between oriented framed links, the induced bijections $\mathcal{S}_{L_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L_2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{L_1}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L_2}^c$, which are respectively described in [B, Th. (I.1)] and Th. 3.33, are compatible with the maps $\mathcal{S}_{L_k} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{L_k}^c$ ($k = 1, 2$) defined by $\beta([r]) = [B_L \cdot r]$. Second, according to a theorem of Kaplan ([Ka]), there exists an oriented framed link L' in \mathbf{S}^3 related to L by a finite sequence of Kirby moves through which $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(V_L)$ goes to $\sigma' \in \text{Spin}(V_{L'})$ such that σ' can be extended to $W_{L'}$. \square

4. QUADRATIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO 3-MANIFOLD WITH Spin^c -STRUCTURES

Gille has shown in [Gi] (see also [De2]) that, for a closed oriented 3-manifold, torsion Spin^c -structures are in a canonical one-to-one correspondance with quadratic functions over its torsion linking pairing. We now aim at generalizing this result to all Spin^c -structures, not only those of torsion.

4.1. A 4-dimensional definition. Let M be a closed oriented connected 3-manifold and let $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$. In this paragraph, we define a quadratic function:

$$H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{M, \sigma}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

using a surgery presentation of M . Let us choose an ordered oriented framed link L in \mathbf{S}^3 together with a positive diffeomorphism $\psi : V_L \rightarrow M$. We maintain the notations fixed in §3.4; recall in particular that (H, f) stands for the bilinear lattice $(H_2(W_L), \text{intersection pairing in } W_L)$ and that it can be applied the results of §2.2.

4.1.1. *A combinatorial description of $H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.*

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a canonical isomorphism:*

$$\frac{H^\sharp}{H} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa} H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & & 0 \\ & & & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow d & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{c} & H_2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Q}) \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow a & & \uparrow b \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}) \\ & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

H^\sharp is the subspace of $H \otimes \mathbb{Q} = H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q})$ comprising those $x \in H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $c(x) \in H_2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Q})$ satisfies: $\forall y \in H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z}), c(x) \bullet a(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$, where \bullet is the rational intersection pairing in W_L . So H^\sharp is $c^{-1}b(H_2(W_L, V_L; \mathbb{Z}))$. Seeing $H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ as a subgroup of $H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, we deduce the announced isomorphism from the map d . \square

Recall that the quotient group H^\sharp/H is denoted by G_f in §2.2 and that it can be involved in the short exact sequence (2.4). We now interpret this sequence as a universal coefficients short exact sequence for V_L (in particular, we thus recover the fact that it is split). We denote by B the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the following short exact sequence of coefficients:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 4.2. *(Using the previous notations) The following diagram⁴ is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f & \longrightarrow & G_f & \longrightarrow & \text{T Coker } \widehat{f} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \kappa| \simeq & & \downarrow \kappa \simeq & & \downarrow v \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[-P \circ B]{} & T(H^2(V_L)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the isomorphisms κ and v are respectively defined in Lemma 4.1 and Remark 3.35.

⁴Be careful of the minus sign.

Proof. Commutativity of the left square is equivalent to the equality:

$$(4.1) \quad \kappa \left(\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f \right) = H_2(V_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \subset H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Recall that $\text{Ker } \widehat{L}_f = \left(\text{Ker } \widehat{f}_{\mathbb{Q}} + H \right) / H = (H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}) + H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z})) / H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z})$ where the groups $H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Z})$ are seen here as subgroups of $H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q})$. Then, by definition of κ and by the commutative diagram in the proof of Lemma 4.1, (4.1) will follow from the fact that the image of the canonical map $H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ coincides with that of $H_2(V_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. But this can be deduced from the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

We now derive commutativity of the right square from commutativity of the left one in the following way. We start with $x \in TH_1(V_L) \simeq TH^2(V_L)$ and we represent it by a 1-cycle X . Let Y be a relative 2-cycle in (W_L, V_L) such that $\partial Y = X$ and let $y = [Y] \in H_2(W_L, V_L)$. Let also $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$ such that $n \cdot x = 0$, and let S be a 2-chain in V_L with boundary $n \cdot X$. We then define a 2-cycle in W_L by setting $U = n \cdot Y - S$ and we define $u = [U] \in H = H_2(W_L)$.

First, since the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(W_L, V_L) & \xrightarrow{\partial_*} & H_1(V_L) \\ \downarrow P & & \downarrow P \\ H^2(W_L) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^2(V_L), \end{array}$$

we have $v([P(y)]) = P(x)$.

Second, $\frac{1}{n}u \in H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ belongs to the dual lattice H^\sharp : indeed, $P^{-1}\widehat{f}(u) = i_*(u) \in H_2(W_L, V_L)$ equals $n \cdot y$ so that $\widehat{f}(u) = n \cdot P(y)$. Moreover, we have then: $\widehat{f}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\frac{1}{n}u\right)|_H = P(y)$. Since, the map $G_f \rightarrow \text{T Coker } \widehat{f}$ featured by the short exact sequence (2.4) is defined by $[x] \mapsto \left[\widehat{f}_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)|_H\right]$, it sends $\left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot u\right]$ to $[P(y)]$.

Third, $[S \otimes \left[\frac{1}{n}\right]] \in H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is well-defined and goes by B to x .

Finally, the canonical map $H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(W_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(W_L) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ sends $\frac{1}{n}u$ to $u \otimes \frac{1}{n} = [(n \cdot Y - S) \otimes \left[\frac{1}{n}\right]]$. Consequently, we get $\kappa\left(\left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot u\right]\right) = -[S \otimes \left[\frac{1}{n}\right]]$. Putting those four facts all together, we conclude the proof of the lemma. \square

4.1.2. Definition of $\phi_{M,\sigma}$. Let $c \in \text{Char}(f)$ represent $\psi^*(\sigma) \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ as explained in §3.4.2. To the bilinear lattice with characteristic form (H, f, c) , we can associate (see §2.2) a quadratic function $\phi_{f,c} : G_f \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $\phi_{f,c}$ only depends on the class $[c]$ of c in $\text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im } \widehat{f}$.

Definition 4.3. *The quadratic function associated to the Spin^c -manifold (M, σ) is the following composition:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\psi_*} & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa^{-1}} & G_f \xrightarrow{-\phi_{f,c}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ & & \cdots \phi_{M,\sigma} \cdots & & \end{array}$$

Proof of the well-definition of $\phi_{M,\sigma}$. We have to verify that Definition 4.3 does not depend on the choice of the surgery presentation. Suppose that $\psi' : V_{L'} \rightarrow M$ is another surgery presentation; quantities with a prime will be relative to this presentation. According to the Kirby theorem, there exists a path of Kirby moves from L to L' inducing a diffeomorphism $h : V_L \rightarrow V_{L'}$ isotopic to $(\psi')^{-1} \circ \psi$; we have to verify the commutativity of the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa^{-1}} & \frac{H^\#}{H} \\ \downarrow \simeq h_* & & \searrow -\phi_{f,c} \\ & & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ & \nearrow -\phi_{f',c'} & \\ H_2(V_{L'}; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa'^{-1}} & \frac{H'^\#}{H'} \end{array}$$

where $c' \in \text{Char}(f')$ is representative for $(\psi')^*(\sigma)$. Equivalently, define the isomorphism $t : G_f \rightarrow G_{f'}$ by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_f & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa} & H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow h_* \\ G_{f'} & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\kappa'} & H_2(V_{L'}; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

we then have to verify that: $\phi_{f,c} = \phi_{f',c'} \circ t$. We can suppose that L and L' are related by one single Kirby move.

If this Kirby move is an orientation reversal, this is then obvious since $\psi = \psi'$ and $(H', f') = (H, f)$ (the only change is the identification $H \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$), and we may take $c' = c$.

If this is a handle slide move, the diffeomorphism h is induced by a diffeomorphism $W_L \rightarrow W_{L'}$, inducing itself an isomorphism $T : H \rightarrow H'$ making thus f and f' commute. Note that the above defined t is induced by T . Also T induces its dual $T^* : (H')^* \rightarrow H^*$ which in turn induces a bijection $\text{Char}(f') \rightarrow \text{Char}(f)$. Note that c' can be chosen to be the inverse image of c by T^* . Then, for all $[x] \in H^\# / H$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{f',c'}(t([x])) &= \phi_{f',c'}([T_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (f'_{\mathbb{Q}}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}(x), T_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)) - c'_{\mathbb{Q}}(T_{\mathbb{Q}}(x))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot (f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x, x) - c_{\mathbb{Q}}(x)) \\ &= \phi_{f,c}([x]) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if the Kirby move is a stabilization, we then have $H' = H \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $f' = f \oplus (\pm 1)$ and a formula for t is: $\forall [x] \in H^\# / H$, $t([x]) = [(x, 0)]$. As a $c' \in (H')^*$, we can take $c \oplus \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (by Theorem 3.33). We then obtain immediately that $\phi_{f',c'}(t([x])) = \phi_{f,c}([x])$, thus concluding the proof of the well-definition of $\phi_{M,\sigma}$. \square

Example 4.4. For instance, suppose that M is a rational homology sphere and that L is *algebraically split*, that is, the linking matrix $B_L = (b_{ij})_{ij}$ is diagonal.

Denote by m_i the meridian of L_i oriented so that: $\text{lk}_{\mathfrak{S}^3}(L_i, m_i) = +1$ and let $[m_i] \in H_1(M) = \text{TH}_1(M) \simeq H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be its homology class. Let also $s \in \mathcal{S}_L^c \simeq \text{Char}(f)/2 \cdot \text{Im} \hat{f}$ represent the Spin^c -structure σ . It can then be verified from the definitions that:

$$(4.2) \quad \phi_{M,\sigma}([m_i]) = -\frac{1}{2b_{ii}}(1 + s_i) \bmod 1.$$

Definition 4.5. The *linking pairing* on M :

$$L_M : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is the composition of the torsion linking pairing $\lambda_M : \text{TH}_1(M) \times \text{TH}_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ with $B \times B$.

The map L_M is thus a symmetric bilinear form, possibly degenerate with $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ as radical.

Lemma 4.6. $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ is a quadratic function over L_M , that is:

$$\forall x, y \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \quad \phi_{M,\sigma}(x + y) - \phi_{M,\sigma}(x) - \phi_{M,\sigma}(y) = L_M(x, y).$$

Proof. It is well-known that the linking pairing λ_M can be calculated from the surgery presentation $\psi : V_L \rightarrow M$ as follows. On the one hand, the isomorphism $H^2(V_L) \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}$ described in Remark 3.35, together with ψ and the Poincaré duality, induces an isomorphism $\text{TH}_1(M) \rightarrow \text{T Coker } \hat{f}$; on the other hand, we considered in §2.2 a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $\lambda_f : \text{T Coker } \hat{f} \times \text{T Coker } \hat{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then, λ_M corresponds to $-\lambda_f$ via that isomorphism $\text{TH}_1(M) \rightarrow \text{T Coker } \hat{f}$. So, according to Lemma 4.2, the map L_M is determined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{L_M} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \downarrow \psi_* \times \psi_* & & \parallel \\ H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & & \\ \uparrow \kappa \times \kappa & & \\ G_f \otimes G_f & \xrightarrow{-L_f} & \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \end{array}$$

where the form L_f has been introduced in §2.2. The lemma then follows from the fact that $\phi_{f,c}$ is a quadratic function over L_f . \square

Next, we investigate the propertie of the map:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xrightarrow{\phi_M} \text{Quad}(L_M),$$

defined by $\sigma \mapsto \phi_{M,\sigma}$.

4.2. Properties of ϕ_M . In the sequel, we fix a connected closed oriented 3-manifold M . Next lemma says that, for any Spin^c -structure σ on M , the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ is determined on $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by the Chern class $c(\sigma)$; note that if $x \in H_2(M)$, then $\langle c(\sigma), x \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ is even since the mod 2 reduction of $c(\sigma)$ is $w_2(M) = 0$.

Lemma 4.7. If $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$, then $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ is linear on $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, and we have

$$\forall x \otimes [r] \in H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad \phi_{M,\sigma}(x \otimes [r]) = \frac{\langle c(\sigma), x \rangle}{2} \cdot [r].$$

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that $\widehat{\text{Ker}}(L_M) = H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. As for the second statement, it suffices to show it when $M = V_L$; suppose that σ is represented by the characteristic form $c \in \text{Char}(f)$ and that $x \in H_2(V_L)$ goes to y in $H = H_2(W_L)$. Then, $x \otimes [r]$ as an element of $H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to $[r \cdot y]$ in H^\sharp/H . We then have: $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x \otimes [r]) = -\phi_{f,c}([r \cdot y]) = -\frac{1}{2}(r^2 f(y, y) - r \cdot c(y)) \pmod{1}$, but since $y \in \widehat{\text{Ker}} f$, we obtain: $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x \otimes [r]) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot r \cdot c(y) \pmod{1}$, and according to Remark 3.35, $c(y) = \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle$. \square

Remark 4.8. If $\sigma \in \text{T Spin}^c(M)$, $c(\sigma)$ is torsion and Lemma 4.7 implies that $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ vanishes on $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and so $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ factorizes to a quadratic function over λ_M . In this particular case, our construction is readily seen to agree with that of [De2] and, up to a minus sign, with that of [Gi] (see Remark 2.7).

In particular, if σ is induced by a Spin-structure on M via the canonical map $\beta : \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(M)$, then the factorization of $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ to $\text{TH}_1(M)$ coincides with the quadratic form defined in [LL], [MS] or [T2]. In [Mas], this quadratic form is shown to play a basic role in the Goussarov-Habiro finite type invariants theory for manifolds with Spin-structures.

Lemma 4.9. *If $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$, the homogeneity defect of the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$:*

$$\phi_{M,\sigma} : H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

is then defined by $x \mapsto \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle$.

Proof. Again suppose that $M = V_L$ and that σ is represented by $c \in \text{Char}(f)$. Take $x \in H_2(V_L; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ represented by $y \in H^\sharp$, then $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x) - \phi_{M,\sigma}(-x) = -\phi_{f,c}([y]) + \phi_{f,c}(-[y]) = c_{\mathbb{Q}}(y) = \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle$ by Remark 3.35. \square

Recall that $\text{Spin}^c(M)$ is an affine space over $H^2(M)$ and that $\text{Quad}(L_M)$ is an affine space over $\text{Hom}(H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by

$$H^2(M) \xrightarrow{\mu_M} \text{Hom}(H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

the homomorphism defined by $\mu_M(y) = \langle y, - \rangle$, and we denote by

$$\text{Hom}(H_2(M), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{j_M} \text{Hom}(H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

the homomorphism given by $j_M(l) = l \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$. The next two results follow directly from Th. 2.10 and Corollary 2.11.

Theorem 4.10. *The map $\phi_M : \text{Spin}^c(M) \rightarrow \text{Quad}(L_M)$ is an affine embedding over the group monomorphism μ_M . Furthermore,*

$$\text{Coker } \phi_M \simeq \text{Coker } j_M = \frac{\text{Hom}(H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}{j_M(\text{Hom}(H_2(M), \mathbb{Z}))},$$

and given $q \in \text{Quad}(L_M)$, q belongs to $\text{Im } \phi_M$ if and only if $q|_{H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to $\text{Im } j_M$.

Corollary 4.11. *The map ϕ_M is bijective if and only if M is a rational homology sphere.*

It follows from 4.10 that if M is not a rational homology 3-sphere, there are quadratic functions over L_M which do not come from spin^c -structures. The next paragraph provides an alternative, perhaps more appealing, description of the cokernel of the affine map ϕ_M (and shows that, in a sense, it is not big).

4.3. The cokernel of ϕ_M . In this paragraph only, \mathbb{Z}_p denotes the ring of p -adic integers. For any prime p , there is a natural embedding $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ and with respect to the p -adic topology, \mathbb{Z} is dense in \mathbb{Z}_p . Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all primes. Equip $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ with the product (Tychonoff) topology. Via the diagonal embedding

$$\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}},$$

\mathbb{Z} is again dense (but not closed) in $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Here is a context (well known to number theorists) in which this ring appears:

Lemma 4.12. $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. For $p \in \mathcal{P}$, denote by A_p the subgroup of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} consisting of all elements $m/p^k \pmod{1}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \simeq \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} A_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) &= \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}} A_p, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Hom}(A_p, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Hom}(A_p, A_p) \end{aligned}$$

Now any endomorphism f of A_p is fully determined by the images of $\dots, 1/p^{k+1}, 1/p^k, \dots$ in A_p , which must be compatible in the obvious sense. We recover in this fashion the definition of \mathbb{Z}_p as an inverse limit. Alternatively, A_p is itself a direct limit: $A_p = \varinjlim \langle 1/p^k \rangle$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\langle 1/p^k \rangle$ is the additive subgroup generated by $1/p^k$ in A_p . So, $\text{Hom}(A_p, A_p) = \text{Hom}(\varinjlim \langle 1/p^k \rangle, A_p) \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(\langle 1/p^k \rangle, A_p) \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(\langle 1/p^k \rangle, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. \square

Therefore, from Lemma 4.12, the embedding j_M involved in Theorem 4.10 is interpreted as the diagonal embedding $\delta_M : H_2(M) \hookrightarrow H_2(M) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence, we have:

$$\text{Coker } \phi_M \simeq \text{Coker } \delta_M = \frac{H_2(M) \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}}{\delta_M(H_2(M))}.$$

4.4. An intrinsic definition for $\phi_{M,\sigma}$. Let M be a closed connected oriented 3-manifold and let σ be a Spin^c -structure on M . The goal of this paragraph is to give an intrinsic definition for the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$, i.e. we want it not to be dependent on the choice of a 4-dimensional bordism.

Here is the idea. As it is easily verified from Lemma 4.9, we have for any $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$:

$$2 \cdot \phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = L_M(x, x) + \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $y \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, let us denote by $\frac{1}{2} \cdot y$ the set of elements z of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} such that $z + z = y$. Then, we are going to pick, in a *correlative* way, a $z_1 \in \frac{1}{2} \cdot L_M(x, x)$ and a $z_2 \in \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle$ such that $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = z_1 + z_2$.

Definition 4.13. An element x of $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is said here to be *special* if it can be written as $[S \otimes [\frac{1}{n}]]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$ and where S is an oriented immersed surface in M with boundary $n \cdot K$, a bunch of n parallel copies of a knot K in M .

Lemma 4.14. Every element x of $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is special modulo $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The class $B(x) \in H_1(M)$ being torsion, there exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$ such that $n \cdot B(x) = 0$. Let an oriented knot K realize $B(x)$, and let S be an immersed surface with boundary $n \cdot K$. Then, $S \otimes [\frac{1}{n}]$ is a 2-cycle with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} whose image by B is $B(x)$. The lemma then follows from the fact $\text{Ker}(B) = H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Let $x \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Writing x as $x' + x''$, where x' is special and x'' belongs to $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain:

$$\phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = \phi_{M,\sigma}(x') + \phi_{M,\sigma}(x'').$$

Lemma 4.7 gives an intrinsic formula for $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x'')$ (then: $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x'') \in \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle c(\sigma), x'' \rangle$), so that we can restrict ourselves to the special case.

In the sequel, we take a special element x of $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ written as $x = [S \otimes [\frac{1}{n}]]$, as detailed in Definition 4.13. We now give the stepwise recipe for a 3-dimensional formula for $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x)$.

- *Step 1.* Choose a nonsingular tangent vector field v to M representing σ and which is transverse to K (we claim that it is possible to find such v).
- *Step 2.* Let V be a sufficiently small regular neighborhood of K in M and let K_v be the parallel of K , lying on ∂V , obtained by pushing K along the trajectories of v . Isotope S so that $\partial S \subset \text{int}(V)$ and S is in transverse position with K_v .
- *Step 3.* Define a Spin-structure α_v on $\partial(M \setminus \text{int}(V))$ by requiring its Johnson quadratic form q_{α_v} (§3.2.5) to be such that:

$$q_{\alpha_v}(\text{meridian of } K) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q_{\alpha_v}(K_v) = 1.$$

- *Step 4.* Then, v represents a Spin^c -structure σ_v on $M \setminus \text{int}(V)$ relative to the Spin-structure α_v (we claim this). Let

$$c(\sigma_v) \in H^2(M \setminus \text{int}(V), \partial(M \setminus \text{int}(V)))$$

be the relative Chern class of σ_v .

Proposition 4.15. *From the previous recipe, we get:*

$$(4.3) \quad \phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{2n} \cdot K_v \bullet S \right]}_{\in \frac{1}{2} \cdot L_M(x,x)} + \underbrace{\left[\frac{1}{2n} \cdot \langle c(\sigma_v), [S \cap (M \setminus \text{int}(V))] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right]}_{\in \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 4.16. In [LW], Looijenga et Wahl associate a quadratic function over λ_M , to each pair (M, \mathcal{J}) , where

- (1) M is a closed connected oriented 3-manifold,
- (2) \mathcal{J} is a homotopy class of complex structures on $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_M$ whose first Chern class is torsion

(here ϵ^1 denotes the trivial real one-dimensional vector bundle over M , so that $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_M$ is an oriented real 4-dimensional vector bundle).

Suppose instead now that M comes equipped with a nonsingular section v of T_M representing a *torsion* Spin^c -structure σ , and endow it with an arbitrary Riemannian metric. Then $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_M = (\epsilon^1 \oplus \langle v \rangle) \oplus \langle v \rangle^\perp$ is written as the sum of two oriented real 2-dimensional vector bundles. So via the inclusion $U(1) \times U(1) \hookrightarrow U(2)$, v defines a complex structure J_v on $\epsilon^1 \oplus T_M$. The first Chern class of J_v is that of $\langle v \rangle^\perp$ so equals $c(\sigma)$ and then is torsion. The quadratic function defined by J_v coincides with $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ (compare formula (3.4.1) in [LW] with our formula (4.3)).

Proof of Prop. 4.15. First of all, we have to justify that the provided recipe can actually be carried out.

We begin by proving the claim of Step 1. Let v be an arbitrary nonsingular tangent vector field to M representing σ . It suffices to prove the following claim.

Claim 4.17. Let w be an arbitrary nonsingular tangent vector field to M defined on K . Then, v can be homotoped so as to coincide with w on K .

Proof. Choose a tubular neighborhood W of K , plus an identification $W = (2\mathbf{D}^2) \times \mathbf{S}^1$ such that K corresponds to $0 \times \mathbf{S}^1$. We denote by (e_1, e_2) the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^2 \supset 2\mathbf{D}^2$. We define $\pi : W \rightarrow K$ to be the projection on the core. The solid torus W is parametrized by the cylindric coordinates:

$$\left(\left(r \in [0, 2], \theta \in \frac{\mathbb{R}}{2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \right), \phi \in \frac{\mathbb{R}}{2\pi\mathbb{Z}} \right).$$

If $p, q \in W$ are such that $\phi(p) = \phi(q)$ (i.e. they belong to the same meridional disk $2\mathbf{D}^2 \times *$), we define the transport map $t_{p,q} : T_p W \rightarrow T_q W$ as the unique linear map fixing the basis $(e_1, e_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi})$. The vector field v can be homotoped to a vector field which is constant on each meridional disk $\mathbf{D}^2 \times *$; this is accomplished by the homotopy $(v^{(t)})_{t \in [0,1]}$ given at time t and point $p \in W$ by:

$$v_p^{(t)} = \begin{cases} t_{\pi(p),p}(v_{\pi(p)}) & \text{if } r(p) \in [0, t] \\ t_{q(p,t),p}(v_{q(p,t)}) & \text{if } r(p) \in [t, 2], \text{ with } q(p,t) = \left(\frac{r(p)-t}{1-t/2}, \theta(p), \phi(p) \right) \end{cases}$$

and at time t and point $p \notin W$ by: $v_p^{(t)} = v_p$. After such a deformation, the vector field v satisfies to: $\forall p \in \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, $t_{p,\pi(p)}(v_p) = v_{\pi(p)}$. Now, since $\pi_1(\mathbf{S}^2)$ is trivial, $v|_K$ and w have to be homotopic: let $(w^{(t)})_{t \in [0,1]}$ be such a homotopy, beginning at $w^{(0)} = v|_K$ and ending at $w^{(1)} = w$. The homotopy given by:

$$v_p^{(t)} = \begin{cases} t_{\pi(p),p}(w_{\pi(p)}^{(t-r(p))}) & \text{if } r(p) \in [0, t] \\ v_p & \text{if } r(p) \in [t, 2] \end{cases}$$

if $p \in W$ and by $v_p^{(t)} = v_p$ if $p \notin W$, allows us to suppose that v coincides with w on K . \square

Let now V be a regular neighborhood of K in M , plus an identification $V = \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ such that K corresponds to $0 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ and such that $v|_V$ corresponds to e_1 (recall that (e_1, e_2) denotes the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^2 \supset \mathbf{D}^2$). We apply steps 2 and 3 (note that K_v then corresponds to $1 \times \mathbf{S}^1$) and we now prove the claim of Step 4. Let $\tau_v \in \text{Spin}(V)$ correspond to the parallelization $(e_1, e_2, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})$ of V . Since $(\tau_v|_{\partial V})|_{1 \times \mathbf{S}^1}$ is the non-bounding Spin-structure and since $(\tau_v|_{\partial V})|_{\partial \mathbf{D}^2 \times 1}$ spin bounds, we have $\tau_v|_{\partial V} = -\alpha_v$, i.e. $\tau_v \in \text{Spin}(V, -\alpha_v)$ with the notation of Remark 3.13. Thus, v being e_1 on V , it well-defines a Spin^c -structure σ_v on $M \setminus \text{int}(V)$ relative to α_v , as claimed in Step 4. For further use, note that σ is the gluing $\sigma_v \cup \beta(\tau_v)$, where $\beta : \text{Spin}(V, -\alpha_v) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V, -\alpha_v)$ has been defined in Remark 3.13.

Set $z_1 = [1/2n \cdot K_v \bullet S] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $z_2 = [1/2n \cdot \langle c(\sigma_v), [S'] \rangle + 1/2] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, where $S' = S \cap (M \setminus \text{int}(V))$. We then have: $2 \cdot z_1 = [1/n \cdot K_v \bullet S] = [\text{lk}_M(K, K_v)] = [\lambda_M(B(x), B(x))] = L_M(x, x)$. Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \cdot z_2 &= [1/n \cdot \langle c(\sigma_v), [S'] \rangle] \\ &= [1/n \cdot P^{-1}(c(\sigma_v)) \bullet [S']] \text{ (intersection in } M \setminus \text{int}(V)) \\ &= P^{-1}(c(\sigma)) \bullet x \text{ (intersection in } M) \\ &= \langle c(\sigma), x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from the facts that $x = [S \otimes [\frac{1}{n}]]$, $P^{-1}(c(\sigma)) = i_* P^{-1}(c(\sigma_v)) + i_* P^{-1}(c(\beta(\tau_v))) \in H_1(M)$ (since $\sigma = \sigma_v \cup \beta(\tau_v)$) and $c(\beta(\tau_v)) = 0$ (by Remark 3.25).

We now prove formula (4.3), that is $\phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = z_1 + z_2$. We begin by defining a particular surgery presentation of M . Construct a 3-manifold M' from M by doing

surgery along the framed knot $(K, (e_1, e_2))$. Pick a surgery presentation $V_{L'}$ of M' ; up to isotopy, the dual knot K' of K in M' lies in $\mathbf{S}^3 \setminus L'$. We then find a surgery presentation V_L of M by setting L to be L' union K' with the appropriate framing. This surgery presentation of M has the following advantage: K bounds in the trace W_L of the surgery a disk D whose normal bundle is trivialized by some extensions of the trivialization (e_1, e_2) of the normal bundle of K in M .

For such a surgery presentation, we use the notations fixed in §3.4. In particular, $H = H_2(W_L)$ and $f : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the intersection pairing in W_L . We define the 2-cycle $U = n \cdot D - S$ where $n \cdot D$ is a bunch of n parallel copies of the disk D with boundary $n \cdot K$; we also define $u = [U] \in H$. Then $\frac{1}{n} \cdot u$ belongs to $H^\#$ and the isomorphism $\kappa : \frac{H^\#}{H} \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ sends $[\frac{1}{n}u]$ to $-x = -[S \otimes [\frac{1}{n}]]$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.2). So, by definition:

$$\phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = -\phi_{f,c}\left(-\left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot u\right]\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}f(u, u) + \frac{1}{n}c(u)\right),$$

where c is a characteristic form representative for σ .

Let us calculate the quantity $f(u, u)$. The 2-cycle U is a representant of u , a second one is $U' = n \cdot D' + n \cdot A - S$ where D' is a push-off of D by the extension of $e_1 = v|_V$ such that $\partial D' = K_v$, and where A is the annulus of an isotopy from $-K_v$ to K in V (e.g. $A = -[0, 1] \times \mathbf{S}^1$ in $V = \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$). By adding a collar to W_L and stretching the top of U' , we can make U in transverse position with U' (see Figure 4.1). So, $f(u, u) = U \bullet U' = -nS \bullet K_v$ where the first intersection is calculated in




FIGURE 4.1. Two representants of u in transverse position

W_L and the second in M ; we are led to:

$$(4.4) \quad \phi_{M,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2n}S \bullet K_v - \frac{1}{2n}c(u).$$

We are now interested in the quantity $c(u)$. Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be an extension of σ to the manifold W_L and let ξ be the isomorphism class of principal $U(1)$ -bundles on W_L defined by $\tilde{\sigma}$; then c can be chosen to be $c_1(\xi)$. Let p be a representant of ξ and let tr be a trivialization of p on ∂V . Decompose the singular surface U' as $U' = U'_1 \cup U'_2 \cup U'_3$, where $U'_1 = n \cdot D'$, $U'_2 = n \cdot A \cup (-S \cap V)$ and $U'_3 = -S'$. By desingularizing U' so as to be reduced to a calculus of obstructions in an oriented

manifold, we obtain:

$$(4.5) \quad c(u) = \langle c_1(p|_{U'}), [U'] \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle c_1(p|_{U'_i}, \text{tr}|_{\partial U'_i}), [U'_i] \rangle$$

where $c_1(p|_{U'_i}, \text{tr}|_{\partial U'_i}) \in H^2(U'_i, \partial U'_i)$ is the obstruction to extend the trivialization $\text{tr}|_{\partial U'_i}$ of $p|_{U'_i}$ on $\partial U'_i$ to the whole of U'_i . Let $T \subset W_L$ be the solide torus such that $M' = M \setminus \text{int}(V) \cup T$. Pick a relative Spin^c -structure $\sigma_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(T, \alpha_v)$ such that $\sigma_v \cup \sigma_1 = \tilde{\sigma}|_{M'}$. Then, for some appropriate choices of p in the class ξ and tr , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(p|_T, \text{tr}) &= c(\sigma_1) \in H^2(T, \partial T), \\ c_1(p|_V, \text{tr}) &= c(\beta(\tau_v)) \in H^2(V, \partial V), \\ c_1(p|_{M \setminus \text{int}(V)}, \text{tr}) &= c(\sigma_v) \in H^2(M \setminus \text{int}(V), \partial(M \setminus \text{int}(V))). \end{aligned}$$

Then, equation (4.5) becomes:

$$c(u) = n \cdot \langle c(\sigma_1), [D'] \rangle + \langle c(\beta(\tau_v)), [U'_2] \rangle - \langle c(\sigma_v), [S'] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From the fact that $c(\beta(\tau_v)) = 0$, we deduce that:

$$\frac{1}{2n} \cdot c(u) = -\frac{1}{2n} \cdot \langle c(\sigma_v), [S'] \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \langle c(\sigma_1), [D'] \rangle.$$

Then, showing that $\langle c(\sigma_1), [D'] \rangle$ is an odd integer together with (4.4) will end the proof of the proposition. Since $\langle c(\sigma_1), [D'] \rangle = q_{\alpha_v}(\partial[D']) = q_{\alpha_v}(K_v) = 1 \pmod{2}$ (by Lemma 3.26), we are done. \square

4.5. The maximal Abelian Reidemeister-Turaev torsion mod 1. Let X be a finite connected CW-complex with zero Euler characteristic and set $H = H_1(X)$, which is denoted multiplicatively. We denote by $Q(H)$ the ring of fractions of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[H]$. Turaev introduced in [T1] the *maximal Abelian Reidemeister torsion* of the complex X : denoted by $\tau(X)$, it belongs to $Q(H)$ and is defined up to multiplication by an element of $\pm H \subset Q(H)$. Moreover, it is shown in [T3] that this indeterminacy can be disposed of by specifying two further structures, called homology orientations and combinatorial Euler structures.

Suppose now that X is a connected closed oriented 3-manifold M . On the one hand, the orientation of M induces a canonical homology orientation; on the other hand, the combinatorial Euler structures on M are in canonical correspondance with the geometric Euler structures on M (§3.2.3) and according to Lemma 3.15, so they are with the Spin^c -structures on M . Therefore, if (M, σ) is any connected closed Spin^c -manifold of dimension 3, one can define its *maximal Abelian Reidemeister-Turaev torsion* $\tau(M, \sigma) \in Q(H)$, where $H = H_1(M)$. We refer to the monograph [T7] for a detailed exposition of this invariant. Recall in particular the following property:

$$(4.6) \quad \forall h \in H_1(M), \quad h \cdot \tau(M, \sigma) = \tau(M, P(h) \cdot \sigma) \in Q(H).$$

Suppose now that M is a rational homology sphere. Then, H is finite and it happens that $Q(H) = \mathbb{Q}[H]$. Define a function $\tau_\sigma : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by putting:

$$\tau(M, \sigma) = \sum_{h \in H} \tau_\sigma(h) \cdot h \in \mathbb{Q}[H].$$

The modulo 1 reduction of this function satisfies:

$$(4.7) \quad \forall h_1, h_2 \in H, \quad \tau_\sigma(h_1 h_2) - \tau_\sigma(h_1) - \tau_\sigma(h_2) + \tau_\sigma(1) = -\lambda_M(h_1, h_2) \pmod{1},$$

where $\lambda_M : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the torsion linking pairing of M . It immediately follows that:

$$\forall h \in H, \quad \tau_\sigma(h) = c_\sigma - q_{M, \sigma}(h) \pmod{1},$$

where $c_\sigma = \tau_\sigma(1) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a constant and where $q_{M,\sigma}$ is a quadratic function over the linking pairing λ_M . It is easily seen from (4.6) and (4.7) that:

$$(4.8) \quad \forall h \in H, \quad q_{M,P(h)\cdot\sigma} = q_{M,\sigma} + \lambda_M(h^{-1}, -).$$

Since M is a rational homology sphere, the Bockstein homomorphism B is then an isomorphism $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M)$, so that $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ can be regarded as a quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ over λ_M . Moreover, as follows from Theorem 4.10, we have:

$$(4.9) \quad \forall h \in H, \quad \phi_{M,P(h)\cdot\sigma} = \phi_{M,\sigma} + \lambda_M(h, -).$$

In fact, equations (4.8) and (4.9) are equivalent according to the following.

Theorem 4.18. *For any rational homology 3-sphere M and any $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$, we have $q_{M,\sigma} = \phi_{M,\sigma^{-1}}$, where σ^{-1} is the inverse of σ defined in §3.2.3.*

It follows in particular that the quadratic function $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ is determined by the maximal Abelian Reidemeister-Turaev torsion of (M, σ) .

Proof of Theorem 4.18. The technical difficulty lies in the computation of $q_{M,\sigma}$ from the torsion τ_σ . Fortunately, τ_σ can be computed from a surgery presentation of M and certain combinatorial data equivalent to Spin^c -structures, called *charges*.

We begin by recalling the definition of charges introduced in [T6]. We shall use notation of §3.4. Let $L \subset \mathbf{S}^3$ be an ordered oriented and framed n -component link. Surgery along L yields a closed oriented connected 3-manifold V_L . The manifold V_L is the union of the exterior E of L in \mathbf{S}^3 and the disjoint union of reglued solid tori Z_j , one for each component L_j of L . A solid torus Z is said to be *directed* when its core is oriented. We direct the solid torus Z_j in the following way: we denote by $m_j \subset E$ the meridian of L_j which is oriented so that $\text{lk}_{\mathbf{S}^3}(m_j, L_j) = +1$, and we ask the oriented core of Z_j to be isotopic in V_L to m_j . A directed solid torus Z has a *distinguished* Spin^c -structure relative to the canonical (see Remark 3.12) spin structure σ_0 on ∂Z : this is the one whose Chern class is Poincaré dual to opposite of the oriented core of Z . Then, by gluing any Spin^c -structure on E relative to $\dot{\cup}\sigma_0$ (by means of Lemma 3.28) to the distinguished relative Spin^c -structure on the directed solid tori Z_j , we define a map $g : \text{Spin}^c(E, \dot{\cup}\sigma_0) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$, which is affine, via the isomorphisms given by Poincaré dualities, over the natural map $H_1(E) \rightarrow H_1(V_L)$. Define the set \mathcal{C}_L of *charges* on L by

$$\mathcal{C}_L = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z}^n : k_j = 1 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq j}} \text{lk}_{\mathbf{S}^3}(L_i, L_j) \bmod 2 \right\}.$$

A canonical bijection between $\text{Spin}^c(E, \dot{\cup}\sigma_0)$ and \mathcal{C}_L can be defined in the following way: take $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(E, \dot{\cup}\sigma_0)$, calculate $P^{-1}c(\sigma) \in H_1(E)$ and identify $H_1(E)$ with \mathbb{Z}^n taking the meridians $([m_1], \dots, [m_n])$ as a basis; it can then be derived from Lemma 3.26 that the multi-integer you get is actually a charge on L . Thus, since g is surjective and since $\text{Ker}(H_1(E) \rightarrow H_1(V_L))$ is generated by the n characteristic curves of the surgery, it follows that the map g induces a bijection:

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_L}{2 \cdot \text{Im } B_L} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$$

where $B_L = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is the linking matrix of L in \mathbf{S}^3 .

We now compare this combinatorial description of $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ to our description described in §3.4.2 by showing that:

Claim 4.19. If $\sigma \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ corresponds to $[k] \in \mathcal{C}_L/2 \cdot \text{Im } B_L$, then σ corresponds to $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^\varepsilon$, where:

$$(4.10) \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad s_j = 1 - k_j + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof of the Claim 4.19. We denote by σ_2 the distinguished relative Spin^c -structure in $\text{Spin}^c(\dot{\cup} Z_i, \dot{\cup} \sigma_0)$. Let also $\sigma_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(E, \dot{\cup} \sigma_0)$ be such that: $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(V_L)$. Pick an extension $\tilde{\sigma}$ of σ to W_L and let ξ be the isomorphism class of $U(1)$ -principal bundles defined by $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Spin}^c(W_L)$. On the one hand, the first Chern class $c_1(\xi)$ of ξ , when expressed in the preferred basis $([S_j]^*)_{j=1}^n$ of $H^2(W_L) \simeq \text{Hom}(H_2(W_L), \mathbb{Z})$ (see §3.4), gives a multi-integer $s \in \mathbb{Z}^n$; then, $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^\varepsilon$ corresponds to σ . On the other hand, as explained above, the Poincaré dual to the relative Chern class of $\sigma_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(E, \dot{\cup} \sigma_0)$ when expressed in the preferred basis $([m_j])_{j=1}^n$ of $H_1(E)$ gives a multi-integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$; then, $[k] \in \mathcal{C}_L/2 \cdot \text{Im } B_L$ corresponds to σ . Thus, proving that these specific k and s verify (4.10) modulo $2 \cdot \text{Im } B_L$ will be enough.

In the sequel we denote by \mathbf{S}_ε^3 a collar push-off of $\mathbf{S}^3 = \partial \mathbf{D}^4$ in the interior of \mathbf{D}^4 . The surface S_j can be decomposed (up to isotopy) in W_L to:

$$S_j = D_j \cup A_j \cup (-\Sigma_j^{\text{cut}})_\varepsilon \cup \bigcup_l (-R_{jl})_\varepsilon,$$

where the subsurfaces are given as follows (see Figure 4.2):




FIGURE 4.2. A decomposition of the surface S_i

- D_j is a meridian disc of Z_j such that ∂D_j is the characteristic curve λ_j of the j -th surgery;
- A_j is the annulus of an isotopy of $-\lambda_j$ to L_j , union the annulus of an isotopy of $-L_j$ to $(L_j)_\varepsilon$, union the annulus of an isotopy of $(-L_j)_\varepsilon$ to $(l_j)_\varepsilon$ where l_j denotes the preferred parallel of L_j in \mathbf{S}^3 (i.e. $\text{lk}_{\mathbf{S}^3}(l_j, L_j) = 0$);
- Σ_j is a Seifert surface for l_j in \mathbf{S}^3 disjoint from L_j and in transverse position with the L_i ($i \neq j$). For each intersection point x_l between Σ_j and a L_i , remove a small disc R_{jl} so that $\Sigma_j = \Sigma_j^{\text{cut}} \cup \bigcup_l R_{jl}$.

By definition of s , we have $s_j = \langle c_1(\xi), [S_j] \rangle = \langle c_1(p|_{S_j}), [S_j] \rangle$ where p is representative for ξ and where $c_1(p|_{S_j}) \in H^2(S_j)$ is the obstruction to trivialize p over S_j .

So $P^{-1}c_1(p|_{S_j}) = s_j \cdot [\text{pt}] \in H_0(S_j)$. Let tr be a trivialization of p on ∂E and let tr_ε be the corresponding trivialization of p on ∂E_ε . An argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.28 (calculus of obstructions in oriented manifolds) leads to:

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} H_0(S_j) \ni P^{-1}c_1(p|_{S_j}) &= i_* P^{-1}c_1(p|_{D_j}, \text{tr}|_{\lambda_j}) \\ &+ i_* P^{-1}c_1(p|_{A_j}, \text{tr}|_{-\lambda_j} \cup \text{tr}_\varepsilon|_{(l_j)_\varepsilon}) \\ &- i_* P^{-1}c_1(p|_{(\Sigma_j^{\text{cut}})_\varepsilon}, \text{tr}_\varepsilon|_{(\partial \Sigma_j^{\text{cut}})_\varepsilon}) \\ &- \sum_l i_* P^{-1}c_1(p|_{(R_{jl})_\varepsilon}, \text{tr}_\varepsilon|_{(\partial R_{jl})_\varepsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

where P denotes the appropriate Poincaré duality isomorphism (of D_j , A_j , Σ_j^{cut} and R_{jl}). For an appropriate choice of p in the class ξ and for an appropriate choice of tr , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(p|_E, \text{tr}) &= c(\sigma_1) \in H^2(E, \partial E) \\ c_1(p|_{\dot{\cup} Z_j}, \text{tr}) &= c(\sigma_2) \in H^2(\dot{\cup} Z_j, \dot{\cup} \partial Z_j) \\ c_1(p|_{N(L)}, \text{tr}) &= c(\sigma_3) \in H^2(N(L), \partial N(L)), \end{aligned}$$

where, in this last requirement, $N(L)$ is a tubular neighborhood of L in \mathbf{S}^3 and σ_3 is an arbitrary element of $\text{Spin}^c(N(L), \dot{\cup} \sigma_0)$. For such choices, we now compute separately each term of the right hand side of (4.11).

- (1) The first term is of the form $d_j \cdot [\text{pt}]$. Here:

$$d_j = \langle c(\sigma_2), [D_j] \rangle = -(\text{oriented core of } Z_j) \bullet [D_j] = +1,$$

where the intersection is taken in Z_j (note that $Z_j = (\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1)_j$ if we denote by $(\mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{D}^2)_j$ the 2-handle of W_L corresponding to L_j , and be careful of the fact that the above specified oriented core of Z_j is $-(0 \times \mathbf{S}^1)_j$)

- (2) The second term is of the form $a_j \cdot [\text{pt}]$. Here: $a_j = \langle c(\sigma_3), [A_j] \rangle$ where A_j is regarded as a relative 2-cycle in $(N(L), \partial N(L))$ once the collar has been squeezed. Since ∂A_j is $-\lambda_j \cup l_j$, $[A_j]$ is $-b_{jj}$ times the class of the meridian disc of L_j (oriented so that its oriented boundary is m_j) in $H_2(N(L), \partial N(L))$. Then, $a_j = -b_{jj} \cdot \rho_j$ where:

$$\rho_j = \langle c(\sigma_3), [\text{meridian disc of } L_j] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that: $\rho_j = q_{\sigma_0}([m_j]) = 1 \bmod 2$ (Johnson's quadratic function, see §3.2.5).

- (3) The third term is $-g_j \cdot [\text{pt}]$ where $g_j = \langle c(\sigma_1), [\Sigma_j^{\text{cut}}] \rangle = (P^{-1}c(\sigma_1)) \bullet [\Sigma_j^{\text{cut}}] = (\sum_i k_i [m_i]) \bullet [\Sigma_j^{\text{cut}}] = \sum_i k_i \delta_{ij} = k_j$ where the intersection is taken in E .
- (4) The fourth term is given by $-\sum_l r_{jl} \cdot [\text{pt}]$. Here $r_{jl} = \langle c(\sigma_3), [R_{jl}] \rangle$. For each index l , denote by $i(l)$ the integer i such that x_l is an intersection point of L_j with L_i , and denote by $\epsilon(l)$ the sign of the intersection point x_l . Then, from the definition of ρ_i (given for the second term), we have: $r_{jl} = \epsilon(l) \cdot \rho_{i(l)}$. We then get:

$$\sum_l r_{jl} = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n b_{ij} \rho_i.$$

Finally, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} s_j &= 1 - b_{jj}\rho_j - k_j - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n b_{ij}\rho_i \\ &= \left(1 - k_j + \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij}(\rho_i + 1), \end{aligned}$$

the claim then follows from the fact that $\rho_i = 1 \pmod 2$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. \square

We are now able to prove the theorem. Assume first that M is obtained by surgery along an algebraically split link L , and that σ is represented by a charge k on L . Then, according to [T7, Chap. X, §5.4], we have:

$$q_{M,\sigma}([m_j]) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k_j}{2b_{jj}} \pmod 1.$$

Substituting $k_j = 1 - s_j + \sum_i b_{ij}$, we find that this formula agrees with (4.2) of Example 4.4, up to the change $s \mapsto -s$ which precisely corresponds to the change $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$ (see Remark 3.35). This prove the theorem in this particular case. Now consider the general case, when L is not necessary algebraically split. We shall use the following lemma (due to T. Ohtsuki).

Lemma 4.20. [De1] *Let M be a rational homology 3-sphere. There exist then non-zero integers n_1, \dots, n_r such that $M \# L(n_1, 1) \# \dots \# L(n_r, 1)$ can be presented by surgery along a framed link L algebraically split in \mathbf{S}^3 .*

Here $\#$ denotes connected sum and $L(n, 1)$ is the 3-dimensional lens space obtained by surgery along a trivial knot with framing $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$ in \mathbf{S}^3 . Set $M' = M \# L(n_1, 1) \# \dots \# L(n_r, 1)$ and set $\sigma' = \sigma \# \sigma_1 \# \dots \# \sigma_r \in \text{Spin}^c(M')$ where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ denote arbitrary Spin^c -structures on the lens spaces. Then, $q_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M',(\sigma')^{-1}}$. There is an orthogonal splitting $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \oplus \phi_{L(n_1,1),\sigma_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_{L(n_r,1),\sigma_r}$ (as follows easily, for example, from the surgery formula for these quadratic functions). The same holds for $q_{M,\sigma}$ (as follows from the behaviour of the maximal Abelian Reidemeister-Turaev torsion under $\#$: see [T7, Chap. XII, §1]). Then, for any $x \in H_1(M)$, we have: $q_{M,\sigma}(x) = q_{M',\sigma'}(i_*(x)) = \phi_{M',(\sigma')^{-1}}(i_*(x)) = \phi_{M,\sigma}(x)$ and we are done. \square

5. GOUSSAROV-HABIRO THEORY FOR 3-MANIFOLDS WITH COMPLEX SPIN STRUCTURES

5.1. A brief review of the Y -equivalence relation. The Goussarov-Habiro theory for compact oriented 3-manifolds is a finite type invariants theory based on an elementary move called Y -surgery.

Definition 5.1. Let M be a compact oriented 3-manifold. A Y -graph G in M is an (unoriented) embedding of the surface drawn in Figure 5.1, together with its decomposition between *leaves*, *edges* and *node*.

Let $j : H_3 \hookrightarrow M$ be a positive embedding of the genus 3 handlebody onto a regular neighborhood $N(G)$ of G in M . The compact oriented 3-manifold *obtained from M by Y -surgery along G* is defined as:

$$M_G = M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_\partial} (H_3)_L,$$

where $(H_3)_L$ is the surgered handlebody along the six-component link⁵ L drawn on Figure 5.2.

⁵We use the blackboard framing convention.




FIGURE 5.1. A Y-graph.

We call *Y-equivalence* the equivalence relation among compact oriented 3-manifolds generated by *Y-surgeries* and positive diffeomorphisms.




FIGURE 5.2. The surgery meaning of a Y-graph.

Remark 5.2. A *Y-surgery*, introduced by Goussarov in [Go], is equivalent to a *A₁-move*, defined by Habiro in [Ha], or to a *Borromean surgery*, introduced by Matveev in [Mat].

Observe that M_G comes with an inclusion $M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \hookrightarrow M_G$ and that, due to the choice of $N(G)$ and its trivialization j , M_G is only defined up to some particular positive diffeomorphisms.

As it is well-known, a *Y-surgery* is a twist in the following sense:

Remark 5.3. There is a diffeomorphism:

$$(5.1) \quad M_G \cong M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3,$$

which is the identity on $M \setminus \text{int}(N(G))$. Here, $h : \Sigma_3 \rightarrow \Sigma_3$, called the *Borromean diffeomorphism*, is a specific self-diffeomorphism of $\Sigma_3 = \partial H_3$ which acts trivially in homology (details can be found in [Mas, §1]).

5.2. The Y^c -equivalence relation.

5.2.1. Twist and Spin^c -structures. Let us consider again the situation which was under study in §3.3. We thus work with two compact oriented 3-manifolds M_1 and M_2 and a positive diffeomorphism $f : -\partial M_2 \rightarrow \partial M_1$, and we form the closed oriented 3-manifold:

$$M = M_1 \cup_f M_2.$$

Here, we make the additional assumption that $\partial M_2 \cong \partial M_1$ is connected. Let also $h : \partial M_2 \rightarrow \partial M_2$ be a positive diffeomorphism which acts trivially in homology, and the manifold M' be obtained from M by twisting it along ∂M_2 with h :

$$(5.2) \quad M' = M_1 \cup_{f \circ h} M_2.$$

By a Mayer-Vietoris argument, there is a canonical homology isomorphism $\Phi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ which is unambiguously defined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H_1(M) & \\ j_{1,*} \nearrow & \downarrow \simeq \Phi & \nwarrow j_{2,*} \\ H_1(M_1) & & H_1(M_2) \\ j'_{1,*} \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow j'_{2,*} \\ & H_1(M') & \end{array}$$

where j_1, j_2, j'_1 and j'_2 denote inclusions.

Proposition 5.4. *The above described twist $M \rightsquigarrow M'$ induces a canonical bijection:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Omega} \text{Spin}^c(M')$$

which is affine over $P\Phi P^{-1} : H^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M')$. Moreover, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spin}^c(M) & \xrightarrow{\Omega} & \text{Spin}^c(M') \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\ H^2(M) & \xrightarrow{P\Phi P^{-1}} & H^2(M'). \end{array}$$

Proof. Take $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$, $\Omega(\alpha)$ is then defined as follows. Pick a Spin-structure σ_2 on ∂M_2 and let $\sigma_1 = f_*(-\sigma_2) \in \text{Spin}(\partial M_1)$. Since h_* at the level of $H_1(\partial M_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the identity, h acts trivially on $\text{Spin}(\partial M_2)$: this follows from the fact that Johnson's correspondance $\text{Spin}(\partial M_2) \rightarrow \text{Quad}(\bullet)$ is natural (§3.2.5). According to Corollary 3.29, there are then two gluing maps:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_1, \sigma_1) \times \text{Spin}^c(M_2, \sigma_2) \xrightarrow{\cup_f} \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_1, \sigma_1) \times \text{Spin}^c(M_2, \sigma_2) \xrightarrow{\cup_{f \circ h}} \text{Spin}^c(M'),$$

which are respectively affine, via the Poincaré dualities, over $j_{1,*} \oplus j_{2,*}$ and $j'_{1,*} \oplus j'_{2,*}$. Since ∂M_2 is connected, the map \cup_f is then surjective. Pick $\alpha_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(M_1, \sigma_1)$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(M_2, \sigma_2)$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2$, form:

$$\alpha' = \alpha_1 \cup_{f \circ h} \alpha_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(M').$$

and define $\Omega(\alpha)$ to be α' .

Let us now verify that $\Omega(\alpha)$ is well-defined by that procedure. Assume other intermediate choices $\tilde{\sigma}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ of respectively σ_2, α_1 and α_2 , leading to $\tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\alpha}_1 \cup_{f \circ h} \tilde{\alpha}_2$. We claim that $\alpha' = \tilde{\alpha}'$.

Assume first the particular case when: $\tilde{\sigma}_2 = \sigma_2 \in \text{Spin}(\partial M_2)$. Then, since $\alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2 = \alpha = \tilde{\alpha}_1 \cup_f \tilde{\alpha}_2$, we have

$$j_{1,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \right) + j_{2,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \right) = P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \right) = 0 \in H_1(M).$$

Applying Φ to that identity, we obtain this equation:

$$j'_{1,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \right) + j'_{2,*} P^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \right) = 0 \in H_1(M'),$$

whose lhs equals $P^{-1}(\alpha'/\tilde{\alpha}')$ because $\alpha' = \alpha_1 \cup_{fh} \alpha_2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\alpha}_1 \cup_{fh} \tilde{\alpha}_2$. We then conclude that $\alpha' = \tilde{\alpha}'$.

We now deal with the general case. Let $s_2 \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_2)$ represent σ_2 and let $s_1 = f_*(-s_2) \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_1)$, which then represents σ_1 . For $i = 1$ and 2 , take $a_i \in \text{Spin}_r^c(M_i)$ representing α_i and such that $a_i|_{\partial M_i} = \beta(s_i)$. We then have:

$$(5.3) \quad \alpha = [a_1 \cup_f a_2] \in \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

where \cup_f denotes here the rigid version of the gluing map (that of Lemma 3.28). Pick a homotopy between $h^*(s_2)$ and s_2 , and let $U \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_2 \times I)$ be the corresponding rigid Spin-structure on the product. Then,

$$(5.4) \quad \alpha' = [a_1 \cup_{fh} (\beta(U) \cup a_2)] \in \text{Spin}^c(M').$$

Let also $\tilde{s}_2 \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_2)$ represent $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ and let $\tilde{s}_1 = f_*(-\tilde{s}_2) \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_1)$ which then represent $\tilde{\sigma}_1 := f_*(-\tilde{\sigma}_2) \in \text{Spin}(\partial M_1)$. Even if σ_2 and $\tilde{\sigma}_2$ may be different, we certainly have: $\beta(\sigma_2) = \beta(\tilde{\sigma}_2) \in \text{Spin}^c(\partial M_2)$. Choose an homotopy between $\beta(\tilde{s}_2)$ and $\beta(s_2)$, and let $H \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M_2 \times I)$ be the corresponding rigid structure on the product. Then, $f_*(-H) \in \text{Spin}_r^c(\partial M_1 \times I)$ is a homotopy between $\beta(s_1)$ and $\beta(\tilde{s}_1)$. Now, the rigid Spin^c -structure on M :

$$(a_1 \cup f_*(-H)) \cup_f (H \cup a_2)$$

can be homotoped, by means of the double collar of $j_2(\partial M_2)$ in M , to $a_1 \cup_f a_2$. The gluing map:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_1, \tilde{\sigma}_1) \times \text{Spin}^c(M_2, \tilde{\sigma}_2) \xrightarrow{\cup_f} \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

then sends according to (5.3), the pair $([a_1 \cup f_*(-H)], [H \cup a_2])$ to α . According to the particular case treated previously, and whatever the choices of $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_2$ have been, we have:

$$\tilde{\alpha}' = [a_1 \cup f_*(-H)] \cup_{fh} [H \cup a_2].$$

Let $V \in \text{Spin}_r(\partial M_2 \times I)$ be a homotopy between $h^*(\tilde{s}_2)$ and \tilde{s}_2 . We obtain:

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{\alpha}' = [(a_1 \cup f_*(-H)) \cup_{fh} (\beta(V) \cup H \cup a_2)].$$

Just as before for M , the rigid Spin^c -structure on M' :

$$(a_1 \cup f_*(-H)) \cup_{fh} (h^*(H) \cup \beta(U) \cup a_2)$$

can be homotoped, by means of the double collar of $j'_2(\partial M_2)$ in M' , to $a_1 \cup_{fh} (\beta(U) \cup a_2)$. According to (5.4), we then have:

$$(5.6) \quad \alpha' = [(a_1 \cup f_*(-H)) \cup_{fh} (h^*(H) \cup \beta(U) \cup a_2)].$$

By (5.5) and (5.6), the identity $\tilde{\alpha}' = \alpha'$ will follow from this equality of Spin^c -structures relative to Spin-structures:

$$(5.7) \quad [\beta(V) \cup H] = [h^*(H) \cup \beta(U)] \in \text{Spin}^c(\partial M_2 \times I, \tilde{\sigma}_2 \cup (-\sigma_2)).$$

Since $H^2(\partial M_2 \times I, \partial(\partial M_2 \times I))$ has no 2-torsion, these relative Spin^c -structures are determined by their Chern classes. We have:

$$c([\beta(U)]) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c([\beta(V)]) = 0,$$

by Remark 3.25, and $c([h^*(H)]) = h^*(c([H]))$ (by naturality of obstructions) and so $c([h^*(H)]) = c([H])$. By the last statement of Corollary 3.29, (5.7) is then satisfied. We thus conclude that the map Ω is well-defined.

Let us now verify that Ω is affine. Take $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$ and $x \in H_1(M)$. Pick a Spin-structure σ_2 on ∂M_2 define $\sigma_1 = f_*(-\sigma_2)$ and write α as:

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup_f \alpha_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(M),$$

where $\alpha_i \in \text{Spin}^c(M_i, \sigma_i)$. Write also x as $x = j_{1,*}(x_1) + j_{2,*}(x_2)$ where $x_i \in H_1(M_i)$. Then, $P(x) \cdot \alpha = (P(x_1) \cdot \alpha_1) \cup_f (P(x_2) \cdot \alpha_2)$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(P(x) \cdot \alpha) &= (P(x_1) \cdot \alpha_1) \cup_{fh} (P(x_2) \cdot \alpha_2) \\ &= P(j'_{1,*}(x_1) + j'_{2,*}(x_2)) \cdot (\alpha_1 \cup_{fh} \alpha_2) \\ &= P(\Phi j_{1,*}(x_1) + \Phi j_{2,*}(x_2)) \cdot \Omega(\alpha) \\ &= P\Phi(x) \cdot \Omega(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the same notations:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}c(\Omega(\alpha)) &= P^{-1}c(\alpha_1 \cup_{fh} \alpha_2) \\ &= j'_{1,*}(P^{-1}c(\alpha_1)) + j'_{2,*}(P^{-1}c(\alpha_2)) \\ &= \Phi(j_{1,*}(P^{-1}c(\alpha_1)) + j_{2,*}(P^{-1}c(\alpha_2))) \\ &= \Phi(P^{-1}(c(\alpha))) \in H_1(M'). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.5. When M has non-empty boundary (i.e. when M_1 and M_2 have been glued along only a connected part of their boundaries), there is a relative version of Prop. 5.4 (involving Spin^c -structures on M and M' relative to Spin-structures).

5.2.2. Definition of the Y^c -surgery. We now explain how a Y -surgery makes sense in the setting of Spin^c -manifolds.

Lemma 5.6. *Let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold and let G be a Y -graph in M . The Y -surgery $M \rightsquigarrow M_G$ then induces a canonical bijection:*

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Omega_G} \text{Spin}^c(M_G)$$

denoted by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha_G$. The map Ω_G is affine over $P\Phi_G P^{-1}$, where $\Phi_G : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M_G)$ is the homology isomorphism which is unambiguously defined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & H_1(M) \\ & \nearrow k_* & \downarrow \simeq \Phi_G \\ H_1(M \setminus N(G)) & & \\ & \searrow k'_* & \downarrow \\ & & H_1(M_G). \end{array}$$

Here, $N(G)$ is a regular neighborhood of G in M , $k : M \setminus N(G) \hookrightarrow M$ and $k' : M \setminus N(G) \hookrightarrow M_G$ denote the inclusions.

Definition 5.7. The Spin^c -manifold (M_G, α_G) is said to be obtained from the Spin^c -manifold (M, α) by Y^c -surgery along the graph G .

We call Y^c -equivalence the equivalence relation among closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3 generated by Y^c -surgeries and Spin^c -diffeomorphisms.

Proof of Lemma 5.6. We fix a positive embedding $j : H_3 \hookrightarrow M$ onto a regular neighborhood $N(G)$ of G in M . By Remark 5.3, there exists a self-diffeomorphism

h of $\Sigma_3 = \partial H_3$ which has the property to act trivially in homology and to be such that there exists a diffeomorphism:

$$M_G = M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial}} (H_3)_L \xrightarrow[\cong]{f} M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3,$$

which is the identity on $M \setminus \text{int}(N(G))$. There is then a bijection:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M_G) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{f_*} \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3),$$

Also, by §5.2.1, there is a canonical bijection:

$$\text{Spin}^c(M) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Omega} \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3).$$

We then define Ω_G to be the composite $f_*^{-1}\Omega$. We now have to verify that the bijection Ω_G does not depend on the intermediate choices.

First, we prove the independance on the pair (h, f) with the above property. Let (h', f') be another choice, it suffices to prove that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3) & \\ \nearrow \Omega & \downarrow g_* & \\ \text{Spin}^c(M) & & \\ \searrow \Omega' & \downarrow & \\ & \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h'}} H_3) & \end{array}$$

where $g = f' \circ f^{-1}$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$. Pick a $\sigma \in \text{Spin}(\Sigma_3)$, and write α as $\alpha_1 \cup j_*(\alpha_2)$ where $\alpha_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)), -j_*(\sigma))$ and $\alpha_2 \in \text{Spin}^c(H_3, \sigma)$. Then, $\Omega(\alpha) = \alpha_1 \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} \alpha_2$ and $\Omega'(\alpha) = \alpha_1 \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h'}} \alpha_2$. We have: $g_*(\Omega(\alpha)) = \alpha_1 \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h'}} (g|_*) (\alpha_2)$. Here, $(g|_*) : \text{Spin}^c(H_3, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(H_3, \sigma)$ is the identity since $g|_{H_3}$ acts trivially in homology and elements of $\text{Spin}^c(H_3, \sigma)$ are classified by their relative Chern classes. It follows that: $g_*(\Omega(\alpha)) = \Omega'(\alpha)$.

Second, we prove the independance on j . Let j' be a trivialization of another regular neighborhood $N'(G)$ of G in M , and let $(q_t : M \rightarrow M)_{t \in I}$ be an ambient isotopy between j and j' : $q_0 = \text{Id}_M$ and $q_1 \circ j = j'$. Let $q : M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3 \rightarrow M \setminus \text{int}(N'(G)) \cup_{j'|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3$ be the positive diffeomorphism induced by q_1 . Proving “the independance on j ” means proving that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N(G)) \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3) & \\ \nearrow \Omega & \downarrow q_* & \\ \text{Spin}^c(M) & & \\ \searrow \Omega' & \downarrow & \\ & \text{Spin}^c(M \setminus \text{int}(N'(G)) \cup_{j'|_{\partial \circ h}} H_3) & \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha \in \text{Spin}^c(M)$ and, as above, write it as $\alpha = \alpha_1 \cup j_*(\alpha_2)$. Since q_1 is isotopic to the identity, it acts trivially on $\text{Spin}^c(M)$, then $\alpha = (q_1)_*(\alpha) = (q_1|_*)(\alpha_1) \cup j'_*(\alpha_2)$. We then have: $\Omega(\alpha) = \alpha_1 \cup_{j|_{\partial \circ h}} \alpha_2$ and $\Omega'(\alpha) = (q_1|_*)(\alpha_1) \cup_{j'|_{\partial \circ h}} \alpha_2$, so that: $q_*(\Omega(\alpha)) = \Omega'(\alpha)$. \square

By Remark 5.5, we could also define a Y^c -surgery move between compact oriented 3-manifolds with non-empty boundaries, provided they are equipped with Spin^c -structures relative to Spin -structures.

The Y^c -surgery is then the elementary move of a Spin^c -refinement of the Goussarov-Habiro finite type invariants theory. Furthermore, it can be shown that the calculus of *clovers* developped in [GGP] extends to the context of Spin^c -manifolds.

Remark 5.8. It has been introduced in [Mas] a Spin -refinement of the Goussarov-Habiro finite type invariants theory. In particular, it is shown that a Y -surgery induces a canonical bijection $\Theta_G : \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(M_G)$. Both refinements are compatible, in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spin}(M) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Theta_G} & \text{Spin}(M_G) \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ \text{Spin}^c(M) & \xrightarrow[\Omega_G]{\simeq} & \text{Spin}^c(M_G). \end{array}$$

5.2.3. A combinatorial formulation of the Y^c -equivalence relation. Given a surgery equivalence relation among closed oriented 3-manifolds, it can sometimes be derived from unknotting operations and surgery presentations in \mathbf{S}^3 . By §3.4.2, the same could be done for closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3. We now formulate the Y^c -equivalence relation in this way, taking the Δ -move of [MN] as unknotting operation.

Lemma 5.9. *The Y^c -equivalence relation is the equivalence relation among closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3 generated by Spin^c -diffeomorphisms and Δ^c -moves. Here, a Δ^c -move is defined to be the move depicted on Figure 5.3 between surgery presentations of closed Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3.*




FIGURE 5.3. A Δ^c -move.

Proof. Let us begin with a closed connected oriented 3-manifold M and a Y -graph G in M . Suppose also that $M \cong V_L$ is presented by surgery along a n -component ordered oriented framed link L in \mathbf{S}^3 . Isotope G in M so that it becomes disjoint from the dual link to L , then $G \subset \mathbf{S}^3 \setminus L$. Take a regular neighborhood of G in $\mathbf{S}^3 \setminus L$, and put into this genus 3 handlebody the 2-component framed link K depicted on Figure 5.4. The link K can be obtained from the link of Figure 5.2 by some slam dunk moves (see Example 3.34) and some handle-slide moves in H_3 . In particular, $V_{L \cup K}$ is a surgery presentation of M_G . Using the viewpoint from §3.4.2, we now want to give the combinatorial analog of the bijection Ω_G . In other words,




FIGURE 5.4. Y-surgery as surgery along a 2-component link.

we want to recognize the map O_G defined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{S}_L^c & \xrightarrow{O_G} & \mathcal{S}_{L \cup K}^c \\
 \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\
 \text{Spin}^c(M) & \xrightarrow{\Omega_G} & \text{Spin}^c(M_G).
 \end{array}$$

If B_L denotes the linking matrix of L and if K is appropriately oriented, then the ordered union of ordered oriented framed links $L \cup K$ has a linking matrix shaped as:

$$B_{L \cup K} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} & & & x_1 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & B_L & & x_l & 0 \\ \hline x_1 & \cdots & x_l & x & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Claim 5.10. We have:

$$(5.8) \quad \forall [s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^c, \quad O_G([s]) = [(s, x, 0)] \in \mathcal{S}_{L \cup K}^c.$$

This will be the key point to prove the lemma.

Proof of Claim 5.10. As pointed out in Remark 5.8, a Y-surgery along G also induces a bijection $\Omega_G : \text{Spin}(M) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(M_G)$, a combinatorial analog of which is also given in [Mas]. Using the compatibility stated in Remark 5.8 and §3.4.3, we see that equation (5.8) holds at least for those elements of \mathcal{S}_L^c which come from \mathcal{S}_L .

Denote by (H, f) the lattice corresponding to the intersection pairing on W_L , and by (H', f') that of $W_{L \cup K}$. Recall from Remark 3.35 that there are canonical isomorphisms $H^2(V_L) \simeq \text{Coker } \hat{f}$ and $H^2(V_{L \cup K}) \simeq \text{Coker } \hat{f}'$. The isomorphism $P\Phi_G P^{-1} : H^2(M) \rightarrow H^2(M_G)$ corresponds then to the isomorphism $\text{Coker } \hat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}'$ defined by $[y] \mapsto [(y, 0, 0)]$.

Take now $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^c$ arising from \mathcal{S}_L and let $[y] \in \mathbb{Z}^n / \text{Im } B_L \simeq \text{Coker } \hat{f}$. We aim to calculate $O_G([y] \cdot [s]) \in \mathcal{S}_{L \cup K}^c$. The \cdot here corresponds to the combinatorial description of the action of $H^2(V_L)$ on $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ given in Remark 3.35. The map Ω_G being affine over $P\Phi_G P^{-1}$, we have: $O_G([y] \cdot [s]) = [(y, 0, 0)] \cdot O_G([s]) = [(y, 0, 0)] \cdot [(s, x, 0)] = [(s + 2y, x, 0)]$. Therefore, equation (5.8) also holds for $[y] \cdot [s] = [s + 2y]$. The transitivity of the action of $H^2(V_L)$ on $\text{Spin}^c(V_L)$ allows us to conclude. \square

On the one hand, a Δ^c -move can be realized by a Y^c -surgery as shown in Figure 5.5. In this sequence of Spin^c -diffeomorphisms, the first is obtained by applying Claim 5.10, while the second is obtained from a handle-slide move and a slam dunk move (Example 3.34).

On the other hand, a Y^c -surgery can be realized by a Δ^c -move, as shown in Figure




FIGURE 5.5. A Δ^c -move can be realized by a Y^c -surgery.

5.6. In this depicted sequence, the first Spin^c -diffeomorphism is obtained from three slam dunk moves. Then, a Δ^c -move is applied. The next Spin^c -diffeomorphism is obtained by Spin^c -Kirby calculi (in particular, two slam dunks have been performed), and the last one is obtained from Claim 5.10. \square




FIGURE 5.6. A Y^c -surgery can be realized by a Δ^c -move

5.3. Proof of Theorem 3. Let (M, σ) and (M', σ') be two closed connected Spin^c -manifolds of dimension 3.

5.3.1. *Proof of the equivalence (2) \iff (3).*

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ be an isomorphism, and let $\psi^\# : H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ be the isomorphism dual to ψ with respect to the intersection pairings. The following assertions are then equivalent:*

- (a) $L_{M'} = L_M \circ (\psi^\#)^{\otimes 2}$;
- (b) $\lambda_M = \lambda_{M'} \circ (\psi|)^{\otimes 2}$;

(c) the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{B} & TH_1(M') \\ \psi^\# \downarrow \simeq & & \psi| \uparrow \simeq \\ H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{B} & TH_1(M). \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $x'_1, x'_2 \in H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$,

$$L_{M'}(x'_1, x'_2) = \lambda_{M'}(B(x'_1), B(x'_2)) = B(x'_1) \bullet x'_2,$$

$$\text{and } L_M(\psi^\#(x'_1), \psi^\#(x'_2)) = B\psi^\#(x'_1) \bullet \psi^\#(x'_2) = \psi B\psi^\#(x'_1) \bullet x'_2.$$

The equivalence between (a) and (c) follows from the left nondegeneracy of the intersection pairing⁶ $\bullet : H_1(M') \times H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

For any $y_1 \in TH_1(M)$ and any $x_2 \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, we have:

$$\lambda_M(y_1, B(x_2)) = y_1 \bullet x_2 = \psi(y_1) \bullet (\psi^\#)^{-1}(x_2) = \lambda_{M'}(\psi(y_1), B(\psi^\#)^{-1}(x_2)),$$

to be compared with $\lambda_{M'}(\psi(y_1), \psi B(x_2))$. Hence the nondegeneracy of $\lambda_{M'}$ implies the equivalence between (b) and (c). \square

Suppose that the condition (2) of Th. 3 is satisfied. Then, $L_{M'} = L_M \circ (\psi^\#)^{\otimes 2}$ and so $\lambda_M = \lambda_{M'} \circ (\psi|)^{\otimes 2}$ by Lemma 5.11. Moreover, since the relation $d_{\phi_{M', \sigma'}} = d_{\phi_{M, \sigma}} \circ \psi^\#$ holds between homogeneity defects of quadratic functions, Lemma 4.9 implies that $\langle c(\sigma'), x' \rangle = \langle c(\sigma), \psi^\#(x') \rangle$, so that $P^{-1}c(\sigma') \bullet x' = \psi P^{-1}c(\sigma) \bullet x'$ for any $x' \in H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. By left nondegeneracy of $\bullet : H_1(M') \times H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain $P^{-1}c(\sigma') = \psi P^{-1}c(\sigma)$. Finally, the quadratic function:

$$\phi_{M, \sigma} \circ s = \phi_{M, \sigma} \circ \psi^\# \circ s' \circ \psi| = \phi_{M', \sigma'} \circ s' \circ \psi$$

is isomorphic to $\phi_{M', \sigma'} \circ s'$: hence, these quadratic functions have identical Gauss sums. Therefore the condition (3) holds.

Conversely, suppose that condition (3) of Th. 3 is satisfied. The short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{B} TH_1(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is split, $H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \widehat{\text{Ker } \bar{L}_M}$ and $TH_1(M)$ is finite: as a consequence, the pair $(H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \phi_{M, \sigma})$ meets the finiteness condition of §2.4. From Lemma 5.11, we deduce that $L_{M'} = L_M \circ (\psi^\#)^{\otimes 2}$. From Lemma 4.7 and from Lemma 4.9, we deduce that $r_{\phi_{M', \sigma'}} = r_{\phi_{M, \sigma}} \circ \psi^\#$ and $d_{\phi_{M', \sigma'}} = d_{\phi_{M, \sigma}} \circ \psi^\#$, respectively. Since $\psi| \circ B \circ \psi^\# = B$ (by Lemma 5.11), the sections s and s' are $\psi^\#$ -compatible in the sense of Definition 2.24. By Corollary 2.26, the quadratic functions $\phi_{M, \sigma}$ and $\phi_{M', \sigma'}$ are isomorphic. More precisely (Cf. Remark 2.27), there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : TH_1(M) \rightarrow TH_1(M')$ such that $\phi_{M', \sigma'} = \phi_{M, \sigma} \circ \varphi_1$, where $\varphi_1 : H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the isomorphism

$$(\psi^\# \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}}) \times \varphi^{-1} : (H_2(M') \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times TH_1(M') \rightarrow (H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times TH_1(M)$$

via the identifications

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(M'; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) &\simeq (H_2(M') \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times TH_1(M') \\ H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) &\simeq (H_2(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \times TH_1(M) \end{aligned}$$

⁶The left nondegeneracy of \bullet is the injectivity of the map $\mu_M : H^2(M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ introduced by Th. 4.10.

defined by the sections s' and s respectively. By Lemma 2.17 (together with the 4-dimensional definition of $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ and $\phi_{M',\sigma'}$ from §4.1.2), there exists an isomorphism $\eta : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ such that $\eta^\sharp = \varphi_1$. Consequently: $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \circ \eta^\sharp$.

5.3.2. *Proof of the equivalence* (1) \iff (2). We prove (1) \implies (2) first. By Lemma 5.9, it suffices to prove it when (M, σ) and (M', σ') are related by a Spin^c -diffeomorphism or, for some fixed surgery presentations of them, by a Δ^c -move. For the first case, let $f : (M, \sigma) \rightarrow (M', \sigma')$ be a Spin^c -diffeomorphism. Let $f_* : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ be the induced isomorphism in homology. From the intrinsic definition of these quadratic functions (§4.4), we deduce that $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \circ (f_*)^\sharp$. The second case is deduced from the extrinsic definition of the quadratic functions $\phi_{M,\sigma}$ and $\phi_{M',\sigma'}$ (§4.1.2), and from the fact that a Δ -move between ordered oriented links preserve their linking matrices.

Suppose now that condition (2) is satisfied. We fix some surgery presentations $V_L \cong M$ and $V_{L'} \cong M'$. As in §3.4, we put $H = H_2(W_L)$ (resp. $H' = H_2(W_{L'})$) and $f : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $f' : H' \times H' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$) will denote the intersection pairing in W_L (resp. $W_{L'}$). Let also $c \in \text{Char}(f)$ and $c' \in \text{Char}(f')$ represent respectively σ and σ' . By hypothesis, the quadratic functions $\phi_{f,c} : G_f \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi_{f',c'} : G_{f'} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ are isomorphic via an isomorphism which is induced by an isomorphism $\text{Coker } \hat{f} \rightarrow \text{Coker } \hat{f}'$. Theorem 2.15 says that the bilinear lattices with characteristic forms (H, f, c) and (H', f', c') are stably equivalent. Also, by Corollary 2.18, we can restrict ourselves to stabilizations with copies of $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$. An isomorphism of bilinear lattices (resp. a stabilization by $(\mathbb{Z}, \pm 1, 1)$) can be topologically realized by a finite sequence of Spin^c Kirby moves: handle slidings and changes of orientation of components (resp. by a stabilization by a ± 1 -framed unknot). We can then suppose, without loss of generality, that $(H, f, c) = (H', f', c')$. Concretely, that means that the linking matrices B_L and $B_{L'}$ are equal and that there is a multi-integer s such that $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_L^c$ represent σ and $[s] \in \mathcal{S}_{L'}^c$ represent σ' .

A theorem of Murakami and Nakanishi [MN, Theorem 1.1] (or Matveev [Mat]), states that two ordered oriented links have identical linking matrices if, and only if, they are Δ -equivalent. Then, the “decorated links” (L, s) and (L', s) are Δ -equivalent: therefore, by Lemma 5.9, the Spin^c -manifolds (M, σ) and (M', σ') are Y^c -equivalent.

Remark 5.12. Observe that the present proof allows for a more precise statement of the equivalence (1) \iff (2) of Th. 3. Any finite sequence of Spin^c -diffeomorphisms and Y^c -surgeries

$$(M, \sigma) = (M_0, \sigma_0) \rightsquigarrow (M_1, \sigma_1) \rightsquigarrow (M_2, \sigma_2) \rightsquigarrow \cdots \rightsquigarrow (M_n, \sigma_n) = (M', \sigma')$$

yields an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$. It is the composite of the isomorphisms $H_1(M_i) \rightarrow H_1(M_{i+1})$, which is taken to be f_* if the step $(M_i, \sigma_i) \rightsquigarrow (M_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+1})$ is a Spin^c -diffeomorphism f , or is the isomorphism Φ_G of Lemma 5.6 if this step is the Y^c -surgery along a Y -graph $G \subset M_i$. Then, ψ verifies: $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \circ \psi^\sharp$. Conversely, given an isomorphism $\psi : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M')$ with the property that $\phi_{M',\sigma'} = \phi_{M,\sigma} \circ \psi^\sharp$, we can find a finite sequence of Spin^c -diffeomorphisms and Y^c -surgeries from (M, σ) to (M', σ') inducing ψ at the level of $H_1(-)$.

REFERENCES

- [B] C. Blanchet, *Invariants on three-manifolds with spin-structure*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **67** (1992), 406–427.
- [BM] ———, G. Masbaum, *Topological quantum field theories for surfaces with spin structures*, Duke Math. Jour. **82** n°2 (1996), 229–267.

- [De1] F. Deloup, *Linking forms, reciprocity for Gauss sums and invariants of 3-manifolds*, Trans. of the A.M.S **351** n°5 (1999), 1895–1918.
- [De2] ———, *On Abelian quantum invariants of links in 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 759–795.
- [Du] A.H. Durfee, *Bilinear and quadratic forms on torsion modules*, Adv. in Math. **25** (1977), 133–164.
- [FR] R. Fenn, C. Rourke, *On Kirby’s calculus of links*, Topology **18** (1979), 1–15.
- [GGP] S. Garoufalidis, M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, *Calculus of clovers and FTI of 3-manifolds*, Geometry and Topology **5** (2001), 75–108.
- [Gi] C. Gille, *Sur certains invariants récents en topologie de dimension 3*, Thèse de Doctorat (1998), Université de Nantes.
- [Go] M. Goussarov, *Finite type invariants and n -equivalence of 3-manifolds*, Compt. Rend. Ac. Sc. Paris **329** Série I (1999), 517–522.
- [Ha] K. Habiro, *Claspers and finite type invariants of links*, Geometry and Topology **4** (2000), 1–83.
- [Hu] D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundles*, 3rd edition, GTM **20**, Springer-Verlag (1994).
- [J] D. Johnson, *Spin structures and quadratic forms on surfaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **22** (1980), 365–373.
- [Ka] S.J. Kaplan, *Constructing framed 4-manifolds with given almost framed boundaries*, Trans. of the A.M.S **254** (1979), 237–263.
- [KK] A. Kawauchi and S. Kojima, *Algebraic classification of linking pairings on 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **253** (1980), 29–42.
- [Ki] R.C. Kirby, *The topology of 4-manifolds*, LNM **1374**, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [La] J. Lannes, *Formes quadratiques d’enlacement sur l’anneau des entiers d’un corps de nombres*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 4^{ème} Série **8** (1975), 535–579.
- [LL] ———, F. Latour, *Signature modulo 8 des variétés de dimension $4k$ dont le bord est stablement parallélisé*, Compt. Rend. Ac. Sc. Paris **279** Série A (1974), 705–707.
- [LW] E. Looijenga, J. Wahl, *Quadratic functions and smoothing surface singularities*, Topology **25** n°3, 261–291 (1986).
- [Mas] G. Massuyeau, *Spin Borromean surgeries*, preprint (2001) GT/0104065, to appear in Trans. of the A.M.S.
- [Mat] S.V. Matveev, *Generalized Surgery of three-dimensional manifolds and representations of homology spheres*, Mat. Zametki **42** n°2 (1987), 268–278 (English translation in: Math. Notices Acad. Sci. USSR, **42** : **2**).
- [MS] J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, *The transversality characteristic class and linking cycles in surgery theory*, Ann. of Math. II Ser. **99** (1974), 463–544.
- [MN] H. Murakami, Y. Nakanishi, *On a certain move generating link homology*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 75–89.
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Cours d’Arithmétique*, Presses Univ. France (1970).
- [T1] V.G. Turaev, *Reidemeister torsion and the Alexander polynomial*, Math. Sb. **101** (1976), 252–270.
- [T2] ———, *Cohomology rings, linking forms and invariants of spin structure of three-dimensional manifolds*, Math. USSR Sbornik **48** n°1(1984), 65–79.
- [T3] ———, *Euler structures, nonsingular vector fields, and torsions of Reidemeister type*, Izvestia Ac. Sci. USSR **53** : **3** (1989) (english translation in Math. USSR Izvestia **34** : **3** (1990), 627–662).
- [T4] ———, *Torsion invariants of Spin^c -structures on 3-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters **4** (1997), 679–695.
- [T5] ———, *A combinatorial formulation for the Seiberg-Witten invariants of 3-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters **5** (1998), 583–598.
- [T6] ———, *Surgery formula for torsions and Seiberg-Witten invariants of 3-manifolds*, preprint (2001) GT/0101108.
- [T7] ———, *Torsions of 3-dimensional manifolds*, in preparation.
- [W1] C.T.C. Wall, *Quadratic forms on finite groups and related topics*, Topology **2** (1963), 281–298.
- [W2] ———, *Quadratic forms on finite groups II*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 156–160.

E-mail address: deloup@math.huji.ac.il, massuyeau@math.univ-nantes.fr

and EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAT RAM, THE
HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, 91904 JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

G.M.: LABORATOIRE JEAN LERAY, UMR 6629 CNRS/UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES, 2 RUE DE LA
HOSSINIÈRE, BP 92208, 44322 NANTES CEDEX 03, FRANCE