

Diophantine approximation by conjugate algebraic integers

Damien Roy and Michel Waldschmidt

Département de Mathématiques Université P. et M. Curie (Paris VI)

Université d'Ottawa, Canada Institut de Mathématiques, Paris, France

Abstract: Building on work of Davenport and Schmidt, we mainly prove two results. The first one is a version of Gel'fond's transcendence criterion which provides a sufficient condition for a complex or p -adic number to be algebraic in terms of the existence of polynomials of bounded degree taking small values at together with most of their derivatives. The second one, which follows from this criterion by an argument of duality, is a result of simultaneous approximation by conjugate algebraic integers for a fixed number that is either transcendental or algebraic of sufficiently large degree. We also present several constructions showing that these results are essentially optimal.

Keywords: algebraic integers, algebraic numbers, approximation, convex bodies, degree, derivatives, duality, Gel'fond's criterion, height, polynomials, transcendence criterion.

1. Introduction. Motivated by work of Wirsing [18], Davenport and Schmidt investigated, in their 1969 seminal paper [4], the approximation of an arbitrary fixed real number by algebraic integers of bounded degree. They proved that if $n \geq 3$ is an integer and if is not algebraic over \mathbb{Q} of degree at most $(n-1)/2$ then there are infinitely many algebraic integers of degree at most n such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^n |x_j - \alpha_j| \leq c H(\alpha)^{-(n+1)/2}$$

where c is a positive constant depending only on n and α and where $H(\alpha)$ denotes the usual height of α , that is the maximum absolute value of the coefficients of its irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Z} . They also provided refinements for $n \geq 4$. Recently, Bugeaud and Teulié revisited this result and showed in [2] that we may also impose that all approximations have degree exactly n over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, a p -adic analog was proven by Teulié [16].

2000 Mathematics classification. Primary 11J13; Secondary 11J61, 11J82

Work of first author partially supported by NSERC and CIMA

Approximation by conjugate integers

Here we establish a similar result for simultaneous approximation by several conjugate algebraic integers. In order to cover the case where α is a complex or p-adic number, we will assume more generally that α belongs to the completion of a number field K at some place w .

Thus, we fix an algebraic extension K of \mathbb{Q} of finite degree d . For each place v of K , we denote by K_v the completion of K at v and by d_v its local degree at v . We also normalize the corresponding absolute value $| \cdot |_v$ as in [3] by asking that, when v is above a prime number p of \mathbb{Q} , we have $|p|_v = p^{-d_v=d}$ and that, when v is an Archimedean place, we have $|x|_v = |x|^{d_v=d}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then, our result of approximation reads as follows:

Theorem A. Let n and t be integers with $1 \leq t \leq n-4$. Let w be a place of K and let α be an element of K_w which is not algebraic over K of degree $(n+1) \leq (2t)$. Assume further that $| \alpha |_w \leq 1$ if w is ultrametric. Then there exist infinitely many algebraic integers β which have degree $n+1$ over K , degree $d(n+1)$ over \mathbb{Q} and admit, over K , t distinct conjugates β_1, \dots, β_t in K_w satisfying

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} |\beta_i|_w \leq c H(\beta)^{(n+1)/(4dt^2)} \quad (1.1)$$

where c is a constant depending only on K, n, w and α .

Note that, for $t=1, K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $K_w=\mathbb{R}$, this result is comparable to Theorem 2 of [4] mentioned above (with a shift of 1 in the degree of the approximation). Note also that, if w is ultrametric, any algebraic integer β in K_w satisfies $|\beta|_w \leq 1$ and so the condition $| \alpha |_w \leq 1$ is necessary to approximate α by such numbers.

In section 10, we show that the exponent of approximation $(n+1)/(4dt^2)$ in (1.1) is essentially best possible up to its numerical factor of $1/4$ and that this factor cannot be replaced by a real number greater than 2 , although its value can be slightly improved using more precise estimates along the lines of the present work. For the sake of simplicity, we do not go into such estimates here, nor do we try to sharpen the exponent of approximation for small values of n . It is difficult to predict an optimal value for this exponent (see [14]).

In answer to a question of K. Tishchenko, we also show that one cannot hope a similar exponent for simultaneous approximation of t numbers. Taking $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $K_w=\mathbb{R}$, we prove a result which implies that, if $2 \leq t \leq n$, then there exist a constant $c > 0$ and t real numbers β_1, \dots, β_t such that

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} |\beta_i| \leq c H(\beta)^{3n^{1-t}}$$

for any choice of t distinct conjugates $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathbb{C}$ of an algebraic number α of degree between t and n .

The proof of Theorem A uses the same general strategy as Davenport and Schmidt in [4]. It relies on a duality argument combined with the following version of Gel'fond's criterion of algebraic independence where, for a polynomial $Q \in K[T]$, an integer $j \geq 0$ and a place v of K , the notation $|Q|_v$ stands for the maximum v -adic absolute value of the coefficients of Q , while $Q^{(j)}$ denotes the j -th derivative of Q :

Theorem B. Let n and t be integers with $1 \leq t \leq n-4$ and let $k = \lfloor n/4 \rfloor$ denote the integral part of $n/4$. Let w be a place of K and let α be an element of K_w . There exists a constant $c > 0$ which depends only on K, n, w and α and has the following property. Assume that, for each sufficiently large real number X , there exists a nonzero polynomial $Q \in K[T]$ of degree at most n which satisfies $|Q|_v \leq X^{-1}$ for each place v of K distinct from w and also

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-t} |Q^{(j)}(\alpha)|_w \leq cX^{-t/(k+1-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{n-t < j \leq n} |Q^{(j)}(\alpha)|_w \leq X : \quad (1.2)$$

Then, α is algebraic over K of degree $(n-k+1) = (2t)$.

Note that Theorem B may still hold with an exponent smaller than $t/(k+1-t)$ in the above conditions (1.2). However, we will see in §3 that it would not hold with an exponent smaller than $t/(n+1-t)$.

It is also interesting to compare this result with the criterion of algebraic independence with multiplicities of [10]. A main difference is that the above theorem requires from the polynomial Q that a large proportion of their derivatives at α are small (at least three quarters of them), while the conditions in Proposition 1 of [10] are sharp only when a small proportion of these derivatives are taken into account (say, at most the first half of them).

This paper is organized as follows. The next section §2 sets the various notions of heights that we use throughout the paper. The results of duality which are needed to deduce Theorem A from Theorem B are given in §3, but the proof of this implication is postponed to §9. Sections §4-§7 are devoted to preliminary results towards the proof of Theorem B which is completed in §8. In particular, we establish in §4 a version of Gel'fond's criterion (without multiplicities) which includes Theorem 2b of [4] and §5 presents a height

estimates which generalizes Theorem 3 of [4]. We conclude in §10 with two remarks on the exponent of approximation in Theorem A.

Notation. Throughout this paper, n denotes a positive integer, w denotes a place of K and a an element of K_w . For shortness, we will sometimes use the expressions $a \ll b$ or $b \gg a$ to mean that given non-negative real numbers a and b satisfy $a \leq cb$ for some positive constant c which depends only on K, n, w and a . Overall, we tried to be coherent with the notation of [4].

2. Heights.

Recall that K is a fixed algebraic extension of \mathbb{Q} of degree d . With the normalization of its absolute values given in the introduction, the product formula reads

$$\prod_v |a|_v = 1$$

for any non-zero element a of K .

Let n be a positive integer. For any place v of K and any n -tuple $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K_v^n$, we define the norm of \underline{a} as its maximum norm $\|\underline{a}\|_v = \max\{|a_1|_v, \dots, |a_n|_v\}$. Accordingly, the (absolute) height of a point \underline{a} of K^n is defined by

$$H(\underline{a}) = \prod_v \|\underline{a}\|_v.$$

If m is a positive integer with $1 \leq m \leq n$ and if M is an $m \times n$ matrix with coefficients in K_v for some place v of K , we define $\|M\|_v$ as the norm of the $\binom{n}{m}$ -tuple formed by the minors of order m of M in some order. When M has coefficients in K , we define $H(M)$ as the height of the same point. In particular, for an $m \times n$ matrix M of rank m with coefficients in K we have $H(M) \geq 1$.

If V is a subspace of K^n of dimension $m \geq 1$, we define the height $H(V)$ of V to be the height of a set of Plücker coordinates of V . In other words, we define $H(V) = H(M)$ where M is an $m \times n$ matrix whose rows form a basis of V . This is independent of the choice of M . According to a well-known duality principle, we also have $H(V) = H(N)$ where N is any $(n-m) \times n$ matrix such that V is the solution-set $\{\underline{a} \in K^n; N\underline{a} = 0\}$ of the homogeneous system attached to N (see the formula (4), page 433 of [15]). When $V = 0$, we set $H(V) = 1$.

We denote by E_n the subspace of $K[T]$ consisting of all polynomials of degree $\leq n$, and for each place v of K , we denote by $E_{n,v}$ the closure of E_n in $K_v[T]$. We also identify E_n with K^{n+1} and $E_{n,v}$ to K_v^{n+1} by mapping a polynomial $a_0 + a_1T + \dots + a_nT^n$ to the $(n+1)$ -tuple $(a_0; \dots; a_n)$ of its coefficients. Accordingly, we define the norm $\|P\|_v$ of a polynomial $P \in E_{n,v}$ as the maximum of the absolute values of its coefficients and the height $H(P)$ of a polynomial $P \in E_n$ as the height of the $(n+1)$ -tuple of its coefficients. In the sequel, we will repeatedly use the fact that, if $P_1; \dots; P_s \in K[T]$ have product $P = P_1 \dots P_s$ of degree $\leq n$, with $P \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, then we have

$$e^{-n} H(P_1) \dots H(P_s) \leq H(P) < e^n H(P_1) \dots H(P_s)$$

as one gets for instance by comparing $\|P_1\|_v \dots \|P_s\|_v$ and $\|P\|_v$ at all places v of K using the various estimates of $\|x\|_v$ in Chapter 3 of [9]. Finally, note that, if P is an irreducible polynomial of $K[T]$ of degree n , if θ is a root of P in some extension of K and if $\deg(\theta)$ denotes the degree of θ over \mathbb{Q} , then there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 depending only on n and $d(\theta)$ such that

$$c_1 H(\theta)^n \leq H(P)^{\deg(\theta)} \leq c_2 H(\theta)^n.$$

This follows from Proposition 2.5 in Chapter 3 of [9] applied once to P and once to the irreducible polynomial of θ over \mathbb{Z} (since we defined $H(\theta)$ to be the height of the latter polynomial).

3. Duality.

In this section, we fix a positive integer n , a place w of K and an element α of K_w . We define below a family of adelic convex bodies and establish about them a result of duality that we will need to deduce Theorem A from Theorem B. We also describe consequences of the adelic Minkowski's theorem of Maaß [13] and Bombieri and Vaaler [3] for this type of convex.

For any $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers $\underline{X} = (X_0; X_1; \dots; X_n)$, we define an adelic convex body

$$C(\underline{X}) = \prod_v C_v(\underline{X}) \cap \prod_v E_{n,v}$$

by putting

$$C_w(\underline{X}) = \{P \in E_{n,w} ; P^{(j)}(\alpha)_w \leq X_j \text{ for } j = 0; \dots; n\}$$

at the selected place w and

$$C_v(\underline{X}) = \{P \in E_{n,v} ; |P|_v \leq 1\}$$

at the other places $v \neq w$. For $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we denote by

$$i(\underline{X}) = i(C(\underline{X}))$$

the i -th minimum of $C(\underline{X})$ in E_n . By definition, this is the smallest positive real number such that $C(\underline{X})$ contains i linearly independent elements of E_n , where $C(\underline{X})$ is the adelic convex body whose component at any Archimedean place v consists of all products P with $P \in C_v(\underline{X})$ and whose component at any ultrametric place v is $C_v(\underline{X})$.

In order to apply the adelic Minkowski's theorem of [3] in this context, we identify each space $E_{n,v}$ with K_v^{n+1} in the natural way described in §2. This identifies $\prod_v E_{n,v}$ with $(K_A)^{n+1}$ where K_A denotes the ring of adèles of K , and we use the same Haar measure as in [3] on this space. Explicitly, this means that, for an Archimedean place v of K , we choose the Haar measure on K_v to be the Lebesgue measure if $K_v = \mathbb{R}$ and twice the Lebesgue measure if $K_v = \mathbb{C}$. For an ultrametric place v , we normalize the measure so that the ring of integers O_v of K_v has volume $\prod_v D_v^{d/2}$ where D_v denotes the local discriminant of K at v . On each factor $E_{n,v} \simeq K_v^{n+1}$ we use the product measure, and we take the product of these measures on $\prod_v E_{n,v}$.

Lemma 3.1. There are two constants c_1 and c_2 which depend only on K , n and w such that

$$c_1(X_0, \dots, X_n)^{dX} \leq \text{Vol}(C(\underline{X})) \leq c_2(X_0, \dots, X_n)^{dX}$$

for any $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers $\underline{X} = (X_0; X_1; \dots; X_n)$.

Proof. Since the linear map from $E_{n,w}$ to itself sending a polynomial $P(T)$ to $P(T + \dots)$ has determinant 1, the volume of $C_w(\underline{X})$ is equal to that of

$$\{P \in E_{n,w} ; |P^{(j)}(0)|_w \leq X_j \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, n\} \simeq \{a \in K_w ; |a_j|_w \leq X_j\}$$

which in turn is bounded above and below by $c_w^0(X_0, \dots, X_n)^{dX}$ and $c_w^{\infty}(X_0, \dots, X_n)^{dX}$ respectively, for some positive constants c_w^0 and c_w^{∞} depending only on K , n and w . For the other

places $v \notin w$, the volume of $C_v(\underline{X})$ is a positive constant c_v also depending only on K, n and v , with $c_v = 1$ for almost all places. The conclusion follows.

Lemma 3.2. Let ϵ be a positive real number and let $\underline{X} = (X_0; X_1; \dots; X_n)$ be a $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers with

$$X_0 \cdots X_n \geq c_1^{-1} (2\epsilon)^{n+1} \quad (3.1)$$

where c_1 is the constant of Lemma 3.1. Then, $C(\underline{X})$ contains a non-zero element of E_n .

Proof. According to Theorem 3 of [3], we have

$$\mu_1(\underline{X}) \cdots \mu_{n+1}(\underline{X}) \leq \text{Vol}(C(\underline{X})) \leq 2^{d(n+1)} : \quad (3.2)$$

Since $\mu_1(\underline{X}) \cdots \mu_{n+1}(\underline{X})$ and since $\text{Vol}(C(\underline{X})) \leq 2^{d(n+1)}$ by Lemma 3.1 and condition (3.1), this implies $\mu_1(\underline{X}) \leq \epsilon$, as required.

Note that, for any integer t with $1 \leq t \leq n$ and any real number $X \geq 1$, the condition (3.1) is satisfied with

$$X_0 = \cdots = X_{n-t} = cX^{t-(n+1-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{n-t+1} = \cdots = X_n = X$$

for an appropriate constant c . Then, the corresponding convex body $C(\underline{X})$ contains a non-zero element of E_n . In other words, for any integer t with $1 \leq t \leq n$ and any real number $X \geq 1$, there exists a nonzero polynomial $Q \in K[T]$ of degree at most n which satisfies $|Q|_{k_v} \leq 1$ at each place v of K distinct from w and also

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-t} |Q^{(j)}|_w \leq cX^{t-(n+1-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{n-t < j \leq n} |Q^{(j)}|_w \leq X :$$

This justifies the remark made after the statement of Theorem B, on comparing with the conditions (1.2) of this theorem.

Our last objective of this section is to relate the successive minima of a convex $C(X_0; \dots; X_n)$ with those of $C(X_n^{-1}; \dots; X_0^{-1})$. We achieve this, following ideas that go back to Mahler (see [11] and xV III.5 of [6]), by showing that these convex bodies are almost reciprocal with respect to some bilinear form g on E_n . This will require two lemmas. The first one defines this bilinear form g and shows a translation invariance property of it.

Approximation by conjugate integers

Lem m a 3.3. Let $g: E_n \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow K$ be the K -bilinear form given by the formula

$$g(P; Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} (-1)^j P^{(j)}(0) Q^{(n-j)}(0)$$

for any choice of polynomials $P, Q \in E_n$. For each place v of K , denote by $g_v: E_{n,v} \rightarrow E_{n,v} \rightarrow K_v$ the K_v -bilinear form which extends g . Then, for any polynomials $P, Q \in E_{n,w}$, we have

$$g_w(P; Q) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} (-1)^j P^{(j)}(\cdot) Q^{(n-j)}(\cdot):$$

P roof. For fixed $P, Q \in E_{n,w}$, the polynomial

$$A(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} (-1)^j P^{(j)}(T) Q^{(n-j)}(T)$$

has derivative

$$A'(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n-1} (-1)^j P^{(j+1)}(T) Q^{(n-j)}(T) + \sum_{j=1}^{X^n} (-1)^j P^{(j)}(T) Q^{(n-j+1)}(T) = 0:$$

So $A(T)$ is a constant. This implies $A(\cdot) = A(0) = g_w(P; Q)$.

Using this we get the following estimate.

Lem m a 3.4. Let $\underline{X} = (X_0; X_1; \dots; X_n)$ and $\underline{Y} = (Y_0; Y_1; \dots; Y_n)$ be $(n+1)$ -tuples of positive real numbers. Suppose that, for each place v of K , we are given polynomials $P_v \in C_v(\underline{X})$ and $Q_v \in C_v(\underline{Y})$. Then, with the notation of Lem m a 3.3, we have

$$g_v(P_v; Q_v) \leq_v (n+1)! \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} X_j Y_{n-j}:$$

P roof. For any place v of K with $v \notin w$, we have, if v is Archimedean,

$$\begin{aligned} g_v(P_v; Q_v) &\leq_v (n+1)^{d_v=d} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} P_v^{(j)}(0) Q_v^{(n-j)}(0) \\ &= (n+1)!^{d_v=d} k_{P_v} k_{Q_v} \\ &= (n+1)!^{d_v=d}; \end{aligned}$$

and, if v is ultrametric,

$$g_v(P_v; Q_v) \leq_v \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} P_v^{(j)}(0) Q_v^{(n-j)}(0) \leq k_{P_v} k_{Q_v} \leq_v 1:$$

Approximation by conjugate integers

Similarly, if w is Archimedean, the formula of Lemma 3.3 leads to

$$g_w(P_w; Q_w)_w = (n+1)^{d_w=d} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{P}_w^{(j)}(\cdot)_w \mathcal{Q}_w^{(n-j)}(\cdot)_w \\ (n+1)^{d_w=d} \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} X_j Y_{n-j}$$

while, if w is non-Archimedean, it gives

$$g_w(P_w; Q_w)_w = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} \mathcal{P}_w^{(j)}(\cdot)_w \mathcal{Q}_w^{(n-j)}(\cdot)_w = \max_{0 \leq j \leq n} X_j Y_{n-j}.$$

The conclusion follows.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\underline{X} = (X_0; X_1; \dots; X_n)$ be an $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers. Define $\underline{Y} = (Y_0; \dots; Y_n)$ where $Y_i = X_{n-i}^{-1}$ for $i = 0; \dots; n$. Then the products

$${}_i(\underline{X})_{n-i+2}(\underline{Y}); \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1);$$

are bounded below and above by positive constants that depend only on K , n and w .

Proof. Fix an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. Put $\alpha = {}_i(\underline{X})$ and $\beta = {}_{n-i+2}(\underline{Y})$. By definition of the successive minima of a convex body, the polynomials of $K[T]$ contained in $C(\underline{X})$ generate a subspace U of E_n of dimension α while those contained in $C(\underline{Y})$ generate a subspace V of E_n of dimension β . Since the sum of these dimensions is strictly greater than that of E_n and since the bilinear form g of Lemma 3.3 is non-degenerate, it follows that U and V are not orthogonal with respect to g . Thus, there exist non-zero polynomials $P \in C(\underline{X})$ and $Q \in C(\underline{Y})$ which belong to E_n and satisfy $g(P; Q) \neq 0$. For any Archimedean place v of K , we view α and β as elements of K_v under the natural embedding of \mathbb{R} in K_v and define $P_v = \alpha^{-1}P$ and $Q_v = \beta^{-1}Q$. For all the other places of K , we put $P_v = P$ and $Q_v = Q$. Then, we have $P_v \in C_v(\underline{X})$ and $Q_v \in C_v(\underline{Y})$ for all places v of K , and applying Lemma 3.4 we get

$$\prod_{v \in J_1} \mathcal{P}(P; Q)_v = \prod_{v \in J_1} g_v(\alpha^{-1}P; \beta^{-1}Q)_v = (n+1)!;$$

On noting that, for any Archimedean place v of K , the real numbers α and β viewed as elements of K_v satisfy $\alpha \beta = 1$ and $\alpha \beta = 1$, we find that the left hand side of the above inequality is

$$\prod_{v \in J_1} (\alpha \beta)^{d_v=d} \prod_v \mathcal{P}(P; Q)_v = (\alpha \beta)^{-1};$$

by virtue of the product formula applied to the non-zero element $g(P; Q)$ of K . This shows

$((n+1)!)^{-1}$, and so all products $\prod_{i=1}^n \underline{X}_{i+2} \prod_{i=2}^n \underline{Y}_i$ are bounded below by $((n+1)!)^{-1}$, for $i=1, \dots, n+1$.

On the other hand, applying Theorem 3 of [3] to both $C(\underline{X})$ and $C(\underline{Y})$ (see (3.2) above), we find

[illegible]

where c_1 is the constant of Lemma 3.1. Thus the products $\prod_{i=1}^n \langle \underline{X} \rangle_{n-i+2} \langle \underline{Y} \rangle$ are also bounded above by $4^{n+1} c_1^{2=d} ((n+1)!)^n$.

4. A version of Gel'fond's criterion.

Let n, w and ϵ be as in the preceding section. We prove below a version of Gel'fond's lemma which contains Theorem 2b of [4] and which we will need to conclude the proof of Theorem B. It applies as well to the situation of Lemma 12 in x10 of [4]. We first need a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let $P, Q \in K[T]$ be non-zero polynomials of degree at most n , and let $G \in K[T]$ be a gcd of P and Q . Then, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}()_{\mathcal{I}}}{k_G k_{\mathcal{I}}} \quad \text{g m ax} \quad \frac{\mathcal{P}()_{\mathcal{I}}}{k_P k_{\mathcal{I}}}; \frac{\mathcal{Q}()_{\mathcal{I}}}{k_Q k_{\mathcal{I}}} \quad \frac{H(P)}{H(G)} \quad \text{deg}(Q=G) \quad \frac{H(Q)}{H(G)} \quad \text{deg}(P=G)$$

where $c_3 = c_3(n)$ is a constant depending only on n .

Proof. Put $A = P \cdot G$ and $B = Q \cdot G$. For any place v of K , the usual representation of the resultant $\text{Res}(A; B)$ of A and B as a Sylvester's determinant leads to the estimate

$$\text{Res}(A;B) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(k_A k_v^{\deg B} + k_B k_v^{\deg A} \right)$$

where $c_v = 1$ if $v \notin J_1$ and $c_v = ((2n)!)^{d_v=d}$ if $v \in J_1$. Arguing as D. Brownawell in the proof of Lemma 1 of [1], we also find

$$\mathcal{R}es(A;B)_w^j = \zeta_{\max} \frac{\mathcal{A}(\cdot)_w^j}{k_A k_w^{\deg A}}; \frac{\mathcal{B}(\cdot)_w^j}{k_B k_w^{\deg B}}$$

with the same value of c_w as above. Since A and B are relatively prime, their resultant is non-zero and so the product formula gives

$$1 = \prod_v \text{Res}(A; B)_v \quad (2n)! \max \left\{ \frac{A(\infty)_v}{k_A k_w}, \frac{B(\infty)_v}{k_B k_w} \right\} H(A)^{\deg B} H(B)^{\deg A} :$$

Multiplying both terms of this inequality by $\mathcal{J}(\infty)_v = k_G k_w$ and using the estimates $H(A) \leq e^n H(P) = H(G)$ and $k_A k_w \leq (n+1)^{-1} k_P k_w = k_G k_w$ as well as the corresponding estimates for B , we get the desired result with $c_3 = (n+1)(2n) e^{2n^2}$.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that, for any sufficiently large real number X , there is a non-zero polynomial $P = P_X \in K[T]$ of degree $\leq n$ and height $\leq X$ such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}(\infty)_v}{k_P k_w} < c_4^{-1} H(P)^{-n} X^{-\deg(P)}$$

where $c_4 = e^{2n^2} c_3$. Then, α is algebraic over K of degree $\leq n$ and the above polynomials vanish at α for any sufficiently large X .

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist arbitrarily large values of X for which $P_X(\alpha) \neq 0$. We will show by induction that, for each integer $m = 1, \dots, n$, there exist polynomials $Q \in K[T]$ of degree $\leq m$ which have arbitrarily large height and satisfy

$$0 < \frac{\mathcal{Q}(\infty)_v}{k_Q k_w} < c_4^{-1} H(Q)^{-n} X^{-\deg(Q)} : \quad (4.1)$$

For $m = n$, the polynomials P_X for which $P_X(\alpha) \neq 0$ satisfy the above inequality and, since $\mathcal{P}_X(\infty)_v = k_{P_X} k_w$ tends to 0 as $X \rightarrow \infty$, their heights tend to infinity with X . So, the above condition is satisfied when $m = n$. Suppose more generally that it is verified for an integer m with $1 \leq m \leq n$. Choose a polynomial Q of degree $\leq m$ which satisfy (4.1) and whose height is sufficiently large so that, putting $X = e^{-n} H(Q)$, the polynomial $P = P_X$ is defined. Then Q does not divide P since $H(P) \leq e^{-n} H(Q)$. So, if G denotes a gcd of P and Q , then G has degree $\leq m-1$. Writing $a = \deg(P/G)$ and $b = \deg(Q/G)$, and applying Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{J}(\infty)_v}{k_G k_w} &< c_3 c_4^{-1} \max \left\{ H(P)^{-n} X^{-\deg P}; H(Q)^{-n} X^{-\deg Q} \right\} \frac{H(P)^b}{H(G)^b} \frac{H(Q)^a}{H(G)^a} \\ &= e^{2n^2} H(G)^{-n} X^{-\deg(G)} \\ &\quad \max \left(\frac{H(G)^{-n-b}}{H(P)^b} \frac{H(Q)^a}{X H(G)^a}; \frac{H(G)^{-n-a}}{H(Q)^a} \frac{H(P)^b}{X H(G)^b} \right) e^{-n \deg(Q)} : \end{aligned}$$

Using $H(G) = H(P) \leq e^n, H(G) = H(Q) \leq e^n, H(P) \leq X$ and again $H(Q) = e^n X$, this gives

$$\frac{J(G)}{kGk_w} < H(G)^n X^{\deg(G)} \max\{H(G)^a; H(G)^b\} \quad (4.2)$$

Since $Q \neq 0$, we also have $G \neq 0$. If G were a constant, we would have $H(G) = 1$ and $J(G) = kGk_w = 1$ and the inequality (4.2) would not hold. So, the degree of G is

1 and (4.2) implies that $J(G) = kGk_w$ can be made arbitrarily small by making X arbitrarily large, that is by choosing Q of sufficiently large height. Thus the height of G also can be made arbitrarily large. In particular, we may assume that it is qe^{n^2} . If $a = \deg(P=G) = 1$, the inequality (4.2) then gives

$$\frac{J(G)}{kGk_w} < H(G)^{n-1} X^{\deg(G)} c_4^{-1} H(G)^{n-\deg(G)}$$

using $b = \deg(Q=G) = 1$ and $1 \leq H(G) \leq H(P) \leq e^n X$. If $\deg(P=G) = 0$, we also find

$$\frac{J(G)}{kGk_w} = \frac{J(P)}{kPk_w} < c_4^{-1} H(P)^{n-\deg(P)} = c_4^{-1} H(G)^{n-\deg(G)}.$$

If $m \geq 2$, this shows that the condition (4.1) is satisfied by polynomials of degree $m-1$ and arbitrarily large height. Thus, our claim is verified for $m = 1, \dots, n$. For $m = 1$, the above argument leads to a contradiction since it constructs non-constant polynomials of degree 0.

5. A height estimate.

Here we establish a height estimate which, in our application, will play the role of Theorem 3 of [4]. Again, we start with a lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\nu \geq 0$ be an integer and let x_0, \dots, x_ν be indeterminates. For any integer $k \geq 1$, the set $Z[x_0, \dots, x_\nu]_k$ of homogeneous polynomials of $Z[x_0, \dots, x_\nu]$ of degree k is generated, as a Z -module, by the minors of order k of the $(k + \nu) \times m$ matrix

$$R(k; \nu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_\nu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_\nu & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & \cdots & x_\nu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ \nu \\ \vdots \\ \nu \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} C \\ \vdots \\ C \\ \vdots \\ A \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 9 \\ \vdots \\ 9 \\ \vdots \\ 9 \end{matrix} \quad k \text{ rows.}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $k + \nu$. If $k = 1$ or $\nu = 0$ the result is clear. Assume $k \geq 2$ and $\nu \geq 1$ and that the result is true for a smaller number of rows or a smaller

number of indeterminates. Denote by M the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell}]_k$ generated by the minors of order k of the matrix $R(k; \ell)$. The ring homomorphism ϕ from $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell}]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell-1}]$ sending x_{ℓ} to 0 and all other indeterminates to themselves maps M onto the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell-1}]_k$ generated by the minors of order k of $R(k; \ell-1)$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\phi(M) = \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell-1}]_k.$$

On the other hand, the determinants of the $k \times k$ submatrices which contain the last column of $R(k; \ell)$ are the products $x_{\ell} d$ where d is a minor of order $k-1$ of $R(k-1; \ell)$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, we also have

$$M \cap x_{\ell} \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell-1}]_k = \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell}]_k \setminus \ker(\phi).$$

These properties imply $M = \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, x_{\ell}]_k$.

Proposition 5.2. Let k and ℓ be integers with $k \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 0$. For any $P \in E_{\ell}$, we have

$$c^{-1} H(P)^k \leq H(P|_{E_{\ell-1}}) \leq c H(P)^k$$

where c is a positive constant depending only on k and ℓ and where $P|_{E_{\ell-1}}$ denotes the subspace of $E_{k+\ell-1}$ consisting of all products PQ with $Q \in E_{k-1}$.

Proof. Write $P = a_0 + a_1 T + \dots + T^{\ell} a_{\ell}$. Then the height of $P|_{E_{\ell-1}}$ is simply the height of the matrix with k rows

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{\ell} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & A \\ 0 & a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By virtue of the preceding lemma, every monomial of degree k in a_0, \dots, a_{ℓ} can be expressed as a linear combination of the minors of order k of this matrix with integral coefficients that do not depend on P . Conversely, the minors of order k of R can be written as linear combinations of monomials of degree k in a_0, \dots, a_{ℓ} with integral coefficients that do not depend on P . Thus, for each place v of K , we have

$$c_v^{-1} k R_{k,v} \leq k P_{k,v}^k \leq c_v k R_{k,v}$$

for some constant $c_v \geq 1$ independent of P , with $c_v = 1$ when v is not Archimedean. The conclusion follows with $c = \prod_{v \in J} c_v$.

6. Construction of a polynomial.

Let n, w and ℓ be as in §3. We fix a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers X_0, \dots, X_n and assume that the corresponding convex body $C(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ contains a non-zero polynomial $Q \in K[T]$. Let

$$V = \{P \in E_n; g(P; Q) = 0\}$$

where $g: E_n \times E_n \rightarrow K$ is the K -bilinear form of Lemma 3.3. For each integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, we define a K -bilinear form $B_\ell: E_\ell \times E_{n-\ell} \rightarrow K$ by the formula

$$B_\ell(F; G) = g(FG; Q)$$

for $F \in E_\ell$ and $G \in E_{n-\ell}$. Its right kernel is

$$V_\ell = \{G \in E_{n-\ell}; G \in E \cap V\}$$

We also denote by $B_{\ell, w}: E_{\ell, w} \times E_{n-\ell, w} \rightarrow K_w$ the K_w -bilinear form which extends B_ℓ . Finally, we put

$$y_i = (-1)^i i! Q^{(n-i)}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad z_i = (-1)^i i! Q^{(n-i)}(\ell); \quad (0 \leq i \leq n);$$

and, for each integer $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we define

$$M_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 & y_1 & \dots & y_{n-\ell} \\ B_\ell(y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_{n-\ell+1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_\ell & y_{\ell+1} & \dots & y_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ C \\ A \end{matrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-\ell} \\ B_\ell(z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{n-\ell+1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ z_\ell & z_{\ell+1} & \dots & z_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} 1 \\ C \\ A \end{matrix};$$

With this notation, we will prove below a series of lemmas leading, under some condition on X_0, \dots, X_n , to the construction of a polynomial $P \in K[T]$ with several properties. The method overall follows that of Davenport and Schmidt in §9 of [4]. The first lemma is the following observation:

Lemma 6.1. Fix an integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$. Then,

- (i) M_ℓ is the matrix of B_ℓ relative to the bases $f_1, T; \dots, T^\ell$ of E_ℓ and $f_1, T; \dots, T^{n-\ell}$ of $E_{n-\ell}$;

Approximation by conjugate integers

(ii) N_{ℓ} is the matrix of $B_{\ell,w}$ relative to the bases $f_1; T^1; \dots; T^{\ell}$ of $E_{\ell,w}$ and $f_1; T^1; \dots; (T^n)^{\ell}$ of $E_n_{\ell,w}$.

Proof. This follows upon noting that, for $i = 0; \dots; \ell$ and $j = 0; \dots; n - \ell$, we have

$$B_{\ell}(T^i; T^j) = g(T^{i+j}; Q(T)) = y_{i+j}$$

and, by Lemma 3.3,

$$B_{\ell,w}((T^i)^{\ell}; (T^j)^{\ell}) = g_w((T^{i+j})^{\ell}; Q(T)) = z_{i+j}.$$

In particular, this result implies that M_{ℓ} and N_{ℓ} have the same rank for any value of ℓ . Note that the number of rows of these matrices is less than or equal to their number of columns if and only if $\ell = n = 2$. Under this hypothesis, we have the following estimates:

Lemma 6.2. There are constants $c_5; c_6; c_7 \geq 1$ depending only on K, n, w and ℓ such that, for any integer ℓ with $0 < \ell = n = 2$, we have

- (i) $kN_{\ell,w} \leq c_5 X_{n-\ell} \cdot X_n$,
- (ii) $c_6^{-1} kN_{\ell,w} \leq kM_{\ell,w} \leq c_6 kN_{\ell,w}$,
- (iii) $H(M_{\ell}) \leq c_7 kN_{\ell,w}$ when M_{ℓ} has rank $\ell + 1$.

Proof. (i) The upper bound on $kN_{\ell,w}$ follows from the fact that, for $i = 1; \dots; \ell + 1$, all the elements of the i -th row of N_{ℓ} have their absolute value bounded above by a constant times X_{n+1-i} .

(ii) By Lemma 6.1, M_{ℓ} and N_{ℓ} are matrices of $B_{\ell,w}$ corresponding to different choices of bases for $E_{\ell,w}$ and $E_n_{\ell,w}$. Accordingly, we have

$$M_{\ell} = {}^t U N_{\ell} V;$$

where U and V are matrices of change of bases which depend only on ℓ, ℓ and n . Since U and V are invertible, this implies that any minor of order $\ell + 1$ of M_{ℓ} (resp. N_{ℓ}) can be expressed as a linear combination of the minors of order $\ell + 1$ of N_{ℓ} (resp. M_{ℓ}) with coefficients that are independent of Q , and the second assertion follows.

(iii) At any place v of K with $v \notin w$, the elements of M_{ℓ} have their absolute value bounded above by a constant which depends only on n and which can be taken to be 1

Approximation by conjugate integers

when $v \geq 1$. So, the same is true of $kM \cdot k_v$. The height of $M \cdot$ is thus bounded above by a constant times $kM \cdot k_w$ or, according to (ii), by a constant times $kN \cdot k_w$.

Lemma 6.3. For any integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, we have

$$\dim V_\ell = n - \ell + 1 - \text{rank}(M_\ell):$$

When M_ℓ has rank $\ell + 1$, we also have $H(V_\ell) = H(M_\ell)$.

Proof. A polynomial $P = a_0 + a_1T + \dots + a_nT^n$ of E_n belongs to V_ℓ if and only if for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, it satisfies

$$0 = g(T^i P(T); Q(T)) = B_\ell(T^i; P(T)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Y_{i+j} a_j.$$

Thus, identifying E_n with K^{n+1} in the usual way, the subspace V_ℓ of E_n is identified with the solution space of the homogeneous system associated to M_ℓ . This proves the formula for $\dim V_\ell$. Moreover, if M_ℓ has rank $\ell + 1$, then, according to the duality principle mentioned in §2, we have $H(V_\ell) = H(M_\ell)$.

Lemma 6.4. Suppose that there exists an integer h with $1 \leq h \leq n-2$ such that M_{h-1} has rank h and M_h has rank h . Then, V_{h-1} contains a non-zero element P . Such a polynomial P has degree h and satisfies

$$P \in \mathbb{F}_{2h+1} = V_{h-1}:$$

In particular, P divides any polynomial of V_{h-1} .

Proof. Since M_{n-h} is the transpose of M_h , the two matrices have the same rank. By Lemma 6.3, this gives

$$\dim V_{n-h} = (h+1) - \text{rank}(M_{n-h}) - 1:$$

So, V_{n-h} contains a non-zero element P . Using Lemma 6.3, we also find

$$\dim V_{h-1} = (n-h+2) - \text{rank}(M_{h-1}) = n-2h+2:$$

Since V_{h-1} contains $P \in \mathbb{F}_{2h+1}$, and since the latter subspace of E_{n-h+1} also has dimension $n-2h+2$, this inclusion is an equality.

Approximation by conjugate integers

Lemma 6.5. Let ℓ and t be integers with $0 \leq \ell < n=2$ and $1 \leq t \leq n-2\ell$. Suppose that N_ℓ has rank $\ell+1$ and that there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in K[T]$ such that $P \in \mathbb{E}_1 \cap V_\ell$. Then, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}(\ell)_j}{k_P k_w} = \frac{X_{n-t-\ell} \dots X_{n-t-\ell}}{k N_\ell k_w} :$$

Proof. Denote by $z_0, \dots, z_{n-\ell}$ the columns of N_ℓ and, for each integer s with $1 \leq s \leq t+1$, denote by $N_\ell^{(s)}$ the submatrix of N_ℓ consisting of the columns $z_{s-1}, \dots, z_{n-\ell}$. Observe that, since $t \leq n-2\ell$, these matrices all have at least $\ell+1$ columns. Write

$$P = b_0 + b_1(T) + \dots + b_h(T)$$

where h is the degree of P . For any integer s as above, we have $(T)^{s-1}P(T) \in V_\ell$ and so, for $i = 0, \dots, \ell$, we find

$$0 = B_{\ell,w}((T)^i; (T)^{s-1}P(T)) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^h} z_{i+s-1+j} b_j :$$

This means that the columns of N_ℓ satisfy the recurrence relation

$$b_0 z_{s-1} + b_1 z_s + \dots + b_h z_{s+h} = 0; \quad (1 \leq s \leq t):$$

Now, fix an integer s with $1 \leq s \leq t$ and choose indices j_0, j_1, \dots, j_ℓ with $s-1 \leq j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_\ell \leq n-\ell$ such that

$$k N_\ell^{(s)} k_w = \det(z_{j_0}, \dots, z_{j_\ell})_w : \quad (6.1)$$

If $j_0 = s-1$, we find, using the recurrence relation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(\ell)_j k N_\ell^{(s)} k_w &= \det(b_0 z_{s-1}; z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_\ell})_w \\ &= \det \sum_{j=1}^{X^h} b_j z_{s-1+j} z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_\ell} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{X^h} b_j \det(z_{s-1+j}, z_{j_1}, \dots, z_{j_\ell})_w \\ &= c k_P k_w k N_\ell^{(s+1)} k_w \end{aligned}$$

Approximation by conjugate integers

for some positive constant c depending only on n and j . If $j_0 \leq s$, this is still true because (6.1) then implies $kN_{\cdot}^{(s)} k_w \leq kN_{\cdot}^{(s+1)} k_w$. Since $kN_{\cdot}^{(1)} k_w = kN_{\cdot} k_w \notin 0$, this inequality implies by induction on s that we have $kN_{\cdot}^{(s)} k_w \notin 0$ for $s = 1; \dots; t+1$, and therefore we can write

$$\frac{P(\cdot)_j}{kP k_w} \leq \frac{kN_{\cdot}^{(s+1)} k_w}{kN_{\cdot}^{(s)} k_w}; \quad (1 \leq s \leq t):$$

Multiplying term by term these inequalities, we get

$$\frac{P(\cdot)_j}{kP k_w} \leq \frac{kN_{\cdot}^{(t+1)} k_w}{kN_{\cdot} k_w}$$

and the conclusion follows upon noting that, for $i = 1; \dots; \ell+1$, the i -th row of $N_{\cdot}^{(t+1)}$ has norm $X_{n-t-i+1}$ and thus $kN_{\cdot}^{(t+1)} k_w \leq X_{n-t} \cdot \dots \cdot X_n$.

Proposition 6.6. Let k be an integer with $1 \leq k \leq n=2$. Assume that there is an integer t with $1 \leq t \leq n+2-2k$ such that

$$X_0 \leq \dots \leq X_{n-t} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq X_{n-t+1} \leq \dots \leq X_n$$

Put $U = X_{n-t}$ and $Y = X_{n-t+1} \cdot \dots \cdot X_n$, and assume moreover that

$$Y^{k+1-t} < (c_5 c_7)^{-1} \tag{6.2}$$

where c_5 and c_7 are defined in Lemma 6.2. Then there exists an integer h with $1 \leq h \leq k$ and a non-zero polynomial $P \in K[\Gamma]$ of degree $\leq h$ and height $\leq k^n$ which divides any polynomial of V_{h-1} and satisfies

$$\frac{P(\cdot)_j}{kP k_w} \leq c_8^h H(P)^{-(n+2-2h)}; \tag{6.3}$$

where c_8 is a constant depending only on K, n, w and ℓ .

Proof. For any integer ℓ for which M_{\cdot}^{ℓ} has rank $\ell+1$, we find, using Lemma 6.2,

$$H(M_{\cdot}^{\ell}) \leq kN_{\cdot} k_w \leq c_7 X_{n-\ell} \cdot \dots \cdot X_n \leq c_7 Y^{\ell+1-t}; \tag{6.4}$$

Since we also have $H(M_{\cdot}^{\ell}) \geq 1$ for these values of ℓ , the assumption (6.2) implies that M_k has rank $\leq k$. The rank of M_0 being 1, we conclude that there exists an integer h with

Approximation by conjugate integers

Let $h \leq k$ such that M_{h-1} has rank h and M_h has rank h . Then, according to Lemma 6.4, there exists a non-zero polynomial $P \in E_h$ such that

$$P \in E_{2h+1} = V_{h-1}.$$

This implies that P divides any polynomial of V_{h-1} and, by Proposition 5.2, that

$$H(P)^{n+2-2h} \leq H(V_{h-1}) \leq H(P)^{n+2-2h}. \quad (6.5)$$

Combining Lemma 6.3 with (6.2) and (6.4) (for $\ell = h-1$), we also find

$$H(V_{h-1}) = H(M_{h-1}) \leq c_7 Y^{h-t} < (k+1-h)^{h-t} \quad (6.6)$$

Note that, since $k \leq n=2$, the ratio $(k+1-h)/(n+2-2h)$ is a decreasing function of h in the range $1 \leq h \leq k$. So, it is bounded above by k/n . Combining this observation with the above estimates (6.5) and (6.6), we get

$$H(P) \leq (k+1-h)^{h-t} = (n+2-2h)^{h-t} \quad k/n. \quad (6.7)$$

Since $t \leq n+2-2k$, we have $P \in E_1 \subset E_{2h+1} \subset V_{h-1}$ and applying Lemma 6.5 with $\ell = h-1$ gives

$$\frac{P(\underline{z})^t}{kP k_w} \leq \frac{h}{kN_{h-1} k_w}.$$

Moreover, Lemma 6.2 (iii), Lemma 6.3 and (6.5) provide

$$kN_{h-1} k_w \leq c_7^{-1} H(M_{h-1}) = c_7^{-1} H(V_{h-1}) \leq H(P)^{n+2-2h},$$

and the conclusion follows.

In our application, we will simply need the following consequence of this proposition.

Corollary 6.7. Assume that all the hypotheses of Proposition 6.6 are satisfied and that we have $\gamma < c_8^{-1}$. Then there exists an irreducible polynomial $P \in K[T]$ which divides any polynomial of V_k and satisfies

$$\frac{P(\underline{z})^t}{kP k_w} \leq c_8^{\deg P} H(P)^{(n+2-2k)} \quad (6.8)$$

Approximation by conjugate integers

where $c_9 = \max\{1, e^{n^2} c_8\}$.

Proof. Let h and P be as in the conclusion of the Proposition. Since $H(P) \geq 1$, the right hand side of (6.3) is bounded above by $c_8 h^{-1} c_9 < 1$. So P cannot be a constant. Moreover, since $\deg(P) \leq h - k$, the same inequality (6.3) gives

$$\frac{P(\alpha_j)}{kP(\alpha_w)} \leq c_8^{\deg(P)} H(P)^{-(n+2-2k)}.$$

Write P as a product

$$P = P_1 \cdots P_s$$

of irreducible polynomials of $K[T]$. Then the above inequality leads to

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \frac{P_i(\alpha_j)}{kP_i(\alpha_w)} \leq c_8^{\deg(P)} H(P)^{-(n+2-2k)} \leq e^{n^2} c_8 c_9.$$

So, at least one factor of the product on the left must be bounded above by c_9 . The corresponding polynomial P_i divides every element of V_{k-1} since it divides P and V_{k-1} is contained in V_{h-1} .

Note that this statement provides no upper bound on the degree and height of P . We will get such upper bounds by an indirect argument, using the construction of an auxiliary polynomial in the next section.

7. Degree and height estimates.

The notation is as in the preceding section. We assume that the adelic convex body $C(X_0, \dots, X_n)$ contains a non-zero polynomial Q of $K[T]$ for some non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers X_0, \dots, X_n , and we define corresponding subspaces V_ℓ of E_n for $\ell = 0, \dots, n$ as in §6.

Lemma 7.1. Put $c = ((n+1)!)^2$. Then, for any integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ we have

$$C(cX_{n-1}, \dots, cX_{\ell+1}) \setminus E_{n-\ell} \cap V_\ell = \emptyset. \quad (7.1)$$

Proof. Let ℓ be an integer with $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, and let G be an element of the left hand side of (7.1). We need to show that $g(T^m G; Q) = 0$ for $m = 0, \dots, \ell$. To this end, we proceed by induction. We fix an integer m with $0 \leq m \leq \ell$ and assume, when $m \geq 1$, that we have

Approximation by conjugate integers

$g(T^j G; Q) = 0$ for $j = 0; \dots; m-1$. Let $P = T^m G(T)$. We define $P_w = (T^m)^j G(T)$ and $Q_w = Q$ and, for the other places $v \notin w$ of K , we put $P_v = P$ and $Q_v = Q$. These polynomials satisfy $P_v \in C_v(\underline{Y})$ and $Q_v \in C_v(\underline{X})$ for each place v of K , where $\underline{Y} = (Y_0; \dots; Y_n)$ denotes the $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers given by $Y_i = n! c X_{n-i}^{-1}$ for $i = 0; \dots; n$. Moreover, the hypotheses imply

$$g_w(P_w; Q_w) = g(P; Q)$$

since the difference $P_w - P$ can be written as a linear combination of $G; \dots; T^{m-1}G$ with coefficients in K_w in the case $m \geq 1$ and is zero when $m = 0$. Using Lemma 3.4, we therefore get

$$\prod_v g_v(P; Q) = \prod_v g_v(P_v; Q_v) \leq (n+1) \ln c < 1:$$

By the product formula, this implies $g(P; Q) = 0$.

Proposition 7.2. There is a constant $c_{10} > 0$ which depends only on K, n, w and δ and has the following property. Suppose that v and u are non-negative integers with $v + u < n$, such that

$$X_n^{u+1} X_{n-1} \leq v + u X_{n-2} \quad (7.2)$$

Then, there is a non-zero polynomial G of $K[T]$ of degree $\leq n - v$ and height $\leq X_{v+u}^{-1}$ such that $G^{(i)} \in V$, for $i = 0; \dots; u$.

Proof. Let c be as in Lemma 7.1. Put $\delta = (n!)^{-1}$ and define real numbers $Y_0; \dots; Y_n$, by

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{for } i = 0; \dots; u, \\ c X_{n-i+u}^{-1} & \text{for } i = u+1; \dots; n-v. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2 shows that the convex $C(Y_0; \dots; Y_n)$ contains a non-zero element G of E_n , if the condition (7.2) is satisfied for a sufficiently small constant $c_{10} > 0$. Such a polynomial has height $H(G) \leq Y_n + X_{v+u}^{-1}$. Moreover, for $i = 0; \dots; u$, we find

$$G^{(i)} \in C(Y_i; \dots; Y_n) \subset C(c X_{n-i}^{-1}; \dots; c X_{n-v}^{-1})$$

and so $G^{(i)} \in V$, by Lemma 7.1.

We will apply this proposition in the following context:

Corollary 7.3. Let λ and u be as in Proposition 7.2, and assume that there exists an irreducible polynomial $P \in K[T]$ which divides every element of V_λ . Then, we have

$$\deg(P) \leq \frac{n}{u+1} \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad H(P) \leq X^{\frac{1}{u+1}(u+1)}:$$

Proof. The hypotheses imply that P divides all derivatives of the polynomial G of Proposition 7.2, up to order u . So, P^{u+1} divides G and the conclusion follows.

8. Proof of Theorem B.

Let the notation be as in Theorem B and assume that the hypothesis of this theorem holds with a constant $c < \min\{1, (c_5 c_7)^{-1}\}g$. Then, for any real number $X \geq 1$ the condition (6.2) of Proposition 6.6 is satisfied with

$$= X_0 = X_1 = \dots = X_t = cX^{t-(k+1-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{n-t+1} = \dots = X_n = X:$$

Moreover, if X is sufficiently large, the hypothesis of Theorem B is that the corresponding convex $C(\underline{X})$ with $\underline{X} = (X_0; \dots; X_n)$ contains a non-zero element Q of E_n . Since $t \leq n+2-2k$, we may then apply Corollary 6.7. It shows that, if X is sufficiently large so that $c < c_8^{-1}$, then there is an irreducible polynomial $P \in K[T]$ which divides every element of the vector space V_{k-1} attached to Q and satisfies

$$\frac{P(\cdot)_j}{kP k_w} \leq H(P)^{(n+2-2k)-t} \deg(P)=t:$$

Since $c \leq 1$ and $n-t \leq 2t+k-2$, we also find

$$X_n^{2t} X_{n-1} \dots X_{2t+k-2} = X^{3t-1-n-3t+k+3} X^{3t-1-3k-3t+3} X^{-1}:$$

So, the condition (7.2) of Proposition 7.2 is satisfied with $\lambda = k-1$ and $u = 2t-1$ provided that X is sufficiently large. Assuming that this is the case, Corollary 7.3 then shows

$$\deg(P) \leq \frac{n-k+1}{2t} \quad \text{and} \quad H(P) \leq X^{\frac{1}{2t}(2t)}:$$

for some constant $\epsilon > 0$. Putting $m = [(n-k+1)/(2t)]$ and $Y = X^{\frac{1}{2t}(2t)}$, and noting that $(n+2-2k)-t \leq m$, we thus have found the existence of a polynomial $P \in K[T]$ of degree $\leq m$ and height $\leq Y$ such that

$$\frac{P(\cdot)_j}{kP k_w} \leq H(P)^m Y^{2\deg(P)}:$$

Since Y is a monotone increasing unbounded continuous function of X , for $X \geq 1$, Theorem 4.2 then shows that α is algebraic over K of degree $\leq m$.

9. Proof of Theorem A.

We first generalize the construction of Davenport and Schmidt in §2 of [4].

Lemma 9.1. Let t be an integer with $1 \leq t \leq n$ and let ρ and Y be real numbers with $0 < \rho < 1 < Y$ and $\rho \leq 1$. Assume that $\underline{Y} = (Y_0; \dots; Y_n)$ is a $(n+1)$ -tuple of positive real numbers satisfying

$$\rho_{n+1}(\underline{Y}) \leq \rho \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j \leq \begin{cases} Y^{-t+j} & \text{for } j = 0, \dots, t-1, \\ Y & \text{for } j = t, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

Assume moreover that $j \leq 1$ in the case where w is ultrametric. Then there exists a monic polynomial $P \in O_K[T]$ which is irreducible over K of degree $n+1$, has height $H(P) \leq Y$ (as defined in §2), admits d distinct conjugates over \mathbb{Q} , and has at least t distinct roots in the closed disk of K_w centered at ρ of radius ρ .

Proof. Let ϵ be a fixed but arbitrarily small positive real number with $\epsilon \leq 1$. Put $\rho_0 = \min \rho_j$; ρ and choose elements $P_1; \dots; P_{n+1}$ in E_n realizing the successive minima of $C(\underline{Y})$ in E_n . Since $\rho_{n+1}(\underline{Y}) \leq \rho$, these polynomials all belong to $C(\underline{Y})$. In particular, they have integral coefficients at any ultrametric place v of K distinct from w . Moreover, they form a basis of E_n over K . We will construct the required polynomial P in the form

$$P(T) = T^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i P_i(T)$$

for suitable elements $b_1; \dots; b_{n+1}$ of K . Each b_i will be obtained as solution of a system of inhomogeneous inequalities using the strong approximation theorem (see Theorem 3, page 440 of [12] or §15 of [7]). According to this result, there is a constant $C > 0$ depending only on K with the following property. For any finite set S of places of K , any choice of elements $\alpha_v \in K_v$, ($v \in S$), and any choice of positive real numbers ϵ_v , ($v \in S$), with $\prod_{v \in S} \epsilon_v \leq C$, there exists an element b of K , satisfying $|b - \alpha_v|_v \leq \epsilon_v$ for $v \in S$, and $|b|_v \leq 1$ for $v \notin S$.

To ensure that P is irreducible over K and admits d distinct conjugates over \mathbb{Q} , we proceed essentially as Bugeaud and Teulie in [2]. We choose a prime number q of \mathbb{Z} which splits completely in O_K into a product of d distinct prime ideals none of which defines the place w . We fix a place v_0 among the corresponding d places of K above q and we choose an element α of K satisfying $\prod_{j=0}^d \alpha_j = \alpha \alpha_0$ and $\alpha_j \neq 1$ for $j \neq 0$ with $v_j \neq v_0$. We write

$$P(T) = T^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i P_i(T)$$

with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \in K$ and we ask that

$$\alpha_i - \beta_i = q^{-2=d}; \quad (v_j; 1 \leq i \leq n+1): \quad (9.1)$$

Under these conditions, the corresponding polynomial P satisfies

$$kP(T) = T^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{X+1} (\alpha_i - \beta_i) P_i(T) + \sum_{v \in v_0} \beta_v^2$$

for v_j . Thus, P has integral coefficients at the places of K above q . Since α is a uniformizing parameter for v_0 , the above relation implies that P is an Eisenstein polynomial of $K[T]$ at v_0 and thus it is irreducible over K (see for instance Theorem 24 in §3, Chapter III of [8]). Moreover this relation also gives $P(0)_{v_0} < 1$ and $P(0)_v = 1$ for v_j with $v \notin v_0$. Thus the constant coefficient $P(0)$ of P admits d distinct conjugates over \mathbb{Q} .

To ensure that P has t roots close to α in K_w , we examine a monic polynomial $B \in K_w[T]$ of degree t with t (simple) distinct roots in the open unit disk $D_w = \{z \in K_w; |z|_w < 1\}$ of K_w and we use the fact that, by explicit forms of the inverse function theorem such as Theorem 4.4.1 in Chapter I of [5], any polynomial $S(T) \in K_w[T]$ of degree $n+1$ for which $kS - B|_w$ is sufficiently small also has t distinct roots in D_w . We proceed as follows:

If w is ultrametric, lying above an ordinary prime number p , we choose an element r of K_w with $p^{-1} < |r|_w < 1$ and put $s = r^t$. If w is Archimedean, we choose $r, s \in K_w$ with $|r|_w = 1$ and $|s|_w = d_w = d - t \geq 0$. In both cases, we define

$$R(T) = (T - \alpha)^{n+1} + sB - \frac{T}{r} \in K_w[T].$$

We write this polynomial in the form

$$R(T) = T^{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{X+1} \beta_i P_i(T)$$

with $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1} \in K_w$ and ask that

$$|\beta_i - \alpha_i|_w \leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \text{ is Archimedean,} \\ p^{n+1} & \text{if } w \text{ is ultrametric.} \end{cases} \quad (9.2)$$

The polynomial $S = s^{-1}P(rT + \alpha)$ then satisfies

$$kS - B|_w = s^{-1}r^{n+1}T^{n+1} + s^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{X+1} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) P_i(rT + \alpha)$$

Approximation by conjugate integers

using $\|j_i\|_w^{-1} \|j_i\|_w^{n+1} = 0$ and noting that, for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we have

$$kP_i(rT + \dots)_w = \begin{cases} d_w = d^{-t} Y & \text{if } w \text{ is Archimedean,} \\ t_Y & \text{if } w \text{ is ultrametric.} \end{cases}$$

If ϵ is sufficiently small, this implies that S has t roots in the disk D_w and therefore, that P has at least t distinct roots in the disk of K_w centered at w with radius ϵ .

If w is Archimedean and again if ϵ is small enough, the strong approximation Theorem allows us to require, aside from (9.1) and (9.2), that

$$\|j_i\|_v \leq 1; \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1); \quad (9.3)$$

for all places v of K with $v \notin w$ and $v \nmid q$. Then P has integral coefficients at v for each ultrametric place v of K and therefore it has coefficients in O_K . Moreover, as we may take

$\epsilon = 1$, we find $kP\|_w = d_w = d^{-t} Y^2$ and $d_w = d^{-t} Y$. Since $kP\|_{v_1} = d_v = d$ for all the other Archimedean places v of K , this implies $H(P) = \bigcap_{v \nmid q} kP\|_v = Y$.

If w is ultrametric, we choose an Archimedean place v_1 . We require that (9.1) and (9.2) hold, that (9.3) holds for all places v of K with $v \notin w$, $v \notin v_1$ and $v \nmid q$, and that

$$\|j_i\|_{v_1} \leq d^{-n-2} Y; \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1):$$

Again, the strong approximation Theorem shows that these conditions have solutions $b_i \in K$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ provided that ϵ is small enough. Then, the corresponding polynomial P has integral coefficients at v for each ultrametric place v of K with $v \notin w$. At the place w , we find

$$kP\|_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (b_i - j_i) P_i(T) = d^{-n-1} Y^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+1} kP_i\|_w = d^{-n-1} Y^{-1}.$$

Moreover, R has coefficients in O_w since $\|j_i\|_w \leq 1$ and $kB\|_w = 1$. Thus, P also has coefficients in O_w if ϵ is sufficiently small, and then it has coefficients in O_K . Since we may take $\epsilon = 1$, this gives $kP\|_{v_1} = d_{v_1} = d Y$ and, since $kP\|_v = d_v = d$ for all Archimedean places $v \notin v_1$, we find $H(P) = \bigcap_{v \nmid q} kP\|_v = Y$.

Proof of Theorem A.

Let t, n and ϵ be as in Theorem A, let $k = [n=4]$, and let c be the constant of Theorem B corresponding to these data. Since α is not algebraic over K of degree $(n-k+1) = (2t)$,

Approximation by conjugate integers

Theorem B shows that there are arbitrary large positive real numbers X for which the $(n+1)$ -tuple $\underline{X} = (X_0; \dots; X_n)$ given by

$$X_j = \begin{cases} cX^{t-(k+1-t)} & \text{for } j = 0; \dots; n-t, \\ X & \text{for } j = n-t+1; \dots; n \end{cases}$$

satisfies $s_1(\underline{X}) > 1$. According to Proposition 3.5, this implies that the $(n+1)$ -tuple $\underline{Y} = (Y_0; \dots; Y_n)$ given by

$$Y_j = X_n^{-1} X_j = \begin{cases} X^{-1} & \text{for } j = 0; \dots; t-1, \\ c^{-1} X^{t-(k+1-t)} & \text{for } j = t; \dots; n \end{cases}$$

satisfies $s_{n+1}(\underline{Y}) > 1$ with a constant c depending only on K, n and w . Assuming X sufficiently large, we may thus apply Lemma 9.1 with

$$Y = c^{-1} X^{t-(k+1-t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = c^{1-t} X^{(k+1)-(t(k+1-t))}.$$

It shows the existence of a monic polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}_K[T]$ which is irreducible over K of degree $n+1$ and height $H(P) \leq Y$, admits d distinct conjugates over \mathbb{Q} , and has at least t distinct roots $\alpha_1; \dots; \alpha_t \in K_w$ with

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} j_{\alpha_i} \leq Y^{(k+1)-t^2}. \quad (9.4)$$

In particular, $\alpha = \alpha_1$ is an algebraic integer of degree $n+1$ over K and degree $d(n+1)$ over \mathbb{Q} . From the remark at the end of §2, we find $H(\alpha) \leq H(P)^d \leq Y^d$. Combining this with (9.4), we obtain that the conjugates $\alpha_1; \dots; \alpha_t$ of α over K satisfy

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} j_{\alpha_i} \leq H(\alpha)^{(k+1)-(dt^2)} = H(\alpha)^{(n+1)-(4dt^2)}.$$

Moreover, since the right hand side of (9.4) can be made arbitrarily small by choosing X sufficiently large, we produce an infinity of such numbers α by varying X .

10. Remarks on simultaneous approximations.

We fix a place w of K and an algebraic closure K_w of K_w , and we extend the absolute value j_w of K_w to an absolute value of K_w also denoted j_w . Our first result below shows that, for $t \geq 2$, the exponent $(n+1)-(4dt^2)$ in the inequality (1.1) of Theorem A cannot be replaced by a real number greater than $2n-(dt(t-1))$.

Approximation by conjugate integers

Proposition 10.1. Let n and t be integers with $2 \leq t \leq n$, and let α be an element of K_w . There exists a constant $c = c(n; t) > 0$ such that, for any algebraic number θ of degree n over K and any choice of t distinct conjugates $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_t$ of θ in K_w , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq t} |\theta - \theta_i|_w \leq c H(\theta)^{\frac{2n(n-1)}{\deg(\theta)t(t-1)}}$$

where $\deg(\theta)$ denotes the degree of θ over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. Let $P \in K[T]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree n , let a_0 be its leading coefficient, and let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be the roots of P ordered so that

$$|\theta_1|_w \leq |\theta_2|_w \leq \dots \leq |\theta_n|_w.$$

The discriminant of P is the non-zero element of K given by

$$\text{Disc}(P) = a_0^{2(n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2.$$

Using the estimates

$$|\theta_i - \theta_j|_w \leq \begin{cases} 2^{c_w} \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} |\theta_i|_w & \text{when } 1 \leq i < j \leq t, \\ 2^{c_w} \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} |\theta_i|_w & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $c_w = 0$ if w is ultrametric and $c_w = d_w$ otherwise we find

$$|\text{Disc}(P)|_w \leq 2^{(n-1)c_w} M_w(P)^{2(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^t |\theta_j|_w^{2(j-1)},$$

where $M_w(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\theta_i|_w$ denotes the Mahler measure of P at w . Since $M_w(P) = (n+1)^{f_w} k_P k_w^{2(n-1)}$ (see Chapter 3 of [9]), this gives

$$|\text{Disc}(P)|_w \leq 2^n (n+1)^{(n-1)c_w} k_P^2 k_w^{2(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^t |\theta_j|_w^{2(j-1)}.$$

Similarly, for all other places v of K , we find with the same definition of c_v

$$|\text{Disc}(P)|_v \leq 2^n (n+1)^{(n-1)c_v} k_P^2 k_v^{2(n-1)}.$$

Applying the product formula we therefore obtain

$$1 = \prod_v |\text{Disc}(P)|_v \leq 2^n (n+1)^{n-1} H(P)^{2(n-1)} \prod_{j=1}^t |\theta_j|_w^{2(j-1)}.$$

The conclusion follows since we have $H(P) = H(\theta)^{\deg(\theta)}$ for a root θ of P (see x2).

Our last result justifies the remark made in the introduction concerning simultaneous approximation of several numbers by conjugate algebraic numbers.

Approximation by conjugate integers

Proposition 10.2. Assume that $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let n and t be positive integers, and let w be a real number with

$$> t^{-1} (t+1)^{1+(1=t)} :$$

Then, there exist elements $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ of \mathbb{Q}_w and a constant $H_0 > 1$ (depending on n, t, w) with the following property. For any real number $H > H_0$ and any choice of numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathbb{Q}_w$ that are algebraic over \mathbb{Q} of degree $\leq n$ and height $\leq H$, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq t} |j_j - \alpha_j| < H^{-n^{1=t}} :$$

Note that $t^{-1} (t+1)^{1+(1=t)}$ is a decreasing function of t for $t > 0$ tending to 1 as t tends to infinity. For $t = 2$, one can take $\epsilon = 3$.

Proof. Put $b = (t+1)n$ and define a sequence of positive integers $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ by the formula $a_i = \lfloor b^{i/t} \rfloor$. Define also $\nu_i = 1$ if w is the place at infinity of \mathbb{Q} and $\nu_i = p$ if w corresponds to a prime number p . We claim that the elements of \mathbb{Q}_w given by

$$x_j = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{j+ti} b^{-i}; \quad (j = 1, \dots, t);$$

have the required property.

To prove this, we choose a real number ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$ such that

$$> \frac{t+1}{t} (t+1)^{1=t};$$

and consider the sequence of closed intervals $(I_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{R} given by

$$I_i = \left[\frac{1}{t} (t+1 + \epsilon) a_i^{1-(1=t)}; \left(\frac{1}{t} + \epsilon \right) a_i^{1=t} \right];$$

Two consecutive such intervals I_i and I_{i+1} overlap for sufficiently large values of i since

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{t} + \epsilon \right) a_i^{1=t}}{\frac{1}{t} (t+1 + \epsilon) a_{i+1}^{1-(1=t)}} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{t} + \epsilon \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{t} + \epsilon \right) (t+1)^{1=t}} > 1 :$$

Therefore, the union of these intervals contains a half line $[c; \infty)$ for some constant $c > 0$.

Choose a real number H with $H > j_w^c$, and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathbb{Q}_w$ be algebraic over \mathbb{Q} of degree $\leq n$ and height $\leq H$. By definition of c , there exists an integer $\nu \geq 1$ such that

$$\log H = \log j_w \leq \nu. \text{ Writing } \nu \text{ in the form } \nu = j + tm \text{ for some integers } j \text{ and } m \text{ with}$$

Approximation by conjugate integers

Let $j \geq t$ and $m \geq 0$, we claim more precisely that, if H is sufficiently large (so that γ is large), we have

$$j_j - j_j \omega \leq H^{-n^{1-t}}:$$

For brevity, since the ultrametric case is simpler, we shall only prove this refined claim in the case where $w = 1$. Then, we have $\mathbb{Q}_w = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{Q}_w = \mathbb{C}$, $\gamma = 1/2$ and $\log H = \log 2/2$. From now on, we drop the subscript w on the absolute value and consider the rational number

$$r = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j+t i}}:$$

Since $(a_{j+t i})_{i \geq 0}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, it satisfies

$$H(r) = 2^{a_j} \quad \text{and} \quad 2^{-a_{j+t}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-a_{j+t i}} \leq 2^{-a_{j+t}+1}:$$

If $j = r$, we find

$$j_j - j_j \omega \leq j_j r - 2^{-a_{j+t}} \leq H^{-n^{1-t}}$$

assuming that H (and thus γ) is sufficiently large so that

$$a_{j+t} \geq (t+1+\gamma)na_j - n^{1-t} \log H = \log 2:$$

If $j \neq r$, Liouville's inequality (see for example Proposition 3.14 of [17]) gives

$$j_j - rj \leq H(j)^{-1} H(r)^n \leq H^{-1} 2^{-a_j n};$$

with $\gamma = \gamma(n) = 2^{1-n} (n+1)^{-1/2}$. This implies

$$j_j - rj \leq 2^{-(t+\gamma)an} 2^{-a_j n} \leq 2^{-a_{j+t}+2} \leq 2j_j - rj$$

assuming that H is sufficiently large so that

$$(t+1+\gamma)an \geq a_{j+t} + 2 + \log 2 = \log 2:$$

Since $\gamma > (t+1+\gamma) = (t+\gamma)$, we may also assume $(\gamma=2)H^{-1} \leq H^{-((t+\gamma)=(t+1+\gamma))n^{1-t}}$ and so we get

$$j_j - j_j \omega \leq j_j - rj \leq j_j - rj \leq \frac{1}{2} j_j - rj \leq \frac{1}{2} H^{-1} 2^{-a_j n} \leq H^{-n^{1-t}}:$$

References.

- [1] Brownawell, W. D. { Sequences of Diophantine approximations. *J. Number Theory* 6 (1974), 11{21.
- [2] Bugeaud, Y. ; Teulie, O. { Approximation d'un nombre reel par des nombres algebriques de degre donne. *Acta Arith.* 93 (2000), 77{86.
- [3] Bombieri, E. ; Vaaler, J. { On Siegel's lemma. *Invent. Math.* 73 (1983), 11{32.
- [4] Davenport, H. ; Schmidt, W. M. { Approximation to real numbers by algebraic integers. *Acta Arith.* 15 (1969), 393-416.
- [5] Cartan, H. { Cours de calcul differentiel. Hermann, Paris, 1977.
- [6] Cassels, J. W. S. { An introduction to the geometry of numbers. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [7] Cassels, J. W. S. { Global fields. Chapter II in: Algebraic number theory, J. W. S. Cassels and A. Frohlich editors, Academic Press, 1967.
- [8] Frohlich, A. ; Taylor, M. J. | Algebraic number theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 27. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [9] Lang, S. { Fundamentals of Diophantine geometry. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1983.
- [10] Laurent, M. ; Roy, D. { Criteria of algebraic independence with multiplicities and interpolation determinants. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 351 (1999), 1845-1870.
- [11] Mahler, K. { Ein Ubertragungsprinzip fur konvexe Korper. *Casopis Pest. Mat.* 68 (1939), 93{102.
- [12] Mahler, K. { Inequalities for ideal bases in algebraic number fields, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 4 (1964), 425{448.
- [13] Macfeat, R. B. { Geometry of numbers in adèle spaces. *Dissertationes Math.* (Rozprawy Mat.) 88 (1971), 54 pp.
- [14] Roy, D. { Approximation to real numbers by cubic algebraic integers, manuscript.
- [15] Schmidt, W. M. { On heights of algebraic subspaces and diophantine approximations. *Annals of Math.* 85 (1967), 430{472.

- [16] Teulie, O. { Approximation d'un nombre p -adique par des nombres algebriques. Acta Arith. 102 (2002), 137{155.
- [17] Waldschmidt, M. { Diophantine approximation on linear algebraic groups: transcendence properties of the exponential function in several variables. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2000.
- [18] Wirsing, E. { Approximation mit algebraischen Zahlen beschrankten Grades. J. reine angew. Math. 206 (1961), 67-77.

Damien ROY

Departement de Mathematiques

Universite d'Ottawa

585 King Edward

Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada

droy@uottawa.ca

<http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~droy/>

Michel WALDSCHMIDT

Universite P. et M. Curie (Paris VI)

Institut de Mathematiques CNRS UMR 7586

Theorie des Nombres, Case 247

175, rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France

miv@math.jussieu.fr

<http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~miv/>