

A remark on K3s of Todorov type $(0, 9)$ and $(0, 10)$

C.Madonna

Abstract

Frequently it happens that isogenous (in the sense of Mukai) K3 surfaces are partners of each other and sometimes they are even isomorphic. This is due, in some cases, to the (too high, e.g. bigger than or equal to 12) rank of the Picard lattice as showed by Mukai in [13]. In other cases this is due to the structure of the Picard lattice and not only on its rank. This is the case, for example, of K3s with Picard lattice containing a lattice of Todorov type $(0, 9)$ or $(0, 10)$.

1 Introduction and main statements

In recent times it seems that the following lines, written on page 394 (see lines 11–12) in [13] by Mukai during the 80s, received more and more attention:

“...there is a pair of K3 surfaces S and M such that $T(S) \cong T(M)$, but $N(S) \not\cong N(M)$, as lattices.”

To start with let us recall the following:

Definition. *Let S be a K3 surface. A K3 surface M is called a Fourier–Mukai partner of S if there exists an Hodge isometry $(T(S), \mathbf{C}\omega_S) \rightarrow (T(M), \mathbf{C}\omega_M)$ (i.e. an isomorphism of lattices preserving the Hodge decomposition), where ω_S, ω_M are the nowhere vanishing holomorphic two forms on S and M , respectively.*

Here, by a K3 surface we mean a smooth projective surface S over \mathbf{C} with $\mathcal{O}_S(K_S) \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, and we consider the Hodge decomposition of $H^*(S, \mathbf{C}) = H^*(S, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ given by

$$H^{*(i,j)}(S, \mathbf{C}) = H^{(i,j)}(S, \mathbf{C}) \text{ for } (i, j) \in \{(2, 0), (0, 2)\}$$

$$H^{*(1,1)}(S, \mathbf{C}) = H^0(S, \mathbf{C}) \oplus H^{(1,1)}(S, \mathbf{C}) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbf{C}).$$

It is well known that there exists pairs of K3 surfaces S and M with Hodge isometric transcendental lattices but non isomorphic Picard groups. In particular these pairs are given by non isomorphic K3s. By the above, it is clear that the

Hodge isometry class of the transcendental lattice $T(S)$ does not recover neither the K3 surface S nor the Picard lattice, but only the genus of the Picard lattice, and hence the interest for K3s with Picard lattice containing only one class in its genus, naturally arises. For, in this case, one can still hope to recover the K3 itself. Nowadays, one believes in the existence of such pairs, from a lattice theoretical point of view as suggested in Nikulin's paper [14] (see also page 394 in [13]), from a categorical point of view as in Bridgeland and Maciocia's paper (see the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [2]) or Orlov's paper (see [18], the example on the last page), but also from a geometric point of view for which we refer to Morrison's paper (see page 10 in [11]).

Recently, Oguiso in [16] showed that for any integer n one can give n pairs of K3s as above. Moreover, given a K3 surface of Picard number $\rho = 1$, he computed the number of non isomorphic K3 surfaces (of Picard number equal to 1) with Hodge isometric transcendental lattices, i.e. the number of non isomorphic Fourier–Mukai partners of the given K3. In other words such a pair, say S and M , is characterized by the existence of a Hodge isometry between the relative transcendental lattices which does not extend to an Hodge isometry between the K3 lattices $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z})$ and $H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$. On the other hand, as showed by Mukai in [13], one can always extend such an isometry between the relative Mukai lattices $\check{H}(S, \mathbf{Z})$ and $\check{H}(M, \mathbf{Z})$. Let us recall the Mukai lattice is given by the group $H^*(S, \mathbf{Z}) = H^0(S, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbf{Z})$ endowed with the Mukai product, defined for vectors $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2)$ and $w = (w_0, w_1, w_2)$ by setting $(v, w) = v_1 \cdot w_1 - v_0 \cdot w_2 - v_2 \cdot w_0$, i.e. a Hodge structure of weight 2 on the cohomology ring of S , defined by mean of the cup product \cdot . The obstruction to extend the isometry between $T(S)$ and $T(M)$ to the relative K3 lattices is also related to the existence of non isomorphic primitive embeddings of $T \hookrightarrow \Lambda$, where Λ is the abstract K3 lattice. On the other hand, this is not the case for K3s of Picard number $\rho \geq 12$ for which the primitive embedding $T \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ is always unique. From a lattice point of view, one could look for other conditions to ensure such type of unicity result, e.g. under some conditions on the lattice or on the orthogonal to its image under such an embedding. In this direction we quote a result of Morrison (see [10] Theorem A1) which is the key point of our proof of Theorem 1.2 for K3s of Todorov type $(0, 10)$ and Picard number equal to 11, and a computation by Miranda and Morrison of the number of equivalence classes of such primitive embeddings $\varphi : T \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ (see [9]). Later this computation was generalized in [7] to get a formula to compute the number of Fourier–Mukai partners of any K3 surface. Note, the relevance of both the knowledge of the genus of a lattice N and the map between the isometries groups of N and of its discriminant $A_N := N^\vee/N$, for the case of the Picard lattice $NS(S) = N$ of a K3 surface S , is the main ingredient if one wants to compute the number of Fourier–Mukai partners of S by mean of this formula. Unfortunately, as far as we know, there is not a general algorithm to compute the genus of a lattice and hence the computation by mean of such a formula can be not so trivial. On the other hand if one can find some geometric argument for such type of computation, one can use, in many cases, the above formula, to get the genus of the lattice. For example if S is a K3 surface for which the

map $O(N') \rightarrow O(A_{N'})$ is surjective for all N' in the genus $g(N)$ of $N = NS(S)$, then the number of classes contained in $g(N)$ is given by the number of Fourier–Mukai partners of S . We refer to [4] or [14] for conditions to ensure both the existence of only one class in the genus of a lattice N and the surjectivity of the map $O(N) \rightarrow O(A_N)$. In this direction some computations are given in [6] [7] [8] [16], for example.

In this note we consider two special cases on the bordered, with respect to the Picard number, i.e. K3 surfaces of Picard number equal to 11 and 10, and we focus on the following:

Problems.

- a. *Let S and M be two polarized K3 surfaces with Hodge isometric transcendental lattices, $T(S) \cong T(M)$. Find conditions to ensure that $S \cong M$.*
- b. *As above replacing the Hodge isometry with an isomorphism of Hodge structures preserving the Hodge decomposition:*

$$T(S) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow T(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}.$$

Problem a. is related with K3s for which the Fourier–Mukai partner is unique and we refer the reader to [2] [16] [8], for example. Problem b. concerns on conditions such that an isomorphism of Hodge structure preserving the Hodge decomposition, is induced by an isomorphism, a special case of a more general phenomena regarding isomorphism induced by compositions of prime isogenies and elements representing zero in the Picard lattice of a K3 (see [15]). Let us remark there exist "many" examples of K3 surfaces for which any isomorphism of Hodge structure is induced by an isomorphism between the K3s. As remarked this is the case of isogenous (in the sense of Mukai) K3 surfaces with Picard number bigger then or equal to 12.

Clearly, there are also many examples of isogenous K3 surfaces (S, M) which are not isomorphic, and moreover with not Hodge isometric transcendental lattices, but always isomorphic Hodge structure (i.e. over \mathbf{Q}). In this case we are dealing for example, as showed by Caldararu in [3], with non fine moduli spaces M of sheaves over S . The non fineness property is related, in this case, to the existence of an element in the Brauer group of the moduli space $\alpha \in Br(M)$ (see [3]) or, equivalently, to the existence of a non trivial element in the cokernel of the map $T(M) \rightarrow T(S)$ induced by the map on the Mukai lattices constructed by means of a quasi universal sheaf on the product $S \times M$ (see [13]). In this case the example we have in mind is the one given by Mukai in [13], i.e. S is the base locus of a general net of quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 , and M is a sextic double plane of Picard number equal to 1 – the moduli space of H –stable rank–two sheaves over S with Chern classes $c_1 = H$ and $c_2 = 4$, being H the class of an hyperplane section of S (see Example 2.8 in [13]).

In this note we consider a special case of the border case $\rho(S) = 11$, which is in some way our working example. For, let us consider the K3 cover $S \rightarrow T$ of an Enriques surface T . It was showed by Verra (see [19]) the existence on S

of a polarization H of degree 8, i.e. $H^2 = 8$, such that S is the base locus of a net of quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 and the involution induced by the natural involution of \mathbf{P}^5 is such that $S/i \cong T$. Moreover it was showed by Cossec (see [5]), when T is of special type, say a Raye Congruence, then there exists h on S of degree 2 such that $S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$ is a double cover ramified along the union of two generically smooth cubics intersecting transversally endowed with a totally tangent conic out of the intersection points of the two cubics. We focus our attention on these two cases. First, we relate the two classes h and H , using the same procedure used by Mukai for the general case. This is done considering the K3 cover S as the base locus of a special net of quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 as in [19].

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a K3 surface which is universal covering of an Enriques surface T . Then, there exists H on S of degree 8 such that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_S(2, H, 2)$ is a double plane ramified along the union of two generically smooth cubics, which are fixed by the covering involution. When T is of type Reye congruence, then there exists an Enriques–Cayley class h of degree 2 over $\mathcal{M}_S(2, H, 2)$. In particular S and \mathcal{M}_S are isomorphic.*

The second statement of the previous theorem is a consequence, after having showed that \mathcal{M}_S is a partner of S , by the of the following more general result on (the minimal desingularization of) K3 surfaces of Todorov type, which is our second main remark:

Theorem 1.2. *The number of Fourier–Mukai partners of a K3 surface of Todorov type is equal to 1.*

The proof of previous theorem is essentially contained in the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [12] if one follows the same strategy as the one used by Mukai in [13] for the case of K3 surfaces of Picard number bigger then or equal to 12. Note also, our result is not so surprise since the Picard number of a K3 of Todorov type is an integer between 10 and 17, and from 12 on the result was yet known. So our contribution regards only the cases for which $\rho = 10$ and $\rho = 11$. We advise the reader our notion of K3 surface of Todorov type does not include the ones of type (5, 16). This case will be considered in Example 3.1 as an application of the formula derived in [7].

Finally, few words on Theorem 1.1. In general \mathcal{M}_S is not a partner of S , unless it is isomorphic to S , but one can still consider it as an α -twisted partner in the sense of Caldararu (see [3]).

Acknowledgement. I have to thank Professor A.Verra for his interest in the subject of this paper.

2 A proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us briefly recall some generalities on Todorov lattices and K3s of Todorov type. We refer the reader to [10] or [12] for more details.

Definition. Let Λ be the K3 lattice and let E_k be the lattice generated by the elements e_1, \dots, e_k with $e_i \cdot e_j = -2\delta_{ij}$, $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and $\delta_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Let $j : E_k \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ be an embedding. The "double point lattice $L_{\alpha,k}$ " is the lattice defined as the saturation of $E_k \otimes \mathbf{Q} \cap j^{-1}(\Lambda)$. We have $[L_{\alpha,k} : E_k] = 2^\alpha$ and α is called the "2-index of $L_{\alpha,k}$ ". The "Todorov lattice $M_{\alpha,k}$ " is the lattice generated by $L_{\alpha,k}$ and the elements λ, μ such that:

$$(i) \quad \alpha \leq 4, k \geq 9, \text{ and } 2^{4-\alpha}(2^\alpha - 1) \leq k \leq \alpha + 11 \text{ with } (\alpha, k) \neq (1, 9);$$

$$(ii) \quad \lambda^2 = 2k - 16;$$

$$(iii) \quad \lambda \cdot L_{\alpha,k} = 0;$$

$$(iv) \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + \sum_i e_i).$$

Our main interest in this paper are K3 surfaces S for which $NS(S)$ contains a Todorov lattice, in this way we can reduce the proof to an arithmetic condition on the Picard lattice. Let us recall in [10] it is showed the existence of Todorov lattices for each of the following pairs:

$$(\alpha, k) \in \{(0, 9), (0, 10), (0, 11), (1, 10), (1, 11), (1, 12),$$

$$(2, 12), (2, 13), (3, 14), (4, 15)\}.$$

As remarked in the introduction the maximal rank of a Todorov lattice is 17, while by the above list one gets 16 as maximum. We preferred to define Todorov lattice in such a way not including this last of rank 17 and type (5, 16), included in [10], since it corresponds to Kummer surfaces for which our main result will be derived in Example 3.1, as an application of the formula for Fourier–Mukai partners derived in [7].

Definition. A "K3 surface of Todorov type (α, k) " is a K3 surface S with rational double points endowed with an ample line bundle l of degree $l^2 = 2k - 16$ and a partial desingularization $\nu : \Sigma \rightarrow S$ with k ordinary double points which has 2-index α and such that if $\pi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ and $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$ are the minimal desingularizations then

$$\frac{1}{2}c_1(\mu^*(l)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X\left(\sum_{p \in \text{Sing}\Sigma} \pi^{-1}(p)\right) \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}).$$

For a general K3 surface S of Todorov type (α, k) we have $NS(S) = M_{\alpha,k}$ and $\Sigma = S$. In this paper we will frequently refer to a K3 of Todorov type as to its minimal desingularization.

Example 2.1. An example of a K3's of Todorov type (0, 9) is given by double covering of a plane branched along the union of two cubics C_1, C_2 intersecting transversally. For the case (0, 10) we require the existence of a totally tangent conic to the two cubics out of the intersection points of the two cubics. Let us

remark, as showed by Cossec (see [5]), the K3 cover of an Enriques surface of type Reye Congruence admits such type of double plane representation. As showed by Morrison and Saito in [12], the action of the plane Cremona transformations on such planes provides other examples of such K3s.

Let us start recall the following:

Definition. The "signature" of a non degenerate lattice L is the pair (r_+, r_-) describing the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of the induced quadratic form on $L \otimes \mathbf{R}$. A "positive sign structure" on a non degerate lattice L of signature (r_+, r_-) is a choice of one of the connected components of the set of oriented r_+ -planes in $L \otimes \mathbf{R}$ on which the form is positive definite. The sign structure containing the oriented ν plane is denoted by $[\nu]$. $O(L)$ denotes the orthogonal group of L and $O_-(L)$ denotes the subgroup of isometries preserving a sing structure.

Definition. Let $j : M_{\alpha,k} \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ be a primitive embedding of $M_{\alpha,k}$ into the K3 lattice Λ and denote by $T_{\alpha,k} = j(M_{\alpha,k})^\perp$. Fixed a positive sign structure $[\nu_{\alpha,k}]$ on $T_{\alpha,k}$ the "period space" $\mathbf{D}_{\alpha,k}$ is defined by setting

$$\mathbf{D}_{\alpha,k} = \{\omega \in \mathbf{P}(T_{\alpha,k} \otimes \mathbf{C}) : \omega \cdot \omega = 0, \omega \cdot \bar{\omega} > 0, \text{Re}(\omega) \wedge \text{Im}(\omega) \in [\nu_{\alpha,k}]\}.$$

We also need the following (see [13]):

Definition. Two K3 surfaces S and M are called "isogenous in the sense of Mukai" if there exists an algebraic cycle $Z \in H^4(S \times M, \mathbf{Q})$ inducing an isometry between $H^2(S, \mathbf{Q})$ and $H^2(M, \mathbf{Q})$ by setting $t \rightarrow \pi_{M,*}(Z \cdot \pi_S^*(t))$. In particular this is equivalent to the existence of an algebraic cycle Z on $S \times M$ such that the component Z_t of Z in $T(S) \otimes T(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ induces a rational cohomological isogeny between S and M , i.e. an isometry of forms $T(S) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow T(M) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$.

Let us remark isogenous K3 surfaces in the above sense are not always partners each other.

Let S be a K3 surface of Todorov type and M be a partner of S . Let us denote by $\varphi : T(S) \rightarrow T(M)$ the induced Hodge isometry between the relative transcendental lattices. To show Theorem 1.2 our main tools are the following results (see Theorem 3.3 and 6.1 in [12], respectively):

Proposition 2.1. Let $M_{\alpha,k}$ be a Todorov lattice and $f : M_{\alpha,k} \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ be a primitive embedding into the K3 lattice. Let us denote by $T_{\alpha,k} = f(M_{\alpha,k})^\perp$ the orthogonal complement of the image of f . If $\psi_1, \psi_2 : T_{\alpha,k} \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ are two primitive embeddings then there is some $\gamma \in O_-(\Lambda)$ such that $\gamma \circ \psi_1 = \psi_2$.

Proposition 2.2. Two K3 surfaces of Todorov type, say S and M , giving the same point in the period space of K3s of type $M_{\alpha,k}$ are birational isomorphic. Moreover there is an isomorphism between the minimal desingularizations \hat{S} and \hat{M} of S and M , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let M be a partner of S and consider the two primitive embeddings $T(S) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ and $T(M) \hookrightarrow \Lambda$. Since as lattices $H^2(S, \mathbf{Z}) \cong H^2(M, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \Lambda$ by Proposition 2.1 there exist $\gamma \in O_-(\Lambda)$ such that $\gamma|_{T(S)} = \varphi$. The conclusion follows now by Proposition 2.2.

3 A proof of Theorem 1.1

Let S be a 2-dimensional complete intersection of three quadrics Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 in \mathbf{P}^5 and N be the net spanned by the quadrics Q_i . The discriminant of such a net is a plane sextic, generically smooth, representing the locus of singular quadrics in the net. There are some special nets which are our main interest in this section being related to K3 surfaces universal covering of Enriques surfaces, which we recall in the following:

Definition. *A net of quadrics N in \mathbf{P}^5 is called an "Enriques net of quadrics" if the base locus $Bs(N)$ is a K3 surface universal covering of an Enriques surface T .*

Generically a net of such type contains nine rank 4 quadrics and the discriminant curve splits as the union of two smooth plane cubics intersecting transversally (see [19]). The double covering of the net ramified along the union of two cubics as above, as remarked, is a K3 of Todorov type $(0, 9)$. Note the cubics are fixed by the covering involution.

Let us consider the base locus S of a general net of quadrics N in \mathbf{P}^5 and denote by \mathcal{M}_S the moduli space of H -stable rank-two sheaves with Chern classes $c_1 = H$ and $c_2 = 4$, i.e. with Mukai vector $v = (2, H, 2)$. Mukai showed (see [13]) the following:

Proposition 3.1. *The moduli space \mathcal{M}_S is a K3 double plane ramified along a smooth plane sextic – the double cover of the net N ramified along the discriminant locus of the net.*

Proof. Let S be the base locus of a general net N of quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 . Let us recall that if $\mathcal{E} = [\mathcal{E}] \in \mathcal{M}_S$ then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_Z(H) \rightarrow 0$$

and $h^1(\mathcal{E}) = h^1(\mathcal{J}_Z(H)) - h^2\mathcal{O}_S = h^1(\mathcal{J}_Z(H)) - 1$. By the standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_Z(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(H) \rightarrow 0$$

since $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(H)) = 6$ it follows, for general Z , $h^1(\mathcal{J}_Z(H)) = 1$, $h^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and hence $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 4$. Moreover since S is complete intersection of three quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 , and since we have assumed S "general", then S is the base locus of a net of quadrics N containing quadrics of rank bigger then or equal to 5. For such a net it is canonically defined a double covering map $\pi : \tilde{N} \rightarrow N$ which is generically $2 : 1$; for, on a smooth quadric Q the preimage $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ consists

of the two rulings of Q . Clearly, this map ramifies along the set of quadrics for which there exists only one family of maximal linear subspaces, and hence it ramifies along the set of singular quadrics N_o . We will show that $\tilde{N} = \mathcal{M}_S$. It is known that $\dim \mathcal{M}_S = 2$. For, since $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ for a general $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{M}_S$ then the section $Z_t = \{s_t = 0 : t \in \Gamma\}$, $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{P}^3$, moves in a 3-dimensional family of sections which in turn correspond to one of the two 3-dimensional family of planes $\Delta = \{\mathbf{P}_t^2 : t \in \Gamma\}$ contained in a smooth quadric $Gr(2, 4) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ simply setting $Z_t = \mathbf{P}_t^2 \cap S$. For, bundles coming from smooth quadrics arise in pairs, i.e. to a quadric $Q \in N$ corresponds two rank-two bundles fitting in the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q^4 \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ and τ are the universal subbundle and quotient bundle on $Q = Gr(2, 4) \subset \mathbf{P}^5$, and define by restriction two bundles $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = (\bar{\tau}|_S)^\vee$ and $\mathcal{E} = \tau|_S$ on S . Clearly $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = c_1(\bar{\mathcal{E}})$ and $c_2(\bar{\mathcal{E}}) = c_1^2 - c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 4$. Hence it is defined a 2:1 map $\mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow N$ and this map ramifies along the set of quadrics containing S for which there exists only one family of maximal linear subspaces and hence along singular quadrics containing S , and $\tilde{N} = \mathcal{M}_S$.

Since the set of singular quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 is a hypersurface of degree 6 in the space of all quadrics in \mathbf{P}^5 , $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^5}(2)| = \mathbf{P}^{20}$, and since the quadrics of the net are not all singular, then the set of singular quadrics containing S is a hypersurface N_o of degree 6 in the plane $N = \mathbf{P}^2$: it is a plane sextic curve N_o . Let us show that it is smooth. First, since we assumed that all quadrics containing S have rank bigger than or equal to 5, then the set of singular quadrics containing S is given by the set of rank-5 quadrics. Note that, since S is smooth, Q is a smooth point for N_o if and only if $\text{Sing}(Q)$ is a point. If $Q \in N_o$ then Q is a quadric in \mathbf{P}^5 with $\text{rk}(Q) = k \leq 5$ hence $\text{Sing}(Q) = \mathbf{P}^{5-k}$ is a point if and only if $k = 5$. Since in our hypotheses, every quadric containing S has rank bigger than or equal to 5 every point $Q \in N_o$ is a smooth point and the plane sextic $N_o \subset N$ is smooth.

In particular it follows that \mathcal{M}_S is again a K3, double covering of $\mathbf{P}^2 = N$, $\phi : \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, branched along a smooth plane sextic curve $N_o \subset \mathbf{P}^2$. Note that while S is a K3 surface of genus 5 and degree 8, \mathcal{M}_S is a K3 surface of genus 2 and degree 2 on which we have the natural involution i which interchanges the sheets of the cover, since it interchanges the rulings of the quadric on which acts; the locus of fixed points of such involution, i.e the subset of points $x \in \mathcal{M}_S$ such that $i(x) = x$, is clearly the preimage $\phi^{-1}(N_o)$, since only for this last there exist only one family of maximal linear subspaces. For, if $x \in N \setminus N_o$, then x represent a rank-6 quadric Q containing S and the two families Δ and $\bar{\Delta}$ of maximal linear subspaces contained in Q represent the global sections $Z_t = \mathbf{P}_t^2 \cap S$ and $\bar{Z}_t = \bar{\mathbf{P}}_t^2 \cap S$, of two rank-two bundles $\mathcal{E}, \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ on S . Since the elements of the two family as above are interchanged by the involution i_S also the section Z_t and \bar{Z}_t are interchanged and hence the bundles represented by the above quadrics are also interchanged, and any one of them is the involutive of the other one. To a point $x \in N_o$ corresponds a quadric $Q(x)$ for which there

exists, by construction, only one family of maximal linear subspaces, and hence this unique family parametrizes the sections of a unique sheaves \mathcal{E} corresponding to its unique family of sections and hence to x . It follows that $\phi^{-1}(N_o)$ is in the ramification locus of the above covering which coincides with the fixed locus of the above involution. \square

Using the same procedure as in previous proposition we show that the same result holds for the base locus of an Enriques net of quadrics. In this case the discriminant of the net splits as two smooth cubics intersecting transversally.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider the case of a K3 S base locus of an Enriques net of quadrics N . Also in this case, as in the general one, we have a 2:1 map $\mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow N$ ramified along a plane sextic $N_o \subset N$ relating the moduli space with the net of quadrics and hence with the K3 surface S . The difference with respect to the general case is that in this case the plane sextic, which is the discriminant of the net, is split as the union of two plane cubics Δ_A and Δ_B . For, singular points of N_o correspond to rank- k quadrics contained in the net with vertex at least of dimension one. Since the dimension of the vertex is equal to $5 - k$, Q is a singular point for N_o if $5 - k \geq 1$ hence if $\text{rk}(Q) = k \leq 4$. Since for a general Enriques net of quadrics there are no quadrics containing S of rank minor then or equal to 3 we have only the rank-4 ones to consider. Note that on \mathcal{M}_S we have two possible involutions to consider; the first one induced by the covering π which interchanges the sheets of the cover, i.e. the covering involution, and the other one induced by the involution i_S . These are equivalent and the fixed locus of both is the preimage of the plane sextic $N_o = \Delta_A \cup \Delta_B$, as in the general case. When T is of type Reye Congruence we claim the following:

Claim: \mathcal{M}_S is a partner of S . The claim follows by the proof of Theorem 6.1. in [12], since \mathcal{M}_S has the same period of S .

Example 3.1. Let us consider the case of a Kummer surface S . The unicity of the partner is still known by [13] for reason of the Picard number. On the other hand, setting $N = NS(S)$, since the map $O(N) \rightarrow O(A_N)$ is surjective (see [12]) and since the determinant of N is equal to 2^6 , then by Corollary 3.7 in [7] one gets the required unicity result.

References

- [1] W.Barth, C.Peters and A.Van de Ven, Compact complex surfaces, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [2] T.Bridgeland and A.Maciocia, *Complex surfaces with equivalent derived categories*, Math. Z. 236 (2001), no. 4, 677–697.
- [3] A.H.Caldararu, *Non-fine moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces*, to appear in IMRN, preprint math.AG/0108180, 27 august 2001.
- [4] J.W.S.Cassels, Rational quadratic forms, Academic Press, 1978.

- [5] F.R.Cossec, *Reye Congruences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **280** no.2 (1983), 737-751.
- [6] S.Hosono, B.H.Lian, K.Oguiso, and S.T.Yau, *Autoequivalences of derived category of a K3 surface and monodromy transformations*, preprint math.AG/0201047, v.2 16 Jan 2002.
- [7] S.Hosono, B.H.Lian, K.Oguiso, and S.T.Yau, *Counting Fourier–Mukai partners and deformations*, preprint math.AG/0202014, 5 Feb. 2002.
- [8] C.Madonna, *Isogenous K3 surfaces*, PhD Thesis, in progress.
- [9] R.Miranda and D.Morrison, *The number of embeddings of integral quadratic forms, I*, Proc. Jap. Acad. **61**(1985), Ser. A, no.10, 317–320.
- [10] D.R.Morrison, *On the moduli of Todorov surfaces*, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata (H. Hijikata et al., eds.), vol. **1**, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, pp. 313-355.
- [11] D.R.Morrison, *Algebraic cycles on products of surfaces*, Proc. Algebraic Geometry Symposium, Tôhoku University, 1984, pp. 194-210.
- [12] D.R.Morrison and M.H.Saito, *Cremona transformations and degrees of period maps for K3 surfaces with ordinary double points*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai 1985 (T. Oda, ed.), Adv. Studies in Pure Math., vol. **10**, pp. 477-513.
- [13] S.Mukai, *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surface, I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984), 341–413, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., 11, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987.
- [14] V.V.Nikulin, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications*, Math. USSR Izv. **14**(1980), 103–167.
- [15] V.V.Nikulin, *On correspondences between K3 surfaces* Math. USSR Izvestiya, **30**(1988), no.2, 377–
- [16] K.Oguiso, *K3 surfaces via almost prime*, math.AG/0110282, to appear on Math.Res.Letter.
- [17] D.O.Orlov, *Equivalences of derived categories and K3 surfaces. Algebraic Geometry, 7*, J.Math.Sci.(New York) **84**, no.5, 1361–1381.
- [18] D.O.Orlov, *On equivalences of derived categories of coherent sheaves on abelian varieties*, alg-geom 9712917, 16 Dec. 1997.
- [19] A.Verra, *The Étale double covering of an Enriques’ surface*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univers. Politecn. Torino **41** n.3 (1983), 131–167.

Address: Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica,
00133 Roma, Italia

email: madonna@mat.uniroma3.it