

# Topological flatness of local models in the ramified case

Ulrich Görtz

## 1 Introduction

It is an interesting problem to define 'good' models of Shimura varieties over the ring of integers of the reflex field, or over its completion at some prime ideal. For Shimura varieties of PEL type, which can be described as moduli spaces of abelian varieties, it is desirable to define such a model by a moduli problem, too.

In their book [RZ], Rapoport and Zink define such models in the case of parahoric level structures. They also define the so-called local models which étale-locally around each point of the special fibre are isomorphic to the model of the Shimura variety, but which are defined purely in terms of linear algebra. They provide a very useful tool to investigate local properties of the corresponding models.

Rapoport and Zink conjectured that these models are flat, which is a property a good model certainly should have. The conjecture is true for Shimura varieties associated to unitary or symplectic groups that split over an unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  (see [G1], [G2]). But Pappas [P] showed that it is in general false if the group splits only after a ramified base change. Often the models are not even topologically flat, i. e. the closure of the generic fibre is not even set-theoretically equal to the whole model. We follow the terminology of Pappas and Rapoport and call the local model associated to these models of the Shimura variety the *naive local model*.

For groups of the form  $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} GL_n$ , where  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a totally ramified extension, Pappas and Rapoport [PR1] then defined a new local model, which they call the *local model*. In the case of level structures corresponding to a maximal parahoric subgroup, it is essentially defined as the closure of the generic fibre in the old local model, so it is automatically flat. Pappas and Rapoport showed that this new model has several good properties; for example its special fibre is normal, with Cohen-Macaulay singularities, and over a finite extension of  $\mathcal{O}_E$  admits a resolution of singularities. The definition of the local model in the maximal parahoric case gives rise to a definition of a new local model in the general parahoric case. It is not obvious anymore that these general local models are flat, too, and it is the purpose of this note to show that they are topologically flat. Together with the methods used in [G1] (Frobenius splitting of Schubert

varieties in the affine flag variety), one can then infer flatness. We therefore obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  be a (possibly ramified) finite extension. Then the local model associated to  $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} GL_n$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

In the final section of this article we show that the local model for groups of the form  $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} GSp_{2g}$ ,  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  totally ramified, is topologically flat, too. (In this case the naive local model and the local model coincide topologically.) But here it is more difficult to deduce the flatness from the topological flatness, and we can only make a conjecture in this direction. On the other hand, it seems reasonable to expect that in this case the naive local model is flat itself (and thus coincides with the local model).

In a subsequent article [PR2] Pappas and Rapoport define yet another model, which they call the *canonical model*. Loosely speaking, it is the image of a certain morphism from a twisted product of unramified local models to the naive local model. In particular it is always flat, because the unramified local models are flat, and in addition has other good properties. Nevertheless the flatness question for the original local model remains interesting; if the local model is flat, it must coincide with the canonical local model. On the one hand this shows that the special fibre of the canonical local model has the 'correct' combinatorial description, on the other hand one gets an interesting 'resolution' of the local model.

I would like to thank T. Haines for several fruitful conversations on this topic; in particular the key lemma 5.2 came up in a discussion with him. I am also grateful to G. Pappas, M. Rapoport and T. Wedhorn for many helpful remarks. The main part of this article was written during my stay at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton. I would like to thank the Institute for providing a great working environment, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the National Science Foundation (grant no. DMS 97-29992) for their support.

## 2 Definitions

We will use the notation of Pappas and Rapoport [PR1]. Let us repeat part of it:

Let  $F_0$  be a field, complete with respect to a non-archimedean valuation. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{F_0}$  denote its ring of integers,  $\pi_0$  a uniformizer. We assume that the residue class field is perfect. We fix a separable closure  $F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}$  of  $F_0$ .

Let  $F/F_0$  be a totally ramified extension of degree  $e$  inside  $F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the ring of integers of  $F$ , and let  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F$  be a uniformizer which is the root of an Eisenstein polynomial  $T^e + \sum a_k T^k$ . For each embedding  $F \rightarrow F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}$  we choose an integer  $0 \leq r_\varphi \leq d$ . Associated to these data we have the reflex field  $E$ , a finite extension of  $F_0$  contained in  $F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}$ , which is defined by  $\mathrm{Gal}(F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}/E) = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_0^{\mathrm{sep}}/F_0); \forall \varphi: r_{\sigma\varphi} = r_\varphi\}$ .

Further, let  $V = F^d$ ,  $\Lambda_0 = \mathcal{O}_F^d \subset V$ , and denote the canonical basis by  $e_1, \dots, e_d$ . Choose  $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

Let us recall the definition of the 'naive' local model  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{naive}} = \mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i)_{i \in I}, (r_\varphi)_\varphi)$  (of course  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{naive}}$  depends on  $F/F_0$ , not just on  $e$ , so this is an abuse of notation which nevertheless seems useful):

It is defined over the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of the reflex field  $E$ , and its  $S$ -valued points are the isomorphism classes of commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Lambda_{i_0,S} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{i_1,S} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{i_{m-1},S} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Lambda_{i_0,S} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{F}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_{m-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_0
 \end{array}$$

where  $\Lambda_{i,S}$  is  $\Lambda_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_S$ , and where the  $\mathcal{F}_\kappa$  are  $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{f_0}} \mathcal{O}_S$ -submodules. We require that locally on  $S$ , the  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are direct summands as  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules and that we have the following identity of polynomials ('determinant condition'):

$$\det_{\mathcal{O}_S}(T - \Pi|\mathcal{F}_i) = \prod_{\varphi} (T - \varphi(\pi))^{r_\varphi}.$$

Pappas and Rapoport show that this naive local model is almost never flat, even if  $I$  consists of only one element. In this case, i. e. when  $I$  consists of only one element, they define a new local model  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$  as the scheme-theoretic closure of the generic fibre in the naive local model, and show that this new local model has several good properties. In particular, its special fibre is reduced, and can be described as a union of Schubert varieties with the 'right' index set.

Based on this, they define a new Iwahori type local model  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$  as the closed subscheme of the naive local model such that all projections to the parahoric local model map to the new local model. Below we will show that this local model is topologically flat. Furthermore, using the technique of Frobenius splittings, one can see that its special fibre is reduced. Thus  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$  is flat.

### 3 A certain map between local models

It is clear that in the definition of the local model, we have not used the fact that the sequence  $\Lambda_0 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda_{n-1} \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}\Lambda_0$  is a lattice chain — in fact we could make a completely analogous definition for any sequence of free  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules. (Compare [G1], 'general schemes of compatible subspaces').

In particular, consider the following situation: Choose a partition  $\{0, \dots, d-1\} = \coprod_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}$ . We can then decompose the lattices  $\Lambda_i$  as

$$\Lambda_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \Lambda_i^{\alpha},$$

where  $\Lambda_i^\alpha = \bigoplus_{j \in I_\alpha} \mathcal{O}_F e_j^i$ . Here the  $e_j^i = \begin{cases} \pi^{-1} e_j & j \leq i \\ e_j & j > i \end{cases}$  denote the canonical  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -basis of  $\Lambda_i$ .

Now choose  $0 \leq r_\varphi^\alpha \leq |I_\alpha|$  such that  $\sum_\alpha r_\varphi^\alpha = r_\varphi$ .

This gives rise to a decomposition of our lattice chain. More precisely for each  $\alpha$  we get a sequence

$$\Lambda_0^\alpha \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Lambda_{n-1}^\alpha \longrightarrow \pi^{-1} \Lambda_0^\alpha$$

of free  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -modules. The difference between these sequences and the original lattice chain (apart from the rank of the lattices) is that now some of the maps may be the identity. Nevertheless, we can define a 'local model'  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha = \mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i^\alpha)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}, (r_\varphi^\alpha)_\varphi)$ . It is isomorphic to some local model in the original sense (because as far as the local model is concerned, we can just omit the 'identity steps').

The reflex field associated to a tuple  $(r_\varphi^\alpha)_\varphi$  will in general be different from the one belonging to  $(r_\varphi)_\varphi$ . Thus the  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha$  will in general not be defined over the same ring as the naive local model  $\mathbf{M}/\mathcal{O}_E$  associated to the  $r_\varphi$ . To simplify the situation, we make the following additional assumption: whenever  $r_\varphi = r_\psi$ , we have  $r_\varphi^\alpha = r_\psi^\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . Under this assumption, all  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha$  will be defined over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , or even over a smaller ring. If necessary we apply a base change, and in the following we consider all the  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha$  as  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -schemes.

We then have a canonical map

$$\prod_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i^\alpha)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}, (r_\varphi^\alpha)_\varphi) \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}, (r_\varphi)_\varphi)$$

$$((\mathcal{F}_i^\alpha)_i)_\alpha \mapsto \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_i^\alpha \right)_i.$$

## 4 The case $d = 1$

In this section, we will look at the (trivial) case where  $d = 1$ . We will use the following observations in section 5.

Let us give a description of the local model corresponding to a maximal parahoric subgroup (the standard local model in the sense of [PR1], section 2). (Actually, in this case this coincides with the Iwahori type local model.)

So, we have integers  $0 \leq r_\varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi = 1, \dots, e$ . Let us assume, for notational convenience, that the  $r_\varphi$  are in descending order, such that they are completely determined by  $r = \sum r_\varphi$ .

The local model in this case is just  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_E$ , where  $E$  is the corresponding reflex field. The point in the special fibre is the subspace  $\mathcal{F}$  corresponding to the  $e \times r$ -matrix

$$\overline{M} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r \\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

the operator  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{F}}$  has Jordan type  $(r)$ . Let us denote the subspace corresponding to the  $R$ -valued point of the local model by  $\mathcal{F}(e, r)$ . The description of this subspace in terms of matrices is the following. We have a matrix

$$M(e, r) = M = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & \\ (b_{ij})_{\substack{i=1, \dots, e-r \\ j=1, \dots, r}} & \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$\Pi M = M A,$$

for some matrix  $A$  with characteristic polynomial

$$\det(T - A) = \prod (T - \varphi(\Pi))^{r_\varphi}.$$

Furthermore, the reduction of  $M$  modulo  $\pi$  is  $\overline{M}$ .

**Example.** Assume that  $\pi = \pi_0^6$ . Now, if we let  $r = 2$  and choose the  $r_\varphi$  such that the resulting characteristic polynomial is  $T^2 - \pi^2$ , we get

$$M(6, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ \pi^2 & & \pi^2 & \\ & \pi^4 & & \pi^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 5 Lifting of points

**Proposition 5.1** *The local model  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$  is topologically flat, i. e. the generic points of the irreducible components can be lifted to the special fibre.*

*Proof.* To simplify notation, we consider only the Iwahori case. We can embed the special fibre of  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$  into the affine flag variety for  $GL_d$  in the standard way.

By the definition of the local model and since the special fibers of the maximal-parahoric new local models have the right stratification, the special fibre is the union of the  $\mu$ -permissible alcoves. Here  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d)$  is the dual partition to  $(r_\varphi)_\varphi$ .

By recent work of Haines and Ngô [HN] we know that in this case (as in the minuscule case), the set of  $\mu$ -permissible alcoves coincides with the set of  $\mu$ -admissible alcoves. Thus the maximal elements (with respect to the Bruhat order), which correspond to the irreducible components of the special fibre, are just the conjugates of  $\mu$  under the finite Weyl group, i.e. the permutations of  $\mu$  under  $S_d$ .

Choose a permutation  $\nu$  of  $\mu$  and write  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d)$ .

A key observation is the following lemma which came up in a discussion with T. Haines.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $O$  be a discrete valuation ring, let  $s$  be the closed and  $\eta$  the generic point of  $\text{Spec } R$ . Let  $U$  be an  $R$ -scheme of finite type, and  $x \in U_s$  a closed point of the special fibre which lies in a unique irreducible component  $S$  of  $U_s$ .*

*Furthermore, assume that  $U_\eta$  is irreducible, that  $\dim S_s = \dim U_\eta$ , and that  $x$  can be lifted to a closed point of  $U_\eta$ .*

*Then the generic point of  $S$  can be lifted to  $U_\eta$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $U'$  the scheme-theoretic closure of  $U_\eta$  in  $U$ . Then  $U'$  is flat over  $O$ , and  $x \in U'_s$ . Furthermore,  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{U',x} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{U'_s,x} + 1$  (see [M], theorem 15.1), and  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{U',x} \geq \dim U_\eta + 1$ , since  $x$  can be lifted to a closed point of  $U_\eta$ .

Thus  $\dim \mathcal{O}_{U'_s,x} \geq \dim U_\eta = \dim S$ , which implies that  $S \subseteq U'$ .  $\square$

Although the lemma is easy to prove, it reduces the combinatorial difficulty of our task enormously.

The lemma shows that it really is enough to show that one suitably chosen closed point of the special fibre can be lifted to the generic fibre. We will choose the point  $x = (\mathcal{F}_i)_i$ , where each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is given by the  $de \times r$ -matrix

$$\overline{M} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\nu_1} & & & \\ 0_{e-\nu_1 \times \nu_1} & I_{\nu_2} & & \\ & 0_{e-\nu_2 \times \nu_2} & \ddots & \\ & & & I_{\nu_d} \\ & & & 0_{e-\nu_d \times \nu_d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that  $x$  lies in the stratum corresponding to  $\nu$ . (Look at the Jordan type of  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{F}_i}$ .)

Now how can we lift this point to the generic fibre? We will describe two different methods to do this. The first one is more elementary, the second one more conceptual.

### 5.1 First method (elementary)

To simplify the notation, let us assume that the  $r_\varphi$  are in descending order. We will build the corresponding matrix (over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ ) by putting together several matrices of the form  $M(e, s)$ . More precisely, consider the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -valued point where each subspace  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is given by the same matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M(e, \nu_1) & & & \\ & M(e, \nu_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & M(e, \nu_d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the coefficients of this matrix are indeed contained in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . Clearly, the reduction of  $M$  is  $\overline{M}$ . We have to check that

- $M$  describes a subspace that lies in the maximal-parahoric local model (determinant condition)
- The subspace  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is mapped to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ .

But both conditions are clearly satisfied, since the  $M(e, \nu_i)$  satisfy the corresponding conditions and since there are no interactions between the blocks. Let us make that more precise.

To check the first condition, fix any  $i$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is  $\Pi$ -invariant: this means that there is a matrix  $A$  such that

$$\text{diag}(\Pi, \dots, \Pi)M = MA,$$

and we can simply take  $A = \Pi|_{\mathcal{F}} = \text{diag}(\Pi|_{\mathcal{F}(e, \nu_1)}, \dots, \Pi|_{\mathcal{F}(e, \nu_d)})$ . Now let us check the determinant condition; the characteristic polynomial of  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is just the product of the characteristic polynomials of the  $\Pi|_{\mathcal{F}(e, \nu_i)}$ , so we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^d \prod_{\varphi=1}^{\nu_i} T - \varphi(\Pi) = \prod_{\varphi=1}^e (T - \varphi(\Pi))^{r_\varphi},$$

as it should be.

The second condition is easily checked, too. We just have to observe that

$$\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \Pi, 1, \dots, 1)M = M \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \Pi|_{\mathcal{F}(e, \nu_i)}, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Thus we have indeed found a lifting of our point to the generic fibre.

## 5.2 Second method (conceptual)

In this section, we will describe the  $R$ -valued point constructed in the previous section as a morphism from a product of trivial (i.e.  $\cong \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_E$ ) local models to the given local model, which comes from the situation studied in section 3.

As partition of  $I$ , we will choose the partition into singleton sets:  $I_\alpha = \{\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Furthermore we choose  $0 \leq r_\varphi^\alpha \leq 1$  such that

$$\sum_{\alpha} r_\varphi^\alpha = r_\varphi, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\varphi} r_\varphi^\alpha = \nu_\alpha.$$

It is easy to see that such  $r_\varphi^\alpha$  exist and that they are uniquely determined. Furthermore, whenever  $r_\varphi = r_\psi$ , we have  $r_\varphi^\alpha = r_\psi^\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . Thus all  $\mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i^\alpha)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}, (r_\varphi^\alpha)_\varphi)$  are defined over a subring of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and we denote the base change to  $\mathcal{O}_E$  by  $\mathbf{M}^\alpha$ .

Now consider the map

$$\prod_{\alpha} \mathbf{M}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}(e, d, (\Lambda_i)_{i=0, \dots, n-1}, (r_{\varphi})_{\varphi})$$

$$((\mathcal{F}_i^{\alpha})_i)_{\alpha} \mapsto \left( \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}_i^{\alpha} \right)_i.$$

associated to these data. All the  $\mathbf{M}^{\alpha}$  are just isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_E$ , so their product is  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_E$  again.

It is not hard to check is that the image of the closed point under this map is the point described above.

## 6 The case of the symplectic group

Finally, let us consider the question of topological flatness of the local model for the symplectic group. Let us repeat, with slight notational modifications, the definition of the naive local model for the symplectic group given in [PR2]; cf. also [RZ]. To simplify the notation, we consider only the Iwahori case.

Consider a totally ramified extension  $F/F_0$  of degree  $e$  as before. Let  $V = F^{2g}$  with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_g, f_1, \dots, f_g$ , and denote by  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  the standard symplectic pairing, i.e.

$$\{e_i, e_j\} = \{f_i, f_j\} = 0, \quad \{e_i, f_{g-j}\} = \delta_{ij}.$$

Let  $\delta$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -generator of the inverse different  $\mathcal{D}_{F/F_0}^{-1}$  (if  $F$  is tamely ramified over  $F_0$ , we can take  $\delta = \pi^{1-e}$ ). Let  $\langle v, w \rangle = \mathrm{Tr}_{F/F_0}(\delta\{v, w\})$ . This is a non-degenerate alternating form on  $V$  with values in  $F_0$ .

The standard lattice chain  $(\Lambda_i)_i$  is self-dual with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

Now let  $r_{\varphi} = g$  for all  $\varphi$ . Associated to  $F/F_0$ ,  $V$  and  $(r_{\varphi})_{\varphi}$  we have the naive local model for  $GL_{2g}$ . In this case the reflex field is  $F_0$  and  $\mu$  is just  $(e, \dots, e, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

The naive local model for the symplectic group is the closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{M}(e, 2g, (\Lambda_i)_i, (r_{\varphi})_{\varphi})$  consisting of 'self-dual' families of subspaces:

$$\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\mathrm{naive}} = \{(\mathcal{F}_i)_i; \mathcal{F}_i \longrightarrow \Lambda_{i,S} \cong \Lambda_{2g-i,S}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2g-i}^{\vee} \text{ is the zero map}\}.$$

Here  $\cdot^{\vee}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -dual  $\mathrm{Hom}(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_S)$ , and the isomorphism  $\Lambda_{i,S} \cong \Lambda_{2g-i,S}^{\vee}$  is the one given by the pairing.

Similarly, we define the local model  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\mathrm{loc}}$  as the closed subscheme of  $\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}$  consisting of self-dual families of subspaces:

$$\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\mathrm{loc}} = \{(\mathcal{F}_i)_i \in \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{loc}}; \mathcal{F}_i \longrightarrow \Lambda_{i,S} \cong \Lambda_{2g-i,S}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2g-i}^{\vee} \text{ is the zero map}\}.$$

Actually, we know that in this case the two local models for  $GL_{2g}$  coincide topologically. Namely, it is enough to check this in the maximal parahoric case



where the special fibre is irreducible, so that one just has to see that the generic and special fibres have the same dimension. Of course this implies that  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{naive}}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{loc}}$  are topologically the same, too. So in order to prove the topological flatness, we can work with either one.

**Proposition 6.1** *The local model  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{loc}}$  is topologically flat, i.e. the generic points of the irreducible components of the special fibre of  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{loc}}$  can be lifted to the generic fibre. The same holds for  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{naive}}$ .*

*Proof.* The irreducible components of  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp}^{\text{loc}}$  correspond to the conjugates of  $\mu$  under the Weyl group of the symplectic group (considered as a subgroup of  $S_{2g}$ ). (Here we use the fact that the set of permissible alcoves for the symplectic group coincides with the intersection of the set of admissible alcoves for the general linear group with the standard apartment for the symplectic group:  $\text{Adm}_{GSp_{2g}}(\mu) = \text{Adm}_{GL_{2g}}(\mu) \cap GSp_{2g}$ ; see [HN]). As in the linear case, it is enough to show that for each irreducible component there is one (suitably chosen) point that can be lifted to the generic fibre.

In this case we cannot hope to find the lifting as the image of a map of a product of trivial local models for the symplectic group, because decomposing the lattice chain as we did in the linear case would not preserve the pairing. Nevertheless the proof of the proposition is even simpler in this case, because the  $\mu$  we have to deal with is so special.

We consider the symplectic local model as a closed subscheme of the linear local model. Let  $\nu$  be a conjugate of  $\mu$  under the Weyl group of the symplectic group. It is enough to show that we can lift the point where each  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is given by the  $2ge \times r$ -matrix

$$\overline{M} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\nu_1} & & & & \\ 0_{e-\nu_1 \times \nu_1} & & & & \\ & I_{\nu_2} & & & \\ & 0_{e-\nu_2 \times \nu_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & I_{\nu_{2g}} & \\ & & & 0_{e-\nu_{2g} \times \nu_{2g}} & \end{pmatrix}$$

(which obviously lies inside  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}^{\text{sympl}}$ ) to the generic fibre (of  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{sympl}}$ ).

Let us first lift this point to a point in the generic fibre of the linear local model  $\mathbf{M}^{\text{loc}}$ . Afterwards we will show that the lifting actually lies in the symplectic model.

Since all the  $\nu_i$  are either  $e$  or  $0$ , we can just lift this point by exactly the same matrix over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ ! It is clear that these matrices do describe a point in the (linear) local model over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , in particular that the determinant condition is satisfied.

But since  $\nu$  is not an arbitrary permutation of  $\mu$ , but one under the Weyl group of the symplectic group, it can never happen that  $\nu_i$  and  $\nu_{2g-i+1}$  are both 1. In other words, if in the matrix above there is a unit matrix somewhere in rows  $e(i-1)+1, \dots, e(i-1)+e$ , the columns  $e(2g-i)+1, \dots, e(2g-i)+e$  will entirely consist of 0's. Taking into account that all the  $\mathcal{F}_i$  are given by the same matrix, it is then clear that this point lies in the symplectic local model.  $\square$

We cannot prove that  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp_{2g}}$  (or  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp_{2g}}^{\text{loc}}$ ) is flat. But since  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp_{2g}}$  is topologically flat, we are led to the

**Conjecture 6.2** *The naive local model  $\mathbf{M}_{GSp_{2g}}^{\text{naive}}$  is flat.*

To prove this conjecture, it would be sufficient to show that the special fibre is reduced. This would follow if one could identify it with a union of Schubert varieties in some affine flag variety, and by the usual method using Frobenius splittings, it would be enough to do this for the local models which correspond to a maximal parahoric subgroup. But even that seems to be a difficult problem in commutative algebra.

## References

- [G1] U. Görtz, *On the flatness of local models for certain Shimura varieties of PEL-type*, Math. Ann. **321** (2001), 689–727.
- [G2] U. Görtz, *On the flatness of local models for the symplectic group*, to appear in Adv. in Math.
- [HN] T. Haines, B. C. Ngô, *Alcoves associated to special fibres of local models*, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [P] G. Pappas, *On the arithmetic moduli schemes of PEL Shimura varieties*, J. Alg. Geom. **9** (2000), no. 3, 577–605.
- [PR1] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Local models in the ramified case. I. The EL-case*, to appear in J. Alg. Geom.
- [PR2] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport, *Local models in the ramified case II*, Preprint 2002.
- [RZ] M. Rapoport, T. Zink, *Period spaces for  $p$ -divisible groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies **141**, Princeton University Press, 1996.

Ulrich Görtz  
Mathematisches Institut  
der Universität zu Köln  
Weyertal 86–90  
50931 Köln, Germany  
ugoertz@mi.uni-koeln.de