

Projective normality of special scrolls II.

Luis Fuentes García*

Manuel Pedreira Pérez

Authors' address: Departamento de Algebra, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela.
15706 Santiago de Compostela. Galicia. Spain. e-mail: pedreira@zmat.usc.es;
luisfg@usc.es

Abstract: We study the projective normality of a linearly normal special scroll R of degree d and speciality i over a smooth curve X of genus g . We relate it with the Clifford index of the base curve X . If $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$, $i \geq 3$ and R is smooth, we prove that the projective normality of the scroll is equivalent to the projective normality of its directrix curve of minimum degree.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): Primary, 14J26; secondary, 14H25, 14H45.

Key Words: Ruled Surfaces, projective normality.

Introduction.

Let $R \subset P^N$ be a linearly normal special scroll of genus g , speciality i and degree d . We know that R has an associated ruled surface $\pi : S \rightarrow X$ with a linear system $|H| = |X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f|$, such that R is the image of S by the map defined by $|H|$ (see [1]). We study the projective normality of R , or equivalently, the normal generation of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S(H)$ on S .

In the previous paper [3] we gave the bound $d \geq 4g - 2i + 1$ to reduce the problem of the projective normality of the special scroll R to the problem of the projective normality of the curve of minimum degree.

In this paper we improve this result by using the Clifford index of the base curve X . We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem *Let $R \subset P^N$ be a smooth special linearly normal scroll of genus g , degree d and speciality $i \geq 3$. Let X be the base curve of the scroll.*

If $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$, then:

1. *R has an unique special directrix curve $\overline{X_0}$. Moreover, $\overline{X_0}$ is the curve of minimum degree, it is linearly normal and it has the speciality of R .*
2. *R and $\overline{X_0}$ have the same speciality respect to hypersurfaces of degree m . In particular the scroll is projectively normal if and only if the curve of*

*Supported by an F.P.U. fellowship of Spanish Government

minimum degree is projectively normal.

We will see that this result is optimal. We also study the particular case of R being a cone. In this case the bound $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$ is equivalent to the bound $d \geq 2g - 2h^1(\mathcal{L}) - \text{Cliff}(X)$, that M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld gave in [6] for a line bundle \mathcal{L} on a curve.

We refer to [1] for a systematic development of the projective theory of scrolls and ruled surfaces that we will use in this paper and to [2] to study the special scrolls. In the first section we recall some basic facts about the Clifford index of a curve, that we will use along the paper.

1 Preliminaries.

A *geometrically ruled surface*, or simply a *ruled surface*, will be a \mathbf{P}^1 -bundle over a smooth curve X of genus $g > 0$. It will be denoted by $\pi : S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}_0) \rightarrow X$. We will suppose that \mathcal{E}_0 is a normalized sheaf and X_0 is the section of minimum self-intersection that corresponds to the surjection $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e}) \rightarrow 0$, $\bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{e})$ and $e = -\deg(\mathfrak{e})$ (see [7], V, §2 and [1]).

If $|H| = |X_0 + \mathfrak{b}f|$ is a base-point-free linear system on a ruled surface S , $|H|$ defines a regular map $\phi_H : S \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(H)^\vee))$. The image of S is a scroll R . If ϕ_H is a birational map we say that S and H are the ruled surface and the linear system associated to the scroll R . We denote the image of a curve $C \subset S$ by $\overline{C} \subset R$. The curve \overline{X}_0 is the curve of minimum degree of R . It is embedded by the linear system $|\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e}|$ on X .

Let X be a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X . We define the Clifford index of \mathcal{L} by:

$$\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\mathcal{L}) - 2(h^0(\mathcal{L}) - 1)$$

The Clifford index of the curve X is defined by:

$$\text{Cliff}(X) = \min\{\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{L})/h^0(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2, h^1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 2\}$$

From this we have the following formula:

Lemma 1.1 *If \mathfrak{b} is an effective special divisor such that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \geq 2$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \geq 2$ then*

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \leq \frac{\deg(\mathfrak{b}) - \text{Cliff}(X)}{2} + 1$$

■

By the Clifford Theorem, $\text{Cliff}(X) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if X is hyperelliptic; $\text{Cliff}(X) = 1$ if and only if either X is trigonal or a smooth plane quintic. Furthermore, if X is a general curve of genus g then $\gamma = \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor$, and in any event $\gamma \leq \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Note that if \mathbf{b} is a divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})$ provides the Clifford index of X , the linear system $|\mathbf{b}|$ is base-point-free. In other case, if P is a base point of $|\mathbf{b}|$, then $\mathbf{b} - P$ is a divisor with a Clifford index less than $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b}))$.

2 Projective normality of a special scroll.

Proposition 2.1 *Let $R \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a special linearly normal scroll of genus g , degree d and speciality $i \geq 3$. Suppose that R is not a cone. Let X be the base curve of the scroll.*

If $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$, then R has an unique special directrix curve \overline{X}_0 . Moreover, \overline{X}_0 is the curve of minimum degree, it is linearly normal and it has the speciality of R .

Proof: Let S be the ruled surface and $|H| = |X_0 + \mathbf{b}f|$ the linear system corresponding to the scroll R . Let $\gamma = \text{Cliff}(X)$ be the Clifford index of X .

Since R is special, it has a special directrix curve (see [2]) so the curve \overline{X}_0 of minimum degree of the scroll verifies $\deg(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}) \leq 2g - 2$. Furthermore, we know that:

$$d - 2g + 2 + i = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(H)) \leq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e})) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})) \quad (1)$$

and

$$i = h^1(\mathcal{O}_S(H)) \leq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e})) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})) \quad (2)$$

Because R is not a cone, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e})) \geq 2$. We will prove that $\deg(\mathbf{b}) \geq 2g + 1$ and then we will apply the Proposition 2.3 of [3].

1. Suppose that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e})) \geq 2$. Then we can apply the formula of Lemma 1.1 to the divisor $\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}$.

If $\deg(\mathbf{b}) \leq 2g$, then we also can apply the Clifford Theorem ([7], page 343) to the divisor \mathbf{b} . From the inequality (1) we obtain:

$$d - 2g + 2 + i \leq \frac{\deg(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}) - \gamma}{2} + 1 + \frac{\deg(\mathbf{b})}{2} + 1 = \frac{d - \gamma}{2} + 2$$

and then $d \leq 4g - 2i - \gamma$ which contradicts the hypothesis.

2. Suppose that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e})) \leq 1$. By hypothesis $i \geq 3$, so $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})) \geq 2$.

- (a) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \geq 2$ we can apply the formula of Lemma 1.1 to the divisor \mathfrak{b} and the Clifford Theorem to the divisor $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}$. From the inequality (2) we obtain $d \leq 4g - 2i - \gamma$ which contradicts the hypothesis.
- (b) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \leq 1$, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - g + 1 + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b})) \\ &\geq \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - g + i \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Nagata Theorem [4] we know that $\deg(\mathfrak{c}) \leq g$, so:

$$\deg(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}) \leq \deg(\mathfrak{b}) + g \leq 2g - i + 1 \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, from the inequality (1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d - 2g + 2 + i &\leq 1 + \deg(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}) - g + 1 + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c})) \leq \\ &\leq 1 + \deg(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}) - g + 1 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and because $d \geq 4g - 2i - \gamma + 1$,

$$\deg(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}) \geq 3g - i - \gamma$$

Now, replacing the above expression at inequality (3) we obtain:

$$2g - i + 1 \geq 3g - i - \gamma \implies \gamma \geq g - 1$$

but the Clifford index verifies $\gamma \leq \lfloor \frac{g-1}{2} \rfloor$. ■

Remark 2.2 The inequality and the condition $i \geq 3$ are optimal in the following way:

Given a non hyperelliptic smooth curve X , let \mathfrak{a} be a divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a})$ provides the Clifford index γ of X . Let us consider the ruled surface $S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{a} - \mathcal{K}))$. The linear system $|X_0 + \mathcal{K}f|$ on S is base-point-free and defines a birrational map ϕ_H . Let R be the image of S by the map ϕ_H . The degree of R is $d = 2g - 2 + \deg(\mathfrak{a})$ and the speciality is $i = 1 + g - \frac{\deg(\mathfrak{a}) + \gamma}{2} \geq 3$. From this:

$$4g - 2i - \gamma = 4g - 2 - 2g + \deg(\mathfrak{a}) + \gamma - \gamma = d$$

However, the scroll R has two special directrix curves: $\overline{X_0}$ and $\overline{X_1}$ defined by the linear systems $|\mathfrak{a}|$ and $|\mathcal{K}|$ respectively.

On the other hand, we can also take the ruled surface $S = X \times P^1$ and the linear system $|X_0 + \mathcal{K}f|$. In this case, the corresponding scroll R has degree $d = 4g - 4$ and speciality 2. Since X is non hyperelliptic, $\gamma = \text{Cliff}(X) \geq 1$ and $d \geq 4g - 2i - \gamma + 1$, but the scroll R has a one dimensional family of special directrix curves. ■

Proposition 2.3 *Let $R \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a special linearly normal scroll of genus g , degree d and speciality $i \geq 3$. Suppose that R is a cone. Let X be the base curve of the scroll.*

If the unique singular point of R is the vertex and $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$, then R is projectively normal.

Proof: We know that $S = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathbf{b}))$ is the ruled surface associated to R and R is given by the linear system $|X_1| = |X_0 + \mathbf{b}f|$. Moreover, the degree of R is $d = \deg(\mathbf{b})$ and the speciality is $i = g + h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b}))$ (see [1]).

It is clear that R is projectively normal iff $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})$ is normally generated (see [2]). Since the unique singular point of R is the vertex, the linear system $|\mathbf{b}|$ is very ample. Moreover,

$$\deg(\mathbf{b}) = d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1 = 2g - 2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})) - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$$

Thus, we can apply the Green-Lazarsfeld Theorem (see [6]) to the divisor \mathbf{b} and we deduce that the cone is projectively normal. ■

Remark 2.4 Note that the condition $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$ is optimal, because it is equivalent to the inequality $d \geq 2g - 2h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{b})) - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$ for the hyperplane section of the cone. This condition is the best possible for the projective normality of line bundles on curves (see [6]). ■

Theorem 2.5 *Let $R \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a smooth special linearly normal scroll of genus g , degree d and speciality $i \geq 3$. Let X be the base curve of the scroll.*

If $d \geq 4g - 2i - \text{Cliff}(X) + 1$, then:

1. *R has an unique special directrix curve $\overline{X_0}$. Moreover, $\overline{X_0}$ is the curve of minimum degree, it is linearly normal and it has the speciality of R .*
2. *R and $\overline{X_0}$ have the same speciality respect to hypersurfaces of degree m . In particular the scroll is projectively normal if and only if the curve of minimum degree is projectively normal.*

Proof: Let S be the ruled surface and $|H| = |X_0 + \mathbf{b}f|$ the linear system corresponding to the scroll R . Let $\gamma = \text{Cliff}(X)$ be the Clifford index of X .

The first assertion is the Proposition 2.1. From the proof of this Proposition we also know that $\deg(\mathbf{b}) \geq 2g + 1$.

To prove the second statement we will apply the Proposition 2.1 of [3]. We will see that:

$$s(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}, \cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{b}, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \mathbf{b}) = 0 \text{ for all } i, \text{ with } 0 \leq i \leq k-1$$

Reasoning as in the proof of the Theorem 2.4 of [3] it is sufficient to see that $s(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e}) = 0$ and in particular we only have to prove that (see Lemma 1.5 in [3]):

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} - (\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e}))) < h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) - 1$$

We distinguish two cases:

1. Suppose that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \leq 1$. It is sufficient to prove that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) \geq 3$. But this follows from the smoothness of the scroll:

- (a) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) = 0$ the scroll is a cone.
- (b) $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) = 1$ can not occur, because $\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e}$ is base-point-free.
- (c) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) = 2$ the directrix curve of minimum degree is a line. Since the scroll is not rational, it must be a singular curve of the scroll.

2. Suppose that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \geq 2$:

- (a) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \leq 1$, then by Riemann-Roch Theorem $h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \leq g - e$. Moreover, we know that $\deg(\mathfrak{b}) \geq 2g + 1$ and from this:

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) - 1 = b - e - g + i \geq 2g + 1 - e - g + i > g - e \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e}))$$

- (b) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \geq 2$ we can apply the formula of Lemma 1.1 to the divisor $-\mathfrak{e}$:

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \leq \frac{e - \gamma}{2} - 1, \text{ or equivalently, } h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \leq g - \frac{e + \gamma}{2}$$

Furthermore,

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) - 1 = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e - g + 1 + i - 1 = \deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e - g + i$$

By hypothesis $d \geq 4g - 2i - \gamma + 1$, so $2\deg(\mathfrak{b}) - e \geq 4g - 2i - \gamma + 1$ and $\deg(\mathfrak{b}) \geq \frac{4g - 2i - \gamma + 1 + e}{2}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{e})) - 1 &\geq \frac{4g - 2i - \gamma + 1 + e}{2} - e - g + i \geq g - \frac{e + \gamma}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \geq \\ &\geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) + \frac{1}{2} > h^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-\mathfrak{e})) \end{aligned}$$

■

References

- [1] FUENTES, L.; PEDREIRA, M. *The Projective Theory of Ruled Surfaces*. Preprint. math.AG/0006204.
- [2] FUENTES, L.; PEDREIRA, M. *Canonical geometrically ruled surfaces*. Preprint. math.AG/0107114.
- [3] FUENTES, L.; PEDREIRA, M. *Projective normality of special scrolls*. Preprint. math.AG/0203257.
- [4] NAGATA, M. *On the self-intersection number of a section on a ruled surface*. Nagoya Math. J., **37**, 191-196 (1970).
- [5] GREEN, M. *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*. J. Differential Geometry **19** (1984), 125-171.
- [6] GREEN, M; LAZARSFELD, R. *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*. Invent. Math. **83** (1986), pp. 73-90.
- [7] HARTSHORNE, R. *Algebraic Geometry*. GTM, 52. Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [8] LANGE, H.; MARTENS, G. *Normal generation and presentation of line bundles of low degree*. J. Reine Angew Math **356** (1985), pp. 1-18.