

On certain generalized Hardy's inequalities and applications

Demetrios A. Pliakis

November 10, 2018

Introduction Let $P(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in n -real variables belonging to the class $\mathcal{H}$ described below. Let $V(P) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / P(x) = 0\}$ be the algebraic set that it defines. We prove the following inequality

$$c_1(P).P^{-\frac{2}{d}} \leq (-\Delta), \quad c_1(P) > 0$$

in the operator sense on the domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus V(P))$. This inequality while it is elementary to prove when the algebraic variety $V(P)$ is smooth away from the origin, it is rather cumbersome when the variety is singular. The above inequality may be viewed as direct generalization of Hardy's inequalities

$$x^s \leq \frac{4}{(s+1)^2} (\dagger D_x x^s D_x), \quad s \neq -1$$

for the operators $D_x = x \partial_x$. Here, we will consider the stratification of the algebraic variety $V(P)$ by multiplicity and the inequality will be examined through a resolution of singularities process. This provides a finite covering, in every chart of which the algebraic set is reduced to normal crossings. The inequality is readily reduced to a corresponding one for inhomogeneous polynomials.

Theorem. *Let $P \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a nonhomogeneous polynomial of degree d . Then there is a constant $c'_1(P) > 0$ and positive differential operators $\Psi_j, j = 0, 2, \dots, M(P)$ of degree $2j$ such that*

$$c'_1(P).P^{-\frac{2}{d}} \leq (-\Delta) + \sum_j \Psi_j.$$

Moreover, let $P \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ in the class $\mathcal{H}$ then set $\Pi(P) = (\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P})^2$. If P is a homogeneous polynomial P then for $c_2(P) > 0$:

$$c_2(P) \cdot \Pi(P) \leq (-\Delta),$$

while when P is not homogeneous then there exist positive differential operators Ψ'_j of order $2j$ and $c'_2(P) > 0$:

$$c'_2(P) \cdot \Pi(P) \leq (-\Delta) + \sum_j \Psi'_j$$

However, it is worthnoticing that we can refine the crude form of the preceding inequality for an inhomogeneous polynomial of degree d , belonging in the class described below; precisely there are constants $\kappa_1(P), \kappa_2(P) > 0$ such that in the operator sense on the domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus V(P))$ there holds:

$$P^{-\frac{2}{d}} \leq \kappa_1(P) N^{\frac{1}{2}} (-\Delta) N^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2\kappa_1(P) E + \kappa_1(P) - \kappa_2(P) + \frac{\kappa_2(P)}{N}$$

where $E(x) = \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and $N(x) = 1 + |x|^2 = 1 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$.

The inequality is applied to a particular case of a problem that motivated the study of these inequalities: the existence of an asymptotic expansion in powers and logarithms of the distributional trace of the heat operator corresponding to $H_{c,\alpha} = -\Delta + \frac{c}{|P|^\alpha}$ for $c \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and small $\alpha > 0$. The inequalities provide the required estimates for the domain, closure and the Neumann series of a suitable power of the resolvent of $H_{c,\alpha}$. The existence of the asymptotic expansion follows, in view of the singular asymptotics lemma [C1], from the well known theorem of Bernshtein-Gelfand, [BG], for the meromorphic extension of integrals containing complex powers of polynomials. The article begins with a review of the local reduction of an algebraic set to normal crossings according to [BM1] with certain comments that adjust the process with our purposes. In the sequel we prove the preceding theorem and its further generalizations and then we proceed to the spectral application.

The article is based on the author's doctoral dissertation submitted to the University of Crete, [PD].

1 Local reduction of an algebraic set to normal crossings.

We commence by reviewing the necessary definitions and results on blowing up and local desingularization of an algebraic set with guide essentially the presentation in [BM1].

1.1 Standard Constructions: Blowups.

In the various steps we'll use conical partitions of the unity covering euclidean ball centered at the origin $B_\epsilon^n(0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / |x|^2 := x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 < \epsilon\}$ subordinate to the cones

$$C_j(\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / x_j^2 > \frac{1}{1+\alpha}|x|^2\}$$

for $\alpha > 1$. Let $\mathbf{P}^n$ denote the n -dimensional projective space of lines through the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Let $B_\epsilon^{n+1*}(0) = B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0) \setminus \{0\}$ be the punctured euclidean ball around the origin in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, set

$$\widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)} := \overline{\{(x, l) \in B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)^* \times \mathbf{P}^n : x \in l\}}$$

and let $\sigma : \widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)} \rightarrow B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)$, $(x, l) \mapsto x$. Then σ is proper, restricts to a homeomorphism over $B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)^*$, and $\sigma^{-1}(0) = \mathbf{P}^n$. This mapping is called the blowing up of $B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)$ with center $\{0\}$. In a natural way, $\widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)}$ is an algebraic submanifold of $B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0) \times \mathbf{P}^n$:

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$ denote the affine coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and let $t = [t_1 : \dots : t_{n+1}]$ denote the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^n$. Then

$$\widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)} = \{(x, t) \in B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0) \times \mathbf{P}^n : x \wedge t = 0\}$$

Furthermore $\widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)}$ is covered for $\alpha > 1$ by the conical charts

$$\widehat{C_i(\alpha)} = \{(x, t) \in \widehat{B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)} : t_i^2 > \frac{1}{1+\alpha}|t|^2\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1$$

with coordinates $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{n+1,i})$, for each i , where

$$x_{ii} = x_i, \quad x_{ji} = \frac{t_j}{t_i}, i \neq j$$

with respect to these local coordinates, σ is given by

$$x_i = x_{ii} \quad x_j = x_{ii}x_{ji}, \quad i \neq j$$

Let $n > c$ and $B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)$ then the mapping $\sigma \times id : \widehat{B}_\epsilon^c \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0) \rightarrow B_\epsilon^c(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)$ is called the blowing up of $B_\epsilon^c(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)$ with center $C := \{0\} \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and it is denoted by $Bl_C(B_\epsilon^c(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)) = \widehat{B}_\epsilon^c \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}$.

Let the usual volume in $\mathbf{R}^n$ be denoted by v_n , then under blow up with center of codimension $c > 1$ considered in the i -th chart it pulls back the volume $v_n = x_i^{c-1} \widehat{v}_n$.

It is noteworthy the way that the vector fields that generate dilation transform under blowing up or down. Let then $x = \sigma(y)$ and

$$D_i^0 = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad D_i^1 = y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad E^0 = \sum_i x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad E^1 = \sum_i y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$$

then in the k -th chart we have the formulas:

$$D_i^1 = D_i^0, i \neq k, \quad D_k^1 = E^0, \quad E^1 = 2E^0 - D_k^0$$

We will consider mappings obtained as a finite sequence of local blow-ups ; i.e., $\pi_N = \sigma_1 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_N$, where for each $i = 1, \dots, r$, $\sigma_i : \widehat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$ is a local blow up with center $C_i = \{0\} \times B_\epsilon^{n-c_i}(0)$ of the preceding form with $\widehat{V}_i = \widehat{B}_\epsilon^{c_i}(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c_i}(0)$ and $V_i = B_\epsilon^{c_i}(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c_i}(0)$.

Accordingly we associate to each such series of N - successive blowups the maps that describe the succession of the conical charts of the blowups $\Theta_N : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. A characteristic quantity of such a map is the number of successive blowups in the same chart, denoted by $< \Theta_N >$.

We conclude with the partition of unity of the punctured ball $B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0)^* = B_\epsilon^{n+1}(0) \setminus \{0\}$. subordinate to the conical covering of the latter. It is constructed by a partition of unity on the sphere and a cutoff in $\mathbf{R}_+$:

$$\chi_j = \phi_j \chi_\epsilon$$

where $\phi_j : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and $\{\phi_j\}$ constitute a partition of unity subordinate to $S_j = S^{n-1} \cap C_j(\alpha)$ while χ_ϵ is a cutoff, $\text{supp} \chi_\epsilon \subset (0, \epsilon)$. Furthermore recall the useful estimate $|\partial_x^k \chi_\epsilon| \leq c_k \epsilon^{-k}$. Finally, we recall that the vector field E in $\mathbf{R}^{n*}$ is expressed in the spherical coordinates $(r, \theta) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times S^{n-1}$ as $E = r \partial_r = D_r$.

1.2 The local desingularization algorithm.

Here we'll follow the proof of the local desingularization theorem in algorithm devised in [BM] and developed in the conventions that we need for the inequalities. It consists of two steps the determination of the center and the reduction of the multiplicity.

THEOREM. *Let $P : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a regular function. Then there is a countable collection of regular mappings $\pi_r : W_r \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that:*

1. *Each π_r is the composition of a finite sequence of local blows up (with smooth centers).*
2. *There is a locally finite covering U_r of $\mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\pi_r(W_r) \subset U_r$ for all r .*
3. *If K is a compact subset of $\mathbf{R}^n$, there are compact subsets $\Lambda_r \subset W_r$ such that $K = \bigcup_r \pi_r(\Lambda_r)$. The union is finite by (2).*
4. *For each r , $P \circ \pi_r$ is locally normal crossings.*

Determination of the center. Let $a \in V(P)$, $\text{ord}_a(P) =: m$ and choose coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ such that $x(a) = 0$ and, $(in_a P)(0, \dots, 0, x_n) \neq 0$ the lowest degree homogeneous component of the polynomial. Moreover denote by $\tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ and let $h_j = V(x_j)$ be the coordinate hyperplanes. Since $(\partial_{x_n}^m P)(x) \neq 0$ then $(\partial_{x_n}^{m-1} P)(x) \sim x_n - H(\tilde{x}) =: x'_n$ for some regular function H . Then we perform the division

$$P(x) = Q(x)x_n^m + \sum_{0 \leq k < m} c_k(\tilde{x})x_n^k.$$

Moreover, possibly after translation, we may assume that $c_{m-1}(\tilde{x}) = 0$ and also observe that each $c_k(\tilde{x}) = \partial_{x_n}^k|_{h_n} P, \tilde{x}_n \sim \partial_{x_n}^{m-1} P$

Let $a \in h_n$ then for $0 \leq k < \text{ord}_a P = \mu_P(a)$ introduce the sets

$$\mathcal{P}(a) := \{(P, \text{ord}_a P)\}, \quad \mathcal{C}_P(a) := \{(c_k, \mu_P - k)\}.$$

The union of stata of multiplicity at least $\mu_P(a)(= m)$ is denoted by

$$S_{\mathcal{P}(a)} := \{x : \text{ord}_x P \geq \mu_P(a)\}$$

as well as that

$$S_{\mathcal{C}_P(a)} := \{x : \text{ord}_x g \geq p, \forall g \in \mathcal{C}_P(\alpha)\}.$$

First we will use an induction on n (and on m), to arrive at the particular instance when for all k

$$(*) \quad c_k(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{x}^\gamma)^{m-k} c_k^*(\tilde{x})$$

while $\gamma \in \frac{1}{m!} \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$ and for some $k_0, c_{k_0}^*(0) \neq 0$. In order to handle at once the various c_k 's we define the auxiliary function

$$A_P(\tilde{x}) := \text{product of all non zero } c_k^{\frac{m!}{m-k}} \text{ and all their nonzero differences.}$$

The inductive assumption asserts that there is already a uniformization for $A_P : A_P \sim x_1^{a_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}$. This implies in first place that each nonzero $c_k(\tilde{x}) = (\tilde{x}^{\Omega_k}) c_k^*(\tilde{x})$ with $\Omega_k \in \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$ and $c_k(0)^* \neq 0$. Moreover each nonzero $c_k^{\frac{m!}{m-k}} - c_j^{\frac{m!}{m-j}} \sim \tilde{x}^{\Lambda_{ij}}$, with $\Lambda_{ij} \in (\mathbf{N}^{n-1})^*$. The following elementary lemma suggests:

LEMMA. [BM1]: *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If $a(x)x^\alpha - b(x)x^\beta = c(x)x^\gamma$ and $a(0)b(0)c(0) \neq 0$ then either $\alpha \in \beta + \mathbf{N}^n$ or $\beta \in \alpha + \mathbf{N}^n$.*

The lemma implies that the set $\mathcal{E} := \{\frac{1}{m-k} \Omega_k\} \subset \frac{1}{m!} \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$ is totally ordered with the induced partial ordering from $\mathbf{N}^{n-1}$ and therefore there exist a $\rho = \min(\mathcal{E}) \in \frac{1}{m!} \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$. Therefore we are reduced to the case $(*)$ with $\gamma = \rho \in \frac{1}{m!} \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$. We show that the special case $(*)$ implies reduction in multiplicity by blowing up succesively the components of $S_P(a)$.

$$S_{\mathcal{P}(a)} = S_{\mathcal{C}_P(a)} = \{x : x_n = 0, \text{ord}_x(\tilde{x}^\gamma) \geq 1\} = \bigcup_I Z_I$$

where $Z_I = \bigcap_{i \in I} (h_i \cap h_n)$ and $I \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $\text{card}(I) = c-1$ minimal such that $\sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i \geq 1$ or equivalently that $0 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i - 1 < \gamma_k$ for all $k \in I$. Actually, these serve as centers of the desingularizing blowups $C = Z_I$, for all k we have that

$$\text{ord}_C c_k \geq m - k > 0,$$

where $\text{ord}_C c_k = \inf_{x \in C} (\text{ord}_x c_k)$.

Reduction of the multiplicity. Let $a \in V(P)$ and choose coordinates such that $x(a) = 0, I = (1, \dots, c-1)$. Let further

$$C_j^{n,c}(\alpha, \epsilon) = (C_j^n(\alpha) \cap B_\epsilon^c(0)) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0), \quad \widehat{C_j^{n,c}(\alpha, \epsilon)} = (\widehat{C_j^n(\alpha)} \cap \widehat{B_\epsilon^c(0)}) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)$$

and the blowup $\sigma : \widehat{B_\epsilon^c(0)} \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0) \rightarrow B_\epsilon^c(0) \times B_\epsilon^{n-c}(0)$ at $\beta \in \sigma^{-1}(0)$. We calculate in these conical charts:

A. Let $\beta \in \widehat{C_n^{n,c}(\alpha, \epsilon)}$ then the strict transform $\sigma|_{C_n}$ maps as follows

$$x_n = y_n, \quad x_j = y_j y_n, j = 1, \dots, c-1, \quad x_s = y_s, n > s > c.$$

Its effect on the polynomial is

$$(\sigma^*(\chi_n P))(y) = y_n^m P_n^1(y) = y_n^m [(\sigma^* Q)(y) + \sum_{0 \leq k < m} (\sigma^* c_k)(y) y_n^{k-m}]$$

and we observe that $Q(\sigma(\beta)) \neq 0$ while $(\sum_{0 \leq k < m} c_k(y) y_n^{k-m})(\sigma(\beta)) = 0$ and hence $P_n^1(\beta) \neq 0$.

B. In the conical sector $\widehat{C_j^{n,c}(\alpha, \epsilon)}$, $j \in I$ the strict transform σ maps as follows

$$x_n = y_n, \quad y_j, x_j = y_j, \quad x_k = y_k y_j, j \neq k = 1, \dots, c-1, \quad x_s = y_s, s > n.$$

Then the polynomial becomes

$$(\sigma^*(\chi_j P))(y) = y_j^m P_j^1(y) = y_j^m [(\sigma^* Q)(y) y_n^m + \sum_{0 \leq k < m} (\sigma^* c_k)(\tilde{y}) y_j^{k-m} y_n^k]$$

since in this sector

$$\tilde{x} = \sigma(\tilde{y}), \tilde{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}).$$

Observe that $\partial_{y_n}^{m-1} P_j^1 \sim y_n$ since $Q(\alpha) \neq 0$ while $h'_n = \sigma^{-1}(h_n) = \{y_n = 0\}$ and $c_{m-1} = 0$ identically.

We conclude that for all points on the exceptional divisor the order is not bigger than m , $\beta \in \sigma^{-1}(C)$, $\text{ord}_\beta P^1 \leq d$ if P^1 denotes the resulting regular function. Therefore assume that $\text{ord}_\beta P^1 = m$ iff $\beta \in h_n \cap \{\text{ord}_y C'_k \geq m - k\}$ where

$$c'_k = y_j^{k-m} (\sigma^* c_k)(\tilde{y}) = (\tilde{y}^{\gamma'})^{m-k} \cdot (c_k^*(\sigma(\tilde{y})))$$

and $\tilde{y}^{\gamma'} := y_j^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{x}^\gamma \circ \sigma)$ and there is $k_0, (c_{k_0}^*)(\sigma(\beta)) \neq 0$ by the particular case (*). Hence, $\gamma'_i = \gamma_i$, if $i \neq j$ and $\gamma'_j = \sum_{i \in I} \gamma_i - 1$ therefore $1 \leq |\gamma'| < |\gamma|$ and since $|\gamma|, |\gamma'| \in \frac{1}{m!} \mathbf{N}^{n-1}$ it follows that after no more than $|\gamma| m!$ blows up of this type multiplicity has to decrease.

Remarks.

- Let $P \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $V(P) := V$ the variety it defines. Set then

$$P^k(x) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_n = k} \left(\frac{\partial^k P}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_n^{j_n}}(x) \right)^2$$

and consequently $V_k := V(P_k)$ The stratification by multiplicity we'll use consists of the strata that are semialgebraic sets:

$$\Sigma_k = (V_k \setminus V_{k+1}) \cap V$$

If P is homogeneous then by Euler's theorem $\Sigma_{k+1} \subset \overline{\Sigma_k}$ while the implicit function theorem asserts that Σ_k are smooth.

- Let $I(V)$ be the sheaf of germs of regular functions on $\mathbf{R}^n$ that vanish on V . Let $x \in \Sigma_k$ then set

$$L_{x,k} = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n : P \in I_x(V), \sum_j \xi_j \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} \in I_x(V) \right\}$$

and also that $E_{x,k} = L_{x,k}^\perp$.

- The class $\mathcal{H}$ of polynomials consists of those P such that when $\text{codim} \Sigma_k = 2$ for some k then

$$\{(x + E_{x,k}) \cap V_x\} \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$$

where V_x is the germ of V at x .

- If $P \geq 0$ everywhere as well as that $P_1, \dots, P_p \geq 0$ everywhere and it is true that

$$P = \sum_j P_j$$

then $V(P)$ is not a hypersurface. Then the same procedure with more complicated details brings the set to normal crossings, cf [BM]. However, if we assume that each of the P_j 's belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}$ then we can proceed without appealing to the desingularization for codimension > 1 .

The inequalities of Lojasiewicz. Through the paper certain distances from algebraic sets are estimated by the values of the defining polynomials through the fundamental Lojasiewicz inequalities, cf [BM]:

Theorem. *Let P be a regular function on an open subspace $M \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Suppose that K is a compact subset of M , on which $V(\text{grad}(P)) \subset V(P)$. Then there exist $c, c' > 0$ and $\mu, 0 < \mu \leq 1, \nu > 1$ such that*

$$|\text{grad}(P)(x)| \geq c|f(x)|^{1-\mu}, \quad |P(x)| \geq c'd(x, V(P))^\nu.$$

in a neighborhood of K . ($\sup \mu, \sup \nu \in \mathbf{Q}$).

It is true that $\nu(K) \leq \sup_{a \in K} \mu_a(P)$. Furthermore in the case of a homogeneous polynomial function in $\mathbf{R}^n$ the constant depends on conical neighborhoods of the origin.

2 The inequalities.

2.1 The inequality for $P^{-\frac{2}{d}}$.

The inequality will be incorporated to the blowing up procedure that leads to the desingularization of the algebraic set $V(P) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. This is treated through an induction on the dimension n and the multiplicity with which the arbitrary point $a \in V(P)$ occurs in $V(P)$. To fix the ideas assume that we are localised in a tubular neighbourhood of diameter $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}$:

$$N_\epsilon(P) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / |P(x)| < \epsilon\}.$$

Furthermore let $a \in V(P)$, $\text{ord}_a P = m$ and $\text{codim } S_{P(a)} = c$. Choose a system of coordinates such that $x(a) = 0$ and also that

$$P(0, \dots, 0, x_n) \sim x_n^m.$$

Furthermore consider the open neighbourhood of $S_{P(a)} = \Sigma_m(P)$, of diameter $\epsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}$

$$N_{\epsilon, m}(P) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / \sum_{j=0}^m P^j(x) < \epsilon^2\}$$

Using the conical partition of unity in $L_{m,a}(P) \cap N_{\epsilon,m}(P)$ we compute that

$$I_m(P)[f] = \int_{N_{\epsilon,m}} f^2(x) P^{-\frac{2}{d}} v_n = \sum_{k=1}^c \int_{\sigma^{-1}(N_{\epsilon,m}(P))} [x_k^{-\frac{m}{d}} D_k^1(f_k^1 |P_k^1|^{-\frac{1}{d}})]^2 x_k^{c-1} \hat{v}_n$$

where $\kappa_m(c, d) = (2 \frac{dc-2m}{d})^2$, $D_k = x_k \partial_{x_k}$, $N_{\epsilon,m}^1 = \sigma^{-1}(N_{\epsilon,m})$. The last integral is majorized further by:

$$\int_{N_{\epsilon,m}^1} ([x_k^{-\frac{m}{d}} (D_k^1 f_k^1) |P_k^1|^{-\frac{1}{d}}]^2 + [f_k^1 x_k^{-\frac{m}{d}} (Q_k^{m,1}(P)) (|P_k^1|^{-\frac{1}{d}})^2] x_k^{c-1} \hat{v}_n$$

appearing the function $Q_k^{m,1}(P) = D_k(\log |P_k^1|)$. The successive blowups of this type that reduce the multiplicity of the algebraic set lead to the iteration of the preceding elementary step. Therefore, we introduce inductively for $j > 1$ the following:

$$f_{k_j}^j = \sigma^*(\chi_{k_j} f_{k_{j-1}}^{j-1}), \quad N^j = \sigma^{-1}(N^{j-1})$$

as well as

$$\mathcal{D}_{k_{j+1}}^{m,j+1} = D_{k_{j+1}}(\chi_{k_{j+1}} \sigma^* \mathcal{D}_{k_j}^{m,j}) + (\sigma^* \mathcal{D}_{k_j}^{m,j}) Q_{k_{j+1}}^{m,j+1}$$

for

$$Q_{k_{j+1}}^{m,j+1} = D_{k_{j+1}}(\log |P^{j+1}|).$$

At this point we should comment on the comportment of the functions $Q_{k_j}^{m,j}, \mathcal{D}_{k_j}^{j,m}$ during the blow-up. Starting from the fact that

$$P_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1} = x_{k_{j+1}}^{-m} P_{k_j}^j, x_{k_{j+1}}^m D_{k_{j+1}} x_{k_{j+1}}^{-m} = D_{k_{j+1}} - m$$

we obtain that $(D_{k_j} \log |P^j| = m + X_{k_{j+1}} \log |P^{j+1}|$ for $X_{k_{j+1}}$ a vector field that is a combination of $D_{k_{j+1}}, E_{k_{j+1}}$ and analogously for $\mathcal{D}_{k_{j+1}}^{m,j+1}$. The successive blowups reduce the multiplicity of the algebraic set and lead to the $m = 1$ situation. At that stage we change coordinates and apply again Hardy's inequality for the terms involving the $Q, \mathcal{D}$ -terms which are expressed in terms of the new coordinate. These terms contribute to the constants through the jacobians intervening in this change of variable: the constants, thus depend on the polynomial and furthermore decrease with respect to the size of the neighbourhoods of the strata chosen at each step.

Finally, we blow down in the conical charts and obtain the following inequalities by using at each step the estimates for the partition of unity functions.

Indeed we obtain that if Θ_m comprises the blowups of the strata of multiplicity m then

$$\sum_m I_m(P)[f] \leq C \sum_{all(m, \Theta_m)} T_{\Theta_m}[f]$$

where T_{Θ_m} is obtained by the blowing down procedure we mentioned precedingly and has the form

$$T_{\Theta_m}[f] \sim \sum_{K,L} \int_{N_\epsilon} \left[\prod_{l=1}^m V_{c(l),k(l)}(D) E_{c(l)}^{|\Theta_l|-k(l)} (\partial_j^{l_j} f) \right]^2 r^{-\frac{\sum_{l=1}^m \langle \Theta_l \rangle^l}{d}} v_n$$

where

$$E_c = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq c} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad V_{c,k}(D) = s_{c,k}(D_{k_1}, \dots, D_{k_c})$$

where $s_{c,k}$ is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in c -variables and K, L stand for the various coordinate-charts that intervene in the desingularization of the stratum Σ_m . In conclusion the inequality is written as

$$T_m(P)[f] = \sum_{\Theta_m} T_{\Theta_m}[f] \leq \tilde{C} \int_{N_\epsilon} [(r\partial)^{K(m)} V_{c-1,k}(D) f]^2 r^{-\frac{\sum_l \langle \Theta_l \rangle^l}{d}} v_n.$$

The inequality for $\Pi(P) = [\frac{\mathbf{grad} P}{P}]^2$. If $P^{-\frac{2}{d}}$ is replaced by $\Pi(P) = [\frac{\mathbf{grad} P}{P}]^2$ then along the same lines with slight modifications we arrive at the same type of inequality. We mention only the essential point on the comportment of $\Pi(P)$ in the course of the blowups and blowdowns. In close analogy with the notation used in the preceding paragraph we set for $\Pi_{k_0}^0 = \chi_{k_0} \Pi(P)$ that

$$\Pi(P)_{k_j}^j = \chi_{k_j} \left(\frac{\mathbf{grad}_{(j)} P^j}{P^j} \right)^2, \quad \Xi(P)_{k_j}^j = ((2D_{k_j} P_{k_j}^j - E_{k_j}^j)(\log |P_{k_j}^j|))^2,$$

where $\mathbf{grad}_j$ denotes the gradient at the j -th level of blowup. Then observe that

$$\Pi(P)_{k_j}^j = \frac{1}{x_{k_{j+1}}^2} [\Pi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1} + \Xi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1}].$$

The crucial fact here is that the function Ξ behaves in an analogous way with the $Q, \mathcal{D}$ functions of the preceding paragraph. If the multiplicity is > 1 or in the codimension 2 instance of the class $\mathcal{H}$ we incorporate the inequality

$$\frac{1}{x_{k_{j+1}}^2} [\Pi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1} + \Xi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1}] \leq \frac{1}{2x_{k_{j+1}}^4} + (\Pi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1})^2 + (\Xi(P)_{k_{j+1}}^{j+1})^2.$$

Using all these we proceed as in the preceding paragraph to obtain the desired inequality.

2.2 The inequality for homogeneous polynomials.

Let $P \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ in the class $\mathcal{H}$ then the inequality receives a much simpler form. Indeed by blowing up the origin which supports the maximal multiplicity of V we obtain inequalities corresponding to the traces of the algebraic set on the balls $B_{\alpha,j}^n(0) = V(x_j - 1) \cap C_j^{n+1}(\alpha)$, $j = 1, \dots, n+1$. Then we use the preceding inequalities for inhomogeneous polynomials and by a scaling transformation we obtain the desired result by throwing the “higher order terms”. In the sequel we assume without loss of generality that we are already reduced to the essential variables and proceed through the conical partition of unity:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} f^2(x) P^{-\frac{2}{d}} v_{n+1} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{\widehat{C_j^{n+1}(\alpha)}} (\sigma^* \chi_j f)^2 x_j^{c-3} (P_j^1)^{-\frac{2}{d}} \hat{v}_{n+1} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \int_{\widehat{C_j^{n+1}(\alpha)}} [(\sigma^* (\chi_j f) x_j^{\frac{c-3}{2}})^2 \cdot (P_k^1)^{-\frac{2}{d}} \hat{v}_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

We set $f_j = \sigma^* \chi_j f$ that is compactly supported on each $\widehat{C_j^{n+1}(\alpha)}$. Then applying the inequality for the inhomogeneous polynomial (P_j^1) in the variables $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \tilde{y}$ on each ball $B_{\alpha,j}^n(0)$ by comprising the notation of the preceding paragraph for $\ell > 0$:

$$\int_{B_{\alpha,j}^n(0)} [f_j x_j^{\frac{c-3}{2}}]^2 (P_k^1)^{-\frac{2}{d}} v_{n+1} \leq \int_{\widehat{B_{\alpha,j}^n(0)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^K (E^\ell V_\ell(D) f_k)^2 x_k^{c-3} r^{-\theta_\ell}$$

we obtain the following inequality in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, by applying the Hardy’s inequality with respect to x_j :

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} f(x)^2 P^{-\frac{2}{d}} v_{n+1} \leq C(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} (\text{grad} f)^2 v_{n+1} + C'(P) \sum_{|\ell| \geq 2}^N \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} (E_{n+1}^\ell V_\ell(D) f)^2 r^{-\theta_\ell} v_{n+1}$$

In the end we scale $x \mapsto \tilde{x} := (\lambda^{-1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{-1} x_{n+1})$. Evidently, we let $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain the inequality.

Remark. Sticking on the inequality for $[\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}]^2$ one could also deduce the following inequality, actually by partial integration for $C_3(P)$:

$$(|\frac{\Delta P}{P}|) \leq C_3(P) \cdot (-\Delta)$$

2.3 Further inequalities.

Let $\mathbf{R} \ni s \neq d + \frac{2}{d}$ then the following more general inequality is true :

$$|P|^s \leq C(P, s)(-\nabla \cdot |P|^{s+(\frac{2}{d})}\nabla)$$

In order to see this write for $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n), \beta = s + \frac{2}{d}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |P|^s f^2 v_n &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} P^{-\frac{2}{d}} |P|^{2\beta} f^2 v_n \leq C(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\text{grad}(|P|^\beta f))^2 v_n = \\ &= C(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} P^{2\beta} (\text{grad}(f))^2 v_n + C(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right)^2 P^{2\beta} f^2 v_n. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is handled via the Lojasiewicz inequality, that holds throughout a cone $K \times \overline{\mathbf{R}_+}, K \subset \subset S^{n-1}, C(K) > 0$:

$$C(K)|\text{grad}(P)(x)| \geq |P(x)|^{1-\mu},$$

for $0 < \mu \leq 1$. If $\beta \geq 0$ then upon localizing in cones we obtain (assume that $\mu < 1$ otherwise $\text{grad}(P)(x) \neq 0, x \in K$ hence employ the nonsingular instance of the inequality), $\gamma := \frac{\beta}{1-\mu} > \beta$:

$$|P|^\beta \leq C(K)|\text{grad}(P)|^\gamma,$$

and accordingly

$$P^{2\beta} \left(\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right)^2 \leq C(K) \left(\left|\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right|^{(1+\gamma)^2} (|P|^\gamma f)^2\right).$$

Hence we obtain that

$$|P(x)|^{2\beta} \left(\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right)^2 \leq C(K) \left[\left(\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right)^{2(1+\gamma)} f\right]^2 + (P^{2\gamma} f)^2.$$

When $\beta < 0$ then conclude directly that for $\eta = \frac{|\beta|}{\mu} > |\beta|$:

$$|P|^{2\beta} \leq C(K) \left|\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right|^{2\eta}$$

and

$$|P|^{2\beta} \left(\frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}\right)^2 \leq C(K) \frac{\text{grad}(P)}{P}^{2(1+\eta)}.$$

These inequalities are used for estimating the last integral while in the end we arrive at the desired inequality by scaling. This inequality allows us to obtain the following inequality incorporating inhomogeneous polynomials:

Proposition. Let $P : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a polynomial function of degree d from the class $\mathcal{H}$. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \setminus V(P))$ then for $\kappa_1(P), \kappa_2(P) > 0$ it is true that:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} P^{-\frac{2}{d}} f^2 v_n \leq \kappa_1(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f^2 v_n + \kappa_2(P) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2) |\text{grad}(f)|^2 v_n.$$

Proof. The proof will be achieved by induction on the the multiplicity $\mu(P) = m$ of the particular stratum Σ_m of the algebraic set $V(P)$. Indeed $d > \mu_p(P)$ strictly everywhere otherwise P is homogeneous. The smooth part of the algebraic set, Σ_1 being clear, we assume that it holds for $< m$ then we proceed to the inductive step for m . Let then P_0 be the initial form of P of $a \in \Sigma_m, x(a) = 0$ and introduce the semialgebraic sets for $\delta > 0$:

$$U_\delta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |P| \geq \delta |P_0|\}, \quad N_\delta = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |P| \leq \delta |P_0|\}$$

Notice that the stratum of multiplicity m , $\Sigma_m = U_\delta \cap N_\delta$. Suppose then that near $a \in \Sigma_m$, for $Q(a) \neq 0$, $P = Q(x)x_1^m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_{m-i}(\tilde{x})x_1^i$, $\tilde{x} = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Let $C_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / P_m(x) + P^2(x) < \varepsilon^{2d}\}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of Σ_m of diameter $\varepsilon^{\frac{d}{m}}$ that we'll choose later on. Then set $C_{1,\varepsilon} = N_\delta \cap C_\varepsilon, C_{2,\varepsilon} = U_\delta \cap C_\varepsilon$. and subsequently the integral is splitted in two parts:

$$\int_{C_\varepsilon} = \int_{C_{1,\varepsilon}} + \int_{C_{2,\varepsilon}}.$$

We 'd like an estimate for

$$I(\varepsilon) = \int_{C_{2,\varepsilon}} P^{-\frac{2}{d}} f^2 v_n.$$

Actually, this will be obtained by removing a θ - neighbourhood of the tangent cone and then let $\theta \rightarrow 0$. In the rest of $C_{2,\varepsilon}$ we apply the inequality for the homogeneous polynomial. For this purpose consider the following sets:

$$D_j := \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / |P| \geq \delta_j |P_0|\} \cap C_{2,\varepsilon}, \quad \delta_j \rightarrow \infty.$$

In every such set there holds $|P(x)| \geq \theta_j^m$ for $\{\theta_j\}$ tending to zero. Also it is true that in $C_{2,\varepsilon}$, $|P| \leq \varepsilon^d$. Therefore in D_j ,

$$|P_0| \leq \varepsilon^d \delta_j^{-1}$$

and hence $\text{diam}(D_j) \sim \delta_j^{-\frac{1}{m}} \varepsilon^{\frac{d}{m}}$ or that $\text{vol}(D) \sim \theta_j^{n-1} \delta_j^{-\frac{1}{m}} \varepsilon^{\frac{d}{m}}$. Therefore we have that for $D = \bigcup_j D_j, \int_D P^{-\frac{2}{d}} f^2 \leq L \|f\|_\infty^2 \sum_{j=0}^\infty \theta_j^{n-1-\frac{2m}{d}} \delta_j^{-\frac{1}{m}}$ and hence

$\delta_j \sim \theta_j^{-1}, \theta_j \sim \theta^{j+1}, \theta < 1$. There remains to study the part of the integral that is localised in the cylindrical part $C_{1,\varepsilon}$; this will be treated as follows. We perform the change of variables in N_δ ,

$$N_\delta \rightarrow (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times (N_\delta \cap h_1) \quad (x_1, \tilde{x}) \mapsto (\xi, \tilde{x})$$

defined by

$$P_1 = (1 - \xi)P_0$$

where $P_1 = P - P_0$. Notice that near $\Sigma_m(P)$, $\mu(P_1) > \mu(P_0)$. In these coordinates we obtain that

$$\int_{C_{1,\varepsilon}} P^{-\frac{2}{d}} f^2 v_n = \int_{N_\delta \cap h_1} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \xi^{\frac{2}{d}} P_0^{-\frac{2}{d}} \frac{1}{|1 - \xi|} \frac{1}{\left| \frac{\partial_1 P_1}{P_1} - \frac{\partial_1 P_0}{P_0} \right|} f^2 d\xi dx_2 \dots dx_n.$$

The denominator of this is expressed in terms of $Q := \frac{P_1}{P_0}$ as $|\frac{\partial_1 Q}{Q}|$ and hence comprises a smooth function in $C_{1,\varepsilon}$. Applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $r_1, \dots, r_4, \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{r_i} = 1$ with suitable choice of the r_i 's we conclude that the integral is of order $O(\delta^\sigma)$ for $\sigma > 0$. In conclusion we select $\theta, \varepsilon, \delta$ conveniently and arrive at the result.

2.4 Example: cubic hypersurfaces.

Here, we treat the inequality for cubic forms $(n+1)$ - variables, defining a conic variety with singular part containing a line through the origin. The case $n = 2$ is treated in [P] with elementary methods.

If we choose the x_1 -axis such that $V(P)$ is singular along it then the form is written as $P(x) = x_1 Q(\tilde{x}) + C(\tilde{x})$ where $\tilde{x} = (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$ and Q, C are quadratic and cubic forms without common factors since otherwise the inequality is fairly easier. The form P defines a cubic hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^n$ with a singularity at the point E_1 with homogeneous coordinates $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ as well as at the points of the variety T where the varieties $V(C), V(Q)$ are tangent or the singular set Σ of $V(C)$ on the hyperplane H_1 . We assume also without loss of generality that $\text{rank}(Q) = n$.

For the sake of simplicity we treat first the smooth part of the hypersurface defined for $\epsilon > 0$ by

$$V_\epsilon(P) = \bigcup_j V_{\epsilon,j}(P), \quad V_{\epsilon,j} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} / |\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j}| > \epsilon r^2\}.$$

Observe that in $V_\epsilon(P)$ it is true that for $c_i > 0$, depending on the values of P on S^n that $c_1 r^2 \leq |\text{grad}(P)| \leq c_2 r^2$, $c_1 = (n+1)\epsilon$ and also that $c_3 r \leq |\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}| \leq c_4 r$. Therefore the integral $I_{1,\epsilon}(P)[f] = I(P)[\chi_{1,\epsilon} f]$ is studied in $V_\epsilon(P)$ by the change of variables for each j by

$$\Psi_j : V_{\epsilon,j} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}, (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{j_1}, \xi, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}), \xi = P(x).$$

Then we calculate $v_{j,n+1} = dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} d\xi dx_{j+1} \dots dx_{n+1}$

$$\int_{V_\epsilon(P)} P^{-\frac{2}{3}} f^2 v_{n+1} = \sum_j \int_{\Psi_j(V_{\epsilon,j})} \xi^{-\frac{2}{3}} \tilde{f}^2 \left| \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} \right| v_{j,n+1} \leq C \int_{V_\epsilon} |\text{grad}(f)|^2 v_{n+1}$$

by applying the preceding inequalities in combination with that of Hardy.

To proceed to $I_{2,\epsilon}(P)[f]$ further we blowup $\sigma_{n+1} : \widehat{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ through the cones $C_j^{n+1}(\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} / x_j^2 \geq \frac{1}{1+\alpha}|x|^2, \alpha > 1\}$. The procedure will incorporate an induction with respect to n . Explicitly we have the formulas:

Let $j \neq 1$ then after restriction to $C_j^{n+1}(\alpha)$, σ_{n+1} maps as

$$x_i = v_i v_j, i \neq j, x_j = v_j, \quad P(\sigma_{n+1}(v)) = x_j^3 \cdot P_j^1, \quad P_j^1 = x_1 Q_j^1(\tilde{v}) + C_j^1(\tilde{v})$$

where $\tilde{v} = (v_2, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_{n+1})$. At the point $a \in T_j$ or $a \in \Sigma_j$ the order $\text{ord}_a(P_j^1) = 2$ and we choose coordinates such that $u(a) = 0$ and setting $\tilde{u} = (u_2, \dots, u_n)$ we assume that $P_j^1(u_1, 0, \dots, 0) \sim u_1^2$ hence

$$P_j^1(u) = (1 + l_j(u))u_1^2 + b_j(\tilde{u})u_1 + R_j(\tilde{u})$$

where $\deg(l_j) = 1, \deg(\mu_j) = 1, \text{ord}_a(l_j) = 0, \text{ord}_a(b_j) = 1$ as well as that R_j is of the same form as P_j^1 but in $(n-1)$ -variables. Then we determine inductively the center $C_{n,j} = \{x \in B_\alpha^n(0) / \text{ord}_x(P_j^1) = 2\}$ of blowups and the number of them that is required for the reduction of the multiplicity. The formulas for the blowups in the j_1 -conical chart, $j_1 \neq 1$ are:

$$u_j = v_j v_{j_1}, j \neq j_1, v_{j_1} = u_{j_1}$$

implying that

$$P_j^1(u) = v_{j_1}^2 P_{jj_1}^2(v), \quad P_{jj_1}^2(v) = (1 + v_{j_1} l_{jj_1}(v))v_1^2 + b_{jj_1}(\tilde{v})v_1 + R_j(\tilde{v}).$$

These blowups are repeated up to the desingularization of $V(R_j)$ and at each step we apply Hardy's inequality for the factor coming out of the blowup; finally

we obtain a smooth chart as precedingly and we apply Hardy's inequality. The $j = 1$ conical chart is carried through analogously.

In conclusion we have that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} P^{-\frac{2}{3}} f^2 v_{n+1} \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} (|\text{grad}(f)|^2 v_{n+1} + \sum_{\ell} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}} |V_{\ell} \Delta(r \partial_r^{\ell} f)|^2) r^{-\frac{\sum_j \Theta_j j}{3}} v_{n+1}$$

and scaling we get the desired result.

3 Small time asymptotics of the trace of the heat kernel for operators $H_{c,\alpha} = -\Delta + c|P|^{-\alpha}$.

Domain of Self-Adjointness. In this section we will be concerned with domain questions about the operator $H_{c,\alpha} = -\Delta + c|P|^{-\alpha}$ for $c \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and P a homogeneous polynomial of degree d . We extend $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ provided that the exponent $\alpha < \frac{n}{d}$.

Furthermore, one can prove employing the Kato-Rellich ([RS]) theorem that the operator $H_{c,\alpha}$ is essentially self adjoint on $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The following estimate is suggested already by the Kato-Rellich theorem:

Proposition 2. *Let $c \geq 0, \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n), \alpha < \frac{n}{d}, \kappa < 1$ then it is true that*

$$\| c|P|^{-\alpha} \phi \|_{L^2} \leq \kappa \| -\Delta \phi \|_{L^2} + \beta \| \phi \|_{L^2}$$

Furthermore the domain closure of the operator $H_{c,\alpha}|(C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for small α consists of $H^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proof. We decompose the integral in two parts, through a suitable partition of unity: one sufficiently close - at distance ϵ - to the algebraic set and one in the complement. Let as before $N_{\epsilon} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / |P(x)| < \epsilon\}$ and $K_{\epsilon} = \mathbf{R}^n \setminus N_{\epsilon}$. Choose $\chi_{1,\epsilon}^2 + \chi_{2,\epsilon}^2 = 1$, $\text{supp} \chi_{1,\epsilon} \subset K_{\epsilon}$ the functions that execute this decomposition and denote $\phi^2 = \phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2 = (\chi_{1,\epsilon} \phi)^2 + (\chi_{2,\epsilon} \phi)^2$. Then for the part localized in $K_{2,\epsilon}$, we use the inequality :

$$|P|^{-\alpha} \leq \varepsilon P^{-2/m} + B_{\varepsilon}$$

Hence, we compute and obtain

$$\|c|P|^{-\alpha}\phi\|_{L^2} = \|c|P|^{-\alpha}\phi_1\|_{L^2} + \|c|P|^{-\alpha}\phi_2\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq M. \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\chi_{1,\epsilon}\|_{L^2} + \nu \|(-\Delta)\phi_2\|_{L^2} + \beta \|\phi\|_{L^2}$$

having applied twice the inequality $P^{-2/m} \leq C(-\Delta)$ for the second term. Finally, we let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and obtain the desired inequality. The Kato - Rellich theorem gives the essential self-adjointness we have been looking for; the operator $H_{c,\alpha}$ is bounded below by $-\beta$.

Further we will examine the closure of the operator, initially considered on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For this we write :

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{c,\alpha}\phi\|_{L^2}^2 &= \|-\Delta\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c|P|^{-\alpha}\phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \\ &(\phi, [(-\Delta) \cdot c|P|^{-\alpha}|P|^{-\alpha} + c|P|^{-\alpha} \cdot (-\Delta)] \cdot \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore combining the obvious inequality for the inner product with the inequality obtained above, we get the desired estimate.

Operator estimates. For the Neumann series we will need the following estimates relative to the resolvent $R_0(\lambda) = (\lambda - (-\Delta))^{-1}$:

Proposition 3. *Let λ be sufficiently large and outside a cone shaped region enclosing the positive real axis then the operator norm is,*

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad & \| |P|^{-\alpha} R_0(\lambda) \|_{L^2} = O(|\lambda|^{-1+\frac{m\alpha}{2}}), \quad \| |P|^{-\alpha} R_0(\lambda) \partial_i \|_{L^2} = \\ & O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{m\alpha}{2}}), \\ 2. \quad & \| [|P|^{-\alpha}, R_0(\lambda)] \|_{L^2} = \\ & O(|\lambda|^{-1+\frac{m\alpha}{2}}), \quad \| [\dots [|P|^{-\alpha}, R_0(\lambda)], \dots], R_0(\lambda) \|_{L^2} = O(|\lambda|^{-k+\frac{m\alpha}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Compute $(|P|^{-\alpha} R_0(\lambda)\phi, |P|^{-\alpha} R_0(\lambda)\phi)$ with $\phi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For this use a suitable partition of unity as in Proposition (2), splitting $\psi = R_0(\lambda)\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as before, far and close to the algebraic set $V(P)$. For the term involving $\psi_1 = \chi_1\psi$ apply Cauchy-Schwarz, while for $\psi_2 = \chi_2\psi$ use the inequality as follows:

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$

with

$$p = \frac{2}{m\alpha}, a = \delta^{\frac{1}{p}} P^{-2\alpha}, b = \delta^{-\frac{1}{p}},$$

and for the two terms we have that

$$\| P^{-\alpha} \psi_2 \|_{L^2} \leq C_\epsilon \| \phi_2 \|$$

and also that

$$\| \psi_2 \|_{L^2} \leq C_\epsilon |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \| \phi_2 \|$$

choosing then $\delta = \delta^{-\frac{\alpha m}{2-\alpha m}} |\lambda|^{-1}$ we get the desired estimate. The other estimates follow in the same fashion as the first one.

The Neumann series We will prove the existence of an asymptotic expansion for a suitable power of the resolvent of $H_{c,\alpha}$ by employing a resolvent expansion for $R_{c,\alpha}^k(\lambda) = (\lambda - H_{c,\alpha})^{-k}$ and proving the existence for each term there. Actually, $R_{c,\alpha}^k(\lambda)$ is represented by an integral kernel since as we intend to show, it is in the Hilbert-Schmidt ideal. The resolvent expansion for $R_{c,\alpha}(\lambda)$ is

$$R_{c,\alpha}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (R_0(\lambda) |P|^{-\alpha})^j \cdot R_0(\lambda) + O(|\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{m\alpha}{2})N-1})$$

the remainder is considered in the L^2 -norm. Use the fact that

$$R_{c,\alpha}^k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{k!} \partial_\lambda^k R_{c,\alpha}(\lambda)$$

Denoting by $\Pi^j(\lambda) = (R_0(\lambda) |P|^{-\alpha})^j$, $I^{j,k} = (\partial_\lambda^k \Pi^j R_0)(\lambda)$, we compute

$$(\partial_\lambda \Pi^j \cdot R_0)(\lambda) = j(\Pi^j \cdot R_0^2)(\lambda) + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (\Pi^i \cdot R_0)(\lambda) \cdot [R_0(\lambda), |P|^{-\alpha}] R_0(\lambda)$$

which suggests inductively that

$$I^{j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\min(j,k)} (\Pi^{j-i} \cdot C_{j,i,k} \cdot R_0^k)(\lambda)$$

where $C_{i,j,k}$ are either repeated commutators or powers of commutators of $R_0(\lambda)$ and $|P|^{-\alpha}$, always bounded operators of L^2 -operator norm of order $O(|\lambda|^{-(k-i+1)+\frac{m\alpha}{2}})$, as one checks using Proposition (3). Recall the estimate from [C1] :

Lemma. Suppose $k > \frac{n(ql-l+2)}{4lq}$, $l \geq 2$, $\chi \in L_0^{2p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then $\chi \cdot R_0(\lambda)$ is in $C_l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}_+$ and

$$\| \chi R_0^k(\lambda) \|_l \leq C_{l,\epsilon} \| \chi \|_{2p} |\lambda|^{-k + \frac{n(ql-l+2)}{4lq}}$$

for $\text{supp} \chi \subset K \subset \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ for all λ in $|Im \lambda| > \epsilon Re \lambda + \epsilon$ for given $\epsilon > 0$.

Under these assumptions we can conclude that $I^{j,k} \in C_l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and furthermore that

$$\| \chi I^{j,k}(\lambda) \|_l \leq C_{l,\epsilon,j} \| \chi \|_{2p} |\lambda|^{-k + \frac{n(ql-l+2)}{4lq} - j(1 - \frac{m\alpha}{2})}.$$

The existence of the Asymptotic Expansion for $tr(\chi R_{c,\alpha}^k(\lambda))$. We conclude this section with the proof of the existence of the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotic expansion of the trace $tr(\chi \cdot R_{c,\alpha}^k(\lambda))$. The latter for k chosen conveniently is a sum of integrals of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{j,k}(\lambda, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) &:= tr(\chi \mathcal{I}^{j,k})(\lambda, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{nj}} \Pi_{i=1}^j (R_0^{k_i}(\lambda)(x_i, x_{i+1})) \cdot \Pi_{i=1}^j |P|^{-\alpha_i}(x_i) \chi(x) \omega_1 \cdots \omega_j \end{aligned}$$

with the convention $x_{j+1} \equiv x_1$, $X = (x_1, \dots, x_j) \in \mathbf{R}^{nj}$. The resolvent is given by

$$R_0(\lambda)(x_1, x_2) = \frac{(\sqrt{-\lambda})^{\frac{n}{2}+2}}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} |x_1 - x_2|^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} K_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{-\lambda} |x_1 - x_2|) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda y - \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{4y}} \frac{dy}{y^{\frac{n}{2}}}.$$

The existence of the asymptotic expansion of the trace $\mathcal{T}^{j,k}(\lambda, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)$ is proved using the Mellin transform suggested by the following classical result - stated in the terminology of [C2]:

Proposition. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $m \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $x^m f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}_+)$. Suppose that the Mellin transform $\hat{f}$ has a meromorphic extension from the half plane $\{z \in \mathbf{C} / \Re z > -m\}$ with poles and multiplicity function S , $d = \deg(S)$ and further that $\lim_{\Im s \rightarrow \infty} (|s|^d \hat{f}(s)) = 0$. Then $f \in \Gamma^\infty(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+})$.

Using the integral representations for the resolvent and changing variables we deduce that the Mellin transform of $\mathcal{T}_{j,k}$ with respect to λ takes the form for

$k = k_1 + \dots + k_j :$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^{j,k}(s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j) = c_{n,j,k}(s) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{nj} \times \overline{\mathbf{R}}_+^j} \mathcal{P}_{(s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)}(x, y) \chi(x, y) dx dy$$

where we have set above

$$c_{n,j,k}(s) := 4^{s - \frac{(2k-n)j}{2} - j} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(-s - \frac{(2k-n)j}{2} - j)$$

$y := (y_1, \dots, y_j)$ and the integrand consists of:

$$\mathcal{P}_{(s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j)}(x, y) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^j P^{\alpha_i}(x_i) (\prod_{i=2}^j y_i^{s-j(k_i - \frac{n}{2})-1} (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(x, y))^{-s + (\frac{n}{2} - k)j+1})}{(1 + y_2 + \dots + y_j)^{-s}}$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} = i^* \mathcal{Q}$ is the restriction of the homogeneous polynomial function $\mathcal{Q} : \mathbf{R}^{nj} \times \mathbf{R}^j \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on the $y_1 = 1$ hyperplane

$$\mathcal{Q}(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^j (y_k + y_{k-1}) \pi_k(y) x_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^j \pi_{(i, i+1)}^j(y) (x_{i-1} y_i + x_{i+1} y_{i-1}) \cdot x_i$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the Euclidean inner product and we have set as well

$$\pi_i^j(y) = y_1 \dots y_{i-1} y_{i+1} \dots y_j, \quad \pi_{(i, i+1)}^j(y) = y_1 \dots y_{i-1} y_{i+2} \dots y_j.$$

The existence of the asymptotic expansion is a direct consequence of the following theorem on the meromorphic continuation of integrals depending on complex parameters, [BG].

Theorem. *Let $P_1, \dots, P_k$ be regular functions on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then the integral*

$$I(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |P_1|^{\lambda_1} \dots |P_k|^{\lambda_k} \phi \omega_n$$

can be continued as a meromorphic function on the whole space of the complex variables $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$; at the same time the poles can be situated on a finite number of series of hyperplanes of the form $a_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + a_k \lambda_k + b + s = 0$ where $a_1, \dots, a_k, b$ are fixed nonnegative integers and s runs through all the odd natural numbers.

References

- [A] Atiyah M. F., *Resolution of singularities and division of distributions*, CPAM, **2**, (1970), 229-234.
- [BG] Bernshtein I. N., Gelfand S. I. *On the meromorphic property of the functions P^λ* , Funct. Anal. Applic., **3**, (1969), 68-69.
- [BM1] Bierstone E., Milman P. *Semianalytic and Subanalytic Sets*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **2**, (1988), 45-98.
- [BM2] Bierstone E., Milman P. *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Preprint (1995), Inv. Math., **128**, (1997), 207-303.
- [BoM] Bos L. P., Milman P. *Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg and Markov Type Inequalities on Subanalytic Domains*, GAFA, **2**, (1995), 225-309.
- [C1] Callias C. J., *Schrödinger operators with isolated singularities in the coefficients*, Columbia Preprint, (1984).
- [C2] Callias C. J., *The heat kernel as a function of the coefficients of the potential*, CWRU Preprint.
- [C3] Callias C. J., *On the existence of small time heat expansions*, MRL, **2**, (1995), 1-17.
- [CT] Callias C. J.- Taubes C. H., *Functional Determinants in Euclidean Yang-Mills Theory*, Comm. Math. Phys, **77**, (1980), 229-250.
- [G] Gromov M., *Spectral geometry of semialgebraic sets*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **42** (1992), fasc. 1-2, 249-274.
- [H] Hörmander L., *The analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer Verlag, GMW 256, 1990.
- [M] Melrose R. *Pseudodifferential operators, Singular limits and Corners*, Proc. ICM Kyoto, (1990), Springer Verlag.
- [P] Papadopoulos S., *Notes On A Generalized Hardy Inequality*, Manuscript, Univ. of Crete, (1992).

- [PD] Pliakis D. A., Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of Euler-Lagrange and Schrödinger's equations near their singular points, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Crete, February 2000
- [RS] Reed M., Simon B., Modern Math. Physics, vol. II, Self Adjointness, Academic Press, 1975.

Author's address: Geometric Analysis Group, Math. Institute, Humboldt Universität, Berlin, D-10099,
email:pliakis@mathematik.hu-berlin.de