

An $SO(3)$ -monopole cobordism formula relating Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants

Paul M. N. Feehan

Thomas G. Lenes

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS, THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-8019

E-mail address: feehan@math.rutgers.edu

URL: math.rutgers.edu/~feehan

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, MIAMI, FL 33199

E-mail address: lenesst@fiu.edu

URL: fiu.edu/~lenesst

ABSTRACT. We prove an analogue of the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture in the context of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ monopoles, obtaining a formula relating the Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants of smooth four-manifolds using the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -monopole cobordism. The main technical difficulty in the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -monopole program relating the Seiberg-Witten and Donaldson invariants has been to compute intersection pairings on links of strata of reducible $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ monopoles, namely the moduli spaces of Seiberg-Witten monopoles lying in lower-level strata of the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ monopoles [17]. In this monograph, we prove — modulo a gluing theorem which is an extension of our earlier work in [14] — that these intersection pairings can be expressed in terms of topological data and Seiberg-Witten invariants of the four-manifold. This conclusion is analogous to the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture [34] concerning the wall-crossing formula for Donaldson invariants of a four-manifold with $b_2^+ = 1$; that wall-crossing formula and the resulting structure of Donaldson invariants for four-manifolds with $b_2^+ = 1$ were established, assuming the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture, by Göttsche [25] and Göttsche and Zagier [29]. In this monograph, we reduce the proof of the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture to an extension of previously established gluing theorems for anti-self-dual $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ connections (see [13] and references therein). Since the first version of our monograph was circulated, applications of our results have appeared in the proof of Property P for knots by Kronheimer and Mrowka [36] and work of Sivek on Donaldson invariants for symplectic four-manifolds [61]. An alternative approach to Witten’s conjecture, inspired by results in physics, has been pursued by Göttsche, Nakajima, and Yoshioka [26, 27, 28], based in part on work of Mochizuki [46].

Contents

Introduction	vii
0.1. Outline of the argument	ix
0.2. The Kotschick-Morgan conjecture	xv
0.3. Other approaches	xvi
0.4. Outline of the paper	xvii
Acknowledgments	xvii
Chapter 1. Preliminaries	1
1.1. The moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles	1
1.2. Stratum of anti-self-dual or zero-section solutions	4
1.3. Strata of Seiberg-Witten or reducible solutions	4
1.4. Cohomology classes on the moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles	9
1.5. Donaldson invariants	10
1.6. Links and the cobordism	11
Chapter 2. Diagonals	13
2.1. Definitions	13
2.2. Incidence relations	15
2.3. Normal bundles	16
2.4. Enumerating strata	17
Chapter 3. The Thom-Mather structure of the symmetric product	19
3.1. Diagonals in \mathbb{R}^4	20
3.2. Families of metrics	23
3.3. Overlap maps	25
3.4. Constructing the families of flattened metrics	28
3.5. Normal bundles of strata of $Sym^\ell(X)$	30
3.6. The tubular distance function	32
3.7. Decomposing the strata	34
Chapter 4. The instanton moduli space with spliced ends	39
4.1. The space of connections	41
4.2. The trivial strata	42
4.3. Splicing to the trivial on \mathbb{R}^4	44
4.4. Composing splicing maps	47
4.5. The spliced end	53
4.6. Tubular neighborhoods of the spliced-ends moduli space	60

4.7.	The isotopy of the spliced end	61
4.8.	Properties of spliced-ends moduli space	62
Chapter 5.	The space of global splicing data	63
5.1.	The splicing data	63
5.2.	Flattening pairs	66
5.3.	The crude splicing map	69
5.4.	The overlap spaces and maps	72
5.5.	Constructing the space of global splicing data	77
5.6.	Thom-Mather structures on the space of global splicing data	79
5.7.	The global splicing map	84
5.8.	Projection to $Sym^\ell(X)$	86
Chapter 6.	The obstruction bundle	87
6.1.	The infinite-dimensional obstruction pseudo-bundle	89
6.2.	The background obstruction	89
6.3.	The equivariant Dirac index bundle	91
6.4.	The action of $Spin^u(4)$	92
6.5.	The spliced-ends pseudo-bundle on S^4	92
6.6.	The instanton obstruction pseudo-bundle	97
6.7.	The gluing theorem	101
Chapter 7.	The link	103
7.1.	Defining the link	103
7.2.	The fiber bundle structure	107
7.3.	Boundary of link components	108
Chapter 8.	Cohomology and duality	113
8.1.	Definitions	114
8.2.	The fundamental class of the ambient link	119
8.3.	Computing the μ -classes	120
8.4.	The relative Euler class of the obstruction bundle	126
8.5.	Duality and the link	135
8.6.	Reducing to a submanifold	140
Chapter 9.	The computation	145
9.1.	The quotient space	147
9.2.	Homology and cohomology classes of the quotient	156
9.3.	Fiber bundles and pushforwards	157
9.4.	Computations	160
9.5.	Proofs of the main theorems	162
Chapter 10.	The Kotschick-Morgan conjecture	167
10.1.	Cobordisms and reducible connections	167
10.2.	Cohomology classes on the cobordism	168
10.3.	Neighborhoods of reducibles	169
10.4.	The space of global splicing data	170

CONTENTS

v

10.5. Cohomology classes on the global splicing data space	172
10.6. Defining the link	173
10.7. Computations	173
INDEX OF NOTATION	175
Bibliography	179

Introduction

In [69, 60], Seiberg and Witten defined the Seiberg-Witten invariants of smooth four-manifolds and stated a conjecture based on arguments from quantum field theory relating the Seiberg-Witten and Donaldson invariants. Witten and Moore extended this conjecture to a formula for four-manifolds with $b^1(X) > 0$ in [47]. All computed examples of Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants agree with these conjectures. However, these conjectures were based on mathematically non-rigorous quantum field theory arguments and thus the need for a mathematical explanation remained.

In [55], V. Pidstrigach and A. Tyurin outlined the $SO(3)$ monopole program with the goal of giving a mathematically rigorous proof of Witten's conjecture by using the moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles. With the basics of the $SO(3)$ monopole program established in [17, 18, 19, 10, 66], it soon became clear that the $SO(3)$ monopole program faced a large hurdle: computing intersection numbers of links of some singularities in the lower strata of the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles. This problem resembles the conjecture of Kotschick-Morgan [34] concerning wall-crossing formulas for Donaldson invariants on four-manifolds with $b^+(X) = 1$ although it presents additional difficulties.

In this monograph, we complete the topological side of the computation of these intersection numbers in that we reduce the computation to a gluing theorem which extends that of [14], stated here as Theorem 6.7.1. Assuming Theorem 6.7.1, we prove the following result.

THEOREM 0.0.1. *Let X be a closed, connected, oriented smooth four-manifold with $b_1(X) = 0$, odd $b_2^+(X) > 1$, Euler characteristic χ , and signature σ . Let $\Lambda, w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ obey $w - \Lambda \equiv w_2(X) \pmod{2}$. Let δ, m be non-negative integers for which $m \leq [\delta/2]$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function, and $\delta \equiv -w^2 - \frac{3}{4}(\chi + \sigma) \pmod{4}$, with Λ and δ obeying $\delta < i(\Lambda)$, where $i(\Lambda) = \Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4}(3\chi + 7\sigma)$. Then for any $h \in H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$ and generator $x \in H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$, we have the following expression for the Donaldson invariant:*

$$(0.0.1) \quad D_X^w(h^{\delta-2m}x^m) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)} (-1)^{\frac{1}{4}(w-\Lambda+c_1(\mathfrak{s}))^2} SW_X(\mathfrak{s}) \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{\min(\ell, [\delta/2]-m)} (p_{\delta, \ell, m, i}(c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \Lambda) Q_X^i)(h),$$

where Q_X is the intersection form on $H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$, $\ell = \frac{1}{4}(\delta + (c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - \Lambda)^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\chi + \sigma))$ and $p_{\delta, \ell, m, i}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a degree $\delta - 2m - 2i$ homogeneous polynomial with coefficients which are universal functions of $\chi, \sigma, c_1(\mathfrak{s})^2, \Lambda^2, c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot \Lambda, \delta, m$, and ℓ .

A number of other prominent results follow from the work of this monograph. Foremost among these is the use of Theorem 0.0.1 in Kronheimer and Mrowka's proof of Property P in [36]. Recall that Property P is the statement that +1-surgery on a non-trivial knot K in S^3 yields a manifold which is not a homotopy sphere. In [36, Theorem 6], Kronheimer and Mrowka use Theorem 0.0.1 to prove that Witten's conjecture holds for a large family of manifolds¹. They then argue that a counterexample to Property P would allow them to construct a four-manifold with non-trivial Seiberg-Witten invariants but trivial Donaldson invariants. As such a four-manifold would contradict Theorem 0.0.1, there can be no counterexample to Property P.

Another result following from the work of this monograph is the multiplicity conjecture, [12, Conjecture 3.1], stated here as Theorem 9.0.6. In collaboration with Kronheimer and Mrowka, we showed in [12] that this conjecture led to a proof of the ideas of Mariño, Moore, and Peradze from [43, 44] in which they conjectured a lower bound on the multiplicity of the vanishing of the Seiberg-Witten series at zero in terms of $c(X) = -\frac{1}{4}(7\chi + 11\sigma)$ and from this bound derived a lower bound on the number of basic classes of a four-manifold also in terms of $c(X)$.

Finally, Kotschick and Morgan's conjecture on wall-crossing formulas for Donaldson invariants of manifolds with $b^+ = 1$, [34], also follows from the work in this monograph as described in §0.2.

The conjecture Witten made on the relation between the Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariant is summarized in the following. The definitions of the terms KM basic class, KM simple type, and the Donaldson series appear in §1.5 while the definitions of SW basic class and SW simple type appear in §1.3.2.

CONJECTURE 0.0.2. Let X be a smooth, closed, oriented four-manifold with $b^1(X) = 0$, $b^+(X) > 1$ and odd. Assume that X has SW simple type. Then X has KM simple type, the KM basic classes are the SW basic classes, and the Donaldson series of X is given by:

$$(0.0.2) \quad \mathbf{D}_X^w(h) = 2^{2-c(X)} e^{Q_X(h)/2} \sum_{\mathfrak{s}} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(w^2 + w \cdot c_1(\mathfrak{s}))} SW(\mathfrak{s}) e^{\langle c_1(\mathfrak{s}), h \rangle},$$

where $c(X) = -\frac{1}{4}(7\chi + 11\sigma)$.

Witten's conjecture does not follow immediately from Theorem 0.0.1. It may be said that Conjecture 0.0.2 bears the same relation to Theorem 0.0.1 as a complete understanding of the wall-crossing formulas for Donaldson invariants of four-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$ did to the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture. The missing link between Theorem 0.0.1 and a full proof of Conjecture 0.0.2 would be analogous to Göttsche's work in [25]. However, Conjecture 0.0.2 does follow for a large class of four-manifolds as proven in [36, Corollary 7].

Extensive summaries of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -monopole program have appeared in [16, 21, 22]. We now give a brief summary of these ideas. Recall that a spin^c structure on X is determined by a complex, rank four vector bundle $W \rightarrow X$ and a Clifford multiplication map $\rho :$

¹There is a slight difference between Theorem 0.0.1 as presented here and the version used in [36]. Specifically, the bound on the degree of the Donaldson invariant used in [36, Theorem 6] uses $i(\Lambda) = -\frac{1}{4}(\chi + \sigma)$ instead of the correct value of $i(\Lambda) = -\frac{1}{4}(3\chi + 7\sigma)$. We believe that this difference is due to a typographical error in an earlier draft of this monograph. The version given here is correct, but the error in no way effects any of the results of [36].

$T^*X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W)$. A spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} on X , as defined in [18] or §1.1.1 here, is given by (ρ_V, V) where for (ρ, W) a spin^c structure and $E \rightarrow X$ a complex rank two vector bundle, $V = W \otimes E$ and $\rho_V = \rho \otimes \text{id}_E$.

An $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole for a spin^u structure $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho_V, W \otimes E)$ is a pair (A, Φ) , where A is a connection on E and Φ a section of $V^+ = W^+ \otimes E$, satisfying equations which can be thought of as a higher rank version of the Seiberg-Witten equations. The moduli space, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, is the space of $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles modulo gauge equivalence. For generic perturbations, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is a smooth manifold away from two types of singular subspaces which are also identified as fixed points of an S^1 action on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$. The first type of singular subspace was identified with the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections [18, Equation (3.5)]. The second type of singular subspace, that of reducible $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles, was identified in [18, Lemma 3.13] with the Seiberg-Witten moduli space $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ where the spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} admits a splitting $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$. The $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole program aims to use $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ as a cobordism between the links of these singularities. Because $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is not in general compact, this cobordism does not provide any useful homological information.

The space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ admits an Uhlenbeck compactification $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ which is contained in the space

$$\cup_{\ell=0}^N \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X),$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ is a moduli space of $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles for a “lower charge” spin^u structure $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ and $\text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ is the ℓ -th symmetric product of X . The space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ provides a compact and oriented cobordism between a link of the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections and links, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$, of subspaces of reducible $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles. However, additional reducible $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles, in the form of subspaces,

$$(0.0.3) \quad M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X),$$

appear in the lower levels of the Uhlenbeck compactification. The intersection number of certain geometric representatives of cohomology classes with the link of the anti-self-dual connections yields a multiple of the Donaldson invariant. The main technical result of this paper, Theorem 9.0.5, is a formula for the intersection number of these geometric representatives with the link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ of the subspace (0.0.3) of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$. This formula gives the intersection number in terms of universal functions which, while they are not explicitly known, are independent of the manifold X . The cobordism provided by $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ then yields the equality appearing in equation (0.0.1) between the aforementioned multiple of the Donaldson invariant and the sum, over spin^c structures \mathfrak{s} , of these intersection numbers.

We note that a statement similar to Theorem 9.0.5 can also be proved by the methods of this article, without the assumptions that $b_1(X) = 0$ or $z = h^{\delta-2m}x^m$, but the resulting expression becomes considerably more complicated.

0.1. Outline of the argument

As described above, the problem we address in this monograph is the computation of the intersection numbers:

$$(0.1.1) \quad \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\eta} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}})$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and \bar{W} are the geometric representatives mentioned above and $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the link, in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ of the Seiberg-Witten singularities appearing in (0.0.3). A summary of our approach to this computation has appeared in [22]. We give a short sketch of our method here.

Computations of the intersection number (0.1.1) when $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ appeared in [19] and computations of (0.1.1) for singularities of the form $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X$ appeared in [20]. It should be possible to adapt the techniques of [38] to compute the intersection number (0.1.1) for singularities of the form $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^2(X)$.

The gluing theorems of [14] define maps, the gluing maps, which parameterize neighborhoods of the strata $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma \subset M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ where Σ is a stratum of the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$. A gluing map is the composition of a splicing map and a gluing deformation of the image of the splicing map. The splicing map is defined, roughly, by piecing together solutions of the $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole equations on X and on S^4 using cut-off functions. Let $[A_0, \Phi_0] \in M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ be an $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole on X and let $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma$ be given by points $x_i \in X$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We refer to $[A_0, \Phi_0]$ as the *background pair* and x_i as the *splicing points*. Let $(A_i, 0)$ be $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles on S^4 —such solutions are given by an anti-self-dual connection on an $\text{SU}(2)$ -bundle over S^4 and the zero section. Then, using local trivializations of the bundle supporting the pair (A_0, Φ_0) near the points x_i and cut-off functions, one can piece together the $\text{SO}(3)$ -monopoles (A_0, Φ_0) and $(A_i, 0)$ to form a pair (A', Φ') which equals (A_0, Φ_0) away from the points x_i and $(A_i, 0)$ near x_i . We denote this pair schematically by

$$(0.1.2) \quad (A', \Phi') = (A_0, \Phi_0) \#_{x_1} (A_1, 0) \#_{x_2} (A_2, 0) \# \dots \#_{x_r} (A_r, 0).$$

This construction of the pair (A', Φ') is called a splicing map. Roughly speaking, the domain of this splicing map is then given by a fiber bundle,

$$(0.1.3) \quad \text{Gl}(\Sigma) = \text{Fr}(\Sigma) \times_{G(\Sigma)} M(\Sigma) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma$$

with a well-understood principal bundle $\text{Fr}(\Sigma)$ and structure group $G(\Sigma)$. The fiber, $M(\Sigma)$, is a product of moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections on S^4 . In the description of (A', Φ') above, $[A_0, \Phi_0]$ and \mathbf{x} lie in the base of the bundle (0.1.3) and the S^4 solutions, $[A_i, 0]$, lie in the fiber $M(\Sigma)$. The bundle $\text{Fr}(\Sigma)$ arises from the trivializations of the bundles used in the construction of (A', Φ') .

The pair (A', Φ') is only approximately a solution of the $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole equations. There is a section, χ_{Σ} , of a pseudo-bundle, $\Upsilon_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ with fiber a finite-rank vector space, such that the gluing deformation is defined by

$$(A', \Phi') \mapsto (A', \Phi') + (a, \phi),$$

where $(a, \phi) = \wp(A', \Phi')$ is the solution to a system of partial differential equations given, for \mathfrak{S} the map defined by the $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole equations, by

$$\mathfrak{S}((A', \Phi') + \wp(A', \Phi')) \in \Upsilon|_{(A', \Phi')},$$

The obstruction section is defined by $\chi_{\Sigma}(A', \Phi') = \mathfrak{S}((A', \Phi') + \wp(A', \Phi'))$ and thus the gluing deformation maps the zero locus of the obstruction section, $\chi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(0)$, to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

There are two main sources of difficulty in using the parametrization of a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma$ provided by the gluing map in computing the intersection pairings (0.1.1) of singularities of the form (0.0.3) when $\ell > 1$. The first difficulty is the presence of higher charge moduli spaces of anti-self-dual connections on S^4 in the fiber $M(\Sigma)$. It is an interesting question to see if the work of [50, 51, 40] can be adapted to give the needed information

on the $G(\Sigma)$ -equivariant cohomology ring of $M(\Sigma)$, but one which is beyond the scope of this monograph. Such computations are carried out for the case $\ell = 2$ in [38]. We do not address this problem here. Instead, we use a pushforward-pullback argument (see §9.4) to isolate the topology of these fibers into universal polynomials of the type appearing in (0.0.1). The second difficulty, which we do address here, is the “overlap problem” arising from the presence of more than one stratum $\Sigma \subset \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and the resulting need for more than one gluing map to parameterize a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

0.1.1. The problem of overlaps. When $\ell \geq 2$, the singular subspace $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ has more than one stratum. Hence, to compute the intersection pairing (0.1.1) when $\ell \geq 2$, we must understand the overlap of the images of the gluing maps with domains given by the fiber bundles $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ and $\text{Gl}(\Sigma')$ of (0.1.3) for different strata Σ and Σ' . Merely adding up the intersection numbers in the open sets parameterized by each gluing map could yield the wrong answer because these open sets overlap and we might be counting each intersection point more than once. Examples of this type of problem have appeared in [53, 37, 38, 68].

Our approach is to describe the overlaps of the images of the gluing maps by defining *crude splicing maps*. Because we can write the splicing map down explicitly while the gluing perturbation is defined by the implicit function theorem, it is easier to compare two splicing maps than to compare two gluing maps. Because the $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole gluing maps defined in [14] only identify the zero locus of the obstruction section in $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ with an open subspace of \mathcal{M}_t , the intersection of the images of $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ and $\text{Gl}(\Sigma')$ under the gluing map could be quite complicated and need not be given by an open subspace of $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ or $\text{Gl}(\Sigma')$ and need not share any of the fiber bundle properties which we wish to use in our computation. Instead, using a deformation of the splicing map and of the fiber of the bundle $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ to construct the crude splicing map, we are able to ensure that the overlap of the images of two splicing maps is a subbundle of each image.

Given two strata Σ and Σ' of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, with $\Sigma \subset \text{cl } \Sigma'$, and crude splicing maps

$$\gamma''_{\Sigma'} : \text{Gl}(\Sigma') \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_t, \quad \gamma''_{\Sigma} : \text{Gl}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_t,$$

we control the overlap of their images by defining a space of ‘overlap data’, $\text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$, and maps

$$\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u : \text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow \text{Gl}(\Sigma'), \quad \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d : \text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow \text{Gl}(\Sigma),$$

such that the diagram

$$(0.1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma') & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u} & \text{Gl}(\Sigma') \\ \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d \downarrow & & \gamma''_{\Sigma'} \downarrow \\ \text{Gl}(\Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\gamma''_{\Sigma}} & \bar{\mathcal{C}}_t \end{array}$$

commutes and such that

$$\text{Im}(\gamma''_{\Sigma'}) \cap \text{Im}(\gamma''_{\Sigma}) = \text{Im}(\gamma''_{\Sigma'} \circ \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u) = \text{Im}(\gamma''_{\Sigma} \circ \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d).$$

Moreover, the upwards and downwards overlap maps, $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u$ and $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$, are both fiber bundle maps. The diagram (0.1.4) is then used to define the space of *global splicing data* as a pushout of the spaces of local splicing data $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$. Once this is accomplished, the rest of the computation of the intersection number (0.1.1) is largely a formal accounting of choices

of cohomology classes with compact support and a use of the familiar pullback-pushforward technique.

0.1.2. The overlap space and maps. We now sketch the construction of the overlap space $\text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ and overlap maps $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u$ and $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$. First, we note that the overlap of the images will be empty unless $\Sigma \subset \text{cl } \Sigma'$ or $\Sigma' \subset \text{cl } \Sigma$. We will assume that the first case holds and will refer to Σ as the *lower* stratum.

There is an open neighborhood $\nu(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ of Σ in Σ' which can be thought of as the normal bundle of Σ in Σ' . We then define the overlap data space, $\text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$ to be the restriction of the bundle $\text{Gl}(\Sigma')$ from (0.1.3) to

$$M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \nu(\Sigma, \Sigma') \subset M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma'.$$

The map $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u$ is the inclusion of the bundles. The definition of $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ will require a redefinition of the fibers $M(\Sigma)$.

The overlap map $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ will map the fiber of the composition

$$(0.1.5) \quad \text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \nu(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma,$$

to the fiber of the projection $\text{Gl}(\Sigma) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma$ from (0.1.3). The fiber of the map $\nu(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow \Sigma$ is, up to a local trivialization, a collection of points in \mathbb{R}^4 . As an example, the fiber of the normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X^2$ is, after specifying a trivialization of the tangent bundle of X at the point given by the point in Δ , a pair of points in \mathbb{R}^4 with a mass-centering condition. The fiber of the composition (0.1.5) is then given by $M(\Sigma')$ and a collection of points in \mathbb{R}^4 . Thus, the map $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ must take the points in \mathbb{R}^4 and the connections in $M(\Sigma')$ and map them to elements of $M(\Sigma)$.

The strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ are in bijective correspondence with partitions of ℓ . A stratum Σ is lower than a stratum Σ' if and only if the partition determining Σ' is a refinement of the partition determining Σ . By refinement, we mean that if Σ is given by the partition $\ell = \kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_s$, then Σ' is given by a partition $\sum_{i,j} \kappa_{i,j}$ where $\kappa_i = \sum_j \kappa_{i,j}$. If $\Sigma \subset \text{cl } \Sigma'$ are given by the partitions above then a point in the fiber of $\nu(\Sigma, \Sigma') \rightarrow \Sigma$ over $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma$ consists of clusters of points $y_{i,j} \in X$ near each $x_i \in X$ making up \mathbf{x} . The points $y_{i,j}$ correspond to the weights $\kappa_{i,j}$ making up the partition $\sum_j \kappa_{i,j}$ of κ_i . With a trivialization of the tangent bundle at each x_i , the points $y_{i,j}$ can be considered as points in \mathbb{R}^4 . Then, the data in the fiber of (0.1.5), points in \mathbb{R}^4 and connections in $M(\Sigma')$, is exactly the domain of a gluing map parameterizing a neighborhood of the points

$$(0.1.6) \quad [\Theta] \times \Sigma(\kappa_{i,j})$$

where Θ is the trivial connection and for c the cone point, $\Sigma(\kappa_{i,j}) \subset \text{Sym}^{\kappa_i}(\mathbb{R}^4) - c$ in the Uhlenbeck compactification of a moduli space of framed, mass-centered, anti-self-dual connections on S^4 . Thus, the natural definition to use for $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ would be to use this gluing map to turn the data in the fiber of (0.1.5) into an element of $M(\Sigma)$. However, the above definition will not make the diagram (0.1.4) commute.

0.1.3. The associativity of splicing maps. The commutativity of the diagram (0.1.4) can be understood as an associativity property of the splicing construction as follows.

Let Σ and Σ' be two strata of $\text{Sym}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Strata of such a symmetric product are specified by partitions of κ . If Σ is the lower stratum, that is, $\Sigma \subset \text{cl } \Sigma'$, then the partition

defining Σ' is a refinement of the partition $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_s$ defining Σ in the sense that $\kappa_i = \kappa_{i,1} + \cdots + \kappa_{i,r_i}$ where Σ' is given by the partition $\kappa = \sum_{i,j} \kappa_{i,j}$.

Let (A_0, Φ_0) be the background pair and $A_{i,j}$ the connections on S^4 given by the point in the fiber $M(\Sigma')$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma$ be given by x_1, \dots, x_r . Let $\mathbf{z} \in \nu(\Sigma, \Sigma') \subset \Sigma'$ lying over \mathbf{x} be given by points $z_{i,j} \in X$ corresponding to points $y_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^4$. Let Θ be the trivial connection on S^4 and identify the points $y_{i,j}$ with points in S^4 by stereographic projection. We define connections with charge κ_i on S^4 by applying the splicing construction of (0.1.2) just to the connection:

$$(0.1.7) \quad A'_i = \Theta \#_{y_{i,1}} A_{i,1} \#_{y_{i,2}} A_{i,2} \# \cdots \#_{y_{i,r_i}} A_{i,r_i}.$$

If we consider the splicing construction as a kind of binary operation and the pair $(\Theta, 0)$ as a unit for this binary operation, then the following can be seen as an associativity equality.

$$(0.1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (A_0, \Phi_0) \#_{x_1} (A'_1, 0) \#_{x_2} (A'_2, 0) \# \cdots \#_{x_r} (A'_r, 0) \\ &= (A_0, \Phi_0) \#_{z_{1,1}} (A_{1,1}, 0) \# \cdots \#_{z_{1,r_1}} (A_{1,r_1}, 0) \# \cdots \#_{z_{s,1}} A_{s,1} \# \cdots \#_{z_{s,r_s}} (A_{s,r_s}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

We wish to define the map $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ in the diagram (0.1.4) by the construction of the connections A'_i . Then, we can see that the diagram (0.1.4) will commute if the equality (0.1.8) holds as follows. The composition $\gamma''_{\Sigma'} \circ \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u$ corresponds to the right-hand-side of the equality (0.1.8) and is equal to splicing the pairs at the separated points given by a point in $\nu(\Sigma, \Sigma')$. The composition $\gamma''_{\Sigma} \circ \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ corresponds to the left-hand-side of the equality (0.1.8) and is equal to the composition of two splicing maps.

Two problems with this goal appear immediately. First, the connections A'_i are not anti-self-dual and thus do not define an element of $M(\Sigma)$. Second, the equality (0.1.8) does not hold in general. In the following, we describe how we correct these problems.

0.1.4. The spliced-ends moduli space. To overcome the first difficulty mentioned above, we change the fiber $M(\Sigma)$ as follows. For $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^s(S^4)$ the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of charge κ , mass-centered, anti-self-dual, framed $SU(2)$ connections on S^4 ,

$$M(\Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^s(S^4)$$

will be redefined by replacing $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^s(S^4)$ in the above product with the *spliced-ends* moduli space $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^s$.

Recall that for each stratum $\Sigma(\kappa_{i,j}) \subset \text{Sym}^{\kappa_i}(\mathbb{R}^4) - c$, there is a gluing map,

$$\prod_j \bar{M}_{\kappa_{i,j}}^s(S^4) \times \Sigma(\kappa_{i,j}) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^s(S^4)$$

parameterizing a neighborhood of the stratum (0.1.6) in $\bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^s(S^4)$. We define the spliced-end moduli space, $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa_i}^s$, using induction on κ_i by replacing the image of the above gluing map by the image of the corresponding splicing map with domain,

$$\prod_j \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa_{i,j}}^s(S^4) \times \Sigma(\kappa_{i,j}) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa_i}^s.$$

A key step in this construction is the observation that, when the background connection is trivial and the metric is flat, the analogue of the associative equality (0.1.8) for splicing connections holds. By induction, the ends of the spaces $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa_i, j}^s$ are already given by the image of a splicing map and thus the image of these ends under the splicing map is exactly the kind of iterated splicing map appearing on the left-hand-side of (0.1.8). Hence, the overlap of splicing maps for different strata in $\text{Sym}^{\kappa_i}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ can be controlled by a pushout diagram of the form (0.1.4). Thus, the union of the images of the splicing maps forms a smoothly stratified neighborhood, $W(\kappa_i)$, of $\{\Theta\} \times \text{Sym}^{\kappa_i}(\mathbb{R}^4) - c$. Because the gluing deformation is a deformation, one can leave a smaller neighborhood $W_1(\kappa_i) \Subset W_2(\kappa_i) \Subset W(\kappa_i)$ unchanged and isotope $W(\kappa_i) - W_1(\kappa_i)$ through the gluing deformation so that $W(\kappa_i) - W_2(\kappa_i) \subset \bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^s(S^4)$.

Redefining $M(\Sigma)$ with the above construction of the spliced-end moduli space allows us to define the map $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d$ using a splicing map.

0.1.5. The space of global splicing data. With the redefinition of $M(\Sigma)$ described above, the only remaining problem in making the diagram (0.1.4) commute is the failure of the equality (0.1.8) when the metric on X and the background connection A_0 are not flat.

These problems can be overcome by doing the splicing with respect to a deformation of the metric and background connection which are flat near the splicing points. Because the metric and connection A_0 are not globally flat, this deformation will vary with the splicing point. This defines the crude splicing maps γ''_{Σ} appearing in (0.1.4).

The space of global splicing data, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$, is the pushout of the spaces $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ by the diagram (0.1.4). That is, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$ is the union of the spaces $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ subject to the relation that for $\mathbf{A} \in \text{Gl}(\Sigma, \Sigma')$, $\rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^d(\mathbf{A}) = \rho_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}^u(\mathbf{A})$.

0.1.6. Defining the link. The commutativity of the diagram (0.1.4) allows us to define a space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$ as the union of the images of the crude splicing maps discussed above. This space is then the union of the cone bundle neighborhoods defined by the domains (0.1.3) of the crude splicing maps. These local cone bundle structures allow us to define a subspace $\mathbf{L}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ as the union of pieces $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \subset \text{Gl}(\Sigma)/S^1$ where each piece $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$ is a codimension-one subbundle of the restriction of $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)/S^1$ to a compact subspace $K_{\Sigma} \Subset \Sigma$:

$$(0.1.9) \quad \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) = \text{Fr}(\Sigma)|_{M_s \times K_{\Sigma}} \times_{G(\Sigma) \times S^1} \partial M(\Sigma)$$

where $\partial M(\Sigma) \subset M(\Sigma)$ is a codimension-one space. The link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t, s}$ will be defined, roughly, as the intersection of $\mathbf{L}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$ with the zero-locus of a section of a vector bundle, referred to as the obstruction bundle, over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$. The intersections, $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Sigma')$, will have codimension-one in $\mathbf{L}_{t, s}^{\text{vir}}$ and can be described as

$$(0.1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Sigma') &= \text{Fr}(\Sigma')|_{M_s \times \partial_{\Sigma} K_{\Sigma'}} \times_{G(\Sigma') \times S^1} \partial M(\Sigma') \\ &= \text{Fr}(\Sigma)|_{K_{\Sigma}} \times_{G(\Sigma) \times S^1} \partial_{\Sigma'} \partial M(\Sigma) \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{\Sigma} K_{\Sigma'} \subset K_{\Sigma'}$ is a codimension-one boundary admitting a fibration $\partial_{\Sigma} K_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow K_{\Sigma}$ and $\partial_{\Sigma'} \partial M(\Sigma) \subset \partial M(\Sigma)$ is a codimension-one subset.

0.1.7. The computation. Duality arguments allow us to convert the intersection number (0.1.1) into a pairing of cohomology classes, which we write schematically as:

$$\langle \bar{\mu} \smile e, [\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is a cohomology class to which the geometric representatives are dual and e denotes an Euler class of the obstruction bundle. We observe that the cohomology classes $\bar{\mu}$ and e are generated by cohomology classes pulled back from $M_s \times K_\Sigma$ and by the first Chern class of the S^1 action. We wish to write this pairing as a sum,

$$\sum_i \langle \bar{\mu} \smile e, [\mathbf{L}(\Sigma_i)] \rangle$$

over the pieces $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma_i) \subset \mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ and apply a pushforward-pullback argument to the diagrams

$$(0.1.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) = \text{Fr}(\Sigma) \times_{G(\Sigma) \times S^1} \partial M(\Sigma) & \longrightarrow & \text{EG}(\Sigma) \times_{G(\Sigma) \times S^1} \partial M(\Sigma) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_s \times K_\Sigma & \longrightarrow & \text{BG}(\Sigma) \end{array}$$

To do so, we must select representatives of the cohomology class $\bar{\mu} \smile e$ with compact support away from the boundaries of $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$ which are given by $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Sigma')$. We specify such a choice in a manner similar to that introduced in [53]. We define quotients $q_\Sigma : \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma)$ with the following properties:

- (1) The map $q_\Sigma : \mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma)$ is injective on the interior of $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$,
- (2) The restrictions of q_Σ and $q_{\Sigma'}$ to the intersection $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma) \cap \mathbf{L}(\Sigma')$ are equal,
- (3) There is a cohomology class $\widehat{\mu} \smile \widehat{e}$ on $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma)$ such that the restriction of $\bar{\mu} \smile e$ to $\mathbf{L}(\Sigma)$ equals $q_\Sigma^*(\widehat{\mu} \smile \widehat{e})$.
- (4) Each quotient admits an (orbifold) fiber bundle structure

$$\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma) \rightarrow M_s \times \text{cl}(\Sigma)$$

with the same structure group $G(\Sigma)$.

We construct the quotient $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma)$ by replacing the restricted bundle $\text{Fr}(\Sigma)|_{M_s \times K_\Sigma}$ with the extension of the bundle over $M_s \times \text{cl}(\Sigma)$. The equality (0.1.10) allows us to do this and satisfy the second requirement above simultaneously by replacing the fibers $\partial M(\Sigma)$ with a quotient of this fiber.

Then, we can write

$$\langle \bar{\mu} \smile e, [\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle = \sum_i \langle \widehat{\mu} \smile \widehat{e}, [\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma_i)] \rangle$$

and apply the pushforward-pullback argument to the diagram analogous to (0.1.11) for $\widehat{\mathbf{L}}(\Sigma)$. Because the topology of the fiber bundle $\text{Fr}(\Sigma)$ depends only on quantities described following (0.0.1), such a pushforward-pullback argument will yield the desired result.

0.2. The Kotschick-Morgan conjecture

We now describe how the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture also follows from the work of this monograph.

If $b^+(X) = 1$, the Donaldson invariant depends on the metric. If $\omega^+(g)$ indicates the unique (once an orientation for $H^+(X)$ is specified) unit length harmonic form, the

Donaldson invariant will change when this harmonic form “crosses a wall” for reasons we now describe.

For manifolds with $b^+(X) > 1$, one shows that the Donaldson invariant is independent of the metric by considering the cobordism defined by

$$\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I) = \{[A, \mathbf{x}, t] : [A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t) \text{ and } t \in [-1, 1]\},$$

where $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t)$ is the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of connections which are anti-self-dual with respect to the metric g_t and g_t is a smooth path of Riemannian metrics on X connecting the metrics g_{-1} and g_1 . The Donaldson invariant defined by the metric g_t is given by a pairing

$$\langle \bar{\mu}, [\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t)] \rangle.$$

The cohomology classes, the μ -classes, used in defining the Donaldson invariants extend over the cobordism $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$. Because the boundaries of this cobordism are $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_{\pm 1})$, we can write

$$(0.2.1) \quad \langle \bar{\mu}, [\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_1)] \rangle - \langle \bar{\mu}, [\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_{-1})] \rangle = \langle \delta^* \bar{\mu}, [\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)] \rangle = 0,$$

proving that the Donaldson invariant for the metric g_1 equals that for the metric g_{-1} .

If $b^+(X) = 1$, the above argument fails because the μ -classes do not extend over the cobordism. The cobordism can contain singularities of the form

$$(0.2.2) \quad \{[A_\alpha]\} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \subset \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t)$$

where A_α is a connection which is reducible with respect to a reduction of an $\text{SO}(3)$ bundle $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \oplus L_\alpha$ and L_α is a complex line bundle with $c_1(L_\alpha) \smile \omega^+(g_t) = 0$. For each of these families of reducible singularities appearing in the cobordism, the change in the Donaldson invariant, as expressed on the left-hand-side of (0.2.1), will be given by

$$(0.2.3) \quad \langle \bar{\mu}, [\mathbf{L}_\kappa^w(\alpha)] \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{L}_\kappa^w(\alpha)$ is the link of the singularities (0.2.2) in the cobordism $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$.

In [34, Conjectures 6.2.1 & 6.2.2], Kotschick and Morgan conjectured that the pairing (0.2.3) was given by a polynomial in $c_1(L_\alpha)$ and the intersection form Q_X and that the coefficients of this polynomial depended only on the homotopy type of X . Assuming this conjecture, Göttsche was able to compute an explicit formula for the pairing (0.2.3) in [25].

This conjecture follows almost immediately from the work done in this monograph. The gluing theorems of Taubes, [64, 13], parameterize neighborhoods of the strata $[A_\alpha] \times \Sigma$, by bundles almost identical to the bundles $\text{Gl}(\Sigma)$ described in (0.1.3). The chief difference is the absence of an obstruction bundle in Taubes’ gluing theorems. The argument described above, introducing the overlap spaces and spliced-ends moduli space to create the space of global splicing data, can be adapted, essentially without changes, to define a link $\mathbf{L}_\kappa^w(\alpha)$ to which we can apply the quotient arguments in §0.1.7, proving the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture.

0.3. Other approaches

In spite of the length of this monograph, we believe that we have adopted the simplest approach to the problem of computing the intersection numbers (0.1.1). To minimize the necessary notation and the work needed to prove the gluing theorems, we have used the

smallest possible compactification of \mathcal{M}_t . Because the Donaldson invariants are defined in terms of the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections, our cobordism must contain this compactification. Thus, it does not seem practical to use a compactification of \mathcal{M}_t smaller than the Uhlenbeck compactification employed here. In a larger compactification, such as one similar to the bubbletree compactification proposed in [5], the definition of convergence must use a finer topology than that of an Uhlenbeck limit. Hence, a proof of the continuity of the gluing theorems needed to parameterize neighborhoods of the points $M_5 \times \Sigma$ in this larger compactification would require more work than that of [13]. In addition, defining a bubbletree compactification would require the same type of iterated splicing construction described in §0.1.3. Finally, it is not clear that having a compactification which is a manifold or an orbifold would simplify the remaining discussion. It is true that such a structure would allow one to use Poincaré duality and generic transversality results. However, very little work is required to obtain the necessary duality results converting the intersection number (0.1.1) into a cohomological pairing. In addition, the Uhlenbeck compactification we use turns out to have, at least locally, the structure of a Thom-Mather stratified space. This structure provides us with all the generic transversality results required.

0.4. Outline of the paper

We give a brief outline of the article. A review of notation and definitions from our previous articles in this sequence appears in §1. In §2, we describe a stratification of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, and define normal bundles of the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$. In §3, we show that the projection maps of the normal bundles introduced in §2 satisfy Thom-Mather type equalities on the overlap of their images. In §4, we define the spliced-ends moduli space of anti-self-dual connections on S^4 and this is used in §5 to define the space of global splicing data. An analogous construction of an obstruction bundle over the space of global splicing data is carried out in §6. In §7, we define the link and describe the fiber bundle structure of the pieces of the link. The cohomological computations are carried out in §8 where we compute the pullbacks of the relevant cohomology classes to the space of global splicing data. In §9 we actually carry out the needed computations and prove the main theorems of the monograph. Finally, in §10, we show how the arguments of this monograph yield a proof of the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture.

Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely grateful to Tom Mrowka for his constant encouragement over the years and in particular to some very fruitful conversations where he urged us to develop the concept of ‘spliced-end moduli spaces’ of instantons on S^4 . He explained this concept to us in March 1999, and proposed it as a key idea in this part of our work on Witten’s conjecture and the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture. In addition, the authors would like to express gratitude to the numerous universities and institutes, including Columbia University, the Institute of Advanced Studies, the Max Planck Institute, Trinity College in Dublin, and the University of Pennsylvania, whose hospitality has aided our work.

The second author would like to thank to his wife, Kirsten Wood, without whom this project would never have been completed.

CHAPTER 1

Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the notation and review the definitions from preceding work on $SO(3)$ monopoles, specifically [18, 19]. We begin in §1.1 by recalling the definition of the moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles and its basic properties [17, 10]. In §1.2 we describe the stratum of zero-section monopoles, or anti-self-dual connections. In §1.3 we discuss the strata of reducible, or Seiberg-Witten monopoles, together with their ‘virtual’ neighborhoods and normal bundles. In §1.4 we define the cohomology classes which will be paired with the links of the anti-self-dual and Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces. In §1.5 we review the definition of the Donaldson series. Lastly, in §1.6 we describe the basic relation between the pairings with links of the anti-self-dual and Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces provided by the $SO(3)$ -monopole cobordism.

1.1. The moduli space of $SO(3)$ monopoles

Throughout this article, (X, g) will denote a closed, connected, oriented, smooth, Riemannian four-manifold.

1.1.1. Clifford modules. Let V be a Hermitian vector bundle over (X, g) and let $\rho : T^*X \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ be a real-linear map satisfying

$$(1.1.1) \quad \rho(\alpha)^2 = -g(\alpha, \alpha)\text{id}_V \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\alpha)^\dagger = -\rho(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in C^\infty(T^*X).$$

The map ρ uniquely extends to a linear isomorphism, $\rho : \Lambda^\bullet(T^*X) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, and gives V the structure of a Hermitian Clifford module for the complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}(T^*X)$. There is a splitting $V = V^+ \oplus V^-$, where V^\pm are the ∓ 1 eigenspaces of $\rho(\text{vol})$. A unitary connection A on V is *spin* if

$$(1.1.2) \quad [\nabla_A, \rho(\alpha)] = \rho(\nabla\alpha) \quad \text{on } C^\infty(V),$$

for any $\alpha \in C^\infty(T^*X)$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection.

A Hermitian Clifford module $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$ is a spin^c structure when W has complex rank four; it defines a class

$$(1.1.3) \quad c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = c_1(W^+),$$

which is an integral lift of the second Stiefel-Whitney class. If $L \rightarrow X$ is a complex line bundle, we write $\mathfrak{s} \otimes L$ for the spin^c structure $(\rho \otimes \text{id}_L, W \otimes L)$.

We call a Hermitian Clifford module $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$ a spin^u structure when V has complex rank eight. Recall that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V)$ is the $SO(3)$ subbundle given by the span of the sections of the bundle $\mathfrak{su}(V)$ which commute with the action of $\mathcal{Cl}(T^*X)$ on V . We obtain a splitting

$$(1.1.4) \quad \mathfrak{su}(V^+) \cong \rho(\Lambda^+) \oplus i\rho(\Lambda^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

and similarly for $\mathfrak{su}(V^-)$. The fibers V_x^+ define complex lines whose tensor-product square is $\det(V_x^+)$ and thus a complex line bundle over X ,

$$(1.1.5) \quad \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(V^+).$$

A spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} thus defines characteristic classes,

$$(1.1.6) \quad c_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{1}{2}c_1(V^+), \quad p_1(\mathfrak{t}) = p_1(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}), \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(\mathfrak{t}) = w_2(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}).$$

Given a spin^c bundle W , one has an isomorphism $V \cong W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E$ of Hermitian Clifford modules, where E is a rank-two Hermitian vector bundle [18, Lemma 2.3]; then

$$(1.1.7) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{su}(E) \quad \text{and} \quad \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(V^+) = \det(W^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \det(E).$$

If for $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$, there is a spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho_W, W)$ and the bundle V admits a splitting $V \simeq W \oplus W \otimes L$ and $\rho = \rho_W \oplus \rho_W \otimes \text{id}_L$, then we write $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$.

1.1.2. SO(3) monopoles. We fix a smooth unitary connection A_{Λ} on the line bundle $\det^{\frac{1}{2}}(V^+)$, let $k \geq 2$ be an integer, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ be the affine space of L_k^2 spin connections on V which induce the connection $2A_{\Lambda}$ on $\det(V^+)$. If A is a spin connection on V , then it defines an SO(3) connection \hat{A} on the subbundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V)$ [18, Lemma 2.5]; conversely, every SO(3) connection on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ lifts to a unique spin connection on V inducing the connection $2A_{\Lambda}$ on $\det(V^+)$ [18, Lemma 2.11].

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ denote the group of L_{k+1}^2 unitary automorphisms of V which commute with $\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)$ and which have Clifford-determinant one (see [18, Definition 2.6]). Define

$$(1.1.8) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{t}} \times L_k^2(X, V^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

The action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ on V induces an adjoint action on $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$, acting as the identity on $\rho(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}^{\bullet}) \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ and inducing an adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \subset \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ (see [18, Lemma 2.7]). The space $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ carries a circle action induced by scalar multiplication on V :

$$(1.1.9) \quad S^1 \times V \rightarrow V, \quad (e^{i\theta}, \Phi) \mapsto e^{i\theta} \Phi.$$

Because this action commutes with that of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, the action (1.1.9) also defines an action on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Note that $-1 \in S^1$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ be the subspace represented by pairs whose spinor components are not identically zero, let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^* \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ be the subspace represented by pairs where the induced SO(3) connections on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ are irreducible, and let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^* \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^0$.

We call a pair (A, Φ) in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ a SO(3) *monopole* if

$$(1.1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ad}^{-1}(F_A^+) - \tau \rho^{-1}(\Phi \otimes \Phi^*)_{00} &= 0, \\ D_A \Phi + \rho(\vartheta) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $D_A = \rho \circ \nabla_A : C^\infty(X, V^+) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, V^-)$ is the Dirac operator; the isomorphism $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}})$ identifies the self-dual component of the curvature F_A^+ , a section of $\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}})$, with $\text{ad}^{-1}(F_A^+)$, a section of $\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$; the section τ of $\text{GL}(\Lambda^+)$ is a perturbation close to the identity; the perturbation ϑ is a complex one-form close to zero; $\Phi^* \in \text{Hom}(V^+, \mathbb{C})$ is the pointwise Hermitian dual $\langle \cdot, \Phi \rangle$ of Φ ; and $(\Phi \otimes \Phi^*)_{00}$ is the component of the section $\Phi \otimes \Phi^*$ of $iu(V^+)$ lying in $\rho(\Lambda^+) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ with respect to the splitting $\mathfrak{u}(V^+) = i\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(V^+)$ and decomposition (1.1.4) of $\mathfrak{su}(V^+)$.

Equation (1.1.10) is invariant under the action of \mathcal{G}_t . We let $\mathcal{M}_t \subset \mathcal{C}_t$ be the subspace represented by pairs satisfying equation (1.1.10) and write

$$(1.1.11) \quad \mathcal{M}_t^* = \mathcal{M}_t \cap \mathcal{C}_t^*, \quad \mathcal{M}_t^0 = \mathcal{M}_t \cap \mathcal{C}_t^0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_t^{*,0} = \mathcal{M}_t \cap \mathcal{C}_t^{*,0}.$$

Since equation (1.1.10) is invariant under the circle action induced by scalar multiplication on V , the subspaces (1.1.11) of \mathcal{C}_t are also invariant under this action.

THEOREM 1.1.1. [10, Theorem 1.1], [66] *Let X be a closed, oriented, smooth four-manifold and let V be a complex rank-eight, Hermitian vector bundle over X . Then for parameters $(\rho, g, \tau, \vartheta)$, which are generic in the sense of [10], and $\mathbf{t} = (\rho, V)$, the space $\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}$ is a smooth manifold of the expected dimension,*

$$(1.1.12) \quad \dim \mathcal{M}_t^{*,0} = d(\mathbf{t}) = d_a(\mathbf{t}) + 2n_a(\mathbf{t}), \quad \text{where } d_a(\mathbf{t}) = -2p_1(\mathbf{t}) - \frac{3}{2}(\chi + \sigma), \\ n_a(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{4}(p_1(\mathbf{t}) + c_1(\mathbf{t})^2 - \sigma),$$

where χ is the Euler characteristic and σ is the signature of X .

For the remainder of the article, we assume that the perturbation parameters in (1.1.10) are chosen as indicated in Theorem 1.1.1. For each non-negative integer ℓ , let $\mathbf{t}(\ell) = (\rho, V_\ell)$, where

$$(1.1.13) \quad c_1(V_\ell) = c_1(V), \quad p_1(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) = p_1(\mathfrak{g}_t) + 4\ell, \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) = w_2(\mathfrak{g}_t).$$

We let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ denote the closure of \mathcal{M}_t in the space of ideal monopoles,

$$(1.1.14) \quad I\mathcal{M}_t = \bigsqcup_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)),$$

with respect to an Uhlenbeck topology [17, Definition 4.19] defined by the following notion of convergence. We say that a sequence $\{[A_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha]\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}_t$ converges to an ideal pair $[A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{x}] \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ if

- There is a sequence of $L_{k+1, \text{loc}}^2$ spin^u bundle isomorphisms, $u_\alpha : V_t|_{X-\mathbf{x}} \rightarrow V_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}|_{X-\mathbf{x}}$, such that the sequence of pairs $u_\alpha(A_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha)$ converges to (A_0, Φ_0) in $L_{k, \text{loc}}^2$ over $X-\mathbf{x}$ and
- The sequence of measures $|F_{\hat{A}_\alpha}|^2$ converges in the weak-* topology to the measure $|F_{\hat{A}}|^2 + 8\pi^2 \sum_{x \in \mathbf{x}} \delta_x$, where δ_x is the Dirac delta.

We call the intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ with $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ its ℓ -th level.

THEOREM 1.1.2. [17, Theorem 1.1] *Let X be a Riemannian four-manifold with spin^u structure \mathbf{t} . Then there is a positive integer N , depending at most on the curvature of the chosen unitary connection on $\det(V^+)$ together with $p_1(\mathbf{t})$, such that the Uhlenbeck closure $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ of \mathcal{M}_t in $\bigsqcup_{\ell=0}^N (\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X))$ is a second countable, compact, Hausdorff space. The space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ carries a continuous circle action, which restricts to the circle action defined on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}_\ell}$ on each level.*

1.2. Stratum of anti-self-dual or zero-section solutions

From equation (1.1.10), we see that the stratum of \mathcal{M}_t represented by pairs with zero spinor is identified with

$$(1.2.1) \quad \{A \in \mathcal{A}_t : F_{\hat{A}}^+ = 0\} / \mathcal{G}_t \cong M_\kappa^w(X, g),$$

the moduli space of g -anti-self-dual connections on the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ bundle \mathfrak{g}_t , where $\kappa = -\frac{1}{4}p_1(t)$ and $w \equiv w_2(t) \pmod{2}$. For a generic Riemannian metric g , the space $M_\kappa^w(X, g)$ is a smooth manifold of the expected dimension $-2p_1(t) - \frac{3}{2}(\chi + \sigma) = d_a(t)$.

As explained in [18, §3.4.1], it is desirable to choose $w \pmod{2}$ so as to exclude points in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ with associated flat $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ connections, so we have a *disjoint* union,

$$(1.2.2) \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t \cong \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{*,0} \sqcup \bar{M}_\kappa^w \sqcup \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{\mathrm{red}},$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^* \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ is the subspace represented by triples whose associated $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ connections are irreducible, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^0 \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ is the subspace represented by triples whose spinors are not identically zero, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{*,0} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^* \cap \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^0$, while $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{\mathrm{red}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ is the subspace $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t - \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^*$ represented by triples whose associated $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ connections are reducible. We recall the

DEFINITION 1.2.1. [19, Definition 3.20] A class $v \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is *good* if no integral lift of v is torsion.

If $w \pmod{2}$ is good, then the union (1.2.2) is disjoint, as desired. In practice, rather than constraining $w \pmod{2}$ itself, we use the blow-up trick of [49], replacing X with the blow-up $X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ and w by $w + \mathrm{PD}[e]$ (where $e \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is the exceptional class), noting that $w + \mathrm{PD}[e] \pmod{2}$ is always good, and define gauge-theoretic invariants of X in terms of moduli spaces on $X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$. When $w \pmod{2}$ is good, we define [18, Definition 3.7] the link of \bar{M}_κ^w in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1$ by

$$(1.2.3) \quad \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,\kappa}^w = \{[A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1 : \|\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 = \varepsilon\},$$

where ε is a small positive constant; for generic ε , the link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,\kappa}^w$ is a smoothly-stratified, codimension-one subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1$.

1.3. Strata of Seiberg-Witten or reducible solutions

We call a pair $(A, \Phi) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t$ *reducible* if the connection A on V respects a splitting,

$$(1.3.1) \quad V = W \oplus W \otimes L = W \otimes (\underline{\mathbb{C}} \oplus L),$$

for some spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$ and complex line bundle L , in which case $c_1(L) = c_1(t) - c_1(\mathfrak{s})$. A spin connection A on V is reducible with respect to the splitting (1.3.1) if and only if \hat{A} is reducible with respect to the splitting $\mathfrak{g}_t \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus L$, [18, Lemma 2.9]. If A is reducible, we can write $A = B \oplus B \otimes A_L$, where B is a spin connection on W and A_L is a unitary connection on L ; then $\hat{A} = d_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_L$ and $A_L = A_\Lambda \otimes (B^{\mathrm{det}})^*$, where B^{det} is the connection on $\det(W^+)$ induced by B on W .

1.3.1. Seiberg-Witten monopoles. Given a spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$ on X , let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ denote the affine space of L_k^2 spin connections on W . Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ denote the group of L_{k+1}^2 unitary automorphisms of W , commuting with $\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)$, which we identify with $L_{k+1}^2(X, S^1)$. We then define

$$(1.3.2) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times L_k^2(W^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}},$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ acts on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by

$$(1.3.3) \quad (s, (B, \Psi)) \mapsto s(B, \Psi) = (B - (s^{-1}ds)\text{id}_W, s\Psi).$$

We call a pair $(B, \Psi) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ a Seiberg-Witten monopole if

$$(1.3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(F_B^+) - \tau\rho^{-1}(\Psi \otimes \Psi^*)_0 - F^+(A_{\Lambda}) &= 0, \\ D_B\Psi + \rho(\vartheta)\Psi &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Tr} : \mathfrak{u}(W^+) \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ is defined by the trace on 2×2 complex matrices, $(\Psi \otimes \Psi^*)_0$ is the component of the section $\Psi \otimes \Psi^*$ of $i\mathfrak{u}(W^+)$ contained in $i\mathfrak{su}(W^+)$, $D_B : C^\infty(W^+) \rightarrow C^\infty(W^-)$ is the Dirac operator, and A_{Λ} is a unitary connection on a line bundle with first Chern class $\Lambda \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$. The perturbations are chosen so that solutions to equation (1.3.4) are identified with reducible solutions to (1.1.10) when $c_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \Lambda$. Let $\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the subspace cut out by equation (1.3.4) and denote the moduli space of Seiberg-Witten monopoles by $M_{\mathfrak{s}} = \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$.

1.3.2. Seiberg-Witten invariants. We let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the open subspace represented by pairs whose spinor components which are not identically zero and define a complex line bundle over $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0 \times X$ by

$$(1.3.5) \quad \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0 \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathbb{C} = X \times \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ acts on $(B, \Psi) \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $(x, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(1.3.6) \quad ((B, \Psi), (x, \zeta)) \mapsto (s(B, \Psi), (x, s(x)^{-1}\zeta)).$$

Define

$$(1.3.7) \quad \mathbb{A}_2(X) = \text{Sym}(H_0(X; \mathbb{R})) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(H_1(X; \mathbb{R}))$$

be the graded algebra, with $z = \beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_r$ having total degree $\deg(z) = \sum_p(2 - i_p)$, when $\beta_p \in H_{i_p}(X; \mathbb{R})$. Then the map,

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{s}} : H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{2-\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0; \mathbb{R}), \quad \beta \mapsto c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}})/\beta,$$

extends in the usual way to a homomorphism of graded real algebras,

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{s}} : \mathbb{A}_2(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0; \mathbb{R}).$$

If $x \in H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the positive generator, we set

$$(1.3.8) \quad \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} = c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}})/x \in H^2(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Equivalently, $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the first Chern class of the S^1 base-point fibration over $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0$. If $b_1(X) = 0$, then $c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \times 1$ by [18, Lemma 2.14].

For $b_2^+(X) > 0$ and generic Riemannian metrics on X , the space $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ contains zero-section pairs if and only if $c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - \Lambda$ is a torsion class by [48, Proposition 6.3.1]. If $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$

contains no zero-section pairs then, for generic perturbations, it is a compact, oriented, smooth manifold of dimension

$$(1.3.9) \quad d_s(\mathfrak{s}) = \dim M_{\mathfrak{s}} = \frac{1}{4}(c_1(\mathfrak{s}))^2 - 2\chi - 3\sigma).$$

Let $\tilde{X} = X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ denote the blow-up of X with exceptional class $e \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ and denote its Poincaré dual by $\text{PD}[e] \in H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathfrak{s}^{\pm} = (\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{W})$ denote the spin^c structure on \tilde{X} with $c_1(\mathfrak{s}^{\pm}) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm \text{PD}[e]$ obtained by splicing the spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$ on X with the spin^c structure on $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ with first Chern class $\pm \text{PD}[e]$. (See [19, §4.5] for an explanation of the relation between spin^c structures on X and \tilde{X} .) Now

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \pm \text{PD}[e] - \Lambda \in H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is not a torsion class and so — for $b_2^+(X) > 0$, generic Riemannian metrics on X and related metrics on the connected sum \tilde{X} — the moduli spaces $M_{\mathfrak{s}^{\pm}}(\tilde{X})$ contain no zero-section pairs. Thus, for our choice of generic perturbations, the moduli spaces $M_{\mathfrak{s}^{\pm}}(\tilde{X})$ are compact, oriented, smooth manifolds, both of dimension $\dim M_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)$.

For $b_1(X) = 0$ and odd $b_2^+(X) > 1$, we define the *Seiberg-Witten invariant* by [19, §4.1]

$$(1.3.10) \quad SW_X(\mathfrak{s}) = \langle \mu_{\mathfrak{s}^+}^d, [M_{\mathfrak{s}^+}(\tilde{X})] \rangle = \langle \mu_{\mathfrak{s}^-}^d, [M_{\mathfrak{s}^-}(\tilde{X})] \rangle,$$

where $2d = d_s(\mathfrak{s}) = d_s(\mathfrak{s}^{\pm})$. When $b_2^+(X) = 1$ the pairing on the right-hand side of definition (1.3.10) depends on the chamber in the positive cone of $H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R})$ determined by the period point of the Riemannian metric on \tilde{X} . The definition of the Seiberg-Witten invariant for this case is also given in [19, §4.1]: we assume that the class $w_2(X) - \Lambda \pmod{2}$ is good to avoid technical difficulties involved in chamber specification. Since $w \equiv w_2(X) - \Lambda \pmod{2}$, this coincides with the constraint we use to define the Donaldson invariants in §1.5 when $b_2^+(X) = 1$. We refer to [19, Lemma 4.1 & Remark 4.2] for a comparison of the chamber structures required for the definition of Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants when $b_2^+(X) = 1$.

We say that $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ is a *SW basic class* if the map $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is non-trivial. The manifold X is said to have *SW simple type* if all basic classes satisfy $d_s(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$.

1.3.3. Reducible $\text{SO}(3)$ monopoles. If $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$ and $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$ with $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$, then there is an embedding

$$(1.3.11) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{s}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \quad (B, \Psi) \mapsto (B \oplus B \otimes A_{\Lambda} \otimes B^{\det,*}, \Psi \oplus 0),$$

which is gauge-equivariant with respect to the homomorphism

$$(1.3.12) \quad \varrho : \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \quad s \mapsto \text{id}_W \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 0 & s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to [18, Lemma 3.13], the map (1.3.11) defines a topological embedding $M_{\mathfrak{s}}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, where $M_{\mathfrak{s}}^0 = M_{\mathfrak{s}} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^0$ and an embedding of $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ if $w_2(\mathfrak{t}) \neq 0$ or $b_1(X) = 0$; its image in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is represented by pairs which are reducible with respect to the splitting $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$. Henceforth, we shall not distinguish between $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and its image in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ under this embedding.

If $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \simeq i\mathbb{R} \oplus L$ where $c_1(L) = c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s})$, then $p_1(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}) = (c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s}))^2$. Hence, for

$$(1.3.13) \quad \ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{4} \left((c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s}))^2 - p_1(\mathfrak{t}) \right),$$

the embedding (1.3.11) gives an inclusion of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ into $I\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, where $\ell = \ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s})$.

1.3.4. Circle actions. When $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$ and $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$, the space $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ inherits a circle action defined by

$$(1.3.14) \quad S^1 \times V \rightarrow V, \quad (e^{i\theta}, \Psi \oplus \Psi') \mapsto \Psi \oplus e^{i\theta} \Psi',$$

where $\Psi \in C^{\infty}(W)$ and $\Psi' \in C^{\infty}(W \otimes L)$. With respect to the splitting $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$, the actions (1.3.14) and (1.1.9) are related by

$$(1.3.15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i2\theta} \end{pmatrix} = e^{i\theta} u, \quad \text{where } u = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

and so, when we pass to the induced circle actions on the quotient $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, the actions (1.3.14) and (1.1.9) on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ differ only in their multiplicity. Recall [18, Lemma 3.11] that the image in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ of the map (1.3.11) contains all pairs which are fixed by the circle action (1.3.14).

When $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$, the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ defined prior to (1.1.4) admits a splitting

$$(1.3.16) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}} \simeq i\mathbb{R} \oplus L,$$

where $\mathbb{R} = X \times \mathbb{R}$. The action (1.3.14) induces an S^1 action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ given, for $z \in L$ and $\zeta \in i\mathbb{R}$, by

$$(1.3.17) \quad (e^{i\theta}, (\zeta, z)) \mapsto (\zeta, e^{-2i\theta} z),$$

as described in [20, Equation 3.56].

1.3.5. The virtual normal bundle of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space. Suppose $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$ and $\mathfrak{s} = (\rho, W)$, with $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$, so we have a topological embedding $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$; we assume $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ contains no zero-section monopoles. Recall from [18, §3.5] that there exist finite-rank, complex vector bundles,

$$(1.3.18) \quad \pi_{\Xi} : \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_N : N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}},$$

with $\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{\Xi}}$, called the *obstruction bundles* and *ambient normal bundles* of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, respectively. For a small enough positive radius ε , there are a topological embedding [18, Theorem 3.21] of an open tubular neighborhood,

$$(1.3.19) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}} : N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

and a smooth section $\chi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of the pulled-back complex vector bundle,

$$(1.3.20) \quad \pi_N^* \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon),$$

such that the restriction of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}$ yields a homeomorphism

$$(1.3.21) \quad \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}} : \chi_{\mathfrak{s}}^{-1}(0) \cap N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon) \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon)),$$

restricting to a diffeomorphism on the complement of $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and identifying $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ with its image in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ under the embedding (1.3.11). We often refer to the image $\gamma_{\mathfrak{s}}(N_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon))$ as an *ambient moduli space*.

Our terminology is loosely motivated by that of [30] and [58], where the goal (translated to our setting) would be to construct a *virtual fundamental class* for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, given by the cap product of the fundamental class of an ambient space containing $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ with the Euler class

of a vector bundle over this ambient space, where \mathcal{M}_t is the zero locus of a (possibly non-transversally vanishing) section. Here, $\gamma_s(N_{t,s}(\varepsilon))$ plays the role of the ambient space and (the pullback of) $\Xi_{t,s}$ the vector bundle with zero section yielding (an open neighborhood in) \mathcal{M}_t . Then, $N_{t,s}$ is the normal bundle of $M_s \hookrightarrow \gamma_s(N_{t,s}(\varepsilon))$, while $[N_{t,s}] - [\Xi_{t,s}]$ would more properly be called the ‘virtual normal bundle’ of $M_s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_t$, in the language of K -theory.

By [18, Equations (2.47) & (3.35)], the negative of the index of the $\text{SO}(3)$ -monopole elliptic deformation complex at a reducible solution can be written as

$$(1.3.22) \quad \dim \mathcal{M}_t = 2n_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) + d_s(\mathfrak{s}),$$

where $d_s(\mathfrak{s})$ is the expected dimension of the Seiberg-Witten moduli space M_s (see equation (1.3.9)), while $n_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) = n'_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) + n''_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s})$ is minus the complex index of the normal deformation operator [18, Equations (3.71) & (3.72)], with

$$(1.3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} n'_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) &= -(c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s}))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\chi + \sigma), \\ n''_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) &= \frac{1}{8}(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - 2c_1(\mathfrak{t}))^2 - \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

If r_Ξ is the complex rank of $\Xi_{t,s} \rightarrow M_s$, and $r_N(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s})$ is the complex rank of $N_{t,s} \rightarrow M_s$, then

$$(1.3.24) \quad r_N(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) = n_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) + r_\Xi,$$

as we can see from the dimension relation (1.3.22) and the topological model (1.3.21).

The map (1.3.19) is S^1 -equivariant when S^1 acts trivially on M_s , by scalar multiplication on the fibers of $N_{t,s}(\varepsilon)$, and by the action (1.3.14) on \mathcal{C}_t . The bundle (1.3.20) and section χ_s are S^1 -equivariant if S^1 acts on $N_{t,s}$ and the fibers of $\gamma_s^* \Xi_{t,s}$ by scalar multiplication.

Let $\tilde{N}_{t,s} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_s$ be the pullback of $N_{t,s}$ by the projection $\tilde{M}_s \rightarrow M_s = \tilde{M}_s/\mathcal{G}_s$, so $\tilde{N}_{t,s}$ is a \mathcal{G}_s -equivariant bundle, where \mathcal{G}_s acts on the base \tilde{M}_s by the usual gauge group action (1.3.3) and the induced action on the total space,

$$(1.3.25) \quad \tilde{N}_{t,s} \subset \tilde{M}_s \times L_k^2(\Lambda^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} L) \oplus L^2(W^+ \otimes L) \subset \tilde{M}_s \times L_k^2(\Lambda^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_t) \oplus L^2(V^+),$$

via the embedding (1.3.12) of \mathcal{G}_s into \mathcal{G}_t and the splittings $\mathfrak{g}_t \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus L$ [18, Lemma 3.10] and $V = W \oplus W \otimes L$. Thus, $s \in \mathcal{G}_s$ acts by scalar multiplication by s^{-2} on sections of $\Lambda^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} L$ and by s^{-1} on sections of $W^+ \otimes L$ [18, §3.5.4].

For a small enough positive ε , there is a smooth embedding [18, §3.5.4] of the open tubular neighborhood $\tilde{N}_{t,s}(\varepsilon)$,

$$(1.3.26) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_s : \tilde{N}_{t,s}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t,$$

which is gauge-equivariant with respect to the preceding action of \mathcal{G}_s , and covers the topological embedding (1.3.19). The map (1.3.26) is S^1 -equivariant, where S^1 acts trivially on \tilde{M}_s , by scalar multiplication on the fibers of $\tilde{N}_{t,s}(\varepsilon)$, and by the action (1.3.14) on \mathcal{C}_t . We note that the map (1.3.26) is also S^1 -equivariant with respect to the action (1.1.9) on \mathcal{C}_t , if S^1 acts on $\tilde{N}_{t,s}$ by

$$(1.3.27) \quad (e^{i\theta}, (B, \Psi, \beta, \psi)) \mapsto \varrho(e^{i\theta})(B, \Psi, e^{2i\theta}\beta, e^{2i\theta}\psi) = (B, e^{i\theta}\Psi, \beta, e^{i\theta}\psi),$$

where $(B, \Psi) \in \tilde{M}_s$, $(\beta, \psi) \in L_k^2(\Lambda^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} L) \oplus L^2(W^+ \otimes L)$, so $(B, \Psi, \beta, \psi) \in \tilde{N}_{t,s}$, and $\varrho : \mathcal{G}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_t$ is the homomorphism (1.3.12). This equivariance follows from the relation (1.3.15) between the actions (1.3.14) and (1.1.9).

We note that the Chern character of the bundle $N_{t,\mathfrak{s}}$ is computed in [18, Theorem 3.29] while the Segre classes of this bundle are computed, under some additional assumptions, in [19, Lemma 4.11].

1.4. Cohomology classes on the moduli space of SO(3) monopoles

The identity (0.0.1) arises as an equality between pairings of suitable cohomology classes with a link in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{*,0}/S^1$ of the anti-self-dual moduli subspace and with the links of the Seiberg-Witten moduli subspaces in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1$. We now review the definitions of these cohomology classes and their dual geometric representatives given in [19, §3].

The first kind of cohomology class is defined on \mathcal{M}_t^*/S^1 , via the associated SO(3) bundle,

$$(1.4.1) \quad \mathbb{F}_t = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t^*/S^1 \times_{\mathcal{G}_t} \mathfrak{g}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_t^*/S^1 \times X.$$

The group \mathcal{G}_t acts diagonally in (1.4.1), with \mathcal{G}_t acting on the left on \mathfrak{g}_t . We define [19, §3.1]

$$(1.4.2) \quad \mu_p : H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{4-\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_t^*/S^1; \mathbb{R}), \quad \beta \mapsto -\frac{1}{4}p_1(\mathbb{F}_t)/\beta.$$

On restriction to $M_\kappa^w \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_t$, the cohomology classes $\mu_p(\beta)$ coincide with those used in the definition of Donaldson invariants [19, Lemma 3.1]. Define

$$(1.4.3) \quad \mathbb{A}(X) = \text{Sym}(H_{\text{even}}(X; \mathbb{R})) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(H_{\text{odd}}(X; \mathbb{R}))$$

to be the graded algebra, with $z = \beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_r$ having total degree $\deg(z) = \sum_p(4 - i_p)$, when $\beta_p \in H_{i_p}(X; \mathbb{R})$. Then μ_p extends in the usual way to a homomorphism of graded real algebras,

$$(1.4.4) \quad \mu_p : \mathbb{A}(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\mathcal{C}_t^*/S^1; \mathbb{R}),$$

which preserves degrees. Next, we define a complex line bundle over $\mathcal{C}_t^{*,0}/S^1$,

$$(1.4.5) \quad \mathbb{L}_t = \mathcal{C}_t^{*,0} \times_{(S^1, \times -2)} \mathbb{C},$$

where the S^1 action is given, for $[A, \Phi] \in \mathcal{C}_t^{*,0}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, by

$$(1.4.6) \quad ([A, \Phi], \zeta) \mapsto ([A, e^{i\theta}\Phi], e^{2i\theta}\zeta).$$

Then we define the second kind of cohomology class on $\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}/S^1$ by

$$(1.4.7) \quad \mu_c = c_1(\mathbb{L}_t) \in H^2(\mathcal{C}_t^{*,0}/S^1; \mathbb{R}).$$

For monomials $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$, we constructed [19, §3.2] geometric representatives $\mathcal{V}(z)$ dual to $\mu_p(z)$ (following the discussion in [35]) and \mathcal{W} dual to μ_c , defined on \mathcal{M}_t^*/S^1 and $\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}/S^1$, respectively; their closures in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1$ are denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ [19, Definition 3.14]. When

$$(1.4.8) \quad \deg(z) + 2\eta = \dim(\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}/S^1) - 1,$$

and $\deg(z) \geq \dim M_\kappa^w$ it follows from [19, §3.3] that the intersection

$$(1.4.9) \quad \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathcal{M}}_t^{*,0}/S^1,$$

is an oriented one-manifold (not necessarily connected) whose closure in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t/S^1$ can only intersect $(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t - \mathcal{M}_t)/S^1$ at points in $\mathcal{M}_t^{\text{red}} \cong \cup(M_\mathfrak{s} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X))$, where the union is over $\ell \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ [19, Corollary 3.18].

1.5. Donaldson invariants

We first recall the definition [35, §2] of the Donaldson series when $b_1(X) = 0$ and $b_2^+(X) > 1$ is odd, so that, in this case, $\chi + \sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. See also §3.4.2 in [19], especially for a definition of the Donaldson invariants when $b_2^+(X) = 1$. For any choice of $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, the Donaldson invariant is a linear function

$$D_X^w : \mathbb{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\tilde{X} = X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ be the blow-up of X and let $e \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the exceptional class, with Poincaré dual $\text{PD}[e] \in H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. If $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ is a monomial, we define $D_X^w(z) = 0$ unless

$$(1.5.1) \quad \deg(z) \equiv -2w^2 - \frac{3}{2}(\chi + \sigma) \pmod{8}.$$

If $\deg(z)$ obeys equation (1.5.1), we let $\kappa \in \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z}$ be defined by

$$\deg(z) = 8\kappa - \frac{3}{2}(\chi + \sigma).$$

There exists an $\text{SO}(3)$ bundle over \tilde{X} with first Pontrjagin number $-4\kappa - 1$ and second Stiefel-Whitney class $w + \text{PD}[e] \pmod{2}$. One then defines the Donaldson invariant on monomials by

$$(1.5.2) \quad D_X^w(z) = \# \left(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{M}_{\kappa+1/4}^{w+\text{PD}[e]}(\tilde{X}) \right),$$

and extends to a real linear function on $\mathbb{A}(X)$. Note that $w + \text{PD}[e] \pmod{2}$ is good in the sense of Definition 1.2.1. If $w' \equiv w \pmod{2}$, then [8]

$$(1.5.3) \quad D_X^{w'} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{4}(w'-w)^2} D_X^w.$$

The Donaldson series is a formal power series,

$$(1.5.4) \quad \mathbf{D}_X^w(h) = D_X^w\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x\right)e^h\right), \quad h \in H_2(X; \mathbb{R}).$$

By equation (1.5.1), the series \mathbf{D}_X^w is even if

$$-w^2 - \frac{3}{4}(\chi + \sigma) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and odd otherwise. A four-manifold has KM-simple type if for some w and all $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$,

$$D_X^w(x^2z) = 4D_X^w(z).$$

According to [35, Theorem 1.7], when X has KM-simple type the series $\mathbf{D}_X^w(h)$ is an analytic function of h and there are finitely many characteristic cohomology classes K_1, \dots, K_m (the KM-basic classes) and constants a_1, \dots, a_m (independent of w) so that

$$\mathbf{D}_X^w(h) = e^{\frac{1}{2}h \cdot h} \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(w^2 + K_i \cdot w)} a_i e^{\langle K_i, h \rangle}.$$

Witten's conjecture, [69], then relates the Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten series for four-manifolds of simple type.

When $b_2^+(X) = 1$ the pairing on the right-hand side of definition (1.5.2) depends on the chamber in the positive cone of $H^2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{R})$ determined by the period point of the Riemannian metric on \tilde{X} , just as in the case of Seiberg-Witten invariants described in §1.3.2. We refer to §3.4.2 in [19] for a detailed discussion of this case and, as in [19], we assume that the class $w \pmod{2}$ is good in order to avoid technical difficulties involved in chamber specification.

1.6. Links and the cobordism

Since the ends of the components of the one-manifold (1.4.9) either lie near M_κ^w or $M_\mathfrak{s} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, for some \mathfrak{s} and $\ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) \geq 0$ for which $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$, we have when $w_2(\mathfrak{t})$ is good in the sense of Definition 1.2.1 that (see Theorem 7.1.9)

$$(1.6.1) \quad \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w) = - \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)} \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}),$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w$ is the link of \bar{M}_κ^w in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ (see [18, Definition 3.7]) and where $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$ is empty if $\ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) < 0$ and is the boundary of an open neighborhood of the Seiberg-Witten stratum $M_\mathfrak{s} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ if $\ell = \ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) \geq 0$. By construction, the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$ with the top stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ is a smooth manifold and the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$ with the geometric representatives is in this top stratum. The precise definition of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$ is given in [18, Definition 3.22] for $\ell = 0$ and in Definition 7.1.3 for $\ell \geq 1$.

When $\deg(z) = \dim M_\kappa^w$ and $n_a(\mathfrak{t}) > 0$, the intersection of the one-manifold (1.4.9) with the link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w$ is given by [19, Lemma 3.30]

$$(1.6.2) \quad 2^{1-n_a} \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w) = \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{M}_\kappa^w).$$

Applying this identity to the blow-up, $X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$, when $n_a(\mathfrak{t}) > 0$, we recover the Donaldson invariant $D_X^w(z)$ on the right-hand side of (1.6.2) via definition (1.5.2).

REMARK 1.6.1. If in (1.6.2) $\deg(z) > \dim M_\kappa^w$ and we replace $\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1}$ with $\bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta$ where η satisfies (1.4.8), then the intersection number vanishes. If $n_a(\mathfrak{t}) \leq 0$ and $\deg(z)$ still satisfies (1.4.8) with $\eta = 0$, then the intersection number in (1.6.2) is a constant times the spin invariant of [56].

CHAPTER 2

Diagonals

To describe the link of the family of singularities $M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$, we will need to describe the strata of the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, the normal bundles of the strata, and their incidence relations.

2.1. Definitions

In this section, we introduce some vocabulary for describing the action of the symmetric group on X^ℓ , the fixed point sets of this action, and the resulting strata of the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

2.1.1. Subgroups of the symmetric group. For any set P , let \mathfrak{S}_P be the group of bijections $\sigma : P \rightarrow P$. For $P = N_\ell = \{1, \dots, \ell\}$, we will write \mathfrak{S}_ℓ for the symmetric group on ℓ elements. We will write partitions of N_ℓ as $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_{r(\mathcal{P})}\}$ where $P_i \subset N_\ell$. We refer to the number of sets in \mathcal{P} as the *length* of \mathcal{P} . Each such partition of N_ℓ gives a partition of ℓ : $\ell = |P_1| + \dots + |P_{r(\mathcal{P})}|$. The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_ℓ acts on the set of partitions of N_ℓ , by:

$$(2.1.1) \quad (\sigma, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{P}) := \{\sigma(P_1), \dots, \sigma(P_{r(\mathcal{P})})\}.$$

The orbits of this action are distinguished by the partitions of ℓ . Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) < \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ be the stabilizer of a partition \mathcal{P} , considered as an ordered set, with respect to the action (2.1.1).

LEMMA 2.1.1. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ . Then the subgroup $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ of \mathfrak{S}_ℓ is given by the image of the inclusion*

$$(2.1.2) \quad \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{S}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_\ell.$$

PROOF. Let $\Gamma'(\mathcal{P})$ be the image of the homomorphism (2.1.2). There is then an inclusion $\Gamma'(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. This map is surjective because any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ which preserves the subsets $P_1, \dots, P_{r(\mathcal{P})}$ is a permutation of each of these subsets and thus in $\Gamma'(\mathcal{P})$. \square

LEMMA 2.1.2. *Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \leq \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ be the normalizer of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. Then for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and all $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $\sigma(P) \in \mathcal{P}$.*

PROOF. Assume that there are $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\sigma(P) \notin \mathcal{P}$. If $|P| = 1$, then there is $P' \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\sigma(P) \subsetneq P'$. The strictness of the preceding inclusion implies that $|P'| > 1$. Thus, there are $a, b \in P'$ with $a \in \sigma(P)$ and $b \notin \sigma(P)$. By Lemma 2.1.1, the transposition $(a b)$ is in $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ and by the definition of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ as the normalizer of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, $\sigma^{-1}(a b)\sigma = (c d) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. However, $c = \sigma^{-1}(a) \in P$ while $d = \sigma^{-1}(b) \notin P$, so $(c d) \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. This contradiction proves the lemma if $|P| = 1$.

If $|P| > 1$, then there are $a, b \in \sigma(P)$ with $a \neq b$ and $P' \in \mathcal{P}$ with $a \in P'$ and $b \notin P'$. By Lemma 2.1.1, the transposition $(a b)$ is not in $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. However, because $\sigma^{-1}(a), \sigma^{-1}(b) \in P$, the transposition $\sigma^{-1}(a b)\sigma = (\sigma^{-1}(a) \sigma^{-1}(b))$ is in $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, contradicting the definition of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ as the normalizer of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. \square

Lemma 2.1.2 shows that $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts, through the action (2.1.1), as a permutation of \mathcal{P} . If we define

$$(2.1.3) \quad W(\mathcal{P}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})/\Gamma(\mathcal{P}),$$

then $W(\mathcal{P})$ will act freely on \mathcal{P} .

2.1.2. Defining the diagonals. The strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ are defined by the quotients of diagonals in X^ℓ by the permutation action of \mathfrak{S}_ℓ on X^ℓ . For \mathcal{P} a partition of N_ℓ , define:

$$(2.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in X^\ell : x_i = x_j \text{ if and only if there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } i, j \in P\}, \\ \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in X^\ell : x_i = x_j \text{ if there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } i, j \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding subspaces are related by

$$(2.1.5) \quad \text{cl}_{X^\ell} \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}).$$

We can identify $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ with the complement of the big diagonal in X^r where r is the length of \mathcal{P} as follows. Write \mathcal{P} as an ordered collection $P_1, \dots, P_r(\mathcal{P})$. Then define an embedding,

$$(2.1.6) \quad \iota_{\mathcal{P}} : X^r \setminus \bigcup_{i < j} \{x_i = x_j\} \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \subset X^\ell,$$

by $\iota(x_1, \dots, x_r) = (y_1, \dots, y_\ell)$ where $y_k = x_i$ for $k \in P_i$. The map $\iota_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a diffeomorphism.

LEMMA 2.1.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ . Then:*

- (1) *The diagonal $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is the fixed point set of the group $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$,*
- (2) *For $\mathbf{x} \in X^\ell$, $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ if and only if $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}} = \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$,*
- (3) *If $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$ then $\sigma(\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})) \subset \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ if and only if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$.*

PROOF. That $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is contained in the fixed point set of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ follows immediately from the definitions. The fixed point set of \mathfrak{S}_i acting on X^i is the small diagonal. Thus, by the characterization of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ in Lemma 2.1.1, if, for $\mathbf{x} \in X^\ell$, $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ then $x_i = x_j$ for all $i, j \in P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Hence the fixed point set of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ is contained in $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, proving the first assertion.

The first assertion implies $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \subset \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. If $\sigma \in \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\sigma \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, then there is $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i \in P$ such that $\sigma(i) \notin P$. But $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ then implies $x_{\sigma(i)} = x_i$ which contradicts $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ implies that $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}} = \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$. If $\text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}} = \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, then the first item implies that $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. If $\mathbf{x} \notin \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ then there are $i \in P_a$ and $j \in P_b$, $P_a \neq P_b \in \mathcal{P}$, with $x_i = x_j$. Then for $\sigma = (i j) \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$, $\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$ but $\sigma \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, a contradiction, proving the second assertion.

The third assertion follows immediately from the definition of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ as the normalizer of $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$, item (2), and the relation $\text{Stab}_{\sigma(\mathbf{x})} = \sigma \text{Stab}_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma^{-1}$ (see [67, p. 3]). \square

2.1.3. Strata of the symmetric product. Let $\tilde{\pi}_\ell : X^\ell \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X) = X^\ell/\mathfrak{S}_\ell$ be the projection. Define:

$$(2.1.7) \quad \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{\pi}_\ell \left(\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \right).$$

Lemma 2.1.3 implies that

$$(2.1.8) \quad \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/W(\mathcal{P}).$$

The proof of the following relations between $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is elementary.

LEMMA 2.1.4. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ and let $[\mathcal{P}]$ denote the orbit of \mathcal{P} under \mathfrak{S}_ℓ . Then,*

$$\tilde{\pi}_\ell^{-1} \left(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \right) = \cup_{\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}]} \Delta^\circ(X, \mathcal{P}').$$

2.2. Incidence relations

We now describe incidence relations among the diagonals of X^ℓ and the resulting relations among the strata Σ of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

DEFINITION 2.2.1. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be partitions of N_ℓ . We say \mathcal{P}' is a *refinement* of \mathcal{P} , or $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, if for every $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ there is $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P' \subseteq P$.

LEMMA 2.2.2. *If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are partitions of N_ℓ then $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \subset \Delta(X, \mathcal{P}')$ if and only if $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$.*

PROOF. If \mathcal{P}' is a refinement of \mathcal{P} and $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then for every $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $i, j \in P'$, there is $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $i, j \in P' \subset P$. Since $i, j \in P \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $x_i = x_j$ so $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta(X, \mathcal{P}')$ proving one implication.

Conversely, if $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \subset \Delta(X, \mathcal{P}')$ and $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$, then because every $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is also in $\Delta(X, \mathcal{P}')$ we see that for every $i, j \in P'$, $x_i = x_j$. This implies (by the only if in the definition of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$) that there must be $P \in \mathcal{P}$ with $i, j \in P$. Thus, $P' \subset P$ and $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. \square

Now, we compare the incidence relations with the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$. Recall that for a partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , $[\mathcal{P}]$ denoted the orbit of \mathcal{P} under the action (2.1.1) of \mathfrak{S}_ℓ on the set of partitions of N_ℓ . For \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' partitions of N_ℓ , define

$$(2.2.1) \quad [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'] = \{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}'] : \mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}''\}.$$

EXAMPLE 2.2.3. We now give an example to show that while $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ by the action (2.1.1), this action need not be transitive.

Consider the partitions $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, P_2\}$ where $P_1 = \{1, 2\}$ and $P_2 = \{3, 4, 5\}$, and $\mathcal{P}' = \{Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4\}$ where $Q_1 = \{1\}$, $Q_2 = \{2\}$, $Q_3 = \{3\}$, $Q_4 = \{4, 5\}$. Observe that $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ because $Q_1, Q_2 \subset P_1$ and $Q_3, Q_4 \subset P_2$. Define $\sigma = (1\ 4)(2\ 5) \in \mathfrak{S}_5$. Then $\sigma(\mathcal{P}') = \mathcal{P}'' = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4\}$ where $R_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $R_2 = \{3\}$, $R_3 = \{4\}$ and $R_4 = \{5\}$. Because $R_1 = P_1$ and $R_2, R_3, R_4 \subset P_2$, we have $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}''$. Thus $\mathcal{P}', \sigma(\mathcal{P}') \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ but $\sigma \notin \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ because σ does not satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 2.1.2.

The next lemma follows from the definition of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ (see (2.1.7)) as well as Lemma 2.2.2 and item (3) in Lemma 2.1.4.

LEMMA 2.2.4. *If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are partitions of N_ℓ then*

$$\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \subset \text{cl}_{\text{Sym}^\ell(X)} \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$$

if and only if there is $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}']$ with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}''$.

2.3. Normal bundles

We now introduce the normal bundles of the diagonals $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ of X^ℓ .

LEMMA 2.3.1. *Let \mathcal{P} be any partition of N_ℓ . The tangent bundle of the submanifold $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is given by:*

(2.3.1)

$$T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \{(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in TX^\ell : v_i = v_j \text{ if and only if there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } i, j \in P\}.$$

If g is any metric on X , then the orthogonal complement of $T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ with respect to the metric on X^ℓ given by the ℓ -fold product of g is the following normal bundle of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$:

$$(2.3.2) \quad \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) := \{(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in TX^\ell|_{\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})} : \sum_{i \in P} v_i = 0 \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

PROOF. Differentiate any path in $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ to see the form of $T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ appearing in equation (2.3.1). For $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, define $v_P = v_i$ for any i with $i \in P$. Then, if $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_\ell) \in \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, the equality

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w} = \sum_i v_i \cdot w_i = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} v_P \cdot \left(\sum_{i \in P} w_i \right) = 0,$$

which holds for any product metric on X^ℓ , implies that the bundle in equation (2.3.2) is contained in the orthogonal complement of $T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Since the above inclusion must hold for any value of v_P , we see that any element of the orthogonal complement of $T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ must satisfy the equations defining the bundle in (2.3.2). \square

The preceding gives the following description of a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$.

LEMMA 2.3.2. *There is an open neighborhood, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \subset \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, of the zero-section and an $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant exponential map identifying $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ with an open neighborhood, $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in X^ℓ .*

REMARK 2.3.3. If \mathcal{P} is the partition of N_ℓ given by $\ell = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$, so $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is the complement of the big diagonal in X^ℓ , then the normal bundle (2.3.2) is trivial in the sense that it is equal to $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. The diagonal $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is an open, dense subspace of X^ℓ and equal to the open neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ of Lemma 2.3.2.

There is an obvious $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ action on the normal bundle $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. We will write $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ for the quotient $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$. Because the exponential map is $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant, equation (2.1.8) implies:

LEMMA 2.3.4. *Continue the notation of Lemma 2.3.2. If $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$, then a neighborhood,*

$$\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$.

We now identify the intersection of $\Delta^\circ(X, \mathcal{P}')$ with $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$.

LEMMA 2.3.5. *If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ are partitions of N_ℓ and*

$$(2.3.3) \quad \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$$

$$= \{(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) : v_i = v_j \text{ if and only if there is } P' \in \mathcal{P}' \text{ with } i, j \in P'\},$$

then the intersection of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ with $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is homeomorphic to $\tilde{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) = \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') \cap \tilde{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$.

PROOF. This follows immediately from the equivariance of the exponential map. \square

Thus, a neighborhood of the lower diagonal $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ can be described by the bundle $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$. However, the end of the stratum $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ near the lower stratum $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ can be more complicated than a quotient of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ by a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$. Indeed, $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ need not be an invariant subspace of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$. Recall from Lemma 2.1.4 that $\tilde{\pi}_\ell^{-1}(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}))$ is not just $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ but rather all the diagonals given by a partition in $[\mathcal{P}]$, the orbit of \mathcal{P} under the action (2.1.1). Thus, while we can cover $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, to account for all the ends of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ which get mapped by $\tilde{\pi}_\ell$ to a neighborhood of the lower stratum, $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, we must consider all diagonals $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}'')$ where $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}']$ and $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}''$. That is, we must consider all partitions $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, where $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ is defined in (2.2.1). The next lemma follows from Lemmas 2.3.2 and 2.3.5.

LEMMA 2.3.6. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Let $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ be the set of partitions defined in (2.2.1). Then a neighborhood of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero-section in*

$$(2.3.4) \quad \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}']) = \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}']) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

where

$$\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}']) = \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'').$$

REMARK 2.3.7. As noted in Example 2.2.3, $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ need not act transitively on $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$. The orbits of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ in $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ will enumerate the components of the end of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ in a neighborhood of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$.

2.4. Enumerating strata

We will often need to give induction arguments on strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$. To that end, we now give a method of enumerating these strata.

Pick a representative, \mathcal{P} , from each orbit of partitions, $[\mathcal{P}]$, under the action (2.1.1). Let \mathcal{P}_0 be the crudest partition, $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{N_\ell\}$. Enumerate the remaining representatives in such a way that

$$\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) \subset \text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j) \quad \text{only if } i < j.$$

Write these partitions as $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r$ so that $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_0)$ is the lowest stratum and $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_r)$ is the highest.

CHAPTER 3

The Thom-Mather structure of the symmetric product

In this section, we define tubular neighborhood structures on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$. By tubular neighborhood structures we mean on a stratified space Z with strata Σ_i , we mean neighborhoods U_i of Σ_i with *tubular neighborhood projections* $\pi_i : U_i \rightarrow \Sigma_i$ and *tubular distance functions* $t_i : U_i \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying $t_i^{-1}(0) = \Sigma_i$ and for $\Sigma_i \subset \text{cl}_Z(\Sigma_j)$ the compatibility conditions

$$(3.0.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_i \circ \pi_j &= \pi_i, \\ t_i \circ \pi_j &= t_i. \end{aligned}$$

These conditions are similar to the control conditions of a Thom-Mather stratification (see [24, p. 42]). While it is well known that $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, being the quotient of the smooth manifold X^ℓ by the action of a finite group, admits the structure of a Whitney and hence a Thom-Mather stratification (see e.g. [54, Theorem 4.3.7]), we give an explicit construction here for two reasons. First, we shall need the explicit construction of these projection and distance functions to compare them with the functions of a tubular neighborhood structure on neighborhoods of $M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$. Second, this presentation serves as an introduction to a technique for constructing tubular neighborhood structures which we shall employ again in later sections in less familiar settings.

We note here that we do not entirely succeed in constructing a tubular neighborhood structure. Instead of an \mathbb{R} -valued tubular distance function, we define a vector-valued function. This function does not satisfy the second equation in (3.0.1), but the projection maps do respect the tubular neighborhoods defined by this function in a manner specified in Lemma 3.6.3.

To construct the tubular neighborhood structure on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, we begin by constructing on one X^ℓ for the stratification given by the diagonals of X^ℓ . We begin in §3.1 by defining subspaces of $(\mathbb{R}^4)^\ell$ which will appear as the fibers of the normal bundles of §2.3 and defining stratifications of these subspaces and tubular neighborhoods of these stratifications. To use the normal bundles of §2.3 to construct tubular neighborhood structures on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$, we define an exponential map with a varying metric in §3.2. We describe the overlap of the exponential maps from these normal bundles in §3.3 and in §3.4, we construct families of metrics so that the projection maps defined by the associated exponential maps satisfy the first equation in (3.0.1). Then, we show how this tubular neighborhood structure on X^ℓ descends to one on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in §3.5. In §3.6, we define the tubular distance function and show how while it does not satisfy the second equation of (3.0.1), we do have sufficient control over its interaction with the projection maps. Finally, in §3.7, we use the tubular neighborhood structure to produce a partition of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ into compact subsets of these

tubular neighborhoods which will be used to patch together maps to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ which are homotopic but not equal.

3.1. Diagonals in \mathbb{R}^4

The fiber of the normal bundles in §2.3 are defined by the subspace of mass-centered points in $\text{Sym}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^4)$. Hence, for any subset P of N_ℓ , define

$$(3.1.1) \quad z_P : \bigoplus_{i \in P} \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4, \quad z_P((v_i)_{i \in P}) = \sum_i v_i, \quad \text{and} \quad Z_P = z_P^{-1}(0) \subset \bigoplus_{i \in P} \mathbb{R}^4.$$

We will write z_ℓ for z_{N_ℓ} . We define the *cone point*

$$(3.1.2) \quad c_P \in Z_P$$

to be the zero vector in $\bigoplus_{i \in P} \mathbb{R}^4$. Note that if $|P| = 1$, then $Z_P = \{c_P\}$. The fiber of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is then given by (compare (2.3.2))

$$(3.1.3) \quad Z(\mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P.$$

For $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ partitions of N_ℓ , define a partition of each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ by

$$(3.1.4) \quad \mathcal{P}'_P = \{P' \in \mathcal{P}' : P' \subseteq P\}.$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then $\mathcal{P}'_P = \{P\}$. If we define

$$(3.1.5) \quad \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) = \{(v_i)_{i \in P} \in Z_P : v_i = v_j \text{ if and only if there is } P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P \text{ with } i, j \in P'\},$$

then the fiber of the bundle $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ of equation (2.3.3) is given by:

$$(3.1.6) \quad Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P).$$

Note that if \mathcal{P}'_P is given by one set, P , as is the case when $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is a single point, given by $|P|$ copies of the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^4 .

We define a cone parameter on Z_P by the map

$$(3.1.7) \quad t_{P,0} : Z_P \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad t_{P,0}((v_i)_{i \in P}) = \sum_{i \in P} |v_i|^2.$$

Then $t_{P,0}^{-1}(0) = c_P$ and there is a deformation retraction of Z_P to c_P given by

$$(3.1.8) \quad r_P : Z_P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z_P, \quad r_P((v_i)_{i \in P}, s) = (sv_i)_{i \in P}.$$

Observe that $r_P(\cdot, 1)$ is the identity map, while $r_P(\cdot, 0) = c_P$. Moreover,

$$(3.1.9) \quad t_{P,0} \circ r_P(\cdot, s) = s^2 t_{P,0}(\cdot).$$

Hence, there is a homeomorphism between Z_P and the cone on $t_{P,0}^{-1}(1)$.

The following lemma describes the normal bundle of $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \subset z_P^{-1}(0)$.

LEMMA 3.1.1. *Let \mathcal{P}'_P be a partition of P . Then the restriction of the map*

$$(3.1.10) \quad e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) : \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} Z_{P'} \rightarrow Z_P$$

defined by

$$(3.1.11) \quad \left((v_i)_{i \in P}, (z_j)_{j \in P', P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \right) \rightarrow (v_i + z_i)_{i \in P}$$

to a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ of the subspace

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \{c_{P'}\}$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image, $\mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$.

PROOF. We observe that the map (3.1.11) can be inverted by the center of mass map

$$(3.1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (w_i)_{i \in P} &\rightarrow \left((v_i)_{i \in P}, (z_i)_{i \in P', P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \right) \\ v_i &= \frac{1}{|P'|} \sum_{j \in P'} w_j, \quad \text{for } i \in P', \\ z_j &= w_j - v_j. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that the above map is an inverse because $\sum_{j \in P'} z_j = 0$ by the definition of $Z_{P'}$.) However, the map (3.1.12) only takes values in

$$\Delta(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} Z_{P'}$$

if we restrict to a sufficiently small neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$. \square

REMARK 3.1.2. As in Remark 2.3.3, if \mathcal{P}'_P is the finest partition of P , made of subsets all of size one, then for all $P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P$ $Z_{P'}$ is the cone point and the map $e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is the identity map. In this case, $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) = \mathcal{O}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is an open and dense subset of Z_P .

REMARK 3.1.3. Observe that the map $e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is equivariant with respect to the \mathbb{R}^+ actions given by scalar multiplication on all factors in the domain,

$$\left((v_i)_{i \in P}, (z_j)_{j \in P', P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \right) \mapsto \left((sv_i)_{i \in P}, (sz_j)_{j \in P', P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \right),$$

and the map $r_P(\cdot, s)$ on Z_P .

Because Z_P is a normal topological space, we can find open neighborhoods $U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ of $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ for every partition \mathcal{P}'_P of P such that $U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \cap U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P)$ is empty unless $\mathcal{P}'_P < \mathcal{P}''_P$ or $\mathcal{P}''_P < \mathcal{P}'_P$. By Remark 3.1.3, we can assume the neighborhoods $U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ are closed under the maps $r_P(\cdot, s)$ for $s \in [0, 1]$. The normal bundle structure given by the map (3.1.11) then defines a tubular neighborhood projection,

$$(3.1.13) \quad \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) : U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P),$$

given by the v_i component of the map (3.1.12):

$$(3.1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)((w_i)_{i \in P}) &= (v_i)_{i \in P}, \\ \text{where for } i \in P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P, v_i &= \frac{1}{|P'|} \sum_{j \in P'} w_j. \end{aligned}$$

In the top stratum case described in Remark 3.1.2, the map $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is just the identity map. By construction, the maps $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ commute with the map r_P of (3.1.8) in the sense that

$$(3.1.15) \quad r_P(\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)(\cdot), s) = \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)(r_P(\cdot, s))$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

The following lemma shows that the maps $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ satisfy the first equality of (3.0.1).

LEMMA 3.1.4. *If $\mathcal{P}'_P < \mathcal{P}''_P$ are partitions of P , then on the intersection $U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \cap U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P)$, the equality*

$$(3.1.16) \quad \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \circ \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) = \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$$

holds.

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definition (3.1.14). \square

We use the above set of consistent tubular neighborhoods to define a deformation of the scale function $t_{P,0}$ which is constant on the fibers of the maps $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$.

LEMMA 3.1.5. *There are*

- (1) *A smooth function $t(Z_P) : t_{P,0}^{-1}([0, 1]) \subset Z_P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$,*
- (2) *Open neighborhoods $U'(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \Subset U(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \cap t_{P,0}^{-1}([0, 1])$ of the diagonals $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \cap t_{P,0}^{-1}([0, 1])$*

satisfying

- (1) *If $c_P \in Z_P$ is the cone point defined in (3.1.2), $t(Z_P)^{-1}(0) = c_P$,*
- (2) *If r_P is the map defined in (3.1.8), then for all $s \in [0, 1]$,*

$$(3.1.17) \quad t(Z_P)(r_P(\cdot, s)) = s^2 t(Z_P)(\cdot),$$

- (3) *For every partition \mathcal{P}'_P of P of length greater than one,*

$$(3.1.18) \quad t(Z_P) \circ \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) = t(Z_P),$$

on $U'(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$,

- (4) *The function is invariant with respect to the permutation action of \mathfrak{S}_P and the diagonal rotation action of $\text{SO}(4)$ on Z_P .*

PROOF. Enumerate the conjugacy classes of partitions of P , $[\mathcal{P}_0], \dots, [\mathcal{P}_n]$ in the manner described in §2.4. That is, for $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_i]$ and $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$, $\Delta(Z_P, \mathcal{P}) \subset \text{cl } \Delta(Z_P, \mathcal{P}')$ only if $i < j$. We will construct a sequence of functions t_0, \dots, t_n such that t_i satisfies (3.1.18) with respect to $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$ for any partition $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$ with $j < i$.

Begin with $t_0 = t_{P,0}$. This function is invariant with respect to the actions of $\text{SO}(4)$ and \mathfrak{S}_P and satisfies (3.1.17).

Assume we have defined $t_i : Z_P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying items (1), (2), and (4) of the lemma and satisfying (3.1.18) for all partitions $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$ with $j < i$.

There are neighborhoods

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \Subset \mathcal{U}_2 \Subset \mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}_i)$$

of $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}_P)$ which are closed under the $\text{SO}(4)$ action and under the action of $\mathbb{R}^{>0} = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : s > 0\}$ given by $r_P(\cdot, s)$. Let \mathcal{V}_i be the orbit of \mathcal{U}_i under the action of \mathfrak{S}_P . By shrinking \mathcal{U}_i if necessary, we can assume that either $\mathcal{U}_i = \sigma(\mathcal{U}_i)$ or $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \sigma(\mathcal{U}_i) = \emptyset$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_P$. Let $\beta_i : Z_P \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function, invariant under the actions of $\text{SO}(4)$, \mathfrak{S}_P , and $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$, supported on \mathcal{V}_2 , and satisfying $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \beta_i^{-1}(1)$. Let

$$\pi_i = \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_i]} \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_i]} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}).$$

Observe that π_i is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\text{SO}(4)$, \mathfrak{S}_P , and $\mathbb{R}^{>0}$. On \mathcal{V}_2 , we define

$$t_{i+1}(\cdot) = \beta_i(\cdot)(t_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot) + (1 - \beta_i(\cdot))t_i(\cdot).$$

Extend t_{i+1} to Z_P by setting $t_{i+1} = t_i$ on $Z_P - \mathcal{V}_2$.

By the invariance of β_i and t_i with respect to the actions of $\text{SO}(4)$ and \mathfrak{S}_P , and the equivariance of π_i with respect to these actions, t_{i+1} is invariant with respect to these actions. The same argument shows that t_{i+1} satisfies (3.1.17), that is, $t_{i+1}(r_P(\cdot, s)) = s^2 t_{i+1}(\cdot)$.

For $j < i$ and $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$, t_i is constant on the fibers of $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$ by our inductive assumption. The relation (3.1.16) implies that on $\mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}'(X_P, \mathcal{P})$, the fibers of π_i are contained in those of $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$ and thus $t_i \circ \pi_i$ equals t_i on $\mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}'(X_P, \mathcal{P})$. This implies that on $\mathcal{V}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}'(X_P, \mathcal{P})$ any convex linear combination of $t_i \circ \pi_i$ and t_i equals t_i . Hence for any $j < i$ and any $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$, the restriction of t_{i+1} to $\mathcal{U}(X_P, \mathcal{P})$ equals the restriction of t_i . By definition, this equality also holds on the complement of \mathcal{V}_2 . Thus, t_{i+1} satisfies (3.1.18) for any $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_j]$ and $j < i$. We further observe that on $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \beta_i^{-1}(1)$, $t_{i+1} = t_i \circ \pi_i$ while the equality $\pi_i \circ \pi_i$ implies that

$$(t_{i+1} \circ \pi_i)(\cdot) = (\beta_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot)(t_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot) + (1 - (\beta_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot))(t_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot) = (t_i \circ \pi_i)(\cdot).$$

Hence if for $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_i]$, we define $\mathcal{U}'(Z_P, \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$, then (3.1.18) holds, completing the induction. \square

3.2. Families of metrics

A metric g on X determines a product metric on X^ℓ and thus exponential maps of the normal bundles of the diagonal. We will use a non-standard diffeomorphism of a neighborhood of the zero-section in the normal bundle $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ with a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in X^ℓ . This diffeomorphism will be defined by varying the metric on X and thus the resulting product metric on X^ℓ . We begin by noting that it is possible to define an such exponential map with a varying metric.

LEMMA 3.2.1. *If $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a smooth family of metrics on X parameterized by $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then there is an open neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ of the zero-section and a smooth embedding,*

$$(3.2.1) \quad e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow X^\ell,$$

parameterizing an open neighborhood, $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in X^ℓ such that the restriction of the map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ to a fiber $\tilde{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})|_{\mathbf{x}}$ is given by the exponential map defined by the ℓ -fold product of the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$.

PROOF. We claim that the derivative of the map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ at a point p on the zero-section of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is the identity. We identify this zero-section with $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ throughout this discussion. Over a point p in this zero section, the tangent bundle of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ admits a decomposition into the direct sum of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})|_p$ and $T_p\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. By definition of the exponential map, $De(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})_p(v) = v$ for any $v \in \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})|_p$. To evaluate $De(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})_p(w)$ for $w \in T_p\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ be a smooth path with $\gamma'(0) = w$. Then for all t , $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(t)$. Differentiating the preceding equality yields $De(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})_p(w) = w$, so $De(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})_p$ is the identity. Hence, $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ defines an embedding of a neighborhood of the zero-section. \square

We can assume that the neighborhood $\tilde{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is sufficiently small to have the following property.

LEMMA 3.2.2. *Continue the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2.1. The open neighborhood $\tilde{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ can be chosen so that if $\pi_i : X^\ell \rightarrow X$ is projection onto the i -th factor, then the images of $\pi_i \circ e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\pi_j \circ e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ are disjoint for $i \neq j$.*

PROOF. For $(x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, the points x_P are distinct. Hence, we can choose balls around the origin in $T_{x_P}X$ whose image under the exponential map are disjoint, thus satisfying the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The image of the exponential map in (3.2.1) is a tubular neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Such a tubular neighborhood, $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset X^\ell$, defines a projection

$$(3.2.2) \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$$

by the composition of $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}$ and the projection $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X, \mathcal{P})$.

REMARK 3.2.3. If \mathcal{P} is the finest partition as described in Remark 2.3.3, then the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and the projection map $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ both equal the identity map.

For any smooth n -manifold M , let $\text{Fr}_{\text{GL}}(TM)$ denote the $\text{GL}(n)$ -bundle of frames of tangent vectors. Define $\text{Fr}_{\text{GL}}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ as:

$$\text{Fr}_{\text{GL}}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \{(F_1, \dots, F_\ell) \in \text{Fr}(TX^\ell)|_{\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})} : F_i = F_j \text{ for all } i, j \in P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

If $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a family of metrics parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then we define $\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ to be the subbundle of $\text{Fr}_{\text{GL}}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ of frames such that if $(F_1, \dots, F_\ell) \in \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})|_{\mathbf{x}}$ then F_i is orthonormal with respect to $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$. The structure group of $\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is

$$(3.2.3) \quad \tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P}) = \text{SO}(4)^{r(\mathcal{P})} = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{SO}(4), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_{r(\mathcal{P})}\}.$$

Then for

$$(3.2.4) \quad Z(\mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P,$$

we have the identity:

$$(3.2.5) \quad \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} Z(\mathcal{P}).$$

Lemma 2.3.5 implies the following.

LEMMA 3.2.4. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Let $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ be the bundle defined in (2.3.3). Then, the restriction of the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ to*

$$(3.2.6) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$$

takes values in $\Delta(X, \mathcal{P}')$.

We have the following relation between the bundles $\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ for different partitions \mathcal{P} .

LEMMA 3.2.5. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ be the image of the restriction of the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ to the domain in (3.2.6). Let $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ be smooth families of metrics parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and $\Delta^\circ(X, \mathcal{P}')$ respectively. Assume that $g_{\mathcal{P}', \mathbf{x}'} = g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ if $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}$. Then,*

$$(3.2.7) \quad \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})|_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})} \simeq \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P}'_P) \right).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2.4, a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ is diffeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero-section in

$$(3.2.8) \quad \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') \cong \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \subset \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$$

by the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$. The isomorphism (3.2.7) is then defined by the obvious parallel translation of the frames in $\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ to the point in $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ given by the data in (3.2.8). \square

3.3. Overlap maps

Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . To define tubular neighborhoods and projection maps which satisfy the conditions (3.0.1), we define a space to control the overlap of the tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}(X, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\mathcal{U}(X, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ as follows. Begin by defining an overlap fiber bundle:

$$(3.3.1) \quad \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') = \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} Z_{P'} \right).$$

We will define an open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$ and maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d}$ so that the diagram

$$(3.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}} & \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d} \downarrow & & e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})} & X^\ell \end{array}$$

commutes and controls the overlaps of the images of the exponential maps $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$.

3.3.1. The downwards overlap map. The downwards overlap map,

$$(3.3.3) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d} : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$$

is given by the fiberwise inclusions defined by the map of equation (3.1.10),

$$e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) : \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} Z_{P'} \rightarrow Z_P.$$

Note that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is a point and $e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is the identity map on Z_P . Because $e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is $\text{SO}(4)$ -equivariant, the product

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) : \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} Z_{P'}) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P$$

is $G(T, \mathcal{P})$ -equivariant and thus extends to the domain and range given in (3.3.3). The open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ must satisfy

$$(3.3.4) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d})^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \right)$$

for the composition in the diagram (3.3.2) to be defined.

3.3.2. The upwards overlap map. We define the upwards overlap map,

$$(3.3.5) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u} : \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$$

as follows. Let

$$(3.3.6) \quad \pi_1 : \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$$

be the projection which is obvious from the definitions (3.2.8) and (3.3.1) (delete the factors of $Z_{P'}$). We assume that the open set $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfies

$$(3.3.7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq \pi_1^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \right).$$

Then, the composition $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi_1$ is defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and takes values in $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$.

We now define the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}$. We make the assumption on the families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ appearing in Lemma 3.2.5. Given a point in $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$, lying over $\mathbf{y} = (y_P) \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, define a point $\mathbf{y}' = (y_{P'})_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ by the composition

$$e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi_1 : \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}').$$

Then, for $P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P$ (where $P \in \mathcal{P}$), define $F_{P'}$ to be the parallel translation of the frame $F_P \in \text{Fr}(TX)|_{y_P}$ from y_P to $y'_{P'}$ using the Levi-Civita connection defined by the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}$. Leave the data in $Z_{P'}$ alone. The result is an element of

$$\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})|_{\mathbf{y}'} \times_{G(T, \mathcal{P}')} \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'} Z_{P'} = \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')|_{\mathbf{y}'},$$

thus defining the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}$. Observe that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, the parallel translation for the P -th component will be trivial because $\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is $|P|$ -copies of the zero vector.

3.3.3. Commuting overlaps maps. We now define conditions on the metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ which ensure that the diagram (3.3.2) commutes. The diagram will commute if we chose the families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ in such a way as to eliminate holonomy. To do this, we introduce the notion of a locally flattened metric.

DEFINITION 3.3.1. If $A \subset X^\ell$, then the *support* of A in X is the union of the images of A under the projection maps $X^\ell \rightarrow X$.

Let $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ be a smooth family of metrics on X parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}' \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ be a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. The family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is *locally flat with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$* at $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ if the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ is flat on the support of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}' \cap \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$ in X . The family of metrics is *locally flat with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'$* if this holds for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$.

The following lemma shows that the diagram (3.3.2) commutes when the metrics are locally flat in the sense of the preceding definition.

LEMMA 3.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Assume that the smooth families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ satisfy*

- (1) *The metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ are flat with respect to a neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}' \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$,*
- (2) *For $\mathbf{y}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ with $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y}$, the metrics satisfy $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}} = g_{\mathcal{P}', \mathbf{y}'}$.*

Then there is a neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of the zero-section of the bundle (3.3.1) such that the diagram (3.3.2) commutes when restricted to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$.

PROOF. We observe that for the compositions,

$$e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d} \quad \text{and} \quad e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}$$

to be defined, the neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ must satisfy the inclusion relations (3.3.4) and (3.3.7). However, we replace the condition (3.3.4) with the stronger requirement that

$$(3.3.8) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'(X, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq (e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d})^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}').$$

On any open subset satisfying the above condition, the composition

$$e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d}$$

is defined by the exponential map of the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}$ of the vectors obtained by the appropriate adding of the vectors in the fiber of $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$. The composition

$$e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, u}$$

is defined by first parallel translating the vectors defined by the elements of $Z_{\mathcal{P}'}$ from \mathbf{y} to the nearby points in the support of \mathbf{y}' , and then exponentiating. Because, by (3.3.8) and the first assumption on the metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$, the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}$ is flat where this parallel translation takes place, the two compositions are equal. \square

3.3.4. The projection maps. We now show the commutativity of the diagram (3.3.2) will imply that the first Thom-Mather property holds.

LEMMA 3.3.3. *Continue the hypotheses and notation of Lemma 3.3.2. Then, restricted to $\tilde{U}' \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$, the equality*

$$(3.3.9) \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$$

holds.

PROOF. The subspace $\tilde{U}' \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ is in the image of the composition $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d}$. The equality follows from the observation that the pullback of $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ by $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X, d}$ is given by the projection π_1 of (3.3.6). \square

3.4. Constructing the families of flattened metrics

We now construct the families of metrics satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.3.2 and thus yielding projection maps $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ which satisfy (3.3.9). The first step is to construct a locally flat family of metrics.

LEMMA 3.4.1. *If $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a family of metrics smoothly parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then there is a family of smooth metrics $F(g_{\mathcal{P}})$ smoothly parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ such that*

- (1) $F(g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is locally flat with respect to a tubular neighborhood $\tilde{U}' \subseteq \tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$,
- (2) For every $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, $F(g_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathbf{x}}$ is equal to $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ on the complement of the support of $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$,
- (3) The families $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $F(g_{\mathcal{P}})$ are C^1 close within the support of \tilde{U}' ,
- (4) The exponential maps $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $e(X^\ell, F(g_{\mathcal{P}}))$ are equal.
- (5) If $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is already flat with respect to $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then $F(g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}) = g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$.

PROOF. Let $\tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ be the tubular neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ defined by the family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$, so $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \simeq \tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$. For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let $s_P : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a smooth function such that

$$(3.4.1) \quad \{(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})|_{\mathbf{x}} : |v_i| \leq 4s_P(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } i \in P \text{ and for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\} \subset \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta^\circ(\mathcal{P})$ and $(F_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})|_{\mathbf{x}}$, the frame F_P and the exponential map of the metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ identify $B(0, 4s_P(\mathbf{x})) \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ with $B_{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}}(x_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{x}))$. Let δ_{x_P} denote the flat metric on $B_{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}}(x_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{x}))$ given by the pushforward of the Euclidean metric on $B(0, 4s_P(\mathbf{x}))$ by the exponential map. For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, let $\chi_\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying: $\chi_\lambda(x) = 0$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda$ and $\chi_\lambda(x) = 1$ for $x \geq \lambda$. Then, we define $F(g)_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ by:

$$(3.4.2) \quad F(g)_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}} = \begin{cases} g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}} & \text{on } X \setminus \cup_i B_{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}}(x_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{x})), \\ \chi_{4s_P(\mathbf{x})}(|x|)g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}} + (1 - \chi_{4s_P(\mathbf{x})}(|x|))\delta_{x_P} & \text{on } \Omega_{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}}(x_P, 2s_P(\mathbf{x}), 4s_P(\mathbf{x})), \\ \delta_{x_P} & \text{on } B_{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}}(x_P, 2s_P(\mathbf{x})) \end{cases}$$

where x refers to the coordinates given by the $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ exponential map.

The resulting family $F(g)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is locally flat with respect to the tubular neighborhood \tilde{U}' defined by replacing $4s_P(\mathbf{x})$ with $2s_P(\mathbf{x})$ in (3.4.1).

Because the metric $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}}$ has not been changed at $x_{\mathcal{P}}$, the frame bundles do not change. If the metric $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}}$ is flat on $B(x_{\mathcal{P}}, 4s_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{x}))$, then it is given by the Euclidean metric in exponential coordinates, by [63, Vol. II, Ch. 7, Thm. 11, p. 306]. Thus, $\delta_{x_{\mathcal{P}}} = g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}}$ and the interpolation in (3.4.2) does not change the metric. \square

We now construct the desired families of metrics.

LEMMA 3.4.2. *For each partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , there is a smooth family of metrics on X , $g_{\mathcal{P}}$, defining tubular neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ by their exponential maps, such that*

- (1) *The family $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ is locally flat with respect to a tubular neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$,*
- (2) *If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, then for all $\mathbf{x}' \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, $g_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbf{x}'} = g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}}$ where $\mathbf{x} = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}')$,*
- (3) *For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$, $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}} = g_{\sigma(\mathcal{P}),\sigma(\mathbf{x})}$.*

PROOF. The proof is by induction on the partitions \mathcal{P} . We will construct the family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ for one partition in the orbit $[\mathcal{P}]$ and then use the third item in the lemma to define $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ for all other $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}]$.

Fix a metric g on X . To every partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , define an initial family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ by $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}} = g$.

Let \mathcal{P}_0 be the crudest partition. Redefine $g_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ by applying the flattening procedure of Lemma 3.4.1. Then the redefined family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ is locally flat with respect to the tubular neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_0, g_{\mathcal{P}_0})$ given in Lemma 3.4.1.

Assume families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and tubular neighborhoods $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfying the conclusions of the lemma have been defined for all $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. There are two families of metrics on X parameterized by the intersection

$$(3.4.3) \quad \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

There is the initial family $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ and the family $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^* g_{\mathcal{P}}$ which is defined by

$$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^* g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}'} = g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{x}} \quad \text{where} \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{x}.$$

Observe that, by shrinking the tubular neighborhoods if necessary, for $\mathcal{P}_1 < \mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 < \mathcal{P}'$ if the intersection

$$(3.4.4) \quad \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2})$$

is non-empty, then there is a relation $\mathcal{P}_1 < \mathcal{P}_2 < \mathcal{P}'$. Then, the relations

$$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2}) = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})$$

(which follows by induction and Lemma 3.3.3) and

$$g_{\mathcal{P}_2} = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})^* g_{\mathcal{P}_1}$$

imply that the families of metrics

$$(3.4.5) \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})^* g_{\mathcal{P}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})^* g_{\mathcal{P}_1}$$

are equal on (3.4.4). Thus we can form a new family of metrics by interpolating between $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ on

$$\Delta^\circ(X, \mathcal{P}') - \cup_{\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'} \tilde{\mathcal{U}}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}),$$

and the pullback metrics (3.4.5). By further shrinking the tubular neighborhoods $\tilde{U}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ and taking a sufficiently small neighborhood $\tilde{U}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ we can assume this interpolated family is locally flat with respect to $\tilde{U}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ on

$$(3.4.6) \quad \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap \left(\cup_{\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'} \tilde{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \right).$$

Then, apply the flattening procedure of Lemma 3.4.1 to this interpolated family. Because this family is already flat with respect to $\tilde{U}'(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ for \mathbf{x} in the open space (3.4.6), the flattening procedure does not change the interpolated family there. Thus, the new, flattened family satisfies the first two properties of the conclusion of the lemma, completing the induction. \square

3.5. Normal bundles of strata of $Sym^\ell(X)$

We now use the \mathfrak{S}_ℓ -equivariance of the construction of the previous section to define normal bundles of the strata $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $Sym^\ell(X)$. Recall from Lemma 2.3.6 that to describe the end of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ near $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ we have to consider the ends of the diagonals $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}'')$ near $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$.

The analogue of the bundle (3.3.1) appropriate to this discussion is then:

$$(3.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ &= \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} Z_Q). \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on the spaces

$$\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}), \quad \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P), \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} Z_Q,$$

by permuting the factors. The diagonal action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ on the preceding three spaces defines an action on $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$. Then, we define

$$(3.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ &= \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{G(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} Z_Q) \end{aligned}$$

where for $\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})$ as defined in (3.2.3),

$$G(T, \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P}) \rtimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Thus,

$$\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) = \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

We define,

$$(3.5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subseteq \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}), \\ & \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) / \mathfrak{S} \subseteq \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \end{aligned}$$

analogously to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$ in (3.3.4).

We define a downwards overlap map by

$$(3.5.4) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^d : \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

by extending the map of fibers given by

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P)$$

over the domain. Note that the quotient in (3.5.4) could be taken to be by $W(\mathcal{P})$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ as $\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ acts trivially. This phenomenon will occur frequently, but it as it will not affect the discussion we will not mention it again.

To define an upwards overlap map, we introduce the notation

$$(3.5.5) \quad \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}'', g_{\mathcal{P}''})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

The upwards transition map is then given by

$$(3.5.6) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^u : \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}), \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^u = \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^u.$$

The equivariance of the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ with respect to the symmetric group action implies that $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ defines an embedding,

$$(3.5.7) \quad e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X).$$

This equivariance and item (3) of Lemma 3.4.2 then imply that the exponential maps $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}''})$ define an embedding,

$$e(X^\ell, g_{[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}''}) : \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X).$$

PROPOSITION 3.5.1. *For each partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , let $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the family of metrics constructed in Lemma 3.4.2. Then the image of the map (3.5.7) defines a homeomorphism onto a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. For $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ partitions of N_ℓ , there is a commutative diagram,*

$$(3.5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{X,u}} & \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{X,d} \downarrow & & e(X^\ell, g_{[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}) \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})} & \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \end{array}$$

such that any point in $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ is in the image of the compositions $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^d$ and $e(X^\ell, g_{[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^u$.

PROOF. The proposition follows immediately from the \mathfrak{S}_ℓ -equivariance of the constructions of the preceding section. \square

We note that the projection maps $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ are $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant and thus define projection maps,

$$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$$

on the quotient. These maps on the quotient still satisfy (3.3.9).

3.6. The tubular distance function

Rather than a singular tubular distance function, $t_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we find it convenient to keep track of more cone parameters by the following construction. The functions $t(Z_P)$ defined in Lemma 3.1.5 are $\text{SO}(4)$ -equivariant and thus define functions,

$$t(Z_P) : \text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P \rightarrow [0, \infty).$$

For $I^{\mathcal{P}} = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} [0, 1]$, we define

$$(3.6.1) \quad \tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow I^{\mathcal{P}}, \quad \tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) = (t(Z_P) \circ e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^{-1})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}.$$

If \mathcal{P} is the finest partition described in Remark 2.3.3, then the function $\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is the zero map.

To define hypersurfaces and disk bundles in $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, we will use the following ‘‘square’’ sets:

$$(3.6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon) &= \{(t_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} : 0 \leq t_P < \varepsilon \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \\ \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon) &= \{(t_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} : 0 \leq t_P \leq \varepsilon \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \\ \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon) &= \{(t_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon) : t_P = \varepsilon \text{ for some } P \in \mathcal{P}\}. \end{aligned}$$

to define tubular neighborhoods of the diagonals. The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on $I^{\mathcal{P}}$ and thus on the sets (3.6.2) by permuting the factors. Observe that $\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and thus defines a map,

$$\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow I^{\mathcal{P}} / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

For $s \in [0, 1]$, we will write $s\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ for the function $(st_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ and $\tilde{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ for the P -th component of $\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$. The identification of $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ with an open subspace of the bundle $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ then gives the following result.

LEMMA 3.6.1. *For every partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , there is a smooth map*

$$r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}''(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}''(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}),$$

such that $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot, s)$ is a homeomorphism for all $s \in (0, 1]$ and $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfies

- (1) $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot, 1)$ is the identity map,
- (2) $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot, 0) = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot)$,
- (3) For all $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$(3.6.3) \quad \tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot, s) = s^2 \tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot).$$

If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, then on $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}''(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{U}}''(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$

$$(3.6.4) \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\cdot, s) = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot)$$

for all $s \in [0, 1]$.

PROOF. If \mathcal{P} is the finest partition, as described in Remark 2.3.3, set $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\cdot, s)$ equal to the identity map for all s . Otherwise, we define the map $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ by pushing forward a deformation retraction, $r_\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, on $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ to $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ by the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$. Let $r_P : Z_P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Z_P$ be the deformation retraction defined in (3.1.8). Then, for $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} [0, 1]$ the diagonal inclusion and $\text{id}_{Z, \mathcal{P}} : Z_P \rightarrow Z_P$ the identity map, the composition

$$\begin{array}{c} (\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P) \times [0, 1] \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{id}_{Z, P} \times \Delta_{\mathcal{P}} \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (Z_P \times [0, 1]) \\ (\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} r_P) \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P \end{array}$$

defines a $G(T, \mathcal{P})$ -equivariant deformation retraction and hence a deformation retraction

$$r_\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) : \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}).$$

If $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ is defined by pushing $r_\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ forward by $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, then $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ immediately satisfies the first two items of the lemma. The third item, (3.6.3), follows from the definitions of $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and (3.1.17). Finally, (3.6.4) follows immediately from the definition of $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ as a map of fibers of $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. \square

The following result describes the failure of the second Thom-Mather conditions in (3.0.1) for these maps.

LEMMA 3.6.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ and let $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ be the map defined in Lemma 3.6.1. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ and $s \in [0, 1]$, then*

- (1) For $P \notin \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $\vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) = \vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\mathbf{x}, s))$,
- (2) For $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $s^2 \vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) = \vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\mathbf{x}, s))$,
- (3) For $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $\vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) = \vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\mathbf{x})$.

PROOF. We prove the first item by showing that for $P \notin \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, the functions $t(Z_P)$ are constant on the fibers of $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ which contain the paths $s \mapsto r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\cdot, s)$. The definition of the upwards overlap map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$ implies that pulling the map $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ back by $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$, gives the projection map,

$$\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} Z_Q \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P).$$

From the definition of the downwards overlap map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$ in (3.5.4), we see that the image, of the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$ to the above fiber, is given by:

$$\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Im}(e(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P)) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) \subset \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P,$$

where the set $\mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is defined prior to (3.1.13). We focus on the restriction of $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$ to one of the components in the domain above and see that the result holds for each such restriction. Pushing this restriction of $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$ forward by $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$ to $\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ gives the map

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) : \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P,$$

where $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is defined in (3.1.12). Then, for all $P \notin \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, (3.1.18) implies that $t(Z_P)$ is constant on the fibers of $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$.

If $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, the equality (3.1.17), $t(Z_P)(r_P(\cdot, s)) = s^2 t(Z_P)(\cdot)$ yields the second item.

The third item follows by observing that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ then both $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$ are defined by the identity map on Z_P and the P -th components of $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$ and $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$ are both defined by $t(Z_P)$ and hence are equal. \square

Although the preceding lemma showed that the second Thom-Mather identity in (3.0.1) does not hold, the following lemma shows that for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, the restriction of the projection $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'})$ to a sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ preserves the tubular neighborhood defined by $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$.

LEMMA 3.6.3. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Let $A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ stand for either $D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ or $\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$, then*

- (1) *If $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$, then $(\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}))(\mathbf{x}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$,*
- (2) *If $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\mathbf{x}) \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')$ and $(\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}))(\mathbf{x}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$, then $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$.*

PROOF. The first item follows immediately from the first two items of Lemma 3.6.2. To prove the second item, we note that if $(\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}))(\mathbf{x}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ and $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x}) \notin A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$, the first item of Lemma 3.6.2 implies that there must be $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ and that the P -th component of $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x})$ is greater than (or greater than or equal to) ε . However, the third item of Lemma 3.6.2 and the assumption $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}'}) (\mathbf{x}) \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')$ imply that the P -th component of $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{x})$ is less than or equal to ε' which is strictly less than ε . This contradiction proves the third item. \square

3.7. Decomposing the strata

We now construct decompositions of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ to be used in the construction of the link of $M_5 \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ appearing in equation (1.6.1).

LEMMA 3.7.1. *Enumerate the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ as described in §2.4 using partitions $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$. To each partition \mathcal{P}_i , there is a small, positive parameter ε_i satisfying $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$ for $i < j$ such that if we define*

$$\begin{aligned} T_i &= \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) \cap \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)) - \cup_{j < i} \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)), \quad \text{for } i \neq n \\ T_n &= \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_n) - \cup_{j < n} \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)), \end{aligned}$$

then for $i \neq n$, $T_i \subseteq \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$, $\text{Sym}^\ell(X) = \cup_i T_i$, and this union is disjoint.

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definitions. \square

The decomposition of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in the previous lemma leads to decompositions of the strata $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$.

LEMMA 3.7.2. *Continue the hypotheses of Lemma 3.7.1 and let ε_i be the parameter constructed in Lemma 3.7.1. For \mathcal{P}_k one of the partitions in the given enumeration, define*

$$T_{k,j} = (\text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)) \cap T_j, \quad \text{and} \quad K_k = \text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k) - \cup_{i < k} T_{k,i}.$$

Then,

- (1) *There is an equality*

$$\text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k) = K_k \cup (\cup_{j < k} T_{k,j})$$

wherein the union on the right is disjoint,

- (2) *K_k is compact,*
 (3) *The restriction of $\pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$ to $T_{k,j}$ takes values in K_j .*

PROOF. The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 3.7.1. We note that K_k is compact by observing that it is a closed subset of the compact set $\text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$.

We now prove the third item. If $\mathbf{x} \in T_{k,j}$, then item (1) of Lemma 3.6.3 implies that $\mathbf{x}' = \pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)(\mathbf{x}) \in \vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_j))$. If $\pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)(\mathbf{x}) \notin K_j$, then there is $i < j$ such that $\vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)(\mathbf{x}') \in D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)$. However, $\mathbf{x} \in T_{k,j}$ implies that $\vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)(\mathbf{x}') \notin D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)$ contradicting item (2) of Lemma 3.6.3. \square

The spaces $T_i \subset \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ admit deformation retractions to $K_i \subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ as described in the following.

LEMMA 3.7.3. *If the parameters $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ defining T_i in Lemma 3.7.1, are sufficiently small, then for each partition $\mathcal{P}_i \neq \mathcal{P}_n$ there is a map*

$$r_i : \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X),$$

satisfying

- (1) *r_i is homotopic to the identity,*
- (2) *The restriction of r_i to T_i is equal to $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$,*
- (3) *$r_i(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)) \subset \text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$,*
- (4) *For $j < i$, $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j}) \circ r_i = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})$,*
- (5) *For $j < i$, the restriction of r_i to the complement of $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_j))$ is equal to the identity,*
- (6) *For $j < i$, and A_j equal to $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))$ or $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))$, $r_i(\mathbf{x}) \in A_j$ if and only if $x \in A_j$,*
- (7) *For $j < i$, $r_i(T_j) \subset T_j$,*
- (8) *$r_i(K_k \cup \cup_{j=k-1}^{i+1} T_{k,j}) = K_k \cup \cup_{j=k-1}^i T_{k,j}$,*
- (9) *The restriction of r_i to the complement of $\cup_{j \leq i} \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))$ is injective.*

PROOF. If the parameters ε_i are sufficiently small, then we can find neighborhoods,

$$\mathcal{O}_1(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \Subset \mathcal{O}_2(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \Subset \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}),$$

of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ such that

$$T_i = e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})(\mathcal{O}_1(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})) - \cup_{j < i} \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1} D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j),$$

and for all $j < i$,

$$(3.7.1) \quad e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})(\mathcal{O}_2(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})) \subseteq \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1} D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_j).$$

Then, there is a continuous function $p : \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $p^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{O}_1(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$ and $p^{-1}(1) = \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) - \mathcal{O}_2(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$. For $p_e = p \circ e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1}$, define r_i on $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$ by

$$r_i(\cdot) = r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})(\cdot, p_e(\cdot)),$$

and extend it as the identity on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X) - \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$. The first two items in the lemma follow immediately from the definition. The third item follows from noting that the maps r_P and thus $r(X^\ell, g_P)$ preserve the diagonals. The fourth item follows from (3.6.4). The fifth item follows from the construction of r_i and the inclusion (3.7.1).

We now prove the sixth item. If $\mathbf{x} \in A_j$, then items (1) and (2) of Lemma 3.6.2 imply that $r(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})(\mathbf{x}, s) \in A_j$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$ and thus $r_i(\mathbf{x}) \in A_j$. If $r_i(\mathbf{x}) \in A_j$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin A_j$, then $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{x}) \neq \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(r_i(\mathbf{x}))$. Item (1) of Lemma 3.6.2 implies that

$$\vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{x}) = \vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(r_i(\mathbf{x})) \leq \varepsilon_j$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_i \cap \mathcal{P}_j$. Thus $\mathbf{x} \notin A_j$ implies that there is $P \in \mathcal{P}_i \cap \mathcal{P}_j$ with $\vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{x}) \geq \varepsilon_j$. Item (3) in Lemma 3.6.2 then implies $\vec{t}^P(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})(\mathbf{x}) \geq \varepsilon_j$ so $\mathbf{x} \notin \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_j))$ and thus, by the fifth item, $r_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$, a contradiction.

Item (7) follows from the definition of T_i and item (6). Item (8) follows from the definition of $T_{k,j}$ and item (6). Item (9) follows from the definition of r_i and item (6). \square

In the following, we show how to use the maps r_i to construct a global map to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ from a collection of maps to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ which are approximately equal.

LEMMA 3.7.4. *Continue the hypotheses of Lemma 3.7.3. Let $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be an open cover of a topological space Y with $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ unless $i \leq j$ or $j \leq i$. For $i = 0, \dots, n$, let $f_i : U_i \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ be a continuous map satisfying*

- (1) For $j < k$, $f_k(U_k \cap U_j) \subset \cup_{i \leq j} T_i$,
- (2) For $i < j$, $\pi_i \circ f_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = f_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

Then there is a map $F : Y \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ such that $F|_{U_i}$ is homotopic to f_i .

PROOF. Define $m_i = r_0 \circ r_1 \circ \dots \circ r_i$ and let m_{-1} be the identity. Each map m_i is homotopic to the identity by item (1) in Lemma 3.7.3. If $g_i = m_{i-1} \circ f_i$, so $g_0 = f_0$, then g_i is homotopic to f_i . We claim that the maps g_i and g_j are equal on $U_i \cap U_j$ and thus define the global map F .

Arguing by upwards induction, assume that $g_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = g_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ for all $i, j < k$. We now prove that for $j < k$, $g_k|_{U_j \cap U_k} = g_j|_{U_j \cap U_k}$. Assume that there is $x \in U_j \cap U_k$ such that $g_k(x) \neq g_j(x)$. By the first assumption, there is $i \leq j$ such that $f_k(x) \in T_i$.

Item (7) of Lemma 3.7.3 and $f_k(x) \in T_i$ imply that

$$(3.7.2) \quad (r_{i+1} \circ \dots \circ r_{k-1} \circ f_k)(x) \in T_i.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_k(x) &= (m_{i-1} \circ r_i \circ r_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{k-1} \circ f_k)(x) \\
&= (m_{i-1} \circ \pi_i \circ r_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ r_{k-1} \circ f_k)(x) \quad \text{by item (2) of Lemma 3.7.3 and (3.7.2)} \\
&= (m_{i-1} \circ \pi_i \circ f_k)(x) \quad \text{by item (4) of Lemma 3.7.3} \\
&= (m_{i-1} \circ f_i)(x) \quad \text{by the second assumption} \\
&= g_i(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have $g_i(x) = g_j(x)$, which together with the previous equality $g_k(x) = g_i(x)$ gives the equality $g_j(x) = g_k(x)$ required to complete the proof. \square

CHAPTER 4

The instanton moduli space with spliced ends

The gluing maps defined in [14] define a tubular neighborhood structure for each stratum $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma$ of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ just as the exponential map $e(X^{\ell}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ did for the stratum $\Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})$. In this analogy, $M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ plays the same role as the space $Z_{\mathcal{P}}$, making up the fiber of the tubular neighborhood. Here, $M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ denotes the Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space of framed anti-self-dual connections on S^4 which are mass-centered and have scale less than δ . However, it will require much more work to establish the commutativity of the diagram analogous to (3.3.2) for the tubular neighborhood structure of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$. As described in the introduction, we work with splicing maps instead of gluing maps to establish such an equality because we have an explicit expression for the splicing map. To work with splicing maps instead of gluing maps, we must introduce a deformation of $M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ which we call the moduli space with spliced ends.

The moduli space with spliced ends will be identical to $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ except on an Uhlenbeck neighborhood of the punctured, centered symmetric product,

$$(4.0.3) \quad [\Theta] \times \left(\text{Sym}_{\delta}^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) \setminus c_{\kappa} \right)$$

where Θ is the trivial connection, $\text{Sym}_{\delta}^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is the subspace of mass-centered points in the κ -th symmetric product of \mathbb{R}^4 with a scale constraint (see (4.2.1)), and $c_{\kappa} \in \text{Sym}^{\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is the point totally concentrated at the origin.

A neighborhood of the strata (4.0.3) in $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is parameterized by the union of the images of the gluing maps $\gamma_{\Theta, \Sigma}$, as Σ varies over the strata in (4.0.3). Roughly speaking, if the stratum Σ of $\text{Sym}^{\kappa}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is given by the partition $\kappa = \kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_r$, and \mathcal{P} is a partition of N_{κ} corresponding to Σ then the gluing map is an smoothly stratified embedding

$$\gamma_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} : \Sigma \times \prod_{i=1}^r \bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^{s, \natural}(\delta_i) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta).$$

The moduli space with spliced ends will be defined, roughly speaking, by replacing the image of $\gamma_{\Theta, \Sigma}$ with the image of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \Sigma}$ on the interior of this tubular neighborhood. We summarize the properties of the spliced-ends moduli space in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.0.5. *Let $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^s(\delta)$ be the Uhlenbeck extension of the framed quotient space defined in (4.1.4). There exists a smoothly-stratified moduli space with spliced ends, $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta) \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^s(2\delta)$, closed under the $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ action, satisfying the following properties.*

- (1) *There is a smoothly-stratified, $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ -equivariant, homeomorphism, $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta) \cong \bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$, which is the identity on the trivial strata,*

$$[\Theta] \times \mathrm{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa,\natural}(\mathbb{R}^4),$$

where $\mathrm{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa,\natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is defined in (4.2.1).

- (2) *There is an Uhlenbeck neighborhood W_κ of the punctured centered symmetric product, (4.0.3), in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta)$ such that*

$$\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta) \setminus W_\kappa = \bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta) \setminus W_\kappa.$$

- (3) *To each stratum $\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma$ in the punctured, centered symmetric product, (4.0.3), there is an Uhlenbeck neighborhood $W(\Sigma) \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(\delta)$ of the trivial stratum*

$$(4.0.4) \quad [\Theta] \times \Sigma,$$

and an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\mathrm{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \subset \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ of the trivial stratum (4.5.1) in the domain of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ defined in (4.3.2), such that

$$(4.0.5) \quad \bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta) \cap W(\Sigma) = \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\mathrm{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \right).$$

- (4) *For all $[A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$, $\|F_A^+\|_{L^{\sharp,2}} \leq C(\kappa)\delta$ where the constant $C(\kappa)$ depends on κ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\sharp,2}}$ is defined in [11, Equation (4.3)].*

We construct $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ using induction on κ . We first construct the *spliced end* of the moduli space. The spliced end will be an Uhlenbeck neighborhood of the punctured, centered symmetric product in $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$. It is defined as the union of the images of splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \Sigma}$ where Σ is a stratum in $\mathrm{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa,\natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) - \{c_\kappa\}$ and where the domain of the splicing map is not defined by the lower charge moduli spaces $\bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^{s,\natural}(\delta_i)$ but rather by the lower charge *spliced ends moduli spaces* $\bar{M}_{\kappa_i}^{s,\natural}(\delta_i)$. A technical result on the equality of two splicing maps, Proposition 4.4.3 controls the overlaps of the images of the different splicing maps, proving that the union of these images, W_κ , is a smoothly stratified space.

After reviewing the vocabulary of connections on S^4 in §4.1, we adapt the notation of §2 to describe the trivial strata in §4.2. In §4.3, we define the relevant splicing maps. In §4.4, we prove the crucial technical result Proposition 4.4.3 controlling the overlap of two splicing maps. Then in §4.5, we finish the first stage of the proof of Proposition 4.0.5 by constructing W_κ in Proposition 4.5.1.

The second stage of the proof of Proposition 4.0.5 appears in §4.7. There, we show how to isotope the complement of a neighborhood of the punctured, centered symmetric product in W_κ to the actual moduli space $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$. This isotopy is defined by the composition of the isotopy defining the gluing map and the isotopy defined by the centering map. That such an isotopy exists follows immediately from the estimates on $\|F_A^+\|_{L^{\sharp,2}}$ for A in the spliced end; most of the work in §4.7 lies in constructing the parameter on the spliced end for the isotopy. Finally, in §4.8 we construct a cone parameter on the spliced-ends moduli space and prove that the spliced-ends moduli space has the structure of a Whitney stratified space.

4.1. The space of connections

We begin by reviewing some standard definitions of the space of connections on S^4 . Similar definitions appear in [13, 14].

Let \mathcal{B}_κ denote the quotient space of $SU(2)$ connections on a bundle $E_\kappa \rightarrow S^4$ with $c_2(E_\kappa) = \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^s \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa$ be the $SO(3)$ -bundle defined by the quotient space of $SU(2)$ connections with a frame over the south pole. Let

$$(4.1.1) \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|} = \cup_{i=0}^p (\mathcal{B}_{|P|-i} \times \text{Sym}^i(\mathbb{R}^4)),$$

denote the space of ideal connections on S^4 , given the topology induced by Uhlenbeck convergence as defined prior to Theorem 1.1.2. We write elements of this space as $[A, \mathbf{x}]$ where $[A] \in \mathcal{B}_{|P|-\ell}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^4)$.

For $s, n \in S^4$ the south and north pole respectively, let $\vec{y} : S^4 - \{s\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be given by stereographic projection from the south pole with $\vec{y}(n) = 0$. For $[A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$, we define the *center of mass* $z([A, \mathbf{x}])$ by

$$(4.1.2) \quad z([A, \mathbf{x}]) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2|P|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \vec{y} |F_A|^2 d^4y + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i$$

and the *scale*, $\lambda([A, \mathbf{x}])$ by

$$(4.1.3) \quad \lambda([A, \mathbf{x}])^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} |y - z([A, F^s])|^2 |F_A|^2 d^4y + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} |x_i|^2.$$

Define

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}(\varepsilon) = \lambda^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]).$$

We will use the same notation for the pullback of the functions z and λ to the space of connections with a frame at the south pole, $\mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s$. If $[A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}(\varepsilon)$ and $\varepsilon \ll 1$, then the support of \mathbf{x} is disjoint from the south pole. Thus, we can extend the framed quotient space $\mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}(\varepsilon)$ over the quotient space of ideal connections:

$$(4.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^s(\varepsilon) &= \lambda^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) \cap \left(\cup_{i=0}^p (\mathcal{B}_{|P|-i}^s \times \text{Sym}^i(\mathbb{R}^4)) \right), \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon) &= \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^s(\varepsilon) \cap z^{-1}(0). \end{aligned}$$

If Θ is the trivial connection, we refer to the point $[\Theta, F_P^s, c_P] \in \mathcal{B}_0^s \times \text{Sym}^{|P|}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ as the *cone point* of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$.

The group $SU(2)$ acts on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$ by the action of $SU(2)$ on the frame in $\text{Fr}(E_P)|_s$. The stabilizer of any point in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$ contains $\pm \text{id}_E \leq SU(2)$ so this action of $SU(2)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$ descends to define an action of $SO(3) = SU(2)/\{\pm \text{id}_E\}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$ which we refer to as the *frame action*.

The group $SO(4)$ acts on S^4 by pulling the rotation action on \mathbb{R}^4 back via stereographic projection $S^4 - \{s\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$. This rotation action induces an action of $SO(4)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$ by pulling back the connection and frame and the rotation action on the points in $\text{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^4)$ (see [65, §4, p. 343]).

4.2. The trivial strata

We now adapt some vocabulary from §2, to describe the trivial strata (4.0.3).

Define $\text{Sym}^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ to be the quotient of the zero locus of the center of mass map $z_\kappa : \bigoplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ (defined following (3.1.1)) by the symmetric group:

$$\text{Sym}^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) = z_\kappa^{-1}(0)/\mathfrak{S}_\kappa.$$

We shall abbreviate $z_\kappa^{-1}(0)$ by Z_κ . We define a scale for elements of $\text{Sym}^\kappa(\mathbb{R}^4)$ by

$$(4.2.1) \quad \lambda([v_1, \dots, v_\kappa])^2 = \sum_i |v_i|^2,$$

Then, we define $Z_\kappa(\delta) = z_\kappa^{-1}(0) \cap \lambda^{-1}([0, \delta])$ and

$$(4.2.2) \quad \text{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) = Z_\kappa(\delta)/\mathfrak{S}_\kappa.$$

We describe the strata of $\text{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ by the quotients of the diagonals of $Z_\kappa(\delta)$. For any partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ , define

$$(4.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) &= \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \cap Z_\kappa(\delta), \\ \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) &= \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \text{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4) \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ is defined in (3.1.5). Let $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ be the image of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ under the projection $Z_\kappa(\delta) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_\delta^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. We then have:

LEMMA 4.2.1. *If \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are partitions of N_κ and $\tilde{\pi}_\kappa : Z_\kappa \subset \bigoplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\kappa, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is the projection, then:*

- (1) $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})/W(\mathcal{P})$,
- (2) $\tilde{\pi}_\kappa^{-1}(\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})) = \sqcup_{\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}]} \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$.
- (3) $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \subset \text{cl}(\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}'))$ if and only if there is $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}]$ such that $\mathcal{P}'' < \mathcal{P}$.

If $(v_1, \dots, v_\kappa) \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then $v_i = v_j$ for $i, j \in P$. Thus, we will write $v_P = v_i$ for any $i \in P$. With this notation, we will write $(y_1, \dots, y_\kappa) = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ for an element of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$.

4.2.1. Tubular neighborhoods. Because $Z_\kappa(\delta)$ is an open subspace of Z_κ , the tubular neighborhood of the diagonals in (4.2.3) can be described by the restriction of the tubular neighborhoods described in Lemma 3.1.1, as we formally state in the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_κ . The normal bundle of the diagonal $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in Z_κ is*

$$(4.2.4) \quad \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} Z_P.$$

There is an open neighborhood, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$, of the zero section in $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ such that the restriction of the exponential map $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ defined in (3.1.10) to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ is injective and $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ be the image of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ under the exponential map (3.1.10). By the $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariance of the exponential map $e(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$, there is a homeomorphism,

$$(4.2.5) \quad \mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{U}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$$

where $\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{U}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$.

To describe the pre-image of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ under $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ where $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, we introduce the following notation. First, for $P \subseteq N_\kappa$ let $Z_P \subset \oplus_{i \in P} \mathbb{R}^4$ be the mass-centered subspace defined in (3.1.1).

LEMMA 4.2.3. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_κ . For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{P}'_P be the partition of P defined in (3.1.4). Then there is an inclusion*

$$(4.2.6) \quad \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$$

such that if we define

$$(4.2.7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \cap \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'),$$

then $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') = e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}'))$.

PROOF. We observe that

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) = \{(v_i)_{i \in P} \in Z_P : v_i = v_j \text{ if and only if there is } P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P \text{ with } i, j \in P'\}.$$

Because \mathcal{P} is a partition of N_κ , there is an isomorphism

$$\oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \oplus_{i \in P} \mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \oplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4,$$

which induces an inclusion

$$(4.2.8) \quad \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P) \rightarrow Z_\kappa \quad ((v_i)_{i \in P, P \in \mathcal{P}}) \mapsto (v_i)_{i \in N_\kappa}.$$

By the $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ equivariance of the exponential map $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$, an element of the pre-image of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ under $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ can be written as

$$((x_1, \dots, x_\kappa), (v_1, \dots, v_\kappa)) \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \times \oplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4$$

where $(v_1, \dots, v_\kappa) \in \oplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfies

- (1) $\sum_i v_i = 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (2) For all i, j , $v_i = v_j$ if and only if there is $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ with $i, j \in P'$.

Then one observes that the elements $(v_1, \dots, v_\kappa) \in \oplus_{i \in N_\kappa} \mathbb{R}^4$ satisfying these two conditions are precisely the image of the inclusion (4.2.8). \square

By the second and third items of Lemma 4.2.1, to describe the end of $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ near $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$, we must describe not only the end of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ near $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ as is done in Lemma 4.2.3, but also the ends of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}'')$ near $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}']$ with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}''$.

Thus, define

$$(4.2.9) \quad \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \left(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}''_P) \right).$$

There is an action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ on $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$ by the standard action on $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ and the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ on $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$. Although $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ is not a subset of $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$, we use the phrase *a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in $\nu(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$* to refer to the obvious parallel to subspaces of $\nu(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$.

LEMMA 4.2.4. *Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be partitions of N_κ with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. Then a neighborhood of $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section in*

$$\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Denote this neighborhood by $\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$.

PROOF. The bundle $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$ is the union of the bundles $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'')$ defined in (4.2.6) as \mathcal{P}'' varies in $[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ along the subspace $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ of each of these bundles. Thus a neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in

$$(4.2.10) \quad \cup_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}'')$$

is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero-section in $\nu(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$. By the characterization of the pre-image of $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ under the projection $Z_\kappa(\delta) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_\delta^{\natural, \kappa}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ in Item (2) of Lemma 4.2.1, a neighborhood of $\Sigma^\natural(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in $\Sigma^\natural(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ is homeomorphic to the quotient of (4.2.10) by $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$, proving the lemma. \square

4.3. Splicing to the trivial on \mathbb{R}^4

In this section, we define the basic operation of splicing to the trivial connection as used in [14]. The main result is in Lemma 4.4.2 where we show that the composition of two splicing maps is equal to a single splicing map on suitably small open sets.

Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_κ . We define the splicing map on an open subspace of

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P),$$

where the constants δ_P are invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and where $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on the generalized connections by permuting them, sending an element of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ to an element of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|\sigma(P)|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{|\sigma(P)|})$. Note that elements of $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ can be written as $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $y_P \in \mathbb{R}^4$ while elements of $\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ can be written as $([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$, where $[A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$. The open subspace on which the splicing map will be defined is

$$(4.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) &:= \{(y_P, [A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P) : \\ &\text{for all } P \neq P', 8\sqrt{\lambda([A_P, \mathbf{x}_P])} + 8\sqrt{\lambda([A_{P'}, \mathbf{x}_{P'}])} < \text{dist}(x_P, x_{P'})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we define

$$(4.3.2) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta),$$

as follows.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let $E_P \rightarrow S^4$ be the bundle supporting the connection A_P and let $\text{Fr}(E_P)$ be the bundle of unitary frames of E_P . The framed connection $[A_P, F_P^s]$ defines a section of $\text{Fr}(E_P)$, $\phi(A_P, F_P^s)$, over the complement of the north pole by parallel translation of F_P^s

with respect to the connection A_P , along great circles from the south pole. Let $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s)$ be the flat connection defined by this section. Note that if A_P is flat on the domain of $\phi(A_P, F_P^s)$, then $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s) = A_P$.

If Θ denotes the trivial connection on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \text{SU}(2)$, then the flat connection $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s)$ is identified with the restriction of Θ to the domain of the $\phi(A_P, F_P^s)$ as follows. The section $\phi(A_P, F_P^s)$ gives a trivialization of the bundle E and thus identifies it with the restriction of the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \text{SU}(2)$ to the domain of the section. Under this identification the connection Θ is identified with $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s)$.

We also note that given any two connections, A_1 and A_2 , a convex linear combination of them, $tA_1 + (1-t)A_2$ also defines a connection. For example, if A_1 is a connection which is trivial in a particular trivialization and $A_2 = A_1 + a_2$, we would write the connection one form for $tA_1 + (1-t)A_2$ in this trivialization as $(1-t)a_2$.

Let $c_{y,\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be defined by $c_{\lambda,y}(z) = (z - y)/\lambda$. Thus, $c_{y,\lambda}$ maps the ball $B(y, \lambda)$ to $B(0, 1)$.

Let $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function satisfying $\beta(x) = 0$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$. Define $\chi : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\chi(x) = \beta(|x|)$ and $\chi_{y,\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\chi_{y,\lambda}(x) = (c_{y,\lambda}^* \chi)(x) = \beta(|x - y|/\lambda)$. The function $\chi_{y,\lambda}$ is thus supported on the ball $B(y, \lambda)$ and equal to one on the ball $B(y, \frac{1}{2}\lambda)$.

Then, for $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$, we define:

$$(4.3.3) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{y}, ([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) = [A', F_P^s, \mathbf{x}']$$

where for $\lambda_P = \lambda([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])^{1/2}$, $B_P = B(y_P, 4\lambda_P)$, $B_P(\frac{1}{2}) = B(y_P, 2\lambda_P)$, and $\Omega_P = \Omega(y_P, 2\lambda_P, 4\lambda_P)$, the framed connection $[A', F_P^s]$ is defined by

$$(4.3.4) \quad A' = \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B_P \\ (1 - \chi_{y_P, 4\lambda_P})c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P + \chi_{y_P, 4\lambda_P} c_{y_P, 1}^* \Theta(A_P, F_P^s) & \text{on } \Omega_P \\ c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P & \text{on } B_P(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

and the frame in $[A', F_P^s]$ is given by the canonical frame for the trivial connection Θ . The point \mathbf{x}' in the expression (4.3.3) is defined by translating the singular support (and multiplicity) of the point \mathbf{x}_P to $c_{y_P}(\mathbf{x}_P)$.

Note that we have not computed the behavior of the function $\lambda \circ \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ precisely so we cannot assert that the image of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^s(2\delta)$. However, that containment will follow from the continuity of λ and of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ with respect to Uhlenbeck limits if we shrink the domain $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ by requiring the scales λ_P to be sufficiently small.

We note the following result on the behavior of this splicing map at the cone point of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^s(\delta_P)$.

LEMMA 4.3.1. *For any partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ , the map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is continuous with respect to Uhlenbeck limits. If $c_{|P|} \in \text{Sym}_\delta^{|P|, \natural}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ denotes the cone point, then for any $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$,*

$$(4.3.5) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([\Theta, c_{|P|}]_{P \in \mathcal{P}})) = [\Theta, (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}]$$

It is important that our constructions in §4.5 be equivariant with respect to the $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ actions on the space of connections so that those constructions can be used in the

space of gluing data. Thus, we prove the following result on the equivariance of the splicing map.

LEMMA 4.3.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_κ . Let $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ act on*

$$\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \subset \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|P|}^s(\delta_P),$$

by, for $A \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $R \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$,

$$(4.3.6) \quad ((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, [A_P, F_P^s]_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, A, R) \rightarrow ((Ry_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, [(R^{-1})^* A_P, R F_P^s A^{-1}]_{P \in \mathcal{P}}),$$

where $R \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$ acts on the frame by a lift to the principal bundle. Then, the splicing map

$$\gamma_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta),$$

is $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ -equivariant.

PROOF. The equivariance with respect to the $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ action follows from noting that the inclusion

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(0, 4\lambda_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4,$$

given by identifying $B(0, 4\lambda_P)$ with $B(y_P, 4\lambda_P)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ -equivariant if $R \in \mathrm{SO}(4)$ acts on $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ by

$$(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \rightarrow (Ry_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}.$$

(This follows because the action of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ on a connection is given by taking any bundle map lift of the action on \mathbb{R}^4 .) The equivariance of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ action follows from observing that splicing in A_P by identifying the section $\phi(A_P, F_P^s)$ with the identity section of $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$ gives the same connection as splicing in A_P by identifying the section $\phi(A_P, F_P^s A^{-1})$ with the section $x \rightarrow (x, A^{-1})$ of $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$. \square

We will also require the following observation about centering maps.

LEMMA 4.3.3. *Let $c_{y, \lambda} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ be defined by $c_{\lambda, y}(z) = (z - y)/\lambda$. For any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^4$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} c_{x_2, \lambda_2} \circ c_{x_1, \lambda_1} &= c_{x_1 + \lambda_1 x_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2}, \\ c_{x_2, \lambda_2}^* \chi_{x_1, \lambda_1} &= \chi_{x_2 + \lambda_2 x_1, \lambda_1 \lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Elementary algebra. \square

If one splices in a mass-centered connection of charge κ (to the trivial background) and scale λ at a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^4$, it is not immediately clear what the scale of the resulting connection will be. However, the limit of the scale of the spliced up connection (as λ goes to zero) will be $\sqrt{\kappa|y|^2}$. The following exploitation of that fact will be used in Lemma 4.4.2 to prove that, on a suitable open set of splicing data, when composing two splicing maps, the cutting-off operation of the second splicing map does not interact with that of the first splicing map.

LEMMA 4.3.4. Define $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ by

$$(4.3.7) \quad T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) = \{(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, [\Theta, c_{|P|}]_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)\}$$

Then,

$$(4.3.8) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)) = \{[\Theta] \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})\}$$

and there is an open neighborhood, $D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ such that for every

$$\mathbf{A} := (y_P, [A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta),$$

and for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$, if $\lambda_P = \lambda([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])^{1/2}$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{A}))^{1/2}$, then

$$(4.3.9) \quad B(y_P, 4\lambda_P) \Subset B(0, 2\lambda)$$

and

$$(4.3.10) \quad B(y_P, \frac{1}{4}\lambda_P^{2/3}) \Subset B(0, \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{2/3}).$$

PROOF. The equality (4.3.8) follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.1.

The functions λ_P vanish on $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ while by Lemma 4.3.1, the composition $\lambda \circ \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is equal to the square root of $\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} |P||x_P|^2$ and thus non-zero on $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$. The continuity of the functions involved then implies the existence of the desired open subspace. \square

4.4. Composing splicing maps

To construct the moduli space with spliced ends, we need to show that the union of the images of the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ form a smoothly stratified space. Let $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_κ with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let \mathcal{P}'_P be the partition of P defined in (3.1.4). We will need to understand the overlaps of the images of the maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$. To that end, we define a space $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ with maps to $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ so that the following diagram commutes:

$$(4.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}} & \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u} \downarrow & & \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}} & \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta) \end{array}$$

We note that the d and u appearing in the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ are supposed to imply “down” and “up” respectively as \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' correspond to the lower and upper strata.

4.4.1. Defining the overlap data. We now define the objects appearing in the diagram (4.4.1). The open set $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ will be defined to be a subspace of

$$(4.4.2) \quad \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{|P'|}^s(\delta_{P'}) \right),$$

where the constants δ_P and $\delta_{P'}$ will not need to be defined explicitly.

An element $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ with $\sigma(\mathcal{P}') = \mathcal{P}''$ defines a bijection

$$(4.4.3) \quad \sigma : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'', \delta),$$

by the diagonal action on each of the factors. That is, σ defines bijections,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}), \\ \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_{|\sigma(P)|}(\delta_{\sigma(P)}), \mathcal{P}''_{\sigma(P)}), \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P'|}(\delta_{P'}) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|\sigma(P')|}(\delta_{\sigma(P')}),\end{aligned}$$

which define the desired bijection (4.4.3).

The following maps are given by the obvious projections onto the factors of $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$.

$$(4.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\pi_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}} : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}), \\ \pi_{\mathcal{P}'_P, \mathbf{x}} : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P), \\ \pi_{\mathbf{x}} : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P), \\ \pi_P : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) &\rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P'|}, \\ \pi_{P'} : \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) &\rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P'|}(\delta_{P'}).\end{aligned}$$

Use the inclusion given in (4.2.6),

$$\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \rightarrow \tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}),$$

to consider $\nu(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ as a subspace of $\nu(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$. By Lemma 4.2.3, the image of the restriction of the exponential map $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ of (3.1.10) to elements of $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ is contained in $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$. We desire to define the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ appearing in the diagram (4.4.1) by

$$(4.4.5) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u} = (e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_{\mathbf{x}}) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'} \pi_{P'}.$$

That is, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ leaves the connection data in $\nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ alone and maps the points in $\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ to the diagonal $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$.

For the composition $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_{\mathbf{x}}$ in (4.4.5) to be defined, we must restrict the domain of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ to an open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ of $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying

$$(4.4.6) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \subset \pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') \right),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$ is defined in (4.2.7).

To define the composition $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ appearing in the diagram (4.4.1), the open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ must also satisfy

$$(4.4.7) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \subset (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u})^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \right),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$, defined prior to equation (4.3.2), is the domain of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$.

Before defining $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$, we note that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ then the partition \mathcal{P}'_P is just the set P and $\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is a single point (the zero vector). In this case, we define the splicing

map

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} : \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P|}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P|}(2\delta),$$

to be the projection onto $\bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P|}(\delta_P) \subset \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P|}(2\delta)$. Then, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ of (4.4.1) will be defined by:

$$(4.4.8) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d} := \pi_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}} \times \left(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \circ \pi_P \right)$$

That is, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ leaves the point in $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ alone and for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ applies the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$ to the space

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \bar{\mathcal{B}}^s_{|P'|}(\delta_{P'}).$$

For this map to be defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ we need the image of π_P to be contained in $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$, the domain of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$. However, we will make a stronger requirement (to be used in Lemma 4.4.2):

$$(4.4.9) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \subset \pi_P^{-1}(D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)) \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}',$$

where $D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ is the open subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ defined in Lemma 4.3.4. Since $D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P) \subset \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$, the condition (4.4.9) implies $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ is defined on $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$.

Finally, for the composition $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ to be well defined, the open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ must satisfy

$$(4.4.10) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \subset (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d})^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)).$$

We now record a result showing that the image of the restriction of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ to an appropriate open subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ of the overlap data $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ contains

$$(4.4.11) \quad \{[\Theta, \mathbf{x}] : \mathbf{x} \in e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})(\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'))\}.$$

Thus, the image of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ will contain all of the trivial stratum $\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$ in the image of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$.

LEMMA 4.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_κ satisfying $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. Let $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ be the subspace of the space $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ given by*

$$(4.4.12) \quad T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) = \pi_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}') \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{P' \in \mathcal{P}'} \pi_{P'}^{-1}([\Theta, c_{|P'|}] \right).$$

Then,

- (1) $(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u})(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) = \{[\Theta, \mathbf{x}] : \mathbf{x} \in e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'))\},$
- (2) $(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d})(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) = ([\Theta] \times e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'))).$

Moreover, there is an open neighborhood, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying the conditions (4.4.6), (4.4.7), (4.4.9), and (4.4.10).

PROOF. The first item in the conclusions of the lemma follows from the definitions of the spaces and maps and the value of the splicing map given in (4.3.5). Equation (4.3.5) implies that

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d} |_{T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)} = \pi_{\mathbf{x}} |_{T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)}.$$

This and the equality

$$(4.4.13) \quad \pi_{\mathbf{x}}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_{\kappa}(\delta), \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'),$$

which follows immediately from the definition of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$, imply the second item of the conclusions of the lemma.

Equation (4.4.13) implies that there is an open neighborhood of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying (4.4.6). For every $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$,

$$\pi_{P'}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) = [\Theta, c_{|P'}]$$

so $\pi_P(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) \subset T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P) \subset D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and there is an open neighborhood of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying (4.4.9).

The first item in the conclusion of the lemma implies that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$, so there is an open neighborhood of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying (4.4.7).

The second item in the conclusion of the lemma implies that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta))$ is contained in the domain of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$, $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$, so there is an open neighborhood of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ satisfying (4.4.10).

The intersection of these open neighborhoods of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$, yields the desired open neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$. \square

4.4.2. Equality of splicing maps. We now are in a position to prove that the diagram (4.4.1) commutes. The key point is the restriction (4.4.9) on the domain $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$. This ensures that in the iterated splicing construction defining the composition $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ (first the splittings $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$ defining the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ are performed, then the splicing $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is performed), the cut-off function of the second splicing has no effect. This follows from Lemma 4.3.4 which ensures that the connections given by $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ are *already* trivial on the annuli on which the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ interpolates between them and the trivial connection. Thus, this iterated splicing procedure is equivalent to a single splicing, that given by the composition $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$.

LEMMA 4.4.2. *Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be partitions of N_{κ} with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ be the open set defined in Lemma 4.4.1 of the space $\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ defined in (4.4.2). Then, the following diagram commutes:*

$$(4.4.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}} & \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d} \downarrow & & \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}} & \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^s(2\delta) \end{array}$$

PROOF. To simplify the notation, we write Q for an element of the partition \mathcal{P}' . Let $\mathbf{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ be given by:

$$\mathbf{A} = \left((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ((x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P}, [A_Q, F_Q^s]_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P}) \right),$$

where $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ and $((x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P}, [A_Q, F_Q^s]_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P}) \in D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$. (For simplicity of exposition, we assume $[A_Q, F_Q^s] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{|Q|}^s(\delta_Q)$ has no ideal points; the proof is no more difficult without that assumption, but the notation becomes more opaque.)

The image of \mathbf{A} under $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$ is given by the same connections, $[A_Q, F_Q^s]$ as given in \mathbf{A} , but by the point $(x'_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$, where

$$(4.4.15) \quad x'_Q = y_P + x_Q \quad \text{if } Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P.$$

(Note that $x'_Q = y_P$ if $Q \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ as x_Q is then the zero vector.) If we set $\lambda_Q = \lambda([A_Q])^{1/2}$, $B_Q = B(x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q)$, $B_Q(\frac{1}{2}) = B(x'_Q, 2\lambda_Q)$, and $\Omega_Q = \Omega(x'_Q, 2\lambda_Q, 4\lambda_Q)$ then

$$(4.4.16) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} B_Q, \\ (1 - \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q}) c_{x'_Q, 1}^* A_Q + \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q} c_{x'_Q, 1}^* \Theta(A_Q, f_{E_Q}) & \text{on } \Omega_Q, \\ c_{x'_Q, 1}^* A_Q & \text{on } B_Q(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

We now compare (4.4.16) with $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}(\mathbf{A})$.

If, for $P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}'$, we define

$$[A_P, F_P^s] = \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}(\pi_P(\mathbf{A})) = \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \left((x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P}, [A_Q, F_Q^s]_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \right),$$

and $[A_P, F_P^s] = [A_Q, F_Q^s]$ for $P = Q \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}(\mathbf{A}) = ((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([A_P, F_P^s])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) \in \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta).$$

For $\lambda'_P = \lambda([A_P])^{1/2}$, $B'_P = B(y_P, 4\lambda'_P)$, $\Omega'_P = \Omega(y_P, 2\lambda'_P, 4\lambda'_P)$, and $B'_P(1/2) = B(y_P, 4\lambda'_P)$, the composition $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ is then given by:

$$(4.4.17) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d} = \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B'_P, \\ (1 - \chi_{y_P, 4\lambda'_P}) c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P + \chi_{y_P, 4\lambda'_P} c_{y_P, 1}^* \Theta(A_P, f_{E_P}) & \text{on } \Omega'_P, \\ c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P & \text{on } B'_P(1/2). \end{cases}$$

On the balls $B(x_P, 4\lambda'_P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, and on $\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(x_P, 4\lambda'_P)$ the connections (4.4.16) and (4.4.17) are identical. We thus focus our attention on the balls $B(x_P, 4\lambda'_P)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}'$. For such P , if $\lambda_Q = \lambda([A_Q])^{1/2}$, $B''_Q = B(x_Q, 4\lambda_Q)$, $B''_Q(\frac{1}{2}) = B(x_Q, 2\lambda_Q)$, and

$$\Omega''_Q = \Omega(x_Q, 2\lambda_Q, 4\lambda_Q),$$

$$(4.4.18) \quad A_P = \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}(\pi_P(\mathbf{A})) = \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} B''_Q, \\ (1 - \chi_{x_Q, 4\lambda_Q})c_{x_Q, 1}^* A_Q + \chi_{x_Q, 4\lambda_Q} c_{x_Q, 1}^* \Theta(A_Q, f_{E_Q}) & \text{on } \Omega''_Q, \\ c_{x_Q, 1}^* A_Q & \text{on } B''_Q(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Because the points $(x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta), \mathcal{P}'_P)$ and the connections $[A_Q, f_{E_Q}] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{|Q|}^s$ form a point in $D(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ by the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$, Lemma 4.3.4 and equation (4.3.9) imply that for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P$,

$$B''_Q = B(x_Q, 4\lambda_Q) \subset B(0, 2\lambda'_P)$$

where λ'_P is defined prior to (4.4.17). Thus, the construction in (4.4.18) implies that A_P is already equal to the trivial connection Θ on

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B(0, 2\lambda'_P),$$

so $c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P$ is equal to Θ on

$$\mathbb{R}^4 \setminus B(y_P, 2\lambda'_P).$$

Thus, the convex combination in the second line of (4.4.17) is equal to Θ . Again using the notation $x'_Q = y_P + x_Q$, $\lambda_Q = \lambda([A_Q])^{1/2}$, $B_Q = B(x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q)$, $B_Q(\frac{1}{2}) = B(x'_Q, 2\lambda_Q)$, and $\Omega_Q = \Omega(x'_Q, 2\lambda_Q, 4\lambda_Q)$ we examine the final line of (4.4.17) by applying Lemma 4.3.3 to rewrite (4.4.18) as:

$$(4.4.19) \quad c_{y_P, 1}^* A_P = \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} B_Q, \\ (1 - \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q})c_{x'_Q, 1}^* A_Q + \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q} c_{x'_Q, 1}^* \Theta(A_Q, f_{E_Q}) & \text{on } \Omega_Q, \\ c_{x'_Q, 1}^* A_Q & \text{on } B_Q(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Thus, we see that $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}(\mathbf{A})$ is given by:

$$(4.4.20) \quad \begin{cases} \Theta & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} B_Q, \\ (1 - \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q})c_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q}^* A_Q + \chi_{x'_Q, 4\lambda_Q} c_{x'_Q, 1}^* \Theta(A_Q, f_{E_Q}) & \text{on } \Omega_Q, \\ c_{x'_Q, 1}^* A_Q & \text{on } B_Q(\frac{1}{2}). \end{cases}$$

Then comparing (4.4.20) with (4.4.16) and noting the definition of x_Q in (4.4.15) completes the proof. \square

4.4.3. Symmetric group quotients. Finally, we make some observations on the action of the symmetric group necessary to define a quotient of the diagram (4.4.1) by the symmetric group. Recall from Lemma 4.2.4 that for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, to describe a neighborhood of $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in $\Sigma(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$, we must describe all the diagonals $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}'')$ where $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$. We define

$$(4.4.21) \quad \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) = \sqcup_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'', \delta).$$

The group $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts on $\nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ by the action defined in (4.4.3). If the open subspaces $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ defined in Lemma 4.4.1 are sufficiently small, their union $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \subset \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ will be closed under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$. We define (analogously to the definition of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ in (4.4.12))

$$(4.4.22) \quad T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) = \{((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, (x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([\Theta, c_{|Q|}])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}) \in \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) : ((y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, (x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}) \in \mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])\},$$

where $\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$ is defined in Lemma 4.2.4.

The maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ define a map

$$(4.4.23) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u} : \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \rightarrow \nu(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta) = \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Similarly, the coproduct of the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}''}^{\Theta, d}$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and thus defines a map

$$(4.4.24) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d} : \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta).$$

The coproduct of the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}$, over $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ and thus defines a map

$$(4.4.25) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} = \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''} \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) : \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta),$$

which has the same image as $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$. Lemma 4.4.2 then implies the following.

PROPOSITION 4.4.3. *Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be partitions of N_κ with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. Let $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ be the open subspace defined following (4.4.21). Then, the following diagram commutes:*

$$(4.4.26) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}} & \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d} \downarrow & & \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}} & \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta) \end{array}$$

where $\gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}$ is defined in (4.4.25).

4.5. The spliced end

We now construct the spliced end, given by the union of the images of the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ restricted to appropriate subspaces of $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$.

This construction is inductive. We therefore assume that the spliced ends moduli space $\bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ with the properties stated in Proposition 4.0.5 has already been constructed for $|P| < \kappa$. Note that because we only need to describe Uhlenbeck neighborhoods of the punctured trivial strata, we only need to use splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ where $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$. For a partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, $|P| < \kappa$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore, the inductive hypothesis

suffices for this construction. Moreover, there are no partitions of $\kappa = 1$ with length greater than one, so defining $\bar{M}_{spl,1}^{s,\natural}(\delta) = \bar{M}_1^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ will complete the initial step of the induction.

The splicing maps will be restricted to the following spaces (where the precise choice of the constants $\delta_P > 0$ will not be relevant to the rest of the discussion)

$$(4.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) &= \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \cap \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(2\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P) \right), \\ \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) &= \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$, where $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ is defined in (4.3.7) and that the dimension of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ is equal to that of $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$.

The spliced end is constructed by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4.5.1. *Assume that the spliced-ends moduli space $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa'}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ with the properties enumerated in Proposition 4.0.5 has already been constructed for all $\kappa' < \kappa$. Then for every partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ with length greater than one, there is an $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ -invariant, open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ such that the space,*

$$(4.5.2) \quad W_\kappa = \cup_{\mathcal{P}} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \right),$$

is a smoothly-stratified subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(\delta)$ with every point $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa$ satisfying the estimate $\|F_A^+\|_{L^{\sharp,2}} \leq \varepsilon$ where $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\sharp,2}}$ is the norm defined in [13] where ε is the constant appearing in [13, Proposition 7.6].

We prove Proposition 4.5.1 by using Proposition 4.4.3 to control the overlaps of the images of the splicing maps. The transition maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta,u}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta,d}$ will be restricted to the following subspace of $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$

$$(4.5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \\ &= \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \\ &\quad \cap \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}''} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \bar{M}_{spl,|Q|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_Q) \right) \right), \\ \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) &= \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}). \end{aligned}$$

(Compare the definition (4.4.21)). Observe that $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \subset \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ where $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is defined in (4.4.22) because $[\Theta, c_{|Q|}] \in \bar{M}_{spl,|Q|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_Q)$ for all $|Q| < \kappa$.

We must first verify that the transition maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta,u}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta,d}$ map $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ to $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ respectively.

LEMMA 4.5.2. *Assume $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa'}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ has been constructed satisfying the properties enumerated in Proposition 4.0.5 for all $\kappa' < \kappa$. Let $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ defined in (4.4.22). Then there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$*

in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ such that the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$ to $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is an open embedding of $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ into $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$.

PROOF. Induction and the property (4.0.5) in Proposition 4.0.5 imply that for each $P \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}'$, there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta)$ such that

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P) \right) \subset \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |\mathcal{P}'|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P).$$

Thus, if in the definition of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$, (4.5.3), we replace the factor

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |Q|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_Q)$$

with the open subspace

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P) \subset \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |Q|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_Q)$$

the resulting open subspace $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ will satisfy

$$(4.5.4) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta) \right) \subset \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta).$$

(Note that we are not concerned with the subsets $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ because $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ is the identity on these factors and thus they do not affect the inclusion (4.5.4).)

That the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$ to $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is an open embedding into $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ follows from the assumption that $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$ gives an open embedding of $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, \delta_P)$ into $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |\mathcal{P}'|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$. \square

We now prove an analogy of Lemma 4.5.2 for the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$. First, we must define the appropriate range of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$, to take into account the appearance of the conjugate partitions $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$. Let

$$(4.5.5) \quad \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta) = \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \nu(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta),$$

where $\nu(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is defined in (4.4.23). Then,

LEMMA 4.5.3. *Continue the assumptions and hypotheses of Lemma 4.5.2. Then the restriction of the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ to $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is an open embedding into $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta)$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definition of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ because, being defined by the exponential map $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ (see (4.4.5)), the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ does not change the connections in the domain $\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$. \square

The following lemma shows the existence of suitably small, $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ invariant neighborhoods of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$.

LEMMA 4.5.4. For any subspace V of $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$, let $\text{cl}(V)$ denote the closure of V in the Uhlenbeck topology. If V is any set in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$ with satisfying

$$\text{cl}(V) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)) = \emptyset,$$

then there is an $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4) \times \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ invariant neighborhood, $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$, of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ such that the intersection

$$\text{cl}(V) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}\left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)\right)$$

is empty and the inclusion,

$$\text{cl}(V) \cap \text{cl}\left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}\left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)\right)\right) \subset \text{cl}(V) \cap \text{cl}\left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta))\right),$$

is satisfied.

PROOF. For $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ given by $\mathbf{A} = ((x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{y}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}})$, let $\lambda_P(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda(A_P, \mathbf{y}_P)$. For $\mathbf{x} = (x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$, define

$$V(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{P}) := \{(\mathbf{x}, ([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{y}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) \in (\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}})^{-1}(\text{cl}(V))\}$$

and $\lambda_V : \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$\lambda_V(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{A} \in V(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{P})} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_P^2(\mathbf{A}).$$

By the assumption that $\text{cl}(V)$ is disjoint from $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta))$, λ_V is non-zero on $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$. Let $f : \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (0, \varepsilon)$ be a continuous function with $f(\mathbf{x}) < \frac{1}{2}\lambda_V(\mathbf{x})$, and

$$(4.5.6) \quad f((x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) < \frac{1}{2} \min_{P_1 \neq P_2 \in \mathcal{P}} |x_{P_1} - x_{P_2}|.$$

Then we define the neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ by

$$\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) := \{\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{x}, ([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{y}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) : \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_P^2(\mathbf{A}) < f(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

This set has the desired invariance and its image does not intersect $\text{cl}(V)$.

If $\{\mathbf{A}(\alpha)\}_{\alpha=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$, with

$$\mathbf{A}(\alpha) = [\mathbf{x}(\alpha), ([A_P(\alpha), F_P^s(\alpha), \mathbf{y}_P(\alpha)])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}],$$

where $\mathbf{x}(\alpha) \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ is the splicing points of $\mathbf{A}(\alpha)$ and if

$$(4.5.7) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{A}(\alpha)) \in \text{cl}(V),$$

then because $\Delta(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ and $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ are compact, there are points $\mathbf{x}(\infty) \in \Delta(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ and $[A_P(\infty), F_P^s(\infty), \mathbf{y}_P(\infty)] \in \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ with

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}(\alpha) = \mathbf{x}(\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} [A_P(\alpha), F_P^s(\alpha), \mathbf{y}_P(\alpha)] = [A_P(\infty), F_P^s(\infty), \mathbf{y}_P(\infty)].$$

Because $\mathbf{A}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_P^2(\mathbf{A}(\alpha)) < \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_V(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda_V(\mathbf{x}(\infty)).$$

This and the assumption (4.5.7) imply that $\mathbf{x}(\infty) \notin \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$. The inequality (4.5.6) then implies that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} f(\mathbf{x}(\alpha)) = 0,$$

so the condition

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \lambda_P^2(\mathbf{A}(\alpha)) < f(\mathbf{x}(\alpha))$$

in the definition of $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ implies that for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda([A_P(\alpha), F_P^s(\alpha), \mathbf{y}(\alpha)]) = 0,$$

so $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} [A_P(\alpha), F_P^s(\alpha), \mathbf{y}(\alpha)] = [\Theta, F_P^s, c_{|P|}]$, giving the final conclusion of the lemma. \square

We now construct the subspaces $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ referred to in Proposition 4.5.1. We will construct these subspaces to be \mathfrak{S}_κ invariant in the sense that if $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}]$, then the open subspaces $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ and $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta)$ are identified by the natural action of \mathfrak{S}_κ on $\coprod_{\mathcal{P}_i \in [\mathcal{P}_1]} \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i)$. Thus, defining $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{P}')$ for one $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}']$ suffices to define the space

$$(4.5.8) \quad \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta),$$

where $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ is defined in (4.5.5).

LEMMA 4.5.5. *Assume $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa'}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ has been constructed satisfying the properties enumerated in Proposition 4.0.5 for all $\kappa' < \kappa$. Then, to every partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ in $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$, such that*

- (1) *These neighborhoods are closed under the $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ action given in Lemma 4.3.6,*
- (2) *If the partitions \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are conjugate under the action of \mathfrak{S}_κ , then the neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_1, \delta)$ and $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_2, \delta)$ are identified by the natural action of \mathfrak{S}_κ on $\coprod_{\mathcal{P}_i \in [\mathcal{P}_1]} \nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i)$,*
- (3) *If there are no partitions $\mathcal{P}_1 \in [\mathcal{P}]$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 \in [\mathcal{P}']$ with $\mathcal{P}_1 < \mathcal{P}_2$ or $\mathcal{P}_2 < \mathcal{P}_1$, then*

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) = \emptyset,$$

- (4) *If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ then there is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ of the subspace $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$ such that*

$$(4.5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \right) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathcal{P}') \right) \\ & \subseteq \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)) \right) \\ & = \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Enumerate the strata $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ in the manner described in §2.4 with conjugacy classes $[\mathcal{P}_0], \dots, [\mathcal{P}_n]$. By induction, assume the open sets $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ have been defined for all $i < k$. Note that the conclusion still holds if we shrink the sets $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ to smaller neighborhoods of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$. We now construct $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta)$.

It suffices to construct $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta)$ for a single partition $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}_k]$ because for another element, $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_k]$, one can define $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta)$ to be the image of $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ under the action of \mathfrak{S}_κ .

For each $i < k$, if there are no $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}_k]$ and $\mathcal{P} \in [\mathcal{P}_i]$ with $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}'$, then

$$(4.5.10) \quad \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta)) = \emptyset,$$

$$(4.5.11) \quad \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))) = \emptyset.$$

Lemma 4.5.4 and (4.5.10) imply that there is an $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ invariant neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta)$ such that

$$\text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta)) = \emptyset.$$

Lemma 4.5.4 also implies that

$$(4.5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))) \\ & \subseteq \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))). \end{aligned}$$

Equations (4.5.12) and (4.5.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))) \\ & \subset \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Lemma 4.5.4 implies that there is a (smaller) $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ invariant neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_2^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta) \subset \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ of $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ with

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(\mathcal{O}_2^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)) \cap \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_k, \delta))) = \emptyset$$

as desired. Replace the open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ with $\mathcal{O}_2^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$. For all other $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i]$, replace $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta)$ with the image of $\mathcal{O}_2^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ under the action of \mathfrak{S}_κ .

If $[\mathcal{P}_i] < \mathcal{P}_k$, by conjugating \mathcal{P}_i if necessary and using the invariance of the neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$ under the action of \mathfrak{S}_κ , we can assume $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k$. By the equality (4.3.8), the closure of

$$[\Theta] \times (\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}_k) - \text{Im}(e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_i))),$$

(where $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_i)$ is the exponential map defined in equation (3.1.10)) does not intersect the image $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))$. Thus, applying Lemma 4.5.4 and shrinking $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta)$, we can assume

$$\text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap ([\Theta] \times (\Sigma(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}'') - \text{Im}(e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})))) = \emptyset$$

for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]$ and thus

$$(4.5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{cl}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta))) \cap ((\cup_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}(T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta))) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})) \\ & \subseteq [\Theta] \times e(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}_i)(\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k])), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k])$ is defined in Lemma 4.2.4. Let $T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta)$ and $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta)$ be the sets defined in (4.4.22). The equality and inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} [\Theta] \times e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_i) (\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k])) &= \gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k]}^{\Theta, u} (T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta)) \right) \\ &\subset \gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k]}^{\Theta, u} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

together with (4.5.13) then imply that

$$(4.5.14) \quad \text{cl} \left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta) \right) \right) \setminus \gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k]}^{\Theta, u} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta) \right) \right)$$

is disjoint from $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''} (T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta))$ for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]$. Lemma 4.5.4 gives an $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4) \times \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ invariant neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k], \delta)$ of

$$\left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) \quad \text{in} \quad \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i)$$

whose image under $\gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]}$ is disjoint from the last difference (4.5.14). This disjointedness implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, \delta) \right) \cap \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'', \delta) \right) \\ \subset \gamma'_{\Theta, [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k]}^{\Theta, u} \left(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_k], \delta) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k]$ as required in the conclusion of the lemma. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.5.1. For each partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ , let $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ be the $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ invariant subspace of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ constructed in Lemma 4.5.5. For any two partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' the images $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta))$ and $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}(\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta))$ are either disjoint or, by the last item in Lemma 4.5.5, are given by:

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \left((\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d})^{-1} (\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}', \delta)) \right).$$

Thus, the overlaps are open subspaces and the union of the images W_κ has the desired properties.

Recall that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\sharp, 2}}$ was defined in [11, Equation (4.3)] by:

$$\|a\|_{L^{\sharp, 2}(U)} = \|a\|_{L^2(U)} + \sup_{x \in U} \|\text{dist}^{-2}(x, \cdot) |a|\|_{L^1(U)}.$$

The arguments in [13, Prop. 5.10] imply that if A' is defined by splicing connections A_P with scales δ_P for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ to the trivial connection, and if $\delta_P \leq \delta$ then

$$\|F_{A'}^+\|_{L^{\sharp, 2}} \leq \delta + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|F_{A_P}^+\|_{L^{\sharp, 2}}.$$

The bound on $\|F_{A'}^+\|_{L^2, \sharp}$ for all $A' \in W_\kappa$ then follows by induction. \square

4.6. Tubular neighborhoods of the spliced-ends moduli space

We now introduce the tubular neighborhood structure of the spliced end W_κ defined in (4.5.2). This structure will satisfy the first of the conditions discussed in the beginning of §3. In this lemma, we introduce the projection map.

LEMMA 4.6.1. *For each partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, let $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \subset W_\kappa$ be the image of the open subspace $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$ defined in Lemma 4.5.5. There is an $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ -equivariant map*

$$(4.6.1) \quad \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}),$$

satisfying

- (1) If $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}]$, then $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$, $\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) = \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}')$, and $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) = \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$.
- (2) On the overlap $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$,

$$(4.6.2) \quad \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}') = \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$$

PROOF. The map $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ is defined to be the composition of the projection

$$\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta) \rightarrow \Sigma(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}),$$

with the inverse of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$.

To see the equality (4.6.2), first note that the intersection $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$ is contained in the images of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$ and $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ by (4.5.9). Then, (4.6.2) follows from the observation that on the images of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$ and $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$ the fiber of $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$ is contained in the fiber of the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{x}}$ of the overlap data space defined in (4.4.4) which is contained in the fiber of $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$. \square

The obvious identification

$$(4.6.3) \quad \{[\Theta]\} \times Z_\kappa / \mathfrak{S}_\kappa \cong Z_\kappa / \mathfrak{S}_\kappa,$$

allows us to define the projection maps $\pi(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ defined in §3.1 as maps on the trivial strata of W_κ . We identify the restriction of the projection $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ with such a map in the following.

LEMMA 4.6.2. *Under the identification (4.6.3), the restriction of the projection map $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ of Lemma 4.6.1 to the intersection*

$$(\{[\Theta]\} \times Z_\kappa / \mathfrak{S}_\kappa) \cap \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$$

is equal to the map $\pi(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) : U(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) = \Sigma(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P}) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ defined in (4.6.2).

PROOF. The lemma follows from noting that the restriction of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ to points where the connections being spliced in are trivial is exactly the map $e(Z_\kappa, \mathcal{P})$ of (3.1.10). \square

4.7. The isotopy of the spliced end

The final step in the proof of Proposition 4.0.5 is to use the isotopy provided by the gluing and centering maps to piece together the spliced end W_κ with the actual moduli space $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$.

We first construct the isotopy of W_κ in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(\delta)$.

LEMMA 4.7.1. *For δ sufficiently small, there is continuous, smoothly-stratified map*

$$(4.7.1) \quad R : (-\infty, 1] \times W_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta),$$

such that

- (1) For all $t \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$ and all $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa$, $R(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) = [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]$,
- (2) For all $t \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ and all $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa$, $R(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) \in \bar{M}_\kappa^s(\delta)$,
- (3) For all $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa$, $R(1, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) \in \bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$,
- (4) For all $t \in (-\infty, 1]$, the map $R(t, \cdot) : W_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(\delta)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ -equivariant.

PROOF. The bound on the $L^{\sharp,2}$ -norm of the F_A^+ for all $[A, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa$ appearing in Proposition 4.5.1 and [13, Proposition 7.6] imply that for δ sufficiently small there is a continuous, smoothly-stratified, $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ -equivariant embedding, $G : [0, 1] \times W_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(2\delta)$

$$(4.7.2) \quad G(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) = [A + a_t(A), F^s, \mathbf{x}],$$

where $a_t(A)$ satisfies

$$(4.7.3) \quad F^+(A + a_t(A)) = (1 - t)F_A^+.$$

For $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$, we define

$$(4.7.4) \quad R(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) = [A + a_{4(t-\frac{1}{2})}(A), F^s, \mathbf{x}].$$

The remainder of the isotopy, for $t \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$ is defined by the mass-centering map. That is, pulling any $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]$ back by an appropriate conformal diffeomorphism ensures it is mass-centered (see [12, §3.2.1]). This defines a natural isotopy, parameterized by $t \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$, of the image of W_κ under $R(\frac{1}{2}, \cdot)$ into the space of mass-centered connections. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.0.5. We can find neighborhoods,

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \Subset \mathcal{U}_2 \Subset W_\kappa,$$

of $\{[\Theta]\} \times (Z_P - \{c_P\})/\mathfrak{S}_P$, where W_κ is defined in (4.5.2). We can assume these neighborhoods are closed under the actions of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(4)$. Then, there is $\beta : W_\kappa \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset \beta^{-1}(0)$ and $W_\kappa - \mathcal{U}_2 \subset \beta^{-1}(1)$.

Define $R(W_\kappa)$ to be the image of W_κ under the map $R(\beta(\cdot), \cdot)$:

$$R(W_\kappa) = \{R(\beta([A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]), [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) : [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in W_\kappa\}.$$

Then if we define the spliced-ends moduli space by

$$\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta) = \left(\bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta) - R(1, W_\kappa) \right) \cup R(W_\kappa),$$

it will have the properties specified in Proposition 4.0.5. Note that by the construction of the perturbation $a_t(A)$ in (4.7.3), the $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\sharp,2}}$ -norm of the curvature decreases along the deformation $G(t, \cdot)$ as t increases. \square

4.8. Properties of spliced-ends moduli space

We now prove two useful properties of the spliced-ends moduli space. We first complete the construction of a tubular neighborhood structure by defining a perturbation of the scale function which is constant along the fibers of the projections $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$. This scale shall be used in the construction of the tubular distance function and in the definition of the link of the reducible $\text{PU}(2)$ monopoles.

LEMMA 4.8.1. *There is a continuous map*

$$(4.8.1) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\kappa : \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta) \rightarrow [0, 2\delta),$$

which is smooth on each stratum, $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ invariant, and for some neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}'(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \Subset \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ of $\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ satisfies

- (1) The function $\tilde{\lambda}_\kappa$ is equal to the scale function λ on the complement of W_κ ,
- (2) Under the identification (4.6.3), the restriction of $\tilde{\lambda}_\kappa$ to the trivial strata in (4.6.3) is equal to the function $t(Z_\kappa)$ defined in Lemma 3.1.5,
- (3) For all partitions \mathcal{P} of N_κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, $\tilde{\lambda}_\kappa = \tilde{\lambda}_\kappa \circ \pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ on $\mathcal{U}'(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$.

PROOF. The function $\tilde{\lambda}_\kappa$ is constructed in a manner identical to that of $t(Z_P)$ in Lemma 3.1.5 with the scale function λ defined in (4.1.3) playing the role of $t_{P,0}$ and the neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ and maps $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$ playing the role of $\mathcal{U}(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$ and $\pi(Z_P, \mathcal{P})$. \square

We end this discussion by noting a useful topological property of the spliced-ends moduli space.

LEMMA 4.8.2. *The stratified space $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is a Whitney-stratified space in the sense of [24, §1.2]. If $\Sigma \subset \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is the union of the singular strata, then the pair $(\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta), \Sigma)$ is a NDR-pair.*

PROOF. The smoothly stratified map between $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ and $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ preserves strata and is equivariant with respect to the $\text{SO}(3)$ action on the frame. Hence, the lemma follows from proving that the pair $(\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta), \Sigma)$ has the same properties. The space $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is identified with a subspace of a completion of the ADHM data in [42]. In [39], it is shown that this completion of the ADHM data is a semi-algebraic space and hence Whitney-stratified (see [24, §1.2]). The same is true for the unframed space, $\bar{M}_\kappa^{\natural}(\delta)$. That $(\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta), \Sigma)$ is an NDR pair follows immediately either from Quinn's work on homotopically stratified sets [57] or the earlier result that $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is Whitney-stratified. \square

CHAPTER 5

The space of global splicing data

In this section, we construct the space of global splicing data. This space will be the union of spaces of splicing data, $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, associated to diagonals $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, as \mathcal{P} varies over partitions of N_ℓ . (Of course, we will do this equivariantly with respect to the symmetric group.) To each partition \mathcal{P} , we will define a *crude splicing map* which will be identical to the splicing map defined in [14, Equation (3.27)] except for:

- (1) The connections on S^4 being spliced in are elements of the spliced ends moduli space rather than the moduli space of anti-self-dual connections on S^4 ,
- (2) The background pair, (A_0, Φ_0) , are “flattened” in the sense that the connection A_0 is replaced with one which is flat while the section Φ_0 is multiplied by a vanishing cut-off function on balls of fixed radius around the splicing point rather than on balls whose radius vanishes as the connection on S^4 becomes more concentrated.

This last difference is necessary to mimic the result, Proposition 4.4.3, which holds when splicing connections to the trivial connection on \mathbb{R}^4 . This analogue of Proposition 4.4.3 allows us to control the overlap of the images of the crude splicing map as we did when constructing the spliced end W_κ by defining a space of overlap data and overlap maps. With this control of the overlaps of the images of the crude splicing maps, we can define the space of global splicing data is then the union of the images of the crude splicing maps.

After defining the domain of the splicing map in §5.1, we show how to flatten pairs as described above in §5.2 and thus define the crude splicing maps in §5.3. With the understanding of the overlaps of the images of the crude splicing maps developed in §5.4, we construct the space of global splicing data in §5.5. In §5.6, we construct the partial Thom-Mather structure which will be used in the construction of the link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$. In §5.7, we describe a global splicing map whose image will contain the reducible monopoles. Finally, in §5.8, we construct a projection map from the space of global splicing data to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

5.1. The splicing data

We begin by recalling the domains of the splicing maps from [14]. Assume that $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ appears in the ℓ -th level of $IM_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Let $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ be the spin^u structure defined prior to equation (1.1.14).

5.1.1. The background pairs. Let $\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ be the image of the embedding of the solutions of the perturbation of the Seiberg-Witten equations considered in equation (1.3.11). The gauge group quotient, $M_{\mathfrak{s}} = \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}/\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ embeds in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$. Let $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the ambient normal bundle discussed in §1.3.5 with an embedding of a δ -disk subbundle $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \subset N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$, was defined in (1.3.19). We will abbreviate $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta)$ by $N(\delta)$.

Let $\tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_s$ be the pullback of $N_{t(\ell),s}$ by the projection $\tilde{M}_s \rightarrow M_s$. As in (1.3.26), there is an embedding $\tilde{N}(\delta) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{t(\ell)}$ covering the embedding $N(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{t(\ell)}$.

5.1.2. The metrics. For each partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , let $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the smooth family of metrics on X parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \subset X^\ell$ be the tubular neighborhood of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ constructed in Lemma 3.4.2. Recall that $\pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) : \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is the projection map of this tubular neighborhoods. We list here the relevant properties:

- (1) For all $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, the metric $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{y}}$ is flat on the support of $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$,
- (2) For $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ partitions of N_ℓ and for $\mathbf{y}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ with $\pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y}$, the equality $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{y}} = g_{\mathcal{P}',\mathbf{y}'}$ holds,
- (3) The metrics are \mathfrak{S}_ℓ invariant in the sense that $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{y}} = g_{\sigma(\mathcal{P}),\sigma(\mathbf{y})}$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_\ell$.

5.1.3. The frame bundles. For \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ , let

$$\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}),$$

be the fiber bundle defined before (3.2.5). Similarly, we define

$$(5.1.1) \quad \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}, \mathcal{P}) = \{(F_1^{\mathfrak{g}}, \dots, F_\ell^{\mathfrak{g}}) \in (\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}))|_{\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})} : \\ F_i^{\mathfrak{g}} = F_j^{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ if and only if there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } i, j \in P\}.$$

Then, the gluing data bundle is:

$$(5.1.2) \quad \text{Fr}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(TX, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}, \mathcal{P}).$$

Recall that we denote elements of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ as $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ where $y_P \in X$. We will similarly denote elements of the bundle $\text{Fr}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ lying over $(y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ as $(F_P^T, F_P^{\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ where $F_P^T \in \text{Fr}(TX)|_{y_P}$ and $F_P^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)})|_{y_P}$. We also write $(F_P^{T,\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ for such an element of $\text{Fr}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$.

The structure groups of $\text{Fr}(X, \mathcal{P})$ over $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and over $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ are $\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P})$ and $G(\mathcal{P})/\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ respectively where

$$(5.1.3) \quad \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}) = \{((R_1, M_1), \dots, (R_\ell, M_\ell)) \in (\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(3))^\ell : \\ \text{for every } i, j \in P \in \mathcal{P} \ R_i = R_j \text{ and } M_i = M_j\}, \\ G(\mathcal{P}) = \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}) \ltimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

Observe that for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, there is an inclusion,

$$(5.1.4) \quad \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}'),$$

given by the inclusion of the diagonals. We can write elements of $\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P})$ as $(R_P, M_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$. With this notation, the action of $G(\mathcal{P})$ on

$$(5.1.5) \quad \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$$

is given by the factor (R_P, M_P) acting by the standard $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ action on $\bar{M}_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ and by the natural permutation action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$.

5.1.4. Group actions on the frame bundles. In addition to the action of the structure groups $G(\mathcal{P})$ and $\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P})$ on the frame bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, there are also actions of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and of S^1 on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Both of these actions are diagonal actions defined on the factors of $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})$ in $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by the S^1 action on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ defined by the reduction of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ to an S^1 bundle as in (1.3.16).

5.1.5. The space of splicing data. The splicing map will be defined on a subspace

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

where

$$(5.1.6) \quad \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}).$$

The subspace $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is an open neighborhood of

$$(5.1.7) \quad \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \left\{ \left((F_P^T, \mathfrak{g})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([\Theta, F_P^s, c_{|P|}])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \right\}$$

where Θ is the trivial connection and $c_{|P|}$ is the cone point of $\text{Sym}^{|P|, \mathfrak{h}}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. The notation in (5.1.7) is motivated by the observation that because $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ acts trivially on $[\Theta, F_P^s, c_{|P|}]$, there is an identification,

$$(5.1.8) \quad \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cong \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}).$$

We also define a larger subspace of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by:

$$(5.1.9) \quad T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \left\{ \left((F_P^T, \mathfrak{g})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([\Theta, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \right\}.$$

One requirement in the definition of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ will be that for any point,

$$\left((F_P^T, F_P^g)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

where F_P^T and F_P^g lie over $y_P \in X$ where for $P \neq P'$,

$$(5.1.10) \quad 8\lambda([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P]) < \min_{P' \in \mathcal{P}, P' \neq P} d(y_P, y_{P'})$$

where λ is the scale parameter (4.1.3). Finally, we define

$$(5.1.11) \quad \pi_\Sigma : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$$

to be the obvious projection. The S^1 action on $\tilde{N}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}$ defined in (1.3.27) defines an S^1 action on

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

and we will see that all the constructions of this section are equivariant with respect to this S^1 action. Because of the quotient by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and because of the two presentations of the S^1 action in (1.3.27), this S^1 action is non-trivial on the factors of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Specifically, we have the following analogue of [20, Lemma 3.6]:

LEMMA 5.1.1. *The following two circle actions on $\tilde{N}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ are equivalent:*

- (1) *The action (1.3.27) on $\tilde{N}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon)$ and the trivial action on $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, and*
- (2) *The diagonal action with weight two on the fibers of $\tilde{N}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and with the action on $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ described in §5.1.4 with weight two.*

PROOF. The proof of this lemma is identical to that of [20, Lemma 3.6] using the equality in (1.3.27) of S^1 actions. \square

We will need to refer to the S^1 action

$$(5.1.12) \quad S^1 \times \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

given by the diagonal action with weight one on the fibers of $\tilde{N}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and with the action on $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ defined by the S^1 action described in §5.1.4 with weight one. The action described in Lemma 5.1.1 is the action (5.1.12) with weight two.

5.2. Flattening pairs

The commutativity of the diagram (4.4.26) for the spliced ends moduli space depended on the flatness of the metric on \mathbb{R}^4 and on the flatness of the trivial connection Θ around the splicing points. The locally flat metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ described in §5.1.2 have this property. We now introduce a method for achieving the same kind of local flatness for the background connection A_0 . This method also yields a way of cutting off the background section consistently from one stratum to another.

DEFINITION 5.2.1. A collection of smooth functions $s_P : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, indexed by $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is a *separating family* if

- (1) The functions are $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant in the sense that $s_P = s_{\sigma(P)}$ and $s_P = s_P \circ \sigma$, for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$,
- (2) For all $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and for all $P \neq P' \in \mathcal{P}$, the balls $B(y_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$ and $B(y_{P'}, 4s_{P'}(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$ are disjoint.

DEFINITION 5.2.2. Let $\{s_P\}$ be a separating family of smooth functions on $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. A connection \hat{A} is *flat with respect to $\{s_P\}$* at $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ if the connection \hat{A} is flat on

$$(5.2.1) \quad \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 2s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}).$$

A pair $(A, \Phi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ is *flat with respect to $\{s_P\}$* if \hat{A} is flat with respect to $\{s_P\}$ and Φ vanishes on

$$(5.2.2) \quad \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3}).$$

The following lemma is the analogue of the flattening construction for metrics given in Lemma 3.4.1. Recall from §1.1.2 that for a spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} and spin^u connection A , \hat{A} denotes the unique connection induced by A on the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

LEMMA 5.2.3. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ . Let $\{s_P\}$ be a separating family of smooth functions on $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Then, there is an S^1 -equivariant map,*

$$(5.2.3) \quad \Theta'_{\mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$$

which is \mathcal{G}_s -equivariant and descends to an S^1 -equivariant map

$$N(\delta) \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}.$$

In addition, for all $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, if $(A', \Phi') = \Theta'_{\mathcal{P}}((A_0, \Phi_0), \mathbf{y})$ then

(1) The connection \hat{A}' is flat on

$$\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 2s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}),$$

and equal to \hat{A}_0 on the complement of

$$(5.2.4) \quad \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$$

(2) The section Φ' vanishes on

$$\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 4s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3})$$

and is equal to Φ on the complement of

$$(5.2.5) \quad \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 8s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3}).$$

If the connection \hat{A}_0 is already flat on the space (5.2.4), then $A' = A_0$. If the section Φ already vanishes on the space (5.2.5), then $\Phi' = \Phi_0$.

PROOF. The map $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}$ is initially defined as a map,

$$\Theta'_{\mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$$

as described in [14, §3.2]. Specifically, the frames and radial parallel translation define a trivial connection on the space (5.2.4). If \hat{A}_0 is flat on the space (5.2.4), this trivial connection is equal to \hat{A}_0 . Then, use a cut-off function to interpolate between the trivial connection and \hat{A}_0 on the annuli around the points y_P , defining a new connection \hat{A}' which is flat on the inner balls and equal to \hat{A}_0 outside the space (5.2.4). Observe that the resulting connection \hat{A}' is independent of the choice of the frames used as it is equal to the connection obtained by splicing in the trivial connection which has stabilizer $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ (see [9, Proposition 7.29]). Thus, the map $\Theta'_{\mathcal{P}}$ descends to the domain stated in the lemma.

The section Φ' is defined by multiplying Φ_0 by a cut-off function equal to one the space (5.2.5) and vanishing on the balls of radius $4s_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3}$. \square

To define the crude splicing maps in such a way that an analogue of Proposition 4.4.3 controls the overlaps of their images, we must redefine the flattening maps in such a way that for $\mathbf{y}' \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ near $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ the equality $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y})$ holds. (Compare the second property of the metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ appearing in §5.1.2.) To this end, we introduce a refinement of the flattening map of Lemma 5.2.3.

LEMMA 5.2.4. *Continue the assumptions of Lemma 5.2.3. Then, for every partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ there are separating functions $\{\tilde{s}_P\}$ and an S^1 -equivariant map*

$$(5.2.6) \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)},$$

which is $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ -equivariant and descends to the quotient

$$N(\delta) \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$$

such that if $(A', \Phi') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y})$ where $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ then

(1) The connection \hat{A}' is flat on

$$\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 2\tilde{s}_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}),$$

(2) The section Φ' vanishes on

$$\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 4\tilde{s}_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3}).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ and the tubular neighborhood $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is suitably small, if $\mathbf{y}' \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ and $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, then

$$(5.2.7) \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}) = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}').$$

PROOF. The proof is identical to the construction of the consistent flat families of metrics in Lemma 3.4.2. One constructs $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ by induction on \mathcal{P} .

For $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{N_\ell\}$ the crudest partition of N_ℓ , define $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}_0} = \Theta'_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ (as constructed in Lemma 5.2.3).

Assume $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ has been constructed for all $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. To construct $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}$, we first define

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times \left(\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \right) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)},$$

by

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{y}')).$$

Observe that if $\mathcal{P}_1 < \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 < \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2})$ is non-empty, then we can assume $\mathcal{P}_1 < \mathcal{P}_2 < \mathcal{P}$. The Thom-Mather condition on the projections $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, (3.3.9):

$$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \circ \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2}) = \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}),$$

and the inductive assumption imply that for $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}_2}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') &= \Theta_{\mathcal{P}_2}(A_0, \Phi_0, \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2})(\mathbf{y}')) \\ &= \Theta_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_2})(\mathbf{y}')) \quad \text{By inductive hypothesis} \\ &= \Theta_{\mathcal{P}_1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_1})(\mathbf{y}')) \quad \text{By Thom-Mather property (3.3.9)} \\ &= \Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}_1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}'). \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding equality, we can define

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{U}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') \quad \text{for } \mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$$

on $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}')$ where

$$(5.2.8) \quad \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}') = \cup_{\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'} \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}').$$

Then, we define

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', 1} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)},$$

by

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', 1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') = (1 - \chi(\mathbf{y}'))(A_0, \Phi_0) + \chi(\mathbf{y}')\Theta_{\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{U}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}')$$

where $\chi : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}')$ invariant function supported in (5.2.8) and equal to one on a neighborhood \mathcal{U}_2 of the end of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ (hence \mathcal{U}_2 is a proper subspace of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{P}')$). By shrinking the tubular neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, we can find a family of separating functions $\{\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}'}\}_{\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{P}'}$, such that for $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_{\mathcal{P}'})_{\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{P}'} \in \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ with $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y} = (y_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{P}}$

$$(5.2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} B(y'_{\mathcal{P}'}, 8\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}'}(\mathbf{y}')^{1/3}) &\Subset B(y_{\mathcal{P}}, 4\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{y})^{1/3}) \\ \text{and } B(y'_{\mathcal{P}'}, 4\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}'}(\mathbf{y}')^{1/2}) &\Subset B(y_{\mathcal{P}}, 2\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{y})^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$. By these inclusions, by the inductive assumption, and by the final statement of Lemma 5.2.3, we have the equality, for $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{U}_2$,

$$\Theta'_{\mathcal{P}'}(\Theta_{\mathcal{P},1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}'), \mathbf{y}') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P},1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}').$$

That is, for $\mathbf{y}' \in \mathcal{U}_2$, the pair $\Theta_{\mathcal{P},1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}')$ is already flat on the relevant balls and thus the flattening construction of Lemma 5.2.3 does not change the pair. Hence, if we define

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}') = \Theta'_{\mathcal{P}'}(\Theta_{\mathcal{P},1}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}'), \mathbf{y}'),$$

this flattening map will satisfy (5.2.7), completing the construction of the map $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}$ and thus completing the induction. \square

5.3. The crude splicing map

To define the crude splicing map:

$$(5.3.1) \quad \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

we must require the domain to satisfy a separation condition:

$$(5.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \\ &= \{(F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}}, [A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) : 8\lambda([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])^{1/3} \leq s_P(F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{s_P\}$ is the pullback of the family of separating functions $\{\tilde{s}_P\}$ constructed in Lemma 5.2.4 by the projection $\bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. The crude splicing map will be S^1 -equivariant with respect to the S^1 action on the domain given in Lemma 5.1.1 and the S^1 action on the range given by the action (1.1.9). Before defining the crude splicing map, we review the definition of the splicing map defined in [14, §3.2].

5.3.1. The standard splicing map. We refer to the splicing map defined in [14, §3.2] as the standard splicing map. The crude splicing map will be similar to standard splicing map with some exceptions described below. We now sketch the construction in [14, §3.2] of a pair $(A', \Phi') = \gamma'_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{A})$ where \mathbf{A} is given by the data

- A pair $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$,
- Frames $F_P^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})|_{y_P}$,
- Frames $F_P^T \in \text{Fr}(TX)|_{y_P}$,
- Framed, mass-centered, connections on S^4 , $[A_P, F_P^s] \in \bar{M}_{|P}^{s, \mathfrak{g}}$.

We consider the connection \hat{A}_P as a connection on the \mathbb{R}^3 bundle $\mathfrak{g}_P \rightarrow S^4$ and the connection \hat{A}_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$.

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\sigma(A_P, F_P^s)$ be the trivialization of \mathfrak{g}_P over $S^4 - n$ defined by parallel translation of the frame F_P^s along great circles. This trivialization defines a flat connection $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s)$. Let $\lambda_P = \lambda([A_P, F_P^s])^{1/2}$ be the square root of the scale of the connection A_P as defined in (4.1.3). Let $B(\lambda) \subset S^4$ be the ball of radius λ centered at the north pole and let $\Omega(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = B(\lambda_2) - B(\lambda_1)$. Let β_P be a rotationally-invariant, cut-off function supported on $S^4 - B(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_P)$ and equal to one on $B(\frac{1}{4}\lambda_P)$.

Define a connection on \mathfrak{g}_P by:

$$(5.3.3) \quad \hat{A}'_P = \begin{cases} \Theta(A_P, F_P^s) & \text{on } S^4 - B(\frac{1}{2}\lambda_P) \\ \beta_P \Theta(A_P, F_P^s) + (1 - \beta_P) \hat{A}_P & \text{on } \Omega(\frac{1}{4}\lambda_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P) \\ \hat{A}_P & \text{on } B(\frac{1}{4}\lambda_P). \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi_P : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function, depending only on the distance from $y_P \in X$, equal to one on $X - B(y_P, 4\lambda_P)$ and vanishing on $B(y_P, 2\lambda_P)$. Parallel translation with respect to the connection \hat{A}_0 of the frame F_P^g along radial geodesics from the point y_P defines a trivialization $\sigma(A_0, F_P^g)$ of the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}$ over a ball around the point y_P . Let $\Theta(A_0, F_P^g)$ be the trivial connection defined by this trivialization. Define $\Omega_P = B(y_P, 4\lambda_P) - B(y_P, 2\lambda_P)$. We then define

$$(5.3.4) \quad \hat{A}'_0 = \begin{cases} \hat{A}_0 & \text{on } X - \cup_P B(x_P, 4\lambda_P) \\ \chi_P \hat{A}_0 + (1 - \chi_P) \Theta(A_P, F_P^g) & \text{on } \Omega_P \\ \Theta(A_0, F_P^g) & \text{on } B(y_P, 2\lambda_P). \end{cases}$$

The frame F_P^T , exponential coordinates around x_P , and stereographic projection identify the annuli $\Omega(x_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P) \subset X$ with the annuli $\Omega(n, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P) \subset S^4$. Identifying the trivializations $\sigma(A_0, F_P^g)$ and $\sigma(A_P, F_P^s)$ over these annuli gives allows us to glue together the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}$ with the bundles \mathfrak{g}_P to create a bundle isomorphic to \mathfrak{g}_t :

$$\mathfrak{g}_t \simeq \left(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}|_{X - \cup_P B(y_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P)} \right) \cup \left(\cup_P \mathfrak{g}_P|_{B(n, 2\lambda_P)} \right).$$

Identifying these trivializations gives an identification of the flat connections $\Theta(A_0, F_P^g)$ and $\Theta(A_P, F_P^s)$. Let $\Theta(A_0, F_P^g, F_P^T, A_P, F_P^s)$ be the flat connection over $\Omega(x_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P) \subset X$ given by this identification. Then we define:

$$(5.3.5) \quad \hat{A}' = \begin{cases} \hat{A}'_0 & \text{on } X - \cup_P B(x_P, 4\lambda_P) \\ \Theta(A_0, F_P^g, F_P^T, A_P, F_P^s) & \text{on } \Omega(y_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P) \\ \hat{A}'_P & \text{on } B(y_P, 2\lambda_P). \end{cases}$$

Let $\chi_{P,2} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function, depending only on distance to y_P , equal to one on $X - B(y_P, 8\lambda_P^{2/3})$ and vanishing on $B(y_P, 4\lambda_P^{2/3})$. Then, we define

$$(5.3.6) \quad \Phi' = \begin{cases} \Phi & \text{on } X - \cup_P B(y_P, 8\lambda_P^{2/3}) \\ \chi_{P,2} \Phi & \text{on } \Omega(y_P, 4\lambda_P^{2/3}, 8\lambda_P^{2/3}) \\ 0 & \text{on } \cup_P B(y_P, 4\lambda_P^{2/3}) \end{cases}$$

The standard splicing map is then given by $\gamma'_{t,s,\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{A}) = (A', \Phi')$ where A' is defined by (5.3.5) and Φ' is defined by (5.3.6).

5.3.2. Construction of the crude splicing map. The crude splicing map $\gamma''_{t,s,\mathcal{P}}$ differs from the above description in the following ways.

- (1) The connections on S^4 being spliced in are elements of the spliced ends moduli space $\bar{M}_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}$ constructed in §4 rather than elements of the anti-self-dual moduli space $\bar{M}_{|P|}^{s,\natural}$.
- (2) The metric on X used to identify balls in X with balls around the north pole of S^4 is fixed for the standard splicing map. In the crude splicing map, the metric varies with the splicing points $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, varying in a smooth family of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$.
- (3) In the crude splicing map, the trivializations of the background bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}$ are defined by parallel translation with respect to the flattened connection \hat{A}_0'' where $(A_0'', \Phi'') = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{x})$ instead of with respect to \hat{A}_0 .
- (4) In the definition of the splicing map in [14, §3.2], the background connection A_0 is flattened on the balls

$$B(y_P, 2\lambda_P)$$

while the background section is multiplied by a cut-off function vanishing on

$$B(y_P, 4\lambda_P^{2/3}),$$

where λ_P is the scale of the connection on S^4 being spliced in at $x_P \in X$. The crude splicing map is defined instead by replacing the background pair (A_0, Φ_0) with the background pair $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y})$ constructed in Lemma 5.2.4. Thus, the background pair is flattened on balls whose radius does not depend on the scale of the connections on S^4 .

Let \mathbf{A} in the domain of $\gamma''_{t,s,\mathcal{P}}$ given in (5.3.1) consist of the data:

- A pair $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$,
- Frames $F_P^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)})|_{y_P}$,
- Frames $F_P^T \in \text{Fr}(TX)|_{y_P}$,
- Framed, mass-centered, connections on S^4 , $[A_P, F_P^s] \in \bar{M}_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}$.

Let \hat{A}_P and λ_P be as defined in the discussion of the standard splicing map. If $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{x}) = (A_0'', \Phi'')$ and \hat{A}_P' is as defined in (5.3.3), then we define

$$(5.3.7) \quad \hat{A}'' = \begin{cases} \hat{A}_0'' & \text{on } X - \cup_P B(x_P, 4\lambda_P) \\ \Theta(A_0'', F_P^{\mathfrak{g}}, F_P^T, A_P, F_P^s) & \text{on } \Omega(y_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P) \\ \hat{A}_P' & \text{on } B(y_P, 2\lambda_P). \end{cases}$$

Note, however, that the identification of $\Omega(y_P, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P)$ and $\Omega(n, \frac{1}{2}\lambda_P, 2\lambda_P)$ is done using the frame F_P^T and the flattened metric $g_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{y}}$. We then define the crude splicing map by $\gamma''_{t,s,\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{A}) = (A'', \Phi'')$.

5.3.3. Properties of the crude splicing map. The following lemma gives an explicit description of the crude splicing map restricted to a subspace of its domain.

LEMMA 5.3.1. *Let $T(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ be the subspace defined in (5.1.9). Then there is an identification,*

$$k_{\mathcal{P}} : T(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \simeq \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

where $\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is the normal bundle defined in (3.2.5). The restriction of the crude splicing map $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ to

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

is given by:

$$\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}((A_0, \Phi_0), (\mathbf{F})) = \left([\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0)], e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(k_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{F})) \right)$$

for $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$ and $\mathbf{F} \in T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$.

PROOF. If Θ is the trivial connection and $[\Theta, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P] \in \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, then $\mathbf{v}_P \in Z_P(\delta)$. Because the $\text{SO}(3)$ action on the frame is trivial on such elements of $\bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, the map $k_{\mathcal{P}}$ defined by

$$k_{\mathcal{P}} \left((F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, ([\Theta, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) = ((F_P^T, v_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}),$$

where $T_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}} = (F^T, F^{\mathfrak{g}})$ for $F^T \in \text{Fr}(TX)$ and $F^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})$, is a homeomorphism. The statement on the value of the crude splicing map follows immediately from the definition of the crude splicing map. \square

REMARK 5.3.2. In contrast to the result of Lemma 5.3.1, the standard splicing map would satisfy

$$\gamma'_{X, \mathcal{P}}((A_0, \Phi_0), \mathbf{F}) = \left([A_0, \Phi_0], e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(k_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{F})) \right),$$

that is, the background pair would not be flattened.

5.4. The overlap spaces and maps

We now introduce spaces of overlap data $\text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, attempting to mimic the construction of the spliced end in §4.

5.4.1. The overlap space. For $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ partitions of N_ℓ and $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ a family of smooth metrics on X parameterized by $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$, we define the space of overlap data by:

$$(5.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \\ &= \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}''_P)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}''_P)$ is defined in (5.1.5). Recall that we denote elements of $\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P)$ as $(v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P}$ where $v_Q \in \mathbb{R}^4$. We then denote elements of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ by

$$(5.4.2) \quad \mathbf{A} = \left((F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P}, ([A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{v}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']),$$

where $(F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, $(v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}, \mathcal{P}''_P)$, and $[A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{v}_Q] \in \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, $Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P$.

The projection $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ defines a projection,

$$(5.4.3) \quad \pi_\Sigma : \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}).$$

Because $[\Theta, F_Q^s, c_{|Q|}] \in \bar{M}_{spl,|Q|}^{s,\natural}$, where Θ is the trivial connection, is a fixed point of the action of $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$, the subspace

$$(5.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \\ &= \left\{ \left((F_P^{T,\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([\Theta, F_Q^s, c_{|Q|}])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is identified with the subspace of the normal bundle $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}'])$

$$(5.4.5) \quad k_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} : T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \cong \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}'])$$

where $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}'])$ was defined in (2.3.4) by

$$\text{Fr}(TX^\ell, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{G(T, \mathcal{P})} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'').$$

There is thus a projection,

$$(5.4.6) \quad \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} : \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']),$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} \left((F_P^T, F_P^{\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \\ &= \left((F_P^T, F_P^{\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([\Theta, F_Q^s, c_{|Q|}])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will then write

$$(5.4.7) \quad \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)} : \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}']), \quad \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)} = k_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']} \circ \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']},$$

for the projection to the normal bundle.

The S^1 action on $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ discussed in §5.1.4 is defined by the S^1 action on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ given in §5.1.4. This action then gives an action of S^1 on $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ through the appearance of $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ in the definition of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, (5.4.1). Thus, there is an S^1 action,

$$(5.4.8) \quad S^1 \times \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

defined in the same manner as the action (5.1.12).

5.4.2. The upwards overlap map. To work simultaneously with all the diagonals $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, we introduce the gluing space,

$$(5.4.9) \quad \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \times_{\bar{G}(\mathcal{P}'')} \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{spl,|Q|}^{s,\natural} \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

We will define a subspace

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

and an upwards overlap map,

$$(5.4.10) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']).$$

In words, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},u}$ will be defined by the identity map on the background pair $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$, the identity map on the S^4 connections, and parallel translating the frames (F_P^T, F_P^g) from $y_P \in X$ to each $x_Q \in X$, where $(x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}$ is the image of \mathbf{A} under the composition $e(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)}$. This parallel translation is done with respect to the locally flattened metric and background connection A_0'' . If $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then because $\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta), \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is given by P -copies of the zero vector, the parallel translation for this case would be given by the identity map.

We assume that the domain $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},u}$ satisfies

$$(5.4.11) \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ is the subspace mapped to the tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ in Lemma 5.2.4. Then, the map $e(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)}$ is defined on $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ and takes values in the intersection of $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ with the diagonals $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}'')$ for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$.

Assume that x is a point in a geodesic ball around $y \in X$. Then for any connection A on a bundle over X and F a frame of the bundle lying over y , let $T_{x,y}^A(F)$ denote parallel translation (parallel with respect to the connection A) of the frame F from x to y along the radial geodesic. We will use $T_{x,y}^g$ to denote parallel translation with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of a metric g .

We now define the upwards overlap map. Assume $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfies

$$\pi_\Sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \quad \text{and} \quad e(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_{\nu(\Sigma)}(\mathbf{A}) = (x_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}.$$

For $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$, let $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}) = (A'_0, \Phi'_0)$ as defined in (5.2.6). Then,

$$(5.4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},u}((A_0, \Phi_0), \mathbf{A}) \\ &= \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},u} \left((A_0, \Phi_0), (F_P^T, F_P^g)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \\ &= \left((A_0, \Phi_0), (T_{x_Q, y_P}^{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}}(F_P^T), T_{x_Q, y_P}^{\hat{A}'_0}(F_P^g))_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the indices P and Q appearing in the parallel translations $T_{x_Q, y_P}^{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}}$ and $T_{x_Q, y_P}^{\hat{A}'_0}$ satisfy $Q \subseteq P$. The metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}$ defining the parallel translation $T_{x_Q, y_P}^{g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}}$ is the locally flattened metric defined in Lemma 3.4.2. The proof of the following is then straightforward.

LEMMA 5.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Assume the open subspace $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$ of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfies the condition (5.4.11) Then, the map*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},u} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']),$$

defines an open embedding which is equivariant with respect to the S^1 -actions defined by (5.1.12) and (5.4.8).

5.4.3. The downwards overlap map. The downwards overlap map is an S^1 -equivariant map,

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}'}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},d} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_d(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_d(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, defined analogously to the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$. We wish to define, for $\mathbf{A} \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ and $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$,

$$(5.4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}((A_0, \Phi_0), \mathbf{A}) \\ &= \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d} \left((A_0, \Phi_0), (F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \\ &= \left((A_0, \Phi_0), (F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''} \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right) \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the convention that for $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}''$, $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}$ is the identity map. Thus, if we define the map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ by

$$(5.4.14) \quad \rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d} = \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}$$

with domain and range

$$(5.4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} \subset \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}}(\delta_Q) \right) \\ \rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d} \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}}(\delta_P) \end{aligned}$$

then we see that the map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ is $G(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant and defines $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ by extension from the fiber.

However, for the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ to be defined, we must restrict $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ to the points where the data in the domain \mathcal{O} in (5.4.15) lie in the domain of the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}$. More formally, we must assume that domain of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ satisfies

$$(5.4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}_d(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \\ & \subseteq \left\{ \left((F_P^T, F_P^{\mathfrak{g}})_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) : \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left((v_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''}, ([A_Q, F_Q^{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \right) \in \mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P, \delta_P) \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_1^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P, \delta_P)$ is the open set defined in Lemma 4.5.5.

LEMMA 5.4.2. *Let $\mathcal{O}_d(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subseteq \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ be any open neighborhood of the subspace $T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfying (5.4.16). Then the restriction of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ to $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathcal{O}_d(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ is an S^1 -equivariant, with respect to the S^1 -actions given by (5.1.12) and (5.4.8), open embedding whose image is an open neighborhood of $N(\delta) \times \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ in $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the observation that the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''}$ define open embeddings onto neighborhoods of the corresponding trivial strata in $\bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}}(\delta_P)$. \square

5.4.4. The splicing equality. We now show how the constructions of the overlap maps and crude splicing maps lead to a diagram similar to the diagram (4.4.26) used to construct the spliced end W_κ .

For $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'')$ be a $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant collection of open subspaces on which the crude splicing maps $\gamma''_{X, \mathcal{P}''}$ are defined. Define

$$(5.4.17) \quad \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']).$$

Then, by the $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariance of the crude splicing maps $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}''}$ for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, the maps $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}''}$ define a crude splicing map

$$(5.4.18) \quad \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

Given subspaces, $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and an $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant collection of subspaces $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'')$ for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$, we define an open subspace,

$$(5.4.19) \quad \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

to be the points satisfying the conditions (5.4.11) and (5.4.16) and, in addition, satisfying

$$\mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subseteq (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u})^{-1} (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])) \cap (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d})^{-1} (\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})).$$

Then we have,

PROPOSITION 5.4.3. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Assume the families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}''}$ satisfy the conditions in §5.1.2. Let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ be an open subspace on which the crude splicing map is defined. Let $\{\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'')\}_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}$ be an $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant collection of open subspaces on which the crude splicing maps, $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}''}$ are defined and let $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$ be the resulting space defined by (5.4.17). Then, if $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ is the subspace defined in (5.4.19), the maps in the diagram*

$$(5.4.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}} & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d} \downarrow & & \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \downarrow \\ \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}} & \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \end{array}$$

are S^1 -equivariant with respect to the action (5.4.8) with weight two, the action (5.1.12) with weight two, and the action (1.1.9) on $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, and the diagram commutes.

PROOF. The conditions in the definition of the subspace $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ imply that the compositions

$$\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d})$$

are defined on $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$.

The S^1 -equivariance of the overlap maps appears in Lemmas 5.4.1 and 5.4.2. The action (1.1.9) is just scalar multiplication on the section. The crude splicing maps will be equivariant if S^1 acts on the domain by scalar multiplication on the section of V_0^+ (where $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = (\rho, V_0^\pm)$). The discussion around (1.3.27) shows that the action (1.3.27) on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ is

scalar multiplication on the section. The S^1 -equivariance of the crude splicing maps then follows from the observation that the action described in Lemma 5.1.1 is the action (5.1.12) with weight two, and the equality of the first action in Lemma 5.1.1 with the action in (1.3.27).

To see that the diagram (5.4.20) commutes, begin by restricting the diagram to

$$\mathcal{G}_s(A_0, \Phi_0) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])|_{\pi_{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \subset \tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

for $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. The definition of the overlap maps imply that the crude splicing maps are defined by splicing in S^4 connections at \mathbf{y} for $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and at some \mathbf{y}' for $\gamma''_{X, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}]}$ where $\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y}$. Then, the consistency of the families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}'}$ imply $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}} = g_{\mathcal{P}', \mathbf{y}'}$. Similarly, the consistency condition of the flattening maps in (5.2.7) imply that $(A'_0, \Phi'_0) = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}) = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}'}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{y}')$. This proves that the sections obtained by the two compositions in the diagram (5.4.20) are equal to Φ'_0 . The connections obtained by the two compositions in the diagram (5.4.20) are defined by splicing connections on S^4 to the background connection A'_0 .

The property (5.4.11) of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ implies that \mathbf{y}' lies in the suitably small tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ defined in Lemma 5.2.4. Thus, from (5.2.9) the inclusions

$$B(y'_{\mathcal{P}'}, 4\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}'}(\mathbf{y}')^{1/2}) \Subset B(y_{\mathcal{P}}, 2\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$$

hold for the balls where the splicing takes place. Then because the connection \hat{A}'_0 and metric $g_{\mathcal{P}, \mathbf{y}}$ are flat on each ball $B(y_{\mathcal{P}}, 2\tilde{s}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$, the proof of the proposition reduces to that of Lemma 4.4.2. \square

5.5. Constructing the space of global splicing data

The construction of the space of global splicing data follows from Proposition 5.4.3 and the arguments on shrinking the neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ given in the construction of the spliced end W_κ in §4.5.

THEOREM 5.5.1. *For every partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ of $\Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ in $\bar{\mathcal{G}}\text{l}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ such that the intersection of the images*

$$\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})) \cap \gamma''_{\mathcal{P}'}(\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'}))$$

is empty if $\mathcal{P} \not\prec \mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathcal{P}' \not\prec \mathcal{P}$ and is contained in

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d} \left(\tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \right) \right) \\ & = \gamma''_{[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \left(\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{N, d} \left(\tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

if $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, where $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ is the subspace appearing in Proposition 5.4.3.

PROOF. The proof is identical to the arguments appearing in Lemma 4.5.5 for the construction of W_κ . \square

We will require the following special case of Theorem 5.5.1.

LEMMA 5.5.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Define*

$$T^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) = T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

where $T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is defined in (5.4.4) and $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is as defined in Theorem 5.5.1. Then,

$$\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}(N(\delta) \times T^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])) \subset \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'}(N(\delta) \times \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')).$$

PROOF. The lemma follows from Lemma 5.3.1. \square

We then define the *space of global splicing data* to be

$$(5.5.1) \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} = \sqcup_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \right) / \sim$$

where $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is the space appearing in Theorem 5.5.1 and points in

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$$

are identified by the relation \sim if their images under the crude splicing maps are equal. Thus, the space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is the homotopy pushout of the diagram (5.4.20) in the sense of the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.5.3. *If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ are partitions of N_ℓ , $(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{F}) \in \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and $(A'_0, \Phi'_0, \mathbf{F}') \in \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}'})$, then*

$$(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{F}) \sim (A'_0, \Phi'_0, \mathbf{F}')$$

if and only if $[A_0, \Phi_0] = [A'_0, \Phi'_0]$ and there is $(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{A}) \in \tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfying

$$(5.5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{F}) &= \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{F}_0) \\ (A'_0, \Phi'_0, \mathbf{F}') &= \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}(A_0, \Phi_0, \mathbf{F}_0). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.5.3 gives the following result.

LEMMA 5.5.4. *The space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ defined by (5.5.1) is a smoothly stratified space with the property that if $\Sigma \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is the complement of the top stratum, then $(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}, \Sigma)$ is an NDR pair.*

PROOF. Lemma 4.8.2 implies that for $\mathcal{U} = \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, the pair $(\mathcal{U}, \Sigma \cap \mathcal{U})$ is locally an NDR-pair and thus an NDR-pair. Lemma 5.5.3 then implies the lemma. \square

Because the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ are S^1 -equivariant the S^1 actions defined in (5.1.12) define an S^1 action

$$(5.5.3) \quad S^1 \times \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}.$$

Because the overlaps of the images of $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ are controlled by the diagram (5.4.20) and because the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ are smoothly-stratified embeddings, we have the following.

COROLLARY 5.5.5. *The space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ admits an S^1 -equivariant fibration,*

$$(5.5.4) \quad \pi_N : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell),\mathfrak{s}}(\delta),$$

and an S^1 -equivariant embedding

$$(5.5.5) \quad \gamma''_{\mathcal{M}} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

such that the restriction of $\gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is equal to $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}$.

PROOF. Define $\pi_{N,\mathcal{P}}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by the obvious projection,

$$\pi_{N,\mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow N(\delta).$$

On the intersection, $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$, the equality $\pi_{N,\mathcal{P}} = \pi_{N,\mathcal{P}'}$ holds by Lemma 5.5.3. The restriction of π_N to each stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is a submersion and hence is a fibration. \square

5.6. Thom-Mather structures on the space of global splicing data

On each open subspace

$$(5.6.1) \quad \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}},$$

there is a projection map

$$(5.6.2) \quad \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) : \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow N(\delta) \times \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

defined by $\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma}$, where π_{Σ} is the restriction of the projection defined in (5.1.11) to $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and id_N is the identity on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$.

To prove that the relations (3.0.1) hold for the projection maps $\pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, we make the following observations on the overlap maps. For $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, define

$$(5.6.3) \quad U_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) = \text{Im}(\rho_{f,\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},d}) \subset \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}).$$

where $\rho_{f,\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},d}$ is defined in (5.4.14). By the definition of $\rho_{f,\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},d}$, we have

$$U_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subseteq \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$$

where $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P)$ is the neighborhood of $\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P)$ defined in Lemma 4.6.1 and $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ acts by permuting the components given by $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$. We use the convention that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}''$, then $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P) = \bar{M}_{\text{spl},|P|}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{h}}(\delta_P)$.

The projection maps,

$$\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P) : \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P) \subset \bar{M}_{\text{spl},|P|}^{\mathfrak{s},\mathfrak{h}}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \simeq \Delta^{\circ}(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}'_P)$$

defined in (4.6.1) are $\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ -equivariant. We use the convention that if $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, then $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ is projection to the cone point, $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P)([A, F^{\mathfrak{s}}, \mathbf{x}]) = [\Theta, F^{\mathfrak{s}}, c_P]$. Together

with the identify maps on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ and on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, the maps $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P)$ (for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$) define a map, $p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]} \\ & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that in the definition of the preceding map, the subgroup $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}'_P) < \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) < G(\mathcal{P})$ is contained in $\Gamma(\mathfrak{S})$ and thus acts trivially on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, giving the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \\ & \simeq \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}'_P) \\ & \simeq \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can rewrite the range of the map $p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ as:

$$(5.6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]} \\ & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \end{aligned}$$

The inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P) \subset \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P), \quad \text{and} \\ & \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \subset \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P) \end{aligned}$$

imply that the map $\text{id}_N \times p_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}$ of (5.6.4) is defined on a subspace of $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Observe that the relation

$$(5.6.5) \quad (\text{id}_N \times \pi_\Sigma) \circ (p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}) = (\text{id}_N \times \pi_\Sigma)$$

holds where π_Σ is the projection given in (5.1.11) because $p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ respects the fibers of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$.

Then for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, the following lemma describes the projection map $\pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ on the image of the overlap map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$.

LEMMA 5.6.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . For $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ the projection defined in (5.4.6), let*

$$\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow N(\delta) \times T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

be the product of $\pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}$ with the identity map on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$. Then, the relations

$$(5.6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} &= \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} \circ (\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \\ (p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}) &= (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}) \circ (\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \end{aligned}$$

hold on $\tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$.

PROOF. The lemma follows from the definition of the projection maps and the construction of the overlap maps. \square

We now prove the first Thom-Mather relation between the projections.

LEMMA 5.6.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Then, restricted to the intersection*

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'),$$

the equality

$$(5.6.7) \quad \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') = \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

holds.

PROOF. By Theorem 5.5.1, the intersection $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ is contained in the image of the overlap maps in the diagram (5.4.20). Therefore every point \mathbf{A}' in the intersection is given by

$$\mathbf{A}' = (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d})(\mathbf{A}) = \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}(\mathbf{A}).$$

for some $\mathbf{A} \in \tilde{N} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}_1(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')(\mathbf{A}') &= \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}(\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} \circ (\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']})(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By equation (5.6.6)} \\ &= (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}) \circ (\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']})(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By the definition of } \sim \text{ following (5.5.1)} \\ &= (p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d})(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By equation (5.6.6)} \end{aligned}$$

The preceding equality implies

$$\begin{aligned} &(\pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'))(\mathbf{A}') \\ &= (\pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ (p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}))(\mathbf{A}) \\ &= ((\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma}) \circ (p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}))(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By the definition following (5.6.2)} \\ &= (\text{id}_N \times \pi_{\Sigma}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d})(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By equation (5.6.5)} \\ &= (\pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ (\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}))(\mathbf{A}) \quad \text{By the definition following (5.6.2)} \\ &= \pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{A}'), \end{aligned}$$

thus proving (5.6.7). \square

We now construct a tubular distance function on $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ in a manner similar to the definition in (3.6.1). Because the function $\tilde{\lambda}_P$ of Lemma 4.8.1 is $\mathrm{SO}(4) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$ -invariant, it defines a map $\tilde{\lambda}_P : \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We then define

$$(5.6.8) \quad \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) : \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow I^{\mathcal{P}}/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}), \quad \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = (\tilde{\lambda}_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}.$$

For $P \in \mathcal{P}$, we will write $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ for the P -th component of this map. We now describe the function $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ on the intersection $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ where $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$.

LEMMA 5.6.3. *Let $\mathcal{P} \leq \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . After possibly shrinking the open sets $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$, there is a $G(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant function,*

$$\vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) : \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} I^{\mathcal{P}''}/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}),$$

whose extension to $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ equals $t(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$.

PROOF. We begin by defining a function,

$$t_f : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\mathrm{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} I^{\mathcal{P}''}/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}),$$

as follows. For $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$, $(F_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, $v_P \in \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P)$, and $[A_Q, F_Z^s, \mathbf{x}_Q] \in \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_Q)$, where $Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P$, we can write

$$\mathbf{A} = \left((A_0, \Phi_0), (F_P^{T, \mathfrak{g}}, v_P, ([A_Q, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P})_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \right) \in \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\mathrm{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']).$$

Define

$$t_f(\mathbf{A}) = (\tilde{\lambda}_Q([A_Q, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_Q]))_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'}$$

Then, the definitions of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, u}$ (see (5.4.12)), imply that

$$(5.6.9) \quad \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, u} = t_f.$$

Thus, the composition $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, u}$ is defined by a $G(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant function on the fibers of $\bar{\mathrm{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$. The downwards transition map is defined by the $G(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, d}$ of the fibers of $\bar{\mathrm{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ defined in (5.4.15). While the map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, d}$ in (5.4.15) is not an embedding because of symmetric group actions, the map (5.6.9) is invariant under these symmetric group actions and thus defines a $G(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant map, $t_{\mathcal{O}, f}(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ on the image of \mathcal{O} under the map (5.4.15). After replacing the open sets $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ with smaller open sets, we can assume that the overlap set $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ of Proposition 5.4.3 has fibers contained in open sets $\mathcal{O}' \Subset \mathcal{O}$. Then, the restriction of $t_{\mathcal{O}, f}(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ to the image of $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{O}')$ extends to a $G(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant function, $t_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, on all of $\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$. By construction, $t_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. \square

The following lemma identifies the restriction of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ to the intersection of $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ with the trivial strata with the function $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$.

LEMMA 5.6.4. *Let $T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ be the subspace defined in (5.4.4). Define*

$$\mathcal{O}_T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) = \mathcal{O}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \cap T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']).$$

For $k_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}$ the identification from (5.4.5) and id_N the identity map on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$, there is an embedding

$$\text{id}_N \times (e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ k_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}) : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \mathcal{O}_T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow N(\delta)/S^1 \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}').$$

Let $\pi_2 : N(\delta)/S^1 \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}')$ be the projection. Then, the restriction of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ to

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \mathcal{O}_T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']),$$

is equal to the composition,

$$\vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi_2 \circ (\text{id}_N \times (e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ k_{\Sigma, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']})).$$

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definition of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ in terms of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$, the value of $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$ on the domain $\mathcal{O}_T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, the definitions of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in terms of $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $t(Z_{\mathcal{P}})$ respectively, and the equality in item (2) of Lemma 4.8.1 between $\tilde{\lambda}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $t(Z_{\mathcal{P}})$. \square

In describing $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ on $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ where $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$, we will see in the following lemma that the Thom-Mather relation $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') = \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ fails in exactly the same way and for the exact same reasons as the analogous relation described in the proof of Lemma 3.6.3.

LEMMA 5.6.5. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . If $\mathbf{A} \in \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$, then*

- (1) *For $P \notin \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{A}) = (\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'))(\mathbf{A})$,*
- (2) *For $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $(\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'))(\mathbf{A}) = 0$,*
- (3) *For $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{A}) = \vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')(\mathbf{A})$.*

PROOF. Item (3) of Lemma 4.8.1 and the definition of $p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ in terms of the projection maps $\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_p)$ imply that $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ and $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ will be equal for $P \notin \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$ while for $P \in \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{P}'$, $\vec{t}^P(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ p_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}]}$ will be zero. The equality (5.6.6) implies that the preceding describes the difference between $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')(\mathbf{A})$ and $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ for $\mathbf{A} \in \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$. This implies the first two items.

The third item follows from observing that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ are both given by the identity map on the factor $\bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{h}}(\delta)$ of the fibers of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, and $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$. \square

We salvage a weaker version of the Thom-Mather relation in the following which will turn out to be all that we need in constructing the link.

LEMMA 5.6.6. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ and let $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$. Assume that $\mathbf{A} \in \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$. Then, if $A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ denotes either $D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ or $\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$,*

- (1) *If $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{A}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$, then $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')(\mathbf{A})) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$.*
- (2) *If $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')(\mathbf{A}) \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')$ and $(\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'))(\mathbf{A}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$, then $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{A}) \in A(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows from Lemma 5.6.6 by the same arguments used to prove Lemma 3.6.3 from Lemma 3.6.2. \square

COROLLARY 5.6.7. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Define*

$$D(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon) = N(\delta)/S^1 \times \left(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap (\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon))) \right).$$

Then for any parameters $\varepsilon > \varepsilon'$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')) \cap \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon)) \\ &= \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')) \cap \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(D(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For

$$T(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon) = \left(N(\delta)/S^1 \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \right) \cap \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon)),$$

Lemma 5.6.6 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')) \cap \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon)) \\ &= \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}', \varepsilon')) \cap \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1} \left(T(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The relation between $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\vec{t}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in Lemma 5.6.4. then implies that

$$T(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon) = D(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \varepsilon),$$

completing the proof of the corollary. \square

5.7. The global splicing map

The global crude splicing map $\gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}$ of (5.5.5) is unsatisfactory because its image does not include $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ (see Lemma 5.3.1 and Remark 5.3.2). To remedy this, we define an isotopy of the embedding $\gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}$ to an embedding $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ with the desired image. We note that the constructions in this section are somewhat sketchy as they will be revisited in the sequel [15] in company with the estimates necessary to prove Theorem 6.7.1.

Recall the differences between the crude splicing map $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and the standard splicing map defined in §5.3.1 and [14, Equation (3.27)] as described in §5.3.2. The first difference lies in their domains: the connections on S^4 in the domain $\bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ are not necessarily anti-self-dual as in [14]. However, the construction of the standard splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ only uses the anti-self-duality of the connections on S^4 to obtain certain decay estimates which ensure that charge is not lost when the connection is “cut-off” as in (5.3.3) (see also [14, Equation (3.22)]). These estimates clearly hold for elements of the spliced-end moduli space; the details will appear in [15]. Hence, the splicing map defined in §5.3.1 defines an embedding

$$\gamma'_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} : \bar{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

We begin by describing an isotopy between each of the maps $\gamma'_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$.

Because the space of metrics is contractible, there is an obvious isotopy between the different metrics described in item (2) in the list in §5.3.2. As the space of connections is affine, there is an obvious isotopy between the connections and hence between the different trivializations described in item (3) in the list in §5.3.2. For the same reason, there is an isotopy between the different flattening procedures described in item (4) in the list in §5.3.2.

Composing these three isotopies gives the desired isotopy between $\gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}$ and $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}$ which we write as

$$\Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}} : \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \quad \text{with } \Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}(\cdot, 0) = \gamma''_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}, \quad \text{and } \Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}(\cdot, 1) = \gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}.$$

We now construct the function giving the parameter to give the isotopy. Define a rescaling of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by

$$\vec{t}_r(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\cdot) = \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})(\cdot)(f_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}))(\cdot)$$

where the preceding multiplication means to multiply each factor of $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by the scalar $f_{\mathcal{P}} \circ \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Here, $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a function chosen to ensure that $\vec{t}_r(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, 1)) \Subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Now, observe that if

$$\beta : I^{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

is a smooth function, supported in $D(\mathcal{P}, \frac{1}{2})$ with $\beta(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \frac{1}{4})) = 1$, then $\beta \circ \vec{t}_r(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is supported in $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ and vanishes on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) - \mathcal{U}_2(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ where the spaces $\mathcal{U}_i(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ satisfy

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \Subset \mathcal{U}_2(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \Subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}).$$

Hence, the function

$$(5.7.1) \quad \beta_{\mathcal{P}} = \beta \circ \vec{t}_r(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

can be considered a global function on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ satisfying:

$$(5.7.2) \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} - \mathcal{U}_2(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \beta_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_1(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \beta_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1}(1).$$

We now discuss how to fit the isotopies $\Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}$ together to define a global isotopy. Pick one representative \mathcal{P} of each conjugacy class $[\mathcal{P}]$ and enumerate these representatives in the manner of §2.4. Assume $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{N_{\ell}\}$ is the crudest partition and \mathcal{P}_r is the most refined partition. We define a finite sequence of embeddings,

$$\gamma'_{\mathcal{M},i} : \cup_{j < i} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

as follows. Begin with

$$(5.7.3) \quad \gamma'_{\mathcal{M},0}(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} \Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_0}(\mathbf{A}, \beta_{\mathcal{P}_0}(\mathbf{A})) & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_0), \\ \gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{A}) & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \notin \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_0). \end{cases}$$

Proceed by upwards induction on the enumerated partitions, we observe that the restriction of $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M},i-1}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ is sufficiently similar to $\gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}$ that the construction of the isotopy $\Gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i}$ can be modified to give an isotopy of embeddings

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i} : \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \quad \text{with } \hat{\Gamma}'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i}(\cdot, 0) = \gamma'_{\mathcal{M},i-1}, \quad \text{and } \hat{\Gamma}'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i}(\cdot, 1) = \gamma'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i}.$$

We then continue the induction by defining

$$(5.7.4) \quad \gamma'_{\mathcal{M},i}(\mathbf{A}) = \begin{cases} \hat{\Gamma}'_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}_i}(\mathbf{A}, \beta_{\mathcal{P}_i}(\mathbf{A})) & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \in \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i), \\ \gamma'_{\mathcal{M},i-1}(\mathbf{A}) & \text{if } \mathbf{A} \notin \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i). \end{cases}$$

We define the *global splicing map* by

$$(5.7.5) \quad \gamma'_{\mathcal{M}} = \gamma'_{\mathcal{M},r},$$

It is straightforward to verify that

- (1) The map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a continuous S^1 -equivariant embedding and is smooth on each stratum,
- (2) The restriction of $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $N(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is equal to the product of the embedding $N(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{t(\ell)}$ with the identity on $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

5.8. Projection to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$

We now define a projection map,

$$(5.8.1) \quad \pi_X : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X),$$

which will be used in describing cohomology classes on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$.

We will denote the projection π_Σ of (5.1.11) by

$$\pi_\Sigma(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) : \bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}),$$

to avoid confusion between the projection maps of the different strata. These local projections maps do not agree on the intersections $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ but can be related by the following.

LEMMA 5.8.1. *If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ are partitions of N_ℓ , then*

$$(5.8.2) \quad \pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \circ \pi_\Sigma(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}') = \pi_\Sigma(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$.

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from Lemma 5.5.3 and the definitions of the projection maps. \square

We can now define the desired global projection to $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$.

LEMMA 5.8.2. *There is an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ of $N(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and a projection map,*

$$\pi_X : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X),$$

such that the restriction of π_X to $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is homotopic to $\pi_\Sigma(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$.

PROOF. Enumerate the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ as described in §2.4 using partitions $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$. Pick open neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_1(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ of $\Sigma(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ such that for $j < k$,

$$\pi(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)(\mathcal{U}_1(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \cap \mathcal{U}_1(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)) \subseteq \cup_{i < j} T_i,$$

where T_i is constructed in Lemma 3.7.1. The lemma then follows from Lemma 3.7.4 and the equality (5.8.2). \square

CHAPTER 6

The obstruction bundle

The gluing map described in Theorem 6.7.1 does not map all points in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ to the moduli space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$. Instead, there is a section (the *obstruction section*) of a pseudo-bundle (the *obstruction bundle*) over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ such that the intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$ with the image of the gluing map is given by the image of the zero-locus of the obstruction section. By a pseudo-bundle, we mean the following.

DEFINITION 6.0.3. Let Y be a stratified space. Assume that to every stratum Σ of Y , there is an open neighborhood U_Σ of Σ in Y and a strict deformation retraction $r_\Sigma : U_\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$. A *pseudo-bundle* over Y is a space Υ with a surjective, continuous map $\pi_\Upsilon : \Upsilon \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

- (1) For every stratum Σ of Y , the subspace $\Upsilon_\Sigma = \pi_\Upsilon^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a vector bundle with projection map given by the restriction of π_Υ ,
- (2) For every stratum Σ of Y , there is an injective bundle map,

$$\iota_{\Upsilon,\Sigma} : r_\Sigma^* \Upsilon_\Sigma \rightarrow \Upsilon|_{U_\Sigma}.$$

which is linear on each fiber and is the identity when restricted to Υ_Σ and which admits a left inverse

$$\Pi_{\Upsilon,\Sigma} : \Upsilon|_{U_\Sigma} \rightarrow r_\Sigma^* \Upsilon_\Sigma.$$

which is a surjective vector bundle map.

In this section, we construct the obstruction pseudo-bundle and then in Theorem 6.7.1 state the theorem giving the homeomorphism between the zero-locus of the obstruction section and a neighborhood of $M_5 \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_t$.

Let (A', Φ') be a point in the image of the splicing map, given by splicing connections A_P on S^4 , for $P \in \mathcal{P}$, to the background pair $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{N}(\delta)$. Let $D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A', \Phi')}$ denote the linearization of the $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole equations at (A', Φ') with image in

$$L_{k-1}^2(\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_t) \oplus L_{k-1}^2(V^-).$$

The essential properties of the obstruction pseudo-bundle are that the fiber of the obstruction pseudo-bundle over the pair (A', Φ') is close to the cokernel of $D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A', \Phi')}$ and that L^2 -orthogonal projection maps this fiber onto the cokernel. This bundle can then be used to define a gluing map as in [14, §9].

For (A', Φ') , (A_0, Φ_0) and $(A_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ as above, in [14, §8], we constructed an embedding of vector spaces,

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Coker}(D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_0, \Phi_0)}) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Coker}(D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_P, 0)}) \right) \rightarrow L_{k-1}^2(\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_t) \oplus L_{k-1}^2(V^-),$$

by multiplying elements of the domain by cut-off functions. We then showed that $\text{Im}(\varphi_{\mathcal{P}})$ had the desired properties mentioned above and hence will define the fiber of the obstruction pseudo-bundle over (A', Φ') . In this section, we show how to fit these fibers together as the point (A', Φ') and the partition \mathcal{P} vary to form a pseudo-bundle.

We refer to the component of $\text{Im}(\varphi_{\mathcal{P}})$ given by $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Coker}(D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_0, \Phi_0)}))$ as the *background component*. If $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ has positive dimension, then the possibility of spectral flow and the resulting variable dimension of the cokernel of $D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_0, \Phi_0)}$ prevent us from using this cokernel to form a vector bundle. Instead, we use the obstruction bundle $\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ described in §1.3.5. This obstruction bundle is a stabilization of the cokernel of $D\mathfrak{S}$ in the sense that it is a trivial bundle which surjects onto $\text{Coker}(D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_0, \Phi_0)})$ at each $[A_0, \Phi_0] \in M_{\mathfrak{s}}$. For $(A', \Phi') \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$, the restrictions of the maps $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}'}$ to $\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}$ differ in the choice of cut-off functions just as the standard splicing maps (see (5.3.6)) $\gamma'_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ differed. To fit these images together to form a global bundle, we use the flattening map of pairs, defined in §5.2, relying on the consistency property (5.2.7). The details of this construction appear in §6.2.

We refer to the component of $\text{Im}(\varphi_{\mathcal{P}})$ given by $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}(\oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Coker}(D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_P, 0)}))$ as the *instanton component*. The cokernel of $D\mathfrak{S}|_{(A_P, 0)}$ is isomorphic to the cokernel of the twisted Dirac operator $D_{A_P} : \Omega^0(V_{|P|}^+) \rightarrow \Omega^0(V_{|P|}^-)$ where $V_{|P|}$ is the spin^u structure on S^4 defined by the standard spin structure and the rank-two complex bundle $E_{|P|} \rightarrow S^4$. The positive scalar curvature of S^4 and a Weitzenböck formula argument, see [17, §4.1], ensure that spectral flow is not a problem for this component of the obstruction and that the cokernel of the twisted Dirac operator forms a vector bundle, the index bundle, over $M_{|P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$, as described in §6.3. However, the index of the twisted Dirac operator drops on the lower charge moduli spaces appearing in the lower strata of the Uhlenbeck compactification. Note that this is a change in the index and not just a spectral flow problem which could be dealt with by the stabilization procedure used for the background obstruction. Thus, the image space for the obstruction map will only be a pseudo-bundle in the sense of Definition 6.0.3. This reflects the failure of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ to intersect the lower strata of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ in subspaces of the same codimension. One can express this failure in the language of intersection homology, by saying that the cycle $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ has non-trivial perversity. However, in §8.4.4, we shall see that for computations in rational cohomology the Euler class of the obstruction pseudo-bundle behaves in a manner similar to that of an actual vector bundle and there is no need to introduce the language of intersection homology valued characteristic classes.

Then, the obstruction to forming a global obstruction pseudo-bundle from the images of $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}$, restricted to the instanton component comes from comparing the images of $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}'}$ for different partitions. We overcome this problem by following the method used in §4 and §5 to compare the images of the crude splicing maps $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$. In §6.5, we define the *spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle*,

$$(6.0.3) \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta).$$

by replacing the index bundle of the twisted Dirac operator over the subspace $\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P)$ of the spliced end of $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ with the image, under a splicing map similar to $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}'_P}$, of the lower charge spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle. Because this construction is equivariant with respect to group actions discussed in §6.4, the spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle

extends to a pseudo-bundle over $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ with an embedding $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}}$ replacing $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}$. In §6.6, we give an argument, similar to that in §5.4, that the intersections of the images of splicing maps $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'}$ can be controlled by a push-out diagram, thus defining the instanton obstruction as a global pseudo-bundle. Finally, in §6.7, we state the desired gluing theorem.

6.1. The infinite-dimensional obstruction pseudo-bundle

The monopole equations (1.1.10) define a section, \mathfrak{S} , of the infinite-dimensional obstruction pseudo-bundle

$$(6.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{V}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{t}}} L_{k-1}^2(\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}) \oplus L_{k-1}^2(V^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}.$$

The S^1 action on $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ lifts to an S^1 action on the bundle (6.1.1) given by the diagonal action of the S^1 action on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ defined in (1.3.14) and scalar multiplication on the element of $L_{k-1}^2(V^-)$.

We extend the above bundle to a union of vector bundles over $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ by

$$(6.1.2) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \sqcup_{\ell} \left(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X) \right) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} = \sqcup_{\ell} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X) \right).$$

The space $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is given the topology defined by Uhlenbeck convergence as defined in [17, Definition 4.19] or in this work, following equation (1.1.14). The bundle (6.1.2) is topologized by the topology of the base and by elements of the fiber converging in $L_{k-1, \text{loc}}^2$ on the complement of the support of the limit point in $\text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$. The $\text{SO}(3)$ monopole equations then define a continuous section $\mathfrak{S} : \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

The L^2 inner product defines an S^1 -invariant inner product on the fibers of $\bar{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$:

$$(6.1.3) \quad \langle [A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}, \Psi_1], [A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}, \Psi_2] \rangle = \langle \Psi_1, \Psi_2 \rangle_{L^2}$$

where $[A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ and $[A, \Phi, \Psi_i] \in \bar{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

6.2. The background obstruction

Recall from [14] or [20, §3.6.1] that the background component of the obstruction is constructed by splicing in sections of the obstruction bundle

$$\pi_N^* \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta),$$

where $\pi_N : N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the projection and $\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} \cong M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_{\Xi}}$ is a trivial bundle. The embedding $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ is covered by an vector bundle embedding:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_N^* \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{V}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}(\delta) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \end{array}$$

The above vector bundle map is S^1 -equivariant with respect to the actions described in [20, §3.6.1] on the domain and the action on $\mathfrak{V}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ described following (6.1.1) and is defined by the gauge group quotient of a vector bundle embedding

$$\pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \times L_{k-1}^2(\Lambda^+ \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \oplus V_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}^-),$$

where $\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ satisfies $\tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}} / \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} = \Xi_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), \mathfrak{s}}$.

Define

$$(6.2.1) \quad \Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathcal{P}) = \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), s} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), s} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\mathcal{G}}\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}).$$

Because the S^1 action on $\pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), s}$ described above commutes with the \mathcal{G}_s action, this S^1 action defines an S^1 action on $\Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathcal{P})$. This action covers the S^1 action on described in Lemma 5.1.1. Then, the crude splicing construction from §5.3. That is, if we define the convex complement of a function β to be $1 - \beta$, then multiplying the obstruction sections by the convex complement of the cut-off functions used to define the flattened section Φ' in the definition of the flattening map $\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ defines an S^1 -equivariant vector bundle embedding,

$$(6.2.2) \quad \varphi_s''(\mathcal{P}) : \Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

covering the crude splicing map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell), s} \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_s''(\mathcal{P})} & \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathfrak{t}, s, \mathcal{P}}''} & \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \end{array}$$

The crude obstruction splicing maps $\varphi_s''(\mathcal{P}')$ are invariant under the action of the symmetric group and thus, for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ define a crude obstruction splicing map

$$\varphi_s''([\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) : \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

covering the crude splicing map $\gamma_{\mathfrak{t}, s, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}''$ defined in (5.4.18).

We can control the overlaps of the images of the embeddings $\varphi_s''(\mathcal{P})$ exactly as was done in the construction of the space of global splicing data. The overlap maps, $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, s, u}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, s, d}$, appearing in the diagram (5.4.20) are covered by obvious, S^1 -equivariant bundle maps,

$$(6.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, \mathfrak{t}, s, u} : \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) &\rightarrow \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, \mathfrak{t}, s, d} : \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) &\rightarrow \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, exactly as in the proof of Proposition 5.4.3 (specifically the equality of the section Φ'_0), the diagram

$$(6.2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, \mathfrak{t}, s, u}} & \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, \mathfrak{t}, s, d} \downarrow & & \varphi_s''([\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \downarrow \\ \pi_N^* \tilde{\Xi}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_s''(\mathcal{P})} & \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \end{array}$$

commutes and covers the diagram (5.4.20).

We can therefore define a vector bundle

$$(6.2.5) \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t}, s}^s \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, s}^{\text{vir}}$$

as the union of the bundles $\Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathcal{P})$ as \mathcal{P} varies over the partitions \mathcal{P} of N_{ℓ} , patched together on the overlaps by the diagram (6.2.4). The embeddings $\varphi_s''(\mathcal{P})$ fit together to define an

S^1 -equivariant vector bundle embedding

$$(6.2.6) \quad \varphi_s'' : \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^s \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

covering the embedding given by the crude splicing maps.

The embedding φ_s'' suffers from the same failings as the crude splicing map: it is not equal to the identity. We therefore define a new vector bundle embedding following the isotopies of §5.7. That is, let $\varphi_s'(\mathcal{P})$ be the standard slicing map

$$\varphi_s'(\mathcal{P}) : \Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

defined by using the cut-off functions vanishing on balls $B(x_P, 4\lambda_P^{1/3})$. There is an obvious isotopy between $\varphi_s'(\mathcal{P})$ and φ_s'' given by a convex linear combination of the two sections. One then follows the inductive procedure in (5.7.3) and (5.7.4) to define a sequence of vector bundle embeddings $\varphi_{s,j}'' : \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^s \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$. Define

$$(6.2.7) \quad \varphi_s' : \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^s \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

to be the end of the sequence of vector bundle embeddings $\varphi_{s,j}''$. It is a vector bundle embedding, covering the global splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ of (5.7.5).

6.3. The equivariant Dirac index bundle

To define the instanton component of the obstruction pseudo-bundle, we begin by defining the bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_{\kappa}^*)$. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{S^4} = (\rho, \mathbf{S}^+, \mathbf{S}^-)$ be the standard spin structure on S^4 with respect to the round metric. Let $E_{\kappa} \rightarrow S^4$ be the rank-two, complex Hermitian bundle with $c_2(E_{\kappa}) = \kappa$ and let $V_{\kappa}^{\pm} = \mathbf{S}^{\pm} \otimes E_{\kappa}$ be the resulting spin^u structure.

For $[A] \in M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, we define the Dirac operator

$$(6.3.1) \quad D_A : L_k^2(V_{\kappa}^+) \rightarrow L_{k-1}^2(V_{\kappa}^-),$$

to be the Dirac operator defined by tensor product of the Levi-Civita connection on \mathfrak{s}_{S^4} and of A on E_{κ} . The spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle is an approximation to the bundle

$$\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_{\kappa}^*) \rightarrow M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta),$$

defined by the cokernels of the Dirac operators:

$$(6.3.2) \quad \text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_{\kappa}^*) = \{(A, F^s, \Psi) \in \tilde{M}_{\kappa}^{s, \natural} \times \text{Fr}(E_{\kappa})|_s \times L_{k-1}^2(\mathbf{S}^- \otimes E_{\kappa}) : D_A^* \Psi = 0\} / \mathcal{G}_{\kappa}.$$

Because of the positive scalar curvature on S^4 , the Weitzenböck estimates in [17, §4.1] imply that the cokernel of D_A^* vanishes for $[A] \in M_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ and thus $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_{\kappa}^*)$ defines a vector bundle. The Chern class for this bundle is discussed in [1, 2]. An index theorem computation shows that $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_{\kappa}^*)$ is a complex, rank κ vector sub-bundle of the restriction of the Hilbert bundle

$$(6.3.3) \quad \mathfrak{Y}_{\kappa} = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}^{\natural}(2\delta) \times \text{Fr}(E_{\kappa})|_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}} L_{k-1}^2(V_{\kappa}^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^s(2\delta),$$

to $M_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$. Using the same topology as that described for $\tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ following (6.1.2), we define an extension of the Hilbert bundle (6.3.3) by

$$(6.3.4) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\kappa} = \sqcup_{\ell=0}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{Y}_{\kappa-\ell} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X),$$

We will also use the notation $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ to denote the subbundle of the restriction of $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_\kappa$ to $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ given by,

$$\{[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}, \Psi] : [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{M}_\kappa^{s,\natural}(\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad D_A^* \Psi = 0\}.$$

Because the index of the Dirac operator depends on κ , this extension of $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ is, at best, a pseudo-bundle, that is, the rank of the fiber depends on the stratum. The inclusion maps ι_Σ for this bundle, as required in Definition 6.0.3, appear in [9, Proposition 7.1.32].

6.4. The action of $\text{Spin}^u(4)$

To splice elements of the instanton obstruction pseudo-bundle over S^4 onto X , we must use local trivializations of the spin^u bundles given by frames. Changing these frames is equivalent to changing the element of the obstruction pseudo-bundle being spliced in by an action which we now describe.

The group

$$\text{Spin}^u(4) = (\text{U}(2) \times \text{Spin}^c(4)) / S^1$$

is defined in [20, §3.2]. The standard homomorphisms $\text{Ad}_U : \text{U}(2) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3)$ and $\text{Ad}_S : \text{Spin}^c(4) \rightarrow \text{SO}(4)$ defines the homomorphism, for $M \in \text{U}(2)$, $S \in \text{Spin}^c(4)$, and $[M, S] \in \text{Spin}^u(4)$,

$$(6.4.1) \quad \text{Ad}^u : \text{Spin}^u(4) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4), \quad \text{Ad}^u([M, S]) = (\text{Ad}_U(M), \text{Ad}_S(S)).$$

The composition of Ad^u with projection onto the factors of $\text{SO}(3)$ and $\text{SO}(4)$ define a pair of surjective homomorphisms

$$\text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(3)}^u : \text{Spin}^u(4) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3), \quad \text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(4)}^u : \text{Spin}^u(4) \rightarrow \text{SO}(4)$$

(see [20, Equation (3.13)]). The action of $\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(3)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$ is defined in [20, §3.3], with $\text{SO}(3)$ acting on the frame and $\text{SO}(4)$ acting by pulling the rotation action on \mathbb{R}^4 back to S^4 by stereographic rotation and this action on S^4 giving an action on connections by pullback. The action of $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_\kappa$ is defined in [20, §3.6.2]. The projection map $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$ is an equivariant $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ bundle with respect to this action on $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_\kappa$ and the action of $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$ defined by Ad^u and the action of $\text{SO}(4) \times \text{SO}(3)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s$. The bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ is invariant under this action and thus is also an $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ -equivariant bundle.

6.5. The spliced-ends pseudo-bundle on S^4

The spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle (6.0.3) will be identical to the index bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ on the complement of the spliced ends of $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$. On the spliced end, W_κ , the spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle will be given by the image of a splicing map which we now introduce.

Recall from (4.0.5) in Proposition 4.0.5 that the end of $\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ near the trivial stratum,

$$\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$$

where \mathcal{P} is a partition of N_κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, was given by the image of a splicing map

$$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \subset \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta).$$

We will define the spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle inductively, just as we defined the spliced-ends moduli space.

An element of the space $\bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_\kappa$ from (6.3.4) can be written as $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}, \Psi]$ where $[A, F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^s(2\delta)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^4)$, and $\Psi \in L_{k-1}^2(\mathbf{S}^- \otimes E_{\kappa-\ell})$. Then, for each partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ we define an embedding, covering the splicing map $\gamma_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ by,

$$(6.5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} : \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_{|P|} &\rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_\kappa, \\ \varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}([x_P, [A_P, F_P^s, v_P, \Psi_P]]_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) &= \left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}([x_P, [A_P, F_P^s, v_P]]_{P \in \mathcal{P}}), \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{x_P, \lambda_P} c_{x_P, 1}^* \Psi_P \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, χ_{x_P, λ_P} is a cut-off function supported on $B(x_P, \frac{1}{4}\lambda_P^{1/3})$ and equal to one on $B(x, \frac{1}{8}\lambda_P^{1/3})$ where $\lambda_P = \lambda([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])$. The map $c_{x_P, 1}$ is defined in Lemma 4.3.3. The definition (6.5.1) follows that in [14, §8.2] and [14, (8.32)].

Observe that the map (6.5.1) is equivariant with respect to the $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ action if $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ acts diagonally on the domain, by the projection $\text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(4)}^u$ to $\text{SO}(4)$ on the factor $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$. With this vocabulary established, we can now state the proposition detailing the properties of the spliced-ends obstruction pseudo-bundle.

PROPOSITION 6.5.1. *There is a pseudo-bundle*

$$(6.5.2) \quad \bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$$

which is a $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ -invariant subbundle of the space $\bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(2\delta)$ and satisfies

- (1) The restriction of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ to the top stratum, $M_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, is a complex, rank κ vector bundle,
- (2) For any partition \mathcal{P} of κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$, the restriction of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ to the neighborhood of $\{[\Theta]\} \times \Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$ given in (4.0.5) is given by the image of

$$(6.5.3) \quad \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^i,$$

under the splicing map $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$,

- (3) If $W_\kappa \subset M_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is as defined in (4.5.2), then the restriction of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ to $M_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta) - W_\kappa$ is given by the restriction of the pseudo-bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ defined in (6.3.2) to the complement of W_κ ,
- (4) The restriction of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ to the strata

$$\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta) \cap \left(M_{spl, \kappa-\ell}^{s, \natural}(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^4) \right),$$

of $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ is isomorphic to the pullback of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa-\ell}^i$ under the projection

$$M_{spl, \kappa-\ell}^{s, \natural}(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^\ell(\mathbb{R}^4) \rightarrow M_{spl, \kappa-\ell}^{s, \natural}(\delta),$$

(5) For any $[A', F^s, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, L^2 -orthogonal projection defines an isomorphism,

$$\Upsilon_{spl, \kappa}^i|_{[A', F^s, \mathbf{x}]} \cong \text{Coker } D_{A_t}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ where $A_t = A' + a_t(A')$ and $A = A' + a_1(A')$ is the value of the gluing map appearing in (4.7.2) at A' .

REMARK 6.5.2. Observe that the rank of the fibers of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ varies with the stratum of $M_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ by item (4) in Proposition 6.5.1. For this reason, $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ is a pseudo-bundle.

The construction of the bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ uses induction on κ and is parallel to the construction of the spliced-ends moduli space. Thus, the first step (done in §6.5.1) is show that the images of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ form a pseudo-bundle over W_κ . We then use the final property in the proposition property that L^2 -orthogonal projection gives an isomorphism to define the isotopy between the image of the splicing maps over W_κ and the bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$.

6.5.1. The overlap bundles. If the restriction of the spliced-ends obstruction bundle to the image of the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is to be given by the image of the splicing map $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ restricted to the subspace (6.5.3), then we need to describe the overlaps of the images of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$ where $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. This is done by constructing a space of overlap data analogous to that appearing in Proposition 4.4.3.

Begin by initially considering the pseudo-bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$ defined by restricting the pseudo-bundle

$$(6.5.4) \quad \begin{array}{c} \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}^i \right) \\ \downarrow \\ \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_Q) \right) \end{array}$$

to the intersection of its base with the space $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$ defined in Lemma 4.4.1. By induction on κ , the pseudo-bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}^i$ has already been defined for all Q in a partition \mathcal{P} of N_κ of length greater than one. Then, for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the splicing maps $\varphi_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$ define maps

$$\varphi_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} : \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}^i \rightarrow \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^i,$$

covering the splicing map $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}$. Hence, there is a map

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d} : \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) = \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^i$$

defined by

$$(6.5.5) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d} = \text{id}_\Delta \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P},$$

where id_Δ is the identity map on $\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$. By the definition of the overlap map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$ in (4.4.8), the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$ fits into the pseudo-bundle diagram

$$(6.5.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}} & \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}} & \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \end{array}$$

The map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$ is not injective because of the action of the symmetric group, but this will not affect our construction.

We also define a map

$$(6.5.7) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u} : \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') \rightarrow \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}')$$

which fits into the pseudo-bundle diagram

$$(6.5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u}} & \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}} & \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}') \end{array}$$

Then, the following lemma, analogous to Lemma 4.4.2, is the key to the construction of the bundle $\Upsilon_{\text{spl}, \kappa}^i$.

LEMMA 6.5.3. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_κ with $|\mathcal{P}| > 1$. Then, the following diagram of pseudo-bundles,*

$$(6.5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}} & \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u} \downarrow & & \varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \downarrow \\ \tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}') & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}} & \bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_\kappa \end{array}$$

covers the diagram (4.4.14), is $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ -equivariant, and commutes.

PROOF. That the diagram (6.5.9) covers the diagram (4.4.14) follows from the definition of the maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u}$ (see the diagrams (6.5.6) and (6.5.8)) and the fact that the of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ cover the splicing maps $\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$.

The $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ equivariance of the diagram follows from that of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$.

The commutativity of the diagram follows from the definition of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ and the property (4.3.10) which appears, in (4.4.9), in the definition of the overlap space $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$.

In detail, assume that the point in $\tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$ is given by data consisting of points $(x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$, points $(y_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_{|\mathcal{P}|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P)$, and $[A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{v}_Q, \Psi_Q] \in$

$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl,|Q|}$. Then, the first map in the composition $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$ entails defining sections Ψ_P (for $P \in \mathcal{P}$) through the splicing maps $\varphi_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'}$ in the definition of the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$ by

$$\Psi_P = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \chi_{y_Q, \lambda_Q} c_{y_Q, 1}^* \Psi_Q,$$

where $\lambda_Q = \lambda([A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{v}_Q])$. Applying the splicing map $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ to the sections Ψ_P will give, for

$$\lambda_P = \lambda\left(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}((y_Q, [A_Q, F_Q^s, \mathbf{v}_Q])_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P})\right),$$

the section

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \chi_{x_P, \lambda_P} c_{x_P, 1}^* \Psi_P &= \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \chi_{x_P, \lambda_P} c_{x_P, 1}^* \chi_{y_Q, \lambda_Q} c_{y_Q, 1}^* \Psi_Q \\ (6.5.10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'} \chi_{x_P, \lambda_P} \chi_{x_P + y_Q, \lambda_Q} c_{x_Q + y_Q, 1}^* \Psi_Q \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.3.3. Recall that by the condition (4.4.9), the points x_P and y_Q in the definition of the subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$ will satisfy (see condition (4.3.10)),

$$B(x_P + y_Q, \frac{1}{4}\lambda_Q^{1/3}) \Subset B(x_P, \frac{1}{8}\lambda_P^{1/3}).$$

Because $\chi_{x, \lambda}$ is supported on $B(x, \frac{1}{4}\lambda^{1/3})$ and equal to one on $B(x, \frac{1}{8}\lambda^{1/3})$. the preceding inclusion implies that χ_{x_P, λ_P} is equal to one on the support of $\chi_{x_P + y_Q, \lambda_Q}$, so the section in (6.5.10) is equal to

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}'} \chi_{x_P + y_Q, \lambda_Q} c_{x_Q + y_Q, 1}^* \Psi_Q.$$

This is exactly the section resulting from the applying the map $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u}$ to the same data, proving the diagram (6.5.9) commutes. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 6.5.1. The proof of this proposition is largely identical to the proof of Proposition 4.0.5 so we omit many of the details.

The induction begins with setting the restriction of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, 1}^i$ to the top stratum of $\bar{M}_{spl, 1}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ equal to the bundle $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_1^*)$. Because there is, up to dilation and the $\text{SO}(3)$ action on the frame, only one gauge equivalence class in $\bar{M}_{spl, 1}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, the bundle

$$\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_1^*) \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, 1}^{s, \natural}(\delta) = (0, \delta) \times \text{SO}(3)$$

is given by

$$(0, \delta) \times \text{SU}(2) \times_{\pm 1} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (0, \delta) \times \text{SO}(3).$$

This bundle extends as a pseudo-bundle over the Uhlenbeck compactification, $c(\text{SO}(3))$ as

$$c(\text{SU}(2) \times_{\pm 1} \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow c(\text{SO}(3)),$$

which satisfies the requirements of the Proposition for $\kappa = 1$.

Assuming that $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa'}^i$ has been constructed for all $\kappa' < \kappa$, we define a pseudo-bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}^i(W_\kappa) \rightarrow W_\kappa$ over the spliced end by the image of the splicing maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ on the domains

in (6.5.3). This space is a pseudo-bundle because the overlap of the images of the maps $\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ is controlled by Lemma 6.5.3.

The final property in Proposition 6.5.1 on the surjectivity of L^2 -orthogonal projection follows from the results of [14, §8] (see also [9, Proposition 7.1.32]). We can then define an isotopy R_D of $\tilde{\Upsilon}^i(W_\kappa)$ by, for $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$,

$$R_D(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}, \Psi]) = \left(R(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]), (1 - 4(t - \frac{1}{2}))\Psi + 4(t - \frac{1}{2})\Pi_{A+a(4(t-\frac{1}{2}), A)}\Psi \right)$$

where R is the isotopy defined in (4.7.4) and for $a(s, A) = a_s(A)$ defined by the gluing map appearing in (4.7.2),

$$G(t, [A, F^s, \mathbf{x}]) = [A + a(t, A), F^s, \mathbf{x}],$$

$\Pi_{A+a(t, A)}$ denotes L^2 -orthogonal onto $\text{Coker } D_{A+a(t, A)}$. For for $t \in (-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$, the isotopy $R_D(t, \cdot)$ is equal to the identity and for $t \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$, the isotopy $R_D(\cdot, t)$ is defined by pulling back the section Ψ by the centering map.

The pseudo-bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, \kappa}^i$ is then defined by the union of the image $\tilde{\Upsilon}^i(W_\kappa)$ under the map $R_D(\beta(\cdot, \cdot))$ where β is the function defined in the proof of Proposition 4.0.5 with $\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ restricted to the complement of

$$\left(\bar{M}_{\kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta) - R(1, W_\kappa) \right)$$

as in the definition of $\bar{M}_{spl, \kappa}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ in the proof of Proposition 4.0.5. \square

6.6. The instanton obstruction pseudo-bundle

We now construct the instanton component of the obstruction pseudo-bundle and its embedding into the infinite-dimensional obstruction pseudo-bundle \mathfrak{Y}_t .

6.6.1. The frame bundles. For $\mathfrak{t} = (\rho, V)$ a spin^u structure, we defined a frame bundle in [20, §3.2] by

$$\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(TX) \rightarrow X$$

which is a $U(2)$ bundle over $\text{Fr}(TX)$ and a $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ bundle over X . The fiber over $F \in \text{Fr}(TX)|_x$ is given by the Clifford module isomorphisms from the standard Clifford module $\Delta \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ to $V|_x$ with respect to the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^4 \cong T^*X|_x$ defined by F . The homomorphism $\text{Ad}^u : \text{Spin}^u(4) \rightarrow \text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)$ of (6.4.1) defines a bundle map

$$\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_t) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX).$$

Analogously to the definition of the gluing data bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ in (5.1.2), we define

$$(6.6.1) \quad \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}),$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) = \{ & (F_1^V, \dots, F_\ell^V) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*)}(V_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})|_{\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})} : \\ & F_i^V = F_j^V \text{ if and only there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } i, j \in P \}. \end{aligned}$$

The structure group of $\text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is

$$(6.6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{G}^V(\mathcal{P}) &= \{(G_1, \dots, G_\ell) \in \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \text{Spin}^u(4) : \\ &G_i = G_j \quad \text{if there is } P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ with } i, j \in P\}. \end{aligned}$$

The structure group of the bundle $\text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ is

$$(6.6.3) \quad G^V(\mathcal{P}) = \tilde{G}^V(\mathcal{P}) \ltimes \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})/\Gamma(\mathcal{P}).$$

We then define the instanton obstruction pseudo-bundle for the partition \mathcal{P} by

$$(6.6.4) \quad \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \times \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \times \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}),$$

where $\text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is defined by

$$(6.6.5) \quad \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{G^V(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}.$$

We define an S^1 action on the bundle (6.6.4) covering the S^1 action on its base defined in Lemma 5.1.1 by the diagonal action on the factors $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ and $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}$ in (6.6.4) where S^1 acts on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ by the action (1.3.27) and on $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}$ by scalar multiplication on the fibers of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}$.

6.6.2. The splicing map. For each partition, \mathcal{P} , of N_ℓ , we define an S^1 -equivariant splicing map for the instanton obstruction,

$$(6.6.6) \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{V}}_{\mathfrak{t}},$$

which will cover the crude splicing map $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$. Frames $(F_P^V)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P})|_{\mathbf{y}}$, where $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ and a spin^u connection A determine embeddings

$$(6.6.7) \quad \phi(A, F_P^V) : V_{|P|}|_{B(0, 8\lambda^{1/3})} \cong V_{\mathfrak{t}}|_{B(y_P, 8\lambda^{1/3})}$$

as described in [20, §3.4.1]. If χ is a cut-off function supported on $B(0, 8\lambda^{1/3})$ and Ψ is a section of $V_{|P|}$, then we write $\phi(A, F^V)(\chi\Psi)$ for the section of $V_{\mathfrak{t}}|_{B(x, 8\lambda^{1/3})}$ given by the isomorphism (6.6.7).

To ensure that the images of the different splicing maps fit together coherently, we introduce a flattening map on spin^u connections as was done for metrics and connections in §5.2. That is, given a spin^u connection A_0 on $V_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ we follow the construction of Lemma 5.2.4 and for each $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ define a locally flattened spin^u connection $\Theta^u(A, \mathbf{y})$ on $V_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ satisfying

- (1) For $\mathbf{y} = (y_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$, $\Theta^u(A_0, \mathbf{y})$ is flat on

$$\cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} B(y_P, 4\tilde{s}_P(\mathbf{y})^{1/3})$$

- (2) If $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and $\mathbf{y}' \in \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}') \cap \mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ satisfy $\pi(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y}$, then

$$\Theta^u(A_0, \mathbf{y}) = \Theta^u(A_0, \mathbf{y}').$$

Henceforth, $\phi(A_0, F^V)$ will denote the isomorphism obtained by the locally flattened connection $\Theta^u(A_0, \mathbf{y})$ where F^V lies over the support of \mathbf{y} .

For $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in N(\delta) \subset \mathcal{C}_{t(\ell)}$ and a point in $\text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ given by the data $(F_P^V)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$, $[A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P] \in \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ $[A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P, \Psi] \in \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |P|}$, and

$$\gamma''_{t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}((A_0, \Phi_0), F_P^V, [A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} = (A'', \Phi'', \mathbf{x}),$$

the instanton obstruction splicing map is then defined by

$$(6.6.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_{t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}} &= ((A_0, \Phi_0), (F_P^V, [A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{v}_P, \Psi_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}) \\ &= \left(A'', \Phi'', \mathbf{x}, \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \phi(A_0, F_P^V)(\chi_{0, \lambda_P} \Psi_P) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the cut-off function χ_{0, λ_P} vanishes on $B(0, \frac{1}{8}\lambda_P^{1/3})$ and is equal to one on $B(0, \frac{1}{4}\lambda_P^{1/2})$. This map is S^1 -equivariant with respect to the S^1 action on its domain described following (6.6.5) and the S^1 action on the image $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_t$ defined following (6.1.2).

6.6.3. The overlap space and maps. Following the method of previous sections, to control the overlap of the images of the splicing maps $\varphi_{t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'}$, we introduce a space of overlap data and overlap maps. Analogously to the definition of the overlap space $\bar{\text{Gl}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ in (5.4.1), we define

$$\text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \bar{\text{Gl}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$$

by

$$(6.6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \\ &= \text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{G^V(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define an S^1 action on $\text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ through the diagonal S^1 action on the factors of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}$ appearing in (6.6.9) and where S^1 acts on $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}$ by scalar multiplication on the fibers of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|} \rightarrow \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{s, \natural}$.

We define overlap maps,

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{V, d} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

covering the overlap maps $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ defined in (5.4.13) by applying the obstruction splicing map $\varphi_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P}$ defined in (6.5.1) to the data given by the factor

$$\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''_P} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{spl, |Q|}$$

in the definition of $\text{Ob}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$. More formally, the downwards overlap map is defined by

$$(6.6.10) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{V, d} = \text{id}_{\tilde{N}(\delta)} \times \text{id}_{\text{Fr}(V)} \times \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \varphi_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P}$$

where $\text{id}_{\text{Fr}(V)}$ is the identity map on $\text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$. Observe that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, d}$ is S^1 -equivariant if S^1 acts on the domain by the diagonal action on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ and $\text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ where S^1 acts on $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ by the action (1.3.27) and on $\text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ by the action defined following (6.6.9) and S^1 acts on the image by the action defined following (6.6.5).

We define an upwards overlap map,

$$(6.6.11) \quad \begin{array}{c} \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, u} \downarrow \\ \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) \end{array}$$

exactly as was done in (5.4.12). Recall that the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ was defined by a parallel translation of the frames in the domain $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$ and leaving the points in $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ and the S^4 connections unchanged. Note that this parallel translation is done with respect to the locally flattened connection $\Theta^u(A_0, \mathbf{y})$. Thus, the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, u}$ can be defined identically, using the flattened spin^u connection A_0 to parallel translate the frames of $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})$ and leaving the elements of $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ and of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\text{spl}, |\mathcal{Q}|}$ unchanged. The map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, u}$ is S^1 -equivariant where the S^1 actions on the range and domain are as described in the similar assertion for $\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, d}$.

By the invariance of the obstruction splicing maps under the action of the symmetric group, the splicing maps $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}''}$ for $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$ define a splicing map,

$$(6.6.12) \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} : \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

which covers the crude splicing map $\gamma''_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}$ of (5.4.18). We then have the following relation between the splicing maps $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}]}$.

LEMMA 6.6.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_{ℓ} . Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$(6.6.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, u}} & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, d} \downarrow & & \varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \downarrow \\ \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}} & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathfrak{t}} \end{array}$$

covering the diagram (5.4.20), and all the maps are S^1 -equivariant.

PROOF. That the diagram (6.6.13) covers the diagram (5.4.20) follows immediately from the definition of the overlap and obstruction splicing maps. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 5.4.3, assume that the splicing maps $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'}$ are defined at points $\mathbf{y} \in \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})$ and $\mathbf{y}' \in \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P}')$ respectively where $\pi(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})(\mathbf{y}') = \mathbf{y}$. Thus, for $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ and $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}$, the inclusion

$$B(y_{P'}, 8\tilde{s}(\mathbf{y}')^{1/3}) \Subset B(y_P, 4\tilde{s}(\mathbf{y})^{1/2})$$

holds by (5.2.9). Because the metric and spin^u connection used in the splicing argument are flat on the above balls, the lemma then follows from the argument giving Lemma 6.5.3. \square

The commutativity of the diagram (6.6.13) and the definition of the space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ as the union of the spaces

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

implies that we can define a pseudo-bundle

$$(6.6.14) \quad \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$$

as the union of the pseudo-bundles

$$\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}),$$

with the overlaps identified by the diagram (6.6.13). Because the maps in (6.6.13) are S^1 -equivariant and the S^1 actions in that diagram cover the S^1 actions on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$, the S^1 actions on $\tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ define a global S^1 action on $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$.

The splicing maps $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s},\mathcal{P}}$ define a vector bundle embedding of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$ into $\bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ which covers the embedding of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ into $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ given by the crude splicing maps. Finally, we define an embedding

$$(6.6.15) \quad \varphi'_i : \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

covering the global splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ of (5.7.5) by replacing the triple $(A'', \Phi'', \mathbf{x})$ in the definition (6.6.8) with the triple (A', Φ', \mathbf{x}) given by the global splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ of (5.7.5).

6.7. The gluing theorem

We can now state the relevant gluing theorem.

THEOREM 6.7.1. *There is a continuous, S^1 -equivariant embedding*

$$(6.7.1) \quad \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$$

which is homotopic through S^1 -equivariant, continuous embeddings to the global splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$, smooth on each stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$, and equal to the identity on $N(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$. In addition, there are S^1 -equivariant sections χ_s and χ_i of the pseudo-bundles $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s$ and $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$ such that

- (1) The restriction of the section $\bar{\chi} = \chi_s \oplus \chi_i$ of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s \oplus \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$ to each stratum is smooth,
- (2) The restriction of the section $\bar{\chi}$ to the top stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ vanishes transversely,
- (3) If we pull the L^2 inner product (6.1.3) back to $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s \oplus \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$ by the splicing embeddings $\varphi'_s \oplus \varphi'_i$, then the L^2 norm of $\bar{\chi}$ is lower semi-continuous,
- (4) The restriction of $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ to the zero-locus $\bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ is a homeomorphism between $\bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ and a neighborhood of $M_s \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}$.

REMARK 6.7.2. Recall from [41, p. 12] that a function f is lower semi-continuous if $f^{-1}((a, \infty))$ is open for all real a . A lower semi-continuous function $f(x)$ satisfies $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) \geq f(x_0)$ and we will use this property in Lemma 8.4.13 to obtain lower bounds on the L^2 norm of the obstruction section on a relatively closed subspace of the top stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.

The construction of the gluing map $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ of Theorem 6.7.1 is similar to that of the gluing map constructed in [14]. The injectivity of $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ and the proof that its image contains the neighborhood mentioned in item (2) of Theorem 6.7.1 will be proven in [15].

CHAPTER 7

The link

We now use the Thom-Mather structure defined in §5.6 to construct an ambient link, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$, given by the boundary of a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$. The link, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$, appearing in (1.6.1), of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ is then the intersection of the ambient link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ with the zero locus of the obstruction section $\bar{\chi}$ appearing in Theorem 6.7.1.

We construct the link in §7.1 using the tubular distance functions $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ defined in (5.6.8). We use our understanding of the overlap maps to show that the ambient link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ can be decomposed into pieces, enumerated by the strata of $\text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$. Each of these pieces is a smoothly-stratified space, with strata given by oriented smooth manifolds with corners. From this definition and a discussion of the orientation of the link, we prove Theorem 7.1.9 giving a formal statement of the cobordism sum (1.6.1).

Our understanding of the overlaps of the spaces $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ allows us to prove in §7.2 that each piece of the ambient link admits a fiber bundle structure. In §7.3, we describe the intersections of the pieces of the link and the interaction of the intersections with the fiber bundle structures.

7.1. Defining the link

We define the link by first constructing an ambient link in §7.1.1. We discuss the orientations of the link in §7.1.3 which leads to a formal statement of the cobordism formula (1.6.1) in Theorem 7.1.9. Then in §7.2, we give a more detailed description of the pieces of the link, showing how they admit a fiber bundle structure.

7.1.1. The ambient link. The ambient link is defined as the boundary of a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$. The subspace $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ is the intersection of the zero-locus of the function defined by the length of the fiber vector of the vector bundle $N(\delta) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$,

$$(7.1.1) \quad t_N : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1 \rightarrow [0, \delta],$$

with the union of the zero-loci of the tubular distance function $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ defined on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ in L (5.6.8). We will define the ambient link as the union of two pieces:

$$(7.1.2) \quad \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} = \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},s} \cup \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},i}.$$

The first piece, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},s}$, is defined to be the codimension-one subspace

$$(7.1.3) \quad \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},s} = t_N^{-1}(\delta) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1.$$

The definition of the instanton component of the link, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}$, is more involved as it will be the union of the hypersurfaces of the local functions $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$:

$$(7.1.4) \quad \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i} = \cup_i \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_i),$$

and we now define the pieces, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_i)$, of the instanton link.

Enumerate the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ with partitions \mathcal{P}_i of N_ℓ as described in §2.4. Assign a small, generic constant ε_i to each such partition \mathcal{P}_i with $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$ for $i < j$ in a manner to be specified shortly. Then define a piece of the instanton component of the link by

$$(7.1.5) \quad \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_j) = \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)/S^1 \cap \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon_j)) - \cup_{i \neq j} \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon_i)).$$

The subspace $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}}))$ is defined by subspaces of the fiber of $\bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and thus need not be contained in $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})/S^1$. The following lemma gives us a useful containment result.

LEMMA 7.1.1. *For any compact $K \Subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$, there is a constant ε_j such that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_j$,*

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)/S^1 \cap \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(N(\delta)/S^1 \times K),$$

contains

$$\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \cap \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(N(\delta)/S^1 \times K)).$$

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the compactness of K . \square

We now describe how to chose the decreasing sequence of constants appearing in the definition (7.1.5). We work by induction on the partitions \mathcal{P}_i defined above. Let ε_0 be a parameter sufficiently small that Lemma 7.1.1 applies to $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_0)$ which is compact because it is the lowest stratum.

Assume we have defined $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}$ such that for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, ε_j is a parameter sufficiently small that Lemma 7.1.1 applies to $K_j \Subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$ where K_j is constructed as in Lemma 3.7.2 by the parameters ε_i with $i < j$. Then, if we define $K_k \subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$ as in Lemma 3.7.2 applied to the constants $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1}$, Lemma 3.7.2 implies that K_k is compact. Then select $\varepsilon_k < \varepsilon_{k-1}$ so Lemma 7.1.1 applies to K_k . In addition to these requirements on the size of the parameters ε_i , we will also require these parameters to be generic in a manner to be specified later.

We note that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon))$ is a neighborhood of $N(\delta)/S^1 \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. Hence,

$$\cup_j \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)) = \cup_j (\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)) - \cup_{i \neq j} \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i))),$$

defines a neighborhood of $N(\delta)/S^1 \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\cup_j \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$. The link (7.1.2) is the boundary of the preceding neighborhood, implying the following lemma.

LEMMA 7.1.2. *The ambient link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ defined in (7.1.2), (7.1.3), and (7.1.4) is the boundary of a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$. For generic choices of the constants ε_i and δ the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ with each stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ is a smooth manifold with corners.*

We can now define the link:

DEFINITION 7.1.3. The link, $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$, of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ is:

$$\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}} = \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0) \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}},$$

where $\bar{\chi}$ is the obstruction section appearing in Theorem 6.7.1 and $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is the ambient link defined in (7.1.2).

We refer to the intersection of any two or more of the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},s}$ or $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},i}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ as an *edge*. For generic choices of the parameters δ, ε_i , the intersection of each of these edges with the strata of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ will also be a smooth manifold with corners.

LEMMA 7.1.4. *For generic values of the parameters δ, ε_i used to define the ambient link, the link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ is the boundary of a neighborhood of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$. The link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ is smoothly-stratified subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$; the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ with each stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ and each edge of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is a smooth, oriented manifold with corners. The intersections of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ with the lower strata of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ have codimension at least two in $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$.*

The following result then translates immediately from [20].

LEMMA 7.1.5. *Assume $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is such that $w \pmod{2}$ is good in the sense of Definition 1.2.1. Given a spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} on X and a spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} on X satisfying $\ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) \geq 0$ and $w_2(\mathfrak{t}) \equiv w \pmod{2}$, there are positive constants ε_0 and δ_0 such that the following hold for all generic choices of $\varepsilon_i \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\delta \leq \delta_0$ defining $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.*

- The link $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ is disjoint from \bar{M}_{κ}^w and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{\text{red}}$ in the stratification (1.2.2) of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$.
- For all $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ and positive integers η satisfying (7.1.11), and for $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ the geometric representatives discussed in §1.4, the intersection

$$(7.1.6) \quad \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\eta} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$$

is a finite collection of points contained in the top stratum $\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$, and is disjoint from the edges of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.

7.1.2. A subspace of the link. The deformation retraction $N(\delta) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ defines a deformation retraction of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},i}$ to the subspace

$$(7.1.7) \quad \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} = \cup_i \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = \cup_i \left(t_N^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},i}(\mathcal{P}_i) \right)$$

where the function t_N is defined in (7.1.1). Essentially, $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is defined by replacing $\tilde{N}(\delta)$ with $\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ in the definition (7.1.5). In following sections, we will show that the intersections with $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ in (1.6.1) can be replaced by intersections with $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.

7.1.3. Orientations of the link. To define an intersection number from the intersection (7.1.6), it is necessary to discuss orientations of the link. An orientation for $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ determines one for $\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ through the convention introduced in [19, Equations (2.16), (2.16) & (2.25)] by considering $\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ as a boundary of $(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}} - \gamma(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}))/S^1$. Specifically, at a point $[A, \Phi] \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$, if

- $\vec{r} \in T\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0}$ is an outward-pointing radial vector with respect to the open neighborhood $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \gamma(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}})$ and complementary to the tangent space of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$,

- $v_{S^1} \in T\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}$ is tangent to the orbit of $[A, \Phi]$ under the (free) circle action (where $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ has its usual orientation), and
- $\lambda_{\mathcal{M}} \in \det(T\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0})$ is an orientation for $T\mathcal{M}_t$ at $[A, \Phi]$,

then we define an orientation λ_L for $T\mathbf{L}_{t,s}$ at $[A, \Phi]$ by

$$(7.1.8) \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{M}} = -v_{S^1} \wedge \tilde{r} \wedge \tilde{\lambda}_L,$$

where the lift $\tilde{\lambda}_L \in \Lambda^{\max-2}(T\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0})$ at $[A, \Phi]$ of $\lambda_L \in \det(T\mathbf{L}_{t,s}) \subset \Lambda^{\max-1}(T(\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}/S^1))$, obeys $\pi_* \tilde{\lambda}_L = \lambda_L$, if $\pi : \mathcal{M}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_t/S^1$ is the quotient map.

DEFINITION 7.1.6. If O is an orientation for \mathcal{M}_t , we call the orientation for $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}$ related to O by equation (7.1.8) the *boundary orientation defined by O* .

The *standard orientation* for $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}$ is defined in [20, Definition 3.12]. The standard orientation arises from the local topology of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ and thus is more natural for computations on this space. The standard and boundary orientations are related in the following.

LEMMA 7.1.7. [20, Lemmas 3.13 & 3.14] *Let \mathfrak{t} and $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ be spin^u structures on X satisfying*

$$p_1(\mathfrak{t}) = p_1(\mathfrak{t}(\ell)) - 4\ell, \quad c_1(\mathfrak{t}) = c_1(\mathfrak{t}(\ell)), \quad \text{and} \quad w_2(\mathfrak{t}) = w_2(\mathfrak{t}(\ell)).$$

Let Ω be a homology orientation and let w be an integral lift of $w_2(\mathfrak{t})$. If $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ admits a splitting $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$, then the standard orientation for $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}$ and the boundary orientation for $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}$ defined through the orientation $O^{\text{asd}}(\Omega, w)$ for \mathcal{M}_t differ by a factor of

$$(7.1.9) \quad (-1)^{o_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s})}, \quad \text{where} \quad o_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s}) = \frac{1}{4}(w - c_1(L))^2.$$

We note some alternative expressions for the change of orientation formula in (7.1.9), in particular one which matches that appearing in Conjecture 0.0.2.

LEMMA 7.1.8. *Continue the assumptions and notation of Lemma 7.1.7. Then,*

$$o_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w^2 + c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot (w - c_1(\mathfrak{t}))) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma - w^2) \pmod{2}.$$

If w is characteristic and $c_1(\mathfrak{t}) \cdot c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$, then

$$o_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(w^2 + c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \cdot w) \pmod{2}.$$

PROOF. The first equality appears in [19, Equation 4.62]. The second follows immediately from the first and the additional assumptions. \square

7.1.4. The cobordism sum. For all $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ and positive integers η satisfying (7.1.11), and for $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ the geometric representatives discussed in §1.4, we can then define the intersection number

$$(7.1.10) \quad \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s})$$

to be the oriented count of points in the intersection (7.1.6), using the standard orientation of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}$. This yields the following formal expression of the cobordism formula.

THEOREM 7.1.9. *Let \mathfrak{t} be a spin^u structure on a smooth, oriented four-manifold X . Let $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ and η a non-negative integer satisfy*

$$(7.1.11) \quad \deg(z) + 2\eta = \dim \mathcal{M}_t^{*,0} - 2.$$

Assume there is $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $w_2(\mathfrak{t}) \equiv w \pmod{2}$ and which is good in the sense of Definition 1.2.1. Then the intersection numbers (7.1.10) satisfy

$$(7.1.12) \quad \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w) = - \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)} (-1)^{o_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s})} \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}),$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \kappa}^w$ is the link of the anti-self-dual connections in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t}}/S^1$ from [18, Definition 3.7].

7.2. The fiber bundle structure

We now describe the fiber bundle structure of the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_j)$, defined in (7.1.5), of the link.

For $j \leq k$, let

$$\vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}_j, [\mathcal{P}_k]) : \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k]} I^{\mathcal{P}''}$$

be the function defined in Lemma 5.6.3. (For $j = k$, $[\mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k] = \{\mathcal{P}_j\}$.) Note that the subset

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k]} D(\mathcal{P}'', \varepsilon_k) \subset \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k]} I^{\mathcal{P}''}$$

is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$. For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ the parameters used in the definition of the link, define

$$(7.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon) &= \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j) \cap \vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}_j, [\mathcal{P}_j])^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)) \\ &\quad - \cup_{k > j} \vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}_j, [\mathcal{P}_k])^{-1} \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k]} D(\mathcal{P}'', \varepsilon_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following fiber bundle structure on each piece of the link.

PROPOSITION 7.2.1. *Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ be the generic constants defining the link. Let $K_i \Subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ be the compact subset defined by these parameters as in Lemma 3.7.2. The subspace $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ defined in (7.1.5) is a codimension-one subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$, disjoint from $N(\delta)/S^1 \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$ for all j . In addition $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ admits a fiber bundle structure:*

$$(7.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)|_{K_i} \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ & & \downarrow \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \\ & & N(\delta)/S^1 \times K_i \end{array}$$

where $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)$ is defined in (7.2.1).

PROOF. The proposition follows from previously established results on the intersection

$$(\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))) \cap (\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)))$$

as we now describe. For $i < j$, Lemma 5.6.3 describes the intersection matching the definition in (7.2.1). For $j < i$, Corollary 5.6.7 and the construction of K_i in Lemma 3.7.2 shows that removing

$$\cup_{j < i} \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))$$

from $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i))$ is equivalent to restricting the fiber bundle to K_i . \square

We will require the following:

LEMMA 7.2.2. *The restriction of the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ action on $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P})$ defined by the diagonal action on the frames:*

$$(7.2.3) \quad (([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, M) \mapsto (([A_P, F_P^s M, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}}),$$

where $M \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $[A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P] \in \bar{M}_{spl, |\mathcal{P}|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$, to $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$ is free.

PROOF. The $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ action on $\bar{M}_{spl, |\mathcal{P}|}^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ given by the action on the frame is free at all points except for points in the trivial strata, $[\Theta, F^s, v_P]$. Thus a fixed point of the action (7.2.3) will be of the form, $A_{\mathcal{P}} = (([\Theta, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}})$. For such a point $A_{\mathcal{P}} \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P})$ and for any $F_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, the point $[F_{\mathcal{P}}, A_{\mathcal{P}}]$ will lie in the subspace $T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ defined in (5.4.4) for some partition \mathcal{P}' with $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$. By a suitable choice of $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ (i.e. one which lies over $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ sufficiently far from lower strata in $\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X)$), we can ensure that $[F_{\mathcal{P}}, A_{\mathcal{P}}] \in T^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$, where $T^{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ is defined in Lemma 5.5.2. By Lemma 5.5.2, Theorem 5.5.1, and the definition of the equivalence \sim in (5.5.1), there is $[F_{\mathcal{P}'}, A_{\mathcal{P}'}] \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ with

$$[(A_0, \Phi_0), F_{\mathcal{P}'}, A_{\mathcal{P}'}] \sim [(A_0, \Phi_0), F_{\mathcal{P}}, A_{\mathcal{P}}]$$

for any $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in N(\delta)$. Because $[F_{\mathcal{P}'}, A_{\mathcal{P}'}] \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$, $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')([(A_0, \Phi_0), F_{\mathcal{P}}, A_{\mathcal{P}}]) = \vec{0}$ where $\vec{0}$ is the point with all coordinates equal to zero. However, then Lemma 5.6.3 implies that $\vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])(A_{\mathcal{P}}) = \vec{0}$, contradicting the assumption that $A_{\mathcal{P}} \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$. \square

As described in the following, the subspaces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ admit a similar fiber bundle structure.

LEMMA 7.2.3. *The space*

$$(7.2.4) \quad \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) = t_N^{-1}(0) \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}, i}(\mathcal{P}_j)$$

is the restriction of the fiber bundle (7.2.2) to the subspace

$$M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j \subset N(\delta)/S^1 \times K_j$$

of the base and is thus given by:

$$(7.2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j, g_{\mathcal{P}_j}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_j)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon) \\ & & \downarrow \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \\ & & M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j \end{array}$$

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from Proposition 7.2.1. \square

7.3. Boundary of link components

We now describe the intersections of the pieces of the ambient link. Because we will reduce the intersection with the link to an intersection with the subspace $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}$, we will only need to understand the intersections of the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$. Each of the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$

is a stratified space where each stratum is a smooth manifold with corners. We describe this corner structure by denoting

$$(7.3.1) \quad \partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) = (\cap_{u=1}^r \vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_{k_u})^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_{k_u}, \varepsilon_{k_u}))) \cap \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j).$$

Observe that the intersection of the pieces of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is described by the equality:

$$(7.3.2) \quad \partial_k \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) = \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \cap \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k) = \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$$

which follows immediately from the definition. More generally,

$$\cap_{j=0}^r \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_{i_j}) = \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_r} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_{i_0}).$$

If

$$\text{cl}\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j) \cap \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k) = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j) \cap \text{cl}\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k) = \emptyset,$$

then the boundaries in (7.3.2) are empty.

To describe the boundary (7.3.1), we introduce the following notation. For $j < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_v$, define

$$(7.3.3) \quad \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon) = \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon) \cap (\cap_{u=1}^v \vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}_j, [\mathcal{P}_{i_u}])^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_{i_u}, \varepsilon_{i_u}))).$$

For $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r < j$, define

$$(7.3.4) \quad \partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} K_j = K_j \cap \left(\cap_{u=1}^r \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_{k_u}})^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_{k_u}, \varepsilon_{k_u})) \right) \subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j),$$

where the function $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_{k_u}})$ is defined in (3.6.1).

PROPOSITION 7.3.1. *For $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r < j$ and $j < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_v$, the corner*

$$\partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$$

admits a description as a fiber bundle:

$$(7.3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \\ & & \downarrow \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \\ & & M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} K_j \end{array}$$

arising from the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \\ & \simeq \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) |_{\partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} K_j} \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_j)} \partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. For $j < i$, the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ with $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i))$ is given by the intersection of the fiber $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon)$ with $\vec{t}_f(\mathcal{P}_j, [\mathcal{P}_{i_u}])^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_{i_u}, \varepsilon_{i_u}))$ by Lemma 5.6.3.

For $k < j$, we claim that the intersection of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ with $\vec{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)^{-1}(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k))$ is given by the inverse image, under the projection $\pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)$ of the intersection of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j$, with $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k))$. To prove this claim, we will show that for $\mathbf{A} \in \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ and $\mathbf{A}' = \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)(\mathbf{A})$,

$$(7.3.6) \quad \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}') \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k).$$

The latter condition is true if and only if $\mathbf{A}' \in M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j$ by Lemma 5.6.4 and the definition of $\partial_k K_j$. Thus proving (7.3.6) will complete the proof of the proposition. In proving (7.3.6), we will use the observation that $\mathbf{A} \in \overline{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ implies

$$(7.3.7) \quad \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{A}) \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j) \quad \text{and thus} \quad \vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{A}) \leq \varepsilon_j, \quad \text{for all } Q \in \mathcal{P}_j.$$

Equation (7.3.7) and items (1) and (2) of Lemma 5.6.5 imply that

$$(7.3.8) \quad \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}') \in \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k).$$

Assume $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k)$. Then there is $Q \in \mathcal{P}_k$ such that $\vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) = \varepsilon_k$. If $Q \in \mathcal{P}_j \cap \mathcal{P}_k$, then by (7.3.7) and item (3) of Lemma 5.6.5, $\vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) = \vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})(\mathbf{A}) \leq \varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_k$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $Q \notin \mathcal{P}_k \cap \mathcal{P}_j$. Item (1) of Lemma 5.6.5 implies that $\varepsilon_k = \vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) = \vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}')$. This last equality together with (7.3.8) implies that $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}') \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k)$.

Now assume that $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}') \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k)$. Then there is $Q \in \mathcal{P}_j$ such that the Q -th component function satisfies $\vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}') = \varepsilon_k$. Because $\varepsilon_k \neq 0$, item (2) of Lemma 5.6.5 and $\mathbf{A}' = \pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)$ imply that $Q \notin \mathcal{P}_j \cap \mathcal{P}_k$. Then, item (1) of Lemma 5.6.5 implies $\vec{t}^Q(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) = \varepsilon_k$ which together (7.3.8) implies that $\vec{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})(\mathbf{A}) \in \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon_k)$ as required to complete the proof of (7.3.6). \square

Because $U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j])$ is defined as the image of the injective (up to symmetric group action) splicing map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$, there is a homeomorphism between $U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j])$ and an open subspace of

$$\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}_P'') \times \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |Q|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{h}}(\delta_Q) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i).$$

The preceding homeomorphism defines a surjective map:

$$(7.3.9) \quad U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]) \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}_P'') / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i)$$

In addition, because

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} \coprod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |Q|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{h}}(\delta_Q) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) &= \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \coprod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |Q|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{h}}(\delta_Q) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) \\ &= \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'')$ is defined in (5.1.5), there is a $G(\mathcal{P}_i)$ -equivariant map

$$(7.3.10) \quad c_{j,i} : U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]) \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i),$$

which will be used in §9. In that section, we will also require the characterization of a group action on the image of $c_{j,i}$ as described in the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 7.3.2. *Let S^1 act freely on a space X . If there is an action of a finite group G on X which commutes with the action of S^1 , then the S^1 action on X descends to an S^1 action on X/G such that if $\lambda[x] = [x]$ for $\lambda \in S^1$, $x \in X$, and $[x] \in X/G$, then λ is a $|G|$ -th root of unity.*

PROOF. Define the S^1 action on X/G by $(\lambda, [x]) \mapsto [\lambda x]$. Because the S^1 and G actions on X commute, this action is well-defined. If $\lambda[x] = [x]$, then there is $g \in G$ such that $\lambda x = gx$. Then $\lambda^{|G|}x = g^{|G|}x = ex = x$ and because S^1 acts freely on X , $\lambda^{|G|} = 1$. \square

We say that an action of a group H on a space X has *finite isotropy* if there is a finite subgroup $K < H$ such that for all $x \in X$, $H_x < K$.

LEMMA 7.3.3. *The restriction of the diagonal $\text{SO}(3)$ action on frames in (7.2.3) to*

$$c_{j,i}(U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]) \cap \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)) \subset \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'')/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i),$$

has finite isotropy.

PROOF. For Θ the trivial connection, define

$$T(\mathcal{P}) = \{([A_P, F_P^s, \mathbf{x}_P])_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}) : A_P = \Theta \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

We first claim that the image of the restriction of $c_{j,i}$ to $U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]) \cap \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)$ is disjoint from $T(\mathcal{P}'')$ for all $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]$. The proof of Lemma 7.2.2 implies that $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \cap T(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is empty. If $A(\mathcal{P}_i) \in U_f(\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]) \cap \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)$ and $c_{j,i}(A(\mathcal{P}_i)) \in T(\mathcal{P}'')$, then the definition of $c_{j,i}$ and the definition of the map $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{t,s,d}$ would imply that $A(\mathcal{P}_i) \in T(\mathcal{P}_i)$, a contradiction.

The proof of Lemma 7.2.2 implies that the action (7.2.3) is free on $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') - T(\mathcal{P}'')$. The action on the $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ quotient has finite isotropy by Lemma 7.3.3. \square

CHAPTER 8

Cohomology and duality

In this section, we show how the intersection number,

$$\#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}),$$

appearing in (1.6.1), can be expressed as the pairing of a cohomology class with a homology class. Our aim in doing this is to rewrite the above intersection number in a form to which we can apply the pushforward-pullback argument described in §0.1.7. Explicitly, we will prove the following analogue to [20, Proposition 5.2].

PROPOSITION 8.0.4. *For $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, let $\bar{\mu}_p(\beta), \bar{\mu}_c \in H^\bullet(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ be the cohomology classes from Definition 8.3.8. Let $\bar{e}_s = e(\bar{\mathcal{Y}}_{t,s}^s/S^1)$ be the Euler class of the background obstruction bundle from (6.2.5) and let \bar{e}_I be the extension of the Euler class of the instanton obstruction bundle from Definition 8.3.8. If $d(t) = \dim \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{*,0}$, then let $[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \in H_{d(t)-2}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ be the homology class defined in (8.2.3). Then*

$$(8.0.11) \quad \#(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}) = \langle \bar{\mu}_p(z) \smile \bar{\mu}_c^\eta \smile \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle.$$

Let $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ be any codimension-zero submanifold of the top stratum of $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ with the property that its boundary lies in a neighborhood, U , of the lower strata where U retracts to the lower strata. The existence of such a neighborhood U follows immediately from Lemma 5.5.4. The fundamental class of $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ is a relative class and we can define the fundamental class of $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ through the exact sequence of the pair $(\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}, \mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \cap U)$.

A geometric representative \mathcal{V} on a stratified space \mathcal{M} , as described in Definition 8.3.1, naturally defines a relative cohomology class in $H^\bullet(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{V})$. If \mathcal{V} were a smooth submanifold of a manifold \mathcal{M} , then the relative cohomology class would be given by the Thom class of the normal bundle of \mathcal{V} . The geometric representatives $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ define such cohomology classes. In addition, the restriction of the zero-locus of the obstruction section to the top stratum also defines such a relative cohomology class, namely the relative Euler class. We note that these classes are, initially, defined only on the top stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$. The intersection number in (8.0.11) equals the pairing of the product of these relative cohomology classes with the relative fundamental class of the manifold with boundary $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$.

To rewrite this pairing of relative classes in a form to which we can apply the pushforward-pullback argument described in §0.1.7, we relate the relative cohomology classes mentioned above with absolute cohomology classes defined on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ and compute these cohomology classes in terms of cohomology classes on $M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and the Chern class defined by the S^1 action.

The relative cohomology classes defined by $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$, $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$, and the obstruction section extend to relative cohomology classes on the complement of a small subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$. If an

embedded surface $\Sigma \subset X$ satisfies $[\Sigma] = h \in H_2(X)$, then relative cohomology class for the geometric representative $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(h)$ defines such a relative cohomology class in

$$H^\bullet(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\Sigma)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\Sigma)) - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(h)),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\Sigma))$ is the subspace of points $[A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}]$ where the support of \mathbf{x} intersects $\nu(\Sigma)$, a tubular neighborhood of Σ . The relative cohomology class for $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ extends to a relative cohomology class on the complement of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(x))$. The relative Euler class of the obstruction section extends to the complement of the intersection of the zero-locus of the obstruction section with the lower strata. We then compute that the image of these extended relative cohomology classes, in the exact sequence of the relevant pair, equals the restriction of the cohomology classes of the desired form from $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ to the subspaces described above. With these equalities established, the proof of Proposition 8.0.4 is then largely a formal manipulation.

After defining subspaces of and cohomology classes on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ in §8.1, we define the relevant fundamental class in §8.2. In §8.3, we define the relative cohomology classes corresponding to the geometric representatives $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$, define their extensions, and compute the corresponding absolute cohomology classes in terms of the cohomology classes defined in §8.1. We discuss relative Euler classes and carry out a similar program for a geometric dual of $\bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ in §8.4. The proof of Proposition 8.0.4 appears in §8.5. Finally, in §8.6, we show how to replace the pairing with in (8.0.11) with a pairing with the fundamental class of $\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$, the space defined in (7.1.7).

8.1. Definitions

8.1.1. Subspace and maps. We begin by defining subspaces of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$. First, let

$$(8.1.1) \quad \iota : \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}.$$

be the inclusion of the top stratum which is mapped to \mathcal{C}_t by the splicing and gluing maps $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ and let

$$(8.1.2) \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} - M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X).$$

be the complement of the reducible points. The S^1 action (5.5.3) is free on the subspace $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}$.

The map

$$\pi_N : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow N_{t(\ell),s}(\delta),$$

was defined in (5.5.4) and we define

$$(8.1.3) \quad \pi_s : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow M_s$$

as the composition of π_N with the projection $N_{t(\ell),s}(\delta) \rightarrow M_s$. Recall that the projection

$$\pi_X : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$$

was defined in (5.8.1). We will also write

$$(8.1.4) \quad \pi_{s,X} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$$

and

$$(8.1.5) \quad \pi_{N,X} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow N_{t(\ell),s}(\delta) \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$$

for the projections. We use the same notation to denote the projections from $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ (although note that π_N maps this quotient to $N_{t(\ell),s}(\delta)/S^1$).

For any subspace $Y \subset X$, define

$$(8.1.6) \quad \mathcal{I}(Y) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1,$$

to be the triples $[A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}]$ where the support of \mathbf{x} contains a point of Y .

8.1.2. The incidence locus. The incidence locus,

$$(8.1.7) \quad \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta) \subset \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X$$

is defined to be the points $(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X$ such that y is in the support of \mathbf{x} . Alternately, one can describe it by defining

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta) = (\cup_i (\pi_i \times \text{id}_X)^{-1}(\Delta_2)) \subset X^\ell \times X$$

where $\pi_i : X^\ell \rightarrow X$ is projection onto the i -th factor and $\Delta_2 \subset X \times X$ is the diagonal and observing that $\mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta) = \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta)/\mathfrak{S}_\ell$. We will write

$$(8.1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta) &= (\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times X) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta) &= (\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times X) \cap \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}' }(\Delta)$ if the partitions \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are in the same orbit of the \mathfrak{S}_ℓ action on partitions of N_ℓ .

For any smooth submanifold $T \subseteq X$, we define analogous subspaces of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times T$,

$$(8.1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta, T) &= \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta) \cap (\text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times T), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta, T) &= \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta) \cap (X^\ell \times T), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T) &= \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta) \cap (\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T) &= \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta) \cap (\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following description of the preceding subspaces.

LEMMA 8.1.1. *Let $T \subseteq X$ be a smooth submanifold and let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ . The subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$ defined in (8.1.9) is then the disjoint union of components*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T) = \cup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T, P)$$

where

$$(8.1.10) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T, P) = \{(x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}, y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T) : x_P = y\}.$$

Each component (8.1.10) is a smooth, codimension-four subspace of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$. Under the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ on $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$, the components $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T, P)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T, P')$ are identified if $|P| = |P'|$.

PROOF. That $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$ is given by the union in the lemma is clear. That the given union is disjoint follows from observing that for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}}$ the points x_P and $x_{P'}$ are always unequal for $P \neq P'$, so for $(\mathbf{x}, y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$, the equality $x_P = y = x_{P'}$ is impossible.

To see that each component (8.1.10) is a smooth, codimension-four submanifold, we first argue that for $\Delta_2 \subset X \times X$ the diagonal, Δ_2 intersects $X \times T$ transversely as follows. Observe that any

$$(v, w) \in TX^2|_{\Delta_2 \cap (X \times T)} = (TX)^2|_{\Delta_2 \cap (X \times T)}$$

can be written, for $w = w_T + w_T^\perp$ where w_T is tangent to T and w^\perp is orthogonal to the tangent space of T , as

$$(v, w) = (w_T^\perp, w_T^\perp) + (v - w_T^\perp, w_T) \in T\Delta_2 + T(X \times T),$$

as required. Hence, the subspace $\Delta_2(T) = \Delta_2 \cap (X \times T)$ is a smooth, codimension-four submanifold of $X \times T$. Then the component (8.1.10) is the pre-image of $\Delta_2(T)$ under the map

$$\pi_i \times \text{id}_T : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T \rightarrow X \times T,$$

(for any $i \in P$). Because the map $\pi_i \times \text{id}_T$ is a submersion, this pre-image is then also a smooth, codimension-four submanifold.

The observation on the action of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ is straightforward. \square

We will use the following computation of the cohomology of the complement of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$.

LEMMA 8.1.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ , let $T \subset X$ be a smooth submanifold, and let $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T) \subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ be the subspace defined in (8.1.9). Then,*

$$(8.1.11) \quad H_k(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T, (\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T) - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)) \simeq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k < 4 \\ (\oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}) & \text{if } k = 4 \end{cases}$$

The group $H_4(\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T, (\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T) - \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T))$ is generated by $\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P} = (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \text{id}_T) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}$ where

$$(8.1.12) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P} : D^4 \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T,$$

intersects $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T, P)$ transversely at the origin and $\tilde{\pi}_\ell : X^\ell \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is the projection.

PROOF. The proof follows immediately from the assertion in Lemma 8.1.1 that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$ is a disjoint union of smooth, codimension-four submanifolds, enumerated by $\mathcal{P}/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$, of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$, from the Thom isomorphism. \square

8.1.3. Cohomology classes. We now define some cohomology classes on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$.

DEFINITION 8.1.3. Let $\nu \in H^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ be the first Chern class of the S^1 bundle,

$$(8.1.13) \quad \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1,$$

where the S^1 action is defined in (5.5.3). If

$$(8.1.14) \quad \mathbb{L}_\nu = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} \times_{S^1} \mathbb{C},$$

is the complex line bundle associated to this S^1 , then $c_1(\mathbb{L}_\nu) = \nu$.

DEFINITION 8.1.4. For $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, let $\mu_s(\beta) \in H^{2-\bullet}(M_s; \mathbb{Z})$ be the μ -class defined in §1. We will use the same notation for the pullback, by the projection π_s , of these classes to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$. We will also use the notation

$$\mathbb{L}_s = \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} X \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_s \times X$$

for the restriction of the bundle defined in (1.3.5).

By [4, Thm. II.19.2], there is an isomorphism,

$$(8.1.15) \quad H^\bullet(\text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R}) \simeq H^\bullet(X^\ell; \mathbb{R})^{\mathfrak{S}_\ell}.$$

We can thus make the following definition.

DEFINITION 8.1.5. If $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $\pi_i : X^\ell \rightarrow X$ is projection onto the i -th factor, define

$$\tilde{S}^\ell(\beta) = \sum_i \pi_i^* \text{PD}[\beta] \in H^{4-\bullet}(X^\ell; \mathbb{R})$$

and let $S^\ell(\beta) \in H^{4-\bullet}(\text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R})$ be the cohomology class satisfying $\tilde{\pi}_\ell^* S^\ell(\beta) = \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$, where $\tilde{\pi}_\ell : X^\ell \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is the projection, as specified by equation (8.1.15).

For $\alpha \in H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, define

$$\tilde{S}^\ell(\alpha) = \sum_i \pi_i^* \alpha \in H^\bullet(X^\ell; \mathbb{R})$$

and let $S^\ell(\alpha) \in H^\bullet(\text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R})$ be the cohomology class satisfying $\tilde{\pi}_\ell^* S^\ell(\alpha) = \tilde{S}^\ell(\alpha)$.

We define a Poincare dual for the incidence locus $\mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta)$ defined in (8.1.7) as follows. If we define

$$\text{Th}(\Delta_2) \in H^4(X \times X, X \times X - \Delta_2; \mathbb{Z})$$

to be the Thom class of the normal bundle of the diagonal Δ_2 , then we can define

$$T_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}} = \sum_i (\pi_i \times \text{id}_X)^* \text{Th}(\Delta_2) \in H^4(X^\ell \times X, X^\ell \times X - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta))$$

where $\pi_i : X^\ell \rightarrow X$ is projection onto the i -th factor. Then,

$$\text{PD}[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}] = \sum_i (\pi_i \times \text{id}_X)^* \text{PD}[\Delta_2]$$

will serve as a Poincare dual of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta)$. If $\tilde{\pi}_\ell : X^\ell \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is the projection map, the isomorphism (8.1.15) allows us to define

$$(8.1.16) \quad \text{PD}[\mathcal{V}] \in H^4(\text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X; \mathbb{R})$$

to be the unique cohomology class satisfying $(\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \text{id}_X)^* \text{PD}[\mathcal{V}] = \text{PD}[\tilde{\mathcal{V}}]$. Then, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X^\ell \times X, \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & (X^\ell \times X, X^\ell \times X - \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_\ell(\Delta)) \\ \tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \text{id}_X \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \text{id}_X \downarrow \\ (\text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X, \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{j} & (\text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X, \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X - \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta)) \end{array}$$

and a cohomology class

$$(8.1.17) \quad T_{\mathcal{V}} \in H^4(\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X, \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X - \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta)),$$

satisfying

$$(8.1.18) \quad (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \mathrm{id}_X)^* T_{\mathcal{V}} = T_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad j^* T_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathrm{PD}[\mathcal{V}].$$

For $T \subseteq X$ a smooth, closed and oriented submanifold, let $\iota_{\mathcal{P}} : \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and $\iota_T : T \rightarrow X$ be the inclusions. There is then an inclusion map of pairs,

$$\begin{array}{c} (\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T, \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T - \mathcal{V}_\Sigma(\mathcal{P}, T)) \\ \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T \\ (\mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X, \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X) \times X - \mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta)) \end{array}$$

The following computation, together with Lemma 8.1.2, will be useful in characterizing $\mathrm{PD}[\mathcal{V}]$.

LEMMA 8.1.6. *For $T \subseteq X$ a smooth, closed and oriented submanifold, let $\iota_{\mathcal{P}} : \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X)$ and $\iota_T : T \rightarrow X$ be the inclusions. Let $T_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the cohomology class defined in (8.1.17). Let $[\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P}]$ be as defined preceding equation (8.1.12). Then,*

$$\langle (\iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)^* T_{\mathcal{V}}, [\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \rangle = |P|.$$

PROOF. By construction, the map $\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P}$ is covered by the map $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P} : D^4 \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ defined in (8.1.12). This gives the equality

$$(\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \mathrm{id}_X)_* [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] = [\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P}].$$

Then, the inclusion $\iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T$ is covered by an inclusion

$$\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T \rightarrow X^\ell \times T.$$

We can then write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)^* T_{\mathcal{V}}, [\phi_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \rangle &= \langle (\iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)^* T_{\mathcal{V}}, (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \mathrm{id}_X)_* [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \mathrm{id}_X)^* (\iota_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)^* T_{\mathcal{V}}, [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)^* (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \mathrm{id}_X)^* T_{\mathcal{V}}, [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_i (\pi_i \times \mathrm{id}_X)^* \mathrm{Th}(\Delta_2), (\tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{P}} \times \iota_T)_* [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_i \langle \mathrm{Th}(\Delta_2), (\pi_i \circ \iota_{\mathcal{P}}) \times \iota_T \rangle_* [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] \end{aligned}$$

By the argument in Lemma 8.1.1, the image of $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ under the map $\pi_i \times \iota_T$ intersects the diagonal Δ_2 transversely. Hence, the image of the map

$$(\pi_i \circ \iota_{\mathcal{P}}) \times \iota_T \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P} : D^4 \rightarrow X \times X$$

intersects the diagonal transversely at one point if $i \in P$ and is disjoint from the diagonal if $i \notin P$. This yields the equality

$$\langle \mathrm{Th}(\Delta_2), (\pi_i \circ \iota_{\mathcal{P}}) \times \iota_T \rangle_* [\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P}, P}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in P \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin P \end{cases}$$

which gives the equality in the statement of the lemma. \square

The equality on Poincare duality given in [31, Theorem 30.6], the definition of $\text{PD}[\mathcal{V}]$ following (8.1.16), the definition of $S^\ell(\beta)$ in Definition 8.1.5, and the isomorphism (8.1.15), imply the following result.

LEMMA 8.1.7. *For any $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$,*

$$\text{PD}[\mathcal{V}]/\beta = S^\ell(\beta).$$

where $S^\ell(\beta)$ is defined in Definition 8.1.5 and $\text{PD}[\mathcal{V}]$ is as defined following (8.1.16).

8.2. The fundamental class of the ambient link

We now define the fundamental class of the ambient link appearing in (8.0.11),

$$[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] \in H_{d(t)-2}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1; \mathbb{R}),$$

where $d(t)$ is the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{vir,*}$. The union of the lower strata in $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}$ will be denoted $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{sing} \subset \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}$.

LEMMA 8.2.1. *For any neighborhood V of $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{sing}$ in the lower strata of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ is empty, there is a neighborhood U of the singular strata in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ such that*

- (1) *There is a deformation retraction r of U onto V which respects the level sets of the function t_N given in (7.1.1),*
- (2) *There is a smooth manifold with corners, $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$, with $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir} - U \subset \hat{\mathbf{L}} \subset \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}$,*
- (3) *The intersection $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0) \cap U$ is empty.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1 \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ be the union of the singular strata. The local Whitney stratified structure of the spliced ends moduli space, specifically the property described in Lemma 4.8.2, implies that the union of the lower strata is locally a neighborhood deformation retraction and thus a neighborhood deformation retraction. That this deformation retraction respects the level sets of t_N follows from observing that the deformation retractions defined in Lemma 4.8.2 are invariant with respect to the frame action. The NDR structure gives a cofinal sequence of neighborhoods of $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$ which deformation retract onto $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$. Because $\text{cl}(V) \cap \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ is empty and $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ is normal, we can find a neighborhood deformation retraction $r : N \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$ onto $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$ such that $U = r^{-1}(V)$ satisfies the first and third conclusions of the lemma. Then, define $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ to be the complement in $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}$ of tubular neighborhoods (in the Thom-Mather sense) of the local strata of $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$. By assuming these tubular neighborhoods are contained in N the resulting smooth manifold with corners will satisfy the second condition. \square

The manifold with corners $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ defined in Lemma 8.2.1 has a fundamental class,

$$(8.2.1) \quad [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \in H_{d(t)-2}(\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U^\circ, U^\circ)$$

where $U^\circ = U - \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$. There is an excision isomorphism,

$$\iota_* : H_\bullet(\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U^\circ, U^\circ) \simeq H_\bullet(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U, U).$$

Now, because U deformation retracts onto V which is contained in the codimension-four subspace $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{sing,*}/S^1$ and which thus has dimension three less than $\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}$, the inclusion map of pairs,

$$(8.2.2) \quad \bar{j} : (\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U, U)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{j}_* : H_{d(t)-2}(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U) \simeq H_{d(t)-2}(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U, U).$$

We then define the fundamental class of the ambient link by

$$(8.2.3) \quad [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] = j_*^{-1} \iota_* [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}] \in H_{d(t)-2}(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir} \cup U).$$

8.3. Computing the μ -classes

The geometric representatives $\mathcal{V}(z)$ and \bar{W} are dual to the cohomology classes $\mu_p(z)$ and μ_c defined in §1.4. We now compute the pullbacks $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mu_p(z)$ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mu_c$ in terms of the cohomology classes defined in §8.1.3.

8.3.1. Geometric representatives and cocycles. We first recall the following definition:

DEFINITION 8.3.1. [35, p 588]. Let Z be a smoothly stratified space. A *geometric representative* for a real cohomology class μ of dimension d on Z is a closed, smoothly stratified subspace \mathcal{V} of Z together with a real coefficient q , the *multiplicity*, satisfying

- (1) The intersection $Z_0 \cap \mathcal{V}$ of \mathcal{V} with the top stratum Z_0 of Z has codimension d in Z_0 and has an oriented normal bundle.
- (2) The intersection of \mathcal{V} with all strata of Z other than the top stratum has codimension two or more in \mathcal{V} .
- (3) The pairing of μ with a homology class h of dimension d is obtained by choosing a smooth singular cycle σ representing h whose intersection with all strata of \mathcal{V} has codimension $\dim Z_0 - d$ in that stratum of \mathcal{V} , and then taking q times the count (with signs) of the intersection points between the cycle and the top stratum of \mathcal{V} :

$$\langle \mu, h \rangle = q \cdot \#((Z_0 \cap \mathcal{V}) \cap \sigma).$$

The intersection of the geometric representative with the top stratum with real coefficient, $(\mathcal{V} \cap Z_0, q)$, defines a singular cocycle c representing the restriction of the cohomology class μ to Z_0 with the properties described in the following lemma.

LEMMA 8.3.2. *Let Z_0 be a smooth manifold and let (\mathcal{V}, q) be a geometric representative for a real cohomology class μ of dimension d on Z_0 . Then, there is $c \in H^d(Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R})$ such that $j_{\mathcal{V}}^* c = \mu$ where*

$$j_{\mathcal{V}} : (Z_0, \emptyset) \rightarrow (Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V})$$

is the inclusion of pairs.

PROOF. For any smooth manifold W , let $\Delta_{\bullet}^{\infty}(W)$ denote the chain complex of smooth singular chains [3, p. 291] with $Z_d^{\infty}(W) \subset \Delta_d^{\infty}(W)$ and $B_d^{\infty}(W) \subset \Delta_d^{\infty}(W)$ denoting the submodules of boundaries and cycles respectively. As we are considering real cohomology, consider $\Delta_{\bullet}^{\infty}(W)$ as a real vector space rather than as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Although condition (3) in Definition 8.3.1 directly defines only an element of $\text{Hom}(Z^{\infty}(Z_0), \mathbb{R})$, it can be seen that

extends from cycles to smooth, singular chains whose boundary lies in $Z_0 - \mathcal{V}$, $\partial^{-1}(\Delta_{d+1}^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V}))$. The cochain so defined vanishes on all boundaries and elements of $\Delta_d^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})$. Thus, condition (3) of Definition 8.3.1 defines an element of

$$(8.3.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\partial^{-1}(\Delta_{d+1}^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})) / (B_d^\infty(Z_0) + \Delta_d^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})), \mathbb{R}).$$

We now argue that (8.3.1) is isomorphic to $H^d(Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R})$. By the de Rham theorem (see the discussion in [3, p. 291]), for any smooth manifold W , there is a functorial isomorphism between $H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{R})$ and the homology of the complex $\text{Hom}(\Delta_\bullet^\infty(W), \mathbb{R})$. The universal coefficient theorem then identifies $H_\bullet(W; \mathbb{R})$ with the homology of the complex $\Delta_\bullet^\infty(W)$. Thus, we have isomorphisms

$$(8.3.2) \quad H_\bullet(Z_0; \mathbb{R}) \simeq H^\bullet(\Delta_\bullet^\infty(Z_0)), \quad H_\bullet(Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_\bullet(\Delta_\bullet^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})).$$

The Five Lemma then identifies $H_\bullet(Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R})$ with the homology of the complex

$$\Delta_\bullet^\infty(Z_0) / \Delta_\bullet^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V}),$$

and thus,

$$H_d(Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R}) \simeq \partial^{-1}(\Delta_{d+1}^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})) / (B_d^\infty(Z_0) + \Delta_d^\infty(Z_0 - \mathcal{V})).$$

The isomorphism between (8.3.1) and $H^d(Z_0, Z_0 - \mathcal{V}; \mathbb{R})$ then follows from the universal coefficient theorem. \square

8.3.2. The cocycles as pullbacks. For $z = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ where $\beta_i \in H_{\dim \beta_i}(X; \mathbb{R})$, we now review the definition of the cocycles defined by $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta_i)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$.

Let $T \subset X$ be a smooth submanifold with $[T] = \beta$ and let $\nu(\beta) \subset X$ be a tubular neighborhood of T . Let $\mathcal{B}^*(\nu(\beta))$ be the space of irreducible connections on the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_t|_{\nu(\beta)}$ (see [35, §2 (ii)] or [19, §3.2.2]) and let

$$r_\beta : \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{vir} / S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(\nu(\beta)), \quad r_\beta([A, \Phi]) = \hat{A}|_{\nu(\beta)}$$

be the composition of the gluing map $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ and the restriction map. For $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta))$ as defined in (8.1.6), let

$$(8.3.3) \quad \bar{r}_\beta : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*} / S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(\nu(\beta)), \quad \bar{r}_\beta([A, \Phi, \mathbf{x}]) = \hat{A}|_{\nu(\beta)}$$

be the extension of r_β . We will write the image of r_β as \mathcal{M}_β and the image of \bar{r}_β as $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta$.

Let $\mathcal{V}_T \subset \mathcal{B}^*(\nu(\beta))$ be the geometric representative for the cohomology class $\mu_p(\beta)$ defined in [35, §2 (ii)]. Then from the definition in [19, §3.2.3], it follows that

$$\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta) \cap \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*} / S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \right) = \bar{r}_\beta^{-1} \mathcal{V}_T.$$

Note that in [19, §3.2.3], a tubular neighborhood of the union of T and certain loops (a “suitable” neighborhood in the sense of [35]) were used instead of the tubular neighborhood $\nu(\beta)$ in defining $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta)$. However, by [20, Lemma 5.5], in computing the intersection numbers in (8.0.11), the geometric representative $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta)$ can be replaced with geometric representatives pulled back from tubular and not suitable neighborhoods of T . Let

$$(8.3.4) \quad [c_{T,\beta}] \in H^{4-\dim \beta}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta - \mathcal{V}_T; \mathbb{R})$$

be the cohomology class defined by the geometric representative \mathcal{V}_T as described in Lemma 8.3.2 and define

$$(8.3.5) \quad [c_\beta] = \bar{r}_\beta^*[c_{T,\beta}] \in H^{4-\bullet} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) - \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\beta; \mathbb{R} \right).$$

A similar construction defines a cocycle representing $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ of the cohomology class μ_c . That is, for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0}(\nu(x))$ the configuration space of pairs on the restriction of the spin^u structure \mathfrak{t} to $\nu(x)$ (see [19, §3.2.2]) define

$$(8.3.6) \quad \bar{r}_x : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0}(\nu(x))/S^1$$

to be the composition of the gluing map $\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ with the restriction map. In [19, Equation (3.14)], the geometric representative $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ is defined to satisfy

$$\bar{\mathcal{W}}_T \cap \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)) \right) = \bar{r}_x^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_T),$$

where \mathcal{W}_T is the zero-locus of a section of an appropriate line bundle. Define

$$(8.3.7) \quad [c_{T,W}] \in H^2(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0}(\nu(x))/S^1, \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{t}}^{*,0}(\nu(x))/S^1 - \mathcal{W}_T; \mathbb{R}),$$

to be the cocycle obtained from the geometric representative \mathcal{W}_T by Lemma 8.3.2 and

$$(8.3.8) \quad [c_{\mathcal{W}}] = \bar{r}_x^*[c_{T,W}] \in H^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{W}}; \mathbb{R})$$

to be the pullback.

8.3.3. Computations of cocycles. In §8.5.1, we will write the intersection number appearing in (8.0.11) in terms of a cup-product of $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ and $[c_{\beta_i}]$. We will then compute this product in terms of the cohomology classes defined in §8.1.3. For this purpose, we will need to relate the relative cohomology classes $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ and $[c_{\beta_i}]$ to absolute cohomology classes. Specifically, we will need to compute the cohomology class $\bar{J}_\beta^* \bar{r}_\beta^*[c_{T,\beta}]$ in terms of the cohomology classes defined in §8.1.3, where

$$(8.3.9) \quad \bar{J}_\beta : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) - \bar{\mathcal{V}}_\beta \right)$$

is the inclusion of pairs. To that end, we consider the bundle

$$(8.3.10) \quad \mathbb{F}_T = \mathcal{A}_{\nu(T)}^* \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\nu(T)}} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}|_T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\nu(T)}^* \times T.$$

Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M},T}$ be the restriction of \mathbb{F}_T to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \times T$. By the construction of the geometric representative \mathcal{V}_T , we have

$$\bar{J}_T^*[c_{T,\beta}] = p_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{M},T})/[T],$$

where

$$\bar{J}_T : (\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \times T, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \times T, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_\beta \times T - \mathcal{V}_T)$$

is the inclusion of pairs.

To compute $\bar{r}_\beta^* \bar{J}_T^*[c_{T,\beta}]$, we shall compare the Pontrjagin classes of the bundles

$$(\bar{r}_\beta \times \text{id}_T)^* \mathbb{F}_T$$

and the bundle $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \nu_T)^* \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir}}$ where

$$\text{id}_{\bar{\mathcal{M}}} \times \nu_T : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times T \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times X$$

is the inclusion and $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times X$ is the bundle defined by restricting

$$(8.3.11) \quad \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} = \cup_{\mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{N}(\delta) \times \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)} \right)$$

to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times X$. Here, $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ is the spin^u structure of the ‘background pair’, to which the S^4 instantons are spliced. We begin with the computation of $p_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}})$.

LEMMA 8.3.3. *Assume the spin^u structure $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ admits a splitting $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$ as described in §1.1.1 where $L \rightarrow X$ is a complex line bundle. Let $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times X$ be the bundle defined in (8.3.11). Let $\pi_{X,2} : \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection onto the second factor. Then,*

$$(8.3.12) \quad p_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}) = ((2\pi_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{id}_X)^* c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}) - \nu + \pi_{X,2}^* c_1(L))^2.$$

PROOF. Equation (8.3.12) follows from [20, Lemma 4.7]. \square

To compare the Pontrjagin classes of the bundle $(\bar{r}_{\beta} \times \text{id}_T)^* \mathbb{F}_T$ from (8.3.10) and of $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$, we first describe a subspace on which the bundles are isomorphic. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\Sigma}(\Delta, T) \subset \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ be the incidence locus defined in (8.1.9). Let

$$(8.3.13) \quad \pi(T, \mathcal{P}) : \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subseteq \tilde{N}(\delta) \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})$$

be the composition of the projection $\pi(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ defined in (5.6.2) and the projection

$$N(\delta) \times \Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \simeq N(\delta) \times \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})$$

where the identification $\Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}}) \simeq \Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P})$ is given in (5.1.8). Then, define

$$(8.3.14) \quad \mathcal{O}(T, \mathcal{P}) = (\pi(T, \mathcal{P}) \times \text{id}_T)^{-1} \left(\Sigma(X^{\ell}, \mathcal{P}) \times T - \mathcal{V}_{\Sigma}(\Delta, T) \right).$$

We now observe the following isomorphism:

LEMMA 8.3.4. *The restrictions of the bundles $(\bar{r}_{\beta} \times \text{id}_T)^* \mathbb{F}_T$ and $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ to*

$$\mathcal{O}(T) = \cup_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{O}(T, \mathcal{P})$$

are isomorphic.

PROOF. The construction of the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ by splicing together the background bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ and the instanton bundles and the definition of $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ as the complement of the points where any of the splicing point lie on T give an isomorphism of the restriction of the bundles to each subspace $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. These isomorphisms agree on the overlaps $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}')$ and hence define the desired global isomorphism. \square

Lemma 8.3.4 implies that the difference,

$$(8.3.15) \quad (\bar{r}_{\beta} \times \text{id}_T)^* p_1(\mathbb{F}_T) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$$

lies in the image of the map $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{O},\beta}^*$, where $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{O},\beta}$ is the inclusion of pairs:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left(\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\beta) \right) \times T, \emptyset \right) \\ \bar{J}_{\mathcal{O},\beta} \downarrow \\ \left(\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\beta) \right) \times T, \mathcal{O}(T) \right) \end{array}$$

We thus calculate generators of the image of $\bar{J}_{\mathcal{O},\beta}^*$ in the next lemma.

LEMMA 8.3.5. *Let T be any smooth, connected submanifold of X . The relative homology,*

$$H_k \left(\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{vir,*} / S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\beta) \right) \times T, \mathcal{O}(T) \right)$$

is trivial for $k < 4$ and for $k = 4$ is generated by the images of disks,

$$\psi_{\mathcal{P},P} : D^4 \rightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) - \mathcal{I}(\beta)) \times T \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{vir,*} / S^1 \times T,$$

for \mathcal{P} a partition of N_ℓ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$, with image contained in the top stratum, such that

$$(8.3.16) \quad (\pi(T, \mathcal{P}) \times \text{id}_T) \circ \psi_{\mathcal{P},P} = \phi_{\mathcal{P},P}$$

where $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P}$ is the map defined before equation (8.1.12) and $\pi(T, \mathcal{P})$ is defined in (8.3.13).

PROOF. By the Whitney stratification of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times T$, we can require any relative homology class to be represented by a chain which intersects $(\pi(T, \mathcal{P}) \times \text{id}_T)^{-1} \mathcal{V}_\Sigma(\Delta, T)$ transversely (compare the proof of [23, Lemma 6.2]). The result then follows from observing that the codimension of the intersection of $(\pi(T, \mathcal{P}) \times \text{id}_T)^{-1} \mathcal{V}_\Sigma(\Delta, T)$ with all lower strata is greater than four. \square

We then compute

LEMMA 8.3.6. *For any partition \mathcal{P} of N_ℓ , the difference*

$$(8.3.17) \quad \langle (\bar{r}_\beta \times \text{id}_T)^* p_1(\mathbb{F}_T) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* p_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{vir}), (\bar{J}_{\mathcal{O},\beta})_* [\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}] \rangle = -4|P|,$$

where $\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}$ is as defined in Lemma 8.3.5.

PROOF. Let $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P} : D^4 \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ be a disk satisfying (8.3.16) and assume $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P}(0) = (\mathbf{x}, x_T)$. Let $f : D^4 \rightarrow X$ be an open embedding with $f(0) = x_T$. We can then assume that $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P} = (\tilde{\pi}_\ell \times \text{id}_T) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P},P}$, where $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P},P} : D^4 \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \times T$ and the map $\tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P},P}$ satisfies, for $v \in D^4$

$$\pi_i \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P},P}(v) = \begin{cases} y \neq x_T & \text{if } i \notin P \\ f(v) & \text{if } i \in P \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_T \circ \tilde{\phi}_{\mathcal{P},P}(v) = x_T$$

where $\pi_i : X^\ell \times T \rightarrow X$ is projection onto the i -th factor and $\pi_T : X^\ell \times T \rightarrow T$ is the projection.

Then, we can take the map $\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}$, covering $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P}$, to be defined by a fixed, charge $|P|$ connection on S^4 with the splicing point moving as determined by $\phi_{\mathcal{P},P}$. (The other components of the map $\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}$, enumerated by $P' \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P' \neq P$, can be taken to be constant and do not affect the composition of $\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}$ with the gluing and the restriction map.) The bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is constructed by splicing together the background bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ with bundles $\mathfrak{g}_{|P|} \rightarrow S^4$ with first Pontrjagin class $-4|P|$ by identifying the restriction of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$ to annuli around the splicing points with the restriction of $\mathfrak{g}_{|P|}$ to annuli around the south pole. Hence, at the point $\psi_{\mathcal{P},P}(v)$ (where $v \in D^4$), the restriction of the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ so spliced together to the point x_T is equal to the bundle $\mathfrak{g}_{|P|}|_{-v}$, where we consider D^4 to be a subset of S^4 with the origin identified with the north pole. By this discussion, the pulled back bundle

$$(8.3.18) \quad (\bar{r}_\beta \times \text{id}_T)^* \psi_{\mathcal{P},P}^* \mathbb{F}_T,$$

is then identified with the restriction of $\mathfrak{g}_{|P|}$ to the northern hemisphere of S^4 (up to composition with an orientation reversing map). In contrast, the bundle

$$(8.3.19) \quad \psi_{\mathcal{P},P}^*(\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}},$$

is trivial. By the splicing construction of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ there is an isomorphism between the restrictions of the bundles (8.3.18) and (8.3.19) to the complement of the origin in D^4 and thus a trivialization of the restriction of (8.3.18) to the complement of the origin. The difference in Pontrjagin classes in (8.3.17) is given by the relative Pontrjagin class of the bundle (8.3.18) with this trivialization, giving the desired equality. \square

COROLLARY 8.3.7. *For any submanifold T of X , the equality*

$$(8.3.20) \quad (\bar{r}_\beta \times \text{id}_T)^* p_1(\mathbb{F}_T) - (\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \times \iota_T)^* p_1(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}) = -4(\pi_X \times \text{id}_T)^* \text{PD}[\mathcal{V}]$$

holds in real cohomology.

PROOF. By Lemmas 8.3.6 and 8.1.6, the cohomology classes appearing on the two sides of equation (8.3.20) agree on the generators of the relative homology described in Lemma 8.3.5. Hence, by the universal coefficient theorem the equality holds in real cohomology. \square

Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b \in H_1(X; \mathbb{R})$ be a basis with $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_b \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ the basis satisfying $\langle \gamma_i^*, \gamma_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\gamma_1^{J,*}, \dots, \gamma_b^{J,*} \in H^1(M_{\mathfrak{s}}; \mathbb{R})$ be the related basis as defined in [18, Definition 2.2] (there written as $\mathbf{r}^* \gamma_i^{J,*}$). We now define expressions in the cohomology classes of §8.1.3 which will be related to the cocycles previously defined.

DEFINITION 8.3.8. For $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$, define $\bar{\mu}_p(\beta) \in H^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(8.3.21) \quad \bar{\mu}_p(\beta) = -\frac{1}{2}(2\mu_{\mathfrak{s}} - \nu) \langle c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s}), \beta \rangle - 2 \sum_{i < j} \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(\gamma_i \gamma_j) \langle \gamma_i^* \smile \gamma_j^*, \beta \rangle + S^\ell(\beta).$$

For $\gamma_i \in H_1(X; \mathbb{R})$ as above, define $\bar{\mu}_p(\gamma_i) \in H^3(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(8.3.22) \quad \bar{\mu}_p(\gamma_i) = -\frac{1}{2}(2\mu_{\mathfrak{s}} - \nu) \gamma_i^{J,*} + S^\ell(\gamma_i).$$

For $Y \in H_3(X; \mathbb{R})$, define $\bar{\mu}_p(Y) \in H^1(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(8.3.23) \quad \bar{\mu}_p(Y) = - \sum_i (c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \smile \gamma_i^*, [Y]) \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(\gamma_i) + S^\ell([Y]).$$

For $x \in H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ a generator, define $\bar{\mu}_p(x) \in H^4(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(8.3.24) \quad \bar{\mu}_p(x) = -\frac{1}{4}(2\mu_{\mathfrak{s}} - \nu)^2 + S^\ell(x).$$

Define

$$(8.3.25) \quad \bar{\mu}_c = -\nu \in H^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R}).$$

We define

$$(8.3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \iota_{\beta,1} &: \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \\ \iota_{\beta,2} &: \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \end{aligned}$$

to be the inclusions. Standard computations (compare [20, Lemma 4.10] and [19, Corollary 4.7]), Lemma 8.3.3, Corollary 8.3.7, Lemma 8.1.7, and the equality

$$c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}) = \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \times 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{b_1} \gamma_i^{J,*} \times \gamma_i^*$$

from [18, Lemma 2.24] then yield the following.

COROLLARY 8.3.9. *Assume the spin^u structure $\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$ admits a splitting $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$, so $c_1(L) = c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s})$. For ω equal to $x \in H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ a generator, $\gamma_i \in H_1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ a generator as above, $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$, or $[Y] \in H_3(X; \mathbb{R})$, let $[c_{\omega}]$ be the relative cohomology class defined in (8.3.5) and $\iota_{\omega,2}$ the inclusion defined in (8.3.26). Then*

$$(8.3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{J}_x^*[c_x] &= \iota_{x,2}^* \bar{\mu}_p(x), & \bar{J}_{\gamma_i}^*[c_{\gamma_i}] &= \iota_{\gamma_i,2}^* \bar{\mu}_p(\gamma_i), \\ \bar{J}_{\beta}^*[c_{\beta}] &= \iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{\mu}_p(\beta), & \bar{J}_Y^*[c_Y] &= \iota_{Y,2}^* \bar{\mu}_p(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where \bar{j} is the inclusions of pairs defined in equation (8.3.9).

The analogue of Corollary 8.3.9 for $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ follows immediately from the observation that $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ is the zero-locus of the complex line bundle \mathbb{L}_{ν} defined in (8.1.14) and the computation in [20, Lemma 4.2].

COROLLARY 8.3.10. *If*

$$\bar{j}_{\nu} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{W}} \right)$$

is the inclusion map of the pair, then

$$(8.3.28) \quad J_{\nu}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}}] = -\iota_{x,2}^* \nu$$

where $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ is defined in (8.3.8) and $\iota_{x,2}$ is defined in (8.3.26).

8.4. The relative Euler class of the obstruction bundle

We now use the language of relative Euler classes to construct a cocycle to represent the geometric representative defined by the zero locus of the obstruction section $\chi^{-1}(0) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1$ appearing in Theorem 6.7.1. We begin by characterizing the Euler class of the obstruction bundle in terms of the cohomology classes appearing in §8.1.3. We then show how the geometric representative given by $\chi^{-1}(0)$, presented as a relative Euler class, is equal to the extension of the Euler class of the obstruction bundle defined by the preceding equality.

8.4.1. The Seiberg-Witten obstruction Euler class. The computation of the Euler class of the Seiberg-Witten, or background, component of the obstruction is identical to that given in [20, Lemma 4.11].

LEMMA 8.4.1. *Let r_{Ξ} be the complex rank of the background obstruction bundle $\bar{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}$. Then,*

$$(8.4.1) \quad e(\bar{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s / S^1) = \iota^*(-\nu)^{r_{\Xi}}.$$

Because the background obstruction bundle is defined over all of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}$, there is no need to define an extension of $e(\bar{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^s / S^1)$.

8.4.2. The local instanton obstruction Euler class. We now give a description of the Euler class of the restriction of the instanton component of the obstruction bundle, $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i/S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$ to the top stratum, $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$, of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$.

This description requires the introduction of an additional cohomology class. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}, i} : M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X^\ell \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X$ be defined by the identity on $M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and projection from X^ℓ onto the i -th factor of X . Then, the Chern classes of the bundle

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}, i}^* \mathbb{L}_{M_{\mathfrak{s}}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X^\ell$$

are invariant under the obvious action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_ℓ and thus define cohomology classes

$$(8.4.2) \quad c_{\mathfrak{s}, \ell, j} \in H^{2j}(M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R}).$$

In addition, we will write

$$\iota_{\mathcal{P}} : \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X), \quad \tilde{\iota}_{\mathcal{P}} : \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow X^\ell,$$

for the inclusions. Finally, we use the generic term

$$(8.4.3) \quad c(\mathfrak{t}) \in H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{R}),$$

for real characteristic classes of the bundle $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V) \rightarrow X$. These characteristic classes include $c_1(\mathfrak{t})$, $p_1(\mathfrak{t})$, $p_1(X)$, and $e(X)$.

If $\omega \in H^\bullet(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$, we refer to the image of ω in $H^\bullet(\cdot; \mathbb{R})$ under the functor $H^\bullet(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\cdot; \mathbb{R})$ defined by the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the image of ω in real cohomology.

PROPOSITION 8.4.2. *Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ be the top stratum. The image in real cohomology of the Euler class of the restriction of the obstruction bundle $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i/S^1$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is given by a polynomial in the cohomology classes*

$$(8.4.4) \quad \nu, \quad \pi_{X, \iota_{\mathcal{P}}}^* S^\ell(c(\mathfrak{t})), \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{X, \mathfrak{s}, \iota_{\mathcal{P}}}^* c_{\mathfrak{s}, \ell, j},$$

with coefficients depending only on the partition $\ell = |P_1| + \dots + |P_r|$ where $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$.

To prove Proposition 8.4.2, we first show that the restriction of the instanton obstruction bundle to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ admits a direct sum decomposition in Lemma 8.4.3 and then in Lemmas 8.4.4 and 8.4.5 prove that the components of this direct sum satisfy the conclusions of Proposition 8.4.2.

Let $\text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \subset \bar{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ be the top stratum:

$$\text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P).$$

The space $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ retracts to the intersection of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ with the subspace

$$(8.4.5) \quad \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Gl}^\circ(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}).$$

We compute the pullback of the restriction of the Euler class of the instanton obstruction to the subspace (8.4.5) by the map,

$$(8.4.6) \quad \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \widetilde{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$$

defined by the projection

$$\widetilde{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}),$$

where the group $\widetilde{G}(\mathcal{P})$ is defined in (5.1.3) essentially by omitting the symmetric group component of $G(\mathcal{P})$. Observe that the preceding map appears in the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \widetilde{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \\ \downarrow \pi_{X, \mathfrak{s}} & & \downarrow \pi_{X, \mathfrak{s}} \\ M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \end{array}$$

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the projection $\widetilde{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ fits into a diagram

$$(8.4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \widetilde{\text{Gl}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}}, P}} & \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_0) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \times_{\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)} M_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{M_{\mathfrak{s}}} \times \pi_P} & M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X \end{array}$$

Then, we have the following:

LEMMA 8.4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{t}(\ell) = (\rho, V_\ell)$ be the spin^u structure defined in (1.1.13). The pullback of the restriction of $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i/S^1$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ by the covering map (8.4.6) splits into a direct sum, $\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}}, P}^* \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1$, where the bundle*

$$(8.4.8) \quad \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1 \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \times_{\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)} M_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P)$$

is defined by

$$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\text{Spin}^u(4)} \Upsilon_{\text{spl}, |P|}^i \\ \downarrow \\ \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \times_{\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)} \bar{M}_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P) \end{array}$$

and the map $\pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}}, P}$ is defined in the diagram (8.4.7).

The S^1 action in (8.4.8) is defined by the action of S^1 on the infinite-dimensional obstruction space described following (6.1.1). Thus, following [20, Lemma 3.7], the S^1 action on

$$(8.4.9) \quad \widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\text{Spin}^u(4)} \Upsilon_{\text{spl}, |P|}^i$$

is given by the diagonal action of the action by $\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}}(e^{-i\theta})$ on $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)$ and by scalar multiplication with weight one on the fibers of $\Upsilon_{\text{spl}, |P|}^i$.

Lemma 8.4.3 implies that to compute the restriction of the instanton obstruction bundle to the subspace (8.4.5), it suffices to characterize the Euler class of the bundle (8.4.8). We use the notation

$$\text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) = \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \times_{\text{SO}(3) \times \text{SO}(4)} M_{\text{spl}, |P|}^{\mathfrak{s}, \natural}(\delta_P)$$

Then, the base of the bundle $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1$ admits a product decomposition:

$$\widetilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) \cong M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P).$$

Define

$$(8.4.10) \quad \Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1 = M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\text{Spin}^u(4)} \Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P).$$

As in the discussion of the S^1 action on (8.4.9), the S^1 action in (8.4.10) is given by the diagonal action of the action by $\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}}(e^{-i\theta})$ on $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)$ and by scalar multiplication on the fibers of $\Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i$.

LEMMA 8.4.4. *Let $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X$ be the restriction of the universal Seiberg-Witten line bundle defined in (1.3.5). Let $\pi_{X,\mathfrak{s}} : M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X$ be the projection. Then,*

$$(8.4.11) \quad \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1 \cong \pi_{X,\mathfrak{s}}^* \mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \otimes \Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1.$$

PROOF. By [18, Lemma 3.27], the tensor product in (8.4.11) can be described by the fibered product:

$$(8.4.12) \quad \left(\left(\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} X \times S^1 \right) \times_{M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times X} \Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1 \right) / S^1,$$

where S^1 acts diagonally on the factor of S^1 and on the fiber of $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$. Because the S^1 quotient in the definition of $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$ acts anti-diagonally (see the remarks following (8.4.10)), we can then say that the final S^1 quotient in (8.4.12) acts diagonally on the factor of S^1 and by the action $\varrho_{\mathfrak{s}}(e^{i\theta})$ on the factor of $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)$ in the definition of $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$. One then defines a bundle map from the fibered product (8.4.12) to the bundle $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1$ by

$$\left((A_0, \Phi_0, x, e^{i\theta}, \tilde{F}_u, [A, F_s, \Psi]) \right) \rightarrow \left((A_0, \Phi_0, e^{-i\theta} \tilde{F}_u, [A, F_s, \Psi]) \right),$$

where $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, $x \in X$, $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$, $\tilde{F}_u \in \text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)|_x$, and $[A, F_s, \Psi] \in \Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i$. Observe that the map is well-defined because the diagonal S^1 action described earlier in the proof vanishes in the product $e^{-i\theta} \tilde{F}_u$. The equivariance of this map with respect to the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ action is correct because the action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ defining $\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is given by:

$$(u, (A_0, \Phi_0, x, z)) \rightarrow (u_*(A_0, \Phi_0), x, u(x)^{-1}z),$$

and thus using $e^{-i\theta} \tilde{F}_u$ takes the preceding action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ to the desired diagonal action on $\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\text{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)$. \square

Next, we characterize the (rational) Euler class of $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$. To this end, we introduce the cohomology class,

$$(8.4.13) \quad \nu_{\text{Gl}} \in H^2(M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P)),$$

(denoted $\nu_{\mathfrak{t}}$ in [20, Definition 5.22]) to be the first Chern class of the S^1 bundle,

$$M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P).$$

The equality

$$(8.4.14) \quad \nu = \nu_{\text{Gl}} + 2\pi_{X,\mathfrak{s}}^* c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}})$$

follows from [20, Lemma 5.23]. The advantage of the bundle (8.4.13) over the S^1 bundle defining ν is that the former pulls back by the projection $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) \rightarrow S^1 \setminus \text{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P)$.

LEMMA 8.4.5. *The images of the Chern classes of $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$ in real cohomology are given by polynomials in $\pi_X^*c(\mathfrak{t})$ and ν_{Gl} with coefficients depending only on $|P|$.*

PROOF. Observe that the bundle $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1$ is the pullback by the projection

$$M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1 \setminus \mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) \rightarrow S^1 \setminus \mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P)$$

of the bundle

$$(8.4.15) \quad S^1 \setminus \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i \rightarrow S^1 \setminus \mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P).$$

The lemma will then follow if we can prove that the Chern class of the bundle (8.4.15) satisfies the conclusion of the lemma.

The kernel of the projection

$$(8.4.16) \quad (\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(3)}^u, \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SO}(4)}^u) : \mathrm{Spin}^u(4) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$$

is the central S^1 in $\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)$. By the identity (see [20, Equation (3.14)])

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)/S^1 = \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_\ell) \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX).$$

(where the S^1 is the kernel of the homomorphism (8.4.16)), we can rewrite the space $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P)$ as

$$\mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, P) = \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P)$$

where $\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)$ acts on $M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P)$ via the projection (8.4.16) and the action of $\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(4)$ on $M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P)$. Let $\tilde{\iota}_u : \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \rightarrow \mathrm{ESpin}^u(4)$ be the classifying map, appearing in the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathbb{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_u} & \mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\iota_u} & \mathrm{BSpin}^u(4) \end{array}$$

Recall from [1, §4], that the map $[A] \rightarrow [D_A]$ where D_A is the Dirac operator defined by the connection A defines a continuous map,

$$f_\kappa : \mathcal{B}_\kappa^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\kappa,$$

where \mathcal{F}_κ is the space of Fredholm operators of index κ . The space \mathcal{F}_κ is homotopic to $\mathrm{BU} = \lim_n \mathrm{BU}(n)$. Although there is no index bundle defined for the Dirac operators parameterized by \mathcal{B}_κ^s because \mathcal{B}_κ^s is not compact, the restriction of the pullback of the universal Chern classes in BU by f_κ to the subspace $\mathcal{K}_\kappa^s \subset \mathcal{B}_\kappa^s$ where the cokernel of the Dirac operator vanishes are equal to the Chern class of the vector bundle defined by $\mathrm{Index}(\mathbf{D})$ on this subspace. By property (iv) in Proposition 6.5.1, the Chern classes of the bundle

$$\Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i \rightarrow M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}$$

are given by the pullback of the universal Chern classes in $H^\bullet(\mathrm{BU})$ by the map $f_{|P|}$. By the $\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)$ -equivariance of the Dirac operator, the map f_κ extends to a map

$$F_\kappa : \mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{B}_\kappa^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\kappa,$$

such that the restriction of F_κ to

$$\mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{K}_\kappa^s$$

is the composition of a classifying map for the index bundle with the inclusion $\mathrm{BU}(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathrm{BU}$. We conclude that the classifying map for the bundle

$$\Upsilon_{X,P}^i = \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \Upsilon_{spl,|P|}^i \rightarrow \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P),$$

factors through the composition

$$(8.4.17) \quad \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_u \times \iota_M} \mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s \xrightarrow{F_{|P|}} \mathcal{F}_{|P|}$$

where $\iota_M : M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s$ is the inclusion. The bundle map $\tilde{\iota}_u \times \iota_M$ descends to the S^1 quotients:

$$\tilde{\iota}_u \times \iota_M : S^1 \setminus \left(\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} M_{spl,|P|}^{s,\natural}(\delta_P) \right) \rightarrow S^1 \setminus \left(\mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s \right)$$

Then, because the rational cohomology of $\mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s$ is trivial (see [9, Lemma 5.1.14]), the rational cohomology of the space

$$S^1 \setminus \left(\mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s \right)$$

is generated by the first Chern class of the S^1 action and cohomology classes pulled back by the projection

$$S^1 \setminus \left(\mathrm{ESpin}^u(4) \times_{\mathrm{Spin}^u(4)} \mathcal{B}_{|P|}^s \right) \rightarrow \mathrm{BSpin}^u(4).$$

Under the map $\tilde{\iota}_u \times \iota_M$, the first Chern class of the S^1 action pulls back to ν_{Gl} while the cohomology classes pulled back from $\mathrm{BSpin}^u(4)$ pullback to characteristic classes of $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*X)}(V_\ell)$, which we are denoting by $c(\mathfrak{t})$. The conclusion of the lemma then follows from the factoring of the classifying map for the bundle (8.4.15) given in (8.4.17). \square

REMARK 8.4.6. Unlike the case $\ell = 1$, the action of \mathcal{G}_s on $S^1 \setminus \mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ is not trivial because there can be more than one frame in the definition of $\mathrm{Gl}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$. That is, if F_1 and F_2 are frames over separate points x_1 and x_2 in X , the action of S^1 identifies (F_1, F_2) with $(e^{i\theta} F_1, e^{i\theta} F_2)$. There are $u \in \mathcal{G}_s$ with $u(x_1) \neq u(x_2)$. For such $u \in \mathcal{G}_s$, (uF_1, uF_2) would not be identified with (F_1, F_2) by the S^1 action.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8.4.2. By the splitting principle, we can assume that there are line bundles $N_{P,i}$ such that $\Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1 \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{P}} N_{P,i}$. By Lemmas 8.4.3, 8.4.4, and 8.4.5, we see that the pullback, by the map (8.4.6), of the restriction of $\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i/S^1$ to the space (8.4.5) is given by

$$(8.4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\mathrm{Gl},P}^* \Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i(P)/S^1 &= \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\mathrm{Gl},P}^* \left(\pi_{X,s}^* \mathbb{L}_s^* \otimes \Upsilon_{X,P}^i/S^1 \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\mathrm{Gl},P}^* \left(\pi_{X,s}^* \mathbb{L}_s^* \otimes N_{P,i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Euler class of the bundle in (8.4.18) is then given by:

$$\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\mathrm{Gl},P}^* \left(-\pi_{X,s}^* c_1(\mathbb{L}_s) + c_1(N_{P,i}) \right).$$

Observe that $\pi_{X,s} \circ \pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}},P}^* = \pi_{s,i} \tilde{\pi}_{X,s}$ (where $\pi_{s,i}$ is defined prior to (8.4.2)). Thus, the preceding cohomology class can be expressed as in terms of symmetric polynomials in terms of $\tilde{\pi}_{X,s}^* \pi_{s,i}^* c_1(\mathbb{L}_s)$ and $\pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}},P}^* c_1(N_{P,i})$. Symmetric polynomials in $\tilde{\pi}_{X,s}^* \pi_{s,i}^* c_1(\mathbb{L}_s)$ are given by the Chern classes $c_{s,\ell,j}$ defined in (8.4.2) while symmetric polynomials in $\pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}},P}^* c_1(N_{P,i})$ are given by the Chern classes of the bundle

$$\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \pi_{\widetilde{\text{Gl}},P}^* \Upsilon_{X,P}^i / S^1.$$

By Lemma 8.4.5, the Chern classes of the preceding bundle are given by polynomials in ν and $\pi_X^* c(\mathbf{t})$ with coefficients depending only on the partition $\ell = |P_1| + \dots + |P_r|$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

8.4.3. The global instanton obstruction Euler class. We now piece together the local computations of Proposition 8.4.2 to give a global characterization of the instanton obstruction $\Upsilon_{t,s}^i / S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} / S^1$.

PROPOSITION 8.4.7. *The Euler class of the instanton obstruction bundle $\Upsilon_{t,s}^i / S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} / S^1$ is given by a polynomial in $H^{2\ell}(\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} / S^1; \mathbb{R})$ in $\iota^* \nu$, $\iota^* \pi_X^* S^\ell(c(\mathbf{t}))$, and $\iota^* \pi_{X,s}^* c_{s,\ell,i}$ with coefficients which are independent of X .*

PROOF. The construction of the bundle $\Upsilon_{spl,\kappa}^i \rightarrow M_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$ and the $\text{Spin}^u(4)$ equivariance of the diagram (6.5.9) imply that the particular classifying maps of the local instanton obstruction bundles which admit the factorization (8.4.17) can be chosen to be equal on the overlap given in Lemma 6.6.1. Hence, the local equality of the cohomology classes given in Proposition 8.4.2 is an equality of cocycles and thus an equality of global cohomology classes. \square

DEFINITION 8.4.8. Let

$$(8.4.19) \quad \bar{e}_I \in H^{2\ell}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1; \mathbb{R})$$

be the extension of $e(\Upsilon_{t,s}^i / S^1)$ obtained by omitting the pullback ι^* from the expression in Proposition 8.4.7.

REMARK 8.4.9. Note that the vector bundle $\Upsilon_{t,s}^i / S^1$ does not extend from $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1$ to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1$. Indeed, the cohomology class u_i from [9, Definition 8.3.16] can be seen as an obstruction to such an extension.

The following lemma describing the extension \bar{e}_I will be used in §8.5 in relating intersection numbers with a pairing of cohomology and homology classes.

LEMMA 8.4.10. *Let $i_\Sigma : \Sigma \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*} / S^1$ be the inclusion of any stratum. Let $e_I(\Sigma)$ be the Euler class of the vector bundle defined by the restriction of the pseudo-vector bundle $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^i$ to Σ . Then for \bar{e}_I as defined in (8.4.19),*

$$i_\Sigma^*(\bar{e}_I \smile e(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^s / S^1)) = e_I(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}} \smile \omega_i(\Sigma) \smile i_\Sigma^*(e(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^s / S^1)),$$

where $e_I(\Sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the image of the integral cohomology class $e_I(\Sigma)$ in real cohomology and $\omega_i(\Sigma)$ is a real cohomology class.

PROOF. If N is a sufficiently small neighborhood of Σ with a deformation retraction $\pi : N \rightarrow \Sigma$, then the description of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^i$ in Proposition 6.5.1 and [9, Proposition 7.2.32] imply that there is an inclusion of vector bundles,

$$\pi^*(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^i|_{\Sigma})|_{N \cap \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1} \rightarrow \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^i|_{N \cap \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1}.$$

Thus, the restriction of \bar{e}_I to $N \cap \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ admits the factoring asserted in the lemma. \square

8.4.4. Relative Euler classes. An extensive discussion of relative characteristic classes appears in [32] but we shall only use a small and self-contained portion of that theory here. For any oriented vector bundle $V \rightarrow Y$ and section $s : Y \rightarrow V$, a *relative Euler class* can be defined as follows. The section s defines a map of pairs,

$$s : (Y, Y - s^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow (V, V - Y).$$

If $\text{Th}(V) \in H^r(V, V - Y)$ is the Thom class of V , where $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$, then the relative Euler class of the section $s : Y \rightarrow V$ is defined to be

$$(8.4.20) \quad e(V, s) = s^* \text{Th}(V) \in H^r(Y, Y - s^{-1}(0)).$$

We note the following properties of the relative Euler class.

LEMMA 8.4.11. *Let $V \rightarrow Y$ be a real rank r , oriented vector bundle. Let $s : Y \rightarrow V$ be a section. Then,*

- (1) *If $j_s : (Y, \emptyset) \rightarrow (Y, Y - s^{-1}(0))$ is the inclusion, then*

$$(8.4.21) \quad j_s^* e(V, s) = e(V),$$

where $e(V) \in H^r(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is the Euler class of V .

- (2) *If $s = s_1 \oplus s_2$ with respect to a decomposition $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$, then*

$$e(V, s) = e(W_1, s_1) \smile e(W_2, s_2).$$

PROOF. The first item follows from [32, (11.2)] or [45, p.98]. The second follows from the product formula for Thom classes (see [6, Prop. VIII.11.26]). \square

REMARK 8.4.12. If $s : Y \rightarrow V$ is the zero-section, then item (i) of Lemma 8.4.11, reduces to the well-known result that $s^* \text{Th}(V) \in H^r(Y, \emptyset; \mathbb{Z})$ is the absolute Euler class. If one of the sections s_i in item (ii) of Lemma 8.4.11 is the zero-section, then the resulting product formula decomposes $e(V, s)$ as a cup-product of a relative and an absolute class.

In the following discussion, we will use the notation

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1 = \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^i/S^1 \oplus \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}^s/S^1, \quad \Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1 = \tilde{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1|_{\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $D_\varepsilon = D_\varepsilon(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1) \subset \Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1$ be the open ε -disk subbundle defined by the L^2 -norm on the fibers of $\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1$. Let r be the real rank of $\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1$. For $K(\mathbb{Q}, r)$ the Eilenberg-MacLane space, let $V(\mathbb{Q}, r)$ be a contractible neighborhood of a basepoint $*$ in $K(\mathbb{Q}, r)$. let the cocycle

$$(8.4.22) \quad \iota(\mathbb{Q}, r) \in Z^r(K(\mathbb{Q}, r), V(\mathbb{Q}, r); \mathbb{Q}),$$

represent the universal class. There is a, unique up to homotopy, map of triples,

$$(8.4.23) \quad k_\varepsilon : (\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \Upsilon_{t,s}^o/S^1, \Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1 - D_\varepsilon) \rightarrow (K(\mathbb{Q}, r), V(\mathbb{Q}, r), *)$$

where $\Upsilon_{t,s}^\circ/S^1$ is the complement of the zero-section, such that $[k_\varepsilon^* \iota(\mathbb{Q}, r)]$ is the Thom class of $\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1$.

The following lemma constructs an extension of the relative Euler class $e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \chi)$ to a larger subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$, just as the cocycle $[c_\beta]$ is defined on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta))$.

LEMMA 8.4.13. *Let $\bar{\chi}$ be the obstruction section of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1$ appearing in Theorem 6.7.1. For any open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $\bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1$, there is a constant $c > 0$ such that for $\bar{\chi}' = c\bar{\chi}$,*

$$\bar{\chi}'(\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}) \subset \Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1 - D_\varepsilon$$

so that for k_ε the map defined in (8.4.23), $k_\varepsilon \circ \bar{\chi}'(\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{sing}}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}) = *$ and thus the composition $k_\varepsilon \circ \bar{\chi}'$ extends as a map of pairs

$$(8.4.24) \quad \bar{k}_\chi : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}} \cap \mathcal{U}, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U} \right) \rightarrow (K(\mathbb{Q}, r), *)$$

which is constant on a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{sing}}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}$.

PROOF. By Theorem 6.7.1, $M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \subset \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)$. Hence, $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1 - \mathcal{U} = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}$ and we can assume that $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}$ is compact. By the lower semi-continuity of the L^2 -norm of $\bar{\chi}$ given by (6.1.3) on the fibers of $\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1$ (see Theorem 6.7.1), there is a minimum value, ε_0 , of the L^2 -norm of $\bar{\chi}$ on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}$. Thus, if $\bar{\chi}' = (\varepsilon/\varepsilon_0)\bar{\chi}$, then $\bar{\chi}'(\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}) \subset \Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1 - D_\varepsilon$. The remaining assertions follow from the definition of k_ε . \square

Lemma 8.4.13 gives an extension of the relative Euler class $e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \chi)$ to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}} \cap \mathcal{U}$. We now compare this extension with the extension of the Euler class defined in (8.4.19).

LEMMA 8.4.14. *Continue the notation of Lemma 8.4.13. Define*

$$(8.4.25) \quad \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') = [\bar{k}_\chi^* \iota(\mathbb{Q}, r)],$$

where the map \bar{k}_χ is defined in (8.4.24) and the cocycle $\iota(\mathbb{Q}, r)$ is defined in (8.4.22). If we abbreviate $\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}) = \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}} \cap \mathcal{U}$ then

$$\bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') \in H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}) - \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0)).$$

As an element of rational cohomology, $\bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}')$ satisfies:

$$(8.4.26) \quad \iota_{\chi,1}^* \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') = e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}), \quad \text{and} \quad j_{\chi,2}^* \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') = \iota_{\chi,2}^* (\bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s),$$

where $\iota_{\chi,1}$, $\iota_{\chi,2}$, and $j_{\chi,2}$ are the inclusions

$$\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1 \xrightarrow{\iota_{\chi,1}} \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{\iota_{\chi,2}} \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$$

and

$$j_{\chi,2} : (\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \emptyset) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}) - \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0))$$

and \bar{e}_I is the extension of the rational Euler class of $\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1$ from (8.4.19) and $\bar{e}_s = e(\Upsilon_{t,s}^s/S^1)$.

PROOF. The defining property of k_ε , $[k_\varepsilon^* \iota(\mathbb{Q}, r)] = \text{Th}(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1)$, the definition of the relative Euler class in (8.4.20), and the obvious homotopy between $\bar{\chi}$ and $\bar{\chi}'$ give the first equality in (8.4.26).

By [62, Thm. 8.1.15], the cohomology classes $j_{\chi,2}^* \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}')$ and $\iota_{\chi,2}^*(\bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s)$ are determined by pointed homotopy classes, $[\bar{k}_\chi]$ and $[k_2]$ respectively, in $[\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), K(\mathbb{Q}, r)]$. Let $\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U})$ be the complement of the top stratum and let $N^{\text{sing}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U})$ be a neighborhood of $\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U})$. Because the inclusion $\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U})$ is a cofibration, we can assume that N^{sing} deformation retracts onto $\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U})$.

For N^{sing} sufficiently small, the construction of \bar{k}_χ in Lemma 8.4.13 implies that $k_\chi(N^{\text{sing}}) = *$, where $*$ is the basepoint in $K(\mathbb{Q}, r)$. The description of the restriction of \bar{e}_I to a lower stratum $\Sigma \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U})$ in Lemma 8.4.10,

$$\bar{e}_I|_\Sigma = e_I(\Sigma)_\mathbb{Q} \smile \omega_i(\Sigma),$$

and the argument of Lemma 8.4.13 imply that the homotopy class $[k_2]$ also admits a representative k_2 satisfying $k_2(\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U})) = *$. Because N^{sing} retracts to $\mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U})$, we can assume $k_2(N^{\text{sing}}) = *$. Thus,

$$(8.4.27) \quad \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s \in \text{Im} [H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), N^{\text{sing}}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}); \mathbb{Q})]$$

By the first equality in (8.4.26) and the relation between relative and absolute Euler classes in (8.4.21),

$$\iota_{\chi,1}^* (j_{\chi,2}^* \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') - \iota_{\chi,2}^*(\bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s)) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$(8.4.28) \quad j_{\chi,2}^* \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') - \iota_{\chi,2}^*(\bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s) \in \text{Im} [H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}); \mathbb{Q})].$$

By excision,

$$H^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}/S^1; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^r(N^{\text{sing}}, N^{\text{sing}} - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}}(\mathcal{U}); \mathbb{Q}),$$

and by the construction of k_χ and by (8.4.27) both $\bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}')$ and $\bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s$ vanish on cycles in N^{sing} . Thus, the difference (8.4.28) vanishes, completing the proof of the second equality of (8.4.26). \square

8.5. Duality and the link

We now perform the computation proving the equality (8.0.11).

8.5.1. The initial duality. We begin by showing how the intersection number in (8.0.11) can be written as a pairing of relative cohomology classes with the fundamental class $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial \hat{\mathbf{L}}]$.

The relative cohomology classes describing the geometric representatives are given by the cocycles defined in §8.3.2. For $z = \beta_1 \dots \beta_s \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ and $\beta_i \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, denote

$$(8.5.1) \quad \mathcal{K}(z, \eta) = \mathcal{V}(z) \cap \mathcal{W}^\eta, \text{ and } \bar{\mathcal{K}}(z, \eta) = \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta.$$

The inclusion $\iota_{\beta,1}$ defined in (8.3.26) also defines an inclusion of pairs:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{V}(\beta)) \\ \downarrow \iota_{\beta,1} \\ (\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta)) \end{array}$$

A similar inclusion of pairs also holds for $\bar{\mathcal{W}}$ in place of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta)$. We then define

$$(8.5.2) \quad [c(z, \eta)] = \iota_{\beta_1,1}^*[c_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile \iota_{\beta_r,1}^*[c_{\beta_r}] \smile \iota_{x,1}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta$$

where $[c_{\beta_i}]$ is as defined in (8.3.5) and $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ is as defined in (8.3.8).

With these relative cohomology classes defined, we observe that dimension-counting arguments yield

$$(8.5.3) \quad \bar{\mathcal{K}}(z, \eta) \cap \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{sing},*}/S^1 = \emptyset.$$

Hence, for a sufficiently small neighborhood U of $\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{sing}}/S^1$ as constructed in Lemma 8.2.1, we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{K}}(z, \eta) \cap \bar{\chi}^{-1}(0) \cap U = \emptyset.$$

For $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, let $\nu(\beta)$ be the tubular neighborhood described in §8.3.2, and let $\iota_{\beta,1}$ and $\iota_{\beta,2}$ be the inclusions defined in (8.3.26). Then, for U° as defined following (8.2.1), $U^\circ \subset \mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \cup U^\circ - \mathcal{K}(z, \eta) \cap \chi^{-1}(0)$ and so the cup product

$$(8.5.4) \quad [c(z, \eta)] \smile e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \chi) \in H^d(\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \cup U^\circ, \mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \cup U^\circ - \mathcal{K}(z, \eta) \cap \chi^{-1}(0); \mathbb{R})$$

can be paired with the homology class $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}]$ from (8.2.1). By the definition of the cocycles $[c_\beta]$ and $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ as dual to the geometric representatives $\mathcal{V}(\beta)$ and \mathcal{W} and the definition of $e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \chi)$ in terms of a Thom class and because χ vanishes transversely (see Theorem 6.7.1), we can write the intersection number as:

$$(8.5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}) \\ &= \langle \iota_{\beta_1,1}^*[c_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile \iota_{\beta_r,1}^*[c_{\beta_r}] \smile \iota_{x,1}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile e(\Upsilon_{t,s}/S^1, \chi), [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality of equation (8.4.26) allows us to rewrite equation (8.5.5) as

$$(8.5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}) \\ &= \langle \iota_{\beta_1,1}^*[c_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile \iota_{\beta_r,1}^*[c_{\beta_r}] \smile \iota_{x,1}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile \iota_{\chi,1}^*\bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}'), [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To replace the pairing of the relative classes in (8.5.5) with pairings of absolute classes with the fundamental class of $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$, we need to write the cohomology class $[c(z, \eta)] \smile e(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \chi)$ as

$$[c(z, \eta)] \smile e(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \chi) = \iota^*[\bar{c}(z, \eta)] \smile \bar{e}(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \chi)$$

where

$$j^*[\bar{c}(z, \eta)] \smile \bar{e}(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \chi) = \bar{\mu}_p(z) \smile \bar{\mu}_c^\eta \smile \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s.$$

We will show how to do this in the following sections.

8.5.2. Extending cocycles. The relative cohomology classes

$$\begin{aligned} [c_\beta] &\in H^\bullet(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta); \mathbb{R}), \\ [c_{\mathcal{W}}] &\in H^\bullet(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta); \mathbb{R}), \\ \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') &\in H^\bullet\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}} \cap U', \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{M}^{\text{sing}} \cap U' - \chi^{-1}(0)\right), \end{aligned}$$

defined in (8.3.5), (8.3.8), and Lemma 8.4.14 respectively are extensions only over a subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$. We now discuss how these cohomology classes can be extended to relative cohomology classes on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$.

For any pair of spaces (A, B) , let $S^p(A, B; \mathbb{R})$ be the set of real-valued simplicial cochains, $\text{Hom}(S_p(A)/S_p(B), \mathbb{R})$ and let $Z^p(A, B; \mathbb{R})$ be the cycles of this complex. We can consider $S^p(A, B; \mathbb{R})$ to be the real-valued cochains on A which vanish when restricted to B . If \mathfrak{U} is an open cover of A , then a chain is *small of order* \mathfrak{U} if it is a sum of simplices each of which is contained in some element of \mathfrak{U} . By [31, Thm 15.9], any element of $H_p(A, B; \mathbb{R})$ can be represented by a cycle which is small of order \mathfrak{U} . By the Universal Coefficient Theorem, to define an element of $H^p(A, B; \mathbb{R})$ it then suffices to define it on cycles which are small of order \mathfrak{U} .

Let the maps

$$(8.5.7) \quad j_{\beta,2} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \emptyset\right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta)\right)$$

be the inclusion and let $\iota_{\beta,2}$ be the inclusion defined in equation (8.3.26). Let c_β be the relative cochain defined in (8.3.5).

LEMMA 8.5.1. *For $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$, let $\mathcal{U}(\beta) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ be any open neighborhood of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta))$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \Subset \mathcal{U}(\beta)$. Then there is a cochain,*

$$\theta_\beta \in S^{\text{deg}(\beta)-1}\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(\beta); \mathbb{R}\right)$$

and a cocycle

$$\bar{c}_\beta \in Z^{\text{deg}(\beta)}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta) - \mathcal{U}(\beta); \mathbb{R})$$

satisfying,

$$j_{\mathcal{U}(\beta)}^*[\bar{c}_\beta] = \bar{\mu}_p(\beta), \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{c}_\beta = c_\beta + \delta^* \theta_\beta.$$

where the maps $\iota_{\beta,2}$ and $j_{\beta,2}$ are defined in (8.3.26) and (8.5.7) respectively and

$$j_{\mathcal{U}(\beta)} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \emptyset\right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta) - \mathcal{U}(\beta)\right)$$

is the inclusion.

PROOF. The lemma follows from an argument similar to that of [20, Lemma 5.14].

The equality $\iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{\mu}_p(\beta) = j_{\beta,2}^*[c_\beta]$ implies that there is a cocycle $\bar{c}'_\beta \in Z^{\text{deg}(\beta)}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1; \mathbb{R})$ and a cochain,

$$\theta_0 \in S^{\text{deg}(\beta)-1}(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)); \mathbb{R}),$$

satisfying

$$\bar{\mu}_p(\beta) = [\bar{c}'_\beta], \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{c}'_\beta = j_{\beta,2}^* c_\beta + \delta^* \theta_0.$$

Because $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \Subset \mathcal{U}(\beta)$ there is a closed neighborhood, $\mathcal{U}'(\beta)$, of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta))$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \Subset \mathcal{U}'(\beta) \subset \mathcal{U}(\beta)$. Then,

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}'(\beta), \mathcal{U}(\beta)\}$$

is an open cover of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$ and using the argument of the proof of the excision lemma, we can assume that all cochains in this discussion are defined only on chains formed by singular simplices with image contained in an element of this open cover which are small with respect to this open cover.

Because the intersection,

$$\left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(\beta)\right) \cap \mathcal{U}'(\beta)$$

is empty, the map

$$\begin{array}{c} S^p \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right) \oplus S^p \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \mathcal{U}'(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right) \\ \downarrow \\ S^p \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(\beta) \right) \cap \mathcal{U}'(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right) \end{array}$$

which is surjective because the pairs appearing in the diagram are excisive couples (see [62, Thm. 4.6.3] and [62, p. 218]) is actually a map to the space of absolute cochains,

$$S^p(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta), \emptyset; \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus we can write $\theta_0 = \theta_\beta + \theta_p$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\beta &\in S^{\text{deg}(\beta)-1} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right), \\ \theta_p &\in S^{\text{deg}(\beta)-1} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)), \mathcal{U}'(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, θ_p vanishes on all simplices in $\mathcal{U}'(\beta)$. Then, because we have assumed that we only need to define cochains on chains which are small with respect to the open cover \mathfrak{U} , and because $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta)) \Subset \mathcal{U}'(\beta)$, θ_p defines a cochain,

$$\bar{\theta}_p \in S^{\text{deg}(\beta)-1} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \mathcal{U}'(\beta); \mathbb{R} \right)$$

with $\iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{\theta}_p = \theta_p$. Define $\bar{c}_\beta = \bar{c}'_\beta - \delta^* \bar{\theta}_p$ and observe that

$$\iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{c}_\beta = \iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{c}'_\beta - \iota_{\beta,2}^* \delta^* \bar{\theta}_p = \iota_{\beta,2}^* \bar{c}'_\beta - \delta^* \theta_p = c_\beta + \delta^* \theta_\beta,$$

as required. The preceding equation implies that the cocycle \bar{c}_β vanishes when restricted to $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{V}}(\beta) - \mathcal{U}$ and thus defines the cohomology class in the lemma. Finally, we compute that

$$j_{\mathcal{U}(\beta)}^* [\bar{c}_\beta] = [\bar{c}'_\beta - \delta^* \bar{\theta}_p] = [\bar{c}'_\beta] = \bar{\mu}_p(\beta),$$

completing the proof of the lemma. \square

The same argument gives corresponding extension results for $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ and $e(\Upsilon, \hat{\chi})$. Define an inclusion map parallel to that of (8.5.7):

$$(8.5.8) \quad j_{\mathcal{W},2} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{W}} - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)) \right).$$

The corresponding extension for $[c_{\mathcal{W}}]$ is the following.

LEMMA 8.5.2. *Let $c_{\mathcal{W}}$ be the cochain defined in (8.3.8). For any neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(x) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(x))$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}(\nu(x)) \Subset \mathcal{U}(x)$, there is a cochain*

$$\theta_{\mathcal{W}} \in S^1 \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \mathcal{I}(\nu(x)), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \mathcal{U}(x); \mathbb{R} \right)$$

and a cocycle

$$\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}} \in Z^2(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{W}} - \mathcal{U}(x); \mathbb{R})$$

satisfying

$$j_{\mathcal{U}(x)}^*[\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}}] = \bar{\mu}_c, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{x,2}^* \bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}} = c_{sw} + \delta^* \theta_{\mathcal{W}},$$

where

$$j_{\mathcal{U}(x)} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \bar{\mathcal{W}} - \mathcal{U}(x) \right)$$

is the inclusion and $\iota_{x,2}$ and $j_{x,2}$ are defined in (8.3.26) and (8.5.7) respectively.

To give the corresponding extension result for $\bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}')$, we define the inclusion

$$(8.5.9) \quad j_{\mathcal{X},1} : (\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \emptyset) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}) - \chi^{-1}(0)).$$

We then have:

LEMMA 8.5.3. *Continue the notation of Lemma 8.4.14. For any neighborhood $U_{\mathcal{X}} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1$ of $\mathcal{M}^{sing} \cap \mathcal{U}$ with $\mathcal{M}^{sing} \cap \mathcal{U} \Subset U_{\mathcal{X}}$, there is a cochain*

$$\theta_{\mathcal{X}} \in S^{r-1} \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{U}), \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - U_{\mathcal{X}} \right)$$

and a cocycle,

$$\bar{e}_{\mathcal{X}} \in Z^r(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \chi^{-1}(0) - U_{\mathcal{X}}; \mathbb{R})$$

satisfying

$$j_{\mathcal{X}}^*[\bar{e}_{\mathcal{X}}] = \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\mathcal{X},2}^* \bar{e}_{\mathcal{X}} = \bar{e}(\bar{\Upsilon}_{t,s}/S^1, \bar{\chi}') + \delta^* \theta_{\mathcal{X}}$$

where

$$j_{\mathcal{X}} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{vir,*}/S^1 - \chi^{-1}(0) - U_{\mathcal{X}} \right)$$

is the inclusion.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8.0.4. The proof is analogous to that of [20, Proposition 5.2] using relative Euler classes of the obstruction sections and representatives of the cohomology with compact support along the geometric representatives.

We begin by observing that because the intersection,

$$(8.5.10) \quad \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta_1)) \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta_k)) \cap \mathcal{I}(\nu(x_1)) \cap \cdots \cap \mathcal{I}(\nu(x_\eta)) \cap \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{M}^{sing}$$

is empty, we can find open neighborhoods $U(\beta_i)$ of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta_i))$, $U_{\mathcal{W},j}$ of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(x_j))$, and $U_{\mathcal{X}}$ of U' , and U of \mathcal{M}^{sing} , such that the intersection obtained by replacing any of $\mathcal{I}(\nu(\beta_i))$ with $U(\beta_i)$, $\mathcal{I}(\nu(x_j))$ with $U_{\mathcal{W},j}$, U' with $U_{\mathcal{X}}$ or \mathcal{M}^{sing} with U in (8.5.10) is still empty. Hence,

for the cochains θ_{β_i} , θ_ν , and θ_χ defined in Lemmas 8.5.1, 8.5.2, and 8.5.3 respectively for the neighborhoods $U(\beta_i)$, $U_{\mathcal{W},j}$ and U_χ , we have the following equality:

$$(8.5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \iota_{\beta_1,1}^*[c_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile \iota_{\beta_r,1}^*[c_{\beta_r}] \smile \iota_{x,1}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile \iota_{\chi,1}^*\bar{e}(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \hat{\chi}), [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \rangle \\ &= \langle \iota_{\beta_1,1}^*[c_{\beta_1} + \delta^*\theta_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile \iota_{\beta_r,1}^*[c_{\beta_r} + \delta^*\theta_{\beta_r}] \\ & \quad \smile \iota_{x,1}^*[c_{\mathcal{W}} + \delta^*\theta_\nu]^\eta \smile \iota_{\chi,1}^*(e(\Upsilon_{t,s}, \hat{\chi}) + \delta^*\theta_\chi), [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the equalities

$$\iota = \iota_{\beta,2} \circ \iota_{\beta,1} = \iota_{\chi,2} \circ \iota_{\chi,1}$$

and the results of Lemmas 8.5.1, 8.5.2, and 8.5.3 into equations (8.5.6) and (8.5.11) then imply that

$$(8.5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}) \\ &= \langle \iota^*([\bar{c}_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile [\bar{c}_{\beta_s}] \smile [\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile [\bar{e}_\chi]), [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}] \rangle \\ &= \langle [\bar{c}_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile [\bar{c}_{\beta_s}] \smile [\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile [\bar{e}_\chi], \bar{j}_*[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the map \bar{j} is defined in (8.2.2) and we have used the definition of the homology class $[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}]$ given in (8.2.3). Then,

$$(8.5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}) \\ &= \langle \bar{j}^*([\bar{c}_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile [\bar{c}_{\beta_s}] \smile [\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}}]^\eta \smile [\bar{e}_\chi]), [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle \\ &= \langle j_{\beta_1,2}^*[\bar{c}_{\beta_1}] \smile \cdots \smile j_{\beta_s,2}^*[\bar{c}_{\beta_s}] \smile j_{x,2}^*[\bar{c}_{\mathcal{W}}] \smile j_\chi^*[\bar{e}_\chi], [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle \quad \text{By [62, Thm. 5.6.8]} \\ &= \langle \bar{\mu}_p(\beta_1) \smile \cdots \smile \bar{\mu}_p(\beta_s) \smile \bar{\mu}_c^\eta \smile \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality follows from Lemmas 8.5.1, 8.5.2, and 8.5.3 and completes the proof of the proposition. \square

8.6. Reducing to a submanifold

We now show how to reduce pairings with the homology class $[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}]$ defined in (8.2.3) to pairings with the homology class of a subspace which eliminates the topology of the normal bundle $N(\delta) \rightarrow M_5$.

We now give a global description of the relation between the space $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}$ defined in (7.1.7) and the space $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir,i}$. First, we define maps $\tilde{\iota}_\nu$ and ι_ν as the maps in the classifying diagram for the cohomology class ν :

$$(8.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{vir} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}_\nu} & \text{ES}^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{vir}/S^1 & \xrightarrow{\iota_\nu} & \text{BS}^1 \end{array}$$

The proof of the following is identical to that of [20, Lemma 5.19].

LEMMA 8.6.1. *The deformation retraction $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir,i} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}$, is the pullback of the disk bundle,*

$$N_{t(\ell),s}(\delta) \times_{S^1} \text{ES}^1 \rightarrow M_5 \times \text{BS}^1,$$

where S^1 acts on the fibers of $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell),\mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by scalar multiplication, by the map

$$\pi_N \times \tilde{\iota}_\nu : \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1 \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell),\mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \times_{S^1} \text{ES}^1$$

Then, define

$$(8.6.2) \quad \text{Th}(t_N) \in H^{r_N}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1, \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}/S^1 - t_N^{-1}(0); \mathbb{R}),$$

to be the pullback of the Thom class of the bundle

$$N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell),\mathfrak{s}}(\delta) \times_{S^1} \text{ES}^1 \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{BS}^1$$

(where r_N is the complex rank of the bundle $N_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell),\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}$) by the map $\pi_N \times \tilde{\iota}_\nu$ appearing in Lemma 8.6.1. A fundamental class,

$$(8.6.3) \quad [\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \in H_{d(\mathfrak{t})-2r_N-2}(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \cap t_N^{-1}(0); \mathbb{R}),$$

is defined exactly as is done in (8.2.3). To be precise, define

$$(8.6.4) \quad [\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}, \partial\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}]$$

to be the homology class defined by the fundamental class of the manifold with corners given by the intersection of $t_N^{-1}(0)$ with the manifold defining the homology class $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}]$ from (8.2.1). Then, define $[\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}]$ to be the homology class satisfying

$$(8.6.5) \quad \iota_*[\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}, \partial\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}] = \bar{\jmath}_*[\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}],$$

where ι and $\bar{\jmath}$ are the maps defined in equations (8.1.1) and (8.2.2) respectively. Note that the restrictions of $\bar{\jmath}$ to $t_N^{-1}(0)$ also defines an isomorphism on the appropriate dimension homology because the deformation retraction r in Lemma 8.2.1 preserves the level sets of t_N .

Because we can chose the boundaries of the space U appearing in the definition of the homology class $[\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}]$ to be generic, we can assume that t_N vanishes transversely on these boundaries. Hence, we have the equality

$$(8.6.6) \quad [\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}, \partial\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}] = \iota^* \text{Th}(t_N) \cap [\hat{\mathbf{L}}, \partial\hat{\mathbf{L}}].$$

We then have

LEMMA 8.6.2. *For any $\omega \in H^b(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1)$ where $b = \dim \mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$,*

$$\langle \iota_{\mathbf{B}}^* \omega, [\mathbf{B}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle = \langle \omega \smile \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{B}}^* \text{Th}(t_N), [\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle$$

where $\text{Th}(t_N)$ is defined in (8.6.2) and

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{B}} : \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \emptyset \right) \rightarrow \left(\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1, \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1 - t_N^{-1}(0) \right)$$

and

$$\iota_{\mathbf{B}} : t_N^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir},*}/S^1$$

are the inclusion maps.

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of [20, Equation (5.59)].

Abbreviate $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}} \cup U$ by $\mathbf{L}(U)$ and $t_N^{-1}(0) = \mathbf{B}$. Then, $d(t) - 2 = b + n$ where n is the complex rank of the vector bundle $N_{t(\ell),s} \rightarrow M_s$ and

$$\omega \smile \text{Th}(t_N) \in H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), \mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}).$$

Consider the following commutative diagram of inclusions:

$$(8.6.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{L}(U), \emptyset) & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{B}}} & (\mathbf{L}(U), \mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}) \\ \bar{j} \downarrow & & j_{\mathbf{B},U} \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{L}(U), U) & \xrightarrow{j_{U,\mathbf{B}}} & (\mathbf{L}(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B})) \end{array}$$

Because U retracts onto the lower strata, $U^{\text{sing}} = U \cap \mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{sing}}/S^1$, we see that $\dim U^{\text{sing}} \leq d(t) - 1 - 4$. Hence, the inclusion $j_{\mathbf{B},U}$ defines an isomorphism

$$j_{\mathbf{B},U}^* : H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B})) \simeq H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), \mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}).$$

Thus, there is a unique $\Omega \in H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}))$ such that

$$(8.6.8) \quad j_{\mathbf{B},U}^* \Omega = \omega \smile \text{Th}(t_N).$$

We now calculate that

$$(8.6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle j_{\mathbf{B}}^*(\omega \smile \text{Th}(t_N)), [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle &= \langle j_{\mathbf{B}}^* j_{\mathbf{B},U}^* \Omega, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \quad \text{by equation (8.6.8)} \\ &= \langle j^* j_{U,\mathbf{B}}^* \Omega, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \quad \text{by the commutativity of (8.6.7)} \\ &= \langle j_{U,\mathbf{B}}^* \Omega, \bar{j}_* [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\ &= \langle j_{U,\mathbf{B}}^* \Omega, \iota_* [\mathbf{L}, \partial \mathbf{L}] \rangle \quad \text{by equation (8.2.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, define

$$\mathbf{L}^i(U) = \mathbf{L}(U) - \text{int}(\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},s})$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},s}$ is defined in (7.1.3). Observe that the top stratum, $\mathbf{L}^i(U) \cap \mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ is a manifold with corners and thus has a relative fundamental class which we denote by

$$[\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \in H_{d(t)-2}(\mathbf{L}^i(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B})).$$

Because $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},s} \subset \mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}$, the inclusion of pairs

$$\iota_{U,i} : (\mathbf{L}^i(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}(U) - \mathbf{B}))$$

is an excision map and thus induces an isomorphism on cohomology. Then,

$$(8.6.10) \quad (\iota_{U,i})_* [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] = (j_{U,\mathbf{B}})_* \iota_* [\mathbf{L}, \partial \mathbf{L}].$$

because both are fundamental classes. Following equation (8.6.9), we compute that

$$(8.6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle j_{U,\mathbf{B}}^* \Omega, \iota_* [\mathbf{L}, \partial \mathbf{L}] \rangle &= \langle \Omega, (j_{U,\mathbf{B}})_* \iota_* [\mathbf{L}, \partial \mathbf{L}] \rangle \\ &= \langle \Omega, (\iota_{U,i})_* [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle \quad \text{By equation (8.6.10)} \\ &= \langle \iota_{U,i}^* \Omega, [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We now compute $\iota_{U,i}^* \Omega$ in terms of similar restrictions of ω and $\text{Th}(t_N)$. The $(\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1)$ -equivariant deformation retraction $\tilde{N}_{t(\ell),s} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_s$ defines a deformation retraction

$$r_B : \mathbf{L}^i(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}.$$

Observe that r_B is a homotopy equivalence and also defines a homotopy equivalence of pairs,

$$r_{\mathbf{B}} : (\mathbf{L}^i(U), U) \rightarrow (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \cap U).$$

Because the retraction of U to the lower strata respects the level sets of t_N , the subspace $\mathbf{B} \cap U$ retracts onto the lower strata of \mathbf{B} which have codimension greater than or equal to four in \mathbf{B} . Thus, the map

$$\bar{j}^* : H^b(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \cap U) \rightarrow H^b(\mathbf{B})$$

is an isomorphism. Because the map $r_{\mathbf{B}}$ induces isomorphisms $r_{\mathbf{B}}^* : H^b(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \cap U) \rightarrow H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U), U)$ and $r_{\mathbf{B}}^* : H^b(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U))$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^b(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \cap U) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}^*} & H^b(\mathbf{B}) \\ r_{\mathbf{B}}^* \downarrow & & r_{\mathbf{B}}^* \downarrow \\ H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U), \mathbf{L}^i(U) \cap U) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}^*} & H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U)) \end{array}$$

and thus a unique $y_{\mathbf{B}} \in H^b(\mathbf{B}, U \cap \mathbf{B})$ such that

$$(8.6.12) \quad \iota_i^* \omega = \bar{j}^* r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\mathbf{B}}^* \omega = \bar{j}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}$$

where $\iota_i : \mathbf{L}^i(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}(U)$, is the inclusion. We now argue that

$$(8.6.13) \quad \iota_{U,i}^* \Omega = (r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}) \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N)$$

by considering the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U), U) \otimes H^n(\mathbf{L}^i, \mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{j}^* \otimes \text{id}} & H^b(\mathbf{L}^i(U)) \otimes H^n(\mathbf{L}^i(U), \mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B}) \\ \smile \downarrow & & \smile \downarrow \\ H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}^i(U), U \cup \mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B}) & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{B},U}^*} & H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}^i(U), \mathbf{L}^i - \mathbf{B}) \\ \iota_i^* \uparrow & & \iota_i^* \uparrow \\ H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), U \cup (\mathbf{L}(U) - B)) & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathbf{B},U}^*} & H^{b+n}(\mathbf{L}(U), \mathbf{L}(U) - B) \end{array}$$

The class $r_{\mathbf{B}}^* (y_{\mathbf{B}}) \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N)$ is in the upper left entry of the preceding diagram while Ω is in the lower left entry of the preceding diagram. By (8.6.8), Ω is mapped to $\iota_i^* \omega \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N)$ in the center right entry. By (8.6.12), $r_{\mathbf{B}}^* (y_{\mathbf{B}}) \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N)$ is also mapped to $\iota_i^* \omega \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N)$ in the center right entry. Observe that because U retracts onto a set of codimension greater than or equal to two and $b+n = d(t) - 2$ equals the dimension of the top stratum of $\mathbf{L}(U)$, both arrows labeled $j_{\mathbf{B},U}^*$ in the preceding diagram are isomorphisms. In addition, the left-hand vertical arrow label ι_i^* is an isomorphism because it is an excision. This completes the proof of equation (8.6.13).

Applying the equality (8.6.13) to equation (8.6.11), we have

$$(8.6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \iota_{U,i}^* \Omega, [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle &= \langle r_{\mathbf{B}}^*(y_{\mathbf{B}}) \smile \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N), [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle \\ &= \langle r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}, \iota_i^*(\text{Th}(t_N)) \cap [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From [3, p. 371, Equation (1)], we have the equality

$$(8.6.15) \quad \iota_i^* \text{Th}(t_N) \cap [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] = (\iota_{\mathbf{B},i})_* \iota_* [\mathbf{B}, \partial \mathbf{B}].$$

where $\iota_{\mathbf{B},i} : (\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B} \cap U) \rightarrow (\mathbf{L}^i(U), \mathbf{L}^i - B)$ is the inclusion. Equation (8.6.14) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}, \iota_i^*(\text{Th}(t_N)) \cap [\mathbf{L}^i, \partial \mathbf{L}^i] \rangle &= \langle r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}, (\iota_{\mathbf{B},i})_* \iota_* [\mathbf{B}, \partial \mathbf{B}] \rangle \\ &= \langle (\iota_{\mathbf{B},i})^* r_{\mathbf{B}}^* y_{\mathbf{B}}, \iota_* [\mathbf{B}, \partial \mathbf{B}] \rangle \\ &= \langle y_{\mathbf{B}}, \iota_* [\mathbf{B}, \partial \mathbf{B}] \rangle \\ &= \langle y_{\mathbf{B}}, J_* [\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \quad \text{by equation (8.6.5)} \\ &= \langle J^* y_{\mathbf{B}}, [\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\ &= \langle \iota_{\mathbf{B}}^* \omega, [\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \quad \text{by equation (8.6.12)} \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Lemma 8.6.2 can be used to reduce the pairing in (8.5.13) to one with $[\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}]$ when we have an expression for division by the Thom class $\text{Th}(t_N)$. The following proposition gives that result.

PROPOSITION 8.6.3. *Let $d_s = \dim M_s$. Let $s_j(N) \in H^{2j}(M_s)$ and r_N be the Segre classes and complex rank (respectively) of the bundle $N_{t(\ell),s} \rightarrow M_s$. Let k and m be non-negative integers satisfying $k + 2m = d(\mathbf{t}) - 2$. For any $\alpha \in H^k(M_s \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R})$, we have the equality*

$$(8.6.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \nu^m \smile \pi_{X,s}^* \alpha, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d_2/2} (-1)^{r_N+j} \langle \nu^{m-r_N-j} \smile \pi_s^* s_j(N) \smile \pi_{X,s}^* \alpha, [\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. See [20, §5.2]. \square

REMARK 8.6.4. The Segre classes $s_i(N)$ have been computed under some assumptions on $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ in [18, Lemma 4.11]. From [18, Theorem 3.29] one can see that in general, these Segre classes will be given by a universal polynomial in $\mu_s(x)$ and $\mu_s(\gamma_i)$ with coefficients depending only on the indices n'_s and n''_s which depend only on $p_1(\mathbf{t}(\ell))$ and $c_1(\mathbf{t}(\ell))$.

CHAPTER 9

The computation

In this section, we perform the computation leading to a proof of the following theorems which form the technical heart of this paper.

THEOREM 9.0.5. *Let \mathfrak{t} be a $spin^u$ structure on a smooth four-manifold X with $b^1(X) = 0$. Assume that \mathfrak{s} is a $spin^c$ structure with $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ a subset of the space of ideal monopoles $IM_{\mathfrak{t}}$ defined in (1.1.14). Let $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ be the link of the reducible $SO(3)$ monopoles given by $\mathfrak{s} \in Spin^c(X)$ as defined in Definition 7.1.3. For $z = h^{\delta-2m}x^m \in \mathbb{A}(X)$ and η a non-negative integer satisfying*

$$\deg(z) + 2\eta = \dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{t}} - 2,$$

let $\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\eta}$ be the geometric representatives defined in §1.4. Then

$$(9.0.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\eta} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}) \\ &= SW_X(\mathfrak{s}) \sum_{i=0}^{\min(\ell, \lfloor \frac{\delta-2m}{2} \rfloor)} (q_{\delta,\ell,m,i}(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - c_1(\mathfrak{t}), c_1(\mathfrak{t})) Q_X^i) (h). \end{aligned}$$

where $q_{\delta,\ell,m,i}$ are degree $\delta - 2m - 2i$ homogeneous polynomials which are universal functions of the constants given in Theorem 0.0.1.

We note that a similar result can also be achieved by the methods of this paper without the assumption that $b^1(X) = 0$ and $z = h^{\delta-2m}x^m$, but the resulting expression becomes considerably more complicated. While the precise expression for the intersection number in (9.0.17) is still unknown, we note the following important result, referred to as the ‘multiplicity conjecture’ in [19, 12, 20], which holds even without the simplifying assumptions.

THEOREM 9.0.6. *Let X be a smooth, oriented four-manifold. Assume that \mathfrak{t} is a $spin^u$ structure on X and \mathfrak{s} is a $spin^c$ structure on X such that $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ is contained in the space of ideal monopoles $IM_{\mathfrak{t}}$ defined in (1.1.14). Let $\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ be the link of $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ given in Definition 7.1.3. Then, if $SW_{X,\mathfrak{s}}(\omega)$ vanishes for all $\omega \in \mathbb{A}_2(X)$, then*

$$\# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\delta} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}) = 0.$$

To prove Theorems 9.0.5 and 9.0.6, we begin by observing that Proposition 8.0.4 gives the equality

$$(9.0.18) \quad \# (\bar{\mathcal{V}}(z) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{\eta} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}) = \langle \bar{\mu}_p(z) \smile \bar{\mu}_c^{\eta} \smile \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{vir}] \rangle.$$

The expression for the μ -classes in Corollary 8.3.9, the expression for \bar{e}_s in Lemma 8.4.1, the expression for \bar{e}_I in Proposition 8.4.7, and the equality between the homology classes

$[\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{t,s}^{vir}]$ and $[\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}]$ in Proposition 8.6.3 implies that the intersection number in (9.0.18) can be reduced to a linear combination of pairings of the form

$$(9.0.19) \quad \langle \nu^a \smile \pi_X^* S^\ell(\beta) \smile \pi_s^* \mu_s(z_2), [\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle$$

where $\beta \in H_\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{A}_2(X)$.

We wish to compute the pairings (9.0.19) by writing them as sums over pairings with the subspaces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ and then applying a pushforward-pullback argument to the fiber bundle structure in Lemma 7.2.3 of each of these pieces. To do this, we must replace the cohomology classes in (9.0.19) by cohomology classes with compact support away from the boundaries $\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$. Such a replacement requires a choice of cocycle in the cohomology class and this choice must be done consistently on each piece. We encode these choices in the following geometric data. We define a quotient, $\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{vir}$, of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}$ by replacing the boundaries $\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ with spaces of codimension greater than or equal to two. The cohomology classes in (9.0.19) pull back from cohomology classes on this quotient. Thus, we may choose cocycles representing the cohomology classes in (9.0.19) which pull back from the quotient. Because the image of the boundaries in the quotient has codimension greater than or equal to two, the cocycles pulled back from the quotient will have compact support away from the boundaries. Using these cocycles is equivalent to computing (9.0.19) using the fundamental class of $\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{vir}$ which, because the image of the boundaries has codimension two, can be written as a sum of fundamental classes of pieces.

One can compare this method of computation to that employed by Ozsvath in [53] as follows. In the case where there are only two pieces, if one adds the ‘‘cap’’ to each piece defined by the mapping cone of the restriction of the quotient map to the boundary of that piece, the resulting compactification of each piece $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ would be homotopic to the image of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ in the quotient. Thus our method differs from that of Ozsvath in that there are more than two open pieces in this computation and in that there are no correction terms arising from using different compactifications of the pieces. Ozsvath has informed us that he has an extension of his method to the case of arbitrarily many open sets, so our method offers no advantage in that regard. Correction terms arise in [53] because the natural compactifications to each piece define different quotients of the common boundary. No correction terms appear in this computation because on each boundary, $\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$, the natural quotient for the top stratum, defined by extending the fiber bundle from Σ_j to $\text{cl}(\Sigma_j)$ respects the fiber bundle structure of the lower stratum. Thus the absence of correction terms in this computation is not an indication of a better method but merely an exploitation of a simpler situation.

In §9.1, we construct the quotient space $\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{vir}$. This construction shows that the image of each piece $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ in the quotient has a fiber bundle structure identical to that of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ but with a compact fiber and base. In §9.2, we show how to use the quotient to obtain homology classes with the desired properties. In §9.3, we describe the fiber bundle properties of the images of the pieces in the quotient. In §9.4, we perform the computation giving the needed characterization of the intersection pairing (9.0.19). Finally in §9.5 we give the proofs of the main theorems of the paper.

9.1. The quotient space

We wish to take advantage of the fiber bundle structure

$$(9.1.1) \quad \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j$$

given in Lemma 7.2.3 and our control over the structure group of this fibration to compute cohomological pairings with $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$. To do this, we must write the pairing in (9.0.19) with the homology class $[\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}]$ as a sum over pairings with some kind of homology class representing the space $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$. However, the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ have boundaries as described in §7.3 and so would only define relative homology classes. To overcome this difficulty, we construct a quotient of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ obtained by leaving the interiors of the pieces $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ unchanged and replacing the boundaries $\partial_k \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ with quotients obtained by deleting part of the gluing data as described in the following.

PROPOSITION 9.1.1. *There is a surjective map,*

$$Q : \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$$

to a smoothly-stratified space $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ with the following properties:

- (1) *The quotient map Q is injective on the complement of $\cup_{j \neq i} \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$.*
- (2) *The image of each intersection, $Q(\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i))$, has codimension greater than two in $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.*
- (3) *There is a quotient $q_i : \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i) \rightarrow \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)$ such that*

$$Q(\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)) \simeq M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)$$

where $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ is defined in (9.1.2) and the restriction of the map Q to $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is given, for $(B, \Phi) \in \bar{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, $F \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$, and $A \in M(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)$ by,

$$Q([(B, \Phi), F, A)] = [(B, \Phi), R_i(F), q_i(A)]$$

where R_i is the map defined in Lemma 9.1.4.

- (4) *There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the restriction of the bundle $\mathbb{L}_{\nu}^{\otimes n}$, where \mathbb{L}_{ν} was defined in (8.1.14), to $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is pulled back from an S^1 bundle over $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$.*
- (5) *There is a map $\pi_{Q,X} : \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X)$ satisfying $\pi_X = \pi_{Q,X} \circ Q$ where π_X is the map defined in Lemma 5.8.2.*
- (6) *There is a map*

$$\check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}} : \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}},$$

such that $\check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}} \circ Q = \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}$, where $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is the projection defined in (8.1.3).

Because the images of the boundaries $Q(\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i))$ have codimension greater than or equal to two, the fundamental class of $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ can be written as a sum of the fundamental classes of the images of the pieces. This allows us to apply a pushforward-pullback argument to the pairings of the cohomology classes with these pieces.

We construct the quotient $\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ as follows. Let $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)$ be the frame bundle defined in (5.1.2). Let

$$(9.1.2) \quad \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j).$$

be the extension of the fiber bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$ from the open diagonal $\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$ to its closure, $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_j)$. In Lemma 9.1.4, we define a surjective, $G(\mathcal{P}_j)$ -equivariant map

$$R_j : \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)|_{K_j} \rightarrow \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)$$

which is injective over the complement of the boundaries $\cup_{i < j} \partial_i K_j$. We define R_j as a composition of maps $R_{j,i}$.

Each of the maps $R_{j,i}$ defines a quotient $Q_{j,i}$ of the boundary $\partial_i \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$. In Lemma 9.1.7, we show that for $j < k$ the quotient $Q_{k,j}$ is defined by a quotient of the fiber of $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j$. For $j < k < r$, the fibers of the quotient $Q_{r,j}$ contain those of $Q_{k,j}$ in the corner $\partial_r \partial_k \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ and hence one can ‘compose’ these quotients. The result of applying the quotients $Q_{j+1,j}, Q_{j+2,j}, \dots, Q_{n,j}$ successively to $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ will be to replace the fiber $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon)$ with the quotient $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)$ appearing in Proposition 9.1.1. For $i < j$, the quotient map $Q_{j,i}$ respects the fibers of the bundle $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_j$ and thus can be applied to the quotient obtained by replacing the fiber $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon)$ with the quotient $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)$. In Lemma 9.1.6, we show that one can take the quotients $Q_{j,j-1}, Q_{j,j-2}, \dots, Q_{j,0}$ successively and obtain the quotient of $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ described in the proposition.

Finally, we compare quotients $Q_{j,i}$ on $\partial_i \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ to show that these quotients do not identify any points not identified by quotients $Q_{k,r}$ or $Q_{r,k}$ (with $r = i$ or $r = j$). Thus, applying all the quotients $Q_{j,i}$ to the space $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ defines the quotient $\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ described in the proposition.

9.1.1. The quotient maps. We define the quotient maps $Q_{j,i}$ by defining a quotient of the frame bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k}$ defined in (5.1.2). As described in the introduction to this section, this quotient will be given by the extension (9.1.2) of the fiber bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ to the closed diagonal, $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. Note that the structure group $G(\mathcal{P})/\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$ no longer acts freely on the extension $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ due to the presence of diagonals corresponding to cruder partitions in $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. For this reason, we will discuss $G(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant maps rather than bundle maps.

For $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k$ partitions of N_ℓ , let $\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k])$ be the normal bundle of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ in $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$ defined in (2.3.4). Let $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \subset \nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k])$ be the neighborhood of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ on which the exponential map $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$ is defined. Let $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \subset \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$ under the exponential map. For each $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \bar{N}(\delta)$, there is a $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant homeomorphism

$$(9.1.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k) \times_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \\ \downarrow T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)(A_0) \\ \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i})} \end{array}$$

defined by parallel translation of frames with respect to the locally flattened connection A'_0 where $(A'_0, \Phi'_0) = \Theta_{\mathcal{P}_i}(A_0, \Phi_0)$ and the locally flattened metric $g_{\mathcal{P}_i, \mathbf{x}}$ where the frames in $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$ lie over $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$. This is the same parallel translation used in the definition of the upwards transition map in (5.4.10).

LEMMA 9.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Then, there is a $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant map $R_{k,i} : \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k) \rightarrow \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$ with the following properties.*

- (1) $R_{k,i}$ is injective on the restriction of $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$ to the complement of the neighborhood $\bar{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i))$,
- (2) For $j < i$, $R_{k,i}$ maps the restriction of $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$ to $\bar{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_j})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j))$ to itself,
- (3) If $K_{k,i} = K_k \cup \bigcup_{j=k-1}^i T_{k,j}$, where $T_{k,j}$ is defined in Lemma 3.7.2, then $R_{k,i}$ maps $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_{K,i+1}}$ onto $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_{k,i}}$,
- (4) If $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$ then $R_{k,i}(F_1) = R_{k,i}(F_2)$ if and only if there are (F_3, v_a) , $a = 1, 2$ in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)$, $v_a \in \mathcal{O}_1(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k])$, with $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)(F_3, v_a) = F_a$,
- (5) $R_{k,i}$ covers the restriction of the map r_i defined in Lemma 3.7.3 to $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$.

PROOF. For a partition \mathcal{P}_i of N_ℓ with $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_k$, item (3) of Lemma 3.7.3 implies that the map $r_i : \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ pulls back, by $e(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$, to a bundle map

$$r_{k,i} : \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i}).$$

The map $r_{k,i}$ and the $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant homeomorphism (9.1.3) define a $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant map of $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i})}$. Because r_i is the identity on the complement of the subspace $\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})$, we can extend this map as the identity on the complement of the restriction $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\mathcal{U}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_i})}$. Let $R_{k,i}$ be this extension. Item (5) follows immediately from this definition.

The first item follows from this definition and item (9) of Lemma 3.7.3. Item (2) follows from item (6) of Lemma 3.7.3. Item (3) follows from item (8) of Lemma 3.7.3.

The $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariance of $R_{k,i}$ implies that $R_{k,i}(F_1) = R_{k,i}(F_2)$ only if F_1 and F_2 lie over distinct points identified by $r_{k,i}$. Item (4) then follows from the construction of $R_{k,i}$. \square

The following result will be used to compare the fibers of the quotient maps $Q_{k,i}$.

LEMMA 9.1.3. *Let $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Let $R_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,j}$ be the $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant maps defined in Lemma 9.1.2. If $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\partial_i \partial_j K_k}$ and $R_{k,j}(F_1) = R_{k,j}(F_2)$, then $R_{k,i}(F_1) = R_{k,i}(F_2)$.*

PROOF. By property (4) of Lemma 9.1.2, and the assumption that $R_{k,j}(F_1) = R_{k,j}(F_2)$, there are (F_3, v_a) for $a = 1, 2$, in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)(A_0)$ with $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)(A_0)(F_3, v_a) = F_a$. The frame F_3 is itself a parallel translation of a frame $F_4 \in \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)}$. Because these parallel translations defining these maps are done with respect to a locally flattened connection and metric which have no holonomy along these paths, there are thus $w_a \in \tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k])$ with $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)(A_0)(F_4, w_a) = F_a$. Hence property (4) of Lemma 9.1.2 implies that $R_{k,i}(F_1) = R_{k,i}(F_2)$. \square

LEMMA 9.1.4. *Let \mathcal{P}_k be partition of N_ℓ and let K_k° be the interior of K_k . There is a surjective, $G(\mathcal{P})$ -equivariant map,*

$$R_k : \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k} \rightarrow \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$$

which is injective on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k^\circ}$. For $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\partial_j K_k - \cup_{i < j} \partial_i K_k}$, $R_k(F_1) = R_k(F_2)$ if and only if there are (F_3, v_a) , $a = 1, 2$ in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)$ satisfying $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)(F_3, v_a) = F_a$. In addition, R_k covers the map m_{k-1} defined in the proof of Lemma 3.7.4.

PROOF. For $R_{k,i}$ the map defined in Lemma 9.1.2, define R_0 to be the identity and for $k > 0$,

$$(9.1.4) \quad R_k = R_{k,0} \circ R_{k,1} \circ \cdots \circ R_{k,k-1}.$$

By comparing definitions and item (5) of Lemma 9.1.2, we see that R_k covers m_{k-1} as required. Because it is the composition of $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant maps, R_k is $G(\mathcal{P}_k)$ -equivariant. Let $T_{k,i}$ be as defined in Lemma 3.7.2. Item (3) from Lemma 9.1.2 and the equality,

$$\text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k) = K_k \cup (\cup_{i < k} T_{k,i})$$

from item (1) of Lemma 3.7.2 imply that R_k maps $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k}$ onto $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$. It remains only to check the injectivity properties.

Let $F_1, F_2 \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k}$ satisfy $R_k(F_1) = R_k(F_2)$. There is an index u such that if $\tilde{F}_a = (R_{k,u+1} \circ \cdots \circ R_{k,k-1})(F_a)$ for $a = 1, 2$, then $\tilde{F}_1 \neq \tilde{F}_2$ while $R_{k,u}(\tilde{F}_1) = R_{k,u}(\tilde{F}_2)$. By item (1) of Lemma 9.1.2, \tilde{F}_1 and \tilde{F}_2 lie over $\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_u})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_u, \varepsilon_u))$. By item (2) of Lemma 9.1.2, F_1 and F_2 also lie over $\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_u})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_u, \varepsilon_u))$. Thus, F_1 and F_2 lie over $K_k \cap \tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}_u})^{-1}(\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_u, \varepsilon_u)) = \partial_u K_k$ and the restriction of R_k to $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k^\circ}$ is injective.

If F_1 and F_2 lie over $\partial_j K_k - \cup_{i < j} \partial_i K_k$, and u and \tilde{F}_a are as in the preceding paragraph, then because the frames F_a lie over $\partial_u K_k$, we must have $u \geq j$. By Lemma 9.1.3, $R_{k,u}(\tilde{F}_1) = R_{k,u}(\tilde{F}_2)$ would imply that $R_{k,j}(\tilde{F}_1) = R_{k,j}(\tilde{F}_2)$. By item (4) of Lemma 9.1.2, there are (F_3, v_a) in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)$ with $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)(F_3, v_a) = \tilde{F}_a$. By construction of the maps $R_{k,i}$, the frames F_a are parallel translations along piecewise continuous paths of the frames \tilde{F}_a . Because the maps $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)$ are defined by parallel translation with respect to a locally flattened connection and metric which have no holonomy along these paths, there are thus (F_4, w_a) in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)$ with $T(\mathcal{P}_j, \mathcal{P}_k)(F_4, w_a) = F_a$, completing the proof. \square

DEFINITION 9.1.5. Define the quotient $Q_{k,i}$ of $\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ by identifying points,

$$[(A_i, \Phi_i), F_i, A_i] \in \partial_i \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2,$$

where $(A_i, \Phi_i) \in \tilde{M}_\mathfrak{s}$, $F_i \in \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$, and $A_i \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ if $R_{k,i}(F_1) = R_{k,i}(F_2)$, where $R_{k,i}$ is the map defined in Lemma 9.1.2. Thus, by item (1) of Lemma 9.1.2, the quotient map $Q_{k,i}$ is injective on the complement of the boundary $\partial_i \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ and on this boundary is defined by the projection

$$\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\partial_i K_k} \rightarrow \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_i}$$

defined by the parallel translation map in (9.1.3) and the projection,

$$\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k) \times_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}_k], g_{\mathcal{P}_i} \rightarrow \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)}.$$

We note the following relation between quotients $Q_{k,i}$ and $Q_{k,j}$.

LEMMA 9.1.6. *Let $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j < \mathcal{P}_k$ be partitions of N_ℓ . If $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \in \partial_i \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ and $Q_{k,j}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{k,j}(\mathbf{A}_2)$ then $Q_{k,i}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{k,i}(\mathbf{A}_2)$.*

PROOF. This follows immediately from the corresponding properties of $R_{k,i}$ and $R_{k,j}$ expressed in Lemma 9.1.3. \square

Lemma 9.1.6 implies that for each k one can apply the quotients $Q_{k,i}$ in order of descending i as was done for the maps $R_{k,i}$ in equation (9.1.4). The resulting quotient is Q_k .

9.1.2. Constructing the local quotient. We now construct a quotient of each piece $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ using the maps $Q_{j,i}$. We first show that the quotient $Q_{j,i}$ is defined by a quotient of the fiber of $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_i$.

LEMMA 9.1.7. *If $\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j$ are partitions of N_ℓ , then the restriction of the map $Q_{j,i}$ to $\partial_j \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is given by the map*

$$\begin{array}{c} M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \partial_j \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i) \\ \downarrow \\ M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i, g_{\mathcal{P}_i}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) \end{array}$$

defined by the map (7.3.10) on fibers.

PROOF. The upwards overlap map,

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_j} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}_P'') \times \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_P'')) \\ \downarrow \rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} \\ \left(\coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \left(\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \times_{G(\mathcal{P}'')} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') \right) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_i) \\ \simeq \downarrow \\ \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_j)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j) \end{array}$$

is defined by the exponential map and parallel translation with respect to the same connections used to define $R_{j,i}$. Hence, pullback of the quotient $Q_{j,i}$ by the map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathbf{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ is defined

by the map on the fibers of the domain of $\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}$ given by the projection

$$\begin{aligned} & \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_j} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}''_P)) \\ & \quad \downarrow \\ & \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_j} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}''_P) \\ & \quad = \downarrow \\ & \coprod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j]} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') \end{aligned}$$

The overlap map $\rho_{\mathcal{P}_i, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ is defined by a $G(\mathcal{P}_i)$ -equivariant map of fibers and thus pushes the preceding projection forward to the projection described in the statement of the lemma. \square

To compare the quotient maps $Q_{i,k}$ and $Q_{j,k}$, for $k < i < j$, Lemma 9.1.7 implies that it suffices to compare the maps $c_{i,k}$ and $c_{j,k}$ which we now do.

LEMMA 9.1.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}_k < \mathcal{P}_i < \mathcal{P}_j$ be partitions of N_ℓ . If $A_1, A_2 \in \partial_i \partial_j \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ satisfy $c_{i,k}(A_1) = c_{i,k}(A_2)$, then $c_{j,k}(A_1) = c_{j,k}(A_2)$.*

PROOF. The assumption $A_a \in \partial_i \partial_j \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ for $a = 1, 2$ implies that A_a lies in the images of the maps of the fibers $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}_k, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ and $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}_k, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ defined in (5.4.15). Thus, by the definition of the maps $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}_k, [\mathcal{P}_i]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$ and $\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}_k, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}$, if we write $A_a = (A_{P,a})_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k}$ where $A_{P,a} \in \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$, then for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$,

$$A_{P,a} \in \text{Im}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P}) \cap \text{Im}(\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P})$$

for some $\mathcal{P}' \in [\mathcal{P}_k < \mathcal{P}_i]$ and $\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_k < \mathcal{P}_j]$. We can assume $\mathcal{P}_k < \mathcal{P}' < \mathcal{P}''$. Lemma 4.5.5 and the commutativity of the diagram (4.4.26) imply that

$$A_{P,a} = (\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}'_P, [\mathcal{P}''_P]}^{\Theta, d})(B_P) = (\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}''_P} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}''_P, [\mathcal{P}''_P]}^{\Theta, u})(B_P)$$

for some B_P in the overlap space (omitting the symmetric group as it is not relevant to this discussion)

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P, [\mathcal{P}''_P], \delta) \\ & \subseteq \Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{Q'}(\delta_{Q'}), \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}) \times \prod_{Q'' \in \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q''|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{Q''})) \end{aligned}$$

defined in (4.5.3). The map

$$c_{j,k} \circ \left(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}'_P, [\mathcal{P}''_P]}^{\Theta, u} \right)$$

is given by the projection

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{Q'}(\delta_{Q'}), \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}) \times \prod_{Q'' \in \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q''|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{Q''})) \right) \\ & \quad \downarrow \\ & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \prod_{Q' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} \prod_{Q'' \in \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q''|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{Q''}) \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$c_{i,k} \circ \left(\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}'_P} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P}'_P, [\mathcal{P}''_P]}^{\Theta, d} \right)$$

is given by the projection

$$\begin{array}{c} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left(\Delta^\circ(Z_P(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}'_P) \times \prod_{Q' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{Q'}(\delta_{Q'}), \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}) \times \prod_{Q'' \in \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q''|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{Q''})) \right) \\ \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \prod_{Q' \in \mathcal{P}'_P} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{Q'}(\delta_{Q'}), \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}) \times \prod_{Q'' \in \mathcal{P}''_{Q'}} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q''|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_{Q''})) \end{array}$$

Then, the required equality $c_{j,k}(A_1) = c_{j,k}(A_2)$ follows from comparing the preceding projections and the commutativity of the diagram (4.4.26). \square

Lemma 9.1.8 allows us to make the following definition.

DEFINITION 9.1.9. Define $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ to be the quotient of $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ by applying the quotient maps $c_{k+1,k}, c_{k+2,k}, \dots, c_{n,k}$ successively.

LEMMA 9.1.10. *Let $q_k : \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ be the quotient of Definition 9.1.9. Then, $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ is a smoothly-stratified space satisfying*

- (1) *For all $i > k$, $q_k(\partial_i \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon))$ has codimension greater than or equal to two in $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$,*
- (2) *The action of $S^1 < \text{SO}(3)$ on*

$$\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon) \subseteq \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_k} \bar{M}_{spl, |P|}^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$$

given by (7.2.3) pulls back from an action of $\text{SO}(3)$ on $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ with finite isotropy as defined prior to Lemma 7.3.3.

PROOF. The codimension of the images of the boundaries follows immediately from the construction. The statement on the $\text{SO}(3)$ action follows from the equivariance of each $c_{i,k}$ map with respect to this action and from the fact that $\text{SO}(3)$ acts with finite isotropy on the image of each map $c_{i,k}$ by Lemma 7.3.3. That is, if $M \in S^1 < \text{SO}(3)$ and for $A \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$, $[A]$ represents the image of A in the quotient $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$, and if $M[A] = [MA] = [A]$, then there is a lowest j such that $c_{j,k}(MA) = c_{j,k}(A)$. Lemma 7.3.2 implies that M is then a root of unity, of an order, o_j determined by j . Thus, for any $A \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ and $M \in S^1 < \text{SO}(3)$, if $M[A] = [A]$, then M must be a root of unity of order dividing the product of these orders, $o_{k+1}o_{k+2} \dots o_n$. \square

By Lemma 9.1.7, applying the quotients $Q_{k+1,k}, Q_{k+2,k}, \dots, Q_{n,k}$ to $\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ is the same as applying the quotients $c_{k+1,k}, c_{k+2,k}, \dots, c_{n,k}$ to the fiber $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ with the result described in Lemma 9.1.10:

$$\hat{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k) = \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_k)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon).$$

For $j < k$, the quotient maps $Q_{k,j}$ preserve the fibers of $\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times K_k$ and hence define quotients of $\hat{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$. We characterize the result of taking these further quotients as follows.

LEMMA 9.1.11. *Let \mathcal{P}_k be a partition of N_ℓ . Then the result of applying the quotients,*

$$Q_{k+1,k}, Q_{k+2,k}, \dots, Q_{n,k}, Q_{k,k-1}, Q_{k,k-2}, \dots, Q_{k,1}$$

successively is the quotient map,

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k, g_{\mathcal{P}_k})_{K_k} \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_k)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon) \\ \downarrow Q_k \\ \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\mathrm{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k, g_{\mathcal{P}_k}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_k)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

defined for $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{M}_s$, $F \in \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)|_{K_k}$, and $A \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$ by

$$Q_k([(A_0, \Phi_0), F, A]) = [(A_0, \Phi_0), R_k(F), q_k(A)],$$

where R_k is defined in Lemma 9.1.4 and q_k is defined in Lemma 9.1.10.

PROOF. The result of the quotients $Q_{k+1,k}, Q_{k+2,k}, \dots, Q_{n,k}$ is the space $\hat{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ as described above. Applying the quotients $Q_{k,k-1}, Q_{k,k-2}, \dots, Q_{k,1}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{BL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$ is the same as applying the quotients $R_{k,k-1}, R_{k,k-2}, \dots, R_{k,1}$ to $\mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)_{K_k}$. By Lemma 9.1.4 this is equal to the quotient defined by the surjective map $R_k : \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)_{K_k} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k)$. \square

We note the following:

LEMMA 9.1.12. *Continue the notation of Lemma 9.1.11. The pullback by Q_k of the projection,*

$$(9.1.5) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{X,k} : \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\mathrm{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_k, g_{\mathcal{P}_k}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_k)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$$

is the restriction of the map π_X to $\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_k)$.

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the assertion that R_k covers the map m_{k-1} in Lemma 9.1.4 and the construction of the map π_X in Lemmas 3.7.4 and 5.8.2. \square

9.1.3. The global quotient. Lemma 9.1.11 describes a quotient of each piece $\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ of $\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}$. We have used Lemma 9.1.7 to ensure that we are applying the same quotient to both boundaries in the equality

$$\partial_i \mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j) = \partial_j \mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i).$$

We will define the global quotient appearing in Proposition 9.1.1 by applying all the quotients $Q_{j,i}$ in an appropriate order. To ensure that the resulting global quotient has the desired properties, we show in the following lemma that no identifications, other than those already appearing in Lemma 9.1.11, appear in the global quotient.

LEMMA 9.1.13. *For $i < j$, if $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \in \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_r)$ satisfy $Q_{j,i}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{j,i}(\mathbf{A}_2)$, then*

- (1) *If $i < j < r$, then $Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}_2)$,*
- (2) *If $r < i < j$, then $Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}_2)$,*
- (3) *If $i < r < j$, then there is $\mathbf{A}' \in \partial_i \partial_j \mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathrm{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_r)$ such that $Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}')$, and $Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}')$.*

PROOF. The first and second items follows immediately from Lemmas 9.1.8 and 9.1.6 respectively. We now prove the third item. Points $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2 \in \partial_i \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$ are identified by $Q_{j,i}$ if they can be written,

$$\mathbf{A}_a = [(A_0, \Phi_0), T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j)(A_0)(F_3, v_a), (A_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_r}], \quad a = 1, 2$$

where $(A_0, \Phi_0) \in \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$, (F_3, v_a) is in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_j)$ given in (9.1.3), and $(A_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_r} \in \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon)$. Because

$$(9.1.6) \quad \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)|_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)} \simeq \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_j),$$

we can assume that $F_3 \in \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i)$. Replacing j with r in the preceding equality allows us to consider F_3 as an element of $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r)|_{\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)}$. Then, the upwards transition map

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_r)} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_r < \mathcal{P}_j]} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_r} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}_P'') \times \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_P'')) \\ & \quad \downarrow \rho_{\mathcal{P}_r, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u} \\ & \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P}_r < \mathcal{P}_j]} \left(\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}'') \times_{G(\mathcal{P}'')} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}'') \right) \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}_j) \\ & \quad \simeq \downarrow \\ & \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_j)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j) \end{aligned}$$

shows that points $\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2$ identified by $Q_{j,i}$ are given by $\mathbf{A}_a = \rho_{\mathcal{P}_r, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, u}(\mathbf{A}_a^r)$ where

$$\mathbf{A}_a^r = \left[(A_0, \Phi_0), T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_r)(A_0)(F_3, w_a), (v'_{P,a}, (A_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_P''})_{P \in \mathcal{P}_r} \right]$$

where (F_3, w_a) is in the domain of $T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_r)$, $v'_{P,a} \in \Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}_P'')$ and A_Q as before. Then, define $\mathbf{A}' = \rho_{\mathcal{P}_r, [\mathcal{P}_j]}^{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, d}(\mathbf{A}^r)$ where

$$\mathbf{A}^r = \left[(A_0, \Phi_0), T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_r)(A_0)(F_3, w_2), (v'_{P,1}, (A_Q)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_P''})_{P \in \mathcal{P}_r} \right].$$

Because the \mathbf{A}_1^r and \mathbf{A}^r differ only in the “ w ” coordinate, $Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}_1) = Q_{r,i}(\mathbf{A}')$. Because \mathbf{A}_2^r and \mathbf{A}^r differ only in the “ v ” coordinate, $Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}_2) = Q_{j,r}(\mathbf{A}')$ as required. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 9.1.1. We perform the quotients $Q_{j,i}$ in the following order:

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{n,n-1}, \\ & Q_{n-1,n-2}, Q_{n,n-2}, \\ & \vdots \\ & Q_{j+1,j}, Q_{j+2,j}, \dots, Q_{n,j}, \\ & \vdots \\ & Q_{1,0}, Q_{2,0}, \dots, Q_{n,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9.1.13 implies that applying these quotients to the piece $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_r)$ yields the same quotient as that defined by Lemma 9.1.11. This proves the first three items.

The restriction of the bundle \mathbb{L}_ν to the piece $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_r)$ is the complex line bundle associated to the S^1 bundle,

$$(9.1.7) \quad \begin{array}{c} \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r)|_{K_r} \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_r)} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon) \\ \downarrow \\ \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r)|_{K_r} \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_r) \times S^1} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

where the S^1 action is defined in (5.1.12). This S^1 action is trivial on $\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and acts diagonally on the frames in $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})$ as described in §5.1.4. Because $G(\mathcal{P}_r)$ contains the diagonal action of $\text{SO}(3)$ on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)})$ and on the frame in $\bar{M}_{\text{spl},|P|}^{s,\mathfrak{d}}(\delta)$, the S^1 action in the bundle above is equivalent to the S^1 action given by $S^1 < \text{SO}(3)$ (a maximal torus) acting diagonally on the frames in $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon)$ as described in (7.2.3). By item (2) of Lemma 9.1.10, this action on $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon)$ pulls back from an action with finite isotropy on $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon)$. The isotropy groups of the S^1 action on

$$(9.1.8) \quad \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_r)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon),$$

given by this S^1 action on $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon)$ will have isotropy groups contained in the finite group containing the isotropy group of the action on $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon)$ and in the isotropy group of $G(\mathcal{P}_i)$ acting on $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r)$. As these are all finite cyclic subgroups of S^1 , there is a finite, cyclic subgroup of S^1 of order b_r , containing them. Let n be the product $b_0 b_1 \dots b_n$. Then, there is a complex line bundle over $\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$, with restriction to $Q(\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_r))$ given by

$$\tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_r) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_r)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_r, \varepsilon) \times_{(S^1, \times n)} \mathbb{C},$$

(by which we mean that S^1 acts with multiplicity n on \mathbb{C} to ensure the stabilizers act trivially on \mathbb{C}) whose pullback to $\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ is $\mathbb{L}_\nu^{\otimes n}$.

The fifth item follows from Lemma 9.1.12 if we define the map $\pi_{X,Q}$ by the projection (9.1.5) on $Q(\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j))$. The sixth item follows if we define $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ on each piece by the projection

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s},i} : \tilde{M}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{s}} \times S^1} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

By the definition of the quotient and by the proof of Corollary 5.5.5, $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s},i} = \tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s},j}$ definition agrees on the appropriate intersection of the pieces of the quotient. \square

9.2. Homology and cohomology classes of the quotient

To use the quotient space $\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ of Proposition 9.1.1 to compute the pairing (9.0.19), we must verify that cohomology classes appearing in (9.0.19) pull back from the quotient.

LEMMA 9.2.1. *Continue the notation of Proposition 9.1.1. Then there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$(9.2.1) \quad \check{\nu} \in H^2(\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}})$$

such that $Q^*\check{\nu} = n\nu$ where ν is the cohomology class appearing in (9.0.19).

PROOF. Define $\check{\nu}$ to be the first Chern class of the line bundle over $\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$ defined in item (4) of Proposition 9.1.1. \square

LEMMA 9.2.2. *Continue the notation of Proposition 9.1.1. Define*

$$\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = Q(\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)).$$

Then there are homology classes

$$(9.2.2) \quad [\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \in H_\bullet(\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i); \mathbb{Z}),$$

satisfying

$$(9.2.3) \quad Q_*[\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] = \sum_i (\check{\iota}_i)_* [\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)]$$

where $\check{\iota}_i : \mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ is the inclusion.

PROOF. Let

$$\partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = \cup_{j \neq i} \partial_j \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i).$$

and

$$\partial \mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = Q(\partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)).$$

Then, there is an equality of cycles,

$$(9.2.4) \quad [\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}] = \sum_i (\iota_i)_* [\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i), \partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)]$$

where $\iota_i : \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$ is the inclusion and

$$[\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i), \partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \in H_\bullet(\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i), \partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i))$$

is a relative fundamental class. The second property of Proposition 9.1.1 implies that the map induced by inclusion,

$$(\check{j}_i)_* : H_{\max}(\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)) \rightarrow H_{\max}(\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i), \partial \mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i))$$

is an isomorphism. Hence, there is a homology class

$$[\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \in H_\bullet(\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i); \mathbb{Z})$$

as in (9.2.2) which maps to $Q_*([\bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i), \partial \bar{\mathbf{BL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)])$ under $(\check{j}_i)_*$. Applying Q_* to the equality (9.2.4) gives the desired result. \square

9.3. Fiber bundles and pushforwards

We now show how to use the fiber bundle structure of $\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ in cohomological pairings. The pieces of the quotient $\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ are not fiber bundles over $M_s \times \text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ but do pull back to a fiber bundle over $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ as we record in the following lemma.

LEMMA 9.3.1. *Define*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) = M_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\text{Fr}}(t, s, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \tilde{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon).$$

Then there is a branched covering $c_i : \tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$, a homology class

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \in H_\bullet(\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i))$$

satisfying $(c_i)_*[\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] = q_i[\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)]$ for some $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) & \xrightarrow{c_i} & \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \\ & & \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i} \downarrow & & \tilde{\pi}_i \downarrow \\ & & M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) & \longrightarrow & M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \text{cl } \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) \end{array}$$

where the map $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)$ is a fiber bundle with structure group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}} \times \tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)$.

We record the behavior of the cohomology class $\check{\nu}$ under the branched cover c_i .

LEMMA 9.3.2. *Continue the notation of Lemma 9.3.1. Let $\check{\nu}$ be the cohomology class defined in (9.2.1). Then $c_i^* \iota_i^* \check{\nu} = \tilde{\nu}_i$ where $\tilde{\nu}_i$ is the first Chern class of the S^1 -bundle given by*

$$(9.3.1) \quad M_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}}} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}} \times S^1} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)$$

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definitions $\check{\nu}$ and of the map c_i . \square

We write

$$(9.3.2) \quad \tilde{\pi}_{X,i} : \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\pi}_{X,i} : \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) \rightarrow M_{\mathbf{s}}$$

for the composition of $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i}$ with the obvious projections.

We now analyze the structure group of the bundle defined in Lemma 9.3.1. If we identify $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X$, then, we can write the bundle $\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ as

$$\bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX).$$

The preceding identity and the diagonal inclusion $\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}}$ define an inclusion of S^1 bundles,

$$(9.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{c} \tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}}} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \right) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

covering the inclusion of base spaces

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}} \times S^1} \bar{\text{Fr}}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ \downarrow \\ \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{s}} \times_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{s}}} \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) \times_X \text{Fr}(TX) \right) / S^1 \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \end{array}$$

We now simplify the preceding space to understand its structure group. The $\text{SO}(3)$ bundle $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) \rightarrow X$ admits a reduction to an S^1 -bundle

$$(9.3.4) \quad \text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{t}(\ell)}) \simeq Q_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}} \times_{S^1} \text{SO}(3),$$

where $c_1(Q_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}) = c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s})$, as described in the beginning of §1.3 (see also [18, Lemma 2.9]).

LEMMA 9.3.3. Define an S^1 -bundle $P_{t,s}$ by

$$P_{t,s} = \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} Q_{t,s} \rightarrow M_s \times X,$$

where \mathcal{G}_s acts on $Q_{t,s}$ by, for $q \in Q_{t,s}$ and $u \in \mathcal{G}_s$, $(q, u) \mapsto qu(p_Q(q))^2$. Then,

$$\tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} Q_{t,s} \rightarrow M_s \times X$$

is a principal S^1 -bundle with first Chern class equal to $2c_1(\mathbb{L}_s) + \pi_2^*c_1(Q_{t,s})$ where $\pi_2 : M_s \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

PROOF. The lemma is given by [18, Equation (3.68)]. \square

If we define

$$\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} S^1 \times \mathrm{SO}(4),$$

we can then simplify

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \mathrm{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{t(\ell)}) \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX) \right) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ & \simeq \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} Q_{t,s} \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX) \right) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ & \simeq \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P_{t,s} \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX)) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Composing this simplification with the inclusion (9.3.3) yields an inclusion of S^1 -bundles (9.3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s} \bar{\mathrm{Fr}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{t,s}^i} & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P_{t,s} \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX)) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{M}_s \times_{\mathcal{G}_s \times S^1} \bar{\mathrm{Fr}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{t,s}^i} & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P_{t,s} \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX)) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1 \end{array}$$

where the S^1 action on $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)$ is given by the diagonal S^1 action on frames given in (7.2.3).

Let $f_{t,s} : M_s \times X \rightarrow \mathrm{BS}^1 \times \mathrm{BSO}(4)$ be the classifying map for the bundle

$$F_{t,s} = P_{t,s} \times_X \mathrm{Fr}(TX) \rightarrow M_s \times X.$$

By the identity

$$\mathrm{B}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i} \mathrm{ES}^1 \times \mathrm{ESO}(4),$$

we have a commutative diagram of S^1 -bundles and $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ bundles:

(9.3.6)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} F_{t,s} \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}_{t,s}} & \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (P_{t,s} \times_X \text{Fr}(TX)) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} F_{t,s} \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1 & \xrightarrow{F_{t,s}} & \text{E}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow p_i \\
\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (M_s \times X) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} f_{t,s}} & \text{B}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)
\end{array}$$

LEMMA 9.3.4. *Define*

$$\nu_{H,i} \in H^2(\text{E}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1)$$

to be the first Chern class of the bundle

$$\text{E}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \text{E}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1.$$

If $\tilde{\nu}_i$ is the cohomology class defined in (9.3.1) and $\Delta_{t,s}^i$ the map defined in (9.3.5), then $(\Delta_{t,s}^i)^* F_{t,s}^* \nu_{H,i} = \tilde{\nu}_i$.

PROOF. The lemma follows immediately from the definitions of $\nu_{H,i}$ and $\tilde{\nu}_i$ and the commutativity of the diagrams (9.3.5) and (9.3.6). \square

We will require the following computations of pushforwards.

LEMMA 9.3.5. *Continue the notation of Lemma 9.3.4. For $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$, define $k(\mathcal{P}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r (8|P_i| - 4) - 2$. Let $p_i : \text{E}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1 \rightarrow \text{B}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i)$ be the projection appearing in the diagram (9.3.6). Then, there is*

$$m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|, \delta} \in H^{2\delta - k(\mathcal{P}_i)}(\text{B}\tilde{H}(\mathcal{P}_i); \mathbb{R}),$$

such that

$$(p_i)_*(\nu_{H,i}^\delta) = m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|, \delta}.$$

PROOF. The lemma follows by observing that $k(\mathcal{P}_i)$ is the dimension of the fiber $\check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon) / S^1$. \square

9.4. Computations

We can now begin to compute the pairings appearing in (9.0.19). First, we show how to reduce such pairings to a pairing with the pieces of the quotient space.

LEMMA 9.4.1. *For any $\mu_s(z_2) \in H^\bullet(M_s)$, $S^\ell(\beta) \in H^\bullet(\text{Sym}^\ell(X))$, and $z_2 \in \mathbb{A}_2(X)$, the equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.1) \quad & \langle \nu^\delta \smile \pi_s^* \mu_s(z_2) \smile \pi_X^* S^\ell(\beta), [\mathbf{BL}_{t,s}^{vir}] \rangle \\
& = \sum_i n^{-\delta} \langle \iota_i^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_s^* \mu_s(z_2) \smile \check{\pi}_{X,i}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), [\mathbf{QL}_{t,s}^{vir}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

holds where n and $\check{\nu}$ are defined in Lemma 9.2.1, $\check{\pi}_{X,i}$ in (9.1.5), and $\check{\pi}_s$ in item (6) of Proposition 9.1.1.

PROOF. From items (4), (5), and (6) of Proposition 9.1.1 and from Lemma 9.2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \nu^\delta \smile \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \pi_X^* S^\ell(\beta), [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
&= n^{-\delta} \langle (Q^* \check{\nu})^\delta \smile Q^* \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \smile Q^* \pi_{Q, X}^* S^\ell(\beta), [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
&= n^{-\delta} \langle Q^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \smile \check{\pi}_{Q, X}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
&= n^{-\delta} \langle \check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \smile \check{\pi}_{Q, X}^* S^\ell(\beta), Q_* [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
&= n^{-\delta} \sum_i \langle \check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}} \smile \check{\pi}_{X, i}^* S^\ell(\beta), (\iota_i)_* [\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where the final equality follows from Lemma 9.2.2 and the definition of $\check{\pi}_{Q, X}$ in terms of $\check{\pi}_{X, i}$. \square

The description of the fiber bundle structures in the preceding section gives the following expression for the pairing on the right-hand-side of equation (9.4.1).

LEMMA 9.4.2. *Continue the notation of Lemma 9.4.1. For $\mathcal{P}_i = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$, let $k(\mathcal{P}_i)$ be the constant defined in Lemma 9.3.5. Write $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2)$ and $\tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$ for $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \times 1$ and $1 \times \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$ respectively. Then there are universal polynomials,*

$$m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|, \delta}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) \in H^{2\delta - k(\mathcal{P}_i)}(M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i); \mathbb{R}),$$

in the cohomology classes $c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}})$ and $\tilde{S}^\ell(\alpha)$ where $\alpha = c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s})$, $\alpha = e(X)$, or $\alpha = p_1(X)$ with coefficients depending only on δ and the partition $\ell = |P_1| + \dots + |P_r|$, where $\mathcal{P}_i = \{P_1, \dots, P_r\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \iota_i^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \check{\pi}_{X, i}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), [\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\
&= \langle m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|, \delta}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) \smile \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta), [M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Lemmas 9.3.1 and 9.3.2 allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.4.2) \quad & \langle \iota_i^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \check{\pi}_{X, i}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), [\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\
&= q_i^{-1} \langle \iota_i^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \check{\pi}_{X, i}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), (c_i)_* [\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\
&= q_i^{-1} \langle c_i^* \iota_i^* \left(\check{\nu}^\delta \smile \check{\pi}_{\mathfrak{s}}^* \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \check{\pi}_{X, i}^* S^\ell(\beta) \right), [\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\
&= q_i^{-1} \langle \tilde{\nu}_i^\delta \smile \tilde{\pi}_{Q, i}^* \left(\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta) \right), [\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where in the final step we have adopted the convention of writing $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2)$ for $\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \times 1$ and $\tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$ for $1 \times \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$ mentioned in the statement of the lemma. We then use a pushforward-pullback argument to compute the pairing in (9.4.2). Define

$$\Delta_M : M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}),$$

by the diagonal inclusion $M_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} M_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and the identification $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}) = \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} X$. Composing the diagrams (9.3.5) and (9.3.6) gives the diagram

$$(9.4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}_i} & \text{EH}(\mathcal{P}_i) \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon)/S^1 \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i} \downarrow & & p_i \downarrow \\ M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i) & \xrightarrow{g_i} & \text{BH}(\mathcal{P}_i) \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{g}_i = F_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}} \circ \Delta_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^i$ and $g_i = (\prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} f_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}) \circ \Delta_M$. Lemma 9.3.4 then implies that we can rewrite (9.4.2) as

$$(9.4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & q_i^{-1} \langle \tilde{\nu}_i^\delta \smile \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i}^* (\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)), [\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\ &= q_i^{-1} \langle \tilde{g}_i^* \nu_{H,i}^\delta \smile \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i}^* (\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)), [\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then apply a pushforward-pullback argument to the diagram (9.4.3) to rewrite (9.4.4) as:

$$(9.4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & q_i^{-1} \langle \tilde{g}_i^* \nu_{H,i}^\delta \smile \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i}^* (\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)), [\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{L}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\ &= q_i^{-1} \langle (\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i})_* (\tilde{g}_i^* \nu_{H,i}^\delta \smile \tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i}^* (\mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta))), [M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\ &= q_i^{-1} \langle (\tilde{\pi}_{\mathbf{Q},i})_* (\tilde{g}_i^* \nu_{H,i}^\delta) \smile \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta), [M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\ &= q_i^{-1} \langle (\tilde{g}_i^*(p_i)_* \nu_{H,i}^\delta) \smile \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta), [M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \\ &= q_i^{-1} \langle \tilde{g}_i^* m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|,\delta} \smile \mu_{\mathfrak{s}}(z_2) \smile \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta), [M_{\mathfrak{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_i)] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the final equality follows from Lemma 9.3.5. By the definition of g_i in terms of the maps $f_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ and by the definition of the maps $f_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ as classifying maps for the bundles $P_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\text{Fr}(TX)$, the pullback $\tilde{g}_i^* m_{|\mathcal{P}_i|,\delta}$ is given by a universal polynomial in the characteristic classes of $P_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}$ and $\text{Fr}(TX)$. By Lemma 9.3.3, these characteristic classes are $2c_1(\mathbb{L}_{\mathfrak{s}}) + c_1(\mathfrak{t}) - c_1(\mathfrak{s})$, $e(X)$, and $p_1(X)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

9.5. Proofs of the main theorems

We now prove Theorems 9.0.5, 0.0.1, and 9.0.6.

PROOF OF THEOREM 9.0.5. Recall the equality in (9.0.18):

$$(9.5.1) \quad \# \left(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(h^{\delta-2m} x^m) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}} \right) = \langle \bar{\mu}_p(h^{\delta-2m} x^m) \smile \bar{\mu}_c^\eta \smile \bar{e}_I \smile \bar{e}_s, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle.$$

If we abbreviate $L_h = -\frac{1}{2}\langle c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s}), h \rangle$ and $\alpha = 2\mu_{\mathbf{s}} - \nu$, then we can rewrite (9.5.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \# \left(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(h^{\delta-2m}x^m) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^\eta \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}} \right) \\
& = \left\langle \left(\alpha L_h + \pi_X^* S^\ell(h) \right)^{\delta-2m} \smile \left(-\frac{1}{4}\alpha^2 + S^\ell(x) \right)^m \right. \\
(9.5.2) \quad & \quad \left. \smile \nu^\eta \smile (-\nu)^{r\Xi} \smile \bar{e}_I, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \right\rangle \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{\delta-m} \sum_{i+2j=r} (-1)^{r\Xi} C_{\delta,m}(i,j) L_h^i \langle \alpha^r \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \\
& \quad \smile \nu^{\eta+r\Xi} \smile \bar{e}_I, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{\delta,m}(i,j) = \binom{\delta-2m}{i} \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j 2^{-r}.$$

The expression for \bar{e}_I in Proposition 8.4.7 implies that we can write the terms in (9.5.2) as

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.5.3) \quad & L_h^i \langle \alpha^r \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile \nu^{\eta+r\Xi} \smile \bar{e}_I, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \lambda_h^i \langle \alpha^r \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile \nu^{\eta+r\Xi+j} \smile n_{\ell,j}, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $n_{\ell,j} \in H^{2\ell-2j}(M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X); \mathbb{R})$ is a polynomial in $\mu_{\mathbf{s}}(\cdot)$ and $S^\ell(c(\mathbf{t}))$ with coefficients depending only on the partition $\ell = |P_1| + \dots + |P_n|$. Proposition 8.6.3 and the expression for the Segre classes $s_i(N)$ in [19, Lemma 4.11] imply that the terms in the sum (9.5.3) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.5.4) \quad & L_h^i \langle \alpha^r \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile \nu^{\eta+r\Xi+j} \smile n_{\ell,j}, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
& = L_h^i \langle S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile s_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s},r}(\nu, \mu_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{t}, X), [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $s_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s},r}(\nu, \mu_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{t}, X)$ is a polynomial in ν , $\pi_{\mathbf{s}}^* \mu_{\mathbf{s}}(\cdot)$ and $\pi_X^* S^\ell(\alpha)$ where α is $c_1(\mathbf{t})$, $c_1(\mathbf{s})$, $e(X)$ or $p_1(X)$ and the coefficients of $s_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s},r}(\nu, \mu_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{t}, X)$ depend only on the homotopy type of \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{s} , and X . Applying Lemmas 9.4.1 and 9.4.2 to (9.5.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.5.5) \quad & \langle S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile s_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s},r}(\nu, \mu_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{t}, X), [\mathbf{BL}_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}^{\text{vir}}] \rangle \\
& = \sum_{k=0}^r \lambda_h^i \langle q(\mathcal{P}_k) \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j}, [M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $q(\mathcal{P}_k) \in H^\bullet(M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k))$ is a polynomial in $\mu_{\mathbf{s}}(\cdot)$ and $S^\ell(\alpha)$ where α can equal $c_1(\mathbf{t})$, $c_1(\mathbf{s})$, $(c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s}))^2$, $e(X)$ or $p_1(X)$. Because $b^1(X) = 0$, the equality

$$[M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)] = [M_{\mathbf{s}}] \times [\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)]$$

implies that the expressions in (9.5.5) will vanish unless they contain a factor $\mu_{\mathbf{s}}(x)^{d_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{s})/2}$. Hence, the terms in the sum in (9.5.5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
(9.5.6) \quad & \lambda_h^i \langle q(\mathcal{P}_k) \smile S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j}, [M_{\mathbf{s}} \times \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)] \rangle \\
& = SW(\mathbf{s}) \lambda_h^i \langle S^\ell(h)^{\delta-2m-i} \smile S^\ell(x)^{m-j} \smile \alpha_{\mathbf{t},\mathbf{s}}(\delta, m, j, k), [\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}}(\delta, m, j, k) \in H^\bullet(\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k))$ is a polynomial in $S^\ell(\alpha)$ for α equal to $c_1(\mathbf{t})$, $c_1(\mathbf{s})$, $(c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s}))^2$, $p_1(X)$, and $e(X)$. Because $\Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}_k)$ is a product of $|\mathcal{P}_k|$ copies of the four-dimensional space X and because $S^\ell(h)$ is a two-dimensional cohomology class, terms in (9.5.6) will vanish unless each factor of $S^\ell(h)$ is paired either with another factor of $S^\ell(h)$ or a factor $S^\ell(\alpha_2)$ where $\alpha_2 = c_1(\mathbf{t})$ or $\alpha_2 = c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s})$. Pairing $S^\ell(h)$ with itself will give powers of $Q_X(h)$, pairing $S^\ell(h)$ with $S^\ell(\alpha_2)$ will give powers of $\langle c_1(\mathbf{t}), h \rangle$ or $\langle c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s}), h \rangle$. Thus, recalling the definition of λ_h , we see that the term (9.5.6) must be a sum over terms of the form

$$(\langle c_1(\mathbf{t}) - c_1(\mathbf{s}), h \rangle)^i (\langle c_1(\mathbf{t}), h \rangle)^j Q_X(h)^k$$

where $i + j + 2k = \delta - 2m$. We note that the power k in $Q_X(h)^k$ must be less than the length of the partition \mathcal{P} so $k < \ell$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We record a particular case of Theorem 9.0.5 in a form which may prove useful in attempts to prove Witten's conjecture.

PROPOSITION 9.5.1. *Continue the notation and hypotheses of Theorem 9.0.5. In addition, assume that $\dim M_{\mathbf{s}} = 0$ and that*

$$2(\delta + \eta) = \dim \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{t}} - 2.$$

Then, for $\mathfrak{s}_h = \langle c_1(\mathbf{s}), h \rangle$ and $\lambda_h = \langle \Lambda, h \rangle$,

$$(9.5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \nu^{\delta+\eta-2m-i} \smile \pi_X^* S^\ell(h)^i \smile \bar{\mu}_p(x)^m \smile \bar{e}_I \smile e_s, [\bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}}^{vir}] \rangle \\ &= SW(\mathbf{s}) \sum_{j=0}^{[i/2]} f_{\delta_0, \ell, m, \eta, i, j}(\mathfrak{s}_h, \lambda_h) Q_X(h)^j, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_{\delta_0, \ell, m, \eta, i, j}(\mathfrak{s}_h, \lambda_h) = \sum_{k=0}^{i-2j} a_{\delta_0, \ell, m, \eta, i, j, k} \mathfrak{s}_h^{i-2j-k} \lambda_h^k,$$

and the coefficients $a_{\delta_0, \ell, m, \eta, i, j, k}$ depend on $\delta_0, \ell, m, \eta, i, j, k, \chi, \sigma, \Lambda^2, c_1(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \Lambda$, and $c_1(\mathbf{s})^2$.

PROOF. Under the assumption of the proposition, equation (9.5.3) reduces to the expression on the left-hand-side of (9.5.7). Thus, the proposition follows from the proof of Theorem 9.0.5 from (9.5.3) to the end. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 9.0.6. The preceding proof of Theorem 9.0.5 shows that the intersection number can be reduced to expressions of the form on the left-hand-side of (9.5.6). The condition that $\langle \mu_{\mathbf{s}}(z_2), [M_{\mathbf{s}}] \rangle = 0$ for all $z_2 \in \mathbb{A}_2(X)$ implies that the expression on the right-hand-side of (9.5.6) vanishes and hence the intersection number vanishes. \square

The definition of the Donaldson invariant in (1.5.2) requires that we work on the blow-up of X , $\tilde{X} = X \# \bar{\mathbb{C}P}^2$. Hence, before beginning the proof of Theorem 0.0.1 we will need to restate Theorem 9.0.5 in a form useful for computations on \tilde{X} .

Let $e \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the fundamental class of the exceptional curve and write $e^* = \text{PD}[e]$. We will identify elements of the homology and cohomology of X with elements of the homology and cohomology of \tilde{X} by means of the obvious inclusions.

By the discussions in [59, §12.4] or [52, §4.6], for $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$, there is a unique spin^c structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k \in \text{Spin}^c(\tilde{X})$ such that $c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) = c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + (2k-1)e^*$ and all such spin^c structures on \tilde{X} are so described. The dimensions of the corresponding Seiberg-Witten moduli space satisfy:

$$d_s(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) = d_s(\mathfrak{s}) - k(k-1).$$

LEMMA 9.5.2. *Continue the notation and hypotheses of Theorem 9.0.5. Let $\tilde{X} = X \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ be the blow-up of X and let $e \in H_2(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ be the exceptional curve. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ be a spin^u structure on \tilde{X} satisfying*

$$p_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) = p_1(\mathfrak{t}) - 1, \quad c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) = c_1(\mathfrak{t}), \quad w_2(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) \equiv w_2(\mathfrak{t}) + \text{PD}[e] \pmod{2}.$$

Then,

$$(9.5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \# \left(\bar{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^n \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k} \right) \\ &= SW_X(\mathfrak{s}) \sum_{i=0}^{\min(\ell, \lfloor \frac{\delta-2m}{2} \rfloor)} (\tilde{q}_{\delta, \ell, m, i, k}(c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - c_1(\mathfrak{t}), c_1(\mathfrak{t})) Q_X^i)(h). \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{q}_{\delta, \ell, m, i, k}$ are degree $\delta - 2m - 2i$ homogeneous polynomials which are universal functions of the constants given in Theorem 0.0.1.

PROOF. The proof follows that of Theorem 9.0.5 once one has made the following observations. By equation (1.3.13), the levels of \mathfrak{s} and $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k$ can be compared by:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) &= (c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) - c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}))^2 - p_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) \\ &= (c_1(\mathfrak{s}) - c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}))^2 - (2k-1)^2 - p_1(\mathfrak{t}) + 1 \\ &= 4\ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}) - 4k(k-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\ell(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) \leq \ell(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s})$. Next, by [52, Theorem 4.6.8],

$$SW(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) = \begin{cases} \pm SW(\mathfrak{s}) & \text{if } d(\mathfrak{s}) \geq k(k-1), \\ 0 & \text{if } d(\mathfrak{s}) < k(k-1). \end{cases}$$

Then, the lemma follows from the proof of Theorem 9.0.5. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 0.0.1. To compute the Donaldson polynomial appearing on the left-hand-side of equation (0.0.1), we select a spin^u structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ on \tilde{X} with $\Lambda = c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}})$ and $p_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}})$ determined by

$$\delta + 1 = -p_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) - \frac{3}{4}(\chi(X) + \sigma(X)),$$

where δ is the constant appearing in (0.0.1). To apply equation (1.6.2), we need to verify that $n_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) > 0$. From the definition of $n_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}})$ in (1.1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4n_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) &= p_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) + \Lambda^2 - \sigma(\tilde{X}) \\ &= -\delta - 1 - \frac{3}{4}(\chi(X) + \sigma(X)) + \Lambda^2 - \sigma(\tilde{X}) + 1 \\ &= -\delta + \Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4}(3\chi(X) + 7\sigma(X)) \\ &= i(\Lambda) - \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $i(\Lambda) = \Lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4}(3\chi + 7\sigma)$ as defined in the statement of Theorem 0.0.1. Hence, the assumption that $\delta < i(\Lambda)$ implies that $n_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}) > 0$. Equation (1.6.2) and the definition of the Donaldson invariant in (1.5.2) then imply that for $z = h^{\delta-2m}x^m$, $n_a = n_a(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}})$, $\tilde{w} = w + e^*$ and $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa$:

$$\begin{aligned} (9.5.9) \quad D_X^w(z) &= \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{M}_{\tilde{\kappa}}^{\tilde{w}}) \\ &= 2^{1-n_a} \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\kappa}}^{\tilde{w}}). \end{aligned}$$

The cobordism formula (7.1.12) then implies that

$$(9.5.10) \quad \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\kappa}}^{\tilde{w}}) = - \sum_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \in \text{Spin}^c(\tilde{X})} (-1)^{\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})} \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}).$$

For any four-manifold Y with $b^1(Y) = 0$, let $B(Y)$ denote the SW basic classes:

$$B(Y) = \{c_1(\mathfrak{s}) : \mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y) \text{ and } SW_Y(\mathfrak{s}) \neq 0\}.$$

By [52, Theorem 4.6.8], the basic classes of \tilde{X} and X are related by:

$$B(\tilde{X}) = \{c_1(\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) : c_1(\mathfrak{s}) \in B(X) \text{ and } d_s(\mathfrak{s}) - k(k-1) \geq 0\}.$$

Theorem 9.0.6 then implies that the sum in (9.5.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} (9.5.11) \quad & - \sum_{\tilde{\mathfrak{s}} \in B(\tilde{X})} (-1)^{\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}})} \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}}) \\ & = - \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in B(X)} \sum_{\substack{k^2-k \leq d_s(\mathfrak{s}) \\ k=0}} (-1)^{\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k)} \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k}) \end{aligned}$$

The definition of $\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k)$ in (7.1.9) implies that

$$\mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}}(\tilde{w}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k) = \frac{1}{4}(w + e^* - \Lambda + c_1(\mathfrak{s}) + (2k-1)e^*)^2 = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s}) - k.$$

Thus, we can rewrite the sum in (9.5.11) as

$$(9.5.12) \quad - \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in B(X)} (-1)^{\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{t}}(w, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\substack{k^2-k \leq d_s(\mathfrak{s}) \\ k=0}} (-1)^k \#(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(ze) \cap \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{n_a-1} \cap \bar{\mathbf{L}}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_k}).$$

Theorem 0.0.1 then follows from the equalities (9.5.9)-(9.5.12) and from Lemma 9.5.2. \square

The Kotschick-Morgan conjecture

We now show how the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture, [34, Conjecture 6.2.2], can be reduced to a gluing theorem analogous to Theorem 6.7.1. The methods for this reduction are exactly those that lead to the proof of Theorem 9.0.5. Hence, there will be little new work in this section; instead, we will reference the analogous discussion from earlier sections.

Throughout this discussion, we will assume that X is a smooth, closed, and oriented four-manifold with $b^+(X) = 1$ and $b^1(X) = 0$.

10.1. Cobordisms and reducible connections

As described in §0.2, the Donaldson invariant of a manifold with $b^+ = 1$ depends on the metric. The Kotschick-Morgan conjecture describes how the Donaldson invariant changes with the metric. These changes are given by intersection numbers on the link of reducible connections in the cobordism (10.1.1). We therefore now review when such connections appear in the cobordism.

Let g_t be a Riemannian metric on X . Let $M_\kappa^w(g_t)$ be the moduli space of connections which are anti-self-dual with respect to the metric g_t , as defined in (1.2.1) and let $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t)$ be the Uhlenbeck compactification. A smooth path of Riemannian metrics, g_I , on X defines a cobordism of moduli spaces:

$$(10.1.1) \quad \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I) = \{[A, \mathbf{x}, t] : [A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t) \text{ and } t \in [-1, 1]\}.$$

To simplify the discussion, we assume that w is good in the sense of Definition 1.2.1. By the blow-up trick of [49], this assumption can always be satisfied.

Recall that if $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa^w \rightarrow X$ is an $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ bundle, then a reducible connection on \mathfrak{g}_κ^w corresponds to a reduction, $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa^w \simeq \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus L$, where $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow X$ is the trivial real line bundle, $L \rightarrow X$ is a complex line bundle with $c_1(L) \equiv w \pmod{2}$, and $c_1(L)^2 = p_1(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa^w) = -4\kappa$ (see e.g. [9, Prop. 4.2.15]). With respect to the splitting $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa^w \simeq \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus L$, such a reducible connection is given by $A = \Theta_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_L$ where $\Theta_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the trivial connection on the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}}$ and A_L is a connection on L . The connection $\Theta_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_L$ will be anti-self-dual if and only if A_L is. Let $\omega^+(g)$ indicates the unique (once an orientation for $H^+(X)$ is specified) unit-length harmonic form for the metric g . Then, by [9, p. 147], the connection A_L will be anti-self-dual if and only if

$$(10.1.2) \quad \omega^+(g) \smile F_{A_L} = 0.$$

We summarize the above discussion in the following.

LEMMA 10.1.1. *The compactification $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_t)$ contains a family of reducible connections homeomorphic to*

$$[A] \times \mathrm{Sym}^\ell(X) \subset \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I),$$

where $A = \Theta_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_L$ corresponding to a reduction $\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w \simeq \underline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus L$ for a complex line bundle L where $\ell \geq 0$ if and only if

$$(10.1.3) \quad c_1(L)^2 = -4(\kappa + \ell) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad c_1(L) \equiv w \pmod{2},$$

and (10.1.2) holds.

10.2. Cohomology classes on the cobordism

Let \mathcal{A}_{κ}^w be the space of L_k^2 ($k \geq 2$) orthogonal connections on \mathfrak{g}_{κ}^w and for $E \rightarrow X$ a rank-two, complex Hermitian bundle with $\mathfrak{su}(E) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\kappa}^w$, let \mathcal{G}_E be the L_{k+1}^2 , determinant-one, unitary gauge transformations of E . The group \mathcal{G}_E acts, through the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{su}(E) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\kappa}^w$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^w = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa}^w / \mathcal{G}_E$ be the quotient space of connections. Define

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w = \cup_{\ell=0}^{[\kappa]} \mathcal{B}_{\kappa-\ell}^w \times \text{Sym}^{\ell}(X).$$

Define the topology on $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w$ by Uhlenbeck convergence. Then, there is an inclusion ι_M defined by the composition

$$\iota_M : \bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_I) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w.$$

The reducible connections in $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w$ are given by

$$\bar{R}_{\kappa}^w = \{[A, \mathbf{x}] \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w : A \text{ is reducible}\},$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w,*} = \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^w - \bar{R}_{\kappa}^w, \quad \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^{w,*} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^w - \bar{R}_{\kappa}^w, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{M}_{\kappa}^{w,*}(g_I) = \bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_I) - \iota_M^{-1}(\bar{R}_{\kappa}^w).$$

For $z \in \mathbb{A}(X)$, let $\mu(z) \in H^{\deg(z)}(\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w,*}; \mathbb{R})$ be the cohomology class from [9, Definition 5.1.12] or [35, §2.2]. We note that the cohomology class $\mu_p(z)$ defined in (1.4.4) is the pullback, by the projection $\mathcal{C}_t^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w,*}$ of $\mu(z)$. In [34, Lemma 4.1.2], there is defined a cohomology class

$$\bar{\mu}(z) \in H^{\deg(z)}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\kappa}^{w,*}; \mathbb{R})$$

whose restriction to $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w,*}$ equals $\mu(z)$. In addition, if $\bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_t) \cap \bar{R}_{\kappa}^w$ is empty for $t = \pm 1$, then the Donaldson invariant for the Riemannian metric g_t is given by

$$D_X^w(g_t)(z) = \langle \bar{\mu}(z), [\bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_t)] \rangle.$$

For each reducible connection $[A, \mathbf{x}] \in \iota_M(\bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_I))$ corresponding to a reduction given by the complex line bundle L , let $\bar{U}_{\kappa}^w(L)$ be a closed neighborhood of $[A, \mathbf{x}]$ in $\iota_M(\bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_I))$. The *difference term* corresponding to this reducible is:

$$(10.2.1) \quad KM_{L,\kappa}^w = \langle \iota_M^* \bar{\mu}(z), [\partial \bar{U}_{\kappa}^w(L)] \rangle.$$

Computations of the difference term for $\ell = 0$ appeared in [7, 33], for $\ell = 1$ in [70, 38], and for $\ell = 2$ in [38], where ℓ is the level of the reducibles as it appears in Lemma 10.1.1. We will give details of the construction of $\bar{U}_{\kappa}^w(L)$ in subsequent sections to show that the boundary of this neighborhood admits a fundamental class. The sum, over all reducibles appearing in $\iota_M(\bar{M}_{\kappa}^w(g_I))$, of the difference terms (10.2.1) gives the difference

$$D_X^w(g_1)(z) - D_X^w(g_{-1})(z)$$

of the Donaldson invariants for the metrics g_1 and g_{-1} .

10.3. Neighborhoods of reducibles

To compute the difference term (10.2.1), we show how to parameterize a neighborhood of a reducible connection in $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$.

10.3.1. The Kuranishi picture for reducible connections. We first record a description of a neighborhood of a reducible connection in the parameterized moduli space $M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_I)$.

LEMMA 10.3.1. *Let $[A] \in M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_I)$ be a reducible connection, $A = \Theta_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus A_L$ with respect to the splitting $\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w$. Then, for generic paths of metrics g_I and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2n = \dim M_{\kappa-\ell}^w + 1$, there is a ball $B_A(\delta) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and an embedding $B_A(\delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\kappa-\ell}^w$ covering an open embedding*

$$\varphi_A : B_A(\delta)/S^1 \rightarrow \iota_M(M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_I)),$$

with $\varphi_A(0) = [A]$ and whose image is an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_A of $[A]$ in $\iota_M(M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_I))$. Here S^1 acts on $B_A(\delta)$ by scalar multiplication on \mathbb{C}^n .

PROOF. The lemma follows from a standard argument using the Kuranishi lemma [9, Prop. 4.2.19] and the transversality of the period map $g \mapsto \omega^+(g)$ from [9, Prop. 4.3.12]. An extended discussion of this appears in [13, §2.6]. \square

10.3.2. Gluing maps. With the description of a neighborhood of a reducible connection $[A]$ in $\iota_M(M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_I))$ from Lemma 10.3.1, we are now ready to describe the gluing map model for neighborhoods of the points $[A] \times \Sigma$ in $\iota_M(\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I))$, where $\Sigma \subset \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is a smooth stratum.

Let \mathcal{P} be a partition of N_ℓ with $\Sigma = \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$. For $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ as defined in (5.1.2) with $\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{t}(\ell)}$, we abbreviate

$$\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}).$$

The reduction $\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus L$ and the S^1 -action on L defined by scalar multiplication define an S^1 -action on $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w)$. This S^1 -action in turn defines an S^1 -action on $\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P})$,

$$(10.3.1) \quad S^1 \times \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P})$$

given by the diagonal S^1 -action on the factors of $\text{Fr}(\mathfrak{g}_{\kappa-\ell}^w)$ making up $\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P})$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \rightarrow S^4$ be the $\text{SO}(3)$ -bundle with $p_1(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa) = -4\kappa$. Recall that $\bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta)$ was the Uhlenbeck compactification of the space of framed, anti-self-dual, mass-centered connections on \mathfrak{g}_κ , with scale less than or equal to δ . We can identify Σ with the subspace of

$$\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$$

given by the points $[\Theta, F^s, c] \in \bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta_P)$ where Θ is the trivial connection and $c \in \text{Sym}^{|P|}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is the point totally concentrated at the origin.

Following the construction in §5.3.1, there is an open neighborhood

$$(10.3.2) \quad \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) \subset \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bar{M}_\kappa^{s, \natural}(\delta_P),$$

of the subspace identified with Σ and a splicing map,

$$(10.3.3) \quad \gamma'_\mathcal{P} : B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{B}_\kappa^w,$$

where S^1 acts diagonally by the action in Lemma 10.3.1 on $B_A(\delta)$ and by the action (10.3.1) on $\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P})$. This splicing map is defined in detail in [13, §3.3]. The desired neighborhood of $[A] \times \Sigma$ in $\iota_M(\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I))$ is then given by the image of a gluing map.

THEOREM 10.3.2. [64, 13] *Let $[A]$ be a reducible connection in $M_{\kappa-\ell}^w(g_t)$ and let $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ be the subspace defined in (10.3.2). Then, there is a smoothly-stratified embedding*

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{P}} : B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \iota_M(\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)),$$

which is homotopic through smoothly-stratified maps to the splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{P}}$ of (10.3.3) and whose image parameterizes a neighborhood of $[A] \times \Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ in $\iota_M(M_\kappa^w(g_I))$.

The neighborhood $\bar{U}_\kappa^w(L)$ of $[A] \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ discussed in §10.2 is then the union, over partitions \mathcal{P} , of the images of the gluing maps from Theorem 10.3.2. To compute the pairing (10.2.1), we will need to understand the overlap of the images $\text{Im}(\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}) \cap \text{Im}(\gamma_{\mathcal{P}'})$. This is precisely the problem addressed in §5.

10.4. The space of global splicing data

In the following, we will adapt the methods of §5 to the cobordism $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$ to create a space of global splicing data.

10.4.1. Changing the domain. First, we change the domain of the gluing map. Define

$$\text{Gl}(L, \mathcal{P}) = \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}),$$

where $\bar{M}(\mathcal{P})$ is the product of the spliced-ends moduli spaces defined in (5.1.5). Redefine $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ as an open neighborhood of the subspace identified with Σ in $\text{Gl}(L, \mathcal{P})$.

10.4.2. The crude splicing map. The flattening construction of Lemma 5.2.4, applied to the connections $\varphi_A(B_A(\delta))$ defines a crude splicing map

$$\gamma''_{L, \mathcal{P}} : B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^w.$$

To be precise, $\gamma''_{L, \mathcal{P}}$ is defined by the connection A'' appearing in (5.3.7).

10.4.3. Overlap spaces and maps. Next, we define a space of overlap data. Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Following (5.4.1), we define

$$\text{Gl}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) = \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}) \times_{G(\mathcal{P})} \prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (\Delta^\circ(Z_{|P|}(\delta_P), \mathcal{P}''_P) \times \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}''_P)).$$

To define the upwards overlap map, we follow (5.4.9) and introduce the space

$$\text{Gl}(L, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) = \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P}'' \in [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''}} \text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P}'') \times_{\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}'')} \prod_{Q \in \mathcal{P}''} \bar{M}_{spl, |Q|}^{s, \natural} \right) / \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}).$$

If we define an open subspace $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \subset \text{Gl}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ by the condition identical to the condition (5.4.11), then we can define an S^1 -equivariant upward transition map

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{u, L} : B_A(\delta) \times \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow B_A(\delta) \times \bar{\text{Gl}}(L, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$$

exactly as was done for the upwards transition map $\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{\text{ts},L}$ in (5.4.12). By S^1 -equivariant we mean equivariant with respect to the S^1 action on the domain given by the diagonal action on $B_A(\delta)$ and on $\text{Fr}(L, \mathcal{P})$ and the same action on the image.

If we further assume that the open subspace $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfies the condition in (5.4.16), we can define an S^1 -equivariant downward transition map

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{d,L} : B_A(\delta) \times \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) \rightarrow B_A(\delta) \times \text{Gl}(L, \mathcal{P}).$$

by the construction in (5.4.13) and (5.4.14).

As described in the proof of Proposition 5.4.3, if the neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ and $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}'')$ are sufficiently small, then we can find an open set $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ such that the overlap of the images of the crude splicing maps is controlled by the overlap space in the sense that:

$$(10.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}}(B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})) \cap \gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}'}(B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}')) \\ &= (\gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}} \circ \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{d,L})(B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])). \end{aligned}$$

More formally, we have the following statement.

PROPOSITION 10.4.1. *Let $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ be partitions of N_ℓ . Assume the families of metrics $g_{\mathcal{P}''}$ and $g_{\mathcal{P}}$ used to define the crude splicing maps $\gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}}$ and $\gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}''}$ satisfy the conditions in §5.1.2. Then there are open neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$ and an $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P})$ -invariant family of neighborhoods $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}'')$ of $\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}'')$ such that if the open set $\mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ satisfies the analogues of the conditions (5.4.11), (5.4.16), and those following (5.4.19), the diagram*

$$(10.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{B}_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{L,u}} & \tilde{B}_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{P},[\mathcal{P}']}^{L,d} \downarrow & & \gamma''_{L,[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']} \downarrow \\ \tilde{B}_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\gamma''_{L,\mathcal{P}}} & \bar{B}_\kappa^w \end{array}$$

commutes and (10.4.1) holds.

PROOF. The proof is identical to that of Proposition 5.4.3. □

10.4.4. Defining the space. We define the space of global splicing data by

$$\bar{U}_\kappa^w(L) = \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P}} B_A(\delta) \times \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}) \right) / \sim$$

where the relation \sim is defined by the pushout-diagram (10.4.2) as was done in (5.5.1) or in Lemma 5.5.3. Because the transition maps are S^1 -equivariant, the S^1 -actions defining the quotients $B_A(\delta) \times_{S^1} \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ of each open set define a global S^1 -action on $\bar{U}_\kappa^w(L)$.

By Proposition 10.4.1, there is then a global crude splicing map:

$$\gamma''_{\mathcal{U},L} : \bar{U}_\kappa^w(L) / S^1 \rightarrow \bar{B}_\kappa^w.$$

10.4.5. Thom-Mather structures. We consider $\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}) = B_A(\delta) \times \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P})$ as a subspace of $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)$ and define maps

$$\pi(L, \mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow B_A(\delta) \times \Sigma, \quad \vec{t}(L, \mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathcal{P}}$$

as was done in (5.6.2) and (5.6.8).

The proof of Lemma 5.6.2 then implies that for $\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'$ on $\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}')$ we have the equality

$$\pi(L, \mathcal{P}) \circ \pi(L, \mathcal{P}') = \pi(L, \mathcal{P}).$$

The analogues of Lemmas 5.6.5 and 5.6.6 hold for $\vec{t}(L, \mathcal{P})$

10.4.6. Global projection map. By the arguments of Lemma 5.8.2, we can define a map

$$\pi_{L, X} : \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)/S^1 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$$

whose restriction to $\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P})$ is homotopic to $\pi(L, \mathcal{P})$.

10.4.7. Global splicing map. The construction of the global splicing map $\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ of (5.7.5) translate to give a global splicing map

$$\gamma'_L : \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)/S^1 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa^w,$$

whose restriction to $\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P})$ is homotopic to the crude splicing map $\gamma''_{L, \mathcal{P}}$.

10.4.8. Gluing theorem. We can now restate the gluing theorem.

THEOREM 10.4.2. *There is a smoothly-stratified, continuous embedding*

$$\gamma_L : \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)/S^1 \rightarrow \bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$$

satisfying

- (1) *The restriction of γ_L to $[A] \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ is the identity,*
- (2) *There is a homotopy, through smoothly-stratified embeddings between γ'_L and γ_L ,*
- (3) *The image of γ_L is an open neighborhood of $[A] \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ in $\bar{M}_\kappa^w(g_I)$.*

10.5. Cohomology classes on the global splicing data space

If we define

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L) = \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L) - \left([A] \times \text{Sym}^\ell(X) \right),$$

then the S^1 action on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)$ is free on $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L)$. Define

$$\nu \in H^2(\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L)/S^1; \mathbb{Z})$$

to be the first Chern class of the S^1 bundle $\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L) \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L)/S^1$. From [34, Lemma 4.7.4], we have the following computation of the pullback of the μ -classes by the gluing map.

LEMMA 10.5.1. *For $h \in H_2(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $x \in H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$ a generator,*

- (1) $\gamma_L^* \bar{\mu}(h) = \frac{1}{2} \langle c_1(L), h \rangle \nu + \pi_{L, X}^* S^\ell(h)$
- (2) $\gamma_L^* \bar{\mu}(x) = -\frac{1}{4} \nu^2 + \pi_{L, X}^* S^\ell(x)$.

10.6. Defining the link

We define a link $\partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)$ following the construction of §7.1.1. The square of the standard norm on \mathbb{C}^n defines an S^1 -invariant norm on $B_A(\delta)$ and thus a map

$$t_B : \bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^{w,*}(L)/S^1 \rightarrow [0, \delta].$$

Enumerate the strata of $\text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ by partitions $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ in the manner described in §2.4. Pick a small, generic constant ε_i for each stratum as was done in §7.1.1 (with $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$ for $i < j$). Following (7.1.5), define

$$\partial\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}_i) = \vec{t}(L, \mathcal{P}_i)^{-1}(\partial\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i)) - \cup_{j \neq i} \vec{t}(L, \mathcal{P}_j)^{-1}(D(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon_j)).$$

Then, following (7.1.2), (7.1.3), and (7.1.4), we define the link by

$$\partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L) = t_B^{-1}(\delta) \cup (\cup_i \partial\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}_i)).$$

The existence of a fundamental class for the link $\partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)$ follows from the discussion in §8.2. Then, up to a sign depending on the orientation discussed in [34, p. 450-451], the difference term is given by:

$$(10.6.1) \quad KM_{L,\kappa}^w(h^{\delta-2m}x^m) = \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{2}\langle c_1(L), h \rangle \nu + \pi_{L,X}^* S^\ell(h) \right)^{\delta-2m} \right. \\ \left. - \left(-\frac{1}{4}\nu^2 + \pi_{L,X}^* S^\ell(x) \right)^m, [\partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)] \right\rangle.$$

10.7. Computations

We can now give the proof of the Kotschick-Morgan conjecture.

THEOREM 10.7.1. *The difference term is given by. For $L_h = \langle c_1(L), h \rangle$,*

$$KM_{L,\kappa}^w(h^{\delta-2m}x^m) = \sum_{i=0} a_{\delta,\ell,m,i} L_h^{\delta-2m-2i} Q_X(h)^i,$$

where the coefficients $a_{\delta,\ell,m,i}$ are universal functions of δ , ℓ , m , i , and $\chi(X)$.

PROOF. Using the argument in Lemma 8.6.2 and Proposition 8.6.3, the pairing in (10.6.1) can be reduced to a pairing with $t_B^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L)$. Observe that

$$t_B^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}_i) \subset S^1 \setminus \mathcal{O}(L, \mathcal{P}_i),$$

i.e. $t_B^{-1}(0)$ is given by $\{0\} \in B_A(\delta)$. Then, following Proposition 9.1.1, we construct a quotient space,

$$Q : t_B^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\bar{\mathcal{U}}_\kappa^w(L) \rightarrow Q_\kappa^w(L),$$

satisfying

- (1) There is a map $\check{\pi}_X : Q_\kappa^w(L) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^\ell(X)$ satisfying $\check{\pi} \circ Q = \pi_{L,X}$,
- (2) There is $\check{\nu} \in H^2(Q_\kappa^w(L))$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $Q^*\check{\nu} = r\nu$,
- (3) If $Q_\kappa^w(L, \mathcal{P}_i) = Q(t_B^{-1}(0) \cap \partial\mathcal{U}(L, \mathcal{P}_i))$, then

$$Q_\kappa^w(L, \mathcal{P}_i) \simeq S^1 \setminus \check{\text{Fr}}(L, \mathcal{P}_i) \times_{G(\mathcal{P}_i)} \check{M}(\mathcal{P}_i, \varepsilon_i).$$

We can write the pairing (10.6.1) in terms of a sum over pairings of the form

$$\langle \check{\nu}^i \smile \check{\pi}_X^*(S^\ell(h)^j \smile S^\ell(x)^k), [Q_\kappa^w(L, \mathcal{P}_u)] \rangle.$$

The theorem then follows by an argument analogous to that of Lemma 9.4.2 and of Theorem 9.0.5. \square

INDEX OF NOTATION

	$\Delta^\circ(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$	Equation (4.2.3)
	$d_s(\mathfrak{s})$	Equation (1.3.9)
	D_X^w	§1.5
\mathcal{A}_t	§1.1.2	Equation (8.4.19)
\mathcal{A}_s	§1.3.1	Equation (8.4.25)
$\mathbb{A}_2(X)$	Equation (1.3.7)	Lemma 8.5.3
$\mathbb{A}(X)$	Equation (1.4.3)	Equation (3.1.10)
$\text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(3)}^u, \text{Ad}_{\text{SO}(4)}^u, \text{Ad}^u$	Equation (6.4.1)	Equation (3.2.1)
$\mathcal{B}_\kappa, \mathcal{B}_\kappa^s$	before Equation (4.1.1)	Equation (1.4.1)
$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ P }^s(\varepsilon), \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{ P }^{s, \natural}(\varepsilon)$	Equation (4.1.4)	Equation (8.3.10)
$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\kappa$	Equation (4.1.1)	Equation (8.3.11)
$\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$	Equation (7.1.7)	$\text{Fr}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ Equation (5.1.2)
$\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$	Equation (7.2.4)	$\bar{\text{Fr}}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}_j)$ Equation (9.1.2)
$[\bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}]$	Equation (8.6.3)	$\text{Fr}(V, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$ Equation (6.6.1)
$\partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} \bar{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{L}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_j)$	Equation (7.3.1)	$\mathfrak{g}_t, \mathfrak{g}_V$ Equation (1.1.7)
$c_{\mathcal{P}}$	Equation (3.1.2)	\mathcal{G}_s §1.3.1
$\mathcal{C}_s, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_s$	Equation (1.3.2)	\mathcal{G}_t §1.1.2
$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t$	Equation (1.1.8)	$\bar{\mathcal{G}}\text{I}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$ Equation (5.1.6)
\mathcal{C}_t	Equation (1.1.8)	$\bar{\mathcal{G}}\text{I}(t, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$ Equation (5.4.1)
$\mathcal{C}_t^*, \mathcal{C}_t^0, \mathcal{C}_t^{*,0}$	following Equation (1.1.8)	$\bar{\mathcal{G}}\text{I}(t, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$ Equation (5.4.9)
$c_1(\mathfrak{s})$	Equation (1.1.3)	$\tilde{G}(T, \mathcal{P})$ Equation (3.2.3)
$c_1(t)$	Equation (1.1.6)	$\tilde{G}(\mathcal{P}), G(\mathcal{P})$ Equation (5.1.3)
$[c_{T,\beta}]$	Equation (8.3.4)	$g_{\mathcal{P}}, g_{\mathcal{P},x}$ Lemma 3.2.1
$[c_\beta]$	Equation (8.3.5)	$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$ Equations (4.3.2) & (4.3.3)
$[c_{T,W}]$	Equation (8.3.7)	$\gamma'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}$ Equation (4.4.25)
$[c_W]$	Equation (8.3.8)	$\gamma''_{t,s,\mathcal{P}}$ Equation (5.3.1)
\bar{c}_β	Lemma 8.5.1	$\gamma''_{t,s, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}$ Equation (5.4.18)
$[c(z, \eta)]$	Equation (8.5.2)	$\gamma''_{\mathcal{M}}$ Equation (5.5.5)
c_W	Lemma 8.5.2	$\gamma'_{\mathcal{M}}$ Equation (5.7.5)
$c_{s,\ell,j}$	Equation (8.4.2)	$\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}$ Equation (6.7.1)
$c(t)$	Equation (8.4.3)	ι Equation (8.1.1)
$c_{j,i}$	Equation (7.3.10)	$\iota_{\beta,1}, \iota_{\beta,2}$ Equation (8.3.26)
$\bar{\chi}, \chi_s, \chi_i$	Theorem 6.7.1	$\text{Index}(\mathbf{D}_\kappa^*)$ Equation (6.3.2)
$D(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon), \bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon), \partial\bar{D}(\mathcal{P}, \varepsilon)$	Eqn. (3.6.2)	$\mathcal{I}(Y)$ Equation (8.1.6)
$d(t), d_a(t)$	Equation (1.1.12)	\bar{J}_β Equation (8.3.9)
$\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}), \Delta(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (2.1.4)	K_k Lemma 3.7.2
$\Delta^\circ(Z_P, \mathcal{P}'_P)$	Equation (3.1.5)	$\partial_{k_1} \dots \partial_{k_r} K_j$ Equation (7.3.4)
		\mathbb{L}_s Equation (1.3.5)

\mathbb{L}_ν	Equation (8.1.14)	$\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}'])$	Equation (2.3.4)
$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,\kappa}^w$	Equation (1.2.3)	$\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$	Equation (4.2.4)
$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,s}$	Definition 7.1.3	$\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (4.2.6)
$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$	Equation (7.1.2)	$\tilde{\nu}(Z_\kappa, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$	Equation (4.2.9)
$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},s}$	Equation (7.1.3)	$\tilde{\nu}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$	Equation (4.4.2)
$\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},i}(\mathcal{P}_j)$	Equation (7.1.5)	$\nu(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$	Definition 8.3.8
$[\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}]$	Equation (8.2.3)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 2.3.5
$\ell(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s})$	Equation (1.3.13)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.5.3)
$\lambda([A, \mathbf{x}])$	Equation (4.1.3)	$\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.5.3)
$\tilde{\lambda}_\kappa$	Equation (4.8.1)	$\mathcal{O}(X^\ell, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}''})$	Equation (3.5.5)
\mathcal{M}_t	before Equation(1.1.11)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (4.2.7)
$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_t$	before Equation(1.1.14)	$\mathcal{O}(Z_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 3.1.1
$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{\text{vir}}$	Equation (1.2.2)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 3.2.1
$\mathcal{M}_t^{*,0}$	Equation (1.1.11)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$	Lemma 4.2.2
$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_t^{*,0}$	following Equation(1.2.2)	$\mathcal{O}(Z_\kappa(\delta), [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'])$	Lemma 4.2.4
M_κ^w	Equation (1.2.1)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$	Equation (4.3.1)
M_s	§1.3.1	$\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$	Equation (4.3.2)
$\bar{M}_{spl,\kappa}^{s,\natural}(\delta)$	Proposition 4.0.5	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$	Eqns. (4.4.6) & (4.4.10)
$\bar{M}(\mathcal{P})$	Equation (5.1.5)	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta), \mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$	Eqn. (4.5.1)
$\tilde{M}(\mathcal{P}_k, \varepsilon)$	Definition 9.1.9	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$	Equation (4.5.3)
$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$	Equation (5.5.1)	$\mathcal{O}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$	Equation (4.5.3)
$\mathcal{M}_{t,s}^{\text{vir}}$	Equation (8.1.1)	$\mathcal{O}^{\text{asd}}(\Theta, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}'], \delta)$	Equation (4.5.5)
$\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{t,s}^{\text{vir},*}$	Equation (8.1.2)	$\mathcal{O}_d(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$	Equation (5.4.16)
$\partial_{i_1} \partial_{i_2} \dots \partial_{i_v} \bar{M}(\mathcal{P}_j, \varepsilon)$	Equation (7.3.3)	$\text{Ob}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (6.6.5)
μ_p	Equation (1.4.4)	$\text{Ob}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$	Equation (6.6.9)
μ_s	Equation (1.3.8)	$p_1(\mathbf{t})$	Equation (1.1.6)
μ_c	Equation (1.4.7)	\mathcal{P}	§2.1.1
$\bar{\mu}_c$	Equation (8.3.25)	$[\mathcal{P}]$	Lemma 2.1.4
$\bar{\mu}_p(\beta)$	Definition 8.3.8	$\mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{P}}$	Equation (3.1.4)
N_ℓ	§2.1.1	$[\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']$	Equation (2.2.1)
$n_a(\mathbf{t})$	Equation (1.1.12)	$\text{PD}[\mathcal{V}]$	Equation (8.1.16)
$n_s(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s})$	Equation (1.3.23)	$\pi(Z_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.1.13)
$N_{t,s}$	Equation (1.3.18)	$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.2.2)
ν	Equation (8.1.3)	$\pi(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.2.2)
ν_{Gl}	Equation (8.4.13)	$\pi(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (4.6.1)
$\tilde{\nu}$	Equation (9.2.1)	π_N	Equation (5.5.4)
ν_{Gl}	Equation (8.4.13)	$\pi(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (5.6.2)
$\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (2.3.2)	π_X	Equation (5.8.1)
$\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	Lemma 2.3.2	π_s	Equation (8.1.3)
$\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (2.3.3)	$\pi_{s,X}$	Equation (8.1.4)
$\nu(X^\ell, \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (2.3.4)	$\pi_{N,X}$	Equation (8.1.5)
$\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.3.1)	$\varphi'_{\Theta, \mathcal{P}}$	Equation (6.5.1)
$\tilde{\nu}(X^\ell, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.5.1)	$\varphi''_s(\mathcal{P})$	Equation (6.2.2)
		φ''_s	Equation (6.2.6)

$\varphi'_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s})$	Equation (6.2.7)	\mathfrak{t}	§1.1.1
$\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}}$	Equation (6.6.6)	$\mathfrak{t}(\ell)$	(1.1.13)
$\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, [\mathcal{P} < \mathcal{P}']}$	Equation (6.6.12)	$T\Delta^\circ(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (2.3.1)
φ'_i	Equation (6.6.15)	$T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \delta)$	Equation (4.3.7)
$Q, \mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}$	Proposition 9.1.1	$T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}', \delta)$	Equation (4.4.12)
$Q_{k,i}$	Definition 9.1.5	$T(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], \delta)$	Equation (4.4.22)
q_k	Lemma 9.1.10	$T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (5.1.9)
$[\mathbf{QL}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)]$	Equation (9.2.2)	$T(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'], g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (5.4.4)
$\tilde{\mathbf{QL}}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\text{vir}}(\mathcal{P}_i)$	Lemma 9.3.1	$\Theta_{\mathcal{P}}$	Equation (5.2.6)
$r_{\mathcal{P}}$	Equation (3.1.8)	$t_{\mathcal{P}, 0}$	Equation (3.1.7)
$r(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	Lemma 3.6.1	$t(Z_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 3.1.5
r_i	Lemma 3.7.3	$\tilde{t}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Equation (3.6.1)
\bar{r}_x	Equation (8.3.6)	$\tilde{t}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P}), \bar{t}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (5.6.8)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X,d}$	Equation (3.3.3)	$\tilde{t}_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$	Lemma 5.6.3
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{X,u}$	Equation (3.3.5)	t_N	Equation (7.1.1)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^d$	Equation (3.5.4)	T_i	Lemma 3.7.1
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^u$	Equation (3.5.6)	$T_{k,j}$	Lemma 3.7.2
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, u}$	Equation (4.4.5)	$T(\mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_k)(A_0)$	Equation (9.1.3)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Theta, d}$	Equation (4.4.8)	$\mathcal{U}(Z_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{P}'_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 3.1.1
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, u}$	Equation (4.4.23)	$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(X^\ell, g_{\mathcal{P}})$	Lemma 3.2.1
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Theta, d}$	Equation (4.4.23)	$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$	Lemma 4.2.2
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, d}$	Equation (6.5.5)	$\mathcal{U}(\Theta, \mathcal{P})$	Lemma 4.6.1
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{\Psi, u}$	Equation (6.5.7)	$\bar{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (5.6.1)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, u}$	Equation (5.4.10)	$\mathcal{U}_f(\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}'])$	Equation (5.6.3)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, d}$	Equation (5.4.13)	$\tilde{\Upsilon}^i_{spl, \kappa}$	Equation (6.5.2)
$\rho_{f, \mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{t, s, d}$	Equation (5.4.14)	$\tilde{\Upsilon}(\Theta, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (6.5.4)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, t, s, u}, \rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{\Xi, t, s, d}$	Equation (6.2.3)	$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^s$	Equation (6.2.5)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'}^{V, d}$	Equation (6.6.10)	$\Upsilon_{X, \mathcal{P}}^i/S^1$	Equation (8.4.10)
$\rho_{\mathcal{P}, [\mathcal{P}']}^{V, u}$	Equation (6.6.11)	$\Upsilon_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}^i(\mathcal{P})/S^1$	Equation (8.4.8)
\bar{r}_β	Equation (8.3.3)	V, V^+, V^-	§1.1.1
$R_{k,i}$	Lemma 9.1.2	$\mathcal{V}(z), \bar{\mathcal{V}}(z)$	§1.4
R_k	Equation (9.1.4)	\mathfrak{V}_κ	Equation (6.3.3)
$\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s} \otimes L$	§1.1.1	$\bar{\mathfrak{V}}_\kappa$	Equation (6.3.4)
$\Sigma(X^\ell, \mathcal{P})$	(2.1.7)	\mathfrak{V}_t	Equation (6.1.1)
$\Sigma(Z_\kappa(\delta), \mathcal{P})$	Equation (4.2.3)	$\bar{\mathfrak{V}}_t$	Equation (6.1.2)
$\Sigma(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (5.1.7)	$\mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta)$	Equation (8.1.7)
$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{P}), \Gamma(\mathcal{P}), W(\mathcal{P})$	§2.1.1	$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta)$	Equation (8.1.8)
$SW_X(\mathfrak{s})$	Equation (1.3.10)	$\mathcal{V}_\ell(\Delta, T), \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta, T)$	Equation (8.1.9)
$S^\ell(\beta), \tilde{S}^\ell(\beta)$	Definition 8.1.5	$\mathcal{W}, \bar{\mathcal{W}}$	following Equation(1.4.7)
		W_κ	Equation (4.5.2)
		w	before Equation (1.2.1)
		$\Xi_{\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{s}}$	Equation (1.3.18)
		$\Xi_s(\mathfrak{t}, \mathcal{P})$	Equation (6.2.1)
		$Z_{\mathcal{P}}$	Equation (3.1.1)

$Z(\mathcal{P})$	Equation (3.2.4)
$Z(\mathcal{P})$	Equation (3.1.3)
$Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (3.1.6)
$Z(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}')$	Equation (3.1.6)
$z([A, \mathbf{x}])$	Equation (4.1.2)

Bibliography

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and J. D. S. Jones, *Topological aspects of Yang-Mills theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 97–118.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, *Dirac operators coupled to vector potentials*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **81** (1984), no. 8, Phys. Sci., 2597–2600.
- [3] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [4] Glen E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [5] B. Chen, *A smooth compactification of the moduli space of instantons and its application*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0204287v1>.
- [6] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [7] S. K. Donaldson, *Irrationality and the h-cobordism conjecture*, J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 141–168.
- [8] ———, *The orientation of Yang-Mills moduli spaces and 4-manifold topology*, J. Differential Geom. **26** (1987), 397–428.
- [9] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1990.
- [10] P. M. N. Feehan, *Generic metrics, irreducible rank-one $PU(2)$ monopoles, and transversality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **8** (2000), 905–967, math.DG/9809001.
- [11] ———, *Critical-exponent norms and the slice theorem for the quotient space of connections*, Pac. J. Math. **200** (2001), 71–118; dg-ga/9711004.
- [12] P. M. N. Feehan, P. B. Kronheimer, T. G. Le Ness, and T. S. Mrowka, *$PU(2)$ monopoles and a conjecture of Mariño, Moore, and Peradze*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 169–182, math.DG/9812125.
- [13] P. M. N. Feehan and T. G. Le Ness, *Donaldson invariants and wall-crossing formulas. I: Continuity of gluing and obstruction maps*, arxiv.org/abs/math/9812060.
- [14] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles. III: Existence of gluing and obstruction maps*, submitted to a print journal; math.DG/9907107.
- [15] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles. IV: Surjectivity of gluing maps*, in preparation.
- [16] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles and relations between four-manifold invariants*, Topology Appl. **88** (1998), 111–145, dg-ga/9709022.
- [17] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles. I: Regularity, Uhlenbeck compactness, and transversality*, J. Differential Geom. **49** (1998), 265–410, dg-ga/9710032.
- [18] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles and links of top-level Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **538** (2001), 57–133, math.DG/0007190.
- [19] ———, *$PU(2)$ monopoles. II. Top-level Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces and Witten’s conjecture in low degrees*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **538** (2001), 135–212, dg-ga/9712005.
- [20] ———, *$SO(3)$ monopoles, level-one Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces, and Witten’s conjecture in low degrees*, Proceedings of the 1999 Georgia Topology Conference (Athens, GA), vol. 124, 2002, math.DG/0106238, pp. 221–326.
- [21] ———, *On Donaldson and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Topology and geometry of manifolds (Athens, GA, 2001), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 237–248.
- [22] ———, *$SO(3)$ -monopoles: the overlap problem*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 47, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 97–118.
- [23] R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan, *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [24] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Stratified Morse theory*, Springer, New York, 1980.

- [25] L. Göttsche, *Modular forms and Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 827–843, alg-geom/9506018.
- [26] L. Göttsche, H. Nakajima, and K. Yoshioka, *Instanton counting and Donaldson invariants*, J. Differential Geom. **80** (2008), no. 3, 343–390, arXiv:math/0606180. MR 2472477 (2009k:14080)
- [27] ———, *K-theoretic Donaldson invariants via instanton counting*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **5** (2009), 1029–1111, arXiv:math/0611945. MR 2532713 (2010i:14009)
- [28] ———, *Donaldson = Seiberg-Witten from Mochizuki’s formula and instanton counting*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 1, 307–359, arXiv:1001.5024, doi:10.2977/PRIMS/37. MR 2827729 (2012f:14085)
- [29] L. Göttsche and D. Zagier, *Jacobi forms and the structure of Donaldson invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_+ = 1$* , Selecta Math. (N.S.) **4** (1998), no. 1, 69–115, arXiv:alg-geom/9612020, doi:10.1007/s000290050025. MR 1623706 (99d:57022)
- [30] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, *Localization of virtual classes*, Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 487–518, alg-geom/9708001.
- [31] M. J. Greenberg and J. R. Harper, *Algebraic topology, a first course*, Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, Mass., 1981.
- [32] M. A. Kervaire, *Relative characteristic classes*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 517–558.
- [33] D. Kotschick, *$SO(3)$ invariants for four-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. **63** (1991), 426–448.
- [34] D. Kotschick and J. W. Morgan, *$SO(3)$ invariants for four-manifolds with $b^+ = 1$, II*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), 433–456.
- [35] P. B. Kronheimer and T. S. Mrowka, *Embedded surfaces and the structure of Donaldson’s polynomial invariants*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1995), 573–734.
- [36] ———, *Witten’s conjecture and property P*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 295–310 (electronic).
- [37] T. G. Leness, *Blow-up formulae for $SO(3)$ -Donaldson polynomials*, Math. Z. **227** (1998), 1–26.
- [38] ———, *Donaldson wall-crossing formulas via topology*, Forum Math. **11** (1999), 417–457, dg-ga/9603016.
- [39] ———, *The semi-algebraicity of the Uhlenbeck compactifications of S^4 instanton moduli spaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. **26** (2008), no. 1, 52–62.
- [40] W-P. Li, Z. Qin, and Weiqiang Wang, *The cohomology rings of Hilbert schemes via Jack polynomials*, Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 249–258.
- [41] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, second ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [42] A. Maciocia, *Metrics on the moduli spaces of instantons over Euclidean 4-space*, Comm. Math. Phys. **135** (1991), no. 3, 467–482.
- [43] M. Mariño, G. Moore, and G. Peradze, *Four-manifold geography and superconformal symmetry*, Math. Res. Lett. **6** (1999), 429–437, math.DG/9812042.
- [44] ———, *Superconformal invariance and the geography of four-manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **205** (1999), 691–735, hep-th/9812055.
- [45] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- [46] T. Mochizuki, *Donaldson type invariants for algebraic surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1972, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009, doi:10.1007/978-3-540-93913-9. MR 2508583 (2010g:14065)
- [47] G. Moore and E. Witten, *Integration over the u -plane in Donaldson theory*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1** (1997), 298–387, hep-th/9709193.
- [48] J. W. Morgan, *The Seiberg-Witten equations and applications to the topology of smooth four-manifolds*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996.
- [49] J. W. Morgan and T. S. Mrowka, *A note on Donaldson’s polynomial invariants*, Internat. Math. Res. Notes **10** (1992), 223–230.
- [50] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Lectures on instanton counting*, Algebraic structures and moduli spaces, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 31–101.
- [51] ———, *Instanton counting on blowup. I. 4-dimensional pure gauge theory*, Invent. Math. **162** (2005), no. 2, 313–355.

- [52] L. I. Nicolaescu, *Notes on Seiberg-Witten theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [53] P. S. Ozsváth, *Some blowup formulas for $SU(2)$ Donaldson polynomials*, J. Differential Geom. **40** (1994), 411–447.
- [54] M. J. Pflaum, *Analytic and geometric study of stratified spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1768, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [55] V. Y. Pidstrigatch, *From Seiberg-Witten to Donaldson: $SO(3)$ monopole equations*, December, 1994, Lecture at the Newton Institute, Cambridge.
- [56] V. Y. Pidstrigatch and A. N. Tyurin, *Invariants of the smooth structure of an algebraic surface arising from the Dirac operator*, Russian Acad. Sci. Izv. Math. **40** (1993), 267–351.
- [57] F. Quinn, *Homotopically stratified sets*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 441–499.
- [58] Y. Ruan, *Virtual neighborhoods and the monopole equations*, Topics in symplectic 4-manifolds (Irvine, CA, 1996), Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998, alg-geom/9611021., pp. 101–116.
- [59] D. Salamon, *Spin geometry and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, Birkhäuser, Boston, in preparation.
- [60] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $N = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Nuclear Phys. B **426** (1994), 19–52, hep-th/9407087.
- [61] S. Sivek, *Donaldson invariants of symplectic manifolds*, [arXiv:1301.0377](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.0377).
- [62] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer, New York, 1966.
- [63] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, vol. 1, Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1979.
- [64] C. H. Taubes, *Self-dual connections on 4-manifolds with indefinite intersection matrix*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 517–560.
- [65] ———, *A framework for Morse theory for the Yang-Mills functional*, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), 327–402.
- [66] A. Teleman, *Moduli spaces of $PU(2)$ -monopoles*, Asian J. Math. **4** (2000), 391–435, math.DG/9906163.
- [67] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
- [68] W. Wieczorek, *The Donaldson invariant and embedded 2-spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **489** (1997), 15–51.
- [69] E. Witten, *Monopoles and four-manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **1** (1994), 769–796, hep-th/9411102.
- [70] H.-J. Yang, *Transition functions and a blow-up formula for Donaldson polynomials*, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 1992.