

## HAMILTONIAN 2-FORMS IN KÄHLER GEOMETRY, I

V. APOSTOLOV, D. M. J. CALDERBANK AND P. GAUDUCHON

**Abstract.** We introduce the notion of a hamiltonian 2-form on a Kähler manifold and obtain a complete local classification. This notion appears to play a pivotal role in several aspects of Kähler geometry. In particular, on any Kähler manifold with co-closed Bochner tensor, the (suitably normalized) Ricci form is hamiltonian, and this leads to an explicit description of these Kähler metrics, which we call weakly Bochner-flat.

Hamiltonian 2-forms draw attention to a general construction of Kähler metrics which interpolates between toric geometry and Calabi-like constructions of metrics on (projective) line bundles. They also arise on conformally-Einstein Kähler manifolds. We explore these connections and ramifications.

In a previous paper [3], while investigating Kähler 4-manifolds whose antiselfdual Weyl tensor is co-closed, we happened upon a remarkable linear differential equation for  $(1,1)$ -forms on a Kähler manifold. This equation states that

$$(1) \quad r_X = \frac{1}{2} (d\text{tr}(\omega \wedge JX) - Jd\text{tr}(\omega \wedge X))$$

for all vector fields  $X$ , where  $(g; J; \cdot)$  is the Kähler structure with Levi-Civita connection  $r$ . A hamiltonian 2-form is a (nontrivial) solution of (1).

Hamiltonian 2-forms underpin many explicit constructions in Kähler geometry. In particular they arise on Bochner-flat Kähler manifolds and on Kähler manifolds (of dimension greater than four) which are conformally-Einstein, both of which have been classified recently, respectively by Bryant [5], and Derdzinski and Maschler [9]. In this paper we obtain an explicit local classification of all Kähler metrics with a hamiltonian 2-form, which provides a unifying framework for these works, and at the same time extends Bryant's local classification to the much larger class of Kähler manifolds with co-closed Bochner tensor, called weakly Bochner-flat.

The key feature of hamiltonian 2-forms and the reason for the name is that if  $\omega$  is hamiltonian, and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m$  are the elementary symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of  $\omega$  with respect to the Kähler form  $\omega$ , then the hamiltonian vector fields  $K_r = J \text{grad}_g \omega_r$  are all Killing. Furthermore, the Poisson brackets  $\{ \omega_r, \omega_s \}$  are all zero, so that the Killing vector fields  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  commute.

If  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  are linearly independent, then the Kähler metric is toric. However, not every toric Kähler metric arises in this way: the hamiltonian property also implies that the eigenvalues of  $\omega$  have orthogonal gradients. We say that a toric manifold is orthotoric if there is a momentum map  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$  for the torus action (with respect to some basis of the Lie algebra) such that the roots of the polynomial  $\sum_{r=0}^m (\omega_1)^r \omega_r t^{m-r}$  have orthogonal gradients. (Here  $\omega_0 = 1$ .)

Of course  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  need not be linearly independent; then on an open set where the span is  $\ell$ -dimensional, we have a local hamiltonian action of an  $\ell$ -torus

---

Date: December 31, 2018.

The first author was supported in part by FCAR grant NC-7264, and by NSERC grant OGP 0023879, the second author by the Leverhulme Trust and the William Gordon Seggie Brown Trust. All three authors are members of EDGE, Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2000-00101, supported by the European Human Potential Programme.

with  $\ell < m$ , so that the Kähler metric may be described locally by the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz [18], as a fibration over a  $2(m - \ell)$ -dimensional complex manifold  $S$  with  $2\ell$ -dimensional toric fibres. Again, not all Kähler metrics with a hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus action arise in this way: in particular, the hamiltonian property of  $\ell$  implies that the fibres are totally geodesic, or (equivalently) that the nonlinear connection on the fibration is associated to a principal connection on an  $\ell$ -torus bundle over  $S$ . We refer to this special case of the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz as the generalized Calabi construction, since when  $\ell = 1$ , it has its origins in work of Calabi on Kähler metrics on (projective) line bundles [6, 7].

Our main result shows that any Kähler manifold with a hamiltonian 2-form may locally be described explicitly, as a generalized Calabi construction with orthotoric fibres, and that conversely, any such explicit Kähler metric admits a hamiltonian 2-form. From this result we are able to deduce a local classification of weakly Bochner-Kähler metrics, recovering in particular Bryant's classification of Bochner-Kähler metrics. We also obtain a new proof of the Derdzinski-Maschler classification of conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics in higher dimensions.

Our classification of hamiltonian 2-forms draws attention to the generalized Calabi construction and orthotoric Kähler metrics as useful tools in Kähler geometry. This yields in particular, an Ansatz for extremal Kähler metrics including constant scalar curvature and Kähler-Einstein metrics which unifies and extends many constructions in the literature. In a second paper on this subject, we shall use this to obtain new compact examples.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 1, after reviewing some background material, we explain how equation (1) arises on weakly Bochner-Kähler manifolds and on conformally-Einstein Kähler manifolds. This motivates the definition of hamiltonian 2-forms given in section 2, where we also derive the existence of the hamiltonian Killing vector fields and show that the equation for hamiltonian 2-forms is an overdetermined equation of finite type which is completely integrable on manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

In section 3 we study hamiltonian torus actions in general. This section is almost entirely independent of the first two, and may be read separately, although our analysis is motivated by the special properties of hamiltonian 2-forms. We first show that the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz [18] has a natural and essentially coordinate-free description in terms of a potential  $G$ , which is a bisectional Legendre transform of a Kähler potential, and is required to satisfy only open conditions such dual potentials appeared first in the toric case, in work of Guillemin [11] and Abreu [1]. We also characterize the pluriharmonic functions and compute the Ricci form.

In section 3.3 we introduce the generalized Calabi construction, a special case of the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz in which the toric geometry of the fibres almost entirely decouples from the geometry of the fibration over the base. A particularly important consequence is that the Ricci form is linear in the matrix of inner products of the Killing vector fields, which makes this Ansatz well-suited to the construction of Kähler-Einstein and extremal Kähler metrics. Such constructions are well known when the fibres are one dimensional [6, 7, 12, 21, 22]: we extend them to the general case, and give several characterizations.

In the final part, 3.4, of section 3, we turn to orthotoric Kähler metrics. In four dimensions, orthotoric metrics were introduced in [3] and explicitly classified. Here we extend this definition and classification to all dimensions. The decisive feature of orthotoric  $2m$ -manifolds is that they depend effectively on  $m$  functions of 1 variable, rather than the 1 function of  $m$  variables (the dual potential  $G$ ) that

governs toric Kähler metrics in general. This means that conditions on the curvature are (functional) ordinary differential equations, rather than partial differential equations, which is again expedient in the study of explicit examples.

The central results of this paper can be found in section 4, where we bring the work of sections 2 and 3 together to provide our explicit local classification of Kähler manifolds with a hamiltonian 2-form. We first prove that on a connected Kähler  $2m$ -manifold with a hamiltonian 2-form and associated Killing vector fields  $K_1, \dots, K_m$ , there is an integer  $\ell$ , with  $0 \leq \ell \leq m$  such that the span of  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  is everywhere at most  $\ell$ -dimensional, but that on a dense open set  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$  are linearly independent. We show that  $\ell$  of the roots of the momentum polynomial  $p(t) = (t-1)^m \text{pf}(t)$  are functionally independent, the remainder being constant. (Here  $\text{pf} = \frac{1}{m!} (\wedge^m)$  stands for the pfaffian of a 2-form.) We call  $\ell$  the order of and prove that Kähler manifolds admitting a hamiltonian 2-form of order  $\ell$  are exactly those admitting a local hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus action such that

- the fibres are orthotoric;
- the fibration is a generalized Calabi construction;
- the constants arising in the generalized Calabi construction are related to the orthotoric geometry of the fibres in a specific way.

In section 5 we study the curvature of our explicit metrics and hence obtain classifications of extremal Kähler metrics with a hamiltonian 2-form, of weakly Bochner-flat Kähler metrics, and of Bochner-flat Kähler metrics [5].

To summarize, we have the following result.

**Theorem .** Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be a connected Kähler  $2m$ -manifold with a hamiltonian 2-form of order  $\ell$ . Then there are functions  $F_1, \dots, F_\ell$  of one variable such that on a dense open subset of  $M$ , the Kähler structure may be written

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sum_{j=1}^X p_{nc}(j) g + \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{p^0(j)}{F_j(j)} d_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{F_j(j)}{p^0(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{X'} \hat{r}_1(j) \hat{r}_2; \\ ! &= \sum_{j=1}^X p_{nc}(j)! + \sum_{r=1}^{X'} d_r \wedge \hat{r}; \quad d_r = \sum_{j=1}^X (t-1)^{r-\ell} \hat{r}!; \\ J d_j &= \frac{F_j(j)}{p^0(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{X'} \hat{r}_1(j) \hat{r}; \quad J_r = (t-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{p_c(j)}{F_j(j)} \hat{r}_j d_j; \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, any Kähler metric  $(g; J; !)$  of this form admits a hamiltonian 2-form of order  $\ell$ , namely

$$= \sum_{j=1}^X p_{nc}(j)! + \sum_{r=1}^{X'} (r d_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d_{r+1}) \wedge \hat{r};$$

In these expressions:

$\hat{r}$  is the  $r$ th elementary symmetric function of the non-constant roots  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  of the momentum polynomial  $p(t)$ ,  $\hat{r}_1(j)$  is the  $(r-1)$ st elementary symmetric functions of the  $\ell-1$  roots  $f_k : k \neq j$ ;

summation over  $j$  denotes the sum over the different constant roots of the momentum polynomial and  $(g; !)$  is a Kähler metric on a manifold  $S$  of the same (real) dimension  $2m$  as the corresponding eigenspace of  $J$ ;

$p(t) = p_{nc}(t) p_c(t)$ , where  $p_{nc}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^Q (t - \lambda_j)$  and  $p_c(t) = (t-1)^m$ ; also  $p^0$  is the derivative of  $p$ , so that  $p^0(j) = p_c(j) \prod_{k \neq j} (j - \lambda_k)$ .

Now define polynomials  $p_c(t) = \sum_{r=0}^Q (t)^{m-1}$ , and  $\hat{p}_c(t) = \sum_{r=0}^Q (t)^{m+1}$ . Then we have the following special cases.

(i)  $(g; J; !)$  is an extremal Kähler metric if

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } j, F_j^0(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=0}^m a_r t^{m-r}, \text{ where } a_0, \dots, a_m \text{ are arbitrary constants} \\ &\text{(independent of } j) \text{ and } m = \sum_{r=0}^m 1 = m \quad (m-1); \\ &\text{for all } \alpha, \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=0}^m a_r \binom{m-r}{\alpha} = \sum_{r=0}^m a_r \binom{m-r}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvature is then constant if and only if  $a_0 = 0$  and is zero if and only if also  $a_1 = 0$ . Any extremal Kähler metric with a hamiltonian 2-form arises in this way provided the gradient of the scalar curvature is tangent to theibration defined by the hamiltonian 2-form.

(ii)  $(g; J; !)$  is weakly Bochner-flat if

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } j, F_j^0(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=1}^P b_r t^{m-r}, \text{ where } b_1, \dots, b_P \text{ are arbitrary constants} \\ &\text{(independent of } j); \\ &\text{for all } \alpha, (g; !) \text{ is Kähler-Einstein with Einstein constant} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{m} \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=1}^P b_r \binom{m-r}{\alpha}:$$

$(g; J; !)$  is Kähler-Einstein if and only if  $b_1 = 0$  and Ricci-flat if and only if also  $b_0 = 0$ . Any weakly Bochner-flat Kähler metric arises in this way.

(iii)  $(g; J; !)$  is Bochner-flat if

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } j, F_j(t) = \hat{p}_c(t) \sum_{r=2}^{\hat{m}} c_r t^{\hat{m}-r}, \text{ where } c_2, \dots, c_{\hat{m}} \text{ are arbitrary con-} \\ &\text{stants (independent of } j) \text{ and } \hat{m} = \sum_{r=2}^{\hat{m}} 1 = m \quad (m+1); \\ &\text{for all } \alpha, (g; !) \text{ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{m(m+1)} \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=2}^{\hat{m}} c_r \binom{\hat{m}-r}{\alpha} \binom{Y}{\alpha}:$$

$(g; J; !)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if  $c_2 = 0$  and is flat if and only if also  $c_1 = 0$ . Any Bochner-flat Kähler metric arises in this way.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Theorems 1 and 2, and Propositions 14, 15 and 16. We end the paper by discussing hamiltonian 2-forms of order 1, and the classification of conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics [9]. There are also two appendices. In Appendix A, we relate hamiltonian 2-forms to conformal Killing forms, which have been recently studied by Semmelmann [20]. In Appendix B, we collect some Vandermonde identities, which we have used freely in the paper.

We are grateful to Uwe Semmelmann for discussing conformal Killing forms with us, and to Christina Tønnesen-Friedman for her helpful comments and interest in our work.

## Contents

1. The curvature of a Kähler manifold	5
1.1. Riemannian curvature	5
1.2. The Bochner tensor of a Kähler manifold	6
1.3. The differential Bianchi identity in Kähler geometry	7
1.4. Conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics	8
2. Hamiltonian 2-forms	9
2.1. Hamiltonian 2-forms and Killing vector fields	10
2.2. The connection on 2-jets of hamiltonian 2-forms	11

2.3. The differential system in the weakly Bochner-flat case	13
2.4. Hamiltonian 2-forms on complex projective and hyperbolic space	14
3. Hamiltonian torus actions	15
3.1. Hamiltonian actions and the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz	15
3.2. The toric case	18
3.3. The generalized Calabi construction	19
3.4. Orthotoric Kähler metrics	21
4. Classification of Hamiltonian 2-forms	24
4.1. Rough classification of Hamiltonian 2-forms	24
4.2. Explicit description of the metric	25
4.3. The Hamiltonian 2-form	28
5. The curvature of Kähler manifolds with a Hamiltonian 2-form	30
5.1. The Ricci potential and scalar curvature	30
5.2. Extremal Kähler metrics	32
5.3. Weakly Bochner-flat Kähler metrics	32
5.4. Bochner-flat Kähler metrics	33
5.5. The Calabi-type case	36
5.6. Strongly conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics	37
Appendix A. Conformal Killing forms and Hamiltonian 2-forms	38
Appendix B. Vandermonde matrices	40
B.1. The inverse of a Vandermonde matrix	40
B.2. The determinant of a Vandermonde matrix	41
B.3. Vandermonde identities	41
References	43

## 1. The curvature of a Kähler manifold

In this section we review some background material in order to fix notation, and to present the notions of Bochner-flat, weakly Bochner-flat, and conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics. Our conventions mainly follow [4].

1.1. Riemannian curvature. The curvature  $R$  of a  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M; g)$  is defined by

$$R_{X,Y}Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z;$$

for all vector fields  $X, Y, Z$ , where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection. It is a 2-form with values in the adjoint bundle  $AM$  (the bundle of skew endomorphisms of the tangent bundle  $TM$ ) and satisfies the algebraic Bianchi identity:  $R_{X,Y}Z + R_{Y,Z}X + R_{Z,X}Y = 0$ . Via the metric  $g$ ,  $AM$  can be identified with the bundle  ${}^2M$  of 2-forms and  $R$  can be viewed as a section of  ${}^2M \otimes {}^2M$ . Then, the algebraic Bianchi identity is equivalent to the following two conditions:

- (i)  $R$  belongs to the symmetric part,  ${}^2M \otimes_{\text{sym}} {}^2M$ , of  ${}^2M \otimes {}^2M$ ;
- (ii)  $R$  belongs to the kernel of the linear map,  $\wedge$ , from  ${}^2M \otimes {}^2M$  to  ${}^4M$  determined by the wedge product.

The subbundle  $RM := \ker \wedge$  of  ${}^2M \otimes {}^2M$  is called the bundle of (abstract) curvature tensors.

The Ricci contraction associates to  $R$  the bilinear form  $\text{Ric}$  defined by:  $\text{Ric}_{X,Y} = \text{tr}(Z \mapsto R_{X,Z}Y)$ . It can be considered as a linear map  $\rho$  from  $RM$  to the bundle  $SM$  of symmetric bilinear forms of  $M$ . We thus obtain the following orthogonal

decomposition of vector bundles:

$$RM = c(SM) \oplus WM;$$

where  $WM$ , called the bundle of (abstract) Weyl tensors of  $(M; g)$ , denotes the kernel of  $c$  in  $RM$ . Accordingly, the curvature  $R$  splits as  $R = c(h) + W$ , where  $W$ , the component of  $R$  in  $WM$ , is the Weyl tensor of  $(M; g)$ , whereas  $h$  satisfies  $\text{cc}(h) = \text{Ric}$ . For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c$  is surjective; its adjoint  $c^*$  is then injective and  $h$  is determined by

$$h = \frac{\text{Scal}}{2n(n-1)}g + \frac{\text{Ric}_0}{n-2};$$

where  $\text{Scal}$  is the scalar curvature of  $g$ , i.e., the trace of  $\text{Ric}$  with respect to  $g$ , and  $\text{Ric}_0$  denotes the traceless part of  $\text{Ric}$  (so that  $\text{Ric} = \frac{1}{n}\text{Scal}g + \text{Ric}_0$ ), which is a section of  $S_0M$ , the bundle of symmetric traceless bilinear forms. For  $n = 2$ ,  $c$  has kernel  $S_0M$  so that  $\text{Ric}_0 = 0$  and the tracefree part of  $h$  is undetermined.

Finally, the curvature  $R$ , viewed as a symmetric endomorphism of  ${}^2M$  using  $g$ , splits into three orthogonal pieces as follows:

$$(2) \quad R = \frac{\text{Scal}}{n(n-1)}\text{Id}_{j_2M} + \frac{1}{n-2}\text{fRic}_0;g + W;$$

where  $\text{fRic}_0;g$  acts on  ${}^2M$  to give the anticommutator  $\text{fRic}_0;g \equiv \text{Ric}_0 + \text{Ric}_0^g$  of  $\text{Ric}_0$  and  $g$ , which are viewed, via  $g$ , as endomorphisms, respectively symmetric and skew, of  $TM$ . When  $n = 2$ , the second term is zero.

Each piece of (2) is an element of  $RM$ . The corresponding subbundle of  $RM$  is associated to an irreducible representation of the orthogonal group  $O(n)$ , respectively the trivial representation, the Cartan product  $R^n \otimes R^n$ , the Cartan product  $\mathfrak{o}(n) \otimes \mathfrak{o}(n)$ , where  $\mathfrak{o}(n) = {}^2R^n$  denotes the Lie algebra of  $O(n)$ . (The Cartan product of two irreducible representations with dominant weights  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  is the irreducible sub-representation of the tensor product with dominant weight  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$ .)

1.2. The Bochner tensor of a Kähler manifold. Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  is a Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m$ . By definition,  $J$  is an orthogonal complex structure which is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . The Kähler form  $!$  is defined by  $!(X; Y) = g(JX; Y)$ . The Ricci form and its primitive part  $\rho_0$  are defined in a similar way:  $\text{Ric}(X; Y) = \text{Ric}(JX; Y)$  and  $\rho_0(X; Y) = \text{Ric}_0(JX; Y)$ . The Ricci tensor is  $J$ -invariant, and so  $\text{Ric}$  and  $\rho_0$  are 2-forms.

We denote by

$${}^2M = J^+M \oplus J^-M;$$

the (orthogonal) decomposition of  ${}^2M$  into its  $J$ -invariant part,  $J^+M$ , and its  $J$ -anti-invariant part,  $J^-M$ . The Riemannian curvature  $R$  has values in  $J^+M$  and therefore acts trivially on  $J^-M$ . More generally, we call an element of  $RM$  kählerian if it acts trivially on  $J^-M$ . The set of abstract kählerian curvature tensors is a vector subbundle of  $RM$ , denoted by  $KM$ ; thus  $KM$  is the kernel of the linear map from  $J^+M \oplus J^-M$  to  ${}^4M$  determined by the wedge product.

The curvature tensor  $R$  of a Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J)$  is a section of  $KM$ , but in general none of its components in (2) is. Indeed, the first component of  $R$  in (2) is only an element of  $KM$  if it is zero or  $n = 2$ , while the second component is only an element of  $KM$  if it is zero or  $n = 4$ . We define the Bochner tensor  $W^K$  to be the orthogonal projection of the third component, the Weyl tensor  $W$ , onto  $KM$ ; it follows that  $W^K$  belongs to the intersection  $WM \cap KM$ . By projecting the

other components of (2) orthogonally onto  $KM$  to obtain a new decomposition of the curvature  $R$  inside  $KM$ .

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} R = & \frac{\text{Scal}}{2m(m+1)} (\text{Id} j_{J^+M} + ! \quad ! ) \\ & + \frac{1}{m+2} \text{fRic}_0; g j_{J^+M} + 0 \quad ! + ! \quad 0 \\ & + W^K : \end{aligned}$$

Here  $j_{J^+M}$  has to be interpreted as the orthogonal projection  $\mathbb{T}^{J^+}$  of  $^2M$  onto its  $J$ -invariant part  $^{J^+}M$ , and  $0 \quad !$  acts on  $^2M$  to give  $h_0; i!$ , where the inner product on 2-forms is normalized so that  $h!; i! = m = \frac{n}{2}$ .

The three pieces of  $R$  appearing in (3) are sections of subbundles of  $KM$  associated to irreducible representations of the unitary group  $U(m)$ , viewed as a subgroup of  $O(n)$ , namely: the trivial representation, the Cartan product  $C^m \quad C^m$ , the Cartan product  $\mathfrak{su}(m) \quad \mathfrak{su}(m)$  respectively,  $\mathfrak{su}(m)$  being the Lie algebra of  $SU(m)$ .

If  $\text{Scal}$  is a positive constant, then the first component of  $R$  in (3) agrees with the curvature of the complex projective space  $CP^m$  with the Fubini-Study metric of holomorphic sectional curvature equal to  $\frac{\text{Scal}}{m(m+1)}$ .

The second component in (3) agrees with the second component in (2) when  $n = 4$ , since then  $\text{fRic}_0; J; g = [J^J; ; 0] = 0$ , whereas  $\text{fRic}_0; J^+g = h; 0i! + h; i! 0$ . The four dimensional case is also special because the Weyl tensor  $W$  splits into selfdual and antiselfdual parts as  $W = W^+ + W^-$ , and on a Kähler 4-manifold the selfdual part is identified with the scalar curvature by

$$W^+ = \frac{\text{Scal}}{12} \frac{3}{2} ! \quad ! \quad \text{Id} j_{J^+M} :$$

Bringing together  $W^+$  and the scalar part of  $R$  in (2), we deduce that  $W^K = W^-$ .

In higher dimensions, the Weyl tensor  $W$  of a Kähler manifold splits into three pieces: one is the Bochner tensor  $W^K$ , while the other two are identified with  $\text{Ric}_0$  and  $\text{Scal}$ . In other words, on a Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m \geq 6$  the information given by the Riemannian curvature is already contained in the Weyl tensor; in particular, for  $n \geq 6$ , a locally conformally-flat Kähler metric is flat.

### 1.3. The differential Bianchi identity in Kähler geometry. The differential Bianchi identity

$$(4) \quad r_X R_{Y;Z} + r_Y R_{Z;X} + r_Z R_{X;Y} = 0;$$

easily implies the following one, known as Matsushima identity:

$$(5) \quad (R)_{JX} = -r_X :$$

We specialize (4) by  $X = e_j, Y = J e_j$ , where  $fe_jg$  is a local,  $J$ -adapted, orthonormal frame and we observe that  $\quad = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n R_{e_j J e_j}$ ; here we define  $(R)_{JX} := \sum_{j=1}^n r_{e_j} R_{e_j J X}$ .) The Matsushima identity immediately implies that the Ricci tensor of a Kähler manifold is parallel if and only if the curvature is co-closed, as a 2-form with values in  $^2M$ .

The Ricci form may also be expressed as  $(X; Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n h R_{X;Y} e_j; J e_j i$ , and so it follows from (4) that it is closed. Hence from (3) and (5), we infer the following

expression for the codifferential of the Bochner tensor:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} (W^K)_{JX} &= \frac{m}{m+2} r_X - \frac{1}{2(m+1)(m+2)} d\text{Scal}(X) \\ &\quad + \frac{m}{4(m+1)(m+2)} (d\text{Scal} \wedge JX - d^c \text{Scal} \wedge X); \end{aligned}$$

where  $d^c = J \lrcorner d$  and  $X$  is any vector field. In view of this identity, it is convenient to introduce a normalized Ricci form defined by

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega + \frac{\text{Scal}}{2m(m+1)} \omega^2;$$

Then, identity (6) reduces to

$$(7) \quad \frac{m+2}{m} (W^K)_{JX} = r_X \tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} (ds \wedge JX - d^c s \wedge X);$$

where the normalized scalar curvature  $s = \frac{\text{Scal}}{2(m+1)}$  is the trace of  $\tilde{\omega}$  with respect to  $\omega$ :  $s = \text{tr}_{\omega} \tilde{\omega}$ .

**Definition 1.** A Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J)$  is called Bochner-flat (or Bochner-Kähler) if the Bochner tensor vanishes,  $W^K = 0$ , and weakly Bochner-flat if the Bochner tensor is co-closed,  $W^K \lrcorner \omega = 0$ .

By (7), a Kähler manifold is weakly Bochner-flat if and only if it satisfies the following weak Einstein condition:

$$(8) \quad r_X \tilde{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} (ds \wedge JX - d^c s \wedge X);$$

**1.4. Conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics.** A Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J; \omega)$  of dimension  $n = 2m \geq 4$  is said to be conformally-Einstein if there is a nonvanishing function  $f$  such that  $\tilde{g} := f^2 g$  is an Einstein metric, i.e.,  $\text{Ric}_{\tilde{g}} = 0$ . A straightforward and standard computation of the conformal change of the Ricci tensor shows that  $\tilde{g}$  is conformally-Einstein with conformal factor  $f$  if and only if

$$(9) \quad 2(m-1)r \lrcorner Jd f = \Delta f \omega + \frac{1}{2} d f \wedge d f$$

for some function  $f$ . Taking the trace of this equation then determines that

$$\Delta f = \frac{m-1}{m} r + \frac{1}{2m} \text{Scal} f;$$

where  $\Delta f = \text{tr}_g r \lrcorner d f = \text{div} f$ .

We recall that a hamiltonian vector field  $K = J \text{grad}_g f$  is Killing if and only if it preserves  $J$ , if and only if the hessian  $r \lrcorner d f$  is  $J$ -invariant, if and only if  $r \lrcorner Jd f = \frac{1}{2} d d^c f$ , in which case  $f$  is said to be a Killing potential.

Clearly equation (9) implies that  $2(m-1)r \lrcorner Jd f = (m-1)d d^c f$ , so that by differentiating (9), we obtain  $d \wedge d \lrcorner \omega = 0$  and hence  $d \wedge d \lrcorner \omega = 0$ . We shall say that  $\tilde{g}$  is strongly conformally-Einstein if  $d \wedge d \lrcorner \omega = 0$ ; this is automatic if  $n = 2m \leq 6$  since the wedge product with  $\omega$  is then injective on 2-forms.

The fact that conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics are strongly conformally-Einstein in 6 or more dimensions was first observed by Derdzinski and Maschler [9], who used this fact to obtain an explicit local description of such metrics. A key step in their description is essentially equivalent to the following.



Lemma 1. [9] Suppose that  $g$  is strongly conformally-Einstein, with conformal factor  $\phi$ . Then on the open set where  $d\phi$  is nonzero,

$$(10) \quad 2r Jd\phi = p! + qd\phi \wedge \bar{d}\phi$$

for some functions  $p, q$  with  $dp \wedge d\phi = 0$ .

Proof. On the open set where  $d\phi$  is nonzero, we may write  $d\phi = \lambda d\psi$ , so that  $d\psi \wedge (\lambda!) = 0$ . It follows that the  $J$ -invariant 2-form  $\lambda!$  is equal to  $f d\psi \wedge d\bar{\psi}$  for some function  $f$ . Therefore:

$$2(m-1)r Jd\phi = (\lambda!)! = f d\psi \wedge d\bar{\psi}$$

and clearly  $d(\lambda + \bar{\lambda}) \wedge d\psi = 0$ . □

In order to interpret the work of Derdziński and Maschler in the present work, we reformulate equation (10).

We first note that if  $\phi$  is any function satisfying (10), for some functions  $p, q$  with  $dp \wedge d\phi = 0$ , then in fact we have

$$d(jl^2) \wedge d\phi = 0; \quad dq \wedge d\phi = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{a}{a+b} jl^2$$

for some constants  $a$  and  $b$  not both zero. Indeed, contracting (10) with  $Jd\phi$  we obtain  $d(jl^2) = (p + qjl^2)d\phi$  which gives the first two observations. Hence

$$dd^c \phi = f jl^2 + qd\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}$$

where  $df \wedge d\phi = 0$ . The exterior derivative of this equation gives  $jl^2(df + f^2 d\phi) \wedge \lambda! = 0$ , so that  $f = a/(a+b)$ .

Lemma 2. A Killing potential  $\phi$  satisfies the equation

$$dd^c \phi = \frac{a}{a+b} jl^2 + qd\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}$$

(for some function  $q$  and constants  $a, b$  not both zero) if and only if the 2-form  $\lambda! = (a+b)d\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi} = jl^2$  satisfies

$$(11) \quad r_X \lambda! = \frac{a}{2} (d\phi \wedge JX \phi - d\bar{\phi} \wedge X \phi):$$

Proof. If  $\lambda! = (a+b)d\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi} = jl^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} r_X \lambda! &= a d\phi(X) \frac{d\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}}{jl^2} - (a+b) h_X dd^c \phi; d\bar{\phi} \frac{d\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}}{jl^2} \\ &\quad + (a+b) \frac{JX dd^c \phi \wedge d\bar{\phi} + d\phi \wedge X dd^c \phi}{2jl^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This can only equal  $\frac{a}{2} (d\phi \wedge JX \phi - d\bar{\phi} \wedge X \phi)$ , if  $dd^c \phi$  is of the form  $f jl^2 + qd\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}$  for some functions  $f, q$ , in which case we obtain

$$r_X \lambda! = (a - (a+b)f) d\phi(X) \frac{d\phi \wedge d\bar{\phi}}{jl^2} + \frac{1}{2} (a+b)f (d\phi \wedge JX \phi - d\bar{\phi} \wedge X \phi):$$

The result is now immediate. □

## 2. Hamiltonian 2-forms

In this section we introduce the notion of a hamiltonian 2-form and develop the most basic general properties and the simplest examples.

2.1. Hamiltonian 2-forms and Killing vector fields. The definition of Hamiltonian 2-forms is motivated both by weakly Bochner-flat Kähler manifolds and by strongly conformally-Einstein Kähler manifolds. The reason for the terminology will shortly become apparent.

Definition 2. Let  $\omega$  be any (real)  $J$ -invariant 2-form on the Kähler manifold  $(M, g; J; \cdot)$ . We say  $\omega$  is Hamiltonian if there is a function  $h$  on  $M$  such that

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{L}_X \omega = \frac{1}{2} (d \lrcorner JX \omega - d^c \lrcorner X \omega)$$

for any vector field  $X$ . When  $M$  is a Riemann surface, we require in addition that  $\omega$  is a Killing potential.

It follows immediately from the definition that  $d\omega = d\text{tr} \omega$ , where  $\text{tr} \omega = h; \cdot$  is the trace of  $\omega$  with respect to  $\cdot$ , so without loss of generality, we may take  $\omega = \text{tr} \omega$ . The defining equation for Hamiltonian 2-forms is therefore linear. Note that, for a general Hamiltonian 2-form  $\omega$ ,  $A = \omega + \cdot \lrcorner \omega$  is closed,  $dA = 0$ .

Example 1. On any Kähler manifold, any  $J$ -invariant parallel 2-form is Hamiltonian. In particular, a constant multiple of the Kähler form  $\omega$  is Hamiltonian. It follows that if  $\omega$  is Hamiltonian, then so is  $t\omega := \omega$  for any constant  $t$ .

Example 2. We shall be particularly interested in the Hamiltonian 2-forms arising from the following immediate consequence of equation (8).

Proposition 1. A Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m \geq 4$  is weakly Bochner-flat if and only if the normalized Ricci form  $\rho = \omega + \frac{1}{m} s \omega = s \omega$  is Hamiltonian.

Example 3. In view of equation (11), we also have the following result.

Proposition 2. On any strongly conformally-Einstein Kähler manifold of dimension  $2m \geq 4$ , with conformal factor  $\phi$ , there are constants  $a, b$  not both zero, such that  $\omega = (a + b)d \lrcorner Jd \phi = \phi^2 \omega$  is Hamiltonian on the open set where  $d\phi$  is nonzero.

The equation for Hamiltonian 2-forms is overdetermined. By differentiating and skew-symmetrizing (12), we get

$$(13) \quad R_{X,Y} \omega = [\mathcal{L}_Y \omega, \mathcal{L}_X \omega] = \frac{1}{2} (r_Y d \lrcorner JX \omega - r_X d \lrcorner JY \omega - J r_Y d \lrcorner X \omega + J r_X d \lrcorner Y \omega);$$

This formula underlies most of the basic theory of Hamiltonian 2-forms. In particular, we shall use it to explain the use of the term "Hamiltonian" in this context.

To do that, we first recall that the Pfaffian of a 2-form  $\omega$  is defined by

$$(14) \quad \text{pf} \omega = \frac{1}{m!} (\omega^m);$$

where  $\omega^m$  denotes the Hodge star operator. The normalization is chosen so that  $\text{pf} \omega = 1$  and thus

$$\omega^m = (\text{pf} \omega) \omega^m;$$

We let  $t\omega := \omega$  as in Example 1 above, and (following Bryant [5]) define the momentum polynomial of  $\omega$  to be

$$(15) \quad p(t) := (-1)^m \text{pf} \, t\omega = t^m (\text{tr} \omega)^{m-1} + \dots + (-1)^m \text{pf} \, \omega;$$

**Proposition 3.** If  $\omega$  is a hamiltonian 2-form, then the functions  $p(t)$  on  $M$  (for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ) are Poisson-commuting hamiltonians for Killing vector fields  $K(t) := J \operatorname{grad}_g p(t)$  which preserve  $\omega$ . In particular, the vector fields  $K(t)$  all commute.

*Proof.* We first prove that  $K := J \operatorname{grad}_g \omega$  is Killing, i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}_K \omega$  is  $J$ -invariant. Since  $R_{X,Y}$  is  $J$ -invariant in  $X$  and  $Y$ , equation (13) implies that

$$(16) \quad S(X) \wedge JY - JS(X) \wedge Y - S(Y) \wedge JX + JS(Y) \wedge X = 0;$$

where  $S(X) = r_X d + J r_{JX} d$ :

Contracting (16) with a vector field  $Z$  and taking the trace over  $Y$  and  $Z$  yields  $(2-n)JS(X) = 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{L}_K \omega$  is  $J$ -invariant by definition when  $n = 2$ .

We now show that the other hamiltonian vector fields are Killing. To do this we differentiate  $\langle p, f_t \rangle$ , using the fact that  $f_t$  is hamiltonian with  $\operatorname{tr} f_t = \operatorname{tr} f_t \circ m_t$  and hence  $d \operatorname{tr} f_t = d \operatorname{tr} f_t \circ m_t$ . Therefore, from (12) and (14), we get

$$(17) \quad d \langle p, f_t \rangle = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} (Jd \wedge f_t^{\wedge m-1} \hat{f}_t):$$

Using (12) again, we then obtain

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} r_X d \langle p, f_t \rangle &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} (r_X Jd \wedge f_t^{\wedge m-1} \hat{f}_t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2(m-2)!} (JX \wedge d \wedge Jd \wedge f_t^{\wedge m-2} \hat{f}_t): \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right hand side is automatically  $J$ -invariant, while the first one is also  $J$ -invariant since  $K$  is Killing. Hence  $J \operatorname{grad}_g p(t)$  is Killing for all  $t$ .

It remains to prove that the Killing vector fields preserve  $\omega$  and that their momentum maps Poisson-commute. Contracting equation (17) with  $Jd$ , we deduce that  $\langle Jd, d \langle p, f_t \rangle \rangle = 0$  and hence  $K(t)$  preserves  $\omega$  for all  $t$ . It follows that  $L_{K(t)} \omega = L_{K(t)} (\omega_t + \omega_t^\perp) = d_{K(t)} (\omega_t + \omega_t^\perp)$ , since  $\omega_t + \omega_t^\perp$  is closed.

Now equation (17) also implies that

$$\langle J \operatorname{grad}_g \langle p, f_t \rangle, f_t \rangle = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=1}^m \langle Jd, e_j(f_t^{\wedge m-1} \hat{f}_t) \rangle i_j = \langle p, f_t \rangle Jd:$$

so that

$$(19) \quad \langle J \operatorname{grad}_g p(t), \omega_t \rangle = \langle p(t), d \omega_t \rangle:$$

Hence  $\langle K(t), \omega_t + \omega_t^\perp \rangle = \langle d \langle p(t), \omega_t \rangle \rangle$  is closed and so  $K(t)$  preserves  $\omega$ . It follows that  $K(t)$  preserves  $p(s)$  for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\langle p(s), p(t) \rangle_g = \langle J K(s), K(t) \rangle_i = \langle d \langle p(s), \omega_t \rangle, K(t) \rangle = 0$  for all  $s, t$ ; thus  $p(s)$  and  $p(t)$  Poisson-commute.  $\square$

Obviously  $p(t)$  is a Killing potential for all  $t$  if and only if the coefficients of  $p$  are all Killing potentials.

**2.2. The connection on 2-jets of hamiltonian 2-forms.** We have noted already that the equation for hamiltonian 2-forms is overdetermined. In fact it has finite type, i.e., the space of local solutions is finite dimensional, being given by the parallel sections for a connection on the 2-jets of hamiltonian 2-forms. In this section, we find this connection and compute its curvature.

The defining equation (12) for hamiltonian 2-forms shows that the 1-jet of  $\omega$  is determined by  $(\omega; K)$ , where  $K = J \operatorname{grad}_g \omega$ :

$$(20) \quad r = \frac{1}{2} (K \wedge Id + JK \wedge J):$$

We now contract (13) with a vector field  $Z$  and take the trace over  $Y$  and  $Z$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{X^n} R_{X \otimes e_i} (e_i) = \frac{1}{2} ( \quad ) JX + n J r_X d ;$$

since  $r d$  is  $J$ -invariant. Therefore:

$$r K = \frac{1}{n} ( \quad ) J + \frac{2}{n} R ( \quad ) + J ;$$

We can expand the curvature  $R$  using (3) to obtain:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} r K &= \frac{1}{2m} u J + \frac{1}{m} W^K ( \quad ) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m(m+2)} (m+1) \sim J \sim J + h ; \sim i J \\ &+ \sim (m+1)s + s J ; \end{aligned}$$

where  $\quad = \text{tr}$  and  $u = \quad$ ; hence the 2-jet of  $\quad$  is determined by  $( \quad ; K ; u )$ . It remains to compute  $du$ . Since  $K$  is a Killing vector field,  $r_X r K = R_{K \otimes X}$ . Contracting this with the Kähler form, we deduce

$$(22) \quad du = 2 (K) ;$$

We now have a closed linear differential system (20) { (22) for the triple  $( \quad ; K ; u )$ , i.e., a connection on the bundle  $J^{1+} M \rightarrow TM \rightarrow M \rightarrow R$  whose parallel sections give the 2-jets of hamiltonian 2-forms.

We can compute the curvature  $F$  of this connection by differentiating the system (20) { (22) and skew-symmetrizing. The integrability condition  $F ( \quad ; K ; u ) = 0$  for parallel sections gives obstructions to the existence of hamiltonian 2-forms. From equation (20), the integrability condition is essentially (13), which we have already partially used to derive (21). Substituting for  $r K = J r d$ , we obtain,

$$R ( \quad ; \quad ] = \frac{1}{m} R ( \quad ) + J ; J^{1+} ]$$

for any section  $\quad$  of  $J^2 M$ . In particular, taking  $\quad = !$ , we have  $[ \quad ; \quad ] = 0$  (which by (21) is equivalent to the fact that  $K$  is Killing). If we now expand the curvature  $R$  using (3) and the fact that  $[ \quad ; \quad ] = 0$ , we get

$$(23) \quad W^K ( \quad ; \quad ] = \frac{1}{m} W^K ( \quad ; J^{1+} ] + \frac{1}{m+2} \quad \quad J \quad J^{1+} \quad \quad \quad J^{1+} \quad \quad J :$$

Note that this also implies  $[ \quad ; \quad ] = 0$ , again by taking  $\quad = !$ .

The integrability condition from equation (21) is more complicated and we shall content ourselves by noting that it gives an equation for  ${}_K W^K - \frac{1}{m} r (W^K ( \quad ))$  (the second term can be expanded if desired using (20) to obtain a zero order expression in  $\quad$  and  $K$ ):

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} W_{K \otimes X}^K - \frac{1}{m} r_X (W^K ( \quad )) &= \frac{1}{2m} ( \quad \quad W_{JX}^K + J W_{JX}^K \quad \quad ) - \frac{1}{m^2} h W_{JX}^K ; \quad \quad ! \\ &+ \frac{1}{4(m+2)} (J d \wedge J \quad (X) + d \wedge \quad (X) + X \wedge \quad (d) + JX \wedge J \quad (d) ) \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4(m+2)} (J ds \wedge J \quad (X) + ds \wedge \quad (X) + X \wedge \quad (ds) + JX \wedge J \quad (ds) ) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m(m+2)} (m+1) (d (X) \quad \quad ds(X) \quad \quad ) + \quad (Jd ; X) ! \quad \quad (Jds ; X) ! : \end{aligned}$$

The important point we shall need later is that the right hand side vanishes if  $M$  is weakly Bochner-flat and  $\omega$  is a constant linear combination of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ .

Finally, observe that  $d(\omega(K)) = \omega(K) d + L_K = 0$ , so that equation (22) gives no further integrability condition.

2.3. The differential system in the weakly Bochner-flat case. On a weakly Bochner-flat Kähler manifold, the normalized Ricci form  $\rho$  is Hamiltonian. We also want to study Hamiltonian 2-forms on Kähler-Einstein manifolds. These cases can be considered together by supposing that  $(g; J; \omega)$  is a weakly Bochner-flat Kähler metric with a Hamiltonian 2-form  $\omega$  such that  $\rho$  is a constant linear combination of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . We set  $\rho = (m+2)a\omega_1 + b\omega_2$ , and find that (21) simplifies to give

$$r(K) = \frac{1}{m} W^K(\omega) + aJ^2(\omega_1 + b\omega_2) + \frac{1}{m} a(\omega_1^2 + h; \omega_1) + b \frac{1}{2} J^2 \omega_2;$$

where we identify bilinear forms and endomorphisms using  $g$ . Let us put  $\omega_0 = 2a$ ,  $\omega_1 = 2(a+b)$  and  $\omega_2 = \frac{2}{m} a(\omega_1^2 + h; \omega_1) + b \frac{1}{2} J^2 \omega_2$ . Then, since  $dh; \omega_1 = 2(K)$ , we obtain the following formulation of the closed linear differential system (20)-(22):

$$\begin{aligned} (25) \quad r &= \frac{1}{2} (K \wedge Id + JK \wedge J) \\ r(K) &= \frac{1}{m} W^K(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_0 J^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_2 J \\ d\omega_2 &= \omega_0 (K) - \omega_1 JK \\ d\omega_1 &= \omega_0 JK \\ d\omega_0 &= 0: \end{aligned}$$

Here  $a$  and  $b$  have become the constants of integration for the last two equations in the system. When  $W^K(\omega) = 0$  this system yields an invariant polynomial in particular for  $\omega_0 \neq 0$  and  $W^K = 0$ , such a polynomial was found by Bryant [5] and is the basis for his classification of Bochner-flat Kähler metrics.

Proposition 4. Let  $(\omega; K; \omega_0; \omega_1; \omega_2)$  be a solution of (25) with  $W^K(\omega) = 0$  and define a polynomial

$$(26) \quad F_c(t) := (\omega_0 t^2 + \omega_1 t + \omega_2) p(t) - h(K; K(t))i:$$

Then  $F_c$  has constant coefficients. (Recall that  $K(t) = J \operatorname{grad}_g p(t)$ , where  $p(t) = (1-t)^m p_f$  and  $t = e^{-t}$ .)

Proof. Equation (17) implies that  $h(K; JX \cdot i p(t)) = h_t(X); K(t)i$ . Hence differentiating  $(\omega_0 t^2 + \omega_1 t + \omega_2) p(t)$  along a vector field  $X$ , using the system (25), gives

$$\begin{aligned} & h(K; \omega_0 t JX \cdot i p(t)) + h(K; \omega_0 (X) + \omega_1 JX \cdot i p(t) + (\omega_0 t^2 + \omega_1 t + \omega_2) h(JX; K(t))i \\ &= h(\omega_0 t \omega_1 - \omega_0 t \omega_1 J + \omega_1 t \omega_0 J^2 + \omega_1 t J + \omega_2 J)(X); K(t)i \\ &= h(\omega_0 J^2 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 J)(X); K(t)i = 2h r_X K; K(t)i: \end{aligned}$$

Now (18) gives  $K; r_X K(t) = h r_X K; K(t)i$ , which proves the proposition.  $\square$

Following Bryant, we refer to  $F_c$  as the characteristic polynomial of  $(g; J; \omega; \omega_1; \omega_2)$ .

2.4. Hamiltonian 2-forms on complex projective and hyperbolic space. A Kähler manifold has constant holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if it is Bochner-Kähler or Kähler-Einstein. Hamiltonian 2-forms on such a manifold therefore correspond to solutions of (25) with  $W^K = 0$ ,  $\phi_0 = 0$  and  $\phi_1 = 2s\omega$  (recall  $s = h^{-1}$ ). In this case, it follows from (23) and (24) that the connection defining the linear system is flat as one can easily check directly.

Let us consider the case that  $s$  is nonzero, i.e., up to scale, the Kähler metric is the Fubini-Study metric of complex projective space, or the Bergman metric of complex hyperbolic space. If we put  $\phi_2 = 2s\omega$ , the system (25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad r &= \frac{1}{2} (K \wedge \text{Id} + JK \wedge J) \\ rK &= \frac{s}{m} (\quad!) \\ d &= JK: \end{aligned}$$

The last two equations show that  $\phi$  is a Killing potential for  $K$ , and that the Hamiltonian 2-form is completely determined by  $\phi$ . Furthermore, the Kostant identity  $r_X rK = R_{K,X}$  shows that any Killing potential defines a Hamiltonian 2-form in this way. Hence there is a bijection  $\mathbb{P} \xrightarrow{\frac{m}{2s} dd^c + !} \mathbb{P}$  from Killing potentials to Hamiltonian 2-forms. The inverse sends  $\phi$  to  $\frac{1}{m} \phi - \frac{1}{2s} \omega$ .

The  $(m^2 + 2m + 1)$ -dimensional space of Hamiltonian 2-forms (parallel sections  $(\cdot; K; \cdot)$ ) corresponds precisely to the  $(m+1)^2$ -dimensional space of Killing potentials, and the latter may be identified with the unitary Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}(m+1)$  or  $\mathfrak{u}(m; 1)$ , using the Poisson bracket. We can thus classify Hamiltonian 2-forms on complex projective and hyperbolic space by classifying elements of this Lie algebra, which essentially amounts to finding the characteristic polynomial (we ignore the more refined information needed to classify the non-semisimple elements of  $\mathfrak{u}(m; 1)$ ).

Specializing Proposition 4 to the case in hand gives a degree  $m+1$  polynomial

$$F_c(t) = \frac{s}{m} (t - \phi(t)) p(t) + \frac{1}{2} hK; K(t) i$$

with constant coefficients. We shall obtain an explicit description of the Hamiltonian 2-forms with a given characteristic polynomial  $F_c(t)$  in section 5.4 below.

We mention briefly that there is an alternative way to obtain these invariants, as the traces of the powers of  $(\cdot; K; \cdot)$ . For this we define composition by

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot; K; \cdot) \circ (\hat{\cdot}; \hat{K}; \hat{\cdot}) &= \wedge \frac{m}{2s} (\hat{K} \wedge K + JK \wedge JK); \\ &\quad (\hat{K}) + \wedge JK; \wedge + \frac{m}{2s} hK; \hat{K} i + hJK; \hat{K} i \end{aligned}$$

and the trace by  $(\cdot; \cdot) \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . One can check that the commutator and  $J$  times the anticommutator both map parallel sections to parallel sections, so this operation is effectively composition in  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{m+1})$ , the complexification of the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian forms or Killing potentials.

Since the trace of  $(\cdot; K; \cdot)$  is a constant, so are the traces of the powers of  $(\cdot; K; \cdot)$ . In particular, we have an invariant quadratic form

$$(\cdot; K; \cdot) \mathbb{P} \frac{s}{m} (j^2 + \phi^2) + JK^2:$$

We end the section by remarking that in the positive curvature case, the Killing potentials, hence also the Hamiltonian 2-forms, are all globally defined on  $\mathbb{C}P^m$  — indeed the Killing potentials are nothing but the eigenfunctions corresponding to the first non-zero eigenvalue  $2\frac{m+1}{m}s$  of the Laplacian, plus constants.

### 3. Hamiltonian torus actions

We have seen that on a Kähler  $2m$ -manifold with a Hamiltonian 2-form, there is a family of Poisson-commuting Hamiltonian Killing vector fields  $K(t) = J \operatorname{grad}_g p(t)$ . Since  $p(t)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $m$ , the span of the  $K(t)$  is at most  $m$ -dimensional. If they are not all zero, then on an open set where the span has rank  $\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq m$ , the  $K(t)$  generate a local action of an  $\ell$ -dimensional torus.

In this section we study the geometry of Hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus actions in general. Our discussion is independent of the theory of Hamiltonian 2-forms, but is strongly motivated by it, as we shall see later. Roughly speaking, there are two aspects to the description of Hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus actions on  $M$ . First, if  $\ell < m$ , there is the geometry of the fibration defined by the complexified action. Second, if  $\ell > 1$ , there is the toric geometry of the fibres. In full generality, these structures are quite difficult to study. However, there is a class of fibrations, called generalized Calabi constructions, and a class of toric manifolds, called orthotoric, which are more amenable to computation. It will turn out that Hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus actions induced by Hamiltonian 2-forms are always given by generalized Calabi constructions with orthotoric fibres.

#### 3.1. Hamiltonian actions and the Pedersen-Poon Ansatz.

**Definition 3.** A Hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus action on a Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J; !)$  of dimension  $n = 2m$  is a torus action generated by an  $\ell$ -dimensional family of holomorphic Killing vector fields  $K \in \mathcal{C}^1(M; TM) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  which are linearly independent on a dense open set and isotropic in the sense that  $!(K; K) = 0$ .

The last condition means that every component of  $JK$  is orthogonal to every component of  $K$ . It follows that  $\ell \leq m$ ; if equality holds, we say that  $(M; g; J; !)$  is a toric Kähler manifold.

For clarity, we write  $K_r = K(e_r)$  ( $r = 1; \dots; \ell$ ) for the components of  $K$  with respect to a basis  $e_r$  of  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$ ; this choice is by no means necessary and could be interpreted as an abstract index notation. In this subsection and the next (only) we adopt the summation convention and contract repeated indices.

Since  $L_{K_r} ! = 0$  for all  $r$ , and  $!$  is closed, we have  $[K_r; K_s] = -d(!(K_r; K_s)) = 0$  so that the holomorphic Killing vector fields  $K_r$  commute. Furthermore, since  $L_{K_r} J = 0$  and  $J$  is integrable,  $L_{JK_r} J = 0$  for all  $r$ , so that  $[K_r; JK_s] = 0 = [JK_r; JK_s]$ . Hence  $K$  generates a local isometric action of an  $\ell$ -torus, and  $K, JK$  span an integrable rank  $2\ell$  distribution tangent to a foliation  $F$  of  $M$ .

We can make an analogous definition for any almost Hermitian manifold, although if the Kähler form is not closed, we assume a priori that  $[K_r; K_s] = 0$  for all  $r, s$ , while if  $J$  is not integrable, we assume a priori that  $[JK_r; JK_s]$  is in the span of  $JK$  for all  $r, s$ .

In order to obtain a local description of these metrics, valid near any point in the dense open set where the  $K_r$  are linearly independent, we may assume that  $M$  is a principal  $\ell$ -torus bundle over a  $(2m - \ell)$ -dimensional manifold  $B$ , and that the foliation  $F$  defines a fibration over a  $2(m - \ell)$ -dimensional manifold  $S$ .

Let  $\omega; J = \omega + J \omega \in \mathcal{C}^1(M; {}^1M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be the connection 1-forms dual to  $K; JK$ , i.e.,  $\omega(K_s) = \delta_{rs}$  and  $\omega$  vanishes on the distribution orthogonal to  $F$ . (We may locally write  $\omega = dt_r + \omega_r$  where  $t: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  and  $\omega_r(K_s) = 0 = \omega_r(JK_s)$ .)

The two families of 1-forms  $\omega$  and  $K! = JK\omega$  span the same  $\ell$ -dimensional space. Hence we may write

$$\omega_r = G_{rs} K_s! \quad \text{and} \quad K_r! = H_{rs} \omega_s;$$

where  $G_{rs}$  and  $H_{rs}$  are mutually inverse matrices of functions preserved by  $K$ . Since  $g(K_r; K_s) = \langle K_r; JK_s \rangle = H_{rs}$ , we deduce that  $G_{rs}$  and  $H_{rs}$  are symmetric and positive definite.

Now  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed and  $K$ -invariant, so  $K_r \mathbb{R}$  is closed. If we locally write  $K_r \mathbb{R} = d_r$ , then  $d_r$  is a Killing potential for  $K_r$ , and  $\pi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a momentum map for the  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus action. The integrability of  $J$  implies that the  $JK_r$  are commuting holomorphic vector fields, so that  $S$  is a complex manifold, the Kähler quotient: since it may be locally identified with any level surface of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $S$  has a family of Kähler structures parameterized by points in the image of the momentum map.

It is useful to decompose the exterior derivative on  $B$  into horizontal and vertical parts as

$$d = d_h + d_r \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

(Note that  $d_h$  commutes with  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ .)

**Proposition 5.** [18] Let  $S$  be a complex  $2(n-1)$ -manifold, let  $B$  be an open subbundle of  $S \times \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $M$  be a principal  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus bundle over  $B$ . Denote the components of  $\pi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $r_s$ . Now suppose that:

- (i)  $(h; !_h)$  is family of Kähler metrics on the level surfaces of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $B$ ;
- (ii)  $G_{rs}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix of functions on  $B$ , with inverse matrix  $H_{rs}$ , satisfying the equation

$$(28) \quad \frac{\partial G_{rs}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial G_{rt}}{\partial s};$$

- (iii)  $d_r$  are the connection 1-forms of a principal connection on  $M$  over  $B$  whose curvature satisfies the equation

$$(29) \quad d_r = \frac{\partial !_h}{\partial r} + d_h^c G_{rs} \wedge d_s;$$

Then

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= h + G_{rs} d_r d_s + H_{rs} r_s \\ ! &= !_h + d_r \wedge r \\ J_r &= G_{rs} d_s \end{aligned}$$

is a Kähler structure on  $M$  with a free hamiltonian  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus action and Kähler quotient  $S$ . Any Kähler manifold with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus action arises locally in this way (on the dense open set where the torus acts freely).

**Proof.** We have seen already that any Kähler structure with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus action can be written in the form (30), and  $(h; J; !_h)$  is Kähler for each fixed  $\mathbb{R}$ . Now under these conditions, it is easy to check that  $(g; J; !)$  is Kähler if and only if  $G_{rs}$  satisfies (28) and  $d_r$  satisfies (29).  $\square$

This proposition shows that a Kähler manifold with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{R}^n$ -torus action may be specified by essentially free data. Indeed equation (28) is simply the integrability condition to write  $G_{rs} = \partial u_r = \partial_s u_r$ , and since  $G_{rs}$  is symmetric,  $u_r = \partial G = \partial_r u$ , with  $G$  subject only to the open condition that its Hessian is positive definite. On the other hand, equation (29) determines the forms  $d_r$  by quadrature provided that the integrability condition is satisfied. With (28), the integrability condition is

$$(31) \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial_r \partial_s} !_h + d_h d_h^c G = 0$$



for all  $r, s$ , which amounts to requiring that the closed  $J$ -invariant 2-form  $\omega_h + d_h d_h^c G$  depends only on  $\omega$ . Since we can add a  $d_h d_h^c$  potential for this 2-form to  $G$  without altering  $G_{rs}$ , we can assume that for each fixed  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_h = d_h d_h^c G$  which defines the family of Kähler structures on the complex manifold  $S$ , again placing only an open condition on  $G$ .

Pedersen and Poon [18] do not develop the potential formulation in terms of  $G$ , which is instead inspired by work of Guillen in on the toric case [11] (see below, and also [1]). We shall refer to  $G$  as a dual potential.

**Remark 1.** It is crucial in Proposition 5 that the torus action is hamiltonian in the strong sense that the momentum maps Poisson-commute, i.e.,  $d_r(K_s) = 0$ . This condition is often missed in the literature, since if  $K_r$  and  $K_s$  commute,  $d_r(K_s)$  is constant, and on a compact manifold  $r$  must have a critical point, so the constant is zero. However, we are not assuming compactness: indeed, the above local description is only valid on an open set where  $r$  has no critical points!

Note that the  $u_r$  also form a coordinate system on each fibre of  $B$  over  $S$ , and we let  $\partial = \partial_{u_r} = H_{rs} \partial_s$  be the coordinate vector fields tangent to the fibres. It is also useful to introduce a fixed  $\omega$ -independent volume form  $\text{vol}_S$  on  $S$  and write  $\text{vol}_h = Q \text{vol}_S$ . Observe in particular that

$$(32) \quad \frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial r}; \omega_h = Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r}.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(M; g; J; \omega)$  be Kähler with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{T}$ -torus action as above, and let  $f$  be any function on  $M$  invariant under the torus action. Then

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} dd^c f &= d_h d_h^c f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} \frac{\partial \omega_h}{\partial r} \\ &+ d_h \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} \wedge r + d_h^c \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} \wedge J r \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_s} d_r \wedge s; \end{aligned}$$

$$(34) \quad f = \int_M h f Q^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} Q \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r}.$$

It follows that  $dd^c f = 0$  if and only if  $f = A_r u_r + B$  where the  $A_r$  are constant, and  $B$  is a pluriharmonic function on  $S$ .

Also  $H = u_r r G$  is a Kähler potential for  $g$ , and  $H_{rs} = \partial^2 H = \partial_{u_r} \partial_{u_s}$ .

**Proof.** Expanding  $d$  into horizontal and vertical parts, we get

$$dd^c f = d_h d_h^c f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} d_r + d_r \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial r} d_h^c f + d_h \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} \wedge r + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_r} d_s \wedge r.$$

The second term in the equation (29) for  $d_r$  combines with the third term in the above equation to give  $d_h^c (\partial f / \partial u_r) \wedge J r$ . The formula for the Laplacian follows by contracting with  $\omega$ .

The second part is a consequence of the fact that  $dd^c f = 0$  if and only if the three lines on the right hand side of (33) are separately zero. Hence  $\partial f / \partial u_r$  must be constant. On the other hand  $d_h d_h^c u_r = d_h d_h^c (G \partial_r) = \partial \omega_h \partial_r$ , so if  $f = A_r u_r + B$  with  $\partial B \partial_r = 0$  then  $dd^c f = 0$  if and only if  $dd^c B = d_h d_h^c B = 0$ .

Last note that  $\partial H \partial_{u_s} = \partial_s$  and  $d_h \partial_s = 0$ , while  $d_h d_h^c H = \partial_r \omega_h \partial_r = \omega_h$ .  $\square$

This lemma makes it straightforward to give conditions for  $M$  to be Kähler-Einstein, using the fact that any Ricci potential and any Kähler potential then differ by a pluriharmonic function.

Lemma 4. Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be Kähler with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -torus action. Suppose that  $G$  is a dual potential, and  $\rho_h$  is a Ricci potential for  $!_h$  for each fixed  $h$ , i.e.,  $d_h d_h^c \rho_h = \rho_h$  where  $\rho_h$  is the Ricci form of  $!_h$ .

Then  $\rho = \rho_h + \frac{1}{2} \log \det G_{rs}$  is a Ricci potential for  $g$ . Hence

$$(35) \quad d_h d_h^c \rho = \rho_h + \frac{1}{2} d_h (H_{rs} d_h^c G_{rs})$$

$$(36) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} = \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (Q H_{rt}):$$

Substituting these into (33) and (34) gives the Ricci form and scalar curvature.

Proof. A Ricci potential has the form  $\frac{1}{2} \log(\text{vol}_! = \text{vol}_J)$  where  $\text{vol}_! = \frac{1}{m!} !^m$  and  $\text{vol}_J$  is a holomorphic volume form. We first observe (see [18, 22]) that if  $d$  is a frame of holomorphic  $(1;0)$ -forms on  $S$ , then there are functions  $Q_r$  such that  $Q_r d_r + G_{rs} d_s + i r$ , together with  $d$ , form a holomorphic frame of  $M$ . Since  $! = !_h + d_r \wedge r$ , the formula for  $!$  is immediate.

Equation (35) follows easily using the fact that for any matrix valued function  $A$ ,  $d \log \det A = \text{tr} A^{-1} dA$ . For (36) we also note that  $\rho_h = \frac{1}{2} \log(Q \text{vol}_S = \text{vol}_{J_h})$ , where  $\text{vol}_{J_h}$  is a ( $r$ -independent) holomorphic volume form on  $S$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} &= H_{rt} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} H_{rt} H_{pq} \frac{\partial G_{pq}}{\partial t} Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} H_{rt} \frac{\partial G_{tp}}{\partial q} H_{pq} Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} H_{rt} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial H_{rt}}{\partial t} + Q^{-1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} H_{rt}; \end{aligned}$$

where we use the symmetry of  $\partial G_{pq} / \partial t$  in  $p; q; t$ .  $\square$

The expressions for the Ricci form and scalar curvature are rather complicated in general. However, if we suppose that  $d_h G_{rs} = 0$  then the scalar curvature of  $g$  is linear in  $H_{rs}$ :

$$\text{Scal} = \text{Scal}_h - Q^{-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r \partial s} (Q H_{rs}):$$

This fact was emphasised by Abreu [1] in the toric case, and by Hwang {Singer [12] in the case of circle symmetry: we have just combined their arguments.

We remark that when  $m = 2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^* = 1$ , the Pedersen {Poon Ansatz reduces to the LeBrun Ansatz [15]:

$$g = w e^u (dx^2 + dy^2) + w dz^2 + w^{-1/2};$$

where  $w_{xx} + w_{yy} + (w e^u)_{zz} = 0$ , which is the integrability condition for  $d = w_x dy \wedge dz - w_y dx \wedge dz + (w e^u)_z dx \wedge dy$ . Here  $d_h w = w_x dx + w_y dy$ . Note that  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} u$  is a Ricci potential for  $g$ .

3.2. The toric case. If  $\mathbb{C}^* = m$ , then  $r$  is closed, so locally we may take  $r = dt_r$ . Since  $! = \sum_{K_r} !^m \wedge dt_r$ , it is closed if and only if  $\sum_{K_r} !^m$  is a closed 1-form for each  $r$ . Also  $J$  is integrable if and only if  $J_r$  is closed for each  $r$ , in which case, if we locally write  $J_r = du_r$ , then  $u$  is a local holomorphic coordinate on  $M$ .

Now observe that  $G_{rs} d_s$  is closed if and only if  $G_{rs}$  is the Hessian with respect to  $u$  of a function  $G$ . Indeed  $G_{rs} d_s = du_r$  if and only if  $G_{rs} = \partial u_r / \partial s$ , in which case  $u_r = \partial G / \partial r$  for some function  $G$ , since  $G_{rs}$  is symmetric. Similarly  $H_{rs} du_s$  is closed if and only if  $H_{rs}$  is the Hessian with respect to  $u$  of a function  $H$ .

If  $(g; J; !)$  is Kähler manifold, we have functions  $G; H$  such that  $u_r = \partial G / \partial x_r$ , and  $x_r = \partial H / \partial u_r$ ; without loss of generality, we may also assume that the constant  $G + H = hu$ ;  $h$  is zero. Thus the coordinate system  $u$  and  $x$ , and the functions  $G$  and  $H$ , are related by Legendre transform:

$$G + H = hu; \quad u_r = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_r} = u_r \frac{\partial H}{\partial u_r}.$$

$H$  is a Kähler potential, since  $! = H_{rs} du_s \wedge dx_r = dd^c H$ .

Proposition 6. (See [11, 1].) Let  $G_{rs}$  be a positive definite  $m \times m$  symmetric matrix of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  with inverse matrix  $H_{rs}$ . Then the metric

$$G_{rs} dx_r dx_s + H_{rs} dt_r dt_s$$

is almost Kähler with Kähler form

$$! = dx_r \wedge dt_r$$

and has independent Killing vector fields  $\partial/\partial t_r$  with Poisson-commuting hamiltonians  $x_r$ . Any almost Kähler structure with such a family of Killing vector fields is of this form (where the  $t_r$  are locally defined up to an additive constant), and is Kähler if and only if  $G_{rs}$  is the Hessian of a function of  $x$ .

3.3. The generalized Calabi construction. The Pedersen-Poon Ansatz for Kähler manifolds with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{T}$ -torus action is too complicated, in its fullest generality, for constructing interesting Kähler metrics. Indeed most applications, including those in [18], use only Kähler metrics in the following subclass.

Proposition 7. Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be Kähler with a hamiltonian  $\mathbb{T}$ -torus action, as in Proposition 5. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) the Kähler potential  $H$  may locally be written as  $H_0 + H$  where  $H_0$  is independent of  $x$  and  $H$  is constant on the level surfaces of  $x$ ;
- (ii)  $!_h$  depends only on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , and  $dx_r = \partial !_h / \partial x_r$ ;
- (iii)  $H_{rs}$  (or equivalently  $G_{rs}$ ) is constant on the level surfaces of  $x$  for all  $r, s$ ;
- (iv) the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$  are totally geodesic;
- (v) the principal connection on  $M$  over  $B$  is invariant under  $JK_r$ ;
- (vi)  $M$  is (locally) the pullback to  $B$  of a principal  $\mathbb{T}$ -torus bundle with connection over  $S$ .

Proof. Certainly (i) implies that  $H_{rs}$  is constant on the level surfaces of  $x$ ; conversely, if  $G$  is an affine function of the  $x_r$ , then  $d_h H = \sum_r x_r (\partial/\partial x_r) (d_h G) = d_h G$  is independent of  $x$ , so it has a local  $x$ -independent potential  $H_0$ . (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by (29) and (31).

The conditions (iii)–(v) are all equivalent to the fact that  $\text{tr}_{K_r} K_s; X = 0$  for  $X$  orthogonal to  $F$ . Indeed, since  $J$  is parallel and  $K_r$  is holomorphic this says that the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$  are totally geodesic. On the other hand, since  $dH_{rs} = 2\text{tr}_{K_r} K_s$ , it also says that  $dH_{rs}(X) = 0$  for all  $X$  orthogonal to  $F$ . Finally,  $\text{tr}_{JK_r}(JX); K_s = \text{tr}_{JK_r} X; JK_s = 2\text{tr}_{K_r} K_s; X$ , so it means that the horizontal distribution is  $JK_r$ -invariant. The last two conditions are manifestly equivalent.  $\square$

If  $!_h$  is affine in  $x$  we can write  $!_h = \sum_{r=0}^n x_r \alpha_r$  where  $\alpha_0 = 1$  and the  $\alpha_r$  are closed  $J$ -invariant ( $x$ -independent) 2-forms on  $S$ . In order to obtain a workable Ansatz, we need some control over the relation between these 2-forms. To do that, we shall suppose that there is a Kähler form on  $S$  such that  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n$  are simultaneously diagonalizable with respect to  $\alpha_0$ , with constant eigenvalues. Since  $\alpha_r - c$  is closed for any constant  $c$ , the ( $J$ -invariant) eigendistributions are

integrable, and so  $S$  splits into a local Kähler product of  $(S; \omega_r)$ , where  $\omega_r = \omega_{j_S}$ . Then there are constants  $c_r$  such that  $\omega_r = c_r \omega_r$  for  $r = 0, \dots, \ell$ .

**Definition 4.** The generalized Calabi construction of dimension  $m$ , rank  $\ell$ , for Kähler manifolds  $(M; g; J; \omega)$ , is obtained from the following data:

- (i) a  $2(m - \ell)$ -dimensional product  $S$  of Kähler manifolds  $(S; J; \omega_r)$  of dimension  $2m - 2\ell$ ;
- (ii) a toric  $2\ell$ -dimensional Kähler manifold  $V$  with momentum map  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ ;
- (iii) constants  $c_r$  for  $r = 0, \dots, \ell$  such that for all  $r$ ,  $\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r$  is positive (where  $c_0 = 1$ ), and for all  $r \neq 0$  the cohomology class  $[\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r \omega_r]$  is integral.

We set  $\omega_r = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r \omega_r$  ( $r = 0, \dots, \ell$ ) and let  $M = P \times_T V$ , where  $P$  is principal  $\ell$ -torus bundle with connection whose curvature forms are  $\omega_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, \ell$ ). We equip  $M$  with the unique Kähler structure (30) such that the  $\ell$ -torus action is hamiltonian and such that  $d\omega_r = \omega_r, \mu_h = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r \mu_r$  and  $G$  is a dual potential on each fibre for the Kähler structure induced from  $V$ .

The integrality constraint in (iii) meaning that the cohomology class is in  $H^2(S; 2\mathbb{Z})$  is needed for the global existence of a principal  $\ell$ -torus bundle. It is always satisfied locally indeed for local questions we may as well suppose that  $[\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r \omega_r] = 0$ . If the integrality constraint is not satisfied, we still have at least a principal  $\ell$ -bundle.

If we take the volume form  $\text{vol}_g$  to be the natural volume form induced by the Kähler forms on  $S$ , then the quantity  $Q$  given by  $\text{vol}_{h_g} = Q \text{vol}_g$  is

$$(37) \quad Q = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} c_r \omega_r^m :$$

Obviously  $d_h Q = 0$ , which is the key condition, together with  $d_h G_{rs} = 0$ , needed to simplify the expression for the Ricci form.

**Proposition 8.** Let  $(M; g; J; \omega)$  be obtained from the generalized Calabi construction and let  $\mu$  be a Ricci potential for  $\omega$ . Then  $\mu = \frac{1}{2} \log Q + \frac{1}{2} \log \det G_{rs}$  is a Ricci potential for  $M$ , and the Ricci form and scalar curvature of  $M$  are

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ricci} &= \sum_{r,t=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial \omega_r \partial \omega_t} \omega_r \omega_t - \sum_{r,t=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial \omega_r \partial \omega_t} \omega_r \omega_t \\ (39) \quad \text{Scal} &= \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \frac{\text{Scal}_r}{c_r \omega_r} - \sum_{r,s=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\partial^2 \mu}{\partial \omega_r \partial \omega_s} (Q H_{rs}) : \end{aligned}$$

This is immediate from Lemma 4.

We shall also need to know the Levi-Civita connection.

**Proposition 9.** Suppose that  $M$  arises from the generalized Calabi construction, and let  $\nabla^{\omega}$  and  $\nabla^H$  be respectively the Levi-Civita connection on the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$ , and the Levi-Civita connection of  $S$  lifted to the horizontal distribution of  $M$ . Let  $X, Y$  be horizontal vector fields and  $U, V$  be vertical vector fields.

Then

$$(40) \quad r_X Y = r_X^H Y + C(X; Y)$$

$$(41) \quad r_X U = hC(X; U) + [X; U]^2$$

$$(42) \quad r_U X = [U; X]^H + hC(X; U)$$

$$(43) \quad r_U V = r_U^? V;$$

where  $^H$  and  $^?$  denote the horizontal and vertical components, and the O'Neill tensor  $C$  is given by

$$(44) \quad 2C(X; Y) = \sum_{r=1}^{X'} r(X; Y)K_r + \sum_r (JX; Y)JK_r :$$

Proof. These observations all follow from the six term Koszul formula for the Levi-Civita connection:

$$(45) \quad 2hr_X Y; Z i = \partial_X hY; Z i + \partial_Y hX; Z i - \partial_Z hX; Y i \\ + h[X; Y]; Z i - h[X; Z]; Y i - h[Y; Z]; X i :$$

We first consider the contraction of (40) with a horizontal vector field  $Z$ , i.e.,  $hr_X Y; Z i = hr_X^H Y; Z i$ . This holds because the submersion of  $M$  over  $S$  is "componentwise horizontally homothetic": it suffices to prove it when  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  are vector fields on individual components  $S_i$  of  $S$  (pulled back to the Kähler product and hence to  $M$ ) and clearly all terms are zero unless  $X, Y, Z$  are all vector fields on the same component  $S_i$ ; then we note that on any such component  $g = fg$  where  $df$  vanishes on horizontal vector fields.

For the vertical component of (40), we take  $Z$  vertical in (45) to get

$$2hr_X Y; Z i = (L_Z g)(X; Y) + h[X; Y]; Z i :$$

Taking  $Z = \partial_r$  and  $Z = K_r$  we obtain (40) with  $C$  given by (44), since  $[X; Y]^2 = \sum_r r(X; Y)K_r, JK_r = \text{grad}_g r$  and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial r}(X; Y) = \frac{\partial !_h}{\partial r}(JX; Y) = \sum_r (JX; Y) :$$

The remaining three equations are much easier:  $hr_X U; Y i = hr_X Y; U i, r_U X = [U; X]$  and  $hr_U X; V i = hr_U V; X i$ , so we only need to check  $hr_X U; V i = h[X; U]; V i$  and (43). These follow immediately because the metric on the fibres is constant along horizontal curves and the fibres are totally geodesic.  $\square$

The generalized Calabi construction may be viewed as a "modified Kaluza-Klein Ansatz" [23]. When  $\lambda = 1$  it is essentially equivalent to constructions due to Calabi [6, 7] of Kähler metrics on complex line bundles, and their natural extensions [12, 21, 22]: many known examples of extremal Kähler metrics, including constant scalar curvature metrics and Kähler-Einstein metrics, fit into this framework. However, for larger  $\lambda$ , the general toric Kähler metric on the fibres is still rather difficult to handle.

### 3.4. Orthotoric Kähler metrics.

Definition 5. A Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J; !)$  of real dimension  $n = 2m$  is called orthotoric if it is equipped with  $m$  real functions  $f_j$  such that:

- (i) the vector fields  $L_j := J \text{grad}_g f_j$  are linearly independent and orthogonal;
- (ii) the vector fields  $K_r := J \text{grad}_g f_r$  are Killing and Poisson-commuting, where  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are the elementary symmetric functions of the  $f_j$ 's.

Note that an orthotoric Kähler manifold is certainly toric, and any toric Riemann surface is orthotoric. For a higher dimensional toric manifold it is hard to detect whether it is orthotoric: in the orthotoric case there is choice of momentum map  $(t_1; \dots; t_m)$ , with respect to some basis for the  $m$ -dimensional family of Killing vector fields, such that the roots  $\alpha_j$  of the polynomial  $\sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r t^r$  have orthogonal gradients, but it may not be easy to find such a momentum map.

The exterior derivative of the identity

$$(46) \quad \sum_{k=1}^m (t_k - 1) = \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r t^{m-r}$$

at  $t = t_j$  yields

$$(47) \quad d_j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^{r-1} t_j^{m-r} d_r;$$

where  $t_j = \sum_{k \neq j}^m (t_k - 1)$ . This is inverse to the identity

$$(48) \quad d_r = \sum_{j=1}^m t_{r-1}(\hat{j}) d_j;$$

where  $t_{r-1}(\hat{j})$  denote the elementary symmetric functions of the  $m-1$  functions  $t_k$  with  $t_j$  deleted (with the convention that  $t_0 = 1$ ). Hence the coordinate systems given by  $t_j$  and  $d_r$  are related by the Vandermonde matrix and its inverse. We have collected some Vandermonde identities that we need in Appendix B.

**Proposition 10.** Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be an orthotoric Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m$ , with respect to real functions  $t_1; \dots; t_m$ . Then, on any simply connected domain  $U$ , there are  $m$  functions  $t_1; \dots; t_m$ , each determined up to an additive constant, and there are  $m$  functions  $t_j$  of one variable, such that  $f_1; \dots; f_m; t_1; \dots; t_m$  form a coordinate system with respect to which the Kähler structure may be written

$$(49) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{t_j}{j(j)} d_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j(j)}{j} \sum_{r=1}^m t_{r-1}(\hat{j}) dt_r^2; \\ ! &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_j \wedge \sum_{r=1}^m t_{r-1}(\hat{j}) dt_r = \sum_{r=1}^m d_r \wedge dt_r; \\ Jd_j &= \frac{j(j)}{j} \sum_{r=1}^m t_{r-1}(\hat{j}) dt_r; & Jdt_r &= (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j}{j(j)} d_j; \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for any  $m$  real functions  $t_j$  of one variable, the almost-hermitian structure defined by (49) is Kähler and orthotoric with dual potential

$$(50) \quad G = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{Q_k(t_k)}{j(t)} dt = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{P_{m-r} \sum_{r=0}^m (-1)^r t^{m-r}}{j(t)} dt$$

and Kähler potential

$$(51) \quad H = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{t^{m-r}}{j(t)} dt;$$

Proof. Since an orthotoric Kähler structure is toric, we may apply Proposition 6 to obtain the local expression. The condition that the  $\beta_j$  have orthogonal gradients means that

$$(52) \quad H_{rs} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \log \beta_j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s} \log \beta_j$$

and hence

$$(53) \quad G_{rs} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(\beta_j)^{r+s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log \beta_j}{\beta_j^2}.$$

We set  $\beta_j = \sum_{s=1}^m \beta_{js}^2$  so that

$$(54) \quad G_{rs} d\beta_j = \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \log \beta_j \right) d\beta_j.$$

If  $G_{rs}$  is the Hessian of a function  $G$ , this must be closed, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \log \beta_j \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \log \beta_k \right),$$

for all  $j, k, r = 1, \dots, m$ . Multiplying this by  $(\beta_j)^r d\beta_j$  and using (47) to sum over  $r$  (which amounts to inverting the above Vandermonde system) shows that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \log \beta_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \log \beta_k$  vanishes for  $j \neq k$ ; it follows that each  $\beta_j$  only depends on  $x_j$ . Changing coordinates from Proposition 6 yields (49).

Conversely if  $\beta_j$  is a function only of  $x_j$  then (54) is equal to  $du_r$  where

$$(55) \quad u_r = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \log \beta_j \right) dt.$$

Since the integrand in (50) vanishes when  $t = \beta_j$ , its derivative with respect to  $x_r$  is  $u_r$ . Hence  $G$  is a dual potential for  $G_{rs}$ . The corresponding Kähler potential  $\sum_{r=1}^m u_r x_r = G$  is clearly (51). Since the  $d\beta_j$ 's are evidently pairwise orthogonal, the structure is orthotoric.  $\square$

We end this section by remarking that there is an alternative characterization of orthotoric Kähler metrics among toric ones.

Proposition 11. A toric Kähler structure  $(g; J; !)$  is (locally) orthotoric if and only if there is a momentum map  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$  such that  $\sum_{r=1}^m (\beta_1 x_r - \beta_{r+1}) du_r$  is a closed 1-form, where  $u_r = \partial G / \partial x_r$  for a dual potential  $G$ .

Proof. Let  $\beta_j$  be the roots of the polynomial  $\sum_{r=0}^m (\beta_1)^r x_r t^{m-r}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^m d(\beta_1 x_r - \beta_{r+1}) \wedge du_r &= \sum_{r,s=1}^m G_{rs} (d\beta_1 - d\beta_{r+1}) \wedge d\beta_s \\ &= \sum_{r,s,j,k} G_{rs} (\beta_j - \beta_{r+1}) d\beta_j \wedge (\beta_{s+1} - \beta_k) d\beta_k; \end{aligned}$$

which is zero if and only if  $\sum_{r,s} G_{rs} (\beta_j - \beta_{r+1}) (\beta_{s+1} - \beta_k) = 0$  for all  $j, k$ . The left hand side is  $(\beta_j - \beta_k) g(\partial/\partial \beta_j, \partial/\partial \beta_k)$ , so the result follows.  $\square$

#### 4. Classification of hamiltonian 2-forms

4.1. Rough classification of hamiltonian 2-forms. On any Kähler manifold, any  $J$ -invariant parallel 2-form is hamiltonian. However, in this case the Killing vector fields  $K(t)$  are all identically zero. For a general hamiltonian 2-form, it is important to know how many of the  $K(t)$  are linearly independent.

In order to do this, we (temporarily) write  $p(t) = \sum_{r=0}^m (1)^r t^m - r$  and  $K_r = J \text{grad}_g \phi_r$  for the coefficients of the momentum polynomial and the corresponding Killing vector fields. Hence  $K(t) = \sum_{r=1}^m (1)^r K_r t^m - r$  is a linear combination of  $K_1; \dots; K_m$  for any  $t$ .

Proposition 12. Let  $\omega$  be a hamiltonian 2-form on a (connected) Kähler  $2m$ -manifold  $M$ . Then there is an integer  $\ell$ , with  $0 \leq \ell \leq m$  such that  $K_1 \wedge \dots \wedge K_\ell$  is nonzero on a dense open subset, but  $\dim \text{span}\{K_1; \dots; K_m\} < \ell$  on all of  $M$ .

Proof. The coefficient of  $t^{m-r}$  in identity (19) gives

$$(56) \quad K_{r+1} = (JK_r) + rK_1.$$

Suppose for some  $z \in M$  and  $1 < s < m$ ,  $K_s$  is a linear combination of  $K_1; \dots; K_{s-1}$  at  $z$ . Then  $K_{s+1}$  is also a linear combination of  $K_1; \dots; K_{s-1}$  at  $z$ : to see this, use (56) with  $r = s$ , then write  $(JK_s)$  as a linear combination of  $(JK_r)$  with  $r < s$  and use (56) again to express these in terms of  $K_1; \dots; K_s$ . Hence, at each  $z \in M$ ,  $\dim \text{span}\{K_1; \dots; K_m\}$  is the largest integer  $\ell_z$  such that  $K_1; \dots; K_{\ell_z}$  are linearly independent at  $z$ . However, for any integer  $r$ ,  $K_1; \dots; K_r$  are linearly dependent if and only if the holomorphic  $r$ -form  $K_1^{1,0} \wedge \dots \wedge K_r^{1,0}$  is zero. Hence the set where  $K_1; \dots; K_r$  are linearly independent is empty or dense. The result follows.  $\square$

The integer  $\ell$  of this proposition will be called the order of  $\omega$ . We are going to identify the order of  $\omega$  with the number of non-constant roots of the momentum polynomial.

Lemma 5. If, on an open subset of  $M$ ,  $(Z; \cdot) = \lambda(Z; \cdot)$  with  $Z$  nonvanishing, then  $d\lambda$  is the orthogonal projection of  $d$  onto the span of  $Z^\perp$  and  $JZ^\perp$ .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can take  $Z$  to be a unit vector field, and hence

$$(r_X \cdot)(Z; JZ) = d(X);$$

for all vector fields  $X$ . By (12), this becomes

$$(57) \quad d = d(Z)Z + d(JZ)JZ;$$

which is what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

Now the roots of the momentum polynomial are equivalently the eigenvalues of  $J = J^\perp$ , viewed as a  $J$ -commuting symmetric endomorphism of  $TM$ . At each point of  $M$ , these eigenvalues are real and there is an orthogonal  $J$ -invariant direct sum decomposition of the tangent space into eigenspaces. We count an eigenvalue with multiplicity  $k$  if the corresponding eigenspace has real dimension  $2k$ ; for the moment, we denote by  $\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_m$  them (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of  $J$ . It follows that, for any  $t$ ,

$$p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m (t - \lambda_j) = t^m - \lambda_1 t^{m-1} + \dots + (-1)^m \lambda_m;$$

so that  $\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_m$  are the elementary symmetric functions of  $\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_m$ . The above lemma, together with the linear independence of  $K_1; \dots; K_\ell$  on a dense open set, yields a fundamental fact.



**Proposition 13.** Let  $\omega$  be a hamiltonian 2-form on  $M$ . Then the roots  $\alpha_i$  of  $p(t)$  and their derivatives  $d\alpha_i$  may be defined smoothly on a dense open subset, and extend continuously to  $M$ . Furthermore, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $d\alpha_i$  and  $d\alpha_j$  are orthogonal on  $M$ . In particular, any repeated root (on an open set) is constant.

If the order of  $\omega$  is  $\ell$ , then there are  $\ell$  non-constant roots and they are functionally independent on the dense open set where  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$  are independent.

**Proof.** By the maximality of  $\ell$ , wherever  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  can be smoothly defined, at most  $\ell$  of the  $d\alpha_i$  are independent. Let  $U$  be the dense open subset where  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$ , hence  $d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_\ell$  are independent; then, on any open subset of  $U$  where  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  are smoothly defined, at least  $\ell$  of the  $d\alpha_i$  are independent. It follows that the  $\alpha_i$  and  $d\alpha_i$  can be defined smoothly on  $U$ , that precisely  $\ell$  of the  $\alpha_i$  are functionally independent there. Lemma 5 now shows that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $d\alpha_i$  and  $d\alpha_j$  are orthogonal.  $\square$

The order expresses the extent to which  $\omega$  constrains the Kähler geometry of  $M$ . At one extreme, when  $\ell = 0$ , we have an orthogonal  $J$ -invariant decomposition of  $TM$  into eigenspaces of  $\mathbb{P}^J$ , and it is easy to see that this makes  $M$  into a Kähler product: if we write  $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$  where  $\omega_1$  is the restriction of the Kähler form to the  $\ell$ -eigenspace, then the closedness of  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1$  is equivalent to  $d\omega_1 = 0$  for each  $\ell$  (for instance there could be only one eigenspace (in which case  $\omega$  is a constant multiple of the Kähler form), or there could be  $m$  (in which case  $M$  is an arbitrary Kähler product of Riemann surfaces). At the other extreme, when  $\ell = m$ ,  $M$  is toric and Lemma 5 shows in fact that it is orthotoric, so has the explicit form of Proposition 10, determined by  $m$  functions of one variable.

**4.2. Explicit description of the metric.** We now present the general description of Kähler manifolds with a hamiltonian 2-form. To do this, it will be convenient to adopt different notation from the previous subsection.

**Definition 6.** Let  $p(t) = (t^{-1})^m \text{pf}(\omega - t\omega)$  be the momentum polynomial of a hamiltonian 2-form  $\omega$  of order  $\ell$ , and let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$  the non-constant roots of  $p$ . We denote by  $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_\ell$  the elementary symmetric functions of these non-constant roots, set  $K_r := J \text{grad}_g \phi_r$  for  $r = 1, \dots, \ell$ , and write  $p(t) = p_c(t)p_{nc}(t)$ , where

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{nc}(t) &:= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (t - \alpha_j) = t^\ell - \phi_1 t^{\ell-1} + \dots + (-1)^\ell; \\ p_c(t) &:= \prod_{\alpha \in Y} (t - \alpha)^{m_\alpha}; \end{aligned}$$

and the product over  $Y$  denotes the product over the different constant roots of  $p(t)$ ,  $m_\alpha$  being the multiplicity of the root  $\alpha$ .

If we denote the  $\alpha$ 's of the previous subsection by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , then for  $\ell = m$   $\alpha_r = \alpha_r$ ; otherwise, since the roots of  $p_c$  are constant, it follows that  $d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_m$  are constant linear combinations of  $d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_\ell$ . Now  $d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_\ell$  are linearly independent. Hence  $d\alpha_1, \dots, d\alpha_m$  are also linearly independent, and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are constant affine linear combinations of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$ . (Note in particular that  $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_1$ .)

Hence  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$  generate a local hamiltonian  $\ell$ -torus action and  $M$  is locally a bundle over the Kähler quotient  $S$  with toric fibres. The tangent space  $TF$  to the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$  is spanned by  $K_1, \dots, K_\ell$  and  $JK_1, \dots, JK_\ell$ , while the orthogonal distribution  $H$  is spanned by the eigenvectors of  $\mathbb{P}^J$  with constant eigenvalues. We let  $\omega$  be the the  $TF$ -valued 2-form on  $H$  defined by  $\omega(Y; Z) = [Y; Z]^F$ , the orthogonal projection of the Lie bracket onto  $TF$ .

We shall now show that  $M$  is obtained from the generalized Calabi construction and that the fibres are orthotoric.

Lemma 6. (i) The fibres of  $M$  over  $S$  are totally geodesic.

(ii) If  $Y$  and  $Z$  belong to distinct eigenspaces of  $J$  in  $H$ , then  $\langle Y, Z \rangle = 0$ , whereas if they belong to the same eigenspace, then

$$(59) \quad \langle Y, Z \rangle = \frac{\langle Y, Z \rangle X'}{p_{nc}(\lambda)} \sum_{r=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{r-1} \lambda^r K_r;$$

(iii) For any  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq \lambda$ ,  $d_i$  and  $d_j$  are orthogonal.

Proof. Suppose that  $\langle Z \rangle = JZ$  for some constant root  $\lambda$  and let  $Y$  be any vector field. Then

$$\langle r_Y Z \rangle = r_Y \langle JZ \rangle \quad \langle r_Y \rangle \langle Z \rangle = J r_Y Z - \frac{1}{2} \langle Z \rangle (d_1 \wedge JY - d_1^c \wedge Y)$$

and hence

$$(60) \quad 2 \langle J \rangle \langle r_Y Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle d_1 - \langle Y, Z \rangle J d_1$$

since  $d_1 \langle Z \rangle = 0 = J d_1 \langle Z \rangle$  (cf. Lemma 5 and equation (57)).

(i) We apply (60) with  $Y$  tangent to  $F$  and deduce that for any  $Z$  orthogonal to  $F$ ,  $r_Y Z$  is orthogonal to  $F$ . Hence, for  $Y_1, Y_2$  tangent to  $F$  we have  $\langle r_{Y_1} Y_2 \rangle = \langle r_{Y_1} Z, Y_2 \rangle = 0$  and so  $F$  is totally geodesic.

(ii) If  $Y$  and  $Z$  belong to distinct eigenspaces with eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  respectively, then  $\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle = 0$ , so that (60) implies that  $r_Y Z$  belongs to the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace, while  $r_Z Y$  belongs to the  $\mu$ -eigenspace. Hence  $\langle Y, Z \rangle$  is in  $H$  and  $\langle Y, Z \rangle = 0$ . Otherwise, if they both belong to the same eigenspace, we have

$$\langle J \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle d_1 = \langle Y, Z \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{j-1} \lambda^j J d_j;$$

$$\text{Hence} \quad \langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \frac{J d_j}{\lambda^j} = \frac{\langle Y, Z \rangle X'}{\sum_{k=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{k-1} \lambda^k} \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{j-1} \lambda^j J d_j$$

from which (59) easily follows, since  $K_r = J d_r = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{j-1} \lambda^j J d_j$ .

(iii) This is immediate from Proposition 13.  $\square$

This lemma, together with Proposition 7 and Proposition 10, yields the classification we seek. To state the result, let us denote by  $p_{r-1}(\hat{j})$  the elementary symmetric functions of the  $\lambda-1$  functions  $p_k$  with  $j$  deleted, and by  $p^0(t)$  the derivative of the momentum polynomial with respect to  $t$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $(M, g; J; \lambda)$  be a connected Kähler  $2m$ -manifold with a Hamiltonian 2-form of order  $\lambda$ . Then there are functions  $F_1, \dots, F_\lambda$  of one variable such that on a dense open subset  $M$ , the Kähler structure may be written

$$(61) \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} p_{nc}(\lambda) g + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \frac{p^0(j)}{F_j(j)} d_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} \frac{F_j(j)}{p^0(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{\lambda} r_{-1}(\hat{j}) r_{-1}^2;$$

$$\omega = \sum_{r=1}^{\lambda} p_{nc}(\lambda) \omega + \sum_{r=1}^{\lambda} d_r \wedge r; \quad d_r = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^{r-1} \lambda^r r_j;$$

$$J d_j = \frac{F_j(j)}{p^0(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{\lambda} r_{-1}(\hat{j}) r; \quad J r = \sum_{j=1}^{\lambda} (-1)^r \frac{p_c(j)}{F_j(j)} \lambda^r d_j;$$

where summation over  $\lambda$  denotes the sum over the different constant roots of  $p(t)$ , and  $(S; g; !)$  is a Kähler manifold of the same dimension as the corresponding eigenspace of  $J$ .

A dual potential and Kähler potential for  $(g; J; !)$  are given by

$$(62) \quad G = \sum_{r=0}^{X'} H_r + \sum_{j=1}^{X'Z} \frac{p(t)}{F_j(t)} dt;$$

$$(63) \quad H = H_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{X'Z} \frac{p_c(t)t^j}{F_j(t)} dt;$$

where  $H_r$  is a  $(-)$ -independent  $dd^c$  potential for  $r = \sum_{j=1}^P (1)^{r_j} r_j!$ .

Furthermore, in these coordinates, the hamiltonian 2-form may be written

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} &= \sum_{r=0}^X p_{nc}(\lambda)! + \sum_{j=1}^{X'} d\lambda_j \wedge \sum_{r=1}^{X'} r_{j-1} (\hat{\lambda}_j)_r \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^X \sum_{j=1}^{X'} (1)^{r_j} r_j^{j+1} r_j! + \sum_{r=1}^{X'} (r d\lambda_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\lambda_{r+1}) \wedge r: \end{aligned}$$

We also have a local  $dd^c$  potential for the closed form  $\lambda + \lambda_1!$ :

$$(65) \quad \lambda + \lambda_1! = \sum_{j=1}^X \lambda_j^{j+1} + \sum_{r=1}^{X'} d(\lambda_1 r \wedge \dots \wedge \lambda_{r+1}) r = dd^c$$

where

$$(66) \quad \lambda + \lambda_1! = H_{\lambda_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{X'Z} \frac{p_c(t)t^{j+1}}{F_j(t)} dt$$

and  $H_{\lambda_1}$  is a  $(-)$ -independent  $dd^c$  potential for  $\lambda_1 = \sum_{j=1}^P \lambda_j^{j+1}!$ .

Proof. Since the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$  are totally geodesic, the restriction of  $r$  to any fibre is the Levi-Civita connection of the induced toric Kähler metric. Also, by Proposition 7, the toric structure is independent of the fibre, and the orthogonal distribution  $H$  is invariant under  $JK_1; \dots; JK_n$ .

The restriction of  $\lambda$  to any fibre is hamiltonian (even when  $n = 1$  since the trace of  $\lambda$  is a hamiltonian for a Killing vector field tangent to the fibres). The fibres are orthotoric, and the functions  $G_{rs}$  are independent of the fibre, hence so are the functions  $\lambda_j = \sum_{j=1}^P \lambda_j^2$  defining the orthotoric structure in Proposition 10.

The eigenspace distribution of  $J$  with constant eigenvalue  $\lambda$  descends to an integrable distribution, and so the complex quotient  $S$  is locally a product  $\lambda \times S$  over the constant roots  $\lambda$  of  $p$ . Furthermore, the curvature of  $H$  descends to  $S$ , so that the 2-form  $\lambda! = \sum_{r=0}^P p_{nc}(\lambda)$ , appearing in the formula (59), descends to give a Kähler structure on  $S$ , after restricting it to the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace distribution.

$$(67) \quad \text{Clearly } \lambda_h = \sum_{r=0}^P p_{nc}(\lambda)! = \sum_{r=0}^X (1)^{r_j} r_j!$$

$(r = 0; \dots; n)$ , and the curvature forms  $s_{\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_r}$  of the connection  $H$  are constant linear combinations of the Kähler forms  $\lambda!$ ; thus  $M$  is a generalized Calabi construction over  $S$ . The explicit form of the metric easily follows: we define  $F_j(t) = p_c(t) \lambda_j(t)$ , and observe that  $p^0(\lambda_j) = p_c(\lambda_j) \lambda_j$ , since  $\lambda_j = \sum_{k \in j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$ .

It remains to establish the explicit form of the potentials: observe that

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} d(\varphi_r) = dd^c H_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} dJ \frac{p_j(\varphi_j) d\varphi_j}{F_j(\varphi_j)} = dd^c H :$$

The equation  $H = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi_r G = \varphi_r G$  determines  $G$  up to a linear combination of the  $\varphi_r$  with basic coefficients. Recall that we also require  $d_h d_h^c G = \omega_h$  so that the functions  $u_r = \varphi_r G = \varphi_r$  are pluriharmonic. It is easy to check that  $G$  given by (62) has the required properties with

$$(68) \quad u_r = H_r + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_j(\varphi_j) t^{r-j}}{F_j(t)} dt$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $H_r$  is a  $dd^c$ -potential for  $\varphi_r$  on  $S$ .

In the formula for  $\omega$ , we have used the fact that  $p_{j-r-1}(\varphi_j) = \varphi_r(\varphi_j)$ . It is then straightforward to check that  $\omega$  is a  $dd^c$  potential for  $\omega_0 + \omega_1$ .  $\square$

Remark 2. Formally, we set  $u_0 = H$ , so that  $G = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_r u_r = H = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \varphi_r u_r$ . Similarly, we can write  $u_{-1} = \varphi_0$ , and in general extend (67)–(68) to all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $dd^c H_r = \varphi_r$ . For  $r > 0$   $dd^c u_r = 0$ , while for  $r = -k < 0$  we have  $dd^c u_{-k} = (-1)^{k+1} \varphi_k$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k &= \sum_{j=r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d \frac{\varphi_j^{k+1} p_{j-r-1}(\varphi_j)}{F_j} J d\varphi_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_k^{k+1}}{F_k} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_j^{k+1}}{F_j(\varphi_j)} p_{nc}(\varphi_j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i;j;r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_i}{F_i} \frac{\varphi_j^{k+1} p_{j-r-1}(\varphi_j)}{F_j} d\varphi_i \wedge \varphi_r. \end{aligned}$$

Using (95) and (97) from Appendix B, this may be written

$$(69) \quad J_k = \sum_{s=0}^k h_{k-s}(\varphi) J^s$$

and  $h_p$  is the  $p$ th complete symmetric function in  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ .

4.3. The hamiltonian 2-form. The theorem of the previous subsection shows that if  $M$  is a Kähler manifold with a hamiltonian 2-form, then the Kähler structure is given explicitly by the generalized Calabi construction over the Kähler manifold  $S$ , with orthotoric fibres, and with constants  $c_r = (-1)^{r-n} \varphi_r$ .

However, we have not yet shown that for any such explicit Kähler structure, equation (64) actually does define a hamiltonian 2-form. It is possible that the hamiltonian condition imposes some further constraints on the orthotoric structure or the Kähler quotient. In fact, this is not the case. In this section, we establish such a converse.

Theorem 2. Let  $(M; g; J; \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold given explicitly by (61). Then the  $J$ -invariant 2-form defined by (64) is a hamiltonian 2-form of order  $n$ .

Proof. Obviously (for the case  $n = 2$ ), the trace of  $\omega$  is a Killing potential. Hence, in order to show that  $\omega$  is hamiltonian, we must show that

$$\text{tr } A = d\varphi_1 + \frac{1}{2} (d\varphi_1 \wedge J - d^c \varphi_1 \wedge \text{Id})$$

where  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \omega_i$ . Since  $A$  is manifestly closed, we only need to check the equation for  $(r_X A)(Y; Z)$ ,  $(r_X A)(Y; U)$ ,  $(r_U A)(V; X)$  and  $(r_U A)(V; W)$ , where  $X, Y, Z$  and  $U, V, W$  are arbitrary horizontal and vertical vector fields respectively. Two of these equations are immediate:  $(r_X A)(Y; Z) = 0$  and  $(r_U A)(V; X) = 0$ .

We next consider the equation for  $(r_X A)(Y; U)$ , which reduces, using Proposition 9, to the equation

$$(70) \quad 2[\cdot; C(X)] = hX; \cdot \mid - Jd_1^1 \mid (X; \cdot) \mid - d_1^1 \mid :$$

Here the left hand side is the commutator of  $\cdot$  with

$$2C(X) = \sum_{r=1}^n X^r (X^r) \mid - Jd_r^1 \mid - r(JX) \mid - d_r^1 \mid :$$

Decomposing into the eigenspaces of  $J$ , we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} 2[\cdot; C(X)] &= \sum_{r,j=1}^n X^r X^j (X^r X^j) \mid - (1)^{r+j} r_{r-1}(\hat{j}) (X^j) \mid - d_j^1 \mid + \mid (JX) \mid - Jd_j^1 \mid \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n X^j X^j \mid - \sum_{r=1}^n (1)^{r+j} r_{j-r-1}(\hat{j}) + r(\hat{j}) \mid \\ &\quad \mid (X) \mid - d_j^1 \mid + \mid (JX) \mid - Jd_j^1 \mid \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^n X^r X^r (X^r X^r) \mid - (1)^{2r} r_{r-1}(\hat{r}) \mid (X) \mid - d_1^1 \mid + \mid (JX) \mid - Jd_1^1 \mid : \end{aligned}$$

This proves (70), by the definition of  $g$  and  $\omega$ .

It remains to verify the equation for  $(r_U A)(V; W)$ . Since the fibres are totally geodesic, this amounts to showing that  $\omega$  is a hamiltonian 2-form on the fibres. Hence it suffices to prove the result in the orthotoric case, when we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \omega_i = \sum_{r=1}^p d(f_r d^c u_r)$ , with  $f_r = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_{r+i}$ .

To do this we shall use only the fact that  $\sum_{r=1}^p f_r du_r = d$  is closed | equivalently  $A$  is  $J$ -invariant:  $A(JK_r; K_s) = df_r(JK_s) = df_s(JK_r) = A(JK_s; K_r)$ , cf. Proposition 11. We define  $\omega_{rs} := A(JK_r; K_s)$  and recall that  $hK_r; K_s i = H_{rs}$ .

Since  $A$  is closed and  $J$ -invariant, it suffices to check

$$(71) \quad (r_{K_r} A)(JK_s; K_t) = 0$$

$$(72) \quad (r_{JK_r} A)(JK_s; K_t) = d_1(JK_r)hK_s; K_t i - \frac{1}{2}d_1(JK_s)hK_r; K_t i - \frac{1}{2}d_1(JK_t)hK_s; K_r i :$$

Equation (71) follows immediately: the left hand side is

$$K_r \mid A(JK_s; K_t) \mid - A(Jr_{K_r} K_s; K_t) \mid - A(JK_s; r_{K_r} K_t)$$

and all three terms are zero here, since  $A(JK_s; K_t)$  is  $K_r$ -invariant,  $Jr_{K_r} K_s$  is a linear combination of the  $K_t$ 's and  $A(K_r; K_s) = 0$  for all  $r, s$ .

On the other hand, for equation (72) we have

$$(r_{JK_r} A)(JK_s; K_t) = JK_r \mid df_s(JK_t) \mid + df_t(r_{K_r} K_s) + df_s(r_{K_r} K_t) :$$

Now

$$r_{K_r} K_s = \frac{1}{2} \text{grad} hK_r; K_s i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_p X_p \frac{\partial H_{rs}}{\partial u_p} du_p^1$$

and  $H_{rs} = \partial^2 H / \partial u_r \partial u_s$ , so (72) holds if and only if

$$(73) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q} G_{pq} \left( \frac{\partial H_{sq}}{\partial u_r} + \frac{\partial H_{tq}}{\partial u_r} \right) \right) = H_{1r} H_{st} + \frac{1}{2} (H_{1s} H_{rt} + H_{1t} H_{rs}) :$$

This simplifies once we observe that  $\sum_{p,q} G_{pq} \frac{\partial H_{sq}}{\partial u_r} = \sum_{p,q} G_{pq} \frac{\partial H_{tq}}{\partial u_r}$ , so that the product rule reduces the left hand side to

$$(74) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p,q} H_{sq} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} (G_{pq} + G_{qp}) + H_{tq} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} (G_{pq} - G_{qp}) :$$

Now finally we use the fact that  $f_s = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s+1}$  and  $\partial_s = \partial u_r = H_{rs}$  to deduce that

$$\sum_p \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} (G_{pq} + G_{qp}) = H_{rt} \frac{1}{t} + H_{1r} \frac{1}{t} :$$

Substituting this into (74) yields (73), and hence (72).  $\square$

## 5. The curvature of Kähler manifolds with a hamiltonian 2-form

5.1. The Ricci potential and scalar curvature. In this section we compute the Ricci potential and scalar curvature for any Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J; !)$  given by (61). In terms of the Vandermonde matrix  $V_{rj}$  and its inverse  $W_{jr}$  (see Appendix B), we have

$$G_{rs} = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{p_c(j) V_{rj} V_{sj}}{F_j(j)} ; \quad H_{rs} = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{W_{jr} W_{js} F_j(j)}{p_c(j)} ;$$

and hence, up to a sign,  $\det G_{rs}$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^{X'} p_c(j) F_j(j)^{-1}$ . Also, the constants  $c_r$  are given by

$$\sum_{r=0}^{X'} c_r = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} p_c(j) ;$$

and so, from (37),  $Q = \sum_{r=0}^{X'} c_r = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} p_c(j)$ .

It follows immediately from Proposition 8 that if  $\phi$  is a Ricci potential for  $(S; !)$ , then a Ricci potential for  $(M; !)$  is

$$(75) \quad \phi = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{1}{2} \log F_j(j) :$$

In order to obtain the scalar curvature from this, we need a formula for the Laplacian in the  $j$  coordinates.

Lemma 7. For any function  $f$ , we have

$$(76) \quad \Delta f = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{1}{p_c(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial j} \left( F_j(j) \frac{\partial f}{\partial j} \right) :$$

Proof. We just need to change coordinates in equation (34). For this observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} = \sum_{k=1}^{X'} \frac{V_{rk}}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} = \sum_{s=1}^{X'} H_{rs} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{W_{jr} F_j(j)}{p_c(j)} \frac{\partial}{\partial j} ;$$

so that  $\Delta f = \sum_{r,j,k=1}^{X'} \frac{1}{Q} \frac{V_{rk}}{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \left( \frac{Q W_{jr} F_j(j)}{p_c(j)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial j} \right) :$

Since  $Q = \sum_{i=1}^Q p_c(i)$ , this agrees with (76) once we observe that

$$\sum_{k,r=1}^X \frac{V_{rk}}{k} \frac{\partial W_{jr}}{\partial k} = \sum_{r,k=1}^X \frac{W_{jr}}{k} \frac{\partial V_{rk}}{\partial k} = \sum_{r=1}^X \sum_{k=1}^X \frac{W_{jr}}{k} (1)^r (\sum_{k=1}^X r) \sum_{k=1}^X r^{-1} = 0$$

by the Vandermonde identity.  $\square$

Applying this formula to the Ricci potential, we deduce immediately that

$$(77) \quad \text{Scal} = \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{\text{Scal}}{p_c(j)} - \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{F_j^{(0)}(j)}{j p_c(j)}.$$

Lemma 8. Suppose that  $\text{Scal}$  depends polynomially on  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Then

for all  $j$ ,  $F_j^{(0)}(t) = p_c(t)R(t)$ , where  $p_c(t) = \sum_{k=1}^Q (t - x_k)^{m-1}$  and  $R(t)$  is a polynomial independent of  $j$ ;  
for all  $j$ ,  $\text{Scal} = R(x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k)$ .

We then have

$$(78) \quad \text{Scal} = \sum_{k=1}^X \frac{R(x_k) \prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)} - \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{R(x_j) \prod_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k)}{\prod_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k)};$$

where  $m = \sum_{k=1}^P 1 = m$  ( $m-1$ ).

Furthermore, if  $\text{Scal}$  has degree  $q$  in each variable  $x_j$ , then  $R(t)$  has degree at most  $m+q-1$ . Hence, for each  $j$ ,  $F_j(t)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $m+q+1$ .

Proof. We multiply the formula (77) by  $\sum_{k=1}^X p_c(k)$  to obtain an equality between polynomials in  $x_k$  (on a nonempty open set, hence everywhere):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^X p_c(k) \text{Scal} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{\sum_{k=1}^X p_c(k) \prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)} \text{Scal} - \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{\sum_{k=1}^X p_c(k) \prod_{j \neq k} (x_j - x_k)}{j p_c(j)} F_j^{(0)}(j). \end{aligned}$$

This clearly shows that  $F_k^{(0)}$  is a polynomial with  $p_c$  as a factor. Evaluating at  $x_k = x_j$  for some fixed  $j$ , we obtain  $F_k^{(0)}(x_j) = F_j^{(0)}(x_j)$  for all  $j$  (in a nonempty open set, hence everywhere). Dividing through by  $p_c(x_k)$  we now have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^X \prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j) \text{Scal} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)} \text{Scal} - R(x_k) \sum_{j=1}^X \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x_k - x_j)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)} R(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at  $x_k = x_j$  gives the formula for  $\text{Scal}$ , and it is straightforward to count the degree in  $x_k$ . Dividing by  $\prod_{j \neq k} (x_k - x_j)$  now gives (78).  $\square$

We can interpret (78) by adjoining the distinct constant roots  $x_k$  to the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . If we label these  $x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}, \dots, x_{m+m}$ , and let  $\bar{j} = \sum_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k)$ , where the product is now over  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , then the right hand side of (78) is just  $\sum_{j=1}^m R(x_j) \bar{j}$  which is indeed a polynomial of degree at most  $q$  in each  $x_j$ , by the Vandermonde identity.

5.2. **Extremal Kähler metrics.** Recall that a Kähler metric is called *extremal* if the scalar curvature is a Killing potential [6, 7]. Weakly Bochner–at Kähler metrics of dimension  $n = 2m - 4$  are extremal, since the scalar curvature is then the trace of a hamiltonian 2-form. In this section we classify the extremal Kähler metrics with a hamiltonian 2-form such that  $d_h \text{Scal} = 0$ , i.e., the scalar curvature is a hamiltonian for a Killing vector field tangent to the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$ .

**Proposition 14.** Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be Kähler with a hamiltonian 2-form. Then  $\text{Scal}$  is a hamiltonian for a Killing vector field tangent to the fibres of  $M$  over the Kähler quotient  $S$  if and only if  $(g; J; !)$  has the explicit form (61) where:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } j, F_j^0(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=0}^m a_r t^{m-r}, \text{ and } a_0, \dots, a_m \text{ are arbitrary constants} \\ &\text{(independent of } j); \\ &\text{for all } \alpha, \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=0}^m a_r t^{m-r} = Q_{\epsilon}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvature of  $(g; J; !)$  is then given by  $\text{Scal} = (a_0 + a_1)$ , where  $a_1 := \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j = \alpha + \dots$ , so that  $\text{Scal}$  is a hamiltonian for  $a_0 K_1$ .

Any constant scalar curvature Kähler metric with a hamiltonian 2-form arises in this way with  $a_0 = 0$ , and is scalar–at if and only if  $a_1 = 0$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\text{Scal}$  is invariant under  $K_1, \dots, K_m$ , it must be a function of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ , and since  $J \text{grad}_g \text{Scal}$  commutes with  $K_1, \dots, K_m$  and is in their span at each point, it must in fact be a constant linear combination of  $K_1, \dots, K_m$ , so that  $\text{Scal}$  is an affine function of  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ . Now any such function is a polynomial in  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  of degree one in each  $\alpha_j$ . Hence we can apply Lemma 8.

Conversely, the Vandermonde identities imply that  $\text{Scal} = (a_0 + a_1)$ , which is a Killing potential for  $a_0 K_1$ , since  $\alpha_1$  differs from  $\alpha$  by a constant.  $\square$

5.3. **Weakly Bochner–at Kähler metrics.** On a weakly Bochner–at Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m - 4$ ,  $\sim$  is a hamiltonian 2-form, so we obtain a classification by specializing the work of the previous section to the case  $\sim = \alpha$ . In fact we may as well consider more generally the case that  $\sim = a + b!$  for constants  $a, b$ . Then when  $a = 0$ , we will have characterized the Kähler–Einstein metrics among Kähler metrics with a hamiltonian 2-form. Note however, that we have fixed  $\alpha$ : it is obviously possible for  $\sim$  to be a hamiltonian 2-form without it being equal to  $a + b!$  for a given  $\alpha$ , but we have nothing to say about this situation.

**Proposition 15.** Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be Kähler of dimension  $n = 2m - 4$  with a hamiltonian 2-form. Then  $M$  is weakly Bochner–at with  $\sim$  a linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $!$  if and only if  $(g; J; !)$  has the explicit form (61) where:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for all } j, F_j^0(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=1}^m b_r t^{m-r}, \text{ and } b_1, \dots, b_m \text{ are arbitrary constants} \\ &\text{(independent of } j); \\ &\text{for all } \alpha, (S; !) \text{ is Kähler–Einstein with Einstein constant} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{m} \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=1}^m b_r \alpha^{m-r}:$$

The Ricci form of  $(g; J; !)$  is then given by  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} b_1 (\alpha + \alpha!) + b_0 !$ .

Any Kähler–Einstein metric with a hamiltonian 2-form arises in this way with  $b_1 = 0$ , and is Ricci–at if and only if  $b_0 = 0$ .

**Proof.**  $2\rho = b_1 (\alpha + \alpha!) + b_0 !$  if and only if  $dd^c$  potentials for the two sides differ by a pluriharmonic function. This means that  $2\rho$  must be of the form



$\sum_{r=1}^P (-1)^r b_r u_r$  where

$$u_r = H_r + \sum_{j=1}^{X+Z} \frac{(-1)^r t^r p_c(t)}{F_j(t)} dt$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, P$ , the  $H_r$  being functions on  $Q \setminus S$  such that  $H_r$  is a  $dd^c$ -potential for  $r = 1, \dots, P$  when  $r = 0, \dots, P$ . (So  $u_1$  is a  $dd^c$  potential for  $r = 1$ ,  $u_0$  is a  $dd^c$  potential for  $r = 0$  and  $u_r$  is pluriharmonic for  $r = 1, \dots, P$  see Remark 2.) Now it follows from (75) that

$$2 = \frac{X}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{X+Z} \frac{F_j^0(t)}{F_j(t)} dt.$$

Comparing this with

$$\sum_{r=1}^P (-1)^r b_r H_r + \sum_{j=1}^{X+Z} \frac{p_c(t)}{F_j(t)} \sum_{r=1}^P b_r t^r dt;$$

we obtain the required result.  $\square$

The first condition in this proposition means that the functions  $F_j(t)$  are polynomials of degree  $m+2$  differing only in their constant terms, and such that their common derivative, a polynomial of degree  $m+1$ , is divisible by the degree  $m+1$  polynomial  $p_c(t)$  to give the polynomial  $\sum_{r=1}^P b_r t^r$  of degree  $m+1$ .

5.4. Bochner-Kähler metrics. We now derive Bryant's classification of Bochner-Kähler metrics in the present framework. One interesting feature of our approach is that we can at the same time explicitly classify hamiltonian 2-forms on Bochner-Kähler(Einstein manifolds, i.e., on Kähler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, cf. section 2.4.

To state the result, we set  $\hat{p}_c(t) = \sum_{r=2}^P (t^r)^{m+1}$  and  $\hat{m} = \sum_{r=2}^P r = m(m+1)$ .

Proposition 16. [5] Let  $(M; g; J; !)$  be a Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m+4$  with a hamiltonian 2-form. Then  $M$  is Bochner-Kähler with  $\sim$  a linear combination of  $\hat{g}$  and  $!$  if and only if  $(g; J; !)$  has the explicit form (61) where:

for all  $j$ ,  $F_j(t) = \hat{p}_c(t) \sum_{r=2}^P c_r t^{\hat{m}+r}$ , and  $c_2, \dots, c_{\hat{m}}$  are arbitrary constants (independent of  $j$ );

for all  $(S; !)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\frac{1}{m(m+1)} \text{Scal} = \sum_{r=2}^{\hat{m}} c_r t^{\hat{m}+r} \left( \frac{Y}{\epsilon} \right);$$

The curvature of  $(g; J; !)$  is then given by  $R = \frac{1}{2} c_2 (\hat{g} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}_1!) + \frac{1}{2} c_1 !$  and  $\hat{g}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}$ .

Any constant holomorphic sectional curvature Kähler metric with a hamiltonian 2-form arises in this way with  $c_2 = 0$ , and is flat if and only if  $c_1 = 0$ .

Proof. By Proposition 15, we may assume that  $(g; J; !)$  is weakly Bochner-Kähler, with  $F_j^0$  a polynomial divisible by  $p_c$  and  $S$  Kähler(Einstein. Since  $\sim$  is a linear combination of  $\hat{g}$  and  $!$ , we may use the system (25). Integrating the last three equations gives  $\hat{g}_0 = C_2$ ,  $\hat{g}_1 = C_2 + C_1$  and  $\hat{g}_2 = C_2 (\frac{2}{1} - \frac{2}{2}) + C_1 + C_0$  for

constants  $C_{-2}, C_{-1}$  and  $C_0$ . (We have used the fact that  $\frac{2}{1}$  and  $h_{i+2, \frac{2}{1}}$  are constants.) Hence

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \frac{2}{m} W^K(\cdot) &= C_{-2} J^2 + (C_{-2, -1} + C_{-1}) + (C_{-2}(\frac{2}{1} - 2) + C_{-1, -1} + C_0)! \\ &= \frac{2}{m} W^K(\cdot) - C_{-2}(J^2 - 1 - (\frac{2}{1} - 2)!) + C_{-1}(\frac{2}{1} - 1!) + C_0! : \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Remark 2 that

$$\frac{2}{m} W^K(\cdot) = dd^c(\frac{1}{2} + C_{-2}u_{-2} - C_{-1}u_{-1} + C_0u_0):$$

Here we recall that  $dd^c u_{-2} = J^2 - 1 - (\frac{2}{1} - 2)!$ .

Hence  $W^K(\cdot)$  is basic if and only if  $F_j(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} C_r t^{-r}$ , where  $C_1, \dots, C_{-}$  are arbitrary constants. This means that  $F_j$  is a polynomial divisible by  $p_c$ . Since  $F_j^0$  is also divisible by  $p_c$ ,  $W^K(\cdot)$  is basic if and only if  $F_j$  is a polynomial divisible by  $p_c$ . In particular  $\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} C_r t^{-r} = 0$  for each constant root  $t$ . It follows in fact that  $W^K(\cdot) = 0$ : indeed, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{m} W^K(\cdot) &= dd^c \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} (-1)^r C_r u_r \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} (-1)^r C_r dd^c H_r = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} C_r t^{-r}! = 0: \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may now suppose that  $F_j(t) = p_c(t) \sum_{r=2}^m C_r t^{-r}$  and that  $W^K(\cdot) = 0$ . Note that  $c_{-2} = C_{-2}$  and  $c_{-1} = C_{-1} + (\frac{2}{1})C_{-2}$ , so that  $c_0 = c_{-2}$  and  $c_1 = c_{-2} \wedge 1 + c_{-1}$ .

Since  $\sim$  is an constant linear combination of  $\frac{1}{m}$  and  $!$ , equation (23) implies that  $W^K(\cdot); \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{m} W^K(\cdot); \frac{1}{m} = 0$ . Also equation (24) implies that  $K W^K(\cdot) = 0$ . Now any 2-form commuting with  $J$  is the sum of a vertical and a horizontal 2-form (i.e., there is no mixed component); then since  $J$  has distinct eigenvalues on the fibres of  $M$  over  $S$ , the vertical component is of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^p d_j \wedge J d_j$ . If also the contraction with  $K$  is zero, we have  $\sum_{j=1}^p d_j (X) j d_j = 0$ , for each vector field  $X$ , which forces  $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{m} = 0$ . We deduce that for any 2-form  $\omega$ ,  $W^K(\cdot)$  is horizontal, and, since  $W^K$  is symmetric,  $W^K(\cdot)$  vanishes unless  $\omega$  is horizontal.

We next employ the Gray-Neill submersion formulae [10, 17] which apply in this situation (the submersion of  $M$  over  $S$  is not Riemannian, so we need the more general framework of Gray). If  $U, V, W$  are vertical and  $X, Y, Z$  are horizontal vector fields, we have (see Proposition 9)

$$(79) \quad (R_{X;Y}Z)^H = R_{X;Y}^H Z - hC(X);C(Y;Z)i^1 + hC(Y);C(X;Z)i^1 + hC(Z);C(X;Y)i^1 - hC(Z);C(Y;X)i^1;$$

$$\text{with } 2C(X;Y) = \sum_{r=1}^X (X;Y)K_r + \sum_{r=1}^X (JX;Y)JK_r :$$

To compute  $hC(X;X);C(Y;Y)i$  for horizontal vector fields  $X, X; Y, Y$ , we use the definition of  $C$ , and expand  $\frac{1}{m}$  and  $hK_r;K_s i$  to get

$$hC(X;X);C(Y;Y)i = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} f_{ij} (X;X)! (Y;Y) + (JX;X)! (JY;Y) ;$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j &= \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{F_j(j)}{p_c(j)} \sum_{r=1}^{X'} (1)^{r'} \cdot r \cdot r-1 \binom{X'}{j} \sum_{s=1}^{X'} (1)^{s'} \cdot s \cdot s-1 \binom{X'}{j} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{Y'} (k) \binom{X'}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{F_j(j)}{p_c(j)} \binom{X'}{j} \binom{Y'}{j} \\ &= p_{nc}(j) p_{nc}(j) C_{-2} (1 + \dots) + C_{-1} ; \frac{P_{\frac{m}{r-2}} C_r^{\frac{m}{r-2}} Q_{\frac{Q}{\epsilon}} (j)}{Q_{\frac{Q}{\epsilon}} (j)} : \end{aligned}$$

For the last line of this calculation, we observe that  $F_j(t) = p_c(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\ell + 2$  vanishing when  $t = j$ ; then if  $\epsilon = j$  we can apply the Vandermonde identity with the variables  $j_1; \dots; j_\ell$ ; whereas if  $\epsilon = P$ , we may use the Vandermonde identity for the polynomial  $F_j(t) = p_c(t)(t - j) = \sum_{r=2}^m c_r t^{\frac{m}{r-2}} Q_{\frac{Q}{\epsilon}}(t)$  of degree  $\ell + 1$ , with the variables  $j_1; \dots; j_\ell$ .

We now remark that the decomposition of the curvature (3) may be written

$$R(j) = W^K(j) \cdot J^{\wedge} + J^{\wedge} + h^{\wedge}; i! + h^{\wedge}; i^{\wedge}$$

for any  $J$ -invariant 2-form  $j$ , where  $\wedge = \frac{1}{m+2} \sim + \frac{1}{2} s!$ ; in the present situation

$$\wedge = \frac{1}{2} (0 + \frac{1}{2} 1!) = \frac{1}{2} C_{-2} + \frac{1}{2} 1! = \frac{1}{4} C_{-1}! = \frac{1}{2} C_{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \wedge 1! = \frac{1}{4} C_{-1}! :$$

(See section 2.3.) The final ingredient in the computation, from Proposition 15, is the fact that each  $S$  is Kähler-Einstein with Einstein constant

$$\frac{1}{m} \text{Scal} = (m+1) \sum_{r=2}^m c_r^{\frac{m}{r-2}} Q_{\frac{Q}{\epsilon}}(j) :$$

Putting these ingredients together, bearing in mind that  $j! = p_{nc}(j)!$ , we find that a remarkable cancellation occurs (cf. [5]) and we obtain

$$(W_{X/Y}^K Z)^H = \sum_{X'} W_{X/Y}^{K,S} Z ;$$

where  $W^{K,S}$  denotes the Bochner tensor of  $S$  (pulled back to the Kähler product). We deduce that  $(W_{X/Y}^K Z)^H = 0$  if and only if each  $S$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature given by the stated formula.  $\square$

Our proof above is very much inspired by [5, Section 4.5], where Bryant indicates how to obtain an explicit formula for the general Bochner–at metric, although he stops short of providing the final formula. Our approach has proceeded in reverse, by first finding the general formula, then showing that it is Bochner–at. This has permitted us to give a proof using standard methods in Kähler geometry, substituting a linear system for the nonlinear system which Bryant integrates using Cartan’s generalization of Lie’s Third Theorem.

Bryant’s remarkable paper also addresses global questions: the compact Bochner–at Kähler manifolds necessarily have constant holomorphic sectional curvature, but Bryant finds compact orbifold examples, and classifies the complete examples (cf. also [2] for the case of Kähler surfaces). In a subsequent paper we shall show that there are many more compact weakly Bochner–at Kähler manifolds than just the manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature (see also [3]).

We end the present section by discussing the characteristic polynomial. We write  $F$  for  $F_j$  (the latter being independent of  $j$ ), and define  $F^{\text{red}}(t) := F(t) - p_c(t) =$

$P \prod_{r=2}^m C_r t^{m-r} Q(t)$ . When  $c_2 \neq 0$ , these polynomials are (up to a transformation of  $t$ ) Bryant's characteristic and reduced characteristic polynomials. The relation with the polynomial of section 2.3 is given by the following result.

**Proposition 17.** Let  $\omega$  be a hamiltonian 2-form on a Bochner-Kähler manifold  $(M; g; J; \cdot)$  with  $\omega$  a linear combination of  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$ , as in Proposition 16. Then  $F$  is the characteristic polynomial  $F_c$  of  $(g; J; \cdot; \cdot)$ .

**Proof.** To compute  $(t^2 + t + 2)p(t) = hK; K(t)i$ , observe that

$$hK; K(t)i = \sum_{j=1}^{X'} p_c(t) \sum_{k \neq j}^Y (t - j) \prod_{j \neq k} j^2 = p(t) \sum_{j=1}^{X'} \frac{F^{\text{red}}(j)}{(j - t)^j}.$$

Hence, writing  $F^{\text{red}}(t) = \prod_{r=2}^P C_r t^{r-1}$ , Vandermonde identities (with variables  $1; \dots, j; t$ ) give

$$\begin{aligned} hK; K(t)i &= p(t) C_2 ((1+t)^2 - 2t + 1) + C_1 (1+t) + C_0 = p(t) \frac{F^{\text{red}}(t)}{p_{nc}(t)} \\ &= p(t) C_2 t^2 + (C_2 + C_1)t + (C_2 + C_1 + C_0) = F(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(t^2 + t + 2)p(t) = hK; K(t)i = F(t)$ .  $\square$

When  $c_2 = 0$  (i.e.,  $\omega_0 = 0$ ), Proposition 15 provides a classification of hamiltonian 2-forms on simply-connected manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature in terms of two polynomials  $p_c$  and  $F^{\text{red}}$  respectively of degrees  $m-1$  (precisely) and  $m+1$  (at most), such that every root of  $p_c$  is a root of  $F^{\text{red}}$ .

In section 2.4 we showed that hamiltonian 2-forms are given by parallel sections with respect to a flat connection on a bundle of rank  $(m+1)^2$ . In the simply-connected case, or more generally, when the Kähler manifold is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^m$  or  $\mathbb{C}H^m$ , the connection trivializes this bundle and the solutions extend globally to  $\mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^m$  or  $\mathbb{C}H^m$ . In the nonzero scalar curvature case, we identified the solution space with  $u(m+1)$  or  $u(m; 1)$ , the Lie algebra of Killing potentials under Poisson bracket. The scalar curvature is nonzero when  $F^{\text{red}}$  has exactly degree  $m+1$ .

The positive-definiteness of the explicit metric  $g$  implies that  $F^{\text{red}}(j) = j^m$  must be positive for all  $j$ . In the positive scalar curvature case ( $C_1 < 0$ ), the distinctness of  $1; \dots, j; t$  forces  $F^{\text{red}}$  to have distinct roots (without loss of generality  $-1 < t_1 < \dots < 1$  and  $F^{\text{red}}$  has a sign change in each interval). Hence the roots of  $p_c$  are precisely the multiple roots of the characteristic polynomial  $F$ , and the order  $m$  of  $\omega$  is given by the number of different roots of the characteristic polynomial, minus one. (The case of only one root is the trivial case that  $\omega$  is constant and  $\omega = \omega_0$ .) There are additional complications in the negative scalar curvature case, when  $F^{\text{red}}$  need not change sign in  $(-1; 1)$  or  $(\infty; 1)$ . This corresponds to the fact that  $u(m; 1)$  has non-semisimple elements, and  $F$  alone is not enough to classify them | we also need to know the factorization  $F(t) = F^{\text{red}}(t)p_c(t)$ .

**5.5. The Calabi-type case.** To illustrate the conditions of the previous subsections, and for use in the next subsection, we specialize to the simple, but important case of hamiltonian 2-forms of order one, with the constant roots all zero. The

Kähler structure may then be written:

$$(80) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= g_0 + \frac{m-1}{F(\cdot)} d^2 + \frac{F(\cdot)}{m-1} \bar{\cdot}^2; \\ \bar{\cdot} &= \bar{\cdot}_0 + d \wedge \bar{\cdot}; \quad d = \bar{\cdot}_0; \\ Jd &= \frac{F(\cdot)}{m-1}; \quad J = \frac{m-1}{F(\cdot)}: \end{aligned}$$

This is the local form of a Kähler metric of Calabi type on a complex line bundle over a Kähler manifold  $(S; g_0; J; \bar{\cdot}_0)$  of dimension  $2(m-1)$ .

We remark that any such metric is conformal to a Kähler metric of the same form, but with an oppositely oriented complex structure. Indeed we may set  $\bar{\cdot} = 1 = \bar{\cdot}$ ,  $\bar{g} = g = \bar{g}$  and  $\bar{F}(\bar{\cdot}) = \bar{F}(\cdot) = F(\cdot)$ .

Propositions 14, 15 and 16 specialize as follows:

- (i)  $g$  is extremal when  $P_2(t) := F^0(t) = t^{m-2}$  is a quadratic polynomial and  $S$  has constant scalar curvature  $P_2(0)$ ;
- (ii)  $g$  is weakly Bochner-Einstein when  $P_1(t) := F^0(t) = t^{m-1}$  is a quadratic polynomial and  $S$  is Kähler-Einstein with Einstein constant  $P_1(0)$ ;
- (iii)  $g$  is Bochner-Einstein when  $P_0(t) := F(t) = t^m$  is a quadratic polynomial and  $S$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $P_0(0)$ ;

In case (i), we may write  $F(t) = c_2 t^{m+2} + c_1 t^{m+1} + c_0 t^m + bt + a$  and the scalar curvature of  $S$  is  $m(m-1)c_0$ ; then case (ii) has  $b = 0$  and Einstein constant  $m c_0$ , while case (iii) has  $a = b = 0$  with holomorphic sectional curvature  $c_0$ .

5.6. Strongly conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics. We return briefly to the strongly conformally-Einstein manifolds of McDermott [9] and explain how their classification can be derived in a natural way in our framework.

We recall that if  $(M; g; J; \bar{\cdot})$  strongly conformally-Einstein with conformal factor  $\bar{\cdot}$ , then  $\bar{\cdot} = (a + b)d \wedge \bar{\cdot} = \bar{\cdot}^2$  is a Hamiltonian 2-form with trace  $\bar{\cdot} = a + b$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $\bar{\cdot}$  is parallel, and  $M$  is a Kähler product of a Riemann surface and a Kähler manifold of dimension  $2m-2$ . We shall concentrate on the more interesting case  $a \neq 0$ . We set  $a = 1 = q$  and  $b = p = q$  so that  $\bar{\cdot} = q + p$ . This allows us to take the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$ , when  $\bar{\cdot}$  is constant and  $(M; g; J; \bar{\cdot})$  is Kähler-Einstein. Since  $\bar{\cdot}$  is Hamiltonian of order one, with all constant roots zero, the Kähler structure has the explicit form (80) given in the previous subsection. This will be conformally-Einstein with conformal factor  $q + p$  if and only if

$$(81) \quad q(m-1)dd^c \bar{\cdot} = (q+p)dd^c \bar{\cdot} + \bar{\cdot}$$

for some function  $\bar{\cdot}$ , where  $\bar{\cdot} = \bar{\cdot}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \log F$ ,  $\bar{\cdot}_0$  being a Ricci potential for  $\bar{\cdot}_0$ . Evidently this equation can only hold if  $\bar{\cdot}_0$  is Kähler-Einstein, and we let  $dd^c \bar{\cdot}_0 = c \bar{\cdot}_0$  so that  $\text{Scal}_{\bar{\cdot}_0} = (m-1)c$ . We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c \bar{\cdot} &= \frac{F}{m-1} \bar{\cdot}_0 + \frac{F^0}{m} (m-1)F d \wedge \bar{\cdot} \\ dd^c \bar{\cdot} &= c \frac{F^0}{m-1} \bar{\cdot}_0 - \frac{F^0}{2m} (m-1)F^0 d \wedge \bar{\cdot} : \end{aligned}$$

Substituting in (81), we eliminate  $\bar{\cdot}$  to obtain a linear differential equation for  $F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q(m-1)F + (q+p)(c^{m-1} - \frac{1}{2}F) &= c^m \\ &= q(m-1)(F^0 - (m-1)F) - \frac{1}{2}(q+p)(F^0 - (m-1)F^0): \end{aligned}$$

A particular integral is  $2c^{-m} = m$ , and one solution of the homogeneous equation is easily found, namely  $(q - p)(q + p)^{2m-1}$ . We can then reduce the equation to first order to find the general homogeneous solution as an integral:

$$(q - p)(q + p)^{2m-1} a + b \int \frac{t^m}{(qt + p)^{2m} (qt - p)^2} dt :$$

This is in fact a polynomial in  $t$ , which may be written

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j}{m} \frac{2m}{m+j} (a_+ p^{m-j} q^{j-1} m+j - a_- p^{j-1} q^{m-j} m-j)$$

for constants  $a_{\pm}$ . The polynomial reduces to a multiple of  $(q - p)(q + p)^{2m-1}$  when  $q^{m+1} a_- = p^{m+1} a_+$ , while for  $a_+ a_- = 0$ , but  $p, q$  nonzero, it is a hypergeometric function of  $q/p$ , essentially a Gegenbauer polynomial.

In conclusion, then, the Kähler structure (80) is conformally-Einstein with conformal factor  $q + p$  if and only if

$$(82) \quad F(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j}{m} \frac{2m}{m+j} (a_+ p^{m-j} q^{j-1} m+j - a_- p^{j-1} q^{m-j} m-j) + \frac{2c}{m}$$

for constants  $a_{\pm}; c$  with  $c_0 = c|_0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Scal} = \frac{\text{Scal}_0}{m-1} - \frac{F(t)}{m-1} &= a_+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j}{m} \frac{(2m)!}{(m+j-2)!(m-j)!} p^{m-j} q^{j-1} j-1 \\ &+ a_- \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{j}{m} \frac{(2m)!}{(m+j-2)!(m-j)!} p^{j-1} q^{m-j} j-1, \end{aligned}$$

which is polynomial in  $t$  if and only if  $a_- = 0$ ,  $q = 0$  or  $m = 2$ . It is a Killing potential (i.e., a function) if and only if it vanishes or  $q = 0$  or  $m = 2$ .

The function  $Q(t)$  of Derdzinski-Maschler is  $F(t) = t^{m-1}$ , and writing  $t = q + p$ , we recover their solution, except that we have chosen the basis for the solutions in a way that unifies the cases  $p = 0$  and  $p \neq 0$ . We also recall from the previous subsection that  $g$  is conformal to a Kähler metric  $\bar{g}$  with the opposite orientation. This new Kähler metric is conformally-Einstein with conformal factor  $\bar{\omega} = q + \bar{p}$ . Just as  $q = 0$  corresponds to the case that  $g$  is Kähler-Einstein, so  $p = 0$  corresponds to the case that  $\bar{g}$  is Kähler-Einstein.

## Appendix A. Conformal Killing forms and hamiltonian 2-forms

We have noted already that for any hamiltonian 2-form  $\omega$ ,  $A = \omega + \omega^\sharp = 0$  is closed. One also easily observes that  $\omega^\sharp$  is co-closed (divergence-free). In this appendix we relate hamiltonian 2-forms to conformal Killing 2-forms, which have been investigated recently by Semmelmann [20].

**Definition 7.** A conformal Killing or twistor 2-form on a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  is a 2-form  $\omega$  satisfying the equation

$$(83) \quad \mathcal{L}_X \omega = \frac{1}{n-1} X^\flat \wedge \omega + \frac{1}{3} X^\flat \lrcorner \omega$$

for all vector fields  $X$ , where  $\omega^\flat$  is a 1-form and  $\omega^\sharp$  is a 3-form.

It follows immediately from this equation that  $\omega = \sum_{i,j} \omega_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j$  and that  $\omega = d\psi$ . Hence  $\omega$  is a conformal Killing 2-form if and only if  $r$ , which is a section of  ${}^1M \otimes {}^2M$ , is the kernel of the natural projections to  ${}^1M$  and  ${}^3M$ .

The notion of conformal Killing form can be extended to  $p$ -forms and is conformally invariant for  $p$ -forms of weight 1, i.e., for sections of  $L^{p+1} \otimes \mathbb{P}M$ , where  $L$  is the density line bundle.

The following observation is due in part to Sekizawa [19] and Semmelmann [20].

**Proposition 18.** Let  $(M, g; J; \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold of dimension  $n = 2m + 4$ .

- (i) If  $\omega$  is a hamiltonian 2-form, then the  $J$ -invariant 2-form  $\omega = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr } \omega)!$  is a conformal Killing 2-form, with  $\text{tr } \omega = 1 - \frac{m}{2} \text{tr } \omega$ .
- (ii) Conversely, if  $\omega$  is a  $J$ -invariant conformal Killing 2-form, then

$$(84) \quad d\omega = \frac{3}{n-1}! \wedge J$$

and

$$(85) \quad (m-2)J\omega = (n-1)d\text{tr } \omega :$$

Hence

$$r_X = \frac{1}{n-1} (X \wedge \omega + JX \wedge J\omega - hJ\omega; X!) )$$

and  $J\omega$  is closed if  $m > 2$ , while  $\text{tr } \omega$  is constant if  $m = 2$ .

- (iii) If  $\omega$  is a  $J$ -invariant conformal Killing 2-form and  $J\omega = df$  then  $\omega = \frac{1}{n-1}f!$  is a hamiltonian 2-form.

In particular, for  $m > 2$  the map  $\omega \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr } \omega)!$  is a bijection from hamiltonian 2-forms to  $J$ -invariant conformal Killing 2-forms, with inverse  $\omega \mapsto \frac{1}{m-2}(\text{tr } \omega)!$ .

**Proof.** (i) If  $\omega$  is hamiltonian and  $\omega = \frac{1}{2}!$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} r_X &= \frac{1}{2} d \wedge JX - d^c \wedge X - d(X!) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} X \wedge d^c \omega - X \wedge (! \wedge d) : \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\omega$  is a conformal Killing 2-form with  $\omega = \frac{n-1}{2}d^c$  and  $d\omega = \frac{3}{2}! \wedge d$ .

- (ii) Observe that  $r_X$  is  $J$ -invariant and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{3} (d(X; JY; Z) + d(X; Y; JZ)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} X \wedge (JY; Z) + X \wedge (Y; JZ) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} ! \wedge J(X; JY; Z) + ! \wedge J(X; Y; JZ) : \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\frac{1}{3}d + \frac{1}{n-1}! \wedge J$  is a (real)  $J$ -invariant 3-form, and so it must be zero | for instance one can use the identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2(X; JY; Z) &= (Z; JX; Y) + (Z; X; JY) \\ &\quad - (X; JY; Z) - (X; Y; JZ) \\ &\quad + (Y; JZ; X) + (Y; Z; JX) : \end{aligned}$$

Equation (84) follows immediately.

The equation for conformal Killing forms implies

$$(n-1)(r_X(JY) + r_Y(JX)) = (X)JY + (Y)JX - 2hX; Y iJ :$$

Taking the trace of this formula as a function of  $Y$  gives

$$(n-1)(2d\text{tr } \omega + J\omega(X)) = 3J\omega(X)$$

which is manifestly equivalent to (85).

(iii) This is a simple verification using (84):

$$\begin{aligned} r_X \left( \frac{1}{n-1} df(X) \right)! &= \frac{1}{n-1} (X \wedge Jdf + X \wedge df) \wedge df(X)! \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} df \wedge JX \wedge df \wedge X. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (85) gives  $d \operatorname{tr} = d \operatorname{tr} \left( \frac{m}{n-1} df \right) = \frac{2}{n-1} df$ .  $\square$

We end this appendix by remarking that there is also a connection between hamiltonian 2-forms and Killing tensors (cf. [13]), i.e., symmetric 2-tensors  $S$  satisfying  $\operatorname{sym} r S = 0$ . Indeed  $\omega$  is hamiltonian if and only if  $A = \omega + \omega^c$  is closed and  $S = J(\omega)$  is a Killing tensor. For the reverse implication, observe that  $dA = 0$  determines  $r_X(Y;Z) + r_Y(X;Z) + r_Z(X;Y)$  in terms of the 1-form  $d\omega$ , while  $\operatorname{sym} r S = 0$  determines  $r_X(JY;Z) + r_Y(JZ;X) + r_Z(JX;Y)$ . Replacing  $JY$  by  $Y$  in the second expression and adding to the first yields a formula for  $2r_X(Y;Z) + r_Y(Z;X) - r_{JY}(JZ;X)$  and the  $J$ -invariant part (in  $Y;Z$ ) is (12).

## Appendix B. Vandermonde matrices

B.1. The inverse of a Vandermonde matrix. A Vandermonde matrix is a  $(m+1) \times m$  matrix of the form

$$V = V(x_1; \dots; x_m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^m \\ 1 & x_2 & \dots & x_2^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_m & \dots & x_m^m \end{pmatrix};$$

where the  $x_j$ 's are  $m$  independent variables; the entries of the Vandermonde matrix  $V$  are thus defined by:

$$V_{rj} = (x_j)^{r-1} \quad 1 \leq r \leq m+1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m;$$

We denote by  $e_r, r = 1, \dots, m+1$ , the elementary symmetric functions of the  $x_j$ 's, so that:

$$(86) \quad \prod_{j=1}^m (t - x_j) = t^{m+1} - e_1 t^m + e_2 t^{m-1} - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} e_{m+1};$$

for any  $t$ . We also define  $e_0 = 1$ .

By removing the variable  $x_j$  (equivalently, by differentiating with respect to  $x_j$ ) we get

$$(87) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \prod_{k \neq j} (t - x_k) = t^{m-1} - e_1^{(j)} t^{m-2} + \dots + (-1)^{m-1} e_{m-1}^{(j)};$$

where the  $e_r^{(j)}$  are the elementary symmetric functions of the  $(m-1)$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_m$  ( $x_j$  deleted). By putting  $t = x_i$  in the above identity, we get:

$$(88) \quad x_i^{m-1} - e_1^{(j)} x_i^{m-2} + \dots + (-1)^{m-1} e_{m-1}^{(j)} = -x_{ij};$$

where  $x_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol and  $x_j$  is defined by

$$(89) \quad x_j = \sum_{k \neq j} (x_j - x_k):$$



This means that the matrix  $W$  defined by

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1(\hat{1}) & \dots & x_{m-1}(\hat{1}) \\ 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_{m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_1(\hat{m}) & \dots & x_{m-1}(\hat{m}) \end{pmatrix};$$

ie.,

$$W_{ir} = \frac{x_{r-1}(\hat{i})}{i};$$

is a left-inverse of  $V$  :

$$\sum_{r=1}^m W_{ir} V_{rj} = \delta_{ij};$$

B 2. The determinant of a Vandermonde matrix. In order to compute the determinant  $\det V$  of  $V$ , we use the fact that  $W_{11} = \frac{1}{1}$  is equal to the determinant of the minor of  $V_{11}$  in  $V$  divided by  $\det V$ . Now the minor of  $V_{11}$  is clearly  $V(2; \dots; m)$ ; we thus get the following induction formula:

$$\det V(1; \dots; m) = (-1)^{m-1} (x_1 - x_2) \dots (x_1 - x_m) \det V(2; \dots; m);$$

from which we readily infer the well-known formula:

$$(90) \quad \det V = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j);$$

Notice that we also have:

$$(91) \quad (\det V)^2 = (-1)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^m (x_i - x_j);$$

Indeed, both sides are products of elements of the form  $(x_i - x_j)$ ; more precisely, for each  $i < j$ , we get  $(x_i - x_j)^2$  in the left hand side, whereas we have  $(x_i - x_j)(x_j - x_i) = (x_i - x_j)^2$  in the right hand side.

B 3. Vandermonde identities. In the ring of  $m \times m$  matrices, a left inverse is also a right-inverse, so that:

$$(92) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m V_{sj} W_{jr} = \delta_{rs};$$

we thus obtain the following Vandermonde identity:

$$(93) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{s-1} \prod_{j \neq s} (x_j - x_r)}{x_j - x_s} = \delta_{rs};$$

for any pair  $r, s = 1, \dots, m$ .

In particular, with  $r = 1$ , we have that

$$(94) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\prod_{j \neq s} (x_j - x_s)}{x_j - x_s} = \delta_{s1}$$

for  $s = 1; \dots; m$ . This identity for  $s = 1$  may be extended to all  $s \geq 0$  to give the following:

$$(95) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m-1+p}}{j} = h_p$$

for all  $p \geq 0$ , where  $h_p$  is the  $p$ th complete symmetric function of  $x_1; \dots; x_m$ . By multiplying by  $t^p$ , for a formal variable  $t$ , and summing over  $p \geq 0$ , this equation may be rewritten

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{(1 - jt)^j} = \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - kt}$$

where the right hand side denotes the (formal) product of geometric series. Hence, to prove (95), it suffices to observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k \notin j} \frac{1}{k} t^k = 1:$$

This follows because the left hand side is a polynomial in  $t$ , of degree at most  $m-1$ , whose value at  $t = 1/j$  is equal to 1 for all  $j = 1; \dots; m$ . (In fact, this is more or less the Lagrange interpolation formula.)

Similarly, we can extend (93) to obtain

$$(96) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m+k-r-1} (\hat{j})}{j} = \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s h_{k-s-r+s}$$

for all  $r = 1; \dots; m$  and all  $k \geq 0$ . Here, by convention,  $h_{r+s} = 0$  for  $r+s > m$ . We reduce (96) to (95) by means of the obvious identity:

$$x_j^{m+k-r-1} (\hat{j}) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-k-r} (-1)^s \frac{x_j^{m-1+k-s}}{j^{r+s}}:$$

(Evidently  $x_j^{r-1} (\hat{j}) = x_j^{r-1} (\hat{j})$ .) Substitute this into the left hand side of (96), and note that the summation over  $s$  can be made from 0 to  $k$ , using the Vandermonde identity (94) to eliminate any extra terms. Now applying (95) for each  $s$  yields the right hand side of (96).

There is one further identity we shall need, namely

$$(97) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m+k-r-1} (\hat{j})}{j} = \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \frac{x_i^{k-s-r+s}}{i^{r+s-1}}:$$

We prove this using (96): multiplying by  $t^k$  and summing over  $k$ , it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{s=0}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{(-1)^s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m-1+k-s}}{j^{r+s-1}} t^s}{(1 - it)^{r+s-1}} = \frac{(-1)^s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m-1+k-s}}{j^{r+s-1}} t^s}{(1 - it)^{r+s-1}}:$$

This holds since direct computation of the left hand side gives

$$\sum_{s=0}^k \frac{(-1)^s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m-1+k-s}}{j^{r+s-1}} t^s + (-1)^s \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j^{m-1+k-s}}{j^{r+s-1}} t^{s+1}}{(1 - it)^{r+s-1}}$$

using  $x_j^{r+s-1} (\hat{i}) = x_j^{r+s-1} (\hat{i})$ . All terms now cancel in pairs except the first one with  $s = 0$ .

In fact we shall only make serious use of the identities (96) and (97) for  $0 \leq s \leq 2$ . In particular (96) implies

$$(98) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{X^m}{j} r-1(\hat{j}) = r; i$$

$$(99) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{X^m}{j} r-1(\hat{j}) = 1-r-r+1; i$$

$$(100) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{X^m}{j} r-1(\hat{j}) = \binom{2}{1} r-1-r+1+r+2; i$$

### References

- [1] M. Abreu, Kähler geometry of toric varieties and extremal metrics, *Int. J. Math.* 9 (1998), 641{651.
- [2] V. Apostolov and P. Gauduchon, Self-dual Einstein Hermitian 4-manifolds, to appear in *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4), available at [arXiv:math.DG/0003162](#).
- [3] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, The geometry of weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces, to appear in *Compositio Math.*, available at [arXiv:math.DG/0104233](#).
- [4] A. L. Besse, Einstein manifolds, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.
- [5] R. Bryant, Bochner-Kähler metrics, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 14 (2001) 623{715.
- [6] E. Calabi, Extremal Kähler metrics, *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press (1982), 259{290.
- [7] E. Calabi, Extremal Kähler metrics II, in: *Differential Geometry and Complex Analysis* (eds. I. Chavel and H. M. Farkas), Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen, Some topological obstructions to Bochner-Kähler metrics and their applications, *J. Differential Geom.* 13 (1978), 574{588.
- [9] A. Derdziński and G. Maschler, Local types of conformally-Einstein Kähler metrics in higher dimensions, *Preprint* (2000).
- [10] A. Gray, Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, *J. Math. Mech.* 16 (1967) 715{737.
- [11] V. Guillemin, Kähler structures on toric varieties, *J. Differential Geom.* 40 (1994), 285{309.
- [12] A. D. Hwang and M. A. Singer, A momentum construction for circle-invariant Kähler metrics *Preprint* (1998), available at [arXiv:math.DG/9811024](#).
- [13] W. Jelonek, Compact Kähler surfaces with  $W = 0$ , *Preprint* (2000), to appear in *Di. Geom. Appl.*
- [14] B. Kostant, Holonomy and the Lie algebra of infinitesimal motions of a Riemann manifold, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1955), 528{542.
- [15] C. R. LeBrun, Explicit self-dual metrics on  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ , *J. Di. Geom.* 34 (1991), 223{253.
- [16] M. Matsumoto and S. Tanno, On Kähler spaces with parallel or vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, *Tensor N. S.* 27 (1973), 291{294.
- [17] B. O'Neill, The fundamental equations of a submersion, *Michigan Math. J.* 13 (1966) 459{469.
- [18] H. Pedersen and Y. S. Poon, Hamiltonian construction of Kähler(Einstein metrics and Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 136 (1991), 309{326.
- [19] M. Sekizawa, On conformal Killing tensors of degree 2 in Kählerian spaces, *TRU Math.* 6 (1970), 1{5.
- [20] U. Semmelmann, Conformal Killing forms, *Preprint* (2002).
- [21] C. T. Nnesen-Friedman, Extremal Kähler metrics on minimal ruled surfaces, *J. reine angew. Math.* 502 (1998), 175{197.
- [22] C. T. Nnesen-Friedman, Extremal Kähler metrics and Hamiltonian functions II, to appear in *Glasgow Math. Journal*.
- [23] M. Y. Wang, Einstein metrics from symmetry and bundle constructions, *Surv. Di. Geom.*, VI, Int. Press, Boston, MA, 1999, 287{325.

Vestislav Apostolov, D e p a r t e m e n t d e M a t h e m a t i q u e s, U Q A M , C P . 8888, S u c c .  
C e n t r e - v i l l e, M o n t r e a l (Q u e b e c), H 3 C 3 P 8, C a n a d a  
E - m a i l a d d r e s s : a p o s t o l o @ m a t h . u q a m . c a

D a v i d M . J . C a l d e r b a n k, D e p a r t m e n t o f M a t h e m a t i c s a n d S t a t i s t i c s, U n i v e r s i t y o f  
E d i n b u r g h, K i n g ' s B u i l d i n g s, M a y f i e l d R o a d, E d i n b u r g h E H 9 3 J Z, S c o t l a n d  
E - m a i l a d d r e s s : d a v i d m j c @ m a t h s . e d . a c . u k

P a u l G a u d u c h o n, C e n t r e d e M a t h e m a t i q u e s, E c o l e P o l y t e c h n i q u e, U M R 7640 d u  
C N R S, 91128 P a l a i s e a u, F r a n c e  
E - m a i l a d d r e s s : p g @ m a t h . p o l y t e c h n i q u e . f r