

WRONSKIAN AS n -LIE MULTIPLICATIONS

A.S. DZHUMADIL'DAEV

ABSTRACT. Filipov proved that Jacobian algebra is n -Lie. In our paper we consider algebras defined on associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ by $(k+1)$ -multiplication $V^{0,1,\dots,k} = \partial^0 \wedge \partial^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \partial^k$ (Wronskian). We study whether they have $(k+1)$ -Lie, k -left commutative and homotopical $(k+1)$ -Lie structures. We prove that: Wronskian algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is homotopical $(k+1)$ -Lie, moreover, $(U, \{0, \lambda_2 V^{0,1}, \dots, \lambda_{i+1} V^{0,1,\dots,i}, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots\})$ is homotopical for any $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots \in K$; k -left commutative, if and only if $k > 2$; it is $(k+1)$ -Lie, if and only if $k = 1$, p is any or $(p, k) = (2, 2)$ or $(p, k) = (2, 4)$ or $(p, k) = (3, 3)$, where p is the characteristic of main field K .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let U be an associative commutative algebra with commuting derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$. The following determinant on U , called Jacobian, is well known

$$Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial_1 u_1 & \cdots & \partial_1 u_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial_n u_1 & \cdots & \partial_n u_n \end{vmatrix}$$

In [4], [5] it was proved that (U, Jac_n) as n -ary algebra is n -Lie, i.e., Jacobian satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, Jac_n(u_n, \dots, u_{2n-1})) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Jac_n(u_n, \dots, u_{n+i-1}, Jac_n(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_{n+i}), u_{n+i+1}, \dots, u_{2n-1}).$$

There exists another remarkable determinant, called Wronskian. It is defined on any associative commutative algebra U with one derivation ∂ by the rule

$$V^{0,1,\dots,k}(u_0, \dots, u_k) = \begin{vmatrix} u_0 & u_1 & \cdots & u_k \\ \partial u_0 & \partial u_1 & \cdots & \partial u_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial^k u_0 & \partial^k u_1 & \cdots & \partial^k u_k \end{vmatrix}$$

The aim of our paper is to study algebra U as n -ary algebras under wronskian multiplications.

Let A and M be vector spaces and $T^k(A, M) = \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes k}, M)$ be a space of polylinear maps $A \times \cdots \times A \rightarrow M$ with k arguments. Set $T^0(A, M) = M$ and $T^k(A, M) = 0$, if $k < 0$. Set $T^*(A, M) = \bigoplus_k T^k(A, M)$.

Let $\wedge^k A$ be k -th exterior power of A and $C^k(A, M) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^k A, M)$ be subspace of $T^k(A, M)$. Set $C^0(A, M) = M$ and $C^k(A, M) = 0$, if $k < 0$ and $C^*(A, M) = \bigoplus_k C^k(A, M)$.

Let A be algebra with signature Ω [8]. This means that Ω is a set of polylinear maps $A \times \cdots \times A \rightarrow A$. An element $\omega \in \Omega$ is called as n -ary multiplication, if $\omega \in T^n(A, A)$. We set $|\omega| = n$, if $\omega \in T^n(A, A)$. If necessary to pay attention to signature, instead of A we will write (A, Ω) . If Ω consists of one element ω we set $A = (A, \omega)$.

Let (A, ω) an n -ary algebra with vector space A over a field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and polylinear map with n arguments $A \times \cdots \times A \rightarrow A$. Recall that a linear map $D : A \rightarrow A$ is called derivation of A , if

$$D(\omega(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, D(a_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n),$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. Let $L_{a_1 \dots a_{n-1}} : A \rightarrow A$ be a linear map defined by

$$L_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} a = \omega(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a).$$

Let $\text{Der } A$ be an algebra of all derivations of the algebra (A, ω) . An algebra (A, ω) is called n -Lie [4], if ω is skew-symmetric and

$$L_{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}} \in \text{Der } A,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in A$. About n -Lie algebras called also as Nambu, Filipov, Takhtajan see also [9], [10].

Let Sym_k be the permutation group and $\text{sign } \sigma$ is signum of the permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_k$. Denote by $\text{Sym}_{k,l}$ the subset of k, l -shuffle permutations, i.e., permutations $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k+l}$, such that $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(k), \sigma(k+1) < \cdots < \sigma(k+l)$. Usually by the set of permutations for Sym_k one understands the standard set $\{1, \dots, k\}$, but in our paper we use some non-standard sets of order k , something like $2, 3, \dots, k+1$ in definition of Q_l . From the context will be clear what kind of sets we use.

An algebra (A, ω) with n -ary multiplication ω is called $(n-1)$ -left commutative, if

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{2n-2}} \text{sign } \sigma \omega(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n-1)}, \omega(a_{\sigma(n)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2n-2)}, a_{2n-1})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}, a_{2n-1} \in A$.

Call an algebra (A, ω) as *homotopical n -Lie* [11], if $\omega \in C^n(A, A)$ and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{n-1, n-1}} \text{sign } \sigma \omega(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n-1)}, \omega(a_{\sigma(n)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2n-2)}, a_{\sigma(2n-1)})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{2n-2}, a_{2n-1} \in A$. In [6] such algebra is called Lie n -algebra.

An algebra (A, Ω) is called *homotopical Lie algebra* [11], if Ω consists of elements $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$, such that $\omega_k \in C^k(A, A)$ and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{i-1, j}} \text{sign } \sigma \omega_i(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i-1)}, \omega_j(a_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(i+j-1)})) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{i+j-1} \in A$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

In section 2 we prove that any n -Lie algebra is $(n-1)$ -left commutative and any $(n-1)$ -left commutative algebra is homotopical n -Lie. Examples of Wronskian algebras show that inverse of these statements are not true.

Let U be associative commutative algebra with derivation ∂ . Let $V^{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \partial^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial^{i_k}$ be the *generalized Wronskian*, i.e.,

$$V^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial^{i_1} u_1 & \dots & \partial^{i_k} u_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \partial^{i_k} u_1 & \dots & \partial^{i_k} u_k \end{vmatrix}$$

For example, $V^{0,1,2,\dots,k}$ is the standard Wronskian.

Theorem 1.1. *Let U be any associative commutative algebra over the field K of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and derivation ∂ . Then*

- i. *For any $k > 0$, the algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is homotopical $(k+1)$ -Lie. Moreover, $(U, \{0, \lambda_{i+1} V^{0,1,\dots,i}, i = 1, 2, \dots\})$ is homotopical Lie, for any $\lambda_i \in K, \lambda_1 = 0$.*
- ii. *The algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k}), k > 0$, is k -left commutative, if and only if $k \neq 2$.*
- iii. *The algebra $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is $(k+1)$ -Lie, if and only if one of the following conditions are hold*
 - $k = 1$ and p is any prime number or 0
 - $k = 2$ and $p = 2$
 - $k = 3$ and $p = 3$

- $k = 4$ and $p = 2$.

Remark. One can check that $(U, \{V^{0,1}, \lambda_3 V^{0,2,3}, \lambda_4 V^{0,2,3,4}\})$ is homotopical, if and only if $-5\lambda_3^2 + 7\lambda_4 = 0$ and there are no homotopical prolongation for $\{V^{0,1}, V^{0,1,4}\}$. Description of homotopical structures with beginning part $V^{0,1}$ will be discussed in another paper. Results will follow from the following general fact. Suppose that A is Ω -homotopical Lie algebra, where $\Omega = \{\omega_k \in \wedge^k(A, A) : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that $\omega_1 = 0$. Denote by A^{lie} an algebra A under Lie multiplication ω_2 . Then $\omega_k \in Z^k(A^{lie}, A^{lie})$, for any $k \geq 2$.

By theorem 1.1, Wronskians as n -Lie multiplications in sense of Filipo, for $n > 2$ can appear only in cases of small characteristics $p = 2, 3$. In [4] it was established the following result: if A is n -Lie with multiplication ω then A is $(n-1)$ -Lie under multiplication $i(a)\omega$, for any $a \in A$. Using this construction from $(k+1)$ -Lie algebras $V^{0,1,\dots,k}$ one can obtain another n -Lie algebras with $n \leq k$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $p = \text{char } K \geq 0$. The following generalized Wronskians are n -Lie multiplications*

- $n = 2$
 - $p = 2, V^{2^r-2^l, 2^r}, 0 \leq l \leq r$
 - $p = 2, \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{i, 2^l+1-i}, 0 < l$
 - $p = 3, V^{2 \cdot 3^r, 3^{r+1}}, 0 \leq r$
- $n = 3$
 - $p = 2, V^{1,2,4}$
 - $p = 2, V^{2,3,4}$
 - $p = 2, \sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{0,i, 2^l+1-i}, 0 < l$
 - $p = 3, V^{1,2,3}$
- $n = 4$
 - $p = 2, V^{1,2,3,4}$
 - $p = 3, V^{0,1,2,3}$
- $n = 5, p = 2, V^{0,1,2,3,4}$

Over a fields of characteristic 0 Wronskian $V^{0,1,\dots,k}$ can serve as n -Lie multiplication only in case of Lie algebras, $n = 2, k = 1$.

Corollary 1.3. *Let U be associative commutative algebra with derivation ∂ over a field of characteristic $p = 3$. Endow U by 3-multiplication $V^{1,2,3}$. Then U is a triple Lie system.*

It is well known that, if D_1, D_2 are derivations of some associative commutative algebra U , then $D_1 \wedge D_2 : (u, v) \mapsto D_1(u)D_2(v) - D_2(u)D_1(v)$ is a Lie multiplication. In case of small characteristics

might happen that $D \wedge F$ is also Lie multiplication, if D or F is not a derivation.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $D \in \text{Der } U$ be a derivation of some associative commutative algebra U . Then the multiplication $D^2 \wedge D^3 : (u, v) \mapsto D^2(u)D^3(v) - D^2(v)D^3(u)$ endows U by a structure of Lie algebra, if $p = 3$. The multiplication $D^{2^k} \wedge D^{2^k-1} : (u, v) \mapsto D^{2^k}(u)D^{2^k-1}(v) - D^{2^k-1}(u)D^{2^k}(v)$ is also endows U by a structure of Lie algebras, if $p = 2$.*

Our calculations are based on two ideas. The first idea (a polynomial trick) means the following. Suppose that some statement \mathcal{X} about associative commutative algebra with unit U and commuting derivations $\mathcal{D} = \langle \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ was obtained by using

- linear properties of U
- associativity, commutativity and unit properties of U
- Leibniz rule for derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$.
- commuting property of derivations $[\partial_i, \partial_j] = 0, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Then this statement is true for any associative commutative algebra with unit and commuting derivations.

In particular, we can take as U the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ or algebra of divided power polynomials (in case of $p > 0$)

$$O_n(\mathbf{m}) = \{x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^n x^{(\alpha_i)} : 0 \leq \alpha_i < p^{m_i}, \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)\},$$

$$x^\alpha x^\beta = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{\alpha_i + \beta_i}{\alpha_i} x^{\alpha+\beta},$$

with special derivations

$$\partial_i : x^\alpha \mapsto x^{\alpha - \epsilon_i}, \epsilon_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We can consider the statement \mathcal{X} for (divided) polynomial algebras.

The second idea concerns \mathcal{D} -invariant polynomials [2]. Let $\psi \in T^k(U, U)$ be polylinear map. It is called \mathcal{D} -invariant, if

$$\partial \psi(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(u_1, \dots, u_{i-1}, \partial u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_k),$$

for any $u_1, \dots, u_k \in U$ and $\partial \in \mathcal{D}$. In other words, ψ is \mathcal{D} -invariant, if any $\partial \in \mathcal{D}$ is derivation for ψ . Notice that U is \mathcal{D} -graded:

$$U = \bigoplus_{s \geq 0} U_s, U_s U_l \subseteq U_{s+l},$$

$$U_0 = \langle 1 \rangle,$$

$$\partial_i U_s \subseteq U_{s-1},$$

$$U^{\mathcal{D}} = \{u \in U : \partial_i u = 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} = U_0.$$

For graded \mathcal{D} -invariant polylinear map $\psi \in T^k(U, U)$ denote by $\pi\psi$ a polylinear form $\pi\psi \in T^k(U, U_0)$, defined on homogeneous basic elements $e_1, \dots, e_k \in U$ by

$$\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) = \psi(e_1, \dots, e_k),$$

if $\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in U_0$ and

$$\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) = 0,$$

if $\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in \oplus_{s>0} U_s$. Call $\pi\psi$ as a *support* of ψ and k -tuple of basic homogeneous elements (e_1, \dots, e_k) , such that $\pi\psi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \neq 0$ as a *supporting chain*. Let Γ be a set of supporting chains. Then [2] ψ can be restored by support $\pi\psi$ in a unique way. Namely,

$$\psi(u_1, \dots, u_k) = \sum_{\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\} \in \Gamma} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}(u_1)}{\alpha_1!} \dots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_k}(u_k)}{\alpha_k!} \pi\psi(x^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x^{\alpha_k}).$$

So, to find \mathcal{D} -polylinear form it is enough to calculate its support. We use this method in calculations of $Q\psi$, $Q_{short}\psi$, $Q_{long}\psi$ and $Q_{alt}\psi$. In our case $n = 1$ and the restoring formula looks more simpler

$$\psi = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k \in \Gamma} \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \frac{\partial^{i_1} u_1}{i_1!} \dots \frac{\partial^{i_k} u_k}{i_k!},$$

where $\lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \pi\psi(x^{i_1}, \dots, x^{i_k}) \in K$. In case of divided polynomials here x^i should be changed to $x^{(i)}$ and $\frac{\partial^i u}{i!}$ to $\partial^i u$. Calculations of support chains and support forms was made by Matematica.

2. CONNECTIONS BETWEEN n -LIE, $(n-1)$ -LEFT COMMUTATIVE AND HOMOTOPICAL n -LIE STRUCTURES

Define quadratic maps

$$Q, Q_{short}, Q_{long}, Q_{alt} : C^k(A, A) \rightarrow T^{2k-1}(A, A),$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} Q\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) &= \psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \psi(a_k, \dots, a_{2k-1})) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(a_k, \dots, a_{k+i-1}, \psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+i}), a_{k+i+1}, \dots, a_{2k-1}), \\ Q_{long}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) &= \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, k}, \sigma(k-1)=2k-1} \text{sign } \sigma \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-2)}, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)})), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& Q_{short}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) = \\
& \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, k}, \sigma(2k-1)=2k-1} \text{sign } \sigma \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-2)}, a_{2k-1})), \\
& Q_{alt}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) = \\
& \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, k}} \text{sign } \sigma \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-2)}, a_{\sigma(2k-1)})),
\end{aligned}$$

These definitions divide elements $\{a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}\}$ into two types. Call elements of the $(k-1)$ elements subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ as a *short* and elements of the k elements subset $\{a_k, \dots, a_{2k-1}\}$ as a *long*.

Notice that $Q\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A, A)$ is skew-symmetric by short arguments and by long arguments, i.e., by first $(k-1)$ arguments and last k arguments, if $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$. It is easy to see that, $Q_{short}\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A, A)$ is skew-symmetric by all short arguments and skew-symmetric by all long arguments, except one, if $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$. Similarly, $Q_{long}\psi \in T^{2k-1}(A, A)$ is skew-symmetric by all long arguments and by all short arguments except one, if $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$. Notice that $Q_{alt}\psi \in C^{2k-1}(A, A)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $\omega \in C^k(A, A)$ and one of the following conditions are held*

- $p = 0, k > 2$,
- $p > 0, k \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$,
- $p > 0, k \not\equiv -1, 2 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Take place the following statements.

- i) *If $Q\omega = 0$, then $Q_{short}\omega = 0$, and $Q_{long}\omega = 0$.*
- ii) *If $Q_{short}\omega = 0$ or $Q_{long}\omega = 0$, then $Q_{alt}\omega = 0$.*

Proof. Call $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, k}$ as a short permutation, if $\sigma(k-1) = 2k-1$. To any short permutation σ corresponds $k-2$ -tuple $r'(\sigma)$ and k -tuple $r''(\sigma)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
r'(\sigma) &= \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k-2)\}, \\
r''(\sigma) &= \{\sigma(k), \dots, \sigma(2k-1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Call $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, k}$ as a long permutation, if $\sigma(2k-1) = 2k-1$. To any long permutation σ corresponds $k-1$ -tuple $r'(\sigma)$ and $k-1$ -tuple $r''(\sigma)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
r'(\sigma) &= \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k-1)\}, \\
r''(\sigma) &= \{\sigma(k), \dots, \sigma(2k-2)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$r'(\sigma) \cup r''(\sigma) = \{1, \dots, 2k-2\},$$

for any $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}$. In other words, any $r'(\sigma)$ is uniquely defined by $r''(\sigma)$.

Call an element of the form

$$A_\sigma := \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

as a short (long) σ -element, or just short (long) element, if σ is short (long) permutation. Let $\text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^s$ be the set of short permutations and $\text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^l$ be the set of long permutations. It is evident that

$$\text{Sym}_{k-1,k} = \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^s \cup \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^l$$

and

$$(1) \quad Q\psi = Q_{\text{short}}\psi + Q_{\text{long}}\psi,$$

The identity $Q\psi = 0$ for $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$ gives us that

$$\psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)})) =$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^{k-i-1} \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, \widehat{a_{\sigma(k+i)}}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, a_{\sigma(k+i)})).$$

In particular, (2) means that, any short σ -element can be presented as a sum of k long elements. More exactly, the short element A_σ is a sum of k long elements of the form A_τ , where the long permutation τ satisfies the condition $r'(\tau) \subset r''(\sigma)$. Since $|r''(\sigma) \setminus r'(\tau)| = 1$, there exists exactly one element, say i , such that $r''(\sigma) = r'(\tau) \cup \{i\}$. Then $i \leq 2k-2$ and i is not equal to $k-1$ elements of $r'(\tau)$. So, there are $k-1$ possibilities to choose i .

In other words, according (2) the element

$$Q_{\text{long}}\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{2k-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^s} \text{sign } \sigma \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

can be presented in the form

$$(k-1) \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^l} \psi(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(k-1)}, \psi(a_{\sigma(k)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(2k-1)}))$$

So, from conditions $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$, $Q\psi = 0$ one can obtain that

$$(3) \quad Q_{\text{long}}\psi = (k-1)Q_{\text{short}}\psi.$$

Let us give another interpretation of (2). If σ is a long permutation, then A_σ is a sum of $k-1$ short elements and one long element $A_{\tilde{\sigma}}$, where

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & k-1 & k & \cdots & 2k-2 & 2k-1 \\ \sigma(k) & \cdots & \sigma(2k-2) & \sigma(1) & \cdots & \sigma(k-1) & 2k-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, A_σ can be presented as a sum of short elements of the form A_τ , where $r'(\tau) \subset r''(\sigma)$. More exactly, $r''(\sigma) \setminus r'(\tau) = \{i\}$, for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k-2\}$ and i can not be equal to one of $k-2$ elements of $r'(\tau)$. So, there are k possibilities for the long permutation σ , such that A_τ can be one of summands of A_σ . Notice that

$$\text{sign } \sigma = (-1)^{k-1} \text{sign } \tilde{\sigma}.$$

Therefore, summation of $\text{sign } \sigma A_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1,k}^l$ according (2) gives us that

$$(4) \quad Q_{\text{short}}\psi = k Q_{\text{long}}\psi + (-1)^{k-1} Q_{\text{short}}\psi.$$

We see that the determinant of the system of linear equations (3) and (4) is equal to

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -k+1 \\ k & -1 - (-1)^k \end{vmatrix} = -k^2 + k + 1 + (-1)^k$$

Therefore, from the conditions $Q\psi = 0$ and $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$ imply the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{long}}\psi - 2Q_{\text{short}}\psi &= 0, & k \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p > 0, \\ Q_{\text{long}}\psi + Q_{\text{short}}\psi &= 0, & k \equiv 2 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, p > 0, \\ Q_{\text{long}}\psi - Q_{\text{short}}\psi &= 0, & k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, p > 0, \\ Q_{\text{long}}\psi &= 0, & k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, p > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_{\text{long}}\psi = 0, Q_{\text{short}}\psi = 0, \quad p = 0, k > 2.$$

So, according (1)

$$Q_{\text{alt}}\psi = 0,$$

if $p = 0, k > 2$ or $k \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, or $k \not\equiv -1, 2 \pmod{p}, k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Corollary 2.2. *If an algebra (A, ω) is n -Lie, then it is $(n-1)$ -left commutative. If algebra (A, ω) is $(n-1)$ -left commutative, then it is homotopical n -Lie.*

In particular, any n -Lie algebra is homotopical n -Lie. As show theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the inverse of this statement is not true. Proposition 2.1 for 3-algebras was also noticed in [1], [7].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND 1.2

Let

$$\mathbf{Z}^n = \{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Z}_+^n = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^n : \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Set for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^n$,

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

Let U be associative commutative algebra with derivation ∂ . Let $\psi \in C^k(U, U)$ and $g \in C^l(U, U)$. Define $f \smile g, f \wedge g \in C^{k+l}(U, U)$ and bilinear maps $Q(f, g), Q_{alt}(f, g) \in C^{k+l-1}(U, U), Q_{short}(f, g) \in T^{k+l-1}(U, U)$ by

$$f \smile g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_k)g(u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{k+l}),$$

$$f \wedge g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k+l}} \text{sign } \sigma (f \smile g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l)}).$$

$$f \star g(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+l-1})),$$

$$Q(f, g) = f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+l-1})) - \sum_{i=1}^l g(u_k, \dots, u_{k+i-2}, f(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+i-1}), u_{k+i}, \dots, u_{k+l-1}),$$

$$Q_{alt}(f, g)(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, l}} \text{sign } \sigma (f \star g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l-1)}),$$

$$Q_{short}(f, g)(u_1, \dots, u_{k+l-1}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sym}_{k-1, l-1}} \text{sign } \sigma (f \star g)(u_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(k+l-2)}, u_{k+l-1}).$$

Notice that definitions of Q as bilinear maps are compatible with definitions of Q as quadratic maps in the previous section: $Q(f, f) = Q(f), Q_{alt}(f, f) = Q_{alt}(f), Q_{short}(f, f) = Q_{short}(f)$.

Since $(C^*(U, U), \cup)$ is associative, $(C^*(U, U), \wedge)$ is also associative.

Let

$$C_{loc, s}^k(U) = \{\partial_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{i_k} : 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k, i_1 + \dots + i_k = s\}$$

and

$$C_{loc}^k(U) = \oplus_s C_{loc, s}^k(U).$$

Notice that $V^\alpha \in C_{loc,|\alpha|}^k(U)$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$. Set $|\psi| = s$, if $\psi \in C_{loc,s}^k(U)$.

Let $\psi \in T^k(A, A)$. Define $i(a)\psi \in T^{k-1}(A, A)$ by

$$i(a)\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) = \psi(a, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}).$$

Proposition 3.1. *If ψ is k -Lie, then $i(a)\psi$ is $(k-1)$ -Lie for any $a \in A$.*

Proof. See [4].

Proposition 3.1 can be modified by the following way

Lemma 3.2. *If ψ is k -Lie, then for $(k-l)$ -Lie multiplications $\psi_l := i(a_1)i(a_2)\cdots i(a_l)\psi$ take place the following relations*

$$Q(\psi_i, \psi_j) = 0, \quad i \leq j.$$

Lemma 3.3. $C_{loc,s}^k(U) = 0$, if $s < k(k-1)/2$.

Proof. If $0 \neq \partial^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial^{i_k} \in C_{loc,s}^k(U)$, then $s = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \geq 0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + (k-1) = (k-1)k/2$.

Lemma 3.4. [3] For any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^k$, and $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}_+^l$,

$$Q_{alt}(V^\alpha, V^\beta) \in C_{loc,|\alpha|+|\beta|}^{k+l-1}(U).$$

Corollary 3.5.

$$Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,l}) = 0,$$

for any $k, l > 0$.

Proof. Notice that $|V^{0,1,\dots,k}| = k(k+1)/2$. Therefore

$$Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,l}) \in C_{loc,(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2}^{k+l+1}(U).$$

It is evident, that $(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2 < (k+l+1)(k+l)/2$. Therefore, by lemma 3.3, $C_{loc,(k^2+l^2+k+l)/2}^{k+l+1}(U) = 0$. Thus, $Q_{alt}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,l}) = 0$.

Lemma 3.6. $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,k}) = 0$, if $k > 2$. If $k = 2$, then $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2}, V^{0,1,2}) = V^{0,1,2,3} \smile id$.

Proof. Notice that $Q_{short}(V^{0,1,\dots,k}, V^{0,1,\dots,k})$ is a linear combination of cochains of the form $(\partial^{i_1} \wedge \partial^{i_{2k}}) \smile \partial^{i_{2k+1}}$, such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k} + i_{2k+1} = k^2 + k$ and $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2k}$. We have $i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k} > 0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + (2k-1) = (2k-1)k$. Therefore,

$$k^2 + k = i_1 + \cdots + i_{2k+1} > (2k-1)k.$$

This inequality is not possible, if $k > 2$.

Consider the case $k = 2$. We have

$$(5) \quad Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2}, V^{0,1,2}) = \lambda V^{0,1,2,3} \smile \partial^0,$$

for some $\lambda \in K$. In obtaining this formula we use only associativity, commutativity and linearity properties of U and Leibniz rule for derivation. Therefore, (5) is true for any associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ and λ does not depend from U and ∂ . In particular, we can take $U = K[x]$ and $\partial = \partial/\partial x$. We have

$$Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2}, V^{0,1,2})(1, x, x^2, x^3, 1) = \lambda V^{0,1,2,3} \smile \partial^0(1, x, x^2, x^3, 1).$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_{short}(V^{0,1,2}, V^{0,1,2})(1, x, x^2, x^3, 1) = \\ & V^{0,1,2}(1, x, V^{0,1,2}(x^2, x^3, 1)) - V^{0,1,2}(1, x^2, V^{0,1,2}(x, x^3, 1)) \\ & + V^{0,1,2}(1, x^3, V^{0,1,2}(x, x^2, 1)) + V^{0,1,2}(x, x^2, V^{0,1,2}(1, x^3, 1)) \\ & - V^{0,1,2}(x, x^3, V^{0,1,2}(x, x^2, 1)) + V^{0,1,2}(x^2, x^3, V^{0,1,2}(1, x, 1)) \\ & = \partial^2(V^{0,1,2}(1, x^2, x^3)) - V^{0,1,2}(1, x^2, 6x) + V^{0,1,2}(1, x^3, 2x^0) \\ & + V^{0,1,2}(x, x^2, 0) - V^{0,1,2}(x, x^3, 2x^0) + V^{0,1,2}(x^2, x^3, 0) = 12 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda V^{0,1,2,3} \smile \partial^0(1, x, x^2, x^3, 1) = \lambda 12$$

Thus, $\lambda = 1$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\psi \in C^k(A, A)$ and X be a linear span of the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ and Y be a linear span of the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$. Then $Q\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1, \dots, b_k) = 0$, if $X \subseteq Y$.*

Proof. Let $X \subseteq Y$ and $\dim Y = l \leq k$.

Since ψ is skew-symmetric, if $l < k$, then

$$\psi(b_1, \dots, b_k) = 0,$$

for any $b_1, \dots, b_k \in Y$ and

$$\psi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_i) = 0,$$

for any $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \in X \subseteq Y, b_i \in Y$. Therefore, in this case $Q\psi = 0(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1, \dots, b_k) = 0$.

Suppose now that $\dim Y = k$. If $\dim X < k - 1$, by the same reasons,

$$\psi(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{k-1}}, c) = 0,$$

for any $c \in A$. Thus in this case our lemma is true.

It remains to consider the case $\dim X = k - 1$ and $\dim Y = k$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ be basis of Y , such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}\}$ be basis of X . Since $Q\psi$ is polylinear by arguments $a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, b_1, \dots, b_k$, to prove our lemma it is enough to establish, that

$$Q\psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_1, \dots, e_k) = 0.$$

Since ψ is skew-symmetric,

$$\psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_i) = 0,$$

if $i \leq k-1$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_i), e_{i+1}, \dots, e_k) = \\ \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k)). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $Q\psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_1, \dots, e_k) = 0$. Lemma is proved completely.

Lemma 3.8. $V^{0,1,2,3}$ is 4-Lie multiplication, if $p = 3$.

Proof. We have

$$|V^{0,1,2,3}| = 6 \Rightarrow |QV^{0,1,2,3}| = 12.$$

The set of all $(3, 4)$ -partitions of 12 is

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3,4}(12) = \{(\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 3, 5\}), \\ (\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4\}), (\{0, 1, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5\}), \\ (\{0, 1, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4\}), (\{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4\}), \\ (\{0, 1, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}), (\{0, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4\}), (\{0, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}), \\ (\{1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\})\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(6) \quad QV^{0,1,2,3} = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{3,4}(12)} \lambda_{(\alpha, \beta)} V^\alpha \smile V^\beta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}, \beta = \{i_4, i_5, i_6, i_7\}, \\ 0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < i_3, 0 \leq i_4 < i_5 < i_6 < i_7, i_1 + \dots + i_7 = 12. \end{aligned}$$

In receiving of formula (6) one uses only the Leibniz rule. Therefore, this formula is universal, i.e., coefficients $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta}$ are integers that do not depend on U and derivation ∂ . So, it is true for any associative commutative algebra U with derivation ∂ . In particular, we can take $U = \mathbf{Q}[x], \partial = \partial/\partial_x$. To find $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta}$ we can substitute $a_l = x^{i_l}, l = 1, \dots, 7$ and calculate $QV^{0,1,2,3}$ in $k[x]$. We have

$$\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{1}{i_1! \dots i_7!} QV^{0,1,2,3}.$$

By lemma 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned} Q V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, 1, x, x^2, x^6) &= 0, \\ Q V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^3, 1, x, x^3, x^4) &= 0, \\ Q V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^4, 1, x, x^2, x^4) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(\{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4\}), (\{0, 1, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4\})\},$$

then $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x^2, x^3, x^4) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x^2 & x^3 & x^4 \\ 0 & 2x & 3x^2 & 4x^3 \\ 0 & 2 & 6x & 12x^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 24x \end{vmatrix} = 48x^3, \\ V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^4) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & x^4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x & 4x^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 12x^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 24x \end{vmatrix} = 48x, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, 1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = \\ &V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x^2, x^3, x^4)) - 0 - 0 - V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x^2, x^3, V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^4)) = \\ &48V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^3) - 48V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x^2, x^3, x) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,2\}, \{0,2,3,4\}} = 0.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^3, x^5) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^3 & x^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3x^2 & 5x^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 6x & 20x^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 60x^2 \end{vmatrix} = 240x^3, \\ V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^5) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & x^5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2x & 5x^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 20x^3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 60x^2 \end{vmatrix} = 120x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, 1, x, x^3, x^5) = \\ &V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^3, x^5)) - 0 - 0 - V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^3, V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^5)) = \\ &240V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^3) - 120V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^3, x^2) = \\ &360V^{0,1,2,3}(1, x, x^2, x^3) = 4320, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,3,5\}} = \frac{4320}{0!1!2!0!1!3!5!} = 3.$$

Similar calculations show that

$$\lambda_{\{0,1,3\},\{0,1,2,5\}} = -3, \lambda_{\{0,1,5\},\{0,1,2,3\}} = 3, \lambda_{\{0,2,3\},\{0,1,2,4\}} = \lambda_{\{0,2,4\},\{0,1,2,3\}} = 0$$

So, we have established that

$$Q V^{0,1,2,3} = 3 V^{0,1,2} V^{0,1,3,5} - 3 V^{0,1,3} V^{0,1,2,5} + 3 V^{0,1,5} V^{0,1,2,3}$$

In particular, if $p = 3$, then

$$Q V^{0,1,2,3} = 0.$$

Corollary 3.9. *If $p = 3$, then $V^{1,2,3}$ is 3-Lie multiplication and $V^{2,3}$ is 2-Lie multiplication.*

Proof. Follows from lemma 3.8 and proposition 3.1 and the following observations

$$\begin{aligned} i(1)V^{0,1,2,3} &= V^{1,2,3}, \\ i(x)V^{1,2,3} &= V^{2,3}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.10. $V^{0,1,2,3,4}$ is 5-Lie multiplication, if $p = 2$.

Proof is similar to the proof of lemma 3.8, so we will omit calculation details. We have

$$|V^{0,1,2,3,4}| = 10 \Rightarrow |Q V^{0,1,2,3}| = 20.$$

Therefore, $Q V^{0,1,2,3}$ is a linear combination of $V^\alpha \smile V^\beta$, where $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma_{4,5}(20)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{4,5}(20) = & \{(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 8\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 7\}), \\ & (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 2, 5, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}), \\ & (\{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 7\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 4\}, \{0, 1, 3, 4, 5\}), \\ & (\{0, 1, 2, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 6\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}), (\{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 6\}), \\ & (\{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 6\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}), (\{0, 1, 3, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}), \\ & (\{0, 2, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}), (\{0, 1, 2, 7\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}), (\{0, 1, 3, 6\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}), \\ & (\{0, 1, 4, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}), (\{0, 2, 3, 5\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}), (\{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\})\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exist $\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}$, such that

$$Q V^{0,1,2,3,4} = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \Gamma_{4,5}(20)} \lambda_{(\alpha,\beta)} V^\alpha \smile V^\beta,$$

Calculations as in the proof of lemma 3.8 show that

$$\begin{aligned} Q V^{0,1,2,3,4} = & \\ & 4 V^{0,1,2,7} V^{0,1,2,3,4} + 2 V^{0,1,3,6} V^{0,1,2,3,4} - 2 V^{0,1,4,5} V^{0,1,2,3,4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2V^{0,2,3,5}V^{0,1,2,3,4} + 2V^{0,1,2,6}V^{0,1,2,3,5} - 2V^{0,2,3,4}V^{0,1,2,3,5} \\
& -2V^{0,1,2,5}V^{0,1,2,3,6} - 2V^{0,1,3,4}V^{0,1,2,3,6} - 4V^{0,1,2,4}V^{0,1,2,3,7} \\
& +2V^{0,1,3,4}V^{0,1,2,4,5} + 4V^{0,1,2,3}V^{0,1,2,4,7} + 2V^{0,1,2,3}V^{0,1,2,5,6} \\
& -2V^{0,1,2,4}V^{0,1,3,4,5} + 2V^{0,1,2,3}V^{0,1,3,4,6} + 2V^{0,1,2,3}V^{0,2,3,4,5}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $p = 2$, then

$$QV^{0,1,2,3,4} = 0.$$

Corollary 3.11. *If $p = 2$, then $V^{1,2,3,4}$ is 4-Lie multiplication, $V^{2,3,4}$ is 3-Lie multiplication and $V^{3,4}$ is 2-Lie multiplication.*

Proof. Follows from lemma 3.10 and proposition 3.1 and the following facts

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{1,2,3,4} &= i(1)V^{0,1,2,3,4}, \\
V^{2,3,4} &= i(x)V^{1,2,3,4}, \\
V^{3,4} &= i(x^2)V^{2,3,4}/2.
\end{aligned}$$

Remark. It is easy to calculate $QV^{1,2,3,4}$, $QV^{2,3,4}$, $QV^{3,4}$ and $QV^{1,2,3}$, $QV^{2,3}$ over \mathbf{Z} directly as in calculating $QV^{0,1,2,3,4}$ and $QV^{0,1,2,3}$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
QV^{1,2,3,4} &= \\
& 4V^{1,2,7}V^{1,2,3,4} + 2V^{1,3,6}V^{1,2,3,4} - 2V^{1,4,5}V^{1,2,3,4} \\
& +2V^{2,3,5}V^{1,2,3,4} + 2V^{1,2,6}V^{1,2,3,5} - 2V^{2,3,4}V^{1,2,3,5} \\
& -2V^{1,2,5}V^{1,2,3,6} - 2V^{1,3,4}V^{1,2,3,6} - 4V^{1,2,4}V^{1,2,3,7} \\
& +2V^{1,3,4}V^{1,2,4,5} + 4V^{1,2,3}V^{1,2,4,7} + 2V^{1,2,3}V^{1,2,5,6} \\
& -2V^{1,2,4}V^{1,3,4,5} + 2V^{1,2,3}V^{1,3,4,6} + 2V^{1,2,3}V^{2,3,4,5}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
QV^{1,2,3} &= \\
& 3V^{1,2}V^{1,3,5} - 3V^{1,3}V^{1,2,5} + 3V^{1,5}V^{1,2,3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.12. (Lukas) *If $a = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i p^i$, $0 \leq a_i < p$, and $b = \sum_{j \geq 0} b_j p^j$, $0 \leq b_i < p$, are p -adic presentations of a and b , then*

$$\binom{a}{b} \equiv \prod_i \binom{a_i}{b_i} \pmod{p}$$

Let

$$O_1(m) = \{x^{(i)} : x^{(i)}x^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{i}x^{(i+j)}, 0 \leq i, j < p^m\}$$

be divided power algebra over the field K of characteristic $p > 0$ and

$$\partial : O_1(m) \rightarrow O_1(m), \quad x^{(i)} \mapsto x^{(i-1)},$$

be special derivation.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $U = O_1(m), p > 0$. Then*

- i. $\partial^q \in \text{Der } U$ if and only if $q = p^k$ for some $k > 0$.
- ii. $\partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 2-Lie, if and only if $p = 2$ or $p = 3, k = 1$.
- iii. $\partial^{p^k-2} \wedge \partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 3-Lie, if and only if $p = 3, k = 1$, or $p = 2, k = 1$ or $p = 2, k = 2$.

Proof. (i) If ∂^q derivation, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^q(x^{(i)}x^{(j)}) &= \partial^q(x^{(i)})x^{(j)} + x^{(i)}\partial^q(x^{(j)}) \Rightarrow \\ \binom{i+j}{i} - \binom{i+j-q}{i} - \binom{i+j-q}{j} &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $0 \leq i, j$. If q is not power of p , say $q = p^k b$, where $b > 1$ and p are mutually prime, then by Lukas lemma,

$$i = p^k, j = p^k(b-1) \Rightarrow \binom{p^k b}{p^k} \equiv b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Contradiction. So, $\partial^q \notin \text{Der } U$, if q is not power of p .

(ii) Suppose that $\psi = \partial^{p^k-1} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 2-Lie. Then

$$A(i, j, s) := \psi(x^{(i)}, \psi(x^{(j)}, x^{(s)})) - \psi(\psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}), x^{(s)}) - \psi(x^{(j)}, \psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(s)})) = 0,$$

for any $i, j, s \geq 0$. Take $i = p^k, j = p^k + 1, s = 2p^k - 3$. We have

$$\psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \lambda x^{(2)}, \psi(x^{(i)}, x^{(s)}) = \mu x^{(p^k-2)},$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x^{(i)}, \psi(x^{(j)}, x^{(s)})) &= \psi(x^{(p^k)}, x^{(2)}x^{(p^k-3)} - x^{(p^k-2)}) = \\ 2\psi(x^{(p^k)}, x^{(p^k-1)}) &= -2. \end{aligned}$$

If $p^k > 3$, then $2 < p^k - 1$. Since $\psi(x^{(i)}, u) = 0$, for any $u \in U, i < p^k - 1$, we have

$$A(p^k, p^k + 1, 2p^k - 3) = -2 \neq 0,$$

if $p^k > 3, p \neq 2$.

Now check that ψ is 2-Lie, if $(p, k) = (3, 1)$ or $p = 2$.

Let $p^k = 3$, i.e., $p = 3, k = 1$. Prove that $\partial^2 \wedge \partial^3$ is 2-Lie operation on U . Let

$$\omega(a, b) = \partial^2(a)\partial^3(b) - \partial^3(a)\partial^2(b).$$

We have

$$\omega(\omega(a, b), c) =$$

$$\partial^2(\partial^2(a)\partial^3(b))\partial^3(c) - \partial^2(\partial^3(a)\partial^2(b))\partial^3(c) - \partial^3(\partial^2(a)\partial^3(b))\partial^2(c) + \partial^3(\partial^3(a)\partial^2(b))\partial^2(c) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial^4(a) \underbrace{\partial^3(b)} + \partial^3(a) \underbrace{\partial^4(b)} \partial^3(c) + \partial^2(a) \partial^5(b) \partial^3(c) \\
& - \partial^5(a) \partial^2(b) \partial^3(c) - 2 \partial^4(a) \underbrace{\partial^3(b)} \partial^3(c) - \partial^3(a) \underbrace{\partial^4(b)} \partial^3(c) \\
& - \partial^5(a) \partial^3(b) \partial^2(c) - \partial^2(a) \partial^6(b) \partial^2(c) + \partial^6(a) \partial^2(b) \partial^2(c) + \partial^3(a) \partial^5(b) \partial^2(c) = \\
& - \partial^4(a) \partial^3(b) \partial^3(c) + \partial^3(a) \partial^4(b) \partial^3(c) + \partial^2(a) \partial^5(b) \partial^3(c) - \partial^5(a) \partial^2(b) \partial^3(c) \\
& - \partial^5(a) \partial^3(b) \partial^2(c) - \partial^2(a) \partial^6(b) \partial^2(c) + \partial^6(a) \partial^2(b) \partial^2(c) + \partial^3(a) \partial^5(b) \partial^2(c)
\end{aligned}$$

By similar way one can easy find $\omega(\omega(b, c), a)$ and $\omega(\omega(c, a), b)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega(\omega(a, b), c) + \omega(\omega(b, c), a) + \omega(\omega(c, a), b) = \\
& - \partial^4(a) \underbrace{\partial^3(b)} \partial^3(c) + \partial^3(a) \underbrace{\partial^4(b)} \partial^3(c) + \partial^2(a) \underbrace{\partial^5(b)} \partial^3(c) - \partial^5(a) \underbrace{\partial^2(b)} \partial^3(c) \\
& - \partial^5(a) \underbrace{\partial^3(b)} \partial^2(c) - \partial^2(a) \underbrace{\partial^6(b)} \partial^2(c) + \partial^6(a) \underbrace{\partial^2(b)} \partial^2(c) + \partial^3(a) \underbrace{\partial^5(b)} \partial^2(c) \\
& - \partial^4(b) \underbrace{\partial^3(c)} \partial^3(a) + \partial^3(b) \underbrace{\partial^4(c)} \partial^3(a) + \partial^2(b) \underbrace{\partial^5(c)} \partial^3(a) - \partial^5(b) \underbrace{\partial^2(c)} \partial^3(a) \\
& - \partial^5(b) \underbrace{\partial^3(c)} \partial^2(a) - \partial^2(b) \underbrace{\partial^6(c)} \partial^2(a) + \partial^6(b) \underbrace{\partial^2(c)} \partial^2(a) + \partial^3(b) \underbrace{\partial^5(c)} \partial^2(a) \\
& - \partial^4(c) \underbrace{\partial^3(a)} \partial^3(b) + \partial^3(c) \underbrace{\partial^4(a)} \partial^3(b) + \partial^2(c) \underbrace{\partial^5(a)} \partial^3(b) - \partial^5(c) \underbrace{\partial^2(a)} \partial^3(b) \\
& - \partial^5(c) \underbrace{\partial^3(a)} \partial^2(b) - \partial^2(c) \underbrace{\partial^6(a)} \partial^2(b) + \partial^6(c) \underbrace{\partial^2(a)} \partial^2(b) + \partial^3(c) \underbrace{\partial^5(a)} \partial^2(b) \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $p = 2$. Prove that for any nonnegative integer k , $\partial^{2^k} \wedge \partial^{2^k-1}$ is 2-Lie operation on U . For

$$\omega = \partial^{2^k} \wedge \partial^{2^k-1}$$

we have

$$\omega(a, b) = \partial(\partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega(\omega(a, b), c) = \\
& \partial(\partial^{2^k}(\partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b)) \partial^{2^k-1}(c)) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\partial \left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) + \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \right) =$$

Similarly,

$$\omega(\omega(b, c), a) = \partial \left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) + \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \right),$$

and

$$\omega(\omega(c, a), b) = \partial \left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) + \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \right).$$

Thus,

$$\omega(\omega(a, b), c) + \omega(\omega(b, c), a) + \omega(\omega(c, a), b) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial \left(\partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) + \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \right. \\ & + \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(b) \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) + \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \\ & \left. + \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(c) \partial^{2^k-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) + \partial^{2^k-1}(c) \partial^{2^{k+1}-1}(a) \partial^{2^k-1}(b) \right) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Suppose that $\psi = \partial^{2^k-2} \wedge \partial^{2^k-1} \wedge \partial^{2^k}$, $k > 2$, is 3-Lie. Then

$$A(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5) = B(i_1, \dots, i_5) - C(i_1, \dots, i_5) = 0,$$

for any $i_1, \dots, i_5 \geq 0$, where

$$B(i_1, \dots, i_5) = \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, \psi(x^{(i_3)}, x^{(i_4)}, x^{(i_5)})),$$

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_5) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_3)}), x^{(i_4)}, x^{(i_5)}) + \psi(x^{(i_3)}, \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_4)}), x^{(i_5)}) + \\ & \psi(x^{(i_3)}, x^{(i_4)}, \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_5)})). \end{aligned}$$

Take $i_1 = 2^k - 2, i_2 = 2^k, i_3 = 2^k - 1, i_4 = 2^k + 1, i_5 = 2^{k+1} - 4$. Then

$$\psi(x^{(i_3)}, x^{(i_4)}, x^{(i_5)}) = \begin{vmatrix} x^{(1)} & x^{(3)} & x^{(2^k-2)} \\ x^{(0)} & x^{(2)} & x^{(2^k-3)} \\ 0 & x^{(1)} & x^{(2^k-4)} \end{vmatrix} = x^{(2^k-1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_3)}) &= \lambda x^{(0)}, \\ \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_4)}) &= \mu x^{(2)}, \\ \psi(x^{(i_1)}, x^{(i_2)}, x^{(i_5)}) &= \nu x^{(2^k-3)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in K$. If $k > 2$, then $2 < 2^k - 2$. Therefore,

$$B(i_1, \dots, i_5) = 1,$$

$$C(i_1, \dots, i_5) = 0,$$

if $k > 2$. So, if $k > 2$ we obtain contradiction

$$A(2^k - 2, 2^k, 2^k - 1, 2^k + 1, 2^{k+1} - 4) = 1 \neq 0.$$

It is easy to check that $\partial^2 \wedge \partial^3 \wedge \partial^4$ and $\partial^0 \wedge \partial \wedge \partial^2$ are 3-Lie.

Corollary 3.14. (i) $p = 3$. For any $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $\partial^{p^k - p^{k-1}} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 2-Lie operation.

(ii) $p = 2$. For any $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}_+, k > l$, $\partial^{p^k - p^l} \wedge \partial^{p^k}$ is 2-Lie operation.

Proof. i) For $p = 3$, we have $p^k - p^{k-1} = 2p^{k-1}, p^k = 3p^{k-1}$. Since $F = \partial^{p^{k-1}} \in \text{Der } U$, our statement follows from lemma 3.13 ii used for F instead of ∂ .

ii) For $p = 2$, we have $p^k - p^l = p^l(p^{k-l} - 1), p^k = p^{k-l}p^l$. Therefore, for $F = \partial^{p^l}$,

$$\partial^{p^k} = F^{p^{k-l}}, \partial^{p^k - p^l} = F^{p^{k-l}-1}.$$

Our statement follows from lemma 3.13 ii used for $F^{p^{k-l}}$ instead of ∂^{p^k} .

Proof of theorem 1.1

i Corollary 3.5

ii Lemma 3.6

iii Suppose that $(U, V^{0,1,\dots,q})$ is $(q+1)$ -Lie. If $q = 1$, then it is 2-Lie for any characteristic p .

Assume that $q > 1$. By lemma 3.1, $V^q = i(1)i(x) \dots i(x^{(q-2)})V^{0,1,\dots,q}$ is 1-Lie, i.e., $\partial^q \in \text{Der } U$. If $q > 1$ and $p = 0$ this is not possible.

So $p > 0$. Take $U = O_1(m)$. By lemma 3.13 i, q should be power of p . Suppose that $k = p^t$.

By lemma 3.1, $V^{p^{t-1}, p^t} = i(1)i(x) \dots i(x^{(p^{t-2})})V^{0,1,\dots,p^t}$ is 2-Lie. By lemma 3.13 ii it is possible in the following cases $p = 2$ or $p = 3, t = 1$.

By lemma 3.1, $V^{2^t-2, 2^t-1, 2^t} = i(1)i(x) \dots i(x^{(2^t-3)})V^{0,1,\dots,2^t}$ is 3-Lie. By lemma 3.13 iii this is possible only in the cases $p = 2, t = 1$ or $p = 2, t = 2$. Theorem 1.1 is proved completely.

Proof of theorem 1.2 Corollaries 3.9 and 3.11. Calculations for checking that $\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{0,i,2^l+1-i}, p = 2$, is 3-Lie can be done by analogous way. Then by proposition 3.1 $\sum_{i=1}^{2^l} V^{i,2^l+1-i}, p = 2$, is 2-Lie.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Awata, M. Li, D. Minic, T. Yoneya, *On the quantization of Nambu brackets*, hep-th/9906248.

- [2] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *A remark on the space of invariant differential operators*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ, Ser.1 Mat.Mekh, 1982, No.2, 49-54, 116=engl. transl. Moscow Univ. Math. Bull., **37**(1982), No.2, p.63-68.
- [3] A.S. Dzhumadil'daev, *Integral and mod p -cohomologies of the Lie algebra W_1* , Funct Anal. Pril. **22**(1988), no.3, 68-70=engl.transl. Funct.Anal.Appl., **22**(1988), No.3, p.226-228 (1989).
- [4] V.T. Filipov, *n -Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat.Zh. **26**(1985), no. 6, 126-140, 191=engl. transl. Siberian Math. J., **26**(1985), no.6, 879-891
- [5] V.T. Filipov, *On n -Lie algebra of jacobians*, Sibirsk.Mat.Zh., **39**(1998), No.3, 660-669= engl. transl. Siberian Math. J., **39**(1998), no.3, 573-581.
- [6] P. Hanlon, M. Wachs, *On Lie k -algebras*, Adv. Math., **113**(1995), 206-236.
- [7] J. Hoppe, *On M -algebras, the quantization of Nambu-Mechanics, and the volume preserving diffeomorphisms*, hep-th/9602020 .
- [8] A.G. Kurosh, *Multi-operator rings and algebras*, Uspechi Matem.Nauk, **24**(1969), No.1, 3-15.
- [9] Y.Nambu, *Generalized Hamiltonian mechanics*, Phys. Rev., D 7, 2405-2412, 1973.
- [10] L.A. Takhtajan, *On the foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Commun. Math. Phys., **160**(1994), 295-315.
- [11] J. Stasheff, T. Lada, *Introduction to SH Lie algebras fo physicists*, Inter. J. Theor.Physics, **32**(1993), No.7, 1087-1103.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PUSHKIN STR.125, ALMATY, KAZAKHSTAN
E-mail address: askar@math.kz