

THE HEUN EQUATION AND THE CALOGERO-MOSER-SUTHERLAND SYSTEM III: THE FINITE GAP PROPERTY AND THE MONODROMY

KOUCHI TAKEMURA

ABSTRACT. We construct a new approach to the finite gap property for the Heun equation. The relationship between the finite dimensional invariant space and the spectral curve is clarified. We calculate the monodromies, which are expressed by hyperelliptic integrals. The applications to the spectral problem for the BC_1 Inozemtsev model are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

The BC_1 Inozemtsev model is a model of quantum mechanics with 1 particle, whose Hamiltonian is given as follows:

$$(1.1) \quad H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i),$$

where $\wp(x)$ is the Weierstrass' \wp -function with periods $(1, \tau)$, $\omega_0 = 0$, $\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\omega_2 = -\frac{\tau+1}{2}$, $\omega_3 = \frac{\tau}{2}$ are half periods and l_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are coupling constants. This model is a 1 particle version of the BC_N Inozemtsev system ([4]), which is known as the universal quantum integrable system with B_N symmetry ([4, 9]). The BC_N Calogero-Moser-Sutherland systems are special cases of BC_N Inozemtsev systems.

It is known that there is a correspondence between the BC_1 Inozemtsev model and the Heun equation. Here the Heun equation is a standard canonical form of the Fuchsian equation with four singularities defined in (5.4). (See section 5.)

The potential $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ had also appeared from a different viewpoint, the theory of elliptic solitons. Around 1987-1992, Treibich and Verdier ([17]) found and showed that $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x +$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E15,34B30,14H70.

ω_i) is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential iff $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. From this reason, the function $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is called the Treibich-Verdier potential. After them, several people made results on this subject, e.g. Gesztesy and Weikard ([2, 18]), Smirnov ([12]) etc. For more details, see references in ([2, 18, 12]).

In this article, we construct a new approach to the Treibich-Verdier potential and have applications to the spectral problem for the BC_1 Inozemtsev model. In particular, the relationship between some finite dimensional space of elliptic functions and the spectral curve is clarified, and a new determinant formula for the commuting operator of odd degree is obtained. Here, the existence of the commuting operator of odd degree is equivalent to the algebro-geometric finite gap property.

Now we note the author's background to this problem. It might be helpful to know why the author reaches results in this article.

In ([14]), the author proposed and developed the Bethe Ansatz method for the Heun equation, and applied it for the analysis of the eigenstates and the eigenvalues for the BC_1 Inozemtsev model.

A few months after the work ([14]), he noticed that the function $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential without knowing the results of Treibich and Verdier. He conjectured that the global monodromies will be written in terms of hyperelliptic integral by a discussion based on the Bethe Ansatz, and that they will be applicable for investigating the singularities of the eigenvalues in τ .

After that, the author found the results by Treibich-Verdier ([17]), Hochstadt ([3]), McKean-van Moerbeke ([7]), and Smirnov ([12]). In particular, some formulae for the monodromy are written in ([3, 7]) and the author thought that they would be applicable for the Treibich-Verdier potential.

From now on, we explain briefly the contents and results in this article.

First, we illustrate how to obtain that the function $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ ($l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$) is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential, which is done in sections 2 and 3. The strategy is as follows.

We prove that some product of the solutions to $Hf(x) = Ef(x)$ (H : the Hamiltonian, E : the eigenvalue) is doubly periodic for all E , which was already obtained in ([14]) in order to show the completeness of the Bethe Ansatz. Based on that function, we construct the differential operator A of odd degree which commute with the Hamiltonian H in section 3.1. Then we have the algebro-geometric finite gap property. The proof is elementary and we do not need to use advanced techniques by Treibich and Verdier which are based on the theory of Jacobi variety and the notion of the tangential cover.

In section 2.2, we introduce finite dimensional spaces of elliptic functions, which has already appeared in ([14]). This space plays an important role for presenting and investigating the spectral curve, i.e. the curve determined by the equality between the Hamiltonian H and the commuting operator A . As an application, we have a determinant formula for the commuting operator in section 3.2.

In section 3.3, formulae on the monodromy for the solutions to the Inozemtsev model is obtained by applying the results by Hochstadt ([3]), McKean and van Moerbeke ([7]). The formulae are expressed by the hyperelliptic integral.

In section 3.4, we present examples and review the results and the formulae obtained in sections 2 and 3 with them. It would be of help to see results and motivations briefly.

From the viewpoint of physics, we would like to obtain the square-integrable eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian and the corresponding eigenvalues. In sections 2 and 3, we consider the eigenfunctions of H without this physical boundary condition. In section 4, we consider them with the physical boundary condition.

It is known in ([15]) that the eigenvalue satisfying the physical boundary condition is holomorphic in τ if $-1 < p = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau) < 1$. In this article, we provide a sufficient condition for the holomorphy of the physical eigenvalues in $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. This would be concerned with the convergent radius of the eigenvalue as the power series of p , which can be calculated by the method of perturbation ([15]).

In section 4.1, we explain the strategy. In section 4.2, we make a setting for considering the spectral problem for the $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ case. In particular we introduce the Hilbert space \mathbf{H} and its dense subspace \mathbf{D} . In section 4.3, we obtain a sufficient condition for the holomorphy of the physical eigenvalue in $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ for this case. Here the formulae for the monodromy in section 3.3 are used. We also illustrate briefly the results with an example.

In section 4.4 we deal with the $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_1 = 0$ case, and in section 4.5 we deal with the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ case.

In section 5, we review the relationship between the Heun equation and the Inozemtzev model, and explain what is obtained for the Heun equation from this relationship. As a result, we have formulae for the monodromy on the cycle around two regular singular points. They are expressed by the hyperelliptic integral.

In appendix, we note definitions and formulae for the elliptic functions.

Throughout this article, we assume $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$.

2. RESULTS FROM ([14]) AND RELATED TOPICS

2.1. Product of the solutions.

We fix the eigenvalue E of the Hamiltonian H (1.1). Let us consider the second order differential equation,

$$(2.1) \quad (H - E)f(x) = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i) - E \right) f(x) = 0.$$

Let $g(x)$ be the product of any pair of the solutions to (2.1). Then the function $g(x)$ satisfies the following third order differential equation,

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - 4 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i) - E \right) \frac{d}{dx} - 2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp'(x + \omega_i) \right) \right) g(x) = 0,$$

It is known that the equation (2.2) has the non-zero doubly periodic solution for all E if $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$). Although this was proved in the article ([14]), we prove it once more, because it plays a conclusive role to show the finite gap property. By replaing $l_i \leftrightarrow -l_i - 1$, it is sufficient to show it for the $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) cases.

Proposition 2.1. ([14]) *If $l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then the equation (2.2) has a non-zero doubly periodic solution $\Xi(x, E)$ which has the following expansion,*

$$(2.3) \quad \Xi(x, E) = c_0(E) + \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} b_j^{(i)}(E) \wp(x + \omega_i)^{l_i-j},$$

where the coefficients $c_0(E)$ and $b_j^{(i)}(E)$ are polynomials in E , they do not have common divisors, and the polynomial $c_0(E)$ is monic. We set $g = \deg_E c_0(E)$. Then the coefficients satisfy $\deg_E b_j^{(i)}(E) < g$ for all i and j .

Proof. The solution to the equation (2.1) have singular points only at $n_1\omega_1 + n_3\omega_3$ ($n_1, n_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$). For each singular point, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If $l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ then the local monodromy matrix of the equation (2.1) around each singular point is a unit matrix.*

Proof of the lemma. From the periodicity, it is sufficient to consider the cases $a = \omega_i$. ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$). The exponents at the singular point $a = \omega_i$ are $-l_i$ and $l_i + 1$.

There exists solutions such as $f_{a,1}(x) = (x - a)^{-l_i}(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x^{2k})$ and $f_{a,2}(x) = (x - a)^{l_i+1}(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a'_k x^{2k})$, because the equation (2.1) does not have the first-order differential term, the functions $\wp(x + \omega_j)$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are even and the number $l_i + 1 - (-l_i)$ is odd.

Then it is obvious that the monodromy matrix for the functions $f_{a,1}(x)$ and $f_{a,2}(x)$ around the point $x = a$ is a unit matrix.

Hence the local monodromy matrix of the equation (2.1) around each singular point is a unit matrix. \square

We continue the proof of Proposition 2.1. Let M_i ($i = 1, 3$) be the transformations of solutions to the differential equation (2.1) obtained by the analytic continuation $x \rightarrow x + 2\omega_i$, i.e. $M_i f(x) = f(x + 2\omega_i)$. Since all the local monodromy matrices are unit, the transformations M_i do not depend on the choice of the path. The operators M_i act on the space of solutions to the equation (2.1) for each E , which is two dimensional.

By the commutativity $M_1 M_3 = M_3 M_1$, there exists a joint eigenvector for the operators M_1 and M_3 . Let $\Lambda(x, E)$ be a joint eigenvector of M_1 and M_3 on the space of solutions to (2.1) for fixed E .

Then the function $\Lambda(x, E)$ satisfies the equations $(H - E)\Lambda(x, E) = 0$, $M_1\Lambda(x, E) = m_1\Lambda(x, E)$ and $M_3\Lambda(x, E) = m_3\Lambda(x, E)$ for some $m_1, m_3 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We immediately have relations $(H - E)\Lambda(-x, E) = 0$, $M_1\Lambda(-x, E) = m_1^{-1}\Lambda(-x, E)$ and $M_3\Lambda(-x, E) = m_3^{-1}\Lambda(-x, E)$.

Let us consider the function $\Xi^*(x, E) = \Lambda(x, E)\Lambda(-x, E)$. The function $\Xi^*(x, E)$ is even (i.e. $\Xi^*(x, E) = \Xi^*(-x, E)$), doubly periodic (i.e. $M_1\Xi^*(x, E) = M_3\Xi^*(x, E) = \Xi^*(x, E)$), and satisfies the equation (2.2).

Since the exponents of the equation (2.2) at $x = \omega_i$ are $-2l_i, 1, 2l_i + 2$, the function $\Xi^*(x, E)$ admits the following expansion,

$$(2.4) \quad \Xi^*(x, E) = \tilde{c}_0(E) + \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} \tilde{b}_j^{(i)}(E) \wp(x + \omega_i)^{l_i-j}.$$

Substituting (2.4) for (2.2), we have relations for the coefficients $\tilde{c}_0(E)$ and $\tilde{b}_j^{(i)}(E)$. The coefficient $\tilde{b}_j^{(i)}(E)$ is expressed as a polynomial in $\tilde{b}_{j'}^{(i)}(E)$ ($j' < j$) and E , and the coefficient $\tilde{c}_0(E)$ is expressed as a polynomial in $\tilde{b}_{j'}^{(i)}(E)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 0 \leq j \leq l_i - 1$) and E .

By changing the scalar multiplication as

$$(2.5) \quad \Xi(x, E) = \frac{c_0(E)}{\tilde{c}_0(E)} \Xi^*(x, E) = c_0(E) + \sum_{i=0}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{l_i-1} b_j^{(i)}(E) \wp(x + \omega_i)^{l_i-j},$$

we can choose the coefficients such that $c_0(E)$ and $b_j^{(i)}(E)$ are polynomials in E , they do not have common divisors, and the polynomial $c_0(E)$ is monic.

We write $\Xi(x, E) = \sum_{k=0}^{g'} a_{g'-k}(x)E^k$, where g' is the maximum of the degrees of $c_0(E)$ and $b_j^{(i)}(E)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $0 \leq j \leq l_i - 1$) in E . By substituting this for (2.2) and observing the coefficient of $E^{g'+1}$, we have $\frac{d}{dx}a_0(x) = 0$. Therefore we have $\deg_E b_j^{(i)}(E) < \deg_E c_0(E)$, $g' = g$, and $a_0(x) = 1$. \square

Let us show the integral formula for the solution $\Lambda(x, E)$ to the equation (2.1) in terms of the doubly periodic function $\Xi(x, E)$, which was done in ([14]). The function $\Xi(x, E)$ is calculated by setting $\Xi(x, E)$ as (2.3) and determining the coefficients to satisfy (2.2). We normalize the function $\Lambda(x, E)$ to satisfy the relation $\Xi(x, E) = \Lambda(x, E)\Lambda(-x, E)$. Then we have $\frac{d \log \Lambda(x, E)}{dx} + \frac{d \log \Lambda(-x, E)}{dx} = \frac{1}{\Xi(x, E)} \frac{d \Xi(x, E)}{dx}$.

Since $\Lambda(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(-x, E)$ satisfy the differential equation (2.1), we have $\frac{d}{dx} \left(\Lambda(-x, E) \frac{d}{dx} \Lambda(x, E) - \Lambda(x, E) \frac{d}{dx} \Lambda(-x, E) \right) = \Lambda(-x, E) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Lambda(x, E) - \Lambda(x, E) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Lambda(-x, E) = 0$. Therefore we have $\Lambda(-x, E) \frac{d}{dx} \Lambda(x, E) - \Lambda(x, E) \frac{d}{dx} \Lambda(-x, E) = 2C(E)$ and $\frac{d \log \Lambda(x, E)}{dx} - \frac{d \log \Lambda(-x, E)}{dx} = \frac{2C(E)}{\Xi(x, E)}$ for some constant $C(E)$.

Combining these relations, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{d \log \Lambda(x, E)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\Xi(x, E)} \frac{d \Xi(x, E)}{dx} + \frac{C(E)}{\Xi(x, E)}.$$

By integrating (2.6), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda(x, E) = \sqrt{\Xi(x, E)} \exp \int \frac{C(E) dx}{\Xi(x, E)}.$$

We are going to determine the constant $C(E)$. By differentiating the equation (2.6), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Lambda(x, E)} \frac{d^2 \Lambda(x, E)}{dx^2} - \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda(x, E)} \frac{d \Lambda(x, E)}{dx} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\Xi(x, E)} \frac{d^2 \Xi(x, E)}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Xi(x, E)} \frac{d \Xi(x, E)}{dx} \right)^2 - \frac{C(E)}{\Xi(x, E)^2} \frac{d \Xi(x, E)}{dx}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $Q(E) = -C(E)^2$. By substituting (2.1) and (2.6) for (2.8), we obtain the formula

$$(2.9) \quad Q(E) = -C(E)^2 = \Xi(x, E)^2 \left(E - \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2}\Xi(x, E) \frac{d^2\Xi(x, E)}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{d\Xi(x, E)}{dx} \right)^2.$$

It follows that $Q(E)$ is a monic polynomial in E of degree $2g + 1$ from the expression of $\Xi(x, E)$ in (2.3) and Proposition 2.1.

Summalizing, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. ([14]) *Let $\Xi(x, E)$ be the doubly periodic function defined in Proposition 2.1 and $Q(E)$ be the monic polynomial defined in (2.9). Then the function*

$$(2.10) \quad \Lambda(x, E) = \sqrt{\Xi(x, E)} \exp \int \frac{\sqrt{-Q(E)}dx}{\Xi(x, E)}.$$

is a solution to the differential equation (2.1).

2.2. The invariant subspace of elliptic functions.

It is known in ([14]) that there are finite dimensional spaces of elliptic functions on which the Hamiltonian (1.1) acts well-definedly. In this subsection, we introduce such spaces and discuss the relationship with the polynomial $Q(E)$ which has appeared in the previous subsection.

We mean a doubly periodic function up to signs as a function $f(x)$ s.t. $(f(x + 2\omega_1) = f(x) \text{ or } -f(x))$ and $(f(x + 2\omega_3) = f(x) \text{ or } -f(x))$, where $(2\omega_1, 2\omega_3) = (1, \tau)$ are basic periods of elliptic function.

Let $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and k_i be the rearrangement of l_i such that $k_0 \geq k_1 \geq k_2 \geq k_3 (\geq 0)$. The dimension of the finite dimensional invariant spaces of the rational doubly periodic functions up to signs and the construction of the spaces are given in ([14] Theorem 3.1).

Proposition 2.4. ([14] *Theorem 3.1.*)

(i) *If the number $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3 (= l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3)$ is even and $k_0 + k_3 \geq k_1 + k_2$, then the dimension of the finite dimensional invariant*

space of the rational doubly periodic functions up to signs w.r.t. the Hamiltonian H (1.1) is $2k_0 + 1$.

(ii) If the number $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ is even and $k_0 + k_3 < k_1 + k_2$, then the dimension is $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 - k_3 + 1$.

(iii) If the number $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ is odd and $k_0 \geq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 1$, then the dimension is $2k_0 + 1$.

(iv) If the number $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ is odd and $k_0 < k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 1$, then the dimension is $k_0 + k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + 2$.

Let V be the finite dimensional invariant space described in Proposition 2.4 and $P(E)$ be the monic characteristic polynomial of the Hamiltonian H (1.1) on the space V , i.e. $P(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{\dim V} (E - E_i)$ ($\{E_i\}$: eigenvalues of H on V).

Here we present the invariant space V more explicitly. We assume $\tilde{\beta}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and $-\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\beta}_i/2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $V_{\tilde{\beta}_0, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{\beta}_3}$ be the $d+1$ dimensional vector space spanned by $\left\{ \wp_1(x)^{\tilde{\beta}_1} \wp_2(x)^{\tilde{\beta}_2} \wp_3(x)^{\tilde{\beta}_3} \wp(x)^n \right\}_{n=0, \dots, d}$, where $d = -\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\beta}_i/2$ and $\wp_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are co- \wp function. (See appendix.) We assume $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \{-l_i, l_i + 1\}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and $\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\alpha}_i/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we set

$$(2.11) \quad U_{\tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3} = \begin{cases} V_{\tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\alpha}_3} & (\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\alpha}_i/2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}) \\ \{0\} & (\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\alpha}_i/2 = 1) \\ V_{1-\tilde{\alpha}_0, 1-\tilde{\alpha}_1, 1-\tilde{\alpha}_2, 1-\tilde{\alpha}_3} & (\sum_{i=0}^3 \tilde{\alpha}_i/2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}) \end{cases}$$

If $l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ is even, then the space V is presented as $V = U_{-l_0, -l_1, -l_2, -l_3} \oplus U_{-l_0, -l_1, l_2+1, l_3+1} \oplus U_{-l_0, l_1+1, -l_2, l_3+1} \oplus U_{-l_0, l_1+1, l_2+1, -l_3}$. If $l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ is odd, then we have $V = U_{-l_0, -l_1, -l_2, l_3+1} \oplus U_{-l_0, -l_1, l_2+1, -l_3} \oplus U_{-l_0, l_1+1, -l_2, -l_3} \oplus U_{l_0+1, -l_1, -l_2, -l_3}$.

Between the polynomials $P(E)$ and $Q(E)$, we obtained the following proposition in ([14]).

Proposition 2.5. (cf. [14] Theorem 3.4.) *The set of zeros of $Q(E)$ coincides with the set of the zeros of $P(E)$.*

We propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$). We have $P(E) = Q(E)$.*

By applying Proposition 2.5, we immediately have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. (i) *If there are no multiple roots for each equation $P(E) = 0$ and $Q(E) = 0$ for generic τ , then Conjecture 1 is true.*

(ii) *If there are no multiple roots for the equation $Q(E) = 0$ for generic τ and $\deg_E P(E) = \deg_E Q(E)$, then Conjecture 1 is true.*

By combining Theorem 3.2. and Proposition 3.5. in ([14]) and Proposition 2.6 (ii) in this article, we have

Proposition 2.7. *If two of l_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are zero, then Conjecture 1 is true.*

If $l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ is small then we can confirm Conjecture 1 directly by the straightforward calculation.

Proposition 2.8. (cf. [14] Proposition 3.6.) *If $l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 \leq 8$ then Conjecture 1 is true.*

3. FINITE GAP PROPERTY

3.1. The construction of the commuting operator.

Let us consider the Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$ with conditions $q(x)$: meromorphic on \mathbb{R} (may have poles) and $q(x+1) = q(x)$. We assume these conditions for $q(x)$ on definitions below.

Definition 1. *Assume $q(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and there are no poles for $q(x)$ on \mathbb{R} . We set $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$. Let $\sigma_b(H)$ be the set such that*

$E \in \sigma_b(H) \Leftrightarrow$ *Any solutions to $(H-E)f(x) = 0$ are bounded on $x \in \mathbb{R}$*

If the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_b(H)$ is written as

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_b(H) = (-\infty, E_0] \cup [E_1, E_2] \cup \cdots \cup [E_{2g-1}, E_{2g}] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j),$$

for $E_0 < E_1 < \cdots < E_{2g}$, $F_1 < F_2 < \cdots$, and the set $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$ is discrete, then $q(x)$ is called the finite gap potential.

Definition 2. *If there exists the odd order differential operator $A = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2g+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{2g-1} b_j(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2g-1-j}$ such that $[A, -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)] = 0$, then $q(x)$ is called the algebro-geometric finite gap potential.*

Remark The equation $[A, -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)] = 0$ is equivalent to that the function $q(x)$ is a solution to some stationary higher KdV equation. \square

It is known that if $q(x)$ is real-holomorphic on \mathbb{R} and $q(x+1) = q(x)$, then $q(x)$ is a finite gap potential if and only if $q(x)$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential. For details see ([8, 1, 18])

In this section, we show that $\sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential if $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$). By the replacement $l_i \leftrightarrow -l_i - 1$, it is sufficient to show it for the $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) cases.

Write

$$(3.2) \quad \Xi(x, E) = \sum_{i=0}^g a_{g-i}(x) E^i.$$

From Proposition 2.1, we have $a_0(x) = 1$.

Theorem 3.1. *We set $u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ and define the $2g + 1$ st order differential operator A by*

$$(3.3) \quad A = \sum_{j=0}^g \left\{ a_j(x) \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} a_j(x) \right) \right\} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + u(x) \right)^{g-j},$$

where $a_j(x)$ are defined in (3.2) Then the operator A commute with the Hamiltonian $H = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + u(x)$. In other words, the function $u(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential.

Proof. Since the function $\Xi(x, E)$ in (3.2) satisfy the differential equation (2.2), we have the following relations by observing the coefficients of E^{g-j} .

$$(3.4) \quad a_j'''(x) - 4u(x)a_j'(x) - 2u'(x)a_j(x) + 4a_{j+1}(x) = 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad [A, H] &= \sum_{j=0}^g \left[a_j(x) \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2} a_j'(x), H \right] H^{g-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^g \left(-2a_j'(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} a_j'''(x) - u'(x) a_j(x) \right) H^{g-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^g \left(-2a_j'(x)(-H + u(x)) + \frac{1}{2} a_j'''(x) - u'(x) a_j(x) \right) H^{g-j} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^g (4a_{j+1}'(x) - 4u(x)a_j'(x) + a_j'''(x) - 2u'(x)a_j(x)) H^{g-j} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the theorem. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $Q(E)$ be the polynomial defined in (2.9). As an operator, we have*

$$(3.6) \quad A^2 + Q(H) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $f(x)$ be a solution to the second order differential equation $(H - E)f(x) = 0$. From the definitions of A in (3.3) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad Af(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^g \left\{ a_j(x) E^{g-j} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} a_j(x) E^{g-j} \right) \right\} f(x) \\
&= \Xi(x) f'(x) - \frac{1}{2} \Xi'(x) f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

From the commutativity of A and H , we have $(H - E)Af(x) = 0$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad A(Af(x)) &= \Xi(x) \frac{d}{dx} (Af(x)) - \frac{1}{2} \Xi'(x) Af(x) \\
&= \Xi^2(x) f''(x) - \frac{1}{2} \Xi''(x) \Xi(x) f(x) + \frac{1}{4} \Xi'(x)^2 f(x). \\
&= \left(\Xi^2(x)(u(x) - E) - \frac{1}{2} \Xi''(x) \Xi(x) + \frac{1}{4} \Xi'(x)^2 \right) f(x) \\
&= -Q(E) f(x) = -Q(H) f(x).
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation (2.9). The operator $A^2 + Q(H)$ is the differential operator with a degree at most $2g + 1$. Since the equality

$(A^2 + Q(H))f(x) = 0$ is valid for all eigenfunctions of H , we have $A^2 + Q(H) = 0$. \square

Remark The ideas of the proofs of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 are found in ([5, 16]). \square

Let $\Gamma : y^2 = -Q(x)$ be the spectral curve of the operators A and H . The curve $\Gamma : y^2 = -Q(x)$ plays important roles in the formulae (2.10) and (3.6). By Proposition 2.5, edges of the hyperelliptic curve Γ are eigenvalues on the invariant space V . (See section 2.2.) The genus of the curve Γ is g , where g has appeared in (3.2).

Proposition 3.3. *Let $k_0 = \max(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3)$ and $k_3 = \min(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3)$. If Conjecture 1 is true, then the algebraic genus of the curve Γ is given by*

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ll} k_0 & (l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 : \text{even and } k_0 + k_3 \geq \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3}{2}), \\ \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 - 2k_3}{2} & (l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 : \text{even and } k_0 + k_3 < \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3}{2}), \\ k_0 & (l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 : \text{odd and } k_0 \geq \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 1}{2}), \\ \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 1}{2} & (l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 : \text{odd and } k_0 < \frac{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + 1}{2}). \end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.4. \square

Remark The numbers in (3.9) has already appeared in ([2, 12, 14]). The proofs of counting the genus of Γ in ([2, 12]) would contain inaccurate points, because it seems that they omit the possibility for the cases that the equation $P(E) = 0$ or $Q(E) = 0$ has multiple roots. \square

By combining Propositions 2.7 and 3.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. *If two of l_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are zero, then the algebraic genus of the curve Γ is $\max(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3)$.*

The following proposition on a finite gap potential will be used to obtain formulae for the monodromy.

Proposition 3.5. *If $l_0 = l_1 = 0$, $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\tau \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then $u(x) = \sum_{i=2}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is a finite gap potential.*

Proof. From the condition $\tau \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we have $u(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since the poles of function $\wp(x + \tau/2)$ (resp. $\wp(x + (\tau + 1)/2)$) are located on the set $\mathbb{Z} + (\mathbb{Z} + 1/2)\tau$ (resp. $(\mathbb{Z} + 1/2) + (\mathbb{Z} + 1/2)\tau$), the function $u(x)$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{R} .

It is known in ([8, 1]) that if $q(x)$ is real-holomorphic on \mathbb{R} and $q(x+1) = q(x)$, then $q(x)$ is a finite gap potential if and only if $q(x)$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential.

Thus we obtain the proposition. \square

3.2. The determinant formula for the commuting operator.

In this subsection, we introduce a determinant formula for the commuting operator A .

Conjecture 2. *Let l_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) be non-negative integers and V be the invariant subspace defined in section 2.2.*

Let $f_1(x), \dots, f_{2\bar{g}+1}(x)$ be a basis of the space V . We have

$$(3.10) \quad A = A_0 \left| \begin{array}{cccc} f_1(x) & \cdots & f_{2\bar{g}+1}(x) & 1 \\ \frac{d}{dx}f_1(x) & \cdots & \frac{d}{dx}f_{2\bar{g}+1}(x) & \frac{d}{dx} \\ \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 f_1(x) & \cdots & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 f_{2\bar{g}+1}(x) & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2\bar{g}+1} f_1(x) & \cdots & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2\bar{g}+1} f_{2\bar{g}+1}(x) & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2\bar{g}+1} \end{array} \right|,$$

for some non-zero constant A_0 . Here the determinant for the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n,1} & \cdots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \text{ is defined by } \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{\sigma(1),1} \cdots a_{\sigma(n),n}.$$

Proposition 3.6. *If two of l_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are zero, Conjecture 2 is true.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show the statements for the $l_2 = l_3 = 0$ and $l_0 \geq l_1$ case. From Propositions 2.7 and 3.2, we have $A^2 = -P(H)$. In ([14] Theorem 3.2.), it is shown that the equation $P(E) = 0$ have no multiple roots for generic τ . For this case, we have $\dim V = 2g + 1$, where g is defined in Proposition 2.1.

We fix the period τ_0 satisfying the condition that the equation $P(E) = 0$ have no multiple roots. Let E_i ($i = 1, \dots, 2g + 1$) be the zeros of $P(E) = 0$ and let \tilde{V}_i be the 2-dimensional space spanned by solutions to the differential equation $(H - E_i)f(x) = 0$.

From the commutativity $[A, H] = 0$, the operator A preserves the space \tilde{V}_i . For $f(x) \in \tilde{V}_i$, we have $A^2 f(x) = -P(H)f(x) = -P(E_i)f(x) = 0$. Therefore all eigenvalues of A on \tilde{V}_i are 0.

Let $\xi_i(x)$ be a function in V . From the definition of V , $\xi_i(x)$ is a doubly periodic function up to signs satisfying the equation $(H - E_i)\xi_i(x) = 0$. Another solution to $(H - E_i)f(x) = 0$ is given by $\xi_i(x) \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\{\xi_i(x)\}^2}$. This function never be a doubly periodic function up to signs, because it has $l_0 + 1$ zero at $x = 0$ and has less poles on the fundamental domain. Hence the dimension of doubly periodic functions up to signs satisfying the differential equation $(H - E_i)f(x) = 0$ is one.

Since the function $\Xi(x, E)$ is doubly periodic, the coefficients of $(\frac{d}{dx})^j$ ($j = 0, \dots, 2g + 1$) on A are doubly periodic. (See (3.3).) Hence the function $A\xi_i(x)$ is proportional to $\xi_i(x)$. Thus we have $A\xi_i(x) = 0$, because the eigenvalue must be zero.

Therefore we have $Af(x) = 0$ for all $f(x) \in V$, Since $\deg A = \dim V$, all solutions to $A\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ must satisfy $\tilde{f}(x) \in V$ and we have

$$(3.11) \quad A = g(x) \left| \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & \dots & f_{2g+1}(x) & 1 \\ \frac{d}{dx} f_1(x) & \dots & \frac{d}{dx} f_{2g+1}(x) & \frac{d}{dx} \\ (\frac{d}{dx})^2 f_1(x) & \dots & (\frac{d}{dx})^2 f_{2g+1}(x) & (\frac{d}{dx})^2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\frac{d}{dx})^{2g+1} f_1(x) & \dots & (\frac{d}{dx})^{2g+1} f_{2g+1}(x) & (\frac{d}{dx})^{2g+1} \end{pmatrix} \right|,$$

for some function $g(x)$. Since the coefficient of $(\frac{d}{dx})^{2g+1}$ on the r.h.s. of (3.11) is 1, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) & \dots & f_{2g+1}(x) \\ \frac{d}{dx} f_1(x) & \dots & \frac{d}{dx} f_{2g+1}(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (\frac{d}{dx})^{2g} f_1(x) & \dots & (\frac{d}{dx})^{2g} f_{2g+1}(x) \end{pmatrix} \right| = \frac{1}{g(x)}.$$

By differentiating the equality (3.12), we obtain that the coefficient of $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2g}$ on the r.h.s. of (3.11) is equal to $\frac{g'(x)}{g(x)}$.

From the commutativity $[A, H] = 0$, the coefficient of $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2g}$ on the operator A must be zero. Hence we have $g'(x) = 0$.

Therefore we have the relation (3.10) for the $l_2 = l_3 = 0$ and $l_0 \geq l_1$ cases if $P(E) = 0$ have no multiple roots. By the analytic continuation in τ , we have the relation (3.10) for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and the $l_2 = l_3 = 0, l_0 \geq l_1$ cases. \square

3.3. Monodromy.

In this subsection, we will calculate the monodromy, specifically the constant $B(E)$ for $\Lambda(x+1, E) = B(E)\Lambda(x, E)$, where the function $\Lambda(x, E)$ is the solution to the equation $(H - E)\Lambda(x, E) = 0$ of the form (2.10). The monodromy plays an important role for investigating eigenvalues and eigenstates with physical boundary conditions.

From the formula (2.10), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \Lambda(x+1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{-Q(E)} dx}{\Xi(x, E)}.$$

Although this formula is explicit, the calculation of the integral would be difficult.

In ([14]), it is shown that the function $\Lambda(x, E)$ admits the following expression of the Bethe Ansatz form,

$$(3.14) \quad \Lambda(x, E) = \frac{\exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}cx) \prod_{j=1}^l \theta(x+t_j)}{\theta(x)^{l_0} \theta(x+1/2)^{l_1} \theta(x+(1+\tau)/2)^{l_2} \theta(x+\tau/2)^{l_3}},$$

where $l = l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3$ and $\theta(x)$ is the elliptic theta function. The values t_j ($j = 1, \dots, l$) and c satisfy the Bethe Ansatz equation written in ([14] Theorem 3.9).

From this expression we have

$$(3.15) \quad \Lambda(x+1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}c).$$

To obtain the value c , we must solve the Bethe Ansatz equation, which is transcendental equations in general.

In this subsection, we introduce the other formula. The monodromy is expressed by the hyperelliptic integral of second kind. Prototypes are found in ([3, 7]).

Conjecture 3. *Let $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and E_0 be a solution to the equation $P(E) = 0$. We have*

$$(3.16) \quad \Lambda(x+1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp \left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \right),$$

where the polynomial $P_1(E)$ of degree g is given by

$$(3.17) \quad P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx,$$

and ε is a constant determined in order to avoid passing through the poles while integrating.

Theorem 3.7. *If $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ or $l_2 = l_3 = 0$, then Conjecture 3 is true.*

Proof. We first prove for the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ and $\tau \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ case. For this case, $u(x) = \sum_{i=2}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i)$ is a finite gap potential from Proposition 3.5.

It is known in ([7] §1 Hochstadt's Formula and §10 Corollary 2) that the formulae

$$(3.18) \quad \Lambda(x+1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp \left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-Q(E)}} dE \right),$$

hold if $u(x)$ is a finite gap potential. For this case, we have $P(E) = Q(E)$ from Proposition 2.7.

Therefore we have the the formulae (3.16, 3.17) for the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ and $\tau \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ case. Especially, for this case, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \exp \left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{\int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \right) = \exp \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{-P(E)} dx}{\Xi(x, E)}.$$

By the analytic continuation in τ , the above formula holds for the $\tau \in \mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ case. Hence the formulae (3.16, 3.17) hold for the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ case.

The $l_2 = l_3 = 0$ case is obtained by the shift $x \rightarrow x + \frac{\tau}{2}$. □

Remark Although the integral $\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE$ may depend on the choice of the initial point E_0 satisfying $P(E_0) = 0$ and the path from E_0 to E , the function $\exp\left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)dx}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE\right)$ does not depend on E_0 (s.t. $P(E_0) = 0$) and the path. \square

Theoretically the integral $\int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx$ can be calculated explicitly. We will explain this with examples in the next subsection.

3.4. Examples.

We will illustrate plainly what was done so far with examples.

3.4.1. $l_0 = 0, l_1 = 0, l_2 = 0, l_3 = 1$ case.

The Hamiltonian H is given by

$$(3.20) \quad H := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2\wp\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right),$$

For this case, the space of finite dimensional elliptic functions V defined in section 2.2 is spanned by co- \wp functions $\wp_1(x + \frac{\tau}{2})$, $\wp_2(x + \frac{\tau}{2})$, $\wp_3(x + \frac{\tau}{2})$, and $\dim V = 3$.

The characteristic polynomial of the Hamiltonian H on the space V is

$$(3.21) \quad P(E) = (E + e_1)(E + e_2)(E + e_3),$$

where $\wp(\frac{1}{2}) = e_1$, $\wp(\frac{1+\tau}{2}) = e_2$ and $\wp(\frac{\tau}{2}) = e_3$.

From Proposition 3.5, $2\wp(x + \frac{\tau}{2})$ is a finite gap potential if $\tau \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Indeed, if $\tau \in \mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then we have

$$(3.22) \quad \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_b(H) = (-\infty, -e_1] \cup [-e_2, -e_3] \cup (\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j),$$

for $-e_1 < -e_2 < -e_3 < F_1 < F_2 < \dots$. (See Definition 1.) If $\tau \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ then we have $-e_1 < -e_3 < -e_2$.

The functions $\Xi(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(x, E)$ are given by

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi(x, E) &= \wp\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E \\ \Lambda(x, E) &= \sqrt{\wp\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E} \exp \int^x \frac{\sqrt{-(E + e_1)(E + e_2)(E + e_3)}}{\wp\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) + E} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Lambda(\pm x, E)$ satisfy the equation $(H - E)\Lambda(\pm x, E) = 0$ and $\Xi(x, E)$ satisfies the equation (2.2).

The function $2\varphi(x + \frac{\tau}{2})$ is also an algebro-geometric potential if $\tau \in \mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The commuting operator A (3.3) is given by

$$(3.24) \quad A = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^3 - 3\varphi\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{3}{2}\varphi'\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right).$$

Related to Proposition 3.6, we have the following determinant formula.

$$(3.25) \quad (e_1 - e_2)(e_2 - e_3)(e_3 - e_1)A = \left| \begin{pmatrix} \wp_1(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_2(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_3(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & 1 \\ \wp_1'(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_2'(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_3'(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \frac{d}{dx} \\ \wp_1''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_2''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_3''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \\ \wp_1'''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_2'''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \wp_3'''(x + \frac{\tau}{2}) & \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^3 \end{pmatrix} \right|.$$

On the operators A and H , there is an algebraic relation

$$(3.26) \quad A^2 = -(H + e_1)(H + e_2)(H + e_3),$$

and the genus of this curve is 1.

From now on we calculate the coefficient of the monodromy (3.16).

We have

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E)dx &= \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} E + \varphi\left(x + \frac{\tau}{2}\right) dx \\ &= E - \zeta\left(1 + \frac{\tau}{2} + \varepsilon\right) + \zeta\left(\frac{\tau}{2} + \varepsilon\right) = E - 2\eta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_1 = \zeta(\frac{1}{2})$. Here we used definitions and formulae in appendix.

Since $E = -e_3$ is a solution to $P(E) = 0$, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \Lambda(x + 1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp\left(\int_{-e_3}^E \frac{E - 2\eta_1}{\sqrt{-(E + e_1)(E + e_2)(E + e_3)}} dE\right).$$

This is an elliptic integral of second kind.

3.4.2. $l_0 = 2, l_1 = 1, l_2 = 0, l_3 = 0$ case.

The Hamiltonian H is given by

$$(3.29) \quad H := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 6\varphi(x) + 2\varphi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

For this case, the space of finite dimensional elliptic functions V defined in section 2.2 is spanned by functions $\wp(x) - e_1$, $\frac{\wp_2(x)}{\wp_1(x)}$, $\frac{\wp_3(x)}{\wp_1(x)}$, $\wp_1(x)\wp_2(x)$ and $\wp_1(x)\wp_3(x)$. Hence we have $\dim V = 5$.

The characteristic polynomial of the Hamiltonian H on the space V is

$$(3.30) \quad P(E) = \frac{1}{16}(E + 4e_1)\{16E^4 - 224e_1E^3 + (56g_2 - 144e_1^2)E^2 - (200g_2e_1 - 5920e_1^3)E + (81g_2^2 - 3640g_2e_1^2 + 19216e_1^4)\},$$

where $g_2 = -4(e_1e_2 + e_2e_3 + e_3e_1)$.

The functions $\Xi(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(x, E)$ are given by

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi(x, E) &= 9\wp(x)^2 + 3(E - 2e_1)\wp(x) + (E + 4e_1)\wp\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + E^2 - 5e_1E - 27e_1^2, \\ \Lambda(x, E) &= \sqrt{\Xi(x, E)} \exp \int^x \frac{\sqrt{-P(E)}}{\Xi(x, E)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Lambda(\pm x, E)$ satisfy the equation $(H - E)\Lambda(\pm x, E) = 0$ and $\Xi(x, E)$ satisfies the equation (2.2).

The function $6\wp(x) + 2\wp(x + \frac{1}{2})$ is an algebro-geometric finite gap potential. The commuting operator A (3.3) is given by

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} A &= \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^5 - 5\left(3\wp(x) + \wp\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - e_1\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^3 \\ &\quad - \frac{15}{2}\left(3\wp'(x) + \wp'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \\ &\quad - 15\left(3\wp(x)^2 + 2\wp\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2e_1\wp\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - 3e_1^2 - \frac{1}{5}g_2\right)\frac{d}{dx} \\ &\quad - 15\left(\wp\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) - e_1\right)\wp'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the operators A and H , there is an algebraic relation $A^2 = -P(H)$ and the genus of this curve is 2.

From now on we calculate the coefficient of the monodromy (3.16).

From the formulae $\wp(x)^2 = \frac{1}{6}\wp''(x) + \frac{1}{12}g_2$, $\zeta'(x) = -\wp(x)$, $\zeta(x+1) - \zeta(x) = 2\eta_1$, and the periodicity of $\wp'(x)$, we have

$$(3.33) \quad \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx = E^2 - (5e_1 + 8\eta_1)E + \frac{3}{4}g_2 - 27e_1^2 + 4e_1\eta_1.$$

Since $E = -4e_1$ is a solution to $P(E) = 0$, we have

$$(3.34) \quad \Lambda(x+1, E) = \Lambda(x, E) \exp \left(\int_{-4e_1}^E \frac{E^2 - (5e_1 + 8\eta_1)E + \frac{3}{4}g_2 - 27e_1^2 + 4e_1\eta_1}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \right).$$

This is a hyperelliptic integral related to the genus two curve.

4. THE APPLICATION TO THE SPECTRAL PROBLEM

4.1. The strategy. In this section, we consider eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian H with physical boundary conditions.

More precisely, we consider eigenstates and eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian in an appropriate Hilbert space.

In general setting, Hilbert space is taken as the space of functions which are square-integrable by some inner product. For the infinite dimensional case, Hamiltonian generally does not act on all elements of the whole Hilbert space but acts on any elements of its dense subspace.

Hence we should specify the Hilbert space and its dense subspace for each model.

In section 4.2, we introduce the Hilbert space and its dense subspace for the BC_1 -Inozemtsev model. In previous sections we obtained the eigenfunctions $\Lambda(x, E)$ of the Hamiltonian H in (2.10) without boundary conditions and the constant for the quasi-periodicity in (3.16). We will mention in this section that how the conditions for the square-integrability correspond to the ones for the quasi-periodicity.

For the trigonometric case (i.e. $\tau \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\infty$), the model becomes much easier. For this case, all eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are described explicitly.

We consider the eigenvalues of the non-trigonometric models by connecting from the trigonometric one. Here the Hamiltonian H depend

on the variable $p = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$, and the trigonometric case corresponds to the $p = 0$ case.

It is shown in ([15]) that the eigenvalues are holomorphic in p if $-1 < p < 1$ by applying the theory of perturbation due to Kato and Rellich ([6]).

To understand the eigenvalues more, we look into the singular points of the eigenvalue in the variable p on the complex domain $|p| < 1$. In section 4.3, we write down a sufficient condition for the holomorphy of the eigenvalue at a given point $p = p_0$. Here, the relation (3.16) plays an important role.

We first discuss for the $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ cases in sections 4.2 and 4.3. In section 4.4, we discuss for the $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_1 = 0$ cases. The $l_0 = 0$ and $l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ cases can be treated similarly. In section 4.5, we discuss for the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ cases.

4.2. The Hilbert space and the trigonometric limit.

In this subsection, we assume $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

We set $p = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$.

We introduce the Hilbert space and its dense subspace. We remark that the notations are different from the ones in ([15]).

We define the following function, which is indeed the groundstate for the trigonometric ($p = 0$) model,

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(x) = (\sin \pi x)^{l_0+1} (\cos \pi x)^{l_1+1}.$$

We set

$$(4.2) \quad \mathbf{H} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable} \left| \begin{array}{l} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx < +\infty, \\ f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1} f(x+1) \text{ a.e. } x, \\ f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+1} f(-x) \text{ a.e. } x. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{D} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{f(x)}{\Phi(x)} \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{R}, \\ f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1} f(x+1), f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+1} f(-x). \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

It is shown that the space \mathbf{D} is a dense subspace of \mathbf{H} .

Remark Let

$$\mathbf{H}_g = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable} \left| \begin{array}{l} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 |\Phi(x)|^2 dx < +\infty, \\ f(x) = f(x+1), f(x) = f(-x) \text{ a.e. } x \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

be the gauge-transformed Hilbert space. Then the space \mathbf{H}_g is noted as \mathbf{H} in ([15]). We have the following relationship between these spaces, namely $g(x) \in \mathbf{H}_g \Leftrightarrow g(x)\Phi(x) \in \mathbf{H}$. \square

It is shown in ([15]) that for each eigenstate $\psi_m(x) \in \mathbf{H}$, we have $\psi_m(x) \in \mathbf{D}$ if $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|p|$ is sufficiently small. Thus it would be reasonable to consider the spectral problem in the space \mathbf{D} .

Proposition 4.1. *The Hamiltonian H preserves the space \mathbf{D} .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H} = \Phi(x)^{-1} \circ H \circ \Phi(x)$ be the gauge-transformed Hamiltonian. Then we have $\mathcal{H} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2\pi \left(\frac{(l_0+1)\cos\pi x}{\sin\pi x} - \frac{(l_1+1)\sin\pi x}{\cos\pi x} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + V(x)$, where $V(x)$ is an even periodic function which is holomorphic on \mathbb{R} . It is enough to show that the operator \mathcal{H} preserves the space \mathbf{D}_g , where

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbf{D}_g = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \left| \begin{array}{l} f(x) \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{R}, \\ f(x) = f(x+1), f(x) = f(-x). \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Let $f(x) \in \mathbf{D}$. Then the function $\tilde{f}(x) = \mathcal{H}f(x)$ satisfies $\tilde{f}(x+1) = \tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(-x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x-1/2) = \tilde{f}(1/2-x)$. The function $\tilde{f}(x)$ is meromorphic on \mathbb{R} and may have poles at $x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ of degree at most 1.

If $\tilde{f}(x)$ has a pole at $x = 0$ (resp. $x = 1/2$), it contradicts the symmetry $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(-x)$ (resp. $\tilde{f}(x-1/2) = \tilde{f}(1/2-x)$). Hence the function $\tilde{f}(x)$ has no poles at $x = 0$ and $x = 1/2$. From the periodicity, the function $\tilde{f}(x)$ have no poles on \mathbb{R} ,

Thus the proof is completed. \square

For the $p = 0$ case, the problem becomes much easier. Let us describe the eigenvalues shortly. For details, see ([15]).

If $p \rightarrow 0$ ($\tau \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\infty$), then we have $\wp(x) \rightarrow -\frac{\pi^2}{3} + \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2\pi x}$, etc., and $H \rightarrow H_T - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i+1)$, where

$$(4.5) \quad H_T = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + l_0(l_0+1) \frac{\pi^2}{\sin^2\pi x} + l_1(l_1+1) \frac{\pi^2}{\cos^2\pi x}.$$

The $m+1$ -st lowest eigenvalue of the trigonometric Hamiltonian H_T on the space \mathbf{H} is $\pi^2(2m+l_0+l_1+2)^2$. The corresponding eigenfunction

$\tilde{\psi}_m(x)$ is written as the product of the groundstate $\Phi(x)$ (4.1) and the Jacobi polynomial of degree m . Especially we have $\tilde{\psi}_m(x) \in \mathbf{D}$.

If $-1 < p < 1$, then the existence, completeness and the real-holomorphy of the eigenvalues in p are shown in ([15] Theorem 3.6.) by applying the Kato-Rellich Theory.

Proposition 4.2. ([15] Theorem 3.6.) *All eigenvalues of H for $-1 < p < 1$ on the Hilbert space \mathbf{H} can be represented as $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), which is real-holomorphic in $p \in (-1, 1)$ and the value $\tilde{E}_m(0) = \pi^2(2m + l_0 + l_1 + 2)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)$ coincides with the $m + 1$ -st lowest eigenvalue of the trigonometric model.*

Although the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ is real-holomorphic, it may have singularities on the complex domain. We investigate it in the next subsection.

4.3. The condition for the square-integrability.

In this subsection, we write down the condition on which value E admit the square-integrable eigenfunction, and discuss on the singularities of the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ in the variable $p = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau)$. Throughout this section, we assume $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let $\Lambda(x, E)$ be the function defined in (2.10). Then we have $H\Lambda(x, E) = E\Lambda(x, E)$, and $\Lambda(x+1, E) = B(E)\Lambda(x, E)$, where $B(E) = \exp\left(\int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{-Q(E)}dx}{\Xi(x, E)}\right)$. If $l_2 = l_3 = 0$ or the case that Conjecture 3 is true, we have $B(E) = \exp\left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}}dE\right)$, where E_0 is the value satisfying $P(E_0) = 0$ and $P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E)dx$.

We set $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = \Lambda(x, E) - (-1)^{l_0}\Lambda(-x, E)$. The relation $H\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = E\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is obvious. The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for the square-integrability, because if $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) \in \mathbf{D}$ then the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is square-integrable on $(0, 1)$.

Proposition 4.3. (i) *If $B(E) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ then we have $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) \in \mathbf{D}$.*

(ii) *If $Q(E) \neq 0$ then the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is non-zero.*

Proof. First, we show (i). We check that the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ satisfies the defining relations for \mathbf{D} (4.3).

The relation $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = (-1)^{l_0+1}\Lambda^{sym}(-x, E)$ is trivial from the definition of $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$. The relation $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}\Lambda^{sym}(x+1, E)$ is obtained from the condition $B(E) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$.

Since the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ satisfy the differential equation (2.1), it may have poles only at $x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ on \mathbb{R} .

We have the expansion $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = x^\alpha(c_0 + c_1x + \dots)$, where $c_0 \neq 0$ and $(\alpha = -l_0$ or $l_0 + 1)$, because the exponents of the differential equation (2.1) at $x = 0$ are $-l_0$ and $l_0 + 1$. From the property $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = (-1)^{l_0+1}\Lambda^{sym}(-x, E)$ and $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\alpha = l_0 + 1$. Thus the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is holomorphic at $x = 0$.

We set $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = \Lambda^{sym}(x - 1/2, E)$. Then we have $\tilde{\lambda}(x) = \Lambda^{sym}(x - 1/2, E) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}\Lambda^{sym}(x + 1/2, E) = (-1)^{l_1+1}\Lambda^{sym}(-x - 1/2, E) = (-1)^{l_1+1}\tilde{\lambda}(-x)$. Similarly to the $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)|_{x=0}$ case, the function $\tilde{\lambda}(x)$ is holomorphic at $x = 0$. Hence the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is holomorphic at $x = 1/2$.

From the periodicity of $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$, we obtain that the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is holomorphic on \mathbb{R} .

Therefore we have checked all defining relations and have proved (i).

Next, we show (ii). It is sufficient to show that the functions $\Lambda(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(-x, E)$ are linearly independent. It is obvious because of the expression $\Lambda(-x, E) = \sqrt{\Xi(x, E)} \exp \int \frac{-\sqrt{-Q(E)}dx}{\Xi(x, E)}$, whereas $\Lambda(x, E) = \sqrt{\Xi(x, E)} \exp \int \frac{\sqrt{-Q(E)}dx}{\Xi(x, E)}$. \square

Proposition 4.4. *We assume $B(E) \neq (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ and $Q(E) \neq 0$, then any non-zero solution to (2.1) is not square-integrable. In particular, the value E such that $B(E) \neq (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ and $Q(E) \neq 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian H in the space \mathbf{D} .*

Proof. From the condition $Q(E) \neq 0$, the solution to (2.1) are written as the linear combination of $\Lambda(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(-x, E)$. Because of the

inequality $B(E) \neq (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$, it is impossible to kill the poles at $x = 0, 1/2, 1$ simultaneously. \square

For the $Q(E) = 0$ case, we need the other argument.

From now on, we will observe how the eigenvalues in \mathbf{D} are analytically continued. The eigenvalues in \mathbf{D} are determined by the condition $B(E) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$. The function $B(E)$ depend on two variables E, p and holomorphic on E, p , provided $(E, p) \in \mathbb{C} \times B_1$ and $Q(E) \neq 0$, where $B_1 = \{p \in \mathbb{C} \mid |p| < 1\}$.

Let $|p_*| < 1$ and suppose $B(E_*) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ at $p = p_*$. By the implicit function theorem, if $\frac{\partial B}{\partial E}|_{p=p_*, E=E_*} \neq 0$ and $Q(E_*) \neq 0$, then there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a unique holomorphic function $E(p)$ on $\{p \in \mathbb{C} \mid |p - p_*| < \varepsilon\}$ such that $B(E(p)) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ and $E(p_*) = E_*$.

Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $|p_*| < 1$ and E_* be the eigenvalue of H on \mathbf{D} such that $\frac{\partial B}{\partial E}|_{p=p_*, E=E_*} \neq 0$ and $Q(E_*) \neq 0$. Then the eigenvalue on \mathbf{D} is analytically continued near $p = p_*$ and it is holomorphic at $p = p_*$.*

If Conjecture 3 is true then we have more explicit results.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Assume that Conjecture 3 is true for given l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 .*

(i) *If $\int_{E_0}^{E_*} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (l_0+l_1)\pi\sqrt{-1} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, then we have $\Lambda^{\text{sym}}(x, E_*) \in \mathbf{D}$ and $\Lambda^{\text{sym}}(x, E_*) \neq 0$, where E_0 is the value satisfying $P(E_0) = 0$ and $P_1(E)$ is a polynomial in E defined by $P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx$.*

(ii) *Let $|p_*| < 1$ and E_* be the value such that $P_1(E_*) \neq 0$, $P(E_*) \neq 0$, and that there exists non-zero function $f(x) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $(H - E_*)f(x) = 0$ at $p = p_*$, then the eigenvalue is analytically continued near $p = p_*$ and is holomorphic at $p = p_*$.*

Proof. From (3.16), the condition $B(E_*) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$ is equivalent to the condition $\int_{E_0}^{E_*} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (l_0 + l_1)\pi\sqrt{-1} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$.

As is shown in Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, if $P(E_*) \neq 0$, then the condition that there exists non-zero function $f(x) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $(H - E_*)f(x) = 0$ is equivalent to $B(E_*) = (-1)^{l_0+l_1}$.

It is easy to see that the conditions $\frac{\partial B}{\partial E}|_{p=p_*, E=E_*} \neq 0$ and $Q(E_*) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the conditions $P_1(E_*) \neq 0$ and $P(E_*) \neq 0$.

By combining these facts and Proposition 4.5, we have the theorem. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_2 = l_3 = 0$. Let E_0 be the value satisfying $P(E_0) = 0$, and $P_1(E)$ be a polynomial in E defined by*

$$P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx.$$

(i) *If $\int_{E_0}^{E_*} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (l_0+l_1)\pi\sqrt{-1}+2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, then we have $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E_*) \in \mathbf{D}$ and $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E_*) \neq 0$.*

(ii) *Let $|p_*| < 1$ and let E_* be the value such that $P_1(E_*) \neq 0$, $P(E_*) \neq 0$, and there exists non-zero function $f(x) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $(H-E_*)f(x) = 0$ at $p = p_*$, then the eigenvalue is analytically continued near $p = p_*$ and is holomorphic at $p = p_*$.*

Proof. Obvious from Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.6. \square

We assume $l_0, l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_2 = l_3 = 0$.

Let $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ be the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian H on the Hilbert space \mathbf{H} defined in Proposition 4.2. Then from ([15] §5.3. §4.), the eigenfunction $v_m(x, p)$ of the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ on the full Hilbert space \mathbf{H} is not doubly-periodic up to signs, and we have $v_m(x, p) \in \mathbf{D}$ if $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|p|$ is sufficiently small.

Hence we have $P(\tilde{E}_m(p)) \neq 0$ and $\int_{E_0}^{\tilde{E}_m(p)} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (l_0+l_1)\pi\sqrt{-1}+2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ if $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|p|$ is sufficiently small. From Proposition 4.2, the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ is holomorphic if $-1 < p < 1$.

From Corollary 4.7, the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ is continued analytically in $p \in \mathbb{C}$ to keep the relation $\int_{E_0}^{\tilde{E}_m(p)} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (l_0+l_1)\pi\sqrt{-1}+2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p_* \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|p_*| < 1$. If $P(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) \neq 0$ and $P_1(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) \neq 0$ then the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ does not have singularity at $p = p_*$.

In other words, the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ may have singularity at $p = p_*$ only when $P(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) = 0$ or $P_1(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) = 0$.

By the way, in ([15]), the algorithm for calculating the eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m(p)$ as the power series in p was introduced and the convergence of the power series for sufficiently small $|p|$ was shown.

Numerically, it is observed that the convergence radius of the power series will be strictly less than 1. We assume that the convergence radius of the power series is $p_c \in (0, 1)$. Then from the above discussion, there exists a solution to $P(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) = 0$ or $P_1(\tilde{E}_m(p_*)) = 0$ satisfying $|p_*| = p_c$.

In this way the condition $P(E) = 0$ or $P_1(E) = 0$ would be deeply concerned with the convergence radius of the eigenvalues.

For the $l_0 = 2, l_1 = 1, l_2 = 0, l_3 = 0$ case, the polynomial $P(E)$ is given in (3.30) and the polynomial $P_1(E)$ is given in the r.h.s, of (3.33). Then we have $\deg_E P(E) = 5$ and $\deg_E P_1(E) = 2$. To find the singular point of the eigenvalue in the space \mathbf{D} , it would be effective to chase the values E_* such that $(P(E_*) = 0$ or $P_1(E_*) = 0)$ as p_* varies, and look into when the values E_* satisfy $\int_{-4e_0}^{E_*} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in (2\mathbb{Z} + 1)\pi\sqrt{-1}$. We hope to report more results in future.

4.4. $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_1 = 0$ case.

In this subsection, we consider the $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, l_1 = 0, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ case.

For this case, we consider the larger Hilbert space \mathbf{H}^* and its dense subspace \mathbf{D}^* , where

$$(4.6) \quad \mathbf{H}^* = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable} \left| \begin{array}{l} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx < +\infty, \\ f(x) = f(x+2) \text{ a.e. } x, \\ f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+1} f(-x) \text{ a.e. } x. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \mathbf{D}^* = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{f(x)}{(\sin \pi x)^{l_0+1}} \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{R}, \\ f(x) = f(x+2), f(x) = (-1)^{l_0+1} f(-x). \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then we have $\mathbf{D}^* \subset \mathbf{H}^*$, $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{H}^*$ and $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{D}^*$.

It is shown that the Hamiltonian H preserves the space \mathbf{D}^* . (cf. Proposition 4.1).

For the $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $l_1 = 0$, $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ case, all eigenvalues of H for $-1 < p < 1$ on the Hilbert space \mathbf{H}^* can be represented as $\tilde{E}_m^*(p)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$), which is real-holomorphic in $p \in (-1, 1)$ and $\tilde{E}_m^*(0) = \pi^2(m + l_0 + 1)^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)$ coincides with the $m + 1$ -st lowest eigenvalue of the trigonometric model. (See ([15] Theorem 3.6).)

Let $\Lambda(x, E)$ be the function defined in (2.10). Then we have $H\Lambda(x, E) = E\Lambda(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(x+1, E) = B(E)\Lambda(x, E)$, where $B(E) = \exp\left(\int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{-Q(E)}dx}{\Xi(x, E)}\right)$.

We set $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) = \Lambda(x, E) - (-1)^{l_0}\Lambda(-x, E)$.

If $B(E) = 1$ or -1 then we have $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E) \in \mathbf{D}^*$, and if $Q(E) \neq 0$ then the function $\Lambda^{sym}(x, E)$ is non-zero.

Conversely we assume $B(E) \neq \pm 1$. Then it is shown that any non-zero solution to (2.1) is not square-integrable if $Q(E) \neq 0$.

If Conjecture 3 is true then the condition $B(E) = \pm 1$ is equivalent to the condition $\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}}dE \in \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$, where E_0 satisfies the equation $P(E_0) = 0$ and $P_1(E)$ is defined by $P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E)dx$. In particular, if $l_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 0$ (Lamé case), then $B(E) = \pm 1$ is equivalent to $\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}}dE \in \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$.

We also have similar statements to Proposition 4.5, Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.7.

4.5. $l_0 = l_1 = 0$ case.

In this subsection, we consider the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$, $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ case.

We set

$$(4.8) \quad \mathbf{H}^{**} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable} \left| \begin{array}{l} \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2 dx < +\infty, \\ f(x) = f(x+2) \text{ a.e. } x. \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad \mathbf{D}^{**} = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \left| \begin{array}{l} f(x) \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbb{R}, \\ f(x) = f(x+2). \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then we have $\mathbf{D}^{**} \subset \mathbf{H}^{**}$, $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{H}^* \subset \mathbf{H}^{**}$ and $\mathbf{D} \subset \mathbf{D}^* \subset \mathbf{D}^{**}$.

It is shown that the Hamiltonian H preserves the space \mathbf{D}^{**} . (cf. Proposition 4.1).

For the $l_0 = l_1 = 0$, $l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ case, all eigenvalues of H for $-1 < p < 1$ on the Hilbert space \mathbf{H}^{**} can be represented as $\tilde{E}_m^\diamond(p)$

($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and $\tilde{E}_m^\square(p)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$), which is real-holomorphic in $p \in (-1, 1)$ and $\tilde{E}_m^\diamond(0) = \pi^2 m^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{i=2}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and $\tilde{E}_m^\square(0) = \pi^2 m^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_{i=2}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$). (See ([15] Theorem 3.6).)

Let $\Lambda(x, E)$ be the function defined in (2.10). Then we have $H\Lambda(x, E) = E\Lambda(x, E)$ and $\Lambda(x+1, E) = B(E)\Lambda(x, E)$, where $B(E) = \exp\left(\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE\right)$, E_0 satisfies the equation $P(E_0) = 0$, and $P_1(E)$ is defined by $P_1(E) = \int_{0+\varepsilon}^{1+\varepsilon} \Xi(x, E) dx$.

It is easy to show that if $B(E) = \pm 1$ then we have $\Lambda(x, E) \in \mathbf{D}^{**}$. The condition $B(E) = \pm 1$ is equivalent to the condition $\int_{E_0}^E \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$.

For the $l_0 = l_1 = 0, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ case, we have the following proposition. Some parts are proved similarly to Proposition 4.5, and other parts are proved directly.

Proposition 4.8. (i) *If $P(E_*) \neq 0$ and $B(E_*) = \pm 1$ then the functions $\Lambda(x, E_*)$ and $\Lambda(-x, E_*)$ are linearly independent and recover the duplication of the eigenvalue E_* .*

(ii) *If $P(E_*) = 0$ then the function $\Lambda(x, E_*)$ is doubly periodic up to sign, and we have the relations $(\Lambda(x, E_*) = \Lambda(-x, E_*)$ or $-\Lambda(-x, E_*))$ and $B(E_*) = \pm 1$. For this case, the eigenfunction $\Lambda(x, E_*)$ corresponds to the non-duplicative eigenvalue E_* .*

(iii) *The non-duplicative eigenvalues are obtained by the diagonalization of the finite dimensional space V defined in section 2.2.*

(iv) *The duplicative eigenvalues E_* are analytically continued in p as keeping the property $\int_{E_0}^{E_*} \frac{P_1(E)}{\sqrt{-P(E)}} dE \in \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. If $P_1(E_*) \neq 0$ and $P(E_*) \neq 0$ at $p = p_*$ then the eigenvalue is analytic in p at $p = p_*$.*

By applying Proposition 4.8, the following statements related to the finite gap property are shown.

- If $l_2 + l_3$ is even and $-1 < p < 1$ then we have $\tilde{E}_{2m}^\diamond(p) = \tilde{E}_{2m}^\square(p)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> \frac{l_2+l_3}{2}}$ and $\tilde{E}_{2m-1}^\diamond(p) = \tilde{E}_{2m-1}^\square(p)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> \frac{|l_2-l_3|}{2}}$. The rest of the eigenvalues correspond to the ones obtained in the space of elliptic functions V defined in section 2.2.

• If $l_2 + l_3$ is odd and $-1 < p < 1$ then we have $\tilde{E}_{2m}^\diamond(p) = \tilde{E}_{2m}^\square(p)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> \frac{|l_2 - l_3| - 1}{2}}$ and $\tilde{E}_{2m-1}^\diamond(p) = \tilde{E}_{2m-1}^\square(p)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> \frac{l_2 + l_3 + 1}{2}}$. The rest of the eigenvalues correspond to the ones obtained in the space of elliptic functions V defined in section 2.2.

For the $l_0 = l_1 = l_2 = 0$ and $l_3 = 1$ case, we illustrate results more explicitly.

For this case, we have $P(E) = (E + e_1)(E + e_2)(E + e_3)$ and $P_1(E) = E - 2\eta_1$. From Proposition 4.8, the non-duplicative eigenvalues are $-e_1, -e_2$ and $-e_3$. Moreover we have $\tilde{E}_0^\diamond(p) = -e_1$ and $\{\tilde{E}_1^\square(p), \tilde{E}_1^\diamond(p)\} = \{-e_2, -e_3\}$. For the other eigenvalues, we have $\tilde{E}_m^\square(p) = \tilde{E}_m^\diamond(p)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$).

The duplicative eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_m^\square(p)$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$) is analytically continued as keeping $\int_{-e_3}^{\tilde{E}_m^\square(p)} \frac{E - 2\eta_1}{\sqrt{-(E + e_1)(E + e_2)(E + e_3)}} dE \in \pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$. If $\tilde{E}_m^\square(p_*) \neq 2\eta_1, -e_1, -e_2, -e_3$ then $\tilde{E}_m^\square(p)$ is analytic at $p = p_*$.

5. THE HEUN EQUATION AND THE INOZEMTSEV MODEL

In this section, we review the relationship between the Heun equation and the Inozemtsev model, and translate the results in this article to the ones for the Heun equation.

Let $f(x)$ be an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian H with the eigenvalue E (2.1), i.e.,

$$(5.1) \quad \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i + 1)\wp(x + \omega_i) - E \right) f(x) = 0.$$

We set $a = \frac{e_2 - e_3}{e_1 - e_3}$ and

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(w) = w^{\frac{l_0+1}{2}}(w-1)^{\frac{l_1+1}{2}}(aw-1)^{\frac{l_2+1}{2}}.$$

By the transformation

$$(5.3) \quad w = \frac{e_1 - e_3}{\wp(x) - e_3},$$

the equation (5.1) is equivalent to the equation,

$$(5.4) \quad \left(\left(\frac{d}{dw} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{w} + \frac{\delta}{w-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{w-t} \right) \frac{d}{dw} + \frac{\alpha\beta w - q}{w(w-1)(w-t)} \right) \tilde{f}(w) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{f}\left(\frac{e_1-e_3}{\wp(x)-e_3}\right)\tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{e_1-e_3}{\wp(x)-e_3}\right) = f(x)$, $t = \frac{1}{a}$, $\alpha = \frac{l_0+l_1+l_2+l_3+4}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{l_0+l_1+l_2-l_3+3}{2}$, $\gamma = l_0 + \frac{3}{2}$, $\delta = l_1 + \frac{3}{2}$, $\epsilon = l_2 + \frac{3}{2}$, $q = -\frac{1}{4a} \left(\frac{E}{e_1-e_3} + \tilde{q} \right)$, and $\tilde{q} = \frac{a+1}{3} \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i(l_i+1) - a(l_0+l_2+2)^2 - (l_0+l_1+2)^2$. For details, see ([15]) etc. Remark that the relation $\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1$ is satisfied.

The equation (5.4) with the condition $\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1$ is called the Heun equation. The Heun equation is a standard canonical form of the Fuchsian equation with four singularities.

By the correspondence between x and w mentioned above, the parameters $(l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3, E, \tau)$ corresponds to the parameters $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, q, t)$ with the condition $\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1$ essentially one to one. (See ([10, 9, 15]).)

Remark The expression of the Heun equation in terms of elliptic function as in (5.1) was essentially established by Darboux more than 100 years ago. (See ([12]).)

There are other choices of the function $\tilde{\Phi}(w)$ (5.2) and the relation between x and w (5.3). For example, Smirnov ([12]) chose the correspondence defined by $t = \frac{e_3-e_1}{e_2-e_1}$, $\tilde{\Phi}(w) = w^{-\frac{l_1}{2}}(w-1)^{-\frac{l_2}{2}}(w-t)^{-\frac{l_3}{2}}$ and $w = \frac{\wp(x)-e_1}{e_2-e_1}$. \square

By the transformation $w = \frac{e_1-e_3}{\wp(x)-e_3}$, we have the following correspondence.

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} x & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{\tau+1}{2} & \frac{\tau}{2} \\ \hline w & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{a} & \infty \end{array}$$

In sections 2 and 3, we considered the finite gap property for the Inozemtsev model for the $l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ cases. The condition $l_0, l_1, l_2, l_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is translated into the condition $\gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \alpha - \beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$.

Some statements in this article have assumptions such as $l_i = 0$. If we change the assumption $l_i = 0$ to the one $l_i = -1$, the statements in this article are also valid. The condition $l_0 = 0$ or -1 (resp. $l_1 = 0$ or




FIGURE 1.

-1 , $l_2 = 0$ or -1 , $l_3 = 0$ or -1) is translated into the condition $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$ (resp. $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$, $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$, $\alpha - \beta = \pm\frac{1}{2}$).

In section 2.2, we introduced the doubly periodic functions up to signs. The doubly periodicity up to signs in x is translated into the algebraicity in w .

By translating the functions (e.g. $\Lambda(x, E)$, $\Xi(x, E)$) and the formulae (e.g. (2.9), (2.10), (3.13)) in this article or in ([14]) into the form fit for the Heun equation (5.4), we recover the corresponding formulae of Smirnov written in ([12]).

Let \mathcal{C} be the cycle enclosing two points $0, 1$ in w . The path from ε to $\varepsilon + 1$ ($|\varepsilon|$: sufficiently small) in x corresponds to the cycle \mathcal{C} in w . (Figure 1)

We assume $\gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \alpha - \beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1$. If Conjecture 3 is true, then the coefficients of the monodromy on the cycle \mathcal{C} are written by using the hyperelliptic integral for all q (the accessory parameter).

We illustrate this concretely. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(w, q)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2(w, q)$ be the functions defined by the relations $\tilde{\Lambda}_1\left(\frac{e_1 - e_3}{\wp(x) - e_3}, -\frac{1}{4a}\left(\frac{E}{e_1 - e_3} + \tilde{q}\right)\right) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{e_1 - e_3}{\wp(x) - e_3}\right) = \Lambda(x, E)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2\left(\frac{e_1 - e_3}{\wp(x) - e_3}, -\frac{1}{4a}\left(\frac{E}{e_1 - e_3} + \tilde{q}\right)\right) \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{e_1 - e_3}{\wp(x) - e_3}\right) = \Lambda(-x, E)$. Then the functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(w, q)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2(w, q)$ satisfy the equation (5.4). Let $\tilde{P}(q)$ and $\tilde{P}_1(q)$ be the monic polynomials defined by $P(E) = (4(e_3 - e_2))^{2g+1} \tilde{P}\left(-\frac{1}{4a}\left(\frac{E}{e_1 - e_3} + \tilde{q}\right)\right)$ and $P_1(E) = (4(e_3 - e_2))^g \tilde{P}_1\left(-\frac{1}{4a}\left(\frac{E}{e_1 - e_3} + \tilde{q}\right)\right)$.

We fix q_* and assume $\tilde{P}(q_*) \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{\mathcal{C}}(w, q_*)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2^{\mathcal{C}}(w, q_*)$ be the functions obtained by the analytic continuation in w along the cycle \mathcal{C}

from the functions $\tilde{\Lambda}_1(w, q_*)$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_2(w, q_*)$. We define the monodromy matrix M^C by $(\tilde{\Lambda}_1^C(w, q_*), \tilde{\Lambda}_2^C(w, q_*)) = (\tilde{\Lambda}_1(w, q_*), \tilde{\Lambda}_2(w, q_*))M^C$. From the formula (3.16), M^C is a diagonal matrix and the eigenvalues of M^C are given by the following functions,

$$(5.6) \quad (-1)^{l_0+l_1} \exp \left(\pm 2\sqrt{(e_3 - e_2)} \int_{q_0}^{q_*} \frac{\tilde{P}_1(q)}{\sqrt{-\tilde{P}(q)}} dq \right),$$

where $q = q_0$ is a solution to the equation $\tilde{P}(q) = 0$.

For the $\tilde{P}(q_*) = 0$ case, we need to choose the other basis for the solutions to (5.4) and need other calculations.

In particular, if $\gamma = 1/2$ or $3/2$, $\delta = 1/2$ or $3/2$, $\epsilon, \alpha - \beta \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \alpha + \beta + 1$, then coefficients of the monodromy on the cycle \mathcal{C} are written by using the hyperelliptic integral (5.6). (See Theorem 3.7.)

APPENDIX A. ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

We note definitions and formulae of elliptic functions.

Let ω_1 and ω_3 be complex numbers such that the value ω_3/ω_1 is an element of the upper half plane. In this article, we set $\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\omega_3 = \frac{\tau}{2}$.

The Weierstrass \wp -function, the Weierstrass sigma-function and the Weierstrass zeta-function with periods $(2\omega_1, 2\omega_3)$ are defined as follows,

(A.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \wp(z) &= \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \left(\frac{1}{(z - 2m\omega_1 - 2n\omega_3)^2} - \frac{1}{(2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3)^2} \right), \\ \sigma(z) &= z \prod_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left(\frac{z}{2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3} + \frac{z^2}{2(2m\omega_1 + 2n\omega_3)^2} \right), \\ \zeta(z) &= \frac{\sigma'(z)}{\sigma(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\omega_2 = -\omega_1 - \omega_3$ and

$$(A.2) \quad e_i = \wp(\omega_i), \quad \eta_i = \zeta(\omega_i), \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

We have the relations

(A.3)

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 + e_2 + e_3 &= \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 = 0, \\ \wp(z) &= -\zeta'(x), \quad (\wp'(z))^2 = 4(\wp(z) - e_1)(\wp(z) - e_2)(\wp(z) - e_3), \\ \wp(z + 2\omega_j) &= \wp(z), \quad \zeta(z + 2\omega_j) = \zeta(z) + 2\eta_j, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \\ \wp(z + \omega_i) &= e_i + \frac{(e_i - e_{i'})(e_i - e_{i''})}{\wp(z) - e_i}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \end{aligned}$$

where $i', i'' \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i' < i''$, i' and i'' are different.

We define the values g_2 and g_3 by

$$(A.4) \quad g_2 = -4(e_1e_2 + e_2e_3 + e_3e_1), \quad g_3 = 4e_1e_2e_3.$$

The co-sigma functions $\sigma_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and co- \wp functions $\wp_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are defined by

$$(A.5) \quad \sigma_i(z) = \exp(-\eta_i z) \frac{\sigma(z + \omega_i)}{\sigma(\omega_i)}, \quad \wp_i(z) = \frac{\sigma_i(z)}{\sigma(z)}.$$

Then we have

$$(A.6) \quad \wp_i(z)^2 = \wp(z) - e_i, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank Professors O. Chalykh, E. Date, Y. Komori, V. Kuznetsov, K. Takasaki, T. Takebe and A. Veselov for fruitful discussions. He is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 13740021), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dubrovin, B. A.: A periodic problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in a class of finite band potentials. *Functional Anal. Appl.* **9** no. 3, 215–223 (1975)
- [2] Gesztesy, F.; Weikard, R.; Treibich-Verdier potentials and the stationary (m)KdV hierarchy. *Math. Z.* **219** no. 3, 451–476, (1995).
- [3] Hochstadt, Harry: Functiontheoretic properties of the discriminant of Hill's equation. *Math. Z.* **82** 237–242 (1963).
- [4] Inozemtsev, V. I.: Lax representation with spectral parameter on a torus for integrable particle systems, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **17** no. 1, 11-17 (1989).

- [5] Its, A. R.; Matveev, V. B.: Schrödinger operators with the finite-band spectrum and the N -soliton solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **23** no. 1, 343–355 (1975).
- [6] Kato, Tosio.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, corrected printing of the second ed., Springer-Verlag, Germany, (1980).
- [7] McKean, H. P.; van Moerbeke, P.: The spectrum of Hill's equation. *Invent. Math.* **30** no. 3, 217–274 (1975).
- [8] Novikov, S. P.: A periodic problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation. *Functional Anal. Appl.* **8** no. 3, 236–246 (1974).
- [9] Ochiai, Hiroyuki; Oshima, Toshio; Hideko Sekiguchi: Commuting families of symmetric differential operators, *Proc. Japan. Acad.* **70** 62–66 (1994).
- [10] Ronveaux, A.(ed.): *Heun's differential equations*. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1995).
- [11] Slavyanov, S.; Lay, W.: *Special Functions*. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, (2000).
- [12] Smirnov, A. O.: Elliptic solitons and Heun's equation, Preprint, math.CA/0109149 (2001).
- [13] Takemura, Kouichi: On the eigenstates of the elliptic Calogero-Moser model, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **53** no. 3, 181–194 (2000).
- [14] Takemura, Kouichi: The Heun equation and the Calogero-Moser-Sutherland system I: the Bethe Ansatz method. Preprint, math.CA/0103077 (2001).
- [15] Takemura, Kouichi: The Heun equation and the Calogero-Moser-Sutherland system II: the perturbation and the algebraic solution. Preprint math.CA/0112179 (2001).
- [16] Tanaka, Shunichi; Date, Etsurō; Tanaka: KdV equation. (Japanese) Kinokuniya Shoten, (1979).
- [17] Treibich, Armando; Verdier, Jean-Louis: Revêtements exceptionnels et sommes de 4 nombres triangulaires. (French) *Duke Math. J.* **68** no. 2, 217–236 (1992).
- [18] Weikard, Rudi: On Hill's equation with a singular complex-valued potential. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **76** no. 3, 603–633 (1998).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, YOKOHAMA CITY UNIVERSITY,
22-2 SETO, KANAZAWA-KU, YOKOHAMA 236-0027, JAPAN.

E-mail address: takemura@yokohama-cu.ac.jp