

**Deforming maps between** $sl(n), sp(2n)$ **and** $U_q(sl(n)), U_q(sp(2n))$ 

A. Sciarrino

Università di Napoli “Federico II”

Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche

and

I.N.F.N. - Sezione di Napoli

I-80126 Napoli - Italy

**Abstract**

Using crystal basis, in the space of symmetric irreducible representations, we explicitly write invertible deforming functionals between the  $q$ -deformed universal enveloping algebra and the Lie algebras  $sl(n)$  and  $sp(2n)$ . For  $sl(2)$  we obtain the Curtright-Zachos map.

**Postal address:** Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo  
Via Cintia - I-80126 Napoli (Italy)  
**E-mail:** SCIARRINO@NA.INFN.IT



# 1 Introduction

Quantum algebras  $G_q$  or  $U_q(G)$ , i.e. the  $q$ -deformed universal enveloping algebra of a semi-simple Lie algebra  $G$  [1], [2] admit irreducible highest weight representations (IR) which are for  $q$  generic, i.e. not a root of unity, in one-to-one correspondence with the IR of the Lie algebra  $G$  [3], [4]. This property strongly suggests the existence of a correspondence between the generators of  $G$  and  $U_q(G)$ . Indeed Curtright and Zachos [5] have found an invertible deforming functional  $\mathcal{Q}$  which allows the connection  $sl(2) \iff sl_q(2)$ . Denoting by small (resp. capital) letter  $j_{\pm,0}$  ( $J_{\pm,0}$ ) the generator of  $sl(2)$  ( $sl_q(2)$ ) it is possible to write ( $q$  real number)

$$J_+ = \mathcal{Q}(j_+, j_0) j_+ \quad J_- = j_- \mathcal{Q}(j_{\pm}, j_0) \quad J_0 = \mathcal{Q}_0(j_0) j_0 = j_0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{\{[J_0 + \mathbf{J}]_q [J_0 - \mathbf{J} - 1]_q\}}{\{(j_0 + \mathbf{j})(j_0 - \mathbf{j} - 1)\}}} \quad (2)$$

and the operator  $\mathbf{j}$  ( $\mathbf{J}$ ) is defined by the Casimir operator of  $sl(2)$  ( $sl_q(2)$ )

$$C = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{j} + 1) \quad (C_q = [\mathbf{J}]_q [\mathbf{J} + 1]_q) \quad (3)$$

In [5] it has been argued that the construction of the invertible functional can be generalized for any  $sl(n)$ , but, at our knowledge, no proof has yet been given. It is the aim of this paper to show that indeed such a functional can explicitly constructed for  $sl(n+1)$  and  $sp(2n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) in the space of the symmetric IRs, i.e. the IRs labelled by the Dynkin labels  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_i = 0$  ( $i > 1$ ). In order to prove our statement we use the crystal basis, whose existence has been proven for the classical Lie algebra by Kashiwara [6]. In Sec. 2, in order to make the paper self-contained and to fix the notation, we recall the basic definitions of deformed Lie algebra  $G_q$  in the Cartan-Chevalley basis and of crystal basis. In Sec. 3 and Sec. 4 we give our main results. A few remarks are given in Sec. 5.

## 2 Reminder of deformed algebras and crystal basis

Let us recall the definition of  $G_q$  associated with a simple Lie algebra  $G$  of rank  $r$  defined by the Cartan matrix  $(a_{ij})$  in the Chevalley basis.  $G_q$  is generated by  $3r$  elements  $e_i^+$ ,  $f_i = e_i^-$  and  $h_i$  which satisfy ( $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_i^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{ij} [h_i]_{q_i} & [h_i, h_j] &= 0 \\
[h_i, e_j^+] &= a_{ji} e_j^+ & [h_i, e_j^-] &= -a_{ji} e_j^-
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{5}$$

and  $q_i = q^{d_i}$ ,  $d_i$  being non-zero integers with greatest common divisor equal to one such that  $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ . For simply laced Lie algebra  $d_i = 1$ , for  $sp_q(2n)$   $d_n = 2$ . Further the generators have to satisfy the Serre relations:

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} 1 - a_{ij} \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} (e_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}-n} e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^n = 0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q &= \frac{[m]_q!}{[m-n]_q! [n]_q!} \\
[n]_q! &= [1]_q [2]_q \dots [n]_q
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In the following the deformation parameter  $q$  will be assumed different from the roots of the unity. The algebra  $G_q$  is also endowed with a Hopf algebra structure, but we shall not discuss here this aspect, although very relevant.

Let us also recall the definition of  $gl(n)_q$ , ( $k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_k^+, e_j^-] &= \delta_{kj} [N_k - N_{k+1}]_q & [N_i, N_k] &= 0 \\
[N_i, e_j^\pm] &= \pm(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i-1,j}) e_j^\pm
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The Serre relations are computed using  $a_{i,j} = -(\delta_{i-1,j} + \delta_{i,j-1})$ . So  $gl(n)_q$  can be considered as  $sl(n)_q \oplus N_n$  with  $h_i = N_i - N_{i+1}$ .

It has been shown in [6] that for any  $q$ -deformed universal enveloping algebra of a classical Lie algebra  $G$  of rang  $r$ , in the limit  $q \rightarrow 0$ , a canonical basis exists, called **crystal basis**, such that, in any highest weight  $\vec{\Lambda}$  IR of  $G$ : ( $i, j = 1, 2 \dots r$ )

$$\widehat{h}_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) = \lambda_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) \tag{9}$$

$$\widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}_i) = \widehat{e}_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = 0 \tag{10}$$

$$\widehat{e}_i^\pm \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_i\}) = \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} \pm \vec{a}_i) \tag{11}$$

$$\vec{\lambda} \pm \vec{a}_i = \{\lambda_j \pm a_{ij}\} \tag{12}$$

where we have denoted by  $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$ ,  $\widehat{h}_i = h_i$  the generators of  $G_{q \rightarrow 0}$ , by  $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda})$  a generic weight  $\vec{\lambda}$  state, in the space of the IR with highest weight  $\vec{\Lambda}$ , and by  $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \pm \vec{\Lambda}_i)$  a state annihilated by  $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$ . In [6] the relation between  $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$  and  $e_i^\pm$  is given. The previous equations imply

$$\widehat{e}_i^+ \widehat{e}_j^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \widehat{e}_j^- \widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda} + \vec{a}_i - \vec{a}_j) \quad (13)$$

which for  $i = j$  can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{e}_i^+ \widehat{e}_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) &= \mathbf{1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) & (\vec{\lambda} \neq -\vec{\Lambda}_i) \\ \widehat{e}_i^- \widehat{e}_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) &= \mathbf{1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) & (\vec{\lambda} \neq \vec{\Lambda}_i) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that from eq.(13) the Serre relations for  $G$  are trivially satisfied by  $\{\widehat{e}_i^\pm\}$ .

### 3 Relation between $sl_q(n)$ and $sl(n)$

In this section we derive explicitly the invertible functionals which connect  $sl_q(n)$  and  $sl(n)$ . Let us recall, e.g. see [7], that a state of an IR of  $sl(n)$  is identified by a Young tableaux, which is a pattern of  $n$  rows, the  $i$ -th row containing  $l_i$  boxes, where  $l_i$  are not negative integer satisfying, for the highest weight state,  $l_k \geq l_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). Strictly speaking one should consider class of Young tableaux as two tableaux differing for a block of  $(p \times n)$  boxes i.e. by the first  $p$  columns ( $1 \leq p \leq l_n$ ), identify the same IR. The relation between the  $n-1$  Dynkin label  $a_k$  and the  $n$  labels  $l_i$  is

$$a_k = l_k - l_{k+1} \quad (15)$$

The integer  $l_i$  is the eigenvalue of the operator  $N_i$  on the state identified by the corresponding Young tableaux and  $\lambda_i = l_i - l_{i+1}$ . From eq.(8) it follows

$$[N_i, \widehat{e}_k^\pm] = \pm(\delta_{i,k} - \delta_{i-1,k}) \widehat{e}_k^\pm \quad (16)$$

As first step we prove the following proposition

**Prop. 1** - The generators ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ )

$$E_i^+ = \widehat{e}_i^+ \sqrt{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}} \quad E_i^- = \sqrt{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}} \widehat{e}_i^- \quad H_i = N_i - N_{i+1} \quad (17)$$

where  $\{\widehat{e}_i^\pm, N_i, N_n\}$  are the generators in the crystal basis of  $gl(n)_{q \rightarrow 0}$ , satisfy the defining relations, in the Cartan-Chevalley basis, of  $sl(n)$  in the space of the symmetric IRs labelled by the Young tableaux  $l_1 = \Lambda$ ,  $l_i = 0$ .

Proof: On the highest weight state we have

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i^+, E_i^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) &= E_i^+ E_i^- \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) = \\ N_i (N_{i+1} + 1) \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) &= (l_i l_{i+1} + l_i) \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\Lambda}) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The eigenvalue of the r.h.s. of eq.(18) has to be identified with the eigenvalue of  $H_i$ , i.e.  $l_i - l_{i+1}$ , which implies  $l_1 = \Lambda$ ,  $l_i = 0$  ( $i \geq 1$ ). Then we consider the states  $\{\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i)\}$  which are eigenstates with vanishing eigenvalue of  $N_i$

$$N_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = 0 \quad (19)$$

We have, from eq.(17):

$$\begin{aligned} [E_i^+, E_i^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= \\ -E_i^- E_i^+ \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= -(N_i + 1) N_{i+1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) = \\ -N_{i+1} \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) &= H_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; -\vec{\Lambda}_i) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

On the state  $\psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda})$  ( $\vec{\lambda} \neq -\vec{\Lambda}_i$ ), from eqs.(11)-(14) we have

$$[H_i, E_j^\pm] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \pm a_{ji} E_j^\pm \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) \quad (21)$$

$$[E_i^+, E_j^-] \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) = \delta_{ij} H_i \psi(\vec{\Lambda}; \vec{\lambda}) \quad (22)$$

So we can write

$$[H_i, E_j^\pm] \psi = \pm a_{ji} E_j^\pm \psi \quad (23)$$

$$[E_i^+, E_j^-] \psi = \delta_{ij} H_i \psi \quad (24)$$

where the lower label  $\psi$  in the commutator reminds that the relations hold when applied on a state of a symmetric IR and not as general algebraic expressions. Finally we have to prove that generators  $E_i^\pm$  satisfy the Serre relation for  $sl(n)$ :

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq 1-a_{ij}} (-1)^n \binom{1-a_{ij}}{n} (E_i^\pm)^{1-a_{ij}-n} E_j^\pm (E_i^\pm)^n = 0 \quad (25)$$

This is an immediate consequence of eq.(17) and of the following identity ( $a \geq 1$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{C}$ ), evaluated (for  $E_i^+$ ) for  $N = N_{i+1} + 1$ ,  $q = 1$ , and  $a = z = 1$

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq (1+a)} (-1)^n \left[ \begin{matrix} 1+a \\ n \end{matrix} \right]_q [N - nz]_q = 0 \quad (26)$$

Then we easily get

**Prop. 2** - The generators ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ )

$$e_i^+ = \widehat{e}_i^+ \sqrt{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q} \quad e_i^- = \sqrt{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q} \widehat{e}_i^- \quad h_i = H_i \quad (27)$$

define  $sl_q(n)$  in the Cartan-Chevalley basis in the space of the symmetric IRs.

Proof: The second defining relation in eq.(4) is immediately proven while the first one follows by the identity

$$[N_i]_q [N_{i+1} + 1]_q - [N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q = [N_i - N_{i+1}]_q \quad (28)$$

The deformed Serre relations eq.(6) are proven using eq.(26).

From **Prop.1** and **Prop.2**, in the symmetric basis, it follows the relation between  $sl(n)$  and  $sl_q(n)$

$$e_i^+ = E_i^+ \sqrt{\frac{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q}{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}}} \quad e_i^- = \sqrt{\frac{[N_i + 1]_q [N_{i+1}]_q}{(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}}} E_i^- \quad (29)$$

Let us discuss in more detail the case  $sl(2)$ . In this case all the IRs are of the type we have called symmetric and the eq.(29) reads

$$j^+ = J^+ \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \quad j^- = \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} J^- \quad (30)$$

From the following relation between the operators  $\mathbf{j}$  and  $j_0$  and our operators

$$\mathbf{j} = \frac{N_1 + N_2}{2} \quad j_0 = \frac{N_1 - N_2}{2} \quad (31)$$

we see that eq.(30) is equivalent to the Curtright-Zachos formula eq.(2). Moreover, in this case, the deforming map can be turned into a general algebraic relation. As a first consequence of eq.(29), we can give a new construction of  $so_q(3)$  in terms of standard bosons. Let us recall that Van der Jeugt [8] has shown that the following generators satisfy the commutation of  $so_q(3)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= q^{N-1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_1} + q^{-N_1}} b_1^+ b_0 \\ &+ b_0^+ b_{-1} q^{N_1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N-1} + q^{-N-1}} \\ L_- &= b_0^+ b_1 q^{N-1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N_1} + q^{-N_1}} \\ &+ q^{N_1} q^{-N_0/2} \sqrt{q^{N-1} + q^{-N-1}} b_{-1}^+ b_0 \\ L_0 &= N_1 - N_{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $b_{\pm,0}$ ,  $b_{\pm,0}^{\pm}$  are the Biedenharn-MacFarlane [9], [10]  $q$ -bosons. From our result it follows that the following generators satisfy, on the states of any IR, the commutation relations of  $so_q(3)$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_+ &= \sqrt{2} (\tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_0 + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_{-1}) \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1]_q [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \\
L_- &= \sqrt{\frac{[N_1 + 1] [N_2]_q}{(N_1 + 1) N_2}} \sqrt{2} (\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_0^+ + \tilde{b}_0 \tilde{b}_{-1}^+) \\
N_1 &= 2 \tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_1 + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_0 \\
N_2 &= 2 \tilde{b}_{-1}^+ \tilde{b}_{-1} + \tilde{b}_0^+ \tilde{b}_0 \\
L_0 &= (N_1 - N_2)/2 = \tilde{b}_1^+ \tilde{b}_1 - \tilde{b}_{-1}^+ \tilde{b}_{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where  $\tilde{b}_{\pm,0}^{\pm}$ ,  $\tilde{b}_{\pm,0}$  are standard bosonic operators.

#### 4 Relation between $sp_q(2n)$ and $sp(2n)$

In this section we derive explicitly the invertible functionals which connect  $sp_q(2n)$  and  $sp(2n)$ . Let us recall, e.g. see [7], that an IR of  $sp(2n)$  can be identified by a  $n$ -rows Young tableaux, the  $i$ -th row containing  $l_i$  boxes,  $l_k \geq l_{k+1}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). The relation between the  $n$  Dynkin label  $a_k$  and the  $n$  labels  $l_i$  is

$$a_k = l_k - l_{k+1} \quad a_n = l_n \tag{34}$$

The integer  $l_i$  is the eigenvalue of the operator  $N_i$  on the state identified by the corresponding Young tableaux. Now we define

$$[N_n, \hat{e}_j^{\pm}] = \pm(2 \delta_{n,j} - \delta_{n-1,j}) \hat{e}_j^{\pm} \tag{35}$$

while the action of for  $N_j, j \neq n$ , is given by eq.(16). In complete analogy with the previous case, using eq.(19), we get

**Prop. 3** - The generators ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
E_k^+ &= \hat{e}_k^+ \sqrt{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}} & E_k^- &= \sqrt{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}} \hat{e}_k^- \\
E_n^+ &= \frac{\hat{e}_n^+}{2} \sqrt{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)} & E_n^- &= \sqrt{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)} \frac{\hat{e}_n^-}{2} \\
H_k &= N_k - N_{k+1} & H_n &= N_n + \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

define, in the Cartan-Chevalley basis,  $sp(2n)$  in the spaces of symmetric IRs labelled by the Young tableaux with  $l_1 = \Lambda$ ,  $l_i = 0$  ( $i \geq 1$ ).

To prove the Serre relations between  $E_{n-1}^+$  and  $E_n^+$  one has to use eq.(26) evaluated for  $N = N_n$ ,  $q = 1$ ,  $z = -2$  and  $a = 2$ , for  $a_{n,n-1}$ , and  $a = 3$ , for  $a_{n-1,n}$ .

**Prop. 4** - The generators ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} e_k^+ &= \widehat{e}_k^+ \sqrt{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q]} & E_k^- &= \sqrt{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q]} \widehat{e}_k^- \\ e_n^+ &= \frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \widehat{e}_n^+ \sqrt{[N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q} & E_n^- &= \frac{1}{q+q^{-1}} \sqrt{[(N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q]} \widehat{e}_n^- \\ h_k &= H_k & h_n &= H_n \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

define  $sp_q(2n)$  in the Cartan-Chevalley basis in the space of the symmetric IRs.

The first defining relation in eq.(4) is proven using the identity

$$[N - 1]_q [-N]_q - [N + 1]_q [-N - 2]_q = [2N + 1]_q \times (q + q^{-1}) \quad (38)$$

From **Prop.3** and **Prop.4** it follows the relation between  $sp(2n)$  and  $sp_q(2n)$

$$\begin{aligned} e_k^+ &= E_k^+ \sqrt{\frac{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q}{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}}} & e_k^- &= \sqrt{\frac{[(N_k + 1]_q [N_{k+1}]_q}{(N_k + 1) N_{k+1}}} E_k^- \\ e_n^+ &= \frac{2}{q+q^{-1}} E_n^+ \sqrt{\frac{[(N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q}{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)}} & e_n^- &= \frac{2}{q+q^{-1}} \sqrt{\frac{[(N_n + 1]_q [-N_n - 2]_q}{(N_n + 1) (-N_n - 2)}} E_n^- \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

## 5 Conclusions

We have found explicit invertible maps between  $U_q(sl(n))$ ,  $U_q(sp(2n))$  and  $sl(n)$ ,  $sp(2n)$  which hold on the states of the IRs labelled by the Dynkin label  $a_1$ , that is the symmetric representations. Let us remark that the Cartan sub-algebra is left undeformed and it can also be identified with the set of diagonal generators in the crystal basis. As a byproduct result we have obtained:

- in the spaces of the symmetric IRs, explicit expressions of the generators of  $U_{q \rightarrow 0}(sl(n))$  and  $U_{q \rightarrow 0}(sp(2n))$  in terms of the generators of  $sl(n)$  and  $sp(2n)$ . Note however that the correspondence  $E_i^\pm \iff \widehat{e}_i^\pm$  has to be handled with some precautions as the factor  $(N_i + 1) N_{i+1}$  appearing in **Prop.1** and in **Prop.3** is vanishing on some states. This is an interesting result as the relations given in [6] between  $\widehat{e}_i^\pm$  and  $e_i^\pm$  are rather cumbersome for Lie algebra  $G$  of rank larger than one.
- from eq.(17)-(27) (resp. eqs.(36)-(37)) an expression of the action of the generators of  $sl(2)$  (resp.  $sl_q(2)$ ) embedded in  $sl(n)$  and  $sp(2n)$  (resp.  $sl_q(n)$  and  $sl_q(2n)$ ) on the states of the symmetric IRs.

## References

- [1] M. Jimbo, “A  $q$ -difference analogue of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  and the Yang-Baxter equation”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10**, 63 (1985)
- [2] V.G. Drinfeld, “Quantum Groups”, in *Proc.Int.Congr. of Math.*, MSRI Berkely, California (1986)
- [3] G. Lusztig, “Modular representations of Quantum Groups”, Preprint (1988)
- [4] M. Rosso, “Finite dimensional representations of the quantum analog the algebra of a complex simple Lie algebra”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117**, 581 (1988)
- [5] T.L. Curtright and C.K. Zachos, “Deforming maps for quantum algebras”, *Phys. Lett. B* **243**, 237 (1990)
- [6] M. Kashiwara, “Crystalizing the  $q$ -Analogue of Universal Enveloping Algebras”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **133**, 249 (1990)
- [7] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, “Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Super-algebra”, Academic Press, London (2000)
- [8] J. Van der Jeugt, “On the principal subalgebra of quantum enveloping algebras  $gl_q(l+1)$ ”, *J. Phys. A* **25**, L213 (1992)
- [9] L.C. Biedenharn, “The quantum group  $SU_q(2)$  and a  $q$ -analogue of the boson operators”, *J. Phys. A* **22**, L873 (1989)
- [10] A.J. Macfarlane, “On  $q$ -analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group  $SU_q(2)$ ”, *J. Phys. A* **22**, 4581 (1989)