

SYMPLECTIC ACTION AROUND LOOPS IN  $\text{Ham}(M)$ 

ANDRÉS VIÑA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\text{Ham}(M)$  be the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of a quantizable, compact, symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . We prove the existence of an action integral around loops in  $\text{Ham}(M)$ , and determine the value of this action integral on particular loops when the manifold is a coadjoint orbit.

MSC 2000: 53D05, 53D50

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Given a compact, symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ , the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms [16] [17] of  $(M, \omega)$  is denoted by  $\text{Ham}(M)$ . If  $\psi = \{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a loop in  $\text{Ham}(M)$  at  $\text{id}$  and  $x$  is a point of  $M$ , then the closed curve in  $M$   $\{\psi_t(x)\}_t$  is nullhomologous [16] [15]. If  $S$  is a 2-chain in  $M$  whose boundary is this curve, one can consider

$$\kappa_x(\psi) := \exp \left( 2\pi i \int_S \omega - 2\pi i \int_0^1 f_t(\psi_t(x)) dt \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f_t$  is the normalized time-dependent Hamiltonian associated to  $\psi$ . Throughout this article we will assume that  $(M, \omega)$  is *quantizable* [24], that is,  $\omega$  defines an integral cohomology class in  $M$ , then the right hand side of (1.1) is independent of the 2-chain  $S$ .  $\kappa_x(\psi)$  is in fact the  $U(1)$ -valued *action integral* around the curve  $\{\psi_t(x)\}_t$  [16] [22]. In [21] we proved that  $\kappa_x(\psi)$  is independent of the point  $x$ ; the proof is based in an analysis of some properties of the prequantization representation [20]. Here we give a new proof of this property in the context of the gauge transformations of a prequantum bundle [24]. The idea of the proof is the following: Since  $M$  is quantizable, there is a prequantum bundle over  $M$ , that is, a Hermitian line bundle  $\pi : L \rightarrow M$  with a connection  $D$ , such that the curvature of  $D$  is  $-2\pi i \omega$ . The time-dependent Hamiltonian  $f_t$  determines the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields  $X_t$ , and by  $X_t^\sharp$  is denoted the horizontal lift of  $X_t$ . From  $f_t$  one can define a vertical vector field  $W_{f_t}$  whose value at  $p$  is determined by the curve  $\{p \cdot \exp(2\pi i t f(\pi(p)))\}_t$ . Then  $\{Z_t := X_t^\sharp - W_{f_t}\}$  generates a family  $F_t$  of diffeomorphisms of  $L$  which preserve the connection. That is, loops in  $\text{Ham}(M)$  lift to preserving-connection isotopies of a prequantum bundle. We prove that  $F_1$  is the gauge transformation defined by the map  $x \in M \mapsto \kappa_x(\psi) \in U(1)$ . It follows from this result that the map  $\kappa_-(\psi)$  is constant. From the independence of  $\kappa_x(\psi)$  of  $x$  one deduces that  $\kappa(\psi)$  depends only on the homotopy class of  $\psi$ . So we obtain

---

*Key words and phrases.* Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms, Geometric quantization, Coadjoint orbits.

The author was partially supported by Universidad de Oviedo, grant MB-02-514.

a representation  $\kappa$  of the group  $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M))$ , which can be called the action integral representation. The existence of this representation has been proved in other contexts by Seidel [19] and Schwarz [18].

The isotopy  $F_t$  of  $L$ , lifting of the loop  $\psi$  in  $\text{Ham}(M)$ , allows us to assign to each section  $\tau$  of  $L$  a family  $\tau_t$  of sections defined by  $\tau_t = F_t \circ \tau \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ . One can consider the correspondence  $\tau \rightarrow \tau_t$  as a “transport” of sections of  $L$  along  $\psi$ . On the other hand, in the prequantization of the manifold  $M$  one constructs for each Hamiltonian vector field  $X$  an operator  $\mathcal{P}_X$  (the corresponding prequantization operator [20]), which acts on the space  $\Gamma(L)$  of sections of  $L$ . If  $X_t$  is the family of vector fields that determines the isotopy  $\psi$ , we prove that

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{X_t}(\tau_t), \quad \tau_0 = \tau$$

is the differential equation of the transport along  $\psi$ . In this context  $\kappa(\psi)$  is the holonomy of this transport.

If  $M$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space [6] one can consider loops  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Ham}(M)$  defined by the  $G$ -action. If a family  $g_t$  of elements in  $G$  determines a loop  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Ham}(M)$ , the independence of  $\kappa_x(\varphi)$  from  $x$  allows us to express  $\kappa(\varphi)$  in terms of the vertices of moment map. This fact, when the group  $G$  is a torus  $T$ , implies the existence of a moment map for the  $T$ -action whose vertices are integer lattice points of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . In a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space to each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  corresponds a vector field  $X_A$  in  $M$ . The map  $\mathcal{P}$ , which assigns to each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  the operator  $\mathcal{P}_{X_A}$ , is in fact a Lie algebra representation. The  $G$ -action is called *pre-quantizable* [7], if there is an action  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $L$  that induces  $\mathcal{P}$ . Guillemin and Sternberg proved the above property about the vertices of a moment map under the additional hypothesis that the  $G$ -action is pre-quantizable [7, § 8, Corollary 1].

In the particular case, when the  $G$ -action is pre-quantizable, we will express  $\kappa(\varphi)$  in terms of the character of the restriction of  $\rho$  to invariant finite-dimensional subspaces of  $\Gamma(L)$ . More precisely, the action  $\rho$  induces a representation  $v$  of  $G$  on the space  $\Gamma(L)$ . On the other hand, if  $G$  is a compact group there are  $G$ -invariant almost complex structures on  $M$ . Let  $I$  be such an almost complex structure and  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  the subspace of  $\Gamma(L)$  consisting of the  $I$ -polarized sections. Using that  $\kappa(\varphi)$  is the holonomy of the transport along  $\varphi$ ,  $\kappa(\varphi)$  can be expressed in terms of the character of  $v$  restricted to  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  (Theorem 16).

The coadjoint orbits of a compact Lie group  $G$  are particular cases of Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. If  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  is integral, i.e. there is a character  $\Lambda$  of the stabilizer subgroup  $G_\eta$  of  $\eta$  whose derivative is  $2\pi i\eta$ , then the action of  $G$  on the orbit of  $\eta$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ , is pre-quantizable. Now a prequantum bundle  $L$  is the bundle on  $G/G_\eta$  determined by  $\Lambda$ . So  $\Gamma(L)$  can be identified with the space of  $\Lambda$ -equivariant functions on  $G$ . In  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  one can consider loops  $\psi$  of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms generated by vector fields associated to elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; it turns out that the values of  $\kappa$  on these particular loops are related with the character  $\Lambda$ . More precisely, if  $\psi$  is the loop generated by a family  $A_t$  of elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , from the action of  $G$  on the  $\Lambda$ -equivariant functions it is easy to prove that  $\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(h_1)$ , where  $h_t$  is the solution to Lax equation  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$  (Theorem 20). On the other hand, according to the Kostant version of Borel-Weil theorem [13], to each integral orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  corresponds an irreducible representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , whose highest weight is determined by  $\eta$ . If  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group, the choice of a maximal torus contained in  $G_\eta$  permits us to define a  $G$ -invariant complex structure  $I$  on  $G/G_\eta = \mathcal{O}_\eta$ . This complex

structure, in turn, determines a holomorphic structure on  $L$ , and  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  is just the space  $H^0(L)$  of holomorphic sections of  $L$ . When  $G_\eta$  is itself a maximal torus, the Borel-Weil theorem allows us to characterize the restriction of  $v$  to  $H^0(L)$  in terms of its highest weight. It turns out that this restriction of  $v$  is the representation  $\pi^*$ , dual of  $\pi$ . It follows from Theorem 16 that the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  for the closed isotopy considered above is equal to  $\chi(\pi^*)(h_1)/\dim \pi$ , where  $\chi(\pi^*)$  is the character of  $\pi^*$  (Theorem 21). This result permits us to calculate  $\kappa(\psi)$  using the Weyl's character formula.

The paper is organized as follows. The second Section is concerned with the new proof of the independence of  $\kappa_x(\psi)$  from the point  $x$ . The existence of a lifting for  $\kappa$  to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued map is proved as well. In Section 3 we study the map  $\kappa$  when the manifold is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. In the case that  $G$  is a torus, the existence of a moment map such that its vertices are integer lattice points is proved. In Section 4 we study the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  in an integral coadjoint orbit of a compact Lie group  $G$ . Finally we apply some results of this Section to two particular cases. In the first one we determine the value of  $\kappa(\psi)$  for a closed isotopy  $\psi$  in a general flag manifold, when the isotopy is generated by the action of the corresponding unitary group. In [21] we determined the value  $\kappa(\psi)$  for a closed Hamiltonian flow  $\psi$  in  $S^2$ , by direct calculation. Here we recover this number twice; by applying Theorem 20, and using Weyl's character formula.

*Acknowledgements.* I thank the referee for his comments and for having pointed me out the references [19] [18].

## 2. ACTION INTEGRAL AROUND ISOTOPIES

We denote by  $(M, \omega)$  a compact, connected, symplectic,  $2n$ -dimensional manifold. We will suppose that  $(M, \omega)$  is *quantizable*, so there exists a Hermitian line bundle  $\pi : L \rightarrow M$  (a prequantum bundle) with a connection, such that its curvature is  $-2\pi i \omega$ , that is, the first Chern class  $c_1(L) = [\omega]$ .

$L^\times = L - \{\text{zero section}\}$  is the corresponding  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -principal bundle. If  $c \in \mathbb{C} = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{C}^\times)$  we denote by  $W_c$  the vertical vector field on  $L^\times$  whose value at  $p$  is defined by the curve  $\{p \cdot e^{2\pi i t c}\}_t$ . If  $f$  is a function on  $M$  by  $W_f$  is denoted the vector field on  $L^\times$  given by  $W_f(p) = W_{f(\pi(p))}(p)$ . As it is well-known each section  $\lambda$  of  $L$  determines an equivariant map  $\lambda^\sharp : L^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the formula  $\lambda(\pi(p)) = p \cdot \lambda^\sharp(p)$ , and one has the known relations (see [20] [12])

$$(D_X \lambda)^\sharp = X^\sharp(\lambda^\sharp) = D_{X^\sharp} \lambda^\sharp, \quad D_X \lambda = (\lambda^* \alpha)(X) \lambda, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the connection in the principal bundle  $L^\times$ . It is also easy to prove that for  $Y \in T_x M$

$$\lambda_*(Y) = Y^\sharp(\lambda(x)) + W_c(\lambda(x)), \quad (2.2)$$

with  $c = (2\pi i)^{-1}(\lambda^* \alpha)(Y)$ .

On the other hand, if  $X$  is the Hamiltonian vector field on  $M$  determined by de function  $f$  (i.e.  $\iota_X \omega = -df$ ), then the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_Z \alpha = 0$ , for  $Z = X^\sharp - W_f$  (see [20, page 56]). Hence  $X^\sharp - W_f$  defines a 1-parameter subgroup of diffeomorphisms of  $L^\times$  which preserve the connection  $\alpha$ .

Let  $\{\psi_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  be the Hamiltonian isotopy associated to the time-dependent Hamiltonian  $f_t$ . This isotopy is generated by a unique family of vector fields  $X_t$  by

the relations

$$\frac{d\psi_t(x)}{dt} = X_t(\psi_t(x)), \quad \psi_0 = \text{id},$$

with  $\iota_{X_t}\omega = -df_t$ . The Hamiltonian  $f_t$  is determined by  $\{\psi_t\}$  up to an additive constant. In the general case this constant will be fixed imposing that  $\int_M f_t \omega^n = 0$ . The family  $\{X_t^\sharp - W_{f_t}\}_t$  of vector fields on  $L^\times$  determines a set  $\{F_t\}_t$  of preserving connection diffeomorphisms of  $L^\times$ , such that  $\pi \circ F_t = \psi_t \circ \pi$ . We call the isotopy  $F_t$  the lift of  $\psi_t$ .

Given  $\tau$  a local section of  $L^\times$ , one defines  $\tau_t := F_t \circ \tau \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ . It is easy to prove the following relation between the corresponding equivariant maps

$$\tau_t^\sharp = \tau^\sharp \circ F_t^{-1}. \quad (2.3)$$

For each  $t$  we have the map  $\tau \in \Gamma(L^\times) \mapsto \tau_t \in \Gamma(L^\times)$ . This family of maps can be regarded as a transport  $\mathcal{T}_\psi$  along the isotopy  $\{\psi_t\}$ .

First of all we will determine the differential equation that defines the transport  $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ . Given the point  $x \in M$  and the section  $\tau$  of  $L^\times$ , the tangent vector to the curve  $\{F_t(\tau(x))\}_t$  in  $L^\times$  at the point  $q_t = F_t(\tau(x))$  is, by definition of  $F_t$ ,

$$Z_t(q_t) := X_t^\sharp(q_t) - W_{f_t}(q_t). \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand  $F_t(\tau(x)) = \tau_t(x_t)$ , with  $x_t := \psi_t(x)$ ; hence

$$Z_t(q_t) = \tau_{t*}(X_t(x_t)) + W_a(q_t), \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$2\pi i a \cdot q_t = \left. \frac{d\tau_\epsilon(x_t)}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=t}. \quad (2.6)$$

The formula (2.2) applied to  $\tau_t$  gives

$$\tau_{t*}(X_t(x)) = X_t^\sharp(\tau_t(x)) + W_c(\tau_t(x)), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $c = (2\pi i)^{-1}(\tau_t^* \alpha)(X_t(x_t))$ . It follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7)

$$-W_{f_t}(q_t) = W_c(q_t) + W_a(q_t). \quad (2.8)$$

Using the identification of  $T_{q_t}(L_{x_t})$  y  $L_{x_t}$ , where  $L_{x_t}$  is the fiber of  $L$  over  $x_t$ , one obtains from (2.8)

$$-2\pi i f_t(x_t) \cdot q_t = (\tau_t^* \alpha)(X_t(x_t)) \cdot q_t + 2\pi i a \cdot q_t.$$

By (2.1) the first term on the right hand side is  $(D_{X_t} \tau_t)(x_t)$ . Hence by (2.6)

$$\left( \frac{d\tau_\epsilon}{d\epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=t}(x_t) = -(D_{X_t} \tau_t)(x_t) - 2\pi i f_t(x_t) \cdot \tau_t(x_t).$$

As the point  $x$  is arbitrary we have proved the following Proposition that gives the differential equation for the transport  $\mathcal{T}_\psi$  along  $\psi$

**Proposition 1.** *The family of sections  $\tau_t$  defined by  $\tau_t = F_t \circ \tau \circ \psi_t^{-1}$  is the solution to the equation*

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = -D_{X_t} \tau_t - 2\pi i f_t \tau_t, \quad \tau_0 = \tau. \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $X$  be the Hamiltonian vector field on  $M$  associated to the function  $f$ . This vector field determines the operator  $\mathcal{P}_X := -D_X - 2\pi i f$ , which acts on the space  $\Gamma(L)$ . So

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{X_t}(\tau_t), \quad \tau_0 = \tau,$$

is the differential equation for the transport  $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ .

To prove the next Proposition we use the following Lemma; its proof is straightforward

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $H$  be a diffeomorphism of  $L$  over the diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $M$ . If  $H$  preserves the connection, then*

$$H_*(Y^\sharp(p)) = (\varphi_*(Y))^\sharp(H(p)),$$

for  $Y \in T_x M$  and  $p \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ . And

$$H_*(W_f(p)) = W_{f \circ \varphi^{-1}}(H(p)),$$

for any function  $f$  defined on  $M$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\tau_t$  be the solution to (2.9), and  $Y$  a vector field on  $M$ . Then  $D_{Y_t}\tau_t = D_Y\tau$ , for  $Y_t = \psi_{t*}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $F_t$  preserves the connection, Lemma 2 is applicable

$$Y_t^\sharp(\tau_t^\sharp) = (\psi_{t*}(Y))^\sharp(\tau_t^\sharp) = F_{t*}(Y^\sharp)(\tau_t^\sharp).$$

Taking into account (2.3)

$$Y_t^\sharp(\tau_t^\sharp) = F_{t*}(Y^\sharp)(\tau_t^\sharp \circ F_t^{-1}) = Y^\sharp(\tau^\sharp).$$

From (2.1) it follows  $D_{Y_t}\tau_t = D_Y\tau$ .  $\square$

Let  $q$  be a point of  $L^\times$  with  $\pi(q) = x$ , and  $\tau$  a local section of  $L^\times$  such that  $x$  belongs to the domain of  $\tau$ . As  $F_t(q)$  is in the fiber of  $L$  over  $x_t := \psi_t(x)$ , then

$$F_t(q) = \tau(x_t) \cdot m_t, \quad (2.10)$$

with  $m_t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . The curve  $\{F_t(q)\}_t$  defines at the point  $q_t = F_t(q)$  the vector (2.4). On the other hand the curve  $\tau(x_t) \cdot m_t$  defines also the vector

$$Z_t(q_t) = (R_{m_t})_*(\tau_*(X_t(x_t))) + W_c(q_t), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $c = (2\pi i)^{-1}(\dot{m}_t/m_t)$  and  $R_d$  is the right multiplication by  $d \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  in  $L^\times$ . As  $R_{d*}$  preserves the horizontal and vertical components, by (2.2)

$$Z_t(q_t) = X_t^\sharp(q_t) + W_d(q_t) + W_c(q_t), \quad (2.12)$$

with  $d = (2\pi i)^{-1}(\tau^*\alpha)(X_t(x_t))$ . It follows from the equality of (2.12) with (2.4)

$$\frac{\dot{m}_t}{m_t} = -(\tau^*\alpha)(X_t(x_t)) - 2\pi i f_t(x_t).$$

That is,

$$m_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t (\tau^*\alpha)(X_u(x_u)) du - 2\pi i \int_0^t f_u(x_u) du\right) \quad (2.13)$$

If the isotopy  $\psi_t$  is closed, that is,  $\psi_1 = \text{id}$ , then the curve  $\psi_t(x)$  is nullhomologous [16, page 334]. Let  $S$  be any 2-chain in  $M$  whose boundary is the closed curve  $\{\psi_t(x)\}_t$ . As the curvature of the connection is  $-2\pi i\omega$ , by the Stokes theorem

$$m_1 = \exp\left(2\pi i \int_S \omega - 2\pi i \int_0^1 f_t(\psi_t(x)) dt\right) \quad (2.14)$$

One obtains from (2.10), (2.14) and the definition (1.1) of  $\kappa_x(\psi)$

$$F_1(q) = q \cdot \kappa_{\pi(q)}(\psi). \quad (2.15)$$

Therefore  $F_1$  is the gauge transformation determined by the map  $\kappa_-(\psi) : M \rightarrow U(1)$ . As  $F_1$  preserves the connection  $\alpha$ , this implies  $d\kappa_-(\psi) = 0$ . Hence the map  $\kappa_-(\psi)$  is constant, and we have

**Theorem 4.** *If  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a closed Hamiltonian isotopy in a quantizable manifold, the action integral along the curve  $\{\psi_t(x) \mid t \in [0,1]\}$  is independent of the point  $x \in M$ .*

As we said in Introduction a different proof of this result is given in [19] (see also [18, Section 3]).

From (2.15) and (2.3) it follows  $\tau_1^\# = \kappa(\psi)\tau^\#$ ; that is,

**Corollary 5.**  *$\kappa(\psi)$  is the holonomy of the transport  $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ .*

As we said  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the space of loops in  $\text{Ham}(M)$  based at  $\text{id}$ . If  $\psi, \xi \in \mathcal{L}$  and we denote by  $\psi * \xi$  the usual product of paths, it is immediate to check that

$$\kappa(\psi * \xi) = \kappa(\psi)\kappa(\xi). \quad (2.16)$$

Next we will study the behavior of  $\kappa(\psi)$  under  $C^1$ -deformations of  $\psi$ . We consider the derivative of  $\kappa(\psi^s)$  with respect to the parameter  $s$  in a deformation  $\psi^s$  of  $\psi$ . That is,  $\psi^s = \{\psi_t^s \mid t \in [0,1]\}$  is an isotopy with  $\psi_0^s = \psi_1^s = \text{id}$  generated by the time-dependent Hamiltonian  $f_t^s$ ; furthermore we assume that  $\psi^0 = \psi$ . By  $\{X_t^s\}_t$  is denoted the family of Hamiltonian vector fields defined by  $\{f_t^s\}_t$ .

For  $x \in M$  we put  $\sigma^s(t) := \psi_t^s(x)$ , so  $\{\sigma^s(t) \mid t \in [0,1]\}$  is a closed curve and then

$$\kappa(\psi^s) = \exp\left(2\pi i \int_{S^s} \omega - 2\pi i \int_0^1 f_t^s(\sigma^s(t)) dt\right),$$

where  $S^s$  is a surface bounded by the curve  $\sigma^s$ . We set

$$X_t := X_t^0, \quad f_t := f_t^0, \quad \sigma(t) = \sigma^0(t).$$

The variation of  $\sigma^s(t)$  with  $s$  permits to define the vector fields  $Y_t$ ; that is,

$$Y_t(\sigma^s(t)) := \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma^s(t). \quad (2.17)$$

For an “infinitesimal”  $s$  the curves  $\sigma^l$ , with  $l \in [0, s]$  determine the “lateral surface”  $J$  of one “wedge” whose base and cover are the surfaces  $S$  and  $S^s$  respectively. The ordered pairs of vectors  $(X_t(\sigma(t)), Y_t(\sigma(t)))$  fix an orientation on  $J$ , which in turn determines an orientation on the closed surface  $T = S \cup J \cup S^s$ . If we assume that  $S$  and  $S^s$  are oriented by means of the orientations of curves  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^s$ , from the fixed orientation on  $T$  it follows  $T = J - S + S^s$ .

As  $\omega$  satisfies the integrality condition

$$-\int_S \omega + \int_{S^s} \omega = -\int_J \omega \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \quad (2.18)$$

Moreover

$$\int_J \omega = s \int_0^1 \omega(X_t(\sigma(t)), Y_t(\sigma(t))) dt + O(s^2). \quad (2.19)$$

On the other hand, for a given  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\left( \frac{d}{ds} f_t^s(\sigma^s(t)) \right)_{|s=0} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f_t^s(\sigma(t)) \right)_{|s=0} + Y_t(\sigma(t))(f_t). \quad (2.20)$$

We set

$$\dot{f}_t(x) := \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f_t^s(x) \right)_{|s=0}.$$

As  $\iota_{X_t} \omega = -df_t$ , from (2.20) it follows

$$\frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \int_0^1 f_t^s(\sigma^s(t)) dt = \int_0^1 \dot{f}_t(\sigma(t)) dt - \int_0^1 \omega(X_t(\sigma(t)), Y_t(\sigma(t))) dt. \quad (2.21)$$

By (2.18), (2.19) and (2.21)

$$\kappa(\psi^s) - \kappa(\psi) = -2\pi i s \kappa(\psi) \int_0^1 \dot{f}_t(\sigma(t)) dt + O(s^2),$$

and finally

$$\left( \frac{d}{ds} \kappa(\psi^s) \right)_{|s=0} = -2\pi i \kappa(\psi) \int_0^1 \dot{f}_t(\psi_t(x)) dt.$$

We have proved the following Proposition

**Proposition 6.** *If  $\psi^s$  is the deformation of  $\psi$  defined by the family  $f_t^s$  of time-dependent Hamiltonians, then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i \kappa(\psi)} \left( \frac{d}{ds} \kappa(\psi^s) \right)_{|s=0} = - \int_0^1 \dot{f}_t(\psi_t(x)) dt,$$

$x$  being any point of  $M$ .

**Proposition 7.**  $\kappa$  defines a group homomorphism  $\kappa : \pi_1(\text{Ham}(M)) \rightarrow U(1)$ .

*Proof.* With the above notations  $\int_M f_t^s \omega^n = 0$  for any  $s$ . Then

$$\int_M (\dot{f}_t \circ \psi_t) \omega^n = 0.$$

By Proposition 6

$$\int_0^1 \dot{f}_t(\psi_t(x)) dt$$

is independent of the point  $x \in M$ . Hence

$$\left( \frac{d}{ds} \kappa(\psi^s) \right)_{|s=0} \int_M \omega^n = -2\pi i \kappa(\psi) \int_0^1 dt \int_M (\dot{f}_t \circ \psi_t) \omega^n = 0.$$

So  $\kappa(\psi)$  depends only on the homotopy class  $[\psi] \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(M))$ . From (2.16) we conclude that  $\kappa$  is a group homomorphism.  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 7 it makes sense to define the *action integral* around an element  $[\psi] \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(M))$  as  $\kappa_x(\psi)$ , for  $x$  an arbitrary point of  $M$ .

3. HAMILTONIAN  $G$ -SPACES.

Let  $G$  be a compact, connected Lie group which acts on the left on the quantizable manifold  $(M, \omega)$  by symplectomorphisms. We assume that this action is Hamiltonian, and that  $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is a moment map for this action. That is,  $M$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space [6].

Given  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $X_A$ , the vector field on  $M$  generated by  $A$ . Then  $\langle d\Phi(Y), A \rangle = \omega(Y, X_A)$ , for any vector field  $Y$  on  $M$ . The  $A$ -component of  $\Phi$  will be denoted by  $f_A$ ; that is,  $f_A(x) = \langle \Phi(x), A \rangle$ . Hence

$$\iota_{X_A}\omega = -df_A \quad \text{and} \quad \{f_A, f_B\} := \omega(X_B, X_A) = f_{[A, B]}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now the prequantization map  $\mathcal{P}$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{g}$  is

$$A \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_A = -D_{X_A} - 2\pi i f_A \in \text{End}(\Gamma(L)), \quad (3.2)$$

and using (3.1) it is straightforward to prove the following Proposition

**Proposition 8.** *The map  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

Given a family  $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  of elements of  $G$  with  $g_0 = e$ , it determines a Hamiltonian isotopy  $\varphi_t : M \rightarrow M$  by

$$\varphi_t(x) = g_t \cdot x. \quad (3.3)$$

It is easy to prove the following Proposition that gives the vector fields determined by the isotopy  $\varphi_t$ .

**Proposition 9.** *The isotopy (3.3) is defined by the equations*

$$\frac{d\varphi_t}{dt} = X_{A_t} \circ \varphi_t, \quad \varphi_0 = id,$$

where  $A_t$  is the element of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $A_t := \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1}$ .

If  $x_0 \in M$  is a fixed point for the  $G$ -action, then  $\Phi(x_0)$  is called a *vertex* of  $\Phi$  [7]. If  $\varphi$  is a closed isotopy we will express the  $U(1)$ -valued action integral  $\kappa(\varphi)$  in terms of the vertices of  $\Phi$ .

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact, quantizable  $G$ -space. If  $x_0$  is a fixed point for the  $G$ -action and if the isotopy  $\varphi_t$  defined by (3.3) is closed (i.e.  $\varphi_1 = id$ ), then*

$$\kappa(\varphi) = \exp\left(-2\pi i \langle \Phi(x_0), \int_0^1 A_t dt \rangle\right),$$

with  $A_t = \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1}$

*Proof.* In this case the curve  $\{\varphi_t(x_0)\}$  is a point, so integral of  $\omega$  in (1.1) vanishes. On the other hand, by Proposition 9 the corresponding time-dependent Hamiltonian is  $f_{A_t} = \langle \Phi, A_t \rangle$ . □

Now we assume that  $G = T$  is the  $r$ -torus.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact, quantizable manifold equipped with a Hamiltonian action of the  $r$ -torus  $T$ . If  $v$  and  $v'$  are vertices of a moment map  $\Phi$  for the  $T$ -action, then  $v - v'$  belongs to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  be fixed points with  $\Phi(x_0) = v$  and  $\Phi(x_1) = v'$ . If  $A$  is a vector of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  with integer coordinates, then

$$\{\phi_t(x) = e^{2\pi i t A} \cdot x\}_{t \in [0,1]}$$

is a closed isotopy of  $(M, \omega)$ . Hence, by the independence of  $\kappa_x(\phi)$  from the point  $x$  and Theorem 10, we conclude

$$\exp(4\pi^2 \langle \Phi(x_0), A \rangle) = \exp(4\pi^2 \langle \Phi(x_1), A \rangle).$$

That is,  $\langle v - v', A \rangle = 0$ . This relation holds for any  $A \in \mathfrak{t}^* \cap \mathbb{Z}^r$ . Hence  $v - v' \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 11, there is a moment map  $\Phi$  for the  $T$ -action such that the vertices of  $\Phi$  are integer lattice points.*

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a moment map and  $x_0$  is a fixed point, we set  $v := \tilde{\Phi}(x_0)$ . We define the map  $\Phi := \tilde{\Phi} - v$ , which is also a moment map, since  $T$  is Abelian. Hence 0 is a vertex of  $\Phi$ . By Theorem 11, for any vertex  $v'$  of  $\Phi$ ,  $v' = v' - 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ .  $\square$

In particular, if the toric manifold associated to a Delzant polytope  $\Delta$  is quantizable, then the vertices of  $\Delta$  are integer lattice points. So we recover a well-known result relative to Delzant spaces [2] [6].

The action of  $G$  on  $M$  is said to be *pre-quantizable* if there is a global action of  $G$  on the prequantum bundle  $L$  which induces the action (3.2) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the space  $\Gamma(L)$  [7] (In [8] one says that the prequantum data  $(L, D)$  are  $G$ -invariant). The thesis of Corollary 12 has been proved in [7, § 8, Corollary 1] under the additional assumption that the  $G$ -action is pre-quantizable.

Henceforth in this Section we assume the existence of this lift of the  $G$ -action to  $L$ . We denote by  $\rho(g)$  (resp.  $\varphi_g$ ) the diffeomorphism of  $L$  (resp.  $M$ ) associated to  $g \in G$ . So  $\pi \circ \rho(g) = \varphi_g \circ \pi$ . We denote by  $v$  the representation of  $G$  on  $\Gamma(L)$  induced by  $\rho$ . That is, for  $g \in G$  and  $\tau \in \Gamma(L)$

$$v(g)(\tau) = \rho(g) \circ \tau \circ \varphi_g^{-1}. \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover, given a curve  $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $G$  starting at  $e$ , the fact that  $\rho$  induces  $\mathcal{P}$  implies that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} v(g_t)\tau = \mathcal{P}_A \tau, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  is the derivative  $\dot{g}_t$  at  $t = 0$ .

As we said in Section 2, the Hamiltonian isotopy  $\varphi_t = \varphi_{g_t}$  admits a lift to an isotopy  $F_t$  of  $L^\times$ . By Proposition 9 the family of vector fields

$$Z_t := X_{A_t}^\sharp - W_{f_t},$$

where  $A_t := \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1}$  and  $f_t = \langle \Phi, A_t \rangle$ , generates the isotopy  $F_t$ .

It is proper to ask if the diffeomorphisms  $F_t$  and  $\rho(g_t)$  are related. We will prove that  $F_t = \rho(g_t)$ .

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $h_t$  be a curve in  $G$  with  $h_0 = e$  and  $\dot{h}(0) = B \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $p$  be a point in  $L^\times$ . Then*

$$\left. \frac{d\rho(h_t)(p)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = X_B^\sharp(p) - W_{f_B}(p).$$

*Proof.* We will determine the vector tangent to  $\{\rho(h_t)p\}_t$  at  $t = 0$ . Let  $\tau$  be a section such that  $\tau(x) = p$ , then

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \rho(h_t) \tau(h_t^{-1}x) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \rho(h_t)p \right|_{t=0} + \tau_*(-X_B(x)). \quad (3.6)$$

It follows from (2.2)

$$\tau_*(X_B(x)) = X_B^\sharp(\tau(x)) + (\tau^*\alpha)(X_B)\tau(x) = X_B^\sharp(\tau(x)) + (D_{X_B}\tau)(x). \quad (3.7)$$

The left hand side in (3.6) is equal to  $(\mathcal{P}_B\tau)(x)$  by (3.5) and (3.4). Therefore (3.6) and (3.7) give rise to

$$\left. \frac{d\rho(h_t)(p)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = X_B^\sharp(p) - W_{f_B}(p).$$

□

**Proposition 14.** *The isotopy  $\rho(g_t)$  is generated by the family of vector fields  $Z_t$ . So  $\rho(g_t) = F_t$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $t$ , we put  $h_{t'} := g_{t'}g_t^{-1}$ ; then  $\dot{h}_t = A_t$ . Since  $\rho$  is a representation of  $G$ , by Lemma 13

$$\left. \frac{d\rho(g_{t'})}{dt'} \right|_{t'=t} (\rho(g_t^{-1}(p))) = \left. \frac{d\rho(h_{t'})(p)}{dt'} \right|_{t'=t} = X_{A_t}^\sharp(p) - W_{f_t}(p).$$

That is,

$$\frac{d\rho(g_t)}{dt} = Z_t \circ \rho(g_t).$$

□

The following Corollary asserts that the transport along  $\varphi$  is defined by the representation  $v$ .

**Corollary 15.** *If  $g_t$  is a family of elements in  $G$  with  $g_0 = e$  and  $A_t := \dot{g}_t g_t^{-1}$ , then the solution to*

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{A_t}(\tau_t), \quad \tau_0 = \tau \quad (3.8)$$

*is  $\tau_t = v(g_t)(\tau)$ . In particular,  $\tau_1$  depends only on the endpoint  $g_1$  of the curve  $g_t$ .*

*Proof.* The statement follows from Proposition 1 together with Proposition 14 and (3.4). □

Now we will express the  $U(1)$ -action integral  $\kappa(\varphi)$  in terms of the representation  $v$ . We will construct finite-dimensional  $v$ -invariant subspaces of  $\Gamma(L)$ , and  $\kappa(\varphi)$  will be related with the characters of the restrictions of  $v$  to these subspaces.

An almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  is called *compatible* with  $\omega$  if  $\omega(J \cdot, J \cdot) = \omega(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$  is positive definite. Since  $G$  is a compact group one can construct  $G$ -invariant compatible almost complex structures on  $M$ . Let  $I$  be such an almost complex structure. A section  $\tau$  of  $L$  is said to be  $I$ -polarized if  $D_X\tau = 0$ , for any vector field  $X$  on  $M$  of type  $(0, 1)$  relative to  $I$ . We set  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  for the space of  $I$ -polarized sections.

With  $\Omega^{0,k}(L)$  we denote the space of  $(0,k)$ -forms on  $M$  with values in  $L$ . The operator  $\bar{D} = (1 + iI)D$  extends in the usual manner to an operator

$$\bar{D} : \Omega^{0,k}(L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,k+1}(L).$$

Although  $(\Omega^{0,*}(L), \bar{D})$  is not a complex, the Riemannian metric  $\omega(\cdot, I\cdot)$  on  $M$  and the Hermitian metric on  $L$  determine the adjoint operator  $\bar{D}^*$  of  $\bar{D}$ ; moreover the operator

$$\bar{D} + \bar{D}^* : \Omega^{0,\text{even}}(L) \rightarrow \Omega^{0,\text{odd}}(L)$$

is elliptic (see [6, page 75]). Since

$$\mathcal{Q}_I = \text{Ker}((\bar{D} + \bar{D}^*)|_{\Omega^{0,0}}),$$

then  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  is a finite dimensional vector space.

Given  $g \in G$ ,  $g$  is the endpoint of a curve  $g_t$  in  $G$  with  $g_0 = e$ . By Corollary 15  $v(g)(\tau) = \tau_1$ , where  $\tau_t$  is the solution of (3.8). If  $Y$  is a vector of type  $(0,1)$  with respect to  $I$ , by the  $G$ -invariance of  $I$  the vector  $\varphi_{t*}(Y)$  is of type  $(0,1)$  as well,  $\varphi_t$  being the diffeomorphism defined in (3.3). This fact together with Proposition 3 imply that  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_I$ , if  $\tau$  is  $I$ -polarized. Hence  $\mathcal{Q}_I$  is a  $v$ -invariant subspace of  $\Gamma(L)$ . We set  $v_I$  for the restriction of  $v$  to  $\mathcal{Q}_I$ .

If the isotopy defined in (3.3) is closed, from Corollary 5 and Corollary 15 it follows  $v_I(g_1)(\tau) = \kappa(\varphi)\tau$ . Hence the character  $\chi(v_I)$  at the point  $g_1$  equals  $\kappa(\varphi) \dim \mathcal{Q}_I$ . One has the following Theorem

**Theorem 16.** *If  $M$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space and the  $G$ -action is pre-quantizable, then the action integral  $\kappa(\varphi)$  around the closed isotopy  $\varphi_t(x) = g_t \cdot x$  is equal to*

$$\frac{\chi(v_I)(g_1)}{\dim \mathcal{Q}_I},$$

where  $I$  is any  $G$ -invariant, compatible almost complex structure on  $(M, \omega)$ .

#### 4. THE INVARIANT $\kappa(\psi)$ IN A COADJOINT ORBIT

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group, and we consider the coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  defined by

$$(g \cdot \eta)(A) = \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A),$$

for  $g \in G$ ,  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $g \cdot A = \text{Ad}_g A$  (see [11] [24]).

If  $X_A$  is the vector field on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  determined by  $A$ , the map  $l_g : \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mapsto g \cdot \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  satisfies

$$(l_g)_*(X_A(\mu)) = X_{g \cdot A}(g \cdot \mu). \quad (4.1)$$

Given  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , by  $\mathcal{O}_\eta =: \mathcal{O}$  will be denoted the orbit of  $\eta$  under the coadjoint action of  $G$ . On  $\mathcal{O}$  one can consider the 2-form  $\omega$  determined by

$$\omega_\nu(X_A(\nu), X_B(\nu)) = \nu([A, B]). \quad (4.2)$$

This 2-form determines a symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the action of  $G$  preserves  $\omega$ . For each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  one defines the function  $h_A \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$  by  $h_A(\nu) = \nu(A)$ , and for this function holds the formula

$$\iota_{X_A} \omega = dh_A. \quad (4.3)$$

The orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  can be identified with  $G/G_\eta$ , where  $G_\eta$  is the subgroup of isotropy of  $\eta$ . The Lie algebra of this subgroup is

$$\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \{A \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \eta([A, B]) = 0, \text{ for every } B \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

One says that the linear functional

$$\lambda : C \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta \mapsto 2\pi i \eta(C) \in i\mathbb{R} \quad (4.4)$$

is integral iff there is a character  $\Lambda : G_\eta \rightarrow U(1)$  whose derivative is the functional (4.4) (see [14]). Henceforth we assume the existence of such a character  $\Lambda$ . We will prove that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  possesses a  $G$ -invariant prequantization. A prequantum bundle  $L$  over  $\mathcal{O} = G/G_\eta$  is defined by  $L = G \times_\Lambda \mathbb{C} = (G \times \mathbb{C})/\simeq$ , with  $(g, z) \simeq (gb^{-1}, \Lambda(b)z)$ , for  $b \in G_\eta$ .

Each section  $\sigma$  of  $L$  determines a  $\Lambda$ -equivariant function  $s : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the relation

$$\sigma(gG_\eta) = [g, s(g)]. \quad (4.5)$$

The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -principal bundle associated to  $L$  is  $L^\times = L - \{\text{zero section}\}$ . The lift  $\sigma^\sharp : L^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the section  $\sigma$  and its corresponding  $\Lambda$ -equivariant function  $s$  are related by the formula

$$s(g) = \sigma^\sharp([g, z])z. \quad (4.6)$$

If  $v$  denotes the element  $[e, 1] \in L^\times$ , then  $T_v(L^\times) \simeq (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{f}_v$ , with

$$\mathfrak{f}_v = \{(B, -2\pi i \eta(B)) \mid B \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta\}.$$

The connection form  $\Omega$  on  $L^\times$  is constructed in [14] p.198. The form  $\Omega$  can be written  $\Omega = (\theta, d)$ , where  $\theta$  is the left invariant form on  $G$  whose value at  $e$  is  $\eta$ , and  $d \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by  $d(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1}z$ . It is clear that  $\Omega_v$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{f}_v$  and that it defines an element of  $T_v^*(L^\times)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  the space of  $\Lambda$ -equivariant functions on  $G$ . The identification  $\Gamma(L) \sim \mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  allows us to translate the action  $\mathcal{P}$  defined in (3.2) to a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 17.** *The action  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  is given by  $\mathcal{P}_A(s) = -R_A(s)$ , where  $R_A$  is the right invariant vector field on  $G$  determined by  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a section of  $L$ , by (4.3)  $\mathcal{P}_A(\sigma) = -D_{X_A}\sigma + 2\pi i h_A \sigma$ . We will determine the lift  $(\mathcal{P}_A(\sigma))^\sharp$ .

The vector  $X_A(g \cdot \eta) \in T_{g \cdot \eta}(\mathcal{O})$  is defined by the curve  $u \mapsto e^{uA}g \cdot \eta$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ . A lift of this curve at the point  $[g, z] \in L^\times$  will be a curve of the form  $\gamma(u) = [e^{uA}g, z_u]$ , with  $z_u = ze^{ux}$ . The vector tangent to  $\gamma$  at  $[g, z]$  is  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = [R_A(g), x]$ , where  $R_A(g)$  is the value at  $g$  of the right invariant vector field in  $G$  defined by  $A$ .

The condition  $\Omega(\dot{\gamma}(0)) = 0$  implies

$$x = -2\pi i \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A). \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore the horizontal lift of  $X_A(g \cdot \eta)$  is

$$X_A^\sharp([g, z]) = [R_A(g), -2\pi i \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)],$$

and by (4.6) the action of  $X_A^\sharp([g, z])$  on the function  $\sigma^\sharp$  can be expressed in terms of  $s$

$$X_A^\sharp([g, z])(\sigma^\sharp) = \frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} \left( \frac{s(e^{uA}g)}{ze^{ux}} \right) = \frac{R_A(g)(s)}{z} - \frac{xs(g)}{z}.$$

Since  $X_A^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = (D_{X_A}\sigma)^\sharp$ , from (4.7) and (4.6) it turns out that the equivariant function associated to  $D_{X_A}\sigma$  is

$$g \in G \mapsto R_A(g)(s) + 2\pi i \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)s(g) \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.8)$$

Obviously the equivariant function defined by the section  $h_A\sigma$  is the function  $\lambda_A s$ , where  $\lambda_A(g) = h_A(gG_\eta) = (g \cdot \eta)(A) = \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)$ . It follows from (4.8) that the equivariant function which corresponds to  $-D_{X_A}\sigma + 2\pi i h_A\sigma$  is  $-R_A(s)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 18.** *The action  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  is induced by the action*

$$v : (b, s) \in G \times \mathcal{E}_\Lambda \mapsto s \circ \mathcal{L}_{b^{-1}} \in \mathcal{E}_\Lambda,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_c$  is left multiplication by  $c$  in the group  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $g_t = e^{tA} \in G$ , then

$$\left. \frac{d v_{g_t}(s)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}(g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} s(e^{-tA}g) = -R_A(g)(s) = \mathcal{P}_A(s)(g).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 19.** *The action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  is pre-quantizable.*

*Proof.* On  $L$  we define the following representation of  $G$ ,  $\rho(g')([g, z]) = [g'g, z]$ . For  $g_t = e^{tA}$  and the section  $\sigma$  of  $L$

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \rho(g_t)\sigma(g_t^{-1}gG_\eta) = \left. \frac{d[g, s(e^{-tA}g)]}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = [g, -R_A(g)(s)] = \mathcal{P}_A(\sigma)(gG_\eta).$$

The Corollary follows from (3.4) and (3.5).  $\square$

Let  $\{\psi_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a closed Hamiltonian isotopy on  $\mathcal{O}$ . We also assume that the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields are generated by elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; that is,

$$\frac{d\psi_t(q)}{dt} = X_{A_t}(\psi_t(q)), \quad \text{with } A_t \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $\sigma$  is a section of  $L$ ,  $\sigma_t$  will denote the solution to the equation

$$\frac{d\sigma_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{A_t}(\sigma_t), \quad \sigma_0 = \sigma. \quad (4.9)$$

By Theorem 17, equation (4.9) on the points  $\{h_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  of a curve in  $G$  gives rise to

$$\dot{s}_t(h_t) = -R_{A_t}(h_t)(s_t), \quad (4.10)$$

for the corresponding equivariant functions. In particular, if  $h_t$  is the curve such that  $h_0 = e$  and  $\dot{h}_t = R_{A_t}(h_t) \in T_{h_t}(G)$ ; in other words,  $h_t$  satisfies the Lax equation  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ , then

$$R_{A_t}(h_t)(s_t) = \left. \frac{d}{du} \right|_{u=t} s_t(h_u).$$

Using (4.10) one deduces

$$\dot{s}_t(h_t) + \dot{h}_t(s_t) = 0 \quad (4.11)$$

If we consider the function  $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $w_t = s_t(h_t)$ ; by (4.11)  $w$  is constant. So  $s_1(h_1) = s_0(e)$ . If  $h_1 \in G_\eta$ , as  $s_1$  is  $\Lambda$ -equivariant  $s_1(h_1) = \Lambda(h_1^{-1})s_1(e)$ ; so

$$\sigma_1(eG_\eta) = \Lambda(h_1)\sigma_0(eG_\eta). \quad (4.12)$$

The following Theorem, which gives the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  in terms of  $\Lambda$ , is consequence of Corollary 5 and (4.12)

**Theorem 20.** *If  $\{\psi_t\}$  is the closed Hamiltonian isotopy in  $\mathcal{O}$  generated by the vector fields  $\{X_{A_t}\}$ , then  $\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(h_1)$ , where  $h_t \in G$  is the solution to  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ , with  $h_0 = e$  and  $h_1 \in G_\eta$ .*

Let us assume that  $G$  is semisimple Lie group [4], and let  $T$  a maximal torus with  $T \subset G_\eta$  (see [9] p.166). One has the standard decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$  in direct sum of root spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\alpha$  ranges over the set of roots.

We denote by  $\alpha^\vee$  the element of  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha^\vee) = 2$ . On the other hand  $\eta$  extends in a natural way to  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , and if  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , then

$$0 = \eta([\alpha^\vee, Y]) = 2\eta(Y).$$

Hence  $\eta$  vanishes on  $\sum \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . If  $\eta(\alpha^\vee) \neq 0$ , for all root  $\alpha$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \mathfrak{t}$ ; in this case  $\eta$  is said to be regular. Henceforth we assume that  $\eta$  is regular. Let  $P$  be the set of roots  $\alpha$  such that  $\eta(\alpha^\vee) < 0$ . Then the real counterpart of the above direct sum decomposition is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g}.$$

We define  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in P} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , which corresponds to a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$ .

We have

$$T_\eta(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence

$$T_\eta^\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}).$$

One defines

$$T_\eta^{0,1}\mathcal{O} := \mathfrak{n},$$

and

$$T_{g \cdot \eta}^{0,1}\mathcal{O} := \{X_{g \cdot A}(g \cdot \eta) \mid A \in \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

If  $g_1 \cdot \eta = g_2 \cdot \eta$ , then  $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in T$ . As  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is an eigenspace for the action of  $T$ , then  $g_1^{-1}g_2 \cdot A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , if  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Therefore the spaces  $T_{g \cdot \eta}^{0,1}$  are well-defined.

For  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , one can define the vector field  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  by  $\mathcal{A}(g \cdot \eta) = X_{g \cdot A}(g \cdot \eta)$ . By (4.1)  $(l_g)_*\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , hence the above complex foliation defined on  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $G$ -invariant. Since the vector  $X_{g \cdot A}(g \cdot \eta)$  is defined by the curve  $e^{tg \cdot A}g \cdot \eta = ge^{tA} \cdot \eta$ , then the left invariant vector field  $L_A$  on  $G/T$  is the field which corresponds to  $\mathcal{A}$ , in the identification of  $G/T$  with  $\mathcal{O}$ .

The vector spaces  $T^{1,0}$  are defined in the obvious way. As  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the decomposition  $T^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) = T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$  define a complex structure  $I$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ . This complex manifold can be identified with  $G_{\mathbb{C}}/B$ .

Using the complex structure on  $\mathcal{O} = G/T$  and the covariant derivative  $D$  on the prequantum bundle  $L = G \times_{\Lambda} \mathbb{C}$ , it is possible to define a holomorphic structure in  $L$ . The section  $\tau$  of  $L$  is said to be holomorphic iff  $D_Z \tau = 0$  for any vector field  $Z$  of type  $(0,1)$ . In this way  $L$  can be regarded as a holomorphic line bundle over  $G_{\mathbb{C}}/B$ , and with the notation of Section 3  $\mathcal{Q}_I = H^0(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B, L)$ .

The homomorphism  $\Lambda : T \rightarrow U(1)$  extends trivially to  $B$ , since  $B$  is a semidirect product of  $H = T_{\mathbb{C}}$  and the nilpotent subgroup whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{n}$ . And each section  $\sigma$  of  $L$  determines a function  $s : G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is  $\Lambda$ -equivariant. On the other hand, given  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , the Proof of Theorem 17 shows that the equivariant function associated to  $D_A \sigma$  is the map

$$g \in G_{\mathbb{C}} \mapsto R_{g \cdot A}(g)(s) + 2\pi i \eta(g^{-1}g \cdot A)s(g) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

As  $\eta$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{n}$  and the vectors  $R_{g \cdot A}(g)$  and  $L_A(g)$  are equal, the equivariant function associated to  $D_A \sigma$  is  $L_A(s)$ . Therefore if  $\sigma$  is holomorphic, then  $L_A(s) = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ ; that is,  $s$  is a holomorphic function on  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . So the space  $H^0(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B, L)$  is isomorphic to the space

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda, I} := \{s : G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid s \text{ is holomorphic and } \Lambda - \text{equivariant}\}.$$

The Borel-Weil Theorem asserts that the action of  $G$  on the space  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda, I}$  given by  $g \star s = s \circ \mathcal{L}_{g^{-1}}$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ ; more precisely the contragredient representation of that one whose highest weight is  $-\lambda$  (see [3] pages 290, 300).

Denoting by  $\pi$  the irreducible representation of  $G$  whose highest weight is  $-2\pi i \eta$  and by  $\pi^*$  its dual, from Corollary 18 it follows that the restriction of  $v$  to  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda, I}$  is  $\pi^*$ . From Theorem 16 and Proposition 9 we deduce

**Theorem 21.** *Let  $\eta$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , such that  $2\pi i \eta$  is an integral character on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\eta}$ , and  $G_{\eta}$  is a maximal torus of  $G$ . If  $\{\psi_t\}$  is the closed Hamiltonian isotopy in  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}$  generated by the vector fields  $\{X_{A_t}\}$ , then*

$$\kappa(\psi) = \frac{\chi(\pi^*)(h_1)}{\dim \pi}, \quad (4.13)$$

where  $h_t \in G$  is the solution to  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ ,  $h_0 = e$ , and  $\pi$  is the representation of  $G$  whose highest weight is  $-2\pi i \eta$ .

Now the character  $\chi(\pi^*)$  and the dimension  $\dim \pi$  can be determined by Weyl's character formula [3], and so  $\kappa(\psi)$ .

#### EXAMPLES

1. *Action integral in flag manifolds.* Set  $D = \text{diag}(id_1, \dots, id_n) \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$ , with  $d_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $p_1 < \dots < p_k$  the distinct values of the  $d_j$  and by  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  the corresponding multiplicities.  $D$  determines an element  $\eta \in \mathfrak{u}(n)^*$  by the relation  $\eta(Y) = \text{tr}(DY)$ . The coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}$  is the flag manifold  $U(n)/U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_k)$ . And for  $Y = (B_1, \dots, B_k) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\eta} = \bigoplus_j \mathfrak{u}(n_j)$ ,

$$\eta(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^k i p_j \text{tr}(B_j).$$

The manifold  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  depends only on the multiplicities  $n_j$ . However the symplectic form  $\omega$ , defined in (4.2) depends also on the  $p_j$ . The manifold  $(\mathcal{O}_\eta, \omega)$  admits a  $U(n)$ -invariant prequantization if  $-2\pi p_j =: m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . In this case the character  $\Lambda$  of  $G_\eta = \prod_j U(n_j)$  defined by

$$\Lambda(A_1, \dots, A_k) = \prod_{j=1}^k (\det(A_j))^{m_j}$$

has as derivative  $2\pi i \eta$ . Now the symplectic flag manifold  $(\mathcal{O}_\eta, \omega)$  is quantizable and it is determined by  $(m_1, n_1; \dots; m_k, n_k)$ .

If  $\{g_t \in U(n) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  defines a loop  $\varphi$  in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{O}_\eta)$  by (3.3), then  $g_1 g G_\eta = g G_\eta$ , for every  $g \in U(n)$ . So  $g_1$  is a multiple of the identity;  $g_1 = z I_n$ , with  $|z| = 1$ . By Theorem 20

$$\kappa(\varphi) = \Lambda(g_1) = \prod_{j=1}^k z^{m_j n_j}.$$

Thus we have

**Proposition 22.** *The symplectic flag manifold*

$$(\mathcal{O}_\eta = U(n)/U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_k), \omega)$$

*determined by the integers  $(m_1, n_1; \dots; m_k, n_k)$  admits a  $U(n)$ -invariant prequantization. If  $\varphi$  is the loop in  $\text{Ham}(\mathcal{O}_\eta)$  defined by a family  $\{g_t \in U(n)\}$ , with  $g_0 = I_n$  and  $g_1 = z I_n$ , then*

$$\kappa(\varphi) = z^a,$$

*where  $a = \sum_j m_j n_j$ .*

2. *The invariant  $\kappa$  of a Hamiltonian flow in  $S^2$ .* For  $G = SU(2)$ , if

$$\eta : \begin{pmatrix} ai & w \\ -\bar{w} & -ai \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \mapsto \frac{na}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R},$$

with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta = SU(2)/U(1) = S^2$  admits and  $SU(2)$ -invariant quantization and the corresponding character  $\Lambda$  of  $U(1)$  is  $\Lambda(z) = z^n$ .

Let  $E$  be a matrix of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ , and we assume that  $e^E = -\text{Id}$ . If we denote by  $\psi_t$  the symplectomorphism of  $S^2$  given by

$$\psi_t(q) = \exp(tE) \cdot q,$$

then the family  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$ , is a closed Hamiltonian flow on the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ . By Theorem 20

$$\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(e^E) = \Lambda(-\text{Id}) = (-1)^n.$$

This result agrees with that one obtained in [21, Theorem 21] by direct calculation. This value is also obtained in [18, Example 3.6].

This value can also be deduced from Theorem 21. The Weyl's character formula [3] is very simple for the group  $SU(2)$ ; in this case, there is only one positive root  $\alpha$  and the Weyl group has only two elements. We take for  $\alpha$  the linear map defined by

$$\alpha(\text{diag}(ai, -ai)) = 2ai;$$



so  $\alpha^\vee = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . If  $n < 0$ , then  $-\lambda := -2\pi\eta$  is the highest weight of a representation  $\pi$  of  $SU(2)$ . For  $t \in U(1)$ ,  $t^\lambda = t^{-n}$  and  $t^\alpha = t^2$ . Therefore (see [3])

$$\dim \pi = -n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\pi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{-n} t^{-n-2k}.$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\pi^*}(h_1) = \chi_\pi(-1) = (-n + 1)(-1)^n,$$

and from (4.13) we again obtain the value  $(-1)^n$  for  $\kappa(\psi)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Atiyah, M. *Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **14** (1982) 1–15
- [2] Delzant, T. *Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988) 315–339
- [3] J.J. Duistermaat, J.A.C. Kolk *Lie groups*. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- [4] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1991)
- [5] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1978)
- [6] V. Guillemin, *Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian  $T^n$ -spaces*. Birkhäuser, Boston (1994)
- [7] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982) 491–513
- [8] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982) 515–538
- [9] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Symplectic techniques in physics*. Cambridge U.P., Cambridge (1984)
- [10] H. Hofer, D. Salamon, *Floer Homology and Novikov rings*. The Floer memorial volume (H. Hofer, C. Taubes, A. Weinstein and Zehnder, eds.) Birkhäuser, Basel (1995) pp. 483–524
- [11] A.A. Kirilov *Elements of the theory of representations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (1976)
- [12] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry I*. Wiley, New York (1963)
- [13] B. Kostant *Orbits, symplectic structures, and representation theory*. Proc. US-Japan Seminar in Differential Geometry, Kyoto (1965). Nippon Hyoronsha, Tokyo (1966)
- [14] B. Kostant *Quantization and unitary representations*. In Lectures in modern analysis III (ed. C.T. Taam). Lecture notes in Mathematics, vol. 170. 87–208. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970)
- [15] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, L. Polterovich, *Topological rigidity of Hamiltonian loops and quantum homology* Invent. Math. **135** (1999) 369–385
- [16] D. McDuff, D. Salamon *Introduction to symplectic topology*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1998)
- [17] L. Polterovich, *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*. Birkhäuser, Basel (2001)
- [18] M. Schwarz *On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds*. Pacific J. Math. Geom. Funct. Anal. **193** (2000) 419–461
- [19] P. Seidel  $\pi_1$  of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings. Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997) 1046–1095
- [20] J. Sniatycki, *Geometric quantization and quantum mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1980)
- [21] A. Viña, *Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms and the Berry phase*. J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001) 26–46
- [22] A. Weinstein, *Cohomology of symplectomorphism groups and critical values of Hamiltonians*. Math. Z. **201** (1989) 75–82
- [23] A. Weinstein, *Connections of Berry and Hannay type for moving Lagrangian Submanifolds*. Advances in Mathematics **82** (1990) 133–159
- [24] N.M.J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1992)

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA. UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO. AVDA CALVO SOTELLO. 33007 OVIEDO.  
SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* `avinae@correo.uniovi.es`