

# TOPOLOGY ON THE SPACES OF ORDERINGS OF GROUPS

ADAM S. SIKORA

ABSTRACT. A natural topology on the space of left orderings of an arbitrary semi-group is introduced. It is proved that this space is compact and that for free abelian groups it is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. An application of this result is a new proof of the existence of universal Gröbner bases.

## 1. ORDERINGS FOR SEMI-GROUPS

Given a semi-group  $G$  (ie. a set with an associative binary operation), a linear order,  $<$ , on  $G$  is a left order if  $a < b$  implies  $ca < cb$ , for any  $c$ . Similarly, a linear order,  $<$ , is a right order if  $a < b$  implies  $ac < bc$ , for any  $c \in G$ . The sets of all left and right orderings of  $G$  are denoted by  $LO(G)$  and  $RO(G)$  respectively. If  $G$  is a group then there is a 1-1 correspondence between these two sets which associates with any left ordering,  $<_l$ , a right ordering,  $<_r$ , such that  $a <_r b$  if and only if  $b^{-1} <_l a^{-1}$ . For more about ordering of groups see [4, 7, 8].

Let  $U_{a,b} \subset LO(G)$  denote the set of all left orderings,  $<$ , for which  $a < b$ . We can put a topology on  $LO(G)$  in one of the following two ways.

**Definition 1.1.**  *$LO(G)$  has the smallest topology for which all the sets  $U_{a,b}$  are open. Any open set in this topology is a union of sets of the form  $U_{a_1,b_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n,b_n}$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** *Let  $G_0 \subset G_1 \subset G_2 \dots \subset G$  be an arbitrary complete filtration of  $G$  by its subsets. (A filtration is complete if  $\bigcup_i G_i = G$ ). For  $<_1, <_2 \in LO(G)$  we define  $\rho(<_1, <_2)$  to be  $\frac{1}{2^r}$ , where  $r$  is the largest number with the property that  $<_1$  and  $<_2$  coincide when restricted to  $G_r$ ; We put  $\rho(<_1, <_2) = 0$  if such  $r$  does not exist ( $r = \infty$ ).*

From now on we will consider countable semi-groups  $G$  only and such filtrations only which are composed of finite subsets of  $G$ .

**Proposition 1.3.**  *$\rho$  is a metric on  $LO(G)$  and the topology on  $LO(G)$  induced by that metric coincides with the topology introduced in Definition 1.1. In particular, it does not depend on the choice of a filtration of  $G$ .*

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 06F15, 13P10, Secondary: 06F05, 20F60.

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $\rho$  is a metric. Hence, the proposition follows from the following two statements:

(1) any open ball  $B(<_0, 1/2^r)$  (with respect to the metric  $\rho$ ) is open in the topology introduced in Definition 1.1;

*Proof:*  $<_1 \in B(<_0, 1/2^r)$  if and only if  $<_1$  and  $<_0$  coincide on the set  $G_{r+1}$ . Therefore

$$B(<_0, 1/2^r) = \bigcap U_{ab},$$

where the intersection is taken over all possible pairs  $(a, b)$  of elements of  $G_{r+1}$  for which  $a <_0 b$ .

(2) any set of the form  $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$  is open with respect to the metric  $\rho$ . In other words, for any  $<_0 \in U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$  there exist  $r$  such that  $B(<_0, 1/2^r) \subset U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ .

*Proof:* There exists an element of the filtration,  $G_r$ , such that  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in G_r$ . For such  $r$ ,  $B(<_0, 1/2^r) \subset U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$ .  $\square$

Recall that a space is totally disconnected if every two distinct points of it are contained in two disjoint open sets covering the space.

**Theorem 1.4.**  *$LO(G)$  is a compact, totally disconnected topological space.*

*Proof:* For any two left orderings  $<_1, <_2 \in LO(G)$  there exist  $a, b \in G$  such that  $<_1 \in U_{ab}$  and  $<_2 \in U_{ba}$ . Since  $U_{ab} \cup U_{ba} = LO(G)$ ,  $U_{ab} \cap U_{ba} = \emptyset$ ,  $LO(G)$  is totally disconnected. Now we are going to show that  $LO(G)$  is compact. Consider any complete, infinite filtration of  $G$  by its finite subsets and the associated metric  $\rho$ . We need to prove that any infinite sequence  $<_1, <_2, \dots \in LO(G)$  has a convergent subsequence. We construct this subsequence in the following manner: Since there are only finitely many possible linear orderings of elements of  $G_1$  there is an infinite subsequence  $<_{i_1^1}, <_{i_2^1}, <_{i_3^1} \dots$  of  $<_1, <_2, \dots$  whose elements induce the same linear order on  $G_1$ . Now, pick out of this sequence an infinite subsequence of orders,  $<_{i_1^2}, <_{i_2^2}, \dots$ , which agree on  $G_2$ . Continue this process for  $G_3, G_4, \dots$  *ad infinitum*. Consider now a sequence  $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$  constructed by picking up the  $n$ -th element from the  $n$ -th subsequence constructed above for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$  is a subsequence of  $<_1, <_2, \dots$  the following lemma completes the proof.

**Lemma 1.5.**  *$<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$  converges to a left order  $<^\infty$ , defined as follows:  $a <^\infty b$  if and only if  $a <^n b$  for almost all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a, b \in G_r$  then either  $a <^i b$  for  $i > r$  or  $b <^i a$  for  $i > r$ . Therefore,  $<^\infty$  is a total order and, it is easy to verify that it is also a left order on  $G$ . Since  $\rho(<^n, <^\infty) \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$ , the sequence  $<^1, <^2, <^3, \dots$  converges to  $<^\infty$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.6.**  *$LO(G)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set if and only if*

- (1)  $LO(G) \neq \emptyset$ , and
- (2) for any sequence  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$ , of elements of  $G$ , the set  $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$  is either empty or infinite.

*Proof.* Any nonempty, metrizable, compact, perfect and totally disconnected set is a Cantor set ([6, Corollary 2-98]). (A set is perfect if every point of the set is a limit point.) Condition (2) states that  $LO(G)$  is perfect.  $\square$

Orderings on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  were classified in [14, 10].

**Proposition 1.7.** *For  $n > 1$ ,  $LO(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.*

*Proof.* Consider the smallest  $n > 1$  for which the statement fails. By Corollary 1.6, there exists a finite set of pairs  $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_s, b_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that the number of orderings  $<$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $a_i < b_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , is positive and finite. By adding some additional pairs, if necessary, we can assume that there is only one such ordering,  $<$ . Furthermore, we can assume that  $b_j - a_j$  is not a rational multiple of  $b_i - a_i$ , for any  $i \neq j$ . We extend  $<$  to an ordering on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  by demanding that  $v_1 < v_2$  for  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  if and only if  $nv_1 < nv_2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $nv_1, nv_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Consider the set  $H \subset \mathbb{Q}^n \otimes \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^n$  composed of elements  $x$  such that any neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  (with respect to the Euclidean topology in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) contains both positive and negative elements. ( $v \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  is positive if  $0 < v$ .) One can prove that  $H$  is a hyper-plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and that the two connected components of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H$ , denoted by  $H_+$  and  $H_-$ , have the property that all elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  in  $H_+$  are positive and all elements of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  in  $H_-$  are negative. Therefore the vectors  $b_i - a_i$  lie either in  $H_+$  or in  $H_-$ . Denote by  $I$  the set of  $i$ 's such that  $b_i - a_i \in H_+$ . Observe that  $<$  is the only order on  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  for which  $a_i < b_i$  for  $i \in I$ . (Any other order on  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  satisfying this condition would extended to an order  $<'$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  for which  $a_i <' b_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . This would contradict the uniqueness of  $<$ .) Since  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  does not satisfy condition (2) of Corollary 1.6, the initial assumption about  $n$  implies that  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \emptyset$  then  $I = \emptyset$  and there exist infinitely many hyper-planes  $H' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , obtained by small perturbations of  $H$ , giving rise to infinitely many orderings  $<'$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  such that  $a_i <' b_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Therefore,  $H \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{Z}$ . Since we assumed that none of the vectors  $b_i - a_i$  is a rational multiple of another, we see that  $b_i - a_i$  lies in  $H_+$  for exactly one index  $i = i_0$ . Now, by small perturbations of  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we can obtain infinitely many new hyper-planes  $H'$  such that  $b_{i_0} - a_{i_0}$  lies in the same component of  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H'$  as all other vectors  $b_i - a_i$ . Each of these hyper-planes induces an ordering  $<'$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $a_i <' b_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  - a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. BI-ORDERINGS

A bi-ordering is a linear ordering which is both left and right ordering. Using Proposition 1.3, we see that the set of bi-orderings  $BiO(G)$  inherits the same topology from  $LO(G)$  and  $RO(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.**  *$BiO(G)$  is a closed subset of  $LO(G)$ . Hence  $BiO(G)$  is the Cantor set if and only if  $BiO(G) \neq \emptyset$  and for any sequence  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n$ , of elements of  $G$ , the set  $BiO(G) \cap U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$  is either empty or infinite.*

*Proof.* Consider a metric on  $LO(G)$  induced by a filtration of  $G$ . For any infinite sequence,  $<_1, <_2, \dots$ , of bi-orders of  $G$  converging to a left order,  $<_\infty$ , we have  $a <_\infty b$  if and only if  $a <_i b$  for almost all  $i$ . Hence  $a <_\infty b$  implies  $ac <_\infty bc$  for any  $c$ . This proves that  $BiO(G)$  is a closed subset of  $LO(G)$ . The second part of the statement is proved exactly as Corollary 1.6.  $\square$

One should not expect, however, that the set of bi-orderings of a group, if infinite, is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. There are known examples of groups  $G$  for which  $BiO(G)$  has countably infinite number of elements, [8].

**Conjecture 2.2.** *For the free group on  $n > 1$  generators,  $F_n$ , the spaces  $LO(F_n)$  and  $BiO(F_n)$  are homeomorphic to the Cantor set.*

Let  $G = G^0 \supset G^1 \supset \dots$  be the lower central series of a group  $G$  such that  $\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} G^k = 1$ . Šimbirova and Neumann (see [13, 9] and [4, Ch. IV §2]) showed that any choice of orders on the groups  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  yields a total bi-order on  $G$ : if  $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$  then  $g$  is positive in  $G$  if and only if  $g$  is positive in  $G^k/G^{k+1}$ . Since any torsion-free abelian group is orderable, [14], if the groups  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  are torsion-free then  $G$  is bi-orderable<sup>1</sup>. We will call such orders standard and denote their set by  $SBiO(G)$ . They are characterized by the following condition satisfied by all  $g \in G$ : if  $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$  and  $g$  is positive then all elements of  $gG^{k+1}$  are positive.

**Proposition 2.3.** (1)  $SBiO(G)$  is a closed subset of  $BiO(G)$ .  
(2) If  $G \neq \mathbb{Z}$  and each factor  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  is finitely generated then  $SBiO(G)$  is either empty or homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

It is possible that the results of [14] make possible to relax the assumption about the finite number of generators of each of the factors  $G^k/G^{k+1}$ .

*Proof:* (1) If an order  $\leq$  is not standard then, by the above characterization of standard orders, there exists  $g \in G^k \setminus G^{k+1}$  and  $h \in G^{k+1}$  such that  $g > e$  and  $gh < e$ . Therefore  $\leq$  has an open neighborhood composed of non-standard orders.

(2) Assume that  $G \neq \mathbb{Z}$ . We may also assume that all  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  are torsion-free and, hence, free abelian groups, since otherwise  $SBiO(G) = \emptyset$ . As before, it suffices to prove that for any  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n \in G$  the set

$$SBiO(G) \cap U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$$

is either empty or infinite. Assume that this set is not empty. If  $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  for some  $k$  then one can obtain infinitely many standard orders in  $U_{a_1 b_1} \cap U_{a_2 b_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n b_n}$  by slightly modifying the order on  $G^k/G^{k+1}$ . (We use here the classification of orders of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  given in the proof of Proposition 1.7). Therefore from now on it is enough to assume that  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or trivial for all  $k$ .

<sup>1</sup>In fact the result of Šimbirova and Neumann applies to all groups with a central series, including the transfinite ones, ending with the trivial group.

We claim that in this situation  $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $k$ . Indeed if  $G^k/G^{k+1}$  is trivial for some  $k$  then  $G^l = G^k$  for all  $l \geq k$ , and since  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} G^i = 1$ ,  $G$  is nilpotent. However, the only nilpotent group  $G$  with  $G/G^1 = \mathbb{Z}$  is  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ . (This statement follows from [5, Cor. 10.3.3] where  $H = \langle h \rangle$  and  $hG^1$  is a generator of  $G/G^1 = \mathbb{Z}$ ).

Therefore, we may assume that  $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $k$ . Since each  $G^k/G^{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}$  has exactly two orderings it is not hard to see that  $SBiO(G) = \{0, 1\}^{\aleph_0}$  as a topological space.  $\square$

An example of a non-standard order can be constructed as follows: Vinogradov proved that if  $A, B$  are bi-ordered groups then  $A * B$  has also a bi-order, [15, 8]. The construction of such bi-order given in the proof of Theorem 2.3.1 in [8] has the property that if  $a_0, a_1 \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $a_0 > e$ ,  $a_1 < e$ ,  $b > e$  then  $a_0 b a_1 b^{-1} a_1^{-1} < e$ . Therefore that order is non-standard.

### 3. EXAMPLE: $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$

Let  $\mathbb{R}_{\downarrow}$  denote the real line with the topology with a basis of open sets composed of intervals  $[a, b)$ . Analogously, let  $\mathbb{R}_{\uparrow}$  be the real line with the topology whose basis is composed of intervals  $(a, b]$ . The above topologies carry onto the unit circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  via the map  $z \rightarrow e^{2\pi iz}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\downarrow}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S_{\downarrow}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_{\uparrow}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow S_{\uparrow}^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . We say that a point  $(x, y) \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is rational if  $x/y \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $X$  be the union of these two circles with corresponding irrational points identified,  $X = (S_{\downarrow}^1 \sqcup S_{\uparrow}^1) / \sim$ , where  $(x_1, y_1) \sim (x_2, y_2)$  if  $(x_1, y_1) \in S_{\downarrow}^1$ ,  $(x_2, y_2) \in S_{\uparrow}^1$ , and  $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$  is irrational.

**Proposition 3.1.** *BiO( $\mathbb{Z}^2$ ) is homeomorphic to  $X$ .*

*Proof.* First, we construct a map  $S_{\downarrow}^1 \sqcup S_{\uparrow}^1 \rightarrow BiO(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  as follows: Associate with  $x \in S_{\downarrow}^1$  an order  $<_x$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is positive if and only if the (oriented) angle between the vectors  $y$  and  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is in the interval  $(0, \pi]$ . Similarly, we associate with  $x \in S_{\uparrow}^1$  an order  $<^x$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is positive if and only if the angle between  $y$  and  $x$  is in the interval  $[0, \pi)$ . Note that these maps descend to a 1-1 map  $i$  from  $X$  into  $BiO(G)$ .

We claim that  $i$  is onto: Recall from the proof of Proposition 1.7 that any ordering on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  defines a 1-dimensional subspace  $H$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , such that one component of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus H$  is composed of positive elements and the other of negative elements. Notice that all orderings on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  inducing the line  $H$  are of the form  $<_x$  and  $<^x$ , where  $x$  is one of the two unit vectors in  $H$ .

Finally, we claim that  $i$  is a continuous map. To verify that claim it is sufficient to check that  $i^{-1}(U_{0a}) \subset X$  is open for any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . This condition follows from the fact that the sets  $\{x \in S_{\downarrow}^1 : 0 <_x a\}$  and  $\{x \in S_{\uparrow}^1 : 0 <^x a\}$  are open in  $S_{\downarrow}^1$  and in  $S_{\uparrow}^1$ , respectively.  $\square$

The above proposition has an unexpected application. Although it is known that any compact set is a continuous image of a map defined on the Cantor set (see [6, Thm 3-28]), usually it is difficult to visualize such a map. However, we can visualize a map from the Cantor set onto  $S^1$ . Consider the map  $f : X \rightarrow S^1$  given by identification of all corresponding points,  $x \in S^1_{\downarrow}$  with  $x \in S^1_{\uparrow}$ , in  $X = S^1_{\downarrow} \sqcup S^1_{\uparrow} / \sim$ . Since the topology of  $S^1_{\downarrow}$  and of  $S^1_{\uparrow}$  is richer than the Euclidean topology of the circle,  $f$  is continuous. By Propositions 1.7 and 3.1,  $X$  is the Cantor set.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS TO GRÖBNER BASES

Let  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Monomials in it,  $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$ , form a monoid (a semi-group with an identity), isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  by the isomorphism which carries  $x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  to  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ . From now on we will identify these two monoids.

A linear order on a set  $G$  is a well-order if any subset of  $G$  has a smallest element. For a semi-group  $G$ , we denote the set of all left well-orders of  $G$  by  $LWO(G)$ . The elements of  $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$  are called monomial orderings on  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . As a consequence of Dickson's lemma, [3, Cor. 6 Ch.2 §4], an order  $<$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  is a well-order if and only if 0 is the smallest element for  $<$ . Therefore

$$LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n) = LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n) \setminus \bigcup U_{a_0},$$

where the sum is over all non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ . Since  $\bigcup U_{a_0}$  is open, we get the following.

**Corollary 4.1.**  *$LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$  is a closed subset of  $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$ . Hence, by Theorem 1.4,  $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$  is compact.*

Furthermore, by adopting the reasoning given in the proof of Proposition 1.7, one can show that both  $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$  and  $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n)$  are homeomorphic to the Cantor set for  $n > 1$ .

Any polynomial  $w \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  decomposes as  $\sum_1^d c_i m_i$ , where  $m_i$ 's are monomials,  $m_i \neq m_j$ , and  $c_i$ 's are scalars in  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $c_i \neq 0$ . For a given monomial ordering, the leading monomial of  $w$ ,  $LM(w)$ , is the largest monomial among  $m_1, \dots, m_d$ . If  $LM(w) = m_i$ , then the leading term of  $w$  is  $LT(w) = c_i m_i$ . For a non-zero ideal  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we denote by  $LM(I)$  the ideal in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  generated by the leading monomials of polynomials in  $I$ . Finally, we say that a set of polynomials in  $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\} \subset I$ , is a *Gröbner basis* of  $I$  if the leading monomials of these polynomials generate  $LM(I)$ . Such a basis is very useful for practical computations with  $I$  and  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , see [1, 2, 3]. Buchberger's algorithm provides a practical method of calculating a Gröbner bases, see eg. [3, Ch. 2 §7].

**Proposition 4.2.** *For any ideal  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and for any set of polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$  the set of monomial orderings on  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for which  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$  is open.*

*Proof.* For any  $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , let

$$S(f, g) = \frac{LCM(LM(f), LM(g))}{LT(f)} f - \frac{LCM(LM(f), LM(g))}{LT(g)} g,$$

where  $LCM$  denotes the least common multiple. By [3, Theorem 2.§6.6], a set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I$  if and only if  $S(f_i, f_j)$  is divisible by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  (with remainder = 0). (The division by multiple polynomials was defined in [3, Theorem 2.§3.3]). The division process is based on a finite number of comparisons  $m \leq m'$  between monomials. Therefore, if  $S(f_i, f_j)$  is divisible by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  for a monomial ordering  $\leq$ , then it is also divisible by  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  for all orderings in some open neighborhood of  $\leq$  in the space of monomial orderings.  $\square$

As an application of Theorem 1.4 we can give now a very short proof of the existence of universal Gröbner bases (following the idea of the proof given in [12]).

**Theorem 4.3.** [12] *For any ideal  $I \triangleleft \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  there exists a finite set of polynomials  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$  which is a Gröbner basis of  $I$  with respect to any monomial ordering. Such set is called a universal Gröbner basis.*

*Proof.* For any  $f_1, \dots, f_s \in I$  let  $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}$  be the set of monomial orderings for which  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}$  is open. Since, by Corollary 4.1, the space of monomial orderings is compact, it has a finite cover by  $V_{\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}}, \dots, V_{\{h_1, \dots, h_t\}}$ . The set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_s, \dots, h_1, \dots, h_t\}$  is the universal Gröbner basis of  $I$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that Corollary 4.1 (which was an essential part of the above proof) does not hold for some monoids other than  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ .

**Example:** The monoid of monomials in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  is isomorphic to the infinite sum,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$ . Each linear order,  $<$ , on the set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  induces a lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ : Let  $m = x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots$  and  $n = x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \dots$  be two arbitrary monomials in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ . Let  $x_i$  be the smallest variable (with respect to  $<$ ) for which  $m_i \neq n_i$ . Then  $m < n$  if and only if  $m_i < n_i$ . We denote such induced order on  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$  by  $<_L$  (L stands for lexicographic).  $<_L$  is a well-order if and only if  $<$  is a well-order. Consider now a sequence of well-orders  $<^1, <^2, \dots$  such that

$$x_n <^n x_{n-1} <^n \dots <^n x_2 <^n x_1 <^n x_{n+1} <^n x_{n+2} <^n x_{n+3} <^n \dots$$

Consider a filtration  $\{G_*\}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty$  such that  $G_n$  contains all monomials in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of total degree at most  $n$ . It is easy to check that the sequence of lexicographic orders  $<_L^1, <_L^2, \dots$  converges with respect to the metric induced by the filtration  $\{G_*\}$  to a new lexicographic order  $<_L^\infty$  induced by

$$\dots <^\infty x_n <^\infty x_{n-1} <^\infty \dots <^\infty x_2 <^\infty x_1.$$

Hence  $<_L^\infty$  is not a well-order on  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ , and therefore,  $LWO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty)$  is not a closed subset of  $LO(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\infty)$ .

## 5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank R. Bryant for suggesting a simplification of the proof of Proposition 2.3(2) and the referee of this paper for many insightful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Adams, P. Loustau, An Introduction to Gröbner bases, Graduate Studies in mathematics **3**, AMS, 1994.
- [2] T. Becker, V. Weispfenning, Gröbner Bases, A Computational Approach to Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag 1993.
- [3] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O’Shea, Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms, An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, 2nd edition, Springer 1997.
- [4] L. Fuchs, Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems, Pergamon Press 1963.
- [5] M. Hall Jr., The theory of groups, Macmillan Co. 1959.
- [6] J. G. Hocking, G. S. Young, Topology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Inc., 1961.
- [7] A. I. Kokorin, V. M. Kopytov, Fully ordered groups, Halsted Press (John Wiley & Sons), New York-Toronto, Ont.; Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem-London, 1974.
- [8] R. B. Mura, A. Rhemtulla, Orderable groups, Lectures in pure and applied mathematics, Vol. 27, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1977.
- [9] B. H. Neumann, On ordered groups, *Amer. Journ. Math.* **71**(1949) 202–252.
- [10] L. Robbiano, Term orderings on the polynomial ring. In: B. F. Cavines ed., Eurocal’85, European Conference on Computer Algebra, Vol. II, Springer LNCS **204**, 513–517.
- [11] D. J. S. Robinson, A course in the theory of groups, Second Ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer 1996.
- [12] N. Schwartz, Stability of Gröbner bases, *J. of Pure and Applied Alg.* **53**(1988), 171–186.
- [13] E.P. Šimbireva, On the theory of partially ordered groups. (In Russian), *Mat. Sbornik* **20**(1947), 145–178.
- [14] H.-H. Teh, Construction of orders in abelian groups, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **57**(1961), 467–482.
- [15] A. A. Vinogradov, On the free product of ordered groups, *Mat. Sbornik* **67** (N.S. 25) 1949, 163–168.

Dept. of Mathematics, 244 Math Bldg, SUNY Buffalo, NY 14260  
asikora@buffalo.edu