# Orthogonal and Symplectic Black Box Groups, Revisited

Alexandre V. Borovik<sup>\*</sup>

November 20, 2018

#### Abstract

We propose a simple one sided Monte-Carlo algorithm to distinguish, to any given degree of certainty, the symplectic group  $C_n(q) = PSp_{2n}(q)$  from the orthogonal group  $B_n(q) = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where q > 3 is odd and n and q are given. The algorithm does not use an order oracle and works in polynomial, of  $n \log q$ , time.

This paper corrects an error in the previously published version of the algorithm [1].

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20P05.

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to correct an error in the previously published version [1] of a Monte-Carlo algorithm which distinguishes, to any given degree of certainty, the symplectic group  $C_n(q) = \text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  from the orthogonal group  $B_n(q) = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where q > 3 is odd and n and q are given. Namely we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** There is a polynomial time one sided Monte-Carlo algorithm which, when given a black box group G isomorphic to a simple classical group of type  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  defined over some field of given size q > 3 and odd characteristic, can decide whether G is isomorphic to  $C_n$  or not. If G is  $C_n$  then, with probability > 1/2, the algorithm produces a witness against isomorphism  $G \simeq B_n(q)$ .

The authors would like to thank Eamonn O'Brien and Gunter Malle who found an error in the original version of the algorithm (Lemma 2.4 of [1] was false). I am also grateful to Sukru Yalcinkaya who corrected a considerable number of typographic errors in the text.

We use the notation and results of [1].

#### 1.1 Description of the algorithm: the easy case, n is odd.

Recall that  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  denotes the kernel of the spinor norm

$$SO_{2n+1}(q) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

it is a subgroup of index 2 in  $SO_{2n+1}(q)$  and coincides with the commutator of  $SO_{2n+1}(q)$  if  $n \ge 2$  and  $q \ge 3$  (for details see, for example, Artin [2]). It is well known that  $PSp_2(q) \simeq \Omega_3(q) \simeq PSL_2(q)$  and  $PSp_4(q) \simeq \Omega_5(q)$ . Hence it is obviously enough to give an algorithm for  $n \ge 3$ .

The easy case of the algorithm deals with the situation when n is odd, and the hard case is concerned with even n.

If x is an element of even order, we use notation i(x) for the involution in the cyclic group  $\langle x \rangle$ .

The structure of the algorithm in the easy case is the same as described in [1] and very simple.

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by the Royal Society and Leverhulme Trust.

INPUT: A black box group G isomorphic to  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  or  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $n \ge 3$  and q > 3 given, n is odd.

- OUTPUT: If G is  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  then, with probability > 1/2, the algorithm produces a witness against isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (1) Find an element x of good order (the definition is given below). As it was discussed in [1], this can be done in polynomial time.
  - (2) Compute the involution i = i(x) in the cyclic group generated by x, this also can be done in polynomial time.
  - (3) This step is vacuous in the easy case.
  - (4) Compute  $y := i^g i^h$  for random elements  $g, h \in G$ . If o(y) divides q(q+1) or q(q-1) then go to Step 5. Otherwise return 'G is  $PSp_{2n}(q)$ ', with the pair  $(i^g, i^h)$  being a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (5) Repeat Step 4 at least 20*n* times. If o(y) always divides q(q+1) or q(q-1) then return 'G is not  $PSp_{2n}(q)$ '. The error probability is < 1/2 in this case.

The number of necessary repetitions in Step 5 is a very crude estimate, which was sufficient for our theoretical purposes. In practice, if G is of type  $C_n$  and q > 3, then one does get an element  $i^g \cdot i^h$  whose order does not divide q(q+1) or q(q-1) at the very first iteration.

#### 1.2 Description of the algorithm: the hard case, n is even.

Let p be a prime number  $p, q = p^k$  and  $m \ge 2$ . A prime dividing  $q^m - 1$  but not  $q^i - 1$  for  $1 \le i < m$  is called a *primitive prime divisor of*  $q^m - 1$ . It will be convenient to say that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^m + 1$  if p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2m} - 1$ , see Lemma2.2 for further discussion of primitive prime divisor always exist by Zsigmondy [7]. The primitive divisor rank pdrank(q) is the maximal integer k such that o(q) is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^k - 1$ .

- INPUT: A black box group G isomorphic to  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  or  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ,  $n \ge 3$  and q given, n is even (and hence  $q^n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ ).
- OUTPUT: If G is  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  then, with probability > 1/2, the algorithm constructs a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (1) Find an element x of good order as in the easy case.
  - (2) Compute the involution i = i(x) in the cyclic group generated by x.
  - (3) Our next task is to make sure that *i* is an involution of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  or  $t_n$ . See Table 1 for the list of conjugacy classes of involutions and their centralisers (the details are mostly taken from [5]).

For this purpose, construct (almost) uniformly distributed random elements of  $C_G(i)$  as explained in [1, Section 3]. The possibilities for  $C_G(i)$  are given in Table 1. Notice that the groups  $C_G(t_1)$ ,  $C_G(t_{n-1})$  (in the group  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) and  $C_G(t_n)$  have much bigger orders of semisimple elements than centralisers of involutions of other types. Namely, in these three cases we can find in  $C_G(i)$ , with probability > O(1/n), a 'big' element, that is, an element of order divisible by a prime primitive divisor of  $q^{n-1}\pm 1$ . However 'big' elements are not present in the centralisers of involutions of other types. As soon as a 'big' element in  $C_G(i)$  is found, we conclude that i is of type  $t_1, t_{n-1}$ (in the group  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  and move to Step 4. If we cannot find a 'big' element, we return to Step 1 and start our search for an involution of type  $t_1, t_{n-1}$  or  $t_n$  from the beginning.

Involution $t_i \setminus \text{Group } G$	$\mathrm{PSp}_{2n}(q)$	$\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$
$t_1$	$\operatorname{Sp}_2(q) \circ \operatorname{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)$	$\mathbb{Z}_{q\pm 1} \times \Omega_{2n-1}(q)$
$t_i$ $2 \leq i \leq n/2 \text{ (in } PSp_n(q))$ $2 \leq i < n-1 \text{ (in } \Omega_{2n+1}(q))$	$\operatorname{Sp}_{2i}(q) \circ \operatorname{Sp}_{2n-2i}(q)$	$\begin{split} \Omega_{2i}^+(q) &\times \Omega_{2(n-i)+1}(q) \\ & (i \text{ even}) \\ \Omega_{2i}^-(q) &\times \Omega_{2(n-i)+1}(q) \\ (i \text{ odd and } q^i \equiv -1 \text{ mod } 4) \end{split}$
$t_{n-1} (in \ \Omega_{2n+1}(q))$		$\Omega_{2n-2}^{+}(q) \times \mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ ( <i>n</i> is odd)
		$\Omega_{2n-2}^{-}(q) \times \mathrm{PSL}_{3}(q)$ ( <i>n</i> is even and $q^{n-1} \equiv -1 \mod 4$ )
$t_n$ $q \equiv 1 \bmod 4$	$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GL}_n(q)$	$\Omega^+_{2n}(q)$
$t_n$ $q \equiv -1 \bmod 4$	$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GU}_n(q^2)$	$\Omega^{2n}(q)$

Table 1: Involutions in groups  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n}(q)$ , n even. The second and the third column show the generalised Fitting subgroup  $F^*(C_G(t_i))$  of  $C_G(t_i)$  in the groups  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , correspondingly. In all cases  $C_G(t_i)/F^*(C_G(t_i))$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $\leq 4$ .

- A. V. Borovik Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups, Revisited 21.10.01
  - (4) Now we want to make sure that we found an involution of type  $t_n$ . For that we compute pdrank $(ii^g)$  for 20n random elements g. We know from Lemmata 2.8, 2.10 and 2.12 that if i is not of type  $t_n$ , or if i is of type  $t_n$  and  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  then  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Hence if we found  $g \in G$  such that  $pdrank(ii^g) > 6$  then we have a definite witness against G being the orthogonal group. In this case we return 'G is  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ '.

If we have not found an element g with  $pdrank(ii^g) > 6$ , we can return to the search from Step 1.

- (4bis) SHORTCUTS. However, there are some shortcuts which give a quicker, although not one-sided solution.
  - (4i) It follows from Lemma 2.12 that if  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 6$  for O(n) random elements  $g \in G$  and, for some g,  $pdrank(ii^g) > 4$  then i is likely to be an involution of type  $t_{n-1}$  in the orthogonal group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (4ii) It follows from Lemmata 2.10 and 2.12 that if  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 4$  for O(n) random elements  $g \in G$  and, for some g,  $pdrank(ii^g) > 2$  then i is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in the orthogonal group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (4iii) It follows from follows from Lemmata 2.102.12if and that pdrank $(ii^g) \leq 2$  for O(n) random elements  $g \in G$ , then i is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  or of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ . Since the centralisers of these involutions have very different structure (see Table 1), a further distinction between the two cases can be made by running a black box for  $C = C_G(i)$ . The following steps allow to detect a normal subgroup  $SL_2(q)$  in  $C = C_{Sp_{2n}(q)}(t_1)$ .
    - \* Choose  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$  so that  $q^{n-1} \varepsilon \equiv 2 \mod 4$ . Randomly search in C for an element x of order divisible by 4 and by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{n-1} \varepsilon$ . If such an element cannot be found after O(n) attempts, *i* is likely to be an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
    - \* Once the element x is found, compute an element y of order 4 in  $\langle x \rangle$ .
    - \* Compute O(n) elements  $yy^c$  for random  $c \in C$ . If, for all of them,  $o(yy^c) | q(q\pm 1)$  then *i* is likely to be an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  (and *y* belongs to the  $SL_2(q)$ -component of  $C_G(i)$ ).
    - \* If, however,  $o(yy^c) \nmid q(q \pm 1)$  for some  $c \in C$  then *i* is likely to be an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .
  - (5) If we returned to Step 1 more than 20n times and have not found a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , we conclude that  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

## **2** Tori and semisimple elements in groups $B_n$ and $C_n$

Definitions and notation used in the paper are standard and borrowed from Carter [3, 4] and Gorenstein, Lyons and Solomon [5]. We retain the notation and use the results of [1]. We use the description of maximal tori in groups  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  as given in [1, Section 2.1].

## **2.1** Tori of order $\frac{1}{2}(q^n \pm 1)$ .

The crucial steps of our algorithm are aimed at finding involutions belonging to tori  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n\pm 1}$  twisted by an element of the cycle type  $\pm n$ . We have to distinguish the following cases:

- (i)  $q^n \equiv -1 \mod 4$ , i.e.  $n \text{ odd and } q \equiv -1 \mod 4$ .
- (*ii*)  $q^n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , i.e. n even or  $q \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .

In the first case the maximal tori of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n + 1)$  contain involutions, in the second case the maximal tori of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n - 1)$  contain involutions.

We call tori  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n\pm 1}$  maximal twisted tori.

We are looking for involutions belonging to maximal twisted tori. For that purpose, we introduce the concept of 2-height. Let X be a group and  $x \in X$  such that  $o(x) = 2^k m$  where m is odd. Then k is called the 2-height of x. The 2-height of X is the maximum of heights of elements in X.

**Lemma 2.1** If T is a torus of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n\pm 1}$  then

$$|N_G(T):T| = 2n.$$

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.3].

#### 2.2 Primitive prime divisors.

Let p be a prime number p and  $m \ge 2$ . A prime dividing  $p^m - 1$  but not  $p^i - 1$  for  $1 \le i < m$  is called a primitive prime divisor of  $p^m - 1$ . In our case primitive prime divisors always exist by Zsigmondy [7]. Furthermore an integer j is called a ppd(p;m) if  $j \mid q^m - 1$  and j is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $p^m - 1$ . Let  $q = p^e$ . A primitive prime divisor or  $p^{em} - 1$  will be called, for brevity, a ppd(q,m). An element of G of order dividing a ppd(q, n) is called a primitive prime divisor element.

The role of primitive prime divisors becomes apparent from the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** (i) Let r be a ppd(q, n). If  $r \mid q^m + 1$  for m < n, then n is even and m = n/2.

(ii) Let r be a ppd(q, 2n). Then  $r \nmid q^m \pm 1$  for all m < n and  $r \nmid q^n - 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $r \mid q^m + 1$  for some m < n. This means that  $r \mid q^{2n} - 1$  and the Galois field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  has a multiplicative subgroup R of order R. But the Galois field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  also contains a multiplicative subgroup of order r. Since the algebraic closure  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  contains a unique field of every give order, the intersection  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is well defined. The inclusion  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} < \mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  because this would mean  $n \mid 2m$ , contradicting our assumption m < n. The equality  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  leads to the desired conclusion m = n/2. Finally, we are left with the case when  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}} \cap \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  is a proper subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . But then the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^k}$  contains R, an unique subgroup of order r in the both  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2m}}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , and therefore  $r \mid q^k - 1$ , a contradiction.

(ii) follows from (i); we need only notice that r is odd, and, since  $q^n - 1$  and  $q^n + 1$  have no odd divisors in common,  $r \nmid q^n - 1$ 

#### 2.3 Good elements.

Let P be a maximal twisted torus of even order and let  $|P| = 2^k m$  where k and m are natural numbers and m is odd. We say that an element  $g \in P$  is of maximal 2-height, if the 2-height of g is k. Since P is cyclic, elements of maximal 2-height exists. Furthermore half of the elements of P have maximal 2-height as the cyclic group generated by a 2-element x of maximal 2-height contains  $2^{k-1}$  elements of maximal 2-height, namely  $x^s$  for s an odd integer.

In the following we are looking for elements of maximal 2-height in a maximal twisted torus. We call  $g \ good$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- pdrank(g) = 2n when  $q^n \equiv -1 \mod 4$  and pdrank(g) = n when  $q^n \equiv 1 \mod 4$ .
- g has maximal 2-height.

We shall call good elements belonging to maximal twisted tori in G good twisted elements.

**Lemma 2.3** The number of good twisted elements in G is at least  $\frac{1}{5m}|G|$ .

*Proof.* Let T be a maximal twisted torus in G. By Lemma 2.1  $|N_G(T)/T| = 2n$ . Therefore there are  $\frac{|G|}{2n|T|}$  tori conjugate to T. Let r be a ppd(q, n) or ppd(q, 2n), depending on whether T is of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n+1}$ , and denote by  $T^{2r}$  the unique subgroup of index 2r in T. Then any element whose image in  $T/T^{2r}$  generate  $T/T^{2r}$  is a good element. It is easy to see that the number of such elements in T is  $\frac{r-1}{2r}|T|$ . Also, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that a good element does not belong to a proper subtorus of T and thus belongs to a unique twisted torus. Now we can estimate the total number of good elements in all twisted tori as at least

$$\frac{|G|}{2n|T|} \cdot \frac{r-1}{2r} |T| = \frac{1}{4n} \cdot \frac{r-1}{r} |G| \ge \frac{1}{5n} |G|,$$

since, obviously,  $r \ge 5$ .

#### 2.4 Regular twisted elements.

A regular element in a torus of type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n\pm 1}$  or will be called a *regular twisted* element. Notice that good elements in these tori are regular twisted elements.

**Lemma 2.4** The number of regular twisted elements in G conjugate to an element in a torus T of the given type  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}T_{q^n+1}$  is at least  $\frac{1}{4n}|G|$ .

*Proof:* See [1, Lemma 2.5].

### **2.5** Involutions of type $t_n$ in $PSp_{2n}(q)$ .

For the following compare the description of conjugacy classes of involutions in  $PSp_{2n}(k)$  and  $SO_{2n+1}(k)$  as given in Theorems 11.52 and 11.53 of Taylor [6] and Section 4.5 of [5].

The group  $\operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  has  $[\frac{n}{2}]+1$  conjugacy classes of involutions. Let  $s \in \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  represent an element of order 2 in  $\operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ . Then either  $s^2 = -I$  or  $s^2 = I$ . All involutions which are represented by an element s such that  $s^2 = -I$  form one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_n$ . Furthermore for every even number  $2 \leq m \leq n$  there is one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_{m/2}$ . If s is an involution of type  $t_{m/2}$ , then the eigenvalues of s on the underlying natural module are -1 with multiplicity m and 1 with multiplicity 2n - m.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $G := PSp_{2n}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . If H is a torus of G then there exists an involution  $j \in i^G$  such that H is inverted by j.

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $G := PSp_{2n}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  be an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then  $i^g i^h$  is a regular twisted element of odd order with probability  $p \ge \frac{1}{20n}$  for random  $g, h \in G$ .

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.7].

As an immediate corollary we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7** If *i* is an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $G = PSp_{2n}(q)$  then the share of elements  $g \in G$  such that the element  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least  $\frac{1}{20n}$ .

*Proof:* See [1, Lemma 2.8].

## **2.6** Involutions of type $t_n$ in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ .

The group  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  has *n* conjugacy classes of involutions. There is one conjugacy class of involutions of type  $t_{m/2}$  for each even number  $2 \leq m \leq 2n$ . An involution *s* is of type  $t_m$  if the eigenvalues of *s* on the underlying natural module are -1 with multiplicity *m* and 1 with multiplicity 2n + 1 - m.

**Lemma 2.8** Let  $G := \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then the element  $i^g \cdot i^h$  acts trivially on a subspace of codimension 2 in the natural module for G. In particular,  $o(i^g i^h) | q(q+1)$  or  $o(i^g i^h) | q(q-1)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .

*Proof.* Let V be the natural module for G. Since i is of type  $t_n$  the dimension of the eigenspace  $V_-$  of i for the eigenvalue -1 is 2n, while the eigenspace  $V_+$  for the eigenvalue +1 is 1-dimensional. Therefore  $i^g i^h$  belongs to  $C = C_{\mathrm{SL}(V)}(V_-g \cap V_-h)$  and  $W = V_-g \cap V_-h$  has codimension  $\leq 2$  in V. Notice that  $C/O_p(C)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$  (here  $p = \operatorname{char} \mathbb{F}_q$ ). Notice also that the element  $i^g i^h$  leaves invariant the subspace  $V_+g + V_+h$ . The result now easily follows.

**Lemma 2.9** Let  $G := \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $i \in G$  an involution of type  $t_n$ . Then the share of elements  $g \in G$  such that the element  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least

$$\frac{1}{4(1+2/q+o(1/q))}.$$

*Proof:* We use notation of the previous lemma with  $W = V_- \cap V_- g$  and  $U = V_+ + V_+ g$ . Let  $q \equiv 1 \mod 4$ . Then a direct computation with the help of information about finite orthogonal geometries contained in Section III.6 of Artin [2] shows that, with probability

$$\rho > \frac{1}{4(1+2/q+o(1/q))}$$

the subspace U is nondegenerate and has index 0. Hence the group  $\langle i, i^g \rangle$  is isomorphically imbedded into  $O(U) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{q+1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$  and half of the elements  $ii^g$  have odd order, yielding the desired estimate. The case  $q \equiv -1 \mod 4$  can be treated analogously.

### **2.7** Involutions of type $t_1$ in $PSp_{2n}(q)$

**Lemma 2.10** If *i* is an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  then

$$o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1).$$

*Proof:* Similarly to the previous section, a preimage in  $G = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  of the involution i (which we denote by the same symbol i) is a 'low dimensional' involution, that is, the eigenspace  $V_-$  has dimension 2 or n-2. Assume that dim  $V_- = 2$ , the other case is similar. Then, for random  $g, h \in G$ , the group  $\langle i^g, i^h \rangle$ leaves the subspaces  $V_-g + V_-h$  and  $V_+g \cap V_+h$  invariant and  $V = (V_-g + V_-h) \oplus (V_+g \cap V_+h)$ . Denote  $W = V_-g + V_-h$ . Notice that  $\langle i^g, i^h \rangle < \operatorname{Sp}(W)$ .

We have three possibilities: dim W = 2, 3 or 4. If dim W = 2 the  $i^g = i^h$ . If the restriction of the symplectic form to W is degenerate (this automatically holds when dim W = 3) then  $\operatorname{Sp}(W)/O_p(\operatorname{Sp}(W)) \simeq \operatorname{Sp}_2(q) = \operatorname{SL}_2(q)$ , and the lemma follows. If dim W = 4 and the restriction of the symplectic form to W is non-degenerate then  $\operatorname{Sp}(W) = \operatorname{Sp}_4(q)$  and  $i^g$  and  $i^h$  are involutions of type  $t_1$  in  $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ . Now the result follows from an easy computation in  $\operatorname{Sp}_4(q)$ .

Moreover, the same analysis yields the following result.

**Lemma 2.11** If i is an involution of type  $t_1$  in  $PSp_{2n}(q)$  then the share of elements in G such that  $ii^g$  is of odd order is at least 1/4.

## **2.8** Involutions of type $t_1$ and $t_{n-1}$ in $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$

**Lemma 2.12** Let *i* be an involution of type  $t_1$  or  $t_{n-1}$  in  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and *g* is a random element in *G*.

- (i) If i is of type  $t_1$  then  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 4$ . Moreover,  $pdrank(ii^g) > 2$  with probability bounded from below by a constant.
- (ii) If i is of type  $t_{n-1}$  then  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Moreover,  $pdrank(ii^g) > 2$  with probability bounded from below by a constant.
- (iii) If i is of type  $t_1$  or  $t_{n-1}$  then the element  $ii^g$  has odd order with probability bounded from below by a constant.

The experimental evidence suggests that the constants in (i) and (ii) are 1/8 for  $t_1$  and 1/4 for  $t_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the previous result.

#### 2.9 Involutions produced by good elements.

**Lemma 2.13** Let *i* be the involution in a maximal twisted torus T < G. Then *i* is of type  $t_n$ .

*Proof.* See [1, Lemma 2.13(1)].

We shall use the following corollary from this result and Lemma 2.3.

**Lemma 2.14** Let g be a random element in G. Then the involution i produced by g has type  $t_n$  with probability at least 1/5n.

Finally,

## 3 Detailed description of the algorithm

#### **3.1** Step 1: search for a good element *x*.

The algorithm spends most of its timing testing if elements are good. This is done exactly as described in [1, Section 4].

It easily follows from Lemma 2.3 that a sample of N random elements of G contains a good element with probability

$$1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{5n}\right)^N > 1 - e^{-\frac{N}{5n}}.$$

Therefore if we are given fixed margin of error  $\epsilon$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , and take a sample of size  $O(n \log(1/\epsilon))$ , then we can be satisfied that it contains a good element with probability  $1 - \epsilon$ . As proven in [1], production of a random element and testing it for goodness require polynomial time.

### **3.2** Step 2: involution i = i(x).

As soon as we have a good element x and know that it is an element of maximal 2-height in a cyclic group of order  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n \pm 1)$ , the involution x = i(x) can be obtained by raising x to the power  $\frac{1}{4}(q^n \pm 1)$ . As discussed in [1], this requires polynomial time. Lemma 2.13 asserts that *i* is of type  $t_n$ .

#### 

#### **3.3** Step 3: involution of type $t_n$ .

Notice that if n is odd then the involution i is of type  $t_n$ .

However, if n is even then i can happen to be of different type.

We can check whether *i* is of type  $t_n$  or not by constructing uniformly distributed random elements  $\zeta_1(x)$  of  $C_G(i)$  as described in [1, Section 3]. If *i* is of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  then, in view of Lemmata 2.11, 2.12 and 2.9, this method produces (in polynomial of  $n \log q$  time) random and uniformly distributed elements of  $C_G(i)$ . Let  $L = F * (C_G(i))$ . Depending on whether *i* is one of the  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ ) or  $t_n$ , *L* contains 'big' elements, that is, elements of order divisible by a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{n-1} - 1$ ,  $q^{n-1} + 1$ ,  $q^{n-1} + 1$  (compare Table 1 and Table 2). It can be observed directly from the formulae for orders in Table 2 that centralisers of involutions of other types do not contain 'big' elements.

$ \mathrm{GL}_k(q) $	$q^{k(k-1)/2}(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^k-1)$
$ \mathrm{PSp}_{2k}(q)  =  \Omega_{2k+1}(q) $	$\frac{1}{(2,q-1)}q^{k^2}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k}-1)$
$ \mathrm{P}\Omega_{2k}^+(q) $	$\frac{1}{(4,q^k-1)}q^{k(k-1)}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^k-1)$
$ \mathrm{P}\Omega^{2k}(q) $	$\frac{1}{(4,q^k+1)}q^{k(k-1)}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2k-2}-1)(q^k+1)$
$ \mathrm{GU}_k(q^2) $	$q^{k(k-1)/2}(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^k-(-1)^k)$

Table 2: Orders of some classical groups, [3, pp. 2–8].

It is easy to see that 'big' elements are regular elements in maximal tori of orders  $\frac{1}{2}(q \pm 1)(q^{n-1} \pm 1)$ or  $\frac{1}{2}(q^n + 1)$ . Using the same technique as in Sections 2.1–2.3, we can see that the probability of a random element to be 'big' is O(1/n) and hence one of them has to pop up from the black box for  $C_G(i)$ after O(n) iterations. As soon as we have found a 'big' element, we know that *i* is of type  $t_1$ ,  $t_{n-1}$  (in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ ) or  $t_n$  and can go to Step 4.

If a 'big' element cannot be found after O(n) tests of elements from  $C_G(i)$ , then to Step 1 and start the search for an involution of type  $t_1$  or  $t_n$  from the beginning.

#### 3.4 Step 4.

Now we want to make sure that we found an involution of type  $t_n$  in  $PSp_{2n}(q)$ . For that we compute  $pdrank(ii^g)$  for 20*n* random elements *g*. We know from Lemma 2.6 that if *i* is of type  $t_n$  in  $PSp_{2n}(q)$ , then, with probability  $> 1 - e^{-1}$ , the primitive divisor rank of one of the elements  $ii^g$  is at least 2*n*. We know from Lemmata 2.8, 2.10 and 2.12 that if *i* is not of type  $t_n$ , or if *i* is of type  $t_n$  and  $G = \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  then  $pdrank(ii^g) \leq 6$ . Hence if we found  $g \in G$  such that  $pdrank(ii^g) > 6$  then we have a definite witness against *G* being the orthogonal group. In this case we return '*G* is  $Sp_{2n}(q)$ '.

If we have not found an element g with  $pdrank(ii^g) > 6$ , we can return to the search from Step 1.

The estimate of the number of elements  $ii^g$  needed in this step is, of course, very crude; an experimental evidence suggests that, instead of 20n elements  $ii^g$ , 8 elements suffice.

SHORTCUTS in Step 4 are mostly self-explanatory.

#### 3.5 Step 5.

The involution *i* of type  $t_n$  behaves very differently in  $\operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ . Namely, in  $\operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ an element  $i^g i^h$  for random  $g, h \in G$  is a regular twisted element with probability  $\geq \frac{1}{20n}$  (Lemma 2.6), while in  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  we always have  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1)$  (Lemma 2.8). Obviously, a regular twisted element cannot have order dividing  $q(q \pm 1)$ . As soon as we have that  $o(i^g i^h)$  does not divide  $q(q \pm 1)$ , the pair  $(i^g, i^h)$  is a witness against the isomorphism  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and in that case  $G \simeq \operatorname{PSp}_{2n}(q)$ .

On the other hand, if in 20*n* tests we had the same result  $o(i^g i^h) \mid q(q \pm 1)$ , then  $G \simeq \Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  with probability  $\geq 1 - e^{-1}$ . Timing estimate here is very crude and can be considerably improved. In practice, if  $G \simeq PSp_{2n}(q)$  then  $o(i^g i^h) \nmid q(q \pm 1)$  at the very first attempt.

## 4 The GAP code.

The algorithm was tested in GAP<sup>1</sup>. The Appendix contains a (very primitive) GAP source code Bn-v-Cn.g, which generates (at random) a group  $G = Sp_{2n}(q)$  or  $SO_{2n+1}(q)$  and initiates the functions Test(G) and PlainTest(G) which determine the type of the group G with the help of the algorithm described in this paper, with (Test(G)) or without (PlainTest(G)) shortcuts in Step 4.

The functions PlainTest(G) and Test(G) return the list of 4 integers. Of these,

- The first position is 0 or 1, according to the answer *symplectic* or *\*orthogonal* given by the algorithm.
- The second position is the rule number used in this decision (used for the debugging of the algorithm).
- The third position is the dimension of the eigenspace for the eigenvalue -1 of the involution used in the identification of the group this information is used only for the debugging of the algorithm).
- The fourth number is the numbers of re-runs of the test if the first run was inconclusive.

I wish to emphasise that this GAP code was written only for checking the algorithm and is not designed for the practical use. In particular, it works with the groups  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(q)$  instead of  $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$  and  $\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$ , although all computations are done in the way which makes sense in the factor group modulo the scalars and in the commutator group; in particular, it works, instead of involutions, with *pseudoinvolutions*, that is, elements of order 2 or 4 whose images modulo the scalars are involutions. To see how the code works, enter at the GAP command prompt:

to see now the code works, enter at the GAT command promp

```
gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=Test(G); Interpret(test);
```

or

```
gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=PlainTest(G); Interpret(test);
```

To check the answer, enter

#### gap> G;

I have to warn, however, that PlainTest(G) takes considerable time to produce the answer if G is the orthogonal group.

So far more than 2000 experiments with the function **Test(G)** have not yield a single wrong answer, while **PlainTest(G)** erred once in 600 experiments.

This was my first ever attempt to write in GAP, and I bravely ignored the good programming practice; in particular, the global variables q, n, type (0 or 1 for symplectic or orthogonal) for generation of a black box group can be changed only in the file Bn-v-Cn.g, which after that has to be read again to generate all the required functions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The software package GAP is available, for variety of platforms, from http://aldebaran.math.rwth-aachen.de/~GAP/

Also, I am using the function Order(element), to make the code simpler; this is not necessary, of course, and it can be replaced by raising the element in the appropriate powers as discussed in [1]. This would make it possible to work in "large" groups.

Structurally, I was not concerned with the optimal branching in the program. I intentionally wanted all branches being regularly visited, although, in many cases, this could be avoided.

## References

- C. Altseimer and A. Borovik, 'Probabilistic recognition of orthogonal and symplectic groups', Groups and Computations III, (eds W. M.Kantor and A. Seress), de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 2001, pp. 1–20.
- [2] E. Artin, Geometric Algebra, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1957.
- [3] R. W. Carter, Simple Groups of Lie Type (John Wiley and Sons, London a.o., 1972).
- [4] R. Carter, Finite Groups of Lie Type: Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters, Wiley, 1985.
- [5] D. Gorenstein, R. Lyons and R. Solomon, 'The classification of the finite simple groups 3', Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 40, AMS, Providence, 1998.
- [6] D. E. Taylor, The Geometry of the Classical Groups (Heldermann, Berlin, 1992).
- [7] K. Zsigmondy, 'Zur Theorie der Potenzreste', Montash. für Math. u. Phys. (3) (1892) 265-284.

## Appendix: GAP code

```
###
### Bn-v-Cn.g
###
### A. V. Borovik, April 2001
###
### The algorithm tests involutions in orthogonal and symplectic groups
### thus distinguishing between the two.
### Given a linear group G = PSp(2n,q) or Omega(2n+1, q),
### with known q and n,
### the algorithm determines whether G is sympelctic or
### orthogonal.
### The algorithm implemented here contains a fix for a bug
### in the original theoretic version,
### C. Altseimer and A. Borovik, "Probabilistic recognition
### of orthogonal and symplectic groups",
### Groups and Computations III, (eds W.~M.Kantor and A.
### Seress), de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 2001, pp. 1-20.
###
### This code was not designed for the practical use,
### but just for checking the theoretical algorithm.
### In particular, since I had no means for generation of
### black boxes for PSp(2n,q) for large n and q,
### the algorithm actually works with Sp(2n,q) instead of
### PSp(2n,q), but make only those group calculations
### which make sense in its factor group PSp(2n,q).
### In particular, it works with "pseudoinvolutions",
### elements of order 2 or 4 which have order 2 in G/Z(G).
###
### Recommended use:
### gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=Test(G); Interpret(test);
### or
### gap> Read("Bn-v-Cn.g"); test:=PlainTest(G); Interpret(test);
### To check the answer, enter
### gap> G;
### Global constants: q, n, type, G, effort
q:= Random([5,7,9,11,13,17,19,23,25,27,31]);
if q > 19 then
                          ### selection of the dimension of
   n := Random([3..6]);
                          ### of the group with the aim to
                          ### keep the exponent at
fi:
if q > 10 and q < 20 then
                         ### computationally feasible size
   n:= Random([3..12]);
fi:
if q < 10 then
   n := Random([3..20]);
fi:
```

```
type:= Random([0,1]);
                        ### type = 0 means symplectic
                        ### type = 1 means orthogonal
if type = 1 then
                        ### Generation of the group G, type =1
   G:=SO(2*n+1,q);
else
    G:=Sp(2*n,q);
                        ### Generation of the group G, type = 0
fi;
effort := 2;
                        ### This parameter determines how hard
                        ### we are looking for the witness
                        ### elements. Most searches make
                        ### effort * n attempts to find a
                        ### "big" element
                        ### Effort =4 corresponds to the theoretical threshold:
                        ### in the group Sp(2n,q) or Omega(2n+1,q),
                        ### a random element is a regular element
                        ### in a maximal twisted torus of order
                        ### q^n -1 or q^n+1 with probability > 1/4n.
###
### Functions
###
OddPart := function(nn)
### Odd part of the integer nn
   local m;
   m :=nn;
    while m \mod 2 = 0 do
       m := m/2;
    od;
   return m;
end;
EvenPart := function(nn);
### Even part of the integer nn
   return nn/OddPart(nn);
end;
PrimitiveDivisor := function(qq,nn)
### Finds the largest divisor of the number qq^nn -1
### which has no non-trivial divisors in common with
### any of the numbers qq^l - 1 for l < nn.</pre>
    local pd,ll,gcd,ii;
   pd := qq^nn-1;
    for ii in [1..nn-1] do
       1l := qq^ii -1;
        gcd := Gcd(pd,ll);
        while gcd > 1 do
           pd := pd/gcd;
```

```
gcd:= Gcd(pd,gcd);
        od;
    od;
   return pd;
end;
PseudoInvolution := function(g)
### Finds an element in the cyclic group <g>
### which is an involution in G/Z(G)
### The function uses the standard GAP function Order(g)
### which uses prime factorisation and does not work in big
### groups. However, it is easy to eliminate calls to Order
### and replace them by exponentiation.
    local order, i, rank;
   order := Order(g);
    if order mod 2 = 1 then
        return g^0;
    else
        i := g^(order/2);
    fi:
   rank := Rank(i+i^2);
    if (rank = 0 and type = 0) then
        if order mod 4 <> 0 then
            return g^0;
        else i := g^(order/4);
        fi;
    fi;
   return i;
end;
InCentraliser := function(j)
### Returns an element from the centraliser C_G(j)
### Most of the elements produced by this function are
### involutions; their distribution in C_G(j) is
### invariant under action of C_G(j) by conjugation
### Some (relatively small) portion of elements is
### produced by a different algorithm $\zeta_1$ in
### notation of our paper; these elements are distributed
### uniformly in the group C_G(j).
    local gg,y,o,z,c;
   gg := PseudoRandom(G);
   y := j * j^gg;
    o := Order(y);
   if j^4 \ll j^2 then
        if (o = 1 \text{ or } o = 2 \text{ or } o = 4) then
            z := y;
        fi;
        if 0 \mod 4 = 0 then
            if y^{(o/2)} = j^2 then
```

```
z := y^{(0/4)};
            else
                z:= y^(o/2);
            fi;
        fi;
        if 0 \mod 4 = 2 then
            if y^{(0/2)} = j^2 then
                z := gg*y^(((o/2)-1)/2);
            else
                z:=j;
            fi;
        fi;
        if 0 \mod 2 = 1 then
            z := gg*y^((o-1)/2);
        fi;
    else
        if 0 \mod 2 = 0 then
            z := y^{(0/2)};
        else
            z := gg*y^((o-1)/2);
        fi;
    fi;
    return z;
end;
OccurrencesOfPrimitiveDivisors := function(m)
### Finds all integers k such that m is divisible by
### a primitive prime divisor of q^k-1.
    local tuple, k;
    tuple := 0*[1..2*n];
    for k in [1..2*n] do
        if Gcd(PrimitiveDivisor(q,k),m) > 1 then
            tuple[k] :=k;
        fi;
    od;
    return tuple;
end;
PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement := function(g)
### Finds the maximal integer k =< 2*n such that
### the order of the element g is divisible
### by a primitive prime divisor of q^n-1
### (remember, q and n are global constants).
### Again, calls to Order(g) can be eliminated
    return Maximum(OccurrencesOfPrimitiveDivisors(Order(g)));
end;
IsGood := function(g)
```

### Tests whether the element g is good or not.

```
if (q^n - 1) \mod 4 = 0 then
        return (PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(g) = n and EvenPart(Order(g)) =
(EvenPart(q^n-1)/(2^type)));
    fi;
    if (q^n + 1) \mod 4 = 0 then
        return (PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(g) = 2*n and EvenPart(Order(g))=
(EvenPart(q^n+1)/(2^type)));
    fi;
end;
GoodElement := function(G)
### Finds a good element in the group G,
### of course, under the assumption that
### G = Sp(2*n,q) or S0(0,2*n+1,q);
### in the latter case, the good element
### is actually found in the commutator group
### Omega(2*n+1,q) of SO(0,2*n+1,q).
    local g1, g2, g;
   if type = 1 then
        g1:=PseudoRandom(G);
        g2:=PseudoRandom(G);
        g :=g1^-1*g2^-1*g1*g2;
        while IsGood(g) = false do
            g1:=PseudoRandom(G);
            g2:=PseudoRandom(G);
            g :=g1^-1*g2^-1*g1*g2;
        od;
    else
        g:=PseudoRandom(G);
        while IsGood(g) = false do
            g:=PseudoRandom(G);
        od;
    fi;
    return g;
end;
TypeOfCentraliser := function(j)
### Returns one of the lists [], [1], [2], and [1,2],
### depending on whether an element of order dividing
### a primitive prime divisor of q^{(n-1)-1} or a
### primitive prime divisor of q^(n-1)+1 is found in C_G(j)
### (this adds 1 or 2 to the list, correspondingly).
###
### The procedure is pretty slow; it can be made faster
### by a better way of generating random elements in C_G(j)
### (for example, the use of the Product Replacement Algorithm
### should considerably speed it up).
    local x, maxppd, typeOfC, counter;
    x := InCentraliser(j);
   maxppd :=0;
```

```
typeOfC := Set([]);
    counter := 0;
   while typeOfC <> Set([1,2]) and counter < effort*n do</pre>
        x := x * InCentraliser(j);
        maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
        if maxppd = n-1 then
            Add(typeOfC, 1);
        fi;
        if maxppd = 2*(n-1) then
            Add(typeOfC, 2);
        fi:
        counter := counter +1;
    od;
    return Set(typeOfC);
end;
ProductsOfConjugates := function(j)
### Determines how big is the primitive divisor rank
### of the product j * j^x of the element j and
### its random conjugate j^x.
    local x, x2, y, maxppd, counter;
    maxppd := 0;
    counter := 0;
    if type = 0 then
        while (maxppd < 8 and counter < effort*n) do
            x := PseudoRandom(G);
            y := j * j^x;
            maxppd := Maximum([maxppd, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(y)]);
            counter := counter +1;
        od;
    else
        while (maxppd < 8 and counter < effort*n) do
            x := PseudoRandom(G);
           x2 := PseudoRandom(G);
           x := x^{-1} * x^{2} + x * x^{2};
            y := j * j^x;
            maxppd := Maximum([maxppd, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(y)]);
            counter := counter +1;
        od;
    fi;
   return maxppd;
end:
CriticalInvolution := function(G)
### Finds an involution j in the cyclic group generated by a good element,
### with the extra property that the centraliser C_G(j) has an element
### whose order is divisible by a primitive prime divisor of q^{(n-1)-1}
### or q^(n-1)+1.
   local x, j, typeOfC;
   typeOfC := [];
    x := GoodElement(G);
    j := PseudoInvolution(x);
   while (typeOfC = Set([]) or j=j^2) do
```

```
x := GoodElement(G);
        j := PseudoInvolution(x);
        typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
    od:
    return j;
end;
PreTest := function(G)
### Tests the group G for being symplectic or orthogonal
### The result may be inconclusive, in which case
### the test has to be repeated.
local g, j, typeOfC, conj, conj2, x, y, z, minus, plus, maxppd,
evenpart, counter, maxppd2,counter2;
    ### The case when n =3
    if n = 3 then
       g := GoodElement(G);
        j := PseudoInvolution(g);
        conj:= ProductsOfConjugates(j);
        counter :=0;
        while conj < 3 and counter < effort * n do
            conj2 := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
            conj := Maximum([conj, conj2]);
            counter := counter + 1;
        od;
        if conj < 3 then
            return [1, 13, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
        else
            return [0, 13, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
       fi;
    fi;
    ###
            The general case
    j := CriticalInvolution(G);
   conj := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
    if conj > 7 then
        return [0, 1, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
   if typeOfC = [1,2] and conj = 4 then
       return [1,2, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    if n mod 4 = 2 and q mod 4 = 1 and typeOfC = [1] and conj = 6 then
       return [1,3, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    if n mod 4 = 2 and q mod 4 = 3 and typeOfC = [2] and conj = 6 then
        return [1,4, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    if n mod 4 = 0 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
       return [1,5, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
```

```
if n mod 2 = 1 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
       return [1,6, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
fi;
if n \mod 2 = 0 and typeOfC = [1,2] and conj < 3 then
    ####
    minus := (EvenPart(q^{(n-1)-1}) \mod 4 \iff 0);
    plus := (EvenPart(q^(n-1)+1) mod 4 <> 0);
    x := InCentraliser(j);
    if minus then
        maxppd :=0;
        evenpart :=1;
        counter:= 0;
        while (maxppd > n-1 or evenpart < 4) and counter < 3*effort*n do
            x := x * InCentraliser(j);
            maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
            evenpart := EvenPart(Order(x));
            counter := counter +1;
        od;
        if (maxppd \iff n-1 \text{ or evenpart} \le 4) then
            return [1,7, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
        fi;
    fi;
    if plus then
        maxppd :=0;
        evenpart :=1;
        counter:= 0;
        while (maxppd > 2*(n-1) or evenpart < 4) and counter < 3*effort*n do
            x := x * InCentraliser(j);
            maxppd := PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(x);
            evenpart := EvenPart(Order(x));
            counter := counter +1;
        od;
        if (maxppd \iff 2*(n-1) \text{ or evenpart} \le 4) then
            return [1,8, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
        fi;
    fi;
    y := x^(Order(x)/evenpart);
    counter2:=0;
    maxppd2:=0;
    while (maxppd2 < 3 and counter2 < effort*n) do
        x := x * InCentraliser(j);
        z := y * y^{x};
        maxppd2 := Maximum([maxppd2, PrimitiveDivisorRankOfElement(z)]);
        counter2 := counter2+1;
    od;
    if maxppd2 < 3 then
        return [0,9, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
    if maxppd2 > 2 then
        return [1,10, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
```

fi;

```
####
   fi;
    if n > 3 then
       return [-1,11, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
end;
Test := function(G)
### PreTest(G) is repeated until we get a conclusive answer
    local pretest, counter;
   pretest := PreTest(G);
    if pretest[1] = 0 or pretest[1] = 1 then
        Add(pretest, 0);
        return pretest;
    fi;
    counter :=0;
    while pretest [1] = -1 do
       pretest := PreTest(G);
        counter := counter + 1;
    od;
    #Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0);
   Add(pretest, counter);
   return pretest;
end;
PlainPreTest := function(G)
### Tests the group G for being
### symplectic or orthogonal.
### No shortcuts in the algorithm.
### The result may be inconclusive,
### in which case the test has to be repeated.
   local g, j, typeOfC, conj;
    g := GoodElement(G);
    #g := PseudoRandom(G);
    j := PseudoInvolution(g);
   while j = j^0 do
       g := GoodElement(G);
        #g := PseudoRandom(G);
        j := PseudoInvolution(g);
    od;
    conj := ProductsOfConjugates(j);
   typeOfC := TypeOfCentraliser(j);
    if (conj > 7 and typeOfC \iff []) or (conj > 2 and n = 3) then
            return [0, 14, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    else
```

```
return [-1, 14, Rank(j-j^2)/2];
    fi;
end;
PlainTest := function(G)
### PlainPreTest(G) is repeated until we get a conclusive answer.
   local pretest, counter;
   pretest := PlainPreTest(G);
    if pretest[1] = 0 or pretest[1] = 1 then
        Add(pretest,0);
        return pretest;
    fi;
   counter :=0;
   while pretest [1] = -1 and counter < effort*n do
       pretest := PlainPreTest(G);
        counter := counter + 1;
    od;
    #Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0); Add(pretest, 0);
    Add(pretest, counter);
    if counter > effort*n-1 then
       pretest[1] := 1;
    fi;
   return pretest;
end;
Interpret := function(test)
### Interpretation of the test result
    local l;
    l := Length(test);
    if test[1] = 0 then
       Print(" The group is symplectic.\n");
    fi;
    if test[1] =1 then
        Print(" The group is orthogonal.\n");
    fi;
    if test[2] = 1 then
       Print(" This is the DEFINITE answer because the test has found an involution j \n");
       Print(" whose centraliser C_G(j) contains an element of\n");
       Print(" order divisible by a primitive prime divisor of q^{(n-1)-1} \ \n");
       Print(" or q^{(n-1)+1}, and such that, in addition, there is a productn");
       Print(" j*j^x of two involutions conjugate to j whose order is divisible\n");
       Print(" by a primitive prime divisor of q^k-1 for some k>6;\n");
       Print(" this is possible only for an involution of type t_n in the symplectic group.\n");
    fi:
   if test[2] = 2 then
       Print(" An involution j was found whose centraliser C_G(j) contains\n");
        Print(" elements of order divisible by primitive prime divisors of the both\n");
        Print(" q^{(n-1)-1} or q^{(n-1)+1}, and with the maximum of the primitive primen");
```

```
Print(" divisor ranks of random products of conjugates j^x * j^y being n");
    Print(" equal 4. This almost certainly identifies this involution j \n");
    Print(" as involution of type t_1 in SO(2n+1,q).\n");
    Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.n");
fi;
if test[2] = 3 or test[2] = 4 then
   Print(" In short, the test found an involution which behaves as an\n");
    Print(" involution of type t_{n-1} in SO(2n+1,q).\n");
   Print(" this is not a very conclusive answer; to be on the safe side,\n");
   Print(" repeat the test.\n");
fi:
if test[2] = 5 or test[2] = 6 then
    Print(" In short, the test found an involution which behaves as an\n");
    Print(" involution of type t_n in SO(2n+1,q).\n");
   Print(" This is not a very conclusive answer; to be on the safe side,\n");
   Print(" repeat the test.\n");
fi;
if test[2] = 7 or test[2] = 8 or test[2] = 10 then
    Print(" The test found an involution j which behaves either as an involution\n");
   Print(" of type t_1 in PSp(2n,q), or as an involution of type t_n in\n");
   Print(" Omega(2n+1,q); further distinction was made by not being able to find\n");
    Print(" a 2-element from the component of type SL(2,q) which should be present\n");
    Print(" in the centraliser C_G(j) in the sympelctic case.\n");
    Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.\n");
fi:
if test[2] = 9 then
   Print(" The test found an involution j which behaves either as an involution\n");
   Print(" of type t_1 = t_{n-1} in PSp(2n,q), or as an involution of type t_n in\n");
   Print(" Omega(2n+1,q); further distinction was made by being able to find\n");
   Print(" a 2-element in C_G(j) which behaves as if it belongs to\n");
   Print(" the component of type SL(2,q) which should be present\n");
   Print(" in the centraliser C_G(j) in the symplectic case.\n");
   Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.\n");
fi:
if test[1] = 0 and test[2] = 13 then
    Print(" Since n = 3, the test is much simpler: we found an involution j(n");
    Print(" generated by a good element and such that the primitive prime divisor rank\n");
    Print(" of some random product j^x * j^y of conjugates of j is at least 3. \n");
   Print(" This DEFINITELY identifies the group as symplectic.\n");
fi;
if test[1] = 1 and test[2] = 13 then
   Print(" Since n = 3, the test is much simpler: we found an involution j \setminus n");
   Print(" generated by a good element with the primitive prime divisor ranks\n");
    Print(" of a random products of conjugates j^x * j^y at most 2. \n");
    Print(" This almost definitely identifies the group as orthogonal.\n");
   Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.n");
fi:
if test[1] = 0 and test[2] = 14 then
    Print(" The programme found an involution which behaves as \n");
```

```
Print(" involution of type t_n in PSp(2n,q). \n");
    Print(" This is a DEFINITE answer given by \n");
    Print(" a plain (without shortcuts) version of the algorithm.\n");
fi;
if test[1] = 1 and test[2] = 14 then
    Print(" The algorithm has not found an involution which behaves as n");
    Print(" involution of type t_n in PSp(2n,q). \n");
    Print(" This is NOT a definite answer, and it was given by n");
    \label{eq:print("a plain without shortcuts) version of the algorithm.\n");
    Print(" To be on the safe side, repeat the test.\n");
fi;
if l > 4 then
    Print(" The first run of the test has not produced the conclusive result,\n");
    Print(" the test was repeated ", test[1], " times.\n");
    Print(" The above conclusion is generated at the last run of the test.\n");
fi;
Print("\n");
Print(" In the lists Test(G) and PlainTest(G), the first position is 0 or 1,\n");
Print(" according to the answer\n");
Print(" *symplectic* or *orthogonal* given by the test, the second position is\n");
Print(" the rule number used in this decision, the third position is the actual type\n");
Print(" of the involution used in the identification of the group; \n");
Print(" (the latter was only used for the debugging of the algorithm).\n");
Print(" The fourth number is the numbers of re-runs of the testn");
Print(" when the first run was inconclusive.\n");
```

end;