

# $AF$ -algebras and topology of 3-manifolds

Igor Nikolaev \*

## Abstract

We consider 3-dimensional manifolds, which are surface bundles over the circle. It is shown that to every infinite order (pseudo-Anosov) monodromy  $\phi$  of the bundle, one can assign an  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  (an operator algebra). It is proved that the assignment is functorial, i.e. each monodromy  $\phi'$ , conjugate to  $\phi$ , maps to an  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$ , which is stably isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ . This approach gives new topological invariants of the 3-dimensional manifolds, coming from the known invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the  $AF$ -algebras. Namely, the main invariant is a triple  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ , where  $\Lambda$  is an integral order in the (real) algebraic number field  $K$  and  $[I]$  an equivalence class of the ideals in  $\Lambda$ . As a corollary, one gets the numerical invariants of the 3-dimensional manifolds: determinant  $\Delta$  and signature  $\Sigma$ , which we compute for the case of torus bundles.

*Key words and phrases:*  $AF$ -algebras, 3-dimensional manifolds

*AMS (MOS) Subj. Class.:* 19K, 46L, 57M.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and motivation

**A.** Algebraic topology, in large, is a functor which takes the category consisting of topological spaces and continuous maps between the spaces into a category consisting of the algebraic objects and morphisms between the objects. The algebraic category is usually a category of the groups, rings or modules over the rings with appropriate homomorphisms between the groups, rings or modules. As a rule, the functor is not injective. Given such a functor, it is possible to detect the topological invariants in terms of (normally easier) algebraic invariants.

---

\*Partially supported by NSERC.

**B.** In the 1930's Murray and von Neumann introduced the rings of bounded operators on Hilbert space, which are now called von Neumann algebras. The von Neumann algebras is a subcategory of a more general category of the  $C^*$ -algebras. The operator algebras are relatively new in the context of algebraic topology. In the 1970's Novikov conjectured a homotopy invariance of the higher signatures of smooth manifolds. It was first shown by Mischenko [19], that the Novikov conjecture can be settled (in a special case) in terms of the  $C^*$ -algebras. Building on the ideas of Atiyah and Singer [1], Kasparov [15] invented an equivariant  $KK$ -theory for the  $C^*$ -algebras. Such a theory allows to prove the homotopy invariance of the higher signatures for a broad class of manifolds. In an independent development, V. F. R. Jones [14] discovered a new polynomial invariant of knots and links appearing in the theory of subfactors of the von Neumann algebras. For more on the interactions between the operator algebras and topology, we refer the reader to the last chapter of book [5].

**C.** Let  $X$  be an orientable surface of genus  $g$ . We shall denote by  $Mod(X)$  the mapping class group, i.e. a group of the orientation-preserving automorphisms of  $X$  modulo the normal subgroup of trivial automorphisms. Let  $\phi \in Mod(X)$  and consider a mapping torus:

$$M_\phi = \{X \times [0, 1] \mid (x, 0) \mapsto (\phi(x), 1), \quad x \in X\}.$$

Note that  $M_\phi$  is a 3-dimensional manifold and  $M_\phi \cong M_{\phi'}$  are homotopy equivalent if and only if  $\phi' = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  are conjugate by a  $\psi \in Mod(X)$  [12]. Equivalently,  $M_\phi$  is called a *surface bundle* over the circle with the monodromy  $\phi$ . The surface bundles make by far the most interesting, the most complex and the most useful part of the 3-dimensional topology [22], p.358. Recall that the automorphisms of  $X$  fall into three disjoint classes [23]: (i) the periodic ( $\phi^n = Id$ ) automorphisms, (ii) the infinite order (pseudo-Anosov) automorphisms or (iii) a mixture of the types (i) and (ii). In the rest of this paper, we shall be interested in topology of the manifolds  $M_\phi$ , where  $\phi$  is an automorphism of type (ii).

**D.** It is known that any simple finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra is isomorphic to the algebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  of complex  $n \times n$  matrices. A natural completion of the finite-dimensional semi-simple  $C^*$ -algebras (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) is known as an *AF-algebra*. The *AF*-algebra is most naturally given by an infinite graph, which records the inclusion of finite-dimensional subalgebras into the *AF*-algebra. The graph is known as a *Bratteli diagram*. In an important special case when the diagram is periodic, the *AF*-algebra is called *stationary*. Finally, in the addition to a regular isomorphism  $\cong$ , the  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}'$  are called *stably isomorphic* whenever  $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. The intrinsic invariants of both the isomorphism and stable isomorphisms classes of the *AF*-algebras have been introduced by Elliott [9] and studied by Effros [8], Handelman [11] and others.

**E.** Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism. The main idea of the present paper is to assign to  $\phi$  an  $AF$ -algebra,  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ , so that for every  $\psi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \phi & \xrightarrow{\text{conjugacy}} & \phi' = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{A}_\phi \otimes \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{isomorphism}} & \mathbb{A}_{\phi'} \otimes \mathcal{K}
 \end{array}$$

(In other words, if  $\phi, \phi'$  are conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms, then the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_\phi, \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  are stably isomorphic.) For the sake of clarity, we shall consider an example illustrating the idea in the case  $X = T^2$ .

## 1.2 Model example

**A.** Let  $T^2$  be a two-dimensional torus. It is known that  $\text{Mod}(T^2) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is the modular group. In the case of tori, an infinite order automorphisms are called Anosov's. Whenever  $\phi$  is such an automorphism, it is given by a hyperbolic matrix  $A_\phi \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , i.e. matrix  $A_\phi$  such that  $|\text{tr}(A_\phi)| > 2$ . For the sake of simplicity, let  $\text{tr}(A_\phi) > 2$ . (The case  $\text{tr}(A_\phi) < -2$  is treated likewise.) Denote by  $[A_\phi] = \{TA_\phi T^{-1} : T \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\}$  a conjugacy class of  $A_\phi$ . The conjugacy class contains a matrix  $A_\phi^+ \in [A_\phi]$ , whose entries are non-negative integers. In view of the fact, we further restrict to the Anosov automorphisms given by such matrices.

**B.** Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(T^2)$  be an Anosov automorphism. Consider a (stationary)  $AF$ -algebra,  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ , given by the following (periodic) Bratteli diagram:




Figure 1: The  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ .

where  $a_{ij}$  indicate the multiplicity of the respective edges of the graph. We encourage the reader to verify that  $F : \phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_\phi$  is a correctly defined function

on the set of Anosov automorphisms given by the hyperbolic matrices with the non-negative entries.

**C.** Let us show that if  $\phi, \phi' \in \text{Mod}(T^2)$  are the conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms, then  $\mathbb{A}_\phi, \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  are stably isomorphic  $AF$ -algebras. Indeed, let  $\phi' = \psi\phi\psi^{-1}$  for a  $\psi \in \text{Mod}(X)$ . Then  $A_{\phi'} = TA_\phi T^{-1}$  for a matrix  $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Note that  $(A'_\phi)^n = (TA_\phi T^{-1})^n = TA_\phi^n T^{-1}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall use the following criterion of stable isomorphism ([8], Theorem 2.3): the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}'$  are stably isomorphic if and only if their Bratteli diagrams contain a common block of the arbitrary length. Consider the following sequences of matrices:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \underbrace{A_\phi A_\phi \dots A_\phi}_n \\ T \underbrace{A_\phi A_\phi \dots A_\phi}_n T^{-1}, \end{array} \right.$$

which mimic the Bratteli diagrams of  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$ : the upper (lower) row corresponds to the Bratteli diagram of the  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  ( $\mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$ ). Since  $n$  can be made arbitrary large, we conclude that  $\mathbb{A}_\phi \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\phi'} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ .

**D.** The conjugacy problem can now be recast in terms of the  $AF$ -algebras: find the intrinsic invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the stationary  $AF$ -algebras. One such invariant is due to Handelmann [11]. Consider an eigenvalue problem for the hyperbolic matrix  $A_\phi \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ :  $A_\phi v_A = \lambda_A v_A$ , where  $\lambda_A > 1$  is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and  $v_A = (v_A^{(1)}, v_A^{(2)})$  the corresponding eigenvector with the positive entries normalized so that  $v_A^{(i)} \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(1)} + \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(2)}$  a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module in the number field  $K$ . Recall that the coefficient ring,  $\Lambda$ , of module  $\mathfrak{m}$  consists of the elements  $\alpha \in K$  such that  $\alpha\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . It is known that  $\Lambda$  is an *order* in  $K$  (i.e. a subring of the algebraic numbers containing 1) and, with no restriction, one can assume that  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \Lambda$ . It follows from the definition, that  $\mathfrak{m}$  coincides with an ideal,  $I$ , whose equivalence class in  $\Lambda$  we shall denote by  $[I]$ . It has been proved by Handelmann, that the triple  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  is an arithmetic invariant of the stable isomorphism class of  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ : the  $\mathbb{A}_\phi, \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  are stably isomorphic  $AF$ -algebras if and only if  $\Lambda = \Lambda', [I] = [I']$  and  $K = K'$ .

**E.** It is interesting, that the same set of arithmetic invariants has been used to classify the conjugacy classes of the hyperbolic matrices, see e.g. [25]. The phenomenon is due to a fortunate fact that the Anosov automorphisms of tori are bijective with the hyperbolic matrices – an advantage which is no longer (immediately) available for the surfaces. In this sense, the  $AF$ -algebras can be regarded as a proper substitute for the hyperbolic matrices in the case  $g \geq 2$ .

### 1.3 Objectives

**A.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a category, whose objects  $Ob(\mathcal{M})$  are the pseudo-Anosov au-

tomorphisms  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  and morphisms  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{M})$  are the conjugacies  $\phi' = \psi \circ \phi \circ \psi^{-1}$  between the automorphisms. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  a category, whose objects  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{A})$  are the  $AF$ -algebras and morphisms  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{A})$  are the stable isomorphisms of the  $AF$ -algebras. In view of our motivating example, the objectives of the present paper can be formulated as follows.

**Main problem.** *Construct a functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  (if any), which maps the conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms into the stably isomorphic  $AF$ -algebras. Find the invariants (numerical or other) of the stable isomorphism classes of the  $AF$ -algebras.*

**B.** In the present paper a functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is constructed. The functor is non-injective with  $\text{Ker } F$  consisting of the commensurable pseudo-Anosov automorphisms<sup>1</sup>. As for the second part of the main problem, two families of the invariants are constructed: (i) the arithmetic invariants (the integer orders in the algebraic number fields and equivalence classes of ideals in the orders); (ii) the numerical invariants (the determinant and signature of module in the number field). Since  $F$  is a functor, both (i) and (ii) are the topological invariants of the surface bundles  $M_\phi$ . Let us pass to the exact formulation of our results.

## 1.4 $AF$ -algebras attached to measured foliations

**A.** It is useful to rephrase our model example as follows. Let  $A_\phi \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a hyperbolic matrix and let  $A_\phi v_A = \lambda_A v_A$  be the corresponding eigenvalue problem. Denote  $\theta_A = (v_A^{(2)}/v_A^{(1)}) \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$  and consider a foliation,  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_A}$ , on the torus given by the parallel lines of slope  $\theta_A$  (see Fig. 2).




Figure 2: The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_A}$  on  $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

The foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_A}$  is preserved by the automorphism  $\phi$  in the sense that the line  $y = \theta_A x$  is invariant under the action of  $\phi$ , while the remaining lines map to each other and tend to the invariant line. We refer to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_A}$  as *Anosov's*.

---

<sup>1</sup>The automorphisms  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Mod}(X)$  are said to be commensurable if  $\phi_1^m = \phi_2^n$  for a  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**B.** The matrix  $A_\phi$  can be recovered from the Anosov foliation. Indeed, let  $\theta = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$  be the regular continued fraction of the real number  $\theta$ . Since  $\theta_A \in K$ , the continued fraction  $\theta_A = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, \overline{a_k, \dots, a_{k+p}}]$  is eventually periodic, where  $\overline{a_k, \dots, a_{k+p}}$  is the minimal period of continued fraction. It can be verified that

$$A_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} a_{n+k} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**C.** A *measured foliation*  $\mathcal{F}$  on the surface  $X$  is a partition of  $X$  into the singular points  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  of the order (multiplicity)  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  and the regular leaves (1-dimensional submanifolds). On each open cover  $U_i$  of  $X - \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  there exists a non-vanishing real-valued closed 1-form  $\omega_i$  such that: (i)  $\omega_i = \pm \omega_j$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ ; (ii) at each  $x_i$  there exists a local chart  $(u, v) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for  $z = u + iv$ , it holds  $\omega_i = \text{Im} (z^{\frac{k_i}{2}} dz)$  on  $V \cap U_i$  for some branch of  $z^{\frac{k_i}{2}}$ . The pair  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  is called an atlas for the measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . Finally, a measure  $\mu$  is assigned to each segment  $(t_0, t) \in U_i$ , which is transverse to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , via the integral  $\mu(t_0, t) = \int_{t_0}^t \omega_i$ . The measure is invariant along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence the name. The measured foliation given by trajectories of a globally defined closed 1-form, is called *oriented*.

**D.** For brevity, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an oriented measured foliation given by the closed 1-form  $\omega$ . (In case  $\mathcal{F}$  is a general measured foliation, it is possible to cover  $\mathcal{F}$  by an oriented foliation,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , on a surface  $\tilde{X}$ , which is the double cover of  $X$  ramified over the singular points of odd order.) Let  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  be the set of zeroes of  $\omega$ . Then the relative homology  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } (\mathcal{F}); \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ , where  $n = 2g + m - 1$ . The integration of  $\omega$  along a basis in the relative homology yields  $v^{(i)} = \int_{\gamma_i} \omega$ . The vector  $(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)})$  is known to be a coordinate vector of  $\mathcal{F}$  in the space of all measured foliations on  $X$  with the same set of singular points [7].

**E.** Denote by  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  a vector with coordinates  $\theta_i = v^{(i+1)}/v^{(1)}$ . To assign an  $AF$ -algebra,  $\mathbb{A}_\theta$ , to the measured foliation, consider the convergent <sup>2</sup> Jacobi-Perron continued fraction of the vector  $\theta$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $b_i = (b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_n^{(i)})^T$  is a vector of the non-negative integers,  $I$  the unit matrix and  $\mathbb{I} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ . The  $AF$ -algebra in question is given by the Bratteli diagram in Fig. 3. (The diagram shows the case  $n = 6$ . The numbers  $b_j^{(i)}$  indicate the multiplicity of edges of the graph.)

---

<sup>2</sup>This property is known to be generic, i.e. true for almost all vectors  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . In particular, the periodic fractions are always convergent.




Figure 3: The  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\theta$  (case  $n = 6$ ).

## 1.5 Pseudo-Anosov foliations

There exists a special countable set of measured foliations, which will play an important rôle in the sequel. These are the invariant foliations of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of surfaces. The topology of the invariant foliation encodes a good deal of information about the pseudo-Anosov automorphism, hence our interest. The formal definition is as follows. Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of the surface. By the definition of  $\phi$  [23], there exist a stable  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and unstable  $\mathcal{F}_u$  mutually orthogonal measured foliations on  $X$ , such that  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{\lambda_\phi} \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_u) = \lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_u$ , where  $\lambda_\phi > 1$  is called a dilatation of  $\phi$ . The foliations  $\mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{F}_u$  are minimal, uniquely ergodic and describe the automorphism  $\phi$  up to a power. Since the foliations  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\mathcal{F}_u$  determine each other, we shall denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  one of them and call it a *pseudo-Anosov foliation*.

## 1.6 Main results

We retain the notation of the preceding sections. By  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  we understand the  $AF$ -algebra of a pseudo-Anosov foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  and by  $F$  a mapping acting by the formula  $\phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_\phi$ . For a matrix  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  with the positive entries, we let  $\lambda_A$  be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and  $(v_A^{(1)}, \dots, v_A^{(n)})$  the corresponding normalized eigenvector with  $v_A^{(i)} \in K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$ . The coefficient (endomorphism) ring of the module  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(n)}$  will be denoted by  $\Lambda$ . The equivalence class of ideals in the ring  $\Lambda$  generated by the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we shall write as  $[I]$ .

Finally, we denote by  $\Delta = \det (a_{ij})$  and  $\Sigma$  the determinant and signature of the symmetric bilinear form  $q(x, y) = \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$ , where  $a_{ij} = \text{Tr} (v_A^{(i)} v_A^{(j)})$  are the traces of the algebraic numbers. Our main results can be expressed as follows.

**Theorem 1**  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  is a stationary AF-algebra.

**Theorem 2** The mapping  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  has the following properties:

(i)  $F$  is a functor, i.e.  $F$  maps conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms to the stably isomorphic AF-algebras;

(ii)  $\text{Ker } F = [\phi]$ , where  $[\phi] = \{\phi' \in \text{Mod}(X) \mid (\phi')^m = \phi^n, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is the commensurability class of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\phi$ .

**Corollary 1** The following are invariants of the stable isomorphism class of the AF-algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ :

- (i) triples  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ ;
- (ii) integer numbers  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ .

**Corollary 2** Let  $M_\phi$  be surface bundle over the circle with a pseudo-Anosov monodromy  $\phi$ . Then:

- (i)  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  is an arithmetic invariant of the homotopy type of  $M_\phi$ ;
- (ii)  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  are numerical invariants of the homotopy type of  $M_\phi$ .

## 1.7 Structure of the paper

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the notion of a Jacobian of measured foliation is introduced and the basic properties of the Jacobian are established. The Jacobians will be used to prove our main results. In section 3 it is shown that the topologically conjugate foliations correspond to the stably isomorphic AF-algebras. This fact is critical for the proof of our results. Theorems 1, 2 and corollaries 1, 2 are proved throughout section 4. In section 5, the automorphisms of tori are considered. It is shown that the determinant  $\Delta$  discerns the conjugacy classes of such automorphisms better than the Alexander polynomial. Some open problems and conjectures, related our results, are formulated in section 6. Finally, section 7 is designed to help the interested reader to briefly recall the main concepts and notions involved in the paper.

## 2 The Jacobian of a measured foliation

**A.** Recall that the Jacobian  $Jac(R)$  of a Riemann surface  $R$  is the factor space  $\mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a lattice given by the periods  $\int_{\gamma_i} \omega$  of the holomorphic 1-forms  $\omega$  on  $R$ . The lattice  $\Lambda$  is an invariantly attached object, which records a good



deal of data on the Riemann surface  $R$ . In this section, we shall introduce an analog of the lattice  $\Lambda$  for the measured foliations on a surface  $X$ . The resulting object (a generalized pseudo-lattice [17]), we shall refer to as a Jacobian of the foliation.

**B.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a measured foliation on a compact surface  $X$  [23]. For the sake of brevity, we shall always assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an oriented foliation, i.e. given by the trajectories of a closed 1-form  $\omega$  on  $X$ . (The assumption is no restriction – each measured foliation is oriented on a surface  $\tilde{X}$ , which is a double cover of  $X$  ramified at the singular points of the half-integer index of the non-oriented foliation [13].) Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$  be a basis in the relative homology group  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  is the set of singular points of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is well known that  $n = 2g + m - 1$ , where  $g$  is the genus of  $X$  and  $m = |\text{Sing } (\mathcal{F})|$ . The periods of  $\omega$  in the above basis we shall write as:

$$\lambda_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \omega. \quad (1)$$

The  $\lambda_i$  are the coordinates of  $\mathcal{F}$  in the space of all measured foliations on  $X$  (with a fixed set of the singular points) [7].

**Definition 1** *By a Jacobian  $\text{Jac } (\mathcal{F})$  of the measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ , we understand a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_n$  regarded as a subset of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**C.** The Jacobians are useful in view of the following observation. Note that despite the periods  $\lambda_i$  depend on the basis in the homology group  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ , the Jacobian does not. Moreover, up to a scalar multiple, the Jacobian is an invariant of the topological conjugacy of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . We shall formalize the observations in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1** *The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{m}$  is independent of the choice of a basis in  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$  and depends solely on the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and let

$$\gamma'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \gamma_j \quad (2)$$

be a new basis in  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then using the integration rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'_i &= \int_{\gamma'_i} \omega = \int_{\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \gamma_j} \omega = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \int_{\gamma_j} \omega = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \lambda_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

To prove that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'$ , consider the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}' &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \lambda'_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \lambda_j = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \mathbb{Z}) \lambda_j \subseteq \mathfrak{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let  $A^{-1} = (b_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be an inverse to the matrix  $A$ . Then  $\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \lambda'_j$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \lambda_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} \lambda'_j = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n b_{ij} \mathbb{Z}) \lambda'_j \subseteq \mathfrak{m}'. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since both  $\mathfrak{m}' \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}'$ , we conclude that  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}$ . Lemma 1 follows.  $\square$

Recall that the foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  are said to be *topologically conjugate*, if there exists an automorphism  $h \in \text{Mod}(X)$ , which sends the leaves of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  to the leaves of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}'$ , see e.g [16], p.388. Note that the equivalence deals with the topological foliations (i.e. the projective classes of measured foliations [22]) and does not preserve the transversal measure of the leaves.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$  be the topologically conjugate measured foliations on a surface  $X$ . Then*

$$\text{Jac}(\mathcal{F}') = \mu \text{Jac}(\mathcal{F}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\mu > 0$  is a real number.

*Proof.* Let  $h : X \rightarrow X$  be an automorphism of the surface  $X$ . Denote by  $h_*$  its action on  $H_1(X, \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}); \mathbb{Z})$  and by  $h^*$  on  $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$  connected by the formula:

$$\int_{h_*(\gamma)} \omega = \int_{\gamma} h^*(\omega), \quad \forall \gamma \in H_1(X, \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}); \mathbb{Z}), \quad \forall \omega \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R}). \quad (7)$$

Let  $\omega, \omega' \in H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$  be the closed 1-forms whose trajectories define the foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$ , respectively. Since  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$  are topologically conjugate measured foliations,

$$\omega' = \mu h^*(\omega) \quad (8)$$

for a  $\mu > 0$ .

Let  $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{Z} \lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \lambda_n$  and  $\text{Jac}(\mathcal{F}') = \mathbb{Z} \lambda'_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \lambda'_n$ . Then:

$$\lambda'_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \omega' = \mu \int_{\gamma_i} h^*(\omega) = \mu \int_{h_*(\gamma_i)} \omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (9)$$

By lemma 1, it holds:

$$\text{Jac}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \int_{h_*(\gamma_i)} \omega. \quad (10)$$

Therefore:

$$Jac(\mathcal{F}') = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \int_{\gamma_i} \omega' = \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \int_{h_*(\gamma_i)} \omega = \mu Jac(\mathcal{F}). \quad (11)$$

Lemma 2 follows.  $\square$

### 3 Conjugate foliations correspond to stably isomorphic $AF$ -algebras

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a measured foliation on the surface  $X$ . We introduce an  $AF$ -algebra of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ :  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}} := \mathbb{A}_{\theta}$ , where  $\mathbb{A}_{\theta}$  is the  $AF$ -algebra constructed in §1.4. The goal of present section is the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow[\text{conjugate}]{\text{topologically}} & \mathcal{F}' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{\text{isomorphic}} & \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}'} \otimes \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

Let us start with a lemma, which is a simple property of the Jacobi-Perron fractions [3].

**Lemma 3** *Let  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_n$  and  $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_n$  be two  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, such that  $\mathbf{m}' = \mu\mathbf{m}$  for a  $\mu > 0$ . Then the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions of the vectors  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  coincide except, may be, a finite number of terms.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{m} = \mathbb{Z}\lambda_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda_n$  and  $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_n$ . Since  $\mathbf{m}' = \mu\mathbf{m}$ , where  $\mu$  is a positive real, one gets the following identity of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules:

$$\mathbb{Z}\lambda'_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\lambda'_n = \mathbb{Z}(\mu\lambda_1) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}(\mu\lambda_n). \quad (12)$$

One can always assume that  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda'_i$  are positive reals. For obvious reasons, there exists a basis  $\{\lambda''_1, \dots, \lambda''_n\}$  of the module  $\mathbf{m}'$ , such that:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda''_1 &= A(\mu\lambda_1) \\ \lambda''_n &= A'\lambda'_n, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where  $A, A' \in GL_n^+(\mathbb{Z})$  are the matrices, whose entries are non-negative integers. In view of the Proposition 3 of [2]:

$$\begin{cases} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix} \\ A' &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b'_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b'_l \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

where  $b_i, b'_i$  are non-negative integer vectors. Since that (Jacobi-Perron) continued fraction for the vectors  $\lambda$  and  $\mu\lambda$  coincide for any  $\mu > 0$  [3], we conclude that:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b'_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b'_l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta'' \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & a_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

In other words, the continued fractions of the vectors  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  coincide, except a finite number of terms.  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$  be the topologically conjugate measured foliations on a surface  $X$ . Then the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}'}$  are stably isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Notice that lemma 2 implies that the topologically conjugate measured foliations  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$  have the proportional Jacobians, i.e.  $\mathbf{m}' = \mu\mathbf{m}$  for a  $\mu > 0$ . On the other hand, by lemma 3 the continued fraction expansion of the basis vectors of the proportional Jacobians must coincide, except a finite number of terms. Thus, the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{F}'}$  are given by the Bratteli diagrams, which are identical, except a finite part of the diagram. It is well known ([8], Theorem 2.3) that the  $AF$ -algebras, which have such a property, are stably isomorphic.  $\square$

## 4 Proofs

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of the surface  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  the invariant foliation of  $\phi$ . By the definition of such a foliation,  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi) = \lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_\phi$ , where  $\lambda_\phi > 1$  is the dilatation of  $\phi$ .

Consider the Jacobian  $Jac(\mathcal{F}_\phi) := \mathbf{m}_\phi$  of the measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is an invariant foliation of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\phi$ , one gets the following equality of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules:

$$\mathbf{m}_\phi = \lambda_\phi \mathbf{m}_\phi, \quad \lambda_\phi \neq \pm 1. \quad (17)$$

Let  $\{v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}\}$  be a basis in the module  $\mathbf{m}_\phi$ , such that  $v^{(i)} > 0$ . In view of (17), one obtains the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_\phi v^{(1)} &= a_{11}v^{(1)} + a_{12}v^{(2)} + \dots + a_{1n}v^{(n)} \\ \lambda_\phi v^{(2)} &= a_{21}v^{(1)} + a_{22}v^{(2)} + \dots + a_{2n}v^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \\ \lambda_\phi v^{(n)} &= a_{n1}v^{(1)} + a_{n2}v^{(2)} + \dots + a_{nn}v^{(n)}, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is invertible. Indeed, since the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is minimal, the real numbers  $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . So do the numbers  $\lambda_\phi v^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_\phi v^{(n)}$ , which therefore can be taken for a basis of the module  $\mathfrak{m}_\phi$ . Thus, there exists an integer matrix  $B = (b_{ij})$ , such that  $v^{(j)} = \sum_{i,j} w^{(i)}$ , where  $w^{(i)} = \lambda_\phi v^{(i)}$ . Clearly,  $B$  is an inverse to the matrix  $A$ . Therefore,  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Moreover, without loss of the generality one can assume that  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ . Indeed, if it is not yet the case, consider the conjugacy class  $[A]$  of the matrix  $A$ . It is known that there exists a matrix  $A^+ \in [A]$ , whose entries are the non-negative integers. One has to replace the basis  $v = (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)})$  in the module  $\mathfrak{m}_\phi$  by the basis  $Tv$ , where  $A^+ = TAT^{-1}$ . It will be further assumed that  $A = A^+$ .

**Lemma 5** *The vector  $(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)})$  is the limit of a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction.*

*Proof.* It follows from the discussion above, that there exists a non-negative integer matrix  $A$ , such that  $Av = \lambda_\phi v$ . In view of the Proposition 3 of [2], the matrix  $A$  admits a unique factorization:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where  $b_i = (b_1^{(i)}, \dots, b_n^{(i)})^T$  are the vectors of the non-negative integers. Let us consider a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction:

$$Per \overline{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

According to §7.5.6 (see *Appendix*), the above periodic fraction converges to the vector  $w = (w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(n)})$ , such that  $w$  satisfies the equation  $(B_1 B_2 \dots B_k)w = Aw = \lambda_\phi w$ . In view of the equation  $Av = \lambda_\phi v$ , we conclude that the vectors  $v$  and  $w$  are the collinear vectors. Therefore, the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions of  $v$  and  $w$  must coincide.  $\square$

It is now straightforward to prove, that the  $AF$ -algebra attached to the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is a stationary  $AF$ -algebra. Indeed, by the lemma 5, the vector of periods  $v^{(i)} = \int_{\gamma_i} \omega$  unfolds into a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction. By the definition, the Bratteli diagram of the  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  have to be periodic. In other words, the  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  is a stationary  $AF$ -algebra.  $\square$

## 4.2 Proof of Theorem 2

(i) Let us prove the first statement. We shall need the following (well known) lemma.

**Lemma 6** *Let  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  be the conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a surface  $X$ . Then the invariant foliations  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\phi'}$  are topologically conjugate foliations.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi, \phi' \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be conjugate, i.e  $\phi' = \psi\phi\psi^{-1}$  for an automorphism  $\psi \in \text{Mod}(X)$ . Since  $\phi$  is the pseudo-Anosov automorphism, there exists a measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ , such that  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi) = \lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_\phi$ . Let us evaluate the automorphism  $\phi'$  on the foliation  $\psi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(\psi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)) &= \psi\phi\psi^{-1}(\psi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)) = \psi\phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi) = \\ &= \psi\lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_\phi = \lambda_\phi(\psi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_{\phi'} = \psi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)$  is the invariant foliation for the pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\phi'$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\phi, \mathcal{F}_{\phi'}$  are topologically conjugate foliations. Note also that the pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\phi'$  has the same dilatation as the automorphism  $\phi$ .  $\square$

We are now in position to prove the claim (i) of theorem 2. Suppose that  $\phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{M}$  are the conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. Functor  $F$  acts by the formulas  $\phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_\phi$  and  $\phi' \mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$ , where  $\mathbb{A}_\phi, \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  are the  $AF$ -algebras of the invariant foliations  $\mathcal{F}_\phi, \mathcal{F}_{\phi'}$ . In view of lemma 6,  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\phi'}$  are the topologically conjugate foliations. Then, by lemma 4, the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  are the stably isomorphic  $AF$ -algebras. The item (i) follows.

(ii) Let us prove the second statement. We start with an elementary observation. Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism. Then there exists a unique measured foliation,  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ , such that  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi) = \lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_\phi$ , where  $\lambda_\phi > 1$  is an algebraic integer. Let us evaluate the automorphism  $\phi^2 \in \text{Mod}(X)$  on the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^2(\mathcal{F}_\phi) &= \phi(\phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi)) = \phi(\lambda_\phi \mathcal{F}_\phi) = \\ &= \lambda_\phi \phi(\mathcal{F}_\phi) = \lambda_\phi^2 \mathcal{F}_\phi = \lambda_{\phi^2} \mathcal{F}_\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $\lambda_{\phi^2} := \lambda_\phi^2$ . Thus, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is an invariant foliation for the automorphism  $\phi^2$  as well. By induction, one concludes that  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is an invariant foliation for the automorphism  $\phi^n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .

Even more is true. Suppose that  $\psi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  is a pseudo-Anosov automorphism, such that  $\psi^m = \phi^n$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and  $\psi \neq \phi$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is an invariant foliation for the automorphism  $\psi$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is the invariant foliation for the automorphism  $\psi^m$ . If there exists  $\mathcal{F}' \neq \mathcal{F}_\phi$ , such that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}'$  is an invariant foliation of  $\psi$ , then the foliation  $\mathcal{F}'$  is also an invariant foliation of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\psi^m$ . Thus, by the uniqueness of the invariant foliations,  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}_\phi$ . We have just proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 7** *Let  $\phi$  be the pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a surface  $X$ . Denote by  $[\phi]$  a set of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms  $\psi$  of  $X$ , such that  $\psi^m = \phi^n$  for some positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ . Then the pseudo-Anosov foliation  $\mathcal{F}_\phi$  is an invariant foliation for every pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\psi \in [\phi]$ .*

In view of lemma 7, one arrives at the following identities among the  $AF$ -algebras:

$$\mathbb{A}_\phi = \mathbb{A}_{\phi^2} = \dots = \mathbb{A}_{\phi^n} = \mathbb{A}_{\psi^n} = \dots = \mathbb{A}_{\psi^2} = \mathbb{A}_\psi. \quad (23)$$

Thus, the functor  $F : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is not an injective functor: the preimage,  $\text{Ker } F$ , of the  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  consists of a countable set of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms  $\psi \in [\phi]$ , commensurable with the automorphism  $\phi$ .

Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

### 4.3 Proof of Corollary 1

(i) It follows from theorem 1, that  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  is a stationary  $AF$ -algebra. An arithmetic invariant of the stable isomorphism classes of stationary  $AF$ -algebras has been found by D. Handelman in [11]. Summing up his results, the invariant is as follows.

Let  $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  be a matrix with the strictly positive entries, such that  $A$  is equal to the minimal period of the Bratteli diagram of the stationary  $AF$ -algebra. (In case the matrix  $A$  has the zero entries, it is necessary to take a proper power of the matrix  $A$ .) By the Perron-Frobenius theory, the matrix  $A$  has a real eigenvalue  $\lambda_A > 1$ , which exceeds the absolute values of other roots of the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ . Note that  $\lambda_A$  is an algebraic integer (unit). Consider a real algebraic number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$  obtained as an extension of the field of the rational numbers by the algebraic number  $\lambda_A$ . Let  $(v_A^{(1)}, \dots, v_A^{(n)})$  be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_A$ . One can normalize the eigenvector so that  $v_A^{(i)} \in K$ .

The departure point of Handelman's invariant is the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(n)}$ . The module  $\mathfrak{m}$  brings in two new arithmetic objects: (i) the ring  $\Lambda$  of the endomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and (ii) an ideal  $I$  in the ring  $\Lambda$ , such that  $I = \mathfrak{m}$  after a scaling. The ring  $\Lambda$  is an order in the algebraic number field  $K$  and therefore one can talk about the ideal classes in  $\Lambda$ . The ideal class of  $I$  is denoted by  $[I]$ . Omitting the embedding question for the field  $K$ , the triple  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  is an invariant of the stable isomorphism class of the stationary  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$  (§5 of [11]). The item (i) follows.

(ii) The numerical invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the stationary  $AF$ -algebras can be derived from the triple  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ . These invariants are the rational integers – called the determinant and signature – can be obtained as follows.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$  be the full  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules in an algebraic number field  $K$ . It follows from (i), that if  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}'$  are distinct as the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, then the corresponding  $AF$ -algebras cannot be stably isomorphic. We wish to find the numerical invariants, which discern the case  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}'$ . It is assumed that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is given by the set of generators  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ . Therefore, the problem can be formulated as follows: find a number attached to the set of generators  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ , which does not change on the set of generators  $\{\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n\}$ , which define the same  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module in the number field  $K$ .

One such invariant is associated with the trace function on the algebraic number field  $K$ . Recall that  $Tr : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is a linear function on  $K$  such that  $Tr(\alpha + \beta) = Tr(\alpha) + Tr(\beta)$  and  $Tr(a\alpha) = a Tr(\alpha)$  for  $\forall \alpha, \beta \in K$  and  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a full  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module in the field  $K$ . The trace function defines a symmetric bilinear form  $q(x, y) : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by the formula:

$$(x, y) \mapsto Tr(xy), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{m}. \quad (24)$$

The form  $q(x, y)$  depends on the basis  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  in the module  $\mathfrak{m}$ :

$$q(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i y_j, \quad \text{where } a_{ij} = Tr(\lambda_i \lambda_j). \quad (25)$$

However, the general theory of the bilinear forms (over the fields  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or the ring of rational integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) tells us that certain numerical quantities will not depend on the choice of such a basis.

Namely, one such invariant is as follows. Consider a symmetric matrix  $A$  corresponding to the bilinear form  $q(x, y)$ :

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

It is known that the matrix  $A$ , written in a new basis, will take the form  $A' = U^T A U$ , where  $U \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\det(A') = \det(U^T A U) = \det(U^T) \det(A) \det(U) = \det(A)$ . Therefore, the rational integer number:

$$\Delta = \det(Tr(\lambda_i \lambda_j)), \quad (27)$$

called a *determinant* of the bilinear form  $q(x, y)$ , does not depend on the choice of the basis  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  in the module  $\mathfrak{m}$ . We conclude that the determinant  $\Delta$  discerns<sup>3</sup> the modules  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}'$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>Note that if  $\Delta = \Delta'$  for the modules  $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}'$ , one cannot conclude that  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}'$ . The problem of equivalence of the symmetric bilinear forms over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e. the existence of a linear



Finally, recall that the form  $q(x, y)$  can be brought by the integer linear substitutions to the diagonal form:

$$a_1x_1^2 + a_2x_2^2 + \dots + a_nx_n^2, \quad (28)$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ . We let  $a_i^+$  be the positive and  $a_i^-$  the negative entries in the diagonal form. In view of the law of inertia for the bilinear forms, the integer number  $\Sigma = (\#a_i^+) - (\#a_i^-)$ , called a *signature*, does not depend on a particular choice of the basis in the module  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus,  $\Sigma$  discerns the modules  $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}'$ . The corollary 1 follows.  $\square$

#### 4.4 Proof of Corollary 2

Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be the pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a surface  $X$ . Consider a 3-dimensional manifold  $M_\phi$ , which fibers over the circle with the fiber  $X$  and monodromy  $\phi$ . By the construction, the manifolds  $M_\phi, M_{\phi'}$  are homotopy equivalent if and only if  $\phi, \phi'$  are the conjugate automorphisms of  $X$ . On the other hand, the part (i) of theorem 2 implies that the  $AF$ -algebras  $\mathbb{A}_\phi, \mathbb{A}_{\phi'}$  must be stably isomorphic for the conjugate automorphisms  $\phi, \phi'$ . The corollary 1 says that the triples  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  and numbers  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  are the invariants of the stable isomorphism class of the  $AF$ -algebra  $\mathbb{A}_\phi$ . The corollary 2 follows.  $\square$

### 5 Anosov automorphisms

In the present section we shall calculate the invariants  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  for the Anosov automorphisms of the two-dimensional torus. An example of two non-conjugate Anosov automorphisms with the same Alexander polynomial, but different determinants  $\Delta$  is constructed. Recall that the isotopy classes of the orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the torus  $T^2$  are bijective with the  $2 \times 2$  matrices with integer entries and determinant  $+1$ , i.e.  $\text{Mod } T^2 \cong SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Under the identification, the non-periodic automorphisms correspond to the matrices  $A \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $|Tr A| > 2$ .

---

substitution over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which transforms one form to the other), is a fundamental question of number theory. The Minkowski-Hasse theorem says that two such forms are equivalent if and only if they are equivalent over the field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for every prime number  $p$  and over the field  $\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly, the resulting  $p$ -adic quantities will give new invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the  $AF$ -algebras. The question is much similar to the Minkowski units attached to knots, see e.g. Reidemeister [21]. We will not pursue this topic here and refer the reader to the problem part of present article.

## 5.1 Full modules and orders in quadratic fields

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$  be a quadratic extension of the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Further we suppose that  $d$  is a positive square free integer. Let

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \sqrt{d} & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

**Proposition 1** *Let  $f$  be a positive integer. Every order in  $K$  has form  $\Lambda_f = \mathbb{Z} + (f\omega)\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $f$  is the conductor of  $\Lambda_f$ .*

*Proof.* See [6] pp. 130-132.  $\square$

The proposition 1 allows to classify the similarity classes of the full modules in the field  $K$ . Indeed, there exists a finite number of  $\mathfrak{m}_f^{(1)}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_f^{(s)}$  of the non-similar full modules in the field  $K$ , whose coefficient ring is the order  $\Lambda_f$ , cf Theorem 3, Ch 2.7 of [6]. Thus, proposition 1 gives a finite-to-one classification of the similarity classes of full modules in the field  $K$ .

## 5.2 Numerical invariants of Anosov automorphisms

Let  $\Lambda_f$  be an order in  $K$  with the conductor  $f$ . Under the addition operation, the order  $\Lambda_f$  is a full module, which we denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ . Let us evaluate the invariants  $q(x, y)$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  on the module  $\mathfrak{m}_f$ . To calculate  $(a_{ij}) = \text{Tr}(\lambda_i \lambda_j)$ , we let  $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = f\omega$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= 2, & a_{12} = a_{21} = f, & a_{22} = \frac{1}{2}f^2(d+1) & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ a_{11} &= 2, & a_{12} = a_{21} = 0, & a_{22} = 2f^2d & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, y) &= 2x^2 + 2fxy + \frac{1}{2}f^2(d+1)y^2 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ q(x, y) &= 2x^2 + 2f^2dy^2 & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Therefore

$$\Delta = \begin{cases} f^2d & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4f^2d & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

and  $\Sigma = +2$  in the both cases, where  $\Sigma = \#(\text{positive}) - \#(\text{negative})$  entries in the diagonal normal form of  $q(x, y)$ .

## 5.3 Example

Let us consider a numerical example, which illustrates the advantages of our invariants in comparison to the classical Alexander polynomials. Denote by  $M_A$

and  $M_B$  the hyperbolic 3-dimensional manifolds obtained as a torus bundle over the circle with the monodromies

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

respectively. The Alexander polynomials of  $M_A$  and  $M_B$  are identical  $\Delta_A(t) = \Delta_B(t) = t^2 - 6t + 1$ . However, the manifolds  $M_A$  and  $M_B$  are *not* homotopy equivalent.

Indeed, the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of matrix  $A$  is  $v_A = (1, \sqrt{2} - 1)$  while of the matrix  $B$  is  $v_B = (1, 2\sqrt{2} - 2)$ . The bilinear forms for the modules  $\mathfrak{m}_A = \mathbb{Z} + (\sqrt{2} - 1)\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_B = \mathbb{Z} + (2\sqrt{2} - 2)\mathbb{Z}$  can be written as

$$q_A(x, y) = 2x^2 - 4xy + 6y^2, \quad q_B(x, y) = 2x^2 - 8xy + 24y^2, \quad (34)$$

respectively. The modules  $\mathfrak{m}_A, \mathfrak{m}_B$  are not similar in the number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ , since their determinants  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_A) = 8$  and  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}_B) = 32$  are not equal. Therefore the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are not conjugate<sup>4</sup> in the group  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . Note that the class number  $h_K = 1$  for the field  $K$ .

## 6 Open problems and conjectures

The present section is reserved for some questions and conjectures, which arise in the connection with the invariants  $(\Lambda, [I], K), q(x, y), \Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ . The questions vary in difficulty with the most challenging – apparently – being a volume formula for the hyperbolic 3-dimensional manifolds.

### 1. Connection to the homotopy and homology groups

Let  $M_\phi$  be the surface bundle with a pseudo-Anosov monodromy  $\phi$ . Denote by  $\pi_1(M_\phi)$  the fundamental group and by  $H_*(M_\phi)$  ( $H^*(M_\phi)$ ) the (co) homology groups of  $M_\phi$ . It seems interesting to express the invariants  $(\Lambda, [I], K), q(x, y), \Delta$  and  $\Sigma$  in terms of the groups  $\pi_1(M_\phi)$ ,  $H_*(M_\phi)$  and  $H^*(M_\phi)$ . One can ask the following question: *Find explicit formulas (if any), which connect the homotopy and homology groups with the invariants  $(\Lambda, [I], K), q(x, y), \Delta$  and  $\Sigma$ .*

### 2. The $p$ -adic invariants of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms

**A.** Let  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  be pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a surface  $X$ . If  $\lambda_\phi$  is the dilatation of  $\phi$ , then one can consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{Z}v^{(1)} + \dots +$

---

<sup>4</sup>The reader may verify this fact using the method of periods, which dates back to Gauss. First we have to find the fixed points  $Ax = x$  and  $Bx = x$ , which gives us  $x_A = 1 + \sqrt{2}$  and  $x_B = \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2}$ , respectively. Then one unfolds the fixed points into a periodic continued fraction, which gives us  $x_A = [2, 2, 2, \dots]$  and  $x_B = [1, 4, 1, 4, \dots]$ . Since the period (2) of  $x_A$  differs from the period (1, 4) of  $B$ , the matrices  $A$  and  $B$  belong to different conjugacy classes in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

$\mathbb{Z}v^{(n)}$  in the number field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_\phi)$  generated by the normalized eigenvector  $(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)})$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_\phi$ . The trace function on the number field  $K$  gives rise to a symmetric bilinear form  $q(x, y)$  on the module  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The form is defined over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It has been shown that a pseudo-Anosov automorphism  $\phi'$ , conjugate to  $\phi$ , yields a form  $q'(x, y)$ , equivalent to  $q(x, y)$ , i.e.  $q(x, y)$  can be transformed to  $q'(x, y)$  by an invertible linear substitution with the coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**B.** Recall that in order the two rational bilinear forms  $q(x, y), q'(x, y)$  to be equivalent, it is necessary and sufficient, that the following conditions were met:

- (i)  $\Delta = \Delta'$ , where  $\Delta$  is the determinant of the form;
- (ii) for each prime number  $p$  (including  $p = \infty$ ) certain  $p$ -adic equation between the coefficients of forms  $q, q'$  must be satisfied, see e.g. [6], Ch.1, §7.5. (In fact, only a *finite* number of such equations have to be verified.)

The condition (i) has been already used to discern between the conjugacy classes of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms, see §5. One can use condition (ii) to discern between the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms with  $\Delta = \Delta'$ . The following question can be posed: *Find the  $p$ -adic invariants of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms.*

### 3. The volume of 3-dimensional hyperbolic manifolds

The surface bundles  $M_\phi$  have been treated from the topological point of view so far. It has been shown, that the triples  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  are the homotopy invariants of such bundles. On the other hand, the manifolds  $M_\phi$  have a beautiful geometry: they admit a metric of the constant negative curvature (a hyperbolic metric) [22]. In view of the Mostow-Margulis rigidity, the hyperbolic volume is a homotopy invariant of  $M_\phi$ . It is fairly natural to expect that the hyperbolic volume can be (fully or partially) recovered from the arithmetic data encoded in the triple  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ . One can therefore rise the following question: *Find an explicit formula for the hyperbolic volume of  $M_\phi$  in terms of the arithmetic of triples  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$ .*

### 4. Multiplicity of the volume function

In connection with the volume problem, one can consider a volume function  $M_\phi \mapsto \text{Vol}(M_\phi) \in \mathbb{R}$ . By the multiplicity of the volume function (in a point), we understand the number of topologically distinct surface bundles  $M_\phi$ , which have the same hyperbolic volume. We conclude with the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1** *Let  $(\Lambda, [I], K)$  be triple corresponding to a surface bundle  $M_\phi$ . The multiplicity of the volume function in the point  $M_\phi$  is equal to the class number  $h_\Lambda = |\Lambda/[I]|$  of the integral order  $\Lambda$ .*

(The conjecture is reminiscent to the Bianchi formula for the number of ends of a hyperbolic orbifold given by the Kleinian group over the imaginary quadratic number field [4].)

## 7 Appendix

The section contains a minimal background information necessary to prove our main results. The measured foliations and automorphisms of the surfaces are expounded in [10], [13] and [22]. The Chapter 2 of the book [6] is an excellent introduction to the modules, orders and ideals in the number fields. For the  $C^*$ -algebra part, especially the  $AF$ -algebras, we refer the reader to [8]. Finally, a comprehensive account of the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions can be found in [3].

### 7.1 Measured foliations

#### 1. Definition

A measured foliation,  $\mathcal{F}$ , on the surface  $X$  is a partition of  $X$  into the singular points  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of order (multiplicity)  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  and the regular leaves (1-dimensional submanifolds). On each open cover  $U_i$  of  $X - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  there exists a non-vanishing real-valued closed 1-form  $\phi_i$  such that

- (i)  $\phi_i = \pm \phi_j$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ ;
- (ii) at each  $x_i$  there exists a local chart  $(u, v) : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for  $z = u + iv$ , it holds  $\phi_i = \text{Im} (z^{\frac{k_i}{2}} dz)$  on  $V \cap U_i$  for some branch of  $z^{\frac{k_i}{2}}$ .

The pair  $(U_i, \phi_i)$  is called an atlas for the measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . Finally, a measure  $\mu$  is assigned to each segment  $(t_0, t) \in U_i$ , which is transverse to the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , via the integral  $\mu(t_0, t) = \int_{t_0}^t \phi_i$ . The measure is invariant along the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ , hence the name.

#### 2. Singular points

The configuration of leaves near the singular points of order  $k$  is shown in Fig.4. The singular point of order 0 is referred to as a *fake saddle*. The singular point of even order is called oriented. When all singular points of a measured foliation are oriented, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *flow*. Any flow on a compact surface is defined by the trajectories of a closed 1-form  $\phi$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a measured foliation, the index theorem implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i}{2} = 2g - 2.$$

In particular, there exists only a finite number of the singular points (which are not the fake saddles) for any measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ . Via a double cover construction (to be considered later on) each measured foliation is covered by a flow on an appropriate surface.

#### 3. Method of zippered rectangles and coordinates of measured foliations




Figure 4: The singular points of measured foliations.

**A.** There exists a remarkable construction, which allows to produce a flow from the given set of positive reals  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $n$  symbols. Consider a rectangle with the base  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$  and the top  $\lambda_{\pi(1)} + \dots + \lambda_{\pi(n)}$ . We shall identify the open interval  $\lambda_i$  in the base with the open interval  $\lambda_{\pi(i)}$  at the top for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as it is shown in the Fig. 5. The resulting object will be an  $k$ -holed topological surface,  $X$ , of genus  $g = \frac{1}{2}(n - N(\pi) + 1)$ , where  $N(\pi)$  is the number of cyclic permutations in the prime decomposition of  $\pi$  [24]. A flow  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  is defined by the vertical lines given by the closed 1-form  $\phi = dx$ . The order of singular points of  $\mathcal{F}$  depends on the length of elementary cyclic permutations and the total number of singular points is equal to  $k = N(\pi)$ . The singular points are located at the holes of surface  $X$ .




Figure 5: Zippering of the rectangle.

**B.** To recover  $\lambda_i$  from the 1-form  $\phi$ , notice that

$$n = 2g + N(\pi) - 1 = \dim H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the last symbol stays for the relative homology of  $X$  with respect to the set of singular points of the flow  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\phi = dx$ , one arrives at the elementary, but important formula:

$$\lambda_i = \int_{\gamma_i} \phi,$$

where  $\gamma_i$  are the elements of the basis in  $H_1(X, \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}; \mathbb{Z})$ . The numbers  $\lambda_i$  are the coordinates of foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  in the space of all measured foliation with fixed set of the singular points [7].

#### 4. Representation of measured foliation by a flow

**A.** Unless all the singular points of a measured foliation are of an even order, the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  cannot be given by a closed 1-form on the surface  $X$ . Fortunately, the situation can be corrected on a surface  $\tilde{X}$ , which is a double cover of the surface  $X$  ramified over the singular points of  $\mathcal{F}$  of the odd order. Namely, denote by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  the set of singular points of  $\mathcal{F}$  of even and odd order, respectively. Note that in view of the index formula,  $m$  is always an even integer. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a surface, which covers the surface  $X$  with the ramification of index 2 over the points  $y_1, \dots, y_m$ . The Riemann-Hurwitz formula for the genera of covering surfaces writes in this case as:

$$\tilde{g} = 2g + \frac{m}{2} - 1,$$

where  $\tilde{g}$  and  $g$  are the genera of surfaces  $\tilde{X}$  and  $X$ , respectively.

**B.** Denote by  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  the covering projection and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a measured foliation on the surface  $X$ . We shall denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  a resulting (measured) foliation on the surface  $\tilde{X}$ . The set  $\text{Sing } (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \{2x_1, \dots, 2x_n; \tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_m\}$ , where  $2x_i$  denote the two copies of singular point  $x_i$  and  $\tilde{y}_i$  a singular point of the order  $2(k_i + 1)$  whenever  $k_i$  is the order of  $y_i$ . Thus, the foliation  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  has no singular points of odd order and therefore  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a flow. We call the flow  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  a *covering flow* of the measured foliation  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## 7.2 Automorphisms of surfaces

### 1. Torus

**A.** One can regard the torus  $T^2$  as the quotient of the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by the integer lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , endowed with a fixed orientation. Since  $\pi_1(T^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , the homeomorphisms of  $T^2$  correspond to the elements of group  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  as any element  $A \in GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  maps  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  to itself and so induces a continuous map  $h_A : T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ . It is not hard to see that  $h_A$  has an inverse  $h_A^{-1}$  given by the matrix  $A^{-1}$ . The map  $h_A$  preserves orientation if and only if  $\det(A) = 1$ , i.e.  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**B.** If  $A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , the characteristic polynomial of  $A$  can be written as  $\lambda^2 - (\text{tr}(A))\lambda + 1$ . The eigenvalues are either: (i) complex, i.e.  $\text{tr}(A) = 0$  or  $\pm 1$ ; (ii) double reals  $+1$  or  $-1$ , i.e.  $\text{tr}(A) = 2$  or  $-2$ ; (iii) distinct reals, i.e.  $|\text{tr}(A)| > 2$ . At the level of homeomorphisms, the above cases correspond to:

(i) finite order homeomorphism  $(h_A)^{12} = 1$ . In this case map  $h_A$  is said to be *periodic*.

(ii) matrix  $A$  has an integral eigenvector, which corresponds to a simple closed curve  $C$  on  $T^2$ . The curve  $C$  is invariant under the map  $h_A$  and  $h_A$  corresponds to a Dehn twist in  $C$ . In this case the map is said to be *reducible*.

(iii) in this case  $\lambda_A > 1 > 1/\lambda_A$ , where  $\lambda_A$  is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and we let  $v_A, v'_A$  be the corresponding eigenvectors. Since  $\theta_A = v_A^{(2)}/v_A^{(1)}$  is irrational,  $h_A$  leaves no simple closed curve invariant. Translating the vectors  $v_A, v'_A$  yields two measured foliations  $\mathcal{F}_u, \mathcal{F}_s$ , which are carried by  $h_A$  to the foliations  $\lambda_A \mathcal{F}_u$  and  $\frac{1}{\lambda_A} \mathcal{F}_s$ , respectively. In other words,  $h_A$  is a linear homeomorphism which stretches by a factor  $\lambda_A$  in one direction and shrinks by the same factor in a complementary direction. The homeomorphism  $h_A$  is called *Anosov*.

## 2. Surfaces

The classification of the automorphisms of torus has been generalized by J. Nielsen and W. Thurston to the case of compact surfaces. According to their results any automorphism  $\phi \in \text{Mod}(X)$  is isotopic to an automorphism  $\phi'$ , such that either:

(i)  $\phi'$  has finite order and is called *periodic*;

(ii)  $\phi'$  preserves a simple closed curve  $C$  on  $X$ . In this case one can further analyze  $\phi'$  by cutting  $X$  into the pants along the curve  $C$ . The automorphism is called *reducible*;

(iii)  $\phi'$  is of infinite order and does not preserve the simple closed curves on  $X$ . In this case there exist a stable  $\mathcal{F}_s$  and unstable  $\mathcal{F}_u$  mutually orthogonal measured foliations on  $X$  such that  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_s) = \frac{1}{\lambda_A} \mathcal{F}_s$  and  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_u) = \lambda_A \mathcal{F}_u$ , where  $\lambda_A > 1$  is called the dilatation of  $\phi'$ . The foliations  $\mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{F}_u$  are minimal, uniquely ergodic and describe  $\phi'$  up to a power. The automorphism  $\phi'$  is called *pseudo-Anosov*.

## 7.3 Algebraic numbers

### 1. Algebraic numbers and their traces

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers. Let  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$  be an algebraic number over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. root of polynomial equation  $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ . A (simple) algebraic extension of the degree  $n$  is a minimal field  $K = K(\alpha)$  which contains both  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha$ . Note that the coefficients  $a_i$  can be assumed integer. If  $K$  is an algebraic extension of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $K$  is isomorphic to the  $n$ -dimensional vector space (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) with the basis vectors  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ . For this vector space, the multiplication  $\xi \mapsto \eta \xi$  by a number



$\eta \in K$  becomes a linear transformation of  $K$ . If  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  is a basis for  $K$ , one can write the transformation as  $\eta\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\omega_j$ , where  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$ . The trace  $Tr(a_{ij}) = a_{11} + \dots + a_{nn}$  of the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  does not depend on the basis  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  in  $K$  and is called the trace  $Tr(\eta)$  of the algebraic number  $\eta$ . The trace is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear function on  $K$ , i.e.  $Tr(r_1\alpha_1 + r_2\alpha_2) = r_1 Tr(\alpha_1) + r_2 Tr(\alpha_2)$  for every  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

## 2. Rings of integers

Let  $K$  be an algebraic extension of the degree  $n$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The element  $\tau \in K$  is called *algebraic integer* if there exists monic polynomial  $\tau^n + a_{n-1}\tau^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It can be easily verified that the sum and product of the two algebraic integers is an algebraic integer. The (commutative) ring  $O_K \subset K$  is called the ring of integers. The elements of the subring  $\mathbb{Z} \subset O_K$  are called the rational integers. One of the remarkable properties of  $O_K$  is existence of an integral basis. Such a basis is the collection  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  of the elements of  $O_K$ , whose linear span over the rational integers is equal to  $O_K$ . The integral basis exists for any finite extension and therefore  $O_K$  is isomorphic to an integral lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , where  $n$  is the degree of the field  $K$ .

## 3. Orders

The ring  $\Lambda$  in  $K$  is called an order if it satisfies the following properties: (i)  $K$  is the quotient field of  $\Lambda$ , (ii)  $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$  and (iii) the additive group of  $\Lambda$  is finitely generated. An immediate example of the order is the ring  $O_K$ . The order  $\Lambda$  is called integral if  $\Lambda \subseteq O_K$ . The maximal integral order coincides with  $O_K$ . The additive structure turns  $\Lambda$  into a lattice of rank  $r$ . In what follows we consider the orders  $\Lambda$  such that  $r = n$ , where  $n$  is the degree of the field  $K$ .

## 4. Full modules

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ . The set  $\mathfrak{m} = \{r_1\alpha_1 + \dots + r_n\alpha_n \mid r_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is called module in  $K$ . The module  $\mathfrak{m}$  is called full if  $n = \deg K$ . Two modules  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \mathfrak{m}_2$  in  $K$  are similar if  $\mathfrak{m}_2 = \alpha\mathfrak{m}_1$  for some  $\alpha \neq 0$  in  $K$ . In what follows we study the modules up to similarity, and therefore we identify  $\mathfrak{m} = \alpha_1\mathbb{Z} + \dots + \alpha_n\mathbb{Z}$ . A number  $\alpha \in K$  is called a coefficient of the full module  $\mathfrak{m}$  if  $\alpha\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , i.e. for any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{m}$  the product  $\alpha\xi$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The coefficients of the module  $\mathfrak{m}$  form an order since  $(\alpha - \beta)\xi = \alpha\xi - \beta\xi \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $(\alpha\beta)\xi = \alpha(\beta\xi) \in \mathfrak{m}$ . Such an order we denote by  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The similar modules generate the same order  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and every full module  $\mathfrak{m}$  is similar to an ideal contained in  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . The similarity relation splits  $K$  into an infinite number of disjoint classes of similar modules. However, given order  $\Lambda \subset K$  there exists only a finite number of the similarity classes  $[\mathfrak{m}_1], \dots, [\mathfrak{m}_s]$  such that  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_1} = \dots = \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_s} = \Lambda$ . The determination of  $s$  for the given order  $\Lambda$  is a difficult question, which in the particular case  $\Lambda = O_K$  is known as problem of the class number  $h_K$  of the algebraic field  $K$ . It is always true however that  $s \geq h_K$  for any order  $\Lambda \subset K$  [25].

### 5. Determinant of the full module

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n$  be two bases for the full module  $\mathfrak{m}$  in the algebraic number field  $K$ . One defines a symmetric bilinear form  $q(x, y) : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  by the formula  $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}(xy)$ . The rational number  $\Delta(\mathfrak{m}) = \det(\text{Tr}(\lambda_i \lambda_j)) = \det(\text{Tr}(\lambda'_i \lambda'_j))$  does not depend on the basis in  $\mathfrak{m}$  and is called a *determinant* of the module  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

## 7.4 $AF$ -algebras

### 1. $C^*$ -algebras

By the  $C^*$ -algebra one understands a noncommutative Banach algebra with an involution. Namely, a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with a norm  $a \mapsto \|a\|$  and an involution  $a \mapsto a^*, a \in A$ , such that  $A$  is complete with respect to the norm, and such that  $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$  and  $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$  for every  $a, b \in A$ . If  $A$  is commutative, then the Gelfand theorem says that  $A$  is isometrically  $*$ -isomorphic to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(X)$  of continuous complex-valued functions on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . For otherwise,  $A$  represents a noncommutative topological space.

### 2. Stable isomorphisms of $C^*$ -algebras

**A.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra deemed as a noncommutative topological space. One can ask when two such topological spaces  $A, A'$  are homeomorphic? To answer the question, let us recall the topological  $K$ -theory. If  $X$  is a (commutative) topological space, denote by  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  an abelian monoid consisting of the isomorphism classes of the complex vector bundles over  $X$  endowed with the Whitney sum. The abelian monoid  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  can be made to an abelian group,  $K(X)$ , using the Grothendieck completion. The covariant functor  $F : X \rightarrow K(X)$  is known to map the homeomorphic topological spaces  $X, X'$  to the isomorphic abelian groups  $K(X), K(X')$ .

**B.** Let now  $A, A'$  be the  $C^*$ -algebras. If one wishes to define a homeomorphism between the noncommutative topological spaces  $A$  and  $A'$ , it will suffice to define an isomorphism between the abelian monoids  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(A)$  and  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(A')$  as suggested by the topological  $K$ -theory. The rôle of the complex vector bundle of degree  $n$  over the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is played by a  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_n(A) = A \otimes M_n$ , i.e. the matrix algebra with the entries in  $A$ . The abelian monoid  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(A) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(A)$  replaces the monoid  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$  of the topological  $K$ -theory. Therefore, the noncommutative topological spaces  $A, A'$  are homeomorphic, if  $V_{\mathbb{C}}(A) \cong V_{\mathbb{C}}(A')$  are isomorphic abelian monoids. The latter equivalence is called a *stable isomorphism* of the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $A'$  and is formally written as  $A \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong A' \otimes \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\mathcal{K} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators. Roughly speaking,

the stable isomorphism between the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $A'$  means that  $A$  and  $A'$  are homeomorphic as the noncommutative topological spaces.

### 3. $AF$ -algebras

**A.** The classification of the  $C^*$ -algebras (up to the isomorphism or stable isomorphism) can easily be one of the hardest unsolved problems in mathematics. However, if one restricts the study to the special families of  $C^*$ -algebras (the irrational rotation algebras, the UHF-algebras, etc) the task can be fulfilled. It will be safe to say, that all the so far classifiable families of the  $C^*$ -algebras revolve around the notion of the so-called  $AF$ -algebra.

**B.** An  $AF$ -algebra (approximately finite  $C^*$ -algebra) is defined to be the norm closure of an ascending sequence of the finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras  $M_n$ 's, where  $M_n$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of the  $n \times n$  matrices with the entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Here the index  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$  represents a semi-simple matrix algebra  $M_n = M_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_k}$ . The ascending sequence mentioned above can be written as

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \dots,$$

where  $M_i$  are the finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\varphi_i$  the homomorphisms between such algebras. The set-theoretic limit  $A = \lim M_n$  has a natural algebraic structure given by the formula  $a_m + b_k \rightarrow a + b$ ; here  $a_m \rightarrow a, b_k \rightarrow b$  for the sequences  $a_m \in M_m, b_k \in M_k$ .

**C.** The homomorphisms  $\varphi_i$  can be arranged into a graph as follows. Let  $M_i = M_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{i_k}$  and  $M_{i'} = M_{i'_1} \oplus \dots \oplus M_{i'_k}$  be the semi-simple  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow M_{i'}$  the homomorphism. One has the two sets of vertices  $V_{i_1}, \dots, V_{i_k}$  and  $V_{i'_1}, \dots, V_{i'_k}$  joined by the  $a_{rs}$  edges, whenever the summand  $M_{i_r}$  contains  $a_{rs}$  copies of the summand  $M_{i'_s}$  under the embedding  $\varphi_i$ . As  $i$  varies, one obtains an infinite graph called a *Bratteli diagram* of the  $AF$ -algebra. The Bratteli diagram defines a unique  $AF$ -algebra. The  $AF$ -algebra defines a Bratteli diagram, but the assignment is not unique in general.

### 4. Stationary $AF$ -algebras

**A.** If the homomorphisms  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \dots = \text{Const}$  in the definition of the  $AF$ -algebra  $A$ , the  $AF$ -algebra  $A$  is called *stationary*. The Bratteli diagram of a stationary  $AF$ -algebra looks like a periodic graph with the incidence matrix  $A = (a_{rs})$  repeated over and over again. Since matrix  $A$  is a non-negative integer matrix, one can take a power of  $A$  to obtain a strictly positive integer matrix – which we always assume to be the case.

**B.** The classification of the stationary  $AF$ -algebras up to the stable isomorphism is as follows. Let  $\lambda_A > 1$  and  $v_A = (v_A^{(1)}, \dots, v_A^{(n)})$  be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and eigenvector, respectively, corresponding to the matrix  $A$ . The positive reals  $\lambda_1, v_A^{(1)}, \dots, v_A^{(n)}$  can be made to belong to the algebraic number

field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}_A = \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbb{Z}v_A^{(n)}$  be the full module in  $K$  and  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$  be the coefficient ring of the module  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ . From the preceding paragraph,  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$  is an integral order in the number field  $K$ . Let  $I \subseteq \Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$  be an ideal in  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$  similar (as module) to  $\mathfrak{m}_A$ , and  $[I]$  the equivalence class of such ideals in  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}$ . Finally, denote by  $i : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the embedding of the (abstract) algebraic number field  $K$  into the real line. It has been shown by D. Handelman [11], that the stable isomorphism classes of the stationary  $AF$ -algebras are in a one-to-one correspondence with the triples  $(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{m}_A}, i, [I])$ .

## 7.5 Jacobi-Perron continued fractions

### 1. Regular continued fractions

Let  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a_2 \leq a_1$ . Recall that the greatest common divisor of  $a_1, a_2$ ,  $GCD(a_1, a_2)$ , can be determined from the Euclidean algorithm:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a_1 &= a_2 b_1 + r_3 \\ a_2 &= r_3 b_2 + r_4 \\ r_3 &= r_4 b_3 + r_5 \\ \vdots & \\ r_{k-3} &= r_{k-2} b_{k-1} + r_{k-1} \\ r_{k-2} &= r_{k-1} b_k, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $b_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $GCD(a_1, a_2) = r_{k-1}$ . The Euclidean algorithm can be written as the regular continued fraction

$$\theta = \frac{a_1}{a_2} = b_1 + \frac{1}{b_2 + \frac{1}{b_3 + \dots + \frac{1}{b_k}}} = [b_1, \dots, b_k].$$

If  $a_1, a_2$  are non-commensurable, in the sense that  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ , then the Euclidean algorithm never stops and  $\theta = [b_1, b_2, \dots]$ . Note that the regular continued fraction can be written in the matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### 2. The Jacobi-Perron continued fractions

The Jacobi-Perron algorithm and connected (multidimensional) continued fraction generalizes the Euclidean algorithm to the case  $GCD(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  when  $n \geq 2$ . Namely, let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\theta_{i-1} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Definition** *The continued fraction*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_1^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & b_{n-1}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & b_1^{(k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & b_{n-1}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $b_i^{(j)} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , is called the *Jacobi-Perron fraction*.

To recover the integers  $b_i^{(k)}$  from the vector  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ , one has to repeatedly solve the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 &= b_1^{(1)} &+ \frac{1}{\theta'_{n-1}} \\ \theta_2 &= b_2^{(1)} &+ \frac{\theta'_1}{\theta'_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & & \\ \theta_{n-1} &= b_{n-1}^{(1)} &+ \frac{\theta'_{n-2}}{\theta'_{n-1}}, \end{cases}$$

where  $(\theta'_1, \dots, \theta'_{n-1})$  is the next input vector. Thus, each vector  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  gives rise to a formal Jacobi-Perron continued fraction. Whether the fraction is convergent or not, is yet to be determined.

### 3. Convergent Jacobi-Perron continued fractions

Let us introduce the following notation. We let  $A^{(0)} = \delta_{ij}$  (the Kronecker delta) and  $A_i^{(k+n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_i^{(k)} A_i^{(\nu+j)}$ ,  $b_0^{(k)} = 1$ , where  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$ .

**Definition** *The Jacobi-Perron continued fraction of vector  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  is said to be convergent, if  $\theta_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_i^{(k)}}{A_0^{(k)}}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .*

Unless  $n = 2$ , convergence of the Jacobi-Perron fractions is a delicate question. To the best of our knowledge, there exists no intrinsic necessary and sufficient conditions for such a convergence. However, the Bauer criterion and the Masur-Veech theorem (see below) imply that the Jacobi-Perron fractions converge for the generic vectors  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ .

### 4. Bauer's criterion of convergence

The convergence of the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions can be characterized in the terms of measured foliations. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a measured foliation on the surface  $X$  of genus  $g \geq 1$ . Recall that the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  is called uniquely ergodic if every invariant measure of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a multiple of the Lebesgue measure. By the Masur-Veech theorem, there exists a generic subset  $V$  in the space of all measured

foliations, such that each  $\mathcal{F} \in V$  is a uniquely ergodic measured foliation [18], [24]. We let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be the coordinate vector of the foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  as it was explained in §2.1.3. By an abuse of notation, we shall say that  $\lambda \in V$ . The following characterization of the convergence is true.

**Lemma (Bauer [2])** *The Jacobi-Perron continued fraction of  $\lambda$  converges if and only if  $\lambda \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

#### 5. Uniqueness of the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions

It is known that the irrational numbers are bijective with the regular continued fractions. Up to a multiple, the same result is valid for the convergent Jacobi-Perron continued fractions. Namely, the following is true.

**Lemma (Perron [20], Satz IV)** *Let  $\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be represented by the convergent Jacobi-Perron continued fractions  $b_i^{(j)}$  and  $\tilde{b}_i^{(j)}$ , respectively. If  $\tilde{\lambda} = \mu\lambda$  for a  $\mu > 0$ , then  $b_i^{(j)} = \tilde{b}_i^{(j)}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, \infty$ .*

#### 6. Periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fractions

**A.** The periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction appear when certain blocks of the fraction repeat over and over again. Namely, let  $B_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ I & b_k \end{pmatrix}$ . If there exists the number  $n > 0$  such that  $B_k = B_{k+p}$  for all  $k$  except a finite number, then the Jacobi-Perron fraction is called *periodic*. It follows from the Bauer criterion, that the periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction is convergent.

**B.** Let  $A = B_k \dots B_{k+p}$ , where  $p$  is the minimal period of a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction. The equation  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  defines a polynomial in  $\lambda$ , which is called a characteristic polynomial of the periodic Jacobi-Perron fraction. The following lemma is true.

**Lemma (Perron [20], Satz XII)** *Let  $\lambda_A > 1$  be the Perron-Frobenius root of the characteristic polynomial of the periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction and consider the corresponding eigenvalue problem  $A - \lambda_A I = 0$ . Then the periodic continued fraction converges to the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_A)$ .*

For the sake of completeness, let us mention that the inverse of the above lemma is a difficult open problem of number theory. It is generally believed but not known if each vector with the entries in an algebraic number field yields a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction [5].

## References

- [1] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, The index of elliptic operators. I, *Annals of Math.* 87 (1968), 484-530.
- [2] M. Bauer, A characterization of uniquely ergodic interval exchange maps in terms of the Jacobi-Perron algorithm, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat.* 27 (1996), 109-128.
- [3] L. Bernstein, *The Jacobi-Perron Algorithm, its Theory and Applications*, Lect. Notes in Math. 207, Springer 1971.
- [4] L. Bianchi, Sui gruppi di sostituzioni lineari con coefficienti appartenenti a corpi quadratici immaginari, *Math. Ann.* 40 (1892), 332-412.
- [5] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, MSRI Publications, Springer, 1986.
- [6] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number Theory*, Acad. Press, 1966.
- [7] A. Douady and J. Hubbard, On the density of Strebel differentials, *Inventiones Math.* 30 (1975), 175-179.
- [8] E. G. Effros, Dimensions and  $C^*$ -Algebras, in: *Conf. Board of the Math. Sciences No.46*, AMS (1981).
- [9] G. A. Elliott, On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semi-simple finite dimensional algebras, *J. of Algebra* 38 (1976), 29-44.
- [10] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach and V. Poénaru, *Travaux de Thurston sur les Surfaces*, *Astérisque* 66-67, 1979.
- [11] D. Handelman, Positive matrices and dimension groups affiliated to  $C^*$ -algebras and topological Markov chains, *J. Operator Theory* 6 (1981), 55-74.
- [12] G. Hemion, On the classification of homeomorphisms of 2-manifolds and the classification of 3-manifolds, *Acta Math.* 142 (1979), 123-155.
- [13] J. Hubbard and H. Masur, Quadratic differentials and foliations, *Acta Math.* 142 (1979), 221-274.
- [14] V. F. R. Jones, *Subfactors and Knots*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 80, AMS, 1991.
- [15] G. Kasparov, Topological invariants of elliptic operators I:  $K$ -homology, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Math.* 39 (1975), 796-838.
- [16] H. B. Lawson, Foliations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1974), 369-418.

- [17] Yu. I. Manin, Real multiplication and noncommutative geometry, in “Legacy of Niels Hendrik Abel”, 685-727, Springer, 2004.
- [18] H. Masur, Interval exchange transformations and measured foliations, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 115 (1982), no. 1, 169–200.
- [19] A. S. Mischenko, Infinite-dimensional representations of discrete groups and higher signatures, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Math.* 38 (1974), 81-106.
- [20] O. Perron, Grundlagen für eine Theorie des Jacobischen Kettenbruchalgorithmus, *Math. Annalen* 64 (1907), 1-76.
- [21] K. Reidemeister, Knotentheorie, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Bd.1, 1932, Chelsea, 1948.
- [22] W. P. Thurston, Three dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6 (1982), 357-381.
- [23] W. P. Thurston, On the geometry and dynamics of diffeomorphisms of surfaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 19 (1988), 417-431.
- [24] W. A. Veech, Gauss measures for transformations on the space of interval exchange maps, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 115 (1982), no. 1, 201–242.
- [25] D. I. Wallace, Conjugacy classes of hyperbolic matrices in  $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$  and ideal classes in an order, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 283 (1984), 177-184.

THE FIELDS INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TORONTO, ON,  
CANADA, E-MAIL: igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com

*Current address: 101-315 Holmwood Ave., Ottawa, ON, Canada, K1S 2R2*