R esolutions of p-M odular TQFT's and R epresentations of Sym m etric G roups¹

Thomas Kerler

September 2001

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Frohm an-N icas TQFT's over Z	6
3. Lefschetz D ecom positions and Specht M odules	
4. $F_p = Z = pZ - R$ eductions and the Sequences $C_{p,k}$	
5. Exactness of C _{p,k}	
6. Characters, D in ensions, and the A lexander Polynom ial	
7. Johnson-M orita Extensions	
8.0 pen Questions	
▲ · · ·	

1. Introduction

In this article we construct and study several families of topological quantum eld theories (IQFT's) over the nite number eld $F_p = Z=pZ$. They are extracted in a series of steps from the integral IQFT's over lattices constructed by Frohm an and N icas [3] via the intersection homology of the Jacobians of surfaces. Their p-m odular reductions have rather non-trivial m odular structures, which reveal them selves to be closely related to those of p-m odular representations of the sym m etric groups. We obtain resolutions of the sem isim ple subquotients of these p-m odular IQFT's that perm it us to express the coe cients of the A lexander Polynom ial of a 3-m anifold evaluated at a p-th root of unity in term s of the respective traces over covering cobordism s.

O ur original motivation to construct and study the TQFT's of this article is that they are likely to describe the constant order in the cyclotom ic integer expansion of the Reshetikhin Turaev TQFT's at a p-th root of unity $_{\rm p}$. Rem arkably, the latter TQFT's are obtained by entirely di erent methods using quantum groups [25]. The fact that the associated invariants of closed 3-m anifolds live in the ring of cyclotom ic integers Z [$_{\rm p}$] is established in [22, 18]. The

¹ 2000 M athem atics Subject C lassi cation: Prim ary 57R 56, 57M 27; Secondary: 17B 10, 17B 37, 17B 50, 20B 30, 20C 30, 20C 20.

coe cients of the corresponding expansions are dom inated in the case of hom ology 3-spheres by the universal O htsuki invariants [24], all of which are of nite type [15]. In the simplest case, M urakam i [22] nds that the rst order O htsuki invariant coincides with the C asson invariant. In [5] G ilm er proves from the integrality of the invariants for closed 3-m anifolds that also the R eshetikhin Turaev TQFT's for \grounded" cobordisms have presentations in the cyclotom ic integers.

Yet, until now, the TQFT content of the O htsuki invariants that is entailed by the corresponding expansions is as mysterious as it is of obvious in portance for structural insights into these invariants. Particularly, TQFT interpretations would allow us to nd non-trivial extensions of the invariants to 3-m anifolds with Betti number $_1 > 0$, gain greater exibility in their com putation, understand underlying representations of mapping class groups, and nd useful geom etrical interpretations.

Inevitably, any progress in this directions requires, before anything, a thorough analysis of the constant order contributions. In particular, these determ ine the ranks of the Z [$_p$]m odules associated to the surfaces, and the complete TQFT can be understood as a deform ation of the constant order theory. M oreover, they compute the rst non-vanishing 0 htsuki invariant for a given m anifold, which is of higher than constant order for $_1 > 0$. The argument that leads us to believe that the TQFT's considered here dom inate the constant contribution of the R eshetikhin Turaev theory is roughly as follow s:

A ssum ing that a speci c block structure can be preserved when choosing an integral basis (as it is the case for p = 5 [13]) it follows that the mapping class group representations contain the commutators of the Torelli groups in their kernels. Hence, we generally expect the structure of the constant order TQFT to be described in terms of the invariant theory of the symplectic groups, or certain abelian extensions thereof, acting on hom ology. The Lefschetz components of the Frohm an N icas theory provide a family of TQFT's which are characterized in precisely this way. For a xed surface they generate all of the fundam ental heighest weight representations of the symplectic groups, and hence, by taking tensor products and decom positions, all possible representations, including the ones emerging from the Reshetikhin Turaev theory. To be m ore precise, we will also take non-trivial null space subquotients of the p-reduced hom ological TQFT's, given that the Reshetikhin Turaev spaces all have non-degenerate inner form s. In [13] we given concrete evidence for our conjecture by establishing an explicit isom orphism between the constant order quantum theory at r = 5 and one of the TQFT's over F_5 constructed in this article.

From this point we are able to derive a num ber of pertinent topological results by combining the trace form ulae for the A lexander Polynom ialor R eidem eister-M ilnor Torsion of a 3-m anifold with identi cations of the same TQFT's as constant orders of a quantum group generated TQFT. One of the more interesting consequences is that due to the functorial nature of the R eshetikhin Turaev TQFT's it will turn out that speci c parts of the A lexander Polynom ial are independent of the choice of a 1-cocycle. In turn this im poses non-trivial constraints on a group G in order for it to be realized as $G = _1(M)$ the fundam ental group of a closed, com pact 3-m anifold, see [13] and below.

M ore generally, we expect that TQFT identications of the sort described above and proved in [13] yield important insights into the rôle of the A lexander Polynom ial of a 3-m anifolds in the context of extending nite type invariants to manifolds with non-trivial hom obegy. Investigations into the low order behavior thus far suggest that for 3-m anifolds with $_1 > 0$ the rst order O htsuki invariant is given by polynom ials in torsion coe cients rather than the C asson invariant, while the constant order coe cient will be zero rather than one. Further, from the general axiom atics it follows that TQFT interpretations will deliver formulae for the O htsuki invariants (m od p) for 3-m anifolds given in terms of H eegaard splittings. For example, in rst non-trivial order, we infer that the C asson invariant for integral hom ology spheres is determined by the constant order TQFT, which is expectedly described by sym plectic representation theory. In fact, M orita [20] has worked out such form ulae for the C asson invariant in terms of Sp(2g;Z)-invariant theory. In special cases we do indeed reproduce M orita's expressions from the expansions of the quantum theory [13].

O ther topological applications, that will be further explained and developed in [6], include the fact that we can estim ate the cut numbers of 3-m anifolds by their quantum orders. Here the cut number of a 3-m anifold M can be de ned as the maximal rank of a (non-abelian) free group onto which $_1 M$) can be mapped, and the quantum order is the order of the lowest non-vanishing O htsuki invariant.

Let us sum m arize next the m ain results of this paper along with an outline of the construction of the p-m odular TQFT's and symmetric group representations:

We revisit the Frohm an N icas theory $V^{F\,N}$ in Section 2 as an integral TQFT acting on lattices associated to surfaces in lieu of vectors spaces. All lattices are endowed with a non-degenerate inner form . We isolate in Lemma 3 integral representations of the symmetric groups S_n by restricting the Sp(2g;Z) action on the lattices to the parts of the Weyl subgroup that stabilize the weight spaces. In Section 3 we recall the decomposition of $V^{F\,N}$ according to the Lefschetz sl₂-action from [12] yielding as summands the irreducible, integral TQFT 's $V_Z^{(k)}$. The corresponding symmetric group representations on the weight sublattices are identied in Lemma 4 with standard Spechtmodules S_z for two-row Young diagrams

In Section 4 we consider the (rank preserving) reductions $V_p^{(k)}$ to the number eld F_p . They inherit a natural inner form with values in F_p from the one of V^{FN} by restriction to sublattices and subsequent p-reduction. This process typically introduces quite non-trivial degeneracies. C onsequently, we obtain new TQFT's $\overline{V}_p^{(k)}$ from the $V_p^{(k)}$ by dividing out the null spaces of the induced inner form s over F_p . A like the resulting TQFT's now act on ordinary vectors spaces over F_p they can no longer be consistently described as p-reductions of TQFT's over Z. How ever, by de nition, they have a non-degenerate inner form and will turn out to be irreducible.

By Howe duality we have in characteristic zero that the operators of the Lefschetz sl_2 -action m ap only isom orphic TQFT's and Sp(2g;Z)-representations to each other. However, in the p-m odular reduction we nd, quite surprisingly, that powers of the E-operator de ne non-trivial m aps between sym metric and sym plectic group representations that were irreducible and inequivalent over Z, see C orollary 6 and C orollary 7. In Section 4 we discover further that these m aps can be arranged into a di erential com plex.

In Section 5 we prove one of the main results of this article, namely that the so constructed complexes are acyclic, i.e., have no homology. This applies also when we put the respective inreducible, p-modular TQFT's at the ends. Thus the exact sequences we found are really resolutions of the $\overline{V}_p^{(j)}$. The arrows between the TQFT's are natural transform ations, meaning, collections of linear maps between the vectors spaces that commute with the actions of the cobordism s.

Theorem 1 For any prime p 3 and integer k with 0 < k < p we have an exact sequence of of natural transform ations of TQFT's

$$:::! V_{p}^{((i+1)p+k_{i+1})} ! V_{p}^{(ip+k_{i})} ! :::! V_{p}^{(2p-k)} ! V_{p}^{(k)} ! \overline{V}_{p}^{(k)} ! 0;$$
(1)

where we set $k_i = k$ for even i and $k_i = p - k$ for odd i.

In the proof we rst view (1) as a direct sum of di erential com plexes of corresponding S_n-representations by restriction to the individual weight spaces. We thus obtain a sequence of maps between the p-reductions of Specht modules S_p ending in the standard irreducible subquotient D_p. The proof of Theorem 1 thus amounts to show ing that we have resolutions of the D_p by standard Specht modules.

Such types of resolutions appear to be new in the theory of modular symmetric group representations and interesting by them selves. The precise statement is given in Theorem 10. The proof uses very recent results of K leshchev and Sheth [16] on the precise modular structure of the S_p .

An obvious application of such resolutions is that we can write the irreducible characters for the D_p as alternating sum s of the ordinary S_p-characters. They are given in C orollary 11 of Section 6. Theorem 1 im plies an analogous relation for traces over cobordism s for the di erent TQFT's.

The need to prove such character form ulae was indeed what initially triggered our e orts to nd the TQFT resolutions from Theorem 1. Specifcally, they allow us, in combination with trace form ulae from [3] and [12], to express the Alexander Polynom ial of a 3-m anifold evaluated at a p-th root of unity as a sum of traces over the covering cobordisms evaluated in the irreducible p-m odular TQFT's. A ssum ing that the latter describe the Reshetikhin Turaev theory in low est order the precise form ula that we give next thus provides the crucial link with torsion coe cients. See also (56).

Theorem 2 Let $(M) \ge \mathbb{Z} [k; x^{1}]$ be the Alexander Polynom ial of a closed, compact, oriented 3-manifold M with respect to an epim orphism $:H_{1}(M) \parallel \mathbb{Z}$. Choose a surface M dual to and denote by C the cobordism from to itself obtained by removing a neighborhood of from M.

Let $_{p}$ (M) be the reduction of (M) obtained by substituting $x = _{p}$, with $_{p}$ a p-th root of unity, and taking the Z coe cients modulo p. Then

$$= \underset{p}{\mathbb{N}} (\mathbb{M}) = \underset{k=1}{\mathbb{N}}^{1} (1)^{k-1} [k]_{p} \operatorname{trace}(\overline{\mathbb{V}}_{p}^{(k)} (\mathbb{C})) 2 F_{p}[p]:$$

In Section 6 we also dealwith the closely related problem of computing the dimensions of the modules D_p and $\overline{V}_p^{(k)}$ as alternating sum softhe dimensions of the S_p and $V_p^{(k)}$ respectively. As a serendipitous result we nd in the case of p = 5 a set of curious identities between F ibonacci numbers and C atalan numbers, which we could not nd elsewhere in the literature. For the TQFT's the dimensions are more conveniently compared using the form alism of fusion algebras or Verlinde algebras.

All TQFT's constructed up to this point contain the Torelli groups I_g in their kernels. In Section 7, however, we show how to \glue" the Sp(2g;Z)-representations of V^(j) and V^(j+3) for some j together in order to obtain an extension which represents I_g non-trivially. Over Z this yields faithful representations of $Q_g = {}_g=K_g$, where K_g is the norm all subgroup of the mapping class group ${}_g$ generated by D ehn twists along bounding cycles. We will prove that this extension over Z is compatible with the p-m odular resolutions above, and thus factors through the irreducible subquotients. In summary we not the following.

Theorem 3 For 0 < k < p 3 there are indecomposable representations $\overline{U}_{p}^{(k)}(_{g})$ of the mapping class group $_{g}$ that factor through $Q_{g} = _{g}=K_{g}$ but represent the Torelli group I_{g} non-trivially. There is a short, non-split exact sequence as follows:

$$0 ! \overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(k+3)}(g) ! \overline{\overline{U}}_{p}^{(k)}(g) ! \overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(k)}(g) ! 0 :$$
 (2)

W e sum m arize the di erent TQFT's of this paper, the constructions connecting them , and the included m odules of the sym m etric groups S_n in the following table:

SL (2;R)-Lefschetz Decom position	V _Z ^{FN} 崩 5	Jacobian TQFT β] Fully reducible over Z	-	Permutation Modules M
	V _Z ^(k)	Lefschetz C om ponent, irreducible over Z	-	Specht M odules ${\rm S_Z}$
p-R eduction	道 5 Vp ^(k)	Reducible over F _p	_	p-Specht M odules S _p
Null space quotient	- - 戸 品 ゴ 5	with inner form.		p speare H oddles S _p
- 1	V p (k)	Irreducible over F _p . N ot Z-liftable, but	_	Sim ple S _n -m odules D _p
Johnson-Morita Extension		resolutions in $V_p^{(j)}$'s		
	U p (k)	Indecom posable with two factors $\overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(k)}$ and $\overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(k+1)}$	3)	

We conclude with the precise statements of some of the results in [13] on the Reshetikhin Turaev TQFT at a 5-th root of unity 5, which we already alluded to in the beginning of the introduction, and which inspired the constructions carried out in this article. There V_5^{RT} is identified as a half-projective TQFT in the sense of [10] over Z[5] with parameter $x = 5 - 5^{1}$. Hence we can consider the constant order reduction $V_{0,5}^{I}$ as a TQFT over F₅, also half-projective but with parameter x = 0.

Theorem 4 ([13]) $\overline{V}_{0;5}^{I}$ (g) and $\overline{U}_{5}^{(1)}$ (g) are equivalent as g-representations over F_5 .

This identication is remarkable and by no means obvious since the Reshetikhin Turaev TQFT is obtained via the representation category of quantum -sl₂ at a 5-th root of unity, which bears little resemblance to the way we construct $\overline{U}_{5}^{(1)}$. Combining Theorem 4 with the character form ula from Theorem 2 we derive the equation

$$2^{+}_{:5}$$
 (M) = det (ker()) xV_{5}^{RT} (M) + O(x^{3}): (3)

The interesting observation about this form ula for $_1(M) > 1$ is that $\stackrel{=}{}_{,5}(M)$ depends up to order x^3 only on the Reshetikhin Turaev invariant and is thus independent of the choice of the cyclic covering determ ined by . Now, the A lexander Polynom ial is entirely determ ined by the 3-m anifold group, that is, (M) = (G) with $G = _1(M)$ and $:G \ Z$. Hence, the fact that the x^2 -coe cient is (up to a sign) independent of the choice of translates into a condition on G. In separate work we will exploit this apparently new and non-trivial criterion for excluding families of algebraic groups G as 3-m anifold groups.

A cknow ledgem ents: I am much indebted to A lexander K leshchev for pointing out his results in [16], and to G ordon Jam es and A lain R euter for checking the argum ents in Section 5. I thank R onald Solom on, Stephen R allis, A dalbert K erber, and C harles Frohm an for com m ents

and interest. A lso, I have bene ted much from discussions with Pat G imer on related integral TQFT's and their applications. Finally, I would like to express my thanks to Tom otada O htsuki and H itoshi M urakam i for hospitality at the R IM S., K yoto, where nalparts of this paper were completed, and for the opportunity to present and discuss the results.

This paper was typset in $\mathbb{P}T_EX$ supported by diagrams.sty by PaulTaylor and a modi cation of young.sty by Jorg K nappen.

2. Frohman-Nicas TQFT's over Z

In [3] Frohm an and N icas construct a TQFT that allows the interpretation of the A lexander Polynom ial as a weighted Lefschetz trace. The vector spaces are the cohom ology rings of the Jacobians of the surfaces. In [12] we extract a natural Lefschetz SL (2;R)-action on these spaces, with respect to which this TQFT is equivariant. Let us describe in this sections the basic ingredients of the Frohm an N icas theory, review ing also the conventions of [12].

We denote by $\operatorname{Cob}_{3}^{22fr}$ the category of evenly fram ed cobordism s between connected standard surfaces $_{g}$. These are essentially the cobordism s obtained by an even num ber of surgeries, see Lem m a 10, [12]. The Frohm an N icas TQFT is then given as a functor

$$V^{FN} : \operatorname{Cob}_{3}^{22fr} ! SL(2;R) \mod ;$$
(4)

forwhich

$$V^{FN}$$
 (g) = V_{H_1} (g)

for the surface $_{g}$ of genus g. For each surface we pick a symplectic basis fa₁;:::;a_g;b₁;:::;b_gg for H₁($_{g}$) and introduce the inner form h; i, for which this basis orthonorm al. We denote i : H₁($_{g}$) ! H₁($_{g+1}$) the inclusion m ap compatible with the choice of bases and the construction of $_{g+1}$ from $_{g}$ by handle addition. V

The bases naturally provide lattice bases for $H_1(_g;Z)$, given by monom ials in the a_i and b_i . Moreover, the inner form extends to to the exterior product to make the monom ial basis an orthonorm alone. We also denote by $l_g = \int_{i=1}^{P} a_i \wedge b_i 2 \int_{H_1(_g;Z)}^{2} H_1(_g;Z)$ the sym plectic form. The sl(2;R)-action on $H_1(_g)$ is given in terms of the standard generators E, F, and H as

$$H = (j g) \quad 8 \ 2^{V_{j}} H_{1}(g); \qquad E = \ ^{l_{g}}; \qquad F = E : \qquad (5)$$

From the explicit actions of the generators in [12] we see that the lattices $H_1(_g;Z)$ are preserved by the maps V^{FN} (M) for cobordism s M in Cob_3^{22fr} . Moreover, also the standard generators of sl(2;R) preserve the lattices. Hence also the universal enveloping algebra over Z generated by the operators in (5), which we shall (abusively) denote by sl(2;Z), acts on the lattices. W e denote the respective equivariant, lattice TQFT functor by:

$$V_{Z} : Cob_{3}^{22ir} ! sl(2;Z) m og!;$$
(6)

M one speci cally, the extension of the mapping class group in $\operatorname{Cob}_3^{22fr}$ factors under V_Z through a split $F_2 = Z = 2Z$ extension of $\operatorname{Sp}(2g;Z)$, acting in the natural way on $H_1(_g;Z)$. We also denote by $\operatorname{sp}(2g;Z)$ the algebra over Z generated by the standard $\operatorname{Sp}(2g;R)$ Lie algebra generators. It is not hard to show that in this representation it coincides with the group algebra Z [Sp(2g;Z)]. Besides the mapping class groups the other generators of $\operatorname{Cob}_3^{22fr}$ are the handle attachment cobordism sH_g^+ : $_g ! _{g+1}$ and H_g : $_{g+1} ! _g$ with actions given by

$$V_{Z}(H_{q}^{+}):$$
 ? i()[^] a_{g+1} and $V_{Z}(H_{q}) = V_{Z}(H_{q}^{+});$ (7)

where we use the notation i also for the inclusion $H_1(g)$, $H_1(g+1)$ extended to the exterior powers.

The structure of V_z and the dual sl(2;Z)-action can be better understood if we decompose the lattices V_Z ($_g$) according to sp (2g;Z)-weights. We write an sp (2g;Z)-weight in the standard basis = $\int_{i=1}^{g} i_i$ as given in [7]. Speci cally, we have $ha_i = h_i$; hia_i and $hb_i = h_i$; hib_i for h 2 h, the diagonal matrices in sp (2g;Z).

We denote by $r_g = f 2 h : i 2 f 1;0;1gg$ the set of possible weights of vectors in $H_1(q)$. This yields a decomposition into weight spaces denoted as follows.

$$V_{Z}(g) = M_{Zrg} W_{Z}(;g)$$
 (8)

For a given weight $2 r_g \text{ let } N() = \text{fi}: i = 0 g \text{ f1}; :::; gg and n() = N() j. A special vector w() <math>2 W_Z(; g)$ is given by

$$w() = w_{1}(_{1})^{2} :::^{w_{g}}(_{g}) 2^{v_{g n}} H_{1}(_{g}) \qquad \text{where} \qquad \begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless \\ w_{i}(1) = a_{i} \\ w_{i}(1) = b_{i} \\ \end{Bmatrix} \\ w_{i}(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let e be generators of a 2-dimensional lattice he ;e_t i_z , and $L_z^n = he$;e_t i_z^n the lattice of rank 2^n . Given N () = fj_1;...; j_n()g with $j_1 < ... < j_n()$ we thus de nem aps

The lattices L_Z^n also have a natural inner product for which the monomials e_1 ::: e_n form an orthonormal basis, and carry a natural sl(2;Z)-action given by $Ee = e_+$, $Fe_+ = e_-$, $He = e_+$, $and Ee_+ = Fe = 0$, for which also E = F and H = H.

Lem mal The are sl(2;Z)-equivariant isom orphism s of lattices with inner form s.

Proof: They are obviously isom orphisms of lattices as they map orthonorm all bases to each other. It is also easy to see that the H -weight for a monomial is $n() + 2 \begin{bmatrix} p & n() \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix}$ on both sides of (9). Now, E is multiplication with $!_g = \begin{bmatrix} j & a_j \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix} a_j b_j$. Clearly, w() $a_j b_j = 0$ if $j \ge N$ () so we multiply only with $! = \begin{bmatrix} P & n() \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix} a_{ji} b_{ji} = \begin{bmatrix} P & n() \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix} a_{ji} b_{ji}$. The E -equivariance then follows from $o_j(+) \circ o_j(+) = 0$ and $o_j(-) \circ o_j(+) = o_j(+)$. F -equivariance follows from F = E.

A lthough not of immediate necessity for the main result let us record here also how the actions of morphism sV_Z (M) on the lattices L_Z^n look like. We give them in terms of generators of sp(2g;Z) and the handle attaching maps. We introduce sl(2;Z)-equivariant morphisms

$$coev_{k} = I_{k \ 1} \quad coev \quad I \quad I_{k} : \quad L_{Z}^{n} \quad ! \quad L_{Z}^{n+2}$$

$$where \quad coev : 1 \quad V \quad (e \quad e \quad e \quad e \quad) \quad and \quad I_{n} = id_{L_{Z}^{n}} :$$

$$and \quad ev_{k} = \quad (coev_{k}) \quad : \quad L_{Z}^{n+2} \quad ! \quad L_{Z}^{n}$$

$$(10)$$

The obey relation

$$ev_{k-1}$$
 $coev_k = I$ and ev_k $coev_k = 2$ I:

For a map : $W_{Z}(;g) ! W_{Z}({}^{0};g^{0})$ we denote = ${}_{0}^{1}$: $L_{Z}^{n()} ! L_{Z}^{n()}$. Moreover, as in [7], we denote the standard standard generators e_{i} and f_{j} of sp (2g;Z) for simple roots ${}_{i} = {}_{i} {}_{i+1}$ for i < g and ${}_{g} = 2 {}_{g}$. We have $e_{i}a_{i+1} = a_{i}$, $e_{i}b_{i} = {}_{b_{i+1}}$, and $e_{i}v = 0$ for all other basis vectors v if i < g. A lso $e_{g}b_{g} = e_{g}$ and $e_{g}v = 0$ for all others. This further determ ines the action of the other generators with $f_{i} = e_{i}$.

Denote now by e; the restriction e : W_Z (;g) ! W_Z (+ ;g), where we put e; = 0 of + \mathcal{B} r_g. Let us also denote the restriction of the handle attaching map H = V_Z (H⁺_g) : W_Z (;g) ! W_Z (+ _{g+1};g+1). The following is the result of a straight forward calculation.

Lem m a 2 For $2 r_g$ and n = n () we have, when i < g,

 $e_{i}; = \begin{bmatrix} x_{n} & \text{for } (i; i+1) = (0;1) \\ x_{n} & \text{for } (i; i+1) = (1;0) \\ x_{n} & \text{for } (i; i+1) = (1;1) \text{ with } \frac{1}{k} + 1 < \frac{1}{2k} ; ; \\ x_{n} & \text{ev}_{k} & \text{for } (i; i+1) = (0;0) \text{ with } 1 = \frac{1}{2k}; i+1 = \frac{1}{2k+1} \\ x_{n} & \text{for } g = 1 \\ x_{$

Another prom inent, and for our purposes more important, action on the lattices $V_Z(_g)$ is that of subgroups of the W eyl group $W_g = (F_2)^g \circ S_g \circ f \operatorname{Sp}(2g;Z)$, where S_g denotes the sym m etric group in g letters. The j-th F_2 -generator of W_g acts on weights by changing the sign of j. It is realized as a subgroup \widehat{W}_g Sp(2g;Z) by an extension 1 ! $(Z=2)^g ! \widehat{W}_g ! W_g ! 1$, with $\widehat{W}_g = (F_4)^g \circ S_g$. The F_4 -generators are given by the \S-m atrices" $S_j 2 \operatorname{Sp}(2g;Z)$, see [12], de ned by $S_ja_j = b_j$, $S_jb_j = a_j$ and $S_ja_i = a_i$ and $S_jb_i = b_i$ for $i \notin j$. W e specify two relevant representations of \widehat{W}_k :

- 1. $L_Z^k = (Z^2)^k$: This action factors through \hat{W}_k ! S_k the symmetric group, which acts canonically on the lattice by permutation of factors.
- 2. $M_{Z}^{k} = (Z^{2})^{k}$: Here the j-th F₄-factor is represented by the matrices $S_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{k}$ acting on the j-th factor of the tensor product. The action of S_{k} on M_{Z}^{k} is the canonical representation multiplied by the alternating representation, i.e., $(v_{1} ::: v_{1}) = sign()v_{1}$.

We have natural subgroups $\widehat{W}_{g n}$ \widehat{W}_{n} \widehat{W}_{g} , for which the right coset

$$C_n^g = \widehat{W}_g \widehat{W}_{gn} \widehat{W}_n = S_g S_{gn} S_n;$$

is identied with the set of subsets A f1;:::;gg of size jA j = n.W e denote

$$W_{Z}(n;g) = W_{Z}(A;g) = W_{Z}(j;g); \qquad (11)$$

where
$$W_{Z}(A;g) = W_{Z}(;g)$$
: (12)
 $2r_{g}N() = A$

C learly, the sum m ands of $V_z = {}^L_n W_z$ (n;g) are invariant under the \widehat{W}_g -action for each n. These subrepresentations are identi ed next as induced representations.

Lemma 3 For every n with 0 n g there is a natural isom orphism of \hat{M}_{q} -m odules

: Ind
$$\frac{i \phi_g}{i \phi_{gn}} M_Z^{gn} L_Z^n = ! W_Z(n;g)$$
:

This map is an sl(2;Z)-equivariant isom etry.

Proof: For $N_n = fg \quad n+1$;:::;gg the $\Re_{gn} \quad \Re_n - m$ odule is readily identi ed via the isom orphism

$$M_{Z}^{g n} L_{Z}^{n} \stackrel{=}{:} W_{Z} (N_{n};g) : e_{1} ::: e_{n g} 17 [_{1}; ::: n g; 0; :::: 0] (1)$$

with the submodule $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{N}_{n};g)$ $\mathbb{H}_{1}(g)$, where the action is dened by restricting the action of \mathbb{W}_{g} . We next dene a natural section

$$: C_n^g ! S_g W_g : A V_A$$
(13)

as follows. Let A f1;:::;gg with $n = \frac{1}{A}$ j. There is a unique permutation $_A 2 S_g$ such that $A = _A$ (fg n+1;:::;gg), $_A (1) < _A (2) < :::< _A (g n)$, and $_A (g n+1) < _A (g n+2) < :::< _A (g). C learly, we have$

$$_{A}$$
 :W $_{Z}$ (N $_{n}$;g) =! W $_{Z}$ (A;g) :

The induced representation by de nition the space of all maps $f: \hat{W}_{g} ! M_{Z}^{g n} L_{Z}^{n}$ such that $f() = {}^{1}:(f())$ for $2\hat{W}_{g n} \hat{W}_{n}$, equipped with the left regular \hat{W}_{g} -action $(f)({}^{0}) = f({}^{1} {}^{0})$. Now, every $2\hat{W}_{g}$ has a unique decomposition $= {}_{N_{n}}$, with $2\hat{W}_{g n} \hat{W}_{n}$. Thus we may identify the induced representation with the space of maps $\overline{f}:C_{n}^{g}! M_{Z}^{g n} L_{Z}^{n}$, setting $\overline{f}(A) = f({}_{A})$ and hence $f() = {}^{1}:\overline{f}({}_{N_{n}}))$. The isom orphism is now given by

$$Ind = Map(C_n^g; M_Z^{gn} L_Z^n) ! W_Z(n;g) : \overline{f} ? (\overline{f}) = A(\overline{f}(A)) : (14)$$

An inverse is obtained by mapping v 2 W_Z (A;g) to $_{A}^{1}$ (v) $_{fAg}$, where $_{fAg}$ (B) = 1 for A = B and 0 elsew ise. In order to show that it is equivariant let \overline{f} be an arbitrary map C_{n}^{g} ! W_Z (N_n;g), 2 \widehat{M}_{g} , and \overline{f}^{0} = (\overline{f}). Let A 2 C_{n}^{g} and de ne _A; 2 W_{g n} \widehat{M}_{n} by $_{A}^{1}$ = $_{A}^{1}$ (A) $_{A}^{1}$;

Hence we have $\overline{f}^{0}(A) = f^{0}(A) = (f)(A) = f(A) = f$

$$(\overline{f}^{0}) = A_{A}(\overline{f}^{0}(A)) = A_{A}; \overline{f}(A) = A_{A$$

which is what we needed to show. Isometry of is with respect to the natural inner product on M ap $(C_n^g; M_Z^{g^n} \quad L_Z^n)$ given by

$$\overline{hf}; \overline{hi} = \bigwedge_{A \ge C_{g}^{g}} \overline{hf}(A); \overline{g}(A)$$
(15)

given the inner form on $M_Z^{g^n} L_Z^n$. A lso, as L_Z^n is an sl(2;Z)-m odule also M ap $(C_n^g; M_Z^{g^n} L_Z^n)$ is. Both, equivariance and isometry, follows in mediately from the form of the isomorphism in (14) and the fact that the A are isometric equivariant maps.

The relation of the isomorphisms in Lemma 3 to the ones in Lemma 1 is given by the restrictions of 1 to the weight spaces

$${}^{1}_{N()} = {}^{1}: W(;g) ! W(;g) : W(N_{n};g)$$
(16)

Here denotes the the \sign-content" of a weight $2 r_q$ de ned by

$$= \prod_{N(i)}^{1} = \prod_{N(i) \neq j=1}^{g_{X^{n(i)}}} \prod_{N(i) \neq j=1}^{g_{N(i)}} = 1 + \dots + \prod_{g \in n(i)}^{g_{X^{n(i)}}}$$

3. Lefschetz Decompositions and Specht M odules

As in [12] we consider the decomposition of the Frohm an N icas TQFT according to SL (2;R) – representations: M

$$V_{Z}^{FN} = V_{j} V_{j}$$
, $V_{z}^{(j)}$;

where V_j is the j-dimensional irreducible representation of SL (2;R). Note, that the convention we use here for the superscript in V^(j) is shifted by one from the one used in [12]. For weights we follow the notations of [7]. The sublattices of the irreducible TQFT components can be de ned as the SL (2;R) lowest weight spaces

$$V_{Z}^{(j)}() = fv 2 V_{Z}() : Fv = 0 \text{ and } Hv = (j 1)vg = {V_{g j+1} H_{1}(;Z) \setminus ker(F) :$$

The representation of Sp (2g; Z) on $V_Z^{(j)}$ () is irreducible of fundamental heighest weight $g_{j+1} = 1 + \dots + g_{j+1}$ with heighets weight vector

$$w (\$_{g j+1}) = a_1^{:::} a_{g j+1} = \$_{g j+1} (e^{-j+1}):$$

The possible weights in this representation are given by

$$r_q^{(j)} = f 2r_g:n() j 1 and n() j 1 mod 2g:$$

W e obtain an analogous weight space decom position

$$V_{Z}^{(j)}(g) = \bigcup_{2r_{g}^{(j)}}^{M} W_{Z}^{(j)}(;g) = ! L_{Z}^{n()} \setminus \ker(F) \setminus \ker(H + j - 1) : (17)$$

Lem m a 4 The spaces W $_{\rm Z}^{\rm (j)}$ (;g) are as $S_{\rm n\,()}$ -m cdules isom orphic to the standard irreducible Specht m cdules S $^{[a,b]}$ for the two-row Young-diagram

$$[a;b] = \frac{h_n() + j}{2}; \frac{n() j + 1^{i}}{2}:$$

Proof: Although this may be standard we shall provide a proof to x conventions. We largely follow here the de nitions and notations of [8]. First we note that ker (H + j 1) is naturally isom orphic to the permutation module M ^[a,b], where n() = a + b and j = a b + 1. The isom orphism M ^[a,b] =! ker (H + j 1) \ $I_2^{n()}$ maps a tabloid ftg = $\frac{i_1 \ i_2 \ \dots \ i_a}{j_1 \ \dots \ j_b}$ to the basis vector $e_{ftg} = e_1 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_n$ with $_k = +$ if k 2 fj₁;...; j_bg and $_k =$ if k 2 fi₁;...; i_ag. It is obvious that the e_{ftg} indeed span ker (H + j 1). Consider a tableau t = $\frac{i_1}{j_1}$ $\frac{i_2}{j_2}$ $\frac{i_3}{j_4}$ of shape [a;b]. Let C_t be the column stabilizer group and

the signed column sum. We set $e_t = te_{ftg}$. The Specht module S ^[a,b] is the space generated by these e_t and we want to show that it coincider with ker (F). The easy part is to show S ^[a,b] ker (F). Using that F commutes with two compute F $e_t = F$ te_{ftg} = tF $e_{ftg} = b_{k=1}^{P} te_{ft_k}g$, where ft_kg is the tabloid of shape [a + 1; b 1], in which we have removed j from the bottom row and added to the top row. As a result (1 (j_k ; j_k))ft_kg = 0, hence tft_kg = 0 so that F $e_t = 0$.

In order to prove ker (F) $S^{[a,b]}$ we proceed by induction. ker (F) on M $^{[a,b]}$ is given by ker (F²) on M $^{[a \ 1,b]}$. We have a map

$$\ker(\mathbb{F}^2) \setminus M^{[a \ 1;b]} ! \ker(\mathbb{F}) \setminus M^{[a;b]} : x \ 7 e x e F x :$$

It is easy to see that this map is an isom orphism. We use rst that $F \times 2 \text{ ker}(F) \setminus M^{[a,b-1]}$ and hence by induction $F \times = \begin{bmatrix} i_{2T} & b_t e_t \end{bmatrix}$. Here T is the set of tableaux of the form $t = \begin{bmatrix} i_1 & i_b & i_a \end{bmatrix}$ with numbers from f2;3;:::;a + bg. Now as be 1 < a we have that i_a is not permuted by C_t . Thus if we denote $E_j = I^{j-1} E I^{N-j}$ we have that E_{i_a} commutes with t. Let $h_t = tE_{i_a}e_{ftg}$ Now $Fh_t = FE_{i_a} te_{ftg} = F;E_{i_a}] te_{ftg} + E_{i_a}F te_{ftg} = H_{i_a} te_{ftg}$ since $e_t 2 \text{ ker}(F)$ as shown above. Now, H_{i_a} commutes with t and $H_{i_a}e_{ftg} = e_{t_a}$ by construction. Hence $Fh_t = e_t$. In other words $S^{[a,b-1]}$ in (F). Consider now $y = x t_{2T} b_t h_t$. We thus have $y 2 \text{ ker}(F) \setminus M^{[a-1,b]}$ so that by induction $y = t_{2S} c_s e_s$, where S denotes the tableau of the form $s = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}$ k_{b+1} k_{a-1} with all numbers in f2;3;:::;a + bg. Inserting everything we nd

$$z = e \quad x \quad e \quad F \quad x = \begin{array}{c} X \\ c_{s}e \quad e_{s} + \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ b_{t}(e \quad h_{t} \quad e_{s} \quad e_{s}) \end{array}$$

Now, it is not hard to see that $e_s = (e e_s)$ with $s = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 \\ l_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} k_b & k_{b+1} \\ l_b \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} k_{a-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ given that a 1 b so that 1 is not permuted by G_s . Moreover, $(e h_t e_t e_s) = (1 (1; i_a)) (e h_t) (1 (1; i_a)) t^E_{i_a} e_{ftg} = t^e_{ftg} = e_t$, where $t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i_1 \\ i_a & j_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} i_{b-1} & i_{b-1} \\ j_{b-1} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} i_{b-1} & i_{b-1} \\ j_{b-1} \end{bmatrix}$ Thus $z = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ s \\ c_s e_s + \end{bmatrix} t^P_{t} b_{t} e_t$ so that $z \ge S \begin{bmatrix} a, b \end{bmatrix}$.

Combining Lemma 3 with Lemma 4 and using notation $W_{Z}^{(j)}$ (A;g) and $W_{Z}^{(j)}$ (n;g) with n j 1 and n j 1 m od 2 analogous to (11) so that $z^{(j)}$ ($_{g}$) = ${}^{L}_{n} W_{Z}^{(j)}$ (n;g) we nd the following structure.

Corollary 5 For every 1 j l n g with n j l m od 2 there is an isom orphism of \widehat{W}_{g} -m odules

^(j) :
$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{p}_{gn}}^{\operatorname{p}_{g}} \operatorname{M}_{Z}^{gn} \operatorname{S}^{\left[\frac{n+j-1}{2};\frac{n-j+1}{2}\right]} = ! \quad \operatorname{W}_{Z}^{(j)}(n;g) :$$

Let us also describe the sp(2g;Z)-generators on the vectors e_t spanning the Specht modules W^(j)(;g). To this end it is convenient to use tableaux in which entries are takes from the set N () rather than f1;:::;n()g, related to the standard ones by application of $_{N()}$. We denote the set of these tableaux by T^(j)().

Lem m a 5 The sp(2g;Z)-generators act on the tableau vectors of Specht modules in the $V_Z^{(j)}$ -TQFT as follows. For the e_i; with 1 i g 1 we have:

- 1. If $(_{i};_{i+1}) = (0;1)$ then e_{i} ; $e_t = e_s$ where s 2 T^(j) (+ $_i$) is obtained from t 2 T^(j) () by replacing the labeli 2 N () in t by the labeli + 1 2 N (+ $_i$).
- 2. If (i; i+1) = (1;0) then e_i , $e_t = e_i$ where s 2 T^(j) (+ i) is obtained from t 2 T^(j) () by replacing the labeli + 1 2 N () in t by the labeli 2 N (+ i).
- 3. If (i; i+1) = (1;1) we have fi; i+1g = N(+i) = N() and e_i ; $e_t = e_s$ where $s \ge T^{(j)}(+i)$ by adding a column $\frac{i}{i+1}$ to $t \ge T^{(j)}()$
- 4. If (_i; _i+_1) = (0;0) so that fi;i+ 1g = N () N (+ _i) then e _i; e_t, with t2 T $^{(j)}$ (), is
 - (a) 0 if the labels i and i+ 1 occur in columns of height 1.
 - (b) $2e_s$ if t is given by adding the column $\frac{i}{i+1}$ to s.
 - (c) e_s if i and i + 1 occur in di erent columns of height 2. Here s is obtained from t by replacing the double column $\frac{k}{i}$ by $\frac{1}{k}$
 - (d) e_s if i is in column of height 2 and and i + 1 in columns of height 1, where s is obtained from t by deleting the column $\frac{i}{k}$ and replacing i+1 by k
 - (e) e_s if i + 1 is in column of height 2 and and i in columns of height 1, where s is obtained from t by deleting the column $\frac{k}{i+1}$ and replacing i by k

All other cases of positions of i and i + 1 follow from the symmetry properties of the vectors e_t under permutations of columns or within columns. Since N (+ $_g$) = N () if + $_g$; 2 r $_g$ we have that e_g ; acts as identity also on the vectors e_t . Similarly, H acts as identity.

4. $F_p = Z = pZ - R$ eductions and the Sequences $C_{p,k}$

For the rem ainder of this article let p be an odd prime number. Since the TQFT's $V_Z^{(j)}$ are dened over free Z-m odules (lattices) we naturally obtain TQFT's $V_p^{(j)}$ over the number eld F_p by setting

$$V_{p}^{(j)}(q) = V_{Z}^{(j)}(q) \cdot pV_{Z}^{(j)}(q)$$

Now, each $V_{Z}^{(j)}(_{g})$ inherits a non-degenerate inner product as a sublattice of $H_{1}(_{g};Z)$. This, however, will in general degenerate if we consider the the p-reduction h; $i_{p}: V_{p}^{(j)}(_{g})^{-2}$! F_{p} . We denote the corresponding null space as follow s.

$$V_{p}^{(j)}(q) = fv 2 V_{p}^{(j)}(q) : hv; w i_{p} = 0 8 w 2 V_{p}^{(j)}(q)g$$

The elements are represented by vectors v 2 $V_z^{(j)}$ ($_g$) for which hv; wi2 pZ for all w 2 $V_z^{(j)}$ ($_g$) although v **2** $pV_z^{(j)}$ ($_g$).

Lem m a 6 There are well de ned TQFT's $V_p^{(j)}$ and $\overline{V}_p^{(j)}$ which assign to a surface $_g$ the F_p -vectors spaces

$$\overset{4}{\mathrm{V}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{j})}(\mathsf{g}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\overline{\mathrm{V}}}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{j})}(\mathsf{g}) = \overset{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{j})}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{j})}}(\mathsf{g})^{*} \overset{4}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}^{(\mathrm{j})}}(\mathsf{g})$$

Proof: We note that since for a cobordism M the map V_Z (M) commutes with E we have that V_Z (M) commutes with F = E and hence also maps the $V_Z^{(j)}(_g)$ to them selves. Thus if $v_i \ge V_Z^{(j)}(_i)$ for i = 1;2, M is a cobordism from $_{g_1}$ to $_{g_2}$, and v_1 represents a vector in $\stackrel{4}{V_p} \stackrel{(j)}{(} _{1})$, then $hv_2; V_Z^{(j)}$ (M) $v_1 i = hV_Z^{(j)}$ (M) $v_2; v_1 i \ge pZ$ as $V_Z^{(j)}$ (M) $v_2 \ge V_Z^{(j)}(_1)$.

We extend the previous notations to the weight spaces $\overset{4}{W}_{p}^{(j)}(;g), \overset{=}{W}_{p}^{(j)}(;g), \overset{4}{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g),$ etc.. Since the weight spaces are all orthogonal to each other these subspaces can be de ned also as the null spaces from the respective restriction of the inner form s. Now, also the Specht modules S for a diagram = [a;b] inherit an inner form from the permutation module M, which is via the isometry compatible with the one on the weight spaces. As in the standard literature, e.g., [8], we set

$$D_p = {}^{S_p} {}^{4}_{S_p}$$
 where ${}^{4}_{S_p} = S_p \setminus S_p^{?}$

and ${\rm S}_p\,$ is the p-reduction of S $\,$. They are related to irreducible TQFT's as follows.

Lem m a 7 Let p 3 be a prime. The TQFT's $\overline{V}_p^{(j)}$ are irreducible over F_p and the weight spaces are identied as \overline{W}_q -modules by equivariant isomorphism s:

Proof: The isom orphism in (19) follows from the de nitions and properties of ^(j). We rst show that the spaces $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g)$ are irreducible with respect to the sem idirect product X_{g} of the Cartan algebra $\mathbb{Z} [h_{g}]_{p}$ sl(2g;Z) and the algebra of the W eyl group $\mathbb{Z} [\mathbb{W}_{g}]_{q}$ Z [Sp(2g;Z)]. For any vector $v = v 2 \overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g)$ the action of h_{g} shows that each weight component $v 2 \overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(;g)$ has to lie in $X_{g}v$. Each $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g)$ is a module of a symmetric group $S_{n} = \mathbb{W}_{g}$ and the equivalent to $D_{p}^{[\frac{n+j-1}{2};\frac{n-j+1}{2}]}$. It now follows from Theorem 4.9 in [8] that these representations are irreducible. In fact, as p 3 any two-row diagram is p-regular so that these representations are never zero, see Theorem 11.1 in [8]. In particular, if $v \in 0$ then Z $[S_{n}]_{v}$ is the entire module. Hence, for $v \in 0$ we must have $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(;g) = X_{g}v$ for at least one 2 r_{g} with n() = n. Since \widehat{W}_{g} acts transitively on all of such weights and provides isom orphism s between the weight spaces we thus have $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g) = X_{g}v$, which in plies

irreducibility since v was arbitrary. A submodule of $\overline{V}_{p}^{(j)}(g)$ must therefore be a direct sum of the $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g)$. Each of these contains a special vector $w_{n}^{g} = s_{g-j+1}(e_{tn};j)$ with $t(n;j) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{m}$ must here m = n j+1. Using $2_{tn;j}^{2} = 2^{m} t_{n;j}$ for the antisymmetrizer (18), we not $hw_{n}^{g}; w_{n}^{g}i_{p} = he_{tn;j}; e_{tn;j}; i_{p} = 2^{m} he_{ft(n;j)g}; te_{ft(n;j)g}i_{p} = 2^{m}$ 6 0 modp for p 3 so that all of these vectors are non-zero in $\overline{W}_{p}^{(j)}(n;g)$. It can be computed from the rules 3. and 4.(b) in Lemma 5 that $e_{g-n-1}S_{g-n-1}w_{n}^{g} = w_{n-2}^{g}$ and $e_{g-n+1}w_{n}^{g} = 2w_{n+2}^{g}$, where $S_{1} 2 W_{g}$ maps a_{j} to b_{j} so that with 2 6 0 modp we have non-trivial maps between all of the irreducible X_{g} -components. Consequently, the $\overline{V}_{p}^{(j)}(g)$ are irreducible as sp(2g;Z)representations and any sub-TQFT must assign either this space or 0 to a surface g. As in [12] we easily check that the handle attachment m aps are non-trivial between these spaces so that $\overline{V}_{p}^{(j)}$ does in fact contain no proper sub-TQFT.

W e next construct a sequence of maps between the p-reductions of the Specht modules, using the sl(2;Z)-actions. A s before we x n 2 N and denote the Specht module

$$S_7^{\text{tog}} = L_7^n \setminus \ker(F) \setminus \ker(H + c - 1) = S;$$

where c = a b+ 1 with tableau = $[a;b] = \frac{n+c-1}{2}; \frac{n-c+1}{2}]$.

Lem m a 8 Let p 3, c 6 0 m od p, and g 2 f1;:::; p 1g such that c g m od p. Then

$$E_{z_{0}}^{c_{0}}(S_{z}^{fcg}) = S_{z}^{fc_{2}c_{0}g} + pL_{z}^{n}$$
:

M oreover,

$$E^{c_0}(S_Z^{rcg}) \in pL_Z^n$$
 and $E^p(L_Z^n) pL_Z^n$:

Proof: Now, with notation as in the proof of Lemma 19, $e_{t(n;c-1)} 2 S_Z^{fcq}$ is a cyclic vector so it su ces to show that $E^{c_0}(e_{t(n;c-1)}) 2 (S_Z^{fc-2c_0g} + pL_Z^n) pL_Z^n$. Furthermore, $e_{t(n;c-1)} = e_{t(n;0)} e^{c-1}$ and $Ee_{t(n;0)} = 0$ so that we really need to show that $E^{c_0}(e^{c-1}) 2$ (ker (F) + pL_Z^{c-1}) pL_Z^{c-1} , where $c = c_0 + kp$. We do this by induction in c_0 .

For $c_0 = 1$ we have c 1 = kp and, using sigmediations, FE (e^{kp}) = He^{kp} + EFe^{kp} = kpe^{kp}. Hence, if we set w = ke^{kp 1} e we nd Fw = e^{kp} so that E (e^{kp}) kpw 2 ker(F), meaning E (e^{kp}) 2 ker(F) + pL_z^{c1} . Next assume the assertion is true for c_0 so that E^{c_0} (e^{c1}) = y + pz with Fy = 0 and Hy = (c 2c 1)y. We have by the binom ial form ula and Ee = e_t and E²e = 0 that E^{c_0+1} (e^{c1}) = $p = (E^{c_0+1}e^{c1})$ e + $(c_0 + 1)E^{c_0}e^{c1}$ e = (Ey) e + $(c_0 + 1)y$ e + pz^0 . Thus we need to show t = (Ey) e + $(c_0 + 1)y$ e = (c g)y e = kpy e . Also F (y e) = y e so that t kpy e + 2 ker(F) and hence t 2 ker(F) \ pL_z^c .

Finally, it is not hard to see that $h_{+}^{c_{0}} e^{c c_{0}^{-1}}; E^{c_{0}}(e^{c^{-1}})i = c_{0}! 6$ 0m odp if g < pso that $E^{c_{0}}(e^{c^{-1}})$ g $pL_{z}^{c^{-1}}$ and hence $E^{c_{0}}e_{t(n;c^{-1})}$ g pL_{z}^{n} . Also, the binom ial form ula yields $E^{p} = \int_{j}^{p} E^{p^{-j}} E^{j}$ so that we can conclude $E^{p} = 0$ by a similar induction argument.

In particular Lemma 8 implies that we have well de ned, non-zero maps E c_0 : S_p^{fcq} ! $S_p^{fc 2c_0g}$ on the respective p-reductions $S_p^{fcq} = S_z^{fcq} = pS_z^{fcq} = S_z^{fcq} = pL_z^n$ using that $pS_z^{fcq} = S_z^{fcq} \setminus pL_z^n$. C orollary 6 For p and n as above and k = 1; :::; p 1 w ith k n + 1 m od 2 there is a sequence $C_{p;k}$ of Specht m odules over F_p as follows:

$$0 ! S_{p}^{fn+1 2lg} ! ::: ! S_{p}^{f2p+kg} ! p^{E^{k}} ! p^{f2p-kg} ! p^{F^{kg}} ! D_{p}^{fkg} ! 0 : (20)$$

Allmaps (except the rst and last one) are non-zero, and any two consecutive maps compose to zero.

M ore precisely, we have that the i-th component of this sequence is $C_{p,k}^{(i)} = S_p^{fip+k_{i}g}$, where $k_i = k$ if i is even and $k_i = p$ k if i is odd. The maps are $E^{k_i} : C_{p,k}^{(i)} ! C_{p,k}^{(i-1)}$ so that two consecutive maps compose as $E^{k_i}E^{k_{i+1}} = E^p = 0$.

We have that $C_{p,k}^{(0)} = S_p^{fkg} ! C_{p,k}^{(1)} = D_p^{fkg}$ is the (non-zero) quotient map. Now, it is clear that im (E) ker (F)² S_p^{4} f^{fkg} given that E = F. Hence also the composite $C_{p,k}^{(1)} ! C_{p,k}^{(0)} ! C_{p,k}^{(1)}$ is zero. In order to characterize the last index write $\frac{n+1+k}{2} = ph + qw$ ith h 2 Z and q = 0; :::p 1. We have (

$$L = \begin{array}{ccc} q & k & \text{if } q & k \\ q & \text{if } q < k \end{array} ; \tag{21}$$

The maps in Corollary 6 thus extend to a sequence of the p-reductions of the induced representations from Corollary 5 as well as the weight spaces W $_{\rm p}^{\rm (C)}$ (n;g). The respective maps on the vector spaces V $_{\rm p}^{\rm (C)}$ ($_{\rm g}$) are, by equivariance of the $_{\rm p}^{\rm (j)}$, given by the restriction and p-reductions of the maps E $_{\rm o}^{\rm (c)}$ on H $_{1}$ ($_{\rm g}$). In particular, these maps commute with the TQFT - im ages of the cobordism s by equivariance of V $_{\rm Z}$.

C orollary 7 Forp, n and k as above there is a sequence of natural transform ations of TQFT's

$$:::! V_{p}^{((i+1)p+k_{i+1})} ! V_{p}^{(ip+k_{i})} ! :::! V_{p}^{(2p-k)} ! V_{p}^{(k)} ! \overline{V}_{p}^{(k)} ! 0$$
(22)

with $k_i = k_i p$ k as above and any two consecutive transform ations compose to zero.

5. Exactness of C_{p,k}

Exactness of the sequence $C_{p,k}$ de ned in Corollary 6 follows from the modular structure of the involved Specht modules. The irreducible factors of the S_p for two row diagrams are determined in Theorem 24.15 of [8]. For our proof we will, however, have to make use also of the precise submodule structure, which turns out to be rather rigid. We use the recent result of K leshchev and Sheth in [16] that describes this structure precisely. In order to state it we need to introduce some more conventions and de nitions.

For a Young diagram = [a;b] we set c = a b+1, and consider the p-adic expansion

$$c = c_0 + c_1 p + c_2 p^2 + \dots + c_r p^r$$
 with $c_j 2 f_{0;1;\dots;p}$ lg: (23)

As in [16] we denote by \hat{A} the fam ily of sets of integers of the form

$$I = [i_1; i_2) [[i_3; i_4) [::: [[i_{2u} _1; i_{ut})$$
(24)

such that

$$i_1 < i_2 < ::: < i_{2u}$$
; $c_{i_{2j-1}} \in 0$ and $c_{i_{2j}} \in p$ 1: (25)

For such a set I 2 A we de ne as in [16] the number

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ p & 1 \\ i2I \end{pmatrix} p^{i} + \begin{pmatrix} X^{u} \\ p^{i_{2j-1}} \\ j=1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{u} \\ p^{i_{2j-1}} \\ j=1 \end{pmatrix} (26)$$

where $u_{[u,w)} = (p \ 1 \ p)p^{i} + p^{u}$. Also, as in [16] we introduce the smaller set A A

given by

$$A = fI 2 \hat{A} : \bigcup_{T} bg; \qquad (27)$$

as well as for any I2 A the function I on Young diagram s = [a;b] de ned as

$$_{I}() = [a + _{I};b _{I}]:$$
 (28)

As before we denote by D_p the irreducible quotient of the Specht module S_p over F_p for any two row diagram . Moreover, denote by F(M) the set of irreducible factors that occur in a composition series of a representation M. Further, let M be the smallest submodule of S_p such that $D_p \ 2 \ F(M)$. The description of the submodule structure in [16] uses the partial order on $F(S_p)$ de ned as $D_p^{-1} \qquad D_p^{-2}$ if and only if $M_{-1} \qquad M_{-2}$, i.e., if and only if $D_p^{-1} \qquad M_{-2}$.

Theorem 8 (Corollary 3.4 of [16]) For two-row Young digrams

- 1. allmultiplicities of D $_{\rm p}\,$ in $\rm S_{p}\,$ are zero or one.
- 2. $F(S_p) = fD_p^{(1)} : I2Ag$
- 3. D_p^{J} D_p^{I} D_p^{I} if and only if J I.

W e de nenext submodules of the S $_{\rm p}$ by choosing a special subset of of A $\,$ and A $\,$. It is de ned as

 $A^{0} = fI 2 A^{\circ} : 0 2 Ig$ and $A^{+} = A^{\circ} A^{0} = fI 2 A^{\circ} : 0$ g Ig (29) as well as $A^{0} = A^{0} \setminus A$ and $A^{+} = A^{+} \setminus A$. Let us also introduce the number k = m infj $1: g \in 0 gw$ ith k = 1 if $c = c_{0}$. Hence

$$c = c_0 + c_k p^k + \dots + c_r p^r$$
 and $[0;k] \setminus I = ;$ for any $I \ge A^+$: (30)

The latter follows since if 0 2 I we must have $i_1 > 0$. But with $c_{i_1} \in 0$ we nd $i_1 = k$. For the following we assume c 6 0 modp, i.e., $q \in 0$. Given this we introduce for a diagram = [a;b] with a b = c = 1 = 2c (so that k < 1) the notation

$$^{0} = [a \quad g; b + c_{0}]$$
 so that $= [0; k_{0}] (^{0})$ (31)

For ⁰ we thus have $c^0 = c$ 2g and for the p-adic expansion of $c^0 = \int_{j}^{P} c_{j}^{0} p^{j}$ we obtain

$$c_{0}^{0} = p \quad q_{0} \qquad c_{k}^{0} = q_{k} \quad 1 c_{i}^{0} = p \quad 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \quad i < k \qquad c_{i}^{0} = c_{i} \quad \text{for } i > k$$
(32)

From these equations it is clear that $c_0^0 \notin 0$ and $c_k^0 \notin p = 1$ so that [0;k) is an admissible interval for \hat{A}_0 . In fact, it is the unique m inimal interval of the special subset

$$\hat{A}^{0}_{0} = fI 2 \hat{A}^{0} : [0;k]$$
 Ig and $[0;k] 2 A^{0}_{0} :$ (33)

This is obvious since we must have $i_1 = 0$ for 0.2 I and then the next possible i_2 is k. It is also easy to see that $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ [0,k] \end{bmatrix} = c_0$, con m ing the relation in (31) between and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ via \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ via \end{bmatrix}$. We have the following simple but crucial observation.

Lem m a 9 W ith and ⁰ as above we have a well de ned bijection with inverse

 $: \hat{A}_{0}^{0} ! \hat{A}^{+} : I ? I [0;k) \text{ and } ^{1} : \hat{A}^{+} ! \hat{A}_{0}^{0} : J ? J [0;k)$

Proof: We rst show that is well de ned. From (33) we know that every I 2 A 0_0 contains the special interval and is thus of the form

$$I = [0; i_2) [[i_3; i_4) [:::[[i_{2u} 1; i_{2u}]) with i_2 k:$$

Clearly, 0 2 (I). We further distinguish the following two cases:

Case $i_2 = k$: In this situation (I) = $[i_3; i_4)$ [:::. Now (I) 2 A^+ since by (32) the coe cients of c and c⁰ and hence the conditions on the i_j for j 3 are the same.

Case $i_2 > k$: In this situation (I) = $[k; i_2)$ [R with R = $[i_3; i_4)$ [:::. We have $q_k \notin 0$ by assumption, and $c_{i_2} = c_{i_2}^0 \notin q$ 1 as $i_2 > k$. Also all intervals in R are admissible. Hence (I) 2 A^+ again.

In a similar fashion we show that ¹ is well de ned using the fact that any L 2 \hat{A}^+ is by (30) of the form L = $(j_1; j_2)$ [$(j_3; j_4)$ [::: with j_1 k. For $j_1 > k$ we have ¹(L) = [0;k) [$(j_1; j_2)$ [$(j_3; j_4)$ [:::. This is \hat{A}^{0_0} as $c_0^0 \notin 0$, $c_k^0 \notin p$ 1, and $\hat{c}_j^0 = c_j$ for j j. In case that $j_1 = k$ we have ¹(L) = $[0; j_2)$ [$(j_3; j_4)$ [:::, which is again in \hat{A}^{0_0} since $c_j^0 = c_j$ for j $j_2 > j_1$. It is obvious that and ¹ are inverses of each other given (30) and (33).

Next we consider how the di erential from (26) changes under this bijection.

Lem m a 10 W e have

$$_{I}^{0} = _{(I)} + c_{0}$$

Proof: This is a computation done according to the same cases as in the proof of Lemma 9:

 $C ase i_2 > k : A s before we write I = [0; i_2) [[i_3; i_4) [:::= [0; i_2) [R and (I) = [k; i_2] [R and (I)$

$$\int_{I}^{0} = \int_{i=0}^{1} p \left(p - 1 \right) \left(p \right) p^{i} + 1 + R^{0}$$
(34)

$$= (p \ 1 \ b) + 1 + (p \ 1 \ b) p^{k} + (p \ 1 \ b) p^{k} + (p \ 1 \ b) p^{i} + R$$
(35)

$$= c_{0} + (p \ 1 \ c)p^{k} + p^{k} + \sum_{i>k}^{X^{i_{2}}} (p \ 1 \ p)p^{i} + R$$
(36)

$$= c_0 + (p - 1 - p)p^{i} + p^{k} + q$$
(37)

$$= c_0 + k_{i_2} + k_R = c_0 + k_{i_2} = c_0 + k_{i_2}$$
(38)

which proves the assertion.

C orollary 9 The map restricts to a bijection $: A^0_0 : A^+$ with the properties that it is monotonous with respect to inclusions and

$$I_{I}(^{0}) = (I_{I})(^{0}):$$
 (39)

Proof: From (31) we have $b^0 = b + c_0$. Moreover, Lem ma 9 and Lem ma 10 in ply that I 2 A_0^0 i $I^0 + b^0$ i $I^0 + c_0 + c_0$ i I^0 bi $I^0 + c_0$. Moreover, Lem ma 9 and Lem ma 10 in ply that I 2 A_0^0 is obvious. Relation (39) is in mediate from Lem ma 10, (28) and (31).

Let us turn now to the analogous relations between the modules. The set A^0 contains a minimalelement, namely $I^0 = [0;h]$, which is the smallest interval with h > 0 and $c_h \in p = 1$. We denote the modules

$$S_p = M_{I^0()}$$
 and $\dot{S_p} = S_p S_p$

By 3. of Theorem 8 we know that the modules in the composition series of M $_{I^0}()$ are of the form $D_{p}^{J}()$, where I^0 J, which is equivalent to J 2 A⁰. Hence

$$F(S_p) = fD_p^{I()} : I 2 A^0 g$$
 and $F(\dot{S_p}) = fD_p^{I()} : I 2 A^+ g :$ (40)

With $h_0 = k$ and $I_0^0 = (;)$ we thus have $S_p^0 = M_0^0$. The bijection from Corollary 9 allows us now to show that the composition series of S_p^0 and \dot{S}_p^0 yield exactly the same sets of irreducibles via the identication

:
$$F(S_{p}^{0}) \stackrel{=}{:} F(S_{p}^{1}) : D_{p}^{1} \stackrel{(0)}{\overline{7}} D_{p}^{(1)} :$$
 (41)

Lem m a 11 Let and ⁰ be as above. Suppose there is a non-zero m ap

:
$$S_p ! S_p^{\circ}$$
 with S_p ker():

Then we have

im () =
$$S_p^{0}$$
 and ker() = S_p :

Proof: Consider the map $: \dot{S}_{p}$! S_{p}° de ned on the quotient by S_{p} as well as the composite $: \dot{S}_{p}$! \dot{S}_{p}° with the projection. Now, since by 1. of Theorem 8 we have a disjoint union $F(S_{p}^{\circ}) = F(S_{p}^{\circ})$ [$F(\dot{S}_{p}^{\circ})$ so that by (41) $F(\dot{S}_{p}^{\circ}) \setminus F(\dot{S}_{p}) = ;$. Hence is a map between modules with no common irreducibles in their composition series so that = 0. Thus the image of or must lie in S_{p}° . Consider the sequence of maps

:
$$M = S_p$$
 ! \dot{S}_p ! $S_p^{0} = M^{0}$:

Since all multiplicities are one we have again a disjoint union F(M) = F(ker()) [F(im())] so that either $D_p 2 F(ker())$ or $D_p 2 F(im())$. In the rst case this would mean that ker() M is a submodule that contains D_p in its composition series so that by minimality ker() = M. We had, however, assumed that \bigcirc 0. Hence D_p must be contained in the

composition series of im () M° . Again it follows by minimality that im () = M° . This also means that is a surjective map. The fact that all multiplicities are one together with (41) in plies that dim $(\ddot{S}_{p}) = \dim (S_{p}^{\circ})$. Hence must be an isom orphism.

We are nally in the position to establish following resolution of irreducible modules by Spechtmodules.

Theorem 10 The sequences (20) from Corollary 6 are exact.

 $b = \begin{array}{cccc} (& & & & \\ q & k & \text{if } q & k \\ q & \text{if } q < k \end{array} \text{ and } c_0 = \begin{array}{c} (& & \\ k & \text{if } q & k \\ p & k & \text{if } q < k \end{array}$

Now for a set I 2 A with I \in ; we have I p c. We also need I band hence p c b. For q k this condition reduces to p q, which is not possible, and for q < k we nd q k, a contradiction as well. Hence A = f;g so that $S_p^{fn+1 2lg} = \ddot{S}_p^{fn+1 2lg} = D_p^{fn+1 2lg}$ and $S_p^{fn+1 2lg} = 0$.

Next, we observe that allm aps $E^{k_i} : S_q^{f(i+1)p+k_{i+1}g} ! S_q^{fip+k_{i}g}$ in the sequence are of the type of the ones in Lemma 11 with diagrams related by (31). More precisely, we have $c_0 = k_{i+1}s_0$ that $c^0 = c$ $2g = (i+1)p + k_{i+1}$ $2k_{i+1} = jp + (p \quad k_{i+1}) = ip + k_i$. Corollary 6 also implies that these m aps are non-zero and equivariant. It now follows by induction, going from large to small i, that

$$\ker(\mathbb{E}^{k_i}) = S_{\alpha}^{f(i+1)p+k_{i+1}g} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im} (\mathbb{E}^{k_i}) = S_{\alpha}^{fip+k_ig}:$$

For the rst two maps in the sequence this is clear by irreducibility of the rst module and the fact that the next map is non-zero.

Once we have proved the relation for $E^{k_{i+1}}$ we know from $E^{k_i}E^{k_{i+1}} = 0$ that $S_q^{f(i+1)p+k_{i+1}g} = im (E^{k_{i+1}})$ ker (E^{k_i}) . We can thus apply Lemma 11 to E^{k_i} and infer the statement for i from i + 1. Hence exactness holds for the terms before S_p^{fkg} .

For this last Specht m odule we have $c_0 = k$ and $c_j = 0$ for j > 0. Thus $A^+ = f;g$ and $A^0 = f[0;j) : p^j \quad \frac{n+k+1}{2}g$ using that $_{[0;j)} = p^j$ k and $b = \frac{n-k+1}{2}$. Particularly, we have that $S_p^{fkg} = D_p^{fkg}$ is already irreducible. The kernel of the last m ap already contains S_p^{fkg} , the in age of the previous m ap, in its kernel. If the kernel was bigger the m ap would thus have to be zero, which is not the case. Hence exactness also holds at S_p^{fkg} . Finally, the last m ap is by irreducibility onto so that exactness holds through out the sequence.

As a result we obtain that the sequence of TQFT's in (22) of Corollary 7 is exact and this yields a resolutions of the TQFT's $\overline{V}_p^{(k)}$ with 0 < k < p, thus proving Theorem 1. The kernels and cokernels of these sequences also de ne TQFT's, which we denote in analogy to the sym m etric group representations by $V_p^{(j)}$ and $\dot{V}_p^{(j)}$ so that we have short exact sequences

 $0 ! V_{p}^{(j)} ! V_{p}^{(j)} ! \dot{V}_{p}^{(j)} ! 0 :$ (42)

6. Characters, Dimensions, and the Alexander Polynomial

An obvious application of Theorem 10 is that we can express the characters ' $_{p}^{k}$ and dimensions of the D $_{p}^{k}$ = D $_{p}^{fkg}$ for diagrams $_{k} = [\frac{n+k-1}{2}; \frac{n-k+1}{2}]$ with $k = n + 1 \mod 2$ and 0 < k < p in terms of the ordinary characters of Specht modules S.

C orollary 11 For $k = n + 1 \mod 2$ and $0 < k < p we have the following identity of <math>S_n$ -characters

$$'_{p}^{k} = {}^{X}_{i 0} (1)^{i j_{i}};$$
 (43)

where $j_i = ip + k_i$ and $k_i = k$ for i even and $k_i = p - k$ for i odd.

As an example we consider the special case p = 5, where k = 1 or 3 if n is even and k = 2 or 4 if n odd. In [26] Ryba constructs a family of irreducible so called Fibonacci representations R_n and R_n^0 of S_n over F_5 with B rauer characters r_n and r_n^0 respectively. It follows by straight forward computation from (43) and the formulae in De nition 2 of [26] that $0_n^0 = \frac{[r+1]r}{5}$ and $n = \frac{[r+1]r}{5}$ if n = 2r is even, and $0_n^0 = \frac{[r+2]r}{5}$ and $n = \frac{[r+1]r}{5}$ if n = 2r + 1 is odd.

Corollary 12 The Fibonacci representations from [26] are

$$R_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{5}^{[r+1,r-1]} & \text{if } n = 2r \\ D_{5}^{[r+1,r]} & \text{if } n = 2r+1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R_{n}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{5}^{[r,r]} & \text{if } n = 2r \\ D_{5}^{[r+2,r-1]} & \text{if } n = 2r+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

W e expect sim ilar relations with the generalizations of these representations obtained by K leshchev in [14].

The dimensions of the Specht modules are naturally given by the Catalan numbers C $(n;j) = {n \choose j} {n \choose j-1}$. More precisely, dim $(S^{[n b;b]}) = C (n;b)$. Particularly, we not for the components of the sequence in (20) that dim $(S^{fc_{2s}g}) = C (n;b)$ sp) and dim $(S^{fc_{2s+1}g}) = C (n;b+(s+1)p)$, with $b = \frac{n+1}{2} k$, where we also use that C (n;j) = C (n;n+1 j). The alternating sum of the Specht module dimensions comes out to be

$$d_{k}^{n} = \dim (D_{p}^{[n \ b;b]}) = C(n;b+sp) \quad \text{provided} \quad 0 < k = n \quad 2b+1 < p: (44)$$

Note, that if we extend the above form ula for d_h^n to the next indices we nd

$$d_0^n = 0$$
 for odd n ; and $d_p^n = 0$ for even n : (45)

In order to describe generating functions for these dimensions we introduce some notation. First we write $[n]_{x} = \frac{x^{n} + x^{n}}{x + x^{n}} 2 Z[x;x^{-1}]$ for the usual quantum integers. Moreover, we consider now the ring of cyclotom ic integers Z[p] obtained $Z[x;x^{-1}]$ by imposing the relation $p_{j=0}^{p-1} x^{j} = 0$. It is free as a Z-module of rank $p_{j=0}^{p-1}$. This is a free Z-module of rank $\frac{p-1}{2}$. It is not hard to see that the set of $[k]_{p}$ restricted to either even k or to odd k yields a Z-basis for A [p].

Lem m a 12 W e have the following identity in A [p]:

$$[2]_{p}^{n} = \underset{\substack{0 \le k \le p \\ k \ n+1 \mod 2}}{X} d_{k}^{n} [k]_{p}$$
(46)

Proof: We have by Schur W eylduality that $L_Z^n = V_Z^{n} = \frac{L}{j n \mod 2} V_j$ $S^{[\frac{n+j-1}{2};\frac{n-j+1}{2}]}$. The operator x^H with H 2 sl(2;Z) is well de ned and has trace $tr_{V_j}(x^H) = [j]_x$. It thus follows that $[2]_x^n = \frac{1}{1} \lim_{n \to 1 \mod 2} [1]_x C(n; \frac{n+1}{2})$. Now, any such 1 can be uniquely written in the form l = k + 2sp or l = k + 2(s + 1)p with s 0 and k n + 1m od 2 and 0 < k < 1p. Specializing to a root of unity x = p we have then that $[l]_p = [k]_p$ and $[l]_p = [k]_p$ respectively. A loo C (n; $\frac{n + l + 1}{2}$) = C (n; $\frac{n + k + 1}{2}$ sp) and C (n; $\frac{n - l + 1}{2}$) = C (n; $\frac{n + k + 1}{2}$ (s + 1)p) = C (n; $\frac{n+1}{2}$ + (s+1)p) respectively. Hence, the term s for a xed k are given by [k] _pC (n; b sp) and $[k]_p C$ (n;b+ (s+1)p) for s 0 and $b = \frac{n+1}{2} k$, which with s 2 Z adds up to the expression in (44).

Using $[2]_x [k]_x = [k \quad 1]_x + [k+1]_x$ and the bases of A [p] Z [p] we readily derive from (46) the recursion $d_k^{n+1} = d_{k-1}^n + d_{k+1}^n$. This translates for 0 a b p 2 to

$$\dim (\mathbb{D}_{p}^{[a,b]}) = \begin{cases} \dim (\mathbb{D}_{p}^{[a]^{a}^{1}]}) & \text{if } a = b \\ \dim (\mathbb{D}_{p}^{[a]^{b}}) + \dim (\mathbb{D}_{p}^{[a,b]^{1}}) & \text{if } 0 < a \ b < p \ 2 : (47) \\ \dim (\mathbb{D}_{p}^{[a]^{1,b]}}) & \text{if } a \ b = p \ 2 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see from this form that the dimensions are indeed given by the number of paths through the set of diagram swith a p = 2 as described in in [19] for general diagram s.

In the case p = 5 this recursion reduces to dim $(R_n) = \dim (R_{n-1}) + \dim (R_{n-1}^0)$ and dim $(\mathbb{R}_n^0) = \dim (\mathbb{R}_{n-1})$ so that the dimensions are given by Fibonacci numbers. More precisely, we have dim $(\mathbb{R}_n) = f_n$ and dim $(\mathbb{R}_n^0) = f_{n-1}$, where f_n are the Fibonacci num bers de ned by $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$ and $f_{n+1} = f_n + f_{n-1}$. Note that together with (44) we nd interesting presentations of F ibonacci num bers in term s of alternating, 5-periodic sum s of C atalan num bers:

$$f_{2r} = C (2r; r 1) C (2r; r 3) + C (2r; r 6) C (2r; r 8) + ::: (48)$$

$$= C (2r + 1; r 1) C (2r + 1; r 2) + C (2r + 1; r 6) C (2r + 1; r 7) + ::: nd$$

$$= C (2r + 1; r) C (2r + 1; r 3) + C (2r + 1; r 5) C (2r + 1; r 8) + ::: (49)$$

ar

$$f_{2r+1} = C (2r+1;r) C (2r+1;r 3) + C (2r+1;r 5) C (2r+1;r 8) + ::: (49) = C (2r+2;r+1) C (2r+2;r 3) + C (2r+2;r 4) C (2r+2;r 8) + :::$$

The reader is invited to check these identities independently via recursion relations such as C(n + 1;j) = C(n;j) + C(n;j) 1) or via the well known generating functions of C at alan and Fibonacci num bers.

A nother useful tool in the determ ination of dimensions are fusion algebras or Verlinde algebras, see [2]. For the quantum group $U_q(s_k)$ at a p-th root of unity the ring of irreducible representations yields the fusion algebra p generated by the irreducibles h[1]; h[2]; ...; hp 11. Let us denote by mult(k;R) 2 N [flog the multiplicity of hj] in an element R 2 $_{\rm p}$ so that $R = \frac{1}{2} m ult(k; R) hk_{i}$. We have relations h[1] hk_{i} = hk_{i}, hp 1] hk_{i} = hp k_{i} and h_{21} $h_{k1} = h_{k} + 1_{1} + h_{k}$ h_{1} for 1 < k < p 1. Comparing this to the recursion in (47) we nd that

$$\dim (D_p^{[\frac{n+k-1}{2};\frac{n-k+1}{2}]}) = mult(k;h2j^n):$$
(50)

Now, as the D_p are isomorphic to the weight spaces $\overline{\mathbb{W}}_{p}^{(k)}(;g)$ with n = n() we nd for the dimensions dim $(\overline{\mathbb{V}}_{p}^{(k)}(;g)) = \frac{P_{n=0}^{g} 2^{g n} \frac{n}{n} dim (D_{p}^{\frac{[n+k-1]}{2};\frac{n-k+1}{2}]})$ so that we have the following Verlinde type form ula.

Lem m a 13

$$\dim (\overline{V}_{p}^{(k)}(g)) = \operatorname{mult}(k; f_{p}^{g}) \qquad \text{with} \quad f_{p} = 2\hbar [1] + \hbar [2] 2 \quad p : \tag{51}$$

C on pare this to the TQFT's V_p^{RT} and V_p^{RT} of Reshetikhin Turaev for the quantum groups $U_q(sl_2)$ and $U_q(s0_3)$ respectively at a p-th root of unity. (V_p^{RT} is really a factor TQFT obtained from V_p^{RT} by restricting to odd dimensional representations). With $\mathbf{F}_p = {}^{P}_{j}h_{2j} + 1\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathbf{F}_p = 2\mathbf{F}_p = {}^{P}_{k}h_{k}\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\dim (V_p^{R^T}(g)) = mult(1; F_p^g) = 2^g mult(1; F_p^g) = 2^g dim (V_p^{R^T}(g)) :$$
(52)

In the case p = 5 these form ulae allow us to e ciently compute and compare dimensions.

Lem m a 14 W e have for the dimensions D $_{g}^{(k)} = \dim (\overline{V}_{5}^{(k-1)}(g))$.

1. For even g:

$$D_{g}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{q}{2}} f_{g 1} + f_{2g+1} \qquad D_{g}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{q}{2}} f_{g} + f_{2g}$$
$$D_{g}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{q}{2}} f_{g 1} f_{2g+1} \qquad D_{g}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{q}{2}} f_{g} f_{g} f_{2g}$$

2. For odd g:

$$D_{g}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{g-1}{2}} (f_{g 2} + f_{g}) + f_{2g+1} \qquad D_{g}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{g-1}{2}} (f_{g 1} + f_{g+1}) + f_{2g}$$

$$D_{g}^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{g-1}{2}} (f_{g 2} + f_{g}) f_{2g+1} \qquad D_{g}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} 5^{\frac{g-1}{2}} (f_{g 1} + f_{g+1}) f_{2g}$$

and

dim
$$(V_5^{RT}(_g)) = D_g^{(1)} + D_g^{(4)}$$
 8g 0: (53)

Proof: Let us use a more convenient notation $1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $= \frac{1}{2$

Now, we have $(1 +) \notin = (1 +) \notin$ and thus $(1 +) \notin = (1 +) \notin$. Similarly, we nd $(1) \notin = (1 +) (2)$ so that $f_5^q = \frac{1}{2}(1 +) \notin + \frac{1}{2}(1) (2)$. With the previous results on \mathbb{F}_5^q and (2) we thus nd a form ula for f_5^q , which inserted into (51) yields the asserted form ulae.

The form ula in (53) re ects the fact that $\overline{V}_{5}^{(1)} \quad \overline{V}_{5}^{(4)}$ is the sum of the irreducible constituents of the F₅-reductions of V_{5}^{RT} as TQFT's, see [13]. For larger primes pit is, how ever, not possible that a F_p-reduction V_{p}^{RT} has only the $V_{p}^{(j)}$ as irreducible components. This is easily seen by boking at the large g asymptotics of the dimension expressions in (51) and (52), which grow like kfpk^g and kFpk^g. Here the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalues are given by kFpk = $\frac{p}{4\sin^2(p)}$ and kfpk = $4\cos^2(\frac{p}{2p})$ and we have kFpk > kfpk ifp > 5. In Section 8 we outline how tensor products will possibly remedy the situation.

The analogs of the character expansions given in C orollary 11 and relations as in Lemma 12 in the context of the corresponding TQFT 's attain a topological interpretation via the A lexander

Polynom ial $(M) 2 Z [x; x^{1}]$ for a compact, oriented 3-m anifold M with a selected epim orphism $:H_{1}(M;Z) \parallel Z$. Up to S-equivalence the cocycle de nes a two-sided embedded surface M and cobordism C : ! obtained by removing a neighborhood of from M. The A lexander Polynom ial is then given (up to a sign, which is determined by the additional fram ing structure on M) by the following identity extracted in Section 11 of [12]:

$$(M) = \operatorname{trace}(x^{H} V_{Z}(C)) = \sum_{j=1}^{X} [j]_{x} \operatorname{trace}(V_{Z}^{(j)}(C))$$
(54)

By inserting x = p or x = p, a p-th root of unity, and reducing the integer coe cients to F p we consider the image of the A lexander Polynom ial under the two so de ned natural maps

$$Z[x;x^{1}] ! F_{p}[_{p}] : x 7 _{p} : (M) 7 _{p} (M) :$$
 (55)

Now, if we insert x = p into (54) and use $[j_i]_p = (1)^{k-1} (1)^{i} [k]_p$, where $j_i = ip + k_i$ as before, we infer from the resolutions of TQFT's in (22) the following identities

$$= \prod_{p \neq p} (M_{p}) = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{X^{2}}} (1)^{k-1} [k]_{p} \operatorname{trace}(\overline{V}_{p}^{(k)} (C_{p})) + \operatorname{trace}(\overline{V}_{p}^{(p-k)} (C_{p})) ;$$
(56)

$$= \sum_{p \in M} \left[m \right]_{p} \left[m \right]_{p} \operatorname{trace}(\overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(k)}(C)) \operatorname{trace}(\overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(p-k)}(C)) :$$
(57)

This implies Theorem 2. At a 5-th root of unity $[]_{p}^{+}(M)$ depends only on traces of C under $V_{5}^{(1)} V_{5}^{(4)}$ and $V_{5}^{(2)} V_{5}^{(3)}$. The form er is in [13] identied with the integral sem is in ple reduction of the Reshetikhin Turaev theory V_{5}^{RT} and in plies (3).

7. Johnson-Morita Extensions

As before we consider for $H = H_1()$ a standard basis $fa_1; :::;a_g;b_1; :::;b_gg$ that is symplectic with respect to the standard skew form (;) and orthonormal with respect to the inner form h;i. Let J 2 Sp(2g;Z) be the special element de ned by $Ja_i = b_i$ and $Jb_i = a_i$ so that hx;yi = (x;Jy) and $Jg^{-1}J^{-1} = g$. Also denote by $! = {}^{j}a_j \wedge b_j$ the standard invariant 2-form.

For any x 2 V_m H we can now de neadegree m map (x) V_{\downarrow} H ! V_{+m} H by (x) $y = x^y$. From this we de near other map as (x) = (Jx) : H ! H of degree m.

Lem m a 15 The m aps and have the following properties:

1. Covariance: $g(x)g^{1} = (gx)$ and $g(x)g^{1} = (gx)$ for all $x 2^{V}$ H and g 2 Sp(2g;Z). 2. Hom on orphy: $(x \land y) = (x)$ (y) and $(x \land y) = (y)$ (x). 3. Generators: (!) = E and (!) = F so that E; (x)] = F; (x)] = 0 for all $x 2^{V}$ H. 4. Anticom mutator: (x) (y) + (y) (x) = (x;y)I for all x;y 2 H :. 5. Com mutators: E; (x)] = (x) and F; (x)] = (x) for x 2 H : Proof: C ovariance for is obvious. For considerg $(x)g^{1} = (g^{-1}(Jx)g) = (g^{-1}Jx) = (Jgx) = (gx)$. A lso hom on orphy is obvious and the fact that (!) = E follows by de nition. This implies the zero-commutators since ! is even, hence central, and F = E. The anticommutator relation is readily translated to (x)(y) + (y)(x) = hx; yiI. As a symmetric bilinear relation vit suges to prove this for a system of two orthonormal vectors v and w. Then H = L $L^{?}$, where L is the space spanned by v and w and L? its orthogonal complement. Clearly, (v) and (w) act only on the $L = (Z^{2})^{2}$ with basis f1; v; w; v^w g = fe e; e, e; e e; e, e; e, e; e, e; e, e g. In this form the operators take on the form (v) = E I and (w) = H E, where the segmenators E and H act as usual. The relation follows now from HE + EH = 0 and E E + EE = FE + EF = I. The commutators follow by direct calculation. E $(x) = {}_{P}(!)(x) = {}_{I}(a_i)(a_i)(a_i)(x; b_i) = {}_{I}(a_i)(x; b_$

Equipped with these relations we can now construct m aps between the Sp (2g; Z)-representation spaces from previous sections.

Lem m a 16 The map restricts and factors into an Sp(2g;Z)-covariant map

$$: \frac{V_{m}}{H} + I \quad H \text{ om } (V_{Z}^{(j)}(); V_{Z}^{(j+m)}()):$$

M or sover, for any x 2 $V_m^{\rm H}$ We have that

$$(x): im (E^{l+m}) ! im (E^{l}):$$
 (58)

Proof: Recall that $V^{(j)}(_g) = \ker(F) \setminus V^{(g_j+1)}H$. Now (x) commutes with F and thus maps ker(F) to itself and thus by counting degrees to $V^{(j+m)}(_g) = \ker(F) \setminus V^{(g_j m+1)}H$. Moreover, $(! \land x) = (x)$ (!) = (x)F = 0 if restricted to ker(F). By the hom on orphism property it su ces to show the second relation for m = 1. In this case $(x)E^{-l+1}z = E^{-l+1}(x)z + (l+1)E^{-1}(x)z 2$ im (E^{-l}) by iteration of the commutator relation.

Consider now the following family of extensions of the sym plectic group de ned as a semidirect product. $_{\rm V}$

$$JM_{a}(m;g) = \frac{1}{a} \frac{V_{m}^{m}H}{! V_{m}^{2}H} \circ Sp(2g;Z)$$
(59)

Proposition 13 For a 6 0m odp we have well de ned representations of JMa (m;g) on

$$U_{p}^{(j)}$$
 (m;g) = $V_{p}^{(j)}$ (g) $V_{p}^{(j+m)}$ (g)

which decomposes as indicated if restricted to Sp(2g;Z) and is given by if restricted to the abelian part. For j km odp with 0 < k < p m and using the notation from (42) we have that $U_p^{(j)}(m;g) = V_p^{(j)}(_g) V_p^{(j+m)}(_g)$ is a proper submodule with subquotient

$$\vec{U}_{p}^{(j)}(m;g) = \vec{V}_{p}^{(j)}(q) \quad \vec{V}_{p}^{(j+m)}(q) = U_{p}^{(j)}(m;g) \quad U_{p}^{(j)}(m;g) :$$
(60)

Proof: In general if V, W and M are G-m odules, and :M ! Hom (V; W) a covariant map, we construct a module V W of M o G by letting (m;g) act on the sum V W by the block matrix $\begin{array}{c} g & 0 \\ m \end{array}$ so that W V W is a submodule with subquotient V. Thus the map (m)g g from Lemma 16 de nessuch a module for JM₁ (m;g) over Z. In the F_p-reduction a is invertible so that can be extended to JM_a (m;g).

By exactness of the sequences in (22) we have that $V_p^{(j)}(_g) = V_p^{(j)}(_g) \setminus \text{im} (\mathbb{E}^{p-k})$. Thus by (58) of Lemma 16 we have that $(x)(V_p^{(j)}(_g)) = V_p^{(j+m)}(_g) \setminus \text{im} (\mathbb{E}^{p-k-m}) = V_p^{(j+m)}(_g)$. This implies, by construction, that $V_p^{(j)}(_g) = V_p^{(j+m)}(_g)$ is indeed a submodule.

Note that for 0 < k < p the factors in (60) are irreducible. We also write

$$\overline{\overline{U}}_{p}^{(j)}(m;g) = \overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(j)}(g) \quad \overline{\overline{V}}_{p}^{(j+m)}(g):$$
(61)

The case that is topologically relevant is m = 3. For this case M orita constructed in [21] a hom on orphism \tilde{K} : $_{g}$! JM $_{2}$ (3;g) on the mapping class group $_{g}$. Its kernel is the group K_{g} generated by bounding cycles and its restriction to the Torelli group coincides with the Johnson hom on orphism $_{2}$: I_g ! $\frac{_{H}}{_{H}}$ from [9].

Theorem 14 (Johnson [9], M orita [21]) There is a nite index subgroup Q_g JM₂(3;g) = $\frac{1}{2} \frac{H}{H}$ o Sp(2g;Z), and hom on orphism s ₂ and \tilde{K} such that the following diagram is commutative with all rows and the last column exact.



C om bining this result with P roposition 13 now yields T heorem 3, where we denoted the special module $\overline{U}_{p}^{(j)}(_{g}) = \overline{U}_{p}^{(j)}(3;g)$. Note, that we obtain from the sequences in (22) similar resolutions. Particularly, for g 0, p 5 a prime and 0 < k < p 3 there is an exact sequence of m aps of F_{p} -m odules as follow s.

where now $k_i = k$ for is even, and $k_i = p$ i 3 if is odd.

The maps are alternatingly given by $E^k = E^{k+3}$ and $E^{p-k} = E^{p-k-3}$. Note, however, that the module extensions work alternatingly in opposite ways so that the maps cannot be Q_g -equivariant. It is true that by setting $\tilde{R}(g) = R(\hat{J}g^{-1}\hat{J}^{-1})$, where \hat{J}^2_{-g} is a representative of

the J 2 Sp(2g;Z) above we can reverse the exact sequences that de ne an extension. Yet, even by ipping every second extension in (62) still does not yield equivariant m aps.

8.0 pen Questions

- 1. W hat is the precise submodule structure of the V_p^(j)? To answer this question the results from [16] should be combined with the sp(2g;Z) and handle mappings between the Specht modules as worked out explicitly in Lemma 5 of Section 3.
- 2. A re there generalizations to the resolutions in Corollary 6 to general Young diagram s? Schur W eyl duality suggests to use an analogous sl(n;Z) action, identify Specht m odules as lowest weight spaces, and attempt to de ne di erential operators between di erent m odules from the Borel algebra generators $E_i 2 sl(n;Z)$. Such m aps and generalized resolutions should yields m any m ore character form ulae.
- 3. Is there an independent proof of exactness? One should note that we the property of the modular structure from [16] that we really used was that the heads of of some representations are irreducible, in plying maximal elements and cyclicity. It would be intersting to use this more directly, possibly by employing also the operators ¹/_{k!} E^k that are well de ned for all k 0 on the lattices, though they do not map ker (F)'s to each other are likely to play a rôle. Note also that similar such resolutions are of intertest in the representation theory of p-adic groups and quantum groups.
- 4. A re there TQFT extensions for all of the $\overline{U}_{p}^{(j)}(g)$ and $U_{Z}^{(j)}(g)$ modules? There are two principal approaches of constructing these. One is to attempt to enlarge the gauge group used by Frohm an and N icas from U (1) to a continuous group G for which $G^{0} = [G;G] \in 0$ but $[G^{0};G] = 0$ or at least $[G^{0};G^{0}] = 0$ such as the H eisenberg group or the group of upper triangular 3 3-m atrices. The representations of the surface groups = 1() will factor then through $N = \frac{1}{[; 0]}$, which is the natural space for the M orita extension Q_{g} to act on, see [21]. The obvious di culty is that such groups are non-com pact so that intersection hom ology is not well de ned. The other line of approach is to consider deform ations of the algebra N considered in [12] that would lead to non-trivial contributions of the Torelli groups.
- 5. W hat are the constant order contributions of the Reshetikhin Turaev TQFT's for other roots of unity? We noted in Section 4 that the dimensions of these vector spaces grow as $k\mathbb{F}_{p}k^{g}$ for large g, where $k\mathbb{F}_{p}k = \frac{p}{4\sin^{2}(r)}$, but the dimensions of the modular components kf_pk^g , where $kf_pk = 4\cos^2(\frac{1}{2p})$. For example, for p = 7 these are dimensional event only with num bers but they are related by $k\mathbf{F}_7 \mathbf{k} = 2k\mathbf{f}_7 \mathbf{k}^2$ 7kffk + 7. A way of accounting for quadratic terms is to take tensor products of TQFT's. These will lead to Sp(2g;Z)representations that are no longer of fundam ental weight, and also will in general have more components in the modular than the in the integral case. Integer factor can be accounted for by tensoring with TQFT's, C_n, for the simple ob jects of the underlying category are all invertible form ing the cyclic group Z = nZ. We thus expect that the constant order of the Reshetikhin Turaev TQFT at a 7-th root of unity is described as a sub and/or quotient TQFT of som e C₂ $\overline{V}_{7}^{(\#)}$, where the # indicate any linear combination of degrees. In general, $k\mathbb{F}_p k$ is a degree $\frac{p-3}{2}$ polynom ial in $k\mathbb{F}_p k$ with integer coe cients, which gives som e indication as to how this generalizes to higher primes p.

- 6. How do the tensor product TQFT's decompose? This questions is closely related to the previous one. Since the Frohm an N icas theories yield Sp(2g;Z)-representations for all fundam entalweights we expect the ring of TQFT's generated by them via tensoring, sum m ing and decom posing to contain all possible m odular irreducible Sp(2g;Z)-representations that com e from heighest weight representations.
- 7. How are lowest orders of the Reshetikhin Turaev Invariants related to Torsion coe cients? This considers generalizations of the formula in (3). A ssum ing that the constant order RT-TQFT's are obtained from tensor products from the p-m odular Jacobian TQFT's we expect by taking traces to nd corresponding polynom ial expressions in the coe cients of the A lexander Polynom ial.
- 8. W hich modular parts of the A lexander P olynom ial are independent of the choice of a covering, i.e., 1-cocycle, and why? The observation m ade in (3) derived form the independence of the R eshetikhin Turaev invariant is expected to have generalizations. Since such independence theorem s are form ulated without any reference to quantum topology it is a nearby task to try to nd independent proof entirely within the language of classical algebraic topology. Interpretations in term s of cyclic covering spaces, generalized signature defects, etc. would be quite useful.
- 9. Which criteria for 3-manifold groups can be derived from that? As already noted in the introduction the A lexander Polynom ial can be computed for ant group G with 1-cocycle :G !! Z. Thus independence of of the x²-coe cient in (3) can be thought of as a condition on G in order for it to be 3-m anifold group G = 1 M). Typically, this criterion allow sus to exclude direct sum s of groups with su ciently di erent A lexander Polynom ials as 3-m anifold groups, but applies also to more subtle exam ples. Details will be worked out in separate work.
- 10. W hat is the relation with other TQFT constructions that relate to the A lexander Polynom ial and Torsion? Among these are the generalizations of Frohm an and Nicas to PSU (n)-theories [4], and constructions by Donaldson [1] that universally reduce to the A lexander Polynom ial, or polynom ial expressions in its coe cients despite rather intricate non-abelian C asson-type constructions. This is som ewhat parallel to what we expect for the constant order expansion of the R eshetikhin Turaev invariants as outlined above.
- 11. W hat are the possible \deform ations" of these m cdular TQFT's? Essentially what we mean is a classi cations of TQFT's over $R_{p;l} = F_p[x] = x^{l+1}$ for l < p such that their reduction under $R_{p;l}$! $R_{p;0} = F_p$ is one of the given modular TQFT's. The Reshetikhin Turaev theory is in this sense an example of a deform ation under the reduction Z[p]! $F_p[p] = R_{p;p-2}$ mapping the root of unity to p? 1+x. The rigidity of the Sp(2g;Z)-representation theory and its actions on the lower parts of the ltrations of the mapping class groups appear to impose string constraints on the space of possible deform ations.
- 12. W hat are connections to the universal nite type TQFT's? The TQFT's discussed here represent the Torelli groups non-trivially and thus have some overlap with the lowest orders of the TQFT extensions of [23] to hom ologically trivial cobordisms the fam ous LMO invariant from [17]. Sim ilarly, we can consider deform ations of the modular TQFT's as described previously and compare them up to higher orders of the nite type theory. These connections should shed some light on possible modular reductions of the nite type theories that have a chance to be extended to a hom ologically non-trivial theory.

B ibliography

- [1] Donaldson, S.K.: Topological eld theories and formulae of Casson and Meng-Taubes. Proceedings of the Kirbyfest.Geom.Topol.2 (1999) 87{102 (electronic).
- [2] Frohlich, J., Kerler, T.: Quantum groups, quantum categories and quantum eld theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1542 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] Frohm an, C., Nicas, A.: The A lexander Polynom ial via topological quantum eld theory. Di erential Geometry, Global Analysis, and Topology, Canadian M ath. Soc. Conf. Proc. Vol. 12, Am er. M ath. Soc. Providence, RI, (1992) 27{40.
- [4] Frohm an, C., Nicas, A.: An intersection hom ology invariant for knots in a rational hom ology 3-sphere. Topology 33 (1994) no. 1, 123–158.
- [5] G ilm er, P .: Integrality for TQFT's. Preprint 2001. math.QA/0105059.
- [6] Gilmer, P., Kerler, T.: Cut Numbers via Quantum Orders for p = 5. (in preparation)
- [7] Goodman, R., Wallach, N.R.: Representations and invariants of the classical groups. Encyclopedia of M athematics and its Applications 68 C am bridge University P ress, 1998.
- [8] Jam es, G D .: The representation theory of the sym m etric groups. Lecture N otes in M athem atics 682 Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [9] Johnson, D.: An abelian quotient of the mapping class group I_g. M ath. Ann. 249 (1980), no. 3, 225{242.
- [10] Kerler, T.: On the connectivity of cobordisms and half-projective TQFT's. Commun. M ath. Phys. 198 No.3 (1998) 535-590.
- [11] Kerler, T., Lyubashenko, V.V.: Non-sem isim ple topological quantum eld theories for 3m anifolds with corners. Lecture Notes in M athem atics 1765, xi+ 376 pp.. Springer Verlag 2001.
- [12] Kerler, T.: Homology TQFT's and the Alexander-Reidem eister Invariant of 3-M anifolds via HopfAlgebras and Skein Theory". 50 pages 38 gures.math.GT/0008204
- [13] Kerler, T.: On the Structure of Fibonacci TQFT's. (in preparation).
- [14] K leshchev, A .: C om pletely splittable representations of sym m etric groups. J. A lgebra 181 (1996), no. 2, 584 (592.
- [15] Kricker, A., Spence, B.: Ohtsuki's invariants are of nite type. J. Knot Theory Rami cations 6 (1997), no. 4, 583 (597.

- [16] K leshchev, A.S., Sheth, J.: O n extensions of sim ple m odules over sym m etric and algebraic groups. J. A lgebra 221 (1999), no. 2, 705 [722.
- [17] Le, T.T.Q., Murakami, J., Ohtsuki, T.: On a universal perturbative invariant of 3manifolds. Topology 37 (1998), no. 3, 539(574.
- [18] Masbaum, G.; Roberts, J.D.: A simple proof of integrality of quantum invariants at prime roots of unity. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 121 (1997), no. 3, 443 (454.
- [19] M athieu, O .: On the dimension of some m odular irreducible representations of the symm etric group. Lett. M ath. Phys. 38 (1996), no. 1, 23{32.
- [20] M orita, S.: Casson's invariant for hom ology 3-spheres and characteristic classes of surface bundles. I. Topology 28 (1989), no. 3, 305{323.
- [21] Morita, S.: The extension of Johnson's hom om orphism from the Torelli group to the mapping class group. Invent. M ath. 111 (1993), no. 1, 197{224.
- [22] M urakam i, H.: Q uantum SO (3)-invariants dom inate the SU (2)-invariant of Casson and W alker. M ath. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 117 (1995), no. 2, 237{249.
- [23] M urakam i, J., Ohtsuki, T.: Topological quantum eld theory for the universal quantum invariant. Comm. M ath. Phys. 188 (1997), no. 3, 501 [520.
- [24] Ohtsuki, T.: A polynom ial invariant of rational hom ology 3-spheres. Invent. M ath. 123 (1996), no. 2, 241{257.
- [25] Reshetikhin, N.Yu., Turaev, V.G.: Invariants of 3-m anifolds via link polynom ials and quantum groups. Invent. M ath. 103 (1991), 547 (597.
- [26] Ryba, A.J.E.: Fibonacci representations of the symmetric groups. J. Algebra 170 (1994), no. 2, 678 (686.

The Ohio State University, Department of Mathematics, 231 W est 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, U.S.A. E-mail: kerler@math.ohio-state.edu