# THE GENERALIZED CAYLEY MAP FROM AN ALGEBRAIC GROUP TO ITS LIE ALGEBRA

Bertram Kostant, Peter W . M ichor

February 8, 2002

For A lexandre K irillov, on the occasion of his 65-th anniversary

Abstract. Each in nitesim ally faithful representation of a reductive com plex connected algebraic group G induces a dom inant morphism from the group to its Lie algebra q by orthogonal projection in the endomorphism ring of the representation space. The map identies the eld Q (G) of rational functions on G with an algebraic extension of the eld Q(g) of rational functions on g. For the spin representation of Spin(V) the map essentially coincides with the classical Cayley transform. In general, properties of are established and these properties are applied to deal with a separation of variables (Richardson) problem for reductive algebraic groups: Find Harm (G) so that for the coordinate ring A (G) of G we have A (G) = A (G)<sup>G</sup> Harm (G). As a consequence of a partial solution to this problem and a complete solution for SL (n) one has in general the equality  $[Q(G):Q(g)] = [Q(G)^G:Q(g)^G]$  of the degrees of extension elds. Among other results, yields (for the com plex case) a generalization, involving generic regular orbits, of the result of R ichardson showing that the Cayley map, when G is sem isim ple, de nes an isom orphism from the variety of unipotent elements in G to the variety of nilpotent elements in g. In addition if G is sem isimple the Cayley map establishes a di eom orphism between the real submanifold of hyperbolic elements in G and the space of in nitesim al hyperbolic elements in g. Some examples are computed in detail.

## Table of contents

1. Introduction	•	•	•	•	•	2
2. The generalized Cayley mapping and its basic properties	•	•	•	•	•	4
3. A separation of variables theorem for reductive algebraic groups	•	•	•	•	• 1	.0
4. The behavior of the Jordan decom position under the Cayley map		•	•	•	• 1	.3
5. The mapping degree of the Cayley mapping	•	•	•	•	• 1	.9
6. Examples	•	•	•	•	. 2	23
7. Spin representations and Cayley transform s	•	•	•	•	• 2	24
References	•	•	•	•	. 2	24

<sup>1991</sup> M athem atics Subject C lassi cation. 22E 50.

Key words and phrases. Cayley mapping for representations.

PW M was supported by Fonds zur Forderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, Projekt P 14195 M AT and W K DierentialEquations.

#### 1. Introduction

Let G be a connected complex reductive algebraic group and let g = Lie(G). To any rational locally faithful representation : G ! Aut(V) one can associate a dom inant m orphism

:G ! g

which (see example (3) below) we refer to as a generalized C ayley map. If  ${}^{0}$ :g! End (V) is the dimensional of the bilinear form (;) = tr() on End (V) de nes a projection pr : End (V)!  ${}^{0}$ (g). The generalized C ayley map arises from the restriction of pr to (G). This paper establishes a number of striking properties of the map .

The map is conjugation-equivariant and consequently carries conjugacy classes in G to adjoint orbits in g and the corresponding cohom om orphism de nes a conjugation-equivariant injection

of a ne rings. On the level of quotient elds de nes Q (G) as a nite algebraic extension of Q (g). We will write deg for the degree of this extension.

Exam ples.

- (1) G = Gl(n;C), is the standard representation, deg() = 1.
- (2) G = Sl(n;C), is the standard representation, deg () = n, see (6.2).
- (3) G = Spin(n;C), is the Spin representation, deg() = n for n even and deg() = n 1 for n odd, see (7.15).

Theorem . (7.15) In the above example (3) the map is, on a Zariski open subset and up to scalar multiplication, given by the Cayley transform .

Let  $A(G)^G$  and  $A(g)^G$  be the subalgebras of G-invariants in A(G) and A(g) respectively. The space Harm (g) A(g) of harm onic polynom ials on g was de ned in [9] and the decomposition

(4) 
$$A(g) = A(g)^{G} \quad \text{Harm } (g)$$

was proved in [9]. As a generalization of (4), using Q uillen's proof of a conjecture of Serre, R ichardson in [16] proved that a G-stable subspace H of A (G) exists such that

(5) 
$$A(G) = A(G)^{G} H$$

holds. He also raised the question as to whether one can give an explicit construction of such a subspace H along the lines of (4). A lthough this problem is not solved in the present paper we do solve a weakened version of the problem. Let be given and let Sing G be the subvariety of G where the di erential d is not invertible. Then Sing G is a hypersurface given as the zero set of the Jacobian  $2 \text{ A (G)}^{\text{G}}$  of the mapping , see (2.1.2). Let Harm (G) = (Harm (g)). We localize with respect to and prove the following

Theorem . (3.2) One has

(6) 
$$A(G) = A(G)^{G}$$
 Harm (G):

The statem ent without localization,

(7) 
$$A(G) = A(G)^{G}$$
 Harm (G);

holds if and only if maps regular orbits to regular orbits. This is the case in example (2).

M oreover (6) readily induces the following

C orollary. (3.3) For the G-equivariant extension of the rational function elds :Q (g) ! Q (G) the degrees satisfy

Let U G be the unipotent variety and N g the nilcone. If G is sem isim ple and is suitable then R ichardson and B ardsley in [1] used , even in the nite characteristic case (for good primes), to establish that

is an isom orphism of algebraic varieties. We consider here the complex case and generalize this for reductive algebraic groups to

Theorem . (4.5) Let a 2 G be regular and assume that d (a) is invertible. Then restricts to an isomorphism of a nevarieties

$$(9) \qquad : C \operatorname{onj}_{G}(a) ! A d_{G}((a)):$$

Note that (8) is certainly not an isom orphism in Example (1).

Any a 2 G has a (multiplicative) Jordan decom position  $a = a_s a_u$  where  $a_s$  and  $a_u$  are respectively the sem isimple and unipotent components of a. Analogously any X 2 g has an (additive) Jordan decom position  $X = X_s + X_n$  where  $X_s$  and  $X_n$  are the sem isimple and nilpotent components. In contrast to (8) the map always carries sem isimple elements to sem isimple elements. In fact one has

Theorem . (4.11) For any a 2 G one has

(10) 
$$(a_s) = (a)_s$$
:

An element a 2 G is called elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) if a is sem is in ple and the eigenvalues of (a) are of norm 1 (resp. positive) for all . Expanding the multiplicative Jordan decom position, every element a 2 G has a unique decom position

$$a = a_e a_h a_u$$

where  $a_e$  and  $a_h$  are respectively elliptic and hyperbolic and all three components commute. We will say that a is of positive type if  $a_e$  is the identity. Let  $G_{pos}$  be

the space of all elements of positive type. A nalogously an element X 2 g is called elliptic (resp. hyperbolic) if X is sem is in ple and the eigenvalues of  $^{0}(X)$  are pure in aginary (resp. real) for all . Expanding the additive Jordan decomposition every element X 2 g has a unique decomposition

(12) 
$$X = X_e + X_h + X_n$$

where  $x_e$  and  $x_h$  are respectively elliptic and hyperbolic and all three components commute. We will say that X is of real type if  $X_e = 0$ . Let  $g_{real}$  be the space of all elements of real type in g. Given let  $G_{nonsing}$  be the (Zariski open) complement of  $G_{sing}$  in G.

Theorem . (5.5) If a 2 G is hyperbolic then (a) is hyperbolic. Furtherm one one has  $G_{pos}$   $G_{nonsing}$  and, extending (8), one has ( $G_{pos}$ )  $g_{real}$  and in fact

is a di eom orphism. In particular de nes a bijection of the set of all hyperbolic elem ents in G to the set of all hyperbolic elem ents in g.

O by ious questions arise with regard to the restriction of the generalized C ayley m ap to subgroups of G . In this connection one readily establishes

Theorem . (2.6) (2.7) W e have

$$X = X$$

in the following cases: If K is any reductive subgroup of a reductive G which is the connected centralizer of a subset A G.Or if K is a subgroup corresponding to a simple ideal in the Lie algebra of a sem isim ple group G.

Thanks. We thank N.W allach, V.L.Popov, T.A.Springer, D.Luna, D.V.Alekseevsky, J.Hofbauer, and E.Vinberg for helpful comments.

2. The generalized Cayley mapping and its basic properties

2.1. The setting. Let G be a (real or com plex) Lie group with Lie algebra g, and let :G ! Aut(V) be a nite dimensional representation with  $^{0}$ :g ! End(V) injective. W e say that G adm its a Cayley mapping if the inner product (A;B) 7 tr(AB) on End(V) restricts to a non-degenerate inner product B on  $^{0}$ (g). Thus the orthogonal projection pr :End(V)!  $^{0}$ (g) is well de ned. W e consider the real analytic mapping

(1) = :G ! g given by  $^{0}$  = pr :G ! End(V) !  $^{0}$ (g); B ( (g);X) = tr( $^{0}$ ( (g))  $^{0}$ (X)) = tr( (g)  $^{0}$ (X)); g 2 G;X 2 g;

which we call the generalized Cayley mapping of the representation  $\cdot$ . The choice of the name is justiled by the fact that for the Spin representation of Spin(n) on  $C^n$  it coincides, up to a scalar, with the Cayley transform, see (7.15).

By d =  $pr_2$  T :TG ! Tg = g g ! g we denote the dimension of , so that d (g) =  $pr_2$  T<sub>g</sub> :T<sub>g</sub>G ! g. Let X<sub>1</sub>;:::;X<sub>n</sub> be a linear basis of g and let  $L_{X_1}$ ;:::;L<sub>X<sub>n</sub></sub> be the corresponding left invariant vector elds on G. Let

(2) 
$$(g) = (g) := \det(d(g)); g 2 G$$

be the C ayley determ inant function of the representation , where the determ inant is computed with respect to the bases  $L_{X_i}$  (g) of  $T_g G$  and  $X_i$  of g, respectively. Note that does not depend on the choice of the basis (X<sub>i</sub>) of g. W e get the function multiplied by the modular function if we choose right invariant vector elds.

In the following we shall use the notation :  $G \in G$  for the multiplication, (g;h) = gh =  $_{g}(h) = {}^{h}(g)$  for left and right translations,  $T(_{g})$  and  $T(_{h})$  for the corresponding tangent m appings.

# 2.2. Proposition. In the following cases the in nitesimally faithful representation :G ! Aut(V) admits a Cayley mapping:

- (1) if G is a reductive complex Lie group and is a holom orphic representation.
- (2) if G is a real reductive Lie group and is a real or complex representation which m aps each element in the connected center to a sem isim ple transform ation (in the complexi cation of V). In particular if G is a real compact Lie group.

By a real reductive Lie group we mean one where the complexi cation of the Lie algebra is reductive. For abelian Lie groups there are representations acting by unipotent matrices only, and we have to exclude these.

Proof. (1) A connected reductive complex Lie group G has a compact real form, so the Lie algebra g of G is the complexi cation of the Lie algebra k of a maxim al compact subgroup K  $G_{\circ}$ . Since  ${}^{0}$ :g! End (V) is complex linear it su ces to show that the trace form is non-degenerate on  ${}^{0}(k)$ . Let us choose a K -invariant H erm itian product (; ) on V by integration. Then  ${}^{0}(X)$  is skew H erm itian with respect to this inner product for X 2 k, so 0 ( ${}^{0}(X)v$ ;  ${}^{0}(X)v$ ) = ( ${}^{0}(X)^{2}v$ ; v) and  ${}^{0}(X)^{2}$  is negative de nite H erm itian, so tr( ${}^{0}(X)^{2}$ ) is the sum of the negative eigenvalues of  ${}^{0}(X)^{2}$ . Thus B is non-degenerate on k.

(2) The trace form is non-degenerate on the sem isimple part of g, and on the center since it is mapped to sem isimple endom orphisms. For a compact group one can repeat the argument from the proof of (1) with a G-invariant positive de nite inner product on V.

2.3. R em ark. M ost of the time (when not stated explicitly otherwise) G will denote a connected reductive complex algebraic group and will be a rational representation; in particular in sections (4), (3), and (7) below.

Note that the center cof the Lie algebra g then belongs to any Cartan subalgebra, and its Lie group (the connected center) to the corresponding Cartan subgroup.

2.4. P roposition. Let G be a complex or realLie group and let be the generalized Cayley mapping of a representation. The Cayley mapping has the following simple properties:

(1)  $C \text{ on } \mathbf{j}_b = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{d}_b$ 

.

(2) For all g 2 G we have (where  $Z_g (g^g)$  denotes the centralizer of  $g^g = fX 2 g : A d_g (X) = X g in g$ )

$$G^{g} G^{(g)}; g^{a} g^{(g)};$$
  
( $G^{g}$ )  $g^{g};$  (g) 2 Cent( $g^{g}$ )  $Z_{g}(g^{g}):$ 

M or eover, if G is a connected reductive complex algebraic group then we even have  $C \operatorname{ent}(g^g) = Z_g(g^g)$ .

- (3) d (e) :g ! g is the identity mapping. Thus d (g) is invertible for g in the non-empty Zariski open dense subset fh 2 G : (h) € 0g of G, and is not invertible on the hypersurface fh 2 G : (h) = 0g.
- (4) is invariant under conjugation.
- (5) Let H G be a Cartan subgroup with Cartan Lie subalgebra h g. Then(H) h.
- (6) Let be the character of the representation , given by (g) = tr((g)). Then d  $(g)(T_e(_g)X) = tr(_0((g))_0(X)) = B ((g);X)$ .
- (7) The di evential d (g): $T_e(g)$ : X 2 g is given by the implicit equation tr( ${}^{0}(d (g)T_e(g)X) {}^{0}(Y)$ ) = tr((g)  ${}^{0}(X) {}^{0}(Y)$ ) for Y 2 g.
- (8) If (e) = 0 and a 2 G is such that (a) 2  $^{0}$ (g) then d (a  $^{1}$ ) is not invertible.

Proof. (1) follows by the invariance of the trace.

(2) M ost of it is obvious. If G is a connected reductive complex algebraic group. We claim that then g lies in the identity component of  $G^{g}$ ; this is immediate if g is sem isimple (see (4.1) below) since then g lies in a Cartan subgroup. Using the Jordan decomposition (see (2.6) below) it is true in general. But then if X 2 g commutes with  $g^{g}$  it commutes with g, hence X 2  $g^{g}$  and consequently X 2 Cent( $g^{g}$ ), establishing  $Z_{g}(g^{g}) = Cent(g^{g})$ .

- (3)  $T_e$  (G) =  $^0$ (g).
- (4) follows from (1) and the form of the determ inant (2.1.2).
- (5) Let a 2 H be regular in G so that  $G^a = H$  and  $g^a = h$ . Then use (2).
- (6) Insert the de nitions.
- (7) This follows from

$$tr( {}^{0}(d \quad (g):T_{e}(g):X) {}^{0}(Y)) = \frac{d}{dt}jtr( {}^{0}(gexp(tX))) {}^{0}(Y))$$
$$= \frac{d}{dt}jtr((gexp(tX)) {}^{0}(Y)) = \frac{d}{dt}jtr((g)(exp(tX)) {}^{0}(Y))$$
$$= tr((g) {}^{0}(X) {}^{0}(Y)):$$

(8) Take X 2 g with (a) =  ${}^{0}(X)$  so that (a  ${}^{1})$   ${}^{0}(X)$  = Id<sub>V</sub>. Then we have tr( (a  ${}^{1})$   ${}^{0}(X)$   ${}^{0}(Y)$ ) = tr(  ${}^{0}(Y)$ ) = 0 for all Y 2 g so that d (a  ${}^{1}$ ) has a non-trivial kernel by (7).

2.5. Proposition. Let G be a (realor com plex) Lie group and let : G ! Aut (V)

be a representation which adm its a Cayley mapping.

(1) For a 2 G we have

M oreover, if G is a reductive complex algebraic group and a is a sem isim ple element in G then we have

$$T_aG = T_a(Conj_c(a))$$
  $T_a(G^a);$   $g = [g; (a)]$   $g^{(a)}$ :

(2) Suppose that d (a) : T<sub>a</sub>G ! g is invertible for a 2 G. Then g<sup>a</sup> = g <sup>(a)</sup>. In particular, the G-orbit C on j<sub>c</sub> (a) of a in G has the same dimension as its -im age, Ad<sub>G</sub> ((a)); consequently, : C on j<sub>G</sub> (a) ! Ad<sub>G</sub> ((a)) is a covering. If in addition G is connected reductive and a is sem isim ple, then the centralizer G <sub>(a)</sub> is connected, so is a di eom orphism between the orbits.

Proof. (1) M ost of it follows easily from (2.4). Let us now suppose G is a reductive complex algebraic group and that a 2 G is sem is in ple (4.1) so that a is contained in a Cartan subgroup with Cartan Lie subalgebra h. By (2.4.5) we get (a) 2 h. We claim that then  $g = [g; (a)] g^{(a)}$ . By dimension it su cesto check that [g; (a)]g<sup>(a)</sup> = 0. This follows from the proot space decomposition: Put (a) = H 2 h, let Y = [X;H] 2 g<sup>H</sup>, let X = X\_0 + 2R X be the root space decomposition. Then Y = [X;H] = 0 + 2R (H) X and 0 = [Y;H] = 2R (H)^2 X whence either (H) = 0 or X = 0 so that Y = 0.

Similarly it su ces to see that  $g^a \setminus (Id Ad_a)g = 0$ . So let  $a = \exp(H)$  for some H 2 h and suppose that  $Y = X Ad_a X 2 g^a$ . Consider again the root space decomposition  $X = X_0 + {}_{2R} X$ . Then we get  $Y = X Ad_{\exp(H)} X = 0 + {}_{2R} (1 e^{(H)})X$  and  $0 = Y Ad_{\exp(H)} Y = {}_{2R} (1 e^{(H)})^2 X$  whence either 1  $e^{(H)} = 0$  or X = 0 so that Y = 0.

(2) By (2.4.2) we have  $g^{a}$   $g^{(a)}$ , so it su ces to prove the converse. Let X 2  $g^{(a)}$  so that [X; (a)] = 0. Then

d (a)T<sub>e</sub> (<sup>a</sup>) (X A d<sub>a</sub> X) = 
$$\frac{d}{dt}$$
 j (exp (tX) a exp (tX))  
=  $\frac{d}{dt}$  j A d<sub>exp (tX)</sub> (a) = [X; (a)] = 0

so that X  $Ad_a X = 0$  since d (a) is invertible. If G is connected reductive and a is sem isimple (see (4.1)) then by (2.4.5) (a) is also sem isimple, thus G <sup>(a)</sup> is connected.

2.6. Theorem . Let G be a (real or complex) Lie group and let :G ! Aut(V) be a representation which adm its a Cayley mapping. Let  $H = (_{a2A} G^{a})_{o} = (G^{A})_{o}$ G be a subgroup which is the connected centralizer of a subset A G and suppose that H is itself reductive.

Then the representation  $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}$  admits a Cayley mapping and we have

$$H = H H$$

Note that this result proves again (2.4.5) by choosing A the Cartan subgroup. If we choose A = G then H is the connected center of G. The assumption of this theorem holds in the following two cases:

- (1) If  $H = (G^{g})_{\circ}$  is the connected centralizer of a sem isimple element g in a complex algebraic group G (see (4.1) below), since then the connected centralizer ( $G^{g})_{\circ} = Z_{G}$  (g)<sub>o</sub> is again reductive, by Proposition 13.19 in [2] on p.321.
- (2) If  $H = (G^A)_o$  is the connected centralizer of a reductive subgroup A G of a complex reductive Lie group G, since it is well known that then H is itself reductive.

Proof. By (2.2.1) both Cayley mappings and  $_{jH}$  exist. By (2.1.2) we have (G<sup>a</sup>) q<sup>a</sup> for all a 2 A, thus also

(H) (G<sup>a</sup>) 
$$g^a = h$$
:  
a2A a2A

Moreover, for h 2 H and X 2 h we have

 $tr(^{0}((h)^{0}(X)) = tr((h)^{0}(X)) = tr(^{0}(_{j(G^{g})_{0}}(h)^{0}(X))):$ 

Thus (h) = H(h).

2.7. Theorem . Let G be a semisimple real or complex Lie group, let :G ! Aut(V) be an in nitesimally e ective representation. Let  $g = g_k$  be the decomposition into the simple ideals  $g_i$ . Let  $G_1$ ;:::; $G_k$  be the corresponding connected subgroups of G. Then

This result cannot be extended to reductive groups as the standard representation of  $GL_n$  shows where is the embedding  $GL_n ! gl_n$ .

Proof. Let  $g_1 \ 2 \ G_1$  and suppose that  $(g_1) = {P \atop i=1} {P \atop i=1} {(g_1)_i} \ 2 {L \atop i=1} {k \atop i=1} g_i$  with  $(g_1)_i \ \in \ 0 \ 2 \ g_i$  for some  $i \ \in \ 1$ . Then for each  $g_i \ 2 \ G_i$  we have

$$(g_1) = (g_1 g_1 g_1^{-1}) = A d_{g_1} (g_1)$$

so that  $(g_1)$  contains a nontrivial  $G_i$ -orbit which is absurd. This shows that  $(G_i)$   $g_j$  for  $j = 1; \dots; k. M$  oreover for  $g_j 2 G_j$  and  $X_j 2 g_j$  we have

$$tr(\ ^{0}(\ (g_{j}))\ ^{0}(X_{j})) = tr(\ (g_{j})\ ^{0}(X_{j})) = tr((\ jG_{j})^{0}(\ _{jG_{j}}(g_{j})(\ jG_{j})^{0}(X_{j}))$$

which implies the result.

2.8. Theorem . Let G be a simple real or complex Lie group, and let  $_i$ :G ! End (V<sub>i</sub>) be nontrivial representations for i = 1;2. The inner product B  $_i$  on g from (2.1) is a multiple of the Cartan K illing form B, we write B  $_i = j_i B$ . Then we have.

(1) For the direct sum representation  $_1$   $_2$  : G ! End (V<sub>1</sub> V<sub>2</sub>) we have

$$_{1} _{2} (g) = \frac{j_{1}}{j_{1} _{2}} _{1} (g) + \frac{j_{2}}{j_{1} _{2}} _{2} (g) 2 g:$$

(2) For the tensor product representation  $_1$   $_2$ : G! End (V<sub>1</sub> V<sub>2</sub>) we have

$$\int_{1} \int_{2} (g) = \frac{j_{1} \int_{2} (g)}{j_{1} \int_{2} (g)} \int_{1} (g) + \frac{j_{1} (g)j_{2}}{j_{1} \int_{2} (g) 2 g}$$

(3) For the n-fold tensor product representation  $^{n}$  :G ! End (  $^{n}V$  ) we have

$$_{n}$$
 (g) =  $\frac{(g)}{\dim (V)}$   $^{n-1}$  (g):

(4) For the contragredient representation  $^{>}$  :G ! End (V ) given by  $^{>}$  (g) = (g  $^{1}$ )  $^{>}$  we have

 $(g^{1}) = (g^{1}):$ 

For a complex simple Lie group the number j $_i$  is called the D ynkin index of the representation  $_i$ . It is a non-negative integer and satisfies

(5)  

$$j_{1} = j_{1} + j_{2};$$

$$j_{1} = \dim (V_{2})j_{1} + \dim (V_{1})j_{2};$$

$$j = \frac{\dim (V)}{\dim (q)}B(; + );$$

for an irreducible representation with highest weight , where is half the sum of all positive roots. This is due to Dynkin [4] and can be found in [5], p.100. U sing this, equation (2) becomes

Proof. (1), (2) and (4) are easy computations.

(3) By induction and (5) we check that  $j_n = n \dim (V)^{n-1} j$  which via (2) leads quickly to the result.

2.9. P roposition. For the Cayley mapping of a rational representation of a connected reductive complex algebraic group G, the pullback mapping : A (g) = S (g) ! A (G) between the algebras of regular functions is injective, equivariant, and maps the subalgebras of invariant regular functions to each other, : A (g)<sup>G</sup> ! A (G)<sup>G</sup>. Consequently, : G ! g is a dominant algebraic morphism. By the algebraic P eter-W eyl theorem we have A (G) =  $_{2D}$  A where D is the set of all dom inant integral highest weights, and where

$$A = ff 2 A (G) : f(g) = tr((g)B) for som e B 2 End (V)g$$

For an irreducible representation we thus have (g) A where is the highest weight of .

Proof. We prove the last statement. Let be the highest weight of . A is a vector space of dimension  $(\dim (V))^2$  of functions on G. Take X 2 g and consider the function f 2 A given by  $f(g) = tr((g)^0(X))$ . Then f = (B(;X)) where B  $(;X)^2 g$ .

# 3. A separation of variables theorem for reductive algebraic groups

In this section G is a connected reductive complex algebraic group and is the Cayley mapping of a rational representation.

3.1. Rational vector elds on G. Let  $x_i : g ! C$  be the coordinate functions for the basis  $X_1; :::; X_n$  of g, and consider the constant vector elds  $\theta_{x_i} = \frac{\theta}{\theta_{x_i}}$ .

Theorem . Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation.

Then the pullback vector elds  $Y_i := 0_{x_i}$  for i = 1; :::; n are commuting vector elds with rational  $\infty$  cients on G. The elds  $Y_i$  are regular vector elds (with algebraic  $\infty$  cients). The elds  $Y_i$  induce an G-equivariant injective algebra hom on orphism from the symmetric algebra S g into the algebra of di erential operators on G with rational  $\infty$  cients with polar divisors contained in the hypersurface fh 2 G : (h) = 0g.

Proof. For a matrix A we denote by C (A) the classical adjoint or the matrix of algebraic complements which satis es A C (A) = C (A) A = det(A): Id. Applying this to d (g) we can write the pullback elds as

These are well de ned algebraic vector elds on the Zariski open set f  $\notin$  0g and are -related to the constant elds  $\emptyset_{x_i}$  on g, thus they commute.

3.2. Invariants and harm onic functions. For the algebra of regular functions we have A (g) = A (g)<sup>G</sup> Harm (g) by [9], theorem 0.2., where the space Harm (g) is by de nition the space of all regular functions which are killed by all invariant di erential operators with constant coe cients. We de ne Harm (G) = (Harm (g)). It is a G-m odule. Let us denote by A (G) the localization at .

Theorem . Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation. Then

$$A(G) = A(G)^G$$
 Harm (G):

M oreover, we have

if and only if : G ! g m aps regular orbits in G to regular orbits in g.

Note that for the standard representation of  $SL_n(C)$  the Cayley mapping carries regular orbits to regular orbits, see (6.2) below. In general this is wrong. If there exists a closed orbit  $O = Conj_c(a)$  in G for a in a Cartan subgroup H, such that dim ((O)) < dim (O) then there exists already a regular orbit with that property, Ad(G)(au) g where u is a principal unipotent element in  $(G^a)_o$ , see (4.8.3). The orbits through

diag 
$$(1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1) \ge SO(8) \setminus sO(8)$$

have this property.

Proof. By [15], theorem A, we have

(1) 
$$A(G) = A(G)^G H$$

for som eG-submodule H of A (G). Then H is by restriction isomorphic to the a nering A (Conj; (a)) for any regular orbit Conj; (a) in G.

Let be any highest weight of G such that the corresponding irreducible G - module V has a non-zero weight space  $V^0$  with weight 0 of dimension d(). Let H be the primary component of H of type V so that one has the direct sum

By [9], p 348, proposition 8, the multiplicity of V in H is d(), since H restricts bijectively to any regular orbit in G; see also [15], theorem A. Thus we may write as a direct sum

(2) 
$$H = \int_{j=1}^{M^{(j)}} H^{j}$$

where H  $^{\rm j}$  is irreducible and hence equivalent to V .

Now let Hamm (G) be the primary component of the G-module Hamm (G) = (Hamm (g)) A (G) of type V . By [9] the multiplicity of V in Hamm (G) is again d() so that we have

(3) Harm (G) = 
$$\operatorname{Harm}_{j=1}^{M^{()}}$$
 Harm (G)<sup>j</sup>

where each Harm (G)<sup>j</sup> is an irreducible G-submodule of A (G) = A (G)<sup>G</sup> H of highest weight and hence equivalent to V. Thus there exists a (d() d())-matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$  with entries in A (G)<sup>G</sup> so that

(4) 
$$H \text{ arm } (G)^{i} = \bigwedge_{j=1}^{N^{(i)}} s_{ij} H^{j}:$$

O ne would like to replace the abstract G -m odule H by the equivalent and explicit G -m odule H arm (G). For that one needs that the matrix S is invertible in A (G)<sup>G</sup>. The determ inant det(S) is non-zero in A (G)<sup>G</sup> by the independence in (2) and (3). O ne would need that det(S) is a constant. Let Z = Zero (det(S)) be the zero set of det(S). By Steinberg [18], theorem 1.3, the set of irregular elements in G is of codim ension 3. Since det(S) 2 A (G)<sup>G</sup> its zero set Z contains full orbits and is of codim ension 1, so it is the union of the closures of all regular orbits O in Z. But

(O) cannot be a regular adjoint orbit in g since H arm (g) restrict faithfully to A (A d (G) X) for every regular orbit in g. Consequently, if one knew that carried each regular orbit to a regular orbit then Z was empty and hence det (S) a non-zero constant which proves part of the second assertion.

In the general case, if we localize A (G) and A (G)<sup>G</sup> at the function from (2.4), then we restrict to the Zariski open a ne subvariety G  $_{60}$  where does not vanish. But there is locally biholom orphic and thus carries regular orbits to regular orbits in g, see (2.5.2). Hence det (S) does not vanish on G  $_{60}$  and is thus invertible in A (G)<sup>G</sup>. Consequently we have A (G) = A (G)<sup>G</sup>: Harm (G).

It remains to prove that  $A(G) = A(G)^G$ : Harm (G) implies  $A(G) = A(G)^G$ Harm (G). Assume for contradiction that this is false. Then there exist linearly independent  $b_i 2$  Harm (G) and linearly independent  $a_i 2 A(G)^G$  for 1 i k such that  $_i a_i b_i = 0$ . Since  $G_{\notin 0}$  is Zariski open in G there exists a regular orbit  $\rho$  in  $G_{\# 0}$  such that  $a_i(g) \notin 0$  for all i and any g 2 0. On the other hand  $_i a_i b_i D = _i a_i(g) b_i D$  vanishes on 0. But (O) is a regular orbit in g. Let  $b_i = _i C_i$  for  $c_i 2$  Harm (g); then the  $c_i$  are linearly independent. Thus the vanishing of  $_i a_i(g) c_i$  on the regular orbit.

Finally, if we had A(G) = Harm(G)  $A(G)^G$  then Harm(G) = Harm(g)would restrict faithfully to each regular orbit in G. Since the same is true for Harm(g)) the mapping had to map regular orbits to regular orbits.

3.3. C orollary. Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation with (e) = 0.2 g.

Then for the G-equivariant extension of the rational function elds : Q(g) ! Q(G) the degrees satisfy

$$[Q (G) : Q (g)] = [Q (G)^G : Q (g)^G]:$$

See (6.2) below for the explicit extension in the case of the standard representation of SL  $_{\rm n}$  (C).

Proof. Note that  $Q(g)^G$  is the quotient eld of  $A(g)^G$ , and  $Q(G)^G$  is the quotient eld of  $A(G)^G$ . We have  $A(g) = A(g)^G$  Harm (g) by [9], theorem 0.2., and A(G) = $A(G)^G$  Harm (G) by (3.2), where Harm (G) := (Harm (g)) is isomorphic to Harm (g).

Let k = [Q (G); Q (g)]. Then any q 2 Q (G) satis es a unique monic polynom ialof degree k with coe cients in Q (g). Choose  $q 2 Q (G)^{G}$ . Then the coe cients must be in Q (g)<sup>G</sup> since otherwise by conjugating by an element in G we would obtain a new minim alpolynom ial which contradicts uniqueness. Thus  $[Q (G)^{G}; Q (g)^{G}]$  k.

On the other hand if  $m = [Q (G)^G; Q (g)^G]$  then there exists q in Q (G)<sup>G</sup> which satis as an equation of degree m over Q (g)<sup>G</sup> and Q (G)<sup>G</sup> = (Q (g)<sup>G</sup>) [q]. But A (G)<sup>G</sup> is contained in Q (G)<sup>G</sup>. Thus A (G) is contained in Q (g) [q] by (3.2). Hence the quotient eld Q (G) of A (G) is contained in Q (g) [q]. Thus m = k.

4. The behavior of the Jordan decomposition under the Cayley map

In this section G is a connected reductive complex algebraic group and is the generalized C ayley m apping of a rational representation.

4.1. The Jordan decom position. For references about the Jordan decom position (additive in the Lie algebra and multiplicative in the algebraic group) see [2] or chapter IV of [6]. In our case given a 2 G we write  $a_s$  for the sem isim ple part of a and  $a_u$  for the unipotent part of a so that  $a = a_s a_u = a_u a_s$ . Recall that sem isim ple means that  $a_s$  is G-conjugate to an element in the Cartan subgroup H and  $a_u$  unipotent means that  $a_u$  is conjugate to an element in the unipotent variety U. We shall use the decom position  $H = T H_R$  where T is a maximal torus. An element a 2 G is called elliptic if a is sem isim ple and the eigenvalues of (a) are of norm 1 for all ; equivalently, a is conjugated to an element in T. Likewise, an element a 2 G is called hyperbolic if a is sem isim ple and the eigenvalues of (a) are real for all ; or equivalently, if a is conjugated to to an element in  $H_R$ . Expanding the multiplicative Jordan decom position every element a 2 G has a unique decom position

where  $a_e$  and  $a_h$  are respectively elliptic and hyperbolic and all three components commute. We say that a is of positive type if  $a_e = e 2 G$ .

Analogously for X 2 g there is the unique (additive) Jordan decomposition  $X = X_s + X_n$  where  $[X_s; X_n] = 0$  and where  $X_s$  is sem isimple (conjugate to an element in h) and  $X_n$  is nilpotent (conjugate to an element in the nilcone N). Expanding the additive Jordan decomposition every element X 2 g has a unique decomposition

$$X = X_e + X_h + X_n$$

where  $X_e$  and  $X_h$  are respectively elliptic and hyperbolic and all three components commute. We will say that X is of real type if  $X_e = 0$ . Let  $g_{real}$  be the space of all elements of real type in g. For information on hyperbolic elements in algebraic groups see [11], especially Section 2 on p. 418.

4.2. Ad-complete modules. Let D h denote the set of dominant integral weights for G (relative to some xed Borel subgroup) and for each 2 D let :G ! AutV be a xed irreducible representation with highest weight .

A completely reducible G-module M will be said to Ad-complete if one has an equivalence

$$M = _{2D} \dim (V^{H}) V;$$

i.e.each irreducible component occurs with multiplicity equal to the dimension of its zero weight space.

Let  $\operatorname{Reg}(g)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Reg}(G)$ ) be the set of regular elements in g (respectively G. W e recall the following results.

4.3. Theorem . [9] For X 2 Reg(g) one has A (Ad<sub>G</sub> (X)) = A (Ad<sub>G</sub> (X)) and as a G-module A (Ad<sub>G</sub> (X)) is Ad-complete. Furthermore there exists a section Reg<sub>#</sub> (g) of the map Reg(g) ! Reg(g)=G which in addition has the property that

(1) 
$$\operatorname{Reg}_{\#}(g) ! C'; X ? (I_1(X); :::; I_{Y}(X))$$

is an algebraic isom orphism, where the  $I_k$  form a basis of A (g)<sup>G</sup>. Finally,

(2) 
$$g = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & \overline{A d_G} & (X) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a disjoint union.

Subsequently Steinberg proved the following group-theoretic analogue:

4.4. Theorem . [18] Assume G is simply-connected sem is imple. For a 2 Reg(G) one has

$$A(Conj_{G}(a)) = A(Conj_{G}(a))$$

and as a G -m odule A (C on  $j_{c}$  (a)) is A d-complete. Furtherm ore there exists a section  $Reg_{\#}$  (G) of the map Reg (G) ! Reg (G)=G which in addition has the property that

(1) 
$$\operatorname{Reg}_{\#}(G) ! C'; a ? (_1(a); ...; (a))$$

is an algebraic isomorphism . Here f  $\,_{\rm j}{\rm g}$  are the characters of the fundamental representations. Finally

(2) 
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & C \text{ on } j_{G} \text{ (a)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a dispint union.

4.5. Theorem . Let G be a reductive complex algebraic group and let : G ! Aut(V) be a locally faithful rational representation of G. Let a 2 G be regular. A ssume that a is nonsingular with respect to the Cayley map = so that (a) is regular in g by (2.5.2). Then restricts to an isom orphism

(1) 
$$:Conj_G(a) ! Ad_G((a))$$

of a ne varieties.

Proof. Let  $G^{s}$  be the sim ply-connected covering group of the commutator (sem isim – ple) subgroup  $G^{0}$  of G. Let  $: G^{s} ! G^{0}$  be the covering map. We may write a = bc where b 2 Cent(G) and  $c 2 G^{0}$ . Let  $g 2 G^{s}$  be such that (g) = c; note that g is regular. Clearly the mapping  $G^{s} ! G$ , given by h 7 b (h), restricts to a surjective  $G^{s}$ -equivariant morphism  $: \text{Conj}_{g^{s}}(g) ! \text{Conj}_{g}(a)$ ; thus by continuity also restricts to a dom inant morphism

But also by continuity one has a dom inant m orphism

$$(3) \qquad : \overline{C \text{ on } j_G (a) ! A d_G (a)}$$

and hence if is the composite of (2) and (3) it de nes a dom inant morphism

$$: \overline{\operatorname{Conj}_{G^{s}}(g)} ! \overline{\operatorname{Ad}_{G}((a))}$$

But then the cohom om orphism of (4) is injective. However since the a nealgebras in question are Ad-complete by Theorem s (4.3) and (4.4), it follows that (4) must be an isom orphism. But then obviously (2) and (3) are isom orphism s.

4.6. Richardson proved that for sem isimple groups the generalized Cayley map de nes an isomorphism of the unipotent variety in G with the nilcone in g. H is theorem is very general and includes the case of G de ned over elds of nite characteristic as long as the prime is good. An application of Theorem (4.5) yields R ichardson's theorem for the complex case.

Theorem . Let a 2 G be a principal unipotent element. Then a is non-singular. Let U G be the unipotent variety  $U = Conj_{c}$  (a). Then

(1) 
$$: U ! Ad_G ((a))$$

is an isomorphism of a nevarieties. Furthermore if (e) = 0 (e.g. G is sem isim – ple) then (a) is principal nilpotent so that  $\overline{Ad_G}$  ((a)) is the nilcone N g and hence (1) is an isomorphism

Proof. Since e 2 U and d (e) is invertible it is immediate that d (a) is invertible. Thus (1) follows from Theorem (4.5). If (e) = 0 then  $0.2 \operatorname{Ad}_{G}$  ((a)). But this implies that (a) is principal nilpotent and hence one has (2). 4.7. Corollary. If G is sem is impleand X is a principal nilpotent element in g and if is irreducible, then  ${}^{1}(X)$  G consists of  $\frac{1}{2}$  (G) jm any elements.

Proof. Let b2 <sup>1</sup> (X). Since d (b) is invertible we have b2 G <sup>b</sup> = G<sup>X</sup> = Z (G) (G<sup>X</sup>)<sub>0</sub>. Also by (4.6) there is a unique a 2 <sup>1</sup> (X) \ U. Since is irreducible and Z (G) is a nite group, for c2 Z (G) we have (c) =  $k_c : l_V$  where  $k_c 2 S^1$  C, thus tr(<sup>0</sup> (cg) <sup>0</sup>(Y)) = tr((c) (g) <sup>0</sup>(Y)) =  $k_c : tr((g) <sup>0</sup>(Y)) = tr(<sup>0</sup> (g) <sup>0</sup>(k_c:Y))$ for all Y 2 g. This implies (cg) =  $k_c :$  (g) for each g 2 G. Choose the unique elements  $a_c 2$  <sup>1</sup> ( $\frac{1}{k_c}X$ ) \ U for all c 2 Z (G). Then  $ca_c 2 G^X$  is in the coset c:(G<sup>X</sup>)<sub>0</sub> and (ca<sub>c</sub>) = X. So <sup>1</sup> (X) consists of JZ (G) jm any elements.

4.8. For any a 2 G (resp. x 2 g) let  $G^a$  (resp.  $G^x$ ) denote the centralizer of a (resp. x) in G. Let  $g^a = \text{Lie} G^a$  (resp.  $g^x = \text{Lie} G^x$ ). If a is sem isim ple and  $G_o^a$  is the identity component of  $G^a$  then  $G_o^a$  is a reductive subgroup of G (see P roposition 13.19 in [2] on p. 321). If x is sem isim ple then  $G^x$  is connected (see e.g. Theorem 22.3, p. 140 in [6]) and reductive (since  $g^x$  is clearly reductive). For any sem isim ple element b 2 G let  $U_b$  be the unipotent variety in  $G_o^b$  and  $N_b$  the nilpotent cone in  $g^b$ . M oreover, let l = rank G and recall that an element a 2 G (resp. x 2 g) is called regular if dim  $G^a = 1$  or equivalently dim  $g^a = 1$  (resp. dim  $G^x = 1$  or equivalently dim  $g^x = 1$ ).

For a 2 G with Jordan decomposition  $a = a_s a_u$  let  $c = centg^{a_s}$  and let  $s = [g^{a_s}; g^{a_s}]$  so that s is sem is in ple and

$$g^{a_s} = c \quad s:$$

Proposition. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group.

(2) Let a 2 G so that  $a = a_s a_u$ . Then  $a_u$  is in the unipotent variety  $U_{a_s}$  of the reductive subgroup  $G_o^{a_s}$  of G. Conversely if b 2  $U_{a_s}$  then  $g = a_s b$  is the Jordan decomposition of g so that

$$a_s U_{a_s} = fb 2 G jb_s = a_s g$$

- (3) If a 2 G then a is regular if and only if  $a_u$  is principal unipotent in  $G_0^{a_s}$ .
- (4) If X 2 g then X is regular if and only if  $X_n$  is principal nilpotent in  $g^{X_s}$ .
- (5) If a 2 G let H be a Cartan subgroup of G which contains  $a_s$  and let h = Lie H. Let C be the center of  $G_o^{a_s}$ . Then  $G_o^{a_s} = C S$  and  $a_s 2 C$  so that  $a_s$  and hence a are in  $G_o^{a_s}$ . Furthermore C H and c h and in addition LieC = c.

In spite of (5) it is not true in general that C is connected. In fact if  $a_s$  corresponds to a vertex of the fundam ental simplex then c = 0 and C is nite.

Proof. (2) It clearly su ces to show that  $a_u$  is in the identity component of  $G^{a_s}$ . But this is immediate from the bijection between U and N dened by the exponential map. The latter implies that if  $x = \log(a_u)$  then x 2  $g^{a_s}$ . Hence the one parameter subgroup dened by x is in  $G_o^{a_s}$  so that  $a_u \ge G_o^{a_s}$ .

(3) and (4) It su ces by an identical argument to consider only the group case. C learly by the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition and (2) we have

(6)  $g^{a} = g^{a_{s}} \setminus g^{a_{u}} = (g^{a_{s}})^{a_{u}}$ 

Of course rank  $g^{a_s} = 1 \sin ce a_s$  is conjugate to an element in H. Thus

(7) 
$$\operatorname{rank} c + \operatorname{rank} s = 1 = \operatorname{rank} G$$

But if S is the sem isimple subgroup corresponding to s then clearly  $U_{a_s}$  S so that  $a_u \ 2$  S and hence by (6) one has

$$g^{a} = c \quad s^{a}$$

so that dim  $g^a$  = rank c + dim  $s^{a_u}$ . But dim  $s^{a_u}$  rank s and by de nition of principal unipotent one has dim  $s^{a_u}$  = rank s if and only if  $a_u$  is principal unipotent in S or equivalently in  $G_o^{a_s}$ . Now the result follows from (7).

(5) That  $G_{o}^{a_{s}} = C S$  follows from (1). Obviously H  $G_{o}^{a_{s}}$  and hence H is a Cartan subgroup of  $G_{o}^{a_{s}}$ . But since H  $G_{o}^{a_{s}}$  it follows that  $a_{s} 2 G_{o}^{a_{s}}$ . But then obviously  $a_{s} 2 C$ . But since the center of a connected reductive group lies in every Cartan subgroup one has C H. We get LieC = c since the centers correspond to each other under the Lie subgroup { Lie subalgebra correspondence.

4.9. Let  $z_a = \log(a_u)$  so that  $z_a 2 N_{a_s}$ . By the theorem of Jacobson-M orosov, see [7], p.983, there exists  $h_a 2$  s so that  $[h_a; z_a] = 2z_a$ . But then if  $r_a(t) = \exp(th_a)$  one has clearly has that

$$\lim_{t! \to 1} r_a (t) a_u r_a (t)^{-1} = 1$$

But of course  $r_a$  (t) commutes with  $a_s$  since  $a_s$  2 C. Thus

(1) 
$$\lim_{t \to 1^{-1}} r_a(t) a r_a(t)^{-1} = a_s$$

4.10. C orollary. Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation.

If for some a 2 G the di erential d  $(a_s) : T_{a_s}G ! g$  is invertible then also d  $(a) : T_aG ! g$ . This is the case if  $a_s$  is hyperbolic, by (5.4) below.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (4.9.1).

4.11. We will begin to establish results leading to the main theorem on the commutativity of the generalized C ayley mapping and the operation of taking the sem is m - ple part for Jordan decompositions. We will use the notation of (4.8).

Theorem . Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation. Let a 2 G.

Then for the sem is imple parts we have  $(a_s) = (a)_s$  and the Jordan decomposition is the decomposition into components with respect to (4.8.8)

(a) = 
$$(a_s) + (a)_n 2 g^a = c s^{a_u}$$
:

Proof. Let (a) =  $Z + F 2 g^a = c s^{a_u}$  be the decomposition into components with respect to (4.8.8). Recall from (4.9) the curve  $r_a : R ! S$  satisfying  $\lim_{t \to 1} r_a(t) a r_a(t)^{-1} = a_s$  by (4.9.1). Hence by the continuity of one has

$$(a_{s}) = \lim_{t! \to 1} A d_{r_{a}(t)} ((a)) = \lim_{t! \to 1} A d_{r_{a}(t)} (Z + F) = Z + \lim_{t! \to 1} A d_{r_{a}(t)} F:$$

By (2.4.2) and (4.8.1) we have  $(a_s) \ 2 \ Cent(g^{a_s}) = c$ . But also  $Ad_{r_a(t)}F \ 2 \ s$  by (4.9) so that  $\lim_{t \ge 1} Ad_{r_a(t)}F = 0$  which implies that F is nilpotent, and  $Z = (a_s)$  which is sem is in ple since c h by (4.8.5). Finally note that [Z;F] = 0 since  $c = Cent(g^{a_s})$  so that the result follows.

4.12. We now consider the nilpotent part of (a). The situation is more complicated. Let b2 G be sem is in ple. If w 2  $U_b$  then of course

(1) 
$$(bw)_s = b \text{ and } (bw)_u = w$$
:

For any w 2  $U_b$  one has  $(bw)_n 2 N_b since (bw)_n$  is, by the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition, clearly invariant under A db. O ne thus obtains a map

(2) 
$$_{\rm b}: U_{\rm b} ! N_{\rm b}; _{\rm b}(w) = (bw)_{\rm n}:$$

Proposition. Let b 2 G be semisimple. The map  $_{b}$ :  $U_{b}$ !  $N_{b}$  is a regular morphism which commutes with the adjoint actions of  $G^{b}$ .

Proof. The commutation of  $_{\rm b}$  with the adjoint action of G  $^{\rm b}$  is obvious. The rationality is an immediate consequence of Theorem (4.11) since it clearly imply that

(3) 
$$_{b}(w) = (bw) (b):$$

4.13. Theorem . Let  ${\tt G}$  be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let

be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation with (e) = 0.2 g. Let b.2 G be sem is imple and suppose that d (b) :  $T_bG$  ! g is invertible. This holds for b hyperbolic, see (5.4).

Then b: Ub ! Nb is an isom orphism of a bebraic varieties.

This is generalization of theorem (4.6). It also follows directly from the 'lemme fondamental' in [14], as in Richardsons proof of (4.6).

Proof. The proof of (4.6) that :U ! N is an algebraic isom orphism is a consequence of the fact that carries a principal unipotent orbit to a principal nilpotent orbit. Replacing G by the sem is mple algebraic group S (using the notation of (4.8) where  $b = a_s$ ) the same argument yields the isom orphism of  $_b$  as soon as we demonstrate that  $_b$  carries a principal unipotent orbit in U<sub>b</sub> to a principal nilpotent orbit in N<sub>b</sub>. Let w 2 U<sub>b</sub> be a principal unipotent element in S. But bw is a regular element in G by (4.8.3). Using the notation of the proof of Proposition (4.8) where a = bw;  $a_s = b$ ;  $a_u = w$  one has dim  $g^{bw} = 1$  and  $g^{bw} = c$  s<sup>w</sup> where if c = dim c and  $s = s^w$  then 1 = c + s and s = rank s. One the other hand if  $v = s^{b(w)}$  and v = dim v then v = s if and only if  $_b(w)$  is principal nilpotent in S. But, by Theorem (4.11) clearly

But now by assumption d (b) is invertible. Thus d (bw) is invertible by Proposition (4.10). But then  $g^{bw} = g^{(bw)}$  by Theorem 1.8. In particular dim  $g^{(bw)} = 1$ . But the left side of (1) has dimension c+v. Thus one must have v = s (and equality in (1). Hence b(w) is a principal nilpotent in S.

#### 5. The mapping degree of the Cayley mapping

5.1. The mapping degree of for compact G. Let now G be a compact group and the ground eld be the reals. Then (G) is compacting, so :G ! g is not surjective. Let us embed g into the one-point-compact cation g [ $1 = S^n$ , then the topological mapping degree of :G ! g [1 is 0 since is not surjective. Thus over a regular value of which exists by the theorem of Sard, there is an even number of sheets: on one half of these is orientation preserving, on the other half it is orientation reversing.

5.2. The mapping degree in the complex case. Since in general there are conjugacy orbits on G which map to points in g, the mapping is not proper in the sense of (Hausdor) topology (which means that compact sets have compact inverse in ages; in the usual topology on g). But by (2.4.1) the mapping induces a mapping between the algebraic orbit spaces  $: G = C \text{ on } j_G ! g = A d_G$ , i.e. the a nevarieties with coordinate rings A (G)<sup>G</sup> and A (g)<sup>G</sup>, respectively.

Theorem. Let G be a connected reductive complex Lie group and let be the Cayley mapping of a representation. Let h g be a Cartan subalgebra with Cartan subgroup H.

Then the Cayley mapping : H ! h is proper if and only if

$$(\exp \frac{1}{h})^{-1}(0) = (\exp \frac{1}{h}(0) \setminus h = ih_R$$
:

If this is the case then we have moreover:

- (1) The mapping degree of :H ! h is positive and consequently the mapping is surjective.
- (2) :  $G = C \text{ on } j_G ! g = A d_G$  is a proper m apping for the H ausdor topologies on the a nevarieties. Thus has positive m apping degree and is surjective.

Proof. Let h be a Cartan subalgebra with Cartan subgroup H. By (2.4.5) we have :H ! h, and we investigate whether this mapping is proper.

is proper if for any sequence  $a_n$  in H which converges to 1 also the sequence  $(a_n)$  in h converges to 1; i.e. no subsequence has a cluster point in h. For contradiction, let us assume that  $(a_n)$ ! Z<sub>0</sub> 2 h.

We can write  $a_n = u_n h_n 2 H = T H_R$  where T is a maximal torus. We can write  $h_n = \exp(X_n)$  for  $X_n 2 h_R$ . By assumption,  $X_n ! 1$  in  $h_R$ . Using compactness of the space of directions  $(h_R n 0) = R_{>0}$ , by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that there exists an element  $0 \in X_0 2 h_R$  and  $0 < r_n ~ 1$  such that  $X_n = r_n ! X_0$ . Since T is compact, again by passing to a subsequence, we may also assume that  $u_n ! u_0 2 T$ . We may write  $u_n = \exp(iY_n)$  for  $Y_n 2 h_R$ , where  $Y_n ! Y_0$ . We choose a T-invariant Herm itian inner product h; i on the representation space V. Let  $v_j$  be an orthonorm alloasis of V consisting of weight vectors. We have to show that there exists some Z 2 g such that the follow ing expression is unbounded:

B 
$$((a_n);Z) = tr({}^{0}((a_n))) {}^{0}(Z)) = tr((a_n)) {}^{0}(Z))$$
  
=  $hv_j; (u_n) (h_n) {}^{0}(Z)v_ji$ 

BERTRAM KOSTANT, PETER W .M ICHOR

$$= \begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ = & hv_{j}; e^{i (Y_{n})} e^{(X_{n})} & (Z)v_{j}i \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

The last expression equals asymptotically

$$e^{r_n} = dim (V) e^{(X_0 + iY_0)} (Z)$$
  
2 w eight()

and converges by assumption to

X  
dim (V) e 
$$(Z_0)$$
 (Z):  
 $2 w eight()$ 

Since  $e^{r_n}$ % 1 this implies that

 $0 = \begin{array}{c} X \\ 0 = \\ 2 \text{ weight()} \end{array} \text{ dim (V) } e^{(X_0 + iY_0)} (Z) = \frac{d}{dt} j_0 \\ 2 \text{ weight()} \end{array} \text{ dim (V) } e^{(X_0 + iY_0 + tZ)}$ 

for all Z 2 h, so that X  $_0$  + iY $_0$  is a singular point with 0  $\in$  X  $_0$  2 h<sub>R</sub> for the function X

 $dim (V)e = exp_{\vec{b}}:h ! C:$ 

But by (2.4.6) we have

$$0 = d( \exp_{b} j)(Z_{0}) = d (\exp(Z_{0})):T_{Z_{0}} \exp iZ_{j}$$
  
= tr( <sup>0</sup> (exp Z\_{0}) <sup>0</sup>(h)) = B ( (exp Z\_{0});h);

so that  $Z_0 \ 2 \ h$  is a singular point of  $\exp_{\hat{b}} j$  if and only if  $(\exp Z_0) = 0$ . The argument also shows that we can construct a sequence  $a_n \ 2 \ H$  contradicting properness of  $j_H$  if there exists  $X_0 + iY_0 \ 2 \ h_R$  ih<sub>R</sub> with  $X_0 \in 0$  such that  $(\exp(X_0 + iY_0)) = 0$ .

(1) So :H ! h is a proper sm ooth m apping, thus its m apping degree is de ned as the value of the induced m apping in the top De R ham cohom ology with com pact supports which is isom orphic to R via integration:

deg() = 
$$:H_{C}^{\perp}(h;R) = R ! H_{C}^{\perp}(H;R) = R; l = dim (h):$$

Choose a regular value Y of . Then for each g 2  $^{1}$  (Y) the tangent mapping  $T_{g}$  is invertible and orientation preserving, since it is complex holom orphic. Thus the mapping degree is the number of sheets over a regular point, which is positive. But then :H ! h has to be surjective: if not, its im age is closed, and a n-form with support in the complement is pulled backed to 0 on H, in contradiction to the positivity of the mapping degree.

(2) Since  $G = C \text{ on } j_c = H = W$  and  $g = A d_G = h = W$  where W is the W eyl group, the result follows directly from (1).

20

5.3. Lem m a. Suppose that for reals  $r_i$  we have  $P_{i=1}^{N} r_i = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{c} X^{N} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i} \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \\ \mathbf{r}_{i} \end{array} \qquad \frac{1}{2N} \quad \frac{X^{N}}{\mathbf{r}_{i}} \mathbf{r}_{i}^{2} \\ \vdots \\ \end{array}$$

 $P \mbox{ roof. } W$  e separate negative and positive sum m ands and consider for  $s_i > 0$  and  $t_j > 0$  the expression

The function  $f(x) = x e^x$  is strictly convex for x > 0, thus

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} f(t_{j}) \quad f = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{n}} t_{j} = f(A = m)$$

with equality only if all t<sub>j</sub> are equal. Moreover, For the right hand side we have  $A^2 = P_{j=1}^{P} t_j$   $P_{j=1}^{n} t_j$  $P_{j=1}^{n} t_j$ 

5.4. Theorem . Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation . Let  $G_{\rm hyp}$  G be the subset of all sem isimple hyperbolic elements in G, and let  $g_{\rm hyp}$  be the set of all sem isimple hyperbolic elements in G.

Then for each  $g \ge G_{hyp}$  the di erentiald (g) :  $T_g G \ge g$  is invertible.

Moreover, the mapping  $: G_{hyp} ! g_{hyp}$  is bijective and a di eomorphism between real subvarieties if (e) = 0, or also if the representation is selfcontragredient.

Proof. Let  $h = h_R + ih_R$ . Let  $g \ge G$  be a hyperbolic element which we assume to lie in H. Then g = exp X where  $X \ge h_R$ , so  $g \ge H_R$ . We have (g)  $\ge h_R$  since

$$tr(^{0}((g))^{0}(Y)) = tr((g)^{0}(Y)) 2 R$$

for all Y 2  $h_R$ . We choose a maximal compact subgroup  $G_u$  G such that  $ih_R$  Lie  $(G_u) = :g_u$ . Then there exists a Herm itian inner product on V (a Hilbert space structure) such that all elements of  $(G_u)$  are unitary. Then  ${}^0(g_u)$  consists of skew Herm itian operators, and  ${}^0(ig_u)$  consists of Herm itian operators. Thus

 $^{0}$  (h<sub>R</sub>) and (g) are Herm it ian operators. We use tr(AB) as real positive inner product on the the space of Herm it ian operators on V.

We have  $g = h^2$  for  $h = \exp(\frac{1}{2}\log(g)) 2 H_R$ . We claim that (g):V ! V is a positive de nite H emmitian operator, i.e. (X;Y) 7 tr((g)  ${}^{0}(X) {}^{0}(Y)$ ) is a positive de nite H emmitian form on g. Namely, for X 2 g arbitrary we have X = X<sub>1</sub> + X<sub>2</sub> for unique X<sub>1</sub> 2 g<sub>u</sub> and X<sub>2</sub> 2 ig<sub>u</sub>. Let X  $= X_1 X_2$ , then  ${}^{0}(X)$  equals the adjoint operator  ${}^{0}(X)$ . We have

$$tr((g)^{0}(X)^{0}(X)) = tr((h)^{2}^{0}(X)(X)) =$$
  
= tr((h)^{0}(X)((h)^{0}(X))) = k (h)^{0}(X)k^{2} > 0:

By (2.4.7) this implies that d (g) :  $T_{q}G !$  g is invertible.

For the second assertion, we claim that in both cases we have for C > 0

(1) 
$$k^{0}((g))k C k^{0}(X)k$$
:

If (e) = 0 we have

$$0 = tr( {}^{0}((e)) {}^{0}(X)) = tr((e) {}^{0}(X)) = tr( {}^{0}(X))$$

$$= tr( {}^{0}(X) jV = dim (V) (X):$$

$$2 w eight() 2 w eight()$$

Thus by lemma (5.3) we have

for a positive constant C . Then we have by C auchy Schwarz,

$$k^{0} (g)kk^{0} (X)k tr((g)^{0} (X)) = tr((exp(X))^{0} (X)jV)$$

$$= X^{2weight()} X dim (V) (X)e^{(X)} C (X)^{2} dim (V)$$

$$= C tr((^{0} (X)^{2} jV) = C tr((^{0} (X)^{2}) = C k^{0} (X)k^{2}:$$

If the representation is self-contragredient, a similar argument works using re<sup>r</sup> re<sup>r</sup> 2r for r > 0 instead of the inequality (5.3).

Now we may nish the proof. Note that exp :  $h_R$  !  $H_R$  is a dieomorphism with inverse log :  $H_R$  !  $h_R$ . Thus estimate (1) implies that :  $H_R$  !  $h_R$  is a proper mapping. It is also a local dieomorphism, thus a covering mapping and a dieomorphism since  $h_R$  is vector space.

Finally, each hyperbolic element g 2 G is contained in H<sub>R</sub> for a suitable Cartan subgroup H, and the above arguments show that  $: G_{hyp} ! g_{hyp}$  is locally a di eom orphism and is surjective. It is also injective: Let  $(g_1) = Y = (g_2)$ . Then  $g_1$  and some conjugate  $hg_2 h^{-1}$  lie in the same Cartan subgroup H<sub>R</sub> on which is a di eom orphism, thus  $g_1 = hg_2 h^{-1}$ . By equivariancy we have Ad (h)Y = Y. Since is a local di eom orphism near  $g_1$ , the orbits have the same dimension, thus  $g_1$  and Y have the same connected component of the isotropy group. But isotropy groups of sem isim ple elements of the Lie algebra are connected, as mentioned in (4.8). Thus  $g_1 = g_2$ .

5.5. Theorem . Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation with (e) = 0.2 g.

: G pos ! great is bijective and a ber respecting isom orphism of real T hen algebraic varieties, where  $G_{pos}$  and  $g_{real}$  are de ned in (4.1).

Proof. Let  $g \ge G_{pos}$ , then  $g_s = g_h$ , thus by (5.4) d ( $g_s$ ) :  $T_{q_s}G \le g_s$  is invertible and thus by theorem (4.13)  $_{g_s}$  : U $_{g_s}$  ! N $_{g_s}$  is an autom orphism of algebraic varieties, where  $U_{qq}$  is the unipotent variety in the reductive group  $G_{0}^{q_{s}}$ , see (4.8). The set  $G_{pos} = h_{2G_{hyp}} h U_{h} ! G_{hyp}$  is a bration with complex algebraic varieties as bers and the real algebraic variety  $G_{hyp}$  as base. Likew ise  $g_{real} = \frac{1}{h^2 G_{hyp}}$  ((h) +  $N_h$ )!  $g_{hyp}$  is a is a bration with the nilpotent cones  $N_h$  as bers. :  $G_{hyp}$ !  $g_{hyp}$ is given by  $(g) = (g_s) + g_s (g_u) = (g)_s + (g)_n$  and is a ber respecting isom orphism by theorem (4.13) and (5.4).

# 6. Examples

6.1. The Cayley m appings for the representations of  $SL_2(C)$ .

The standard representation  $SL_2(C)$  End  $(C^2)$ . Here (A) = A $\frac{1}{2}$  tr(A)  $1_{C^2}$ and (A) =  $\frac{1}{2}$  tr(A) for A 2 SL<sub>2</sub>. is surjective and proper and has mapping degree 2.0 n the Cartan subgroup we get

$$\begin{array}{c} a & 0 \\ {}^{1} & 0 & a^{1} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \frac{1 & a^{2}}{2a} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a^{2} & 1}{2a} \end{array} ; \qquad \begin{array}{c} a & 0 \\ {}^{1} & 0 & a^{1} \end{array} = \frac{a^{2} + 1}{2a} :$$

For the (n + 1)-dimensional representation we computed

$$\int_{n}^{a} \int_{0}^{0} a^{-1} = \frac{3}{n^{3} + 3n^{2} + 2n} \int_{p=0}^{X^{n}} (n - 2p) a^{n-2p} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{0} a^{-1}$$

$$\int_{n}^{a} \int_{0}^{0} a^{-1} = \frac{108}{(n^{3} + 3n^{2} + 2n)^{3}} \int_{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}=0}^{X^{n}} (n - 2p_{1})^{2} (n - p_{2}) n a^{3n-2} (p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3})$$

6.2. Example: The standard representation of  $SL_n$  (C). The standard representation  $SL_n(C)$  End ( $C^n$ ). Here (A) = A  $\frac{1}{n}$  tr(A) $1_{C^n}$ , and has mapping degree n, which coincides with the degree of the sm ooth hypersurface SLn End ( $\mathbb{C}^n$ ). Thus maps regular orbits in SL n to regular orbits in SL, and by (3.2) we have αт

$$A(SL_n) = A(SL_n)^{SL_n}$$
 Harm  $(SL_n)$ :

The set G<sub>sing</sub> where the di erential of the Cayley transform is singular is given by  ${}^{1}(0) = (SL_{n} \setminus SL_{n})^{1} = fA \ 2 \ SL_{n} : tr(A^{1}) = 0g.$ 

Let  $2 \land (S \bot_n)$  be given by  $(\land) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr}(\land)$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{l} A (SL_{n})^{SL_{n}} = A (sl_{n})^{SL_{n}} [ ]; \\ Q (SL_{n})^{SL_{n}} = Q (sl_{n})^{SL_{n}} [ ]; \\ Q (SL_{n}) = Q (sl_{n}) [ ]; \end{array}$$

in terms of (3.3).

This can be shown as follows:  $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) = \operatorname{sl}_n \quad \mathbb{C}: \mathbb{1}_n$  is an orthogonal direct sum, thus the orthogonal projection is given by  $\operatorname{pr}(\mathbb{A}) = \mathbb{A} \quad \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}(\mathbb{A})$ . Of course  $= \operatorname{pr}\mathcal{J}L_n$ . For Y 2 sl\_n we have A 2  $^1$  (Y) if and only if A Y = t: 1\_n for some t 2 C. The set of all these t is given by the equation det (Y + t: 1\_n) = 1. Generically there are n solution, thus the degree of is n, and is surjective.

For A 2 SL<sub>n</sub> and X 2 sl<sub>n</sub> then A X is a typical tangent vector in  $T_A$  (SL<sub>n</sub>). Now d (A) = :T<sub>A</sub> (SL<sub>n</sub>) ! sl<sub>n</sub> is not invertible if its kernel C  $\mathfrak{l}_n \setminus T_A$  (SL<sub>n</sub>) is non trivial, so there exists an X 2 sl<sub>n</sub> with A X =  $\mathfrak{l}_n$  (by scaling X appropriately). But then X = A <sup>1</sup> 2 sl<sub>n</sub> and thus tr(A <sup>1</sup>) = 0.

Let us discuss the adjunction now. The berover any X 2  $sl_n$  consists of all A = X + t:  $l_n$  where truns through the roots of the polynom  $ial f_X$  (t) = tr(t:  $l_n + X$ ) 1, and moreover t = (A), by the formula for . Let us consider the expansion

$$f_{X}$$
 (t) = tr(t:1<sub>n</sub> + X) 1 =  $\sum_{j=0}^{X^{n}} p_{j}(X) t^{j};$ 

where  $p_j 2 A (sl_n)^{SL_n}$  form a system of generators with  $p_0(X) = det(X) 1$ ,  $p_{n-1}(X) = tr(X) = 0$ , and  $p_n(X) = 1$ . We have

$$f_X$$
 ( ) = tr(t:1<sub>n</sub> + X ) 1 =  $\sum_{j=0}^{X^n} p_j(X)^{j} = 0;$ 

and this is the m inim algolynom ial for over A  $(sl_n)^{SL_n}$ . Finally note that is 1=n times the character of the standard representation and pulls back the elements  $p_j 2 A (sl_n)^{SL_n}$  to the characters of the remaining fundam ental representations of  $SL_n$ . Thus A  $(SL_n)^{SL_n} = A (sl_n)^{SL_n}$  []. The other assertions follow from (3.3).

6.3. The standard representation of  $O_n$  (C). In the standard representation  $O_n$  (C)! End (C<sup>n</sup>) we have

 $O_n (C) = fA \ 2 End (C^n) : AA^{>} = 1_n g$ so<sub>n</sub> (C) = fX 2 End (C<sup>n</sup>) : X + X <sup>></sup> = 0g (A) =  $\frac{1}{2}$  (A A<sup>></sup>):

### 7. Spin representations and Cayley transforms

In this section we treat only the complex group Spin(n;C). Some of the results here were inspired by [13]. We rst recall notations and results from [12].

7.1. C li ord multiplication in terms of exterior algebra operations. Let V be a complex vector space of nite dimension n > 0. A sum e that  $B_V = (;)$  is a xed nonsingular symmetric bilinear form on V. Its extends naturally to a symmetric nonsingular bilinear form  $B_{V}$  on the exterior algebra V. The graded structure on V induces a Z-graded structure on the operator algebra End (V) and also on the Z-graded super Lie algebra D er(V) of graded super derivations. For

24

2 End (^V) we denote its transpose by  $t^2$  End (^V) so that (u;v) = (u;  $t^v$ ) for any u;v 2 ^V.

For any u 2 ^V let (u) 2 End(^V) (left exterior multiplication) be defined so that (u)w = u ^ w for any w. For any u 2 ^V let (u) = (t). If u 2 ^kV then (u) 2 End<sup>k</sup> (^V) and (u) 2 End<sup>k</sup> (^V). Furthermore if x 2 V then (x) 2 Der<sup>1</sup> ^V. In fact (x) is the unique element of Der<sup>1</sup> (^V) such that (x)y = (x;y) where we have identified C =  $^{0}$ V. For a basis fz<sub>j</sub>g of V we have Der(^V) = \_j (^V) (z). Let 2 End<sup>0</sup> (^V) be defined so that = (1)<sup>k</sup> on ^<sup>k</sup>V. Let ^ even V and ^ odd V be the eigenspaces for corresponding, respectively, to the eigenvalues 1 and 1.

We recall that the C li ord algebra C (V) over V with respect to  $B_V jV$  is the tensor algebra T (V) over V modulo the ideal generated by all elements in T (V) of the form x x (x;x) where x 2 V and we regard  $C = T^0$  (V). We note that

(x) (y) + (y) (x) = (x;y) 2 End  $(^V)$ . For any x 2 V let (x) = (x) + (x) then  $(x)^2 = (x;x)$ . Thus the correspondence x 7 (x) extends to a hom on orphism C(V)! End(^V), denoted by u ? (u), de ning the structure of a C(V)-module on ^V. This leads to the map u 7 (u)1, denoted by : C(V) ! ^V. By Theorem II.1.6, p. 41 in [3] this map is bijective and we will identify C (V) with  $^V$ , using . We consequently then recognize that the linear space  $^V$  = C (V) has 2 multiplicative structures. If u; v 2 ^V there is exterior multiplication u ^ v and C li ord multiplication uv = (u)v. A loo we will write  $C^0(V) = ^{even}V$  and  $C^{1}(V) = ^{odd}V$  so that  $C^{i}(V)C^{j}(V) = C^{i+j}(V)$  for i; j 2 Z=(2). That is, the parity autom orphism of the exterior algebra is also an autom orphism of the Cli ord algebra. In addition if 2 End^V is the unique involutory antiautom orphism of  $^V$ , as an exterior algebra, such that (x) = x for all x 2 V, then is also an antiautom orphism of the C li ord algebra structure; explicitly, =  $(1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}$  on ^ <sup>k</sup>V.Using one can show easily that for x 2 V and u 2 C (V) we have and ux = ("(x))(x)) (u).

Let Spin (V) be the set of all  $g \ge C^0$  (V) such that g(g) = 1 and  $gx(g) \ge V$ for all  $x \ge V$ . This is an algebraic group under C li ord multiplication. For any  $g \ge Spin V$  let T (g)  $\ge G \le (V)$  be the mapping given by T (g) x = gx(g) for  $x \ge V$ .

The Lie algebra Lie Spin V is a Lie subalgebra of C<sup>0</sup> (V), in fact Lie Spin V =  $^{2}$ V as explained on p. 68 in [3]. We need this in detail: Let u 2  $^{2}$ V. For any x 2 V one has (x)u 2 V and one can de ne (u) 2 so (V) End (V) by (u)x = 2 (x)u.

For any z 2 EndV there exists a unique operator (z) 2 D er<sup> $(^{V})$ </sup> ( $^{V}$ ) which extends the action of z on V.C learly : EndV ! D er<sup> $(^{V})$ </sup> ( $^{V}$ ) is a Lie algebra isom orphism.

7.2. Theorem . Spin V  $C^{0}(V)$  is a connected Lie group. If g 2 Spin V then T (g) 2 SO (V) and T : Spin V ! SO (V) is an epim orphism with kernel f 1g. In particular T de nes Spin V as a double cover of SO (V).

The subspace  $^2V$  is a Lie subalgebra of C<sup>0</sup>(V). In fact  $^2V = \text{Lie} \operatorname{Spin} V$  so that  $\operatorname{Spin} V$  is the group generated by all exp(u) for u 2  $^2V$  where exponentiation is with respect to C li ord multiplication.

Furtherm one the map  $: {}^{2}V !$  LieSO (V) is a Lie algebra isom orphism and is the di erential of the double cover T : Spin V ! SO (V). Finally if u 2  ${}^{2}V$ then ad (u) = ( (u)) so that not only is ad (u) a derivation of both exterior algebra and C li ord algebra structures on C (V) but also ad  $: {}^{2}V !$  D er<sup>0</sup>  $^{V}$  is a faithful representation of the Lie algebra  $^{2}V$  on  $^{V}$ .

7.3. Let  $fz_ig$  be a  $B_V$ -orthonorm all basis of V. On these elements the Cli ord product equals the exterior product,  $z_i z_j = z_i^z z_j$ . Let  $N = f1; :::; ng and let I be the set of all subsets of N. We regard any subset I as ordered: <math>I = fi_1 < ::: < i_k g$ . Let

$$z_{I} = z_{i_{1}} \qquad i_{k} \not\equiv z_{i_{1}} \qquad \hat{i_{k}} \not\equiv$$

The set of elements  $fz_I g w ith jI j = k$ , is a  $B_{\wedge V}$  -orthonorm albasis of  ${}^{\wedge V}V$  so that the set  $fz_I g; I 2 I$ , jI j is even (resp. odd) is a  $B_{\wedge V}$  -orthonorm albasis of C<sup>0</sup>(V) (resp. C<sup>1</sup>(V)) and the full set  $fz_I g; I 2 I$  is a  $B_{\wedge V}$  -orthonorm albasis of C (V). Moreover for I; J 2 I let I J 2 I be the symmetric dimense (In J) [ (J n I). Then

$$z_{I} z_{J} = c_{I;J} z_{I} J;$$
 where  $c_{I;J} 2 f1; 1g;$   
 $(z_{I})^{1} = (z_{I}) = (1)^{IIJ(II)^{1}2} z_{I}:$ 

7.4. The spin module. The following description of the spin module S is uniform in n and the spin representation will always be a direct sum of 2 (possibly equivalent) irreducible representations.

Let  $Z = {}^{0}V + {}^{n}V$  so that Z is a 2-dim ensional subspace of C (V) and let  $C^{Z}$  (V) be the centralizer of Z in C (V). There exists (uniquely up to sign) an element  $2^{n}V$  such that  $2^{2} = 1$ . Hence if we put  $e_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1)$  and  $e_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + 1)$ ) then fe+; e g Z are orthogonal idem potents in the sense of ring theory and  $1 = e_{+} + e_{-}$ . In particular, Z is a subalgebra of C (V) and is isomorphic to  $e_{+}Z = C C C^{2}(V)$ . We have  $x = (1)^{n+1} v$  for any  $v \geq V$  so that  $C^{0}(V) = C^{Z}(V)$  and in fact  $C^{Z}(V) = ZC^{0}(V)$ . Let  $C^{Z}_{+}(V) = e_{+}C^{Z}(V)$  and  $C^{Z}$  (V) = e  $C^{Z}$  (V). Let  $n_{1} = \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ . It is well known (see e.g. II2.4 and II2.6 in [3]) that both  $C_{+}^{Z}$  (V) and  $C^{Z}$  (V) are each isomorphic to a  $2^{n_{1}-1}$   $2^{n_{1}-1}$  matrix algebra so that  $C^{Z}$  (V) is a sem isimple associative algebra of dimension  $2^{2n_{1}-1}$ and the unique decomposition of  $C^{Z}(V)$  into simple ideals is given by  $C^{Z}(V) =$  $C_{+}^{Z}$  (V)  $C^{Z}$  (V). In particular Z = Cent (C<sup>Z</sup> (V)) and e<sub>+</sub> and e are, respectively, the identity elements of  $C_{\pm}^{Z}$  (V) and  $C^{Z}$  (V). Thus there exists a  $C^{Z}$  (V)-module S (the spin module) S of dimension  $2^{n_1}$ , de ned by a representation  $:C^{\mathbb{Z}}(V)$  ! EndS characterized uniquely, up to equivalence, by the condition that if  $S_{+} = e_{+} S_{-}$ and S = e S then  $S = S_+ S$  is the unique decomposition of S into irreducible  $C^{Z}$  (V)-modules. Let  $_{+}: C^{Z}$  (V)! EndS<sub>+</sub> and  $: C^{Z}$  (V)! EndS be the corresponding irreducible representations.

Recall Spin (V)  $C^{0}$  (V)  $C^{Z}$  (Z). The restriction of to Spin (V) will be denoted by Spin so that Spin is a representation of Spin (V) on S. Its di erential, also denoted by Spin, is the restriction to  $^{2}V$ . Thus one has a Lie algebra representation Spin : $^{2}V$ ! EndS. Replacing by and S by S one similarly has representations Spin of Spin V and  $^{2}V$  on S. Since  $^{2}V$  generates  $C^{0}$  (V), both Spin are irreducible representations.

Finally, let  $n_0 = [\frac{n}{2}]$  so that one has  $n = n_0 + n_1$ . Note that if n is even then  $n_1 = n_0 = \frac{1}{2}n$ , whereas if n is odd then  $n_1 = n_0 + 1$  so that  $n_1 = \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)$  and  $n_0 = \frac{1}{2}(n - 1)$ .

7.5. Let  $pr_k : C(V) ! ^k V$  be the projection de ned by the graded structure of  $^V \cdot W$  e identify  $^{0}V$  with C so that the image of  $pr_0$  is scalar-valued.

The C li ord algebra C (V) as a module over itself by left multiplication () is equivalent to  $2^{n_0}$  copies of the C (V) spin module S with respect to (see (7.4)). One has that  $2^n = 2^{n_0} 2^{n_1}$ 

Proposition. For any w 2 C (V) one has

$$pr_0 (w) = \frac{1}{2^n} tr (w) = \frac{1}{2^{n_1}} tr (w):$$

Proof. Of course for c 2  $^{0}$ V one obviously has that c =  $\frac{1}{2^{n}}$  tr (c). With the notation of (7.3), it su ces to prove that tr (z<sub>1</sub>) = 0 if k > 0. But this follows from (7.3).

7.6. We now note that the bilinear form given by tr is essentially given by  $B_{vV}$ . Proposition. Let u; w 2 C (V) Then

tr (u) (w) = 
$$2^{n_1}$$
 (u; (w))

Proof. The left side is just tr (uw). By proposition (7.5) this is just  $2^{n_1} pr_0$  (uw). Using the basis  $z_I$  of (7.3) and writing  $u = {}_I u_I z_I$  and  $w = {}_I w_I z_I$  it is im - mediate from (7.3) that  $pr_0$  (uw) =  ${}_I u_I w_I pr_0$  ( $z_I^2$ ). But clearly by (7.3) one has ( $z_I$ ; ( $z_J$ ) = 0 for I  $\in$  J and  $z_I^2 = pr_0$  ( $z_I^2$ ) = ( $z_I$ ; ( $z_I$ ).

7.7. The Cayley mapping. The generalized Cayley mapping  $_{\rm T}$  : Spin(V) ! LieSpin(V) for the representation T : Spin(V) ! SO(V) is given by tr((g)(y)) = tr((\_{\rm T}(g))(y)). By (7.2) one has LieSpin(V) =  $^{2}$ V.

Proposition. Let g 2 Spin (V). Then  $T(g) = pr_2(g)$ 

Proof. Let  $y \ge 2^{2}V$ . Let x = T(g) and let  $z = pr_2(g)$ . Note that (y) = Y. By (7.6) one has

$$tr((g)(y)) = 2^{n_1}(g;y) = 2^{n_1}(pr_2(g);y) = tr((pr_2(g))(y)):$$

7.8. Let :SO(V) ! Aut(^V) be the representation so that if a 2 SO(V) then (a) is the unique exterior algebra automorphism which extends the action of a on V.C learly

$$tr (a) = det(1 + a)$$

Proposition. For any g2 Spin(V) and w 2 C (V) then

$$(T (q))w = qwq^{1}$$

In particular for (a), for a 2 SO (V) is an automorphism of both the C li ord and exterior algebra structures on C (V).

Proof. Using the notation of (7.1) and (7.2) it is clear that jLieSO (V) is the dimensional of . To prove the proposition it su ces to establish its in nitesimal analogue. But this is stated in (7.2).

7.9. Proposition. The tensor product representation Spin Spin of Spin (V) on S S descends to SO (V) and is equivalent to if n is even and 2 copies of if n is odd.

This is well known; we include a proof in the conventions used above.

Proof. Assume that M is a matrix algebra and is an antiautom orphism of M. Let L be a minimal left ideal of M so that  $(\dim L)^2 = \dim M$ . Then clearly R = (L) is a minimal right ideal of M. There exists v 2 M such that LvR  $\notin$  0 so that LvR = M since LvR is a 2-sided ideal and M is simple. This implies that the map

:L L! M; (a b) = av (b)

is surjective and thus a linear isomorphism by dimension. If  $g \ge M$  then clearly  $(ga \ gb) = g$   $(a \ b) \ (g)$ , which by proposition (7.8) proves the assertion in case n is even by choosing M = C (V), = , L = S and  $g \ge Spin (V)$ .

If n is odd then C (V) = M<sub>1</sub> M<sub>2</sub> where M<sub>i</sub>; i = 1;2; are matrix algebras. Then  $S = L_1 \quad L_2$  where  $L_i$ ; i = 1;2; are respectively m in in all left ideals in M<sub>i</sub> (and left ideals in C (V)). Then

(1) 
$$S S = L_1 L_1 L_1 L_2 L_2 L_1 L_2 L_2$$

We have Spin(V)  $C^{0}(V)$  which implies that all 4 sum m ands on the right side of (1) de ne Spin(V)-equivalent submodules of Spin Spin: Spin(V)! Aut(S S), since the parity automorphism 2 AutC(V) from (7.1) of course xes  $C^{0}(V)$  but interchanges M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>. This is clear from the de nition of e in (7.4) since = 1 on ^nV. Now if i2 f1;2g let i<sup>0</sup> 2 f1;2g be de ned so that (M<sub>i</sub>) = M<sub>i<sup>0</sup></sub>. But then (L<sub>i</sub>) = R<sub>i<sup>0</sup></sub> is a m inimal right ideal (and right ideal in C(V)) in M<sub>i<sup>0</sup></sub>. But then

$$C (V) = L_1 M_1 R_{1^0} L_1 M_1 R_{2^0} L_2 M_2 R_{1^0} L_2 M_2 R_{2^0};$$

where 2 of the 4 components are identically zero and the remaining 2 are M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub>. Note that the 2-sided ideal M<sub>i</sub> in C (V) is stable under by proposition (7.8). The argument in the even case then readily implies that is equivalent to 2 of the 4 components in (1) and hence Spin Spin is equivalent to 2 copies of .

7.10. Theorem . Let g 2 Spin (V) then  $pr_0(g) = 0$  if  $g \neq Spin(V) := fg 2$ Spin (V) : det (1 + T (g))  $\notin$  0g. On the other hand if g 2 Spin (V) then

$$pr_0(g) = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} p \frac{1}{\det(1 + T(g))}$$

for one of the two choices of the square root. Both choices are taken for the two elements  $g_{j}g^{0}2$  Spin (V) such that T (g) = T ( $g^{0}$ ).

Proof. Apply Proposition (7.5) to the case where w = g 2 Spin (V). Then

$$pr_0 (g)^2 = \frac{1}{2^{2n_1}} (trSpin (g))^2$$
:

But  $(\operatorname{trSpin}(g))^2 = \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Spin} \operatorname{Spin})(g)$ . If n is even then  $2n_1 = n$  and we have  $\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Spin} \operatorname{Spin})(g) = \det(1 + T(g))$  by (7.8) and proposition (7.9). Thus in this case

(1) 
$$\operatorname{pr}_{0}(g)^{2} = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \det(1 + T(g)):$$

Now assume that n is odd. Then tr(Spin Spin)(g) =  $2 \det (1 + T (g))$  by (7.8) and Proposition (7.9). But since  $2n_1$  1 = n equation (1) holds for all n. The theorem now follows from : If  $g_1; g_2 2$  Spin(V) then T ( $g_1$ ) = T ( $g_2$ ) if and only if  $g_1 = g_2$ .

7.11. For any u 2  $^{2}$ V let  $e^{u}$  denote the exponential of u using exterior (and hence commutative - since  $^{even}$ V is commutative) multiplication. If w 2  $^{2}$ V and x 2 V then by (7.1) and (7.2) one has, by di erentiating an exponential,

$$(x)\hat{e}^{w} = "(w;x])e^{2w};$$

where the bracket is C li ord com m utation. But then also

$$("([w;x])) e^{w} = ((x) + "([w;x]))e^{w}$$
:

Adding  $("(x) + ([w;x]))\hat{e}^w$  to both sides of the last equation yields

$$("(x [w;x]) (x [w;x]))e^{w} = ("(x + [w;x]) + (x + [w;x]))e^{w}$$
:

But this is just C li ord multiplication. If  $y \ge V$  and  $u \ge C$  (V) then ("(y)+ (y))u = yu and, if  $u \ge C^0$  (V), ("(y) (y))u = uy, both by (7.1). We have proved:

Proposition. Let  $x \ge V$  and  $w \ge A^2V$ . Then

$$e^{2w}$$
 (x [w;x]) = (x + [w;x]) $e^{2w}$ :

7.12. Let s 2 LieSO (V) and let  $V_s^1$  and  $V_s^{-1}$  be, respectively, the s-eigenspaces for the eigenvalues 1. For x; y 2 V the equation

$$(sx;y) = (x;sy)$$

readily in plies

Lem m a. The subspaces  $V_s^1$  and  $V_s^1$  are isotropic with respect to the symmetric bilinear form  $B_V$  and are nonsingularly paired by  $B_V$ . In particular

$$\dim V_s^1 = \dim V_s^1$$

Consequently 1 + s is invertible if and only if 1 s is invertible.

7.13. Using lemma (7.12) we de ne the Zariski open subset of Lie SO (V) and SO (V) by

LieSO (V)<sup>()</sup> = fs2 LieSO (V) : dim (
$$V_s^1$$
) = dim ( $V_s^1$ ) = 0g  
= fs2 LieSO (V) : 1 + s and 1 s are invertible;  
SO (V) = fa2 SO (V) jdet(1 + a) = 0g;

so that in the notation of theorem (7.2) and theorem (7.10) one has

$$Spin(V) = T^{-1}(SO(V))$$
:

Proposition. The subsets LieSO (V)  $^{()}$  and SO (V) are algebraically isomorphic via the mapping

(1) LieSO(V)<sup>()</sup>! SO(V); 
$$s ? a = \frac{1}{1+s}; s = \frac{1}{1+a} a$$

for s 2 LieSO (V)  $^{(\ )}$  and a 2 SO (V) . In addition one has the relation (1 + a) (1 + s) = 2.

Proof. If b 2 EndV let  $b^t$  be the transpose endomorphism with respect to  $B_V$ . Since  $s^t = s$  for s 2 LieSO (V) it is immediate from (1) that  $a^t = a^{-1}$  so that a is orthogonal. Since LieSO (V)<sup>()</sup> is Zariskiopen it is connected and 0.2 LieSO (V)<sup>()</sup>. It follows then that a 2 SO (V). Furtherm ore (1 + a)(1 + s) = 2 is immediate from (1) and hence a 2 SO (V). The map s 7 a is injective since one recovers s from a by (1). Conversely if a 2 SO (V), then s 2 LieSO (V) since  $a^t = a^{-1}$  implies  $s^t = s$ . But this form ula yields (1 + a)(1 + s) = 2 so that s 2 LieSO (V)<sup>()</sup>. Hence the mapping (1) is bijective.

7.14. In general if b 2 EndV is such that 1 + b is invertible we will put

(b) = 
$$\frac{1 \ b}{1 + b}$$

A lso let  $^{2}V^{()}$  be the inverse im age of LieSO (V)  $^{()}$  under the isomorphism  $^{2}V$  ! LieSO (V).

Theorem . Let g 2 Spin (V) . Let the sign of square root be chosen so that

$$pr_0(g) = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}} p \frac{1}{\det(1 + T(g))}$$

(see Theorem (7.10)). Then putting  $c = pr_0$  (g) one has

$$q = c e^{2t}$$

where  $w = {}^{1} ((T(q))) 2 {}^{2}V$ . In particular  $w 2 {}^{2}V ()$ .

Proof. We have ((w)) = T(g). But by proposition (7.11), for any x 2 V, one has  $e^{2w}((1 + (w))x) = ((1 (w))x)e^{2w}$ . Putting y = (1 + (w))x) one therefore has

On the other hand  $gyg^{1} = T(g)(y)$  so that

$$yg^{1} = g^{1}T(g)(y)$$

But the last two equations imply that  $g^{-1}e^{-2w}$  commutes with y. Since y 2 V is arbitrary this implies that  $g^{-1}e^{-2w}$  2 Cent(C (V)) \ C<sup>0</sup>(V). But Cent(C (V)) \ C<sup>0</sup>(V) = C. Hence there exists d 2 C such that de  $^{-2w}$  = g. But by applying pr<sub>0</sub> it follows that d = c.

7.15. We determ ine the generalized C ayley mapping : Spin(V)! LieSpin(V), corresponding to to the representation Spin, for elements g in the Zariski open set Spin(V).

Theorem . Let  $g \ge Spin(V)$  . Let the sign of square root be chosen so that

$$pr_0(g) = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}^p \frac{det(1 + T(g))}{det(1 + T(g))};$$

according to theorem (7.10). Then

$$Spin(g) = 2 pr_0(g)^{-1} ((T(g))) 2^{-2}V$$
:

Thus the generalized Cayley mapping  $_{\text{Spin}}$ : Spin (V)!  $^{2}$ V factors to the classical Cayley transform :SO(V) ! Lie Spin (V)<sup>()</sup>, up to a num erical factor, via the natural identications.

For the degree of the spin representation we have

$$deg(Spin) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if n is even,} \\ n & 1 & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases}$$
Let 2 A (Spin(n;C)) be given by (a) =  $p \frac{p}{det(1 + T(a))} = 2^{n-2} pr_0$  (a). Then
$$Q(Spin(n))^{Spin(n)} = Q(LieSpin(n))^{Spin(n)} [];$$

$$Q(Spin(n)) = Q(LieSpin(n))[];$$

in terms of (3.3).

Proof. By (7.7) one has  $_{\text{Spin}}(g) = pr_2(g)$  but since the exponential in theorem (7.14) is an exponential for exterior multiplication the result follows from this theorem.

Let us now determ ine deg (Spin). Consider the ber of over a generic X in LieSpin (n). Let  $G_o = fa 2$  Spin (n) jdet (1 + T (a)) = 0g. We may assume that X is in the complement of ( $G_o$ ). Then modulo some xed scalar (which can be ignored in determining the cardinality of the ber) if a 2 Spin (n) is in the ber then

 $p = \frac{1}{\det(1 + T (a))} (1 - T (a)) (1 + T (a))^{-1} = X$ :

Put t =  $p \frac{1}{\det(1 + T(a))}$  so that  $(1 T(a))(1 + T(a))^{-1} = X = t$ . Recall that an equation of matrices  $(1 c)(1 + c)^{-1} = d$  is symmetric in c and d and that (1 + c)(1 + d) = 2. Thus

$$(1 + T (a)) (1 + X = t) = 2$$
:

(1)

Taking the determ inant of both sides of (1) one has

(2) 
$$t^2 \det(1 + X = t) = 2^t$$

or

(3) 
$$f_X(t) = \det(t + X) \quad 2^n t^{n-2} = 0$$
:

Comparing (1) and (2) we get  $det(1 + T(a)) = t^2$  which checks with the de nition of t. G iven a non-zero root t of (3) the corresponding T (a) is given by T (a) =  $(1 \times t)(1 + t)^{-1}$ . But t is a speci c square root of det (1 + T (a)) and hence a itself is uniquely de ned.

Now (3) is a polynom ial of degree n in twith leading term t<sup>n</sup> and constant term det(X). If n is even then  $det(X) \in 0$  for generic X and we also may assume that the polynom ial det(t + X) has pairwise di erent roots. Replacing X by a large multiple rX and considering

$$\frac{1}{r^{n}}f_{rX}(t) = \frac{1}{r^{n}} \det(t + rX) \quad 2^{n}t^{n-2} = \det(\frac{t}{r} + X) \quad \frac{2^{n}}{r^{2}}(\frac{t}{r})^{n-2}$$

which approaches det(t=r + X) we see that also the polynom ial (3) has pairwise distinct roots and they are all non-zero since det(X)  $\in$  0.

If n is odd then det(X) = 0 but generically the rank of X is n 1; by the argument above (3) has again pairwise distinct roots for generic X, but one of them is 0 so that there are n 1 di erent non-zero roots.

Let us discuss the adjunction now. We use the above description of the ber of over a generic X. Let us consider the expansion

(4) 
$$f_X(t) = det(t + X) \quad 2^n t^{n-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} p_j(X) t^j;$$

where  $p_j 2 A$  (LieSpin(n))<sup>Spin(n)</sup> form a system of generators with  $p_0(X) = \det(X)$  which is 0 for odd n, and  $p_n(X) = 1$ . We have

$$f_X$$
 () = det( + X)  $2^n t^{n-2} = \sum_{j=0}^{X^n} p_j (X)^{-j} = 0;$ 

since t in the discussion above corresponds to (a), and this is the m inim alpolynomial for over Q (LieSpin(n))<sup>Spin(n)</sup> for n even. For n odd the m inim alpolynom ial is  $f_X$  () = . The reason for this is that  $f_X$  (t) has exactly deg (Spin) m any pairw ise nonzero roots for generic X, in a Zariski dense open set which we may determ ine as the complement to (fg: (g) = 0g).

7.16. The Cayley transform for the -representation of any sem isim ple Lie group. Let G be any simply connected sem isim ple Lie group. One has a hom on orphism

which lifts the adjoint representation. The Lie algebra of Spin (g) is  $^2$ g. Thus for any a 2 G there exists u = u (a) 2  $^2$ g where

(2) 
$$u = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\det(1 + A \, da)} (1 - A \, da) = (1 + A \, da)$$

On the other hand  $^{g}$  is a di erential chain complex with respect to a boundary operator c where c: $^{2}$ g! g is given by c(X  $^{Z}$ ) = [X ; Z ] for X ; Z 2 g.

Theorem. Let G be any simply connected sem is imple Lie group. For the - representation one has up to a xed scalar multiple

(3) 
$$(a) = c(u)$$
:

Proof. A ctually the rst reference establishing that the restriction (using (1)) of the spin representation s of Spin (g) to G is a multiple of the -representation is in reference 9 in [12] (see top paragraph on p. 358 where has been written as g). But our result (2.8.1) on direct sum s (here a multiple of the same representation, thus we may use (2.8.1) for G sem isimple) we may use the restriction = sjG to compute .But then  ${}^{0}$ :g!  ${}^{2}$ g is an injection. Let m be the orthocom plem ent of  ${}^{0}$ (g) in  ${}^{2}$ g so that one has a direct sum  ${}^{2}$ g =  ${}^{0}$ (g) + m with corresponding projection p: ${}^{2}$ g!  ${}^{0}$ (g). Let a 2 G and put X = (a). In the notation of (3) we must prove that X = c(u) up to a scalar multiple. But one clearly has

(4) 
$$^{\circ}(X) = p(u)$$

That is for som e v 2 m one has

(5) 
$$u = v + {}^{0}(X)$$

But now besides the boundary operator c on  $^g$  one has a coboundary operator d on  $^g$ . See section 2 in [10] where 0 in [10] is written as chere. O ne has d :g !  $^2g$ . The hom om orphism <sup>0</sup> is in (69) of [12]. By (106) in [12] one has <sup>0</sup> = d=2, so that (4) becomes

(6) 
$$d(X) = 2 = p(u)$$

But by (94) in [12] one has that dc + cd is 1/2 times the Casim ir operator on  $^g$ . But the Casim ir is norm alized so that it takes the value 1 on g. How ever c vanishes on  $g = ^1g$ . Thus cd = 1=2 on g. Thus upon applying c to (6) yields

(7) 
$$X = 4 = c(pu)$$
:

On the other hand m is the kernel of c on  $^2$ g by (4.4.4) in [10]. Thus c vanishes on v in (5) and by applying c to both sides of (5) one has c(u) = c(pu) and hence X = 4 = c(u). But this is just (3) up to a scalar.

### References

- [1] Bardsley, Peter; Richardson, R.W., Etale slices for algebraic transform ation groups in characteristic p., Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., I. Ser. 51 (1985), 295-317.
- [2] A.Borel, Linear Algebraic groups, W.A.Benjamin, 1969.
- [3] C.Chevalley, The Algebraic Theory of Spinors, Columbia Univ. Press, New York, 1954.
- [4] Dynkin, E.B., Sem isim ple subalgebras of sem isim ple Lie algebras, M at. Sbornik Nov. Ser.
   30 (1952), 349{462; English transl. in AM S Transl. II. Ser 6 (1957), 111-243.
- [5] G orbatsevich, V.V.; O nishchik, A L.; V inberg, E B., Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Lie groups and Lie algebras III (A L.O nishchik, E B.V inberg, eds.), Encyclopedia of M athem atical Sciences 41, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, etc., 1994.
- [6] J.Hum phrey, Linear Algebraic groups, GTM 21, Springer-Verlag, 1975, 1981.

- [7] Kostant, Bertram, The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group, Amer. J. M ath. 81 (1959), 973-1032.
- [8] Kostant, Bertram, Lie group representations on polynom ial rings, Bull. Amer. M ath. Soc.
   69 (1963), 518-526.
- K ostant, B ertram, L ie group representations on polynom ialrings, Am er. J.M ath. 85 (1963), 327-404.
- [10] Kostant, Bertram, Eigenvalues of a Laplacian and commutative Lie subalgebras, Topology 3 (1965), 147-159.
- [11] Kostant, Bertram, On Convexity, the W eyl group and the Iwasawa decomposition, Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 6 (1973), 413-455.
- [12] Kostant, Bertram, Cli ord Algebra Analogue of the Hopf-Koszul-Sam elson Theorem, the -decom position C (g) = EndV C (P), and the g-m odule structure of ^ g, Adv.ofM ath. 125 (1997), 275-350.
- [13] Lipschitz, R., Correspondence, Ann. Math. 69 (1959), 247-251.
- [14] Luna, D., Slices etales, Bull. Soc. M ath. France M em oire 33 (1973), 81{105.
- [15] Richardson, R.W., The conjugating representation of a sem isim ple group, Invent. M ath. 54 (1979), 229-245.
- [16] Richardson, R.W., An application of the Serre conjecture to sem isim ple algebraic groups, A lgebra, Carbondale 1980, Lecture Notes in Math., 848, Springer-Verlag, 1981, pp.141-151.
- [17] Springer, T.A., The unipotent variety of a sem isim ple group, A lgebr. G eom., B om bay Colloq. 1968, 1969, pp. 373-391.
- [18] Steinberg, Robert, Regular elements of sem isim ple algebraic groups, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. M ath. 25 (1965), 49-80.
- [19] Steinberg, Robert, Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups. Notes by Vinay V. Deodhar, Lecture Notes in M athematics, Vol. 36, Springer-Verlag, 1974, pp. vi+ 159.

B.Kostant: MIT, Department of M athematics, Cambridge, M A 02139-4307, USA E-m ailaddress: kostant@math.mit.edu

P.W .M ichor: Erw in Schrodinger Institute of M athematical Physics, Boltzmanngasse 9, A-1090 W ien, Austria, and: Institut fur M athematik, Universitat W ien, Strudlhofgasse 4, A-1090 W ien, Austria

E-m ailaddress: Peter.Michor@esi.ac.at