

UNITARY TRIDIAGONALISATION IN $M(4; \mathbb{C})$

VISHWAMBHAR PATI

Abstract. A question of interest in linear algebra is whether all $n \times n$ complex matrices can be unitarily tridiagonalised. The answer for all $n \neq 4$ (affirmative or negative) has been known for a while, whereas the case $n = 4$ seems to have remained open. In this paper we settle the $n = 4$ case in the affirmative. Some machinery from complex algebraic geometry needs to be used.

1. Main Theorem

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the usual euclidean hermitian inner product on V . $U(V) = U(n)$ denotes the group of unitary automorphisms of V with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ will denote the standard orthonormal basis of V . A 2×2 matrix $A \in M(n; \mathbb{C})$ will always denote a \mathbb{C} -linear transformation of V .

A matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is said to be tridiagonal if $a_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ such that $|i - j| \geq 2$.

Then we have:

Theorem 1.1. For $n \geq 4$, and $A \in M(n; \mathbb{C})$, there exists a unitary $U \in U(n)$ such that UAU^* is tridiagonal.

Remark 1.2. The case $n = 3$, and counterexamples for $n \geq 6$, are due to Longstaffe [4]. In the paper [2], Fong and Wu construct counterexamples for $n = 5$, and provide a proof in certain special cases for $n = 4$. The article [4] of [2] poses the $n = 4$ case in general as an open question. Our main theorem above answers this question in the affirmative. In passing, we also provide another elementary proof for the $n = 3$ case.

2. Some Lemmas

We need some preliminary lemmas, which we collect in this section. In the sequel, we will also use the letter A to denote the unique linear transformation determined by A .

Lemma 2.1. Let $A \in M(n; \mathbb{C})$. For all n , the following are equivalent:

(i): There exists a unitary $U \in U(n)$ such that UAU^* is tridiagonal.

(ii): There exists a flag (= ascending sequence of \mathbb{C} -subspaces) of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$:

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W_n = V$$

such that $\dim W_i = i$; $AW_i \subset W_{i+1}$ and $AW_i \not\subset W_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

(iii): There exists a flag in V :

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset W_2 \subset \cdots \subset W_n = V$$

such that $\dim W_i = i$; $AW_i \subset W_{i+1}$ and $AW_i \not\subset W_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Set $W_i = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i\}$, where $f_i = U e_i$ and e_i is the standard basis of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Since the matrix $[a_{ij}] = UAU^*$ is tridiagonal, we have:

$$A f_i = b_{i-1,i} f_{i-1} + b_{ii} f_i + b_{i+1,i} f_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

¹accepted for publication in the Proc. Indian. Acad. Sci. (Math. Sc.)

(where b_{ij} is understood to be $= 0$ for $i = 0$ or $j = n + 1$). Thus $AW_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$. Since $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis for $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, we also have:

$$Af_i = \bar{b}_{i,i-1} f_{i-1} + \bar{b}_{ii} f_i + \bar{b}_{i,i+1} f_{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

which shows $AW_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$ for all i as well, and (ii) follows.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)

$AW_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$ implies $(AW_i)^\perp \subseteq W_{i+1}^\perp$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. But since $(AW_i)^\perp = A^{-1}(W_i^\perp)$, we have $A(W_{i+1}^\perp) \subseteq W_i^\perp$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and (iii) follows.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i)

Inductively choose an orthonormal basis f_1 of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ so that W_1 is the span of $\{f_1\}$. Since $AW_1 \subseteq W_2$, we have:

$$Af_1 = a_{11}f_1 + a_{21}f_2 + \dots + a_{n+1,1}f_{n+1} \quad (1)$$

Since $f_2 \in (W_1)^\perp$, and by hypothesis $AW_1^\perp \subseteq W_2^\perp$, and $W_2^\perp = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{f_2, \dots, f_n\}$, we also have

$$Af_1 = a_{11}f_1 + a_{n+1,1}f_{n+1} \quad (2)$$

and by comparing the two equations (1), (2) above, it follows that

$$Af_1 = a_{11}f_1 + a_{n+1,1}f_{n+1}$$

for all i , and defining the unitary U by $Ue_i = f_i$ makes UAU tridiagonal, so that (i) follows. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let $n \geq 4$. If there exists a 2-dimensional \mathbb{C} -subspace W of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $AW \subseteq W$ and $A(W^\perp) \subseteq W^\perp$, then A is unitarily tridiagonalisable.

Proof: If $n = 2$, there is nothing to prove. For $n = 3$ or 4 , the hypothesis implies that A maps W^\perp into itself. Then, in an orthonormal basis $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of V which satisfies $W = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{f_1, f_2\}$ and $W^\perp = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{f_3, \dots, f_n\}$ the matrix of A is in $(1;2)$ (resp. $(2;2)$) block-diagonal form for $n = 3$ (resp. $n = 4$), which is clearly tridiagonal. \square

Lemma 2.3. Every matrix $A \in M(3; \mathbb{C})$ is unitarily tridiagonalisable.

Proof:

For $A \in M(3; \mathbb{C})$, consider the homogeneous cubic polynomial in $v = (v_1; v_2; v_3)$ given by:

$$F(v_1; v_2; v_3) = \det(v; Av; A^2v)$$

Note $v \wedge Av \wedge A^2v = F(v_1; v_2; v_3)e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3$. By a standard result in dimension theory (see [1], p. 74, Theorem 5) each irreducible component of $V(F) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is of dimension ≥ 1 , and $V(F)$ is non-empty. Choose some $[v_1 : v_2 : v_3] \in V(F)$, and let $v = (v_1; v_2; v_3)$ which is non-zero. Then we have the two cases:

Case 1: v is a common eigenvector for A and A^2 . Then the 2-dimensional subspace $W = (\mathbb{C}v)^\perp$ is an invariant subspace for both A and A^2 , and applying the lemma 2.2 to W yields the result.

Case 2: v is not a common eigenvector for A and A^2 . Say it is not an eigenvector for A (otherwise interchange the roles of A and A^2). Set $W_1 = \mathbb{C}v$; $W_2 = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{v, Av\}$; $W_3 = V = \mathbb{C}^3$. Then $\dim W_i = i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and the fact that $v \wedge Av \wedge A^2v = 0$ shows that $AW_1 \subseteq W_2$. Thus, by (ii) of lemma 2.1, we are done. \square

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ from now on, and $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 2.4. If A and A^2 have a common eigenvector, then A is unitarily tridiagonalisable.

Proof: If $v \neq 0$ is a common eigenvector for A and A^2 , the 3-dimensional subspace $W = (\mathbb{C}v)^\perp$ is invariant under both A and A^2 , and unitary tridiagonalisation of $A|_W$ exists from the $n = 3$ case of the lemma 2.3 by a $U_1 \in U(W) = U(3)$. The unitary $U = 1 \oplus U_1$ is the desired unitary in $U(4)$ tridiagonalising A . \square

Lemma 2.5. If the main theorem holds for all $A \in S$, where S is any dense (in the classical topology) subset of $M(4; \mathbb{C})$, then it holds for all $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$.

Proof:

This is a consequence of the compactness of the unitary group $U(4)$. Indeed, let T denote the closed subset of tridiagonal (with respect to the standard basis) matrices.

Let $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$ be any general element. By the density of S , there exist $A_n \in S$ such that $A_n \rightarrow A$. By hypothesis, there are unitaries $U_n \in U(4)$ such that $U_n A_n U_n^* = T_n$, where T_n are tridiagonal. By the compactness of $U(4)$, and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $U_n \rightarrow U \in U(4)$. Then $U_n A_n U_n^* \rightarrow U A U^*$. That is $T_n \rightarrow U A U^*$. Since T is closed, and $T_n \in T$, we have $U A U^* \in T$, viz., is tridiagonal. 2

We shall now construct a suitable dense open subset $S \subset M(4; \mathbb{C})$, and prove tridiagonalisability for a general $A \in S$ in the remainder of this paper. More precisely:

Lemma 2.6. There is a dense open subset $S \subset M(4; \mathbb{C})$ such that:

- (i): A is nonsingular for all $A \in S$.
- (ii): A has distinct eigenvalues for all $A \in S$.
- (iii): For each $A \in S$, the element $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2) \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$ has rank ≥ 3 for all $(t_0; t_1; t_2) \in (0; 0; 0)$.

Proof: The subset of singular matrices in $M(4; \mathbb{C})$ is the complex algebraic subvariety of complex codimension one defined by $Z_1 = \{A : \det A = 0\}$. Let S_1 , (which is just $GL(4; \mathbb{C})$) be its complement. Clearly S_1 is open and dense in the classical topology (in fact, also in the Zariski topology).

A matrix A has distinct eigenvalues iff its characteristic polynomial χ_A has distinct roots. This happens iff the discriminant polynomial of χ_A , which is a 4-th degree homogeneous polynomial $\Delta(A)$ in the entries of A , is not zero. The zero set $Z_2 = \{A : \Delta(A) = 0\}$ is again a codimension-1 subvariety in $M(4; \mathbb{C})$, so its complement $S_2 = (Z_2)^c$ is open and dense in both the classical and Zariski topologies.

To enforce (iii), we claim that the set defined by

$$Z_3 = \{A \in M(4; \mathbb{C}) : \text{rank}(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2) \leq 2 \text{ for some } (t_0; t_1; t_2) \in (0; 0; 0)\}$$

is a proper real algebraic subset of $M(4; \mathbb{C})$. The proof hinges on the fact that three general cubic curves in $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ having a point in common imposes an algebraic condition on their coefficients.

Indeed, saying that $\text{rank}(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2) \leq 2$ for some $(t_0; t_1; t_2) \in (0; 0; 0)$ is equivalent to saying that the third exterior power $\wedge^3(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ is the zero map, for some $(t_0; t_1; t_2) \neq 0$. This is equivalent to demanding that there exist a $(t_0; t_1; t_2) \neq 0$ such that the determinants of all the 3×3 minors of $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ are zero.

Note that the (determinants of) the (3×3) -minors of $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$, denoted as $M_{ij}(A; t)$ (where the i -th row and j -th column are deleted) are complex valued, complex algebraic and \mathbb{C} -homogeneous of degree 3 in $t = (t_0; t_1; t_2)$, real algebraic and \mathbb{R} -homogeneous of degree 3 in the variables $(\text{Re } A_{ij}; \text{Im } A_{ij})$ (or, equivalently, in $\text{Re } A_{ij}; \text{Im } A_{ij}$), where $A = [A_{ij}]$.

We know that the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 with complex coefficients in $(t_0; t_1; t_2)$ (upto scaling) is parametrised by the projective space $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ (the Veronese variety, see [1], p.52). We first consider the complex algebraic variety:

$$X = \{(P; Q; R; [t]) \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^9 \times \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2 : P(t) = Q(t) = R(t) = 0\}$$

where $[t] = [t_0 : t_1 : t_2]$, and $(P; Q; R)$ denotes a triple of homogeneous polynomials. This is just the subset of those $(P; Q; R; [t])$ in the product $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^9 \times \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the point $[t]$ lies on all three of the plane cubic curves $V(P); V(Q); V(R)$. Since X is defined by bihomogeneous degree (1,3) equations, it is a complex

algebraic subvariety of the quadruple product. Its image under the first projection $Y := \pi_1(X) \subset P_C^9 \times P_C^9 \times P_C^9$ is therefore an algebraic subvariety inside this triple product (see [1], p. 58, Theorem 3). Y is a proper subvariety because, for example, the cubic polynomials $P = t_0^3; Q = t_1^3; R = t_2^3$ have no common non-zero root.

Denote pairs $(i; j)$ with $1 \leq i; j \leq 4$ by capital letters like $I; J; K$ etc. From the minorial determinants $M_I(A; t)$, we can define various real algebraic maps:

$$\pi_{IJK} : M(4; C) \rightarrow P_C^9 \times P_C^9 \times P_C^9 \\ A \mapsto (M_I(A; t); M_J(A; t); M_K(A; t))$$

for $I; J; K$ distinct. Clearly, $\sum_{I; J; K} (t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A) = 0$ for some $t = (t_0; t_1; t_2) \in (0; 0; 0)$ if $\pi_{IJK}(A)$ lies in the complex algebraic subvariety Y of $P_C^9 \times P_C^9 \times P_C^9$, for all $I; J; K$ distinct. Hence the subset $Z_3 \subset M(4; C)$ defined above is the intersection:

$$Z_3 = \bigcap_{I; J; K} \pi_{IJK}^{-1}(Y)$$

where $I; J; K$ runs over all distinct triples of pairs $(i; j); 1 \leq i; j \leq 4$.

We claim that Z_3 is a proper real algebraic subset of $M(4; C)$. Clearly, since each $M_I(A; t)$ is real algebraic in the variables $\operatorname{Re} A_{ij}; \operatorname{Im} A_{ij}$ the map π_{IJK} is real algebraic. Since Y is complex and hence real algebraic, its inverse image $\pi_{IJK}^{-1}(Y)$, defined by the real algebraic equations obtained upon substitution of the components $M_I(A; t); M_J(A; t); M_K(A; t)$ in the equations that define Y , is also real algebraic. Hence the set Z_3 is a real algebraic subset of $M(4; C)$.

To see that Z_3 is a proper subset of $M(4; C)$, we simply consider the matrix (defined with respect to the standard orthonormal basis $e_i; i=1$ of C^4):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $t = (t_0; t_1; t_2) \in (0; 0; 0)$, we see that:

$$t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & t_0 & t_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 6 & t_2 & t_0 & t_1 & 0 \\ 6 & 0 & t_2 & t_0 & t_1 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & t_2 & t_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t_2 & t_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For this matrix above, the minorial determinant $M_{41}(A; t) = t_1^3$, whereas $M_{14}(A; t) = t_2^3$. The only common zeros to these two minorial determinants are points $[t_0 : 0 : 0]$. Setting $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ in the matrix above gives $M_{ii}(A; t) = t_0^3$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Thus t_0 must also be 0 for all the minorial determinants to vanish. Hence the matrix A above lies outside the real algebraic set Z_3 .

It is well known that a proper real algebraic subset in euclidean space cannot have a non-empty interior. Thus the complement Z_3^c is dense and open in the classical and real-Zariski topologies. Take $S_3 = Z_3^c$.

Finally, set

$$S := S_1 \setminus S_2 \setminus S_3 = \bigcup_{i=1}^3 Z_i^c$$

which is also open and dense in the classical topology in $M(4; C)$. Hence the lemma. 2

Remark 2.7. One should note here that for each matrix $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$, there will be at least a curve of points $[t] = [t_0 : t_1 : t_2] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$ (defined by the vanishing of $\det(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$, on which $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ is singular. Similarly for each A there is at least a curve of points on which the trace $\text{tr}^{V^3}(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ vanishes, and so a non-empty (and generally a finite) set on which both these polynomials vanish, by dimension theory ([1], Theorem 5, p. 74). Thus for each $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$, there is at least a non-empty finite set of points $[t]$ such that $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ has 0 as a repeated eigenvalue. For example, for the matrix A constructed at the end of the previous lemma, we see that the matrix $(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ is strictly upper-triangular and thus has 0 as an eigenvalue of multiplicity 4 for all $(0; t_1; 0) \neq 0$, but nevertheless has rank 3 for all $(t_0; t_1; t_2) \neq (0; 0; 0)$.

Indeed, as (iii) of the lemma above shows, for A in the open dense subset S , the kernel $\ker(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)$ is at most 1-dimensional for all $[t] = [t_0 : t_1 : t_2] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^2$.

3. The varieties C ; \tilde{C} ; and D

Notation 3.1. In the light of the lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 above, we shall henceforth assume $A \in S$. As is easily verified, this implies $A^2 \in S$ as well. We will also henceforth assume, in view of lemma 2.4 above, that A and A^2 have no common eigenvectors. (For example, this rules out A being normal, in which case we know that the main result for A is true by the spectral theorem). Also, in view of lemma 2.2, we shall assume that A and A^2 do not have a common 2-dimensional invariant subspace.

In $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$, the complex projective space of $V = \mathbb{C}^4$, we denote the equivalence class of $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ by $[v]$. For a $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$, we define $W([v])$ (or simply $W(v)$ when no confusion is likely) by:

$$W([v]) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{v; Av; A^2v\}$$

Since we are assuming that A and A^2 have no common eigenvectors, we have $\dim W([v]) = 3$ for all $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$.

Denote the four distinct points in $\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$ representing the four distinct eigenvectors of A (resp. A^2) by E (resp. E^2). By our assumption above, $E \cap E^2 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A \in M(4; \mathbb{C})$ be as in 3.1 above. Then the closed subset:

$$C = \{[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3 : v \wedge Av \wedge A^2v = 0\}$$

is a closed projective variety. This variety C is precisely the subset of $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$ for which the dimension $\dim W([v]) = \dim(\mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{v; Av; A^2v\})$ is exactly 2.

Proof: That C is a closed projective variety is clear from the fact that it is defined as the set of common zeros of all the four (3×3) -minors of the (3×4) -matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & Av & A^2v \\ v & Av & A^2v \\ v & Av & A^2v \end{pmatrix}$$

(which are all degree-3 homogeneous polynomials in the components of v with respect to some basis). Also C is nonempty since it contains $E \cup E^2$.

Also, since A and A^2 are nonsingular by the assumptions in 3.1, the wedge product $v \wedge Av \wedge A^2v$ of the three non-zero vectors $v; Av; A^2v$ vanishes precisely when the space $W([v]) = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{v; Av; A^2v\}$ is of dimension 2. Since by 3.1, A, A^2 have no common eigenvectors, the dimension $\dim W([v]) = 3$ for all $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$, so C is precisely the locus of $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_\mathbb{C}^3$ for which the space $W([v])$ is 2-dimensional. 2

Now we shall show that for A as in 3.1, the variety C defined above is of pure dimension one. For this, we need to define some more associated algebraic varieties and regular maps.

Definition 3.3. Let us define the bilinear map:

$$B : C^4 \times C^3 \rightarrow C^4 \\ (v; t_0; t_1; t_2) \mapsto B(v; t) = (t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)v$$

We then have the linear maps $B(v; \cdot) : C^3 \rightarrow C^4$ for $v \in C^4$ and $B(\cdot; t) : C^4 \rightarrow C^4$ for $t \in C^3$.

Note that the image $\text{Im } B(v; \cdot)$ is the span of $fv; Av; A^2v$, which was defined to be $W(v)$. For a fixed t , denote the kernel

$$K(t) = \ker(B(\cdot; t) : C^4 \rightarrow C^4)$$

Denoting $[t_0 : t_1 : t_2]$ by $[t]$ and $[v_1 : v_2 : v_3 : v_4]$ by $[v]$ for brevity, we define:

$$f([v]; [t]) \in P_C^3 \times P_C^2 : B(v; t) = 0$$

Finally, define the variety D by:

$$D \subset P_C^2 = f([t]) \in P_C^2 : \det B(\cdot; t) = \det(t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2) = 0$$

Let

$$\pi_1 : P_C^3 \times P_C^2 \rightarrow P_C^3; \quad \pi_2 : P_C^3 \times P_C^2 \rightarrow P_C^2$$

denote the two projections.

Lemma 3.4. We have the following facts:

(i): $\pi_1^{-1}(\cdot) = C$, and $\pi_2^{-1}(\cdot) = D$.

(ii): $\pi_1 : C \rightarrow P_C^3$ is 1-1, and the map g defined by

$$g = \pi_2 \circ \pi_1^{-1} : C \rightarrow D$$

is a regular map so that C is the graph of g and isomorphic as a variety to C .

(iii): $D \subset P_C^2$ is a plane curve, of pure dimension one. The map $\pi_2 : D \rightarrow P_C^2$ is 1-1, and the map $\pi_1 \circ \pi_2^{-1} : D \rightarrow C$ is the regular inverse of the regular map g defined above in (ii). A gain C is also the graph of this regular inverse g^{-1} , and D and C are isomorphic as varieties. In particular, C and D are isomorphic as varieties, and thus C is a curve in P_C^3 of pure dimension one.

(iv): Inside $P_C^3 \times P_C^2$, each irreducible component of the intersection of the four divisors $D_i = (B_i(v; t) = 0)$ for $i = 1; 2; 3; 4$ (where $B_i(v; t)$ is the i -th component of $B(v; t)$ with respect to a fixed basis of C^4) occurs with multiplicity 1. (Note that C is set-theoretically the intersection of these four divisors, by definition).

Proof:

It is clear that $\pi_1^{-1}(\cdot) = C$, because $B(v; t) = t_0 v + t_1 Av + t_2 A^2 v = 0$ for some $[t_0 : t_1 : t_2] \in P_C^2$ if $\dim W(v) \leq 2$, and since A and A^2 have no common eigenvectors, this means $\dim W(v) = 2$. That is, $[v] \in C$.

Clearly $[t] \in \pi_2^{-1}(\cdot)$ if there exists a $[v] \in P_C^3$ such that $B(v; t) = 0$. That is, if $\dim \ker B(\cdot; t) \geq 1$, that is, if

$$G(t_0; t_1; t_2) = \det B(\cdot; t) = 0$$

Thus $D = \pi_2^{-1}(\cdot)$ and is defined by a single degree 4 homogeneous polynomial G inside P_C^2 . It is a curve of pure dimension 1 in P_C^2 by standard dimension theory (see [1], p. 74, Theorem 5) because, for example $[1 : 0 : 0] \notin D$ so $D \not\subset P_C^2$. So $\pi_2^{-1}(\cdot) = D$, and this proves (i).

To see (ii), for a given $[v] \in C$, we claim there is exactly one $[t]$ such that $([v]; [t]) \in C$. Note that $([v]; [t]) \in C$ if the linear map:

$$B(v; \cdot) : C^3 \rightarrow C^4 \\ t \mapsto (t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A^2)v$$

has a non-trivial kernel containing the line Ct . That is, $\dim \operatorname{Im} B(v; t) = 2$. But the image $\operatorname{Im} B(v; t) = W(v)$, which is of dimension 2 for all $v \in C$ by our assumptions. Thus its kernel must be exactly one dimensional, defined by $\ker B(v; t) = Ct$. Thus $([v]; [t])$ is the unique point in $\pi^{-1}([v])$, viz. for each $[v] \in C$, the vertical line $[v] \times \mathbb{P}_C^2$ intersects π in a single point, call it $([v]; g[v])$. So $\pi_1 : \pi^{-1}C \rightarrow C$ is 1-1, and π is the graph of a map $g : C \rightarrow D$. Since $g([v]) = \pi_2^{-1}([v])$ for $[v] \in C$, and π is algebraic, g is a regular map. This proves (ii).

To see (iii), note that for $[t] \in D$, by definition, the dimension $\dim \ker B(\cdot; t) = 1$. By the fact that $A \in S$, and (iii) of the lemma 2.6, we know that $\dim \ker B(\cdot; t) = 1$ for all $[t] \in \mathbb{P}_C^2$. Thus, denoting $K(t) = \ker B(\cdot; t)$ for $[t] \in D$, we have:

$$\dim K(t) = 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in D \quad (3)$$

Hence we see that the unique projective line $[v]$ corresponding to $Cv = K(t)$ yields the unique element of C , such that $([v]; [t]) \in \pi$. Thus $\pi_2 : \pi^{-1}D \rightarrow D$ is 1-1, and the regular map $\pi_1^{-1} : D \rightarrow C$ is the regular inverse to the map g of (ii) above. π is thus also the graph of g^{-1} and, in particular, is isomorphic to D . Since g is an isomorphism of curves, and D is of pure dimension 1, it follows that C is of pure dimension one. This proves (iii).

To see (iv), we need some more notation.

Note that $D \subset \mathbb{P}_C^2 \cap \{[I; 0; 0]g\}$, (because there exists no $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_C^3$ such that $I \cdot v = 0$). Thus there is a regular map:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : D &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^1 \\ [t_0 : t_1 : t_2] &\mapsto [t_1 : t_2] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let $(t_1; t_2)$ be the discriminant polynomial of the characteristic polynomial $t_1 A + t_2 A$ of $t_1 A + t_2 A$. Clearly $(t_1; t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in $(t_1; t_2)$, and it is not the zero polynomial because, for example, $(1; 0) \notin 0$, for $(1; 0)$ is the discriminant of A , which has distinct roots (= the distinct eigenvalues of A) by the assumptions 3.1 on A . Let π_C^{-1} be the zero locus of π , which is a finite set of points. Note that the fibre $\pi^{-1}([1 : 0])$ consists of all $[t : 1 : 0] \in D$ such that t is an eigenvalue of $A + A$, which are at most four in number. Similarly the fibres $\pi^{-1}([0 : 1])$ are also finite. Thus the subset of D defined by:

$$F = \pi^{-1}(\pi_C^{-1})$$

is a finite subset of D . F is precisely the set of points $[t] = [t_0 : t_1 : t_2]$ such that $B(\cdot; t) = (t_0 I + t_1 A + t_2 A)$ has 0 as a repeated eigenvalue.

Since $\pi_2 : \pi^{-1}D \rightarrow D$ is 1-1, the inverse image:

$$F_1 = \pi_2^{-1}(F)$$

is a finite subset of $\pi^{-1}D$.

We will now prove that for each irreducible component π_i of $\pi^{-1}F_1$, and each point $x = ([a]; [b])$ in $\pi_i \cap F_1$, the four equations $fB_i(v; t) = 0$ are the generators of the ideal of the variety π_i in a neighbourhood of x , where $B_i(v; t)$ are the components of $B(v; t)$ with respect to a fixed basis of \mathbb{C}^4 . Since F_1 is a finite set, this will prove (iv), because the multiplicity of π_i in the intersection cycle of the four divisors $D_i = (B_i(v; t) = 0)$ in $\mathbb{P}_C^3 \times \mathbb{P}_C^2$ is determined by generic points on π_i , for example all points of $\pi_i \cap F_1$. We will prove this by showing that for $x = ([a]; [b]) \in \pi_i \cap F_1$, the four divisors $(B_i(v; t) = 0)$ intersect transversely at x .

So let π_i be some irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}F_1$, with $x = ([a]; [b]) \in \pi_i \cap F_1$.

Fix an $a \in \mathbb{C}^4$ representing $[a] \in C = \pi_1^{-1}([a])$, and also $x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ representing $[b] = g([a]) \in g(C)$. Also x a 3-dimensional linear complement $V_1 = T_{[a]}(\mathbb{P}_C^3) \cap \mathbb{C}^4$ to a and similarly, x a 2-dimensional linear complement $V_2 = T_{[b]}(\mathbb{P}_C^2) \cap \mathbb{C}^3$ to b . (The notation comes from the fact that $T_{[v]}(\mathbb{P}_C^n) \cap \mathbb{C}^{n+1} = Cv$, which we are identifying non-canonically with these respective complements V_i). These complements also provide local coordinates in the respective projective spaces as follows. Set coordinate charts π_i on around $[a] \in \mathbb{P}_C^3$ by $[v] = (u) = [a + u]$, and around $[b] \in \mathbb{P}_C^2$ by $[t] = (s) = [b + s]$, where $u \in V_1 \cap \mathbb{C}^3$, and $s \in V_2 \cap \mathbb{C}^2$. The images (V_1) and (V_2) are a neighbourhoods of $[a]$ and $[b]$ respectively. These charts are like stereographic

projection' onto the tangent space and depend on the initial choice of a (resp. b) representing $[a]$ (resp. $[b]$), and are not the standard coordinate systems on projective space, but more convenient for our purposes.

Then the local affine representation of B ($v; t$) on the affine open $V_1 \cup V_2 = \mathbb{C}^3 \cup \mathbb{C}^2$, which we denote by π , is given by:

$$(u; s) \mapsto B(a + u; b + s)$$

Note that $\ker B(a; \cdot) = \mathbb{C}b$, where $[b] = g([a])$, so that $B(a; \cdot)$ passes to the quotient as an isomorphism:

$$B(a; \cdot) : V_2 \xrightarrow{g} W([a]) \quad (5)$$

where $W([a])$ is 2-dimensional.

Similarly, since $B(\cdot; b)$ has one dimensional kernel $\mathbb{C}a = K([b]) \subset \mathbb{C}^4$, by (3) above, we also have the other isomorphism:

$$B(\cdot; b) : V_1 \xrightarrow{g} \text{Im } B(\cdot; b) \quad (6)$$

where $\text{Im } B(\cdot; b)$ is 3-dimensional, therefore.

Now one can easily calculate the derivative $D_{(0;0)}$ of π at $(u; s) = (0; 0)$. Let $(X; Y) \in V_1 \cup V_2$. Then, by bilinearity of B , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (X; Y) \quad (0; 0) &= B(a + X; b + Y) - B(a; b) \\ &= B(X; b) + B(a; Y) + B(X; Y) \end{aligned}$$

Now since $B(X; Y)$ is quadratic, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(0;0)} : V_1 \cup V_2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4 \\ (X; Y) &\mapsto B(X; b) + B(a; Y) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By the equations (5) and (6) above, we see that the image of $D_{(0;0)}$ is precisely $\text{Im } B(\cdot; b) + W([a])$.

Claim : For $([a]; [b]) \in nF_1$, the space $\text{Im } B(\cdot; b) + W([a])$ is all of \mathbb{C}^4 .

Proof of Claim : Denote $T \mapsto B(\cdot; b)$ for brevity. Clearly $a \in W([a])$ by definition of $W([a])$. Also, $a \in \ker T = K([b])$. Then it is enough to show that $0 \notin a$ is not in the image of T . For, if $a \in \text{Im } T$, we would have $a = Tw$ for some $w \in K([b]) = \ker T$ and $w \neq 0$. In fact w is not a multiple of a since $Tw = a \neq 0$ whereas $a \in \ker T$. Thus we would have $T^2w = 0$, and completing $e_1 = a = Tw; e_2 = w$ to a basis $fe_i g_{i=1}^4$ of \mathbb{C}^4 , the matrix of T with respect to such a basis would be of the form:

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{matrix} 2 & 3 \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 0 \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad \begin{matrix} 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{matrix}$$

Thus $T = B(\cdot; b)$ would have 0 as a repeated eigenvalue. But we have stipulated that $([a]; [b]) \notin F_1$, so that $[b] \notin F$, and hence $B(\cdot; b)$ does not have 0 as a repeated eigenvalue. Hence the non-zero vector $a \in W([a])$ is not in $\text{Im } T$. Since $\text{Im } T$ is 3-dimensional, we have $\mathbb{C}^4 = \text{Im } T + W([a])$, and this proves the claim. 2

In conclusion, all the points of nF_1 are in fact smooth points of π , and the local equations for π in a small neighbourhood of such a point are precisely the four equations $\pi_i(u; s) = 0, 1 \leq i \leq 4$. This proves (iv), and the lemma. 2

4. Some algebraic bundles

We construct an algebraic line bundle with a (regular) global section over C . By showing that this line bundle has positive degree, we will conclude that the section has zeroes in C . Any zero of this section will yield a flag of the kind required by lemma 2.1. One of the technical complications is that none of the bundles we define below are allowed to use the hermitian metric on V , orthogonal complements, orthonormal bases etc., because we wish to remain in the \mathbb{C} -algebraic category. As a general reference for this section and the next, the reader may consult [3].

Definition 4.1. For $0 \neq v \in V = \mathbb{C}^4$, we will denote the point $[v] \in \mathbb{P}_C^3$ by v , whenever no confusion is likely, to simplify notation. We have already denoted the vector subspace $\mathbb{C}\text{-span}\{v; Av; A^2v\} \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ as $W(v)$. Furthermore define $W_3(v) := W(v) + AW(v)$, and $\tilde{W}_3(v) := W(v) + A^\perp W(v)$. Clearly both $W_3(v)$ and $\tilde{W}_3(v)$ contain $W(v)$.

Since A and A^\perp have no common eigenvectors, we have $\dim W(v) = 2$ for all $v \in \mathbb{P}_C^3$, and $\dim W(v) = 2$ for all $v \in C$, because of the defining equation $v^\perp Av^\perp A^\perp v = 0$ of C . Also, since $\dim W(v) = 2 = \dim AW(v)$ for $v \in C$, and since $0 \neq Av \in W(v) \setminus AW(v)$, we have $\dim W_3(v) = 3$ for all $v \in C$. Similarly $\dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 3$ for all $v \in C$.

If there exists a $v \in C$ such that $\dim W_3(v) = 2$, then we are done. For, in this case $W_3(v)$ must equal $W(v)$ since it contains $W(v)$. Then the dimension $\dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 2$ or $= 3$. If it is 2, $W(v)$ will be a 2-dimensional invariant space for both A and A^\perp , and the main theorem will follow by lemma 2.2. If $\dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 3$, then the flag:

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 = \mathbb{C}v \subset W_2 = W(v) \subset W_3 = \tilde{W}_3(v) \subset W_4 = V$$

satisfies the requirements of (ii) in the lemma 2.1, and we are done. Similarly, if there exists a $v \in C$ with $\dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 2$, we are again done. Hence we may assume that:

$$\dim W_3(v) = \dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 3 \quad \text{for all } v \in C \quad (8)$$

In the light of the above:

Remark 4.2. We are reduced to the situation where the following condition holds:

For each $v \in C$, $\dim W(v) = 2$; $\dim W_3(v) = \dim \tilde{W}_3(v) = 3$.

Now our main task is to prove that there exists a $v \in C$ such that the two 3-dimensional subspaces $W_3(v)$ and $\tilde{W}_3(v)$ are the same. In that event, the flag

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 = \mathbb{C}v \subset W_2 = W(v) \subset W_3 = W(v) + AW(v) = W(v) + A^\perp W(v) \subset W_4 = V$$

will meet the requirements of (ii) of the lemma 2.1. The remainder of this discussion is aimed at proving this.

Definition 4.3. Denote the trivial rank 4 algebraic bundle on \mathbb{P}_C^3 by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}^4$, with fibre $V = \mathbb{C}^4$ at each point (following standard algebraic geometry notation). Similarly, \mathcal{O}_C^4 is the trivial bundle on C . In $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}^4$, there is the tautological line-subbundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1)$, whose fibre at v is $\mathbb{C}v$. Its restriction to the curve C is denoted as $W_1 := \mathcal{O}_C(-1)$.

There are also the line subbundles $A\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1)$ (respectively $A^\perp\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1)$) of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}^4$, whose fibre at v is Av (respectively $A^\perp v$). Both are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1)$ (via the global linear automorphisms A (resp. A^\perp) of V). Similarly, their restrictions $A\mathcal{O}_C(-1), A^\perp\mathcal{O}_C(-1)$, both isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_C(-1)$. Note that throughout what follows, bundle isomorphism over any variety X will mean algebraic isomorphism, i.e. isomorphism of the corresponding sheaves of algebraic sections as \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

Denote the rank 2 algebraic bundle with fibre $W(v) \subset V$ at $v \in C$ as W_2 . It is an algebraic subbundle of \mathcal{O}_C^4 , for its sheaf of sections is the restriction of the subsheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1) + A\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1) + A^\perp\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(-1) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}^4$$

to the curve C , which is precisely the subvariety of P_C^3 on which the sheaf above is locally free of rank 2 (= rank 2 algebraic bundle).

Denote the rank 3 algebraic subbundle of O_C^4 with $\text{bre } W(v) + A W(v)$ (respectively $W(v) + A W(v)$) by W_3 (respectively \tilde{W}_3). Both W_3 and \tilde{W}_3 are of rank 3 on C because of (4.2) above, and both contain W_2 as a subbundle. We denote the line bundles 2W_2 by L_2 , and 3W_3 (resp. ${}^3\tilde{W}_3$) by L_3 (resp. \tilde{L}_3). Then L_2 is a line subbundle of ${}^2O_C^4$, and L_3, \tilde{L}_3 are line subbundles of ${}^3O_C^4$.

Finally, for any variety, with a bundle E on X which is a subbundle of a trivial bundle O_X^m , the annihilator of E is defined as:

$$\text{Ann} E = \{ f \in \text{hom}_X(O_X^m; O_X) : (E) = 0 \}$$

Clearly, by taking $\text{hom}(\cdot; O_X)$ of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow O_X^m \rightarrow O_X^m/E \rightarrow 0$$

the bundle

$$\text{Ann} E \rightarrow \text{hom}_X(O_X^m/E; O_X) = (O_X^m/E)^\vee$$

where $^\vee$ always denotes the (complex) dual bundle.

Lemma 4.4. Denote the bundle $W_3 = W_2$ (resp. $\tilde{W}_3 = W_2$) by e (resp. \tilde{e}). Then we have the following identities of bundles on C :

(i):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow W_3 \rightarrow e \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow \tilde{W}_3 \rightarrow \tilde{e} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow L_3 \xrightarrow{i} \text{Ann} W_2 \rightarrow \tilde{e} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \tilde{L}_3 \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}} \text{Ann} W_2 \rightarrow \tilde{e} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

(ii):

$$L_3 \rightarrow L_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{L}_3 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow e$$

(iii):

$$\text{Ann} W_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} W_2$$

(iv):

$$\tilde{e} \rightarrow e$$

(v):

$$L_2 \rightarrow O_C(-1) \rightarrow e \rightarrow O_C(-1)$$

(vi):

$$\text{hom}_C(L_3; e) \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow e^2 \rightarrow L_2^3 \rightarrow O_C(-2)$$

Proof: From the definition of e , we have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow W_3 \rightarrow e \rightarrow 0$$

from which it follows that:

$$0 \rightarrow e \rightarrow O_C^4 = W_2 \rightarrow O_C^4 = W_3 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Taking $\text{hom}_C(\cdot; O_C)$ of this exact sequence yields the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ann} W_3 \rightarrow \text{Ann} W_2 \rightarrow e \rightarrow 0$$

Now, via the canonical isomorphism ${}^3V \rightarrow V$ which arises from the non-degenerate pairing

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \wedge^3 & & \wedge^4 \\ V & \rightarrow & V \rightarrow C \end{array}$$

it is clear that $\text{Ann} W_3 \rightarrow V_3 W_3 = L_3$.

Thus the first and third exact sequences of (i) follow. The proofs of the second and fourth are similar. From the first exact sequence in (i), it follows that $V_3 W_3 \rightarrow V_2 W_2$. This implies the first identity of (ii). Similarly the second exact sequence of (i) implies the other identity of (ii).

Since for every line bundle \mathcal{L} , $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ is trivial, we get from the first identity of (ii) that $L_2 \rightarrow L_3$. From third exact sequence in (i) it follows that $V_2 \text{Ann} W_2 \rightarrow L_3$, and this implies (iii).

To see (iv), note that

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \frac{W_2 + \mathcal{A}W_2}{W_2} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}W_2}{\mathcal{A}W_2 \setminus W_2}$$

The automorphism \mathcal{A}^{-1} of V makes the last bundle on the right isomorphic to the line bundle $W_2 = (W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2)$ (note all these operations are happening inside the rank 4 trivial bundle \mathcal{O}_C^4). Similarly, \sim is isomorphic (via the global isomorphism \mathcal{A}^{-1} of V) to the line bundle $W_2 = (W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2)$. But for each $v \in C$, $W(v) \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W(v) = Cv = W(v) \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W(v)$, from which it follows that the line subbundles $W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2$ and $W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2$ of W_2 are the same ($= W_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1)$). Thus $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, proving (iv).

To see (v), we need another exact sequence. For each $v \in C$, we noted in the proof of (iv) above that $\mathcal{O}_C(-1) = W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2$. Thus the sequence of bundles:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow \frac{W_2}{W_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}^{-1}W_2} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. But, as we noted in the proof of (iv) above, the bundle on the right is isomorphic to \mathcal{E} , so that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \rightarrow W_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence $L_2 = V_2 W_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1)$. The other identity follows from (iv), thus proving (v).

To see (vi) note that we have by (ii) $L_3 \rightarrow L_2$. Thus

$$\text{hom}_C(L_3; \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow L_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

However, since by (iv), $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, we have $\text{hom}_C(L_3; \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^2$. Now, substituting $\mathcal{E} = L_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1)$ from (v), we have the rest of (vi). Hence the lemma. 2

We need one more bundle identity:

Lemma 4.5. There is a bundle isomorphism:

$$L_2 \rightarrow g^* \mathcal{O}_D(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-2)$$

Proof: When $[t] = [t_0 : t_1 : t_2] = g([v])$, we saw in (5) that the linear map $B(v; \cdot) : C^3 \rightarrow C^4$ acquires a 1-dimensional kernel, which is precisely the line C_t , which is the fibre of $\mathcal{O}_D(-1)$ at $[t]$. The image of $B(v; \cdot)$ was the 2-dimensional span $W(v)$ of $v; \mathcal{A}v; \mathcal{A}^2v$, as noted there. Thus for $v \in C$, $B(v; \cdot)$ induces a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces:

$$C^3 = \mathcal{O}_D(-1)_{g(v)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1)_v \rightarrow W_{2,v}$$

which, being defined by the global map $B(v; \cdot)$, gives an isomorphism of bundles:

$$g^* \mathcal{O}_D^3(-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \rightarrow W_2$$

From the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D^3 = \mathcal{O}_D(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

it follows that $V_2(O_D^3 = O_D(-1))' \cdot O_D(-1)$. Thus:

$$L_2 = W_2' \cdot g \cdot (O_D^3 = O_D(-1)) \cdot O_C(-2) \\ \cdot g \cdot O_D(-1) \cdot O_C(-2)$$

This proves the lemma. 2

5. Degree computations

In this section, we compute the degrees of the various line bundles introduced in the previous section.

Definition 5.1. Note that an irreducible complex projective curve C , as a topological space, is a canonically oriented pseudomanifold of real dimension 2, and has a canonical generator $c_2 H_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, it is the image π_C , where $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C$ is the normalisation map, and $\pi^* c_2 H_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ is the canonical orientation class for the smooth connected compact complex manifold \mathbb{C} , where $\pi: H_2(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism for elementary topological reasons.

If $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ is a projective curve of pure dimension 1, with the curves C_i as irreducible components, then since the intersections $C_i \cap C_j$ are finite sets of points (or empty), $H_2(C; \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H_2(C_i; \mathbb{Z})$. Letting π_i denote the canonical orientation classes of C_i as above, there is a unique class $\pi_C = \sum_{i=1}^r \pi_i \in H_2(C; \mathbb{Z})$. Thinking of C as an oriented 2-pseudomanifold, π_C is just the sum of all the oriented 2-simplices of C .

If F is a complex line bundle on C , it has a first Chern class $c_1(F) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and the degree of F is defined by:

$$\deg F = \langle c_1(F), \pi_C \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$$

It is known that a complex line bundle on a pseudomanifold is topologically trivial if its first Chern class is zero. In particular, if an algebraic line bundle on a projective variety has non-zero degree, then it is topologically (and hence algebraically) non-trivial.

Finally, if $i: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^n$ is an (algebraic) embedding of a curve in some projective space, we define the degree of the bundle $O_C(1) = i^* O_{\mathbb{P}_C^n}(1)$ as the degree of the curve C (in \mathbb{P}_C^n). We note that $[C] = i_* (\pi_C) \in H_2(\mathbb{P}_C^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is called the fundamental class of C in \mathbb{P}_C^n , and by definition $\deg C = \langle c_1(O_{\mathbb{P}_C^n}(1)), [C] \rangle$. Geometrically, one intersects C with generic hyperplanes, which intersect C away from its singular locus in a finite set of points, and then counts these points of intersection with their multiplicity.

More generally, a complex projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}_C^n$ of complex dimension m has a unique orientation class $\pi_X \in H_{2m}(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Its image in $H_{2m}(\mathbb{P}_C^n; \mathbb{Z})$ is denoted $[X]$, and the degree $\deg X$ of X is defined as $(c_1(O_{\mathbb{P}_C^n}(1)))^m \cdot [X]$. It is known that if $X = V(F)$ for a homogeneous polynomial F of degree d , then $\deg X = d$.

We need the following remark later on:

Remark 5.2. If $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a regular isomorphism of projective curves C and D , both of pure dimension 1, and if F is a complex line bundle on D , then $\deg f^* F = \deg F$. This is because $f_* (\pi_C) = \pi_D$, so that

$$\deg f^* F = \langle c_1(f^* F), \pi_C \rangle = \langle c_1(F), f_* \pi_C \rangle = \langle c_1(F), \pi_D \rangle = \deg F$$

Now we can compute the degrees of all the line bundles introduced.

Lemma 5.3. The degrees of the various line bundles above are as follows:

$$(i): \deg \mathcal{O}_C(1) = \deg C = 6$$

$$(ii): \deg \mathcal{O}_D(1) = \deg D = 4$$

$$(iii): \deg L_2 = 8$$

$$(iv): \deg \text{hom}_C(L_3; e) = \deg L_2^3 - \mathcal{O}_C(-2) = 12$$

Proof:

We denote the image of orientation class of the curve (see definition 3.3 for the definition of ϵ) in $H_2(\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2; \mathbb{Z})$ by $[\epsilon]$. By the part (iv) of the Lemma 3.4, we have that the homology class $[\epsilon]$ is the same as the homology class of the intersection cycle defined by the four divisors $D_i := (B_i(v; t) = 0)$ inside $H_2(\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2; \mathbb{Z})$. By the generalised Bezout theorem in $\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2$, the homology class of the last-mentioned intersection cycle is the homology class Poincare-dual to the cup product

$$d := d_1 \smile d_2 \smile d_3 \smile d_4$$

where d_i is the first Chern class of the line bundle L_i corresponding to D_i , for $i = 1; 2; 3; 4$. (See [1], p. 237, Ex 2).

Since each $B_i(v; t)$ is separately linear in v, t , the line bundle defined by the divisor D_i is the bundle $\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(1) - \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^2}(1)$, where π_1, π_2 are the projections to \mathbb{P}_C^3 and \mathbb{P}_C^2 respectively. If we denote the hyperplane classes which are the generators of the cohomologies $H^2(\mathbb{P}_C^3; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{P}_C^2; \mathbb{Z})$ by x and y respectively, we have:

$$d_i = c_1(L_i) = \pi_1^*(x) - \pi_2^*(y)$$

Then we have, from the cohomology ring structures of \mathbb{P}_C^3 and \mathbb{P}_C^2 that $x \smile x \smile x \smile x = y \smile y \smile y = 0$. Hence the cohomology class in $H^8(\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2; \mathbb{Z})$ given by the cup-product of d_i is:

$$d := d_1 \smile d_2 \smile d_3 \smile d_4 = (\pi_1^*(x) - \pi_2^*(y))^4 = 4 \pi_1^*(x^3) - \pi_2^*(y^2) + 6 \pi_1^*(x^2) - \pi_2^*(y^2)$$

where $x^3 = x \smile x \smile x$ etc. By part (ii) of the Lemma 3.4, the map $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}_C^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_C^2$ is an isomorphism, so applying the remark 5.2 to it, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \mathcal{O}_C(1) &= \deg \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_C(1) - \deg \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_C(1) \\ &= c_1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(1)) - [\epsilon] \\ &= c_1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C^3}(1)) \smile [\epsilon] \\ &= \pi_1^*(x) \smile [4 \pi_1^*(x^3) - \pi_2^*(y^2) + 6 \pi_1^*(x^2) - \pi_2^*(y^2); \mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2] \\ &= 6 \pi_1^*(x^3) \smile [\pi_2^*(y^2); \mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2] \\ &= 6 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where we have used the Poincare duality cap-product relation $[\epsilon] = [\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2] \smile d$ mentioned above, and that $\pi_1^*(x^3) \smile [\pi_2^*(y^2)]$ is the generator of $H^{10}(\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2; \mathbb{Z})$, so evaluates to 1 on the orientation class $[\mathbb{P}_C^3 - \mathbb{P}_C^2]$, and $x^4 = 0$. This proves (i).

The proof of (ii) is similar, we just replace C by D , and π_1 by π_2 , and $\pi_1^*(x)$ by $\pi_2^*(y)$ in the equalities of (9) above, and get 4 (as one should expect, since D is defined by a degree 4 homogeneous polynomial in \mathbb{P}_C^2). This proves (ii).

For (iii), we use the identity of Lemma 4.5 that $L_2 = g^* \mathcal{O}_D(1) - \mathcal{O}_C(-2)$, and the remark 5.2 applied to the isomorphism of curves $g : C \rightarrow D$ (part (iii) of the Lemma 3.4) to conclude that $\deg L_2 = \deg D - 2 \deg C = 4 - 12 = -8$, by (i) and (ii) above, so that $\deg L_2 = 8$.

For (iv), we have by (vi) of the Lemma 4.4 that $\text{hom}_C(L_3; e) \cong L_2^3 - \mathcal{O}_C(-2)$, so that its degree is $3 \deg L_2 - 2 \deg C = 24 - 12 = 12$ by (i) and (iii) above.

This proves the lemma. 2

From (iv) of the lemma above, we have the:

Corollary 5.4. The line bundle $\text{hom}_C(L_3; e)$ is a non-trivial line bundle.

6. Proof of the Main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By the third exact sequence in (i) of the lemma 4.4, we have a bundle morphism s of line bundles on C defined as the composite:

$$\text{Ann}W_3 = L_3 \xrightarrow{!} \text{Ann}W_2 \xrightarrow{!} e = \text{Ann}W_2 = \text{Ann}\tilde{W}_3$$

which vanishes at $v \in C$ if and only if the fibre $\text{Ann}W_{3,v}$ is equal to the fibre $\text{Ann}\tilde{W}_{3,v}$ inside $\text{Ann}W_{2,v}$. At such a point $v \in C$, we have $\text{Ann}W_{3,v} = \text{Ann}\tilde{W}_{3,v}$, so that $W_{3,v} = W(v) + A W(v) = \tilde{W}_{3,v} = W(v) + A W(v)$. But this morphism s is a global section of the bundle $\text{hom}_C(L_3; e)$, which is not a trivial bundle by the corollary 5.4 of the last section.

Thus there exists a $v \in C$, satisfying $s(v) = 0$, and consequently the ag

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow W_1 = W_{1,v} &= C v \rightarrow W_2 = W_{2,v} = W(v) = C\text{-span}\{v; A v; A^2 v\} \\ W_3 &= W_{3,v} = W(v) + A W(v) = W(v) + A W(v) = \tilde{W}_{3,v} \rightarrow W_4 = V = C^4 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the requirements of (ii) of lemma 2.1, and the main theorem 1.1 follows. 2

Remark 6.1. Note that since $\dim C = 1$, then the set of points $v \in C$ such $s(v) = 0$, where s is the section above, will be a finite set. Then the set of ags that satisfy (ii) of lemma 2.1 which tridiagonalise A of the kind considered above (viz. A satisfying the assumptions of 3.1), will only be finitely many (at most 12 in number!).

Acknowledgments I am grateful to Bhaskar Bagchi for posing the problem to me, and to B.V. Rajarama Bhat and J. Holbrook for pointing me to the relevant literature. I am deeply grateful to the referee, whose valuable comments have led to the elimination of grave errors, and a substantial streamlining of this paper.

References

- [1] Shafarevich, I. R., Basic Algebraic Geometry, Vol 1, 2nd Edition, Springer Verlag, 1994.
- [2] Fong, C. K., and Wu, P. Y., Band Diagonal Operators, Linear Algebra Appl., vol 248, 195-204, 1996.
- [3] Hartshorne, R., Algebraic Geometry, Springer GTM 52, 1977.
- [4] Longstaffe, W. E., On tridiagonalisation of matrices, Linear Algebra Appl., Vol. 109, 153-163 (1988).

Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, RVCE P.O., Bangalore 560 059, India