

# A NORMAL FORM ALGORITHM FOR THE BRIESKORN LATTICE

MATHIAS SCHULZE

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Isolated hypersurface singularities form the simplest class of singularities. Their intensive study in the past has lead to a variety of invariants. The Milnor number is one of the simplest, and can easily be computed using standard basis methods. A finer invariant is the monodromy of the singularity. E. Brieskorn [Bri70] developed the theoretical background for computing the complex monodromy. He gave an ad hoc definition of an object  $H''$ , later called the Brieskorn lattice. Its great importance was a priori not clear. The complex monodromy can be expressed in terms of the differential structure of the Brieskorn lattice.

The finest known invariants are based on the astonishing fact that one can associate a mixed Hodge structure to an isolated hypersurface singularity. The notion of a mixed Hodge structure was introduced by P. Deligne [Del70] as a generalization of the classical Hodge structure on the cohomology of a compact Kähler manifold. J.H.M. Steenbrink [Ste76] defined this mixed Hodge structure in terms of resolutions of singularities. A.N. Varchenko [Var82] and later J. Scherk and J.H.M. Steenbrink [SS85] described this mixed Hodge structure in terms of the differential structure of the Brieskorn lattice. The mixed Hodge numbers correspond to the spectral pairs and determine the complex monodromy. The spectral pairs have a semicontinuity property [Ste85] with respect to unfoldings of the singularity.

Based on properties of the mixed Hodge structure, M. Saito [Sai89] constructed two endomorphisms  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  of the Milnor algebra. These two endomorphisms determine the differential structure of the Brieskorn lattice and immediately the above invariants.

In [Sch01, SS01, Sch02b], we describe algorithmic methods to compute all of the above invariants. Our algorithms are implemented in the computer algebra system SINGULAR [Sch02c, GPS02]. Our algorithm to compute the complex monodromy is much faster and computes much more difficult examples than Brieskorn's algorithm. All our algorithms are based on a normal form algorithm for the Brieskorn lattice which is the subject of this article.

In the first section, we recall the definition and the main properties of the Brieskorn lattice. We introduce the formal Brieskorn lattice and

describe it as a cokernel of a formal family of differential operators which is finite over the base.

In the second section, we consider such a formal family of differential operators in general. We describe a normal form algorithm to compute a presentation of the cokernel which is a finitely generated module over the formal power series ring in the parameters of the family. This algorithm is a variant of B. Buchberger's [Buc65, Buc85] normal form algorithm. There are three major differences compared to the classical algorithm:

- (1) The polynomial ring is replaced by a formal power series ring. Termination of the algorithm is replaced by adic convergence.
- (2) The standard basis is replaced by a partial standard basis, a set of power series which specializes to a standard basis.
- (3) There is only a module structure with respect to the parameters of the family and the partial standard basis is not finite.

Even if the algorithm does not terminate, it serves to compute exact results by using appropriate degree bounds. In [Sch02a, Sch02b], we give degree bounds to compute the above Hodge-theoretic invariants of isolated hypersurface singularities.

Families which are finite over the base occur in many situations in algebraic geometry and singularity theory. For example, A. Frühbis-Krüger [FK02] has developed algorithms to compute moduli spaces and adjacencies of singularities based on the idea of partial standard bases. One can expect more applications of our algorithms in the future.

I should like to thank Michel Granger for pointing out an error in the normal form algorithm and A. Frühbis-Krüger and G.-M. Greuel for fruitful discussions.

We shall denote row vectors by a lower bar, column vectors by an upper bar, row indices by lower indices, and column indices by upper indices.

## 2. THE FORMAL BRIESKORN LATTICE

Let  $f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic function on an open neighbourhood  $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  of the origin. We choose a system of complex coordinates  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$  at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and denote by  $\underline{\partial} = \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n = \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$  the corresponding derivatives such that the commutator of  $\partial_i$  and  $x_j$  is  $[\partial_i, x_j] = \delta_{i,j}$ . We consider  $f$  as a germ of a holomorphic function at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , which means that  $U$  can be arbitrarily small. This is equivalent to considering the convergent power series  $f \in \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}$ . We assume that  $f(0) = 0$ , and that  $f$  has an isolated critical point at the origin. This means that  $0 \in U$  is the only point with  $\partial_1(f)(0) = \dots = \partial_n(f)(0) = 0$  for some  $U$ , or, more algebraically, that  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle^m \subset \langle \underline{\partial}(f) \rangle \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . The complex dimension

$$\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}/\langle \underline{\partial}(f) \rangle) < \infty$$

is called the Milnor number. By the finite determinacy theorem [dJP00, Thm. 9.1.4], one can choose, in this case, a coordinate system  $\underline{x}$  such that  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\underline{x}]$  is a polynomial.

We denote by  $\Omega^\bullet = \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n,0}^\bullet$  the complex of germs of holomorphic differential forms at  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Its elements are differential forms with coefficients in the convergent power series  $\mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}$ . The Brieskorn lattice [Bri70] is defined by

$$H'' = \Omega^n / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}$$

and becomes a  $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ -module by setting

$$(1) \quad t \cdot [\omega] = [f\omega]$$

for  $[\omega] \in H''$ . By M. Sebastiani [Seb70],  $H''$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ -module of rank  $\mu$ . We denote by  $\Omega$  the  $\mu$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space

$$\Omega = \Omega^n / df \wedge \Omega^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\} / \langle \partial(f) \rangle.$$

The operators  $d$  and  $df = df \wedge \cdot$  define two exact sequences.

**Lemma 2.1** (Poincaré lemma).

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^n \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces.

Since completion is exact, lemma 2.1 remains valid when replacing  $\Omega^\bullet$  by its  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic completion  $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (De Rham lemma).

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\} \xrightarrow{df} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{df} \cdots \xrightarrow{df} \Omega^n \longrightarrow \Omega \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{C}\{\underline{x}\}$ -modules.

Also lemma 2.2 remains valid when replacing  $\Omega^\bullet$  by  $\widehat{\Omega}^\bullet$ . From lemma 2.1 and 2.2 follows that one can define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator  $s$  on  $H''$  by

$$(2) \quad s \cdot [d\eta] = [df \wedge \eta]$$

for  $[d\eta] \in H''$ . From lemma 2.1 follows that  $s$  is injective. The image of  $s$  is  $sH'' = df \wedge \Omega^n / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}$  and hence

$$H'' / sH'' = \Omega.$$

By F. Pham [Pha77],  $s$  also defines a module structure on  $H''$  over a power series ring. This power series ring is the ring

$$\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i s^i \in \mathbb{C}[[s]] \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{i!} t^i \in \mathbb{C}\{t\} \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}[[s]]$$

of microlocal operators with constant coefficients and  $H''$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}$ -module of rank  $\mu$ . From the definitions of  $t$  and  $s$  follows immediately that the commutator of  $t$  and  $s$  is

$$[t, s] = s^2.$$

We define the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator  $\partial_s$  on the localization  $H'' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}} \mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}[s^{-1}]$  by

$$(3) \quad t = s^2 \partial_s.$$

Then  $t$  is a differential operator on  $H''$  with respect to the  $\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}$ -structure. One can, as well, define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operator  $\partial_t$  on the localization  $H'' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}\{t\}} \mathbb{C}\{t\}[t^{-1}]$  by

$$(4) \quad s = \partial_t^{-1}.$$

Then the commutator of  $\partial_t$  and  $t$  is  $[\partial_t, t] = 1$  and hence  $\partial_t$  is a derivative by  $t$ .

**Definition 2.3.**

- (1) We call the topology induced by the  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $\Omega^n$  on the quotient  $H''$  the  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $H''$ .
- (2) We call the completion  $\widehat{H}''$  of  $H''$  with respect to the  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology the formal Brieskorn lattice.

**Lemma 2.4.** *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\widehat{H}'' = \widehat{\Omega}^n / df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}.$$

**Proof:** By definition,

$$\widehat{H}'' = \varprojlim (\Omega^n / (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \Omega^n + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2})).$$

Since  $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a critical point of  $f$ ,  $\langle \underline{\partial}(f) \rangle \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle$  and hence

$$df \wedge d(\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \Omega^{n-2}) \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \Omega^n,$$

$$df \wedge d(\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}) \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \widehat{\Omega}^n.$$

Since  $\Omega^n / \langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \Omega^n = \widehat{\Omega}^n / \langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \widehat{\Omega}^n$ , this implies that

$$\Omega^n / (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \Omega^n + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) = \widehat{\Omega}^n / (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \widehat{\Omega}^n + df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2})$$

defines a natural isomorphism of inverse systems. Hence,

$$\widehat{H}'' = \varprojlim (\widehat{\Omega}^n / (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k + df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2})) = \widehat{\Omega}^n / df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}.$$

The following theorem [Bri70, Prop. 3.3] is essential for Brieskorn's algorithm to compute the complex monodromy, which is based on the  $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ -structure of the Brieskorn lattice.

**Theorem 2.5.** *The  $\langle t \rangle$ -adic and  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $H''$  coincide. In particular, the  $\langle t \rangle$ -adic completion of  $H''$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\widehat{H}''$  and  $\widehat{H}''$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ -module of rank  $\mu$ .*

The  $\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}$ -structure of the Brieskorn lattice is more algebraic and, therefore, more appropriate for computational purposes. The analogous statement of theorem 2.5 for the  $\mathbb{C}\{\{s\}\}$ -structure is much easier to prove.

**Proposition 2.6.** *The  $\langle s \rangle$ -adic and  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $H''$  coincide. In particular, the  $\langle s \rangle$ -adic completion of  $H''$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\widehat{H}''$  and  $\widehat{H}''$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module of rank  $\mu$*

**Proof:** We denote

$$\begin{aligned} d\underline{x} &= dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n, \\ d\underline{x}_{\widehat{i}} &= dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i-1} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let

$$[g\partial_i(f)d\underline{x}] \in (\langle \partial(f) \rangle^{2k} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2} \subset H''$$

for some  $k \geq 1$ . By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} [g\partial_i(f)d\underline{x}] &= [(-1)^{i+1} df \wedge (gd\underline{x}_{\widehat{i}})] \\ &= s[(-1)^{i+1} d(gd\underline{x}_{\widehat{i}})] \\ &= s[\partial_i(g)d\underline{x}] \\ &\in s((\langle \partial(f) \rangle^{2(k-1)} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by induction,

$$(\langle \partial(f) \rangle^{2k} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2} \subset s^k H''.$$

Since  $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is an isolated critical point of  $f$ ,  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle^m \subset \langle \partial(f) \rangle \subset \langle \underline{x} \rangle$  for some  $m \geq 1$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^{2km} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2} \\ \subset (\langle \partial(f) \rangle^{2k} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^{2km} d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2} &\subset s^k H'' \\ &\subset (\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k d\underline{x} + df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}) / df \wedge d\Omega^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the  $\langle s \rangle$ -adic and  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $H''$  coincide.  $\square$

Note that the formal Brieskorn lattice is a  $(t, s)$ -module in the sense of D. Barlet [Bar93, Bar00]. The following description of the  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module  $\widehat{H}''$  as a quotient of the power series ring  $\mathbb{K}[[s, \underline{x}]]$  will lead to a normal form algorithm in the next section.

**Proposition 2.7.**  *$d\underline{x}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -isomorphism*

$$\widehat{H}'' = \widehat{\Omega}^n[[s]] / (df - sd)\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]] \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] / \langle \partial(f) - s\partial \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]].$$

**Proof:** Since

$$df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2} = (df - sd)d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2} \subset (df - sd)\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]]$$

and by lemma 2.4 and (2), there is a natural  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -linear map

$$\widehat{H}'' \xrightarrow{\phi} \widehat{\Omega}^n[[s]] / (df - sd)\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]].$$

Let  $\omega = \sum_{k \geq 0} \omega_k s^k \in \widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]]$  with  $(df - sd)\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}^n$ . Then  $df \wedge \omega_{k+1} = d\omega_k$  and hence, by (2),

$$s[df \wedge \omega_{k+1}] = [df \wedge \omega_{k+1}] = [d\omega_k] \in \widehat{H}''$$

for all  $k \geq 0$ . In particular,  $[d\omega_0] \in \bigcap_{k \geq 0} s^k \widehat{H}'' = \{0\}$  and hence, by lemma 2.4,

$$d\omega_0 \in df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2} = d(df \wedge \widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}).$$

By lemma 2.1, this implies that  $\omega_0 \in d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2} + df \wedge \widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}$  and hence

$$(df - sd)\omega = df \wedge \omega_0 \in df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}.$$

This shows that

$$(df - sd)\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]] \cap \widehat{\Omega}^n = df \wedge d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-2}$$

and hence, by lemma 2.4, that  $\phi$  is injective. By lemma 2.1,  $d\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1} = \widehat{\Omega}^n$  and hence  $\phi$  is surjective.

For  $\eta = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} g_i d\hat{x}_i \in \widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]]$ ,

$$(df - sd)\eta = \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_i(f)g_i - s\partial_i(g_i))d\hat{x}_i = (\underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial})\underline{g}d\underline{x}.$$

Hence,  $d\underline{x}$  induces a  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -isomorphism

$$\widehat{\Omega}^n[[s]] / (df - sd)\widehat{\Omega}^{n-1}[[s]] \xleftarrow[\sim]{d\underline{x}} \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] / \langle \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] .$$

□

Proposition 2.7 is the starting point for more general considerations in the next section.

### 3. FORMAL FAMILIES OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a computable field and  $\underline{F} = F_1, \dots, F_r \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \langle \underline{\partial} \rangle$  a formal family of differential operators where  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,  $\underline{\partial} = \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n = \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$ , and  $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_m)$ . Note that the elements of  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \langle \underline{\partial} \rangle$  are polynomial in  $\underline{\partial}$ . The brackets  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  indicate that the commutator  $[x_i, \partial_i] = \delta_{i,j}$  is not zero. We want to compute the cokernel  $H = \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] / \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$  of the  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$ -linear map

$$\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]^r \xrightarrow{\underline{F}} \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \xrightarrow{\pi_H} H \longrightarrow 0 .$$

We assume that the specialization

$$\underline{f} = \underline{F}(\underline{s} = 0) \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$$

is independent of  $\underline{\partial}$  and that  $\langle \underline{x} \rangle^k \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]] \subset \langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$  for some  $k \geq 0$ . In particular,

$$\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]] / \langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]])) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(H / \langle \underline{s} \rangle H) < \infty$$

and hence  $H$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -module. Then there is an  $m \times r$ -matrix  $D$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \langle \underline{\partial} \rangle$  such that

$$\underline{F} = \underline{f} - \underline{s}D.$$

Our considerations are motivated by the following special case.

*Remark 3.1.* By proposition 2.7, for  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $m = 1$ ,  $r = n$ ,  $\underline{f} = \underline{\partial}(f)$ , and  $D = \underline{\partial}$ ,

$$H \cong_{\mathbb{C}[[s]]} \widehat{H}''$$

is the formal Brieskorn lattice.

Let  $<_{\underline{x}}$  be a local degree ordering with respect to a weighted degree  $\deg_{\underline{x}}$  on the set of monomials  $\{\underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \mid \underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^n\} = \mathbb{N}^n$  of  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ . This means that

$$\mathbb{N}^n \xrightarrow{\deg_{\underline{x}}} \mathbb{Q}$$

is a semigroup homomorphism with  $\deg_{\underline{x}}(x_i) < 0$ , and that  $<_{\underline{x}}$  is a semigroup ordering such that

$$\deg_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}}) < \deg_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}^{\underline{\beta}}) \Rightarrow \underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} <_{\underline{x}} \underline{x}^{\underline{\beta}}.$$

The support of  $p = \sum_{\underline{\alpha}} p_{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$  is defined by  $\text{supp}(p) = \{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid p_{\underline{\alpha}} \neq 0\}$ . We denote the leading exponent, resp. leading term, with respect to  $<_{\underline{x}}$  by  $\text{lexp}$ , resp.  $\text{lead}$ . This means that

$$\text{lexp}(p) = \max_{<_{\underline{x}}} \text{supp}(p),$$

$$\text{lead}(p) = p_{\text{lexp}(p)} \underline{x}^{\text{lexp}(p)}$$

for  $p = \sum_{\underline{\alpha}} p_{\underline{\alpha}} \underline{x}^{\underline{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$  and that

$$\text{lead}(P) = \{\text{lead}(p) \mid p \in P\}$$

for a subset  $P \subset \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ . Note that the maximum exists by Dickson's lemma [GP02, Lem. 1.2.6]. The weighted degree  $\deg_{\underline{x}}$  extends to  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$  by setting

$$\deg_{\underline{x}}(p) = \deg \text{lexp}(p)$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ . Since  $[\partial_i, x_i] = 1$ ,  $\deg_{\underline{x}}$  extends to  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]] \langle \underline{\partial} \rangle$  by setting

$$\deg_{\underline{x}}(\partial_i) = -\deg_{\underline{x}}(x_i) > 0.$$

Let  $\underline{g} = (g_i)_i$  be a standard basis of  $\langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$ . This means that  $0 \neq g_i \in \langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$  and

$$(5) \quad \text{lead}(\langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]])) = \langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$$

which implies that

$$(6) \quad \langle \underline{f} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]] = \langle \underline{g} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]$$

by the division theorem. Let

$$\underline{m} = (m_i)_{i=1, \dots, \mu} = (\underline{x}^{\underline{\beta}})_{\underline{x}^{\underline{\beta}} \notin \langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{x}]]}$$

be increasingly ordered with respect to  $<_{\underline{x}}$ . Then

$$(7) \quad \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] = \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K} \oplus \langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$$

and hence, by (5) and (6),  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] = \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K} \oplus \langle \underline{g} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ . Then  $\underline{m}$  represents a  $\mathbb{K}$ -basis of

$$(8) \quad H / \langle \underline{s} \rangle H = \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] / \langle \underline{g} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}] = \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}$$

and, by Nakayama's lemma,  $\underline{m}$  represents a minimal set of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}]$ -generators of  $H$ . Note that if  $H$  is free then it is free of rank  $\mu$ . Let  $U = (\overline{u}_i)_i$  a matrix with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  such that

$$\underline{g} = \underline{f}U.$$

If  $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  then one can compute  $\underline{g}$  and  $U$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  by Lazard's method based on Buchberger's standard basis algorithm [GP96, Lem 1.7] and homogenization.

Let  $<_{\underline{s}}$  be a local degree ordering with respect to a weighted degree  $\deg_{\underline{s}}$  on the monomials of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}]$ . Let

$$< = (<_{\underline{s}}, <_{\underline{x}})$$

be the block ordering of  $<_{\underline{s}}$  and  $<_{\underline{x}}$  on the monomials of  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  and

$$\deg = \deg_{\underline{s}} + \deg_{\underline{x}}$$

the sum of the weighted degrees  $\deg_{\underline{s}}$  and  $\deg_{\underline{x}}$ . This means that

$$\underline{s}^{\alpha'} \underline{x}^{\beta'} < \underline{s}^{\alpha''} \underline{x}^{\beta''} \Leftrightarrow \underline{s}^{\alpha'} <_{\underline{s}} \underline{s}^{\alpha''} \vee (\underline{s}^{\alpha'} = \underline{s}^{\alpha''} \wedge \underline{x}^{\beta'} <_{\underline{x}} \underline{x}^{\beta''})$$

and

$$\deg(\underline{s}^{\alpha} \underline{x}^{\beta}) = \deg_{\underline{s}}(\underline{s}^{\alpha}) + \deg_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}^{\beta}).$$

As before, we denote the leading exponent, resp. leading term, with respect to  $<$  by  $\text{lexp}$ , resp.  $\text{lead}$ , and extend  $\deg$  to  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}] \langle \partial \rangle$ . Note that  $<$  is not a degree ordering with respect to  $\deg$ . This means that

$$\deg \text{lead} \neq \deg.$$

We denote by the leading exponent, resp. leading term, with respect to the partial ordering  $<_{\underline{s}}$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  by  $\text{lexp}_{\underline{s}}$ , resp.  $\text{lead}_{\underline{s}}$ , and the partial degree  $<_{\underline{s}}$  on  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  by  $\deg_{\underline{s}}$ . This means that

$$\text{lexp}_{\underline{s}}(p) = \max_{<_{\underline{s}}} \pi_{\mathbb{N}^m}(\text{supp}(p)),$$

$$\text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p) = p_{\text{lexp}_{\underline{s}}(p)} \underline{s}^{\text{lexp}_{\underline{s}}(p)},$$

$$\deg_{\underline{s}}(p) = \deg \text{lexp}_{\underline{s}}(p)$$

for  $p = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha} \underline{s}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  where  $\pi_{\mathbb{N}^m} : \mathbb{N}^m \times \mathbb{N}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^m$  is the canonical projection. We denote by  $\min \deg$ , resp.  $\max \deg$ , the minimal, resp. the maximal, degree of the components of a vector or a matrix.

Let

$$\underline{G} = \underline{F}U = \underline{g} - \underline{s}DU.$$



In the special fibre  $\{\underline{s} = \underline{0}\}$ ,  $\underline{G}$  induces the standard basis  $\underline{g}$ . We call  $\underline{G}$  a partial standard basis of the formal family  $\underline{F}$ .

We denote by  $\underline{F}x^{\mathbb{N}^n} = (F_i \underline{x}^\alpha)_{i, \alpha}$  the generators of the  $\mathbb{C}[[\underline{s}]]$ -module  $\langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**  *$H$  is a free  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -module if and only if  $\underline{G}x^{\mathbb{N}^n}$  is a standard basis of the  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$ -module  $\langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$ .*

**Proof:** By (8) and Nakayama's lemma,  $\underline{m}$  represents a minimal set of generators of  $H$ . Since  $H = \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] / \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$ ,

$$\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] = \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] + \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$$

and  $H$  is free if and only if

$$\langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \cap \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] = 0.$$

By (5) and (7), this is equivalent to

$$\text{lead}(\langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]])) = \langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] = \langle \text{lead}(\underline{G}x^{\mathbb{N}^n}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]].$$

□

By proposition 2.7,

$$\mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] / \langle \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] \cong_{\mathbb{C}[[s]]} H''$$

is a free  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module of rank  $\mu$ . We will now give an elementary proof of this fact.

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\underline{F} = \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial}$  then  $H$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module of rank  $\mu$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $0 \neq p \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s]] \cap \langle \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]]$ . Then  $\text{lead}(p) \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s]]$  and  $p = (\underline{\partial}(f) + s\underline{\partial})\bar{q}$  for some  $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]]$  with maximal  $\max \deg_s(\bar{q})$ . By (5) and (7), this implies that  $\underline{\partial}(f) \text{lead}_s(\bar{q}) = 0$  and hence, by lemma 2.2, we may assume that there are  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and  $r \in \mathbb{C}[[\underline{x}]]$  such that

$$\text{lead}_s(\bar{q}) = s^k r (\partial_i(f) \bar{e}_j - \partial_j(f) \bar{e}_i).$$

This implies that

$$\underline{\partial} \text{lead}_s(\bar{q}) = s^k \underline{\partial}(f) (\partial_j(r) \bar{e}_i - \partial_i(r) \bar{e}_j)$$

and hence

$$p = (\underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial}) (\bar{q} - \text{lead}_s(\bar{q}) - s^{k+1} (\partial_j(r) \bar{e}_i - \partial_i(r) \bar{e}_j)).$$

This is a contradiction to the maximality of  $\max \deg_s(\bar{q})$ . Hence,

$$\langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s]] \cap \langle \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial} \rangle \mathbb{C}[[s, \underline{x}]] = 0$$

and  $H$  is free. □

Our aim is now to define a filtration  $V = (V_K)_{K \leq 0}$  on  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$  by  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$ -modules which is

- (1) a basis of the  $\langle \underline{s}, \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$ ,

- (2) compatible with reduction with respect to the partial standard basis  $\underline{G}$ ,
- (3) mapped by  $\pi_H$  onto the basis  $\{\langle \underline{s} \rangle^K H\}_{K \geq 0}$  of the  $\langle \underline{s} \rangle$ -adic topology on  $H$ .

This will lead to a normal form algorithm for  $\underline{H}$  converging with respect to the  $\langle \underline{s} \rangle$ -adic topology.

For a given weighted degree  $\deg_{\underline{x}}$ , let the weighted degree  $\deg_{\underline{s}}$  be such that

$$(9) \quad \deg(s_j) \leq \min \deg(\underline{m}) + \min \deg(\underline{x}) - \max \deg(\underline{d}^j).$$

Let the strictly increasing sequence  $N = (N_K)_{K \leq 0}$  be defined by

$$(10) \quad N_K = -K \min \deg(\underline{s}) - \min \deg(\underline{x}) + \max \deg(D).$$

Let  $V = (V_K)_{K \leq 0}$  be the strictly increasing filtration on  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$  by  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$ -modules

$$(11) \quad V_K = \{p \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]] \mid \deg(p) < N_K\} + \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K} \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]].$$

*Remark 3.4.* For  $\underline{F} = \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial}$  as in remark 3.1, we can choose

$$\deg(s) = \min \deg(\underline{m}) + 2 \min \deg(\underline{x}),$$

$$N_K = -K \deg(s) - 2 \min \deg(\underline{x}).$$

**Proposition 3.5.**

- (1)  $V = (V_K)_{K \leq 0}$  is a basis of the  $\langle \underline{s}, \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology.
- (2) If  $\text{lead}(\underline{s}^\alpha G_k \underline{x}^\beta) \in V_K$  then also  $\underline{s}^\alpha G_k \underline{x}^\beta \in V_K$ .
- (3)  $\pi_H(V_K) = \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K} H$ .

**Proof:**

- (1) This follows from (10) and (11).
- (2) Since  $\underline{g}$  is a standard basis,

$$(12) \quad \min \deg(\underline{m}) + \min \deg(\underline{x}) \leq \min \deg(\underline{g})$$

and hence, by (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\underline{s} D \bar{u}_k) &\leq \max\{\deg(s_j \underline{d}^j \bar{u}_k) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \\ &\leq \max\{\deg(s_j) + \max \deg(\underline{d}^j) + \max \deg(\bar{u}_k) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \\ &\leq \max\{\deg(s_j) + \max \deg(\underline{d}^j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \\ &\leq \min \deg(\underline{m}) + \min \deg(\underline{x}) \\ &\leq \min \deg(\underline{g}) \\ &\leq \deg(g_k) \\ &= \deg \text{lead}(g_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\underline{s}^\alpha G_k \underline{x}^\beta = \underline{s}^\alpha (g_k - \underline{s} D \bar{u}_k) \underline{x}^\beta$ , this implies that

$$\deg \text{lead}(\underline{s}^\alpha G_k \underline{x}^\beta) = \deg(\underline{s}^\alpha \text{lead}(g_k) \underline{x}^\beta) = \deg(\underline{s}^\alpha G_k \underline{x}^\beta).$$

Hence, the claim follows from (11).

(3) Let  $0 \neq p \in V_K$  and  $\underline{s}^\alpha p_\alpha = \text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p)$  with maximal  $|\alpha| < -K$  for fixed  $p \bmod \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ . Then, by (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(p_\alpha) &= \deg(\underline{s}^\alpha p_\alpha) - \deg(\underline{s}^\alpha) \\ &< -(K + |\alpha|) \min \deg(\underline{s}) - \min \deg(\underline{x}) + \max \deg(D) \\ &\leq \min \deg(\underline{s}) - \min \deg(\underline{x}) + \max \deg(D) \\ &\leq \min \deg(\underline{m}) \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (7),  $p_\alpha \in \langle \underline{g} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$ . By the division theorem, there is a  $\bar{q} \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{x}]$  with  $p_\alpha = \underline{g}\bar{q}$  and  $\text{lead}(p_\alpha) \geq \text{lead}(g_j q^j)$  for all  $j$  and hence

$$(13) \quad \max \deg(\bar{q}) \leq \deg(p_\alpha) - \min \deg(\underline{g}).$$

Then

$$(14) \quad p_\alpha = \underline{g}\bar{q} = \underline{f}U\bar{q} \equiv \underline{s}DU\bar{q} \bmod \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$$

and hence, by (9), (12), and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\underline{s}DU\bar{q}) &\leq \max \deg(\underline{s}D) + \max \deg(U) + \max \deg(\bar{q}) \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{s}D) + \max \deg(\bar{q}) \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{s}D) - \min \deg(\underline{g}) + \deg(p_\alpha) \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{s}D) - \min \deg(\underline{m}) - \min \deg(\underline{x}) + \deg(p_\alpha) \\ &\leq \max\{\deg(s_j) + \max \deg(\underline{d}^j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \\ &\quad - \min \deg(\underline{m}) - \min \deg(\underline{x}) + \deg(p_\alpha) \\ &\leq \deg(p_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (14),

$$p' = p - \text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p) + \underline{s}^\alpha \underline{s}DU\bar{q} \equiv p \bmod \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$$

with  $\deg(p') \leq \deg(p) < N_K$  and  $\text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p') <_{\underline{s}} \text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p)$ . This contradicts to the maximality of  $|\alpha|$  and hence  $p \in \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K} + \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ .  $\square$

Proposition 3.5 leads to the following normal form algorithm.

```

proc NF( $p, K$ )  $\equiv$ 
  if  $p \in \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K}$  then
     $q := p$ ;
  elsif  $(\deg \text{lead}(p) < N_K) \vee (\text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p) \in \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K})$ 
     $q := \text{lead}_{\underline{s}}(p)$ ;
  else
     $q := 0$ ;
  fi
   $r := p - q$ ;
  if  $r \neq 0$  then
    if  $\text{lead}(r) \in \langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ 

```

then

$$\begin{aligned} j &:= \min\{i \mid \text{lead}(r) \in \text{lead}(g_i) \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]\}; \\ r, \bar{a}, q' &:= \mathbf{NF}\left(r - \frac{\text{lead}(r)}{\text{lead}(g_j)} g_j - \underline{s} D\left(\frac{\text{lead}(r)}{\text{lead}(g_j)} \bar{u}_j\right), K\right); \\ \bar{a} &:= \bar{a} + \frac{\text{lead}(r)}{\text{lead}(g_j)} \bar{e}_j; \end{aligned}$$

else

$$\begin{aligned} r', \bar{a}, q' &:= \text{NF}(r - \text{lead}(r), K); \\ r &:= \text{lead}(r) + r'; \end{aligned}$$

fi

$$q := q + q';$$

fi

$$\text{NF} := r, \bar{a}, q.$$

The input is a power series  $p \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  and an integer  $K \leq 0$ , the output is a power series  $r \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ , a column vector  $\bar{a}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ , and a power series  $q \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ . We denote by

$$\mathbf{NF} = (\mathbf{NF}_1, \mathbf{NF}_2, \mathbf{NF}_3)$$

the components of  $\mathbf{NF}$ . This means that

$$\mathbf{NF}(p, K) = (\mathbf{NF}_1(p, K), \mathbf{NF}_2(p, K), \mathbf{NF}_3(p, K))$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  and  $K \leq 0$ .




Figure 1 illustrates a reduction step in **NF**. The 1-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space spanned by a monomial in  $\langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}]$ , resp. in  $\langle \text{lead}(\underline{g}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$ , is depicted by a big, resp. small, bullet. The monomial at the tail of

the arrow is replaced by a power series with support above the dotted line meeting the head of the arrow. The  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -submodule  $V_K$  generated by the monomials above the dashed line is invariant with respect to such a reduction step.

**Lemma 3.6.** *NF terminates.*

**Proof:** For fixed leading exponent  $\text{lex}_{\underline{s}}(p)$  with respect to  $\underline{s}$ , the leading term  $\text{lead}(p)$  is strictly decreasing with weighted degree  $\deg \text{lead}(p) \geq N_K$ . Since there are only finitely many monomials with fixed  $\text{lex}_{\underline{s}}$  and  $\deg \geq N_K$ ,  $\text{lex}_{\underline{s}}(p)$  decreases after finitely many steps. Since  $<_{\underline{s}} = (<_{\underline{s}}, <_{\underline{x}})$  is a block ordering and  $<_{\underline{s}}$  is a degree ordering, this implies that  $p \in \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K}$  after finitely many steps.  $\square$

We shall now state that  $\text{NF}_1(\cdot, L)$  is a reduced normal form modulo  $V_L$  with  $\text{NF}_1(V_K, L) \subset V_K$  for  $L < K \leq 0$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $L < K \leq 0$ ,  $p \in V_K$ , and  $(r, \bar{a}, q) = \text{NF}(p, L)$ . Then*

$$p = \underline{G}\bar{a} + r + q$$

where

- (1)  $a^i \in \mathbb{K}[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]$  with  $\text{lead}(G_i a^i) \leq \text{lead}(p)$  for all  $i$ ,
- (2)  $r \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \bigoplus_{K \leq |\alpha| < L} \mathbb{K}\underline{s}^\alpha$  with  $\text{lead}(r) \leq \text{lead}(p)$ ,
- (3)  $q \in V_L$ .
- (4) If  $p \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \bigoplus_{K \leq |\alpha| < L} \mathbb{K}\underline{s}^\alpha$  then  $(r, \bar{a}, q) = (p, \bar{0}, 0)$ .
- (5) If  $p \equiv p' \pmod{V_L}$  then  $r = r'$ .

**Proof:** By proposition 3.5.2, NF preserves the condition  $p \in V_K$ . Hence, the claim follows immediately from the definition of NF.

By proposition 3.5.1,  $V$  is a basis of the  $\langle \underline{s}, \underline{x} \rangle$ -adic topology and, by lemma 3.7,  $\text{NF}_1(V_K, L) \subset V_K$  for  $L < K \leq 0$ . This shall allow us to extend NF such that  $\text{NF}_1$  becomes a reduced normal form on the power series ring  $\mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$ .

Let  $K = (K_i)_{i \geq 0}$  be a strictly decreasing sequence and

$$\text{NF}(p) = (\text{NF}_1(p), \text{NF}_2(p)) = \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} r_i, \sum_{i \geq 0} \bar{a}_i \right)$$

for  $p \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$  where  $p_0 = p$  and

$$r_i, \bar{a}_i, p_{i+1} = \text{NF}(p_i, K_i)$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . Note that NF depends on the choice of the sequence  $K$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $(r, \bar{a}) = \text{NF}(p)$ . Then*

$$p = \underline{G}\bar{a} + r$$

where

- (1)  $a^i \in \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}, \underline{x}]]$  with  $\text{lead}(G_i a^i) \leq \text{lead}(p)$  for all  $i$ ,
- (2)  $r \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$  with  $\text{lead}(r) \leq \text{lead}(p)$ .
- (3) If  $p \in \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[\underline{s}]]$  then  $(r, \bar{a}) = (p, \bar{0})$ .

**Proof:** This follows immediately from lemma 3.7.

We shall now describe  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  as a map of  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -modules.

**Proposition 3.9.**  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  is a  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -linear map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{K}[[s, x]] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{NF}_1} & \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & H & \end{array}$$

with  $\mathbf{NF}_1^2 = \mathbf{NF}_1$ . In particular, if  $H$  is a free  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -module then  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  induces the  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -section

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{NF}_1 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathbb{K}[[s, x]] & \xrightarrow{\pi_H} & H \end{array}$$

of the canonical projection  $\pi_H$  with  $\text{im}(\mathbf{NF}_1) = \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]]$ . This means that  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  is the  $\underline{m}$ -basis representation.

**Proof:** By definition of  $\mathbf{NF}$ ,  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  is  $\mathbb{K}[[s]]$ -linear. By lemma 3.8,  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  is a map over  $H$ . By lemma 3.8.3,  $\mathbf{NF}_1$  is the identity on its image  $\langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]]$ .

We shall now explain how to compute the relations of the  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module  $H$ . By proposition 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} H &\cong_{\mathbb{K}[[s]]} \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] / (\langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] \cap \langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s, x]]) \\ &= \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] / \mathbf{NF}_1(\langle \underline{F} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s, x]]) \\ &= \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] / \langle \mathbf{NF}_1(\underline{F}x^{\mathbf{N}^n}) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] \end{aligned}$$

and, in particular, by lemma 3.7.3,

$$H / \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K} H \cong_{\mathbb{K}[[s]]} \langle \underline{m} \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] / (\langle \mathbf{NF}_1(\underline{F}x^{\mathbf{N}^n} \setminus V_K, K) \rangle \mathbb{K}[[s]] + \langle \underline{m} \rangle \langle \underline{s} \rangle^{-K} \mathbb{K}[[s]])$$

where  $\underline{F}x^{\mathbf{N}^n} \setminus V_K$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{K}[[s, x]]$ .

Finally, we return to our starting point. Let  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $\underline{F} = \underline{\partial}(f) - s\underline{\partial}$  as in remark 3.1. Then, by proposition 2.7,  $H \cong_{\mathbb{C}[[s]]} \widehat{H}''$  is the formal Brieskorn lattice and, by proposition 3.3,  $H$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module of rank  $\mu$ . We define the  $\mu \times \mu$ -matrix  $A$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$  by

$$\underline{m}A = \mathbf{NF}_1(f\underline{m}).$$

Then, by (1) and (3),  $A + s^2\partial_s$  is the  $\underline{m}$ -basis representation of  $t$ . This means that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{H}'' & \xrightarrow{t} & \widehat{H}'' \\ \underline{m} \uparrow \sim & & \underline{m} \uparrow \sim \\ \mathbb{C}[[s]]^\mu & \xrightarrow{A+s^2\partial_s} & \mathbb{C}[[s]]^\mu. \end{array}$$

The algorithms in [Sch01, SS01, Sch02b] are based on the computation of the matrix  $A$  using the normal form algorithm  $\mathbf{NF}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Bar93] D. Barlet. Theory of  $(a,b)$ -modules, I. In V. Ancona and A. Silva, editors, *Complex Analysis and Geometry*, pages 1–43. Plenum Press, 1993.
- [Bar00] D. Barlet. Un critère d’existence de bloc Jordan pour un  $(a,b)$ -module. *Républ. Inst. Élie Cartan*, 10:1–11, 2000.
- [Bri70] E. Brieskorn. Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen. *Manuscr. Math.*, 2:103–161, 1970.
- [Buc65] B. Buchberger. *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenringes nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal*. Thesis, Univ. Innsbruck, 1965.
- [Buc85] B. Buchberger. Gröbner bases: an algorithmic method in polynomial ideal theory. In N. K. Bose, editor, *Recent trends in multidimensional system theory*. Reidel, 1985.
- [Del70] P. Deligne. Théorie de Hodge, I. In *Actes du Congrès international des mathématiciens, Nice*, 1970.
- [dJP00] T. de Jong and G. Pfister. *Local Analytic Geometry*. Adv. Lect. in Math. Vieweg, 2000.
- [FK02] A. Frühbis-Krüger. Partial standard bases for families. In A. M. Cohen, X.-S. Gao, and N. Takayama, editors, *Mathematical Software - ICMS 2002*, pages 228–238. World Scientific, 2002.
- [GP96] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister. Advances and improvements in the theory of standard bases and syzygies. *Arch. Math.*, 66:163–176, 1996.
- [GP02] G.M. Greuel and G. Pfister. *A SINGULAR Introduction to Commutative Algebra*. Springer, 2002.
- [GPS02] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. SINGULAR 2.0.3. A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2002. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [Pha77] F. Pham. Caustiques, phase stationnaire et microfonctions. *Acta. Math. Vietn.*, 2:35–101, 1977.
- [Sai89] M. Saito. On the structure of Brieskorn lattices. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, 39:27–72, 1989.
- [Sch01] M. Schulze. Algorithms for the Gauss-Manin connection. *Journ. Symb. Comp.*, 32(5):549–564, 2001.
- [Sch02a] M. Schulze. *Algorithmic Gauss-Manin Connection*. PhD Thesis, University of Kaiserslautern, 2002.
- [Sch02b] M. Schulze. The differential structure of the Brieskorn lattice. In A. M. Cohen, X.-S. Gao, and N. Takayama, editors, *Mathematical Software - ICMS 2002*, pages 136–146. World Scientific, 2002.
- [Sch02c] M. Schulze. `gaussman.lib`. SINGULAR 2.0.3 library, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2002. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [Sch02d] M. Schulze. Monodromy of hypersurface singularities. In *Proceedings of the INTAS Conference "Monodromy in Geometry and Differential Equations"*, 2002.
- [Seb70] M. Sebastiani. Preuve d’une conjecture de Brieskorn. *Manuscr. Math.*, 2:301–308, 1970.
- [SS85] J. Scherk and J.H.M. Steenbrink. On the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fibre. *Math. Ann.*, 271:641–655, 1985.
- [SS01] M. Schulze and J.H.M. Steenbrink. Computing Hodge-theoretic invariants of singularities. In D. Siersma, C.T.C. Wall, and V. Zakalyukin, editors,

- New Developments in Singularity Theory*, volume 21 of *NATO Science Series*, pages 217–233. Kluwer, 2001.
- [Ste76] J. Steenbrink. Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology. In *Real and complex singularities*, pages 525–562. Nordic summer school, Oslo, 1976.
- [Ste85] J.H.M. Steenbrink. Semicontinuity of the singularity spectrum. *Invent. Math.*, 79(3):557–565, 1985.
- [Var82] A.N. Varchenko. Asymptotic Hodge structure in the vanishing cohomology. *Math. USSR Izvestija*, 18(3):496–512, 1982.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN, 67653  
KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY