

A NORMAL FORM ALGORITHM FOR DIFFERENTIAL DEFORMATIONS

MATHIAS SCHULZE

Abstract. We introduce the notion of a formal differential deformation of a local Artinian algebra and describe a normal form algorithm to compute presentations of differential deformations. We show that the microlocal structure of the Brieskorn lattice of an isolated hypersurface singularity can be considered as a differential deformation.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Differential Deformations	2
3. Degree Bound Lemma	2
4. Normal Form Algorithm	4
5. Presentations	6
6. Brieskorn Lattice	8
References	9

1. Introduction

Associated to an isolated hypersurface singularity there are many interesting and deep invariants like the monodromy and Hodge-theoretic invariants like the spectral numbers and spectral pairs.

E. Brieskorn [Bri70] was the first who gave an algorithm to compute the monodromy. Algorithms to compute the above Hodge-theoretic invariants were found only one year ago [SS01, Sch01a]. The basis for all of the above algorithms is E. Brieskorn's formula

$$r_{\partial_t} [df^{\wedge !}] = [\partial !]$$

for the action of the Gauss-Main connection on the Brieskorn lattice

$$H^0 = \bigwedge^{n+1} df \wedge d^{n-1}$$

in terms of germs of holomorphic differential forms. The Brieskorn lattice is a free module over the ring of convergent power series $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ but also over the ring of microdifferential operators $\mathbb{C}\{t\}^1$.

While the original Brieskorn algorithm uses the $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ -structure, the new algorithms are based on the microlocal structure of the Brieskorn

I thank A. Fruhbis-Krugger for helpful discussions.

lattice which is related more closely to the definition in terms of differential forms and has many advantages. Therefore it is essential to have an algorithm to compute basis representations in terms of the microlocal structure of the Brieskorn lattice.

We will introduce the notion of a formal differential deformation of a local Artinian algebra and describe a normal form algorithm to compute presentations of differential deformations. We will show that the Brieskorn lattice with its microlocal structure can be considered as a differential deformation. In particular, the normal form algorithm computes basis representations in terms of the microlocal structure of the Brieskorn lattice.

We will denote row vectors by a lower bar and column vectors by an upper bar. Upper indices are row indices and lower indices are column indices. We apply the degree operator \deg componentwise to vectors and matrices and the operators \min and \max to the set of components of vectors and matrices.

2. Differential Deformations

Let K be a field and $O := K[[x]]_{(x)}$ a localized polynomial ring over K . Let $\underline{hfi} \subset O$ be an ideal such that O/\underline{hfi} is a local Artinian K -algebra, i.e.

$$\dim_K (O/\underline{hfi}) < \infty :$$

Let D be the ring of differential operators on O . Then we can consider the right D -module $D/\underline{hfi}D$ and a formal deformation

$$D[[s]]/\underline{hfi} \quad sD \subset D[[s]]$$

of $D/\underline{hfi}D$ where D is a matrix with coefficients in $D[[s]]$. Tensoring with the left D -module $O = D/\underline{hfi}D$, we obtain a $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module

$$O[[s]]/\underline{hfi} \quad sD \subset O[[s]]$$

with the coefficients of D operating on $O[[s]]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\underline{hfi} \subset O$ be an ideal with $\dim_K (O/\underline{hfi}) < \infty$ and D a matrix with coefficients in D . Then we call the $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module

$$O[[s]]/\underline{hfi} \quad sD \subset O[[s]] :$$

a formal differential deformation of O/\underline{hfi} .

3. Degree Bound Lemma

We will freely use the definitions and results in [GP96]. Let

$$H = K[[x]]_{(x)}[[s]]/\underline{hfi} + sD \subset K[[x]]_{(x)}[[s]]$$

be a formal differential deformation. We may assume \underline{f} to be polynomial. Let weights $\deg \underline{x} < 0$ of the variables \underline{x} be given and define weights

$$\deg \underline{\partial} := -\deg \underline{x} > 0$$

of the corresponding differential operators $\underline{\partial}$. Note that this definition is compatible with the commutator $[\underline{\partial}, \underline{x}] = 1$ and the degree of a monomial in D is well defined.

Let $>_{\underline{x}}$ be a local degree ordering w.r.t. $\deg \underline{x}$, \underline{g} a reduced standard basis w.r.t. $>_{\underline{x}}$ of \underline{hf} , and A a matrix such that

$$\underline{g} = \underline{f}A :$$

Using standard basis methods, one can compute polynomial \underline{g} and A . We define weights $\deg \underline{s} < 0$ of the variables \underline{s} by

$$\deg s_j := \min_{\underline{x} \neq \text{head } g_i} \deg \underline{x} - \max \deg \underline{d}^j < 0 :$$

Let $>_{\underline{s}}$ be a local degree ordering w.r.t. $\deg \underline{s}$ and let $> := (>_{\underline{s}}, >_{\underline{x}})$ be the product ordering of $>_{\underline{s}}$ and $>_{\underline{x}}$. We denote the leading term w.r.t. $>$ which is the maximal monomial of the argument by lead and use a lower index \underline{s} (resp. \underline{x}) to denote the leading term w.r.t. $>_{\underline{s}}$ (resp. $>_{\underline{x}}$) which is the sum of maximal monomials of the argument.

We say that \underline{g} is sufficient if \underline{g} is a standard basis of $\underline{hf} + \underline{s}D$ in $K[\underline{x}]_{(\underline{x})}[[\underline{s}]]$, that is

$$\text{head } g_i = \text{lead } \underline{hf} + \underline{s}D \text{ in } K[\underline{x}]_{(\underline{x})}[[\underline{s}]] :$$

We denote the degree w.r.t. $\deg \underline{x}; \deg \underline{s}$ which is the maximum weighted degree of all monomials of the argument by \deg and use a lower index \underline{s} (resp. \underline{x}) to denote the degree w.r.t. $\deg \underline{s}$ (resp. $\deg \underline{x}$).

The following lemma is the basis for the normal form algorithm for differential deformations.

Definition 3.1.

$$N(K) := K \min \deg \underline{s} - \min \deg \underline{x} + \max \deg D$$

$$V(K) := \underline{hf} - \underline{s}D \text{ in } K[\underline{x}]_{(\underline{x})}[[\underline{s}]] + \underline{hs}i^K$$

Lemma 3.2 (Degree Bound Lemma). If $\deg p < N(K)$ then $p \in V(K)$.

Proof: Let $\text{lead } p = \underline{s-p_}$ with $j < K$ and $p_- \in K[\underline{x}]_{(\underline{x})}$. Then by assumption

$$\begin{aligned} \deg p_- &= \deg(\underline{s-p_-}) - \deg(\underline{s}) \\ &< (K - j) \min \deg \underline{s} - \min \deg \underline{x} + \max \deg D \\ &\quad - \min \deg \underline{s} - \min \deg \underline{x} + \max \deg D \\ &= \min_{\underline{x} \neq \text{head } g_i} \deg \underline{x} - \end{aligned}$$

and hence $p_- \in \mathcal{H}_i$. Hence, there is a vector \bar{b} with coefficients in $K[x]_{(\mathfrak{x})}$ such that $p_- = \underline{g}\bar{b}$ is a standard representation of p_- and

$$p_- = \underline{g}\bar{b} = \underline{f}A\bar{b} \equiv \underline{sD} A\bar{b} \pmod{\underline{hf}} \equiv \underline{sD} iK[x]_{(\mathfrak{x})}[[s]]:$$

Since $p_- = \underline{g}\bar{b}$ is a standard representation and $>_{\underline{x}}$ is a degree ordering, $\deg g_i + \deg b^i \leq \deg p_-$ for all i and hence

$$\max \deg \bar{b} \leq \deg p_- \leq \max \deg \underline{g}:$$

Since \underline{g} is a reduced standard basis,

$$\max_{\underline{x} \neq \text{head } g_i} \deg \underline{x} \leq \max \deg \underline{g} \leq \max \deg \underline{g}:$$

From the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\underline{sD} A\bar{b}) &\leq \max \deg(\underline{sD}) + \max \deg A + \max \deg \bar{b} \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{sD}) + \max \deg \bar{b} \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{sD}) \leq \max \deg \underline{g} + \deg p_- \\ &\leq \max \deg(\underline{sD}) \leq \max_{\underline{x} \neq \text{head } g_i} \deg \underline{x} \leq \max \deg \underline{g} + \deg p_- \\ &= \max_j (\deg s_j + \max \deg \underline{d}^j) \\ &\leq \max_{\underline{x} \neq \text{head } g_i} \deg \underline{x} \leq \max \deg \underline{g} + \deg p_- \\ &= \deg p_-: \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$p = p^0 + \underline{s} \underline{sD} A\bar{b} \pmod{\underline{hf}} \equiv \underline{sD} iK[x]_{(\mathfrak{x})}[[s]]$$

with $\text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p >_{\underline{s}} \text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p^0$ and we may replace p by p^0 . Since $>_{\underline{s}}$ is a degree ordering, we arrive at $p \in \mathcal{H}_i^K$ after finitely many steps.

4. Normal Form Algorithm

The proof of lemma 3.2 motivates the following normal form algorithm for formal differential deformations.

Algorithm 4.1 (NormalForm Algorithm).

```

proc ddnf(p; K)
  if lead p ∈ H_i^K then
    p := 0;
  elsif deg lead p < N(K) then
    p := ddnf(p - lead_s p; K);
  elsif ∃ j : lead g_j | lead p then
    p := ddnf p - (lead p / lead g_j) g_j + sD (lead p / lead g_j) a_j; K;
  else
    p := lead p + ddnf(p - lead p; K);

```

p.

Remark 4.2.

1. The algorithm can be formulated without recursion.
2. The choice of j in ddnf is fixed for each monomial.

Lemma 4.3. ddnf terminates.

Proof: By definition of the monomial ordering $>$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p & \text{ lead}_{\underline{s}} p < \text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p; \\ \text{lead } p &= \frac{\text{lead } p}{\text{lead } g_j} g_j + \underline{s} D \frac{\text{lead } p}{\text{lead } g_j} \bar{a}_j < \text{lead } p; \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{lead } p$ decreases strictly in each step. For fixed \underline{s} , there are only finitely many monomials $\underline{s}\underline{x}$ with $\deg \underline{s}\underline{x} \leq N(K)$ and hence

$$\deg \text{lead } p < N(K)$$

after finitely many steps. Then $\text{lead } p$ decreases strictly and, since $>$ is a degree ordering, $p \in \mathfrak{h}_i^K$ after finitely many steps.

In the following we abbreviate

$$K := \text{ddnf}(\cdot; K):$$

Lemma 4.4.

1. $K(p) = \text{lead } K(p) + K(p) \text{ lead } K(p)$
2. $p \in K(p) \bmod V_L(K)$
3. $K(K[\underline{x}])[\underline{s}] = \sum_{j < K} \underline{x}^{2 \text{lead } h_{f_i}} K(\underline{x}\underline{s})$
4. K is the identity on $\sum_{j < K} \underline{x}^{2 \text{lead } h_{f_i}} K(\underline{x}\underline{s})$.
5. If \underline{g} is sufficient then $K(V(K)) = 0$
6. If \underline{g} is sufficient then K is $K[\underline{s}]$ -linear.

Proof:

1. This follows from the recursive definition of ddnf and the fact that $\text{lead } p$ decreases strictly in each step.
2. By definition of the monomial ordering, $\deg \text{lead } p < N(K)$ implies that $\deg \text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p < N(K)$ and hence, by lemma 3.2, $\text{lead}_{\underline{s}} p \in V(K)$.
3. Since \underline{g} is a standard basis, $\text{lead } h_{f_i} = \text{lead } g_i$.
4. Let $\deg \text{lead } p < N(K)$ and $\text{lead } p = \underline{x}\underline{s}$ with $j < K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \underline{x} &= \deg(\underline{x}\underline{s}) - \deg(\underline{s}) \\ &< (K - j) m \text{ in } \deg \underline{s} - m \text{ in } \deg \underline{x} + m \text{ ax } \deg D \\ &= m \text{ in } \deg \underline{x} - \underline{x}^{2 \text{lead } g_i} \\ &\text{and hence } \underline{x}^{2 \text{lead } g_i}. \end{aligned}$$

5. Let $p \in V(K)$. Then, by (2), $\text{lead}_K(p) \in V(K)$. Assume that $\text{lead}_K(p) \notin 0$. Then, by (3), $\text{lead}_K(p) \neq \text{hs}_i^K$. Hence, by definition of the monomial ordering,

$$\text{lead}_K(p) \in \text{lead}_{\text{hf}} \text{sd}_i(K[x]_{(\alpha)}[s]) = \text{lead}_{\text{gi}}$$

contradicting (3).

6. By (2),

$$\text{lead}_K(p+q) = \text{lead}_K(p) = \text{lead}_K(q) \in V(K)$$

and hence, by (3), (4), and (5),

$$\text{lead}_K(p+q) = \text{lead}_K(p) = \text{lead}_K(q) \in V(K) = 0:$$

Hence, lead_K is additive. By definition of ddnf , lead_K is compatible with $K[[s]]$ -multiplication.

5. Presentations

Lemma 4.4 immediately implies our main result saying that ddnf computes representations in the generators of a formal differential deformation up to arbitrary degree in \underline{s} .

Proposition 5.1. $\pi = (\pi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{O}}$ with $\pi_K = \text{ddnf}(\cdot; K)$ defines a projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 = \text{hf}_i[[s]] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0[[s]] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & 0[[s]] = \text{hf}_i \text{sd}_i(0[[s]]) \end{array}$$

compatible with K -scalar multiplication where the inclusion

$$0 = \text{hf}_i \hookrightarrow 0$$

is defined by the monomial ordering $>_{\underline{x}}$. If \underline{g} is sufficient, then π is $K[[s]]$ -linear and ddnf is independent of choices.

Proof: The reduced normal form w.r.t. the ordering $>_{\underline{x}}$ defines a K -section $0 \xrightarrow{\quad} 0 = \text{hf}_i$ with image $\text{lead}_{\text{hf}_i} K[\underline{x}]$. This induces a $K[[s]]$ -section $0[[s]] \xrightarrow{\quad} 0 = \text{hf}_i[[s]]$ with image $\text{lead}_{\text{hf}_i} K[\underline{x}][s]$ which is the inclusion in the statement. The claim follows from Lemma 4.4 (3), (4), (5), and (6).

Remark 5.2. Up to this point, $K[\underline{x}]_{(\underline{x})}$ can be replaced by $K[\underline{x}]$. Then $>_{\underline{x}}$ can be any global ordering and $>_{\underline{s}}$ any local ordering. The degree bound $\deg \text{leadp} \leq N(K)$ in algorithm 4.1 and the finiteness assumption $\dim_K(O_{\text{hfi}}) < 1$ in definition 2.1 can be dropped.

By proposition 5.1,

$$\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}] = O_{\text{hfi}}[\underline{s}] \setminus \text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]$$

and there is a $K[\underline{s}]$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} O[\underline{s}]_{\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]} &= O_{\text{hfi}}[\underline{s}] \oplus O_{\text{hfi}}[\underline{s}] \setminus \text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}] \\ &= O_{\text{hfi}}[\underline{s}] \oplus \text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}] : \end{aligned}$$

In particular, there is a $K[\underline{s}]$ -isomorphism

$$O[\underline{s}]_{\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]} + \text{hs}_1^K = O_{\text{hfi}}[\underline{s}] \oplus_K \text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}] + \text{hs}_1^K :$$

The following lemma shows that the relations of a formal differential deformation can be computed up to arbitrary degree in \underline{s} .

Definition 5.3.

$$V(K; j) := N(K) - \deg(f_j - \bar{s}d_j)$$

Lemma 5.4.

$${}_K \text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}] = \bigoplus_{\substack{\deg(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) \leq N(K; j) \\ j \leq K}} (f_j - \bar{s}d_j) \underline{x}-\underline{s}$$

Proof: Let $\underline{x}-\underline{s}$ be a monomial with $\deg(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) < N(K; j)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(f_j - \bar{s}d_j)(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) &= \deg(f_j - \bar{s}d_j) + \deg(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) \\ &< \deg(f_j - \bar{s}d_j) + N(K; j) \\ &= N(K) \end{aligned}$$

and $(f_j - \bar{s}d_j)(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) \in V(K)$ by lemma 3.2. Hence,

$${}_K (f_j - \bar{s}d_j)(\underline{x}-\underline{s}) = 0$$

by lemma 4.4 (5).

The following proposition gives a criterion for a formal differential deformation to be a free $K[\underline{s}]$ -module.

Definition 5.5. A formal differential deformation $O[\underline{s}]_{\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]}$ is called flat if any relation of \underline{f} lifts to a relation of $\underline{f}_{\text{SD}}$.

Proposition 5.6. A flat formal differential deformation is a free $K[\underline{s}]$ -module.

Proof: Let $O[\underline{s}]_{\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]}$ be a flat formal differential deformation. Since O_{hfi} is a flat K -module and \underline{s} a regular sequence in $K[\underline{s}]$, it suffices to prove that s_1 is a non-zerodivisor in $O[\underline{s}]_{\text{hfi}_{\text{SD iO}}[\underline{s}]}$ by the local criterion of flatness [Eis96, Cor. 6.9]. Let \bar{p} be a relation

of $0 \leq i \leq n$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\bar{p}_{i=0}^n$ is a relation of $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ to $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Since every relation of \underline{f} lifts to a relation of $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, there is a relation \underline{q} of $\underline{f} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $s_i \bar{p} = \bar{q}$.

6. Brieskorn Lattice

Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}; 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}; 0)$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity with Milnor number

$$\mu = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x] / \langle f \rangle < \infty :$$

We abbreviate $\mathbb{C}[x] = \mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}$ and extend the differential d of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ to a differential of $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ by setting

$$d(!@^k) = d!@^k - df \wedge !@^{k+1} :$$

Then $(\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}; d)$ is a complex of $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}$ -modules with $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}$ -action given by

$$\begin{aligned} @(!@^k) &= !@^{k+1}; \\ t(!@^k) &= f!@^k - k!@^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.1 ([Pha79, SS85]). The Gauss-Manin system of f is the $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}$ -module

$$G := H^{n+1}(\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}; d) = \mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)} / d(\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)}).$$

The Brieskorn lattice H^0 of f is the image of the canonical map $\mathbb{C}[x]_{(0)} \longrightarrow G$.

Definition 6.2. The ring of microdifferential operators with constant coefficients is defined by

$$\mathbb{C}\{x\} := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k @^k \in \mathbb{C}[[x]] \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{k!} @^k \in \mathbb{C}\{x\} :$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ is a discrete valuation ring and $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ is a free $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ -module of rank 1.

Theorem 6.3 ([Pha79, SS85]). The Gauss-Manin system G is a μ -dimensional $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ -vector space and the Brieskorn lattice H^0 is a free $\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ -module of rank μ .

We abbreviate $s := @^{-1}$. Let $\hat{\mathbb{C}}[[x]]$ be the \mathfrak{h} -adic completion of $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ and \hat{G} resp. \hat{H}^0 be the \mathfrak{h} -adic completion of G resp. H^0 . We abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} R &:= \mathbb{C}[[x; s]]; \\ R[s^{-1}] &:= \mathbb{C}[[x; s]][s^{-1}]; \end{aligned}$$

By theorem 6.3, multiplication with \underline{dx} induces $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R[[s^{-1}]] \cong \underline{h\mathbb{C}}(f) & \xrightarrow{s \otimes i} & R[[s^{-1}]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R \cong \underline{h\mathbb{C}}(f) & \xrightarrow{s \otimes i} & R \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^0. \end{array}$$

The actions of $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ and $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ on $R[[s^{-1}]] \cong \underline{h\mathbb{C}}(f) \xrightarrow{s \otimes i} R[[s^{-1}]]$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} s[ps^k] &= [ps^{k+1}]; \\ t[ps^k] &= [fps^k + kps^{k+1}]; \end{aligned}$$

For computational purposes, we may identify the Brieskorn lattice \mathbb{F}^0 with the formal differential deformation

$$\mathbb{F}^0 = \mathbb{C}[[\underline{x}; s]] \cong \underline{h\mathbb{C}}(f) \xrightarrow{s \otimes i} \mathbb{C}[[\underline{x}; s]]:$$

By theorem 6.3, \mathbb{F}^0 is a free $\mathbb{C}[[s]]$ -module of rank $\dim \underline{g}$ and hence a standard basis \underline{g} of $\underline{h\mathbb{C}}(f)$ is sufficient. By proposition 5.1, the normal form algorithm 4.1 computes basis representations in \mathbb{F}^0 up to arbitrary degree in s .

The normal form algorithm for \mathbb{F}^0 is the core of the library `gaussman.lib` [Sch01b] in the computer algebra system Singular [GPS01]. This library provides procedures to compute invariants of isolated hypersurface singularities like the monodromy and Hodge-theoretic invariants like the spectral numbers and spectral pairs. These procedures are based on the ideas described in [SS01, Sch01a].

References

- [Bri70] E. Brieskorn, Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen, *Manuscr. Math.* 2 (1970), 103{161.
- [Eis96] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [GP96] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, Advances and improvements in the theory of standard bases and syzygies, *Arch. Math.* 66 (1996), 163{176.
- [GPS01] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann, Singular 2.0, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2001, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [Pha79] F. Pham, Singularités des systèmes de Gauss-Manin, *Progr. in Math.*, vol. 2, Birkhäuser, 1979.
- [Sch01a] M. Schulze, Algorithms for the Gauss-Manin connection, *Journal of Symbolic Computation* (2001).
- [Sch01b] M. Schulze, `gaussman.lib`, Singular 2.0 library, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, 2001, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [SS85] J. Scherk and J.H.M. Steenbrink, On the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fibre, *Math. Ann.* 271 (1985), 641{655.

- [SS01] M. Schulze and J.H.M. Steenbrink, Computing Hodge-theoretic invariants of singularities, New Developments in Singularity Theory (D. Siersma, C.T.C. Wall, and V. Zakalyukin, eds.), 2001.

M. Schulze, Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern,
Germany

E-mail address: mschulze@mathematik.uni-kl.de