

ACTION OF COXETER GROUPS ON m -HARMONIC POLYNOMIALS AND KZ EQUATIONS

G. FELDER AND A.P. VESELOV

ABSTRACT. The Matsuo–Cherednik correspondence is an isomorphism from solutions of Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations to eigenfunctions of generalized Calogero–Moser systems associated to Coxeter groups G and a multiplicity function m on their root systems. It is valid for generic values of the spectral parameters, in the complement of the discriminant locus. We extend the Matsuo–Cherednik correspondence to all values of the spectral parameters. We apply our result to the most degenerate case of zero spectral parameters. The space of eigenfunctions is then the space H_m of m -harmonic polynomials, recently introduced in [8]. We compute the Poincaré polynomials for the space H_m and of its isotypical components corresponding to each irreducible representation of the group G . We also give an explicit formula for m -harmonic polynomials of lowest positive degree in the S_n case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group generated by orthogonal hyperplane reflections of n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . We label all reflections s_α by a set of arbitrarily chosen normal vectors $\alpha \in A$. We also fix a system of multiplicities $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $m_\alpha = m_\beta$ if s_α and s_β belong to the same conjugacy class. The group G also acts on the complexification $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by Π_α the complexification of the reflection hyperplane of s_α .

By Chevalley’s theorem, the algebra of invariants S^G in the graded algebra $S = S(V) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty S^j$ of polynomial functions on V is freely generated by homogeneous polynomials $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$, $\sigma_i \in S^{d_i}$. We identify V with its dual space by means of the bilinear form $(\ , \)$ induced by the Euclidean inner product. So we identify the symmetric algebra S both with polynomial functions $z \mapsto p(z)$ on V and with differential operators $p(\partial)$ with constant coefficients. Under this identification, a vector $\xi \in V$ corresponds to the linear function $z \mapsto (\xi, z)$ and to the derivative ∂_ξ in the direction of ξ .

Let us consider the following system of equations

$$(1) \quad \sigma_i(\partial)\phi = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ as above are the generators of S^G . The solutions of this system form a $|G|$ -dimensional space H and turn out to be polynomial [26]. These polynomials are called *harmonic* and play an important role in the theory of Coxeter groups and symmetric spaces (see [14]). It is known that they freely generate $S(V)$ as a module over S^G and the corresponding Poincaré polynomial $P(H, t) = \sum_k t^k \dim H^k$, where H^k is the subspace of harmonic polynomials of

degree k , has the form

$$P(H, t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - t^{d_k}}{1 - t},$$

d_1, \dots, d_n are the degrees of the basic invariants $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$.

In the present paper, we investigate a generalisation of harmonic polynomials — the so-called *m-harmonic* polynomials introduced recently in [8].

To define them let us assign to each reflection hyperplane Π_α a nonnegative integer $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that if $\Pi_\alpha = g(\Pi_\beta)$, $g \in G$ then $m_\alpha = m_\beta$. Such a function m on the set of the reflections is called *multiplicity*. Since this function is constant on each orbit of the action of G on its reflection hyperplanes, the number q of parameters is equal to the number of such orbits (or equivalently, the number of conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_q of reflections in G). For irreducible groups G , q is actually either 1 or 2.

Corresponding *m*-harmonic polynomials are defined as the solutions of the following system generalising (1):

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{L}_i \phi = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \Delta - \sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{2m_\alpha}{(\alpha, x)} \partial_\alpha$$

is (up to a gauge transformation) the generalised Calogero–Moser operator and \mathcal{L}_i are its quantum integrals with the highest terms $\sigma_i(\partial)$ (see the next section for details). As it was shown in [8] all the solutions of this system are polynomial and form a $|G|$ -dimensional space which is denoted as H_m . When the multiplicity is zero we have the space H_0 of usual harmonic polynomials. For dihedral groups and constant multiplicity functions all *m*-harmonic polynomials have been described in [8] but for the general groups even the question of the degrees of such polynomials was open.

Our main idea to attack this problem goes back to Matsuo’s and Cherednik’s observations that the Calogero–Moser system

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_i \phi = \sigma_i(\lambda) \phi, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

for generic $\lambda \in V$, namely if $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (\lambda, \alpha) \neq 0$, is equivalent to a certain version of the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations (see [20],[3] and Section 3). These equations form a compatible system of first order equations for a function u on V taking values in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. They have the form

$$(4) \quad \partial_\xi u(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (s_\alpha + 1) u(x) + \pi(\xi, \lambda) u(x), \quad \xi \in V,$$

where $\pi(\xi, \lambda)$ is the linear endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ so that $\pi(\xi, \lambda)g = (\xi, g\lambda)g$, for $g \in G$. Then, for generic λ , the composition with the alternating representation $\mu : u \mapsto \epsilon \circ u$ is an isomorphism from the sheaf of local solutions of the KZ equations to the sheaf of local solutions of the Calogero–Moser system (3).

For non-generic λ , in particular for $\lambda = 0$ this construction does not work, as the map μ fails to be an isomorphism if $(\lambda, \alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in A$.

The main construction of our paper is an extension of the KZ equations and of the Matsuo–Cherednik isomorphism which works for all $\lambda \in V$ including $\lambda = 0$. The idea is that, instead of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, it is more natural to consider the KZ equations

for a function with values in the G -module $S(V)/I(\lambda)$, where $I(\lambda)$ is the ideal generated by G -invariant polynomials vanishing at λ . This module is (non-uniquely) isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ for all $\lambda \in V$. Our KZ equations with values in $S(V)/I(\lambda)$ still have the form (4) with a suitable $\pi(\xi, \lambda)$, namely the multiplication by $\xi \in V \subset S(V)$. These equations come with a *modified Matsuo-Cherednik map* μ from local solutions to local solutions of the Calogero–Moser system (3), which is an isomorphism for *all* $\lambda \in V$, see Theorem 3.4. The equations (12) considered by Matsuo and Cherednik are recovered from ours via the map $S(V)/I(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$ induced from the morphism sending a polynomial $p \in S(V)$ to $\sum_{g \in G} p(g\lambda)g$. This map is an isomorphism only for generic λ .

This new explicit form of the Calogero–Moser system (2) as a holonomic system seems to be quite convenient. We show that it allows one not only to find the degrees of m -harmonic polynomials but to compute them explicitly in some special cases.

An application of our construction is a formula for the Poincaré polynomial $P(H_m, t)$ for the general Coxeter group G and multiplicity function m .

Let us describe this formula, which is given as a sum of the Poincaré polynomials for each isotypical component. Let V_1, \dots, V_p be a list of all inequivalent irreducible representations of G . For any representation V_j we can ask what is the multiplicity $p_k(V_j)$ of this representation in the G -module of (usual) harmonic polynomials of degree k and define the corresponding Poincaré polynomial

$$P_j(t) = \sum_k p_k(V_j) t^k.$$

These polynomials are known for all Coxeter groups (see 4.1 below). They obey Poincaré duality

$$(5) \quad P_{j^*}(t) = t^N P_j(t^{-1}),$$

where V_{j^*} is the tensor product of V_j by the alternating representation and N is the total number of the reflections in G .

Let us define now for any conjugacy class of reflections C_a the number

$$(6) \quad d_a^-(V_j) = \frac{2N_a \dim(V_{j,\alpha}^-)}{\dim(V_j)}, \quad a = 1, \dots, q,$$

where N_a is the number of elements in C_a and $(V_{j,\alpha}^-)$ is the -1 -eigenspace of the action of any $s_\alpha \in C_a$ on V_j . This number can be expressed in terms of the polynomials $P_j(t)$ in the following way. Let $P_{j \otimes a}(t)$ be the Poincaré polynomial corresponding to the representation $V_j \otimes \chi_a$ where χ_a is the one-dimensional representation of G such that

$$\chi_a(s) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \in C_a, \\ 1, & \text{if } s \in C_b, b \neq a, \end{cases}$$

We show that

$$d_a^-(V_j) = N_a + \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln \frac{P_j(t)}{P_{j \otimes a}(t)}, \quad a = 1, \dots, q.$$

If the group G acts transitively on its reflection hyperplanes (i.e. $q = 1$) the formula simplifies to Solomon's formula [24]

$$d^-(V_j) = d_1^-(V_j) = 2 \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln P_j(t).$$

Theorem 1.1. *The Poincaré polynomial of the graded space of m -harmonic polynomials has a form*

$$P(H_m, t) = \sum_{j=1}^p \dim(V_j) t^{d_j^-(m)} P_j(t),$$

where $d_j^-(m) = \sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^-(V_j)$. It has degree $M = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (2m_\alpha + 1) = \sum_{a=1}^q N_a (2m_a + 1)$ and satisfies the palindromic relation $P(H_m, t) = t^M P(H_m, t^{-1})$.

For example, in the case of the symmetric group $G = S_n$, the representations are given by Young diagrams with n boxes. Let the *arm length* a_k of the k th box of a Young diagram be the number of boxes on its right in the same row, and the *leg length* be the number of boxes below it in the same column. The *hook length* of the k th box is then $h_k = a_k + \ell_k + 1$. Then the formula for $P(H_m, t)$ can be written as

$$P(H_m, t) = n! t^{mn(n-1)/2} \sum_{\text{Young diagrams}} \prod_{k=1}^n t^{m(\ell_k - a_k) + \ell_k} \frac{1 - t^k}{h_k(1 - t^{h_k})},$$

see 4.5 below.

It seems to be impossible to generalise the product formula for $P(H, t)$ for general m since already the dihedral case showed [8] that different isotypical components corresponding to irreducible representations of G behave in a different way as a function of m .

We should mention that the description of the action of the Coxeter group on the space of solutions of (2) can be extracted also from Opdam's papers [22], [23]¹. We believe that our derivation is more illuminating and can be used also for effective description of m -harmonic polynomials.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we review the basic facts about the Calogero–Moser systems and m -harmonic polynomials. The construction of the system of KZ equations and of the modified Matsuo–Cherednik isomorphism is given in Section 3. In Section 4 we apply our construction to m -harmonic polynomials. We first describe the action of the Coxeter group on the space of solutions of the KZ equations and give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Then we give an explicit construction of m -harmonic polynomials for $G = S_n$ of lowest positive degree using an integral representation of solutions of the KZ equations. Finally, in the S_n case, the asymptotic distribution of degrees of harmonic polynomials for large m and n are described using results of Kerov on the statistical properties of large Young diagrams. We conclude our paper with Section 5, where we comment on interesting recent developments and open questions in this subject.

2. GENERALISED CALOGERO–MOSER SYSTEMS AND m -HARMONIC POLYNOMIALS

The generalised Calogero–Moser operator related to a Coxeter group G and a multiplicity function m (not necessary integer-valued but G -invariant) was introduced by Olshanetsky and Perelomov [21] and has the form

$$(7) \quad L = \Delta - \sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{m_\alpha(m_\alpha + 1)(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, x)^2}.$$

¹We are grateful to I. Cherednik who attracted our attention to these very interesting papers

We will use its gauge equivalent version $\mathcal{L} = \hat{g}L\hat{g}^{-1}$, where \hat{g} is the operator of multiplication by $g = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, x)^{m_\alpha}$ which has a form

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{L} = \Delta - \sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{2m_\alpha}{(\alpha, x)} \partial_\alpha.$$

This operator is one of n commuting operators $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ with the highest symbols σ_i . One of the best ways to describe these operators has been discovered by G. Heckman [11] and uses the following difference-differential Dunkl operators [5]

$$(9) \quad D_\xi u(x) = \partial_\xi u(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (u(s_\alpha x) - u(x)),$$

where $\xi \in V$. A remarkable property of these operators is their commutativity:

$$[D_\xi, D_\eta] = 0$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in V$. This allows us to define the difference-differential operators $\sigma_i(D)$ corresponding to the basic invariants $\sigma_i \in S^G$. Now the operators \mathcal{L}_i can be defined as restrictions of the operators $\sigma_i(D)$ on the space of G -invariant functions (see [11]).

Consider the joint eigenspace of these operators:

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1 \phi = \sigma_1(\lambda) \phi \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \mathcal{L}_n \phi = \sigma_n(\lambda) \phi. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. [8] *For any Coxeter group G and integer multiplicity function m all the solutions of the system (10) are holomorphic everywhere. They form a space of dimension $|G|$ where the natural action of G is its regular representation. When $\lambda = 0$ all the solutions are polynomial.*

When all the multiplicities are zero we have the usual harmonic polynomials related to a Coxeter group and this result is well-known (see [26, 14]). Following [8] we will call the solutions of the system

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1 \phi = 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \mathcal{L}_n \phi = 0. \end{cases}$$

m -harmonic polynomials and denote the corresponding space H_m .

The system (10) with generic m has been discussed by Heckman and Opdam [10] (see also [22]) who showed that it can be represented as a holonomic system of rank $|G|$ but have never written this system explicitly. In the next section we present such a representation modifying Matsuo and Cherednik construction [20].²

²There is a remark in Opdam's paper [22] (see page 335) which suggests a possibility of such representation.

3. KNIZHNIK–ZAMOLODCHIKOV EQUATIONS AND THE ISOMORPHISM THEOREM

We are going first to introduce a certain version of Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov (KZ) equations on V with values in G -modules. By a G -module we mean a left module over the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The alternating (sign) representation ϵ is defined as the homomorphism $G \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ such that $\epsilon(s_\alpha) = -1$ for all reflections s_α . The alternating G -module \mathbb{C}_ϵ is the one-dimensional module with action given by ϵ .

3.1. KZ equations with values in G -modules. Let M be a G -module, $\pi : V \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ be a G -equivariant linear map. The *Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov connection* is the system of first order differential operators

$$\nabla_\xi = \partial_\xi - \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (s_\alpha + 1) - \pi(\xi), \quad \xi \in V,$$

acting on functions $\psi : V - \cup_\alpha \Pi_\alpha \rightarrow M$.

Lemma 3.1. [3, 6] *Let M be a G -module, $\pi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M))$. Then*

- (i) *The KZ connection is flat: $\nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta = \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in V$.*
- (ii) *Let G act on M -valued functions on V by $({}^g\psi)(x) = g(\psi(g^{-1}x))$, $g \in G$. Then the KZ connection is G -equivariant: $\nabla_{g\xi} {}^g\psi = {}^g(\nabla_\xi \psi)$, $g \in G$.*

It follows that if $\dim(M) = d$, the solutions of the system of KZ equations with values in M ,

$$\nabla_\xi \psi(x) = 0, \quad \xi \in V$$

on any connected, simply connected open subset of $V - \cup_\alpha \Pi_\alpha$ form a d -dimensional vector space. Moreover, global solutions form a G -module.

For $\lambda \in V$, let $I(\lambda)$ be the ideal in S generated by invariant polynomials vanishing at λ . It is clearly invariant under the action of G . We consider the KZ equations with values in the G -module $M(\lambda) = S/I(\lambda)$, with $\pi(\xi)$ being the operator of multiplication by the linear function $z \mapsto (\xi, z)$:

$$(12) \quad \partial_\xi \psi(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (s_\alpha + 1) \psi(x) + \pi(\xi) \psi(x), \quad \xi \in V.$$

We now show that the G -module $S/I(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[G]$. The isomorphism is not canonical and we choose it in such a way that it is well-behaved as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *The composition $H_0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow M(\lambda)$ of the inclusion with the canonical projection is an isomorphism of G -modules. In particular, $M(\lambda)$ is isomorphic as a G -module to $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with left action of G .*

Proof: For $\lambda = 0$ this is well-known fact about harmonic polynomials (see [14]).

Let $h_1, \dots, h_{|G|}$ be a basis of H_0 . Then, by Chevalley's theorem [4] (see also [14]), any polynomial in S may be uniquely written as $q = \sum_{i=1}^{|G|} p_i h_i$, with $p_i \in S^G$. We claim that $q \in I(\lambda)$ if and only if $p_i(\lambda) = 0$ for all i . Indeed, if $p_i(\lambda) = 0$ for all i then it is clear that $q \in I(\lambda)$. Conversely, suppose that $q = \sum p_i h_i \in I(\lambda)$. Then, by definition, $q = \sum p'_k q_k$ with $p'_k \in S^G$ vanishing at λ . By expressing each q_k as a linear combination of h_i with coefficients in S^G , get that $q = \sum p''_i h_i$ with $p''_i \in S^G$ and $p''_i(\lambda) = 0$. By uniqueness we deduce that $p''_i = p_i$ and the claim follows.

We thus can define a map of G -modules from $I(0)$ to $I(\lambda)$ by $\phi_\lambda : \sum p_i h_i \mapsto \sum (p_i - p_i(\lambda)) h_i$. It is an isomorphism with inverse map $\sum p_i h_i \mapsto \sum (p_i - p_i(0)) h_i$.

This map sends polynomials of degree $\leq k$ to polynomials of degree $\leq k$. In particular, if we denote by $F^k(S) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k S^j$ the polynomials of S of degree $\leq k$, then $\dim(F^k(S)/(F^k(S) \cap I(\lambda)))$ is independent of λ for all k , and thus $\dim(S/I(\lambda)) = \dim(S/I(0))$. Moreover, ϕ_λ maps a homogeneous polynomial $p \in I(0)$ to a polynomial of the form $p + q$ with $\deg(q) < \deg(p)$. As a consequence, if $h \neq 0$ is in the kernel of the natural map $H_0 \rightarrow S/I(\lambda)$, then the component of highest degree of h is in $I(0)$ and thus vanishes, a contradiction. \square

3.2. The modified Matsuo–Cherednik map. The modified Matsuo–Cherednik map is defined in terms of the function

$$w_\lambda(y) = \frac{\sum_{g \in G} \epsilon(g) e^{(g\lambda, y)}}{\prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, \lambda)}.$$

Lemma 3.3.

- (i) *The function $w_\lambda(y)$ is holomorphic in $\lambda, y \in V$. It obeys $w_\lambda(y) = \epsilon(g)w_\lambda(gy) = w_{g\lambda}(y)$, $g \in G$.*
- (ii) *For any fixed λ , the function*

$$y \mapsto \frac{w_\lambda(y)}{\prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, y)}$$

is holomorphic on V and is not zero at $y = 0$.

- (iii) *$w_0(y) = C \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, y)$ for some non zero number $C \in \mathbb{R}$.*
- (iv) *Let $\lambda \in V$, $p \in S$. Then $p(\partial)w_\lambda = 0$ if and only if $p \in I(\lambda)$.*

Proof: (i) Since the numerator obeys $n(s_\alpha \lambda, y) = -n(\lambda, y)$, $\alpha \in A$, it is divisible by $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, \lambda)$ in the ring of holomorphic functions. The behaviour under the G -action follows from the fact that the numerator is skew-invariant as a function of both λ and y and the denominator is a skew-invariant function of λ . (ii) By the same argument, $w_\lambda(y)$ is also divisible by $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, y)$. Let $C(\lambda)$ be the value of the quotient at $y = 0$. Then the Taylor series at 0 of w_λ begins with $w_\lambda(y) = C(\lambda) \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, y) + \dots$. To show that $C(\lambda) \neq 0$ it is sufficient to find a polynomial $w \in S^N$, $N = |A|$, such that $w(\partial)w_\lambda(y)$ does not vanish at $y = 0$. Let $w(z) = \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\alpha, z)$. Then we have

$$w(\partial)e^{(g\lambda, y)} = w(g\lambda)e^{(g\lambda, y)} = \epsilon(g)w(\lambda)e^{(g\lambda, y)}.$$

It follows that $w(\partial)w_\lambda(y)|_{y=0} = |G| \neq 0$. (iii) It follows from the homogeneity relation $w_\lambda(ay) = a^N w_{a\lambda}(y)$, that w_0 is a homogeneous polynomial of degree N . With (ii), this implies the claim. (iv) If $p \in S^G$, $p(\partial)w_\lambda = p(\lambda)w_\lambda$. Thus $p(\partial)w_\lambda$ vanishes if $p \in I(\lambda)$. Conversely, suppose that $p(\partial)w_\lambda(y) = 0$ and let p_h be the homogeneous term of highest degree in p . Then $p_h(\partial)$ vanishes on the term of lowest degree of w_λ , namely, by (ii), $p_h(\partial) \prod_{\alpha} (\alpha, y) = 0$. It follows, see [14] or Lemma 3.2, that $p_h \in I(0)$, i.e., $p_h(z) = \sum q_i(z)r_i(z)$ with $r_i \in S^G$ homogeneous of positive degree. Let $\bar{p}_h(z) = \sum q_i(z)(r_i(z) - r_i(\lambda))$. Clearly, $\bar{p}_h \in I(\lambda)$ and has leading term $p_h(z)$. Thus p can be written as

$$p(z) = \bar{p}_h(z) + q(z) \equiv q(z) \pmod{I(\lambda)},$$

where q has lower degree and $q(\partial)w_\lambda = 0$. By induction on the degree, we thus see that $p \in I(\lambda)$. \square

Let $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\epsilon$ be the G -module homomorphism

$$p \mapsto p(\partial)w_\lambda|_{y=0}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, (iv), μ induces a map, also denoted by μ from $S/I(\lambda)$ to \mathbb{C}_ϵ .

We first formulate the local version of our isomorphism theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\lambda \in V$, U a connected, simply connected open subset of $V - \cap_{\alpha \in A} \Pi_\alpha$. The (modified) Matsuo–Cherednik map*

$$\psi \mapsto \phi = \mu \circ \psi$$

is an isomorphism from the space of solutions $KZ(\lambda; U)$ of the KZ equations (12) on U with values in $S/I(\lambda)$ to the space $CM(\lambda; U)$ of solutions of the system of differential equations

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{L}_i \phi = \sigma_i(\lambda) \phi, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

of the Calogero–Moser system (10).

This theorem is proven in 3.4 below.

The global version of the isomorphism theorem follows from the fact that all local solutions of (13) extend to global solutions (see Section 2).

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\lambda \in V$. The map*

$$\psi \mapsto \phi = \mu \circ \psi$$

is an isomorphism of G -modules from the space of global solutions $KZ(\lambda)$ of the KZ equations (12) with values in $S/I(\lambda)$ to the space $CM(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}_\epsilon$ of global solutions of the system of differential equations (13) of the Calogero–Moser system, with G -action twisted by ϵ .

Finally, by Theorem 2.1, all solutions of (13) extend to holomorphic functions on all of V . This has the following consequence.

Corollary 3.6. *All solutions of the KZ equations (12) extend to holomorphic functions on V . If $\lambda = 0$, all solutions of the KZ equations (12) are polynomial.*

Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 are proven in 3.5.

Remark. Matsuo [20] and Cherednik [3] considered the KZ equations with values in the left regular G -module. They considered similar maps from the solution space of the KZ equations to $CM(\lambda)$, which are isomorphisms as long as λ does not belong to the discriminant locus $\cup_{\alpha \in A} \Pi_\alpha$. Our result can be viewed as an extension of the Matsuo–Cherednik isomorphism to all λ . Indeed, the KZ equation used by Matsuo and Cherednik can be recovered from ours via the G -module homomorphism $S/I(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$, $p \mapsto \sum p(g\lambda)g$, which is an isomorphism only if $\prod_\alpha (\alpha, \lambda) \neq 0$.

3.3. A non-degenerate bilinear form on $S/I(\lambda)$. A convenient technical tool in the proof of our results is a non-degenerate bilinear form. Let $O(V)$ be the space of holomorphic functions on V . The bilinear form $\langle p, q \rangle = p(\partial)q(y)|_{y=0}$ on S extends to a pairing $S \times O(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the same formula. For $\lambda \in V$, let $(\ , \)_\lambda$ be the symmetric bilinear form on S defined by

$$(p, q)_\lambda = p(\partial)q(\partial)w_\lambda(y)|_{y=0} = \langle p, q(\partial)w_\lambda \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.7. *The bilinear form $(\ , \)_\lambda$ induces a well-defined symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form on $S/I(\lambda)$.*

Proof: By Lemma 3.3, (iv), $(\ , \)_\lambda$ vanishes if one of the arguments is in $I(\lambda)$ so it is well-defined on the quotient. It is clearly symmetric. Suppose $(p, q)_\lambda = 0$ for all $p \in S$. This means all Taylor coefficients of $q(\partial)w_\lambda$ vanish. Since it is a holomorphic function on V , this function vanishes identically. By Lemma 3.3 $q \in I(\lambda)$. This shows that the induced bilinear form on $S/I(\lambda)$ is non-degenerate. \square

Note that the map μ is $p \mapsto (p, 1)_\lambda = \langle p, w_\lambda \rangle$.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.4. We adapt Matsuo's construction to this case. We first show that the map sends solutions of the KZ equations to eigenfunctions, following his proof word by word.

We need a description of the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n]$ generated by the commuting operators \mathcal{L}_i . These differential operators are defined through Dunkl operators [5], [11], by the condition that \mathcal{L}_i acts on invariant functions as the restriction of the differential-difference operators $\sigma_i(D)$. An other system of generators is more convenient here [13]. Define for each $\xi \in V$, differential operators $D_\xi^{(d)}$ recursively by $D_\xi^{(0)} = 1$ and

$$D_\xi^{(d)} = \partial_\xi D_\xi^{(d-1)} + \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (D_{s_\alpha \xi}^{(d-1)} - D_\xi^{(d-1)}).$$

Alternatively, $D_\xi^{(d)}$ is the unique differential operator whose restriction to S^G coincides with the restriction to S^G of the d th power of the Dunkl differential-difference operator (9). The algebra \mathcal{D} is generated by the operators $D_{\xi, d} = \sum_{g \in G} D_{g\xi}^{(d)}$, $\xi \in V$, $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Indeed, $D_{\xi, d} = p_{\xi, d}(D)$ on invariant functions, where $p_{\xi, d}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} (g\xi, x)$; since any invariant polynomial can be written as a linear combination of polynomials $p_{\xi, d}$, it is clear that \mathcal{D} is spanned by the operators $D_{\xi, d}$. Thus the space $CM(\lambda)$ consists of solutions of the differential equations

$$D_{\xi, d}\phi(x) = \sum_{g \in G} (g\xi, \lambda)^d \phi(x)$$

Let $O(V)$ be the space of holomorphic functions on V .

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\psi \in KZ(\lambda; U)$, $\phi(x) = \mu \circ \psi(x) = \langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle$. Then*

$$D_\xi^{(d)}\phi(x) = \mu(\pi(\xi)^d \psi(x)).$$

Proof: Clearly this holds for $d = 0$. Assume inductively that the claim holds for $D_\xi^{(d-1)}$ and all $\xi \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D_\xi^{(d)}\langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle &= \partial_\xi D_\xi^{(d-1)}\langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (D_{s_\alpha \xi}^{(d-1)} - D_\xi^{(d-1)})\langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \partial_\xi \langle \pi(\xi)^{d-1} \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} \langle (\pi(s_\alpha \xi)^{d-1} - \pi(\xi)^{d-1}) \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is a solution of the KZ equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi \langle \pi(\xi)^{d-1} \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} \langle \pi(\xi)^{d-1} (s_\alpha + 1) \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \pi(\xi)^d \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} \langle (-\pi(s_\alpha \xi)^{d-1} + \pi(\xi)^{d-1}) \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \pi(\xi)^d \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $D_\xi^{(d)} \phi(x) = \langle \pi(\xi)^d \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *If $\psi \in KZ(\lambda; U)$ then $\phi(x) = \mu \circ \psi(x) \in CM(\lambda; U)$.*

Proof: By Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\xi, d} \phi(x) &= \left\langle \sum_{g \in G} (\pi(g\xi))^d \psi(x), w_\lambda \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \psi(x), \sum_{g \in G} \partial_{g\xi}^d w_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For any invariant polynomial $p \in S^G$, we have $p(\partial)w_\lambda = p(\lambda)w_\lambda$. In particular,

$$\sum_{g \in G} \partial_{g\xi}^d w_\lambda = \sum_{g \in G} (g\xi, \lambda)^d w_\lambda,$$

which implies the claim. \square

It remains to prove that the Matsuo–Cherednik map $\psi \rightarrow \mu \circ \psi$ is an isomorphism between solution spaces on U . By Theorem 2.1, $CM(\lambda; U)$ is a $|G|$ -dimensional space. Since the KZ equations are a holonomic system with local solution space of the same dimension, it suffices to show that the kernel of the Matsuo–Cherednik map is trivial. Let ψ be in the kernel, i.e., $\langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle = 0, \forall x \in U$. By using the KZ equations, we may express $\langle \psi, \partial_\xi u \rangle = \langle \pi(\xi) \psi(x), u \rangle$ in terms of $\langle \psi(x), u \rangle$ and $\langle \psi(x), s_\alpha u \rangle$. It follows inductively that $\langle \psi(x), p(\partial)w_\lambda \rangle = 0$, for any $p \in S$. Thus, by Lemma 3.7, $\psi(x)$ vanishes identically.

3.5. Proof of Theorem 3.5 and of Corollary 3.6. We have $\mu \circ {}^g \psi(x) = \mu(g\psi(g^{-1}x)) = \epsilon(g)\mu \circ \psi(g^{-1}x)$. This means that the Matsuo–Cherednik map is a morphism of G -modules if we twist the G -action on $CM(\lambda)$ by ϵ . Local solutions of (13) extend to holomorphic functions on V , see Theorem 2.1. Therefore, if ψ is a local solution of the KZ equations, then $\langle \psi(x), w_\lambda \rangle$ extends to a holomorphic function on $V - \cup_\alpha \Pi_\alpha$. It follows as above, by using the equations, that all coordinates $\langle \psi(x), p(\partial)w_\lambda \rangle$ of ψ are meromorphic functions with possible poles on the reflection hyperplanes. We now show that these functions are holomorphic on V . By Hartogs' theorem it is sufficient to show that they are holomorphic at generic points of the hyperplanes.

In the vicinity of a point of the hyperplane Π_α which does not lie on any other hyperplane, a nontrivial solution ψ has a Laurent expansion $\psi(x) = (\alpha, x)^k \psi_k + (\alpha, x)^{k+1} \psi_{k+1} + \dots$ for some functions $\psi_k, \psi_{k+1}, \dots$ of the transversal coordinates and $\psi_k \neq 0$. The characteristic exponent k is an eigenvalue of $m_\alpha(s_\alpha + 1)$, and is therefore 0 or $2m_\alpha \geq 0$. This proves that ψ is regular.

Moreover, we know from Theorem 2.1 that if $\lambda = 0$, eigenfunctions ϕ are polynomials, so solutions of the KZ equations are regular rational functions, i.e., polynomials. \square

4. APPLICATIONS TO m -HARMONIC POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we apply our construction to the most degenerate case $\lambda = 0$, the case of m -harmonic polynomials. In particular, we study the action of the Coxeter group on the space H_m of m -harmonic polynomials. As H_m is, as a G -module, isomorphic to the left regular module, we know that the multiplicity of any simple G -module in H_m is equal to its dimension. A more detailed information is given by the *Poincaré polynomial* of a simple module, which is the generating function of the multiplicities of the simple module in the space of m -harmonic polynomials of given degree. Let V_1, \dots, V_p be a list of all inequivalent simple G -modules up to isomorphism. Let

$$\mu(V_j, H_m^d) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V_j, H_m^d)$$

be the multiplicity of V_j in the space H_m^d of homogeneous m -harmonic polynomials of degree d . We set

$$P_j(H_m, t) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \mu(V_j, H_m^d) t^d.$$

Since H_m is isomorphic to the left regular G -module, the Poincaré polynomial of V_j obeys $P_j(H_m, 1) = \dim(V_j)$.

Our formula for this polynomial is given in terms of the corresponding polynomial for $m = 0$. Thus we first review what is known for $m = 0$.

4.1. The action of G on harmonic polynomials. By Chevalley's theorems, the ring of invariants S^G is freely generated by homogeneous polynomials σ_j of degree d_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, and S is a free module over S^G . Moreover, as a basis of S over S^G we may take any basis of the space H_0 of harmonic polynomials. It follows that the Poincaré polynomial $P_j(H_0, t)$ can be expressed in terms of the generating series $P_j(S, t)$ of the multiplicities of V_j in the space of all polynomials of given degree:

$$(14) \quad P_j(H_0, t) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - t^{d_k}) P_j(S, t).$$

These generating series are known for all simple modules of all Coxeter groups: the case of Weyl groups of classical root systems is treated by Lusztig in [19], §2, (in the A_ℓ his formula is deduced from results of Steinberg [25]). Simpler formulae for the classical cases were discovered by Kirillov [17]. Formulae for F_4 , due to Macdonald, and tables for the coefficients of $P_j(H_0, t)$ for exceptional Weyl groups are given in [2]. As for general Coxeter groups, the case of the dihedral groups is easy to work out by hand, see the first example below. The case of H_4 was treated in [1]. For a comprehensive overview and a computer program see [9].

Examples.

1. The dihedral group $G = I_2(N)$ of symmetries of a regular N -gon has exponents $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 = N$. If N is odd, there are two one-dimensional modules, the trivial module V_0 and the alternating module V_N . There are

$N - 1$ two-dimensional simple G -modules $V_1 = V, V_2, \dots, V_{N-1}$. The $2\pi/N$ -rotation has eigenvalues $\exp(\pm 2\pi i j/N)$ in V_j . We then have $P_j(S, t) = P_j(H_0, t)/(1 - t^2)(1 - t^N)$, and, if N is odd,

$$P_0(H_0, t) = 1, \quad P_N(H_0, t) = t^N, \quad P_j(H_0, t) = t^j + t^{N-j},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$. If $N = 2k$ is even, V_k is replaced by two one-dimensional modules V_k^\pm with $P_k^\pm(H_0, t) = t^k$. The other formulae hold without modification.

2. Let $G = S_n$ be the group of permutations of n letters, generated by reflections with respect to the hyperplanes $x_j = x_k$ ($j < k$) of \mathbb{R}^n . Simple G -modules are enumerated by Young diagrams with n boxes. A simple product formula for $P_j(S, t)$ was given by Kirillov [17]. The hook length of a box with coordinates (i, j) in a Young diagram is the number of boxes with coordinates $(i, j + p)$ or $(i + p, j)$, $p \geq 0$. Its leg length is the number of boxes with coordinates $(i + p, j)$, $p > 0$. If h_k, ℓ_k denote the hook length and the leg length of the k th box of the Young diagram corresponding to V_j , we have

$$P_j(S, t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{t^{\ell_k}}{(1 - t^{h_k})}.$$

Since the exponents of S_n are $d_j = j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that

$$P_j(H_0, t) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{t^{\ell_k}(1 - t^k)}{(1 - t^{h_k})}.$$

Remark. If G is the Weyl group of a semisimple complex Lie algebra, $P_j(H_0, t)$ is the generating function of the multiplicity of V_j in the cohomology groups of the corresponding flag variety. Thus $\sum_j \dim(V_j) P_j(H_0, t)$ is the topological Poincaré polynomial of the flag variety.

4.2. Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras. The calculation of the action of G on the space of solutions of the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations uses the fact (discovered in a special case in [27], and generalised in [3], see also [12] for the result in the context of Calogero–Moser systems) that the monodromy representation of this system of differential equation factors through a Hecke algebra.

Let $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be indeterminates associated to reflections of a Coxeter group G so that $u_\alpha = u_\beta$ if the corresponding reflections are conjugated in G . Fix a presentation of G with set of generators Σ and relations $s^2 = 1$, $(st)^{m(s,t)} = 1$, $s, t \in \Sigma$. We write $u_s = u_\alpha$ if $s = s_\alpha \in \Sigma$. Accordingly, we write $m_s = m_\alpha$ if $s = s_\alpha \in \Sigma$. The length $\ell(g)$ of g is the minimal number of factors needed to write g as a product of generators. The Iwahori–Hecke algebra $H(G)$ of the Coxeter group G is defined as the algebra over $R = \mathbb{Z}[u_s, s \in \Sigma]$ spanned by elements T_g , $g \in G$ and multiplication rules $T_s T_g = T_{sg}$ if $\ell(sg) > \ell(g)$ and $T_s T_g = u_s T_{sg} + (u_s - 1)T_g$ if $\ell(sg) < \ell(g)$, $s \in \Sigma$.

If we specialise the parameters u_s to 1 we recover the group algebra of G . The algebra $H(G)^K = H(G) \otimes_R K$ over the field $K = \mathbb{C}(\sqrt{u_s}, s \in \Sigma)$ of rational functions of $\sqrt{u_s}$, $s \in \Sigma$, is split semisimple and isomorphic to the group algebra KG . Moreover every irreducible character χ_j of G is the specialization at $\sqrt{u_s} = 1$ of an irreducible character $\hat{\chi}_j(\sqrt{u_s})$ of $H(G)^K$ with values in $\mathbb{C}(\sqrt{u_s})$ (Benson–Curtis, Lusztig, Alvis–Lusztig, Digne–Michel, see [9], Theorem 9.3.5).

The function $\mu \mapsto \hat{\chi}_j(\exp(\pi i \mu_\alpha))$ defined for G -invariant collections $\mu = (\mu_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ of complex numbers is a holomorphic function whose values at integer points is the character of an irreducible G -module and we have for $\mu_s = m_s \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{\chi}_j(\exp(\pi i m_s)) = \chi_{\pi_m(j)},$$

for some permutation π_m of the set of equivalence classes of irreducible G -modules. Clearly $\pi_{m+m'} = \pi_m \circ \pi_{m'}$, $\pi_0 = \text{id}$ and π_m depends only on the class of the integers m_α in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. In particular it is an involution. We will need two simple properties of the homomorphism π :

- (A) Let $j \mapsto j^*$ be the tensor multiplication by the alternating representation. Then $\pi_m(j)^* = \pi_m(j^*)$.
- (B) $\chi_{\pi_m(j)}(s) = \chi_j(s)$ for reflections s .

The first property follows from the fact that the automorphism τ of $H(G)$ such that $\tau(T_s) = -T_s + (1 - u_s)1$ for reflections s , induces an involution $j \mapsto j^*$ on the set of irreducible modules over $H(G)^K$, which specialises to the tensor multiplication by the alternating representation at $u_s = 1$. The character χ_{j^*} is then the specialisation at $\sqrt{u_s} = 1$ of a character $\hat{\chi}_{j^*}$ with values in $\mathbb{C}(\sqrt{u_s})$. By using the fact that, for any $g \in G$, $\tau(T_g) \in H(G)$ has coefficients which are polynomials in u_s , we have

$$\chi_{\pi_m(j)}^*(g) = \hat{\chi}_j(\sqrt{u_s})(\tau(T_g)) \big|_{\sqrt{u_s}=e^{\pi i m_s}} = \hat{\chi}_{j^*}(\sqrt{u_s})(T_g) \big|_{\sqrt{u_s}=e^{\pi i m_s}} = \chi_{\pi_m(j^*)}(g).$$

This proves the first property.

The second property follows from the relation $(T_s + 1)(T_s - u_s) = 0$ for reflections s (take $g = s$ in the multiplication rule). This relation implies that the character of any simple module evaluated at T_s has the form $a_s u_s - b_s$, where a_s, b_s are the multiplicities of the eigenvalues $u_s, -1$. So there are no square roots here and π_m acts trivially.

The explicit description of the homomorphism $m \mapsto \pi_m$ can be extracted from the literature on Hecke algebras and was given in [23]. In fact, π_m is trivial for A_n, B_n, D_n, E_6, F_4 and the dihedral groups of order $2N$, with N odd. For dihedral groups of order $4n$, $n \geq 3$, (this includes G_2), π_m is trivial on one-dimensional modules; on two-dimensional modules it is the tensor multiplication by the one-dimensional representation χ_m such that $\chi_m(s_\alpha) = (-1)^{m_\alpha}$. In the remaining cases E_7, E_8, H_3, H_4 , for which the reflections are all in the same conjugacy class, $\pi_{m=1}$ exchanges one or two pairs of modules (see [23] for details) and is the identity on all others.

4.3. The action of G on solutions of the KZ equations and on m -harmonic polynomials. Let C_1, \dots, C_q be the conjugacy classes of reflections in G . The multiplicity function m may be regarded as a function on the set of reflections which is constant on each conjugacy class. We denote accordingly by m_a the value of m on C_a . We also let N_a be the number of elements of C_a .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $V_{j,\alpha}^\pm = \{v \in V_j \mid s_\alpha v = \pm v\}$ be the (± 1) -eigenspace of s_α acting on the simple G -module V_j and set*

$$(15) \quad d_a^\pm(V_j) = \frac{2N_a \dim(V_{j,\alpha}^\pm)}{\dim(V_j)}, \quad a = 1, \dots, q,$$

for any $s_\alpha \in C_\alpha$. Then

$$(16) \quad P_{\pi_m(j)}(H_m, t) = t^{\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^-(V_j)} P_j(H_0, t).$$

$$(17) \quad P_j(H_m, t) = t^{\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^-(V_j)} P_{\pi_m(j)}(H_0, t).$$

Note that (17) is an immediate consequence of (16), the fact that π_m is an involution and property (B) of π_m .

This Theorem can also be obtained as a special case of a result of Opdam [22, 23], see in particular Theorem 7 in [23]. We give a more transparent and effective proof in 4.4 below, based on the computation of the action of G on the space of solutions of the KZ equations.

From the formula (16) we obtain a formula for the total Poincaré polynomial $P(H_m, t) = \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim(H_m^d) t^d$. It is obtained by summing the contribution of the individual G -modules, by noticing that π_m is a permutation preserving the dimension of the modules:

$$P(H_m, t) = \sum_j \dim(V_j) t^{\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^-(V_j)} P_j(H_0, t).$$

Corollary 4.2. *We have Poincaré duality:*

$$P_{j^*}(H_m, t) = t^M P_j(H_m, t^{-1})$$

The total Poincaré polynomial $P(H_m, t)$ has degree $M = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (2m_\alpha + 1)$ and obeys

$$P(H_m, t) = t^M P(H_m, t^{-1})$$

Proof: The first formula follows from Poincaré duality for H_0 , see (5), the fact that $\pi_m(j^*) = \pi_m(j)^*$ (Property (A)), and the identity $d_a^-(V_{j^*}) = 2N_a - d_a^-(V_j)$. The formula for the total Poincaré polynomial is then an easy consequence. From this formula, we deduce that $P(H_m, t)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq M$. The alternating module (and only this module) gives a term t^M . Thus the polynomial is of degree M . \square

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

We now show that d_a^- may also be calculated in terms of $P_j(H_0, t)$, generalizing a result of Solomon [24]. The group $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ of one-dimensional representations of G is generated by representations $\chi_a : G \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$, $a = 1, \dots, q$ such that

$$\chi_a(s) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } s \in C_a, \\ 1, & \text{if } s \in C_b, b \neq a, \end{cases}$$

The group $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ acts on the set of simple G -modules by tensor multiplication. In particular, χ_a acting on V_j gives a module isomorphic to $V_{j \otimes a}$ for some permutation $j \mapsto j \otimes a$ of $\{1, \dots, p\}$.

Proposition 4.3.

$$d_a^-(V_j) = N_a + \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln \frac{P_j(H_0, t)}{P_{j \otimes a}(H_0, t)}, \quad a = 1, \dots, q.$$

If $q = 1$ the formula simplifies to

$$d^-(V_j) = d_1^-(V_j) = 2 \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln P_j(H_0, t).$$

Remark. The second formula in Theorem 4.1 is due to Solomon [24]. It can be stated, following his formulation, in the following equivalent way: if V_j appears in the decomposition of H_0^q into simple G -modules for $q = q_1, \dots, q_{\dim(V_j)}$ then $d^-(V_j)/2$ is the average of the q_i . From our result follows the non-obvious fact that this average is always half an integer.

4.4. Action of G on $KZ(0)$ and proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof is based on the G -module isomorphism between the space of m -harmonic polynomials and the space $KZ = KZ(0)$ of solutions of the KZ equations with values in $M = M(0) = S/I(0)$. The first observation is that the space M of values has a filtration preserved by the KZ connection: let $F_d(S) = \oplus_{j \geq d} S^j$ be the subspace of polynomials of degree $\geq d$ and set $F_d(M) = F_d(S)/(F_d(S) \cap I(0))$. Since $I(0)$ is the direct sum of its homogeneous components, and the action of G preserves degrees, we have natural inclusion maps of G -modules,

$$\mathbb{C}w_0(z) = F_N(M) \subset F_{N-1}(M) \subset \dots \subset F_0(M) = M.$$

Here, as usual, $N = \deg w_0(z)$ is the number of reflections of G . The KZ connection preserves functions with values in each $F_j(M)$, and we thus have a corresponding G -module filtration of the space KZ :

$$\mathbb{C}w_0(z) = KZ_N \subset KZ_{N-1} \subset \dots \subset KZ_0 = KZ.$$

The space KZ_N is spanned by the constant solution $x \rightarrow w_0(z) \in M$, which is mapped to a constant eigenfunction under the Matsuo–Cherednik map.

Additionally to this filtration, we also have a grading with respect to the total degree: if we represent a polynomial function $x \rightarrow \psi(x)$ on V with values in M by a scalar function $\psi(x, z)$ of two variables, we say that ψ has *total degree* δ if $\psi(\lambda x, \lambda^{-1} z) = \lambda^\delta \psi(x, z)$. Then the KZ connection decreases the total degree by 1. Therefore we may decompose the space of solutions in homogeneous components with respect to the total degree:

$$KZ_d = \oplus_{\delta \geq -N} KZ_d^\delta.$$

Lemma 4.4. *The Matsuo–Cherednik map sends solutions of total degree δ to homogeneous m -harmonic polynomials of degree $\delta + N$.*

Proof: The Matsuo–Cherednik map sends $\psi(x, z)$ to $\psi(x, \partial_y)w_0(y)|_{y=0}$, which is the value at $y = 0$ of a homogeneous polynomial in x, y of degree $\delta + N$. \square

We are thus left to describe solutions in KZ_d^δ . Suppose $\psi \in KZ_d$ has a nontrivial projection in $KZ_d^\delta/KZ_{d+1}^\delta$. Then $\psi = \psi^d + \psi^{d+1} + \dots + \psi^N$ with ψ^j taking values in $M^j = S^j/(S^j \cap I(0))$. Then the *lowest component* ψ^d is a nontrivial solution of the KZ equations with values in M^d without π -term:

$$(18) \quad \partial_\xi \psi^d(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (s_\alpha + 1) \psi^d(x), \quad \xi \in V.$$

Lemma 4.5. *The map $\psi \rightarrow \psi^d$ is an isomorphism of G -modules from $KZ_d^\delta/KZ_{d+1}^\delta$ onto the space of homogeneous polynomial solutions of (18) of degree $\delta + d$ with values in $M^d = S^d/(S^d \cap I(0))$, homogeneous of degree $\delta + d$.*

Proof: The map $\psi \mapsto \psi^d$ is an injective G -module homomorphism from KZ_d/KZ_{d+1} to the space of polynomial solutions of (18) with values in M^d . Taking the direct sum over d and comparing dimensions we see that all solutions of (18) are polynomial and that the map is an isomorphism. It maps $KZ_d^\delta/KZ_{d+1}^\delta$ onto the space of solutions ψ of (18) with $\psi(\lambda x, z) = \lambda^d \psi(\lambda x, \lambda^{-1} z) = \lambda^{\delta+d} \psi(x, z)$. \square

To describe solutions of (18) with values in M^d we fix a decomposition of M^d as a direct sum of simple modules and notice that both sides of (18) contain operators preserving this decomposition.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $KZ(V_j)$ be the space of polynomial solutions of (18) with values in a simple G -module V_j . Then*

- (i) *Solutions in $KZ(V_j)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^+(V_j)$, see (15).*
- (ii) *$KZ(V_j)$ with action $\psi \mapsto {}^g\psi$, ${}^g\psi(x) = g \cdot \psi(g^{-1}x)$, $g \in G$, is isomorphic to $V_{\pi_m(j)}$ as a G -module (see 4.2).*

Proof: (i) Let $E\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\psi(tx)|_{t=1} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \partial\psi(x)/\partial x_j$ be the Euler vector field. The KZ equation implies

$$E\psi(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha (s_\alpha + 1) \psi(x).$$

The operator on the right-hand side is a central element of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ (it clearly commutes with all reflections) and is thus, by Schur's lemma, a scalar a times the identity. To compute a we take the trace and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{\dim(V_j)} \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha \operatorname{tr}_{V_j}(s_\alpha + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\dim(V_j)} \sum_{\alpha \in A} m_\alpha 2 \dim \operatorname{Ker}(s_\alpha - 1 : V_j \rightarrow V_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since the terms of the sum with s_α in the same conjugacy class are equal to each other, we obtain the claimed formula.

(ii) We apply the method of [23], which consists of considering the KZ equation with complex G -invariant multiplicities μ_α . Let ψ_μ be the solution of the KZ equation

$$\partial_\xi \psi(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \mu_\alpha \frac{(\alpha, \xi)}{(\alpha, x)} (s_\alpha + 1) \psi(x), \quad \xi \in V.$$

on some neighborhood of some regular point x_0 with values in $\operatorname{End}(V_j)$ and initial condition $\psi_\mu(x_0) = \operatorname{id}$. If $g \in G$ and γ is a path in $V - \cup_\alpha \Pi_\alpha$ from x_0 to $g^{-1}x_0$, we set ${}^g\psi_\mu(x) = g\psi_\mu(g^{-1}x)$, where the value at g^{-1} is obtained by analytic continuation along γ . Then ${}^g\psi_\mu = \psi_\mu \circ T_g$ for some monodromy matrix T_g . By the results of [3], the T_g obey, for generic μ , the relations of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra with $u_\alpha = \exp(2\pi i \mu_\alpha)$. At $\mu = 0$, T_g is given by the action of the group on V_j . More generally, for all integer μ , $g \mapsto T_g$ is a representation of G , since we know that all solutions are polynomial. It follows that the character of the Iwahori–Hecke algebra corresponding to the representation $g \mapsto T_g$ at generic μ coincides with the character $\hat{\chi}_j(\exp(\pi i \mu_\alpha), \alpha \in A)$ described in 4.2 and the claim follows. \square

We are ready to complete the proof. Solutions of (18) with values in a simple module V_j occurring in the decomposition of M^d give rise to a subspace of solutions

in KZ_d^δ of total degree $\delta = \sum_a m_a d_a^+(V_j) - d$ and isomorphic to $V_{\pi_m(j)}$. The Matsuo–Cherednik map sends these solutions to m -harmonic polynomials of degree $\delta + N$ that form a G -module isomorphic to $V_{\pi_m(j)^*} = V_{\pi_m(j)} \otimes \mathbb{C}_\epsilon$. On the other hand, M^d is isomorphic to the space of harmonic polynomials of degree d . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\pi_m(j)^*}(H_m, t) &= t^{\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^+(V_j) + N} P_j(H_0, t^{-1}) \\ &= t^{\sum_{a=1}^q m_a d_a^-(V_j^*)} P_{j^*}(H_0, t), \end{aligned}$$

by Poincaré duality for H_0 and the fact that $d_a^+(V_j) = d_a^-(V_j^*)$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

4.5. Example: Lowest degree m -harmonic polynomials for $G = S_n$. If $G = S_n$, Kirillov’s formula gives

$$d^-(V_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\ell_k - a_k) + n(n-1)/2$$

where $a_k = h_k - \ell_k - 1$ is the “arm length” of the k th box of the Young diagram corresponding to V_j . Therefore,

$$P_j(H_m, t) = t^{mn(n-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^n t^{m(\ell_k - a_k) + \ell_k} \frac{1 - t^k}{1 - t^{h_k}}.$$

Thus, a part from the trivial module, which occurs in degree 0, the lowest degree m -harmonic polynomials appear in degree $nm + 1$. They form an $(n-1)$ -dimensional simple G -module corresponding to the minimal leg-length, maximal arm-length partition $(n-1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It is isomorphic to the module $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \sum x_i = 0\}$ with permutation action of S_n .

These m -harmonic polynomials are associated to solutions of the KZ equations with values in $F_{N-1}(M) = M^N \oplus M^{N-1}$, $M = S/I(0)$, $N = n(n-1)/2$. Let ψ be such a solution, $\phi(x) = \langle \psi(x), w_0 \rangle$ the corresponding m -harmonic polynomial, and $\psi_k(x) = \langle \psi(x), \partial_k w_0 \rangle$, where ∂_k is the derivative with respect to the k th coordinate of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$. Then ψ is uniquely determined by the components $\phi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$. They obey

$$(19) \quad \psi_1 + \dots + \psi_n = 0.$$

In these terms, the KZ equations are equivalent to the system

$$(20) \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i} = \psi_i,$$

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial x_j} = -m \frac{\psi_i - \psi_j}{x_i - x_j}, \quad i \neq j,$$

(the equations for $\partial_i \psi_i$ are redundant in view of (19)).

The simplest solutions of these equations are the ones with $\psi_i = 0$. They give rise to constant m -harmonic polynomials $\phi = \text{const}$, which form a trivial G -module.

We next describe the solutions corresponding to m -harmonic polynomials of degree $mn + 1$. We assume that $m \geq 1$ (the case $m = 0$ is left as an exercise). It is easy to check that for any family of cycles $\gamma(x)$ in the relative integral homology $H_1(\mathbb{C}, \{x_1, \dots, x_n\})$, the following formula gives a solution of the equations (21)

$$\psi_j(x) = \int_{\gamma(x)} \frac{dt}{t - x_j} \prod_{k=1}^n (t - x_k)^m.$$

Indeed the integrand obeys the equations, and the derivative with respect to the endpoints appearing in $\partial_i \psi_j$ with $i \neq j$ vanishes since the integrand vanishes there for $m \geq 1$. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \psi_j(x) = \frac{1}{m} \int_{\gamma(x)} d \prod_{k=1}^n (t - x_k)^m = 0,$$

since $m \geq 1$. The corresponding m -harmonic polynomials of degree $mn + 1$ are obtained by integrating (20):

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{1 + nm} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \psi_i(x) = \frac{1}{1 + nm} \int_{\gamma(x)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i dt}{t - x_i} \prod_{k=1}^n (t - x_k)^m.$$

It remains to show that we get $n - 1$ linearly independent solutions in this way. A basis of $H_j(\mathbb{C}, \{x_1, \dots, x_k\})$ for generic x is given by paths γ_j joining x_1 to x_j , $2 \leq j \leq n$. Let $\phi^{(j)}$ be the corresponding m -harmonic polynomials:

$$\phi^{(j)}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + nm} \int_{x_1}^{x_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i dt}{t - x_i} \prod_{k=1}^n (t - x_k)^m, \quad j = 2, \dots, n$$

These functions are linearly independent, as can be seen by comparing their behaviour at infinity:

$$\lim_{x_j \rightarrow \infty} x_j^{-mn-1} \phi^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^{m-1} \frac{(m-1)!^2}{(1+nm)(2m-1)!} \delta_{jk}.$$

4.6. Proof of Proposition 4.3. Let g^k denote the action of $g \in G \subset GL(V)$ on the symmetric power S^k of the reflection module V . Then the character of S^k is $\chi_{S^k}(g) = \text{tr}(g^k)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}(g^k) t^k = \det^{-1}(1 - gt)$ is the generating series of these characters. If χ_j is the character of V_j , the orthogonality relations of characters implies that

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(S, t) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_j(g^{-1}) \frac{1}{\det(1 - gt)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_j(g^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_i(g)t}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda_1(g), \dots, \lambda_n(g)$ are the eigenvalues of $g \in GL(V)$. It follows from (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(H_0, t) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_j(g^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - t^{d_i}}{1 - \lambda_i(g)t} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} R(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - t^{d_i}}{1 - t}, \\ R(t) &= \sum_{g \in G} \chi_j(g^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - t}{1 - \lambda_i(g)t}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the derivative of $R(t)$ at $t = 1$, the only terms surviving in the sum over G are the reflections, since they are the only group elements with multiplicity of

the eigenvalue 1 equal to $n - 1$. On the other hand, $R(1) = \dim(V_j)$ since only the identity survives in this case. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln P_j(H_0, t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln \frac{1 - t^{d_i}}{1 - t} + \frac{1}{\dim(V_j)} \sum_{\alpha \in A} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \frac{1 - t}{1 + t} \chi_j(s_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_i - 1}{2} + \frac{1}{\dim(V_j)} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{\alpha \in A} \chi_j(s_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Let χ_a be the one-dimensional representation of G so that $\chi_a(s) = -1$ only on reflections $s \in C_a$. Since $\chi_{j \otimes a}(s_\alpha) = \chi_a(s_\alpha) \chi_j(s_\alpha)$, we obtain

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=1} \ln \frac{P_j(H_0, t)}{P_{j \otimes a}(H_0, t)} = -\frac{1}{\dim(V_j)} \sum_{s_\alpha \in C_a} \chi_j(s_\alpha).$$

Since $\chi_j(s_\alpha) = \dim(V_j) - 2 \dim(V_{j, \alpha}^-)$, the result follows. The second formula in Theorem 4.3, valid for groups with one conjugacy class of reflections, is obtained from the first formula by applying Poincaré duality $P_{j \otimes \epsilon}(H_0, t) = t^N P_j(H_0, t^{-1})$. In this case the only one-dimensional representation is the sign ϵ .

4.7. Distribution of the degrees of m -harmonic polynomials for large m .

Let us consider again the case of the symmetric group $G = S_n$. Choose any basis in the corresponding space of m -harmonic polynomials H_m . Let $d_1^{(m, n)} = 0, d_2^{(m, n)} = d_3^{(m, n)} = \dots = d_n^{(m, n)} = mn + 1, \dots, d_{n!}^{(m, n)} = (2m + 1)n(n - 1)/2$ be the degrees of the m -harmonic polynomials of the basis written in increasing order (with repetitions). We are interested in the distribution of these degrees for large m .

As we have shown above all the degrees $d_j^{(m, n)}$ are growing linearly as a function of m so it is natural to consider the limits of the scaled degrees $\bar{d}_j^n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_j^{(m, n)} / m$. From our Theorem 1.1 it follows that the set of \bar{d}_j^n coincide with the set of the numbers $d^-(U), U \in \hat{S}_n$ where \hat{S}_n is the set of all irreducible representations of S_n . Moreover each number $d^-(U)$ appears $(\dim U)^2$ times since the action of G on H_m is regular and therefore each representation U appears with multiplicity equal to its dimension.

On the set \hat{S}_n , which can be represented as the set of all Young diagrams Y_n of size n , there exists a natural probability measure called *Plancherel measure*: each representation U has a weight equal to $(\dim U)^2 / n!$ (see e.g. [28]). The function $d^-(U)$ can be considered as a random variable on this set. Let us consider the degree $d_j^{(m, n)}$ of m -harmonic polynomial as a random variable on the discrete set of $j \in 1, 2, \dots, n!$ where each j has the same probability $1/n!$ (in other words we assume that each of the basic m -harmonic polynomials has the same weight). We have the following

Proposition 4.7. *The distribution of the scaled degrees $d_j^{(m, n)} / m$ of m -harmonic polynomials as m goes to infinity converges to the distribution of the random variable d^- on the set of Young diagrams of size n considered with Plancherel measure.*

In 1977 Vershik and Kerov [28] and independently Logan and Shepp [18] have found remarkable results on the random Young diagrams of size n for large n . They have shown that as n goes to infinity the random shape of such a diagram after proper rescaling concentrates near some universal curve. The Gaussian fluctuations

around this limiting shape have been described later by Kerov [16] (a detailed proof of Kerov's result can be found in recent paper by V. Ivanov and G. Olshanski [15]).

We can use Kerov's following result to describe the distribution of the random variable $d^-(U)$ on Y_n for a large n . Let us introduce following [15] the normalised characters

$$p_2^{(n)}(U) = \frac{n(n-1)\chi_U(s)}{\dim U} = \frac{n(n-1)(\dim U^+ - \dim U^-)}{\dim U},$$

where χ_U is the character of U , $s = s_{ij} \in S_n$ is any transposition and U^+, U^- are the \pm -eigenspaces of its action on U .

Kerov's theorem [15, 16]. *When n goes to infinity the distribution of the random variables $\frac{p_2^{(n)}}{\sqrt{2n}}$ converges to the standard normal distribution $N(0, 1)$.*

Since $p_2^{(n)}(U) = \frac{n(n-1)(\dim U^+ - \dim U^-)}{\dim U} = n(n-1) - 2d^-(U)$ we have as a corollary that when n goes to infinity the distribution of $\frac{1}{n}(d^- - \frac{n(n-1)}{2})$ converges to $N(0, 1/2)$, where $N(0, 1/2)$ is the normal distribution with mean 0 and variance $1/2$.

This gives some idea of how the degrees of m -harmonic polynomials of the symmetric group S_n are distributed for large m and n . We should note that Proposition 4.7 has an obvious generalisation for any Coxeter group but Kerov's result is known only for symmetric groups.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The space H_m of m -harmonic polynomials has been introduced in [8] in relation with the algebra of quasiinvariants Q_m of the Coxeter group G . In [8] it was shown that if G is any dihedral group and the multiplicity m is a constant function then Q_m is freely generated by any basis in H_m as a module over the subring S^G of G -invariant polynomials and it was conjectured that the same is true in general situation. However this turned out not to be true as it was shown in the recent very interesting paper [7] by P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg. They have shown that for the group G of type B_6 and the multiplicity function m equal to 0 on the long roots and 1 on the short roots [7] m -harmonic polynomials are linearly dependent over S^G . This raises the question of how exceptional this situation is. Probably this never happens if the multiplicity m is constant (in particular for all Coxeter groups with only one conjugacy class of reflections).

Etingof and Ginzburg showed also that Q_m is freely generated over S^G by some homogeneous polynomials which have the same degrees as m -harmonic polynomials. This means that one can use our results to compute the Poincaré series for the algebra of quasiinvariants. The fact that the Poincaré polynomials $P(H_m, t)$ are palindromic corresponds to the Gorenstein property of Q_m (see [7]).

It is interesting to compare the theory of m -harmonic polynomials with the classical case $m = 0$. Probably the main novelty is a more explicit role of the action of the group: as we have seen each isotypical component of H_m behaves differently when m changes. In the classical case one can write down an explicit formula for the Poincaré polynomial of H_0 without any reference to representation theory (see Introduction) but for general m this seems to be impossible.

We should mention that the problem of explicit description of m -harmonic polynomials is still open. As we have shown, an essential step in its solution is finding

the solutions of the KZ equations (18) with values in the irreducible representations of G , but this is another interesting open problem.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to O. Chalykh, I. Cherednik, M. Shapiro and especially to M. Feigin for useful discussions and helpful comments. In particular M. Feigin attracted our attention to the papers [17],[24] and I. Cherednik pointed out Opdam's paper [23] to us. We would like to thank also P. Etingof for comments and information about his recent results with V. Ginzburg [7]. About Kerov's remarkable results on the Plancherel measure of the symmetric groups S_n we have learned from G. Olshanski and A. Okounkov.

One of us (APV) is grateful to the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik, ETH, Zurich for the hospitality during April 2001 when this work has essentially been done.

This work has been partially supported by EPSRC (GR/M69548).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alvis and G. Lusztig, *The representations and generic degrees of the Hecke algebra of type H_4* , J. Reine Angew. Math. 336 (1982), 201–212
- [2] W. M. Beynon and G. Lusztig, *Some numerical results on the characters of exceptional Weyl groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 84 (1978), 417–426
- [3] I. Cherednik, *Integral solutions of trigonometric Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and Kac-Moody algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 27 (1991), no. 5, 727–744
- [4] C. Chevalley, *Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections*, Am. J. Math. 77 (1955), 779–782
- [5] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators associated to reflection groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 311 (1989), 167–183
- [6] C. F. Dunkl, *Differential-difference operators and monodromy representations of Hecke algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 159 (1993), 271–298
- [7] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg *On m -quasiinvariants of a Coxeter group*, math.QA/0106175
- [8] M. Feigin and A. P. Veselov, *Quasiinvariants of Coxeter groups and m -harmonic polynomials*, math-ph/0105014
- [9] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of finite Coxeter groups and Iwahori–Hecke algebras*, Clarendon Press, 2000
- [10] G. J. Heckman and E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions I*, Comp. Math. 64 (1987), 329–352
- [11] G. J. Heckman, *A remark on the Dunkl differential-difference operators*, Progress in Math. 101 (1991), 181–191
- [12] G. J. Heckman, *Hecke algebras and hypergeometric functions*, Invent. Math. 100 (1990), 403–417
- [13] G. J. Heckman, *An elementary approach to the hypergeometric shift operators of Opdam*, Invent. Math. 103 (1991), 341–350
- [14] S. Helgason, *Groups and algebraic analysis*, Academic Press, 1984
- [15] V. Ivanov and G. Olshanski, *Kerov's central limit theorem for the Plancherel measure on Young diagrams*, preprint, 2001.
- [16] S. Kerov, *Gaussian limit for the Plancherel measure of the symmetric group*, Compt. Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I, 316 (1993), 303–308.
- [17] A. A. Kirillov, *Polynomial covariants of the symmetric group and some of its analogs*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 18 (1984), 63–64
- [18] B. F. Logan and L. A. Shepp, *A variational problem for random Young tableaux*, Advances in Math., 26 (1977), 206–222
- [19] G. Lusztig, *Representations of finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. 43 (1977), 125–175
- [20] A. Matsuo, *Integrable connections related to zonal spherical functions*, Invent. Math. 110 (1992), 95–121

- [21] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, *Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras*, Phys. Rep. 94 (1983), 313–404
- [22] E. M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators, Bessel functions and the discriminant of a finite Coxeter group*, Comp. Math. 85 (1993), 333–373
- [23] E. M. Opdam, *A remark on the irreducible characters and fake degrees of finite real reflection groups*, Invent. Math. 120 (1995), 447–454
- [24] L. Solomon, *Invariants of Euclidean reflections groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 113 (1964), 274–286
- [25] R. Steinberg, *A geometric approach to the representations of the full linear group over a Galois field*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 71 (1951), 274–282
- [26] R. Steinberg, *Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 112 (1964), 392–400
- [27] A. Tsuchiya and Y. Kanie, *Vertex operators in conformal field theory on P^1 and monodromy representations of braid group*, “Conformal field theory and solvable lattice models” (Kyoto, 1986), 297–372, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 16, Academic Press, 1988
- [28] A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov, *Asymptotics of the Plancherel measure of the symmetric group and the limiting form of Young tableaux*, Sov. Math. Dokl., 18 (1977), 527–531

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH, ZURICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `felder@math.ethz.ch`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY, LOUGHBOROUGH,
 LEICESTERSHIRE, LE11 3TU, UK AND LANDAU INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, MOSCOW,
 RUSSIA
E-mail address: `A.P.Veselov@lboro.ac.uk`