

TRIPLE MASSEY PRODUCTS ON CURVES, FAY'S TRISECANT IDENTITY AND TANGENTS TO THE CANONICAL EMBEDDING

A. POLISHCHUK

Fay's trisecant identity is an important special identity satisfied by theta functions on the Jacobian of a curve C (see e.g. [1] for an account of its relation with Schottky problem). Here is a list of some works containing its proof: [2], [3], [4], [5], [10], [18], [19], (characteristic zero); [11] (arbitrary characteristic). In this paper we give a new proof of this identity (valid in arbitrary characteristic). It turns out that Fay's trisecant identity follows from the A_1 -identity satisfied by certain triple Massey products on C . However, we should stress that our proof does not depend on the general theory of Massey products. We compute explicitly the triple products we need, and the reader can take the answer as a definition. The main identity between these triple products is an easy consequence of the residue theorem. The trisecant identity follows immediately once we express our Massey products in terms of theta functions using the Riemann's theorem. Looking at similar Massey products associated with vector bundles, we recover the matrix analogue of the trisecant identity (involving the so called Cauchy-Szego kernels) obtained in [2] and [6], [7]. We observe that this matrix trisecant identity can be conveniently written using the notion of quasideterminant introduced by I. Gelfand and V. Retakh (see [8]). On the other hand, identities with some more special triple Massey products lead to a relation between tangents to the canonical embedding of C at triples of points. As a corollary, we obtain a formula for the tangent line to a canonically embedded curve at a given point.

In the case when C is an elliptic curve, the trisecant identity is equivalent to the associative Yang-Baxter equation satisfied by the Kronecker function (see [17]). In this case the Massey products we consider are the same as in loc.cit.. Using the homological mirror symmetry for an elliptic curve one can express these products in terms of infinite theta series (see [15]). The category of coherent sheaves on a curve C of higher genus can be considered as a subcategory in the coherent sheaves on the Jacobian J of C . It would be interesting to study implications for our Massey products of the homological mirror symmetry for J .

1. A family of triple Massey products

In this section we look at a family of triple Massey products involving line bundles and structure sheaves of points on a curve C .

This work is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0070967.

1.1. Definition. For general definitions concerning triple Massey products in the context of derived categories we refer to [9] (Exercises to IV.2) and [15].

In this paper we consider triple Massey products in the derived category of coherent sheaves on a curve C corresponding to the following triples of composable morphisms:

$$O_C \rightarrow O_x \xrightarrow{[1]} L \rightarrow O_y;$$

where O_x and O_y are structure sheaves of points, L is a line bundle on C , the arrow with $[1]$ is a morphism of degree 1 (i.e., a morphism $O_x \rightarrow L[1]$).

Recall that to compute such a Massey product we have to start by taking the cone of the middle arrow $O_x \rightarrow L[1]$. Assuming that this morphism is non-zero we get a distinguished triangle

$$O_x \rightarrow L[1] \rightarrow L(x)[1] \rightarrow O_x[1];$$

Using this triangle we have to lift morphisms $O_C \rightarrow O_x$ and $L \rightarrow O_y$ to morphisms $O_C \rightarrow L(x)$ and $L(x) \rightarrow O_y$, respectively. Now the triple Massey product is the composition $O_C \rightarrow O_y$ of the obtained two morphisms. Note that this operation in general is ill-defined (liftings not necessarily exist) and multivalued (liftings are not necessarily unique).

We say that a point p is a base point of a line bundle M if the natural map $H^0(C; M) \rightarrow M_p$ is zero (e.g., this is true for any p if $H^0(C; M) = 0$). Let us call a triple $(L; x; y)$ good if the following three conditions are satisfied:

1. $x \notin y$;
2. x is a base point of $!_C L^{-1}$;
3. y is a base point of L .

It is easy to see that a triple $(L; x; y)$ is good precisely when the above Massey product is well-defined and univalued. In this case using the natural trivializations of $\text{Hom}(O_C; O_x)$, $\text{Hom}(O_C; O_y)$ and the isomorphisms $\text{Ext}^1(O_x; L) \cong (!_C^{-1} L)_x$, $\text{Hom}(L; O_y) \cong L^{-1}_y$, we can consider the Massey product associated with $(L; x; y)$ as an element

$$m_3(L; x; y) \in (!_C L^{-1})_x \otimes L_y.$$

Here is an explicit description of this element. Since x is a base point of $!_C L^{-1}$ we have $h^0(!_C L^{-1}) = h^0(!_C L^{-1}(x))$. Therefore, $h^0(L(x)) = h^0(L) + 1$. So we can choose an element $s \in H^0(C; L(x))$ that does not belong to the subspace $H^0(L)$. In other words, s can be considered as a rational section of L with a pole of order 1 at x , such that the corresponding residue $\text{Res}_x(s) \in L(x)_x \cong (!_C^{-1} L)_x$ is not zero. On the other hand, we can evaluate s at the point y to get an element $s(y) \in L_y$. Furthermore, $s(y)$ does not change if we add to s an element of $H^0(L)$, since y is a base point of L . Hence, the ratio

$$\frac{s(y)}{\text{Res}_x(s)} \in (!_C L^{-1})_x \otimes L_y$$

does not depend on any choices made. It is easy to see that this element coincides with $m_3(L; x; y)$.

From this description it is clear that $m_3(L; x; y) = 0$ if and only if y is a base point of $L(x)$, or equivalently, $h^0(L(x - y)) = h^0(L) + 1$. Note that if the line bundle L^0 is isomorphic to L^0 then the corresponding spaces $(!_C L^{-1})_x \otimes L_y$ and $(!_C (L^0)^{-1})_x \otimes L^0_y$ can be canonically identified, and we have $m_3(L^0; x; y) = m_3(L; x; y)$.

In the case when the genus of C is zero, a triple $(L; x; y)$ is good if and only if $L \cdot \mathcal{O}(1) = 0$ and $x \neq y$. The corresponding Massey product gives a trivialization of $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)$ outside the diagonal.

In the case $g = 1$ we have the theta divisor $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ (the locus where h^0 is non-zero) and for every line bundle L of degree $g-1$ such that $L \notin \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ the triple $(L; x; y)$ is good whenever $x \neq y$. For such a triple one has $m_3(L; x; y) = 0$ if and only if $L \cdot \mathcal{O}(x-y) = 2$.

1.2. Identity.

Theorem 1.1. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a collection of points, L_1, \dots, L_n be a collection of line bundles on C such that $L_1 \otimes \dots \otimes L_n \cdot \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $s \in H^0(C; L_1^{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes L_n^{-1})$ be a trivialization. Then one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} (x_i) m_3(L_j; x_j; x_i) = 0$$

provided that all triples $(L_j; x_j; x_i)$ for $j \neq i$ are good.

Proof. For every j choose $s_j \in H^0(C; L_j(x_j)) \cap H^0(C; L_j)$. Then we can consider $s_1 \otimes \dots \otimes s_n$ as a rational 1-form with poles of order 1 at x_1, \dots, x_n (note that all these points are distinct). Applying the residue theorem we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \text{Res}_{x_i}(s_j) s_j(x_i) = 0$$

Dividing by $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{x_i}(s_i)$ we get the result. \square

In the case $n = 2$ the above theorem simply tells that if $L_1 L_2 \cdot \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(1)$ then

$$(y) m_3(L_1; x; y) = (x) m_3(L_2; y; x); \quad (1.2.1)$$

where $s \in H^0(C; L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1})$ is the corresponding trivialization. The case $n = 3$ is equivalent to the A_1 -axiom applied to the sequence of 5 composable morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \xrightarrow{f^1} L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_y \xrightarrow{f^1} M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_z$$

Indeed, the compatibility of the triple products with Serre duality (see [16]) implies that the Massey product

$$\mathcal{O}_x \xrightarrow{f^1} L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_y \xrightarrow{f^1} M$$

essentially coincides with $m_3(M \otimes L^{-1}; y; x)$. Now using the skew-symmetry (1.2.1), one can easily see that the above A_1 -axiom is equivalent to the identity of the Theorem 1.1 applied to $x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = z, L_1 = L, L_2 = M \otimes L^{-1}, L_3 = \mathcal{O}_C \otimes M^{-1}$.

Corollary 1.2. Assume that $g = 1$. Let L_1 and L_2 be line bundles of degree $g-1$ with $h^0(C; L_1) = h^0(C; L_2) = 0$, $z_1, \dots, z_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$ be points on C such that $L_1 L_2 \cdot \mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let s be a trivialization $s \in H^0(C; L_1^{-1} L_2^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - t_i)))$. Then for a pair of points $x, y \in C$ one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{z_i} (s) m_3(L_1; x; z_i) m_3(L_2; y; z_i) + (x) m_3(L_2; y; x) + (y) m_3(L_1; x; y) = 0$$

provided that the points $(x; y; z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are distinct and disjoint from the set $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 1.1 to the line bundles L_1, L_2 and $L_{2+i} = \mathcal{O}_C(t_i - z_i)$ and the points $x; y; z_1; \dots; z_n$, and then use the equality $m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(t - z); z; y) = 1$ for $y \notin t$. \square

Here is a version of the case $n = 1$ of the above Corollary for line bundles L_1 and L_2 with $h^0 = 1$.

Corollary 1.3. Assume that $g \geq 3$. Let E_1, E_2 be effective divisors of degree $g - 3$, $x; y; z; t$ be distinct points disjoint from E_1 and E_2 , such that $\mathcal{O}_C(E_1 + E_2 + x + y + z + t) \cong \mathcal{O}_C^{\otimes 2}$. Assume also that $h^0(E_1 + y + z) = h^0(E_2 + x + z) = 1$. Let ω be a non-zero 1-form on C with the zero divisor $E_1 + E_2 + x + y + z + t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega(z) m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(E_1 + y + z); x; z) m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(E_2 + x + z); y; z) + \\ & \omega(x) m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(E_2 + x + z); y; x) + \omega(y) m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(E_1 + y + z); x; y) = 0: \end{aligned}$$

Here $\omega(x)$ denotes the reduction of $\omega \otimes m_x^{-1} \in m_x^{-2} \mathcal{O}_C / m_x^2 \mathcal{O}_C$, where m_x is a maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_C ; it is an element of $m_x / m_x^2 \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C / \mathfrak{m}_x \cong k$.

Proof. First we note that due to the assumption on h^0 , all the triple products involved are well-defined and univalued. It remains to apply Theorem 1.1 to line bundles $L_1 = \mathcal{O}_C(E_1 + y + z)$, $L_2 = \mathcal{O}_C(E_2 + x + z)$, $L_3 = \mathcal{O}_C(t - z)$ and points $x; y; z$. \square

2. Theta functions

In this section we assume that $g \geq 1$. First we recall Riemann's theorem in the form we need and then we express the Massey products from the previous section in terms of theta functions. The identity between Massey products considered above translates into Fay's trisecant identity.

2.1. Riemann's theorem. Let us choose a base point $p_0 \in C$. Let J be the Jacobian of C , $i: C \rightarrow J$ be the embedding sending a point p to $\mathcal{O}_C(p - p_0)$. We will identify C with a subvariety of J by means of this embedding. For a pair of points $x; y \in C$ the difference $i(x) - i(y)$ will be denoted simply by $x - y$ (it does not depend on a choice of p_0).

For every line bundle L of degree $g - 1$ on C there is an associated theta-divisor $\Theta_L \subset J$. As a set this is the locus of $\alpha \in J$ such that $h^0(L(\alpha)) \geq 1$. We have $\Theta_L = \Theta_{L'} + \alpha$ for every $\alpha \in J$, hence, all the divisors Θ_L are translations of each other. For a point $\alpha \in J$ we define the line bundle P_α on J by

$$P_\alpha = \mathcal{O}_J(\Theta_L - L^{-1} - L^{-1}\alpha - L^{-1}\alpha)$$

where $L = \mathcal{O}_C(\Theta_L)$, $t: J \rightarrow J$ is the translation by α . The theorem of the cube implies that the right-hand side does not depend on a choice of theta-divisor Θ_L .

The following theorem is a reformulation of the classical theorem due to Riemann.

Theorem 2.1. One has the following isomorphism of line bundles on C :

$$\mathcal{O}_J(\Theta_L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_C^{\otimes 2}(p_0):$$

Proof. Recall that there is a natural embedding of C into \hat{J} given by the universal family on $C \times J$ trivialized over $p_0 \in J$ (in fact, $\hat{J} \rightarrow J$ but we don't use this isomorphism here to avoid confusion). Thus, we can consider bundles on C as coherent sheaves on \hat{J} and

apply the Fourier-Mukai transform S to them to get objects of the derived category of coherent sheaves on J (see [13]). It is easy to see that $O_J(-L) \cong \det^{-1}(S(L))$. On the other hand, by the base change formula we get

$$S(L)_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong R_{-2}(-_1(L(-p_0))(-c))(-p_0);$$

where c is the image of the diagonal embedding $\iota: C \hookrightarrow C \times C$, $\pi_1, \pi_2: C \times C \rightarrow C$ are projections. Let us denote $L_1 = L(-p_0)$. Note that $\text{rk } S(L) = \deg(L) = 0$; so we have

$$\det S(L)_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \det(R_{-2}(-_1 L_1(-c))):$$

Now from the exact sequence of sheaves on $C \times C$

$$0 \rightarrow {}_1 L_1 \rightarrow {}_1 L_1(-c) \rightarrow (L_1(-c))^{\vee} \rightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\det R_{-2}(-_1 L_1(-c)) \cong L_1(-c)^{\vee}$$

as required. \square

The classical corollary of the above theorem is that for fixed $L \in \text{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$ the map $\tau: (L + \cdot) \setminus C \rightarrow L^{\vee} \setminus C$ is a birational isomorphism from J to $S^g(C) \cong \text{Pic}^g(C) \cong D^{\vee} \cong O_C(D)$ (inverse (up to translation) to the natural map $S^g(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^g(C) \rightarrow D^{\vee} \cong O_C(D)$).

The above theorem also immediately implies that for every $\gamma \in J$ the restriction of P to C is isomorphic to γ (considered as a line bundle on C). Let us denote by L a global section of a line bundle on J which has L as the zero locus (it is defined uniquely up to a non-zero constant). We will call functions of the form L where $\deg(L) = g-1$, theta functions of degree 1 on J . We conclude that if $L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ does not vanish identically, then

$$\frac{t}{L} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$$

is a rational section of a line bundle isomorphic to L with the divisor of poles $L \setminus C$.

2.2. Expression of Massey products in terms of theta functions. Let D_1 and D_2 be a pair of effective divisors on C such that $\iota_C^* \cong O_C(D_1 + D_2)$. We denote by ω a non-zero global 1-form on C with zeroes at $D_1 + D_2$ (it is defined uniquely up to a non-zero constant). Let us assume that $h^0(D_1) = h^0(D_2) = 1$. We are going to calculate the Massey products $m_3(D_2; x; y)$ for generic $\gamma \in J$, $x, y \in C$ in terms of the theta function associated with D_1 . Note that since x and y are not contained in the support of D_2 , we can consider $m_3(D_2; x; y)$ as an element of $H^1(J, \iota_C^* \omega)$. To compute it we have to construct a rational section of ω with $D_2 + x$ as the divisor of poles. As we have seen above, for this we need to represent $D_2 + x$ in the form $L \setminus C$ for some line bundle L of degree $g-1$. Let us set $p_0 = x$, so that the embedding of C into J is given by $p \mapsto O_C(p - x)$. Then we claim that $L = O_C(D_1)$ will work. Indeed, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$O_J(-D_1)_{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \iota_C^*(x - D_1) \cong O_C(D_2 + x):$$

Furthermore, for generic x and y we have $h^0(D_1 + y - x) = 0$ and $h^0(D_2 + x) = 1$. The former condition implies that D_1 does not contain $y - x$, while the latter condition

implies that $D_1 \setminus C$ is precisely $D_2 + x$. Thus,

$$s = \frac{t_{D_1}}{t_{D_1}}$$

is a rational section of a line bundle isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{D_1} with $D_2 + x$ as the divisor of poles. By abuse of notation we consider s as a rational section of \mathcal{O}_{D_1} itself (this does not lead to ambiguity since the expression for m_3 is invariant under rescaling of s). Now we have

$$m_3(D_2; x; y) = \frac{s(y)}{\text{Res}_x(s)} = \frac{D_1(y - x)}{D_1(y - x) D_1(x) \text{Res}_x \frac{1}{t_{D_1}(x) t_{D_1}(x)}}$$

Using the natural identification of the cotangent space to J at 0 with $H^0(C; \mathcal{O}_C)$ we can consider the derivative $\frac{0}{D_1}(0)$ as a global 1-form on C (more precisely, it is a 1-form with values in a one-dimensional vector space, the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_J(D_1)$ at 0). Then it is easy to see that

$$\text{Res}_x \frac{1}{t_{D_1}(x) t_{D_1}(x)} = \frac{1}{\frac{0}{D_1}(0)(x)} :$$

Therefore, we arrive to the following expression for the Massey product.

Lemma 2.2. Under the canonical identification of spaces

$$(\mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 1})^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C^{\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 1}$$

one has

$$m_3(D_2; x; y) = \frac{D_1(y - x) \frac{0}{D_1}(0)(x)}{D_1(y - x) D_1(x)} \quad (2.2.1)$$

Now we are going to substitute the above expression for m_3 into the identities we derived in section 1.2. First, we have the skew-symmetry (1.2.1):

$$(y) m_3(D_2; x; y) = (x) m_3(D_2; y; x) :$$

Since $D_1 = D_2$, we can normalize D_1 and D_2 in such a way that $D_1(x) = D_2(x)$.¹ Then the above identity reduces to

$$(y) \frac{0}{D_1}(0)(x) = (x) \frac{0}{D_1}(0)(y) :$$

In other words, the global 1-form s and $\frac{0}{D_1}(0)$ are proportional. Thus, we recover the well-known fact that the 1-form $\frac{0}{D_1}(0)$ vanishes on D_1 (see [5], ch. I, Cor. 1.4).

Now we are going to look at the identity obtained from Corollary 1.2.

¹When we consider \mathcal{O}_C as an element of J we use the additive notation $+$ for the opposite element.

Theorem 2.3. Let $F(\cdot; \cdot) = \frac{(\cdot+ \cdot)}{(\cdot)(\cdot)}$ where $\cdot; \cdot \in J$, \cdot is a theta-function on J of degree 1 such that $\cdot(0) = 0$. Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i}^Y (F(z_i - z_j; z_j - t_j)) F(x - y; y - z_i) F(y - z_k + t_k) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^Y (F(x - z_i; z_i - t_i)) F(y - x; (z_k - t_k) + \cdot) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^Y (F(y - z_i; z_i - t_i)) F(y - x; \cdot) = 0: \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n, t_1, \dots, t_n \in C, \cdot \in J$.

Proof. Applying Corollary 1.2 to $L_1 = P_{\cdot}(\mathcal{D}_1)$, $L_2 = P_{\cdot + \sum_{i=1}^P (t_i - z_i)}(\mathcal{D}_2)$ and $\cdot = \cdot$, where $\cdot \in H^0(C; P_{\cdot}(\mathcal{D}_1))$ is the corresponding trivialization, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i^X \text{Res}_{z_i}(\cdot) \frac{D_1(z_i - x + \cdot)}{D_1(z_i - x) D_1(\cdot)} \frac{D_2(z_i - y + \sum_k^P (t_k - z_k))}{D_2(z_i - y) D_2(\sum_k (t_k - z_k))} \frac{D_2(0)(y)}{D_2(0)(x)} + \\ & (x) (x) \frac{D_2(x - y + \sum_k^P (t_k - z_k))}{D_2(x - y) D_2(\sum_k (t_k - z_k))} \frac{D_2(0)(y)}{D_2(0)(x)} + (y) (y) \frac{D_1(y - x + \cdot)}{D_1(y - x) D_1(\cdot)} \frac{D_1(0)(x)}{D_1(0)(y)} = 0: \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen above, we can take $\cdot = \frac{0}{D_1(0)}$. Now using the equality $D_1 = [1] D_2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i^X \text{Res}_{z_i}(\cdot) \frac{D_1(z_i - x + \cdot)}{D_1(z_i - x) D_1(\cdot)} \frac{D_1(y - z_i + \sum_k^P (z_k - t_k) + \cdot)}{D_1(y - z_i) D_1(\sum_k (z_k - t_k) + \cdot)} + \\ & (x) \frac{D_1(y - x + \sum_k^P (z_k - t_k) + \cdot)}{D_1(y - x) D_1(\sum_k (z_k - t_k) + \cdot)} (y) \frac{D_1(y - x + \cdot)}{D_1(y - x) D_1(\cdot)} = 0: \end{aligned}$$

We can choose \cdot in the form $\cdot = \frac{Q}{\prod_j (t_j - z_j)}$ where t_j/z_j is a rational section of $P_{z_i - t_i}$ with pole of order 1 at z_i and zero of order 1 at t_i . Assuming that t_i and z_i are generic, we can take

$$t_i/z_i(t) = \frac{D_1(t - t_i)}{D_1(t - z_i)}:$$

Indeed, clearly this is a section of a line bundle isomorphic to $P_{z_i - t_i}$. On the other hand, for generic z_i, t_i we have $D_1(t_i - z_i) \neq 0$, while $D_2 + z_i$ is the only effective divisor in its linear series. Hence, the denominator of t_i/z_i vanishes precisely on $D_2 + z_i$. Now we have

$$\text{Res}_{z_i}(\cdot) = \sum_{j \neq i}^Y \frac{D_1(z_i - t_j)}{D_1(z_i - z_j)} D_1(z_i - t_i):$$

Thus, we can rewrite the above identity as follows (where $\theta = \theta_{D_1}$):

$$\sum_{i=0}^X \sum_{j \notin i}^Y \frac{(\theta_i - \theta_j)}{(\theta_i - \theta_j)} (z_i - \theta_j) F(z_i - x; \theta_j) F(y - z_i; \theta_j) + \sum_{k=0}^X (z_k - \theta_k) + \sum_{i=0}^Y \frac{(\theta_i - \theta_i)}{(\theta_i - \theta_i)} F(y - x; \theta_i) = 0:$$

Dividing by $\theta_i - \theta_i$ we get the required identity for $\theta = \theta_{D_1}$. Our only assumption on D_1 was that $h^0(D_1 + p_0) = 1$ which is an open condition. Thus, the same identity holds if D_1 is an arbitrary effective divisor of degree $g-1$, which means that θ can be any theta function of degree 1 such that $\theta(0) = 0$. \square

In the case of elliptic curves the function F coincides with the Kronecker function studied in [12],[20],[21]. The equation (2.2.2) in this case reduces to the scalar associative Yang-Baxter equation studied in [17]. Thus, the functions $F(y - x; \theta)$ on $(\mathbb{A}^1)^g$ should be considered as the higher genus analogues of the Kronecker function.

The identity (2.2.2) for $n = 1$ can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\frac{(\theta(x - t) - \theta(y - z))}{(\theta(x - z) - \theta(y - t))} (\theta(x) - \theta(y) + \theta(x + z - t)) + \frac{(\theta(z - t) - \theta(y - x))}{(\theta(z - x) - \theta(y - t))} (\theta(x + z - x) - \theta(y - t)) = (\theta(x + z - t) - \theta(y - x))$$

where θ is a theta function of degree 1 vanishing at 0. This identity is equivalent to the trisecant identity (see [4], VII.6). In fact, the identity (2.2.2) for general n is a formal consequence of the case $n = 1$, however, the derivation is not straightforward (see section 3.2).

The following particular case of (2.2.2) deserves attention because of its symmetric form.

Corollary 2.4. In the notation of the theorem one has

$$\sum_{i=0}^{X^n} \sum_{j \notin i}^Y F(z_i - z_j; z_j - \theta_j) F(y - z_i; z_i - \theta_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{X^n} \sum_{l=0}^{Y^n} F(y - z_i; z_i - \theta_i);$$

where $y, z_0, \dots, z_n, \theta_0, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Set $x = z_0, \theta = \theta_0$ in (2.2.2). \square

2.3. Expression in terms of the prime form. In this section we work over \mathbb{C} . We are going to derive a different formula for the Massey product $m_3(L; x; y)$ where $\deg(L) = g-1$ involving the Schottky-Klein prime form. Let us recall its definition. Let us pick a non-singular odd theta-characteristic on C , i.e. an effective divisor D with $O_C(2D) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ and $h^0(D) = 1$ (it is known that such D always exist, see [5]). As we have seen above, the non-zero global 1-form with $2D$ as zero divisor is proportional to $\theta_D(0)$. Hence, we can

choose a global section h_D of $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ satisfying

$$h_D^2 = \frac{0}{D}(0):$$

Now the prime-form is defined by the formula

$$E(x; y) = \frac{h_D(y-x)}{h_D(x)h_D(y)}:$$

Since D is odd, we have $E(y; x) = -E(x; y)$. One can deduce from Riemann's theorem that $E(x; y)$ does not depend on D and that for any line bundle L of degree $g-1$

$$t \mapsto \frac{L(t-x)}{E(x; t)};$$

is a meromorphic section of L with the unique simple pole at $t=x$ (it is holomorphic if $h^0(L) > 0$). This implies the following formula for the Massey product $m_3(L; x; y)$.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that L is a line bundle of degree $g-1$ with $h^0(L) = 0$. Then for every pair of distinct points $x, y \in C$ one has

$$m_3(L; x; y) = \frac{L(y-x)}{E(x; y) L(0)}:$$

Proof. By the definition we have

$$m_3(L; x; y) = \frac{s(y)}{\text{Res}_x s};$$

where s is a meromorphic section of L having the unique simple pole at x with non-zero residue. Since $L(0) \neq 0$ we can take $s(t) = \frac{L(t-x)}{E(x; t)}$. Thus,

$$m_3(L; x; y) = \frac{L(y-x)}{E(x; y) L(0) \text{Res}_{t=x} \frac{1}{E(x; t)}}:$$

It remains to notice that

$$\text{Res}_{t=x} \frac{1}{E(x; t)} = \text{Res}_{t=x} \frac{h_D(x)h_D(t)}{h_D(t-x)} = \text{Res}_{t=x} \frac{h_D(t)^2}{h_D(t-x)} = 1:$$

□

Using this computation we can rewrite Corollary 1.2 in the following form.

Theorem 2.6. Let θ be arbitrary theta function of degree 1 on J . Then for any collection of generic points $x, y, z_1, \dots, z_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$ in C one has

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{E(t_j; z_i)}{E(z_j; z_i)} \frac{E(t_i; z_i)E(x; y)}{E(z_i; y)E(y; z_i)} \left(\frac{z-x}{y-x} + \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(z_i-t_i)}{(z_i-x)} \right) + \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{E(t_i; y)}{E(z_i; y)} \left(\frac{y-x}{y-x} + \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(z_i-t_i)}{(z_i-x)} \right) (0) = (2.3.1)$$

Proof. We can assume that $\theta = L$ where $h^0(L) = 0$. Then we can apply Corollary 1.2 to $L_1 = L, L_2 = !_C L^{-1}(\prod_{i=1}^n (z_i - t_i))$ and

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{E(t_i;)}{E(z_i;)}$$

It remains to use the equalities $!_C L^{-1} = [-1]_L$ and $\text{Res}_{z_i} \frac{1}{E(z_i; \cdot)} = 1$. \square

In the particular case $n = 1$ the equation (2.3.1) becomes

$$E(x; y)E(t; z) - (z - x)(y - t) + E(x; z)E(y; t) - (y - x)(z - t) = E(x; t)E(y; z) - (y - x + z - t) \quad (0)$$

where θ is any theta function of degree 1 on J . This identity is equivalent to another form of the trisecant identity (the formula (45) in [5], ch.II).

3. Vector bundles

3.1. Triple Massey products and Cauchy-Szego kernels. The generalization of the notion of a good triple to the case of vector bundles is straightforward. Namely, we say that a triple $(V; x; y)$, where V is a vector bundle on C , is good if the following three conditions are satisfied:

1. $x \notin y$;
2. x is a base point of $!_C V^-$;
3. y is a base point of V .

Here we say that a point z is a base point of a vector bundle W if the evaluation map $H^0(C; W) \rightarrow W_z$ is zero. It is easy to see that for a pair of vector bundles V_1 and V_2 , the triple $(V_1 \oplus V_2; x; y)$ is good precisely when the triple Massey products of the type

$$V_1 \rightarrow !_C V_1 \xrightarrow{!} V_2 \rightarrow !_C V_2 \quad (3.1.1)$$

are well-defined and univalued.

For a good triple $(V; x; y)$ an analogue of the triple Massey product can be defined as follows. Since x is a base point of $!_C V^-$, by Serre duality we obtain that the map $p_x : H^0(C; V(x)) \rightarrow V(x)_x$ is surjective (with the kernel $H^0(C; V)$). On the other hand, the natural evaluation map $H^0(C; V(x)) \rightarrow V(x)_y \rightarrow V_y$ vanishes on the kernel of p_x . Therefore, it factors through a unique map $m_3(V; x; y) : V(x)_x \rightarrow V_y$. Thus, we can consider $m_3(V; x; y)$ as a map $V_x \rightarrow !_C V_y \rightarrow V_y$. If $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ then this element represents the Massey product of the type (3.1.1). Note that every map $m_3(V; x; y)$ appears as such Massey product (take $V_1 = 0_C$).

Now assume that $\deg(V) = \frac{\deg V}{\text{rk } V} = g - 1$ and $h^0(V) = 0$ (this implies that V is semistable). Then for every pair of distinct points $(x; y)$ the triple $(V; x; y)$ is good. In this situation, the construction of $m_3(V; x; y)$ can be globalized to define a morphism

$$!_1 V \rightarrow !_1 !_C \rightarrow !_2 V(-c)$$

of vector bundles on $C \times C$ (where $!_1, !_2 : C \times C \rightarrow C$ are projections, $!_C : C \times C \rightarrow C$ is the diagonal) whose residue on the diagonal is equal to the identity. Let L be a line bundle of degree $g - 1$ on C , and \mathcal{E} be a flat vector bundle of rank r on C such that $h^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes L) = 0$. Then $m_3(\mathcal{E} \otimes L; x; y)$ can be considered as a rational section of a vector bundle on $M_r(C \times C)$, where M_r is the moduli space of flat bundles of rank r on C . In the particular case when L is a square root of the canonical bundle, $m_3(\mathcal{E} \otimes L; x; y)$ coincides with the Cauchy kernel defined in [2] and with the Szego kernel considered in [6], [7] (more precisely, it corresponds to $S(y; x; \cdot)$ in Fay's notation). For this reason we propose to call it Cauchy-Szego kernel.

Note that by the definition, the map $m_3(V; x; y)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $h^0(C; V(x-y)) = 0$.

3.2. Identities. Theorem 1.1 has the following generalization to the case of vector bundles.

Theorem 3.1. Let $x_1; \dots; x_n$ be a collection of points, $V_1; \dots; V_n$ be a collection of vector bundles on C , and $\pi: V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ be a morphism. Then one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i) (\text{id}_{V_i} \otimes \pi - \sum_{j \neq i} m_3(V_j; x_j; x_i)) = 0$$

provided that all triples $(V_j; x_j; x_i)$ for $j \neq i$ are good.

The proof is a straightforward generalization of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The case $n = 2$ of the above theorem leads to the following equality:

$$m_3(V; x; y) = m_3(V - \mathcal{O}_C(-x); y; x) : \quad (3.2.1)$$

On the other hand, we have the following analogue of Corollary 1.2.

Corollary 3.2. Let V be a vector bundle with $\text{rk}(V) \geq 1$ such that $h^0(V) = 0$, and let $z_1; \dots; z_n, t_1; \dots; t_n$ be points on C such that $h^0(V(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i)) = 0$. Then for a pair of points $x, y \in C$ one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i); z_i; y) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V; x; z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i - \sum_{i=1}^n z_i); x; y) - \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V; x; y) = 0;$$

provided that the points $(x; y; z_1; \dots; z_n)$ are distinct and disjoint from the set $\{t_1; \dots; t_n\}$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the vector bundles $V_1 = V$, $V_2 = V - \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i)$, $V_{2+i} = \mathcal{O}_C(t_i - z_i)$, $i = 1; \dots; n$ and the points $x_1 = x; x_2 = y; x_{2+i} = z_i$, $i = 1; \dots; n$, and using the equality $m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(t - z); z; y) = 1$ for $y \neq t$, we get

$$\sum_i m_3(V - \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i); y; z_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V; x; z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V - \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_{i=1}^n z_i - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i); y; x) + \sum_{i=1}^n m_3(V; x; y) = 0:$$

It remains to use the skew-symmetry (3.2.1). □

We will also need the following degenerate version of the case $n = 1$ of the above corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let V be a vector bundle with $\text{rk}(V) \geq 1$ such that $h^0(V) = 0$, and let x, y, z be a triple of distinct points such that $h^0(V(x-z)) = 0$. Then

$$m_3(V(x-z); z; y) = m_3(V; x; y) - m_3(V; x; z)^{-1};$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 to $V_1 = V$, $V_2 = V - \text{!}_C(z \rightarrow x)$, $V_3 = O_C(x \rightarrow z)$, $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = z$ and then use the equalities $m_3(O_C(x \rightarrow z); z; y) = 1$, $m_3(O_C(x \rightarrow z); z; x) = 0$, and the skew-symmetry (3.2.1) to get the equality

$$m_3(V(x \rightarrow z); z; y) - m_3(V; x; z) = m_3(V; x; y):$$

Next we note that if $h^0(V(x \rightarrow z)) = 0$ then $m_3(V; x; z)$ is invertible. \square

Below we will use the notion of quasideterminant of a matrix with non-commuting entries, introduced by I. Gelfand and V. Retakh (see [8] and the references therein). This notion appears naturally in the problem of inverting matrices with non-commuting entries. In our case the entries will be linear maps between vector spaces.

Theorem 3.4. Let V be a vector bundle with $\text{rk}(V) = g - 1$ such that $h^0(V) = 0$, and let $fx_0g, fx_1g, \dots, fx_ng, fy_0g$ and fy_1g, \dots, fy_ng be disjoint sets of points such that $h^0(V(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n y_i)) = 0$. Let us consider the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ with the entries $a_{ij} = m_3(V; x_j; y_i)$, $0 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then one has

$$m_3(V(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n y_i); x_0; y_0) = \det A_{j0}:$$

Proof. The case $n = 1$ of Corollary 3.2 gives

$$m_3(V(x_1 \rightarrow y_1); x_0; y_0) = m_3(V; x_0; y_0) - m_3(V(x_1 \rightarrow y_1); y_1; y_0) - m_3(V; x_0; y_1):$$

Substituting the expression for $m_3(V(x_1 \rightarrow y_1); y_1; y_0)$ given by Corollary 3.3, we get

$$m_3(V(x_1 \rightarrow y_1); x_0; y_0) = m_3(V; x_0; y_0) - m_3(V; x_1; y_0) - m_3(V; x_1; y_1)^{-1} m_3(V; x_0; y_1): \quad (3.2.2)$$

The expression in the right-hand side is the $(0;0)$ -quasideterminant of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_3(V; x_0; y_0) & m_3(V; x_1; y_0) \\ m_3(V; x_0; y_1) & m_3(V; x_1; y_1) \end{pmatrix};$$

so we get the assertion in the case $n = 1$. It remains to iterate this equation and to use the quasideterminant analogue of the Sylvester identity (see [8], Thm. 1.3.1). \square

Note that in the proof of the theorem above we only used the case $n = 1$ of Corollary 3.2. Applying this theorem, we see that the case of arbitrary n is equivalent to the following identity:

$$\det A_{j0} = a_{00} \sum_{i=1}^n \det A^{i0}_{ji} - \det A^{00}_{ji} a_{i1}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ is the matrix from the Theorem 3.4, A^{ij} is the matrix obtained from A by deleting i -th row and j -th column. This identity follows easily from the column expansion and the homological relations for quasideterminants (see [8], Prop. 1.2.7 and Thm. 1.2.3).

In conclusion let us compare the above identity with the identity obtained by Fay in [7]. We fix a line bundle L of degree $g - 1$ and set

$$F(i; x; y) = m_3(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n L(x_j \rightarrow y_j))$$

where $\mathcal{L} \otimes M_r$ is a \mathcal{O}_C -bundle on C , $x, y \in C$. In order to rewrite the identity (3.2.2) as an equality over $M_r \subset C^4$ we have to take into account the trivializations of the \mathcal{O}_C -bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(x_1 - y_1)$ at the points x_0 and y_0 . To construct such trivializations we can use the rational section $s_{x_1/y_1} = t_{i(x_1)} \otimes t_{i(y_1)}^{-1}$ of \mathcal{L} , where D is a non-singular odd theta-characteristic. Then the identity (3.2.2) can be rewritten as

$$F(x_1 - y_1; x_0; y_0) \frac{D(x_0 - x_1) \otimes D(y_0 - y_1)}{D(x_0 - y_1) \otimes D(y_0 - x_1)} = F(x_0; y_0) F(x_1; y_0) F(x_1; y_1)^{-1} F(x_0; y_1).$$

Note that the fraction in the left-hand side is equal to $\frac{E(x_0; x_1)E(y_0; y_1)}{E(x_0; y_1)E(y_0; x_1)}$. Similarly, the identity of Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$F(x_j - y_i; x_0; y_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{E(x_0; x_i)E(y_0; y_i)}{E(x_0; y_i)E(y_0; x_i)} = F(x_j - y_i; x_0; y_0) \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{E(x_0; x_i)E(y_0; y_i)}{E(x_0; y_i)E(y_0; x_i)}.$$

This is precisely the identity appearing in the proof of Lemma 2 in [7] (instead of quasiderivations, Fay uses the inverse of a matrix with non-commuting entries).

4. Tangents to the canonical embedding

In this section we will relate the identity of Corollary 1.3 to tangent lines to a canonically embedded curve.

Let us rewrite this Corollary slightly. Pick generic elements $t_i \in H^0(C; \mathcal{L}(E_i - t))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then we can easily calculate all the Massey products appearing in Corollary 1.3. For example, since $t_2 =$ is a rational function with poles at $E_1 + x + y + z$, we get

$$m_3(\mathcal{O}_C(E_1 + y + z); x; z) = \frac{t_2(z) \otimes t_1(x)}{t_2(x) \otimes t_1(z)},$$

etc. Thus, the identity of Corollary 1.3 becomes

$$\frac{t_1(x) \otimes t_2(x)}{t_1(x) \otimes t_2(x)} + \frac{t_1(y) \otimes t_2(y)}{t_1(y) \otimes t_2(y)} + \frac{t_1(z) \otimes t_2(z)}{t_1(z) \otimes t_2(z)} = 0$$

which is nothing else but the residue theorem applied to $t_1 \otimes t_2$. This is not surprising since the proof of Theorem 1.1 was based on the residue theorem. Of course, similar relation holds for arbitrary global 1-forms t_1 and t_2 . However, one may expect that in the case when $t_1 \otimes t_2$ vanishes at most of the zeroes of \mathcal{L} , such a relation is more valuable.

Now let us assume that C is non-hyperelliptic and consider the canonical embedding $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(C; \mathcal{L}))$. Then t_1 and t_2 are just equations of hyperplanes. To interpret the derivative $t_i(x)$ we note that there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_C \cong \mathcal{O}(1)_C$. Therefore, a lifting of a point $x \in C \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(C; \mathcal{L}))$ to a (non-zero) vector $\mathbf{x} \in H^0(C; \mathcal{L})$ determines canonically a tangent vector $t_{\mathbf{x}}$ to C at x , which depends linearly on \mathbf{x} . Recall that the tangent space to a point L of a projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(L; V/L)$. Thus, a tangent vector $t_{\mathbf{x}}$ determines an element $v_{\mathbf{x}} := t_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) \in H^0(C; \mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{x}^\perp$ depending quadratically on \mathbf{x} . If Q is a quadratic form on the space $H^0(C; \mathcal{L})$ and l is a linear form on this space such that $l(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, then the ratio $Q(\mathbf{x})/l(\mathbf{x})$ depends only on x . By abuse of notation we denote this ratio by $Q(x) = l(v_{\mathbf{x}})$.

Proposition 4.1. Let C be a non-hyperelliptic curve, D be the intersection of C with a hyperplane $l = 0$ in the canonical embedding. Assume that D consists of $2g - 2$ distinct points. Then for any quadratic form Q on $H^0(C; \mathcal{L}_C)$ one has

$$\sum_{x \in D} \frac{Q(x)}{l(v_x)} = 0;$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this in the case when Q is a product of linear forms. Then it follows immediately from the residue theorem as we have seen above. \square

Using the above proposition we can compute the tangent line to C at a given point x in terms of some ratios associated with hyperplane sections of C containing x . Note that the tangent line to C at x defines a point in the $(g - 2)$ -dimensional projective space which is the target of the linear projection from x . We start by computing the image of this tangent line under the linear projection with the center at the line spanned by x and another point $y \in C$. Our calculation is based on the following result.

Corollary 4.2. Let x, y be a pair of generic points on a canonically embedded curve C , $\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in H^0(C; \mathcal{L}_C)$ be their liftings. Let H be a generic hyperplane passing through x, y . Write the intersection $C \cap H$ in the form $x + y + D_1 + D_2$ where D_1 and D_2 are divisors of degree $g - 2$. Let $l_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ be an equation of the unique hyperplane in H passing through D_i . Then the ratio

$$r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; H) = \frac{l_1(\mathfrak{y})l_2(\mathfrak{y})}{l_1(\mathfrak{x})l_2(\mathfrak{x})}$$

does not depend on a choice of D_1 and D_2 . More precisely,

$$r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; H) = \frac{l(\mathfrak{y})}{l(\mathfrak{x})}$$

where $l = 0$ is an equation of H .

Proof. Take $Q = l_1 l_2$ in Proposition 4.1. \square

Below we denote by $(t_1 : \dots : t_n)$ homogeneous coordinates of a point in P^{n-1} . Also for a linear form l we set $r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; l) := r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; (l = 0))$.

Corollary 4.3. Let $x, y \in C$ be points as in the Corollary 4.2, $\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \in H^0(C; \mathcal{L}_C)$ be their liftings. Pick $g - 2$ generic linear forms l_1, \dots, l_{g-2} vanishing at x, y . Then one has

$$(l_1(v_{\mathfrak{x}}) : \dots : l_{g-2}(v_{\mathfrak{x}})) = (1 : \frac{b_2 - b_1}{a_2 - b_2} : \frac{(a_2 - b_1)(b_3 - b_2)}{(a_2 - b_2)(a_3 - b_3)} : \dots : \frac{(a_2 - b_1) : \dots : (a_{g-3} - b_{g-4})(b_{g-2} - b_{g-3})}{(a_2 - b_2) : \dots : (a_{g-3} - b_{g-3})(a_{g-2} - b_{g-2})});$$

where $a_i = r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; l_i)$, $b_i = r(\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y}; l_i + \dots + l_1)$.

Proof. Set $u_i = l_i(v_{\mathfrak{x}})$, $v_i = l_i(v_{\mathfrak{y}})$, where $i = 1, \dots, g - 2$. According to Corollary 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v_i}{u_i} &= a_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, g - 2; \\ \frac{v_1 + \dots + v_i}{u_1 + \dots + u_i} &= b_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, g - 2; \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{u_1 + \dots + u_i}{u_{i+1}} = \frac{a_{i+1} b_{i+1}}{b_{i+1} b_i}; i = 1; \dots; g-3:$$

From this relation we easily deduce that

$$\frac{u_{i+1}}{u_i} = \frac{(a_i b_{i-1})(b_{i+1} b_i)}{(b_i b_{i-1})(a_{i+1} b_{i+1})}$$

for $i \geq 2$ while

$$\frac{u_2}{u_1} = \frac{b_2 b_1}{a_2 b_2}:$$

□

Applying the above Corollary to the pairs $(x;p)$ and $(x;q)$, where p and q are generic points on C , we obtain the following formula for the tangent line to C at x .

Corollary 4.4. Let x be a point on C , $x \in H^0(C; \mathcal{O}_C)$ be its lifting. Let $p, q \in C$ be a pair of generic points, p, q be their liftings. Pick $g-3$ generic linear forms $l_1; \dots; l_{g-3}$ vanishing at x, p and q . Then pick a generic linear form l_{g-2} vanishing at x and p and a generic linear form l_g vanishing at x and q . Then

$$(l_g(v_x) : l_1(v_x) : \dots : l_{g-2}(v_x)) = \left(\frac{c_1}{d} \frac{d}{c_0} : 1 : \frac{b_2 b_1}{a_2 b_2} : \frac{(a_2 b_1)(b_3 b_2)}{(a_2 b_2)(a_3 b_3)} : \dots : \frac{(a_2 b_1) : \dots : (a_{g-3} b_{g-4})(b_{g-2} b_{g-3})}{(a_2 b_2) : \dots : (a_{g-3} b_{g-3})(a_{g-2} b_{g-2})} \right);$$

where $a_i = r(x;p;l_i)$, $b_i = r(x;p;l_i + \dots + l_1)$, $c_i = r(x;q;l_i)$, $d = r(x;q;l_g + l_1)$.

References

- [1] E. Arbarello, Fay's trisecant formula and a characterization of Jacobian varieties, *Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin (Brunswick, Maine, 1985)*, 49{61, AMS, Providence, RI, 1987.
- [2] J. A. Ball, V. Vinnikov, Zero-pole interpolation for matrix meromorphic functions on a compact Riemann surface and a matrix Fay trisecant identity, *Amer. J. Math.* 121 (1999), 841{888.
- [3] Ch. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex abelian varieties*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [4] H. M. Farkas, I. Kra, *Riemann surfaces*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [5] J. D. Fay, *Theta Functions on Riemann Surfaces*. Lecture Notes in Math. 352, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [6] J. D. Fay, *Kernel Functions, Analytic Torsion and Moduli Spaces*, *Mem. AMS* 96 (1992), no. 464.
- [7] J. D. Fay, *The non-abelian Szego kernel and theta-divisor*, *Curves, Jacobians, and Abelian Varieties*, *Contemporary Math.* 136, AMS, Providence, RI, 1992.
- [8] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh, Quasideterminants. I, *Selecta Math.* 3 (1997), 517{546.
- [9] S. Gelfand, Yu. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*. Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [10] R. C. Gunning, Some identities for Abelian integrals, *Amer. J. Math.* 108 (1986), 39{74.
- [11] G. R. Kempf, Fay's trisecant formula, *Algebraic geometry and complex analysis (Patzcuaro, 1987)*, 99-106. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1414, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [12] L. Kronecker, *Zur theorie der elliptischen functionen* (1881), in *Leopold Kronecker's Werke*, vol. IV, 311{318. Chelsea Pub. Co., 1968.
- [13] S. Mukai, Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves. *Nagoya Math. J.* 81 (1981), 153{175.
- [14] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta II*, Birkhauser, 1984.
- [15] A. Polishchuk, Massey and Fukaya products on elliptic curve, preprint math AG/9803017.
- [16] A. Polishchuk, Homological mirror symmetry with higher products, preprint math AG/9901025.
- [17] A. Polishchuk, Classical Yang-Baxter equation and A_1 -constraint, preprint math AG/0008156.

- [18] C. Poor, Fay's trisecant formula and cross-ratios, *Proc. AM S* 114 (1992), 667{671.
- [19] A. K. Raina, Chiral fermions on a Riemann surface and the trisecant identity, *Mathematical physics* (Islamabad, 1989), 326{338. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1990.
- [20] A. Weil, Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein and Kronecker. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [21] D. Zagier, Periods of modular forms and Jacobi theta functions, *Invent. Math.* 104 (1991), 449{465.