

The Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space I. The spherical part

E. P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull

Abstract

We prove the Plancherel formula for spherical Schwartz functions on a reductive symmetric space. Our starting point is an inversion formula for spherical smooth compactly supported functions. The latter formula was earlier obtained from the most continuous part of the Plancherel formula by means of a residue calculus. In the course of the present paper we also obtain new proofs of the uniform tempered estimates for normalized Eisenstein integrals and of the Maaß-Selberg relations satisfied by the associated C -functions.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Notation and preliminaries	8
3	Weyl groups	12
4	Laurent functionals and operators	15
5	Normalization of residues and measures	18
6	A vanishing theorem	21
7	Meromorphy of asymptotic expansions	26
8	Fourier inversion	29
9	The generalized Eisenstein integral	35
10	Temperedness of the Eisenstein integral	41
11	Initial uniform estimates	45
12	Spaces of residue type	51

13 The norm alized E isenstein integral	53
14 E igenvalues for the E isenstein integral	61
15 U niform tem pered estim ates	66
16 In nitesim al characters of the discrete series	70
17 The constant term of the E isenstein integral	74
18 The M aass-Selberg relations	82
19 The spherical Fourier transform	86
20 The wave packet transform	89
21 Fourier inversion for Schwartz functions	91
22 P roperties of the Fourier transform s	94
23 The spherical P lancherel theorem	101

1 Introduction

In this paper and its sequel [14] we determine the Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space $X = G/H$: Here G is a real reductive Lie group of Harish-Chandra's class and H is an open subgroup of the group G of fixed points for an involution of G : In the present paper we establish the Plancherel formula for K -finite (spherical) Schwartz functions on X ; with K a θ -invariant maximal compact subgroup of G : In [14] we shall derive the Plancherel decomposition, in the sense of representation theory, from it.

The space X carries a G -invariant measure dx ; accordingly the regular representation L of G in $L^2(X)$ is unitary. The Plancherel decomposition amounts to an explicit decomposition of L as a direct integral of irreducible unitary representations. The reductive group G is a symmetric space of its own right, for the left times right action of $G \times G$: In this case of the group', the explicit Plancherel decomposition was obtained in the sixties and early seventies in the work of Harish-Chandra, see [25], [26], [27]. His ideas, in particular those on the role of Eisenstein integrals and the Maaß-Selberg relations satisfied by them, have been a major influence in our work. On the other hand, our approach to the Plancherel formula is via a residue calculus, and thus in a sense closer in spirit to the work of R.P. Langlands on the spectral decomposition in the theory of automorphic forms, see [30].

The results of this paper and [14] were found and announced in the fall of 1995 when both authors were visitors of the Mittag-Leffler Institute in Djursholm, Sweden. At the same time P. Delorme announced his proof of the Plancherel theorem. His results have appeared in a series of papers, partly

in collaboration with J. Carmona, culminating in [21]. It should be said that at the time of the announcement we relied on Delorme's theorem on the Maaß-Selberg relations in [20]. In the meantime we have been able to derive the relations from those associated with the most continuous part of the decomposition; these had been obtained earlier in [5],[6]. The resulting proof of the Plancherel theorem in the present paper and [14] is independent of the one in [21]; moreover, it follows a completely different approach. Finally, we mention that T. Oshima has announced a Plancherel formula in [32], p. 604, but the details have not appeared. For reductive symmetric spaces of type $G_c = G_R$; the Plancherel formula is due to P. Harinck, [23].

We first give a rough outline of the contents of this paper and its sequel [14]. The following global picture should be kept in mind. We first concentrate on the Plancherel formula for K -finite functions, with $K \subset G$ a maximal compact subgroup that is chosen to be θ -stable. The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that the Cartan involution θ determined by K commutes with σ : The building blocks of the formula will be discrete series representations of X and generalized principal series of the form $\text{Ind}_P^G(\chi)$ with $P = M_P A_P N_P$ a θ -stable parabolic subgroup of G with the indicated Langlands decomposition, a discrete series representation of $X_P := M_P / M_P \cap H$ and χ contained in the space $\text{ia}_{P,Q}$ of unitary characters of $A_P / A_P \cap H$: For purposes of exposition this introduction is written under the simplifying assumption that the number of open H -orbits on $P \backslash G$ is one. In general the open orbits are parametrized by a finite set ${}^P W$ of representatives, and then one should take for the discrete series representations of all the spaces $X_{P,v} := M_P / M_P \cap vH v^{-1}; v \in {}^P W$:

In [10] we obtained the most continuous part of the Plancherel decomposition; this is the part built up from representations obtained by induction from a minimal θ -stable parabolic subgroup $P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0$. Here $M_0 = M_0 \cap H$ is compact, so the theory of the discrete series did not enter at this stage. On the level of K -finite functions the most continuous part of the formula is described via a Fourier transform F_0 ; which in turn is defined in terms of Eisenstein integrals $E(P_0 : \cdot)$: The latter are essentially matrix coefficients of the principal series induced from P_0 and behave nicely under the action of the algebra $D(X)$ of invariant differential operators on X :

From the most continuous part of the Plancherel decomposition we derived in [12] a Fourier inversion formula for functions in $C_c^1(X : \cdot)$; the space of smooth compactly supported θ -spherical functions on X ; with a finite dimensional unitary representation of K : This formula expresses a function f in terms of $F_0 f$:

The strategy of the present paper is to put the inversion formula in a form that makes it valid for functions in the Schwartz space $C(X : \cdot)$: This requires the introduction of Eisenstein integrals $E(P : \cdot)$ via residues of the Eisenstein integrals $E(P_0 : \cdot)$: To show that these residual Eisenstein integrals define Fourier transforms on the Schwartz space we need the Maaß-Selberg relations. It is here that the theory of the discrete series, initiated by M. Flensted-Jensen in [22] and further developed by T. Oshima and T. Matsuki in [33], enters. In our proofs we do not need the full classification of the discrete series. However, for

the theory of the constant term developed in [15] to apply, both the necessity of the rank condition and the fact that the infinitesimal $D(X)$ -characters of discrete series representations are real and regular (see Theorem 16.1, due to [33]), play a crucial role.

The resulting inversion formula for Schwartz functions is called the spherical Plancherel formula, see Theorems 21.2 and 23.1. It naturally leads to the spherical Plancherel formula for L^2 -functions, Theorem 23.4. The present paper finishes at this point, where it is not yet clear that the residual Eisenstein integrals are related to induced representations. This fact will be established in the second paper [14] by using the vanishing theorem of [13]. The contributions of all K -types can then be collected and lead to the representation theoretic Plancherel formula. At the end of the second paper it will also be shown that the residual Eisenstein integrals $E(P; \cdot)$ equal the normalized Eisenstein integrals introduced in Delorme's paper [19]. The idea is to use the automatic continuity theorem of W. Casselman and N.R. Wallach ([18], [35]) to show that the residual Eisenstein integrals are matrix coefficients. An asymptotic analysis then completes the identification.

We shall now give a more detailed outline of the present paper. The first few sections concern preliminaries. In particular, in Section 5 we specify the normalizations of the residual operators and the measures used in the rest of the paper. In Section 6 we give a formulation of the vanishing theorem of [13], in a form suitable for this paper. Let a_q be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$; the intersection of the ± 1 eigenspaces in \mathfrak{g} for θ and σ , respectively. Let P denote the set of θ -stable parabolic subgroups of G containing $A_q := \exp a_q$. For each $Q \in P$ we introduce a space $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ of families of spherical generalized eigenfunctions on X ; depending meromorphically on the parameter $\lambda \in a_{Q,q}^*$. Here $a_{Q,q} := a_Q \setminus \mathfrak{q}$. The vanishing theorem asserts that $f = 0$ for all λ ; as soon as the coefficient of $e^{\lambda \cdot}$ in the asymptotic expansion along Q vanishes, for all λ in a non-empty open subset of $a_{Q,q}^*$.

In Section 8 we recall the inversion formula of [12]. Let Σ be the root system of a_q in \mathfrak{g} and let W be the associated Weyl group. Let Σ_F be the system of simple roots associated with the minimal element P_0 from P . For each $F \in P$; let $P_F = M_F A_F N_F$ denote the associated standard parabolic subgroup in P . Then the inversion formula is of the form

$$f = \sum_F \int_X t(a_F^+) T_F^t f;$$

where

$$T_F^t f(x) = t(a_F^+) \int_{ia_F + \mathfrak{n}_F} \int_X K_F^t(\cdot : x : y) f(y) dy d_F(\cdot); \quad (1.1)$$

Here t is a choice of W -invariant even residue weight on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{n}_F is an element of \mathfrak{a}_F^+ ; sufficiently close to zero (if $F = P_0$; we may take $\mathfrak{n}_F = 0$). Moreover, d_F is a suitable choice of Lebesgue measure on $ia_F + \mathfrak{n}_F$. The kernel functions $K_F^t(\cdot : x : y) \in \text{End}(V)$ are obtained from residual operators acting on a

combination of normalized and partial Eisenstein integrals for P_0 ; see [12] for details. They are meromorphic in the variable $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and smooth spherical and $D(X)$ -finite in both of the variables $x, y \in X$. The idea is that the kernel K_F^t determines projection onto the part of $L^2(X : \lambda)$ determined by the induction from the standard parabolic subgroup P_F :

To make the above formula valid for Schwartz functions it is necessary to establish it with $\lambda_F = 0$; for every F . This can be achieved by using Cauchy's formula, once we have established the regularity at $ia_{F,q}$ of the kernel functions $K_F^t(x, y)$ in the variable s . In addition to this we need estimates that are tempered in the variables x, y with uniformity in $s \in \mathbb{C}$. All this is taken care of by a long inductive argument, that ranges over the Sections 12 – 21. We shall describe the structure of the argument, which goes by induction on the λ -split rank of X ; at a later stage in this introduction.

In Section 9 we recall the definition of the generalized Eisenstein integral $E_F(s, \lambda)$; for $s \in \mathbb{C}$; from [13]. Originally, this Eisenstein integral was defined by means of a linear combination of residual operators (a so called Laurent functional) applied to the Eisenstein integral $E(P_0 : \lambda)$ with respect to the variable s . As a family in the parameter λ ; the generalized Eisenstein integral belongs to $E_{P_F}^{\text{hyp}}(X : \lambda)$. Hence, in view of the vanishing theorem, it can be characterized uniquely in terms of its asymptotic behavior along P_F ; see Theorem 9.3.

In Corollary 10.6 we show that the generalized Eisenstein integral is tempered for regular imaginary values of s . This fact can be derived from a limitation on the asymptotic exponents, see Theorem 10.5, caused by the support of the residual operators. In the next section, in Proposition 11.6, we establish uniformly moderate estimates for the generalized Eisenstein integrals. These estimates come from similar estimates for $E(P_0 : \lambda)$; which survive the application of the residual operators.

In Section 12 we start with the preparation of the long inductive argument mentioned above. The space X is said to be of residue type if it has compact center modulo H and if, in addition, the operator T^t is projection onto the discrete part $L_d^2(X : \lambda)$ of $L^2(X : \lambda)$ (which may be trivial). In particular, this means that the mentioned operator, and hence the associated 'discrete' kernel K^t ; is independent of the particular choice of residue weight t . Moreover, it follows from the assumption that $L_d^2(X : \lambda)$ is finite dimensional.

We proceed with the induction in Section 13. A parabolic subgroup $P \supset P_0$ is said to be of residue type if the space $X_P := M_P \backslash M_P \backslash H$ is. A subset F is said to be of residue type, if the associated standard parabolic subgroup P_F is. At the end of the inductive argument, which goes by induction on the λ -split rank of X ; it will be shown that every element from P is of residue type.

The kernel $K_F^t(s, \lambda)$ is determined by its asymptotic expansion along $P_F \backslash P_F$; in view of the vanishing theorem. The coefficient of $e^{-s \lambda}$ in this expansion is essentially the discrete kernel of $M_F \backslash M_F \backslash H$. If F is of residue type, then the discrete kernel, and hence K_F^t ; is independent of the particular choice of t . Therefore, so is the generalized Eisenstein integral. From then on we call this Eisenstein integral the normalized one and denote it by $E(P_F : \lambda)$. It

is a meromorphic function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with values in $C^1(X) \otimes \text{Hom}(A_{2,F}; V)$; where $A_{2,F} = L_d^2(X_F : F)$. (Without the simplifying assumption mentioned above, the latter space is replaced by a suitable direct sum over $F \in W$.) The unique characterization of the normalized Eisenstein integral by means of the vanishing theorem then allows us to define it for P_F replaced by any parabolic subgroup $P \subset P$ of residue type. This in turn allows us to define a kernel function K_P for arbitrary $P \subset P$ of residue type, generalizing the kernels for standard parabolic subgroups of residue type. In terms of the normalized Eisenstein integrals, the kernel is given by the formula

$$K_P(s; x, y) = \int_{W_P} j^{-1} E(P; s; x) E(P; s; y). \quad (1.2)$$

Here W_P is the subgroup of W that corresponds to the Weyl group of X_P ; and $E(P; s; \cdot)$ is the dualized Eisenstein integral. The latter is the function in $C^1(X) \otimes \text{Hom}(V; A_{2,P})$ defined by

$$E(P; s; y) := E(P; s; y) :$$

Two parabolic subgroups $P, Q \subset P$ are said to be associated, notation $P \sim Q$; if their split components $a_{P,Q}$ and $a_{Q,Q}$ are conjugate under W . If $P, Q \subset P$ are associated, and if one of them is of residue type, then so is the other. Moreover, the set

$$W(a_{Q,Q} j a_{P,Q}) = \{ s j_{P,Q} j s^{-1} W; s(a_{P,Q}) = a_{Q,Q} g \}$$

is non-empty. The main result of the section is Theorem 13.20, which asserts that the kernel function is unchanged if P, Q are replaced by Q, P with $Q \sim P$ and $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} j a_{P,Q})$. For P minimal, this result is a consequence of the Maaß-Selberg relations for the Eisenstein integral $E(P; s)$; in view of (1.2). For arbitrary P of residue type the result follows from the minimal case by W -equivariance properties of the residue calculus.

In Section 14 we describe the action of $D(X)$ on the normalized Eisenstein integral $E(P; s)$; for P of residue type. The diagonalization of $D(X_P)$ on $L_d^2(X_P : P)$; where $P := j_{P,Q} \setminus P$; induces a simultaneous diagonalization of the action of $D(X)$ on the Eisenstein integral, in view of the vanishing theorem, see Corollary 14.4. In the next section this result is used to show that the uniform moderate estimates of the Eisenstein integral can be improved to uniform tempered estimates, exploiting a technique that goes back to [5].

In Section 16 we recall the mentioned result of [33] on the $D(X)$ -characters of the discrete series in Theorem 16.1. This result is of crucial importance for Section 17, where we determine the constant term of the normalized Eisenstein integral. In addition we use the theory of the constant term as developed in [15], see also [25].

The constant term of $E(P; s)$ along a $Q \subset P$ with $Q \sim P$ describes essentially the top order asymptotic behavior along Q ; it is given by

$$E_Q(P; s; m, a) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{Q,Q} j a_{P,Q})} \int_X a^s [C_{Q,P}(s; \cdot)](m); \quad (1.3)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$ generic, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q}$ and $m \in X_Q$: Here $C_{Q,P}(s, \lambda)$; the normalized C -function, is a meromorphic $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}_{2,P}; \mathfrak{A}_{2,Q})$ -valued function of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$: For the description of the constant term without the simplifying assumption mentioned above, see Corollary 17.7.

In Section 18 we derive the Maaß-Selberg relations from the invariance property of the kernel (1.2) mentioned above. They assert the following identity of meromorphic functions in the variable $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$:

$$C_{Q,P}(s, \lambda) C_{Q,P}(s, \lambda) = I;$$

for $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ associated and of residue type, and for $s \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})$: In particular, it follows that the normalized C -functions are unitary for imaginary λ : This in turn shows that the constant term (1.3) is regular for imaginary λ : By a result from [7] this implies that the Eisenstein integral $E(P, \lambda)$ is regular for imaginary λ ; see Theorem 18.8. Because of the uniform tempered estimates formulated in Corollary 18.12, it becomes possible to define a spherical Fourier transform F_P in the next section by the formula

$$F_P f(\lambda) = \int_X E(P, \lambda; x) f(x) dx; \quad (1.4)$$

for $f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})$; the space of \mathbb{C} -spherical Schwartz functions on X : Proposition 19.5 asserts that F_P is a continuous linear map into the Euclidean Schwartz space $S(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}) \subset \mathfrak{A}_{2,P}$; if P is of residue type. In Section 20 it is shown, using a result from [7], that the adjoint wave packet transform, given by the formula

$$J_P' f(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}} E(P, \lambda; x)' f(\lambda) d_P(\lambda) \quad (1.5)$$

is a continuous linear map from $S(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}) \subset \mathfrak{A}_{2,P}$ into $C(X; \mathbb{C})$; see Theorem 20.2. Here d_P is Lebesgue measure on $\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$; normalized as in Section 5.

In Section 21 the long inductive argument is completed as follows. In the proof of Theorem 21.2, it is shown that every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of residue type, by induction on the split rank of X : The hypothesis of the induction step implies that one may assume that G has compact center modulo H and that every $F \in \mathcal{P}$ is of residue type. In view of the regularity of the normalized Eisenstein integrals, hence of the kernels $K_F(\lambda, \mu)$; for $F \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$; the formula (1.1) becomes valid with $\eta_F = 0$ for every subset $F \subset \mathcal{P}$ (recall that $\eta = 0$). Moreover, by the definition of the transforms $F_F := F_{P_F}$ and $J_F := J_{P_F}$; it takes the form

$$f = T^t f + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}} [W_F] t(P_F) J_F F_F f;$$

From this one reads off that T^t maps $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ into $C(X; \mathbb{C})$; from which it readily follows that T^t is the restriction to $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ of the orthogonal projection onto $L_d^2(X; \mathbb{C})$: This argument completes the induction step; moreover, at the same time it shows that

$$I = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{P}} [W_F] t(P_F) J_F F_F \quad (1.6)$$

on $C_c^1(X : \lambda)$ and hence on $C(X : \lambda)$ by continuity and density. It is at this point that the role of the residue weight in the harmonic analysis becomes clear. Define the equivalence relation \sim on the powerset $2^{\mathbb{F}}$ by $F \sim F^0(\lambda) P_F P_F^0$. Then by the Maaß-Selberg relations the composed transform $J_F F_F$ only depends on the class of F in $2^{\mathbb{F}} / \sim$. Collecting the terms in (1.6) according to such classes we obtain, by an easy counting argument, the following Fourier inversion formula which is independent of the choice of residue weight

$$I = \sum_{F \in 2^{\mathbb{F}} / \sim} \sum_{W \in W_F} |W_F| J_F F_F ; \quad (1.7)$$

with W_F the normalizer of $a_{\mathbb{F}^* q}$ in W . In other words, the residue weight describes the weight by which an element in the class of F contributes to the above inversion formula.

In Section 22 we give a precise description of the kernels and images of the Fourier transforms and their adjoints. This leads to the spherical Plancherel theorem for Schwartz functions, Theorem 23.1 and the similar theorem for L^2 -functions, Theorem 23.4. In particular, the summands in (1.7) extend to orthogonal projections of $L^2(X : \lambda)$ onto mutually orthogonal subspaces.

2 Notation and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, G will be a real reductive group of Harish-Chandra's class, θ an involution of G and H an open subgroup of G ; the set of fixed points for θ . The associated reductive symmetric space is denoted by

$$X = G/H :$$

The algebra of G -invariant differential operators on X is denoted by $D(X)$:

We fix a Cartan involution θ of G that commutes with θ ; thus, the associated maximal compact subgroup $K = G^\theta$ is θ -invariant. We follow the convention to denote Lie groups with roman capitals, and their Lie algebras with the corresponding gothic lower cases. In particular, \mathfrak{g} denotes the Lie algebra of G . The infinitesimal involutions of \mathfrak{g} associated with θ and θ are denoted by the same symbols.

We equip \mathfrak{g} with a G -invariant non-degenerate bilinear form B that restricts to the Killing form on $[\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}]$; that is positive definite on \mathfrak{p} ; negative definite on \mathfrak{k} ; and for which B is symmetric. Then $\langle X, Y \rangle := B(X, Y)$ defines a positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{g} for which the involutions θ and θ are symmetric. Accordingly, the decompositions $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{q}$ into the $+1$ and -1 eigenspaces of these involutions, respectively, are orthogonal. If $v \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear subspace, we agree to identify v with a linear subspace of \mathfrak{g} via the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Finally, we equip the linear dual \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} with the dual inner product, and the complexified dual \mathfrak{g}_c^* with its complex bilinear extension.

We fix a maximal abelian subspace a_q of $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ and denote the associated system of restricted roots by $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}; a_q)$. This is a, possibly non-reduced, root

system; the associated Weyl group is denoted by $W = W(\cdot)$: We recall that $W' \cap N_K(a_q) = Z_K(a_q)$; naturally. Accordingly, the natural image of $N_{K \setminus H}(a_q)$ in W is denoted by $W_{K \setminus H}$:

If P is a parabolic subgroup of G ; we denote its Langlands decomposition by $P = M_P A_P N_P$ and put $M_{1P} := M_P A_P$: A parabolic subgroup that is invariant under the composed involution is called a θ -parabolic subgroup. The set of θ -parabolic subgroups containing $A_q := \exp a_q$ is finite and denoted by \mathcal{P} :

We shall briefly recall the structure of the parabolic subgroups from \mathcal{P} , meanwhile using notation. For details we refer to [13], x2. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$; then M_P and A_P are θ -invariant, and $N_P = N_P = N_P$: The algebra a_P is θ -invariant, hence decomposes as $a_P = a_{P_H} \oplus a_{P_Q}$; the vector sum of the intersections of a_P with \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{q} ; respectively. We put $A_{P_Q} := \exp a_{P_Q}$ and $M_P := M_P (A_P \setminus H)$ and call $P = M_P A_{P_Q} N_P$ the θ -Langlands decomposition of P :

As usual, we denote by λ_P the linear functional $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\text{ad}(\cdot)_H] \in a_P^*$: The following lemma is of importance in the theory of induced representations.

Lemma 2.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$: Then λ_P belongs to $a_{P_Q}^*$:

Proof: The algebras a_P and \mathfrak{n}_P are θ -invariant, hence $\lambda_P = \lambda_P = \lambda_P$: This implies that λ_P vanishes on a_{P_H} ; hence belongs to $a_{P_Q}^*$:

The space a_{P_Q} is contained in a_q : Let \mathcal{P}_P denote the collection of roots from that vanish on a_{P_Q} : Then

$$a_{P_Q} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_P} \ker \alpha:$$

The subgroup of W generated by the reflections in the roots of \mathcal{P}_P is denoted by W_P : It equals the centralizer of a_{P_Q} in W :

Let (\mathcal{P}) be the collection of roots from that occur in \mathfrak{n}_P as an a_q -weight. Then \mathfrak{n}_P is the vectorial direct sum of the root spaces \mathfrak{g}_α ; for $\alpha \in (\mathcal{P})$: We put

$$\mathcal{L}_P := (\mathfrak{n}_P; a_{P_Q}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{P})} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha:$$

The set

$$a_{P_Q}^+ := \{ \lambda \in a_{P_Q}^* \mid \lambda(X) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in (\mathcal{P}) \}$$

is non-empty. Therefore, the elements of \mathcal{L}_P are non-zero linear functionals on a_{P_Q} : Moreover, $a_{P_Q}^+$ is a connected component of the component $a_{P_Q}^{\text{reg}}$ of the union of their null spaces. We put $A_{P_Q}^+ := \exp a_{P_Q}^+$:

The collection of weights in \mathcal{L}_P that cannot be expressed as a sum of two elements of \mathcal{L}_P is denoted by \mathcal{L}_P : We recall from [13], beginning of x3, that the set \mathcal{L}_P is linearly independent over \mathbb{R} and spans \mathcal{L}_P over \mathbb{N} :

If $X \in a_q$; then $X \in \mathfrak{p}$; hence $\text{ad} X$ diagonalizes with real eigenvalues. It is well known that the sum of the eigenspaces for the non-negative eigenvalues is a parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} : Its θ -stable Levi component \mathfrak{m}_{1X} and its nilpotent radical \mathfrak{n}_X are given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{1X} = \ker \text{ad} X; \quad \mathfrak{n}_X = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{P}), \alpha(X) > 0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha:$$

The associated parabolic subgroup of G is denoted by P_X : If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$; then it follows from [13], Eqn. (2.4), that $P = P_X$: From $X = X$ it follows that $P_X \in \mathcal{P}$:

Let \sim be the relation of parabolic equivalence on \mathfrak{a}_Q ; with respect to the root system Σ : Thus, $X \sim Y$ if and only if for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$ we have $\langle X, \alpha \rangle > 0 \iff \langle Y, \alpha \rangle > 0$: It readily follows from the definition given above that $X \sim Y \iff P_X = P_Y$:

Lemma 2.2 The map $P \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$ is a bijection from \mathcal{P} onto the set $\mathfrak{a}_Q =$ of parabolic equivalence classes. Moreover, if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$; then $P = P_X$:

Proof: The last assertion follows from [13], Eqn. (2.4). It implies that the map $X \mapsto P_X$ is a surjection from \mathfrak{a}_Q onto \mathcal{P} : By the last assertion before Lemma 2.2, the map factors to a bijection from $\mathfrak{a}_Q =$ onto \mathcal{P} : If $X \in \mathfrak{a}_Q$; let $P = P_X$: Then $\langle P \rangle = f \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_P} \langle X, \alpha \rangle > 0$; hence $\langle P, \alpha \rangle = f \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_P} \langle X, \alpha \rangle = 0$; and we see that $[X] = \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$: Thus, $P \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$ is the inverse to $[X] \mapsto P_X$:

It follows from the description in Lemma 2.2 that the Weyl group W acts on the finite set \mathcal{P} : We recall from [11], Def. 3.2, that a residue weight on \mathfrak{a}_Q is a map $\mathfrak{a}_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$ such that for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & X \\ & t(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+) = 1: \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$P \in \mathcal{P}; \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q} = \mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q}$

The collection of residue weights on \mathfrak{a}_Q is denoted by $W T(\cdot)$: Via the bijection of Lemma 2.2, a weight $t \in W T(\cdot)$ will also be viewed as a map $t: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus [0, 1]$: A residue weight $t \in W T(\cdot)$ is said to be W -invariant if $t(w\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+) = t(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $w \in W$; and even if $t(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+) = t(\mathfrak{a}_{P',Q}^+)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$:

Let \mathcal{P}^{min} be the collection of minimal elements in \mathcal{P} : Then $P \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$ is a bijection from \mathcal{P}^{min} onto the collection of open chambers for Σ in \mathfrak{a}_Q : To emphasize this, we shall also write $\mathfrak{a}_Q^+(P) := \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$ and $A_Q^+(P) := \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}^+$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}^{min}$: Accordingly, W acts simply transitively on \mathcal{P}^{min} : Note that for $P \in \mathcal{P}^{min}$; $(P) = \Sigma_r(P)$ is positive system for Σ and $(P) := \Sigma_r(P)$ the associated collection of simple roots.

We fix a system Σ^+ of positive roots for Σ ; let Σ be the associated collection of simple roots. Given $F \in \mathfrak{a}_Q$ we define

$$\mathfrak{a}_{F,Q} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \ker \alpha$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{a}_{F,Q}^+$ the subset of elements $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{F,Q}$ such that $\langle X, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma \cap F$: Then $\mathfrak{a}_{F,Q}^+$ is a parabolic equivalence class. The associated parabolic subgroup P_F is called the standard parabolic subgroup determined by F : We adopt the convention to replace an index or superscript P_F by F : In particular, the Langlands decomposition of P_F is denoted by $P_F = M_F A_F N_F$ and the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_{F,Q}$ in W by W_F : Let

$$W^F := \{s \in W \mid s(F) = F\} \subset W$$

Then the canonical map $W \rightarrow W/W_F$ induces a bijection $W^F \rightarrow W/W_F$:

We write P_0 for P ; $P_0 = M \text{ AN}_0$ for its Langlands decomposition and $M_1 := M \cap A$: Then $P = M_1 N_P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{min}}$:

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$; we define

$$X_{P,v} := M_P \backslash M_P / vH v^{-1} : \quad (2.2)$$

Here M_P is a real reductive group of Harish-Chandra's class and $M_P \backslash vH v^{-1}$ is an open subgroup of the group of fixed points for the involution $\theta : M_P \rightarrow M_P$ defined by $\theta(m) = v(v^{-1} m v)v^{-1}$: Thus, the space in (2.2) is a reductive symmetric space in the class under consideration. Moreover, θ_P is a Cartan involution of M_P that commutes with θ ; the associated maximal compact subgroup is $K_P := K \cap M_P$:

Note that the symmetric space $X_{P,v}$ depends on v through its class in the double coset space $W_P \backslash W/W_K \cap H$: Throughout this paper, ${}^P W$ will denote a choice of representatives in $N_K(a_q)$ of $W_P \backslash W/W_K \cap H$: In general, if f is a surjective map from a set A onto a set B ; then by a choice of representatives for B in A ; we mean a subset $B' \subset A$ such that $f|_{B'} : B' \rightarrow B$ is a bijection.

Let $a_{P,q}$ denote the orthocomplement of $a_{P,q}$ in a_q : Then

$$a_{P,q} = m_P \backslash a_q :$$

Moreover, this space is the analogue of a_q for the triple $(M_P; K_P; M_P \backslash vH v^{-1})$; see [13], text following (3.4).

In analogy with (2.2), we define $X_{1P,v} := M_{1P} \backslash M_{1P} / vH v^{-1}$; for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$: The multiplication map $M_P \rightarrow A_{P,q} \rightarrow M_{1P}$ induces a diffeomorphism

$$X_{1P,v} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{P,v} \times A_{P,q} : \quad (2.3)$$

If $v = e$; we agree to omit v in the notation of the spaces in this product, so that $X_{1P} = M_{1P} \backslash M_{1P} / H \times M_P \backslash M_P / H \times A_{P,q}$:

We end this section with collecting some basic facts about θ -stable Cartan subspaces of \mathfrak{g} ; meanwhile fixing notation. We define the dual real form of \mathfrak{g} as the real form of its complexification given by $\mathfrak{g}^d = \ker(\theta - I) \cap \ker(\theta + I)$: Let \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^d be the complex linear extensions of θ and θ^d ; respectively. Then $\theta^d := \mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d$ is a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g}^d and $\theta := \mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{g}$ is an involution of \mathfrak{g}^d commuting with θ^d :

If v is any θ - and θ^d -stable subspace of \mathfrak{g} ; then ${}^d v := v \cap \mathfrak{g}^d$ is a θ^d - and θ -stable subspace of \mathfrak{g}^d ; whose complexification equals that of v :

If b is a θ -stable Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{g} ; then $b = b_k \oplus b_p$; where $b_k := b \cap \mathfrak{k}$ and $b_p := b \cap \mathfrak{p}$: Moreover,

$${}^d b := i b_k \oplus b_p$$

is a θ^d -stable maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p}^d : We denote by $\Phi(b)$ the root system of ${}^d b$ in \mathfrak{g}^d ; by $W(b)$ the associated Weyl group and by $I(b)$ the space of $W(b)$ -invariants in $S(b)$; the symmetric algebra of b : Moreover, we denote the associated Harish-Chandra isomorphism by

$${}^d b : U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d} \setminus U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d} \rightarrow I(b) : \quad (2.4)$$

As usual, if \mathfrak{l} is a real Lie algebra, we denote by $U(\mathfrak{l})$ the universal algebra of its complexification. Via the natural isomorphism

$$D(X)' \cap U(\mathfrak{g})^h = U(\mathfrak{g})^h \cap U(\mathfrak{g})^h = U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d} = U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d} \cap U(\mathfrak{g}^d)^{k^d}; \quad (2.5)$$

see [5], Lemma 2.1, we shall identify the algebra $D(X)$ with the algebra on the left-hand side of (2.4) and thus view the Harish-Chandra isomorphism ι_b as an algebra isomorphism from $D(X)$ onto $I(\mathfrak{b})$; as such it is denoted by ι_b :

If $P \in \mathfrak{P}$ and \mathfrak{b} a θ -stable Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{q} containing $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathfrak{q}}$; we agree to write $\mathfrak{b}_P := \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{m}_P$: Then \mathfrak{b}_P is a θ -stable Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{m}_P \cap \mathfrak{q}$ and

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}_P \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathfrak{q}}; \quad (2.6)$$

with orthogonal summands. If P is minimal, then \mathfrak{b} is maximally split, and we suppress the index P ; so that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}}$: We shall write $W(\mathfrak{b}_P)$ for the Weyl group of the pair $(\mathfrak{m}_{P, \mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{b}_P)$: Via the decomposition (2.6) this Weyl group is naturally identified with $W_P(\mathfrak{b})$; the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathfrak{q}}$ in $W(\mathfrak{b})$:

3 Weyl groups

In this section we discuss a straightforward generalization of well known results on Weyl groups, see [25], p. 111.

If \mathfrak{a}_1 and \mathfrak{a}_2 are abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} ; then following [25], p. 112, we define the set

$$W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1) := \{s \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{a}_2) \mid s = \text{Ad}(g)|_{\mathfrak{a}_1}, g \in G\}$$

From the definition it is obvious that the set $W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1)$ consists of injective linear maps. In particular, if $\dim \mathfrak{a}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{a}_2$; it consists of linear isomorphisms. Finally, if $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2$; the set is a subgroup of $GL(\mathfrak{a}_1) = GL(\mathfrak{a}_2)$: We note that $W(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1)$ naturally acts from the right on $W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1)$; whereas $W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$ naturally acts from the left. If $\dim \mathfrak{a}_1 = \dim \mathfrak{a}_2$; then both of these actions are transitive and free. If \mathfrak{a}_p is a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} ; then by $W(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{a}_p)$ we denote the Weyl group of $(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{a}_p)$; the root system of \mathfrak{a}_p in \mathfrak{g} :

Lemma 3.1 Let \mathfrak{a}_1 and \mathfrak{a}_2 be abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p} :

- (a) The set $W(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2)$ is finite.
- (b) If $\sigma \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ maps \mathfrak{a}_1 into \mathfrak{a}_2 then $\sigma|_{\mathfrak{a}_1} \in W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1)$:
- (c) If $s \in W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1)$; then there exists a $k \in K_e$ such that $s = \text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_1}$:
- (d) Assume that \mathfrak{a}_1 and \mathfrak{a}_2 are contained in a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a}_p of \mathfrak{p} : Then

$$W(\mathfrak{a}_2 \cap \mathfrak{a}_1) = \{t \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}_1; \mathfrak{a}_2) \mid t = s|_{\mathfrak{a}_1}, s \in W(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{a}_p)\}$$

Proof: All assertions are immediate consequences of Corollaries 1, 2 and 3 of [25], p. 112.

Corollary 3.2

- (a) $W = W(a_q ja_q)$;
- (b) Let a_p be a maximal abelian subspace of p ; containing a_q : Then the map $k \mapsto \text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_q}$ is a surjection from $N_{K_e}(a_q) \setminus N_{K_e}(a_p)$ onto W :

Proof: The map $k \mapsto \text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_q}$ induces a natural isomorphism $N_K(a_q) = Z_K(a_q) / W$; see, e.g., [4], Lemma 1.2. Hence, $W = W(a_q ja_q)$: For the converse inclusion, select a maximal abelian subspace a_p of p ; containing a_q : Then by Lemma 3.1 (d), any element $t \in W(a_q ja_q)$ is the restriction of an element $s \in W(g; a_p)$: There exists a $k \in N_{K_e}(a_p)$ such that $s = \text{Ad}(k)|_{\mathfrak{a}_p}$: The element k necessarily normalizes a_q : Thus, we obtain the converse inclusion and also the validity of assertion (b).

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 1 of [25], p. 111. Let a_q be a maximal abelian subspace of $p \setminus q$: Let a_p be a maximal abelian subspace of p containing a_q ; and h a Cartan subalgebra of g containing a_p : We denote by $W(g; h_c)$ the Weyl group of the root system of h_c in g_c :

Lemma 3.3 Two elements of a_q are conjugate under $\text{Int}(g_c)$ if and only if they are conjugate under any one of the following groups

$$W(g; h_c); W(g; a_p); W = W(g; a_q); N_{K_e}(a_p) \setminus N_{K_e}(a_q):$$

Moreover, given $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and $H \in a_q$; there is a unique element $H_0 \in \text{cl}_q^+(P)$ which is conjugate to H under W :

Proof: If $P \in P^{\text{min}}$; then $a_q^+(P)$ is the open positive chamber for the positive system (P) of the root system: Also, W is the Weyl group of: Thus, the natural assertion follows by a well known property of Weyl groups. We return to the assertions about equivalence of conjugation.

For the first two listed groups the equivalence follows from Lemma 1 in [25], p. 111. For the equivalence for the third group, let $H_1, H_2 \in a_q$ and assume that $H_2 = e'(H_1)$ for some $e' \in \text{Int}(g_c)$: We may $x \in P \in P^{\text{min}}$ such that $H_1 \in \text{cl}(a_q^+(P))$: There exists a $s \in W$ such that $s^{-1}(H_2) \in \text{cl}(a_q^+(P))$: Fix a choice $^+(g; a_p)$ of positive roots for $(g; a_p)$ that is compatible with (P) ; and let a_p^+ be the associated positive chamber. Then $\text{cl}(a_q^+(P)) \subset \text{cl}(a_p^+)$: Since W is naturally isomorphic to $N_K(a_q) = Z_K(a_q)$; the elements $s^{-1}(H_2)$ and H_1 are conjugate under $\text{Int}(g_c)$: Hence, they are already conjugate under $W(g; a_p)$: Being both contained in $\text{cl}(a_p^+)$; the elements must be equal and we conclude that $H_2 = s(H_1)$: The equivalence for the third group now follows.

Using Corollary 3.2 (b), we immediately obtain the equivalence for the fourth group from the one for the third.

Lemma 3.4 Let a be a linear subspace of a_q and assume that $\theta \in \text{Int}(g_c)$ maps a into a_q . Then there exists a $s \in W$ such that $s \cdot a = \theta \cdot a$:

Proof: The proof is identical to the proof of Cor. 2 in [25], p. 112, with use of Lemma 3.3 instead of [25], Lemma 1.

Corollary 3.5 Let a_1, a_2 be linear subspaces of a_q ; then

$$W(a_2 \cap a_1) = \text{Hom}(a_1, a_2) \cap W : \theta = s_{a_1} \cdot g :$$

We briefly interrupt our discussion of Weyl groups to collect some useful facts about conjugacy classes of the parabolic subgroups from P :

Lemma 3.6 Let a_p be a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p} ; containing a_q ; and let $Q \in P$:

- (a) There exists a $k \in N_{K_e}(a_q) \setminus N_{K_e}(a_p)$ such that kQk^{-1} is standard.
- (b) If F, F^0 are such that P_F and P_{F^0} are conjugate under G ; then $F = F^0$:
- (c) There exists a unique subset F such that Q is conjugate to P_F under G :
- (d) If $P \in P$ is conjugate to Q under G ; then it is already conjugate to Q under $N_{K_e}(a_q) \setminus N_{K_e}(a_p)$:

Proof: There exists a $s \in W$ such that the parabolic equivalence class $s(a_q^+)$ is contained in $\text{cl}_q^+(P_0)$; hence equals $a_{F,q}^+$ for some F : It follows that $sQs^{-1} = P_F$; see Section 2. Now apply Corollary 3.2 (b) to obtain (a).

For (b), we note that P_F and P_{F^0} both contain the minimal standard θ -parabolic subgroup P_0 : Hence, $P_F = P_{F^0}$; by [25], p. 111, Lemma 2. This implies that $F = F^0$; see Section 2. Assertions (c) and (d) both follow from combining (a) and (b).

We end this section with a discussion of automorphisms connecting θ -stable Cartan subspaces of \mathfrak{g} ; see Section 2 for basic notation.

If b_1 and b_2 are two θ -stable abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{g} ; then we define

$$W(b_2 \cap b_1) := \{ \theta \cdot j \in \text{Int}(g_c); \theta \cdot (b_1) = b_2 g \} \quad (3.1)$$

Note that b_2 and b_1 are abelian subspaces of \mathfrak{p}^d : Using the notation of the rest part of this section, relative to the algebra $\mathfrak{g}^d = K^d \oplus \mathfrak{p}^d$ with the indicated Cartan decomposition, we see that complex linear extension induces a natural isomorphism

$$W(\theta \cdot b_2 \cap \theta \cdot b_1) \cong W(b_2 \cap b_1) :$$

In particular, it follows from this that the set in (3.1) is finite. Moreover, if b_1 and b_2 are contained in $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$; the notation (3.1) is consistent with the notation introduced earlier in this section.

Lemma 3.7 Let b_1 and b_2 two θ -stable subspaces of a fixed θ -stable Cartan subspace \mathfrak{d} of \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$W(b_2 \cap b_1) = \{s \in W(\mathfrak{d}) : s({}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_1) = {}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_2\}.$$

Proof: This follows from Corollary 3.5 applied with $a_1 = {}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_1$, $a_2 = {}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_2$ and $a_p = {}^{\mathfrak{d}}\mathfrak{d}$.

If $s \in W(b_2 \cap b_1)$; then by s we denote the map $b_{2c} \rightarrow b_{1c}$ given by pull-back, i.e.,

$$s := s; \quad (x \in b_{2c}):$$

If $b_1, b_2 \subset \mathfrak{q}$ are two θ -stable Cartan subspaces, then ${}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_1$ and ${}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_2$ are conjugate under an interior automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{d}}$ that commutes with $\theta^{\mathfrak{d}}$; hence, the set $W(b_2 \cap b_1)$ is non-empty and consists of isomorphisms. If s is any isomorphism from this set, we denote its natural extension to the symmetric algebras by s as well. This extension maps $I(b_1)$ into $I(b_2)$:

Lemma 3.8 Let b_1, b_2 be θ -stable Cartan subspaces of \mathfrak{q} . Then $W(b_2 \cap b_1) \neq \emptyset$; Moreover, if $s \in W(b_2 \cap b_1)$; then

$$s|_{b_1} = s|_{b_2}.$$

Proof: The first assertion follows from the discussion preceding the lemma.

Let $K^{\mathfrak{d}}$ be the analytic subgroup of $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ generated by $e^{\text{ad} k^{\mathfrak{d}}}$. Then by Lemma 3.1, applied to ${}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_1, {}^{\mathfrak{d}}b_2 \subset \mathfrak{p}^{\mathfrak{d}}$; there exists an element $k \in K^{\mathfrak{d}}$ such that $s = k|_{b_1}$. The action of k induces the identity on $U(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{d}})^{K^{\mathfrak{d}}} = U(\mathfrak{k}^{\mathfrak{d}})^{K^{\mathfrak{d}}} \setminus U(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{d}})^{K^{\mathfrak{d}}}$. Hence, if D belongs to the latter algebra, then $s|_{b_1}(D) = k|_{b_1}(k^{-1}D) = s|_{b_2}(D)$.

4 Laurent functionals and operators

In this section we briefly recall the concept of Laurent functional, introduced in [13]; meanwhile, we fix notation that will be used in the rest of the paper. For details we refer to Sections 10 and 11 of [13].

Let V be a finite dimensional real linear space, equipped with a positive definite inner product h ; $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is its complexification. $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is equipped with the complex bilinear extension of the inner product. We write $P(V)$ for the algebra of polynomial functions $V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; and $S(V)$ for the symmetric algebra of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. We identify the latter algebra with the algebra of translation invariant differential operators on V ; which in turn is identified with the algebra of translation invariant holomorphic differential operators on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$. In both settings, $u \in V$ is identified with the differential operator $f \mapsto \frac{d}{du}(f)$:

Let X be a finite subset of non-zero elements of V : By an X -hyperplane in V_c we mean an affine hyperplane of the form $H = a + \sum_{c \in X} \alpha_c v_c$; with $a \in V_c$ and $\alpha_c \in \mathbb{C}$: The hyperplane H is said to be real if α_c may be chosen in \mathbb{R} : By

$$\mathcal{P}_X(V) = \{ p \in P(V_c) \mid \text{zero locus of } p \text{ is a finite union of } X\text{-hyperplanes} \} \quad (4.1)$$

we denote the collection of polynomial functions $p \in P(V_c)$ with zero locus $p^{-1}(0)$ equal to a finite union of X -hyperplanes. The subset consisting of p with zero locus a finite union of real X -hyperplanes is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{X, \mathbb{R}}(V)$: Note that $\mathcal{P}_X(V)$ consists of all polynomial functions that may be written as a non-zero multiple of a product of factors of the form $h_i - c_i$; with $h_i \in \mathcal{P}_X$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$: The subset $\mathcal{P}_{X, \mathbb{R}}(V)$ consists of such products with $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ in all factors.

By an X -configuration in V_c we mean a locally finite collection of X -hyperplanes in V_c : The configuration is said to be real if all its hyperplanes are real. If $a \in V_c$; then by $M(V_c; a; X)$ we denote the space of germs at a of meromorphic functions with singular locus contained in the union of the hyperplanes $a + \sum_{c \in X} \alpha_c v_c$; for $\alpha_c \in \mathbb{C}$: Let N^X denote the space of maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$: For $d \in N^X$ we define the polynomial function $\alpha_{a,d} \in \mathcal{P}_X(V)$ by

$$\alpha_{a,d}(z) = \prod_{c \in X} (h_c(z) - d_c)^{d_c}.$$

Let $O_a(V_c)$ denote the space of germs of holomorphic functions at a : Then $M(V_c; a; X)$ is the union of the spaces $\alpha_{a,d}^{-1} O_a(V_c)$ for $d \in N^X$: The space $M(V_c; a; X)_{\text{laur}}$ of X -Laurent functionals at a is defined as the subspace of $M(V_c; a; X)$ consisting of L with the property that for every $d \in N^X$ there exists a $u_{L,d} \in S(V)$ such that

$$L' = [u_{L,d} \alpha_{a,d}'](a); \quad \text{for all } d' \in \alpha_{a,d}^{-1} O_a(V_c):$$

The element u_L belongs to a projective limit space $S(V; X)$ whose definition is suggested by the above, see [13], Sect. 10, for more details. Moreover, the map $L \mapsto u_L$ defines a linear isomorphism

$$M(V_c; a; X)_{\text{laur}} \xrightarrow{\sim} S(V; X); \quad (4.2)$$

see [13], Lemma 10.4.

The space on the left-hand side of the above isomorphism only depends on X through its proportionality class. More precisely, a finite set $X^0 \subset V \setminus \{0\}$ is said to be proportional to X if every element of one of the sets X, X^0 is proportional to an element of the other set. If X and X^0 are proportional sets, then $M(V_c; a; X)_{\text{laur}} = M(V_c; a; X^0)_{\text{laur}}$; see [13], Lemma 10.3.

If V_c is open and E a complete locally convex space, then a (densely defined) E -valued function f on \mathcal{D} is said to be meromorphic if for every $z_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{D}_0 of z_0 and a holomorphic function $g \in O(\mathcal{D}_0)$ such that $gf|_{\mathcal{D}_0}$ is a holomorphic E -valued function on \mathcal{D}_0 : The space of E -valued meromorphic functions on \mathcal{D} is denoted by $M(\mathcal{D}; E)$: A point $z \in \mathcal{D}$ is

said to be a regular point of $f \in M(\cdot; E)$ iff f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of z : The collection of regular points of f is denoted by $\text{reg } f$:

Let H be an X -configuration in V_c : By $M(V_c; H; E)$ we denote the space of meromorphic functions $V_c \rightarrow E$ with singular locus contained in $[H]$: We agree to write $M(V_c; H) := M(V_c; H; \mathbb{C})$:

The space $M(V_c; H; E)$ is topologized as follows. Let $X^0 \subset X$ be minimal subject to the condition that X^0 and X are proportional. For each X -hyperplane $H \subset V_c$ there exists a unique $H \subset X^0$ and a unique first order polynomial function l_H of the form $z \mapsto h_H(z)$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $H = l_H^{-1}(0)$:

We denote by N^H the space of maps $H \rightarrow N$: For $d \in N^H$ and $! \subset V_c$ a bounded subset, we define the polynomial function $! \rightarrow X(V)$ by

$$! \rightarrow d = \prod_{\substack{H \subset X^0 \\ H \cap ! \neq \emptyset}} l_H^{d(H)}; \quad (4.3)$$

A change of choice of X^0 only causes a change of this polynomial by a non-zero factor. If E is a complete locally convex space, we define $M(V_c; H; d; E)$ to be the space of meromorphic E -valued functions $!$ on V_c with the property that $! \rightarrow d$ is holomorphic on $!$; for every bounded open subset $! \subset V_c$: This space is equipped with the weakest locally convex topology that makes every map $! \rightarrow ! \rightarrow d$ continuous from $M(V_c; H; d; E)$ to $O(!; E)$: This topology is complete; it is Frechet if E is. We equip N^H with the partial ordering defined by $d \leq d^0$ ($! \rightarrow d \leq ! \rightarrow d^0$) $\Leftrightarrow H \subset H^0$ ($d(H) \leq d^0(H)$): We now have

$$M(V_c; H; E) = \varinjlim_{d \in N^H} M(V_c; H; d; E);$$

Accordingly, we equip the space on the left-hand side with the direct limit locally convex topology.

Any non-empty intersection of X -hyperplanes in V_c is called an X -subspace of V_c : An X -subspace L of V_c may be written as $L = a + V_L$; with $V_L \subset V$ a real linear subspace. Let $V_{L_c}^?$ denote the complexification of its orthocomplement. The intersection $V_{L_c}^? \cap L$ consists of a single point $c(L)$; called the central point of L : Via the translation $x \mapsto x - c(L)$ from $V_{L_c}^?$ onto L we equip L with the structure of a complex linear space, together with a real form with a positive definite inner product on it.

If H is an X -configuration and $L \subset V$ an X -subspace, we define H_L to be the collection of affine hyperplanes in L of the form $H \cap L$; with $H \subset X$ a hyperplane that properly intersects L : Let $X(L) := X \cap V_L^?$ and let $X_L \subset V_L$ be the image of $X \cap X(L)$ under the orthogonal projection onto V_L : The image of X_L in L under translation by $c(L)$ is denoted by X_L : Thus, $(L; X_L)$ is the analogue of $(V_c; X)$: The collection H_L is a X_L -configuration in L :

If L is a Laurent functional in $M(V_{L_c}^?; 0; X(L))_{\text{laur}}$; then L induces a continuous linear map

$$L : M(V_c; H) \rightarrow M(L; H_L);$$

given by the formula

$$L \rightarrow () := L \rightarrow (+); \quad (4.4)$$

for $\gamma \in M(V_c; H)$ and generic $\gamma \in L$: The map L belongs to the space $\text{Laur}(V_c; L; H) \subset M(V_c; H) \otimes M(L; H_L)$; as defined in [11], Sect. 1.3, see also [13], Sect. 11. It follows from the definition of Laurent operator combined with the isomorphism $M(V_{L_c}^2; 0; X(L))_{\text{laur}} \cong S(V_L^2; X(L))$ given by (4.2) that the map $L \in L$ defines a linear surjection

$$M(V_L; X(L); 0)_{\text{laur}} \rightarrow \text{Laur}(V_c; L; H) \rightarrow 0: \quad (4.5)$$

Accordingly, a Laurent operator may alternatively be defined as any continuous linear operator $M(V_c; H) \rightarrow M(L; H_L)$ of the form L with L a Laurent functional from the space on the left-hand side of (4.5).

More generally, if $L \in M(V_L; X(L); 0)_{\text{laur}}$ and if E is a complete locally convex space then the algebraic tensor product $L \otimes I_E$ has a unique extension to a continuous linear map $M(V_c; H; E) \rightarrow M(L; H_L; E)$ that we briefly denote by L again.

The concept of Laurent functional may be extended as follows, see [13], Def. 10.8. Let $M(V_c; \cdot; X)_{\text{laur}}$ be the disjoint union of the spaces $M(V_c; a; X)_{\text{laur}}$; for a $\gamma \in V_c$: An X -Laurent functional on V_c is defined to be a finitely supported section of $M(V_c; \cdot; X)_{\text{laur}}$; i.e., a map $L: V_c \rightarrow M(V_c; \cdot; X)_{\text{laur}}$ with $L_a \in M(V_c; a; X)_{\text{laur}}$ for every $a \in V_c$ and with $\text{supp } L := \{a \in V_c \mid L_a \neq 0\}$ a finite set. The set of all X -Laurent functionals on V_c naturally forms a complex linear space, denoted $M(V_c; X)_{\text{laur}}$:

Let $H; L$ be as before. If $a \in V_c$ we denote by $H_L(a)$ the X_L -configuration consisting of all hyperplanes H^0 in L for which there exists a $H \in H$ such that $H^0 = L \setminus [(a) + H]$: If $S \subset V_{L_c}^2$ is a finite subset, we put

$$H_L(S) = \bigcap_{a \in S} H_L(a): \quad (4.6)$$

Let now $L \in M(V_c^2; X)_{\text{laur}}$ and put $S = \text{supp } L$: Then from the above discussion it follows in a straightforward manner that the formula (4.4) defines a continuous linear map $L: M(V_c; H) \rightarrow M(L; H_L(S))$: As above, if E is a complete locally convex space, then the tensor product map $L \otimes I_E$ has a unique extension to a continuous linear map

$$L: M(V_c; H; E) \rightarrow M(L; H_L(S); E); \quad (4.7)$$

see [13], Cor. 11.6.

By $M(V_c; X; E)$ we denote the space of meromorphic E -valued functions on V_c with singular locus contained in the union of an X -configuration. Every Laurent functional $L \in M(V_{L_c}^2; X(L))_{\text{laur}}$ determines a unique continuous linear map $L: M(V_c; X; E) \rightarrow M(L; X_L; E)$ such that L restricts to the map (4.7) for every X -configuration H in V_c : See [13], Lemma 11.8, for details.

5 Normalization of residues and measures

For the explicit determination of the constants in the Plancherel formula, it is of importance to specify the precise normalizations of residual operators and measures that will be used in the rest of this paper.

Let P_0 be the standard parabolic subgroup in P^{min} and let $t \in W(T)$ be a W -invariant residue weight, see x 2. Let b be a W -invariant positive definite inner product on a_q : Associated with the data $(+; t; b)$; we defined in [11], beginning of x 3.4, for each subset $F \subset \Phi^+$ and every element $\alpha \in a_{F,q}$; a universal residue operator

$$\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t := \text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^{P_0, t}; \quad (5.1)$$

which encodes the procedure of taking a residue along the affine subspace $+a_{F,q}$ of a_q : In [11], text below Eqn. (3.6), this residue operator is introduced as an element of a projective space $S(+a_{F,q}; \Phi_F^+)$; defined in [11], x 1.3; here Φ_F^+ denotes the collection of indivisible roots in $\Phi^+ \setminus a_{F,q}$: However, to make the residue operator into an object as canonical as possible, we shall prefer to view it as a Laurent functional.

Applying the results of Section 4 with $V = a_{F,q}$; $X = \Phi_F^+$; $X^0 = \Phi_F^+$ and $a = 0$; we obtain an isomorphism

$$M(+a_{F,q}; 0; \Phi_F^+)_{\text{laur}} \cong S(+a_{F,q}; \Phi_F^+); \quad (5.2)$$

In the present paper, the universal residue operator (5.1) is accordingly viewed as an element of the space $M(+a_{F,q}; 0; \Phi_F^+)_{\text{laur}}$ of Φ_F^+ -Laurent functionals at the origin in $+a_{F,q}$:

By Eqn. (4.5), with $V = a_q$; $X = \Phi_F^+$ and $L = +a_{F,q}$; we see that $L \rightarrow L$ induces a surjective linear map from $M(+a_{F,q}; \Phi_F^+)_{\text{laur}}$ onto $\text{Laur}(a_q; +a_{F,q}; H)$: In this context we omit the star in the notation, and use the notation (5.1) also for the Laurent operator defined by the universal residue operator. Thus, (4.4) becomes

$$(\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t)'(\cdot) = \text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t[\ell(\cdot + \cdot)];$$

for every $\ell \in M(a_q; H)$ and $\alpha \in a_{F,q}$ generic. In this way the notation becomes compatible with the notation of [11].

All definitions in [11] are given with reference to the fixed W -invariant inner product b on a_q ; denoted h ; i in [11], so that a priori the universal residue operator depends on the particular choice of the inner product. However, as we will show, the dependence is through certain measures determined by b : To explain this, it is convenient to first introduce some general terminology.

If v is a real finite dimensional vector space, let $\wedge^1(v)$ denote the one dimensional real linear space of densities on v ; i.e., the space of maps $\ell: v^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$; where $n = \dim v$; transforming according to the rule $\ell(A^n) = |\det A| \ell$; for all $A \in \text{End}(v)$: Evaluation at the origin induces a natural isomorphism from the space of translation invariant densities on v ; where v is viewed as a manifold, onto $\wedge^1(v)$; we shall identify accordingly. Consequently, via integration the space $\wedge^1(v)$ may be identified with the space of Radon measures Rd ; where d is a choice of Lebesgue measure on v : If v is equipped with a positive definite inner product, then by the normalized density on v we mean the unique element

$\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\lambda \langle e_1, \dots, e_n \rangle = 1$; for every orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V :

We shall often encounter the situation that $V = i b$ with b a subspace of a real linear space V ; here multiplication by i is defined in the complexification V_c of V : If V comes equipped with a positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; i ; we extend it to V_c by complex bilinearity, and equip $i b$ with the positive definite inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; i : Accordingly, in this setting it makes sense to speak of the normalized density on $i b$:

Let $d \in \mathcal{D}'(i b)$: If $d \in \mathcal{D}'(V_c)$; then we shall adopt the convention to also denote by d the density on the real subspace b of V_c ; obtained by transportation under the translation $X \mapsto X + i b$: Accordingly, by unoriented integration, the density d determines a real Radon measure on b ; which we shall denote by the same symbol.

We now return to the dependence of the residue operator (5.1) on the choice of b : For every $d \in \mathcal{D}'(V_c)$; the orthogonal reflection s is independent of the particular choice of b ; and therefore so are the root hyperplanes α^\vee ; and, more generally, the root spaces $a_{F,q}$ and their orthocomplements $a_{F,q}^\perp$; for $F \in \Phi$: Combining this observation with the uniqueness statement of [11], Theorem 1.13, it follows that the residue operator $\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t$ can be completely defined in terms of the data α^\vee ; t ; $a_{F,q}$ and b ; moreover, it depends on the latter datum through the quotient measure on $i a_{F,q}$ of the normalized Lebesgue measures d on $i a_q$ and d_F on $i a_{F,q}$:

To keep track of constants coming from comparing residue operators related to different choices of the mentioned inner product we shall introduce a version of the residue operator (5.1) that is independent of the choice of b :

The unnormalized residue operator is defined as the unique Laurent functional

$$\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t \in \mathcal{M}'(a_{F,q}^\perp; 0; F)_{\text{laur}} = \text{Hom}(\wedge^t(i a_q); \wedge^t(i a_{F,q})) \quad (5.3)$$

satisfying the following requirement. Let (5.1) be defined relative to the given choice of b and let $d \in \mathcal{D}'(i a_q)$ and $d_F \in \mathcal{D}'(i a_{F,q})$ be the normalized densities associated with b : Then the requirement is that

$$\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t(\alpha^\vee)(d) = \text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t(\alpha^\vee) d_F; \quad (5.4)$$

for $\alpha^\vee \in \mathcal{M}'(a_{F,q}^\perp; 0; F)$: From the above mentioned dependence of (5.1) on b through the quotient density on $i a_{F,q}$ of d and d_F ; it follows that the residue operator in (5.3) only depends on the data α^\vee ; t ; F ; and not on the choice of b : In other words, if (5.1), d and d_F had been defined relative to an arbitrary W -invariant inner product on a_q ; then formula (5.4) would be valid as well.

Suppose now that for each $F \in \Phi$ a non-trivial density $d_F \in \mathcal{D}'(i a_{F,q})$ is given. In particular, $d := d_1$ is given. Then we can use the formula (5.4) to define residual operators $\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t$: With this definition, the integral formula of [11], Thm. 3.16, is valid.

In the rest of this paper, we fix a choice dx of invariant measure on X : In the rest of this section we will describe how this choice determines all other choices

of normalization of measures, and, by the preceding discussion, all choices of normalization of residual operators.

As in [10], § 3, the measure dx determines a choice da of Haar measure on A_q ; and a choice d of Lebesgue measure on ia_q : A change of the measure dx by a multiplication by a positive factor c causes a change of da by the same factor. This in turn causes a change of the measure d by the factor c^{-1} : It follows that the product measure $dx d$ on $X \times ia_q$ is independent of the particular choice of the measure dx :

In order to be able to use the formula (5.2) of [12], we normalize the Lebesgue measures d_F of $ia_{F,q}$; for $F \in \mathcal{F}$; as in the text following the mentioned formula. We describe this normalization in a somewhat more general setting, in terms of the above terminology. Let B be the bilinear form of g ; fixed in the beginning of Section 2. Via restriction and dualization, B induces a positive definite inner product on a_q ; which we denote by B as well. Let $c > 0$ be the positive constant such that d corresponds to the density on ia_q normalized relative to the inner product cB :

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$; then d_P denotes the Lebesgue measure on $ia_{P,q}$ normalized with respect to cB : The residual operators $\text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t$ are now normalized by (5.4) and with respect to the choices of normalizations of measures made. All results of [11] and [12] needed in this paper are valid with the normalization of measures and residual operators just described.

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$; we denote by d_P the choice of Lebesgue measure on $ia_{P,q}$ for which

$$d = d_P d_P : \quad (5.5)$$

If $v \in \mathcal{V}$; then by the above discussion of the normalization of d ; applied to the space $X_{P,v}$; a choice $dx_{P,v}$ of invariant measure on $X_{P,v}$ corresponds in one-to-one fashion with a choice of Lebesgue measure $d_{P,v}$ on $ia_{P,q}$: Throughout this paper we agree to select $dx_{P,v}$ so that $d_{P,v} = d_P$:

We end this section with the observation that for $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $a_{P,q}$ and $a_{Q,q}$ conjugate under W ; the measures d_P and d_Q are W -conjugate. Indeed, this follows from the W -invariance of the inner product B : From (5.5) we see that the measures d_P and d_Q are W -conjugate as well.

6 A vanishing theorem

Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$: Throughout this paper, we assume $(\cdot; V)$ to be a finite dimensional unitary representation of K : In this section we introduce a space $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ of meromorphic families of $D(X)$ -finite \mathfrak{g} -spherical functions and show that the vanishing theorem of [13] applies to it.

Let a_q^{reg} denote the set of regular elements in a_q for the root system and put $A_q^{\text{reg}} := \exp a_q^{\text{reg}}$: We define a subset of X by

$$X_+ := K A_q^{\text{reg}} H :$$

According to [13], Sect. 2, this set is open dense in X : Let $W \subset N_K(a_q)$ be a choice of representatives for $W = W_K \setminus H$: Then, for each $P \in P^{m \text{ in}}$;

$$X_+ = [\cup_{v \in W} K A_{P,q}^+ vH] \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

By $C^1(X_+ : \cdot)$ we denote the space of smooth functions $f: X_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that are \mathbb{K} -spherical, i.e.,

$$f(kx) = \chi(k) f(x) \quad (x \in X_+; k \in K):$$

By $A(X_+ : \cdot)$ we denote the subspace of $f \in C^1(X_+ : \cdot)$ that behave finitely under the action of the algebra $D(X)$: Moreover, we denote the subspaces of these spaces consisting of functions that extend smoothly from X_+ to all of X by $C^1(X : \cdot)$ and $A(X : \cdot)$; respectively.

Let $P \in P$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$: We put $K_P := K \setminus M_P$ and define $X_{P,v,+}$ to be the analogue of the set X_+ for the triple $(M_P; K_P; M_P \setminus vH v^{-1})$: In particular, $X_{P,v,+}$ is an open dense subset of $X_{P,v}$:

We define the function $R_{P,v}: M_{1P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ [as in [13], Section 3. According to [13], Lemma 3.2, this function is left K_P - and right $M_{1P} \setminus vH v^{-1}$ -invariant. Moreover, if $P \notin G$; $a \in A_q$ and $u \in N_{K_P}(a_q)$; then

$$R_{P,v}(au) = \max_{2 \in (P)} a : \quad$$

Finally, $R_{P,v} = 1$ on $X_{P,v}$: The function $R_{P,v}$ is of importance for the description of a domain of convergence for the series expansion that describes the asymptotic behavior of a function from $A(X_+ : \cdot)$ along $(P; v)$: To be more precise, we define, for $0 < r < 1$;

$$A_{P,q}^+(r) := \{a \in A_{P,q} \mid \exists \delta > 0 \quad r(P) : a < r\delta\}$$

Then the following property, see [13], Lemma 3.3, is relevant for the mentioned description of the domain of convergence. Form $m \in X_{P,v}$ and $a \in A_{P,q}$;

$$m \in X_{P,v,+}; \quad a \in A_{P,q}^+(R_{P,v}(m)^{-1}) \implies m a v H \subset X_+ :$$

We can now describe the mentioned series expansion along $(P; v)$; together with a domain of convergence. According to [13], Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 3.4, a function $f \in A(X_+ : \cdot)$ admits a converging series expansion of the form

$$f(m a v) = \sum_{2 \in E} a_q(P; v, j, f; \log a; m); \quad (6.1)$$

for $m \in X_{Q,v,+}$ and $a \in A_{P,q}^+(R_{P,v}(m)^{-1})$: The set E in (6.1) is a subset of $a_{P,qc}$ contained in a set of the form $E_0 \subset N_r(P) := E_0 + (\cap N_r(P))$; with $E_0 \subset a_{P,qc}$ finite. In addition, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $2 \in E$; the expression $q(P; v, j, f)$ belongs to $P_k(a_{P,q}; C^1(X_{P,v,+} : \cdot_P))$; the space of polynomial functions $a_{P,q} \in C^1(X_{P,v,+} : \cdot_P)$ of degree at most k : Here \cdot_P stands for $\cdot|_{K_P}$:

The series on the right-hand side of (6.1) converges neatly in the sense of [13], Def. 1.2, for each $m \in X_{P,v,+}$; and for a in the indicated range (depending on m). The functions q are uniquely determined by these properties.

The set of $\lambda \in E$ for which $q(P;v;\lambda f) \neq 0$ is called the set of exponents of f along $(P;v)$; and denoted by $\text{Exp}(P;v;\lambda f)$. We agree to write $q = 0$ for $\lambda \in a_{P,qc} \cap E$:

Using the above terminology we shall introduce the space $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; :)$ in a number of steps. First, following [13], Def. 12.1, we introduce a suitable space of meromorphic families of spherical functions. We agree to write P_0 for the standard minimal p -parabolic subgroup. An index or superscript P_0 will be replaced by 0. In particular, $X_{0,v} = X_{P_0,v}$ and $0 = P_0$. Note that $X_{0,v} = X_{P,v}$ and $0 = P$ for every $P \in P^{\text{min}}$:

Definition 6.1 Let $Q \in P$ and let $Y \subset a_{Q,qc}$ be a finite subset. We define

$$C_{Q,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X; :) \quad (6.2)$$

to be the space of functions $f : a_{Q,qc} \times X_+ \rightarrow V$; meromorphic in the first variable, for which there exist a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$; a $r(Q)$ -hyperplane configuration H in $a_{Q,qc}$ and a function $d : H \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled.

- (a) The function $\forall f$ belongs to $M(a_{Q,qc}; H; d; C^1(X_+ :))$;
- (b) For every $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and $v \in N_K(a_Q)$ there exist (necessarily unique) functions $q_{s;}(P;v;\lambda f)$ in $P_k(a_Q) \subset M(a_{Q,qc}; H; d; C^1(X_{0,v} : 0))$; for $s \in W_Q$ and $\lambda \in sW_Q Y + N(P)$; with the following property. For all $\lambda \in a_{Q,qc} \cap [H; m \in X_{0,v}$ and $a \in A_Q^+(P)$;

$$f(mav) = \sum_{s \in W_Q} a^s \sum_{\lambda \in sW_Q Y + N(P)} a_{s;}(P;v;\lambda f; \log a)(\lambda; m); \quad (6.3)$$

where the (P) -exponential polynomial series with coefficients in V converges neatly on $A_Q^+(P)$:

- (c) For every $P \in P^{\text{min}}$; $v \in N_K(a_Q)$ and $s \in W_Q$; the series

$$\sum_{\lambda \in sW_Q Y + N(P)} a_{s;}(P;v;\lambda f; \log a)$$

converges neatly on $A_Q^+(P)$; as an exponential polynomial series with coefficients in the space $M(a_{Q,qc}; H; d; C^1(X_{0,v} : 0))$:

Finally, we define

$$C_0^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X; :) := C_{P_0, \emptyset}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X; :) : \quad (6.4)$$

Remark 6.2 If $Q^0 \in P$ and $a_{Q^0} = a_{Q^0}$; then $r(Q) = r(Q^0) \cap [r(Q^0)]$: Hence, the notions of $r(Q)$ - and $r(Q^0)$ -conjugation coincide. It follows that the space (6.2) depends on Q through its r -split component a_{Q^0} :

It is sufficient to require conditions (b) and (c) either for all $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and a fixed v ; or for a fixed $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and all v in a choice of representatives for $W = W_{K \setminus H}$ in $N_K(a_Q)$; see [13], Rem. 7.2, for details.

If $f \in C_{Q,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C})$; then following [13], Def. 12.8, we define the asymptotic degree of f ; denoted $\deg_a(f)$; to be the smallest integer k for which there exist H ; d such that the conditions of Definition 6.1 are fulfilled. Moreover, we denote by H_f the smallest $r(Q)$ -conjugation in a_{Q^0} such that the conditions of Definition 6.1 are fulfilled with $k = \deg_a(f)$ and for some $d: H_f \rightarrow N$: We denote by d_f the minimal map $H_f \rightarrow N$ for which the conditions of the definition are fulfilled with $H = H_f$ and $k = \deg_a(f)$: Finally, we put $\text{reg}_a(f) := a_{Q^0} \cap [H_f]$:

We extend a_Q to a Cartan subspace b of \mathfrak{g} ; clearly, b is r -stable. If $\mathfrak{g} \in b_c$; then $I := \ker(\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{C})$ is an ideal of codimension one in $D(X)$:

If $P \in P$; we write D_P for the set of finitely supported maps $\mathfrak{g} : b_{P^c} \rightarrow N$; see (2.6). For $\mathfrak{g} \in D_P$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in a_{P^c}$ we define the ideal $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in $D(X)$ by

$$I_{\mathfrak{g}} := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{g} \in \text{supp}} I_{+}^{(\mathfrak{g})} :$$

This ideal is infinite, since it is a product of finitely generated infinite ideals.

Following [13], Def. 12.8, we introduce the following space of meromorphic families of $D(X)$ -finite functions.

Definition 6.3 Let $Q \in P$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in D_Q$: Then for $Y \in a_{Q^0}$ a finite subset we define

$$E_{Q,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C})$$

to be the space of functions $f \in C_{Q,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C})$ such that, for all $\mathfrak{g} \in a_{Q^0} \cap H_f$; the function $f : x \mapsto f(\mathfrak{g}; x)$ is annihilated by the infinite ideal $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$:

Finally, we define

$$E_0^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C}) := E_{P_0}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C}) :$$

Following [13], Def. 12.8, we introduce the following subspace of meromorphic families of $D(X)$ -finite functions in $C^1(X_+; \mathbb{C})$ satisfying a certain additional assumption. Let P^1 be the collection of parabolic subgroups $P \in P$ whose r -split component a_{P^c} has codimension one in a_Q :

Definition 6.4 Let $Q \in P$: Then for $\mathfrak{g} \in D_Q$ and $Y \in a_{Q^0}$ a finite subset we define $E_{Q,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C})_{\text{glob}}$ to be the space of families $f \in E_{Q,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \mathbb{C})$ satisfying the following condition.

For every $s \in W$; every $P \in P^1$ with $sa_{Q,q} \in a_{P,q}$ and all $v \in N_K(a_q)$; there exists an open dense subset of $\text{reg}_a f$ with the following property. For every $\gamma \in \mathbb{A}^1$; every $Y \in \mathcal{W}_{P,q}$ with $Y \cap P = N_{\mathbb{A}^1}(P)$ and all $X \in a_{P,q}$; the function $m \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \mapsto \int_X f_s; X; m$; originally defined on $X_{P,\gamma,+}$; extends smoothly to all of $X_{P,\gamma}$:

Remark 6.5 Note that the displayed condition of Definition 6.4 is equivalent to the condition that, for every $s \in W$; every $P \in P^1$ with $s(a_{Q,q}) \in a_{P,q}$; and all $v \in N_K(a_q)$; the family f is $[s]$ -global along $(P;v)$; in the terminology of [13], Def. 9.5 and Def. 8.4. In particular, it follows that the space $E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :)_{\text{glob}}$ defined above equals the space defined in [13], Def. 12.8.

Definition 6.6 Let $Q \in P$: For $\gamma \in D_Q$ and $Y \subset a_{Q,q}$ a finite subset, we define $E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :)$ to be the space of families $f \in E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; : :)_{\text{glob}}$; such that for all γ in the complement of a locally finite union of analytic null-sets, the function f ; initially defined on X_+ ; extends to a smooth function on all of X :

Moreover, we define

$$E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :) := \bigcap_{\gamma \in D_Q} E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :) \quad \text{and} \quad E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :) := \bigcap_{\gamma \in D_Q} E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :);$$

where γ runs over D_Q and Y over the collection of finite subsets of $a_{Q,q}$:

Remark 6.7 One readily verifies that the space $E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :)$ depends on Q through its split component $a_{Q,q}$: See also Remark 6.2.

Lemma 6.8 Let $f \in E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :)$ and put $H = H_f$ and $d = d_f$: Then $\forall f$ is a meromorphic $C^1(X; : :)$ -valued function in the space $M(a_{Q,q}; H; d; C^1(X; : :))$:

Proof: This follows by using condition (a) of Definition 6.1 and applying Cor. 18.2 of [13].

Remark 6.9 Let $\gamma \in D_Q$ and let $Y \subset a_{Q,q}$ be a finite subset. It can be shown that every family $f \in E_{Q,\gamma}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; : :)$ that satisfies the condition of Definition 6.6 automatically belongs to $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; : :)$: In case $\dim X = 1$; this follows from [5], Thm. 12.8. For general $\gamma \in D_Q$ one may proceed along similar lines, see also [34], Chapter 4. However, we shall not need such a result in the present paper, since in all cases where we could apply it, the property of Definition 6.4 has already been established in [13] for the functions involved. The present remark justifies the notation used.

The following special case of the vanishing theorem of [13] will play an important role in the rest of this paper.

Theorem 6.10 (Vanishing theorem) Let $Q \in P$ be standard and let $Q \in W$. $N_K(a_q)$ be a choice of representatives for $W_Q \backslash nW = W_K \backslash H$. Let $f \in E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$ and assume that there exists a non-empty open subset $\text{reg}_a f$ such that, for each $v \in Q \backslash W$;

$$q \in P; v \in f = 0; \quad (2.1)$$

Then $f = 0$:

Proof: This is a special case of [13], Thm. 12.9.

We shall often use the vanishing theorem in combination with the following lemma to relate families of eigenfunctions.

Lemma 6.11 Let $P, Q \in P$; let $s \in W$ be such that $s a_P q = a_Q q$ and assume that $f \in E_{P,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$; with $Y \subset a_{P,qc}$ a finite subset. Then the family $f^s : a_{Q,qc} X \rightarrow V$; defined by $f^s(\cdot; x) = f(s^{-1} \cdot; x)$; belongs to $E_{Q,sY}^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$:

Proof: In view of Remark 6.7 we may assume that $Q = s P s^{-1}$: There exists a $\lambda \in D_P$ such that $f \in E_{P,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$: By Lemma 3.7 there exists a $s \in W$ (b) such that $s = s_{\lambda,q}$: The element s maps $b_{P,c}$ onto $b_{Q,c}$: Let s denote its transpose $b_{Q,c} \rightarrow b_{P,c}$: Then $s \in D_Q$: Moreover, one readily checks from the definitions that $f^s \in E_{Q,sY}^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot : s)$:

7 Meromorphy of asymptotic expansions

Let $f \in E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$: In the previous section we saw that, for $v \in N_K(a_q)$ and for P a minimal group in P ; the function f admits an expansion along $(P; v)$ that depends meromorphically on the parameter $\lambda \in a_{Q,qc}$ in a well defined sense. It follows from [13] that an analogous result holds for arbitrary $P \in P$: For its formulation, we need a particular type of subset of the symmetric space $X_{P,v}$: For $1 \leq R \leq 1$; we define the set

$$X_{P,v,R} := \{x \in X_{P,v} \mid j_{R,P,v}(x) < Rg\};$$

see [13], Eqn. (3.7) for details.

We also need an equivalence relation $\sim_{P,Q}$ on W to describe asymptotic exponents along $(P; v)$ without redundancy. The relation is defined by

$$s \sim_{P,Q} t \iff \exists \lambda \in a_{Q,q} : s_{\lambda,q} = t_{\lambda,q} : \quad (7.1)$$

If $Y \subset a_{Q,qc}$ is a finite subset and $\lambda \in W = P \backslash Q$; we put

$$Y := \{f s_{\lambda,q} \mid s \in W; \lambda \in Y\};$$

see [13], x 6, for details. We recall from [13], Lemma 6.5, that $W = P \backslash Q' \backslash W = W_Q$; if $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and $Q \in P$:

Proposition 7.1 Let $Q \in \mathbb{P}^n$; $Y \subset \mathbb{A}_{Q,Q}^n$ a finite subset. Let f be a family in $C_{Q,Y}^{ep,hyp}(X_+ :)$ and put $k = \deg_a f$:

Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and $v \in N_K(a_Q)$: Then $\text{Exp}(P; v j f) = W(+ Y) \big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n}$ $\in \mathbb{P}^n$; for every $\alpha \in \text{reg}_a f$: Moreover, there exist unique functions

$$q_\alpha(P; v j f) \in \mathbb{P}_k(a_{P,Q}) \subset M(a_{Q,Q}; H_f; d_f; C^1(X_{P,Y;\alpha} : \mathbb{P}^n));$$

for $\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $\alpha \in Y + N_r(P)$; such that, for every $\alpha \in \text{reg}_a f$:

$$f(\alpha v) = \sum_{\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}} a_{\alpha} \sum_{\alpha \in Y + N_r(P)} a_{\alpha} q_\alpha(P; v j f; \log a)(\alpha);$$

for all $\alpha \in X_{P,Y;\alpha}$ and $a \in A_{P,Q}^+(R_{P,Y}(\alpha)^{-1})$; where the exponential polynomial series in the variable a with coefficients in V is neatly convergent in the indicated range. In particular, for all α in an open dense subset of $\mathbb{A}_{Q,Q}^n$ and all $\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $\alpha \in Y + N_r(P)$:

$$q_\alpha(P; v j f)(X;) = q_{\big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n}}(P; v j f; X); \quad (X \in \mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n); \quad (7.2)$$

Finally, for each $\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and every $R > 1$; the series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in Y + N_r(P)} a_{\alpha} q_\alpha(P; v j f; \log a)$$

converges neatly on $A_{P,Q}^+(R^{-1})$ as a $r(P)$ -exponential polynomial series with coefficients in $M(a_{Q,Q}; C^1(X_{P,Y;\alpha} : \mathbb{P}^n))$:

Proof: This follows from [13], Thm .7.7 and Lemma 12.7.

The following result is based on the meromorphic nature of the series in the above proposition. It may be considered a natural companion to [13], Lemma 7.9.

Lemma 7.2 Let $Q \in \mathbb{P}^n$; $Y \subset \mathbb{A}_{Q,Q}^n$ a finite subset and $f \in C_{Q,Y}^{ep,hyp}(X_+ :)$: Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$; $v \in N_K(a_Q)$: Assume that for every $\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ a set $E_\alpha \subset Y + N_r(P)$ is given such that, for α in a non-empty open subset of $\text{reg}_a f$:

$$\text{Exp}(P; v j f) = \sum_{\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}} \big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n} \sum_{\alpha \in E_\alpha} a_{\alpha} q_\alpha(P; v j f; \log a); \quad (7.3)$$

Then (7.3) holds for every $\alpha \in \text{reg}_a f$:

Proof: Let $\alpha \in W = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $\alpha \in Y + N_r(P)$ be such that $q_\alpha(P; v j f) \neq 0$: By Proposition 7.1 there exists an open dense subset $\text{reg}_a f$ such that, for all $\alpha \in \text{reg}_a f$; (7.2) is valid. In particular, it follows that, for $\alpha \in \text{reg}_a f$: $\alpha \in \big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n} \sum_{\alpha \in E_\alpha} \text{Exp}(P; v j f)$; hence, $\alpha \in \big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n} \sum_{\alpha \in E_\alpha}$ belongs to the union on the right-hand side of (7.3). By [13], Lemma 6.2, the sets $\big|_{\mathbb{A}_{P,Q}^n} \sum_{\alpha \in E_\alpha} Y + N_r(P)$;

for $2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q$; are mutually disjoint, for γ in an open dense subset γ_0 of γ : It follows that $2E_{\gamma_0}$:

From the above and Proposition 7.1 we conclude that for all $2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q$ and $2(\gamma - Y + N_r(P)) \cap E_{\gamma_0}$; the meromorphic function $\gamma \mapsto q_{\gamma}(\gamma; v, j, f)$ is zero. Hence, for $2 \in \text{reg}_a f$;

$$f(\gamma; m, av) = \sum_{2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q}^X a_{\gamma}^{(P)} \sum_{2E}^X a_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}(\gamma; v, j, f)(\log a; \gamma; m); \quad (7.4)$$

form $2X_{P, V, +}$ and a $2A_{P, Q}^+(\mathbb{R}_{P, V}(\gamma)^{-1})$: Moreover, let $R = 1$: Then for each $2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q$; the series

$$F(a) = \sum_{2E}^X a_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}(\gamma; v, j, f)(\log a) \quad (7.5)$$

converges as a $\gamma_r(P)$ -exponential polynomial series in a $2A_{P, Q}^+(\mathbb{R}^{-1})$; with coefficients in the space $M(a_{Q, Q}; H; d; C^1(X_{P, V, +}[\mathbb{R}]: P))$; here $H = H_f$ and $d = d_f$:

Let $\gamma_0 \in 2a_{Q, Q}$ be such that the meromorphic $C^1(X_+ : \gamma)$ -valued function $\gamma \mapsto f$ is regular at γ_0 : Let $H(\gamma_0)$ be the collection of $H \in H$ that contain γ_0 : Since H is a $\gamma_r(Q)$ -conjugation in $a_{Q, Q}$; the collection $H(\gamma_0)$ is finite and there exists a bounded open neighborhood U of γ_0 in $\text{reg}(f)$ such that $H(\gamma_0) = fH \in H \setminus U \neq \emptyset$; g : Put $\gamma = \gamma_0$; see (4.3). Then $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_j$ defines a continuous linear map from $M(a_{Q, Q}; H; d; C^1(X_{P, V, +}[\mathbb{R}]: P))$ into $O(a_{Q, Q}; C^1(X_{P, V, +}[\mathbb{R}]: P))$: In particular, the series (7.5) multiplied with γ converges neatly on $A_{P, Q}^+(\mathbb{R}^{-1})$ as an exponential polynomial series with values in the space $O(\gamma; C^1(X_{P, V, +}[\mathbb{R}]: P))$:

It follows from [13], Lemma 10.5, that there exists a $u \in S(a_{Q, Q})$; such that $\gamma(\gamma_0) = u(\gamma)(\gamma_0)$; for $\gamma \in O(\gamma)$: We apply this to $\gamma \mapsto f$: Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\gamma_0; m, av) &= u[\gamma(\gamma_0)f(\gamma; m, av)](\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q}^X a_{\gamma}^{(0, P)} \sum_{j=1}^X a_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}(\log a) U_{\gamma, j}[\gamma(\gamma_0)F(a)(\gamma; m)](\gamma_0); \end{aligned}$$

with finitely many $p_{\gamma, j} \in P(a_{P, Q})$ and $U_{\gamma, j} \in S(a_{Q, Q})$; as is readily seen by application of the Leibniz rule. Note that $\deg p_{\gamma, j} + \text{order}(U_{\gamma, j}) - 1 = \text{order}(u)$:

From [13], Lemma 1.10, we obtain that the elements $U_{\gamma, j} \in S(a_{Q, Q})$; viewed as constant coefficient differential operators in the variable γ ; may be applied termwise to the series for $\gamma(\gamma_0)F(a)(\gamma; m)$; without disturbing the nature of the convergence of the series. This leads to the existence of polynomial functions $q_{\gamma} \in 2P_{k+1}(a_{P, Q}) \subset C^1(X_{P, V, +} : P)$; for $2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q$; $2E$; such that

$$f_{\gamma_0}(\gamma; m, av) = \sum_{2W = {}_P\mathfrak{J}_Q}^X a_{\gamma}^{(0, P)} \sum_{2E}^X a_{\gamma} q_{\gamma}(\log a; m);$$

for a $2 \in A_{P,q}^+(\mathbb{R}^{-1})$ and $m \in X_{P,N,+}(\mathbb{R})$: The series on the right-hand side converges neatly as a $r(P)$ -exponential polynomial series on $A_{P,q}^+(\mathbb{R}^{-1})$; with coefficients in $C^{-1}(X_{P,N,+}(\mathbb{R}))$: It follows that the inclusion (7.3) is valid for $\nu = 0$:

8 Fourier inversion

In this section we recall the Fourier inversion formula from [12] that will be the starting point for the derivation of the spherical Plancherel formula.

Let $W \subset N_K(a_q)$ be a choice of representatives for $W = W_K \backslash H$: This choice determines the space $C = C(\cdot)$; defined as the formal Hilbert direct sum of finite dimensional Hilbert spaces

$$C := \bigoplus_{v \in W} C^1(M \backslash M \backslash vH v^{-1}; \cdot_0); \quad (8.1)$$

where \cdot_0 denotes the restriction of \cdot to $K_0 := K \backslash M$: Given $P \in P^{m.in}$; and $\cdot \in C$; we define the normalized Eisenstein integral $E(P; \cdot)$ as in [9], x5. This Eisenstein integral is a meromorphic $C^1(X; \cdot)$ -valued function on a_{qc} ; which depends linearly on \cdot : It naturally arises in representation theory, essentially as a sum of matrix coefficients of the minimal principal series of X : However, it can also be characterized by some of its analytic properties, as follows.

Proposition 8.1 Let $P \in P^{m.in}$ and $\cdot \in C$: The function $\forall E(P; \cdot)$ is the unique family in $E_p^{hyp}(X; \cdot)$ with the following property. For each $v \in W$ and for \cdot in a dense open subset of a_{qc} ;

$$q_{-P}(P; v \cdot j E(P; \cdot); \cdot; m) = \cdot_v(m);$$

Here q_{-P} is the coefficient in the expansion (6.1) for $f = E(P; \cdot)$:

Remark 8.2 It is implicit in the above formulation that the function on the left-hand side of the above equation is constant as a polynomial function of the variable indicated by the dot. It is known that for \cdot in a dense open subset of a_{qc} ; there are no terms with $\log a$ in the expansion (6.1) with $f = E(P; \cdot)$; see [10], Thm. 7.5.

Proof: Uniqueness follows from the vanishing theorem, Thm. 6.10. Thus, it suffices to show that, for $\cdot \in C$; the $C^1(X; \cdot)$ -valued meromorphic function $f: \forall E(P; \cdot)$ on a_{qc} satisfies the properties mentioned above. By linearity with respect to \cdot we may assume that $\cdot \in C[\cdot]$; for some $\cdot \in \text{ib}_K = \text{ib}_P$; in the notation of [13], text preceding Lemma 14.5. It now follows from [13], Lemma 14.5, that $f \in E_p^{hyp}(X_+; \cdot)_{glob}$; where \cdot is the characteristic function of the subset $f \cdot g$ of ib_P : Finally, it follows from [9], beginning of Sect. 5, that $\forall f$ is a $C^1(X; \cdot)$ -valued meromorphic function on a_{qc} : Therefore, $f \in E_p^{hyp}(X; \cdot)$:

Combining [9], Eqns. (49), (45) and the display following the latter equation, we finally obtain that

$$q_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}; v \cdot j f : : m) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{P}; 0}(1 :) C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}; 1 :)^{-1} \cdot j_v(m) = \cdot j_v(m);$$

for each $v \in W$ and all $m \in M$:

Lemma 8.3 Let $\mathcal{P} \in P^{m \text{ in}}$ and $\mathcal{C} \in C$: Let f be the family in $E_{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$ defined by $f = E(\mathcal{P} : :)$; for $\mathcal{C} \in a_{\text{qc}}$: Then the (\mathcal{P}) -conjugation H_f , defined as in the text preceding Definition 6.3, is real.

Proof: In view of [13], Eqn. (14.12), it suffices to prove a similar statement for the function f of [13], Lemma 14.3. We observe that in the proof of the mentioned lemma, H_f is shown to be contained in a (\mathcal{P}) -conjugation H^0 : This conjugation is given as $H^0 = t^{-1} H^0$ with $t \in W$: Now H^0 is real by [13], Cor. 14.2. It therefore remains to show that H^0 is real. For this it suffices to show that the singular locus of the normalized \mathcal{C} -function $C_{\mathcal{P}}(t :)$ is the union of a real conjugation. By [13], Eqn. (14.6), it suffices to show that the singular loci of $C_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{P}; 1 :)^{-1}$ and $C_{\mathcal{P}}(t :)$ are likewise. In view of [5], Cor. 15.5, it suffices to show that the functions $C_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{P}; 1 :)^{-1}$; for $\mathcal{Q} \in P^{m \text{ in}}$; all have a singular locus equal to the union of a real conjugation. The latter assertion follows by the argument following the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [12].

We write $E(\mathcal{P} :)$ for the meromorphic $\mathcal{C}^1(X; \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}; V))$ -valued function on a_{qc} given by

$$E(\mathcal{P} : : x) = E(\mathcal{P} : : : x);$$

for $x \in X$; $\mathcal{C} \in C$ and generic $\mathcal{C} \in a_{\text{qc}}$: Following [12], Eqn. (2.3), we define the dualized Eisenstein integral as the $\mathcal{C}^1(X; \text{Hom}(V; \mathcal{C}))$ -valued meromorphic function on a_{qc} given by

$$E(\mathcal{P} : : x) := E(\mathcal{P} : : : x) :$$

Finally, we introduce the partial Eisenstein integrals $E_{+,s}(\mathcal{P} :)$; for $s \in W$; as in [12], Eqn. (2.9), see also [13], Eqn. (14.11). Let 1 denote the natural representation of K in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}; V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow V$: Then the partial Eisenstein integrals are meromorphic $\mathcal{C}^1(X_+ : 1)$ -valued functions on a_{qc} : Moreover, for $\mathcal{C} \in a_{\text{qc}}$ a regular point, the partial Eisenstein integral $E_{+,s}(\mathcal{P} :)$ is $D(X)$ -finite. By [13], Lemma 5.3, it therefore has converging expansions like (6.1), but with $q \in \mathbb{Q}$; $v \cdot j E_{+,s}(\mathcal{P} :)$ a $\mathcal{C}^1(X_{\mathbb{Q}; V, +} : 1)$ -valued polynomial function on $a_{\mathbb{Q}; q}$; see [13], Def. 2.1, for details. The exponents of the partial Eisenstein integrals are restricted by

$$\text{Exp}(\mathcal{P}; v \cdot j E_{+,s}(\mathcal{P} :)) \leq s \cdot \mathcal{P} \cdot N(\mathcal{P});$$

for all $v \in W$: Finally, according to [13], Eqn. (165),

$$E(\mathcal{P} :) = \sum_{s \in W} E_{+,s}(\mathcal{P} :) \quad \text{on } X_+ :$$

The mentioned properties determine the partial Eisenstein integrals completely, see [13], Lemma 2.2.

We shall now investigate the dependence of the Eisenstein integrals on the choice of W : To this purpose, let 8W be a second choice of representatives for $W = W_K \setminus H$ in $N_K(a_q)$: We denote by 8C the associated space defined by (8.1), with 8W in place of W : The associated Eisenstein and partial Eisenstein integrals are similarly indicated with a backprime.

Lemma 8.4 There exists a unique linear map $R : C \rightarrow {}^8C$ such that

$$E(P; \cdot; x) = {}^8E(P; \cdot; x) R; \quad (8.2)$$

for all $x \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_q$: The map R is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof: For every $w \in W$; let 8w denote the unique element of 8W that represents the same class in $W = W_K \setminus H$: Then for every $w \in W$ we may select an element $l_w \in K_0$ such that ${}^8w \in l_w W_N \setminus H(a_q)$: The right regular action $R_{l_w} : C^1(M) \rightarrow C^1(M)$ induces a linear isomorphism R_w from $C^1(M \setminus W_H W^{-1}; 0)$ onto $C^1(M \setminus {}^8W_H {}^8W^{-1}; 0)$: Let $R : C \rightarrow {}^8C$ be the direct sum of the isomorphisms R_w ; for $w \in W$: Then obviously R is an isometry. Let $\lambda \in a_q$: Then by Proposition 8.1 the map $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow {}^8E(P; \cdot; x) R$ belongs to $E_p^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$: Moreover, it follows from the same proposition that

$$q_{\lambda} (P; {}^8w j g; \cdot; m) = (R_{l_w})_m(m); \quad (8.3)$$

for $\lambda \in a_q$; $w \in W$ and $m \in M$: Also, by the definition of R ;

$$(R_{l_w})_m(m) = {}_w(m l_w); \quad (8.4)$$

for each $w \in W$ and all $m \in M$:

On the other hand, still by Proposition 8.1, the map $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow E(P; \cdot; x)$ belongs to $E_p^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ as well, and for $\lambda \in a_q$; $w \in W$ and $m \in M$;

$$q_{\lambda} (P; w j f; \cdot; m) = {}_w(m);$$

This equation remains valid if we replace w in the expression on the left-hand side by any element w' of $W_N \setminus H(a_q)$; see [13], Lemma 3.7. Now ${}^8w = l_w w$; for some $w' \in W_N \setminus H(a_q)$; hence, applying [13], Lemma 3.6, with $v = w$ and $u = l_w$; we obtain that

$$q_{\lambda} (P; {}^8w j f; \cdot; m) = {}_w(m l_w); \quad (8.5)$$

Comparing (8.5) with (8.3) and (8.4) and applying the uniqueness statement of Proposition 8.1, we infer that $f = g$: On the other hand, if $R : C \rightarrow {}^8C$ is a linear map such that (8.2) is valid, then $f = g$; hence (8.3) and (8.5) are equal. This implies (8.4) and shows that R is uniquely determined by the requirement (8.2).

Lemma 8.5 Let $P \in P^{m, \text{in}}$ and $s \in W$. Then, for all $x \in X_+$, $y \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{qc}$; the element

$$E_{+,s}(P : : x)E(P : : y) \in \text{End}(V) \quad (8.6)$$

does not depend on the choice of W made in the text preceding (8.1).

Proof: Let R be the isometry of Lemma 8.4. From the fact that the partial Eisenstein integrals are uniquely determined by the properties mentioned in the text above Lemma 8.4, it follows that they satisfy the transformation property (8.2) with on both sides E replaced by $E_{+,s}$; for $s \in W$. See also [13], Lemma 2.2.

On the other hand, taking adjoints of the homomorphisms on both sides of (8.2), and substituting for λ we obtain that

$$E(P : : x) = R^{-1} E(P : : x);$$

for all $x \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{qc}$. From the unitarity of R it now follows that the endomorphism (8.6) does not change if we replace $E_{+,s}$ and E by $E_{+,s}$ and E ; respectively.

In the following we consider Eisenstein integrals associated with the standard parabolic subgroup $P_0 \in P^{m, \text{in}}$; but suppress the symbol P_0 in the notation. Moreover, we agree to write E_+ for $E_{+,1}$. We recall from [12], p. 41, that the meromorphic functions $\mathbb{T} E(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{T} E_+(\lambda)$ have singular sets that are locally finite unions of real hyperplanes in a_{qc} . Let H be the collection of the singular hyperplanes for $E(\lambda)$ and $E_+(\lambda)$. Let $t \in W \cap T(\mathbb{R})$ be a W -invariant even residue weight, see the text following (2.1). Associated with the data $(+, t)$; we define, for each subset F and every element $\lambda \in a_{F, qc}$; the residue operator $\text{Res}_{+, a_{F, q}}^t$ as in § 5, with respect to the normalization of the Lebesgue measures d_{a_q} and d_F of $a_{F, q}$ given at the end of that section.

The data $(+, F)$ and H determine a finite subset (F) of $\mathbb{R} + F$; the negative of the closed cone spanned by F ; see [12], Eqn. (5.1), where between the set brackets the requirement 'for some t ' should be added. We now recall, from [12], Eqn. (5.7), the definition of the kernel $K_F^t(\lambda : x : y) \in \text{End}(V)$; for $(x, y) \in X_+ \times X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{F, qc}$; by

$$K_F^t(\lambda : x : y) = \sum_{s \in (F)} \text{Res}_{+, a_{F, q}}^t \sum_{s \in W \cap F} E_+(s : x) E(s : y) (\lambda + \rho). \quad (8.7)$$

From the definition it follows that $\mathbb{T} K_F^t(\lambda : :)$ is a meromorphic function on $a_{F, qc}$ with values in the space $C^1(X_+ \times X :)$ and with singularities along the hyperplanes of a real $_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ -configuration. Here $_{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ denotes the tensor product representation of $K \times K$ in $\text{End}(V) \otimes V \otimes V$.

The residue operators in (8.7) depend on the choices of d and d_F ; see the discussion in § 5; therefore, so does the kernel K_F^t :

Lemma 8.6 Let the data $(G; H; K; a_q; +)$ be fixed as above. Let F and let $t_2 W T(\cdot)$ be a W -invariant even residue weight. These data completely determine $K_F^t d_F dy$; the product of the kernel K_F^t ; defined by (8.7), with the product measure $d_F dy$ on $ia_{F,q} \times X$:

In particular, $K_F^t d_F dy$ is independent of the particular choice of W ; made in the text preceding (8.1), and of the choices of B and dy ; made in Sections 2 and 5.

Proof: Put

$$k_F(\cdot) = \int_{s \in W(F)} E_+(s : x) E(s : y) :$$

It follows from Lemma 8.5 that k_F depends only on the data mentioned, and not on $W; B; dy$: Moreover, from (8.7) and (5.4), it follows that

$$K_F^t(\cdot : x : y) d_F dy = \int_{2(F)}^X \text{Res}_{+a_{F,q}}^t (k_F(\cdot +)) (d) dy :$$

The occurring residue operators only depend on the data mentioned, and the product measure $d_F dy$ only depends on the choice of $(G; H; K; a_q)$; by the discussion in §5. All assertions now follow.

Remark 8.7 Since d_F and dy do not depend on the choice of W ; it follows that the same holds for the kernel K_F^t : This fact has already silently been exploited in [12], text below Lemma 8.1, where the choice of W is adapted to the set F :

According to [12], Cor. 10.10, the kernel $K_F^t(\cdot : x : y)$ extends smoothly to all of X in the variable x ; more precisely, K_F^t is a meromorphic $C^1(X \times X; \text{End}(V))$ -valued function on $a_{F,q}^+$ with singularities along real $_{r(F)}$ -hyperplanes.

From [12], Eqn. (5.5) and (5.8), we recall the definition of the linear operator T_F^t from $C_c^1(X :)$ to $C^1(X_+ :)$ by

$$T_F^t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{a_{F,q}^+} K_F^t(\cdot : x : y) f(y) dy d_F(\cdot); \quad (8.8)$$

for $f \in C_c^1(X :)$ and $x \in X_+$: Here d_F is the translate by μ_F of the Lebesgue measure on $ia_{F,q}$ normalized as in Section 5. Moreover, μ_F is a point in the chamber $a_{F,q}^+$; arbitrary but sufficiently close to the origin.

Remark 8.8 If G has compact center modulo H ; then $a_q = f0g$ and $t(a_q^+) = 1$: Moreover, the above is to be understood so that integration relative to d means evaluation in 0; also, $\mu = 0$: In this case we agree to write $K^t(x : y) = K^t(0 : x : y)$; so that the formula for T^t becomes

$$T^t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_X K^t(x : y) f(y) dy \quad (8.9)$$

for $f \in C_c^1(X :)$ and $x \in X_+$:

In [12], Cor. 10.11, it is shown that in fact T_F^t maps into $C^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ and defines a continuous linear operator $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^1(X; \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, by [12], Thm. 1.2, it follows that

$$I = \int_F^X T_F^t \quad \text{on} \quad C_c^1(X; \mathbb{R}); \quad (8.10)$$

Lemma 8.9 Let $(G; H; K; \cdot; a_q; \cdot^+)$ and $(F; t)$ be data as in Lemma 8.6. These data determine the operator T_F^t ; defined by (8.8), completely.

Proof: This follows from Lemma 8.6.

We finish this section with a discussion of how the kernels K_F^t and the operators T_F^t behave under isomorphisms of reductive symmetric spaces.

Let $\phi: G \rightarrow {}^sG$ be an isomorphism of reductive groups of Harish-Chandra's class, and put ${}^sH = \phi(H)$; ${}^sK = \phi(K)$; ${}^s\cdot := \phi(\cdot)$; ${}^sa_q = \phi(a_q)$. Let ${}^s\cdot$ be the root system of sa_q in sg and let sW denote the associated Weyl group. The isomorphism ϕ naturally induces the linear isomorphism $a_{qc} \rightarrow {}^sa_{qc}$ given by

$$\gamma^s := \phi^{-1} j_{a_q}:$$

This isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism of root systems $\Delta^s \rightarrow \Delta$. Let \cdot^+ be a positive system for Δ and ${}^s\cdot^+$ the corresponding positive system for ${}^s\Delta$. Let Δ and ${}^s\Delta$ be the collections of simple roots for \cdot^+ and ${}^s\cdot^+$, respectively. We denote by sF the image in sg of a subset $F \subset \Delta$:

The map ϕ also naturally induces a bijection from $W T(\cdot)$ onto $W T({}^s\cdot)$; which we denote by $t \mapsto {}^st$: If $t \in W T(\cdot)$ is W -invariant and even, then ${}^st \in W T({}^s\cdot)$ is sW -invariant and even.

We put $X = G/H$ and ${}^sX = {}^sG/{}^sH$: Then the map ϕ factors to a diffeomorphism $\phi: X \rightarrow {}^sX$: This diffeomorphism induces the isomorphism $\phi: C^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^1({}^sX; \mathbb{R})$; given by $f \mapsto f \circ \phi^{-1}$: It maps $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ onto $C_c^1({}^sX; \mathbb{R})$: We select invariant measures dx and sdx on X and sX , respectively. As in Section 5, this choice determines Lebesgue measures d and sd on ia_q and ia_{qc} , respectively. As in Section 2 we fix bilinear forms B and sB on g and sg , respectively. These choices determine densities d_F and $d_{{}^sF}$ on $ia_{F,q}$ and $ia_{{}^sF,q}$, respectively.

Let $K_{{}^sF}^{{}^st}$ be the analogue of the kernel K_F^t for the data $({}^sG; {}^sK; {}^s\cdot; {}^sa_q; {}^s\cdot^+; {}^sF; {}^st; {}^sdx; {}^sB)$ in place of $(G; H; K; \cdot; a_q; \cdot^+; F; t; dx; B)$: Moreover, let $T_{{}^sF}^{{}^st}$ be the associated analogue of the operator T_F^t :

Lemma 8.10 Let notation be as above. Then

$$K_{{}^sF}^{{}^st}(\cdot; \phi(x); \phi(y))' (d_{{}^sF})' ({}^sdy) = K_F^t(\cdot; x; y) d_F dy; \quad (8.11)$$

for $x, y \in X$ and generic $\cdot \in ia_{qc}$: Moreover, the corresponding operators are related by

$$T_{{}^sF}^{{}^st} \circ \phi = \phi \circ T_F^t \quad \text{on} \quad C_c^1(X; \mathbb{R}); \quad (8.12)$$

Proof: In view of Lemma 8.6 it suffices to prove the identity (8.11) in case ${}^8W;dx$ and 8B are compatible with $W;dx$ and B ; via \prime : It then follows from the definition of the kernels that

$$K_{\mathfrak{B}_F}^{\mathfrak{B}_F}(\prime(x) : \prime(y)) = K_F^t(x : y);$$

whence (8.11).

Equation (8.12) now follows by combining (8.11) with (8.8) and using the relations induced by \prime between the data associated with G and 8G :

9 The generalized Eisenstein integral

In this section we shall use the vanishing theorem to give an alternative characterization of the generalized Eisenstein integral defined in [12], Def. 10.7. This characterization, which is in the spirit of Proposition 8.1, will be used throughout the paper.

For the moment we assume the G has compact center modulo H : Then, with notation as in Remark 8.8, we define the space

$$A^t(X :) := \text{span} \{ K^t(: y) v \mid y \in X; v \in V_g \} \quad (9.1)$$

This space equals the space C of [12], Eqn. (10.2), with $F =$ and $= 0$: It is finite dimensional and consists of $D(X)$ -finite functions in $C^1(X :)$; see [12], Lemma 10.1. We adopt the new notation (9.1) in stead of C to avoid the suggestion that this space has anything to do with the space defined by (8.1).

Lemma 9.1 Let G have compact center modulo H , and let $t \in W(T)$ be a W -invariant even residue weight. Then the space $A^t(X :)$ equals the image $T^t(C_c^1(X :))$:

Proof: From [12], Lemma 10.2, it follows that K^t extends to a real analytic function $X \times X \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \times V \rightarrow V$ which is \mathfrak{K} -spherical.

By density of X_+ in X ; continuity of K^t and finite dimensionality of the space $A^t(X :)$; it follows that the latter contains the function $K^t(: y) v$ for every $y \in X$ and $v \in V$: This implies that T^t maps $C_c^1(X :)$ into $A^t(X :)$:

To see that the converse inclusion holds, suppose that ϕ is a linear functional of $A^t(X :)$; vanishing on $\text{im}(T^t)$: Then it suffices to show that $\phi = 0$:

For every $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in V$; let λ_x denote the linear functional $\lambda' \mapsto \lambda'(\phi(x))$ on $A^t(X :)$: The intersection of the kernels of these linear functionals, as $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in V$; is zero. Therefore, these linear functionals span the dual of $A^t(X :)$; and we see that there exist $n \geq 1; x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in V$ such that $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j(x_j) \cdot$: In view of (8.9), the fact that ϕ vanishes on $\text{im}(T^t)$ implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \int_X K^t(x_j : y) f(y) dy = 0$$

for all $f \in C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$. By sphericality of K^t in the second variable, the above integral also vanishes for all functions $f \in C_c^1(X; V)$. Hence, $\sum_j K^t(x_j; \cdot) = 0$ as a function in $C^1(X; V)$. It follows that $(K^t(\cdot; y)v) = 0$ for all $y \in X$ and $v \in V$. In view of (9.1), this implies that $\phi = 0$.

We now assume that G is arbitrary again. Let F and let ${}^F W \subset N_K(a_q)$ be a choice of representatives for $W_F \backslash W = W_{K \setminus H}$. If $t \in W \cap T(\cdot)$ we denote by χ_t the induced residue weight of ${}^F F$; see [11], Eqn. (3.16). Let t be W -invariant and even; then χ_t is W_F -invariant and even.

If $v \in {}^F W$; let $K_F^t(X_{F,v}; m; m^0)$; for $m, m^0 \in X_{F,v}$; denote the analogue of K^t for the symmetric space $X_{F,v}$. Note that M_F has a compact center, so that the discussion of the beginning of this section applies to M_F in stead of G . In particular, the data $(M_F; H_F; K_F; \chi_F; a_{F,q}; \chi_F^+; t)$ determine the finite dimensional space

$$A^t(X_{F,v}; F) = \text{span} \{ K_F^t(X_{F,v}; \cdot; m^0) \mid m^0 \in X_{F,v}^+ \}.$$

Note that this space was denoted $C_{F,v}$ in [12], Eqn. (10.7). We define

$$A_F^t = \bigoplus_{v \in {}^F W} A^t(X_{F,v}; F); \quad (9.2)$$

this formal direct sum was denoted C_F in [12], Def. 10.7. The natural projections and embeddings associated with the above direct sum are denoted by

$$\text{pr}_{F,v} : A_F^t \rightarrow A^t(X_{F,v}; F) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{i}_{F,v} : A^t(X_{F,v}; F) \rightarrow A_F^t;$$

for $v \in {}^F W$. Given $\phi \in A_F^t$ we shall also write $\phi_v := \text{pr}_{F,v} \phi$.

The generalized Eisenstein integral $E_F(\cdot; \cdot)$ defined in [12], Def. 10.7, is a function in $C^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ that depends linearly on $\phi \in A_F^t$ and meromorphically on $\lambda \in a_{F,q}^*$. We shall not repeat the definition here, but instead give a characterization based on the vanishing theorem, Theorem 6.10. The following result will allow us to show that $E_F(\cdot; \cdot)$ belongs to the space of families $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ introduced in Definition 6.6 with $Q = P_F$. For its formulation, we recall some notation from [12], § 8.

In the rest of this section we write $E(\cdot; x) := E(P_0; \cdot; x)$. Similarly, if $v \in {}^F W$; we write $E(X_{1F,v}; \cdot; m)$ for the normalized Eisenstein integral of $X_{1F,v}$ associated with the minimal parabolic subgroup $M_{1F} \setminus P_0$. The analogue of the space C for the latter Eisenstein integral is

$$C_{F,v} := \bigoplus_{w \in W_{F,v}} C^1(M \backslash M \setminus wvHv^{-1}w^{-1}; 0); \quad (9.3)$$

Here $W_{F,v} \subset N_{M_F \setminus K}(a_q)$ is a choice of representatives for $W_F \backslash W_{K_F \setminus vHv^{-1}}$; see [12], Eqn. (8.2). Adapting the set W if necessary, we may assume that $W_{F,v} \subset W$. Then W is the disjoint union of the sets $W_{F,v}$; for $v \in {}^F W$; see [12], Lemma 8.1. Accordingly, $\mathfrak{i}_{F,v}$ denotes the natural inclusion $C_{F,v} \rightarrow C$, defined as the identity on each component of (9.3). Moreover,

$$C = \bigoplus_{v \in {}^F W} \mathfrak{i}_{F,v}(C_{F,v}); \quad (9.4)$$

We denote the associated projection operator $C \rightarrow C_{F,v}$ by $\text{pr}_{F,v}$; for $v \in {}^F W$.

Lemma 9.2 Let L be a Laurent functional in $M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{aur}}$. C : Then the family $g: a_{F,qc} \rightarrow C^1(X; \cdot)$ defined by

$$g(\cdot; x) := L[E(\cdot + \cdot; x)]$$

belongs to $E_{F,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ with $Y = \text{supp } L$. Moreover, if $v \in {}^F W$; then for \cdot in a dense open subset of $a_{F,qc}$;

$$q_{\cdot, F}(\mathbb{P}_F; v \cdot g(\cdot; X; m)) = L[E(X_{1F,v}; \cdot + \cdot; m)] \cdot \text{pr}_v; \quad (9.5)$$

for all $X \in a_{F,q}$ and $m \in X_{F,v,+}$. Here pr_v denotes the projection associated with (9.4).

Proof: It suffices to prove the result for a Laurent functional of the form $L = L^0$; with $L^0 \in M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{aur}}$ and $\cdot \in C$. Define the family f by $f(\cdot; x) := E(\cdot; x)$:

It follows from [9], p. 52, Lemma 14, that there exists a locally finite collection H of hyperplanes in a_{qc} and a map $d: H \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that f belongs to $M(a_{qc}; H; d; C^1(X; \cdot))$. From [13], Lemma 13.1, applied with $Q = P_F$; it follows that g is a meromorphic function on $a_{F,qc}$ with values in $C^1(X; \cdot)$:

It follows from [13], Lemma 14.5, that there exists a $\cdot \in D_P$ such that f belongs to $E_0^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \cdot)_{\text{glob}}$; see [13], Def. 13.10, for the definition of the latter space. According to [13], Thm. 13.12, this implies that $g \in E_{F,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X_+; \cdot)_{\text{glob}}$. We conclude that $g \in E_{F,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$:

The family f equals the family f_W defined in [13], Prop. 15.4. It follows from that proposition, applied with $Q = P_F$ and with L^0 in place of L ; that (9.5) holds for each $v \in {}^F W$; generic $\cdot \in a_{F,qc}$ and all $X \in a_{F,q}$ and $m \in X_{F,v,+}$. Combining this with [13], Theorem 7.7, Eqn. (7.14), we see that (9.5) holds for all \cdot in a dense open subset of $a_{F,qc}$; every $v \in {}^F W$; $X \in a_{F,q}$ and all $m \in X_{F,v,+}$:

Theorem 9.3 Let $\cdot \in A_F^t$: Then $g: {}^F E_F(\cdot; \cdot)$ is the unique family in $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ with the following property. For all \cdot in some non-empty open subset of $a_{F,qc}$ and each $u \in {}^F W$;

$$q_{\cdot, F}(\mathbb{P}_F; u \cdot g(\cdot; X; m)) = \cdot_u(m); \quad (X \in a_{F,q}; m \in X_{F,v,+}); \quad (9.6)$$

Remark 9.4 In the proof of this result, we will encounter the set

$$(X_{F,v}; F) \quad R_+ F; \quad (9.7)$$

which is defined to be the analogue of the set (\cdot) of (8.7), for the data $(X_{F,v}; a_{F,q}; \cdot_F^+)$ in place of $(X; a_q; \cdot^+)$:

Proof: Uniqueness follows from the vanishing theorem, Theorem 6.10; hence, it suffices to prove existence.

In view of (9.2), we may assume that $\exists A^t(X_{F,V} : F)$ for some $v \in W$. According to [12], Eqn. (10.9), we may then express ϕ as follows

$$(\phi) = \sum_{v \in (X_{F,V}; F)} \text{Res}^t \mathbb{E}(X_{F,V} : \phi : m) (\phi); \quad (\phi \in X_{F,V}); \quad (9.8)$$

where

$$(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^k E_+(X_{F,V} : \phi : m_j) v_j \in C_{F,V}; \quad (\phi \in a_{F,qc});$$

with m_1, \dots, m_k a finite subset of $X_{F,V}^+$; and v_1, \dots, v_k a finite subset of V . We now note that

$$R_F := \sum_{v \in (X_{F,V}; F)} \text{Res}^t \quad (9.9)$$

is a Laurent functional in $M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{laur}}$; moreover, according to [12], Def. 10.7, the generalized Eisenstein integral is given by

$$g(\phi; x) = R_F \mathbb{E}(\phi : x)_{F,V}(\phi);$$

Define the Laurent functional $L_0 \in M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{laur}} \subset C$ by

$$L_0' := R_F [\phi(\phi)_{F,V}(\phi)]; \quad (9.10)$$

for $\phi' \in M(a_{F,qc}; F) \subset C$: Then the generalized Eisenstein integral is given by

$$g(\phi; x) = L_0 \mathbb{E}(\phi + \phi' : x);$$

It now follows from Lemma 9.2 that $g \in E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$ and that, for ϕ in an open dense subset of $a_{F,qc}$ and all $X \in a_{F,q}$ and $m \in X_{F,V}^+$;

$$\begin{aligned} q_{F,V}(\phi_{F,V}; u; g; X; m) &= L_0 \mathbb{E}(X_{F,V} : \phi + \phi' : m)_{\text{pr}_u} \\ &= R_F \mathbb{E}(X_{F,V} : \phi : m)_{\text{pr}_u} \phi_{F,V}(\phi) \end{aligned} \quad (9.11)$$

If $u \notin v$; then the latter expression equals 0: Since also $\phi_u = 0$; the identity (9.6) then follows. On the other hand, if $u = v$; then $\text{pr}_{F,u} \phi_{F,V}(\phi) = \phi(\phi)$; hence, (9.11) equals the expression on the right-hand side of (9.8), and since $\phi_v = \phi$; the identity (9.6) follows.

Corollary 9.5 There exists a locally finite collection H_0 of hyperplanes in $a_{F,qc}$ such that the following holds. Let $\phi \in A_F^t$ and let g be defined as in Theorem 9.3. Then the meromorphic function $\phi \cdot g$ is regular on the complement of $[H_0]$: Moreover, for every $u \in W$; $X \in a_{F,q}$ and $m \in X_{F,V}^+$; formula (9.6) holds for all $\phi \in a_{F,qc} \setminus [H_0]$:

Proof: Let l denote the image of l in $W = {}_{\mathbb{F}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}$; see (7.1). Let

$$\gamma_{q_1,0}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}; u, jg)(; X; m) \quad (9.12)$$

be the function in $M(a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}; {}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}); V)$; defined as in Proposition 7.1. By [13], Thm. 7.7, there exists a locally finite collection H_0 of hyperplanes in $a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}$ such that $\gamma_{q_1,0}$ is regular on $a_{\mathbb{F}q_c} \cap [H_0$ and for every $u \in {}^{\mathbb{F}}W$; all $X \in a_{\mathbb{F}q}$ and $m \in X_{\mathbb{F},u,+}$;

$$q_{1,0}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}; u, jg)(; X; m) = q_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}}; u, jg; X; m); \quad (9.13)$$

for all $X \in a_{\mathbb{F}q_c} \cap [H_0$: By linearity in u and finite dimensionality of the space $A_{\mathbb{F}}^t$; the collection H_0 can be chosen independent of u : Combination of (9.13) and (9.6) gives that the meromorphic function (9.12) is constant and equal to $u(m)$: In view of (9.13) it now follows that (9.6) holds for all $X \in a_{\mathbb{F}q}$; $m \in X_{\mathbb{F},u,+}$ and $X \in a_{\mathbb{F}q_c} \cap [H_0$:

From the uniqueness statement in Theorem 9.3 it follows that the generalized Eisenstein integral $E_{\mathbb{F}}(u) \in E_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{hyp}}(X; u)$ depends linearly on $u \in A_{\mathbb{F}}^t$: We agree to write $E_{\mathbb{F}}(u; x) := E_{\mathbb{F}}(u; x)$; for $x \in X$ and generic $u \in a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}$: Accordingly, we view the generalized Eisenstein integral as a meromorphic function on $a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}$ with values in $C^1(X; \mathbb{C})$; here \mathbb{C} denotes the tensor product representation in $\text{Hom}(A_{\mathbb{F}}^t; V) \otimes V \cong (A_{\mathbb{F}}^t)^*$: In accordance with [13], Def. 10.7, we put

$$E_{\mathbb{F},V}(u; x) := E_{\mathbb{F}}(u; x) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Hom}(A_{\mathbb{F}}^t(X_{\mathbb{F},V}; \mathbb{F}); V); \quad (9.14)$$

for $v \in {}^{\mathbb{F}}W$; $x \in X$ and generic $u \in a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}$:

Lemma 9.6 Let $v \in {}^{\mathbb{F}}W$ and let $u \in A_{\mathbb{F}}^t(X_{\mathbb{F},V}; \mathbb{F})$:

(a) There exists a Laurent functional $L \in M(a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}; \mathbb{F})_{\text{laur}} \subset C_{\mathbb{F},V}$ such that

$$(m) = L[E(u; x)]; \quad (m \in X_{\mathbb{F},V}); \quad (9.15)$$

(b) There exists a functional as in (a) with support contained in $(X_{\mathbb{F},V}; \mathbb{F})$; the set introduced in Remark 9.4. In particular, the support of this functional is real.

(c) If L is any Laurent functional as in (a), then, for all $x \in X$;

$$E_{\mathbb{F},V}(u; x) = L[E(u; x) \otimes 1]$$

as an identity of meromorphic functions in $u \in a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}$:

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 9.3 we may express E by (9.8). Let L_0 be defined as in (9.10) and let the Laurent functional $L \in M(a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}; \mathbb{F})_{\text{laur}} \subset C_{\mathbb{F},V}$ be defined by $L' = L_0(\cdot)$; for $\cdot \in M(a_{\mathbb{F}q_c}; \mathbb{F}) \subset C_{\mathbb{F},V}$: Since $\text{pr}_{\mathbb{F},V} \otimes 1 = I$ on $C_{\mathbb{F},V}$; it follows from (9.10), (9.9) and (9.8) that L satisfies

(9.15). We observe that $\text{supp } L \subseteq \text{supp } R_F(X_{F,v}; F)$; in particular, L has support contained in $a_{F,q}$. This establishes (a) and (b).

Now assume that L is a Laurent functional as in (a). Let L^0 be the Laurent functional in $M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{laur}} \subset C_F$ defined by $L^0 = L(\cdot)_{F,v}$. Then it follows from Lemma 9.2 that the family $g: a_{F,qc} \times X \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$g(\cdot; x) = L^0[\mathbb{E}(\cdot + \cdot; x)]$$

belongs to $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ and satisfies, for $u \in W$; in an open dense subset of $a_{F,qc}$ and all $X \in a_{F,q}$ and $m \in X_{F,u}$;

$$\begin{aligned} q_{F,v}(p_F; u, jg) &= L^0[\mathbb{E}(X_{F,v}; \cdot) \text{pr}_u] \\ &= L[\mathbb{E}(X_{F,v}; \cdot) \text{pr}_u \cdot \mathbb{1}_v] \\ &= \text{pr}_{F,u} \cdot \mathbb{1}_v : \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that the last equality is obvious for $u \notin v$; since then $\text{pr}_{F,u} \cdot \mathbb{1}_v = 0$. On the other hand, if $u = v$; then $\text{pr}_{F,u} \cdot \mathbb{1}_v = I$ on $A^t(X_{F,v}; F)$ and the equality follows from the assumption on L . It now follows from Theorem 9.3 that $g(\cdot; x) = E_F(\cdot; x) \cdot \mathbb{1}_v$.

Combining the above result with Lemma 8.3, we obtain the following information on the asymptotic coefficients of the generalized Eisenstein integral. We put

$$Y(F) := [_{v \in W} (X_{F,v}; F)] : \quad (9.16)$$

This is a finite subset of $R_+ F$; which in turn is contained in $a_{F,q}$:

Lemma 9.7 Let F and $\cdot \in A_F^t$. The family $f: (\cdot; x) \mapsto E_F(\cdot; x)$ belongs to $E_{F,Y(F)}^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$. Moreover, the $r(F)$ -conjugation H_f ; defined in the text preceding Definition 6.3, is real.

Put $k = \deg_a f$ and let $Q \in P$; $u \in N_K(a_q)$. Then, for every $\cdot \in W = Q \cdot P_F$ and all $\cdot \in Y(F) + r(Q)$;

$$q; (Q; u, jf) \in P_K(a_{Q,q}) \subset M(a_{F,qc}; H_f; d_f; C^1(X_{Q,u}; Q)) : \quad (9.17)$$

Proof: From Theorem 9.3 it follows that $f \in E_{F,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$; with Y a finite subset of $a_{F,qc}$:

For the first two assertions we may assume that $f = E_{F,v}(\cdot)$; with $\cdot \in A^t(X_{F,v}; F)$. Select $L \in M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{laur}} \subset C_{F,v}$ as in Lemma 9.6 (b). According to Lemma 8.3 there exists a real conjugation H in a_{qc} such that for every $\cdot \in C_{F,v}$; the family $g: \cdot \mapsto E(\cdot)_{F,v}^0$; which belongs to $E_0^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$; satisfies $H_g = H$. It now follows from Lemma 9.6 (c), combined with [13], Prop. 13.2, that $Y = Y(F)$ and that $H_f = H_F(Y(F))$; with the latter set defined as in [13], Eqn. (11.6). It follows from the mentioned definition and

the fact that H and $Y(F)$ are real, that $H_F(Y(F))$ and hence H_f are real. It remains to establish (9.17).

Let $Q; u;$ be as asserted. With a reasoning as above, it follows from Lemma 9.6, combined with [13], Lemma 14.5 and Proposition 13.9, that f is holomorphically γ -global along $(Q; u)$ (see [13], Definition 13.6). Let $2 \leq Y + N_r(Q)$: Then (9.17) follows by application of [13], Proposition 13.8.

10 Temperedness of the Eisenstein integral

In this section we show that the generalized Eisenstein integral $E_F(\cdot)$; defined in the previous section, is tempered for regular values of λ in $\mathfrak{ia}_{F,q}$:

Let us first recall the notion of temperedness. Following [5], p.415, we define the function $\lambda: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{p}{(x(x)^{-1})};$$

where λ is the elementary spherical function λ_0 associated with the Riemannian symmetric space G/K :

Moreover, we define the function $\lambda_K: X \rightarrow [0; 1]$; denoted in [5], by

$$\lambda_K(kah) = j \log a; \quad (k \in K; a \in A_q; h \in H); \quad (10.1)$$

Definition 10.1 A $D(X)$ -nite function f in $C^1(X; \cdot)$ is said to be tempered if there exists a $d \geq N$ such that

$$\sup_X (1 + \lambda_K)^{-d} \|kf\| < 1; \quad (10.2)$$

The space of these functions is denoted by $A_{\text{temp}}(X; \cdot)$:

The following lemma gives a criterion for temperedness in terms of exponents. We assume that $P^{\text{min}} = P^{\text{min}}$ is a choice of representatives for $P^{\text{min}} = W_{K \setminus H}$ and that $W = N_K(a_q)$ is a choice of representatives for $W = W_{K \setminus H}$: We also assume that P_1 is a fixed element of P^{min} :

Lemma 10.2 Let $f \in C^1(X; \cdot)$ be a $D(X)$ -nite function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $f \in A_{\text{temp}}(X; \cdot)$:
- (b) For each $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ and every $2 \leq \text{Exp}(P; e_j f)$ the estimate $\text{Re } \lambda + \rho_P \geq 0$ holds on $a_q^+(P)$:
- (c) For each $v \in W$ and every $2 \leq \text{Exp}(P_1; v_j f)$ the estimate $\text{Re } \lambda + \rho_{P_1} \geq 0$ holds on $a_q^+(P_1)$:

Proof: By sphericity and the decomposition $G = \text{cl} [P \subset P^{\text{min}} K A_q^+(P) H]$; see [3], Cor. 1.4 and top of p. 232, the estimate (10.2) is equivalent to the requirement that, for each $P \subset P^{\text{min}}$;

$$\sup_{a \in A_q^+(P)} (1 + j \log a)^{d^0} (a)^{-1} k f(a) k < 1 :$$

By [5], Prop. 17.2, there exist constants $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $P \subset P^{\text{min}}$;

$$a^{-P} (a)^{-C} (1 + j \log a)^N a^{-P} (a \in A_q^+(P)) :$$

Therefore, (10.2) is equivalent to the existence of a constant $d^0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $P \subset P^{\text{min}}$;

$$\sup_{a \in A_q^+(P)} (1 + j \log a)^{d^0} a^{-P} k f(a) k < 1 :$$

According to [3], Thm. 6.1, this condition is in turn equivalent to (b). This establishes the equivalence of (a) and (b), for any choice of P^{min} . The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows from the observation that $f v^{-1} P_1 v \subset W g$ is a choice of representatives for $P^{\text{min}} = W_K \backslash H$ combined with the fact that $\text{Exp}(v^{-1} P_1 v; e j f) = v^{-1} \text{Exp}(P_1; v j f)$; for $v \in W$; by [13], Lemma 3.6.

If G has compact center modulo H and $t \in W T(\cdot)$ is a W -invariant even residue weight, then according to [12], Lemma 10.3, there exists, for every choice of Hilbert structure on the space $A^t(X : \cdot)$; a unique endomorphism $\bar{} = \bar{}^t$ of this space, such that

$$K^t(x : y) = \bar{}(x) \bar{}(y) ; \quad (10.3)$$

for $x, y \in X_+$. Here the map $\bar{} : A^t(X : \cdot) \rightarrow V$ is defined by $\bar{}^t(x) = \bar{}(x)$; The corresponding function $\bar{}$ with values in $\text{Hom}(A^t(X : \cdot); V) \rightarrow V$ is a 1-spherical real analytic function on $X : W$ we recall from [12], Lemma 10.3, that $\bar{}$ is self-adjoint and bijective.

In the following we assume that $t \in W T(\cdot)$ is a W -invariant even residue weight and that $F : W$ equip each finite dimensional space $A^t(X_{F,v} : F)$; for $v \in {}^F W$; with a positive definite inner product. Moreover, we equip the direct sum space A_F^t ; defined by (9.2), with the direct sum inner product, denoted $\bar{}_F$. Here and in the following, we use a bar in the notation of an inner product to indicate its sesquilinearity. Moreover, all such inner products will be antilinear in the second variable.

Let $\bar{}_{F,v} = \bar{}_{F,v}^t$ be the analogue of the endomorphism $\bar{}$ for $(X_{F,v} : F)$; and let $\bar{}_F = \bar{}_F^t \in \text{End}(A^t)$ be the direct sum of the $\bar{}_{F,v}$; for $v \in {}^F W$. Then $\bar{}_F$ is self-adjoint and bijective. Moreover, according to [12], Prop. 10.9, see also Lemma 10.2, we have, for $x, y \in X$;

$$K_F^t(x : y) = E_F(\bar{}(x)) \bar{}_F E_F(\bar{}(y)) \quad (10.4)$$

as an identity of $\text{End}(V)$ -meromorphic functions in the variable $\lambda \in a_{F, \text{qc}}$: Here E_F denotes the dual generalized Eisenstein integral, defined by

$$E_F(\lambda; y) := E_F(\lambda; y) \in \text{Hom}(V; A_F^t); \quad (10.5)$$

for $y \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{F, \text{qc}}$:

Lemma 10.3 There exists a locally finite collection H_1 of affine hyperplanes in $a_{F, \text{qc}}$; such that $\forall E_F(\lambda)$ is regular on $a_{F, \text{qc}} \setminus [H_1]$; and such that the following holds. For every $\lambda \in a_{F, \text{qc}} \setminus [H_1]$:

$$\text{span}\{E_F(\lambda; y)v \mid y \in X_+; v \in V\} = A_F^t; \quad (10.6)$$

Proof: Let H_0 be the collection of hyperplanes of Corollary 9.5 and let H_1 be the image of H_0 under the map $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$: In view of (10.5) the function $\forall E_F(\lambda)$ is regular on the complement of $[H_1]$:

Let $\lambda \in a_{F, \text{qc}} \setminus [H_1]$ and let $\lambda \in A_F^t$: Assume that

$$h_j E_F(\lambda; y)v_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in X_+; v \in V.$$

Using (10.5) we see that $E_F(\lambda) = 0$: It now follows from Corollary 9.5 that $u = 0$ for each $u \in {}^F W$: Hence, $\lambda = 0$ and (10.6) follows.

In the following we write $\lambda = P_0$; where P_0 denotes the standard parabolic subgroup in P^{min} :

Lemma 10.4 Let $v \in V$ and $y \in X_+$: Then the family $f: (\lambda; x) \mapsto K_F^t(\lambda; x; y)v$ belongs to $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$: Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \text{reg } f$ and each $u \in {}^F W$:

$$\text{Exp}(P_0; u \cdot f) \in W^F(\lambda + (F)) \cap N; \quad (10.7)$$

where (F) denotes the finite subset of R_+^F introduced in (8.7).

Proof: The first assertion follows from (10.4) and Theorem 9.3.

According to [12], Prop. 3.1, the function $\forall E_F(P_0; \lambda; y)v$ belongs to the space $M(a_{\text{qc}}; \cdot) \subset C(\cdot)$: Combining this with [13], Lemma 14.3, we deduce that the family $h: a_{\text{qc}} \rightarrow X_+ \rightarrow V$; defined by

$$h(\lambda; x) = \sum_{s \in {}^F W} E_{+, s}(P_0; \lambda; x) E_F(P_0; \lambda; y)v$$

belongs to $E_0^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$; hence to $C_0^{\text{ep, hyp}}(X; \cdot)$: We note that if $s \in {}^F W$ and $\lambda \in N$; then

$$q_{s, \lambda}(P_0; u \cdot h) \notin 0 \quad s \in {}^F W; \quad (10.8)$$

Put $P_0 := P_0 \setminus M_F$ and define the Laurent functional $L \in M(a_{F,qc}; F)_{\text{laur}}$ by

$$L = \sum_{\alpha \in (F)} \text{Res}^{P_0, \alpha};$$

then $\text{supp } L \subset (F)$: It follows from (8.7) combined with [11], Theorem 3.14, that $f = L \circ h$: From [13], Prop. 13.2 (b), it now follows that there exists an open dense subset $a_{F,qc}$ such that, for $\alpha \in (F)$;

$$\text{Exp}(P_0; u \circ j \circ f) = f \circ s(\alpha) \circ j \circ s \circ W; \alpha \in (F); \alpha \in N; q \in s; (P_0; v \circ j \circ h) \notin 0g:$$

In view of (10.8) this implies that the inclusion (10.7) holds for $\alpha \in (F)$: From $f \in E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ it follows in particular that there exists a finite subset $Y \subset a_{F,qc}$ such that $f \in C_{F,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X_+; \cdot)$: The canonical map $W \rightarrow W_F$ restricts to a bijection $s \circ j$ from W_F onto $W = W_F$: For $s \in W_F$ we put $E_s = s(F) + N$: We now apply Lemma 7.2, with $Q = P_F; P = P_0$; so that $W = P_F \setminus W_F$; and with E as just defined, for $\alpha \in W_F$: Then it follows that the inclusion (10.7) holds for $\alpha \in \text{reg } f$:

Theorem 10.5 Let $\alpha \in A_F^t$ and $p \in \text{reg}(f)(a_{F,qc})$: Then $g(\cdot; x) \circ p(\cdot) \in E_F(\cdot; x)$ defines a family in $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$: Moreover, for each $v \in W$ and every $\alpha \in \text{reg } g$;

$$\text{Exp}(P_0; v \circ j \circ g) = W^F(\alpha + (F)) \cap N; \quad (10.9)$$

where (F) denotes the finite subset of $R_+ F$ introduced in (8.7).

Proof: The first assertion follows from Theorem 9.3. By Lemma 10.3 there exists a $\alpha_0 \in a_{F,qc}$ and elements $y_j \in X_+$ and $v_j \in V$; for $1 \leq j \leq r$; such that $\{F \circ E_F(\alpha_0; y_j)v_j; 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ is a basis for A_F^t : Define meromorphic A_F^t -valued functions on $a_{F,qc}$ by $j := F \circ E_F(\cdot; y_j)v_j$; for $1 \leq j \leq r$: By standard arguments involving analyticity and linear algebra it follows that $\{j(\cdot); 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ is a basis for A_F^t ; moreover, $\alpha \in A_F^t$ may be expressed as a linear combination $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j(\cdot) j(\cdot)$; with meromorphic functions $c_j: a_{F,qc} \rightarrow C$: Using (10.4) we now deduce that

$$g(\cdot; x) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j(\cdot) p(\cdot) K_F^t(\cdot; x; y_j)v_j;$$

as an identity of meromorphic functions in the variable $\alpha \in a_{F,qc}$: From Lemma 10.4 it follows that there exists a dense open subset $a_{F,qc}$ such that $j \circ g$ is regular on $a_{F,qc}$ and such that, for $\alpha \in (F)$; the inclusion (10.9) is valid. From $g \in E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ it follows that there exists a finite subset $Y \subset a_{F,qc}$ such that $g \in C_{F,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X_+; \cdot)$: By the same argument as at the end of the proof of Lemma 10.4 we now conclude that the inclusion (10.9) is valid for every $\alpha \in \text{reg } g$:

Corollary 10.6 Let notation be as in Theorem 10.5. Then, for each $g \in \mathfrak{a}_{F_q} \setminus \text{reg } g$;

$$g \in A_{\text{temp}}(X :):$$

Proof: Let $g \in \mathfrak{a}_{F_q} \setminus \text{reg } g$: Then from (10.9) it follows that every $(P_0; v)$ -exponent of g is of the form $\alpha = s(\alpha +)$; with $s \in W^F$; $\alpha \in (F)$ and $\alpha \in N$: Now $(F) \subset R_+^F$; hence $s \in R_+^F$: It follows that $\text{Re } \alpha = s \in R_+^F$; hence $\text{Re } \alpha > 0$ on $A_q^+(P_0)$: In view of Lemma 10.2, this implies that $g \in A_{\text{temp}}(X :)$:

11 Initial uniform estimates

In this section we shall derive estimates for the generalized Eisenstein integrals $E_F(\cdot)$; with uniformity in the parameter $g \in \mathfrak{a}_{F_{qc}}$; from similar estimates for the normalized Eisenstein integral $E(\cdot) = E(P_0 : \cdot)$: The idea is that estimates of the latter survive the application of certain Laurent functionals.

We start with an investigation of the type of estimates involved. For $Q \in P$ and $R \in R$; we define

$$a_{Q,q}(Q; R) := \{f \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q} \mid \text{Re } h_i \leq R; \quad 1 \leq i \leq r(Q)\}:$$

The closure of this set is denoted by $a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$: It is readily seen to consist of all elements $f \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$ with $\text{Re } h_i \leq R$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r(Q)$:

In the following lemma we assume that S is a finite subset of $\mathfrak{a}_{Q,q} \setminus \{0\}$ and we use the notation of Section 4.

Lemma 11.1 Let $R \in R$; $p \in S(a_{Q,q})$; $u \in S(a_{Q,q})$ and $n \in N$: Then for every real number $R' < R$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ with the following property.

Assume that V is any complete locally convex space, s a continuous seminorm on V and $b > 0$ a constant. Moreover, let $f: a_{Q,q}(Q; R) \rightarrow V$ be a holomorphic function satisfying the estimate

$$s(p(\cdot)f(\cdot)) \leq (1 + |j|)^n e^{b \text{Re } j};$$

for all $f \in a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$: Then

$$s(uf(\cdot)) \leq C(1 + |j|)^n e^{b \text{Re } j};$$

for all $f \in a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$:

Proof: It suffices to prove this on the one hand for $u = 1$ and p arbitrary and on the other hand for $p = 1$ and u arbitrary. In the first case the proof is essentially the same as that of Lemma 6.1 in [5], which is based on an application of Cauchy's integral formula.

In the second case the proof relies on a straightforward application of Cauchy's integral formula.

Let H be a \mathbb{R} -convexification in a_{qc} : For $Y \subset a_{qc}$ a finite subset, we define the $\mathbb{R}(Q)$ -convexification $H_Q(Y) = H|_{a_{qc}(Y)}$ in a_{qc} as in (4.6), with $L = a_{qc}$ and $S = Y$; see also [13], text preceding Lemma 11.8. Thus, for $Y \subset a_{qc}$ we have

$$Y \subset a_{qc} \implies [H_Q(Y)] \subset [H] \text{ and } H_Q(Y) = \bigcap_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L} \\ L \supset Y}} L \cap H_Q(Y) = \bigcap_{\substack{L \in \mathcal{L} \\ L \supset Y}} L \cap H_Q(Y) + a_{qc} \cap H_Q(Y).$$

Let now $L \in \mathcal{L}(a_{qc}; Q)_{\text{aur}}$ have support contained in the finite subset Y of a_{qc} : For any locally convex space V we have an associated continuous linear operator L as in (4.7). The following result expresses the continuity with uniformity in the space V :

Lemma 11.2 Let $H; Y; L$ be as above, and let $d: H \rightarrow N$ be a map. Then there exists a map $d^0: H_Q(Y) \rightarrow N$ with the following property. For any locally convex space V ; the prescription

$$L[f] \mapsto L[f + d^0]$$

defines a continuous linear operator

$$L: \mathcal{M}(a_{qc}; H; d; V) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(a_{qc}; H_Q(Y); d^0; V):$$

Proof: This is Lemma 11.8 of [13].

A real $\mathbb{R}(Q)$ -convexification H^0 in a_{qc} consists of hyperplanes of the form $H_{js} := \bigcap_{i=1}^s a_{qc}(j_i)$; $i = 1, \dots, s$; with $j_i \in \mathbb{R}(Q)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$: The convexification H^0 is called Q -bounded if there exists a constant $s_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $H_{js} \subset H^0$ $\iff s \leq s_0$; for all $j_i \in \mathbb{R}(Q)$; $s \in \mathbb{N}$: See [11], text before Lemma 3.1, for the similar notion for Q -minimal.

Lemma 11.3 Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$; $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{min}}$ and $P \subset Q$: Let $Y \subset a_{qc}$ be a finite subset.

- (a) If H is a P -bounded real \mathbb{R} -convexification in a_{qc} ; then $H_Q(Y)$ is a Q -bounded real $\mathbb{R}(Q)$ -convexification in a_{qc} :
- (b) If H^0 is a Q -bounded real $\mathbb{R}(Q)$ -convexification in a_{qc} ; then for every $R \in \mathbb{R}$ the collection $\{H \cap H^0 \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n a_{qc}(Q; R_i) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}; R_i \in R\}$ is finite.
- (c) If H^0 is as in (b), then for every $R \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $R_+ > R$ such that $H \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n a_{qc}(Q; R_i) \subset H^0$ $\iff R_+ \leq R_i$ for every $H \in H^0$:

Proof: There exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the hyperplanes in H are all of the form H_{jt} ; with $t \in (P)$ and $t \in [t_0, 1]$: Let $Y \subset a_{qc}$ and assume that $Y \cap H_{jt}$ intersects a_{qc} in a proper hyperplane H^0 : Then it follows that the restriction $\pi_Y|_{H_{jt} \cap a_{qc}}$ is non-zero, hence belongs to $\mathbb{R}(Q)$: Moreover, $H^0 = H_{js}$ with $s = t$ $\iff j_i = t$ for all i : Let m be the maximum of the numbers j_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ $\in (P)$ $\cap Q$

and $2 \leq Y$ and put $t_0 = t_0 - m$. Then it follows that every hyperplane from $H_Q(Y)$ is of the form $H_{j,s}$ with $2 \leq r(Q)$ and $s \leq s_0$. This establishes (a).

To prove (b), $x \in 2 \leq r(Q)$ and put $I_{j,R} = \{s \in 2 \leq R \mid H_{j,s} \cap H_{j,s} \setminus a_{Q,q}(Q;R) \neq \emptyset\}$; for every $R > 0$. Then it suffices to show that $I_{j,R}$ is finite. Since H is locally finite, the set $I_{j,R}$ is discrete, and since H is Q -bounded, the set $I_{j,R}$ is bounded from below. If $h \in H_{j,s} \setminus a_{Q,q}(Q;R)$; then $s = h_j h_i$ R : It follows that the set $I_{j,R}$ is bounded from above by R . Hence, $I_{j,R}$ is finite.

For (c) we observe that $R \leq R^0 \leq I_{j,R} \leq I_{j,R^0}$. Fix $R^0 > R$. Using that I_{j,R^0} is discrete, we see that we may choose $R_+ \in 2 \leq R; R^0[$ sufficiently close to R so that $I_{j,R_+} = I_{j,R}$ for all $2 \leq r(Q)$. The constant R_+ has the required property.

If H^0 is a Q -bounded real $r(Q)$ -configuration in $a_{Q,qc}$; and $d^0: H^0 \rightarrow N$ a map, then, for $R \in 2 \leq R$; we define the polynomial function Q_{j,R,d^0} on $a_{Q,qc}$ in analogy with (4.3) by

$$Q_{j,R,d^0} := \prod_{H \in H} d^0(H)^{j_H};$$

where the product is taken over the collection of $H \in 2 \leq H^0$ whose intersection with $a_{Q,q}(Q;R)$ is non-empty; this collection is finite by Lemma 11.3 (b). It follows from Lemma 11.3 (c) that $Q_{j,R,d^0} = Q_{j,R_+,d^0}$ for $R_+ > R$ sufficiently close to R :

Proposition 11.4 Let $Q \in 2 \leq P$; $P \in 2 \leq P^{m \text{ in}}$ and $P \subset Q$: Let $Y \subset a_{Q,q}$ be a finite subset and let $L \in 2 \leq M(a_{Q,qc}; Q)_{\text{laur}}$ be a Laurent functional with $\text{supp } L \subset Y$: Let H be a P -bounded $-$ configuration in a_{qc} ; $d: H \rightarrow N$ a map, and let $d^0: H_Q(Y) \rightarrow N$ be associated with the above data as in Lemma 11.2. Let $M > \max_{2 \leq Y} |R_e - j|$ and assume that $R, R^0 \in 2 \leq R$ are constants with $Y \subset a_{Q,q}(Q;R^0) \subset a_q(P;R)$ for some $R < R$:

There exists a constant $k \in 2 \leq N$ and for every $n \in 2 \leq N$ a constant $C > 0$ with the following property.

If V is a complete locally convex space, s a continuous seminorm on V ; $b > 0$ a positive constant and $'$ a function in $M(a_{qc}; H; d; V)$ satisfying the estimate

$$s(P_{j,R,d}(')) \leq (1 + |j|)^n e^{bRe - j};$$

for all $2 \leq a_q(P;R)$; then the function $L' \in 2 \leq M(a_{Q,qc}; H_Q(Y); d^0; V)$ satisfies the estimate

$$s(Q_{j,R^0,d^0}(L')) \leq C (1 + |j|)^{n+k} e^{bM} e^{bRe - j}$$

for all $2 \leq a_{Q,q}(Q;R^0)$:

Proof: It suffices to prove this for the case that $\text{supp } L$ consists of a single point $y_0 \in 2 \leq Y$: Let H_0 be the collection of $H \in 2 \leq H$ containing $y_0 + a_{Q,qc}$: Then for every $H \in 2 \leq H_0$ there is a unique indivisible root $2 \leq Q \setminus (P)$ such that

$H = \varphi_0 + \varphi_c$: We define the affine function $l_H : a_{qc} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $l_H(\varphi) = h_{\varphi_0} + \varphi_c$.
Then $H = l_H^{-1}(0)$: We define the polynomial function $q_0 : a_{qc} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$q_0 = \sum_{H \in H_0} l_H^{d(H)} :$$

From the definition of the space of Laurent functionals in $M(a_{qc}; \mathbb{Q})_{\text{laur}}$ supported at φ_0 ; see x4, it follows that there exists a $u \in S(a_{qc})$ such that on a function $f \in (q_0 j_{a_{qc}})^{-1} O_{\varphi_0}$ the action of the Laurent functional is given by $Lf = u(q_0 j_{a_{qc}} f)(\varphi_0)$: It follows that for $\varphi' \in M(a_{qc}; H; d; V)$ and $\varphi \in a_{qc} \cap [H_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y)]$:

$$L(\varphi'(\varphi_0 + \varphi)) = u(q_0(\varphi')(\varphi_0 + \varphi))(\varphi) = u(q_0'(\varphi_0 + \varphi)) :$$

As in the proof of [11], Lemma 1.2, we infer that there exist a polynomial function $\varphi \in \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}}(a_{qc})$ and finitely many $q_j \in P(a_{qc})$ and $u_j \in S(a_{qc})$; all independent of $V; s; b$ and φ' ; such that

$$(\varphi) u(q_0'(\varphi_0 + \varphi)) = \sum_j q_j(\varphi) u_j(\varphi; R; d')(\varphi_0 + \varphi); \quad (11.1)$$

for $\varphi \in a_{qc}(\mathbb{Q}; R^0)$: Multiplying both sides of (11.1) with a suitable polynomial function we see that we may as well assume that $\varphi = \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{Q}; R^0; d^0$; for some $\varphi_0 \in \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}}(a_{qc})$: We obtain

$$\varphi_0(\varphi)(\varphi) = \sum_j q_j(\varphi) u_j(\varphi; R; d')(\varphi_0 + \varphi); \quad (11.2)$$

where we have written $\varphi = \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{Q}; R^0; d^0$ $L(\varphi')$:

Let k be the maximum of the degrees of the polynomials q_j : Then there exists a constant $D > 0$; independent of $V; s; b$ and φ' ; such that for every j :

$$|q_j(\varphi)| \leq D(1 + |\varphi|)^k; \quad (\varphi \in a_{qc}): \quad (11.3)$$

Put $m = \max_{j \in J} \deg q_j$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $m + 2 < M$: We may select constants $R_+^0 > R^0$ and $R < R^0$ such that $Y + a_{qc}(\mathbb{Q}; R_+^0) \subset a_{qc}(P; R)$: Adapting R_+^0 if necessary, we may in addition assume that

$$\mathbb{Q}; R_+^0; d^0 = \mathbb{Q}; R^0; d^0; \quad (11.4)$$

see the text preceding the proposition.

Let now $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b > 0$; and assume that φ' satisfies the hypotheses of the proposition. It follows from Lemma 11.1, applied with P in place of \mathbb{Q} ; that there exist constants $C_j > 0$; independent of $V; s; b$ and φ' ; such that

$$|u_j(\varphi; R; d')(\varphi)| \leq C_j(1 + |\varphi|)^n e^b e^{bR|\varphi|}; \quad (11.5)$$

for $\varphi \in a_{qc}(P; R)$:

Using the estimate $1 + j_0 + j \leq (1 + j_0 j)(1 + j j)$ and combining (11.2), (11.3) and (11.5), we obtain

$$s(\cdot)(\cdot)(\cdot) \leq C^0(1 + j)^{n+k} e^b e^{b(\operatorname{Re} j + m)}; \quad (2 a_{Q,q}(Q; R_+^0));$$

with

$$C^0 = D \left(\prod_j C_j \right) (1 + j_0 j)^n;$$

From (11.4) we see that the function is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_{Q,q}(Q; R_+^0)$. We may therefore apply Lemma 11.1 with $j_0; C^0$ and $[e^{b + km}]^{-1} s$ in place of $f; p; u$ and s ; and with $R_+^0; R^0$ in place of $R; R$, respectively. Using that $m + 2 < M$; we obtain the desired estimate, with $C > 0$ a constant that is independent of $V; s; b$ and ϵ :

In the rest of the section we shall apply the above results to Eisenstein integrals. We start with a suitable estimate for Eisenstein integrals associated with minimal μ -parabolic subgroups.

Lemma 11.5 Let $P \in P^{\min}$. Then there exists a P -bounded real μ -conjugation H in $a_{q,c}$ and a map $d: H \rightarrow N$ such that the function $\forall E(P; \cdot)$ belongs to the space $M(a_{q,c}; H; d; C^1(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(C; V))$:

Let $R \in R$ and let $p \in (a_q)$ be a polynomial such that the function $\forall p(\cdot) E(P; \cdot)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_q(P; R)$. Then there exists a constant $r > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in N$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$kp(\cdot) E(P; \cdot; u; x) \leq C (1 + j j)^n e^{(r + \operatorname{Re} j) l_x(x)}; \quad (11.6)$$

for all $\cdot \in a_q(P; R)$ and $x \in X$: (See (10.1) for the definition of the function l_x .)

Proof: First assume that $\cdot = \#$; defined as in [9], text after Eqn. (28), with $\#$ a finite subset. Then for $x \in A_q$ the estimate (11.6) follows from [5], Corollary 16.2 and Proposition 10.3, combined with the fact that $E(P; \cdot) = E^{-1}(P; \cdot)$; see [9], Eqn. (52). In view of the decomposition $X = K A_q(eH)$; the estimate now follows for general $x \in X$ by sphericity of the Eisenstein integral. Finally, for general \cdot the estimate follows by application of the 'functorial' dependence of the Eisenstein integral on \cdot ; see [9], top of p. 527.

We can now prove the following analogous result for the generalized Eisenstein integral.

Proposition 11.6 Let $F \in F$; $v \in {}^F W$ and let $t \in W T(\cdot)$ be a W -invariant even residue weight. Assume that the finite dimensional space $A^t(X_F; v; F)$ is equipped with any inner product.

There exists a P_F -bounded, real $\epsilon_F(F)$ -hyperplane conjugation H_F in $a_{F,q,c}$ and a map $d_F: H_F \rightarrow N$ such that $\forall E_F(\cdot)$ belongs to the space

$$M(a_{Q,q,c}; H_F; d_F; C^1(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A_F^t; V)):$$

Moreover, if $R^0 \in R$ and if p is any polynomial in ${}_r(F)(a_{F,Q})$ such that $\forall p(\cdot)E_{F,V}(\cdot)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_{F,Q}(P_F; R^0)$; then there exist a constant $r > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$; such that

$$kp(\cdot)E_{F,V}(\cdot; u; x)k \leq C(1 + j)^n e^{(r + Re j)l_x(x)}; \quad (11.7)$$

for all $\cdot \in a_{F,Q}(P_F; R^0)$ and $x \in X$:

Remark 11.7 This result is a sharpening of the estimate given in [12], Lemma 10.8.

Proof: According to Lemma 9.6 (b,c), the generalized Eisenstein integral may be expressed as

$$E_{F,V}(\cdot; x) = L[E(P_0 : \cdot; x)_{F,V}](\cdot); \quad (11.8)$$

with $L \in M(a_{F,Q}; F)_{\text{laur}}$ $C_{F,V}$ a Laurent functional whose support is contained in a finite subset $Y \subset a_{Q,Q}$; Let $H; d$ be associated with $P = P_0$ as in Lemma 11.5. Let $H_F := H_F(Y)$ and $d_F := d^0$ be associated with the data $P = P_0; Q = P_F; H; d$; L as in Lemma 11.2, then H_F is a real P_F -bounded ${}_r(F)$ -conjugation, by Lemma 11.3. The first assertion of the proposition follows by application of Lemma 11.2.

Fix $R \in R$ such that $a_{F,Q}(P_F; R^0) + Y \subset a_Q(P_0; R)$ for some $R < R$; Let r be the constant of Lemma 11.5 applied with $P_0; R$ and $_{P_0; R; d}$ in place of $P; R$ and p ; respectively. Fix $u \in U(g)$; Then according to Lemma 11.5 there exist constants $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_0 > 0$; such that for all $x \in X$;

$$k_{_{P_0; R; d}}(\cdot)E(P_0 : \cdot; u; x)_{F,V}k \leq C_0(1 + j)^{n_0} e^{(r + Re j)l_x(x)};$$

for all $\cdot \in a_Q(P_0; R)$; Let $x \in X$; We apply Proposition 11.4 to the function $' = ' _x$ from $M(a_{Q,Q}; H; d; \text{Hom}({}_{F,V}; V))$; given by

$$' _x = E(P_0 : \cdot; u; x)_{F,V};$$

with the constant $b = l_x(x)$ and the seminorm $s = C_0^{-1} e^{-rb} k \cdot k$; Let $M > \max_{Y \subset Re j}$; Then we obtain the estimate

$$k_{_{P_F; R^0; d_F}}(\cdot)L(' _x)(\cdot)k \leq C_1(1 + j)^{n_0 + k} e^{(r + Re j + M)l_x(x)};$$

for $\cdot \in a_{F,Q}(P_F; R^0)$; with constants $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1 > 0$ that are independent of x ; We now note that

$$\begin{aligned} L(' _x) &= L(E(P_0 : \cdot; u; x)_{F,V})(\cdot) \\ &= L(E(P_0 : \cdot)_{F,V})(\cdot)(u; x) \\ &= E_{F,V}(\cdot; u; x); \end{aligned}$$

as a meromorphic identity in $\cdot \in a_{Q,Q}$; The second of the above identities involves the interchange of u and L ; which is allowed by the continuity of L ;

see Lemma 11.2. The third identity is obtained by application of (11.8). Thus, we obtain, for all $x \in X$ and all $u \in a_{F,q}(P_F; R^0)$; the estimate

$$\|k_{P_F; R^0; d_F}(\cdot) E_{F,V}(\cdot; u; x)\| \leq C_1 (1 + |j|)^{n_0 + k} e^{(r + \Re(j) + M) l_X(x)};$$

This proves the result for the particular polynomial $p = k_{P_F; R^0; d_F}$. For p equal to a multiple of $k_{P_F; R^0; d_F}$ the result now also follows, since any polynomial from $P_d(a_{F,q})$; $d \geq 2$; can be estimated from above by a function of the form $C(1 + |j|)^d$.

Let p now be an arbitrary element of $a_{F,q}(a_{F,q})$ satisfying the hypothesis. Fix $R_+^0 > R^0$. Then an estimate of type (11.7) holds on $a_{F,q}(P_F; R_+^0)$ with $p_{P_F; R_+^0; d_F}$ in place of p . By application of Lemma 11.1 this implies an estimate of the form (11.7), with the required dependences of the constants.

12 Spaces of residue type

By $L_d^2(X)$ we denote the discrete part of $L^2(X)$; i.e., the closed span in $L^2(X)$ of all the irreducible closed subspaces of $L^2(X)$. Accordingly we define

$$L_d^2(X : \cdot) := (L_d^2(X) \cap V) \setminus L^2(X : \cdot);$$

For the following definition we recall from Section 8 that the data $(G; H; K; a_q; \cdot)$ together with a W -invariant even residue weight $t \geq W_T(\cdot)$ determine the continuous linear operator $T^t : C_c^1(X : \cdot) \rightarrow C^1(X : \cdot)$. If G has a compact center modulo H ; then this operator is given by the formula (8.9).

Definition 12.1 The pair $(G; H)$ is said to be of residue type if the following conditions are fulfilled.

- (a) The group G has a compact center modulo H ;
- (b) The pair $(K; a_q)$ can be selected in such a way that for every finite dimensional unitary representation ρ of K ; every choice \cdot^+ of positive roots for ρ and every W -invariant even residue weight $t \geq W_T(\cdot)$; the operator $T^t : C_c^1(X : \cdot) \rightarrow C^1(X : \cdot)$ is the restriction of the orthogonal projection $L^2(X : \cdot) \rightarrow L_d^2(X : \cdot)$;

Remark 12.2 The above definition is given for technical reasons. It plays a role in a long inductive reasoning at the end of which it will be shown that in fact $(G; H)$ is of residue type as soon as G has a compact center modulo H ; see Theorem 21.2.

Remark 12.3 If $a_q = f_0 g$; then X is compact and the operator T is understood to be the identity operator of $C_c^1(X : \cdot)$. Thus, in this case the above conditions are fulfilled. In particular, for every $v \in N_K(a_q)$; the pair $(M; M \setminus vHv^{-1})$ is of residue type.

Remark 12.4 It follows from Lemma 8.10 that the notion of residue type is stable under isomorphisms of reductive pairs.

Remark 12.5 Let ${}^8K \subset G$ be a second θ -invariant maximal compact subgroup, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ the associated Cartan decomposition, and ${}^8a_q \subset \mathfrak{q} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ an associated maximal abelian subspace. Then there exists a (unique) $g \in \exp(\mathfrak{h} \setminus \mathfrak{p})$ such that $gKg^{-1} = {}^8K$; see [31], p. 153. Now $\text{Ad}(g)a_q$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$; hence there exists an element $k \in {}^8K_e \setminus H_e$ such that $\text{Ad}(kg)a_q = {}^8a_q$. Let $\gamma : G \rightarrow G$ be conjugation by kg ; then γ maps the data $(G; H; K; a_q)$ onto $(G; H; {}^8K; {}^8a_q)$. In view of Lemma 8.10 it follows that the requirement (b) for the pair $(K; a_q)$ is equivalent to the similar requirement for the pair $({}^8K; {}^8a_q)$:

We recall from [5], x 17, that the Schwartz space $C(X; \cdot)$ is defined to be the space of functions $f \in C^1(X; \cdot)$ such that, for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

$$s_{u,n}(f) := \sup_{x \in X} (1 + |x|)^n \langle x \rangle^{-1} k u f(x) k < 1; \quad (12.1)$$

see also the beginning of x 10. The Schwartz space is equipped with the Fréchet topology determined by the seminorms $s_{u,n}$. By [3], Lemma 7.2, the operators from $D(X)$ act on $C(X; \cdot)$ by continuous linear endomorphisms. We define $A_2(X; \cdot)$ to be the space of $D(X)$ -finite functions in $C(X; \cdot)$:

Lemma 12.6 Let the pair $(G; H)$ be of residue type. Then, for every W -invariant even residue weight $t \in W(T(\cdot))$;

$$A^t(X; \cdot) = L_d^2(X; \cdot) = A_2(X; \cdot); \quad (12.2)$$

In particular, the space $A_2(X; \cdot)$ is finite dimensional.

Proof: It follows from Definition 12.1 (b) and the continuity of $T^t : C_c^1(X; \cdot) \rightarrow C^1(X; \cdot)$ that $T^t(C_c^1(X; \cdot))$ is dense in $L_d^2(X; \cdot)$. By Lemma 9.1 it follows that $A^t(X; \cdot)$ is dense in $L_d^2(X; \cdot)$. By finite dimensionality of the first of these spaces, the first equality in (12.2) follows. In particular, it follows that the space $L_d^2(X; \cdot)$ consists of smooth $D(X)$ -finite functions; by [3], Thm. 7.3 with $p = 2$ it is therefore contained in $A_2(X; \cdot)$. Conversely, if $f \in A_2(X; \cdot)$; then f is K -finite and $D(X)$ -finite. Hence, by a well known result of Harish-Chandra its $(\mathfrak{g}; K)$ -span in $C(X; V)$ is a $(\mathfrak{g}; K)$ -module of finite length. The latter's closure in $L^2(X; V)$ is therefore a finite direct sum of irreducible representations. Moreover, the mentioned closure contains the closed G -span of f in $L^2(X; V)$; hence, $f \in L_d^2(X; \cdot)$:

Assume that G has compact center modulo H and that $t \in W(T(\cdot))$ is a W -invariant even residue weight. We recall that a choice of Hilbert structure on the space $A^t(X; \cdot)$ uniquely determines an endomorphism $\tau^t \in \text{End}(A^t(X; \cdot))$ such that (10.3) holds.

Lemma 12.7 Assume that the pair $(G; H)$ is of residue type and let $t \in W(T)$ be a W -invariant even residue weight.

- (a) Assume that the space $A^t(X; \cdot)$ is equipped with the restriction of the inner product from $L^2(X; V)$; see (12.2). Then the endomorphism determined by (10.3), equals $\int_X j^{-1}$ times the identity operator of $A^t(X; \cdot)$.
- (b) The kernel K^t is independent of the residue weight t :

Proof: It follows from (12.2) that the real analytic 1-spherical function e on X attains its values in $\text{Hom}(L_d^2(X; \cdot); V) \cong V \otimes L_d^2(X; \cdot)$. Hence, $e(y) \otimes e(y)$ is a real analytic 1-spherical function on X ; with values in $\text{Hom}(V; L_d^2(X; \cdot))$.

We define the continuous linear operator $P: C_c^1(X; \cdot) \rightarrow L_d^2(X; \cdot)$ by

$$Pf = \int_X e(y) f(y) dy.$$

Then one readily verifies that $hPfj = hfj$ for every $f \in L_d^2(X; \cdot)$. It follows that P equals the restriction to $C_c^1(X; \cdot)$ of the orthogonal projection $L^2(X; \cdot) \rightarrow L_d^2(X; \cdot)$. Hence, $P = T^t$. Combining this with (8.9) we obtain that, for all $x \in X$ and all $f \in C_c^1(X; \cdot)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X j^{-1} K^t(x; y) f(y) dy &= \int_X T^t f(x) = e(x) (Pf) \\ &= \int_X e(x) e(y) f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e(x) \otimes e(y)$ and $K^t(x; \cdot)$ are smooth and 1-spherical functions on X ; with values in $\text{End}(V)$; it follows from the above identities that $\int_X j^{-1} K^t(x; y) = e(x) \otimes e(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. This implies (10.3) with $\int_X j^{-1} I_{L_d^2(X; \cdot)}$. Hence, (a) holds. Assertion (b) is now immediate.

13 The normalized Eisenstein integral

In this section we shall define the normalized Eisenstein integral for the class of parabolic subgroups introduced in the following definition.

Definition 13.1 A parabolic subgroup $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is said to be of residue type (relative to H) if for every $v \in N_K(a_q)$ the pair $(M_P; M_P \setminus vHv^{-1})$ is of residue type. A subset F is said to be of residue type if the associated standard parabolic subgroup P_F is of residue type.

Remark 13.2 In view of Remark 12.4 it suffices to require the above condition for v in a choice of representatives $P \backslash W \cap N_K(a_q)$ of $W_P \backslash W = W_K \setminus H$:

Remark 13.3 The above definition allows a long inductive argument, at the end of which it will be shown that every parabolic subgroup from \mathcal{P} is of residue type, see Theorem 21.2; see also Remark 12.2.

Definition 13.4 Two parabolic subgroups $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ are said to be associated if their \mathbb{A} -split components $a_{P, \mathbb{A}}$ and $a_{Q, \mathbb{A}}$ are conjugate under W . The equivalence relation of associatedness is denoted by \sim :

Lemma 13.5 Assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of residue type. Then every $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $Q \sim P$ has the same property.

Proof: If $Q \sim P$; there exists a $k \in N_K(a_Q)$ such that $a_{Q, \mathbb{A}} = \text{Ad}(k)a_{P, \mathbb{A}}$. From this it follows that $M_Q = kM_Pk^{-1}$: If $v \in N_K(a_Q)$; then $M_Q \setminus vHv^{-1}$ equals $k(M_P \setminus wHw^{-1})k^{-1}$; with $w = k^{-1}v \in N_K(a_Q)$. The pair $(M_P, M_P \setminus wHw^{-1})$ is of residue type, and by Remark 12.4 we conclude that $(M_Q, M_Q \setminus vHv^{-1})$ is of residue type as well.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. We equip the space $X_{P, \mathbb{A}}$; for $v \in {}^P W$; with the invariant measure $dx_{P, \mathbb{A}}$ specified at the end of Section 5. Accordingly, we equip the space $A_2(X_{P, \mathbb{A}} : P)$ with the Hilbert structure induced by that of $L^2(X_{P, \mathbb{A}}; V)$; for $v \in {}^P W$; see Lemma 12.6. Moreover, we define the finite dimensional Hilbert space $A_{2, P} = A_{2, P, {}^P W}$ as the formal direct Hilbert sum

$$A_{2, P} := \bigoplus_{v \in {}^P W} A_2(X_{P, \mathbb{A}} : P); \quad (13.1)$$

We agree to denote by $\text{pr}_{P, \mathbb{A}} : A_{2, P} \rightarrow A_2(X_{P, \mathbb{A}} : P)$ the natural projection operator, for $v \in {}^P W$; and by $\mathfrak{p}_{P, \mathbb{A}}$ the associated natural embedding operator.

In the following we shall use the characterization of the generalized Eisenstein integral by its asymptotic behavior, see Theorem 9.3, to define an Eisenstein integral for arbitrary parabolic subgroups of residue type.

Proposition 13.6

- (a) Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. For every $\lambda \in A_{2, P}$ there exists a unique family $E(P : \lambda) \in E_P^{\text{hyp}}(X : \lambda)$ with the following property. For all \mathfrak{m} in a non-empty open subset of $a_{P, \mathbb{A}}$; each $v \in {}^P W$; every $X \in a_{P, \mathbb{A}}$ and every $m \in X_{P, \mathbb{A}}; +$;

$$q_{P, \mathbb{A}}(P; v j E(P : \lambda); X; m) = \lambda_v(m);$$

- (b) Let F be of residue type. Then, for every W -invariant even residue weight $t \in W(T)$; the space A_F^t equals $A_{2, F}^t := A_{2, P_F}^t$; here we assume that in the definition of both spaces the same set ${}^F W$ has been used. Moreover, for every $\lambda \in A_{2, F}$;

$$E(F : \lambda : x) = E_F(\lambda : x);$$

for all $x \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{F, \mathbb{A}}$:

Proof: Uniqueness follows from Theorem 6.10. Thus, it suffices to establish existence. We will first do this for P of residue type and equal to a standard parabolic subgroup P_F ; with F : Let $t \in W(T)$ be any W -invariant even residue weight. Let t be the induced residue weight of F : Then it follows from Lemma 12.6, applied to the pair $(M_F; M_F \setminus vHv^{-1})$; that $A^t(X_{F,v} : F) = A_2(X_{F,v} : F)$; Moreover, if $t \in A_2(X_{F,v} : F)$; then $E(P_F :) := E_F()$ satisfies the desired property, by Theorem 9.3.

Now assume that P is general and of residue type, let ${}^P W \subset N_K(a_q)$ be a choice of representatives for $W_P \backslash W = W_K \setminus H$ and let $t \in A_{2,P}$; There exists a $u \in N_K(a_q)$ such that $u^{-1}Pu = P_F$; with F : Moreover, P_F is of residue type, by Lemma 13.5. The set ${}^F W = u^{-1}({}^P W)$ is a choice of representatives for $W_F \backslash W = W_K \setminus H$ in $N_K(a_q)$; For $v \in {}^P W$; let μ_u be the linear isomorphism from $C^1(X_{F,\mu^{-1}v,t} : F)$ onto $C^1(X_{P,v,t} : P)$; defined as in [13], Eqn. (3.24). We define the function $t \in A_{2,P}$ by $\mu_u^{-1}v = \mu_u^{-1}v$; for $v \in {}^P W$; Define the meromorphic family $f : a_{p,qc} \rightarrow C^1(X :)$ by

$$f(x) = E(P_F : t : u^{-1} : x);$$

for $x \in X$ and generic $t \in a_{p,qc}$; By Theorem 9.3 and Lemma 6.11, the family f belongs to $E_p^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$; Moreover, it follows from [13], Lemma 3.6, that, for $v \in {}^P W$ and in a dense open subset of $a_{p,qc}$;

$$\begin{aligned} q_{P_F}(P; v, jf) &= \text{Ad}(u^{-1}) \mu_u [q_{P_F}(P_F; u^{-1}v, jf)] \\ &= \mu_u^{-1}v = v : \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the result with $E(P :) = f$:

From the uniqueness assertion in Proposition 13.6 it follows that the meromorphic function $E(P :) : a_{p,qc} \rightarrow C^1(X :)$ depends linearly on :

Definition 13.7 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. For $t \in A_{2,P}$; let $E(P :)$ denote the unique family in $E_p^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$ of Proposition 13.6 (a).

The meromorphic $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; V))$ -valued function $E(P :) = E(X : P :)$ on $a_{p,qc}$; defined by

$$E(P : : x) = E(P : t : : x);$$

for $t \in A_{2,P}$; $x \in X$ and generic $t \in a_{p,qc}$; is called the normalized Eisenstein integral associated with the parabolic subgroup P (and the choice ${}^P W$).

The meromorphic $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(V; A_{2,P}))$ -valued function $E(P :)$ on $a_{p,qc}$ defined by

$$E(P : : x) = E(P : t : : x);$$

for $t \in A_{2,P}$; $x \in X$; and generic $t \in a_{p,qc}$; is called the dual Eisenstein integral associated with P :

Remark 13.8 At the end of the sequel to this paper, [14], we will show that the normalized Eisenstein integral introduced above coincides with the one introduced by J. Carmona and P. Delorme in [17], essentially as sums of matrix coefficients of generalized principal series representations.

The following result describes the dependence of the normalized Eisenstein integral on a member P of a class in $P = W$; as well as on the choice of $^P W$:

Lemma 13.9 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type, let $s \in W$; and let $Q := sP s^{-1}$: Let $^P W$ and $^Q W$ be choices of representatives in $N_K(a_q)$; for $W_P nW = W_K \setminus H$ and $W_Q nW = W_K \setminus H$; respectively. Then there exists a unique linear map $R_P(s): A_{2,P} \rightarrow A_{2,Q}$ such that

$$E(Q; s : x) R_P(s) = E(P : x); \quad (13.2)$$

for $x \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{P,qc}$: The map $R_P(s)$ is bijective and unitary.

Proof: Left multiplication by s induces a bijective map $W_P nW = W_K \setminus H \rightarrow W_Q nW = W_K \setminus H$: via the natural bijections $^P W \rightarrow W_P nW = W_K \setminus H$ and $^Q W \rightarrow W_Q nW = W_K \setminus H$ we transfer the induced map to a bijection $s: ^P W \rightarrow ^Q W$:

Let $\lambda \in a_{2,P}$ and define the meromorphic family $f: a_{Q,qc} \rightarrow C^1(X : \lambda)$ by

$$f(x) = E(P; s^{-1} : x); \quad (13.3)$$

for $x \in X$ and generic $\lambda \in a_{Q,qc}$: Then $f \in E_Q^{hyp}(X : \lambda)$; by Lemma 6.11. Select $v \in ^P W$: we may select a representative u_s in $N_K(a_q)$ of a Weyl group element from sW_P such that $u_s v = s(v)w_s$ for some $ew_s \in N_K \setminus H(a_q)$: Note that $X_{Q, \lambda_{s(v)}} = X_{Q, s(v)}$: Hence, we may define the bijective linear map

$$\lambda_{u_s}: A_2(X_{P, \lambda v} : \lambda) \rightarrow A_2(X_{Q, s(v)} : \lambda)$$

as in [13], Eqn. (3.24). This map is unitary in view of the choice of invariant measures on $X_{P, \lambda v}$ and $X_{Q, s(v)}$; specified at the end of Section 5.

It follows from [13], Lemmas 3.7 and 3.6, that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\lambda_Q}(Q; s(v) j f) &= q_{\lambda_Q}(Q; u_s v j f) \\ &= \text{Ad}(u_s^{-1}) \lambda_{u_s} \lambda_{s^{-1}}(P; v j f) \\ &= \lambda_{u_s v}; \end{aligned} \quad (13.4)$$

for generic $\lambda \in a_{Q,qc}$: Hence, by Definition 13.7,

$$f(x) = E(Q : x)^0; \quad (13.5)$$

with $\lambda_{s(v)} = \lambda_{u_s v}$ for $v \in ^P W$: we define the bijective linear map $R_P(s): A_{2,P} \rightarrow A_{2,Q}$ by

$$(R_P(s))_{s(v)} = \lambda_{u_s v}; \quad (13.6)$$

Then $\lambda^0 = R_P(s)$ and (13.2) follows from (13.3) and (13.5) by substituting s for λ : From the definition it follows that $R_P(s)$ is unitary.

To establish uniqueness, let $R_P(s): A_{2,P} \rightarrow A_{2,Q}$ be a linear map. Let $\gamma \in A_{2,P}$; define f as above, and define the meromorphic family $g: a_{Q,qc} \rightarrow C^1(X; \cdot)$ by $g = E(Q; \cdot) R_P(s)$. Then

$$q_{Q,qc}(Q; s(v)jg) = (R_P(s))_{s(v)}: \quad (13.7)$$

Now assume that (13.2) holds. Then $g = f$; combining (13.4) and (13.7) we obtain (13.6).

Let $P \in P$ be of residue type. Then for all $x, y \in X$; the meromorphic $\text{End}(V)$ -valued function on $a_{P,qc}$ given by

$$\mathbb{T} E(P; \cdot; x) E(P; \cdot; y) \quad (13.8)$$

depends a priori on the choice of the set ${}^P W$:

Corollary 13.10 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type. Then for every $x, y \in X$ the function (13.8) is independent of the particular choice of ${}^P W$:

Proof: This follows from application of Lemma 13.9, with $s = 1$:

Proposition 13.11 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type. There exists a P -bounded, real $r_P(P)$ -hyperplane configuration $H = H_P$ in $a_{P,qc}$ and a map $d = d_P: H \rightarrow N$ such that $\mathbb{T} E(P; \cdot)$ belongs to the space

$$M(a_{P,qc}; H; d; C^1(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; V)):$$

Moreover, if $R \in R$ and if p is any polynomial in $r_P(P)(a_{P,qc})$ such that $\mathbb{T} p(\cdot) E(P; \cdot)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood $a_{P,qc}(P; R)$; then there exist a constant $r > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in N$ and $C > 0$; such that

$$\|p(\cdot) E(P; \cdot; u; x)\| \leq C(1 + \|j\|)^n e^{(r + Re \|j\|) \|x\|}; \quad (13.9)$$

for all $\cdot \in a_{P,qc}(P; R)$ and $x \in X$:

Proof: We first assume that $P = P_F$ with $F \in F$: In this case, $E(P; \cdot) = E_F(\cdot)$; by Proposition 13.6. Hence, the result follows from Proposition 11.6 by summation over ${}^F W$; see (9.14) and (9.2).

Next, let P be general. There exists a $s \in W$ such that $sP s^{-1} = P_F$; by Lemma 3.6 (c). Since P is of residue type, P_F is of residue type as well, see Lemma 13.5. By Lemma 13.9 and Proposition 13.6 there exists a unitary map $R_P(s): A_{2,P} \rightarrow A_{F,2}$ such that

$$E(P_F; s \cdot; x) R_P(s) = E(P; \cdot; x)$$

for all x and generic $\cdot \in a_{P,qc}$: The result now follows by application of the first part of the proof.

The following result limits the exponents of the normalized Eisenstein integral along a minimal parabolic subgroup. To formulate it we need the following notation. Let $Q \in P$ and let $P \in P^{\min}$ be such that $P \cap Q = W$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} Q(P) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{F}(P) \mid j_{a_Q} f = 0\}; \\ W^P \mathcal{D} &:= \{f \in W \mid j_t(P) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Let $s \in W$ be the unique element such that $P = sP_0s^{-1}$. Then $s^{-1}Qs$ contains P_0 hence equals P_F for some subset F ; note that F is uniquely determined by Q in view of Lemma 3.6. We define

$$(P \mathcal{D}) := s(F);$$

where (F) is the finite subset of R_+^F introduced in (8.7). We note that $(P \mathcal{D})$ is a finite subset of $R_+^Q(P)$:

Proposition 13.12 Let $Q \in P$ be of residue type and let $P \in P^{\min}$ be contained in Q . Let $\alpha \in A_{2,Q}$ and $q \in \alpha(Q)(a_Q)$. Then $f: (x) \mapsto q(x)E_Q(x)$ defines a family in $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X)$. Moreover, for each $v \in N_K(a_Q)$ and every $\lambda \in \text{reg } f$,

$$\text{Exp}(P; v j f) = W^P \mathcal{D}(\lambda + (P \mathcal{D})) \cap N(P). \quad (13.10)$$

In particular, $f \in A_{\text{temp}}(X)$; for every $\lambda \in \alpha_Q \setminus \text{reg } f$:

Remark 13.13 For P minimal, the assertion about temperedness is due to [5], Thm. 19.2, in view of [9], Eqn. (52). For general P the assertion about temperedness is due to [19], Thm. 1, in view of Remarks 13.3 and 13.8.

Proof: Let $s \in W$ and F be as in the text preceding the corollary. Let the polynomial function $p: a_{F,Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by $p(s) = q(s)$. Then $p \in \alpha_F(F)(a_{F,Q})$. It follows from Lemma 13.9 with P_F in place of P that $f(x) = g(s^{-1}x)$; for $x \in X$ and generic $s \in a_{Q,Q}$; where $g: (x) \mapsto p(x)E_F(x)R_{P_F}(s)$. By Theorem 10.5 the family g belongs to $E_F^{\text{hyp}}(X)$. By Lemma 6.11 it follows that $f \in E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X)$. Moreover, let $\lambda \in \text{reg } f$; then $s^{-1} \in \text{reg } g$ and by the last mentioned theorem it follows that, for every $u \in N_K(a_Q)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Exp}(P_0; u j f) &= \text{Exp}(P_0; u j g_{s^{-1}}) \\ &= W^F(s^{-1} + (F)) \cap N : \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by [13], Lemma 3.6, it follows that, for $v \in N_K(a_Q)$,

$$\text{Exp}(P; v j f) = s \text{Exp}(P_0; s^{-1} v j f);$$

where s is any representative of s in $N_K(a_q)$; We conclude that

$$\text{Exp}(P; v j f) = s W^F s^{-1} (+ s(F)) \quad P \quad N(P):$$

Now $s(F) = (P \mathcal{Q})$ by definition. Moreover, one readily verifies that $s W^F s^{-1} = W^P \mathcal{Q}$: Hence, (13.10) follows. If $t \in W^P \mathcal{Q}$ and $t \in (P \mathcal{Q})$; then $t \in R_+(P)$: Hence, the final assertion follows by application of Lemma 10.2 in the same fashion as in the proof of Corollary 10.6.

In the theory of the constant term, we shall need the following result on the coefficients of the asymptotic expansions of the Eisenstein integral.

Lemma 13.14 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type and let $t \in A_{2,F}$: The family $f: (x) \mapsto E(P: x)$ belongs to $E_{P,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X:)$; for a suitable finite subset $Y \subset a_{P,Q}$: Moreover, the $r(P)$ -conjugation H_f ; defined as in the text before Definition 6.3, is real.

Let $k = \deg_a f$: Then for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}$; $v \in N_K(a_q)$; each $t \in W = Q \mathcal{P}$ and all $t \in Y + N_r(Q)$;

$$q; (Q; v j f) \in P_k(a_{Q,Q}) \subset M(a_{P,Q}; H_f; d_f; C^{-1}(X_{Q,N}: Q)): \quad (13.11)$$

Proof: In view of Lemma 3.6 (a), there exist $s \in W$ and F such that $P = s P_F s^{-1}$: In view of Lemma 13.9 with P and P_F in place of Q and P ; respectively, we may as well assume that $P = P_F$ for some F : In this case we have $E(P:) = E_F()$ by Proposition 13.6. Hence, the result follows from Lemma 9.7.

Lemma 13.15 Let F be of residue type and let $t \in W \cap T()$ be a W -invariant even residue weight. Then, for all $x, y \in X$;

$$K_F^t(: x : y) = j W_F j^{-1} E(P_F : : x) E(P_F : : y); \quad (13.12)$$

as an identity of $\text{End}(V)$ -valued meromorphic functions in the variable $t \in a_{F,Q}$:

In particular, the function K_F^t does not depend on the residue weight t ; nor on the choice of $F \in W$:

Proof: We recall from Proposition 13.6 (b) that $A_F^t = A_{2,F}$: Accordingly, we equip the space A_F^t with the inner product described in the text preceding (13.1). As in the text preceding (10.4), this choice of inner product determines an endomorphism $\pi_{F,N} \in \text{End}(A^t(X_{F,N}: F))$; for each $v \in F W$: The endomorphism $\pi_{F,N}$ is the analogue for the space $X_{F,N}$ of the endomorphism π ; described in (10.3). Thus, $\pi_{F,N} = j W_F j^{-1} I$; by Lemma 12.7. Let $\pi_F \in \text{End}(A_F^t)$ be the direct sum of the $\pi_{F,N}$; for $v \in F W$: Then from (10.4) we obtain that

$$K_F^t(: x : y) = j W_F j^{-1} E_F(: x) E_F(: y);$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and generic $t \in a_{F,Q}$: Now use Definition 13.7 and Proposition 13.6 to conclude the validity of (13.12). It is now obvious that K_F^t does not depend on t ; it follows by application of Corollary 13.10 that it does not depend on $F \in W$ either.

Remark 13.16 In view of Lemma 13.15 we agree to omit it in the notation K_F^t as soon as F is of residue type.

Definition 13.17 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. We define the meromorphic function $K_P : a_{P,qc} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1(X \times X; \text{End}(V))$ by

$$K_P(s : x : y) = j_{P,q}^{-1} E_P(s : x) E_P(s : y);$$

for $x, y \in X$ and generic $s \in a_{P,qc}$:

Remark 13.18 We note that if F is of residue type, then $K_{P_F} = K_F$:

Lemma 13.19 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then, for every $s \in W$ and all $x, y \in X$;

$$K_P(s : x : y) = K_{sP s^{-1}}(s : x : y)$$

as a meromorphic identity in $a_{P,qc}$:

Proof: Put $Q = sP s^{-1}$: Since the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ specified in Section 5, is W -invariant, the normalized measures d_P and d_Q are s -conjugate. Moreover, since $W_Q = sW_P s^{-1}$; we have $j_{Q,q} = j_{P,q}$: The result now follows from combining Definition 13.17 and Lemma 13.9.

Theorem 13.20 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated parabolic subgroups of residue type. Then for every $s \in W$ ($a_{Q,q} \subset a_{P,q}$); and all $x, y \in X$;

$$K_Q(s : x : y) = K_P(s : x : y); \quad (13.13)$$

as an identity of $\text{End}(V)$ -valued meromorphic functions of the variable $s \in a_{P,qc}$:

Proof: Since P and Q are associated, $\dim a_{Q,q} = \dim a_{P,q}$; hence, s is a linear bijection from $a_{P,q}$ onto $a_{Q,q}$: From Corollary 3.5 it follows that there exists $w \in W$ such that $w(a_{P,q}) = a_{Q,q}$ and $w|_{a_{P,q}} = s$:

Assume first that $P = P_F$ and $Q = P_{F^0}$; with F, F^0 : Then the result follows from [12], Lemma 6.2, since $K_F^t = K_{P_F}$ and $K_{F^0}^t = K_{P_{F^0}}$; for any W -invariant even residue weight t ; see Remarks 13.16 and 13.18.

Next assume that P and Q are arbitrary. Then the result follows by using Lemma 3.6 (a) and Lemma 13.19.

14 Eigenvalues for the Eisenstein integral

In this section we investigate the action of $D(X)$ on the normalized Eisenstein integral.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$; we define the algebra homomorphism $\pi_P : D(X) \rightarrow D(X_{1P})$ as in [5], text following (20). Here $X_{1P} := X_{1P, \mathbb{R}} = M_{1P} \setminus H$; Let $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}$ be a \mathbb{Q} -stable Cartan subspace containing $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}$ and let π_b be the associated Harish-Chandra isomorphism from $D(X)$ onto $I(b)$; Let $W_P(b)$ denote the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}$ in $W_P(b)$; and $I_P(b)$ the ring of $W_P(b)$ -invariants in $S(b)$; Moreover, let $\pi_b^{X_{1P}}$ denote the associated Harish-Chandra isomorphism $D(X_{1P}) \rightarrow I_P(b)$; Then we recall from [5], Eqn. (21), that

$$\pi_b^{X_{1P}} \pi_P = \pi_b : \quad (14.1)$$

If $v \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}_Q)$; then following [13], text above Lemma 4.12, we define the algebra homomorphism $\pi_P^v : D(G \rtimes H \rtimes v^{-1}) \rightarrow D(X_{1P, v})$ as π_P for the triple $(G; vH \rtimes v^{-1}; P)$ instead of $(G; H; P)$; Moreover, we define the algebra homomorphism $\pi_{P, v} : D(X) \rightarrow D(X_{1P, v})$ by

$$\pi_{P, v} = \pi_P^v \circ \text{Ad}(v); \quad (14.2)$$

where $\text{Ad}(v)$ denotes the isomorphism $D(X) \rightarrow D(G \rtimes vH \rtimes v^{-1})$ induced by the adjoint action by v ; Since $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}$ is central in M_{1P} ; it follows from (2.3) that

$$D(X_{1P, v})' \subset D(X_{P, v}) \subset S(\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}); \quad (14.3)$$

Accordingly, if $D \in D(X)$; we shall write $\pi_{P, v}(D : \cdot)$ for $\pi_{P, v}(D)$; viewed as a $D(X_{P, v})$ -valued polynomial function on $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}}$; If $D \in D(X)$; $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}}$; and $v \in {}^P W$; then $\pi_{P, v}(D : \cdot) \in D(X_{P, v})$ acts on the space $A_2(X_{P, v} : \lambda)$ (see the text preceding Lemma 12.6) by an endomorphism that we denote by $\pi_{P, v}(D : \cdot)$; The direct sum of these endomorphisms, for $v \in {}^P W$; is an endomorphism of the space $A_{2, P}$; denoted $\pi_P(D : \cdot)$:

Lemma 14.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then

$$D \in E(P : \cdot) \Rightarrow E(P : \cdot) \pi_P(D : \cdot) \subset E(P : \cdot) \quad (D \in D(X)):$$

Proof: Let $\lambda \in A_{2, P}$; Then the family $f : \mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; defined by

$$f(\cdot; x) = E(P : \cdot; x);$$

belongs to $E_P^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$; by Proposition 13.6. Let $D \in D(X)$; The family $D f(\cdot; x) \in D f(x)$ belongs to $E_P^{\text{hyp}}(X : \cdot)$ as well, by Definition 6.6 and [13], Lemma 9.8. Moreover, by [13], Lemma 6.2, there exists a dense open subset \mathcal{U} of $\mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}}$ such that, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$; the element π_P is a leading exponent of f along $(P; v)$; Hence, by [13], Lemma 4.12, it follows that, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}$; $x \in \mathfrak{a}_{P, \mathbb{Q}}$ and $m \in X_{P, v, +}$;

$$\pi_P(P; v \cdot D f)(X; \cdot; m) = \pi_{P, v}(D)'(\exp X m);$$

where the function $\gamma : X_{1P, v;+} \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$\gamma(ma) = a^{-1} q_{-P} (P; v j f; \log a; m); \quad (14.4)$$

for $a \in A_{P, q}$ and $m \in X_{P, v;+}$. By Proposition 13.6, the expression on the right-hand side of (14.4) equals $a^{-1} \gamma(m)$; and we see that

$$q_{-P} (P; v j D f)(X; m) = q_{-P, v} (D :)^{-1} \gamma(m); \quad (14.5)$$

for γ in a dense open subset of $A_{P, qC}$; $m \in X_{P, v;+}$ and $X \in A_{P, q}$:

On the other hand, $\gamma_{-P} (D :)$ is a polynomial $A_{2, P}$ -valued function on $A_{P, qC}$. It readily follows that the family

$$g : (X; x) \mapsto E_{-P} (D : : x)_{-P} (D :)$$

belongs to $E_P^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$. Moreover, by Proposition 13.6, it follows that

$$q_{-P} (P; v j g)(X; m) = q_{-P, v} (D :)^{-1} \gamma(m); \quad (14.6)$$

for each $v \in P \setminus W$; γ in a dense open subset of $A_{P, qC}$; all $X \in A_{P, q}$ and all $m \in X_{P, v;+}$. It follows from (14.5) and (14.6) that the family $D f = g \in E_P^{\text{hyp}}(X :)$ satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 6.10. Therefore, $D f = g$:

In the rest of this section we shall study the eigenvalues of the endomorphism $\gamma_{-P} (D :)$ of $A_{2, P}$. For a start, we collect some facts about the action of $D(X)$ on $A_2(X :)$:

Let $L_d^2(X)$ be the discrete part of $L^2(X)$; defined as in the beginning of Section 12. It follows from [2], Thm. 1.5, that the space $L_d^2(X)$ admits a decomposition as an orthogonal direct sum of closed G -invariant subspaces on each of which $D(X)$ acts by scalars (in the distribution sense). Let b be a β -stable Cartan subspace of \mathfrak{g} ; we denote by $L(X; b)$ the collection of infinitesimal characters $\lambda \in \mathfrak{b}_c$ for which the associated character $\chi(\lambda) = \chi_b(\lambda)$ of $D(X)$ occurs as a simultaneous eigenvalue in the decomposition mentioned.

If X is of residue type, then $D(X)$ acts on the space $A_2(X :)$; which is finite dimensional by Lemma 12.6. This action allows a simultaneous diagonalization by what we discussed above. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{b}_c$; we write

$$A_2(X : : \lambda) := \{ f \in A_2(X :) \mid D f = \chi(\lambda) f; \forall D \in D(X) \};$$

This space depends on λ through its class $[\lambda]$ in $\mathfrak{b}_c/W(\mathfrak{b})$; we therefore also denote it with $[\lambda]$ in place of λ : Let $L(\mathfrak{b}; \lambda) = L(X; b; \lambda)$ denote the collection of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{b}_c$ for which $A_2(X : : \lambda) \neq 0$: Then $L(\mathfrak{b}; \lambda)$ is a finite $W(\mathfrak{b})$ -invariant subset of $L(X; b)$:

We now have the following orthogonal decomposition into joint eigenspaces for $D(X)$:

$$A_2(X :) = \bigoplus_{[\lambda] \in L(\mathfrak{b}; \lambda) \in W(\mathfrak{b})} A_2(X : : \lambda); \quad (14.7)$$

Lemma 14.2 Let $b_1, b_2 \subset q$ be two θ -stable Cartan subspaces. Each element s from $W(b_2 \cup b_1)$; which set is non-empty by Lemma 3.8, maps $L(b_1; \cdot)$ bijectively onto $L(b_2; \cdot)$:

Proof: This follows by application of Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 14.3 Let $P \in P$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$: Then $a_P \setminus \text{Ad}(v)q = a_{P \cdot v}q$:

Proof: $a_P \setminus \text{Ad}(v)q = \text{Ad}(v)(a_{v^{-1}P \cdot v} \setminus q) = \text{Ad}(v)(a_{v^{-1}P \cdot v} \setminus a_q) = a_P \setminus a_q = a_{P \cdot v}q$:

Let now b be a Cartan subspace of q containing a_q and let $v \in N_K(a_q)$: Then $b^v := \text{Ad}(v)b$ is a Cartan subspace of $\text{Ad}(v)q$; which contains a_q : In particular, b^v contains $a_{P \cdot v}q$; hence is contained in the latter's centralizer m_{1P} : We write $b_{P \cdot v} := b^v \setminus m_P$: Then

$$b^v = b_{P \cdot v} \cup a_{P \cdot v}q$$

In view of Lemma 14.3 this is the analogue of the decomposition (2.6) for the Cartan subspace b^v related to symmetric pair $(m_{1P}; m_{1P} \setminus \text{Ad}(v)h)$: The restriction of $\text{Ad}(v)$ to b determines an element of $\text{Hom}(b; b^v)$ that we denote by v : The restriction $v|_{a_q}$ is an element of W : The latter set equals $W(a_q \cup a_q)$; by Corollary 3.5; hence, by Lemma 3.7, applied with $b; a_q; a_q$ in place of $d; b_1; b_2$; there exists an element $s \in W(b)$ such that $s = v$ on a_q : It readily follows that $v \circ s^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(b; b^v)$ equals the identity on $a_{P \cdot v}q$; hence maps b isomorphically onto $b_{P \cdot v}$: Note that this map maps $W(b_P)$ -orbits onto $W(b_{P \cdot v})$ -orbits. The induced map from $b_{P \cdot c} = W(b_P)$ to $(b_{P \cdot v})_c = W(b_{P \cdot v})$ is bijective and depends on v ; but is independent of the particular choice of s : Given $\lambda \in b_{P \cdot c}$; we define

$$A_2(X_{P \cdot v} : P : \lambda) := A_2(X_{P \cdot v} : P : v \circ s^{-1} \lambda) \quad (14.8)$$

Moreover, we define $L_{P \cdot v}(b; \cdot)$ to be the set of $\lambda \in b_{P \cdot c}$ for which the above space is non-trivial. Then

$$v \circ s^{-1} L_{P \cdot v}(b; \cdot) = L(X_{P \cdot v}; b_{P \cdot v}; P : \cdot) \quad (14.9)$$

Thus, $L_{P \cdot v}(b; \cdot)$ is a finite $W(b_P)$ -invariant subset of $b_{P \cdot c}$:

Corollary 14.4 Assume that $P \in P$ is of residue type and let $b \subset q$ be a θ -stable Cartan subspace containing a_q : Then

$$A_{2;P} = \sum_{v \in P \cdot W} \sum_{\lambda \in L_{P \cdot v}(b; \cdot)} \sum_{\mu \in W(b_P)} i_{P \cdot v} A_2(X_{P \cdot v} : P : \mu); \quad (14.10)$$

with a finite orthogonal direct sum of finite dimensional spaces. If $D \in D(X)$ and $\lambda \in a_{P \cdot qc}$; then for every $v \in P \cdot W$ and $\lambda \in L_{P \cdot v}(b; \cdot)$;

$$\rightarrow_{P \cdot v} (D : \lambda) = \sum_b (D : \lambda + \mu) I \quad \text{on} \quad i_{P \cdot v} A_2(X_{P \cdot v} : P : \mu);$$

Proof: By (13.1) the space $A_{2,P}$ is the orthogonal direct sum of the spaces $A_2(X_{P,v} : P)$; as $v \in 2^P W : \text{Fix } v \in 2^P W$: By the assumption on P ; the space $X_{P,v}$ is of residue type, hence $A_2(X_{P,v} : P)$ is finite dimensional and by (14.7) it is the orthogonal direct sum of the spaces $A_2(X_{P,v} : P : 0)$; with $0 \in 2^P L(X_{P,v} : b_{P,v} : P) = W(b_{P,v})$: It now follows from (14.8) and (14.9) that $A_2(X_{P,v} : P)$ is the orthogonal direct sum of the spaces $A_2(X_{P,v} : P : 0)$; for $0 \in 2^P L(X_{P,v} : b_{P,v} : P) = W(b_{P,v})$; moreover, the sum is finite and the summands are finite dimensional. This establishes (14.10), with the asserted properties.

Let $0 \in 2^P L(X_{P,v} : b_{P,v} : P)$: Then by (14.9), $0 = v s^{-1}$ belongs to $L(X_{P,v} : b_{P,v} : P)$: Let now $0 \in A_2(X_{P,v} : P : 0)$: Then, writing $D^v = \text{Ad}(v)D$ for $D \in D(X)$;

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow_{P,v} (D : 0) &= \frac{v}{P} (D^v : 0) \\ &= \frac{X_{P,v}}{b_{P,v}} \left(\frac{v}{P} (D^v : 0) : 0 \right) \\ &= \frac{X_{1P,v}}{b^v} \left(\frac{v}{P} (D^v : 0 + 0) : 0 \right) : \end{aligned}$$

In the last equation we have used that $\frac{X_{1P,v}}{b^v} = \frac{X_{P,v}}{b_{P,v}} I$; in accordance with (14.3). Combining (14.1) for the triple $(G = vH v^{-1}; b^v; P)$ in place of $(G = H; b; P)$ with (14.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow_{P,v} (D : 0) &= \frac{G = vH v^{-1}}{b^v} (D^v : 0 + 0) \\ &= \frac{G}{b} (D : \text{Ad}(v)^{-1} (0 + 0)) \\ &= \frac{G}{b} (D : s \text{Ad}(v)^{-1} (0 + 0)) \\ &= \frac{G}{b} (D : 0 + 0) : \end{aligned}$$

We define $L_P(b; 0) = b_{P,c}$ to be the union of the sets $L_{P,v}(b; 0)$; for $v \in 2^P W$: Moreover, for 0 in this union, we put

$$A_{2,P}(0) := \bigcup_{v \in 2^P W} \rightarrow_{P,v} A_2(X_{P,v} : P : 0) :$$

Corollary 14.5 Assume that $P \in 2^P$ is of residue type. Then

$$A_{2,P} = \bigcup_{2^P L_P(b; 0) = W(b_P)} A_{2,P}(0) :$$

Moreover, if $0 \in 2^P L_P(b; 0)$ and $0 \in A_{2,P}(0)$; then, for every $D \in D(X)$;

$$D E(P : 0) = \frac{G}{b} (D : 0 + 0) E(P : 0) ;$$

as a meromorphic $C^1(X : 0)$ -valued identity in $2^P a_{P,qc}$:

Proof: This follows from Corollary 14.4 combined with Lemma 14.1.

We end this section with a description of the action of $D(X)$ on the dualized Eisenstein integral. For $D \in D(X)$ we define the formal adjoint $D^* \in D(X)$ by

$$\langle D f, g \rangle = \langle f, D^* g \rangle ; \quad (14.11)$$

for all $f, g \in C_c^1(X)$; here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ denotes the inner product from $L^2(X)$. The canonical anti-automorphism $X \mapsto X^*$ of $U(g)$ induces an anti-automorphism of $U(g)^H = U(g)^H \setminus U(g)h' \in D(X)$; which we also denote by $D \mapsto D^*$. If $D \in D(X)$; let \bar{D} be its complex conjugate, i.e. the differential operator with complex conjugate coefficients. Then $D^* = \bar{D}^*$; for every $D \in D(X)$:

We recall from [3], Lemma 7.2, that D restricts to a continuous linear endomorphism of $C(X)$; by density of $C_c^1(X)$ in $C(X)$ it follows that (14.11) also holds for all $f, g \in C(X)$:

Lemma 14.6 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$; $v \in N_K(a_q)$ and $D \in D(X)$. Then

$$P_{P,v}(D) = P_{P,v}(D^*) : \quad (14.12)$$

Proof: We note that $P_{P,e} = P$; hence, for $v = e$; the result follows by the same argument as in [5], proof of Lemma 19.3. For general v the result follows by application of [13], Eqn. (4.12).

Lemma 14.7 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then, for every $D \in D(X)$ and all $\lambda \in a_{P,q}$;

$$\lambda_P(D : \lambda) = \lambda_P(D^* : \lambda) : \quad (14.13)$$

Proof: Let $v \in {}^P W$: The decomposition $X|_{P,v} = X_{P,v} \oplus A_{P,q}$ induces an isomorphism $D(X|_{P,v}) \cong D(X_{P,v}) \oplus S(a_{P,q})$. Accordingly $(u|_P) = u|_P$; for all $u \in D(X_{P,v})$ and $p \in S(a_{P,q})$. Moreover, $p(\lambda) = p(\lambda)$; for $\lambda \in a_{P,q}$. Hence, $(u|_P)(\lambda) = \overline{p(\lambda)}u = [u|_P(\lambda)]$ and we see that $u(\lambda) = u(\lambda)$ for $u \in D(X|_{P,v})$ and $\lambda \in a_{P,q}$. Applying this to (14.12) it follows that for $D \in D(X)$ and $\lambda \in a_{P,q}$ we have $P_{P,v}(D : \lambda) = P_{P,v}(D^* : \lambda)$. By the argument in the text preceding Lemma 15.5, applied to $X_{P,v}$ in place of X ; we infer that

$$\langle P_{P,v}(D : \lambda) f, g \rangle_i = \langle h f, P_{P,v}(D^* : \lambda) g \rangle_i;$$

for all $f, g \in C(X_{P,v} : \lambda)$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ denotes the inner product. In particular, the equation holds for f, g in the subspace $A_2(X : \lambda)$; which is finite dimensional, since P is of residue type. Hence, $\lambda_P(D : \lambda) = \lambda_P(D^* : \lambda)$. By orthogonality of the direct sum decomposition in (13.1), the result follows by summation over $v \in {}^P W$:

Lemma 14.8 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then for every $D \in D(X)$;

$$D E(P : \lambda) = \lambda_P(D^* : \lambda) E(P : \lambda);$$

as a meromorphic identity in $\lambda \in a_{P,q}$:

Proof: By linearity, we may assume that D is real. It then follows from the definition of the dual Eisenstein integral, see Definition 13.7, combined with Lemma 14.1, that

$$D E(P; \cdot) = \sum_{\alpha} (D : \alpha) E(P; \cdot).$$

The lemma now follows by application of Lemma 14.7, in view of the fact that $D = D -$:

15 Uniform tempered estimates

In this section we present straightforward generalizations of results of [5], Sect. 18, to a setting involving families of eigenfunctions on X with holomorphic dependence on a parameter $\lambda \in a_{Q,q}$; where $Q \leq P$: A similar generalization has been given in [19], Sect. 9. The generalized results allow us to sharpen uniformly moderate estimates of type (13.9) to uniform tempered estimates. In particular, we obtain estimates of the latter type for the normalized Eisenstein integral.

We fix $Q \leq P$; a θ -stable Cartan subspace \mathfrak{b} of \mathfrak{q} containing \mathfrak{a}_q and an element $\lambda \in a_{Q,q}$; cf. (2.6). For $\lambda > 0$; we put

$$a_{Q,q}(\lambda) := \{X \in a_{Q,q} \mid \operatorname{Re} X_j < \lambda_j\}.$$

The closure of this set is denoted by $a_{Q,q}(\lambda)$:

Definition 15.1 Let $\lambda > 0$: We define $E(Q; \cdot; \lambda) = E_Q(X; Q; \cdot; \lambda)$ to be the space of smooth functions $f: a_{Q,q}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (a) The function f is holomorphic in its first variable.
- (b) For every $\lambda \in a_{Q,q}(\lambda)$; the function $f: x \mapsto f(\cdot; x)$ satisfies the following system of differential equations

$$Df = (D : \lambda + \cdot)f; \quad (D \in D(X));$$

Note that in this definition it is not required that f is K -finite, or spherical, from the left. We also have the following analogue of the space $M(\cdot; \lambda)$ defined in [5], Sect. 18.

Definition 15.2 Let $\lambda > 0$: A function $f \in E(Q; \cdot; \lambda)$ is called uniformly moderate of exponential rate $r \geq 0$ if for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ there exist constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\|L_u f(x)\| \leq C(1 + \|x\|)^n e^{r\|x\|};$$

for all $\lambda \in a_{Q,q}(\lambda)$ and $x \in X$: The space of all such functions is denoted by $E^{\text{um}}(Q; \cdot; \lambda; r)$:

Lemma 15.3 Let $Q \in P$ be of residue type and let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a polynomial function $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon(Q), \mathbb{R}}(a_{Q,q})$ such that the $C^1(X) = \text{Hom}(A_{2,Q}; V)$ -valued meromorphic function $\mathbb{P}(p)(\epsilon)(Q : \cdot)$ is regular on $a_{Q,q}(\epsilon)$ and such that the following holds. There exists a constant $r > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon \in L_Q(b; \cdot)$; $\epsilon \in A_{2,Q}(\cdot)$ and $\epsilon \in V$; the family $f : (\cdot; x) \mapsto \mathbb{P}(p)(\epsilon)(Q : \cdot; x)$ belongs to $E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot; r)$:

Proof: Let $R > 0$ be such that $a_{Q,q}(\epsilon) = a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$. Then by Proposition 13.11 there exists a polynomial function $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon(Q), \mathbb{R}}(a_{Q,q})$ such that the $C^1(X) = \text{Hom}(A_{2,Q}; V)$ -valued meromorphic function $F : \mathbb{P}(p)(\epsilon)(Q : \cdot)$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$. Moreover, there exists $r^0 > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$kL_u F(x)k \leq C(1 + j)j^n e^{(\epsilon^0 + \text{Re } j)l_X(x)};$$

for $x \in X$; $\epsilon \in a_{Q,q}(Q; R)$. Put $r = r^0 + \epsilon$. Then it follows that F is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_{Q,q}(\epsilon)$ and satisfies the estimates

$$kL_u F(x)k \leq C(1 + j)j^n e^{\epsilon l_X(x)} \quad (15.1)$$

for $x \in X$ and $\epsilon \in a_{Q,q}(\epsilon)$. Let f be defined as in the lemma. Then $L_u f(x) = (L_u F(x))$. Hence, it follows from the above and from Corollary 14.5 that $f \in E(Q : \cdot; r)$. Finally, it follows from the estimates (15.1) that $f \in E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot; r)$:

We also have the following obvious generalization of the notion of uniformly tempered families; see [5], Sect. 18. For $\epsilon \in a_{Q,qc}$ and $x \in X$ we put

$$j(\cdot; x)j = (1 + j)j(1 + l_X(x)):$$

Definition 15.4 Let $\epsilon > 0$. A function $f \in E(Q : \cdot; \epsilon)$ is called uniformly tempered of scale ϵ if for every $u \in U(g)$ there exist constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$jL_u f(x)j \leq Cj(\cdot; x)j^n e^{\epsilon \text{Re } j} l_X(x);$$

for all $\epsilon \in a_{Q,q}(\epsilon)$ and all $x \in X$. The space of all such functions is denoted by $T(Q : \cdot; \epsilon; s)$:

If $f \in E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot; r)$; then for every $\epsilon \in a_{Q,qc}$ the function f belongs to the space $E^1_+; \epsilon(X)$; defined in [5], p. 392, see also p. 387. If g is any function in the latter space, then, viewed as a function on G ; it has an asymptotic expansion along every parabolic subgroup $P \in P$ of the form

$$g(x \exp tX) = \sum_{X \in \mathbb{N}_r(P)} p(Pjg; x; X) e^{t \langle X \rangle};$$

as $t \rightarrow 1^-$; for $x \in G$ and $X \in a_{P,q}^+$. Here Z is a finite subset of $a_{P,qc}$ and there exists a $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the $p(Pjg)$ are smooth functions $G \rightarrow P_d(a_{P,q})$; for all

Moreover, the functions $p(P \cdot jg)$ are uniquely determined, see [5], Theorem 12.8. Accordingly, we may define the set of exponents of g along the parabolic subgroup P by

$$\text{Exp}(P \cdot jg) := \{f \in \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{N}_r(P) \mid p(P \cdot jg) \neq 0\}. \quad (15.2)$$

We define the partial ordering \preceq_P on $a_{P \cdot qC}$ by

$$P \cdot (j) \preceq_P P \cdot (i) \iff i - j \in \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{N}_r(P); \quad (i, j \in a_{P \cdot qC}).$$

The \preceq_P -maximal elements in the set (15.2) are called the leading exponents of g along P : The set of these leading exponents is denoted by $\text{Exp}_L(P \cdot jg)$:

Lemma 15.5 Let $j \in a_{Q \cdot qC}$ and assume that $g \in E_+^1(X)$: Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^{\text{min}}$: Then for every $j \in \text{Exp}_L(P \cdot jg)$ there exists a $s \in W(\mathfrak{b})$ such that $j + P = s(j + j_{a_q})$:

Proof: We recall that $a_q = \mathfrak{b}$: Let $\theta^+(\mathfrak{b})$ be a choice of positive roots for \mathfrak{b} that is compatible with $\theta(P)$: Let \mathfrak{g}_c^+ be the associated sum of the positive root spaces and let \mathfrak{m}_c^+ be its intersection with \mathfrak{m}_c : Let $\alpha := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\text{ad}(j)_{\mathfrak{g}_c^+}] \in \mathfrak{b}_c$ and let $\alpha_0 := \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}[\text{ad}(j)_{\mathfrak{m}_c^+}] \in \mathfrak{b}_k$: Then $\alpha = \alpha_0 + P$:

Let j be a leading exponent along P : Then by [5], Cor. 13.3 and Lemma 13.1, the function $f \in C^1(M_1)$ defined by

$$f(ma) = a^{-p(P \cdot jg; m; \log a)}; \quad (m \in M; a \in A_q)$$

is right $M \setminus H$ -invariant and satisfies the following system of differential equations

$$\frac{0}{P}(\mathfrak{D})' = (\mathfrak{D} : \alpha + j)'; \quad (\mathfrak{D} \in D(X)):$$

Here $\frac{0}{P}$ is defined as in [5], Sect. 2. Now $M \cong M \setminus H \cong M_{10} \setminus H$; naturally, so that f may be viewed as a function in $C^1(X_{10})$: By (14.3) with $P = P_0$ and $v = e$ we have $D(X_{10})' = D(X_0) \otimes S(a_q)$: Since p is polynomial in $\log a$, the second component of the tensor product acts on f with a single generalized eigenvalue $u \in \mathbb{C}$: On the other hand, we recall from [5], Lemma 4.8, that the action of $D(X_0)$ on $C^1(X_0)_{K_0}$ allows a simultaneous diagonalization with eigenvalues of the form $D \in \mathbb{C}^{X_0}(\mathfrak{D} : \alpha_0 + \alpha)$; with $\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{b}_k$: It follows that there exists a $\alpha_0 \in \mathfrak{b}_k$ such that

$$X_0 \left(\frac{0}{P}(\mathfrak{D} : \alpha) : \alpha_0 + \alpha \right) = (\mathfrak{D} : \alpha + j); \quad (\mathfrak{D} \in D(X)):$$

The expression on the left-hand side of this expression can be rewritten as $\frac{P}{P}(\mathfrak{D} : \alpha_0 + \alpha + \alpha_0 + P) = (\mathfrak{D} : \alpha_0 + \alpha + j)$; from which we conclude that $\alpha_0 + \alpha \in 2W(\mathfrak{b})(\alpha + j)$: Since $(\alpha_0 + j)_{a_q} = P$; it follows that $\alpha + P = s(\alpha + j)_{a_q}$; for some $s \in W(\mathfrak{b})$:

We can now generalize [5], Theorem 18.3. For an appropriate formulation we need the following definition.

Definition 15.6 We say that the exponents of a family $f \in E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r)$ are tempered along a minimal \bar{p} -parabolic subgroup $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ if for every $\lambda \in a_{Q,Q}(\mathbb{N})$ the set of exponents $\text{Exp}(P : f : \lambda)$ satisfies the following condition. For every $\lambda \in \text{Exp}(P : f : \lambda)$; there exists a $s \in W(\bar{p})$ such that

- (a) $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$ on $a_Q^+(P)$;
- (b) $\lambda \in s(\bar{p}) + j_{a_Q} \cdot P \cap N(P)$;

We denote by $E_T^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r)$ the space of functions $f \in E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r)$; such that for every $P \in P^{\text{min}}$ the exponents of f along P are tempered.

Remark 15.7 If Q is a minimal \bar{p} -parabolic subgroup, then it follows by application of [5], Thm. 13.7, that $E^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r) = E_T^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r)$:

Theorem 15.8 Let $Q \in P$ and let $r > 0$: Then there exists a $s > 0$ such that for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$;

$$E_T^{\text{um}}(Q : \cdot : r) \subset T(Q : \cdot : s) :$$

Proof: The proof is a straightforward, but somewhat tedious, adaptation of the proof of [5], Theorem 18.3, with trivial alterations because of the change of the parameter set. Moreover, in the proof conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 15.6 are used to keep track of the exponents occurring in the asymptotic expansions considered. In the case that Q is minimal this information is also used, but need not be required additionally, since it can be derived, see Remark 15.7.

Remark 15.9 Another version of Theorem 15.8 is given by [19], Thm. 3. However, in that paper the requirement on the exponents in Definition 15.6 is replaced by the requirement that the function f is tempered for every $\lambda \in ia_Q$: By an additional argument it is then shown that this requirement is equivalent to the one of Definition 15.6, see [19], Lemma 23. We shall not need this result, since by Proposition 13.12 the needed information on the exponents is known for the normalized Eisenstein integrals to which Theorem 15.8 will be applied.

Definition 15.10 Let $Q \in P$; $\lambda \in b_{Q,Q}(\mathbb{N})$; $\epsilon > 0$ and $s > 0$: Then by $T(Q : \cdot : \epsilon; s)$ we denote the space of smooth functions $f : a_{Q,Q}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

- (a) for every $\lambda \in V$ the family $f : (\cdot; x) \mapsto (f(\cdot; x))$ belongs to $T(Q : \cdot : \epsilon; s)$;
- (b) f is \bar{p} -spherical for every $\lambda \in a_{Q,Q}(\mathbb{N})$;

Theorem 15.11 Let $Q \in P$ be of residue type. There exists a polynomial function $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\bar{p}}(Q) \cdot \mathbb{R}(a_{Q,Q})$ and constants $s > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that the meromorphic $C^1(X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{2,Q}; V)$ -valued function $\lambda \mapsto p(\lambda)E(Q : \cdot)$ is holomorphic on $a_{Q,Q}(\mathbb{N})$; and such that the following holds. For each $\lambda \in L_Q(b; \cdot)$ and every $\lambda \in A_{2,Q}(\cdot)$ the family $f : (\cdot; x) \mapsto p(\lambda)E(Q : \cdot; x)$ belongs to $T(Q : \cdot : \epsilon; s)$:

P proof: Fix $\epsilon > 0$: There exist $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$ as in Lemma 15.3. Fix $L_Q(b; \epsilon)$ and $A_{2rQ}(\epsilon)$: Define $f: (\epsilon; \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_x} L_Q(b; \epsilon)$. Let $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and define $F: (\epsilon; \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_x} F_Q(b; \epsilon)$. Then by finite dimensionality of $A_{2rQ}(\epsilon)$ and V it suffices to show that there exist $\epsilon^0 > 0$ and $s > 0$ such that $F \leq T(\epsilon; \epsilon^0; s)$:

There exists a $v \in N_K(a_q)$ such that $P_1 := v^{-1}Pv \cap Q$: The meromorphic $C^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ -valued function ∇f is regular on $a_{Q,q}$ ("): Moreover, from Proposition 13.12 and [13], Lemma 3.6, it follows that, for $2 \leq \text{reg } f$,

Thus, let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U})$ be fixed, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) \in \text{Exp}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U}))$. Then we may select $s \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{U}))$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P}_1(\mathcal{U})) + \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) = s \cup \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U})$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{U})$; see Definition 13.7, it follows from Definitions 6.6, 6.4 and 6.3 that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ep, hyp}}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{U})$; for a suitable finite subset $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U})$. By Definition 6.1 and [13], Lemma 6.2, it follows that $s \cup \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U}) \in \text{Exp}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{U}))$; for \mathcal{U} in an open dense subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{U})$:

$$S_1 \quad P_2 \quad W(b)(+)\dot{j}_{a_g} \quad P;$$
$$= S_P \quad 0 = S_1 \quad P \quad (0 \quad 1) 2 t(+) j_{a_q} \quad P \quad N \quad (P):$$

Let b_q be a σ -stable Cartan subalgebra. If $2 \in b_c$ then by I we denote the kernel of $b_q(\cdot, \cdot)$ in $D(X)$: We denote by $C(X, \cdot)$ the space of L^2 -Schwartz functions on X annihilated by I : If $C(X, \cdot)$ is non-trivial, then it contains

a non-trivial K -finite function f : By a well known result of Harish-Chandra, the closed G -span of f in $L^2(X)$ is a subrepresentation of finite length, hence contained in $L^2_d(X)$: Therefore, $f \in L(X; b)$: Conversely, if $f \in L(X; b)$; then there exists a non-trivial K -finite function $f \in L^2_d(X)$ that is annihilated by I : From [3], Thm. 7.3, it follows that f belongs to $C(X :)$ and we see that the latter space is non-trivial. We conclude that

$$L(X; b) = f \in L(X; b) \cap C(X :) \neq \{0\} \quad (16.1)$$

Theorem 16.1 Assume that the space $L^2_d(X)$ is non-trivial. Then there exists a compact Cartan subspace $t \subset \mathfrak{g}$: Moreover, each $f \in L(X; t)$ belongs to it and is regular with respect to (t) :

Remark 16.2 This result, which plays a crucial role in the description of the constant term of the normalized Eisenstein integral in Section 17, is essentially due to T. Oshima and M. Matsuki, [33]. However, we have to be a bit careful here, since in our situation G is assumed to be of Harish-Chandra's class, whereas in [33] it is assumed that G is semisimple.

Proof: Fix a Cartan subspace $b \subset \mathfrak{g}$ that is fundamental, i.e., its compact part $b_K = b \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is of maximal dimension. Then the assumption that $L^2_d(X)$ is non-trivial is equivalent to the assumption that $L(X; b)$ is non-empty. We must show that under this assumption b is compact, and all elements of $L(X; b)$ belong to Ib and are regular.

Let $X = G_e = G_e \backslash H$ be the connected component of the origin in X : If $f \in L(X; b)$ then restriction defines a linear map $r: C(X :) \rightarrow C(X :)$: Conversely, extension by zero defines a linear embedding $j: C(X :) \rightarrow C(X :)$: Now $r \circ j = I$; hence r is surjective. If the space $C(X :)$ is non-trivial, then by G -invariance it follows that r is non-zero, hence its image is non-trivial. On the other hand, if $C(X :)$ is non-trivial, then $C(X :)$ is non-trivial, by injectivity of j : Thus, from (16.1) we see that $L(X; b) = L(X; b)$: Therefore, we may as well assume that G is connected.

Let a_q be the intersection in a_q of the root spaces $\ker \alpha$; $\alpha \in \Delta$: This space is central in \mathfrak{g} : Hence, $a_q \subset b$ and the group $A_q := \exp a_q$ is central in G :

The algebra $U(a_q)$ naturally embeds into $D(X)$ and into $I(b)$; accordingly, it restricts to the identity on $U(a_q)$: Let $f \in L(X; b)$ and let f be a non-trivial function in $C(X :)$: Then it follows that $R_X f = (X)f$ for all $X \in a_q$: Let $a_0 := \bigcap_{q \in \Delta} a_q$: Then it follows that $f(ax) = a_0 f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $a \in A_q$: Since f is a non-trivial Schwartz function, this implies that $a_0 = 0$:

Let c be the center of \mathfrak{g} : Then it follows that $c_q := c \cap a_q$ is contained in $b \cap \mathfrak{k}$: Let $\mathfrak{g}_1 := [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$: Then $b = c_q \oplus b_1$ with $b_1 = b \cap \mathfrak{g}_1$: Accordingly, $I(b) = U(c_q) \oplus I(b_1)$:

Let G_1 be the analytic subgroup of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_1 and let $H_1 = G_1 \backslash H$: The embeddings $c_q \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ induce embeddings $U(c_q) \subset D(X)$ and $D(G_1) = D(H_1) \subset D(X)$; via which we identify. Accordingly, $D(X) = U(c_q) \oplus D(G_1)$; moreover, the map $D(X) \rightarrow I(b)$ corresponds with the tensor product of $I_{U(c_q)}$ and $I_{D(G_1)}$; the Harish-Chandra isomorphism for $(G_1; H_1; b_1)$:

If $\chi \in L(X; b)$ let $\chi_1 := \chi|_{b_1}$; then $D(G_1 = H_1)$ acts by the character $\chi_1(\cdot : 1)$ on the non-trivial space $C(X : \cdot)$; Restriction to $G_1 = H_1$ therefore induces a map $C(X : \cdot) \rightarrow C(G_1 = H_1; \chi_1)$; which is non-zero by G -invariance of the space $C(X : \cdot)$; Hence, $\chi_1 \in L(G_1 = H_1; b_1)$; If b_1 is contained in k ; then so is b and if $\chi_1 \in L(b_1)$ then $\chi \in L(b)$; nally, if χ_1 is regular, then so is χ ; Therefore, we may as well assume that g is semisimple from the start.

Let $Z(G)$ denote the center of G and put $Z_H := Z(G) \setminus H$; Since Z_H is discrete and central, ${}^8G := G/Z_H$ is a Lie group with algebra naturally isomorphic with g ; The involution θ factors to an involution ${}^8\theta$ of 8G ; Moreover, ${}^8H := H/Z_H$; viewed as a subgroup of 8G ; is an open subgroup of 8G ; The associated symmetric space ${}^8X := {}^8G/{}^8H$ is naturally diffeomorphic with X and it is readily seen that $L(X; b) = L({}^8X; b)$; Therefore, it suffices to prove the assertions for 8X and we see that we may as well assume from the start that $Z_H = \text{feg}$:

From now on we assume that G is connected and semisimple, and that $Z_H = \text{feg}$; The natural map $\pi: G \rightarrow G/H_e$; G/H is a finite covering, hence induces a linear embedding $\pi^*: C(G/H) \rightarrow C(G/H_e)$ by pullback. Via the isomorphism (2.5) we may identify the algebras $D(G/H)$ and $D(G/H_e)$; so that $(Df) = D(\pi^* f)$; for $f \in C(G/H)$; Thus, if $\chi \in L(X; b)$; then the image of $C(G/H : \chi)$ in $C(G/H_e)$ is a non-trivial subspace annihilated by the ideal I ; from which we see that $\chi \in L(G/H_e; b)$; It follows that we may as well assume that H is connected. We will do so from now on.

Let g^d be the dual real form of g_c defined as in Section 2. Via ad we identify g_c with the Lie algebra of the complex adjoint group G_c of G ; accordingly, we denote by $G^d; K^d$ and H^d the analytic subgroups of G_c with Lie algebras $g^d; k^d$ and h^d ; respectively. Via Ad we may identify $K \setminus H$ with a connected subgroup of G_c ; Accordingly, the map $(k; X) \mapsto k \exp X$ is a diffeomorphism from $(K \setminus H) \times i[k \setminus q]$ onto H^d ; Hence, for every finite dimensional representation $(\rho; V)$ of K there exists a unique finite dimensional representation $(\rho^d; V)$ of H^d such that the infinitesimal representations associated with ρ and ρ^d have the same complex linear extension to k_c ; It follows that Flenssted-Jensen's dualization procedure, see [22], Thm. 2.3, defines an injective linear map $f \mapsto f^d$ (denoted $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ in [22]) from the space $C^1(G/H)_K$ of K -finite smooth functions on G/H into the space $C^1(G^d=K^d)_{H^d}$ of H^d -finite smooth functions on $G^d=K^d$; The map is determined by the property that, for every $f \in C^1(G/H)_K$ and all $u \in U(k_c)$;

$$L_u f|_{J_q} = L_u f^d|_{J_q} :$$

We note that the left H^d -types of f^d are all of the form ρ^d ; with ρ a finite dimensional irreducible representation of K ; We also note that for $f \in C^1(G/H)_K$; the condition $f \in L^2(G/H)$ can be entirely rephrased in terms of the function f^d ; in fact it is equivalent to the condition that $L_u f^d|_{J_q} \in L^2(A_q; J da)$; for all $u \in U(k)$; with J the Jacobian associated with the decomposition $G = K A_q H$; see [10], (3.1).

Let $D \mapsto D^d$ denote the natural algebra isomorphism from $D(X)$ onto $D(X^d)$; corresponding to (2.5). Then $(Df)^d = D^d f^d$; for every $f \in C^1(G/H)_K$; Moreover,

over, we recall from the text after (2.5) that $D^d = a_p^d \cdot 1 - b(\mathbb{D})$; where we have written a_p^d for the maximal abelian subspace ${}^d b = b_c \setminus g^d$ of p^d : Now assume that $\alpha \in L(X; b)$: Then there exists a non-trivial K -finite function $f \in C(X : \alpha)$: It follows that $f^d \in C^1(G^d = K^d)_{H^d}$ satisfies the system of differential equations $D f^d = a_p^d(\mathbb{D} : \alpha) f^d$; for $D \in D(G^d = K^d)$:

It follows from the above discussion, that the theorem of [33], p. 359, as well as its proof, can be entirely formulated in terms of the function f^d ; and therefore applies without change, see [33], p. 388, note (i) added in proof. In particular, we may draw the following conclusions. In the notation of the cited theorem, we may take a_p^d as above, and we may select a positive system $(a_p^d)^+$ for (g^d, a_p^d) such that Re is dominant. The hypothesis of part (i) of the cited theorem is fulfilled, since the non-trivial function f belongs to the space $A_K(G = H; M) \setminus L^2(G = H)$; with $\alpha = \alpha$: It follows that b is compact, i.e., is contained in $k \setminus q$: In the cited theorem we may now take $t = b$ and $a_p^0 = ib$: Thus, $a_p^d = a_p^0$; and it follows from part (i) of the cited theorem that α is regular.

We note that $W(a_p^0 \cdot j a_p^d) = W(a_p^d)$; so that the elements $x_j = Ad(x_j) \cdot j a_p^d$ belong to $W(a_p^d)$: It follows from part (iii) of the cited theorem that, for some j , the element $x_j = j a_p^d$ belongs to a_p^d : This implies that $\alpha \cdot a_p^d = ib$:

Corollary 16.3 Let $b \subset q$ be a θ -stable Cartan subspace. If $L(X; b) \neq \emptyset$; then there exists a Cartan subspace $t \subset q$ with $t \subset k$: Moreover, let t be an element of the set $W(t \cdot j b)$; which is non-empty by Lemma 3.8. Then, for every $\alpha \in L(X; b)$; the element $t \cdot \alpha$ belongs to it and is regular relative to the root system $\Phi(t)$:

Proof: Assume that $L(X; b) \neq \emptyset$; Then, by definition, $L_d^2(X) \neq 0$: By Theorem 16.1 there exists a compact Cartan subspace $t \subset q$: Let $t \in W(b; t)$: Then by Lemma 14.2 the element t maps $L(X; t)$ bijectively onto $L(X; b)$: The assertion now follows from Theorem 16.1.

In the rest of this section we fix a Cartan subspace $b \subset q$ containing a_q : If $P \in P$; then the θ -stable Cartan subspace b_P of $m_P \setminus q$ is defined as in the text before (2.6).

Lemma 16.4 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type, $v \in N_K(a_q)$ and assume that $L_{P, v}(b; \alpha) \neq \emptyset$; Then there exist a Cartan subspace $\hat{b} \subset m_{1v} \cdot 1_{P, v} \setminus q$ and an element $t \in W(\hat{b} \cdot j b)$ with the following properties.

- (a) $\hat{b} := \hat{b} \setminus m_{v^{-1}P, v}$ is compact, i.e., contained in k ;
- (b) $t = Ad(v)^{-1}$ on $a_{P, q}$;
- (c) the elements of $tL_{P, v}(b; \alpha)$ belong to $i \hat{b}$ and are regular relative to $(m_{v^{-1}P, v}; \hat{b})$:

Proof: From (14.9) it follows that $L(X_{P,V}; b_{P,V}; P) \notin \mathfrak{g}$; Hence, by Corollary 16.3 there exists a Cartan subspace t of $\mathfrak{m}_P \setminus \text{Ad}(v)(\mathfrak{q})$ that is contained in \mathfrak{k} : Now $\hat{b} = \text{Ad}(v)^{-1}(t - \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})$ is a Cartan subspace of $\mathfrak{m}_{1V^{-1}P^{-1}V} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ that satisfies condition (a), with $\hat{b} = \text{Ad}(v)^{-1}t$:

Fix $t_1 \in W(\hat{b} \cap \mathfrak{j})$: Then $t_1^{-1} \text{Ad}(v)^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q} = \mathfrak{d}b$: Since $\mathfrak{d}b$ is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p}^d ; it follows from Lemma 3.1 (d) that there exists a $t_2 \in W(\mathfrak{b})$ such that $t_2 = t_1^{-1} \text{Ad}(v)^{-1}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$: It follows that $t = t_1 t_2 \in W(\hat{b} \cap \mathfrak{j})$ satisfies requirement (b).

Finally, let $t \in L_{P,V}(\mathfrak{b}; \cdot)$: Then, in the notation of (14.9), the element $t^0 := \text{Ad}(v)s^{-1}$ belongs to $L(X_{P,V}; b_{P,V}; P)$: The element $t^0 = \text{Ad}(v)ts\text{Ad}(v)^{-1}$ belongs to $W(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}^V \cap \mathfrak{j}^V)$ and equals the identity on $\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$; hence restricts to an element of $W(t \cap b_{P,V})$: By Corollary 16.3 it follows that $t^{0,0}$ belongs to it and is regular relative to $(\mathfrak{m}_{P,C}; t)$: We now observe that $t^{0,0} = \text{Ad}(v)t$: Hence, t belongs to $i\hat{b}$ and is regular with respect to $(\mathfrak{m}_{V^{-1}P^{-1}V}; \hat{b})$:

Remark 16.5 Let $P; v; \hat{b}; t$ be as in Lemma 16.4. Then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that, for all $t \in L_{P,V}(\mathfrak{b}; \cdot)$; $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q,C}$ and $D \in D(X)$:

$$b(D : t + s) = \hat{b}(D : t + t) :$$

Corollary 16.6 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type and let $t \in L_P(\mathfrak{b}; \cdot)$: Then $h; i \in \text{Rnf}0\mathfrak{g}$; for each $t \in (\mathfrak{m}_{P,C}; b_P)$:

Proof: Select $v \in P^W$ such that $t \in L_{P,V}(\mathfrak{b}; \cdot)$: Let $\hat{b}; t$ be associated as in Lemma 16.4. Then by (a) and (b) of the mentioned lemma, $t\mathfrak{m}_{P,Q}b = b_P - \mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}$ onto $\hat{b} = \hat{b} - \mathfrak{a}_{V^{-1}P^{-1}V,Q}$; preserving the decompositions. The assertion now follows from Lemma 16.4 (a) and (c).

17 The constant term of the Eisenstein integral

In this section we describe the constant term of the normalized Eisenstein integral, introduced in Definition 13.7. We start by recalling the notion of the constant term introduced in [15].

If $f \in A_{\text{tem},P}(X : \cdot)$; see Def. 10.1, then in particular $f \in A(X_+ : \cdot)$ and f has an expansion of the form (6.1). It follows from Lemma 10.2 (c) combined with [13], Thm. 3.5, that, for each $Q \in P$ and every $v \in N_K(\mathfrak{a}_Q)$:

$$2 \exp(Q; v j f) \in \text{Re} + \mathfrak{q} = 0 \text{ on } \mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q}^+ :$$

We define the function $f_{Q,V} : X_{1Q;V,t} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f_{Q,V}(\mathfrak{m}a) = d_Q(\mathfrak{m}a) \int_X \int_{\mathfrak{a}_Q(Q; v j f; \log a; \mathfrak{m})} 2 \exp(Q; v j f) \text{Re} + \mathfrak{q} = 0$$

form $2 X_{Q, \nu} ; + ; a \in A_{Q, q}$: Here $d_Q : M_{1Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $d_Q(m) = \frac{P}{j \det A d(m) j_{h_Q}}$;
 Note that $d_Q = 1$ on M_Q and on $A_Q \setminus H$: Hence, d_Q factors to a function on
 $X_{1Q, \nu}$; in fact, $d_Q(m a) = a^\circ$; form $2 M_Q$ and $a \in A_{Q, q}$:

We note that, for $v \in N_K(a_q)$; the function $R_v f : x \mapsto f(xv)$ belongs to the
 space $A_{\text{temp}}(G = vH v^{-1} :)$:

Proposition 17.1

- (a) If $u, v \in N_K(a_q)$; then $(R_v f)_{Q, \mu} = f_{Q, \mu v}$:
- (b) The function $f_{Q, \nu}$ extends uniquely to smooth function on $X_{1Q, \nu}$: This
 extension is the unique function in $A_{\text{temp}}(X_{1Q, \nu} : Q)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (d_Q(m \exp tX) f(m \exp tX v) - f_{Q, \nu}(m \exp tX)) = 0 ;$$

for every $m \in M_{1Q}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q, q}^+$:

Proof: The first assertion follows from [13], Lemma 3.7. In view of (a) it
 suffices to prove the second assertion for $v = e$: In this case the assertion follows
 from [15], proof of Thm .1.

Thus, for $v = e$; the function $F_{Q, \nu}$ coincides with the constant term of F
 along Q ; introduced by [15], which in turn generalizes Harish-Chandra's notion
 of the constant term for the case of the group, see [25], Sect. 21, Thm .1. We
 shall therefore call $f_{Q, \nu}$ the constant term of f along (Q, ν) : The following result,
 which generalizes a result of Harish-Chandra, see [25], Sect. 21, Lemma 1, is
 essentially given in [15], Thm .1 (b).

Lemma 17.2 (Transitivity of the constant term) Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that
 $P \subset Q$: Put $P := M_{1Q} \setminus P$: Let $v \in N_K(a_q)$ and $u \in N_{K_Q}(a_q)$: Then

$$(f_{Q, \nu})_{P, \mu} = f_{P, \mu v} :$$

Proof: For $v = u = e$ the result is equivalent to [15], Thm .1 (b). Let now $v \in$
 $N_K(a_q)$ and $u \in N_{K_Q}(a_q)$ be general. Then right translation by u defines a linear
 isomorphism $R_u : A(X_{1Q, \nu} : Q) \rightarrow A(X_{1Q, \mu v} : Q)$: Hence, applying Proposition
 17.1 (b) we find that

$$R_u(f_{Q, \nu}) = f_{Q, \mu v} : \tag{17.1}$$

Applying Proposition 17.1 (a) we see that

$$f_{Q, \mu v} = (R_{uv} f)_{Q, \mu} \quad \text{and} \quad (f_{Q, \nu})_{P, \mu} = (R_u f_{Q, \nu})_{P, \mu} : \tag{17.2}$$

Combining (17.1) with (17.2), and using the first line of the proof and Proposition
 17.1 (a), we finally obtain that

$$(f_{Q, \nu})_{P, \mu} = ((R_{uv} f)_{Q, \mu})_{P, \mu} = (R_{uv} f)_{P, \mu} = f_{P, \mu v} :$$

The following transformation rule for the constant term will also be useful to us. If $u, v \in N_K(a_q)$; we define them as $\mu : C^1(X_{1Q, \mu} : Q) \rightarrow C^1(X_{1uQ, u^{-1}\mu, v} : uQ, u^{-1})$ in accordance with [13], Eqn. (3.24), by

$$\mu'(m) = (u)'(u^{-1}mu); \quad (m \in M_{1uQ, u^{-1}})$$

One readily checks that μ maps $A_{\text{temp}}(X_{1Q, \mu} : Q)$ into $A_{\text{temp}}(X_{1uQ, u^{-1}\mu, v} : uQ, u^{-1})$:

Lemma 17.3 Let $f \in A_{\text{temp}}(X :)$ and let $Q \in P$ and $u, v \in N_K(a_q)$: Then

$$f_{uQ, u^{-1}\mu, v} = \mu f_{Q, \mu} \quad (17.3)$$

Proof: From the definition of d_Q one readily verifies that $d_{uQ, u^{-1}}(um u^{-1}) = d_Q(m)$; for $m \in M_{1Q} :$ The result now follows by a straightforward application of Proposition 17.1 (b). See [13], Lemma 3.6, for a similar proof.

Assume that $i_{P, q}$ is open, and $f : X \rightarrow V$ a smooth map such that $f : x \mapsto f(x)$ belongs to $A_{\text{temp}}(X :)$ for every $Q \in P$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$; we shall write $f_{Q, \mu}$ for the map $X_{Q, \mu} \rightarrow V$ defined by

$$f_{Q, \mu}(m) = (f)_{Q, \mu}(m); \quad (Q \in P; m \in X_{Q, \mu})$$

We now turn our attention to the normalized Eisenstein integral $E(P :)$; where $P \in P$ is assumed to be of residue type. Let P be the set of points in $i_{P, q}$ where the function $\mathbb{1} E(P :)$ is regular. Then for $Q \in P$ and $\mu \in A_{2, P}$; the function $E(P : :)$ belongs to $A_{\text{temp}}(X :)$; see Proposition 13.12. In accordance with the above, we denote its constant term along (Q, v) ; for $Q \in P$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$; by $E_{Q, \mu}(P : :)$:

Proposition 17.4 Assume that $P \in P$ is of residue type. Let $Q \in P$ and $u \in N_K(a_q)$:

- The function $E_{Q, \mu}(P :)$ extends to a meromorphic $C^1(X_{1Q, \mu}; \text{Hom}(A_{2, P}; V))$ -valued function on $a_{P, q}$; with singular set equal to a locally finite union of real $r(P)$ -hyperplanes.
- There exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every $\mu \in A_{2, P}$ and $p \in r(P)(a_{P, q})$ with the property that $\mathbb{1} p(\cdot) E(P : :)$ is regular on $\mathfrak{p}_q(\epsilon)$; the function $\mathbb{1} p(\cdot) E_{Q, \mu}(P : :)$ is regular on $\mathfrak{p}_q(\epsilon)$ as well.
- If $E_{Q, \mu}(P :) \neq 0$; then $W(a_q \cdot ja_{Q, q})$ is non-empty.
- Let $W(a_{P, q} \cdot ja_{Q, q})$ be non-empty. Then there exist unique meromorphic functions $E_{Q, \mu, s}(P : :) : \mathfrak{p}_{qc} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{2, P}; C^1(X_{Q, \mu} : Q))$; for $s \in W(a_{P, q} \cdot ja_{Q, q})$; such that, for all $m \in X_{Q, \mu}$ and $a \in A_{Q, q}$;

$$E_{Q, \mu}(P : : ma) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{P, q} \cdot ja_{Q, q})} a^s E_{Q, \mu, s}(P : : m); \quad (17.4)$$

as an identity of meromorphic functions in the variable $a \in a_{Q, qc}$: The singular locus of any of the meromorphic functions $E_{Q, \mu, s}(P : :)$; for $s \in W(a_{P, q} \cdot ja_{Q, q})$; is the union of a locally finite collection of real $r(P)$ -hyperplanes.

Proof: (a): Let $\alpha \in A_{2,p}$; and define $f: (x) \mapsto E(P: x)$. Then $f \in E_{p,Y}^{\text{hyp}}(X:)$; with $Y = a_{p,q}$ a finite subset, see Lemma 13.14. In particular, it follows that $f \in C_{p,Y}^{\text{ep,hyp}}(X:)$; see Section 6. The set $H := H_f$ is a real $r(p)$ -conjugation in $a_{p,q}$; again by Lemma 13.14.

Let H_0 be the collection of $H \in H$ with $H \setminus ia_{p,q} \notin \mathbb{R}$; Then H_0 is finite, since H is real. For every $H \in H_0$ we select a first degree polynomial function $l_H \in P_1(a_{p,q})$ with $H = l_H^{-1}(0)$; and put

$$f_0 = \sum_{H \in H_0} \prod_{H \in H_0} l_H^{d(H)};$$

with $d = d_f$: Select $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $H \in H; H \setminus a_{p,q}(\epsilon_0) \notin \mathbb{R}; H \in H_0$: Then the family $f^0: (x) \mapsto f_0(x)$ belongs to $O(a_{p,q}(\epsilon_0); C^1(X:))$: Moreover, in view of Lemma 13.14, for every $W = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $Y + N_r(\mathbb{Q})$; the function

$$q^0_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{Q}; u j f) := f_0 q_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{Q}; u j f)$$

belongs to $P_k(a_{p,q}) \subset O(a_{p,q}(\epsilon_0); C^1(X_{\mathbb{Q},u}: \mathbb{Q}))$; here $k = \deg_a f$: It follows from [13], Lemma 12.7, that

$$f^0(m a u) = \sum_{2W = \mathbb{Q}_p} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{Y + N_r(\mathbb{Q})} a q^0_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{Q}; u j f; \log a)(m);$$

for every $m \in X_{\mathbb{Q},u,+}$; and $a \in A_{\mathbb{Q},q}^+(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q},u}(m)^{-1})$; where the second series converges neatly in a : For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}$; the function f^0 belongs to $A_{\text{temp}}(X:)$; see Proposition 13.12. Since Y is real, it follows by uniqueness of asymptotics, for all $m \in X_{\mathbb{Q},u,+}$ and $a \in A_{\mathbb{Q},q}$; that

$$(f^0)_{\mathbb{Q},u}(m a) = \sum_{0.2} \sum_{Y + N_r(\mathbb{Q})} a q^0_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{Q}; u j f; \log a)(m): \quad (17.5)$$

By density and continuity, this expression holds for all $m \in X_{\mathbb{Q},u}$ and $a \in A_{\mathbb{Q},q}$: On the other hand, by the characterization of the constant term in Proposition 17.1 (b), it follows that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{P}$;

$$(f^0)_{\mathbb{Q},u} = f_0(\alpha)(f)_{\mathbb{Q},u}:$$

Using Lemma 13.14 once again, we infer from (17.5) that $\forall E_{\mathbb{Q},u}(P:) = (f)_{\mathbb{Q},u}$ extends to a meromorphic $C^1(X_{1\mathbb{Q},u}: \mathbb{Q})$ -valued function on $a_{p,q}$ with singular set contained in H_f : This establishes (a).

We will first establish the remaining assertions under the assumption that $u = e$:

(b): Let $\alpha \in L_p(b;)$; $\alpha \in A_{2,p}(\mathbb{R})$ and define f as above. For $p \in r(p)(a_{p,q})$ we put $f_p(x) = p(\alpha)f(x)$:

According to Theorem 15.11, there exist $q \in r(a_{p,q}); R(a_{p,q})$ and constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $s_0 > 0$; all independent of α and β ; such that f_q is holomorphic

on $a_{P,Q}(\pi_0)$ and belongs to $T(P; \cdot; \cdot; \pi_0; s_0)$: Let π_1 be any constant with $0 < \pi_1 < \pi_0$: If $p \in L_{P,Q}(a_{P,Q})$ is such that f_p is holomorphic on $a_{P,Q}(\pi_1)$; then clearly $f_p \in T(P; \cdot; \cdot; \pi_0; s_0)$: By a repeated application of Cauchy's integral formula to $f_p(\cdot; x)$; with polydiscs of size $O((1 + l_X(x))^{-1})$; it now follows that $f_p \in T(P; \cdot; \cdot; \pi_1^0; s_0)$; for every π_1^0 with $0 < \pi_1^0 < \pi_1$: See [5], Lemma 6.1, for a more detailed indication of how to use Cauchy's formula.

Let $v \in {}^P W$ be such that $p \in L_{P,Q}(b; \cdot)$; let $\hat{b}; t$ be as in Lemma 16.4 and put $\hat{F} = v^{-1} P v$: Then, in view of Remark 16.5, the family $\hat{f}_p: a_{\hat{P},Q} \rightarrow X \rightarrow V$ defined by $\hat{f}_p(\cdot; x) = f_p(t^{-1} \cdot; x)$ belongs to $T(\hat{P}; \cdot; \cdot; t; \pi_0; s_0)$:

Since $t \in i \hat{b}$; by Lemma 16.4 (c), we may apply [15], Thm. 3, which in turn is based on [5], Thm. 12.9. Let $\pi_1^0 < \pi_1 < \pi_0$ be as above. According to the mentioned theorem there exists a constant $\pi_1^0 > 0$ such that for every $F \in T(\hat{P}; \cdot; \cdot; t; \pi_1^0; s_0)$; and all $m \in X_{1,Q}$; the function $\mathbb{T}(F)_{Q,j_e}$ is holomorphic on $a_{\hat{P},Q}(\pi_1^0)$: From the proof of [15], Thm. 3, it follows that this holds with $\pi_1^0 = m \in (\pi_1^0; \pi_1)$; where $\pi_1 > 0$ is the constant of [15], Lemma 5. The latter constant only depends on \cdot ; the set $L_P(b; \cdot)$ is finite, hence we may choose π_1 simultaneously for all under consideration. We now choose $\pi_1 > 0$ such that $\pi_1 < m \in (\pi_1^0; \pi_1)$: Assume that the hypothesis of part (b) of the theorem is fulfilled. If we apply the above discussion to the functions f_p and $F = \hat{f}_p$; with $\pi_1 = \pi_1^0$; then $\pi_1^0 = \pi_1^0$; and it follows that the function $\mathbb{T}((f_p)_{Q,j_e})(m) = p(\cdot) E_{Q,j_e}(P : : m)$ is holomorphic on $a_{P,Q}(\pi_1^0)$; for all $m \in X_{Q,j_e}$: In view of part (a) of the theorem, it follows that $\mathbb{T} p(\cdot) E_{Q,j_e}(P : \cdot)$ is holomorphic on $a_{P,Q}(\pi_1^0)$ as a function with values in $C^1(X_{1,Q} : Q)$: This holds for every $\pi_1^0 < \pi_1$; whence the desired assertion.

(c): From the hypothesis with $v = e$ it follows that there exists a $p \in L_P(b; \cdot)$ and a $p \in A_{2,P}(\cdot)$ such that $E_{Q,j_e}(P : \cdot) \notin 0$: Let π_P^0 be the set of $p \in P$ such that p is a (b) -regular element of b_c : It follows from Lemma 16.4 that p is regular with respect to $(m_{P,c}; b_P)$: Therefore, π_P^0 is open dense in P ; hence in $i a_{P,Q}$: We infer that we may select $p \in \pi_P^0$ such that $(f)_{Q,j_e} \notin 0$; with notation as introduced in part (a) of this proof.

Fix $v \in {}^P W$ such that $p \in L_{P,Q}(b; \cdot)$: Let $\hat{b}; t$ be as in Lemma 16.4 and put $\hat{F} := v^{-1} P v$: Then t belongs to $i \hat{b}$ and is regular relative to $(m_{\hat{P},c}; \hat{b})$: Now $f \in A_{\text{temp}}(X : \cdot)$ and by Remark 16.5,

$$D f = \hat{b}(D : t + t) f ; \quad (17.6)$$

for all $D \in D(X)$: Since $t \in i \hat{b}$; $t \in i a_{\hat{P},Q}$ and $t + t$ is regular with respect to (\hat{b}) ; it follows from [15], Thm. 2, that the set $W(a_{\hat{P},Q} \rightarrow a_{Q,Q})$ is non-empty. The maps $\mathbb{T} t^{-1} \cdot$ is a bijection from the latter set onto $W(a_{\hat{P},Q} \rightarrow a_{Q,Q})$; which set is therefore non-empty as well.

(d): Uniqueness of the functions $E_{Q,j_e;s}(P : \cdot)$ is obvious, by linear independence of the functions $a \mathbb{T} a^s$ for generic s : We choose s and a as in part (b) of the proof and define f as in part (a). We define the set π_P^0 as in (c). Let π_P^0 be the open dense subset consisting of $p \in P$ with s mutually different, for

$s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$: Let $v, \hat{b}; t; \hat{P}$ be as in part (c) of the proof, and $x \in \mathbb{P}^\infty$: In view of (17.6), it follows from [15], Thm. 2, that there exists a collection of functions $f_{t, s; Q} \in C^1(X_{1Q, e; Q})$; for $s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$; such that

$$(f_{t, s; Q})_Q(m) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})} f_{t, s; Q}(m); \quad (m \in X_{1Q, e; Q});$$

and

$$f_{t, s; Q}(ma) = a^{s^t} f_{t, s; Q}(m); \quad (m \in X_{1Q, e; Q}; a \in A_{Q/Q});$$

Combining these equations, substituting ts for s and writing $f_{s; Q} = f_{t, ts; Q}$; we see that, for all $m \in X_{Q, e}$ and $a \in A_{Q/Q}$;

$$(f_{s; Q})_Q(ma) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})} a^s f_{s; Q}(m); \quad (17.7)$$

For every $s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$ there exists an element $s \in W$ such that $s = s_{j_{Q/Q}}^i$; see Corollary 3.5. It follows that $s = s^{-1} j_{Q/Q}$; for all $s \in W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$: Using the definition of \mathbb{P} we see that the class of s^{-1} in $W = \mathbb{P}$ is uniquely determined by s : We denote this class by s : Comparing (17.5) and (17.7) we see by uniqueness of asymptotics that $X \cdot \mathbb{P}_{s; 0}(Q; e, jf; X)(\cdot)$ is constant as a $C^1(X_{Q, e; Q})$ -valued function on $a_{Q/Q}$ and that

$$f_{s; Q}(m) = q_{s; 0}(Q; e, jf; 0)(\cdot; m);$$

for all $m \in X_{Q, e}$: We define $E_{Q, e; s}(\mathbb{P} : \cdot; m) := q_{s; 0}(Q; e, jf; 0)(\cdot; m)$: Then (17.4) applied to \mathbb{P} follows for $s \in \mathbb{P}$: Finally, the assertions on meromorphy follow from the fact that $q_{s; 0}(Q; e, jf; 0) \in M(a_{P/Q}; H_f; d_f; C^1(X_{Q, e; Q}))$; by Lemma 13.14.

It remains to establish (b)–(d) under the assumption that $u \in N_K(a_Q)$ is arbitrary. Assertion (b) follows from the already established assertion with $u = e$ by application of Lemma 17.3 with $u; e$ in place of $u; v$; respectively.

To prove (c), we assume that $E_{Q, u}(\mathbb{P} : \cdot) \not\equiv 0$ and put $Q^0 = u^{-1}Qu$: Using Lemma 17.3 we infer that $E_{Q^0, e}(\mathbb{P} : \cdot) \not\equiv 0$: Hence, from the already established assertion (c) with $Q^0; e$ in place of $Q; u$ it follows that $W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q^0/Q}) \not\equiv \cdot$: Since $s \mapsto \text{Ad}(u)^{-1} s \mapsto \text{Ad}(u)$ induces a bijection from $W(a_Q \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$ onto $W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q^0/Q})$; it follows that $W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q}) \not\equiv \cdot$:

Finally, assertion (d) follows from the already established assertion with $u^{-1}Qu; e$ in place of $Q; u$ by applying Lemma 17.3 once more in a similar fashion as above.

If $P; Q$ are associated parabolic subgroups in P , see Def. 13.4, then $W(a_{P/Q} \rightarrow a_{Q/Q})$ is a non-empty finite set of isomorphisms from $a_{Q/Q}$ onto $a_{P/Q}$; moreover, the natural left action of $W(a_{P/Q})$ as well as the natural right action of $W(a_{Q/Q})$ on this set is free and transitive.

Proposition 17.5 Assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of residue type. Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated with P and let $v \in N_K(a_Q)$:

Then, for each $s \in W(a_{\mathbb{P}_Q} j a_{Q_Q})$ and every $\lambda \in A_{2,\mathbb{P}}$; the meromorphic $C^1(X_{Q,\nu} : \cdot)$ -valued function $\mathbb{T} E_{Q,\nu;s}(P : \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{g}_{qc} ; defined as in (17.4), attains its values in the finite dimensional space $A_2(X_{Q,\nu} : Q)$:

Proof: Fix $\lambda \in A_{2,\mathbb{P}}$: Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{p}$ and define the function $f \in A_{\text{temp}}(X_{1Q,\nu} : Q)$ by

$$f(m) = E_{Q,\nu}(P : \cdot; m) \quad (m \in X_{Q,\nu})$$

We recall from Section 2 that a_{Q_Q} is maximal abelian in $\text{Ad}(v)q$: Let R_Q be a proper parabolic subgroup of M_Q that contains A_{Q_Q} and is stable under the involution ν : In the notation of Section 2, R_Q is of the form P_X ; for some $X \in a_{Q_Q}$; relative to $(M_Q; \cdot)$ in place of $(G; \cdot)$: Since X is fixed under ν ; it follows that R_Q is ν -stable as well. The ν -split component of the Lie algebra of R_Q equals $a_{R_Q} \setminus \text{Ad}(v)q = a_{R_Q} \setminus a_{Q_Q} = a_{R_Q} \setminus q$; hence equals the ν -split component. We therefore denote it by $a_{R_Q,q}$; the associated positive chamber is denoted by $a_{R_Q,q}^+$:

Since A_Q is central in M_{1Q} ; and stable under both ν and \cdot ; the group $R_{1Q} = R_Q A_Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of M_{1Q} that contains A_Q and is stable under both involutions ν and \cdot : The associated ν -split component equals $A_Q = A_{Q_Q} A_{Q_Q}$; which is also equal to the ν -split component of R_{1Q} : Accordingly, the positive chamber is given by $A_{R_{1Q},q}^+ = A_{R_Q,q}^+ A_{Q_Q}$:

We now claim that every $\lambda \in \text{Exp}(R_{1Q}; e j f)$ satisfies

$$\text{Re} + \lambda_{R_{1Q}} = 0 \text{ on } a_{R_{1Q},q}^+ : \quad (17.8)$$

Indeed, for R_{1Q} minimal this follows from Lemma 10.2. For general R_{1Q} ; it follows by application of [13], Thm. 3.5.

On the other hand, it is readily seen that $R = R_{1Q} N_Q$ is an element of P and that $R_{1Q} = R := R \setminus M_{1Q}$: By application of Lemma 17.2,

$$f_{R_{1Q},e} = E_{R,\nu}(P : \cdot) :$$

From $R_Q \subset M_Q$ we infer that $R \subset Q$; hence $a_{Q_Q} \subset a_{R_Q}$; from which we see that $\dim a_{R_Q} > \dim a_{\mathbb{P}_Q}$; hence, $W(a_{\mathbb{P}_Q} j a_{R_Q}) = \cdot$: From Proposition 17.4 (b) it now follows that the function on the right-hand side of the above equality is zero. We conclude that $f_{R_{1Q},e} = 0$ for every R_Q as above. By definition of the constant term it follows that every $\lambda \in \text{Exp}(R_{1Q}; e j f)$ satisfies $\text{Re} + \lambda_{R_{1Q}} \notin 0$ in addition to (17.8). Put $f_s = E_{Q,\nu;s}(P : \cdot)$: Then

$$f(am) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{\mathbb{P}_Q} j a_{Q_Q})} a^s f_s(m);$$

form $\lambda \in X_{Q,\nu}$ and $a \in A_{Q_Q}$: It follows that every f_s belongs to $A_{\text{temp}}(X_{Q,\nu} : Q)$: Moreover, every $\lambda \in \text{Exp}(R_Q; e j f_s)$ satisfies $\text{Re} + \lambda_{R_Q} = 0$ on $a_{R_Q,q}^+$ and $\text{Re} + \lambda_{R_Q} \notin 0$: In particular, if R_Q is a maximal ν -stable parabolic subgroup in M_Q ; it follows that every exponent $\lambda \in \text{Exp}(R_Q; e j f_s)$ satisfies $\text{Re} + \lambda_{R_Q} < 0$ on $a_{R_Q,q}^+$: This implies that $(f_s)_{R_Q,e} = 0$; hence, $f_s \in A_2(X_{Q,\nu} : Q)$; by [9], Prop. 12.

Let $s \in W(a_{P,Q} \rtimes a_{Q,Q})$. We have shown that the function $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow E_{Q,P;S}(\cdot)$ attains its values in $A_2(X_{Q,P} : Q)$ for $s \in P$. Since P is of residue type, Q is also of residue type by Lemma 13.5; hence, by Lemma 12.6, $A_2(X_{Q,P} : Q)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $C^1(X_{Q,P} : Q)$. By meromorphy it now follows that γ is $A_2(X_{Q,P} : Q)$ -valued.

If $P, Q \in P$ are associated, then $s \mapsto s^{-1}$ defines a bijection from $W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$ onto $W(a_{P,Q} \rtimes a_{Q,Q})$. In this case we write, for $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$;

$$s := (s^{-1})^{-1} = s^{-1}; \quad (s \in a_{P,Q^c}):$$

Definition 17.6 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type, and let $Q \in P$ be associated with P . For each $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$ we define the meromorphic $\text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$ -valued function $C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{p}_{Q^c} by

$$[C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)]_v = E_{Q,P;S^{-1}}(\cdot); \quad (v \in 2^Q W):$$

Corollary 17.7 Let $P \in P$ be of residue type and let $Q \in P$ be associated with P . For each $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$; the $\text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$ -valued meromorphic function $C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)$ on \mathfrak{p}_{Q^c} has a singular locus equal to a locally finite union of real $r_P(P)$ -hyperplanes.

Let $\lambda \in a_{P,Q}$ be a regular point for $E(P : \cdot)$ and the C -functions $C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)$; as $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$. Let $\lambda \in A_{2,P}$. Then the function $E(P : \cdot)$; which belongs to $A_{\text{temp}}(X : \cdot)$ by Proposition 13.12, has the following constant term along $(Q; v)$; for $v \in 2^Q W$;

$$E_{Q,P}(P : \lambda; m) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})} a^s [C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)]_w(m); \quad (17.9)$$

for all $m \in X_{Q,P}$ and $\lambda \in A_{Q,Q}$:

Proof: This is an immediate consequence of Propositions 17.4 and 17.5 combined with Definition 17.6.

Remark 17.8 Formula (17.9) above generalizes Harish-Chandra's formula for the constant term of the normalized Eisenstein integral in [24], Thm. 5-6, see also [26], Thm. 14.1. Accordingly, the functions $C_{Q,P}(s : \cdot)$; for $s \in W(a_{Q,Q} \rtimes a_{P,Q})$; will be called normalized C -functions.

In the context of reductive symmetric spaces, formalizing the above result is due to [5], Eqn. (133), in view of [9], Eqn. (52). For general P the result is due to [17], Eqn. (5.3). See also Remark 13.8.

Remark 17.9 Note that it follows from the characterization of the normalized Eisenstein integral in Proposition 13.6 that

$$C_P(1 : \cdot) = I; \quad (s \in a_{P,Q^c}):$$

18 The Maass-Selberg relations

In this section we derive the Maass-Selberg relations for the normalized C -functions. As a first step we use the vanishing theorem to prove the following functional equation for the Eisenstein integral.

Proposition 18.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type and let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated with P . Then, for each $s \in W(a_{Q,q} \setminus \mathfrak{a}_{P,q})$ and all $x \in X$;

$$E(P; \cdot; x) = E(Q; s \cdot x) C_{Q,P}(s \cdot);$$

as a meromorphic identity in $s \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$:

Proof: Fix $\gamma \in A_{2,P}$: By Corollary 17.7, the function $\gamma C_{Q,P}(s \cdot)$ belongs to $M(a_{P,q}; H; A_{2,Q})$; for some locally finite collection H of $r(P)$ -hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$: It follows that the function $\gamma C_{Q,P}(s \cdot s^{-1})$ belongs to $M(a_{Q,q}; sH; A_{2,Q})$: Here sH is a locally finite collection of $r(Q)$ -hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$: By Proposition 13.6, the family $(\gamma; x) \mapsto E(Q; \cdot; x) \gamma$ belongs to $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$; for every $\gamma \in A_{2,Q}$: We conclude that the family

$$f: (\gamma; x) \mapsto E(Q; \cdot; x) C_{Q,P}(s \cdot s^{-1}) \quad (18.1)$$

belongs to $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$ as well. For γ in the complement of a locally finite union of hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$; the function f belongs to $A_{\text{tem},P}(X; \cdot)$; and its constant term along $(Q; v)$; for $v \in W$; is given by

$$(f)_{Q,v}(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{t \in W(a_{Q,q} \setminus \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q})} a^t [\text{pr}_v C_{Q,P}(t \cdot) C_{Q,P}(s \cdot s^{-1})](\mathfrak{m});$$

see Corollary 17.7. Taking Remark 17.9 into account, we see that $q_{1,0}(Q; v \cdot j f)(\gamma; \mathfrak{m}) = [\text{pr}_v C_{Q,P}(s \cdot s^{-1})](\mathfrak{m})$; for all $\gamma \in X$, $\mathfrak{m} \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in X_{Q,v,+}$: By application of [13], Thm. 7.7, Eqn. (7.14), it follows that

$$q_{1,0}(Q; v \cdot j f; X)(\gamma; \mathfrak{m}) = [\text{pr}_v C_{Q,P}(s \cdot s^{-1})](\mathfrak{m}); \quad (18.2)$$

for generic $\gamma \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$; $X \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in X_{Q,v,+}$: By meromorphy this actually holds as an identity of meromorphic functions in γ :

On the other hand, it follows from Definition 13.7 combined with Lemma 6.11 that the family

$$g: (\gamma; x) \mapsto E(P; s^{-1} \cdot x) \quad (18.3)$$

belongs to $E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X; \cdot)$: Moreover, for γ in the complement of a locally finite union of hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$; the function g belongs to $A_{\text{tem},P}(X; \cdot)$; and its constant term along $(Q; v)$ is given by

$$(g)_{Q,v}(\mathfrak{m}) = \sum_{t \in W(a_{Q,q} \setminus \mathfrak{a}_{P,q})} a^{ts^{-1}} [\text{pr}_v C_{Q,P}(t \cdot s^{-1})](\mathfrak{m});$$

This implies that, for every $X \in a_{Q,q}$;

$$q_{1,0}(Q; v_j g; X)(\cdot) = p_{\mathbb{P}} C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(t: s^{-1}) \cdot; \quad (18.4)$$

as a meromorphic identity in $\mathbb{C}^2 a_{Q,qc}$: From (18.2) and (18.4) it follows that the family $f = g \in E_Q^{\text{hyp}}(X: \cdot)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the vanishing theorem, Theorem 6.10. Hence, $f = g$: It follows that the meromorphic $\mathbb{C}^1(X: \cdot)$ -valued function $\forall f_s = g_s$ on $a_{\mathbb{P},qc}$ is zero. This implies the result, in view of (18.1) and (18.3).

Corollary 18.2 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated parabolic subgroups of residue type. Then, for each $s \in W(a_{Q,q}, a_{P,q})$ and all $x \in X$;

$$E(P: \cdot: x) = C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(s: \cdot) E(Q: s: x);$$

as a meromorphic identity in $\mathbb{C}^2 a_{Q,qc}$:

Proof: This follows from Proposition 18.1 combined with Definition 13.7.

We shall now derive the Maass-Selberg relations for the normalized C -functions from the invariance properties of the kernel K_P ; formulated in Theorem 13.20.

Theorem 18.3 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated and of residue type. Then for each $s \in W(a_{Q,q}, a_{P,q})$;

$$C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(s: \cdot) C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(s: \cdot) = I; \quad (18.5)$$

as an identity of meromorphic $\text{End}(A_{2,\rho})$ -valued functions in the variable $\mathbb{C}^2 a_{P,qc}$;

Remark 18.4 For minimal P this result is due to [5], Thm. 16.3, combined with [6], in view of [9], text after Eqn. (55). For general P the result is due to [17], Thm. 2 and Prop. 5 (vi). See also Remark 13.8.

Proof: It follows from Definition 13.17 that

$$K_Q(s: x: y) = E(Q: s: x) E(Q: s: y); \quad (18.6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$; as an identity of meromorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^2 a_{P,qc}$: On the other hand, from the mentioned definition combined with Proposition 18.1 and Corollary 18.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_P(\cdot: x: y) &= E(P: \cdot: x) E(P: \cdot: y) \\ &= E(Q: s: x) C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(s: \cdot) C_{Q, \mathbb{P}}(s: \cdot) E(Q: s: y) \end{aligned} \quad (18.7)$$

for $x, y \in X$; and generic $s \in a_{P/Q}$: Using Theorem 13.20 we now infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(Q; s; x) E(Q; s; y) \\ &= E(Q; s; x) C_{Q/P}(s) C_{Q/P}(s) E(Q; s; y) \end{aligned} \quad (18.8)$$

for all $x, y \in X$; as identities of meromorphic functions in the variable $s \in a_{P/Q}$: Let $v \in W$; and take $x = m \cdot v$ with $m \in X_{Q/P}^{+}$ arbitrary and a tending to infinity in $A_{Q/Q}^{+}$: Comparing the coefficients of a^s in the asymptotic expansions along $(Q; v)$ of the resulting expressions on both sides of (18.8), using Definition 13.7, we obtain that

$$\text{pr}_{Q/P} E(Q; s; y) = \text{pr}_{Q/P} C_{Q/P}(s) C_{Q/P}(s) E(Q; s; y);$$

for all $y \in X$; as an identity of meromorphic functions in the variable $s \in a_{P/Q}$: Taking adjoints and substituting for y we now obtain

$$E(Q; s; y) \dot{\mathbf{h}}_{P/Q} = E(Q; s; y) C_{Q/P}(s) C_{Q/P}(s) \dot{\mathbf{h}}_{P/Q}; \quad (18.9)$$

Fix $w \in W$; and put $y = m \cdot w$; with $m \in X_{Q/P}^{+}$ arbitrary and $a \in A_{Q/Q}^{+}$ tending to infinity. Comparing the coefficients of a^s in the expansions along $(Q; w)$ of the functions on both sides of (18.9), we obtain

$$\text{pr}_{Q/P} \dot{\mathbf{h}}_{P/Q} = \text{pr}_{Q/P} C_{Q/P}(s) C_{Q/P}(s) \dot{\mathbf{h}}_{P/Q}; \quad (18.10)$$

as a meromorphic identity in the variable $s \in a_{P/Q}$: This holds for arbitrary $v, w \in W$; in view of the direct sum decomposition (13.1) with Q in place of P ; the equality (18.10) therefore remains valid if the maps $\text{pr}_{Q/P}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{h}}_{P/Q}$ are replaced by the identity map of $A_{2, Q}$:

Remark 18.5 Conversely, if the Maaß-Selberg relations (18.5) hold, then the expression on the right-hand side of (18.7) equals the one on the right-hand side of (18.6); hence (13.13), the invariance property of the kernel K_P ; follows. Thus, the Maaß-Selberg relations are equivalent to the invariance properties of the kernel.

Corollary 18.6 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated parabolic subgroups of residue type and let $s \in W(a_{Q/Q}, j_{A_{P/Q}})$: Then there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that the meromorphic $\text{Hom}(A_{2, P}; A_{2, Q})$ -valued function $C_{Q/P}(s)$ is regular on $\mathfrak{p}_Q(\epsilon)$:

Proof: The corollary is a straightforward consequence of Corollary 17.7 and Theorem 18.3, combined with the lemma below.

Lemma 18.7 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$: Let V be a complete locally convex space, and let f be a V -valued meromorphic function on $a_{P/Q}$; with singular locus sing' contained in a locally finite collection of real $r(P)$ -hyperplanes. Assume that for every $\rho \in ia_{P/Q}$ there exists an open neighborhood U of ρ in $ia_{P/Q}$ such that f is bounded on $U \setminus \text{sing}'$: Then there exists a $\epsilon > 0$ such that f is holomorphic on $a_{P/Q}(\epsilon)$:

Proof: Since the collection H of singular hyperplanes of f is a real \mathbb{P}^n -configuration, the number of $H \in H$ with $H \cap a_{p,q}(\mu) \setminus H \neq \emptyset$; is finite, for every $\mu > 0$. Hence, there exists a $p \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in H} H$ of minimal degree such that $f_p: \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is holomorphic on a neighborhood of $a_{p,q}$. Clearly f_p is holomorphic on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$; for a suitable $\mu > 0$. Assume that $\deg p = 1$. Then there exists a $l \in \mathbb{P}^n$ and a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $l = \frac{1}{c} h$; where h is a divisor of p . By minimality of p it follows that $h := l \cdot l^{-1}(0)$ is non-empty. From the hypothesis we infer that $f_p = 0$ on h . By analytic continuation it follows that $f_p = 0$ on $h_c \cap a_{p,q}(\mu) = l^{-1}(0) \cap a_{p,q}(\mu)$. By a straightforward argument involving power series expansion in the coordinate function l ; it now follows that $l^{-1} \cdot f_p$ is holomorphic on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$. This contradicts the minimality of p . Hence, $\deg p = 0$ and the result follows.

Theorem 18.8 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be of residue type. Then there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that $f_E(P; \cdot)$ is a holomorphic $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(A_{2,p}; V))$ -valued function on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$:

Remark 18.9 For P minimal, this result is due to [9], Thm. 2. For non-minimal P the result is due to [17], Thm. 3 (i).

Proof: Let $l \in L_P(b; \cdot)$ and $x \in A_{2,p}(\cdot)$ and $v \in V$: Let $\mu_0 > 0$; then the family $F: (\cdot; x) \mapsto f_E(P; \cdot; x)$ belongs to the space $\Pi_{\text{mer}}(\cdot; \mu_0)$ defined in [7], Def. 3. From Corollary 17.7 and Corollary 18.6 it follows that F satisfies the hypotheses of [7], Thm. 2. Hence, there exists a $\mu_1 > 0$ such that F belongs to the space $\Pi^0(\cdot; \mu_1)$. In particular, this implies that f_E is holomorphic on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$ for some $\mu > 0$. The theorem now follows by linearity and finite dimensionality of V and $A_{2,p}$:

Proposition 18.10 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}^n$ be of residue type. Then there exist constants $\mu > 0$ and $s > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$; such that the function $f_E(P; \cdot)$ is a holomorphic $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(A_{2,p}; V))$ -valued function on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$ satisfying the estimate

$$\|f_E(P; \cdot; u; x)\| \leq C \|j(\cdot; x)\|^{-n} \|x\|^{s \cdot \text{Re } j_k(x)}; \quad (\cdot \in a_{p,q}(\mu); x \in X):$$

Proof: By finite dimensionality of V and $A_{2,p}$; it follows from Theorem 15.11 and Definition 15.4 that there exists a $p \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \bigcup_{H \in H} H$ and constants $\mu > 0$ and $s > 0$ such that $f_p(\cdot) \in E(P; \cdot)$ is a holomorphic function on $a_{p,q}(\mu)$; with values in $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(A_{2,p}; V))$. Moreover, it satisfies the following estimates. For every $u \in U(g)$ there exist constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\|f_p(\cdot) \in E(P; \cdot; u; x)\| \leq C \|j(\cdot; x)\|^{-n} \|x\|^{s \cdot \text{Re } j_k(x)}; \quad (\cdot \in a_{p,q}(\mu); x \in X): \quad (18.11)$$

If we choose $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, then by Theorem 18.8, the function $\forall E(P : \cdot)$ is already holomorphic on $a_{p,q}(\epsilon)$. By a straightforward application of Cauchy's integral formula, involving polydiscs of size $O((1 + \lambda_k(x))^{-1})$; it follows that for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the following is true. For every $u \in U(g)$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that the estimate (18.11) holds with $p = 1$:

Corollary 18.11 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then there exist constants $\epsilon > 0$ and $s > 0$ and for every $u \in U(g)$ constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$; such that the function $\forall E(P : \cdot)$ is a holomorphic $C^1(X; \text{Hom}(V; A_{2,p}))$ -valued function on $a_{p,q}(\epsilon)$ satisfying the estimate

$$kE(P : \cdot; u; x)k \leq C j(\cdot; x) j^n(x) e^{s \text{Re } j_k(x)}; \quad (\epsilon \in a_{p,q}(\epsilon); x \in X):$$

Proof: In view of Definition 13.7, this follows from Proposition 18.10.

Corollary 18.12 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then, for all $U \in S(a_{p,q})$ and $u \in U(g)$; there exist constants $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$kE(P : \cdot; U; u; x)k \leq C j(\cdot; x) j^m(x); \quad (\epsilon \in ia_{p,q}; x \in X):$$

Proof: This follows from the estimate of the previous corollary, by a straightforward application of Cauchy's integral formula involving polydiscs of size $O((1 + \lambda_k(x))^{-1})$:

19 The spherical Fourier transform

We recall from [5], Cor. 17.6, that there exists a constant $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(1 + \lambda_k)^{-N} \in L^1(X); \quad (19.1)$$

Combining the estimate (12.1) with (19.1) and the estimate of Corollary 18.12, we see that the integral in the following definition converges absolutely.

Definition 19.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. If $f \in C(X : \cdot)$; we define its Fourier transform $F_P f : ia_{p,q} \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ by

$$F_P f(\cdot) = \int_X E(P : \cdot; x) f(x) dx; \quad (\epsilon \in ia_{p,q}):$$

Lemma 19.2 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be of residue type. Then for every $U \in S(a_{p,q})$ there exists a constant $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a continuous seminorm s on $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\sup_{2ia_{p,q}} (1 + |j|)^m k_{F_P} f(\cdot; U) k_s(f);$$

for all $f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})$: In particular, the Fourier transform F_P maps $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ continuously linearly into $C^1(ia_{p,q}) \subset A_{2,p}$:

Proof: This follows from the estimates (19.1) and (12.1) combined with the estimate of Corollary 18.12.

Lemma 19.3 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be of residue type. Then for every $D \in D(X)$ and all $f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})$;

$$F_P(Df)(\cdot) = \underline{D} (F_P f)(\cdot); \quad (\cdot \in ia_{p,q}); \quad (19.2)$$

Proof: From [3], Lemma 7.2, we recall that every $D \in D(X)$ acts by a continuous linear endomorphism on $C(X; \mathbb{C})$: Since $F_P : C(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^1_c(ia_{p,q}) \subset A_{2,p}$ is continuous, it suffices to prove the identity (19.2) for f in the dense subspace $C^1_c(X; \mathbb{C})$ of $C(X; \mathbb{C})$: For such f the identity is an immediate consequence of Lemma 14.8.

Let \mathcal{B} be the image in $D(X)$ of the Casimir operator defined by the bilinear form B on \mathfrak{g} ; see Section 2.

Lemma 19.4 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be of residue type and let $\epsilon > 0$:

- (a) $\underline{D}(\cdot) = |j|^2 I + O(|j|)$ as $|j| \rightarrow \infty$; $|j| \geq 1$;
- (b) There exists a constant $R > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in a_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ with $|j| \geq R$ the endomorphism $\underline{D}(\cdot)$ is invertible and the operator norm of its inverse satisfies the estimate

$$|j|^{-2} k_{\underline{D}^{-1}}(\cdot) \leq 2; \quad (19.3)$$

Proof: Let $h; i$ denote the complex bilinear form on $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}$ that extends the dual of the given bilinear form on \mathfrak{a}_p : There exists a first order polynomial function $\chi : \mathfrak{a}_{p,q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\underline{D}(\cdot) = h; i I + \chi(\cdot); \quad (\cdot \in a_{p,q}); \quad (19.4)$$

Indeed, this follows by application of Corollary 14.5. It follows by a straightforward estimation that, for $|j| \geq R$;

$$h; i = |j|^2 + O(|j|) \quad \text{as } |j| \rightarrow \infty; \quad (19.5)$$

Using that $k_{\chi}(\cdot) = O(|j|)$ we obtain (a) from (19.4) and (19.5). From (a) it follows that $|j|^{-2} \underline{D}(\cdot) = I + O(|j|^{-1})$: Hence, (b) follows by using that

$$[I + O(|j|^{-1})]^{-1} = I + O(|j|^{-1});$$

In the following result, $S(\mathfrak{a}_{p,q})$ denotes the Euclidean Schwartz space of $\mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$:

Proposition 19.5 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be of residue type. Then the Fourier transform maps $C(X : \mathbb{R})$ continuous linearly into $S(\mathfrak{a}_{p,q}) \subset A_{2,p}$:

Proof: We prove this by using the argumentation of [5], p. 436, completion of the proof of Theorem 19.1, with F_p in place of F : We label the first two displayed formulas in the mentioned text in [5] by (E1) and (E2), respectively. The estimate (19.3) generalizes the estimate of [5], Lemma 19.4.

Let $R > 0$ be as in Lemma 19.4 (b) for some choice of $\epsilon > 0$ and let $u \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{p,q})$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$: In view of the last assertion of Lemma 19.2 it suffices to prove the analogue of (E1), i.e., it suffices to prove the existence of a continuous seminorms on $C(X : \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|F_p f(\cdot; u)\| \leq (1 + |j|)^M \|s(f)\|;$$

for every $f \in C(X : \mathbb{R})$ and all $u \in \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}$ with $|j| \leq R$: As in [5] this is done by induction on the order of u ; by using Lemma 19.2 instead of (E2) and by using Lemmas 19.3 and 19.4 instead of [5], Lemmas 19.3 and 19.4, respectively.

We end this section with a result on the Fourier transform of a compactly supported smooth function. If $S > 0$ we write

$$X_S := \{x \in X : |j|_x \leq S\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n;$$

Then X_S is a K -invariant compact subset of X : We write $C_S^1(X : \mathbb{R})$ for the closed subspace of $C^1(X : \mathbb{R})$ consisting of functions with support contained in X_S :

Proposition 19.6 Let $P \in \mathbb{P}$ be of residue type and let $\epsilon > 0$ be as in Corollary 18.11. For every $f \in C_c^1(X : \mathbb{R})$; the Fourier transform $F_p(f)$ extends to a holomorphic function on $\mathfrak{a}_{p,q}(\epsilon)$ with values in $A_{2,p}$: Moreover, let $S > 0$: Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a continuous seminorm p_m on $C_S^1(X : \mathbb{R})$ such that, for every $f \in C_S^1(X : \mathbb{R})$;

$$\|F_p f(\cdot)\| \leq (1 + |j|)^m p_m(f); \quad (j \in \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}(\epsilon)): \quad (19.6)$$

Proof: The assertion about holomorphy is a straightforward consequence of the holomorphy of the Eisenstein integrals as formulated in Corollary 18.11. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be the constant of the mentioned corollary associated with $u = 1$: Let $S > 0$: Then it straightforwardly follows from the estimate of Corollary 18.11 that there exists a continuous seminorm p_0 on $C_S^1(X : \mathbb{R})$ such that, for every $f \in C_S^1(X : \mathbb{R})$;

$$\|F_p f(\cdot)\| \leq (1 + |j|)^n p_0(f); \quad (j \in \mathfrak{a}_{p,q}(\epsilon)): \quad (19.7)$$

Let $R > 0$ be associated with $\epsilon > 0$ as in Lemma 19.4. Then it follows from the above estimate that, for every $k \geq N$ and for $\lambda \in a_{p,q}(\epsilon)$ with $|\lambda| \leq R$;

$$|\lambda|^{2k} \|F_p f(\lambda)\|_k = |\lambda|^{2k} \| \underline{F}_p(\lambda) \|_k \|F_p(\lambda) f(\lambda)\|_k \quad (19.8)$$

$$(1 + |\lambda|)^n p_0(2^{k-k_0} f): \quad (19.9)$$

Taking $k \geq N$ such that $n \geq 2k - m$ we see that there exists a continuous seminorm p_m^0 on $C_S(X; \mathbb{C})$ such that for every $f \in C_S^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ the estimate (19.6) holds for all $\lambda \in a_{p,q}(\epsilon)$ with $|\lambda| \leq R$: From (19.7) it follows that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the estimate (19.6) holds with $C p_0$ in place of p_m ; for all $\lambda \in a_{p,q}(\epsilon)$ with $|\lambda| < R$: Take for p_m any continuous seminorm with $p_m \leq \max(C p_0; p_m^0)$; then the desired assertion follows.

We end this section with another useful result.

Lemma 19.7 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type and assume that $a_{p,q} \neq 0$: Then F_p vanishes on $A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$:

Proof: Fix $f \in A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$: Then there exists a non-trivial polynomial q in one variable such that $q(\lambda) f = 0$: In view of Lemma 19.3 it follows that $q(\underline{\lambda}) F_p f(\lambda) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in ia_{p,q}$: From Lemma 19.4 it follows that the polynomial function $\lambda \mapsto \det q(\underline{\lambda})$ is not identically zero. Hence, $F_p f$ vanishes on an open dense subset of $ia_{p,q}$: By smoothness of $F_p f$ it follows that $F_p f = 0$:

20 The wave packet transform

It follows from the estimate in Proposition 18.10 that the integral in the following definition is absolutely convergent. We agree to write $d\lambda$ for the Lebesgue measure $d_P(\lambda)$ on $ia_{p,q}$; normalized as in Section 5.

Definition 20.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then for every $\lambda' \in S(ia_{p,q})$ $A_{2,p}$; we define the wave packet $J_p \lambda': X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$J_p \lambda'(x) := \int_{ia_{p,q}} E(P; \lambda x) \lambda'(\lambda) d\lambda \quad (x \in X):$$

Note that the wave packet $J_p \lambda'$ is a λ -spherical function.

Theorem 20.2 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then the wave packet map J_p maps $S(ia_{p,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ continuously linearly into the Schwartz space $C(X; \mathbb{C})$:

Proof: Let $\phi \in L^1(\mathfrak{o}; \mathbb{C})$ and $x \in A_{2,p}(\mathbb{C})$: We recall from the proof of Theorem 18.8 that the family F defined by

$$F(\phi; x) = E(\phi : \cdot; x)$$

has components with respect to a basis of V that are functions of type $\Pi^0(\cdot)$ in the sense of [7]. Hence, by [7], Thm. 1, the map $\mathcal{T} : W_{2,p} \rightarrow Z$; where

$$W_{2,p}(\phi)(x) = \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{o}} (\phi; x) d\phi$$

is continuous linear from $S(\mathfrak{o}_q)$ into $C(X; \mathbb{C})$: We note that $W_{2,p} = J_p(\cdot)$: Hence, the result follows by using linearity, the finite dimensionality of $A_{2,p}$; and the decomposition (14.10) of the latter space.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$: In the following lemma we write $\mathcal{J}_P(D)$ for the endomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ given by

$$[\mathcal{J}_P(D)](\phi) = \mathcal{J}_P(\phi : \cdot)(D(\cdot));$$

for $\phi \in S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ and $\phi \in \mathfrak{o}_q$:

Lemma 20.3 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type and let $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$: Then

$$D \circ J_P = J_P \circ \mathcal{J}_P(D) \quad \text{on} \quad S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p} : \quad (20.1)$$

Proof: We recall that D defines a continuous linear endomorphism of $C(X; \mathbb{C})$; by [3], Lemma 7.2. In view of Theorem 20.2 it follows that both sides of the equation are continuous linear maps from $S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ to $C(X; \mathbb{C})$: Hence, by density it suffices to prove the equality when applied to an element $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$: But then the result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 14.1 by differentiation under the integral sign, in view of Definition 20.1.

We equip $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ with the restriction of the L^2 -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $L^2(X; \mathbb{C})$: Similarly, for $P \in \mathcal{P}$; we equip $S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ with the restriction of the L^2 -type inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ from $L^2(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$: With respect to these structures the Fourier transform F_P and the wave packet map J_P are adjoint in the following sense.

Lemma 20.4 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ be of residue type. Then the continuous linear operators $F_P : C(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$ and $J_P : S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p} \rightarrow C(X; \mathbb{C})$ are adjoint in the sense that, for all $f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})$ and $\phi \in S(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$;

$$\langle F_P f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, J_P \phi \rangle \quad (20.2)$$

Proof: By continuity and density it suffices to prove (20.2) for all $f \in C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ and $\phi \in C_c^1(\mathfrak{o}_q) \rightarrow A_{2,p}$: For such f and ϕ ; the formula follows by an application of Fubini's theorem.

21 Fourier inversion for Schwartz functions

In this section we show that the Fourier inversion formula (8.10), established in [12], implies an inversion formula for Schwartz functions, formulated in terms of the Fourier transforms and the wave packet maps introduced in the previous sections.

The crucial first step is the following.

Proposition 21.1 Let F and assume that P_F is of residue type. Then for every W -invariant even residue weight $t \in W(T)$;

$$T_F^t = [W_F]t(a_{F,q}^+)J_F F_F \quad \text{on } C_c^1(X :); \quad (21.1)$$

Proof: Let $f \in C_c^1(X :)$ and $x \in X$. It follows from (8.8) that

$$T_F^t f(x) = \int_W \int_{t(a_{F,q}^+)} \int_{ia_{F,q} + \mathfrak{m}_F} K_F^t(: x : y) f(y) dy d_F();$$

for all $\mathfrak{m}_F \in a_{F,q}^+$ sufficiently close to zero. In view of (13.12) and Definition 19.1, this equality may be rewritten as

$$T_F^t f(x) = [W_F]t(a_{F,q}^+) \int_{ia_{F,q} + \mathfrak{m}_F} E(P_F : : x) F_F f() d_F(); \quad (21.2)$$

From Theorem 18.8 and Proposition 19.6 it follows that $\forall E(P_F : : x) F_F f()$ is holomorphic on $a_{F,q}(\mathfrak{m})$; for some $\epsilon > 0$; Moreover, from the mentioned results it also follows that for every $N > 0$; there exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that

$$kE(P_F : : x) F_F f()k \leq C_N (1 + |j|)^N; \quad (\in a_{F,q}(\mathfrak{m})).$$

This estimate allows us to take the limit of (21.2) for $\mathfrak{m}_F \rightarrow 0$; thus, using Definition 20.1 and observing that $d_F() = d$ on $ia_{F,q}$; we obtain (21.1).

In the following result, we use the notation G for M_G ; the Langlands M of G viewed as a parabolic subgroup.

Theorem 21.2

- (a) Every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is of residue type. In particular, $(G; G \setminus H)$ is of residue type.
- (b) If t is any W -invariant even residue weight for G ; then

$$f = \int_F^X [W_F]t(a_{F,q}^+)J_F F_F f; \quad (21.3)$$

for every $f \in C(X :)$:

Proof: We prove this result by induction on $\dim A_q$; the \mathbb{R} -split rank of X : If $\dim A_q = 0$ then X is compact, and there is nothing to prove. Thus, assume that $\dim A_q \geq 1$ and that (a) and (b) have been established for all pairs $(G^0; \theta)$ with G^0 of \mathbb{R} -split rank smaller than $\dim A_q$:

If G does not have compact center modulo H ; then $A_q := G \setminus A_q / A_q$: Hence, it follows by the inductive hypothesis that every \mathbb{R} -parabolic subgroup of G containing A_q is of residue type. Denote the set of these parabolic subgroups by \mathcal{P} : Then $G = G \cdot C$; where $C = \exp(\text{center}(g) \setminus p)$ and $P \not\supset P \subset C$ is a bijection from \mathcal{P} onto \mathcal{P} : Moreover, $M_{P \cdot C} = M_P$ for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$: In view of Definition 13.1 it follows that every parabolic subgroup from \mathcal{P} is of residue type as well.

Thus, we may assume that G has compact center modulo H ; then $a_q = 0$: By the inductive hypothesis, the pairs $(M_F; M_F \setminus vHv^{-1})$; for $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $v \in N_K(a_q)$; are all of residue type. It follows that the standard parabolic subgroups P_F ; for $F \in \mathcal{F}$ are all of residue type. Let t be a W -invariant even residue weight on \mathfrak{g} : Then T^t is a continuous linear operator from $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ into the finite dimensional subspace $A^t(X; \mathbb{C})$ of $C^1(X; \mathbb{C})$; all functions in this subspace are $D(X)$ -finite, see the text after (9.1).

From (8.10) and (21.1) it follows that

$$T^t = I \int_{F \in \mathcal{F}}^X [W : W_F] t(a_{F,q}^+) J_F F_F \quad (21.4)$$

as an operator from $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ into $C^1(X; \mathbb{C})$: Applying Proposition 19.5 and Theorem 20.2 we infer that T^t extends to a continuous linear map from $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ into $A^t(X; \mathbb{C}) \cap C(X; \mathbb{C})$; moreover, the latter intersection is continuously contained in $A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$: By density of $C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$; the validity of the identity (21.4) extends to the space $C(X; \mathbb{C})$:

By application of Lemma 19.7 it follows from (21.4) that $T^t = I$ on $A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$: Finally, by application of Lemma 20.4 to (21.4) it follows that T^t is symmetric with respect to the L^2 -inner product on $C(X; \mathbb{C})$: We conclude that T^t is the orthogonal projection from $C(X; \mathbb{C})$ onto $A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$; in particular, it follows that the latter space is finite dimensional. Moreover, since $A_2(X; \mathbb{C})$ is dense in $L_d^2(X; \mathbb{C})$ it follows that $A_2(X; \mathbb{C}) = L_d^2(X; \mathbb{C})$ and that T^t is the restriction of the orthogonal projection $L^2(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L_d^2(X; \mathbb{C})$: From this we conclude that $(G; H)$ is of residue type. Hence, P is of residue type. It follows that all standard parabolic subgroups in P are of residue type. Since every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is associated with a standard one, see Lemma 3.6, assertion (a) follows. In view of Proposition 21.1 it now follows from (8.10) that (21.3) holds for every $f \in C_c^1(X; \mathbb{C})$: By density and continuity of all the $J_F F_F$; for $F \in \mathcal{F}$; (b) finally follows.

We define the equivalence relation \sim on the collection of subsets of \mathfrak{g} by $F \sim F^0 \iff P_F = P_{F^0}$:

Lemma 21.3 Let F : Then

$$\prod_{\substack{F^0 \\ F^0 \rightarrow F}} t(a_{F^0}^+) = \prod_{F^0 \rightarrow F} (a_{F^0}^+)^{-1} :$$

Proof: Let $P \in (A_{F^0})$ denote the collection of all $P \in P$ with \mathbb{R} -split component A_{P^0} equal to A_{F^0} . Moreover, let S denote the collection of all subsets F^0 with $F^0 \rightarrow F$. For every $P \in P \in (A_{F^0})$ there exists a unique F_P such that $S \cap P^{-1} = P_{F_P}$; for some $s \in W$; see Lemma 3.6. Clearly, $F_P \rightarrow F$. Moreover, the map $s : P \rightarrow F_P$ is surjective from $P \in (A_{F^0})$ onto S . If $F^0 \in S$; let $W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$ denote the collection of $s \in W$ mapping a_{F^0} onto a_{F^0} . Then the maps $s \in W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$ from $W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$ onto $P^{-1}(F^0)$ factor to a bijection from $W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$ onto $P^{-1}(F^0)$. Starting from (2.1) with $Q = P_F$ we now obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \prod_{P \in P \in (A_{F^0})} t(a_{P^0}^+) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{F^0 \\ F^0 \rightarrow F}} \prod_{s \in W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}} t(s^{-1}(a_{F^0}^+)) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{F^0 \\ F^0 \rightarrow F}} \prod_{s \in W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}} \prod_{a_{F^0}^+} t(a_{F^0}^+)^{-1} : \end{aligned}$$

For every $F^0 \rightarrow F$ with $F^0 \rightarrow F$; the group $W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$ acts freely and transitively from the right on $W_{F^0 \rightarrow F}$. Hence, $\prod_{a_{F^0}^+} t(a_{F^0}^+)^{-1} = \prod_{a_{F^0}^+} t(a_{F^0}^+)^{-1}$ and the result follows.

Lemma 21.4 Let $P, Q \in P$ be associated parabolic subgroups and let $s \in W_{A_{P^0} \rightarrow A_{Q^0}}$. Then

- (a) $F_P f(s) = C_{P \rightarrow Q}(s) F_Q f$; for all $f \in C(X : \mathbb{R})$ and $s \in W_{A_{P^0} \rightarrow A_{Q^0}}$;
- (b) $J_P F_P = J_Q F_Q$ as endomorphisms of $C(X : \mathbb{R})$.

Proof: The parabolic subgroups P and Q are of residue type, by Theorem 21.2 (a). It follows from Corollary 18.2 combined with the Maaß-Selberg relations (18.5), that

$$E(P : s : x) = C_{P \rightarrow Q}(s) E(Q : : x);$$

for all $x \in X$ and all $s \in W_{A_{P^0} \rightarrow A_{Q^0}}$. Now (a) follows by Definition 19.1. The linear bijection s from ia_{Q^0} onto ia_{P^0} maps the chosen Lebesgue measures onto each other, see Section 5. Hence, for $f \in C(X : \mathbb{R})$;

$$\int_{ia_{Q^0}} J_P F_P f(x) dx = \int_{ia_{Q^0}} E(P : s : x) F_P f(s) ds : \quad (21.5)$$

Applying (a) and Proposition 18.1 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E(P : s : x) F_P f(s) &= E(P : s : x) C_{P, \mathcal{P}}(s :) F_Q f() \\ &= E(Q : : x) F_Q f() : \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the obtained identity in the right-hand side of (21.5) we obtain (b).

Remark 21.5 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Then it follows from part (b) of the above lemma that the continuous linear endomorphism $J_P \circ F_P$ of $C(X :)$ depends on P through its equivalence class in $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} / \sim$:

If $P \sim Q$; we agree to write W_P for the normalizer of $a_{P, Q}$ in W . Then $W(a_{P, Q})' \cap W_P = W_P$:

Theorem 21.6 Let $f \in C(X :)$. Then

$$f = \sum_{[P] \in \mathcal{P} / \sim} \int_X [W : W_P] J_P F_P f :$$

Remark 21.7 In view of Remark 13.8, this theorem corresponds to part (iii) of Thm. 2 in Delorme's paper [21]. Note that in the latter theorem constants $\int_W (a_{P, Q})^{-1}$; for $P \in \mathcal{P}$; appear in place of the constants $[W : W_P]$. This is due to a different normalization of measures, as will be explained in the sequel [14] to this paper.

Proof: We observe that $[W : W_P] \int_W (a_{P, Q})^{-1} = [W : W_P]$; for $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Since every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is associated with a standard parabolic subgroup, see Lemma 3.6, the result now follows from Theorem 21.2, Lemma 21.3 and Remark 21.5.

22 Properties of the Fourier transforms

The purpose of this section is to establish relations between the different Fourier and wave packet transforms S_F and J_Q ; as $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. We shall also determine the image of F_P and the kernel of J_Q . We start with the relation between the several Fourier transforms.

Lemma 22.1 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated. If $f \in C(X :)$; then

$$F_Q f(s) = C_{Q, \mathcal{P}}(s :) F_P f(); \quad (2.1a_{P, Q}) :$$

P roof: The subgroups $P; Q \in \mathcal{P}$ are of residue type, according to Theorem 21.2 (a). The lemma is therefore an immediate consequence of the functional equations for the dualized Eisenstein integral, Corollary 18.2, combined with the Maass-Selberg relations, Theorem 18.3 and the definition of the Fourier transform, Definition 19.1.

Lem m a 22.2 Let $P; Q; R \in \mathcal{P}$ be associated. Then, for all $s \in W(a_{Q,q}, \mathcal{P}_P)$ and $t \in W(a_{R,q}, \mathcal{P}_Q)$;

$$C_{R, \mathcal{P}}(ts :) = C_{R, \mathcal{Q}}(t : s) C_{Q, \mathcal{P}}(s :); \quad (2ia_{P,q}) :$$

P roof: The above identity is an immediate consequence of the functional equation for the Eisenstein integral, see Proposition 18.1, and the definition of the C-function, see Definition 17.6.

In particular, from the above lemma with $P = Q = R$ combined with the Maass-Selberg relations, see Theorem 18.3, we see that we may define a unitary representation ρ_P of $W(a_{P,q})$ in $L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P}$ by

$$[\rho_P(s)'](f) = C_{P, \mathcal{P}}(s : s^{-1})'(f(s^{-1})); \quad (2ia_{P,q}) ;$$

for $f \in L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P}$: The associated collection of $W(a_{P,q})$ -invariants in $L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P}$ is denoted by $(L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P})^{W(a_{P,q})}$: The orthogonal projection from the first onto the latter space is denoted by

$$P_{W(a_{P,q})} : L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P} \rightarrow (L^2(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P})^{W(a_{P,q})} :$$

The intersection of the latter space with $S(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P}$ consists of the functions $f \in S(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P}$ satisfying

$$f'(s) = C_{P, \mathcal{P}}(s :)'(f); \quad (s \in W(a_{P,q}); \quad 2ia_{P,q}); \quad (22.1)$$

and is denoted by $(S(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P})^{W(a_{P,q})}$:

C orollary 22.3 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$: The image of $C(X :)$ under the Fourier transform F_P is contained in the space $(S(ia_{P,q}) \otimes A_{2,P})^{W(a_{P,q})}$:

P roof: Let $f \in C(X :)$: Then it follows from Lemma 22.1 with $P = Q$ that $f' := F_P f$ satisfies (22.1).

We can now state the first main result of this section.

Theorem 22.4 Let $P; Q \in \mathcal{P}$:

- (a) If P and Q are not associated, then $F_Q \circ J_P = 0$:
- (b) If P and Q are associated, then $[W : W_P] F_Q \circ J_P \circ F_P = F_Q$ on $C(X :)$:

(c) If P and Q are associated, then, for each $s \in W(a_{Q,q} \dot{\cup} a_{P,q})$; every $\gamma \in S(\mathfrak{a}_{P,q}) \setminus A_{2,P}$ and all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$;

$$F_Q \circ J_P^{-1}(s) = [W : W_P]^{-1} C_{Q,P}(s :) P_{W(a_{P,q})}^{-1}(\gamma) :$$

In particular, $F_P \circ J_P = [W : W_P]^{-1} P_{W(a_{P,q})} :$

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 16.6 in [10], with adaptations to deal with the present more general situation. In the course of the proof we need two lemmas. The first of these is a straightforward generalization of Lemma 16.11 in [10].

Lemma 22.5 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$; let $b_{P,q}$ be a θ -stable Cartan subspace containing $a_{P,q}$ and let $\lambda \in b_{P,q}$. Then for γ in the complement of a finite union of a finite number of hyperplanes in $a_{P,q}$; the map $D \mapsto D(\lambda : \gamma)$ is surjective from $D(X)$ onto $a_{P,q}$.

Proof: The proof is a straightforward modification of the proof of Lemma 16.12 in [10]. In that proof one should everywhere put $a_{P,q}$ in place of a_q and b_P in place of b_k . In particular, a_q should be replaced by the projection $a_{P,q} : b_{P,q} \rightarrow a_{P,q}$ along the subspace $b_{P,q}$.

The next lemma is a consequence of Lemma 16.4, which in turn relies heavily on the information about the infinitesimal characters of discrete series stated in Theorem 16.1.

Lemma 22.6 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$; let $b_{P,q}$ be a θ -stable Cartan subspace containing a_q and let $\lambda_1 \in L_P(b_{P,q})$ and $\lambda_2 \in L_Q(b_{P,q})$. Let $\gamma_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$ be such that $\lambda_1 + \gamma_1$ is regular with respect to $(b_{P,q})$; let $\gamma_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}$ and assume that $\lambda_1 + \gamma_1$ and $\lambda_2 + \gamma_2$ are conjugate under $W(b_{P,q})$. Then P and Q are associated, and λ_1 and λ_2 are conjugate under $W(a_{Q,q} \dot{\cup} a_{P,q})$.

Proof: Let $s \in W(b_{P,q})$ be such that $s(\lambda_1 + \gamma_1) = \lambda_2 + \gamma_2$. Select $v_1 \in {}^P W$ and $v_2 \in {}^Q W$ such that $\lambda_1 \in L_{P,v_1}(b_{P,q})$ and $\lambda_2 \in L_{Q,v_2}(b_{P,q})$. Let $(\hat{\phi}_1; t_1)$ and $(\hat{\phi}_2; t_2)$ be associated with $P; v_1$ and $Q; v_2$; respectively, as in Lemma 16.4. Then $t_1^{-1} \lambda_1 + t_1^{-1} \gamma_1$ and $t_2^{-1} \lambda_2 + t_2^{-1} \gamma_2$ are conjugate under $t_2 s t_1^{-1} \in W(\hat{\phi}_2 \dot{\cup} \hat{\phi}_1)$. It follows by application of [15], Lemma 2, that $t_1 a_{P,q} = \text{Ad}(v_1)^{-1} a_{P,q}$ and $t_2 a_{Q,q} = \text{Ad}(v_2)^{-1} a_{Q,q}$ are conjugate under $t_2 s t_1^{-1}$. This implies that $s(a_{P,q}) = a_{Q,q}$. It follows that $s \in W(a_{Q,q} \dot{\cup} a_{P,q})$; see Lemma 3.7; hence, $P = Q$. It also follows by [15], Lemma 2, that $t_2 s t_1^{-1}$ maps $t_1^{-1} \lambda_1$ onto $t_2^{-1} \lambda_2$; hence, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

The following lemma collects some properties of the composition $F_Q \circ J_P$ needed in the proof of Theorem 22.4.

Lemma 22.7 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the composition $T := F_Q \circ J_P$ is a continuous linear map from $S(\mathfrak{a}_{P,q}) \setminus A_{2,P}$ to $S(\mathfrak{a}_{Q,q}) \setminus A_{2,Q}$. Moreover, it satisfies the following properties.

- (a) $\mu_Q(D) = T^{-1}_P(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$;
 (b) T maps into $(S(\mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,P})^{W(a_{Q,q})}$:

Proof: The continuity of T follows from Theorem 20.2 combined with Proposition 19.5. Property (a) follows from Lemma 20.3 combined with Lemma 19.3. Finally, (b) follows from Corollary 22.3.

Proposition 22.8 Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$: There exists an open dense $W(a_{Q,q})$ -invariant subset $\mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}$ with the following property. Let T be any continuous linear map from $S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,P}$ to $S(\mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,Q}$ satisfying the properties of Lemma 22.7.

- (a) If P and Q are not associated, then $T = 0$;
 (b) If P and Q are associated and $s_0 \in W(a_{P,q} \rightarrow a_{Q,q})$; then there exists a unique smooth function $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$ such that

$$T'(\gamma) = P_{W(a_{Q,q})}(\gamma(s_0'))(\gamma); \quad (22.2)$$

for all $\gamma \in C_c^1(s_0) \rightarrow A_{2,P}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$:

Proof: For every $\gamma \in \mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}$; we define the distribution $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$ by

$$u(\gamma') = T'(\gamma')(\gamma); \quad (\gamma' \in C_c^1(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,P}):$$

Then it follows from condition (a) that

$$u^{-1}_P(D) = \mu_Q(D : \gamma)u; \quad (D \in \mathcal{D}(X)):$$

Let now $\gamma_1 \in L_P(b; \gamma)$ and $\gamma_2 \in A_{2,P}(\gamma_1)$: Let $\gamma_2 \in L_Q(b; \gamma)$ and $\gamma_2 \in A_{2,Q}(\gamma_2)$: We define the distribution $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q})$ by $v(f) = \int u(f - \gamma_1) \gamma_2$; for $f \in C_c^1(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q})$: It follows that

$$[\gamma(b : \gamma_1 + \gamma) - \gamma(b : \gamma_2 + \gamma)]v = 0; \quad (22.3)$$

Each element γ from the finite set $L_Q(b; \gamma)$ is regular with respect to $(m_{Q,c}; b_Q)$; see Corollary 16.6. Let γ_0 be the set of $\gamma \in \mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}$ such that γ is regular with respect to (b) ; for every $\gamma \in L_Q(b; \gamma)$: Then γ_0 is the complement of a finite union of hyperplanes in $\mathfrak{ia}_{Q,q}$; hence open dense.

Let $\gamma \in \gamma_0$ and let γ_1, γ_2 be as above. Moreover, let $\gamma_1 \in \mathfrak{ia}_{P,q}$ and assume that $\gamma \notin W(a_{Q,q} \rightarrow a_{P,q})$: Then by Lemma 22.6, the elements $\gamma_1 + \gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2 + \gamma$ are not conjugate under $W(b)$: Hence, there exists a $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ such that the polynomial function in front of v in (22.3) does not vanish at γ_1 : This implies that v vanishes in a neighborhood of γ_1 : Let $\gamma' \in C_c^1(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,P}$: Then it follows from the above by linearity that $T'(\gamma')(\gamma) = u(\gamma') = 0$ for all $\gamma \in \gamma_0$.

with $\neq W(a_{Q,q} \cup a_{P,q}) \text{supp}'$: By density and continuity, this implies that the function $T'(\cdot)$ vanishes on $ia_{Q,q} \cap W(a_{Q,q} \cup a_{P,q}) \text{supp}'$: Hence,

$$\text{supp } T' \subset W(a_{Q,q} \cup a_{P,q}) \text{supp}' :$$

If P and Q are not associated, then it follows that the latter set has empty interior in $ia_{Q,q}$; hence $T' = 0$ by continuity. This establishes (a).

From now on, we assume that $P \sim Q$: Then it follows from the above that

$$\text{supp } u \subset W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q}) ; \quad (22.4)$$

for every $\alpha \in ia_{Q,q}$:

Let α_1 be the set of $\alpha \in ia_{Q,q}$ whose stabilizer in $W(a_{Q,q})$ is trivial. Then α_1 contains the complement of a finite union of hyperplanes in $ia_{Q,q}$ hence is open dense in $ia_{Q,q}$: Since $W(a_{Q,q})$ acts simply transitively on $W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$ from the right, we see that if $\alpha \in \alpha_1$; the points s ; for $s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$; are mutually different.

Let α_2 be the set of $\alpha \in ia_{P,q}$ such that for every $\beta \in L_P(\alpha; \cdot)$ the map $D \mapsto d[\beta(D : \alpha_1 + \cdot)](\cdot)$ is surjective from $D(X)$ onto $\mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$: Then α_2 is an open subset of $ia_{P,q}$ containing the complement of a finite union of hyperplanes, see Lemma 22.5. It follows that $\alpha_2 = \bigcup_{s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})} s^{-1} \alpha_1 s$ is a similar subset of $ia_{Q,q}$: We define $\alpha := \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2$:

Let now $\alpha \in \alpha$: We claim that the distribution u_α has order zero. To prove the claim, $x \in \alpha_1$; $\alpha \in \alpha_2$; $\alpha \in \alpha_1$; $\alpha \in \alpha_2$ as before, and define $v = v_\alpha$ as above. Then by linearity, it suffices to show that v has order zero. Since $\text{supp}(v) \subset W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$; by (22.4), it follows by our assumption on α_1 that we may express v uniquely as a sum of distributions v_s ; for $s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$; with $\text{supp } v_s \subset fs$: From (22.3) it follows that each v_s satisfies the equations

$$d'_D v_s = 0; \quad (D \in D(X));$$

where $d'_D : ia_{P,q} \rightarrow C$ is given by $d'_D = d[\beta(D : \alpha_1 + \cdot)](\cdot)$ $d[\beta(D : \alpha + \cdot)](\cdot)$:

It follows from our assumption on α_2 that the collection of differentials $d'_D(v_s)$; for $D \in D(X)$; spans $\mathfrak{a}_{P,q}$: Now apply [10], Lemma 16.10, to conclude that v_s has order zero, for each $s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$: This establishes the claim that v has order zero.

It also follows from the above that

$$u = \sum_{s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})} E_s(\cdot);$$

with $E_s(\cdot)$ a unique element of $\text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$; for $s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})$: We conclude that, for every $\alpha \in C_c^1(ia_{P,q}) \rightarrow A_{2,P}$ and all $\alpha \in \alpha$;

$$T'(\alpha) = \sum_{s \in W(a_{P,q} \cup a_{Q,q})} E_s(\cdot)'(\alpha)(s); \quad (22.5)$$

Fix $s_0 \in W(a_{P,Q}, j a_{Q,Q})$: Let $\epsilon_0 \in \mathbb{R}$: By the assumption on ϵ_1 there exists an open neighborhood U of ϵ_0 in \mathbb{R} such that the sets sU are mutually disjoint, for $s \in W(a_{P,Q}, j a_{Q,Q})$: For $\epsilon' \in C_c^1(s_0 U) \cap A_{2,P}$ we have

$$T'(\epsilon)(\cdot) = E_{s_0}(\epsilon)'(s_0 \cdot):$$

We conclude that E_{s_0} is smooth on U : It follows that $E_{s_0} \in C^1(\cdot) \in \text{Hom}(A_{2,P}; A_{2,Q})$: From the above asserted uniqueness of the E_s and the transformation property of T' stated in Lemma 22.7 (b), it follows that

$$E_{s_0}(t) = C_{Q,Q}(t; \cdot) E_{s_0 t}(\cdot); \quad (t \in W(a_{Q,Q})):$$

If we combine this with (22.5) we obtain, for all $\epsilon' \in C_c^1(s_0 U) \cap A_{2,P}$; and all $t \in W(a_{Q,Q})$; that

$$T'(\epsilon) = \int_{t \in W(a_{Q,Q})}^X C_{Q,Q}(t; \cdot)^{-1} E_{s_0}(t)'(s_0 t) = \int W(a_{Q,Q}) j_{P_{W(a_{Q,Q})}}(E_{s_0 s_0'})(\cdot):$$

This establishes the result with $\epsilon = \int W(a_{Q,Q}) E_{s_0}$:

Proof of Theorem 22.4: If $P \in Q$; then it follows from Lemma 22.7 combined with Proposition 22.8 that $F_Q|_{J_P} = 0$:

To prove (b) we note that if $f \in C_c^1(X; \cdot)$; then it follows from Theorem 21.6 that $f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} j_{2P} = [W : W_R] J_R F_R f$: Applying F_Q to both sides of this equation, it follows by the first part of this theorem that

$$F_Q f = [W : W_R] F_Q J_R F_R f$$

for each $R \in P$ with $R \in Q$; in particular, we may take $R = P$:

We shall first prove (c) under the assumption that $P = Q$: Put $T = F_P|_{J_P}$: Then From Lemma 22.7 and Proposition 22.8 we deduce that

$$T(\epsilon) = P_{W(a_{P,Q})}(\epsilon)(\cdot);$$

for all $\epsilon \in C_c^1(\cdot) \cap A_{2,P}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$; here $\text{ia}_{P,Q}$ is an open dense subset, and $\epsilon \in C^1(\cdot) \in \text{End}(A_{2,P})$: It follows from Lemma 20.4 that the operator T is symmetric with respect to the L^2 -inner product on $S(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$: Let $\epsilon' \in S(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$: Then for all $\epsilon \in C_c^1(\cdot) \cap A_{2,P}$;

$$\langle T' \epsilon, j i \rangle = \langle \epsilon', j T i \rangle = \langle \epsilon', j P_{W(a_{P,Q})}(\epsilon) i \rangle = \langle \epsilon, P_{W(a_{P,Q})}' j i \rangle:$$

This implies that, for all $\epsilon' \in S(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$; $T' = P_{W(a_{P,Q})}'$ on \cdot : We claim that in fact $\epsilon = [W : W_P]^{-1} I$ on \cdot :

To prove the claim we note that it follows from the established part (b) with $P = Q$ that $F_P = [W : W_P] T F_P$: We infer that, for $f \in C_c^1(X; \cdot)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$;

$$\begin{aligned} F_P f(\epsilon) &= [W : W_P] T F_P f(\epsilon) \\ &= [W : W_P](\epsilon) P_{W(a_{P,Q})} F_P f(\epsilon) \\ &= [W : W_P](\epsilon) F_P f(\epsilon): \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we have used Corollary 22.3. The claim now follows by application of Lemma 22.9 below. We infer that for all $\lambda' \in S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$; we have $T' = [W : W_P]^{-1} P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}'$ on λ' ; hence on $\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}$; by continuity and density. This establishes part (c) of the theorem for $P = Q$ and $s = 1$: For a general pair of associated parabolic subgroups P, Q and a general $\lambda \in W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q}) \cap \mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q}$ the assertion follows by application of Lemma 22.1.

Lemma 22.9 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}$ have trivial stabilizer in $W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})$: Then $f \mapsto F_P(f)(\lambda)$ maps $C_c^1(X : \lambda)$ onto $A_{2,P}$:

Proof: The proof is a reduction to the lemma below, in a way completely analogous to the proof of [10], Lemma 16.13.

Lemma 22.10 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}$ have trivial stabilizer in $W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})$: Then for every $\lambda \in A_{2,P} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{Q,Q}$; the Eisenstein integral $E(P : \lambda)$ does not vanish identically on X :

Proof: The proof is completely analogous to the proof of [10], Lemma 16.14, involving the constant term of the Eisenstein integral along P :

Proposition 22.11 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$:

- (a) Then $\text{ap } P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$ restricts to a continuous linear projection from $S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$ onto $(S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P})^{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$: This projection is orthogonal with respect to the given L^2 -inner product.
- (b) $J_P = P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})} = J_P$:
- (c) The kernel of J_P equals the kernel of $P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$:

Proof: It follows from Theorem 22.4 (c) that $P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})} = [W : W_P] F_P = J_P$: It now follows from application of Lemma 22.7, that $P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$ is a continuous linear endomorphism of $S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P}$; with image contained in $(S(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P})^{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$: The latter space is contained in $(L^2(\mathfrak{ia}_{P,Q}) \cap A_{2,P})^{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$; on which space $P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$ equals the identity. Hence, $P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})}$ is a projection and (a) readily follows.

Starting from Theorem 22.4 (b) with $P = Q$; we obtain by taking adjoints and applying Lemma 20.4, that

$$J_P = [W : W_P] F_P = J_P :$$

Assertion (b) now follows by application of Theorem 22.4 (c).

From (b) it follows that $\ker P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_{P,Q})} = \ker J_P$: The converse inclusion follows by Theorem 22.4 (c).

Proposition 22.12 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$: Then the image of F_P equals $(S(\text{id}_{P \otimes Q}) A_{2;P})^{W(A \otimes Q)}$:

Proof: That in $(F_P) = (S(\text{id}_{P \otimes Q}) A_{2;P})^{W(A \otimes Q)}$ was asserted in Corollary 22.3. For the converse inclusion, let $f \in (S(\text{id}_{P \otimes Q}) A_{2;P})^{W(A \otimes Q)}$: Then

$$f = P_{W(A \otimes Q)} f = F_P (W : W_P] J_P f) \in \text{im } F_P ;$$

in view of Theorem 22.4 (c).

23 The spherical Plancherel theorem

In this final section we establish the Plancherel theorem for functions from $C(X : \lambda)$ and $L^2(X : \lambda)$: If $P \in \mathcal{P}$; then by $C_P(X : \lambda)$ we denote the image of the operator J_P in $C(X : \lambda)$:

Theorem 23.1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$:

- (a) The space $C_P(X : \lambda)$ is closed in $C(X : \lambda)$ and depends on $P \in \mathcal{P}$ through its class in $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} / \sim$:
- (b) The spaces $\ker F_P$ and $C_P(X : \lambda)$ are each other's orthocomplement in $C(X : \lambda)$:
- (c) The space $C(X : \lambda)$ admits the following finite direct sum decomposition

$$C(X : \lambda) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} C_P(X : \lambda) : \quad (23.1)$$

The summands are mutually orthogonal with respect to the L^2 -inner product on $C(X : \lambda)$:

- (d) For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$; the operator $W : W_P] J_P F_P$ is the projection onto $C_P(X : \lambda)$ along the remaining summands in the above direct sum .

Remark 23.2 This result, together with Propositions 22.11 and 22.12, is equivalent to Thm. 2 in Delorme's paper [21], in view of Remark 13.8. See also Remark 21.7.

Proof: If $Q \in \mathcal{P}$; $Q \not\sim P$; then, by Theorem 22.4 (a),

$$F_Q = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad C_P(X : \lambda) : \quad (23.2)$$

In view of Theorem 21.6 this implies that

$$W : W_P] J_P F_P = I \quad \text{on} \quad C_P(X : \lambda) : \quad (23.3)$$

We infer that $C_P(X : \lambda) = \text{im } (J_P F_P)$: By Remark 21.5 it follows that $C_P(X : \lambda)$ depends on P through its class in $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} / \sim$: This establishes the second assertion

of (a). From Theorem 21.6 we see that $C(X :)$ is the vector sum of the spaces $C_R(X :)$; for $R \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. To establish the orthogonality of the summands, let $P, Q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$; $P \neq Q$; let $f \in C_P(X :)$ and $g \in C_Q(X :)$. Then $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle = 0$; by Lemma 20.4 and (23.2). This establishes (c). Combining (c) with (23.2) and (23.3), we obtain (d). From (c) it follows that $C_P(X :)$ is closed, whence (a).

It remains to establish (b). From (23.2) it follows that $\ker F_P$ contains the part C_0 of the sum (23.1) consisting of the summands with $R \neq P$. On the other hand, $\ker F_P \cap C_P(X :) = \{0\}$ by (23.3) and we conclude that $\ker F_P = C_0$. The latter space equals the orthogonal complement of $C_P(X :)$; by the orthogonality of the sum (23.1).

We denote by $L_P^2(X :)$ the closure in $L^2(X :)$ of $C_P(X :)$. This space depends on P through its class in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary 23.3 The space $L^2(X :)$ admits the following orthogonal direct sum decomposition into closed subspaces

$$L^2(X :) = \sum_{P \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} L_P^2(X :)$$

Proof: Since $C(X :)$ is dense in $L^2(X :)$; the result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 23.1 (c).

We recall that a continuous linear map between Hilbert spaces $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is called a partial isometry if T is an isometry from $(\ker T)^\perp$ into H_2 . In particular, this means that $\text{im } T$ is a closed subspace of H_2 .

Theorem 23.4 Let $P \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$:

- The operator F_P extends uniquely to a continuous linear map from $L^2(X :)$ to $L^2(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \subset A_{2,P}$; denoted F_P .
- The operator J_P extends uniquely to a continuous linear map from $L^2(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \subset A_{2,P}$ to $L^2(X :)$; denoted J_P . This extension is the adjoint of the extended operator F_P .
- The extended operator $[W : W_P]^{1=2} F_P$ is a partial isometry from $L^2(X :)$ onto the space $(L^2(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \subset A_{2,P})^{W \text{ (a}_{P,Q})}$; with kernel equal to the orthogonal complement of $L_P^2(X :)$.
- The extended operator $[W : W_P]^{1=2} J_P$ is a partial isometry from $L^2(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \subset A_{2,P}$ onto $L_P^2(X :)$ with kernel equal to the orthogonal complement of $(L^2(\text{ia}_{P,Q}) \subset A_{2,P})^{W \text{ (a}_{P,Q})}$.
- Let $P \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be a choice of representatives for the classes in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$I = \sum_{R \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} [W : W_R] J_R F_R \quad \text{on } L^2(X :)$$

Remark 23.5 In view of Remark 13.8, this result corresponds to part (iv) of Theorem 2 in [21]. See also Remark 23.2.

Proof: Fix P as in (e). Let $f \in C(X : \mathbb{R})$. Then it follows from Theorem 23.1 (c) and (d), combined with Lemma 20.4 that

$$\|kf\|^2 = \int_{R \times P} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|W : W_R\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| \, d\mu = \int_{R \times P} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|W : W_R\| |kf|^2 \, d\mu :$$

In particular, this estimate holds for a choice of P with $P \subset P$: It follows that F_P is continuous with respect to the L^2 -topologies. By density of $C(X : \mathbb{R})$ in $L^2(X : \mathbb{R})$; it follows that F_P has a unique continuous linear extension $L^2(X : \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})$: Hence (a).

Since J_P is the adjoint of F_P with respect to the L^2 -inner products on the Schwartz spaces, it follows that the adjoint of the extension of F_P is a continuous linear extension of J_P to a continuous linear operator from $L^2(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(X : \mathbb{R})$: This extension is unique by density of $S(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})$ in $L^2(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})$: This proves (b).

By continuity and density, the formula in (e) follows from Theorem 21.6. From Theorem 23.1 (b) and (c) it follows that, for $R \subset P$ with $R \neq P$; $F_P = 0$ on $C_R(X : \mathbb{R})$; hence on $L^2_R(X : \mathbb{R})$; by continuity and density. Put $\mathbb{F}_P := \|W : W_P\|^{1/2} F_P$ and $\mathbb{J}_P := \|W : W_P\|^{1/2} J_P$: Using (e) we infer that $\ker \mathbb{F}_P = L^2_P(X : \mathbb{R})^\perp$ and that $\mathbb{J}_P : \mathbb{F}_P$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(X : \mathbb{R})$ onto $L^2_P(X : \mathbb{R})$: Since $\mathbb{J}_P = \mathbb{F}_P$; it follows that \mathbb{F}_P is isometric from $L^2_P(X : \mathbb{R})$ onto \mathbb{F}_P and that \mathbb{J}_P is isometric from \mathbb{F}_P onto $L^2_P(X : \mathbb{R})$: It follows from Theorem 22.4 and continuity and density that $\mathbb{F}_P : \mathbb{J}_P = P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})}$ on $L^2(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})$: Hence,

$$\dim(\mathbb{F}_P) = \dim(L^2_P(X : \mathbb{R})) = \dim(P_{W(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})}) = (L^2(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R}))^{W(\mathfrak{a}_P : \mathbb{R})}$$

and (c) follows. Finally, (d) follows from (c) by taking adjoints.

References

- [1] J. Arthur, A Paley-Wiener theorem for real reductive groups. Acta Math. 150 (1983), 1-89.
- [2] E.P. van den Ban, Invariant differential operators on semisimple symmetric spaces and finite multiplicities in a Plancherel formula. Ark. for Mat. 25 (1987), 175-187.
- [3] E.P. van den Ban, Asymptotic behaviour of matrix coefficients related to reductive symmetric spaces. Indag. Math. 49, 1987, 225-249.
- [4] E.P. van den Ban, The principal series for a reductive symmetric space, I. H-fixed distribution vectors. Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 21 (1988), 359-412.

- [5] E.P. van den Ban, The principal series for a reductive symmetric space II. Eisenstein integrals. *J. Funct. Anal.* 109 (1992), 331-441.
- [6] E.P. van den Ban, The action of intertwining operators on spherical vectors in the minimal principal series of a reductive symmetric space. *Indag. Math.* 145 (1997), 317-347.
- [7] E.P. van den Ban, J. Carmona and P. Delorme, Paquets d'ondes dans l'espace de Schwartz d'un espace symétrique réductif. *J. Funct. Anal.* 139 (1996), 225-243.
- [8] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, Asymptotic expansions and boundary values of eigenfunctions on Riemannian symmetric spaces. *J. reine angew. Math.* 380 (1987), 108-165.
- [9] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, Fourier transform on a semisimple symmetric space. *Invent. Math.* 130 (1997), 517-574.
- [10] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, The most continuous part of the Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space. *Annals Math.* 145 (1997), 267-364.
- [11] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, A residue calculus for root systems. *Compositio Math.* 123 (2000), 27-72.
- [12] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, Fourier inversion on a reductive symmetric space. *Acta Math.* 182 (1999), 25-85.
- [13] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, Analytic families of eigenfunctions on a reductive symmetric space. Preprint 2001, arXiv math.RT/0104050.
- [14] E.P. van den Ban and H. Schlichtkrull, The Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space, II. Representation theory. In preparation.
- [15] J. Carmona, Terme constant des fonctions tempérées sur un espace symétrique réductif. *J. reine angew. Math.* 491 (1997), 17-63.
- [16] J. Carmona and P. Delorme, Base meromorphe de vecteurs distributions H -invariants pour les séries principales généralisées d'espaces symétriques réductifs: Équation fonctionnelle. *J. Funct. Anal.* 122 (1994), 152-221.
- [17] J. Carmona and P. Delorme, Transformation de Fourier sur l'espace de Schwartz d'un espace symétrique réductif. *Invent. math.* 134 (1998), 59-99.
- [18] W. Casselman, Canonical extensions of Harish-Chandra modules to representations of $G : \mathbb{C}$. *Canad. J. Math.* 41 (1989), 385-438.
- [19] P. Delorme, Integrales d'Eisenstein pour les espaces symétriques réductifs: tempérance, majorations. *Petite mathématique B. J. Funct. Anal.* 136 (1994), 422-509.

- [20] P. Delorme, Troncature pour les espaces symétriques réductifs. *Acta Math.* 179 (1997), 41-77.
- [21] P. Delorme, Formule de Plancherel pour les espaces symétriques réductifs. *Annals Math.* 147 (1998), 417-452.
- [22] M. Flensted-Jensen, Discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces. *Annals Math.* 111 (1980), 253-311.
- [23] P. Harinck, Fonctions orbitales sur $G_c = G_R$: Formule d'inversion des intégrales orbitales et formule de Plancherel. *J. Funct. Anal.* 153 (1998), 52-107.
- [24] Harish-Chandra, On the theory of the Eisenstein integral. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 266, 123-149, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Also: *Collected papers*, Vol 4, pp. 47-73, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [25] Harish-Chandra, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups I. The theory of the constant term. *J. Funct. Anal.* 19 (1975), 104-204. Also: *Collected papers*, Vol 4, pp. 102-202, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [26] Harish-Chandra, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups II. Wave packets in the Schwartz space. *Invent. math.* 36 (1976), 1-55. Also: *Collected papers*, Vol 4, pp. 203-257, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [27] Harish-Chandra, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups III. The Maaß-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula. *Annals of Math.* 104 (1976), 117-201. Also: *Collected papers*, Vol 4, pp. 259-343, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [28] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [29] A.W. Knap and E. Stein, Intertwining operators for semisimple Lie groups, II. *Invent. Math.* 60 (1980), 9-84.
- [30] R.P. Langlands, On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series. *Springer Lecture Notes* 544, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [31] O. Loos, *Symmetric spaces*. W.A. Benjamin, 1969.
- [32] T. Oshima, A realization of semisimple symmetric spaces and construction of boundary value maps. *Adv. Studies in Pure Math.* 14 (1988), 603-650.
- [33] T. Oshima and T. Matsuki, A description of discrete series for semisimple symmetric spaces. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 4 (1984), 331-390.
- [34] N.R. Wallach, *Real Reductive Groups I*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1988.

- [35] N. R. Wallach, Real Reductive Groups II. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, 1992.

E. P. van den Ban
Mathematisch Instituut
Universiteit Utrecht
P.O. Box 80 010
3508 TA Utrecht
Netherlands
E-mail: ban@math.uu.nl

H. Schlichtkrull
Matematisk Institut
Københavns Universitet
Universitetsparken 5
2100 København
Denmark
E-mail: schlicht@math.ku.dk