

Parametrization by polytopes of intersections of orbits by conjugation

R. S. Leite C. Tomei

October 30, 2018

Abstract

Let S be an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix with spectral decomposition $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, where Q is an orthogonal matrix and Λ is diagonal with simple spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. Also let \mathcal{O}_S e \mathcal{R}_S be the orbits by conjugation of S by, respectively, orthogonal matrices and upper triangular matrices with positive diagonal. Denote by \mathcal{F}_S the intersection $\mathcal{O}_S \cap \mathcal{R}_S$. We show that the map $F: \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ taking $S' = (Q')^T \Lambda Q'$ to $\text{diag}(Q' \Lambda (Q')^T)$ is a smooth bijection onto its range \mathcal{P}_S , the convex hull of some subset of the $n!$ points $\{(\lambda_{\pi(1)}, \lambda_{\pi(2)}, \dots, \lambda_{\pi(n)}), \pi \text{ is a permutation}\}$. We also find necessary and sufficient conditions for \mathcal{P}_S to have $n!$ vertices.

Keywords: Integrable systems, Toda flows, QR decomposition

Mathematical subject classification: Primary 58F07, Secondary 15A23

1 Introduction

In the seventies, interest in the Toda lattice — a Hamiltonian system of equations for the motion of n particles in the line — led researchers to consider in detail the geometry of Jacobi matrices (real, symmetric tridiagonal matrices with strictly positive elements immediately below and above the diagonal). The connection was made by Flaschka [F74], which constructed a change of variables from physical phase space \mathbb{R}^{2n} to Jacobi matrices, converting the Toda equations into an evolution with the remarkable property that the eigenvalues of the matrices along an orbit are constant. After handling the motion of the center of mass of the particle system, the change of variables transfers the standard symplectic structure on \mathbb{R}^{2n-2} to submanifolds of $n \times n$ Jacobi matrices with trace zero. By proving that (symmetric functions of the) eigenvalues taken as Hamiltonians induce commuting flows, Flaschka showed that the particle system is completely integrable on both the original variables and on Jacobi matrices. On the matrix phase space, the Liouville-Arnold theorem for integrable systems (which still holds, despite the noncompactness of the invariant tori) becomes the statement that the set \mathcal{J}_Λ of $n \times n$ Jacobi matrices with simple spectrum

equal to the spectrum of a diagonal matrix $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . This domain of parameters for \mathcal{J}_Λ may be identified with the evolution times of $n - 1$ commuting Toda flows starting from a fixed initial condition: there is thus a natural *Toda action* on \mathcal{J}_Λ . Later, Moser [M75] provided a bijective parametrization of \mathcal{J}_Λ by introducing appropriate spectral data on Jacobi matrices.

Further study [To84] of \mathcal{T}_Λ , the set of *all* tridiagonal matrices with fixed simple spectrum Λ , required a detailed understanding of the closure $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda$ in the vector space of real, symmetric, tridiagonal matrices. By combining topological and combinatorial arguments, $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda$ was shown to be homeomorphic to the polytope \mathcal{P}_Λ , the convex span of the $n!$ points in \mathbb{R}^n of the form $(\lambda_{\pi(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\pi(n)})$, where π is an arbitrary permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The appearance of the convex polytope \mathcal{P}_Λ suggested that this result should be interpreted in terms of symplectic torus actions, following the fundamental papers of Guillemin and Sternberg [GS82] and Atiyah [A82]. This was accomplished by Bloch, Flaschka and Ratiu [BFR90], which presented a beautiful explicit correspondence between $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda$ and \mathcal{P}_Λ . In order to describe it, we set some notation. Take S real symmetric with the same (simple) spectrum as Λ and consider the spectral decomposition $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, where $Q \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, the group of orthogonal matrices with determinant equal to 1. For another decomposition $S = \hat{Q}^T \Lambda \hat{Q}$, $\hat{Q} \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, we must have $Q = \hat{Q} D$, where D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries equal to either 1 or -1. Thus, the matrix $\tilde{S} = Q^T \Lambda Q$ is actually dependent on the choice of Q , but its diagonal entries are not. Also, the trace of \tilde{S} is the trace of Λ . Let \mathcal{B}_Λ be the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n of vectors whose coordinates add to $\text{tr } \Lambda$. On the manifold \mathcal{O}_Λ of real symmetric matrices with spectrum equal to Λ , we define the *BFR map*

$$F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda} : \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\Lambda \quad \text{given by} \quad F(S) = \text{diag } \tilde{S}.$$

Clearly, $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$ is a smooth map.

Theorem 1 (Bloch-Flaschka-Ratiu) The restriction $F_{\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda} : \bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Lambda$ is a homeomorphism which is a diffeomorphism between the interiors of domain and range.

The key point in the proof of [BFR90] is the fact that, on an appropriate Kähler manifold, a twisted version of the Toda action is the holomorphic continuation of the standard diagonal torus action. The result then follows from Atiyah's convexity theorem on extensions of moment maps on Kähler manifolds [A82]. In principle, different restrictions of F could also be shown to be diffeomorphisms by the arguments in [BFR90], but the issue was not considered.

The BFR map brings to mind the simpler Schur-Horn map, $G : \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$, taking S to $\text{diag } S$ — the fact that this map is surjective is the classic Schur-Horn theorem ([S23], [H54]). When restricted to $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\Lambda$, this map is far from being either injective or surjective.

Closer to the spirit of the BFR theorem, the object of this paper is to provide an elementary proof that, instead, many restrictions of the BFR map

are diffeomorphisms, taking values on appropriate convex polytopes: a special case yields the BFR theorem. Take $S \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$. Consider the slice \mathcal{F}_S through S ,

$$\{Q^T S Q, Q \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})\} \cap \{R S R^{-1}, R \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})\}, \quad (1)$$

where $Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of upper triangular matrices with positive diagonal entries. Consider the *accessible vertices*, which are the diagonal matrices belonging to the closure of a slice $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. The image under $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$ of an accessible vertex is an *extremal vertex*. Finally, let \mathcal{P}_S , the *spectral polytope of S* , be the convex hull of the extremal vertices.

Theorem 2 Let S be a real, symmetric matrix, with simple spectrum. The restriction $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_S$ is a homeomorphism, which is a diffeomorphism between the interiors of domain and range.

A special case of this result occurs for *spectrally complete* matrices in \mathcal{O}_Λ : these are matrices $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, $Q \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, so that every minor of Q obtained by intersecting arbitrary k rows with the first k columns has nonzero determinant.

Theorem 3 Let S be a spectrally complete matrix. The restriction of the BFR map $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\Lambda$ is a homeomorphism, which is a diffeomorphism between the interiors of domain and range.

Jacobi matrices are spectrally complete, as will be shown in Proposition 12. Thus, Theorem 3 indeed generalizes Theorem 1.

Up to choices of sign, the definition of the slice through S is strictly algebraic. Still, slices have an obvious affinity with the Toda flows: \mathcal{F}_S is the set of matrices reached by Toda flows starting from S — a precise statement, combined with the proof of this fact, will be given in Section 2. It is here that simplicity of the spectrum of Λ plays its role. We then proceed in Section 3 to the study of the asymptotic behavior of the Toda action starting from S : the elements in the closure of \mathcal{F}_S are interpreted as equivalence classes of limits to infinity. From this follows the description of the stratification into faces and subfaces of the closure $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. At this point our arguments could have taken a different route: the asymptotic behavior yields a description of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ which is independent of any explicit identification between $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ and some (“dressed”) reference set. This approach will be presented in a forthcoming paper, and will allow us to consider scenarios in which there seems to be no natural source of convexity to guide our steps. In Section 4, we describe the spectral polytope \mathcal{P}_S by characterizing both its vertices and its faces. It turns out that \mathcal{P}_S is obtained by chopping \mathcal{P}_Λ by hyperplanes parallel to faces of \mathcal{P}_Λ , but such hyperplanes are special, in the sense that the chopping does not introduce new vertices. We then complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Readers familiar with the proof of the convexity theorem for the image of moment maps of symplectic torus actions in [GS82] know that there are two basic facts to confront:

- The image of the critical set of the moment map is contained in a collection of hyperplanes,
- The image of the map “has no holes”.

The fact that the map of interest is a moment map for a symplectic torus action is what permits the verification of both statements in the general case. Here instead, we shall see from a computation in Section 2 that $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$ ceases to be a diffeomorphism exactly at *reducible* matrices $S \in \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$, i.e., matrices which admit a proper invariant subspace generated by vectors in the canonical basis, and the restrictions of the BFR map $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$ to slices \mathcal{F}_S have no critical set. The image of the boundary of each slice \mathcal{F}_S is shown in Proposition 7 to belong to a very explicit set of hyperplanes. The second step, which is equivalent to showing that there are no images of critical components in the interior of the putative range, is a direct consequence of the characterization of \mathcal{P}_S by faces. Closest in spirit to the proof in [GS82] is the construction in Section 4 of special Lyapunov functions for Toda flows, the *partial traces*.

Arguments of this more elementary sort were already used in [LRT99] for the study of specific moment maps. This paper is an extended version of [L00].

2 The slice by a matrix

Throughout the text, S denotes an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix with simple spectrum, admitting the spectral decomposition $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, where Q lies in $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, and $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n)$. We consider the *slice* through S , $\mathcal{F}_S = \{Q^T S Q, Q \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})\} \cap \{R S R^{-1}, R \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})\}$. Clearly, if $S' \in \mathcal{F}_S$ then $\mathcal{F}_{S'} = \mathcal{F}_S$.

A nontrivial subspace of \mathbb{R}^n is *canonical* if it contains a basis given by a (proper) subset of the set of canonical vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. A matrix with no invariant canonical subspaces is *irreducible*. Recall that, if S is a symmetric matrix with simple spectrum and f is a continuous function, then $f(S) = p(S)$, where p is any polynomial coinciding with f on the spectrum of S .

Proposition 1 Let S be a real symmetric matrix with simple spectrum. Then S is irreducible if and only if the only diagonal matrices which are functions of S are multiples of the identity matrix. If S is irreducible, then all matrices in \mathcal{F}_S are also irreducible.

Proof Clearly, if $f(S)$ is diagonal with two distinct eigenvalues, it must have an invariant canonical subspace, which is also invariant under S , showing reducibility. Conversely, if S is reducible, consider an invariant canonical subspace V and choose a function which sends the eigenvalues of the restriction of S to V (resp. V^\perp) to 0 (resp. to 1), giving rise to a diagonal function of S with eigenvalues 0 and 1. For the second statement, let $S' = R S R^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}_S$ where R is upper triangular. Suppose that S is irreducible and that, by contradiction, S'

is reducible. Let p be a polynomial such that $p(S')$ is a diagonal matrix which is not a multiple of the identity matrix I . Since $S = R^{-1} S' R$,

$$p(S) = p(R^{-1} S' R) = R^{-1} p(S') R,$$

so that $p(S)$ is a symmetric, upper triangular matrix, and hence, diagonal. The spectra of $p(S)$ and $p(S')$ are the same, which shows that $p(S)$ is not a multiple of I — a contradiction. \square

Let S be an invertible symmetric matrix. Consider the (unique) QR decomposition of S as the product of two matrices, one in $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ and one in $Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$: $S = QR$. We denote the factors Q and R by, respectively, $[S]_Q$ and $[S]_R$, and its inverses by $[S]_Q^T$ and $[S]_R^{-1}$. With an appropriate choice of signs, the matrix $[S]_Q$ is the matrix obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to the columns of S . The QR factors depend smoothly on the invertible matrices S .

Proposition 2 Let S be an $n \times n$ real symmetric irreducible matrix with simple spectrum. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_S = \{[e^{p(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{p(S)}]_Q, \text{ for polynomials } p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Moreover, $[e^{p(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{p(S)}]_Q = [e^{\tilde{p}(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{\tilde{p}(S)}]_Q$ if and only if $p = \tilde{p} + b$, for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof Let $S' \in \mathcal{F}_S$: there are matrices $Q \in SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $R \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $S' = Q^T S Q = R S R^{-1}$. Then $S Q R = Q R S$ and, since S has simple spectrum, $QR = f(S)$ for some polynomial f with $f(S)$ invertible. Thus, $Q = [f(S)]_Q$ and $R = [f(S)]_R$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_S \subset \{[f(S)]_Q^T S [f(S)]_Q, \text{ for polynomials } f\}.$$

Suppose that $h = \theta f$ where θ take the values ± 1 on the spectrum of S . Then $[f(S)]_Q^T S [f(S)]_Q = [h(S)]_Q^T S [h(S)]_Q$, since $[h(S)]_Q = \theta(S) [f(S)]_Q$ and $\theta(S)$ is orthogonal. The first claim of the proposition follows: f and $|f|$ obtain the same matrix in \mathcal{F}_S . Now take f and \tilde{f} positive on the spectrum of S so that

$$[f(S)]_Q^T S [f(S)]_Q = [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q^T S [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q$$

and, from the computations in the beginning of the proof,

$$[f(S)]_R S [f(S)]_R^{-1} = [\tilde{f}(S)]_R S [\tilde{f}(S)]_R^{-1}.$$

The first identity yields $[f(S)]_Q [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q^T S = S [f(S)]_Q [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q^T$, which shows that $[f(S)]_Q [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q^T$ is a polynomial $k(S)$: $[f(S)]_Q = k(S) [\tilde{f}(S)]_Q$. Thus $k(S)$ is orthogonal and symmetric, and its spectrum is contained in $\{-1, +1\}$.

By the second identity, $S [f(S)]_R^{-1} [\tilde{f}(S)]_R = [f(S)]_R^{-1} [\tilde{f}(S)]_R S$. The matrix $E = [f(S)]_R^{-1} [\tilde{f}(S)]_R \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a polynomial of S and hence is symmetric. Thus E is actually positive diagonal and $[f(S)]_R E = [\tilde{f}(S)]_R$. A simple algebra obtains $f(S) E = k(S) \tilde{f}(S)$, so

$$E = (f^{-1} \circ k \circ \tilde{f})(S).$$

Since E is a positive diagonal polynomial of the irreducible matrix S , we must have $E = aI$, $a > 0$. Comparing spectra of $E = aI = (f^{-1} \circ k \circ \tilde{f})(S)$, we see that $k(S)$ is the identity, and, finally, $af = \tilde{f}$. By taking logs, convert the statements about f 's in statements about e^p 's. \square

Consider the additive homomorphism $\tau \mapsto p_\tau$, taking a vector $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to a polynomial p_τ with values τ_1, \dots, τ_n on the spectrum $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. The quotient of the vector space of polynomials of degree $n-1$ by constants will be represented by $\mathbb{R}_0^n = \{(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \sum_i \tau_i = 0\}$. Consider now the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: (\mathbb{R}_0^n, +) \times \mathcal{O}_\Lambda &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \\ (\tau, S) &\mapsto [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q. \end{aligned}$$

This map, the *Toda action*, describes the flows associated to the Hamiltonians of the standard Toda hierarchy. For the convenience of the reader, we sketch the basic facts about Toda flows: an excellent reference is [Sy80]. Consider the decomposition $S = S_u + S_d + S_\ell$, where S_u , S_d and S_ℓ are, respectively, the strictly upper triangular, diagonal and strictly lower triangular parts of S . Define the projections

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} S = S_\ell - S_\ell^T \in Sk(n, \mathbb{R}), \quad \Pi_{\mathcal{U}} S = S_u + S_d + S_\ell^T \in Up(n, \mathbb{R}),$$

where $Sk(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Up(n, \mathbb{R})$ are the real vector spaces of skew-symmetric and upper triangular matrices respectively. The solution at t of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} S(t) = [S(t), \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p(S(t))], \quad S(0) = S. \quad (2)$$

is known to be equal to

$$S(t) = [e^{tp(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{tp(S)}]_Q = [e^{tp(S)}]_R S [e^{tp(S)}]_R^{-1}.$$

The next proposition collects some obvious consequences of this formula.

Proposition 3 The matrix $\Phi(\tau, S)$ equals the solution at $t = 1$ of the differential equation above where $p = p_\tau$. The slice \mathcal{F}_S is the set of all matrices reached by a Toda flow starting at S . Toda flows commute: for $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$,

$$\Phi(\tau_1, \Phi(\tau_2, S)) = \Phi(\tau_1 + \tau_2, S).$$

In particular, Φ is a group action on \mathcal{O}_Λ which preserves each slice \mathcal{F}_S . Each restriction $\Phi_S : \mathbb{R}_0^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S$ is an injective immersion.

Proof For commuting matrices $M_1 = e^{p_{\tau_1}(S)}$ and $M_2 = e^{p_{\tau_2}(S)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [M_2[M_1]_Q]_Q &= [M_2[M_1]_Q[M_1]_R]_Q = [M_2M_1]_Q \\ &= [M_1M_2]_Q = [M_1[M_2]_Q]_Q, \end{aligned}$$

from which commutativity of the action follows. from the previous proposition, Φ goes down to the quotient \mathbb{R}_0^n and, for the same reason, it is injective in \mathcal{F}_S . To see that $\Phi_S : \mathbb{R}_0^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S$ is an immersion, begin by differentiating $[e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q$ in the variable τ along the direction $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\tau \left([e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q \right) (\rho) &= [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \left([e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q^T p_\rho(S) e^{p_\tau(S)} [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_R^{-1} \right) \\ &= [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \left([e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q^T p_\rho(S) [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q \right), \end{aligned}$$

where, for $M = e^{p_\tau(S)}$, we used the identity

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} [M]_Q = [M]_Q \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} \left([M]_Q^T \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} M \right) [M]_R^{-1} \right). \quad (3)$$

Finally, differentiating Φ_S at $\tau = 0$ along ρ ,

$$D_\tau \Phi_S|_{\tau=0}(\rho) = [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), S].$$

The map Φ_S is a immersion if and only if $[\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), S] = 0$: the skew-symmetric matrix $\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S)$ is thus a function of S , hence symmetric, and we must have $\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S) = 0$. So $p_\rho(S)$ is symmetric upper triangular, hence diagonal. As $p_\rho(S)$ is a function of the irreducible matrix S , $p_\rho(S)$ must be a multiple of the identity matrix, by Proposition 1, and its eigenvalues (the coordinates of the vector s of \mathbb{R}_0^n) must be zero: $s = 0$. \square

3 Asymptotics of the Toda action

Given a matrix $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, we consider the matrices in the closure of \mathcal{F}_S . These matrices will be obtained by taking limits of $\Phi(\tau^k, S)$ for appropriate choices of vectors $\tau^k \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$. From the solution of the Toda flows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tau^k, S) &= [e^{p_{\tau^k}(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{p_{\tau^k}(S)}]_Q = [Q^T e^{p_{\tau^k}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q^T Q^T \Lambda Q [Q^T e^{p_{\tau^k}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q \\ &= [e^{p_{\tau^k}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q^T \Lambda [e^{p_{\tau^k}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q. \end{aligned}$$

We choose a different representative for classes in the quotient by constants of the space of polynomials: instead of points in \mathbb{R}_0^n , we take vectors $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with largest coordinate equal to 0. Vectors τ^k are then replaced by their counterparts σ^k in the formulae above and, by definition, $p_{\sigma^k}(\Lambda) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^k, \dots, \sigma_n^k)$, and $[e^{p_{\sigma^k}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q = [D^k Q]_Q = Q_k$, for some positive diagonal matrix D^k with largest entry equal to 1.

We are thus led to consider limits Q_∞ of sequences of orthogonal matrices $Q_k = [e^{\text{diag}(\sigma^k)} Q]_Q = [D^k Q]_Q$ where $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a sequence of positive diagonal matrices $D^k = \text{diag}(d_1^k, \dots, d_n^k)$ with entries in $(0, 1]^n$. A sequence of $n \times n$ diagonal matrices is *normalized* if its diagonal entries belong to $(0, 1]$ and each matrix has some entry equal to 1. Matrices in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S - \mathcal{F}_S$ correspond to limits of $\Phi(\tau^k, S)$ when $\tau_k \rightarrow \infty$, which in turn is equivalent to the asymptotic property that some diagonal entry of the sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ goes to 0.

An *ordered partition* $\mathcal{I} = (I_0, I_1, \dots, I_p)$ is a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ in subsets I_0, I_1, \dots, I_p , taken in a prescribed order. Let $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a sequence of $n \times n$ diagonal matrices with diagonal entries d_i^k in $(0, 1]$. Such a sequence admits an *equiasymptotic partition* if there is an ordered partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that, for $i_\alpha \in I_\alpha$ and $i_\beta \in I_\beta$, the quotient $d_{i_\beta}^k / d_{i_\alpha}^k$ goes to zero for $k \rightarrow \infty$ if $\alpha < \beta$ or to a nonzero real number if $\alpha = \beta$. Thus, two indices α and β in the same subset I_j label diagonal positions that have *comparable asymptotic behavior*; also, the positions indexed by I_{j+1} decrease to 0 faster than the positions indexed by I_j . Ordered partitions for which the number of subsets $p + 1$ is different from 1 will be called *proper*.

Lemma 1 Let $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a normalized sequence of diagonal matrices. Then $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{D^\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ admitting an equiasymptotic partition \mathcal{I} . The limit matrix may be chosen to have one diagonal entry equal to 1. Also, the limit matrix has a diagonal entry equal to zero if and only if the partition \mathcal{I} is proper.

Proof If the sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ admits a subsequence converging to a matrix having diagonal entries in $(0, 1]$, the result is clear and the equiasymptotic partition has a single subset (i.e., $p = 0$). Otherwise, we use induction: in particular, the proof will obtain proper partitions. Since each matrix D^k has a diagonal entry equal to 1, there is an index i_1 so that $d_{i_1}^k = 1$ for infinitely many k 's forming an infinite subset K_1 of \mathbb{N} . Without loss, we may take $i_1 = 1$. Suppose by induction that we have already partitioned the first j indices, by assigning them into subsets of indices with comparable asymptotic behavior, and we are left with a subsequence of diagonal matrices labeled by the infinite set K_j . Also, suppose that the subsets of indices are ordered according to decreasing asymptotic behavior. Consider now index $j + 1$. For $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$, The quotients $\{d_{j+1}^k / d_m^k, k \in K_j\}$ must accumulate in one of three possibilities: 0, a nonzero real number or ∞ . Choose a convergent subsequence of quotients, obtaining in the process an infinite subset $K_{j+1,m} \subset K_j$. If the limit is 0 (resp. ∞) the new index j must belong to a subset of indices appearing after (resp. before) the subset to which the index m belongs. If the limit is a nonzero real

number, $j + 1$ and m belong to the same subset of indices. After repeating this process for all indices $m = 1, 2, \dots, j$, we get to know which subset of indices (possibly a new one) contains the index $j + 1$. Finally, set $K_{j+1} = K_{j+1,j}$. \square

A *boundary sequence* is a sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of normalized diagonal matrices admitting a proper equiasymptotic partition. We will see in Theorem 4 that boundary sequences indeed give rise to boundary points of slices.

Before analyzing in full generality the asymptotic behavior of the Toda action, or, more geometrically, the closure of a slice, we present two examples. In the first one, the slice is an open topological hexagon, as are slices through 3×3 Jacobi matrices with simple spectrum ([T084],[BFR90]). In the second example, the slice is an open topological quadrilateral.

For 3×3 diagonal matrices, there are 12 kinds of equiasymptotic partitions: there are six in which each partition subset $I_\ell, \ell = 0, 1, 2$, has exactly one element, three in which $|I_0| = 1$ and $|I_1| = 2$ and three more, in which $|I_0| = 2$ and $|I_1| = 1$. Let $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Denote by V_A the k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^n generated by the canonical vectors $e_{a_1}, e_{a_2}, \dots, e_{a_k}$.

For the first example, we take the matrix $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$, where

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{k}, 1, \frac{1}{k^2})$ gives rise to the equiasymptotic partition $(I_0 = \{2\}, I_1 = \{1\}, I_2 = \{3\})$. The reader will have no difficulty in checking that

$$Q_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D^k Q]_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $J_0 = \{1\}, J_1 = \{2\}, J_2 = \{3\}$, Q_∞ takes V_{J_0}, V_{J_1} and V_{J_2} to, respectively, V_{I_0}, V_{I_1} and V_{I_2} . The matrix

$$S_\infty = Q_\infty^T \Lambda Q_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

preserves the canonical subspaces V_{I_0}, V_{I_1} and V_{I_2} . The slice by S_∞ consists of the single matrix Q_∞ , which turns out to be a vertex of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. Also, different choices of boundary sequences D^k yielding the same ordered partition $(I_0 = \{2\}, I_1 = \{1\}, I_2 = \{3\})$ obtain the same limit matrices Q_∞ and S_∞ . Other choices of boundary sequences yielding singletons I_0, I_1 and I_2 obtain the remaining five diagonal matrices with the same spectrum as Λ , and complete the set of accessible vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$.

Consider now the boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(\frac{a}{k}, \frac{b}{k}, 1)$, for $a, b \in (0, 1]$, with gives rise to the equiasymptotic partition $(I_0 = \{3\}, I_1 = \{1, 2\})$. Then

$$Q_\infty^{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \begin{bmatrix} -a \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & a \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -b \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -b \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}_Q \\ 1 & \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $Q_\infty^{a,b}$ depends only on the quotient a/b . Also, for the partition $(J_0 = \{1\}, J_1 = \{2, 3\})$, the matrix $S_\infty^{a,b} = (Q_\infty^{a,b})^T \Lambda Q_\infty^{a,b}$ keeps V_{J_0} and V_{J_1} invariant. The spectra of the restrictions $S_\infty^{a,b}|_{V_{J_0}}$ and $\tilde{S}_\infty^{a,b}|_{V_{J_1}}$ are, respectively, $\{1\} = \{\lambda_i, i \in \tilde{I}_0\}$ and $\{4, 2\} = \{\lambda_i, i \in \tilde{I}_1\}$. The slice by $S_\infty^{a,b}$ for fixed a and b is a topological side of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ joining vertices $\text{diag}(1, 4, 2)$ and $\text{diag}(1, 2, 4)$. Also, this slice is exactly the set of matrices $S_\infty^{a',b'}$, for $a', b' \in (0, 1]$. The other two partitions $(I_0 = \{2\}, I_1 = \{1, 3\})$ and $(I_0 = \{1\}, I_1 = \{2, 3\})$ correspond respectively to slices of matrices preserving the subspace generated by e_1 with spectra $\{2\}$ and $\{4\}$.

So far, we obtained three of the six sides of the topological hexagon $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. The boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(a, b, \frac{1}{k})$ for constants $a, b \in (0, 1]$, with equiasymptotic partition $(I_0 = \{1, 2\}, I_1 = \{3\})$ and its appropriate permutations yield the remaining three sides. The upshot is the picture below: slices in the boundary of \mathcal{F}_S correspond bijectively to the possible equiasymptotic partitions.




Figure 1: A spectrally complete slice

For the second example, we take $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

Again, we begin with the boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{k}, 1, \frac{1}{k^2})$, with equiasymptotic partition $(I_0 = \{2\}, I_1 = \{1\}, I_2 = \{3\})$. Now

$$Q_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the partition $(J_0 = \{2\}, J_1 = \{3\}, J_2 = \{1\})$, the matrix Q_∞ takes V_{J_0} , V_{J_1} and V_{J_2} to, resp., V_{I_0} , V_{I_1} and V_{I_2} . Notice however that the boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{k^2}, 1, \frac{1}{k})$, admitting $(I_0 = \{2\}, I_1 = \{3\}, I_2 = \{1\})$ as equiasymptotic partition, also yields the same vertex S_∞ of \mathcal{F}_S . The other four choices of singleton partitions yield three more diagonal matrices: $(\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\})$ and $(\{1\}, \{3\}, \{2\})$ yield $\text{diag}(4, 1, 2)$, $(\{3\}, \{2\}, \{1\})$ yields $\text{diag}(1, 2, 4)$ and $(\{3\}, \{1\}, \{2\})$ yields $\text{diag}(1, 4, 2)$.

Consider now the boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(a, b, \frac{1}{k})$, with equiasymptotic partition $(I_0 = \{1, 2\}, I_1 = \{3\})$. Then

$$Q_\infty^{a,b} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D^k Q_2]_Q = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & -a \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ b \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} & b \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_Q$$

takes V_{J_0} and V_{J_1} to, resp., V_{I_0} and V_{I_1} : here $J_0 = \{1, 3\}$ and $J_1 = \{2\}$. The matrix $S_\infty^{a,b} = (Q_\infty^{a,b})^T \Lambda Q_\infty^{a,b}$ keeps the subspace V_{J_0} invariant with spectrum $\{4, 2\} = \{\lambda_i, i \in I_0\}$. By varying a and b one obtains matrices in a single slice, with extrema given by the matrices $\text{diag}(4, 1, 2)$ and $\text{diag}(2, 1, 4)$ — notice that the previous example did not have a slice joining these two vertices. The boundary sequence $D^k = \text{diag}(\frac{a}{k}, 1, \frac{b}{k})$, which one may expect to give rise to a side, yields instead the vertex $\text{diag}(2, 1, 4)$. This is also the case of $D^k = \text{diag}(1, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k})$, which yields $\text{diag}(4, 1, 2)$. Adding up, $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ is the topological quadrilateral in Figure 2.

The matrix S in the first example is spectrally complete: the definition given in the introduction is equivalent to the more visual fact that all diagonal matrices obtained by permuting the spectrum of S belong to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$, as will be proved in Proposition 11. The matrix in the second example is clearly not. Theorem 4 extends the pattern displayed in the examples: the only relevant information in




Figure 2: The slice of the second example

the computation of the limits of the Toda action is coded by an equiasymptotic partition and the limit values of the quotients among entries in the same subset of the partition.

We will make use of a slight variation of the QR decomposition of an invertible $n \times n$ matrix M . For two non-empty subsets I, J of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, denote by $M_{I \times J}$ the $|I| \times |J|$ submatrix of M formed by the elements in the rows and columns of M indexed by I and J respectively. Define the row submatrix M_I associated to I as $M_I = M_{I \times \{1, \dots, n\}}$. Recall that V_I is the span of the canonical vectors $e_i, i \in I$. For a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define $v_I \in V_I$ to be the orthogonal projection of v in V_I . Call m_k the k^{th} column of matrix M . The (unique) \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of an invertible $n \times n$ matrix M for an ordered partition $\mathcal{I} = (I_0, I_1, \dots, I_p)$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ generates

- I. another ordered partition $\mathcal{J} = (J_0, J_1, \dots, J_p)$ with $|I_\alpha| = |J_\alpha|$, for indices $\alpha = 0, \dots, p$,
- II. a matrix $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ with orthogonal blocks $(Q_{\mathcal{I}})_{I_\alpha \times J_\alpha}$ and blocks $(Q_{\mathcal{I}})_{I_\alpha \times (\cup_{\beta > \alpha} J_\beta)}$ equal to zero,
- III. a matrix $R_{\mathcal{I}} \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $M = Q_{\mathcal{I}} R_{\mathcal{I}}$.

The factorization is obtained in steps, indexed by $\alpha = 0, \dots, p$, yielding invertible matrices $M_0, \dots, M_p = Q_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Set $\alpha = 0$. Compute a matrix \tilde{M}_0 as follows. Start from the first column ($k = 1$). If $(m_k)_{I_0}$, the restriction of column k of M to the subspace V_{I_0} , is nonzero, subtract from the columns to the right of m_k a multiple of m_k so as

to make their restriction to I_0 orthogonal to $(m_k)_{I_0}$. Repeat the procedure after increasing k up to $n - 1$. The resulting matrix is \tilde{M}_0 . Call J_0 the set of indices of the nonzero (orthogonal, but possibly not normal) columns of the row matrix $(\tilde{M}_0)_{I_0}$. Now obtain M_0 by dividing the columns indexed by J_0 of \tilde{M}_0 by positive numbers, chosen as to make the submatrix $(M_0)_{I_0 \times J_0}$ orthogonal.

The fact that this computation can be performed follows from simple linear algebra: since M is invertible, the row matrix M_{I_0} has row rank $|I_0|$ and hence column rank $|I_0|$. Also, under a lexicographic ordering of n -uples, the set J_0 indexes the first subset of independent columns of M_{I_0} . Notice that the submatrix $(M_0)_{I_0 \times J_0^C}$ is zero. It is easy to see that $M_0 = M R_0$, for an appropriate $R_0 \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$.

In the second step ($\alpha = 1$), a similar procedure obtains an invertible matrix M_1 . Let k range in J_0^C in increasing fashion. If for a given k , the restriction $((m_0)_k)_{I_1}$ is nonzero, multiples of $(m_0)_k$ should be subtracted from each column $k + p \in J_0^C, p > 0$ to obtain vectors whose restrictions to V_{I_1} are orthogonal to $((m_0)_k)_{I_1}$. Call the resulting matrix \tilde{M}_1 . Again, call J_1 the subset consisting of the indices of the nonzero (orthogonal) columns of the block $(\tilde{M}_1)_{I_1 \times J_0^C}$. Now, divide by positive numbers the columns of \tilde{M}_1 indexed by J_1 to obtain a matrix M_1 whose submatrix $(M_1)_{I_1 \times J_1}$ is orthogonal.

The submatrix $(M_0)_{I_1 \times J_0^C}$ has rank $|I_1|$ since the matrix M_0 is invertible, $\det(M_0)_{I_0 \times J_0} = \pm 1$ and $(M_0)_{I_0 \times J_0^C} = 0$: the procedure above is well defined. Notice that the row matrices $(M_0)_{I_0}$ and $(M_1)_{I_0}$ are the same. More explicitly, both $(M_0)_{I_0 \times J_0^C}$ and $(M_1)_{I_0 \times J_0^C}$ are blocks of zeros, and the columns of M_0 indexed by J_0 are left unaltered. Also, the submatrix $(M_1)_{I_1 \times (J_0 \cup J_1)^C}$ is zero and $M_1 = M_0 R_1, R_1 \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Iterating this process for $\alpha = 2, \dots, p$ (where on each step the range of columns to be acted upon is, respectively, $(J_0 \cup J_1)^C, \dots, (J_0 \cup \dots \cup J_{p-1})^C$) we obtain the ordered partition $\mathcal{J} = (J_0, J_1, \dots, J_p)$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the matrices $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ and $R_{\mathcal{I}} = R_p^{-1} R_{p-1}^{-1} \dots R_0^{-1} \in Up^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ with the desired properties.

Remarks

- I. By construction, any column vector $m_j, j \in J_\alpha$ has all its entries labeled by indices in $I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_{\alpha-1}$ equal to zero.
- II. For an open, dense set of matrices, the partition $\mathcal{J} = (J_0, J_1, \dots, J_p)$ corresponds to the simple partition $n_{\mathcal{I}} = (\{1, 2, \dots, |I_0|\}, \{|I_0| + 1, \dots, |I_0| + |I_1|\}, \dots, \{n - |I_p| + 1, \dots, n\})$. In this case, the resulting matrix $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ is lower block triangular, with diagonal blocks given by orthogonal matrices.
- III. Order the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with k elements lexicographically, i.e., $\{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k\} < \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k\}$ if and only if $i_r \leq j_r$ for $r = 1, \dots, k$. The set J_0 is the smallest subset of size $|I_0|$ for which the matrix $M_{I_0 \times J_0}$ is invertible. Similarly, $|J_1|$ is the smallest subset of size $|I_1|$ for which $M_{(I_0 \cup I_1) \times (J_0 \cup J_1)}$ is invertible, and so on.

We are ready to compute limits of the Toda action.

Theorem 4 Let $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ be an $n \times n$ real symmetric irreducible matrix with simple spectrum $\{\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_n\}$. Consider a matrix $S_\infty = Q_\infty^T \Lambda Q_\infty$ in the closure $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$.

- I. $Q_\infty = \lim_k [D^k Q]_Q$, for some normalized sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of diagonal matrices admitting an equiasymptotic partition $\mathcal{I} = (I_0, I_1, \dots, I_p)$.
- II. Let $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ be the orthogonal matrix obtained by the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . Then the matrix Q_∞ splits into blocks $(Q_\infty)_{I_\alpha \times J_\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta = 0, \dots, p$, which are equal to zero if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and which are orthogonal matrices for $\alpha = \beta$, given by

$$(Q_\infty)_{I_\alpha \times J_\alpha} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D_{I_\alpha}^k (Q_{\mathcal{I}})_{I_\alpha \times J_\alpha}]_Q.$$

- III. Let $\mathcal{J} = (J_0, J_1, \dots, J_p)$ be the ordered partition obtained from the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . The matrix S_∞ preserves each subspace V_{J_α} and the restriction $S_\infty|_{V_{J_\alpha}}$ has spectrum $\{\lambda_i, i \in I_\alpha\}$, $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

Proof To prove item (1), combine the arguments at the beginning of the section and Lemma 1. Now let $Q = Q_{\mathcal{I}} R_{\mathcal{I}}$ be the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . Then

$$Q_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D^k Q]_Q = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D^k Q_{\mathcal{I}} R_{\mathcal{I}}]_Q = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [D^k Q_{\mathcal{I}}]_Q.$$

Let i_α be a fixed index in the set I_α , for each $\alpha = 0, \dots, p$. Notice that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_i^k}{d_{i_\alpha}^k} = \infty, c_i, 0,$$

depending if $i \in I_\beta$, for β less, equal or larger than α , respectively. Define E_k to be a diagonal matrix so that the columns of $Q_{\mathcal{I}} E_k$ labeled by J_α are the columns of $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$ multiplied by $1/i_\alpha$, for each α . By the presence of the blocks of zeros in $Q_{\mathcal{I}}$, the matrix $Z = \lim_k D^k Q_{\mathcal{I}} E^k$ is well defined, in the sense that all its entries converge to real numbers. More is true: from the convergence properties of the entries of $D^k E^k$, the matrix Z converges to a block matrix (with respect to the partition induced on rows and columns by I_α 's and J_α 's), whose only nonzero blocks are the invertible submatrices $Z_{I_\alpha \times J_\alpha}$, for $\alpha = 0, \dots, p$. By the continuity of the Gram-Schmidt process, $[Z]_Q = \lim_k [D^k Q_{\mathcal{I}} E^k]_Q = \lim_k [D^k Q_{\mathcal{I}}]_Q$. This proves (2), which in turn implies (3). \square

4 Faces and vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ and its image

From the previous theorem, a matrix $S_\infty \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ is obtained from the irreducible matrix $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ by a limit $S_\infty = Q_\infty^T \Lambda Q_\infty$, where $Q_\infty = \lim_k [D^k Q]_Q$, for some normalized diagonal sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Proposition 4 With the notation above, S_∞ belongs to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S - \mathcal{F}_S$ if and only if the normalized sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is actually a boundary sequence.

Proof Let \mathcal{I} be the ordered partition associated to the normalized sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is proper. Then S_∞ has at least two invariant canonical subspaces, by item (3) of Theorem 4, and thus, by Proposition 2, S_∞ is not in \mathcal{F}_S . Conversely, if \mathcal{I} has a single subset (i.e., $p = 0$), Lemma 1 guarantees that S_∞ belongs to \mathcal{F}_S . \square

Thus, if S is irreducible, the matrices in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S - \mathcal{F}_S$ are exactly the reducible matrices. The next result guarantees that the difference $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S - \mathcal{F}_S$ is indeed the boundary $\partial\mathcal{F}_S$, where $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ is given the induced topology from \mathcal{O}_Λ .

Proposition 5 Let $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ be a symmetric irreducible $n \times n$ matrix with simple spectrum. The slice \mathcal{F}_S is a connected $(n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold properly immersed in \mathcal{O}_Λ .

Proof To see that the slice \mathcal{F}_S is a manifold of dimension $n - 1$ with the topology induced by \mathcal{O}_Λ , it suffices to show that $\iota \circ \Phi_S : \mathbb{R}_0^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ is a proper injective immersion. Because of Proposition 3, we are left with showing properness. From Proposition 2, if S is irreducible, all matrices in \mathcal{F}_S are also irreducible. Let K be a compact set in \mathcal{O}_Λ , and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}_0^n$ be its preimage by $\iota \circ \Phi_S$: in particular, all matrices in K are irreducible. As $\iota \circ \Phi_S$ is continuous, \mathcal{C} is a closed set. Now suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\tau^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{C} with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tau^k\| = \infty$. The corresponding normalized sequence of diagonal matrices $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ will admit, by Lemma 1, a convergent subsequence whose limit has some diagonal entries equal to zero. Thus, the associated equiasymptotic partition \mathcal{I} is proper and, from the previous proposition,

$$S_\infty = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\tau^k, S) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [e^{p_{\tau^k}(S)}]_Q^T S [e^{p_{\tau^k}(S)}]_Q.$$

is a reducible matrix, belonging to the closed set K : a contradiction. Thus $\iota \circ \Phi_S$ is proper. \square

Thus, matrices in the boundary of \mathcal{F}_S are reducible. In particular, they belong to a finite collection of sets $(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)_J$ of matrices admitting invariant canonical subspaces V_J and V_{J^c} , for proper subsets $J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Each $(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)_J$ in turn splits into components $(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)_{I,J}$, labeled by subsets $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i, i \in I\}$ of the restriction to V_J of each matrix in $(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)_J$: clearly, we must have $|I| = |J|$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{I,J}$ be the hyperplane of points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in I} x_i = \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j$. For later use, define also the half-space $\mathcal{H}_{I,J}$ to be the points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $\sum_{i \in I} x_i \leq \sum_{j \in J} \lambda_j$. Also, recall that \mathcal{B}_Λ is the hyperplane $\sum_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} x_i = \sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \lambda_j$. Notice that, on \mathcal{B}_Λ , the sets $\mathcal{B}_{I,J}$ and \mathcal{B}_{I^c, J^c} coincide.

Proposition 6 Let S^r be a reducible matrix, with invariant canonical subspaces V and V^C . Denote by S_V^r and $S_{V^C}^r$ the restrictions of S^r to both subspaces. Then there is a natural identification $\mathcal{F}_{S^r} = \mathcal{F}_{S_V^r} \times \mathcal{F}_{S_{V^C}^r}$.

Proof Indeed, any function $f(S^r)$ admits V and V^C as invariant subspaces, and thus $[f(S^r)]_Q$ also does. \square

We will make use of the proposition above when proving statements by induction on the dimension of the matrix.

Proposition 7 The BFR map $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}: \mathcal{O}_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$ takes the sets $(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda)_{I,J}$ to the (finitely many) hyperplanes $\mathcal{B}_{I,J}$.

Proof This follows directly from the definition of $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$, combined with the previous proposition. \square

Let $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$. For each proper ordered partition $\mathcal{I} = (I_0 = I, I_1 = I^C)$, let $\mathcal{J} = (J_0 = J = J(I), J_1 = J^C)$ be the corresponding partition obtained from the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . Thus Q induces a map $I \mapsto J(I)$ between subsets of the same cardinality: the corresponding hyperplanes $\mathcal{B}_{I,J(I)}$ and half-spaces $\mathcal{H}_{I,J(I)}$ will be denoted by \mathcal{B}_I and \mathcal{H}_I . Also, denote by $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ the restriction of $F_{\mathcal{O}_\Lambda}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. In the next two propositions, we describe the range of $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ in terms of its faces.

Proposition 8 Let $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ be an irreducible, symmetric matrix and consider the map $I \mapsto J = J(I)$ defined above induced by the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . Then the boundary of \mathcal{F}_S and the closure $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ are taken by $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ to the collection of hyperplanes $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda \cap (\cup_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{B}_I)$ and to the intersections of half-spaces $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda \cap (\cap_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{H}_I)$ respectively. Finally, $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ takes \mathcal{F}_S to U , the interior of $\mathcal{B}_\Lambda \cap (\cap_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{H}_I)$, injectively.

Proof For I a proper subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, consider the partial trace on $x \in \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$,

$$\mathrm{tr}_I(x) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i.$$

We first show that the maximum of the partial trace on the image of $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ equals $\sum_{j \in J(I)} \lambda_j$, and it is attained by matrices in the image of $\partial \mathcal{F}_S$. Suppose that $S' = (Q')^T \Lambda Q' \in \mathcal{F}_S$: for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the path in \mathcal{F}_S given by $\Phi(t e_I, S')$, where $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$. Let $E_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i e_i^T$. Clearly, $p_{e_I}(S') = (Q')^T E_I Q'$ and $\mathrm{tr}_I(x) = \mathrm{tr} E_I \mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}_I(F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t e_I, S'))) &= \mathrm{tr}_I(F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}([e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q^T S' [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q)) \\ &= \mathrm{tr} E_I Q' [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q \Lambda [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q^T (Q')^T \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \Lambda [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q^T (Q')^T E_I Q' [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \Lambda [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q^T p_{e_I}(S') [e^{t p_{e_I}(S')}]_Q. \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality (3) of Section 2, we take the derivative of $\text{tr}_I (F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t e_I, S')))$ for $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \text{tr}_I (F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t e_I, S'))) &= \text{tr} \Lambda [(Q')^T E_I Q', \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_{e_I}(S')] \\ &= \text{tr} \Lambda [p_{e_I}(S'), \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_{e_I}(S')] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i ([p_{e_I}(S'), \Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_{e_I}(S')])_{ii} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) (p_{e_I}(S')_{ij})^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n$, this derivative is zero if and only if $p_{e_I}(S')^2$ is a diagonal matrix. In this case, S' admits proper invariant canonical subspaces, by Proposition 1, and thus S' is not in (the interior of) \mathcal{F}_S . Thus, along paths $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t e_I, S'))$ the partial trace tr_I is strictly increasing. In particular, its maximal value on the range of $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ equals its supremum on limit values of paths of the form $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t e_I, S'))$, for $S' \in \mathcal{F}_S$. To compute it, we take the limit

$$S'_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t e_I, S') = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [e^{t p_{e_I}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q^T \Lambda [e^{t p_{e_I}(\Lambda)} Q]_Q.$$

Now, $t p_{e_I}(\Lambda)$ is a path $D(t)$ of diagonal matrices, after normalization so that each matrix has largest diagonal entry is equal to 1. This path is easily seen to admit the ordered partition $\mathcal{I} = (I_0 = I, I_1 = I^C)$. The \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q' yields the associated ordered partition $\mathcal{J} = (J_0 = J(I), J_1 = J(I)^C)$ and the matrix $Q'_\mathcal{I}$. Set $Q'_\infty = \lim_t [D(t) Q'_\mathcal{I}]_Q$. By Theorem 4, Q'_∞ takes V_{J_i} to V_{I_i} , $i = 0, 1$. Also, $S'_\infty = (Q'_\infty)^T \Lambda Q'_\infty$ admits the invariant subspaces V_{J_i} , $i = 0, 1$, and its restrictions to have spectra $\{\lambda_k, k \in I_i\}$, for $i = 0, 1$. For matrices of this form,

$$\text{tr}_I (F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S'_\infty)) = \text{tr} F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S'_\infty) E_I = \sum_{j \in J(I)} \lambda_j.$$

Thus, the supremum of the partial trace is indeed achieved, and all matrices whose image are maximal points of the partial trace tr_I admit the invariant subspaces V_J and V_{J^C} . Furthermore, $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\mathcal{F}_S) \subset U$ and $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S) \subset \bar{U}$.

We now show injectivity of $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ restricted to \mathcal{F}_S . Let $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{F}_S$ with $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S_1) = F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S_2)$. We may suppose, without loss, that $S = S_1$. By Proposition 3, there is a $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$ so that $\Phi(\rho, S_1) = S_2$. Consider the path $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t \rho, S_1))$ along which we take the t -derivative of a weighted partial trace:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \langle F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Phi(t \rho, S_1)), \rho \rangle = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j>i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) (p_\rho(S')_{ij})^2 \geq 0,$$

which is strictly positive in \mathcal{F}_S , unless $\rho = 0$, and then $S_1 = S_2$. \square

We now consider surjectivity.

Proposition 9 Let S be symmetric, irreducible. The restriction $F_{\mathcal{F}_S} : \mathcal{F}_S \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism, which extends to a homeomorphism $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \bar{U}$.

Proof We begin by proving that $F_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is a local diffeomorphism. From Proposition 5, the Toda action Φ_S is a diffeomorphism from \mathbb{R}_0^n to \mathcal{F}_S . To prove that $F_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is a local diffeomorphism, we only have to prove that the Jacobian of $F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S$ at $\tau = 0$ is invertible. From the definition of the Toda action,

$$F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S(\tau) = \text{diag}(Q [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q \Lambda [e^{p_\tau(S)}]_Q^T Q^T).$$

Differentiating at $\tau = 0$ along $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$, we obtain

$$D_\tau(F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S) \Big|_{\tau=0}(\rho) = \text{diag}(Q [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), \Lambda] Q^T).$$

If $D_\tau(F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S)|_{\tau=0}$ is not injective, there is $w \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$, $w \neq 0$, so that for all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$

$$\langle D_\tau(F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S)|_{\tau=0}(\rho), w \rangle = 0.$$

Let $W = \text{diag}(w)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle D_\tau(F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S)|_{\tau=0}(\rho), w \rangle = \text{tr} D_\tau(F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S)|_{\tau=0}(\rho) W \\ &= \text{tr} Q [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), \Lambda] Q^T W \\ &= \text{tr} [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), \Lambda] p_w(S), \end{aligned}$$

for a polynomial p_w . Hence

$$\text{tr} [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_\rho(S), \Lambda] p_w(S) = 0, \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \mathbb{R}_0^n.$$

Taking $\rho = w$ (and hence $p_\rho = p_w$), we have

$$0 = \text{tr} [\Pi_{\mathcal{A}} p_w(S), \Lambda] p_w(S) = 2 \sum_{i=i}^n \sum_{j>i} p_w(S)_{ij}^2 (\lambda_i - \lambda_j).$$

By Proposition 1, since S is irreducible, $p_w(S)$ is a multiple of the identity matrix. Also $\text{tr} p_w(S) = \text{tr} Q^T W Q = 0$ since $\text{tr} W = \text{tr} \text{diag}(w)$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}_0^n$. Thus, $p_w(S) = 0$ and $w = 0$: the Jacobian of $F_{\mathcal{F}_S} \circ \Phi_S$ is injective at $\tau = 0$.

Since $F_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is an open map, points in the boundary of $F_{\mathcal{F}_S}(\mathcal{F}_S)$ are necessarily images of boundary points in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. From the previous proposition, points in $\partial \mathcal{F}_S$ have to go to points in ∂U , which are outside of U by convexity. A connectivity argument then implies that $F_{\mathcal{F}_S}$ is surjective. By compactness, surjectivity of $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ is immediate.

Next, we show that the extension $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S} : \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S \rightarrow \bar{U}$ is injective. Suppose $S_1, S_2 \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ so that $X = F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S_1) = F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S_2)$. Since $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ takes interior to interior injectively and boundary to boundary, this may only happen if $S_1, S_2 \in \partial \mathcal{F}_S$ and $X \in \partial U$. In particular, from the argument in the proof of the previous

proposition, S_1 and S_2 are maximal values for some partial trace tr_I , and hence admit common invariant canonical subspaces V_J and V_{J^c} . Injectivity at the boundary now follows from induction on the dimension of the matrices: we must have $S_1 = S_2$ when restricted to V_J and V_{J^c} . \square

The diagonal matrices in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ are the *accessible vertices from S* . Each accessible vertex Λ_π corresponds to a permutation π of the diagonal entries of Λ . More precisely, there is a permutation matrix Π with entries $\Pi_{i,j} = \delta_{i,\pi(j)}$ so that $\Lambda_\pi = \Pi^T \Lambda \Pi$.

Proposition 10 Let $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$. A diagonal matrix $\Lambda_\pi = \Pi^T \Lambda \Pi$ is an accessible vertex of \mathcal{F}_S if and only if the minors $Q_{\{\pi(1)\},\{1\}}, Q_{\{\pi(1),\pi(2)\},\{1,2\}}, \dots, Q$ have nonzero determinant.

Proof Define D^k to be a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entry $\pi(i)$ equals k^{1-i} . Then, if the minors $Q_{\{\pi(1)\},\{1\}}, Q_{\{\pi(1),\pi(2)\},\{1,2\}}, \dots, Q$ are invertible, the sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has equiasymptotic partition $\mathcal{I} = (\{\pi(1)\}, \{\pi(2)\}, \dots, \{\pi(n)\})$ and the corresponding ordered partition \mathcal{J} is $(\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{n\})$, from the \mathcal{I} -sieved decomposition of Q . Thus $\lim_k [D^k Q]_Q = \Pi$ and $S_\infty = \Pi^T \Lambda \Pi$ belongs to $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$.

Now, say $\Lambda_\pi = \Pi^T \Lambda \Pi$ is an accessible vertex of \mathcal{F}_S . Then, from Theorem 4, there exists a boundary sequence $\{D^k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ so that $\Lambda_\pi = Q_\infty^T \Lambda Q_\infty$ for $Q_\infty = \lim_k [D^k Q]_Q$. Clearly, $Q_\infty = E \Pi$, where E is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries equal to 1 or -1. Equating first columns we have that $\pm e_{\pi(1)}$ comes from normalizing $\lim_k D^k Q e_1$, which implies that the entry $q_{\pi(1),1}$ of Q (i.e., the minor $Q_{\{\pi(1)\},\{1\}}$) has to be nonzero. Now, by keeping track of the determinants of the minors in rows $(\pi(1), \pi(2))$ and columns $(1, 2)$ of both Q_∞ and $E \Pi$, we learn that $Q_{\{\pi(1),\pi(2)\},\{1,2\}}$ has nonzero determinant. The proof proceeds in a similar fashion. \square

The *extremal vertices* associated to S are the vectors in \mathbb{R}^n with coordinates $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(\Lambda_\pi) = (\lambda_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\pi^{-1}(n)})$, for all accessible vertices $\Lambda_\pi \in \bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$. The *spectral polytope* \mathcal{P}_S associated to S is the convex hull of the extremal vertices of S .

Proposition 11 Spectrally complete matrices are those for which the spectral polytope is the convex set \mathcal{P}_Λ .

Proof By definition, $S = Q^T \Lambda Q$ is spectrally complete if and only if every minor of Q obtained by intersecting any k rows with the first k columns has nonzero determinant. The result now follows by applying the criterion for vertex accessibility given in Proposition 10. \square

The result below follows from [To84] or [BFR90], but we provide a simpler argument.

Proposition 12 Jacobi matrices are spectrally complete.

Proof The key point is to notice that for a Jacobi matrix $J = Q^T \Lambda Q$, the matrix Λ has simple spectrum and the first column q_1 of Q can be taken to be a collection of strictly positive numbers ([DNT83]). Also, $Q = [M]_Q$, for M having columns $q_1, \Lambda q_1, \dots, \Lambda^{n-1} q_1$. It is easy now to check that the relevant minors of Q are invertible, by computing Vandermonde determinants. \square

Finally, we identify \bar{U} with the spectral polytope \mathcal{P}_S . We make use of the following simple geometric fact.

Lemma 2 Let P be a convex polytope in \mathbb{R}^n with nonempty interior. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a smooth path passing by a vertex v of P at $t = 0$. Then $\gamma'(0) = 0$.

Proof After an appropriate composition with an affine linear transformation taking v to the origin, we may suppose that P lies in the positive octant of \mathbb{R}^n and $v = 0$. Each coordinate of $\gamma(t)$ then is a smooth function, taking nonnegative values and equal to zero at $t = 0$. By taking Newton quotients, each partial derivative equals 0 at zero. \square

Proposition 13 Let S be symmetric, irreducible. The associated convex polytopes \bar{U} and \mathcal{P}_S are equal.

Proof Every vertex of \mathcal{P}_S is the image under $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ of an accessible vertex in $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$: in particular, since $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$ is a homeomorphism between $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S$ and \bar{U} , we must have that $\mathcal{P}_S \subset \bar{U}$. Now, suppose v is a vertex of \bar{U} . There must be a matrix $S_v \in \partial \mathcal{F}_S$ for which $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}(S_v) = v$. If S_v is not diagonal, there is a minimal nontrivial invariant canonical subspace V_I of dimension at least 2. Thus, from Propositions 6 and 5, the slice \mathcal{F}_{S_v} through S_v is at least one dimensional — said differently, there is some path $t\rho \subset \mathbb{R}_0^n$ for which $\Phi(t\rho, S_v) \subset \mathcal{F}_{S_v}$ passes by S_v with nonzero derivative at $t = 0$. Also, the image of this path under $F_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_S}$, by Proposition 8, is a path in \bar{U} passing by v with nonzero derivative for $t = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that v is a vertex of \bar{U} , by the previous lemma. Thus, S_v is necessarily a diagonal matrix, and v then is the image of an accessible vertex. Thus every vertex of \bar{U} is an extremal vertex and $\bar{U} \subset \mathcal{P}_S$. \square

The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

References

- [A82] M. Atiyah, Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14** (1982), 1–15.
- [BFR90] A. M. Bloch, H. Flaschka, and T. Ratiu, A convexity theorem for isospectral manifolds of Jacobi matrices in a compact Lie algebra, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **61-1** (1990), 41–65.

- [DNT83] P. Deift, T. Nanda, and C. Tomei, Ordinary differential equations and the symmetric eigenvalue problem, *SIAM J. of Numer. Anal.*, **20-1** (1983), 1–22.
- [F74] H. Flaschka, The Toda lattice I, *Phys. Rev. B*, **9** (1974), 1924–1925.
- [GS82] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Convexity properties of the moment mapping, *Inventiones mathematicae*, **67** (1982), 491–513.
- [H54] A. Horn, Doubly sthocastic matrices and the diagonal of a rotation matrix, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 620–630.
- [L00] R. S. Leite, Parametrizações politópicas de interseções de órbitas por conjugação, Ph.D. Thesis, Departamento de Matemática, PUC-Rio, 2000.
- [LRT99] R. S. Leite, T. R. Richa, and C. Tomei, Geometric proofs of some theorems of Schur-Horn type, *Linear Algebra and Applications*, **286** (1999), 149–173.
- [M75] J. Moser, Finitely many mass points on the line under the influence of an exponential potential - an integrable system, in: J. Moser, ed. *Dynamic Systems: Theory and Applications, Lecture Notes in Physics 38* (Springer, New York, 1975), 467–497.
- [MO79] A. W. Marshall, and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: theory of majorization and its applications*, *Mathematics in Science and Engeneering*, vol. 143, (Academic Press, New York, 1979).
- [P80] B. Parlett, *The symmetric eigenvalue problem*, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1980).
- [S23] I. Schur, Über eine klasse von mittelbindungen mit anwendungen auf der determinanten theorie, *S. B. Berlin Math. Ges.*, **22** (1923), 9–20.
- [Sy80] W. Symes, Hamiltonian group actions and integrable systems, *Physica ID* (1980), 339–374.
- [T67] Toda, M., Wave propagation in anharmonic lattices, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, **23** (1967) 501–506.
- [To84] C. Tomei, The topology of isospectral manifolds of tridiagonal matrices, *Duke Mathematical Journal*, **51-4** (1984), 981–996.

R. S. Leite and Carlos Tomei
 Depto. de Matemática, PUC-Rio
 R. Mq. de S. Vicente 225
 Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22453-900, Brazil
 rsl@mat.puc-rio.br
 tomei@mat.puc-rio.br