

# ON THE DIVERGENCE OF THE ROGERS-RAMANUJAN CONTINUED FRACTION ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

D. BOWMAN AND J. MC LAUGHLIN

ABSTRACT. Let the continued fraction expansion of any irrational number  $t \in (0, 1)$  be denoted by  $[0, a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots]$  and let the  $i$ -th convergent of this continued fraction expansion be denoted by  $c_i(t)/d_i(t)$ . Let

$$S = \{t \in (0, 1) : a_{i+1}(t) \geq \phi^{d_i(t)} \text{ infinitely often}\},$$

where  $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ . Let  $Y_S = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in S\}$ . It is shown that if  $y \in Y_S$  then the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction,  $R(y)$ , diverges at  $y$ .  $S$  is an uncountable set of measure zero. It is also shown that there is an uncountable set of points,  $G \subset Y_S$ , such that if  $y \in G$ , then  $R(y)$  does not converge generally.

It is further shown that  $R(y)$  does not converge generally for  $|y| > 1$  and that  $R(y)$  does converge generally if  $y$  is a primitive  $5m$ -th root of unity, some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction,  $R(x)$ , is defined as follows:

$$R(x) := \frac{x^{\frac{1}{5}}}{1 + \frac{x}{1 + \frac{x^2}{1 + \frac{x^3}{1 + \dots}}}}.$$

Put  $K(x) = x^{\frac{1}{5}}/R(x)$ . This continued fraction seems to have been first investigated by L.J. Rogers in 1894 ([8]) and rediscovered by Ramanujan, sometime before 1913.

It is an easy consequence of Worpitsky's theorem (see [5], pp 35–36) that  $R(x)$  converges to values in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  for any  $x$  inside the unit circle. In fact, many explicit evaluations of  $R(e^{-\pi\sqrt{n}})$  and  $R(-e^{-\pi\sqrt{n}})$  have been given for  $n \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  (see, for example, [2], [3], [6] and [10]), some of which were asserted by Ramanujan without proof.

---

*Date:* April, 18, 2001.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:11A55,Secondary:40A15.

*Key words and phrases.* Continued Fractions, Rogers-Ramanujan.

The second author's research supported in part by a Trjitzinsky Fellowship.

It was stated by Ramanujan, and proved in [1], that if  $|x| > 1$  then the odd and even convergents tend to different limits.

This leaves the question of convergence on the unit circle. Schur showed in [9] that if  $x$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity, where  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $K(x)$  diverges and if  $x$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $K(x)$  converges and

$$(1.1) \quad K(x) = \lambda x^{\frac{1-\lambda\sigma m}{5}} K(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda = \left(\frac{m}{5}\right)$  (the Legendre symbol) and  $\sigma$  is the least positive residue of  $m \pmod{5}$ . Note that  $K(1) = \phi = (\sqrt{5}+1)/2$ , and  $K(-1) = 1/\phi$ . It follows that  $R(x)$  takes only ten possible values at roots of unity. For later use we define

$$(1.2) \quad R_j = \begin{cases} -\phi \exp(2\pi i j/5), & 1 \leq j \leq 5, \\ \frac{\exp(2\pi i j/5)}{\phi}, & 6 \leq j \leq 10. \end{cases}$$

Remark:  $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^{10}$  consists of the ten values taken by  $R(x)$  at roots of unity.

Since Schur's paper it has been an open problem whether  $K(x)$  converges or diverges at any point  $x$  on the unit circle which is not a primitive root of unity.

Let the regular continued fraction expansion of any irrational  $t \in (0, 1)$  be denoted by  $[0, a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots]$ . Let the  $i$ -th convergent of this continued fraction expansion be denoted by  $c_i(t)/d_i(t)$ . Occasionally we write  $a_i$  for  $a_i(t)$ ,  $d_i$  for  $d_i(t)$  etc, for simplicity, if there is no danger of ambiguity. In this paper we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let*

$$(1.3) \quad S = \{t \in (0, 1) : a_{i+1}(t) \geq \phi^{d_i(t)} \text{ infinitely often}\}.$$

*Then  $S$  is an uncountable set of measure zero and, if  $t \in S$  and  $y = \exp(2\pi i t)$ , then  $K(y)$  diverges.*

In [4], Jacobson introduced the concept of general convergence for continued fractions. General convergence is defined in [5] as follows.

Let the  $n$ -th convergent of the continued fraction

$$M = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \frac{a_3}{b_3 + \dots}}}$$

be denoted by  $A_n/B_n$  and let

$$S_n(w) = b_0 + \frac{a_1}{b_1 + \frac{a_2}{b_2 + \frac{a_3}{b_3 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{b_n + w}}}}$$

Define

$$(1.4) \quad d(w, z) = \frac{|z - w|}{\sqrt{1 + |w|^2} \sqrt{1 + |z|^2}},$$

if  $w$  and  $z$  are both finite, and

$$d(w, \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |w|^2}}.$$

**Definition:**  $M$  is said to converge generally to  $f \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  if there exist sequences  $\{v_n\}, \{w_n\} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $\liminf d(v_n, w_n) > 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(v_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(w_n) = f.$$

If a continued fraction does converge generally, then it does so, in a certain sense, to the “right” value. More precisely, for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$h_n = -S_n^{-1}(\infty).$$

On page 67 of [5] there is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The continued fraction  $b_0 + K(a_n/b_n)$  converges generally to  $f$  if and only if  $\lim S_n(u_n) = f$  for every sequence  $\{u_n\}$  from  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, -h_n) &> 0 && \text{if } f \neq \infty, \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(u_n, -A_n/A_{n-1}) &> 0 && \text{if } f = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This theorem in turn has the following important corollary (page 68 of [5]).

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $b_0 + K(a_n/b_n)$  converge generally to  $f$  and to  $g$ . Then  $f = g$ .*

Classical convergence implies general convergence (take  $u_n = 0$  and  $v_n = \infty$ , for all  $n$ ), but not conversely. Thus general convergence is, in a certain precise sense, a natural extension of classical convergence.

As Schur showed in [9],  $K(x)$  does not converge in the classical sense when  $x$  is an  $m$ -th root of unity, where  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . However  $K(x)$  can be shown to converge generally in this case. We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $x$  is an  $m$ -th root of unity, where  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $K(x)$  converges generally.*

This leads to the question of general convergence at points on the unit circle which are not roots of unity. We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $t$  be any irrational in  $(0, 1)$  for which there exist two subsequences of convergents  $\{c_{f_n}/d_{f_n}\}$  and  $\{c_{g_n}/d_{g_n}\}$  and integers  $r, u \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , integers  $s, v \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{f_n} &\equiv r \pmod{5}, & c_{g_n} &\equiv u \pmod{5}, \\ d_{f_n} &\equiv s \pmod{5}, & d_{g_n} &\equiv v \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad a_{h_{n+1}} > 2\pi(d_{h_n} + 1)^2 \phi^{d_{h_n}^2 + 2d_{h_n}},$$

for all  $n$ , where  $h_n = f_n$  or  $g_n$ .

Suppose further that

$$(1.7) \quad R(\exp(2\pi ir/s)) = R_a \neq R_b = R(\exp(2\pi iu/v)),$$

for some  $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ .

Let  $S^\diamond$  denote the set of all  $t \in (0, 1)$  satisfying (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) and set

$$(1.8) \quad G = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in S^\diamond\}.$$

Then  $G$  is an uncountable set of measure zero such that if  $y \in G$ , then  $K(y)$  does not converge generally.

Remark: It follows from (1.6) that  $S^\diamond \subset S$ .

An interesting question is what forms divergence can take. In fact there are uncountably many points  $y$  on the unit circle such that  $R(y)$  has subsequences of convergents tending to all ten of the  $R_j$ 's defined by (1.2). We prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** *There exists an uncountable subset  $G^* \subset Y_S$  such that if  $y \in G^*$  then there exist ten sequences of positive integers,  $\{n_{i,j}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 10$ , say, such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{n_{i,j}}(y) = R_j$ .*

This proposition is not strictly necessary for the proof of Theorem 3 but we find the existence of the set  $G^*$  to be of interest.

We also consider the question of general convergence outside the unit circle. It was proved in [1] that if  $0 < |x| < 1$  then the odd convergents of  $1/K(1/x)$  tend to

$$(1.9) \quad 1 - \frac{x}{1} + \frac{x^2}{1} - \frac{x^3}{1} + \dots := F_1(x)$$

while the even convergents tend to

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{x}{1} + \frac{x^4}{1} + \frac{x^8}{1} + \frac{x^{12}}{1} + \dots := F_2(x).$$

It is not clear that  $F_1(x) \neq F_2(x)$  for *all*  $x$  inside the unit circle (each continued fraction does converge there to a value in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , by Worpitsky's theorem) but if  $F_1(x) \neq F_2(x)$  for some such  $x$ , then we have the following proposition which implies that the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction does not converge generally at  $1/x$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $C = b_0 + K_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/b_n$  be such that the odd and even convergents tend to different limits. Further suppose that there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  and  $c_3$  such that, for  $i \geq 1$ ,*

$$(1.11) \quad c_1 \leq |b_i| \leq c_2,$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad \left| \frac{a_{2i+1}}{a_{2i}} \right| \leq c_3.$$

Then  $C$  does not converge generally.

## 2. DIVERGENCE IN THE CLASSICAL SENSE

Let

$$K_n(x) := 1 + K_{j=1}^n \frac{x^j}{1} = \frac{P_n(x)}{Q_n(x)}$$

denote the  $n$ -th convergent of  $K(x)$  and let  $R_n(x) = x^{\frac{1}{5}}/K_n(x)$ . It is elementary that

$$(2.1) \quad Q_{n+1}(x) = Q_n(x) + x^{n+1}Q_{n-1}(x).$$

It can also easily be checked that if  $|x| = 1$ , then for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad |P_n(x)Q_{n-1}(x) - Q_n(x)P_{n-1}(x)| = 1.$$

It follows easily from the triangle in-equality that, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad |Q_n(x)| \leq F_{n+1}.$$

where  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  denotes the Fibonacci sequence defined by  $F_1 = F_2 = 1$  and  $F_{i+1} = F_i + F_{i-1}$ .

Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(y)/Q_n(y) = L \in \mathbb{C}$  for some  $y$  on the unit circle. Then, by (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q_n(y)Q_{n-1}(y)|} &= \left| \frac{P_n(y)}{Q_n(y)} - \frac{P_{n-1}(y)}{Q_{n-1}(y)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{P_n(y)}{Q_n(y)} - L \right| + \left| \frac{P_{n-1}(y)}{Q_{n-1}(y)} - L \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_n(y)Q_{n-1}(y)| = \infty$ . We will exhibit an uncountable set of points, of measure zero, on the unit circle such that if  $y$  is one of these points then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_n(y)Q_{n-1}(y)| \neq \infty$  so that  $K(y)$  does not converge.

**Lemma 1.** *With the notation of Theorem 1, for  $t \in S$ , we have*

$$\left| t - \frac{c_i(t)}{d_i(t)} \right| < \frac{1}{d_i(t)^2 \phi^{d_i(t)}}$$

for infinitely many  $i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i$  be one of the infinitely many integers for which  $a_{i+1}(t) \geq \phi^{d_i(t)}$  and let  $t_{i+1} = [a_{i+1}(t), a_{i+2}(t), \dots]$  denote the  $i$ -th tail of the continued fraction expansion for  $t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| t - \frac{c_i(t)}{d_i(t)} \right| &= \left| \frac{t_{i+1}c_i(t) + c_{i-1}(t)}{t_{i+1}d_i(t) + d_{i-1}(t)} - \frac{c_i(t)}{d_i(t)} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{d_i(t)(t_{i+1}d_i(t) + d_{i-1}(t))} \\ &< \frac{1}{d_i(t)(a_{i+1}(t)d_i(t) + d_{i-1}(t))} < \frac{1}{d_i(t)^2 \phi^{d_i(t)}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two points on the unit circle. Then, for all integers  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$(2.4) \quad |Q_n(x) - Q_n(y)| \leq n^2 \phi^n |x - y|.$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad |P_n(x) - P_n(y)| \leq (n+1)^2 \phi^{n+1} |x - y|.$$

*Proof.* The assertions of the lemma can easily be checked for  $n = 0, 1$ .

Let  $\beta_i = |Q_i(x) - Q_i(y)|$  and  $\delta_i = (i+1)F_i|x - y|$ . Using (2.1) and (2.3) it easily follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \beta_n \leq \beta_{n-1} + \beta_{n-2} + \delta_{n-1}.$$

Iterating this last inequality downwards gives that, for  $r = 2, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_n \leq F_r \beta_{n-r+1} + F_{r-1} \beta_{n-r} + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} F_i \delta_{n-i}.$$

The claim is true for  $r = 2$  by (2.6). Suppose it is true for  $r = 2, \dots, s$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq F_s \beta_{n-s+1} + F_{s-1} \beta_{n-s} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} F_i \delta_{n-i} \\ &\leq F_s (\beta_{n-s} + \beta_{n-s-1} + \delta_{n-s}) + F_{s-1} \beta_{n-s} + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} F_i \delta_{n-i} \\ &= F_{s+1} \beta_{n-s} + F_s \beta_{n-s-1} + \sum_{i=1}^s F_i \delta_{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

and (2.7) is true by induction for  $2 \leq r \leq n-1$ .

Recall that  $\beta_1 = 0$  and  $\beta_2 = |(1+x^2) - (1+y^2)| \leq 2|x-y|$ . Now in (2.7) let  $r = n-1$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &\leq 2F_{n-1}|x-y| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} F_i \delta_{n-i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F_i (n-i+1) F_{n-i} |x-y| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi^n (n-i+1) |x-y|, \end{aligned}$$

using the bound  $F_j \leq \phi^j$ . This last expression simplifies to

$$\phi^n |x-y| \sum_{i=2}^n i < n^2 \phi^n |x-y|.$$

(2.5) follows similarly. □

To show our set has measure zero, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** ([7]) *Let  $f(n) > 1$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/f(n) < \infty$ . Then the set  $S^* = \{t \in (0, 1) : a_k(t) > f(k) \text{ infinitely often}\}$  has measure zero.*

Suppose  $x$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity. From [9], one has the following table of values for  $P_{m-2}(x)$ ,  $P_{m-1}(x)$ ,  $Q_{m-2}(x)$  and  $Q_{m-1}(x)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1:* Let  $t \in S$  with convergents  $\{c_n/d_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ . Let  $y = \exp(2\pi it)$  and let  $x_n = \exp(2\pi i c_n/d_n)$ . By Table 1,

$$(2.8) \quad \max\{ |Q_{d_n-1}(x_n)|, |Q_{d_n-2}(x_n)| \} \leq 2.$$

$m$	$P_{m-2}$	$P_{m-1}$	$Q_{m-2}$	$Q_{m-1}$
$5\mu$	0	$-x^{\frac{2m}{5}} - x^{\frac{-2m}{5}}$	$-x^{\frac{2m}{5}} - x^{\frac{-2m}{5}}$	0
$5\mu + 1$	$x^{\frac{1-m}{5}}$	1	0	$x^{\frac{-1+m}{5}}$
$5\mu - 1$	$x^{\frac{1+m}{5}}$	1	0	$x^{\frac{-1-m}{5}}$
$5\mu + 2$	$-x^{\frac{1+2m}{5}}$	0	1	$-x^{\frac{-1-2m}{5}}$
$5\mu - 2$	$-x^{\frac{1-2m}{5}}$	0	1	$-x^{\frac{-1+2m}{5}}$

TABLE 1.

Using in turn, Lemma 2, the fact that chord length is shorter than arc length, and Lemma 1, it follows that, for infinitely many  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad |Q_{d_n-1}(x_n) - Q_{d_n-1}(y)| &\leq (d_n - 1)^2 \phi^{d_n-1} |x_n - y| \\
&< (d_n - 1)^2 \phi^{d_n-1} 2\pi \left| \frac{c_n}{d_n} - t \right| \\
&< \left( \frac{d_n - 1}{d_n} \right)^2 \frac{2\pi}{\phi} < 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(2.10) \quad |Q_{d_n-2}(x_n) - Q_{d_n-2}(y)| < \left( \frac{d_n - 2}{d_n} \right)^2 \frac{2\pi}{\phi^2} < 4.$$

Applying the triangle inequality to (2.9) and (2.10) and using (2.8) gives  $|Q_{d_n-1}(y)| < 6$  and  $|Q_{d_n-2}(y)| < 6$ . Finally, we have that

$$|Q_{d_n-1}(y)Q_{d_n-2}(y)| < 36.$$

Since this holds for infinitely many terms of the sequence  $\{d_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(y)Q_{n-1}(y) \neq \infty$  and thus  $K(y)$  does not converge.

We next show that  $S$  has measure zero (it is clearly an uncountable set). Let  $f(i) = \phi^{F_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then it follows that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty 1/f(i) < \infty$ . Let

$$S^* = \{t \in (0, 1) : a_{i+1}(t) \geq \phi^{F_{i+1}} \text{ infinitely often}\}$$

so by Lemma(3)  $S^*$  has measure zero.

Recall  $S = \{t \in (0, 1) : a_{i+1}(t) \geq \phi^{d_i(t)} \text{ infinitely often}\}$ .  $S \subset S^*$ , since  $d_i(t) \geq F_{i+1}$ , and thus  $S$ , being a subset of a set of measure zero, has measure zero.

□



## 3. DIVERGENCE IN THE GENERALIZED SENSE

For ease of notation later, define  $Y_S = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in S\}$ . We first prove the general convergence of  $K(y)$  when  $y$  is a primitive  $5m$ -th root of unity, some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof of Proposition 1:* From [9], for  $0 \leq r < m$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad P_{qm+r} = P_r P_{m-1}^q, \quad Q_{qm+r} = Q_r Q_{m-2}^q.$$

From Table 1,

$$P_{m-1} = -x^{\frac{2m}{5}} - x^{-\frac{2m}{5}}, \quad Q_{m-2} = -x^{\frac{m}{5}} - x^{-\frac{m}{5}}.$$

Let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . It is convenient to split  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  into residue classes mod  $m$ . We put  $n = qm + r$ . From (3.1),

$$(3.2) \quad S_n(u_n) = \frac{P_n + u_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + u_n Q_{n-1}} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{P_{m-1}}{Q_{m-2}}\right)^q \frac{P_r + u_n P_{r-1}}{Q_r + u_n Q_{r-1}}, & 1 \leq r \leq m-1, \\ \left(\frac{P_{m-1}}{Q_{m-2}}\right)^q \frac{P_{m-1} + u_n P_{m-1}}{Q_{m-2} + u_n Q_{m-1}}, & r = m. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $x^{\frac{m}{5}}$  is in the second or third quadrants. Then

$$|P_{m-1}| = 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) < |Q_{m-2}| = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right).$$

Hence

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \frac{P_{m-1}}{Q_{m-2}} \right| < 1.$$

We now construct two sequences  $\{v_n\}$  and  $\{w_n\}$  which satisfy the conditions for general convergence at  $x$ . Let

$$M = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left\{ \left| \frac{Q_r}{Q_{r-1}} \right| : Q_{r-1} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Put  $v_n = M + 1$  and  $w_n = M + 2$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence

$$\liminf d(v_n, w_n) > 0,$$

and by (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + v_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + v_n Q_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + w_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + w_n Q_{n-1}} = 0.$$

Thus  $K(x)$  converges generally to 0 in this case.

Next suppose that  $x^{\frac{m}{5}}$  is in the first or fourth quadrants so that

$$|P_{m-1}| = 2 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) > |Q_{m-2}| = 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right).$$

Then

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \frac{P_{m-1}}{Q_{m-2}} \right| > 1.$$

In this case let

$$M = \max_{1 \leq r \leq m} \left\{ \left| \frac{P_r}{P_{r-1}} \right| : P_{r-1} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

As before, let  $v_n = M + 1$  and  $w_n = M + 2$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence

$$\liminf d(v_n, w_n) > 0,$$

and by (3.2) and (3.4),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + v_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + v_n Q_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + w_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + w_n Q_{n-1}} = \infty.$$

Thus  $K(x)$  converges generally to  $\infty$  in second case. □

*Proof of Proposition 3* Let the  $i$ -th convergent of  $C = b_0 + K_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n/b_n$  be denoted  $A_i/B_i$ . Suppose the odd convergents tend to  $f_1$  and that the even convergents tend to  $f_2$ . Further suppose that  $C$  converges generally to  $f \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and that  $\{v_n\}, \{w_n\} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  are two sequences such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n + v_n A_{n-1}}{B_n + v_n B_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_n + w_n A_{n-1}}{B_n + w_n B_{n-1}} = f$$

and

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v_n, w_n) > 0.$$

It will be shown that these two conditions lead to a contradiction. Suppose first that  $|f| < \infty$  and, without loss of generality, that  $f \neq f_1$ . (If  $f = f_1$  then  $f \neq f_2$  and we proceed similarly). We write

$$\frac{A_n + w_n A_{n-1}}{B_n + w_n B_{n-1}} = f + \gamma_n, \quad \frac{A_n + v_n A_{n-1}}{B_n + v_n B_{n-1}} = f + \gamma'_n,$$

where  $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\gamma'_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By assumption we have, for  $n \geq 0$ , that  $A_{2n} = B_{2n}(f_2 + \alpha_{2n})$ ,  $A_{2n+1} = B_{2n+1}(f_1 + \alpha_{2n+1})$ , where  $\alpha_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then

$$\frac{A_{2n} + w_{2n} A_{2n-1}}{B_{2n} + w_{2n} B_{2n-1}} = \frac{B_{2n}(f_2 + \alpha_{2n}) + w_{2n} B_{2n-1}(f_1 + \alpha_{2n-1})}{B_{2n} + w_{2n} B_{2n-1}}$$

$$= f + \gamma_{2n}.$$

By simple algebra we have

$$w_{2n} = \frac{B_{2n} (-f + f_2 + \alpha_{2n} - \gamma_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n-1} + \gamma_{2n})}.$$

Similarly,

$$v_{2n} = \frac{B_{2n} (-f + f_2 + \alpha_{2n} - \gamma'_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n-1} + \gamma'_{2n})}.$$

If  $f \neq f_2$  then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v_{2n}, w_{2n}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|v_{2n} - w_{2n}|}{|w_{2n}|} = 0.$$

Hence  $f = f_2$ ,

$$w_{2n} = \frac{B_{2n} (\alpha_{2n} - \gamma_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n-1} + \gamma_{2n})}$$

and

$$v_{2n} = \frac{B_{2n} (\alpha_{2n} - \gamma'_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n-1} + \gamma'_{2n})}.$$

Furthermore, since  $f = f_2$ , we must have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{B_{2n}}{B_{2n-1}} \right| = \infty.$$

If not, then there is a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  and a positive constant  $M$  such that  $|B_{2n_i}/B_{2n_i-1}| \leq M$  for all  $n_i$  and then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(v_{2n_i}, w_{2n_i}) &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |v_{2n_i} - w_{2n_i}| \\ &\leq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M \left| \frac{\alpha_{2n_i} - \gamma'_{2n_i}}{f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n_i-1} + \gamma'_{2n_i}} - \frac{\alpha_{2n_i} - \gamma_{2n_i}}{f - f_1 - \alpha_{2n_i-1} + \gamma_{2n_i}} \right| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$w_{2n+1} = \frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n}} \left( \frac{f_1 - f_2 + \alpha_{2n+1} - \gamma_{2n+1}}{\gamma_{2n+1} - \alpha_{2n}} \right)$$

and

$$v_{2n+1} = \frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n}} \left( \frac{f_1 - f_2 + \alpha_{2n+1} - \gamma'_{2n+1}}{\gamma'_{2n+1} - \alpha_{2n}} \right)$$

We now show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n}} \right| = 0.$$

Suppose not. Then there is a sequence  $\{n_i\}$  and some  $M > 0$  such that  $|B_{2n_i+1}/B_{2n_i}| \geq M$  for all  $n_i$  and then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{2n_i+1} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{2n_i+1} = \infty$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(v_{2n_i+1}, w_{2n_i+1}) = 0$ .

Finally, we show that it is impossible to have both  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B_{2n+1}/B_{2n}| = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |B_{2n}/B_{2n-1}| = \infty$ . For ease of notation let  $B_n/B_{n-1}$  be denoted by  $r_n$ , so that  $r_{2n} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r_{2n+1} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the recurrence relations for the  $B_i$ 's we have

$$r_{2n}(r_{2n+1} - b_{2n+1}) = a_{2n+1}.$$

and

$$r_{2n-1}(r_{2n} - b_{2n}) = a_{2n}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{r_{2n}}{r_{2n} - b_{2n}} = \frac{a_{2n+1}r_{2n-1}}{a_{2n}(r_{2n+1} - b_{2n+1})},$$

and by (1.11) and (1.12) the left side tends to 1 and the right side tends to 0, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , giving the required contradiction.

If  $f = \infty$  then we write

$$\frac{A_n + w_n A_{n-1}}{B_n + w_n B_{n-1}} = \frac{1}{\gamma_n},$$

where  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ . With the  $\alpha_i$ 's as above we find that

$$w_{2n} = -\frac{B_{2n} (-1 + f_2 \gamma_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} \gamma_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (-1 + f_1 \gamma_{2n} + \alpha_{2n+1} \gamma_{2n})}$$

and

$$v_{2n} = -\frac{B_{2n} (-1 + f_2 \gamma'_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} \gamma'_{2n})}{B_{2n-1} (-1 + f_1 \gamma'_{2n} + \alpha_{2n+1} \gamma'_{2n})}.$$

In this case it follows easily that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(w_{2n}, v_{2n}) = 0$ .

□

Before proving Theorem 3 and proposition 2, it is necessary to prove some technical lemmas. In what follows,  $x$  is a primitive  $m$ -th root of unity, where  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .  $\bar{\phi} = (-\sqrt{5}+1)/2$ ,  $K_j = K_j(x)$ ,  $P_j = P_j(x)$  and  $Q_j = Q_j(x)$ , for  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Frequent use will be made of Binet's formula for  $F_k$ .

$$F_k = \frac{\phi^k - \bar{\phi}^k}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

Recall also that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{k+1}/F_k = \phi$ .

We also use the following facts, which can be found in [9] or deduced from Table 1.

$$(3.5) \quad P_n = P_{m-1}P_{n-m} + P_{m-2}Q_{n-m};$$

$$Q_n = Q_{m-1}P_{n-m} + Q_{m-2}Q_{n-m}.$$

$$(3.6) \quad P_{qm+r} = P_{(q-1)m+r} + P_{(q-2)m+r}$$

$$Q_{qm+r} = Q_{(q-1)m+r} + Q_{(q-2)m+r}.$$

For  $0 \leq r < m$ , there exist constants  $b_r$  and  $b'_r$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad Q_{qm+r} = b_r \phi^q + b'_r \bar{\phi}^q.$$

$$(3.8) \quad Q_{2m-1} = Q_{m-1}, \quad P_{2m-1} = P_{m-1} + 1,$$

$$P_{2m-2} = P_{m-2} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{2m-2} = 1 + Q_{m-2}.$$

**Lemma 4.** For  $q \geq 2$ ,

$$(3.9) \quad \phi^{q-1} \leq |Q_{qm+m-1}| \leq \phi^q;$$

$$(3.10) \quad \text{If } m \equiv 1, -1 \pmod{5}, \text{ then } \phi^{q-2} \leq |Q_{qm+m-2}| \leq \phi^{q-1};$$

$$(3.11) \quad \text{If } m \equiv 2, -2(\pmod{5}), \text{ then } \phi^q \leq |Q_{qm+m-2}| \leq \phi^{q+1};$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{1}{\phi^2} \leq \left| \frac{Q_{qm+m-1}}{Q_{qm+m-2}} \right| \leq \phi^2.$$

*Proof.* Using (3.7) and (3.8) it follows that

$$Q_{qm+m-1} = \frac{Q_{m-1}}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^{q+1} - \bar{\phi}^{q+1}) = \phi^{q+1} \frac{Q_{m-1}}{\sqrt{5}} \left( 1 - \frac{(-1)^{q+1}}{\phi^{2q+2}} \right)$$

and (3.9) follows easily.

Applying (3.7) with  $n = 2m - 2$  and using the values from (3.8) one finds similarly that

$$Q_{qm+m-2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^q - \bar{\phi}^q), & m \equiv 1, -1(\pmod{5}), \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}(\phi^{q+2} - \bar{\phi}^{q+2}), & m \equiv 2, -2(\pmod{5}). \end{cases}$$

(3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) then follow.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** For  $q \geq 2$ ,

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{1}{\phi^{2q+1}} \leq |K_{qm+m-1}(x) - K(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{2q}};$$

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{\phi^{2q+3}} \leq |K_{qm+m-2}(x) - K(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{2q}}.$$

$$(3.15) \quad \max\{|R_{qm+m-1}(x) - R(x)|, |R_{qm+m-2}(x) - R(x)|\} \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{2q-3}}.$$

*Proof.* (3.6) implies that

$$P_{qm+r} = F_q P_{m+r} + F_{q-1} P_r$$

and

$$Q_{qm+r} = F_q Q_{m+r} + F_{q-1} Q_r.$$

Using (3.8) it follows that

$$K_{qm+m-1} = \frac{P_{qm+m-1}}{Q_{qm+m-1}} = \frac{F_{q+1} P_{m-1} + F_q}{F_{q+1} Q_{m-1}}.$$

Let  $q \rightarrow \infty$  to get

$$K(x) = \frac{P_{m-1}\phi + 1}{Q_{m-1}\phi}.$$

(3.13) now follows.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{qm+m-2}}{Q_{qm+m-2}} &= \frac{P_{m-2}F_{q+1}}{Q_{m-2}F_{q+1} + F_q} \implies \\ K(x) &= \frac{P_{m-2}\phi}{Q_{m-2}\phi + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3.14) follows. (3.15) follows from (3.13) and (3.14).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $q \geq 2$  and let  $n = qm + m - 1$  or  $qm + m - 2$ . Let  $y$  be another point on the unit circle. Suppose  $P_n(y) = P_n(x) + \epsilon_1$ ,  $Q_n(y) = Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2$ , with  $\epsilon = \max\{|\epsilon_1|, |\epsilon_2|\} < 1/2$ . Then*

$$(3.16) \quad |K_n(y) - K_n(x)| \leq \frac{10\epsilon}{\phi^{q-2}}.$$

*If the angle between  $x$  and  $y$  (measured from the origin) is less than  $5\pi/3$  and  $\epsilon \leq 1/(20\phi^2)$ , then*

$$(3.17) \quad |R_n(y) - R_n(x)| < 3\phi|x - y| + \frac{60\epsilon}{\phi^{q-4}}.$$

$$(3.18) \quad |R_n(y) - R(x)| \leq 3\phi|x - y| + \frac{60\epsilon}{\phi^{q-4}} + \frac{1}{\phi^{2q-3}}.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} |K_n(y) - K_n(x)| &= \left| \frac{P_n(y)}{Q_n(y)} - \frac{P_n(x)}{Q_n(x)} \right| = \left| \frac{\epsilon_1 Q_n(x) - \epsilon_2 P_n(x)}{Q_n(x)(Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2)} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2|}{|Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2|} + \frac{|\epsilon_2| |P_n(x) - Q_n(x)|}{|Q_n(x)| |Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2|} \\ &= \frac{|\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2|}{|Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2|} + \frac{|\epsilon_2| |K_n(x) - 1|}{|Q_n(x) + \epsilon_2|} \\ &\leq \frac{2\epsilon}{||Q_n(x)| - \epsilon|} + \frac{\epsilon (|K(x)| + 1/\phi^{2q} + 1)}{||Q_n(x)| - \epsilon|}, \end{aligned}$$

using (3.13), (3.14) and the bounds on  $\epsilon_1$  and  $\epsilon_2$ . Since  $|K(x)| \leq \phi$  and  $\epsilon < 1/2$ , it follows that

$$|K_n(y) - K_n(x)| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{||Q_n(x)| - 1/2|} + \frac{3\epsilon}{||Q_n(x)| - 1/2|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{5\epsilon}{\left| |Q_n(x)| - 1/2 \right|} \\
 &\leq \frac{10\epsilon}{\phi^{q-2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from (3.9), (3.10), (3.11). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_n(y) - R_n(x)| &= \left| \frac{y^{1/5}}{K_n(y)} - \frac{x^{1/5}}{K_n(x)} \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{K_n(x)(y^{1/5} - x^{1/5}) + x^{1/5}(K_n(x) - K_n(y))}{K_n(x)K_n(y)} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{|x - y|}{|K_n(y)|} + \frac{|K_n(x) - K_n(y)|}{|K_n(x)||K_n(y)|} \\
 &\leq \frac{|x - y|}{\left| |K_n(x)| - 10\epsilon/\phi^{q-2} \right|} + \frac{10\epsilon/\phi^{q-2}}{|K_n(x)| \left| |K_n(x)| - 10\epsilon/\phi^{q-2} \right|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (3.16) and the fact that the bound on the angle between  $x$  and  $y$  implies that  $|y^{1/5} - x^{1/5}| \leq |x - y|$ . Using (3.13), (3.14) and the bound on  $\epsilon$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_n(y) - R_n(x)| &\leq \frac{|x - y|}{\left| |K(x)| - 1/\phi^{2q} - 1/(2\phi^q) \right|} \\
 &\quad + \frac{10\epsilon}{\phi^{q-2} \left| |K(x)| - 1/\phi^{2q} \right| \left| |K(x)| - 1/\phi^{2q} - 1/2\phi^q \right|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|K(x)| = \phi$  or  $1/\phi$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_n(y) - R_n(x)| &\leq \frac{|x - y|\phi}{1 - 1/\phi^{2q-1} - 1/(2\phi^{q-1})} \\
 &\quad + \frac{10\epsilon}{\phi^{q-4} (1 - 1/\phi^{2q-1}) (1 - 1/\phi^{2q-1} - 1/(2\phi^{q-1}))}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{|x-y|\phi}{1-1/\phi^3-1/(2\phi)} \\
&\quad + \frac{10\epsilon}{\phi^{q-4}(1-1/\phi^3)(1-1/\phi^3-1/(2\phi))} \\
&\leq 3\phi|x-y| + \frac{60\epsilon}{\phi^{q-4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lastly, (3.18) follows from (3.15) and (3.17).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *There exists an uncountable set of points on the unit circle such that if  $y$  is one of these points, then there exists two increasing sequences of integers,  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  and  $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  say, such that,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{n_i}(y) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{n_i-1}(y) = R_a, \\
\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{m_i}(y) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{m_i-1}(y) = R_b,
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ , where  $a \neq b$ .

*Proof.* With the notation of Theorem 3, let  $t \in S^\circ$  and set  $y = \exp(2\pi it)$ . Let  $c_{f_n}/d_{f_n}$  be one of the infinitely many convergents satisfying (1.5) and (1.6) and set  $x_n = \exp(2\pi ic_{f_n}/d_{f_n})$ . Then  $R(x_n) = R_a$  and

$$(3.19) \quad |x_n - y| < \frac{1}{d_{f_n}^2 (d_{f_n} + 1)^2 \phi^{d_{f_n}^2 + 2d_{f_n}}}.$$

For the last inequality we have used the condition on the  $a_{n_{k+1}}$ 's in (1.6) in the same way that the condition on the  $a_{i+1}(t)$ 's in (1.3) was used in Lemma 1 and the fact that chord length is shorter than arc length. Let  $k = d_{f_n}^2 + d_{f_n} - 1$  or  $d_{f_n}^2 + d_{f_n} - 2$ . By (2.4), (2.5) and (3.19) it follows that

$$|P_k(x) - P_k(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{d_{f_n}}}$$

and

$$(3.20) \quad |Q_k(x) - Q_k(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{d_{f_n}}}.$$

By (3.18), with  $k$  as above,  $q = m = d_{f_n}$  and  $\epsilon = 1/\phi^{d_{f_n}}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) \quad |R_k(y) - R_a| &= |R_k(y) - R(x_n)| \\
&\leq \frac{3\phi}{d_{f_n}^2 (d_{f_n} + 1)^2 \phi^{d_{f_n}^2 + 2d_{f_n}}} + \frac{60}{\phi^{2d_{f_n}-4}} + \frac{1}{\phi^{2d_{f_n}-3}} \\
&\leq \frac{500}{\phi^{2d_{f_n}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{d_{f_n}^2 + d_{f_n} - 1}(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{d_{f_n}^2 + d_{f_n} - 2}(y) = R_a.$$

Similarly,

$$(3.23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{d_{g_n}^2 + d_{g_n} - 1}(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{d_{g_n}^2 + d_{g_n} - 2}(y) = R_b.$$

It is not difficult to show that  $S^\diamond$  is an uncountable set and from the remark following Theorem 3, it follows that it has measure zero. Thus  $G = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in S^\diamond\}$  is an uncountable set of measure zero.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 2:* The proof is similar to that of Lemma 7. Let

$$W = \{W_i\}_{i=1}^{12} = \{R_6, R_7, R_8, R_9, R_{10}, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_1, R_8, R_7\}.$$

Note that  $W$  contains all ten of the values taken by the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction at roots of unity. Consider the following continued fraction:

$$(3.24) \quad \alpha = [0, 1, 3, \overline{2, 3, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 3, 3, 5}] := [0, a_1, a_2, \dots].$$

Modulo 5, the convergents are

$$(3.25) \quad \left\{ \overline{\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{4}{1}, \frac{0}{1}, \frac{4}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{0}{2}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}} \right\},$$

where the bar indicates that, modulo 5, the convergents repeat in this order.

Let  $t$  be any irrational in  $(0, 1)$  such that, for  $i \geq 1$ , the  $i$ -th partial quotient,  $b_i$ , and the  $i$ -th convergent,  $c_i/d_i$ , in its continued fraction expansion,  $[0, b_1, b_2, \dots]$ , satisfy the following conditions.

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & b_i \equiv a_i \pmod{5}, \\ (ii) \quad & \left| t - \frac{c_i}{d_i} \right| < \frac{1}{2\pi d_i^2 (d_i + 1)^2 \phi^{d_i^2 + 2d_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $a_i$ 's are as in equation (3.24). Set  $y = \exp(2\pi it)$  and let  $x_n = \exp(2\pi ic_n/d_n)$ , so that

$$(3.27) \quad |x_n - y| < \frac{1}{d_n^2 (d_n + 1)^2 \phi^{d_n^2 + 2d_n}}.$$

Here we once again have used the fact that chord length is less than arc length. Set  $r = n \pmod{12}$ , for  $n > 0$ . Then it can be easily checked, using (1.1) and (3.25), that

$$(3.28) \quad R(x_n) = \begin{cases} W_r, & r \neq 0 \\ W_{12} & r = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let  $k = d_n^2 + d_n - 1$  or  $d_n^2 + d_n - 2$ . By (2.4), (2.5) and (3.27) it follows that

$$|P_k(x_n) - P_k(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{d_n}}$$

and

$$(3.29) \quad |Q_k(x_n) - Q_k(y)| \leq \frac{1}{\phi^{d_n}}.$$

By (3.18), with  $k$  as above,  $q = m = d_n$  and  $\epsilon = 1/\phi^{d_n}$ , it follows that

$$(3.30) \quad |R_k(y) - R(x_n)| \leq \frac{3\phi}{d_n^2(d_n + 1)^2\phi^{d_n^2+2d_n}} + \frac{60}{\phi^{2d_n-4}} + \frac{1}{\phi^{2d_n-3}}$$

$$\leq \frac{500}{\phi^{2d_n}}.$$

Next, for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ , define a sequence of integers  $\{s_{i,j}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ , by setting  $s_{i,j} = d_{12(i-1)+j}^2 + d_{12(i-1)+j}$ . By (3.28),  $R(x_{12(i-1)+j}) = W_j$  and so, from (3.30),

$$|R_{(s_{i,j}-1)}(y) - W_j| \leq \frac{500}{\phi^{2d_{12(i-1)+j}}};$$

$$|R_{(s_{i,j}-2)}(y) - W_j| \leq \frac{500}{\phi^{2d_{12(i-1)+j}}}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{(s_{i,j}-1)}(y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} R_{(s_{i,j}-2)}(y) = W_j.$$

Both results hold for  $1 \leq j \leq 12$ . Since the set  $W$  contains all ten of the  $R_j$ 's the result is proved for this particular  $t$ .

Let  $S'$  denote the set of all such  $t \in (0, 1)$  and set  $G^* = \{\exp(2\pi it) : t \in S'\}$ . Clearly  $G^* \subset Y_S$  and is also uncountable. □

*Proof of Theorem 3:* Let  $y$  be any point in  $G$ , where  $G$  is as defined in the proof of Lemma 7, and let  $t$  be the irrational in  $(0, 1)$  for which  $y = \exp(2\pi it)$ .

Suppose  $R(y)$  converges generally to  $f \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and that  $\{v_n\}, \{w_n\}$  are two sequences such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + v_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + v_n Q_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n + w_n P_{n-1}}{Q_n + w_n Q_{n-1}} = \frac{y^{\frac{1}{5}}}{f} := g.$$

Suppose first that  $|g| < \infty$ . By construction there exists two infinite strictly increasing sequences of positive integers  $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$L_a := \frac{y^{\frac{1}{5}}}{R_a} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n_i}(y)}{Q_{n_i}(y)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n_i-1}(y)}{Q_{n_i-1}(y)}$$

and

$$L_b := \frac{y^{\frac{1}{5}}}{R_b} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{m_i}(y)}{Q_{m_i}(y)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{m_i-1}(y)}{Q_{m_i-1}(y)},$$

for some  $a \neq b, a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ . Also by construction each  $n_i$  has the form  $d_{k_i}^2 + d_{k_i} - 1$ , where  $d_{k_i}$  is some denominator convergent in the continued fraction expansion of  $t$ , and likewise for each  $m_i$ . It can be further assumed that  $L_a \neq g$ , since  $L_a \neq L_b$ . For ease of notation write

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n_i}(y) &= P_{n_i}, & Q_{n_i}(y) &= Q_{n_i}, \\ P_{n_i-1}(y) &= P_{n_i-1}, & Q_{n_i-1}(y) &= Q_{n_i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $P_{n_i} = Q_{n_i}(L_a + \epsilon_{n_i})$  and  $P_{n_i-1} = Q_{n_i-1}(L_a + \delta_{n_i})$ , where  $\epsilon_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$\frac{Q_{n_i}(L_a + \epsilon_{n_i}) + w_{n_i} Q_{n_i-1}(L_a + \delta_{n_i})}{Q_{n_i} + w_{n_i} Q_{n_i-1}} = g + \gamma_{n_i},$$

where  $\gamma_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$w_{n_i} + \frac{Q_{n_i}}{Q_{n_i-1}} = \frac{Q_{n_i}}{Q_{n_i-1}} \times \frac{\epsilon_{n_i} - \delta_{n_i}}{g - L_a + \gamma_{n_i} - \delta_{n_i}}.$$

Because of (3.12), the fact that each  $n_i$  has the form  $d_{k_i}^2 + d_{k_i} - 1$ , where  $d_{k_i}$  is some denominator convergent in the continued fraction expansion of  $t$  and (3.20), it follows that  $Q_{n_i}/Q_{n_i-1}$  is absolutely bounded. Therefore the right hand side of the last equality tends to 0 as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and thus

$$(3.31) \quad w_{n_i} + Q_{n_i}/Q_{n_i-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that  $|w_{n_i}| < \infty$  for all  $i$  sufficiently large, since  $|Q_{n_i}/Q_{n_i-1}| < \infty$ . Similarly,

$$(3.32) \quad v_{n_i} + Q_{n_i}/Q_{n_i-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the (3.31), (3.32) and the triangle in-equality

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |v_{n_i} - w_{n_i}| = 0.$$

Thus

$$\liminf d(v_n, w_n) \not\rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $R(y)$  does not converge generally. A similar argument holds in the case where  $g$  is infinite.

Since  $G$  is uncountable and of measure zero, this proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $y$  be as in Corollary 2. Then  $K(y)$  does not converge generally.*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in (0, 1)$  be such that  $y = \exp(2\pi it)$ . Recall that  $t = [0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ , where  $a_i$  is the integer consisting of a tower of  $i$  twos with an  $i$  on top. Modulo 5, the convergents in the continued fraction expansion of  $t$  are

$$(3.33) \quad \left\{ \overline{\frac{0}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{0}, \frac{3}{3}, \frac{0}{3}, \frac{3}{1}, \frac{4}{4}, \frac{0}{4}, \frac{4}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{2}{0}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{4}{4}, \frac{1}{1}} \right\},$$

where once again the bar indicates that the convergents repeat modulo 5 in this order. In particular, there are two fractions,  $r/s$  and  $u/v$  say, such that (1.5) and (1.7) holds. Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$(3.34) \quad \left| t - \frac{c_i}{d_i} \right| < \frac{1}{2\pi d_i^2 (d_i + 1)^2 \phi^{d_i^2 + 2d_i}},$$

for all  $i \geq 3$ , where  $c_i/d_i$  is the  $i$ -th convergent in the continued fraction expansion of  $t$ . In particular (3.19) will hold and likewise a similar inequality when  $f_n$  is replaced by  $g_n$ , where  $\{c_{f_n}/d_{f_n}\}$  and  $\{c_{g_n}/d_{g_n}\}$ , are the two sequences of convergents corresponding to  $r/s$  and  $u/v$ . This in turn will ensure that  $y \in G$  so that  $K(y)$  will not converge generally by Theorem 3. We will show that, for  $i \geq 3$ ,

$$(3.35) \quad a_{i+1} > 16d_i^2$$

This will be sufficient to prove the result. Indeed, let  $t_{i+1} = [a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, \dots]$  denote the  $i$ -th tail of the continued fraction expansion for  $t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} &\geq 16d_i^2 = 4^2 \cdot d_i^2 > 4(d_i + 1)^2 \\ &= 2(d_i + 1)^2 2(d_i + 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$> 2\pi(d_i + 1)^2 \phi d_i^2 + 2d_i \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| t - \frac{c_i}{d_i} \right| &= \left| \frac{t_{i+1}c_i + c_{i-1}}{t_{i+1}d_i + d_{i-1}} - \frac{c_i}{d_i} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{d_i(t_{i+1}d_i + d_{i-1})} \\ &< \frac{1}{d_i(a_{i+1}d_i + d_{i-1})} \\ &< \frac{1}{d_i^2 a_{i+1}} \\ &< \frac{1}{2\pi d_i^2 (d_i + 1)^2 \phi d_i^2 + 2d_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all that remains is to prove (3.35). The proof of this inequality is similar to that of (2.11).

$$(3.36) \quad 16d_i^2 \leq a_{i+1} \iff 4d_i^2 \leq \underbrace{2^{\dots 2^i + 1}}_{i \times 2\text{'s}},$$

where the notation indicates that the last integer consists of a tower of  $i$  twos with an  $i+1$  on top. It can be easily checked that the second inequality holds for  $i = 3, 4$ . Suppose it holds for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, r-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 4d_r^2 &= 4(a_r d_{r-1} + d_{r-2})^2 \leq 4(4a_r d_{r-1}^2 + 4d_{r-2}^2)^2 \\ &\leq 4 \left( 4 \times \underbrace{2^{\dots 2^r}}_{r \times 2\text{'s}} \times \underbrace{2^{\dots 2^r}}_{(r-1) \times 2\text{'s}} + 4 \times \underbrace{2^{\dots 2^{r-1}}}_{(r-2) \times 2\text{'s}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \underbrace{2^{\dots 2^{r+1}}}_{r \times 2\text{'s}}. \end{aligned}$$



In a later paper we will examine the question of convergence of other  $q$ -continued fractions on the unit circle, such as the Göllnitz-Gordon continued fraction and some other  $q$ -continued fractions of Ramanujan.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Andrews, G. E.; Berndt, Bruce C.; Jacobsen, Lisa; Lamphere, Robert L. *The continued fractions found in the unorganized portions of Ramanujan's notebooks*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **99** (1992), no. 477, vi+71pp
- [2] Berndt, Bruce C.; Chan, Heng Huat; Zhang, Liang-Cheng *Explicit evaluations of the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **480** (1996), 141–159.
- [3] Berndt, Bruce C.; Chan, Heng Huat *Some values for the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction*. Canad. J. Math. **47** (1995), no. 5, 897–914.
- [4] Jacobsen, Lisa *General convergence of continued fractions*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), no. 2, 477–485.
- [5] Lorentzen, Lisa; Waadeland, Haakon *Continued fractions with applications*. Studies in Computational Mathematics, 3. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1992.
- [6] Ramanathan, K. G. *On Ramanujan's continued fraction*. Acta Arith. **43** (1984), no. 3, 209–226
- [7] Rockett, Andrew M.; Szűsz, Peter, *Continued fractions*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1992, pp 140-141.
- [8] L.J.Rogers, *Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products*, Proc. London Math.Soc. **25** (1894),pp. 318-343.
- [9] Schur, Issai, *Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie und zur Theorie der Kettenbrüchen*, in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen. Band II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973, 117-136.  
(Originally in *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 1917, Physikalisch-Mathematische Klasse, 302-321)
- [10] Yi,J. *Evaluation of the Rogers-Ramanujan continued fraction  $R(q)$  by modular equations*, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), no. 3, 103-127.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, ILLINOIS 61820

*E-mail address:* [bowman@math.uiuc.edu](mailto:bowman@math.uiuc.edu)

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, CHAMPAIGN-URBANA, ILLINOIS 61820

*E-mail address:* [jgmclaug@math.uiuc.edu](mailto:jgmclaug@math.uiuc.edu)