

Jacobi fields along harmonic 2-spheres in \mathbf{CP}^2 are integrable

Luc Lemaire and John C. Wood

Abstract

We show that any Jacobi field along a harmonic map from the 2-sphere to the complex projective plane is integrable (i.e., is tangent to a smooth variation through harmonic maps). This provides one of the few known answers to this problem of integrability, which was raised in different contexts of geometry and analysis. It implies that the Jacobi fields form the tangent bundle to each component of the manifold of harmonic maps from S^2 to \mathbf{CP}^2 thus giving the nullity of any such harmonic map; it also has bearing on the behaviour of weakly harmonic E -minimizing maps from a 3-manifold to \mathbf{CP}^2 near a singularity and the structure of the singular set of such maps from any manifold to \mathbf{CP}^2 .¹

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, (M, g) and (N, h) will denote smooth compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary, and $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map. Then φ is said to be *harmonic* if it is an extremal of the energy functional

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 v_g,$$

i.e., if for every smooth variation φ_t with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ we have $\frac{d}{dt}E(\varphi_t)|_{t=0} = 0$. Equivalently, φ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation for the problem: $\tau(\varphi) = 0$, where $\tau(\varphi)$ is the *tension field* given by

$$\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace}(\nabla d\varphi). \quad (1.1)$$

Indeed,

$$\frac{dE(\varphi_t)}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = - \int_M \left\langle \tau(\varphi), \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} \right\rangle v_g. \quad (1.2)$$

¹2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 58E20, 53C43, 53A10.

Here and in the sequel, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and ∇ denote the inner product and connection induced on the relevant bundle by the metrics and Levi-Civita connections on M and N . In particular, in (1.2), $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $\varphi^{-1}TN$ and, in (1.1), ∇ is the connection induced on the bundle $T^*M \otimes \varphi^{-1}TN$ of which $d\varphi$ is a section (see, for example, [11] for this formalism).

The *second variation of the energy* is described as follows. For a smooth two-parameter variation $\varphi_{t,s}$ of φ with $\frac{\partial \varphi_{t,s}}{\partial t} \Big|_{(0,0)} = v$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi_{t,s}}{\partial s} \Big|_{(0,0)} = w$, the *Hessian* of φ is defined by

$$H_\varphi(v, w) = \frac{\partial^2 E(\varphi_{t,s})}{\partial t \partial s} \Big|_{(0,0)}.$$

We have

$$H_\varphi(v, w) = \int_M \langle J_\varphi(v), w \rangle v_g$$

where

$$J_\varphi(v) = \Delta v - \text{trace } R^N(d\varphi, v) d\varphi$$

is called the *Jacobi operator*, a self-adjoint linear elliptic differential operator. Here Δ denotes the Laplacian induced on $\varphi^{-1}TN$ and the sign conventions on Δ and the curvature R are those of [11].

Let v be a vector field along φ , i.e. a smooth section of $\varphi^{-1}TN$. Then v is called a *Jacobi field* (for the energy) if $J_\varphi(v) = 0$. The space of Jacobi fields, $\ker J_\varphi$, is finite dimensional, its dimension is called the *(E)-nullity* of φ .

The following proposition expresses the standard fact that the Jacobi operator is the linearisation of the tension field (up to a sign coming from the choice of conventions).

Proposition 1.1 *Let v be a vector field along a smooth map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$. Then for any one-parameter family φ_t of smooth map with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ we have*

$$J_\varphi(v) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau(\varphi_t) \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. Choose a two-parameter variation $\varphi_{t,s}$ with $\varphi_{t,0} = \varphi_t$. We compare

$$H_\varphi(v, w) = \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t \partial s}(\varphi_{t,s}) \Big|_{(0,0)} = \int_M \langle J_\varphi(v), w \rangle v_g$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H_\varphi(v, w) &= \frac{d}{dt} (\nabla_w E(\varphi_{t,0})) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int_M \langle \tau(\varphi_{t,0}), w \rangle v_g \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_M \langle -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau(\varphi_{t,0}), w \rangle v_g \Big|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$

and obtain the statement.

In particular when φ_t is a smooth family of harmonic maps, the vector field $v = \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$ is a Jacobi field along φ_0 . Indeed, $\tau(\varphi_t) = 0$ for all t , so this follows from (1.3).

This suggests the following definition.

Definition 1.2 *A Jacobi field v along a harmonic map φ is said to be integrable if there is a smooth family φ_t of harmonic maps such that $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ and $v = \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$.*

It is natural to ask whether all Jacobi fields along harmonic maps between given Riemannian manifolds are integrable, or to determine those which are. As we shall see shortly, only very few cases are known, and the main result of the present paper is the following, where we take M to be the 2-sphere S^2 with its unique conformal structure and N the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with the standard Fubini-Study metric of holomorphic sectional curvature 1:

Theorem 1.3 *Any Jacobi field along a harmonic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is integrable.*

This tells us the nullity of any harmonic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$, see Corollary 2.3.

In the remainder of this section we shall describe (i) another interpretation of integrability, (ii) the present state of knowledge on this question, (iii) motivation for the study of the problem (in particular for these special manifolds).

Consider a (fixed) harmonic map $\varphi_0 : M \rightarrow N$. In [35], T. Sunada showed that the set of harmonic maps $C^{2,\alpha}$ -close to φ_0 is a subset of a ball in a finite dimensional manifold of maps whose tangent space at φ_0 is precisely the kernel of J_{φ_0} . In other words, all harmonic maps close to φ_0 form a subset of the image I of a projection of $\ker J_{\varphi_0}$ to the space of maps (see [29, Prop. 2.1]). In general, this subset need not be a manifold and can be strictly contained in I .

In [1, Lemma 1], D. Adams and L. Simon proved the following:

Proposition 1.4 *Let $\varphi_0 : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ be a harmonic map between real-analytic manifolds. Then all Jacobi fields along φ_0 are integrable if and only if the space of harmonic maps ($C^{2,\alpha}$ -)close to φ_0 is a smooth manifold, whose tangent space at φ_0 is $\ker J_{\varphi_0}$.*

It follows that for two real-analytic manifolds, all Jacobi fields along all harmonic maps are integrable if and only if the space of harmonic maps is a manifold whose tangent bundle is given by the Jacobi fields.

It is easy to construct an example where these conditions are not satisfied, as follows:

Recall that a map φ from a circle S^1 to a manifold N is harmonic if and only if it is a closed geodesic. Start from such a geodesic in a flat 2-torus. The space of Jacobi fields is two dimensional and is tangent to the two-dimensional space of geodesics obtained by

rotations and translations of the given one. Say that the torus is a quotient of the cylinder in \mathbb{R}^3 obtained by revolution of the curve $f(t) = 1$, and the geodesic is the circle generated by revolution of the point $t = 0$, $f(t) = 1$. Now modify the cylinder by replacing $f(t)$ by $\tilde{f}(t) = 1 + \sin^4 t$. The circle remains a geodesic and the Jacobi fields are unchanged, because the curvature of the surface is zero along the circle. However, translations of the curve are not geodesics anymore, so the space of closed geodesics has become one-dimensional. Note that the modified torus has real-analytic metric, and that the curvature is negative near the geodesic and zero along it. (A similar example was mentioned by F.J. Almgren in the seventies.)

In [28], M. Mukai obtains a remarkable description of the integrability question for a one-parameter family of Clifford tori, which are harmonic maps from the flat 2-torus to the Euclidean 3-sphere. Explicitly, she considers the family of maps

$$\varphi_t(e^{ix}, e^{iy}) = (\cos t \cdot e^{ix}, \sin t \cdot e^{iy})$$

where $t \in [0, \pi/4]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$. Her results are as follows:

- For $t \neq 0, \pi/6$, the space of harmonic maps around φ_t is a 7-dimensional manifold, whose tangent space is $\ker J_{\varphi_t}$.
- For $t = \pi/6$, the space is still a 7-dimensional manifold but $\dim \ker J_{\varphi_t} = 8$.
- For $t = 0$, the space of harmonic maps is not a manifold around φ_t .

So even in small dimensions and for very simple manifolds, all possible cases occur.

Concerning the pairs of manifolds such that all Jacobi fields are integrable, so far only the following cases are known:

- a) M is the circle and N is a globally symmetric space [37, Theorem 2].
- b) N is a locally symmetric space of non-positive sectional curvature [29, Prop. 3.2].
- c) $M = N = S^2$, the 2-sphere [19, Lemmas 2 and 3]. In this case, all harmonic maps are holomorphic or antiholomorphic.

Theorem (1.3) above concludes this short list at the present time.

Note that the case of maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ($n \geq 3$) seems much more difficult to handle and does not appear to follow from the present methods.

The case of maps from S^2 to S^n for $n \geq 4$ is also unsolved, partial results have so far been obtained in a series of papers of J. Bolton and L.M. Woodward (see [2] and [3] for the case $n = 4$).

To motivate the search for situations where all Jacobi fields are integrable, we refer to their appearance in two aspects of the study of singularities of weakly harmonic maps.

Recall ([29], and [14], [15] for a special case) that an energy minimizing map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ from an m -dimensional manifold can have a singular set of Hausdorff dimension at most $m-3$, and that a singular point is characterized by the appearance of a minimizing tangent map from $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ to N , which is the composition of the projection of $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ to S^{m-1} (given by $x \mapsto x/|x|$) and a harmonic map from S^{m-1} to N . If M and N are real-analytic, the minimizing tangent map is unique (L. Simon [30] and [31]). As shown by A. Adams and L. Simon [1], and R. Gulliver and B. White [19], *the convergence of a sequence of blow-ups of a harmonic map at a singular point to its minimizing tangent map is fast (in a precisely defined sense) if and only if all Jacobi fields for harmonic maps from S^{m-1} to N are integrable.*

Theorem (1.3) implies that this is the case for maps from a 3-dimensional manifold M to $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

In a second direction, L. Simon has analysed the structure of the $(m-3)$ -dimensional part S_{m-3} of the singular set for a minimizing map from an m -dimensional manifold M to N .

When M and N are real analytic, he showed that S_{m-3} is a countable union of C^1 -submanifolds of M (see [33] and [34, Chapter 4, Theorems 1 and 2]).

Whether M and N are analytic or not, but with the supplementary hypothesis that Jacobi fields along harmonic maps from S^2 to N form the tangent space to the manifold of harmonic maps, he gets the stronger assertion that S_{m-3} is $C^{1,\alpha}$. As he explained to us in private communication, the proof of this result parallels step by step the proof of the analogous result for minimal submanifolds, which can be found in [32, Theorem 4].

We stress the fact that this result, valid for manifolds M of any dimension m , always involves maps of S^2 to N . This is because the method involves a blow up in the transversal direction to S_{m-3} , i.e. in a three dimensional vector space.

Thus, Theorem (1.3) implies:

Corollary 1.5 *Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension m and φ a weakly harmonic energy minimizing map from M to $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Then the singular set of φ is contained in a countable union of $(m-3)$ -dimensional $C^{1,\alpha}$ -manifolds together with a locally compact set of Hausdorff dimension $\leq m-4$.*

In fact, the singular set also has locally finite $(m-3)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure in the neighbourhood of each of its points.

Notice that this is exactly the statement of [33] Theorem 1 and [34] Chapter 4, Theorem 1, without change in the proof since our integrability theorem guarantees the required Lojasiewicz inequality.

Another motivation for this work is to extend the intense research done in the topological framework on the space of harmonic maps from S^2 to S^n and $\mathbb{C}P^n$ (see, for example, M. Guest and Y. Ohnita [17]).

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the construction of all harmonic maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$, gathering together the aspects of

the construction that we shall use. Section 3 gives an overview of the proof, the case of holomorphic maps being treated in Section 4, and the detailed computations required for various steps postponed to Section 5.

In Section 6, we relate energy- and area-integrability and in Section 7 note that every Jacobi field is *locally* integrable.

Aknowledgments

We would like to thank L. Simon for posing questions which led to this work during the first MSJ International Research Institute (Sendai, 1993), and F.E. Burstall and M.A. Guest for numerous discussions over the years.

The first author's research is supported by the Ministère de la Communauté Française de Belgique through an Action de Recherche Concertée and the second author benefited from several invitations to the U.L.B. on a scientific mission from the Belgian F.N.R.S.

2 Gauss transforms

Following work of others [9] [16], see also [4], J. Eells and the second author [13] classified all harmonic maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Later, F.E. Burstall and the second author gave another interpretation of this construction [5].

We now describe the aspects of this construction which will be of use in the proof, for the case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

We view $\mathbb{C}P^2$ as usual as the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ by the equivalence relation $z \sim \lambda z$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$). Each point $V \in \mathbb{C}P^2$ is thus identified with a complex line in \mathbb{C}^3 . The tautological bundle T over $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is the subbundle of the trivial bundle $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C}^3$ whose fibre at V is the line V in \mathbb{C}^3 .

Using the complex structure on $\mathbb{C}P^2$, we can decompose its complexified tangent bundle $T^{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}P^2 = T\mathbb{C}P^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ into $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ parts:

$$T^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}P^2) = T'\mathbb{C}P^2 \oplus T''\mathbb{C}P^2,$$

and we refer to [13, page 223] for a description of the well-known isomorphism

$$T'_V\mathbb{C}P^2 \simeq \mathcal{L}(V, V^{\perp}) \simeq V^* \otimes V^{\perp} \tag{2.1}$$

where V^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of V with respect to the standard Hermitian inner product on \mathbb{C}^3 .

Consider now a smooth map $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$. The complex extension of its differential $d^{\mathbb{C}}\psi : T^{\mathbb{C}}S^2 \rightarrow T^{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}P^2$ induces by inclusion and projection the maps

$$\partial\psi : T'S^2 \rightarrow T'\mathbb{C}P^2$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}\psi : T''S^2 \rightarrow T'\mathbb{C}P^2.$$

It will frequently be convenient to work in a complex chart (U, z) for S^2 ; our constructions will be independent of choice of chart. Given such a complex chart we introduce the notations

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} = \partial\psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial \bar{z}} = \bar{\partial}\psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right)$$

and, for any connection ∇ ,

$$\nabla' = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}}, \quad \nabla'' = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}}.$$

Then, with ∇ the (pull-back) connection on $\varphi^{-1}T'\mathbb{C}P^2$, the map ψ is harmonic if and only if

$$\nabla'' \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} = 0, \quad \text{equivalently} \quad \nabla' \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Indeed these expressions essentially give the $(1, 0)$ part $\tau'(\psi)$ of the tension field of ψ .

Now to each map $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$, we associate the bundle $\underline{\psi} = \psi^{-1}T$, the pull-back of the tautological bundle. Thus $\underline{\psi}$ is the complex line subbundle of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{C}^3} = S^2 \times \mathbb{C}^3$ over S^2 whose fibre at z is the line $\psi(z)$. Conversely, to each complex line subbundle of $\underline{\mathbb{C}^3}$ is associated a map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

In the following description, all the bundles are subbundles of $\underline{\mathbb{C}^3}$ over S^2 or over a complex chart (U, z) of S^2 .

Let $\underline{\psi}$ be a subbundle of $\underline{\mathbb{C}^3}$. The standard derivation on $\underline{\mathbb{C}^3}$ induces a connection $\nabla_{\underline{\psi}}$ on $\underline{\psi}$ by composing with orthogonal projection $\pi_{\underline{\psi}}$ on $\underline{\psi}$: for a section v in $\underline{\psi}$,

$$\nabla'_{\underline{\psi}} v = \pi_{\underline{\psi}} \partial' v$$

where we write ∂' for $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. On the other hand, given two mutually orthogonal subbundles $\underline{\varphi}$ and $\underline{\psi}$, we can define the ∂' -second fundamental form of $\underline{\varphi}$ in $\underline{\varphi} \oplus \underline{\psi}$, $A'_{\varphi, \psi} : \underline{\varphi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{\varphi, \psi}(v) &= \pi_{\underline{\psi}} \nabla'_{\varphi + \psi} v \\ &= \pi_{\underline{\psi}} \partial' v, \end{aligned}$$

where v is a section of $\underline{\varphi}$.

One can check that $A'_{\varphi, \psi}$ is tensorial (it is similar to the second fundamental form of a submanifold).

We make similar definitions for A'' , using $\partial'' = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ in place of ∂' . As remarked on page 260 of [5], it is easy to check that the adjoint of $A'_{\varphi, \psi}$ is $-A''_{\psi, \varphi}$.

As a special case, we set

$$A'_{\psi} = A'_{\psi, \psi^{\perp}} : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^{\perp} \quad \text{and} \quad A''_{\psi} = A''_{\psi, \psi^{\perp}} : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^{\perp};$$

explicitly, for any smooth nowhere-zero section Ψ of $\underline{\psi}$,

$$A'_\psi(\Psi) = \partial'\Psi - \frac{\langle \partial'\Psi, \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle} \Psi, \quad A''_\psi(\Psi) = \partial''\Psi - \frac{\langle \partial''\Psi, \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle} \Psi. \quad (2.3)$$

Now the pull-back over S^2 of the isomorphism (2.1) yields a connection-preserving isomorphism of bundles over S^2 :

$$\psi^{-1}T'(\mathbb{C}P^2) \simeq \mathcal{L}(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}^\perp) \quad (2.4)$$

which we use to identify

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} &= \partial\psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad A'_\psi : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^\perp \\ \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial \bar{z}} &= \bar{\partial}\psi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad A''_\psi : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

We have then [5, Lemma 1.3]:

Lemma 2.1 *a) ψ is holomorphic (resp. antiholomorphic) if and only if $A''_\psi = 0$ (resp. $A'_\psi = 0$);*

b) ψ is harmonic if and only if $A'_\psi : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^\perp$ is holomorphic, i.e.

$$\nabla''_{\mathcal{L}(\psi, \psi^\perp)} A'_\psi = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

and this holds if and only if $A''_\psi : \underline{\psi} \rightarrow \underline{\psi}^\perp$ is antiholomorphic, i.e. $\nabla'_{\mathcal{L}(\psi, \psi^\perp)} A''_\psi = 0$.

Indeed, a) is immediate from the above isomorphism and b) follows from (2.2).

Now let $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a harmonic map. By a theorem of Koszul-Malgrange [24], we can interpret (2.5) as saying that A'_ψ is a holomorphic section of the holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}^\perp)$, see [5, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, provided ψ is not antiholomorphic (in which case $A'_\psi \equiv 0$), the zeros of $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} = A'_\psi$ form a finite set Σ' , and, in any local complex coordinate z centred on a point $x \in \Sigma'$, we can write

$$A'_\psi(z) = z^t \xi(z) \quad (2.6)$$

where ξ is a smooth section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\psi}, \underline{\psi}^\perp)$, non-zero at x , and t is a positive integer called the ∂' -ramification index of ψ at x .

For any $x \in M \setminus \Sigma'$, set $\underline{G}'(\psi)_x = \text{Im}(A'_\psi)_x \subset \underline{\psi}^\perp_x$. This defines a smooth subbundle of $\underline{\psi}^\perp$ over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma'$. By (2.6), it can be extended to a smooth subbundle $\underline{G}'(\psi) = \underline{\text{Im}} A'_\psi$ called the ∂' -Gauss bundle of ψ ; this corresponds to a smooth map $G'(\psi) : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ called the ∂' -Gauss transform of ψ . (If ψ is antiholomorphic, $\underline{G}'(\psi) = \underline{0}$ and does not define a map).

Similarly, if $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ is harmonic but not holomorphic, the set Σ'' of zeros of $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\bar{z}} = A''_\psi$ is finite and, in any local complex coordinate z centred on a point x of Σ'' , we can write $A''_\psi(z) = \bar{z}^t \cdot \xi(z)$ with ξ and t as above, t now being called the ∂'' -ramification index of ψ at x . This allows us to define a smooth subbundle $\underline{G}''(\psi) = \underline{\text{Im}} A''_\psi$ called the ∂'' -Gauss bundle and the corresponding smooth map $G''(\psi) : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ called the ∂'' -Gauss transform of ψ .

Then G' and G'' transform harmonic maps to harmonic maps and are inverse, precisely from [5, Prop. 2.3] we have

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\psi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ be harmonic. If ψ is not antiholomorphic then $G'(\psi)$ is harmonic and $G''(G'(\psi)) = \psi$. If ψ is not holomorphic then $G''(\psi)$ is harmonic and $G'(G''(\psi)) = \psi$.*

We now describe all harmonic maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$, following [13] and [5].

We first recall that all holomorphic or antiholomorphic maps are harmonic (we refer to those as \pm -holomorphic maps).

A map is called *full* if its image is not contained in a projective line $\mathbb{C}P^1$ of $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Note that a non-full harmonic map reduces to a harmonic map from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to itself, which is necessarily \pm -holomorphic.

Thus, a non- \pm -holomorphic harmonic map is necessarily full.

Following [13, Definition 5.5], a map $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ is called (*complex*) *isotropic* if

$$\left\langle \nabla'^\alpha \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}, \nabla''^\beta \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle \equiv 0 \quad (2.7)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Here, \langle , \rangle denotes the extension of the inner product on $\varphi^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^2$ to a Hermitian inner product on $\varphi^{-1}T^{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}P^2$ (here restricted to $\varphi^{-1}T'\mathbb{C}P^2$).

The case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in (2.7) is the condition of weak conformality.

In [13] it is shown that *any harmonic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is isotropic*. So in their description of harmonic isotropic maps from a surface to $\mathbb{C}P^2$, the word ‘isotropic’ can be omitted when the surface is the sphere.

Now, following [13] and [5], all non- \pm -holomorphic harmonic maps φ from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ occur in a triple (f, φ, g) where f is full and holomorphic and g is full and antiholomorphic, the associated bundles form an orthogonal decomposition

$$\underline{\mathbb{C}}^3 = \underline{f} \oplus \underline{\varphi} \oplus \underline{g},$$

and each map f, φ, g determines the other two by the formulae $\varphi = G'(f)$, $g = G'(\varphi)$, $f = G''(\varphi)$ and $\varphi = G''(g)$.

For a more explicit description of the construction $\varphi = G'(f)$, see [25, §2].

In particular, the maps A'_f and A'_φ which define $G'(f)$ and $G'(\varphi)$ can be included in the following diagram of ∂' -second fundamental forms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{f} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\varphi} & \longrightarrow & \underline{g} \\ & A'_f & & A'_\varphi & \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

We note that by definition of $\underline{\varphi}$ and \underline{g} , $A'_{f,g} = 0$ and $A'_{\varphi,f} = 0$. Furthermore, since g is antiholomorphic, $A'_g = 0$, i.e. $A'_{g,\varphi} = A'_{g,f} = 0$. This can be expressed by saying that the ∂' -second fundamental forms not shown in the diagram (2.8) are zero.

Likewise, we have a diagram showing the only non-zero ∂'' -second fundamental forms:

$$\underline{f} \xleftarrow{A''_{\varphi}} \underline{\varphi} \xleftarrow{A''_g} \underline{g}$$

In [25, Propositions 2.5 and 2.6], it is shown that the assignments $\varphi = G'(f)$, $g = G'(\varphi)$ with inverses $f = G''(\varphi)$, $\varphi = G''(g)$ define smooth bijections between:

- $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$, the space of full holomorphic maps f of degree k and total ramification index r ;
- $\text{Harm}_{d,E}$, the space of harmonic non- \pm -holomorphic maps of degree d and energy $4\pi E$;
- $\overline{\text{Hol}}_{k',r'}^*$, the space of antiholomorphic maps of degree k' and ramification index r' ; where

$$\begin{aligned} d &= k - r - 2, \\ E &= 3k - r - 2, \\ k' &= 2k - r - 2, \\ r' &= 3k - 2r - 6, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

with $k \geq 2$, $0 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{2}k - 3$.

The components of the space of harmonic maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ consist of (i) the spaces of \pm -holomorphic maps (not necessarily full) of degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, these have energy $4\pi E$ where $E = |d|$; (ii) the spaces $\text{Harm}_{d,E}$ for integers d and E where $E = 3|d| + 4 + 2r$ for some non-negative integer r . In [25], we showed that these components are smooth submanifolds of the space of all C^2 (or $C^{2,\alpha}$) maps from S^2 to CP^2 , of dimension $6E + 4$ in case (i) and $2E + 8$ in case (ii). Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.4 show that the tangent bundles are given precisely by the Jacobi fields. Hence

Corollary 2.3 *The nullity of the E -Jacobi operator of a harmonic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^2$ of energy $4\pi E$ is $6E + 4$ if the map is holomorphic or antiholomorphic, and $2E + 8$ otherwise.*

3 Proof of the main result

We shall now present the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3, deferring to Sections 4 and 5 the detailed proofs of the various steps.

First, we consider the case of holomorphic maps. Extending in a straightforward manner the results of [19], we show that any Jacobi field along a holomorphic map between Kähler

manifolds is a holomorphic vector field (Proposition 4.1) and that every holomorphic vector field along a holomorphic map from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is integrable by means of holomorphic maps (Proposition 4.2). Of course the corresponding statement applies to antiholomorphic maps. There remains to consider the case of non- \pm -holomorphic harmonic maps $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$, recall that these are necessarily full.

The idea of the proof is as follows. We use the map

$$G' : \text{Hol}_{k,r}^* \rightarrow \text{Harm}_{d,E}, \quad f \mapsto \varphi$$

and its inverse

$$G'' : \text{Harm}_{d,E} \rightarrow \text{Hol}_{k,r}^*, \quad \varphi \mapsto f.$$

Given a Jacobi field v along φ , we would like to assert that $dG''_\varphi(v) = u$ is a Jacobi field along the holomorphic map f , therefore integrable through a family f_t of holomorphic maps. Setting $\varphi_t = G'(f_t)$ would then provide a family of harmonic maps with $\left. \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = v$.

However, the map G'' is only defined on harmonic and isotropic maps, because its definition requires extension of sections through branch points.

So, since we don't know that v is tangent to $\text{Harm}_{d,E}$ (that is what we want to prove!), dG'' is not defined on v . An additional problem is that G' is not continuous on the connected components Hol_k of the space of holomorphic maps, so that the family $G'(f_t)$ may be discontinuous at $t = 0$.

To get around these problems, we work away from the branch points, so that we get explicit formulae for the constructions, and verify that we can extend these over the branch points.

So let $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a fixed harmonic non- \pm -holomorphic map, v a Jacobi field along φ and set $f = G''(\varphi)$, $g = G'(\varphi)$.

As in §2, write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'' &= \{x \in S^2 : (\partial'' \varphi)_x = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in S^2 : (\partial' f)_x = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

To verify that these two sets are indeed equal, note first that, as mentioned in Section 2, A'_{f,f^\perp} is minus the adjoint of $A''_{f^\perp,f}$, but taking into account the remarks following (2.8) this means that $A'_f : \underline{f} \rightarrow \underline{\varphi}$ is minus the adjoint of $A''_\varphi : \underline{\varphi} \rightarrow \underline{f}$. So the zero sets of these operators coincide, and are precisely the set Σ'' .

Likewise, write

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' &= \{x \in S^2 : (\partial' \varphi)_x = 0\} \\ &= \{x \in S^2 : (\partial'' g)_x = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set $\Sigma = \Sigma' \cup \Sigma''$. Note that Σ is a finite set in S^2 .

Starting from a given Jacobi field v , choose a smooth one-parameter variation φ_t of φ such that $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$. Note that the maps φ_t are not in general harmonic or isotropic for $t \neq 0$.

Let $x \in S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$. Since $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \neq 0$, there exists $\epsilon(x) > 0$ such that $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \neq 0$ for $|t| < \epsilon(x)$, so that $f_t(x) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \right\} = \text{Im}(A''_{\varphi_t})_x$ is well-defined, non-zero and smooth in (x, t) for $x \in S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, $|t| < \epsilon(x)$. Set

$$u(x) = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) \Big|_{t=0},$$

so that u is a smooth section of $f^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^2|_{S^2 \setminus \Sigma''}$. Let u' denote the $(1, 0)$ -part of u .

We shall prove successively:

Step 1: u' is a smooth section of $f^{-1}T'\mathbb{C}P^2 = \mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, which depends only on v and not on the choice of φ_t .

Step 2: u' is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$.

Step 3: u' extends to a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ on the whole of S^2 .

Step 4: For any smooth one-parameter family of maps f_t with $f_0 = f$ and $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u$, there exists $\epsilon'(x) > 0$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_t(x) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \right\} = \text{Im}(A'_{f_t})_x$ is well-defined and smooth for $x \in M \setminus \Sigma''$, $|t| < \epsilon'(x)$. Furthermore, $\frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ on $M \setminus \Sigma''$.

Step 5: If $f \in \text{Hol}^*_{k,r}$, then u is tangent to $\text{Hol}^*_{k,r}$.

Step 6: There is a smooth one-parameter family $f_t^{(2)}$ in $\text{Hol}^*_{k,r}$ ($|t| < \epsilon$) with $f_t^{(2)} = f$ and $\frac{\partial f_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u$. Therefore $\varphi_t^{(2)} = G'(f_t^{(2)})$ is a smooth variation of φ through harmonic maps with $\frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$, showing that v is integrable.

4 Jacobi fields along holomorphic maps

Proposition 4.1 *Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be a holomorphic map between Kähler manifolds, and v a Jacobi field along φ . Then v is holomorphic, i.e. $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}} v' = 0 \forall j$, where v' denotes the $(1, 0)$ -components of v , ∇ the connection on $\varphi^{-1}T'N$, and (z^j) are local complex coordinates on M .*

Proof. Straightforward computations show that for a holomorphic map φ and a variation φ_t such that $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} = v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E(\varphi_t) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} E''(\varphi_t) \\ &= \int_M g^{\bar{i}j} \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}} v', \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j}} v' \rangle dv_g \end{aligned}$$

(see, for example, [11, §8] for properties of E'').
Therefore $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^i}} v' = 0 \forall i$, and v is holomorphic.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ be a holomorphic map and let $v \in C^\infty(f^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^n)$ be a holomorphic vector field along f . Then f is integrable by a one-parameter family of holomorphic maps, i.e., there is a smooth one-parameter family of holomorphic maps $f_t : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ with $f_0 = f$ and $\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$.*

Proof. Consider a standard chart $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$ given by

$$(z^1, \dots, z^n) \mapsto [1, z^1, \dots, z^n].$$

Then the holomorphic map f has the form $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n)$ where $f^i = P^i/Q^i$ for coprime polynomials P^i and Q^i . In the same chart, $v = \sum_{j=1}^n v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$, for some meromorphic functions v^j .

We now show that each v^j can be written in the form $v^j = R^j/(Q^j)^2$ for some polynomial R^j . To see that, consider a second chart (w^1, \dots, w^n) , where $w^1 = 1/z^1$ and $w^j = z^j/z^1$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$, in other words, $[w^1, 1, w^2, \dots, w^n] = [1, z^1, \dots, z^n]$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \sum v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \\ &= \sum v^j \frac{\partial w^k}{\partial z^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^k} \\ &= -\frac{v^1}{(z^1)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that Q^1 has a zero at z_0 so that f^1 has a pole there.

In the w coordinates, $w^1 = \frac{1}{f^1}$ is therefore smooth at z_0 and so is $-\frac{v^1}{(f^1)^2} = -\frac{v^1(Q^1)^2}{(P^1)^2}$.
Thus $v^1(Q^1)^2$ must be smooth.

If f^1 has no pole at z_0 , then v^1 must be smooth there, and again $v^1(Q^1)^2$ is smooth.

The same reasoning applies at $z_0 = \infty$, and we see that v^1 can be at most as singular as $\frac{1}{(Q^1)^2}$. Thus $v^1 = \frac{R^1}{(Q^1)^2}$ for some polynomial R^1 .

Finally, we shall construct the variation f_t by choosing f_t of the form

$$f_t = [1, f_t^1, \dots, f_t^n]$$

where

$$f_t^j = \frac{P^j + tA^j}{Q^j + tB^j}$$

with A^j, B^j two polynomials.

Then

$$\left. \frac{\partial f_t^j}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{A^j Q^j - B^j P^j}{(Q^j)^2},$$

and this equals v if and only if $A^j Q^j - B^j P^j = R^j \forall j$. Since P^j and Q^j are coprime, these equations have solutions A^j, B^j by the Euclidean algorithm in the ring of polynomials.

Note

- (1) As already mentioned, this is a direct extension of a result of [19], who considered only maps from S^2 to S^2 .
- (2) We do not require f to be full in this result.

5 Proof of steps 1 to 6

Step 1 u' is a smooth section of $f^{-1}T'\mathbb{C}P^2 = \mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, which depends only on v and not on the choice of φ_t .

Proof. This follows from the fact that over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, f_t is obtained by an explicit formula involving only φ_t and $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}$. Indeed, from (2.3), it follows that, for any smooth nowhere-zero family of sections Φ_t of $\underline{\varphi}_t$, the subbundle \underline{f}_t is spanned by $A''(\Phi_t) = \partial''\Phi_t - \langle \partial''\Phi_t, \Phi_t \rangle \Phi_t / \langle \Phi_t, \Phi_t \rangle$. Taking a derivative with respect to t and setting $t = 0$ gives an explicit formula for u involving only φ , $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}}$, v and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}}$.

Step 2 u' is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ over $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$.

Proof. As we have already mentioned, φ_t is in general neither harmonic nor isotropic for $t \neq 0$. However, by Remark 1.1, it is harmonic ‘up to first order in t ’ in the sense that

$$\tau(\varphi_0) = 0,$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau(\varphi_t) \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

We shall express this by $\tau(\varphi_t) = o(t)$. We now show that φ_t is also isotropic up to first order in t .

Lemma 5.1 *Let $\varphi_t : M^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ be a one-parameter family of smooth maps from a Riemann surface with $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ harmonic and $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ a Jacobi field along φ . Then, on any complex chart (U, z) ,*

$$(i) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t);$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } M^2 = S^2, \text{ then } \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t);$$

(iii) *for arbitrary M^2 , if (ii) holds, then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t);$$

(iv) *if $M^2 = S^2$, then*

$$\left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t).$$

Remark 5.2 *It is further shown in [36] that, when $M^2 = S^2$,*

$$\left\langle \nabla'^\alpha \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla''^\beta \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = o(t) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \geq 0;$$

in fact, this is shown for harmonic maps from S^2 to $\mathbb{C}P^n$ for any n .

Proof [of lemma (5.1)]. (i) Since $\nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z} = \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}$ is essentially the $(1, 0)$ part $\tau'(\varphi_t)$ of the tension field of φ_t , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \tau'(\varphi_t), \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \tau'(\varphi_t) \right\rangle$$

which is $o(t)$ since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tau(\varphi_t) \Big|_{t=0} = -J_\varphi(v) = 0$.

(ii) Hence $\left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle dz^2$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle \Big|_{t=0} dz^2$ are well-defined holomorphic quadratic differentials on S^2 , so they must vanish identically.

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \nabla'' \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \tau'(\varphi_t) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle R \left(\frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla' \tau'(\varphi_t), \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \tau'(\varphi_t) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where R denotes the curvature of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and

$$\frac{\partial^c \varphi}{\partial z} = d^{\mathbb{C}} \varphi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} + \frac{\overline{\partial \varphi}}{\partial \bar{z}} \in T' \mathbb{C}P^2 \oplus T'' \mathbb{C}P^2 = T^{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}P^2.$$

Using the explicit formula for the curvature of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (see [23, p. 166]), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle R \left(\frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle &= 2 \left\langle \frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}, \frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_t}}{\partial z} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle \\ &= -2 \left\langle \frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\overline{\partial \varphi_t}}{\partial z} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle - 2i \left\langle \frac{\partial^c \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}, J \frac{\overline{\partial^c \varphi_t}}{\partial z} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these terms contains the factor $\left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$ and is therefore $o(t)$. All terms in the expression for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$ are therefore $o(t)$.

That $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$ is $o(t)$ can be proven similarly.

(iv) $\left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle dz^3$ and $\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle \right|_{t=0} dz^3$ are well-defined holomorphic cubic differentials on S^2 , and are therefore zero. Hence $\left\langle \nabla' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$ is $o(t)$. Similarly, $\left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$ is $o(t)$.

Proof [of the end of step 2]. Given φ_t and $v = \left. \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0}$ along φ_0 , we associate to any family of sections Φ_t of the bundles $\underline{\varphi}_t$ the vector field

$$V = \pi_{\varphi^\perp} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi_t}{\partial t} \right) \Big|_{t=0},$$

so that

$$V = v'(\Phi).$$

(Here, using the isomorphism (2.4), v' is seen as a section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{\varphi}, \underline{\varphi}^\perp)$, so that $v'(\Phi)$ is a section of $\underline{\varphi}^\perp$.)

Let $x \in S^2 \setminus \Sigma$. Since $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}(x) \neq 0$ for $t = 0$, there exists $\epsilon(x) > 0$ such that those functions are non-zero for $|t| < \epsilon(x)$, so that

$$f_t(x) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}}(x) \right\} = \text{Im} (A''_{\varphi_t})_x \quad \text{and} \quad g_t(x) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}(x) \right\} = \text{Im} (A'_{\varphi_t})_x$$

are well-defined, non-zero and smooth in (x, t) for $x \in S^2 \setminus \Sigma$, $|t| < \epsilon(x)$. We set $u(x) = \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}(x) \Big|_{t=0}$, so that u is a smooth section of $f^{-1}T\mathbb{C}P^2|_{S^2 \setminus \Sigma}$. We denote by u' its $(1, 0)$ -part.

We shall show that u' is holomorphic in a neighbourhood A of any point $x \in S^2 \setminus \Sigma$.

To do this, choose on A a nowhere zero section F_t of \underline{f}_t , with $F = F_0$ holomorphic. We set

$$U = \pi_{\underline{f}^\perp} \left(\frac{\partial F_t}{\partial t} \right) \Big|_{t=0},$$

so that $U = u'(F)$.

Likewise, we denote by Φ_t a nowhere zero section of $\underline{\varphi}_t$ over A and by G_t a nowhere zero section of \underline{g}_t over A .

Then

$$(\nabla''_{\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)} u')(F) = \nabla''_{\underline{f}^\perp} U - u'(\nabla''_{\underline{f}} F) = \nabla''_{\underline{f}^\perp} U,$$

so that u' is holomorphic if and only if U is.

Now U is a section of $\underline{f}^\perp = \underline{\varphi} \oplus \underline{g}$, so we can decompose it accordingly:

$$U = U_\varphi + U_g.$$

We shall show that both components are holomorphic.

By construction of φ_t , we have $\langle F_t, \Phi_t \rangle = 0$, taking the derivative with respect to \bar{z} gives

$$\langle \partial' F_t, \Phi_t \rangle + \langle F_t, \partial' \Phi_t \rangle = 0.$$

Now $\langle F_t, \partial' \Phi_t \rangle$ is a non-zero multiple of $\langle A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t), \partial' \Phi_t \rangle$ which itself equals $\langle A'_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t), A'_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t) \rangle$ since, by definition of A' , $\partial' \Phi_t = A'_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t) +$ a multiple of Φ_t .

This last expression is a multiple of the conjugate of

$$\langle A'_{\varphi_t}, A''_{\varphi_t} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$$

which is $o(t)$ by Lemma 5.1.

Hence $\langle \partial' F_t, \Phi_t \rangle = o(t)$. Taking the derivative with respect to t and setting $t = 0$ yields

$$\langle \partial' U, \Phi \rangle + \langle \partial' F, V \rangle = 0,$$

so that $\langle \partial' U, \Phi \rangle = 0$, since F is holomorphic.

Hence U_φ is holomorphic.

Next, note that $\langle \partial'' F_t, G_t \rangle$ is a multiple of $\langle \partial'' F_t, A'_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t) \rangle$. Since F_t is a multiple of $A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t)$ and $\partial'' F_t$ a multiple of $A''_{f_t} A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t)$ (modulo a section of \underline{f}_t) we see that $\langle \partial'' F_t, G_t \rangle$ is a multiple of $\langle A''_{f_t} A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t), A'_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t) \rangle$. Up to complex conjugation, this is a multiple of

$$\langle A'_{\varphi_t}, A''_{f_t} \circ A''_{\varphi_t} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial z}, \nabla'' \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle$$

which is $o(t)$ by Lemma 5.1.

So $\langle \partial'' F_t, G_t \rangle = o(t)$. Differentiating as before yields $\langle \partial'' U, G \rangle = 0$ and we conclude that U_g is holomorphic.

We conclude that $U = U_\varphi + U_g$ is holomorphic on $S^2 \setminus (\Sigma' \cup \Sigma'')$, and since it is smooth on $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, it is holomorphic on that larger set.

Step 3 u' extends to a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)$ on the whole of S^2 .

Proof. Consider a point $x_0 \in \Sigma''$, and a small neighbourhood A of x_0 with $A \cap \Sigma'' = \{x_0\}$.

On A , consider $v, \varphi_t, f_t, u, U, \Phi_t$ and F_t as above. Since u and F are holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{L}(\underline{f}, \underline{f}^\perp)|_A$ and $\underline{f}|_A$ respectively, U is a holomorphic section of \underline{f}^\perp on $A \setminus \Sigma$. Again, decompose $U = U_\varphi + U_g \in C^\infty(\underline{\varphi}) + C^\infty(\underline{g})$.

We shall prove that both U_φ and U_g extend to smooth sections across x_0 .

(a) Since by construction $\underline{f}_t \perp \underline{\varphi}_t$, we have $\langle F_t, \Phi_t \rangle = 0$, and taking the derivative,

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial t}, \Phi_t \right\rangle + \left\langle F_t, \frac{\partial \Phi_t}{\partial t} \right\rangle = 0.$$

Putting $t = 0$ and using the fact that $\Phi \in C^\infty(\underline{f}^\perp)$ and $F \in C^\infty(\underline{\varphi}^\perp)$, we get

$$\left\langle \pi_{\underline{f}^\perp} \frac{\partial F_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}, \Phi \right\rangle + \left\langle F, \pi_{\underline{\varphi}^\perp} \frac{\partial \Phi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \right\rangle = 0,$$

i.e.

$$\langle U, \Phi \rangle + \langle F, V \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that

$$U_\varphi = -\frac{\langle F, V \rangle}{|\Phi|^2} \Phi,$$

which extends smoothly across x_0 .

(b) Since U is holomorphic on $A \setminus \{x_0\}$, we have, on that domain,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nabla''_{f^\perp}(U) = \pi_{f^\perp}(\partial'' U) \\ &= \pi_{f^\perp}(\partial'' U_\varphi) + \pi_{f^\perp}(\partial'' U_g) \\ &= \nabla''_\varphi U_\varphi + A''_{\varphi, g} U_\varphi + \nabla''_g U_g + A''_{g, \varphi} U_g. \end{aligned}$$

However, as noted in Section 2, $A''_{\varphi,g} = 0$, so that, on taking components in \underline{g} and $\underline{\varphi}$, the above equation yields the pair of equations

$$\nabla''_g U_g = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$A''_{g,\varphi} U_g = -\nabla''_{\varphi} U_{\varphi}. \quad (5.2)$$

Equation (5.1) tells us that U_g is a holomorphic section of \underline{g} on $A \setminus \{x_0\}$. Next, since g is harmonic, Lemma 2.1 implies that $A''_{g,\varphi} = A''_{g,g^\perp}$ is antiholomorphic.

Therefore, with respect to a complex coordinate z centred on x_0 , $A''_{g,\varphi}$ can be written locally in the form \bar{z}^s times an antiholomorphic section which is nonzero at x_0 , and $\bar{z}^s \cdot U_g$ extends smoothly across x_0 since the right hand side of (5.2) is smooth on A .

Now, U_g could at worst have a pole or an isolated essential singularity at x_0 . But this is not possible with $\bar{z}^s \cdot U_g$ smooth, hence U_g has a removable singularity at x_0 and so extends to a smooth section on A .

Putting a) and b) together, $U = U_{\varphi} + U_g$ and therefore u' extend smoothly over x_0 , yielding holomorphic sections on the whole of S^2 .

Step 4 For any smooth one-parameter family of maps f_t with $f_0 = f$ and $\left. \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = u$,

there exists $\epsilon'(x) > 0$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_t(x) = \text{span} \left\{ \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial z} \right\} = \text{Im}(A'_{f_t})_x$ is well-defined and smooth for $x \in M \setminus \Sigma''$, $|t| < \epsilon'(x)$. Furthermore, $\left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = v$ on $M \setminus \Sigma''$.

Proof. The construction of $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ from f_t is similar to that of f_t from φ_t , and $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ is likewise well-defined and smooth. To compute $\left. \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0}$, start again with φ_t . We have $\text{Im} A''_{\varphi_t} = \underline{f}_t$ on $M \setminus \Sigma''$. Since φ_0 is harmonic and v is a Jacobi field, we have by Lemma 2.1(b)

$$\nabla' A''_{\varphi_t} \equiv \nabla'_{\mathcal{L}(\varphi_t, \varphi_t^\perp)} A''_{\varphi_t} = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} = o(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'_{\varphi_t^\perp} (A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t)) &= \left(\nabla'_{\mathcal{L}(\varphi_t, \varphi_t^\perp)} A''_{\varphi_t} \right) (\Phi_t) + A''_{\varphi_t} (\nabla'_{\varphi_t} \Phi_t) \\ &= o(t) \quad \text{mod } \underline{f}_t, \end{aligned}$$

since A''_{φ_t} has image in \underline{f}_t by construction. Thus,

$$A'_{f_t} A''_{\varphi_t}(\Phi_t) = o(t) \quad \text{mod } \underline{\varphi}_t,$$

hence $G'_{f_t} \circ G''_{\varphi_t}(\varphi_t) = \varphi_t + o(t)$.

Taking the derivative with respect to t , we see that u — tangent to $G''_{\varphi_t}(\phi_t)$ at $t = 0$ — is mapped to v by the directional derivative of G'_f , i.e. $dG'_f(u) = v$ on $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$.

Step 5 If $f \in \text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$, then u is tangent to $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$.

Proof. u is a holomorphic vector field along the holomorphic map f . By Proposition (4.2), there exists a family of holomorphic maps $f_t^{(1)}$ such that $\frac{\partial f_t^{(1)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u$. When f is full and $f \in \text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$, for t small enough f_t remains full and in the component Hol_k (but not in $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$ in general). Set $\varphi_t^{(1)} = G'(f_t^{(1)})$. Then $\varphi_t^{(1)}$ is harmonic for each t and along $t = 0$ it is smooth on $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$, in the (x, t) variables.

By step 4, $\frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(1)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ on $M \setminus \Sigma''$.

Since Σ'' is a finite set, $E'(\varphi_t^{(1)}) = E'(\varphi_t^{(1)}; M \setminus \Sigma'')$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E'(\varphi_t^{(1)}) \Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{M \setminus \Sigma''} \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(1)}}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(1)}}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\rangle i dz \wedge d\bar{z} \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{M \setminus \Sigma''} \left\{ \left\langle \nabla' v', \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial z} \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial \varphi^{(1)}}{\partial z}, \nabla' v' \right\rangle \right\} i dz \wedge d\bar{z} \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrand is smooth this integral can be taken over M and integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} E'(\varphi_t^{(1)}) \Big|_{t=0} &= - \int_M \left\{ \langle v', \tau'(\varphi_0^{(1)}) \rangle + \langle \tau'(\varphi_0^{(1)}), v' \rangle \right\} i dz \wedge d\bar{z} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\frac{d}{dt} E''(\varphi_t^{(1)}) \Big|_{t=0} = 0$.

Since $E(\psi) = E'(\psi) + E''(\psi)$ and $4\pi d(\psi) = E'(\psi) - E''(\psi)$, we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(\varphi_t^{(1)}) \Big|_{t=0} = 0$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} d(\varphi_t^{(1)}) \Big|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where $d(\varphi_t^{(1)})$ denotes the degree of the map $\varphi_t : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$.

Using formulae (2.9), we see that $\frac{d}{dt} k(f_t^{(1)}) = 0$ and $\frac{d}{dt} r(f_t^{(1)}) = 0$, so that u is tangent to $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$.

Step 6 There is a smooth one-parameter family $f_t^{(2)}$ in $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$ ($|t| < \epsilon$) with $f_0^{(2)} = f$, $\frac{\partial f_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = u$, and therefore $\varphi_t^{(2)} = G'(f_t^{(2)})$ is a smooth variation of φ with $\frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$.

Proof. Since $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$ is a smooth submanifold of Hol_k^* [8, Theorem 1.4], we can project the family $f_t^{(1)}$ to a family $f_t^{(2)}$ in $\text{Hol}_{k,r}^*$ which is also tangent to u .

Then, by [25], $\varphi_t^{(2)}$ is a smooth family of harmonic maps, and by step 4, $\frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ on $S^2 \setminus \Sigma''$.

By continuity of both sides, $\frac{\partial \varphi_t^{(2)}}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = v$ on S^2 , and we are done.

6 Energy and area

Any harmonic map $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow N$ is weakly conformal, and hence is precisely the same as a minimal branched immersion of S^2 in N in the sense of [18]. The question of integrability of Jacobi fields can therefore be asked in the setting of the first and second variation of the *area*, rather than energy.

As proven by N. Ejiri and M. Micalef (private communication), for any harmonic map $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow N$, the map $v \mapsto$ the normal component of v is a surjective linear map from the space of E -Jacobi fields (i.e. Jacobi fields for the energy) to the space of A -Jacobi fields, with kernel the tangential conformal fields. Our result translates immediately to show that *each A -Jacobi field along a minimal branched immersion $\varphi : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ is integrable.*

In the case of immersions, the space of tangential conformal fields is 6-dimensional, so that Corollary 2.3 implies that the A -nullity of φ , i.e. the dimension of the space of A -Jacobi fields, for a non- \pm -holomorphic harmonic immersion of S^2 in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with energy $4\pi E$ is $2E + 2$. This was proved in the framework of minimal surfaces by S. Montiel and F. Urbano [27, Corollary 8], using a different method that does not seem to extend easily to branched immersions.

7 Local integrability

The question treated above is global: to find a variation of a harmonic map generating a Jacobi field on the whole manifold. The analogous *local* question always has the following positive answer:

Proposition 7.1 *Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ be a harmonic map between Riemannian manifolds. For each $x \in M$, there is a neighbourhood U_x of x such that the restriction of any Jacobi field v to U_x is integrable.*

Proof. This can be deduced from the general results of [26], but we show here how it follows from familiar results in the theory of harmonic maps.

We restrict φ and v to a closed ball D such that $\varphi(D)$ is contained in a ball whose radius is half that of a ‘geodesically small ball’ of N as defined in [21]. Recall that a geodesically small ball is disjoint from the cut locus of its centre and has radius $< \pi/2\sqrt{B_N}$, where the sectional curvature of $N \leq B_N$ with $B_N > 0$.

Consider then a smooth variation φ_t of $\varphi|_D$ such that $\frac{\partial\varphi_t}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = v$. For t small enough, $\varphi_t(D)$ remains in the geodesically small ball.

Consider for each t the Dirichlet problem of finding $\psi_t : D \rightarrow N$ with ψ_t harmonic and $\psi_t|_{\partial D} = \varphi_t|_{\partial D}$. By [21], it has a solution, which is unique, and has non-degenerate Hessian by [22]. By [10, (4.4)], ψ_t depends smoothly on t , so that $\frac{\partial\psi_t}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}$ defines a Jacobi field along φ equal to v along ∂D . By [22], this Jacobi field must coincide with v , and so v is integrable.

References

- [1] D. Adams and L. Simon, Rates of asymptotic convergence near isolated singularities of geometric extrema, *Indiana J. of Math.* **37** (1988), 225–254.
- [2] J. Bolton and L.M. Woodward, Linearly full harmonic 2-spheres in S^4 of area 20π . *Internat. J. Math.* (to appear).
- [3] J. Bolton and L.M. Woodward, On the space of harmonic 2-spheres in S^4 . University of Durham preprint, 2001.
- [4] D. Burns, Harmonic maps from $\mathbb{C}P^1$ to $\mathbb{C}P^n$, *Harmonic maps (Proceedings New Orleans 1980)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 949 (Springer, Berlin, 1982), pp.48–56.
- [5] F. E. Burstall and J.C. Wood, The construction of harmonic maps into complex Grassmannians, *J. Differential Geom.* **23** (1986), 255–297.
- [6] E. Calabi, Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres, *J. Differential Geom.* **1**(1967), 111–125.
- [7] E. Calabi, Quelques applications de l’analyse complexe aux surfaces d’aire minima, *Topics in complex manifolds* (Univ. de Montréal, 1967), pp.59–81.
- [8] T.A. Crawford, The space of harmonic maps from the 2-sphere to the complex projective plane, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **40** (1997), 285–295.
- [9] A.M. Din and W.J. Zakrzewski, General classical solutions in the CP^{n-1} -model, *Nuclear Phys. B* **174** (1980), 397–406.
- [10] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Deformations of metrics and associated harmonic maps, *Geometry and Analysis, Patodi Memorial Volume* (Tata Inst., 1981), pp.33–45.
- [11] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Selected topics in harmonic maps, C.B.M.S. Regional Conf. Series 50 (Amer. Math. Soc., 1983).

- [12] J. Eells and L. Lemaire, Another report on harmonic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1988), 385–524.
- [13] J. Eells and J.C. Wood, Harmonic maps from surfaces to complex projective spaces, *Adv. in Math.* **49** (1983), 217–263.
- [14] M. Giaquinta and E. Guisti, On the regularity of the minima of certain quadratic functionals, *Acta Math.* **148** (1982), 31–46.
- [15] M. Giaquinta and E. Guisti, The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **11** (1984), 45–55.
- [16] V. Glaser and R. Stora, Regular solutions of the CP^n models and further generalizations, CERN preprint (1980).
- [17] M.A. Guest and Y. Ohnita, Group actions and deformations for harmonic maps, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **45** (1993), 671–704.
- [18] R.D. Gulliver, R. Osserman and H.L. Royden, A theory of branched immersions of surfaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **95** (1973), 750–812.
- [19] R. Gulliver and B. White, The rate of convergence of a harmonic map at a singular point, *Math. Ann.* **283** (1989), 539–549.
- [20] R. M. Hardt, Singularities of harmonic maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** (1997), 15–34.
- [21] H. Hildebrandt, H. Kaul and K.-O. Widman, An existence theorem for harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, *Acta Math.* **138** (1977), 1–16.
- [22] W. Jäger and H. Kaul, Uniqueness and stability of harmonic maps, and their Jacobi fields, *Manuscripta Math.* **28** (1979), 262–291.
- [23] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry, Vol. 2* (Interscience, New York, 1969), (reprinted John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York 1996).
- [24] J.L. Koszul et B. Malgrange, Sur certaines structures fibrées complexes, *Arch. Math.* **9** (1958) 102–109.
- [25] L. Lemaire and J.C. Wood, On the space of harmonic 2-spheres in CP^2 , *Internat. J. of Math.* **7** (1996), 211–225.
- [26] D.S.P. Leung, On the integrability of Jacobi fields on minimal surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **220** (1976), 185–194.

- [27] S. Montiel and F. Urbano, Second variation of superminimal surfaces into self-dual Einstein four-manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 2253–2269.
- [28] M. Mukai, The deformation of harmonic maps given by the Clifford tori, *Kodai Math. J.* **20** (1997), 252–268.
- [29] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck, A regularity theory for harmonic maps, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 307–335 and **18** (1983), 329.
- [30] L. Simon, Asymptotics for a class of non-linear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems, *Ann. of Math.* **118** (1983), 525–571.
- [31] L. Simon, Isolated singularities of extrema of geometric variational problems. *Harmonic mappings and minimal immersions (Montecatini, 1984)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1161**, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp.206–277.
- [32] L. Simon, Cylindrical tangent cones and the singular set of minimal submanifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **38** (1993), 585–652.
- [33] L. Simon, Rectifiability of the singular set of energy minimizing maps. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **3** (1995), 1–65.
- [34] L. Simon, *Theorems on regularity and singularity of energy minimizing maps*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics ETH Zurich (Birkhauser 1996).
- [35] T. Sunada, Rigidity of certain harmonic mappings, *Invent. Math.* **51** (1979) 297–307.
- [36] J. C. Wood, Jacobi fields along harmonic maps. *Proceedings of the 9th MSJ-IRI, Tokyo 2000*.
- [37] W. Ziller, The free loop space of globally symmetric spaces, *Invent. Math.* **41** (1977), 1–22.

Département de Mathématique
 Université Libre de Bruxelles
 CP 218 Campus Plaine
 Bd du Triomphe
 B-1050-Bruxelles, Belgium
 e-mail: llemaire@ulb.ac.be

School of Mathematics
 University of Leeds
 Leeds LS2 9JT
 G.B.

e-mail: j.c.wood@leeds.ac.uk