

# A new theorem of desingularization over fields of characteristic zero.

S. Encinas      and      O. Villamayor  
 sencinas@mafiuva.es      villamayor@uam.es

## Abstract

We present a proof of embedded desingularization for closed subschemes which does not use the Hilbert-Samuel function and therefore avoids Hironaka's notion of normality. First we define a procedure for principalization of ideals (i. e. a procedure to make the ideal invertible), and then we show that desingularization of a closed subscheme  $X$  is achieved by using the procedure of principalization for the ideal  $I(X)$  associated to the embedded scheme  $X$ .

## Introduction.

Hironaka proved desingularization of a reduced subscheme  $X$ , embedded in a smooth variety  $W_0$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero.

His theorem is existential [H1] (see also [H2]). Namely, there is no way to extract an algorithm from his work in order to achieve desingularization. But for the sake of applications it is sometimes useful to know how to desingularize rather than just to know that a desingularization exists.

More recently, constructive proofs have appeared in [V1], [V2], [BM] and [EV1]. They provide a general algorithm of desingularization which indicates where to blow-up in order to eliminate the singularities in a step by step procedure. The idea is to define invariants of singular points so that these invariants improve when blowing up the set of worst points. It follows that embedded desingularization will be achieved by repeatedly blowing up the set of worst points at each step.

All algorithmic procedures mentioned above make use, as Hironaka does in his original work, of the Hilbert-Samuel function. Namely the invariant attached to a singular point consists of the full Hilbert-Samuel function at the point, together with other invariants.

The use of this invariant forces Hironaka to apply, in a step by step procedure, the notion of strict transforms of the ideal defining the embedded scheme.

The notion of strict transform of an ideal is quite complicated. To deal with this Hironaka introduces a suitable choice of the generators of the ideal, namely a standard basis, which, in terms, requires division algorithm. A standard basis undergoes a simple law of transformation, and he shows that desingularization reduces to a suitable form of simplification of a hypersurface.

But Hironaka's reduction of desingularization to the hypersurface case has the disadvantage that standard bases are to be changed along the desingularization process, see [H2] and also [D] and [G2].

In this work we show that embedded desingularization can be achieved in a simpler way, where the Hilbert Samuel functions are replaced by a much simpler invariant and avoiding the use of strict transforms of ideals. Instead of taking a standard basis we take any set of generators of an ideal, and we don't change these generators in the procedure of desingularization.

This provides a very simple, direct, and conceptually clearer proof of embedded desingularization.

We also show that this simplified constructive proof is equivariant; namely if a group acts on a singular scheme then the action can be naturally lifted to the desingularization provided by this algorithm.

This new procedure of embedded desingularization is a straightforward corollary of a simpler result on resolution of the so-called basic objects. The concept of basic object is related to Hironaka's idealistic exponents, but is different and simpler.

More precisely, the present proof is based on a careful study of local properties of an algorithmic resolution process for basic objects discussed in [EV1] and [EV2], in fact we make use of this algorithm for basic objects. In those articles, an algorithm of desingularization is defined by a suitable application of the algorithm of resolution of basic objects. In this article we present a different algorithm of desingularization of schemes, but also making use of the same algorithm of resolution of basic objects. But let us remark that, for hypersurfaces, the algorithm described in [EV2] is really applicable: it led recently to a computer program implementation by Bodnar and Schicho available on the web [BS1] and [BS2]. We show now that this implemented algorithm, as it stands, also applies for embedded desingularization of any scheme, even if not a hypersurface.

This new proof has the advantage that it unifies the treatment of desingularization with that of principalization of ideals; and hence with domination of projective birational models.

## 1 Embedded desingularization.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that  $(W; E)$  is a pair, if  $W$  is a pure dimensional scheme, smooth over a field  $k$  of characteristic zero, and  $E = fH_1; \dots; H_r g$  is a set of smooth hypersurfaces in  $W$  with only normal crossings (i.e. that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i$  has normal crossings).

**1.2. Transformation of pairs.** A regular closed subscheme  $Y \subset W$  is said to be permissible for the pair  $(W; E)$  if  $Y$  has normal crossings with  $E$  (i.e. with  $\bigcap_{i=1}^r H_i$ ).

We define a transformation of the pair with permissible center  $Y$ , say:

$$(W; E) \rightarrow (W_1; E_1)$$

by blowing up  $Y$ . Here we set  $E_1 = fH_1^0; \dots; H_r^0; H_{r+1}^0 g$ , where  $H_i^0$  denotes the strict transform of  $H_i$ , and  $H_{r+1}^0 = \pi^{-1}(Y)$  the exceptional hypersurface in  $W_1$ . One can check that  $W_1$  is smooth and that  $E_1$  has normal crossings.

We will also consider sequences of transformations of pairs, with centers  $Y_i, i = 0; 1; \dots; k-1$ :

$$(W_0; E_0) \rightarrow (W_1; E_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (W_k; E_k) \quad (1.2.1)$$

**1.3.** We say that an isomorphism  $\pi: W_0 \rightarrow W_1$  acts on the pair  $(W_0; E_0)$  if  $\pi(H) = H$  for any  $H \in E_0$ .

A group  $G$  acts on  $(W_0; E_0)$  if any  $\pi \in G$  acts on the pair.



It can be checked that if  $\gamma$  acts on  $(W_0; E_0)$  and  $\gamma^\#(J_0) = J_0$ , then  $\gamma$  acts on the basic object  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$ .

**Definition 1.8.** A function  $h : F \rightarrow I$ , with  $F \subset W$  closed and  $(I; \leq)$  totally ordered, is said to be upper-semi-continuous (u.s.c.) if  $h$  takes only finitely many values and  $f \in F \Rightarrow h(f) \in \text{supp } h$  is a closed set for any  $f \in F$ . We denote by  $\max h$  the maximum value achieved by  $h$ , and set  $\underline{\max} h = \inf_{f \in F} h(f) = \min h$ .

Given a basic object  $(W; (J; b); E)$ , we say that an u.s.c. function  $h : \text{Sing}(J; b) \rightarrow I$  is equivariant if for any  $\gamma$  acting on the basic object, then

$$h(\gamma(f)) = h(f) \quad \forall f \in \text{Sing}(J; b)$$

Note that if  $h$  is equivariant, then any  $\gamma$  acting on the basic object also acts on the closed set  $\underline{\max} h$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $d$  be a non-negative integer. An algorithm of resolution for  $d$ -dimensional basic objects is the following:

A A totally ordered set  $(I_d; \leq)$ .

B For each basic object  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$  with  $d = \dim W_0$ :

i An equivariant function  $f_0^d : \text{Sing}(J_0; b) \rightarrow I_d$  is provided, and it is such that  $\underline{\max} f_0^d \subset \text{Sing}(J_0; b)$  is permissible for  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$ .

Suppose that there is an equivariant sequence with centers  $Y_i \subset \text{Sing}(J_i; b)$ ,  $i = 0; \dots; r-1$ :

$$(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0) \xrightarrow{Y_0} (W_1; (J_1; b); E_1) \xrightarrow{Y_1} \dots \xrightarrow{Y_{r-1}} (W_r; (J_r; b); E_r) \quad (1.9.1)$$

together with equivariant functions  $f_i^d : \text{Sing}(J_i; b) \rightarrow I_d$ ,  $i = 0; \dots; r-1$ , so that  $Y_i = \underline{\max} f_i^d$ . Then:

ii If  $\text{Sing}(J_r; b) \notin \Sigma$ , there is an equivariant function  $f_r^d : \text{Sing}(J_r; b) \rightarrow I_d$  and it is such that  $\underline{\max} f_r^d$  is permissible for  $(W_r; (J_r; b); E_r)$ .

Note that B (i) asserts that the setting of 1.9.1 holds for  $r = 1$ , whereas B (ii) says that whenever  $\text{Sing}(J_r; b) \notin \Sigma$ ; there is an equivariant enlargement of 1.9.1 with center  $Y_r = \underline{\max} f_r^d$ .

C For some index  $r$ , depending on the basic object  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$ , the equivariant sequence constructed in B is a resolution (i.e.  $\text{Sing}(J_r; b) = \emptyset$ ).

D The following properties hold:

p1 If  $f \in \text{Sing}(J_i; b)$ ,  $i = 0; \dots; r-1$ , and if  $f \notin Y_i$  then  $f_i^d(f) = f_{i+1}^d(f)$  via the natural identification of the point  $f$  with a point  $f$  of  $\text{Sing}(J_{i+1}; b)$ .

p2 The resolution is obtained by transformations with centers  $\underline{\max} f_i^d$ , for  $i = 0; \dots; r-1$ , and

$$\max f_0^d > \max f_1^d > \dots > \max f_r^d$$

p3 If  $J_0$  is the ideal of a regular pure dimensional subvariety  $X_0$ ,  $E_0 = \emptyset$ ; and  $b = 1$ , then the function  $f_0^d$  is constant.

p4 For any  $i = 0, \dots, r-1$ , the closed set  $\text{Max } f_i^d$  is smooth, equidimensional and its dimension is determined by the value  $\text{Max } f_i^d$ .

Theorem 1.10. There is an algorithm of resolution of basic objects, as defined in 1.9, with the properties stated in D.

Proof. See [EV1, Theorem 6.13] or [EV2, Theorem 7.13].  $\square$

1.11. Proof of theorem 1.4.

Desingularization will be achieved after a sequence of monoidal transformations. In fact, there is a sequence of transformations of pairs  $(W_i; E_i)$  in the desingularization of theorem 1.4. The sets of hypersurfaces in  $E_i$  are, essentially, the exceptional hypersurfaces that will arise. So assume that  $E_0$  is empty.

Apply now 1.10, and let

$$(W_0; E_0) \quad (W_1; E_1) \quad \dots \quad (W_r; E_r)$$

be the sequence of transformations of pairs defined by the resolution (1.9.1) of the basic object  $(W_0; (J_0; 1); E_0)$ , with  $J_0 = I(X_0)$  and  $E_0 = \emptyset$ . Note that by 1.9 the resolution is defined by functions  $f_j^d$  and we have that  $\text{Sing}(J_r; 1) = \emptyset$ , that is  $J_r = \mathcal{O}_{W_r}$ .

By property p3 of 1.9 the function  $f_0^d$  is constant, say equal to  $c$ , along the non-empty open set  $\text{Reg}(X_0)$ . By p1 and p2 we see that there must be a unique index  $r^0 \leq r-1$  such that  $\text{Max } f_{r^0}^d = c$ . Applying once again p1 we see that the strict transform  $X_{r^0}$  of  $X_0$  at  $W_{r^0}$  defines an isomorphism over points of  $\text{Reg}(X_0)$ , and  $X_{r^0}$  must be included in the closed set  $\text{Max } f_{r^0}^d$ . In fact from properties p1 and p2, it follows that  $W_0 \cap \text{Sing}(X_0) = W_{r^0} \cap E_{r^0}$ , and we have 1.4 (i).

Note that the centers provided by the algorithm of resolution have normal crossings with hypersurfaces in  $E_{r^0}$ . Finally property p4 asserts that  $X_{r^0}$  must be a union of connected components of  $\text{Max } f_{r^0}^d$ . Hence  $X_{r^0}$  is smooth and has only normal crossing with  $E_{r^0}$ . This shows that the first  $r^0$  steps define our equivariant embedded desingularization.

Now we remark that in property p4 we only need that the center  $\text{Max } f_i$  be smooth. Note we have seen that  $W_0 \cap \text{Sing}(X_0)$  is isomorphic with an open dense subset  $U_{r^0} \subset W_{r^0}$ . Via the above isomorphism, we have that

$$X_0 \cap \text{Sing}(X_0) = X_{r^0} \setminus U_{r^0} = \text{Max } f_{r^0} \setminus U_{r^0}$$

which, in particular, shows that  $X_{r^0}$  must be a union of connected components of  $\text{Max } f_{r^0}$ , as said above.

## 2 Properties of desingularization and extension to locally embedded varieties.

2.1. The algorithm of resolution of basic objects given by theorem 1.10 satisfies also some additional properties. Let  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$  be a basic object.

1. Let  $W_0^0 \rightarrow W_0$  be an étale morphism and,  $J_0^0 \subset O_{W_0^0}$  and  $E_0^0$  the pullbacks of  $J_0$  and  $E_0$ ; so that  $(W_0^0; (J_0^0; b); E_0^0)$  is a basic object. Then the resolution of  $(W_0^0; (J_0^0; b); E_0^0)$  is the pullback of the resolution of  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$ .
2. Let  $W_0^0 \rightarrow W_0$  be defined by an arbitrary extension of the base field and consider the pullback  $(W_0^0; (J_0^0; b); E_0^0)$ . Then the resolution of  $(W_0^0; (J_0^0; b); E_0^0)$  is also the pullback of the resolution of  $(W_0; (J_0; b); E_0)$ .

We refer to [EV1, Theorem 6.13] where is proved the resolution of basic objects given by an algorithm which satisfies properties in [EV1, 6.9].

2.2. Now we state some properties of theorem 1.4.

1. Let  $W_0^0 \rightarrow W_0$  be an étale morphism and  $X_0^0 \subset W_0^0$  the pullback of  $X_0$ . Then the desingularization  $(X_r^0 \rightarrow W_r^0) \rightarrow (X_0^0 \rightarrow W_0^0)$  is the pullback of the desingularization  $(X_r \rightarrow W_r) \rightarrow (X_0 \rightarrow W_0)$ .
2. If  $W_0^0 \rightarrow W_0$  is defined by an arbitrary extension of the base field, then the desingularization  $(X_r^0 \rightarrow W_r^0) \rightarrow (X_0^0 \rightarrow W_0^0)$  is also the pullback of the desingularization  $(X_r \rightarrow W_r) \rightarrow (X_0 \rightarrow W_0)$ .

These properties follow from properties in 2.1 and the proof of theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.3. Let  $W_0$  and  $W_0^0$  pure dimensional schemes, smooth over  $k$ , with  $\dim W_0 = \dim W_0^0$ . Let also  $J_0 \subset O_{W_0}$  and  $J_0^0 \subset O_{W_0^0}$ . Assume that there are points  $\mathfrak{o} \in W_0$  and  $\mathfrak{o}^0 \in W_0^0$  and there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \hat{O}_{W_0; \mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow \hat{O}_{W_0^0; \mathfrak{o}^0}$  such that  $(\hat{J}_0) = \hat{J}_0^0$ . Where  $\hat{O}_{W_0; \mathfrak{o}}$  and  $\hat{O}_{W_0^0; \mathfrak{o}^0}$  are the completions of the local rings at  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{o}^0$ , and  $\hat{J}_0 = J_0 \hat{O}_{W_0; \mathfrak{o}}$ . Then there exists a common étale neighborhood  $\tilde{W}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{W}_0^0$  of both  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{o}^0$ , and such that

$$\mathfrak{J}_0 = J_0 \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}_0} = J_0^0 \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}_0^0}$$

Proof. Artin's approximation theorem implies the existence of an étale neighborhood  $\tilde{W}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{W}_0^0$  dominating  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $\mathfrak{o}^0$ . Eventually reducing to an open neighborhood  $\tilde{W}_0 \rightarrow \tilde{W}_0^0$  we may assume the last equalities.  $\square$

2.4. Extension to locally embedded schemes. Note that an embedded desingularization defines, of course, a non-embedded desingularization.

Another property of Hironaka's desingularization which we want to recover and prove here, is that our procedure will also define non-embedded desingularization of schemes which can be locally embedded in smooth schemes. In order to assert that the locally embedded desingularizations patch to define a desingularization, we will only require that the local pieces be embedded in smooth schemes of a fixed dimension. This is no restriction at all if we consider a noetherian separated scheme  $X_0$  of finite type over a field  $k$ .

To prove that theorem 1.4 extends to this class of schemes we only have to prove that for two embeddings of  $X_0$  we obtain the same desingularization. Fix two different embeddings  $X_0 \rightarrow W_0, X_0 \rightarrow W_0^0$ , with  $n = \dim(W_0) = \dim(W_0^0)$ , and fix a point  $\mathfrak{o} \in X_0$ .

Consider now the two short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & J_0 & \rightarrow & O_{W_0; \mathfrak{o}} & \rightarrow & O_{X_0; \mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \rightarrow & J_0^0 & \rightarrow & O_{W_0^0; \mathfrak{o}^0} & \rightarrow & O_{X_0; \mathfrak{o}} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

identify now  $S$  with the completion of both, the local regular rings  $O_{W_0;0}$  and  $O_{W_0^0;0}$ . We shall define an isomorphism  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$  so that

$$(\phi^{-1}S) = J_0^0 S \quad (2.4.1)$$

In fact, let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be a regular system of parameters of  $O_{W_0;0}$  mapping to  $z_1^0, \dots, z_n^0$  in  $O_{X_0;0}$ , and let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be a regular system of parameters in  $O_{W_0^0;0}$  mapping to  $y_1^0, \dots, y_n^0$  in  $O_{X_0;0}$ . Let  $d$  denote the embedded dimension of  $X_0$  at  $0$ , and assume that both regular systems of coordinates are chosen so that  $z_{d+1}, \dots, z_n$  and  $y_{d+1}, \dots, y_n$  map to zero at  $O_{X_0;0}$ . So that  $z_1^0, \dots, z_d^0$  and  $y_1^0, \dots, y_d^0$  are both generators of the maximal ideal  $m_{X_0;0}$  of  $O_{X_0;0}$ .

There exists  $g_{ij}^0 \in O_{X_0;0}$  such that

$$z_i^0 = g_{i1}^0 y_1^0 + \dots + g_{id}^0 y_d^0 \quad i = 1, \dots, d$$

Since the classes of  $z_1^0, \dots, z_d^0$  and  $y_1^0, \dots, y_d^0$  are both basis of  $m_{X_0;0}^2 / m_{X_0;0}^3$ , the determinant of the matrix  $(g_{ij}^0)_{i,j=1}^d$  is a unit.

Choose elements  $g_{ij} \in O_{W_0^0;0}$  which map to  $g_{ij}^0$ . Note that the determinant of the matrix  $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^d$  is also a unit of  $O_{W_0^0;0}$ .

Finally define an isomorphism  $\phi: S \rightarrow S$  by setting  $(\phi z_i) = g_{i1} y_1 + \dots + g_{id} y_d$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and  $(\phi z_i) = y_i$  for  $i = d+1, \dots, n$ . Now it can be checked that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism and that it fulfills 2.4.1.

Now our result for locally embedded schemes follows from 2.3, properties 2.1 and the proof (1.11) of theorem 1.4.

2.5. Now we extend theorem 1.4 to a wider class of schemes. We will set a class of schemes  $S$  and theorem 1.4 also holds for any scheme  $X$  embedded in  $W$  with  $W \in S$ .

Set  $S$  be the class of regular, equidimensional schemes  $W$  containing a field, say  $k$ , of characteristic zero (which may vary), satisfying:

i) If  $W$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $k$ -scheme in  $S$ , then it has a finite affine open covering  $\cup_{j=1}^r U_j$ , such that for each  $j$ ,  $U_j = \text{Spec}(R_j)$ , where each  $R_j$  is a noetherian, regular  $k$ -algebra with  $\text{Der}_k(R_j)$  is a finite projective  $R_j$ -module, locally of rank  $n$ .

ii) if  $m$  is a maximal ideal in some  $R_j$  then  $R_j/m$  is algebraic over  $k$ .

Under condition (ii)  $k$  is a quasi-coefficient field of the localization at any closed point, in the sense of [M, page 274].

If we fix a ring  $R_j$  as in (i), say  $R$ , we define an operator  $\phi$  on the class of all ideals of  $R$  such that  $\phi(J) = (J)$ . Set  $(J)$  as the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $J$  and the set  $\phi(J) = \{f \in R \mid f \in J; \exists g \in \text{Der}_k(R) \text{ s.t. } f = g(J)\}$ . We refer here to [M] appendix 40, particularly theorems 99 and 102. It can be checked, using the equivalent conditions (3) and (4) in theorem 99, that the class is closed by monoidal transformations.

Note that any smooth scheme over a field of characteristic zero, as well as the spectrum of the completion or henselization of a local ring thereof at a closed point is in  $S$ .

Note now that theorem 1.4 also states for the class  $S$  if the following property holds:

Property. If  $W = \text{Spec}(A) \in S$ ,  $p \in W$  and  $J$  is any ideal of  $A$ , then the order of  $J A_p$  in the local regular ring  $A_p$  is  $\dim A_p$  if  $p$  contains the ideal  $A^{b-1}(J)$ .

To check that property  $\star$  implies the validity of theorem 1.4 for the class  $S$ , we refer to the beginning of proof of [EV2, proposition 7.6], in fact formulas (7.6.3) and (7.6.4) with the description of the invariant in (7.11) imply that the invariant of resolution follows from operator  $\star$ .

In order to prove the validity of property  $\star$  within our class  $S$  of schemes we shall argue in steps.

We first take a closed point  $m \in V(p)$  ( $V(p)$  denotes the closure of  $p$ ), so let  $R = A_m$  be the localization of  $A$  at such maximal ideal of  $A$  (i.e., a closed point of  $W = \text{Spec}(A)$ ). Let  $n$  denote the dimension of  $R$ .

1. Case  $p$  regular. Let  $\hat{R}$  be the completion of  $R$ . Note that  $\hat{R}$  is a  $\hat{k}$ -algebra, where  $\hat{k}$  is a finite extension of  $k$  and  $\text{Der}_k(R)$  induces  $\text{Der}(\hat{R})$  over  $\hat{R}$  (see [M, Theorem 99, (4)]). By [M, Theorem 102] we know that  $R$  is excellent, so  $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$  is faithfully flat with regular fibers. If  $p$  is a regular prime ideal in  $R$ , it induces a regular prime ideal  $\hat{p}$  in  $\hat{R}$ . Now property  $\star$  follows in this case by checking that it holds at the completion, which is a ring of formal power series.

2. Reduction to the case  $J$  principal. We see now that if property  $\star$  holds in case  $J$  is principal, then property  $\star$  follows.

Since  $R$  is noetherian we assume  $J = hf_1; \dots; f_r$ . Note  $(J) \subset (f_i) \subset p$  for all  $i = 1; \dots; r$ , and the reduction to case  $J$  principal follows from

$$e_p(J) = \sum_{i=1}^r e_p(f_i)$$

In this case, if we set  $R = R/J$ , the main advantage of this principal case is that the order of  $J$  at  $p$  is the multiplicity of the ring  $R$  at the prime ideal, say  $p$ , induced by  $p$ . And note that the multiplicity of a hypersurface is an upper-semicontinuous function.

3. Reduction to the case  $\text{ht}(p) = n - 1$ . We prove that we also may assume that the height of the ideal  $p$  is  $n - 1$ .

We first claim that  $p$  is the intersection of all prime ideals of height  $n - 1$  containing  $p$ . If  $\dim R/p = n - h$  and  $f \in R$  is not in  $p$ , then one can find a prime ideal  $q$  of height  $n - 1$  containing  $p$  but not  $f$ . Set  $f_1 \in R/p$  as the class of  $f$ , extend it to  $f_1; f_2; \dots; f_{n-h} \in R/p$  a system of parameters. Now take  $q \subset R$  by lifting a minimal prime ideal containing  $f_2; \dots; f_{n-h} \in R/p$ .

Our reduction follows from the upper-semicontinuity of the multiplicity of  $J$  and from

$$e_p(J) - e_q(J) \geq 8q; \text{ht}(q) = n - 1$$

4. Case  $J$  principal and  $p$  a prime ideal defining a curve.

We follow a trick of Hironaka. We reduce our proof to case  $p$  regular, by desingularizing the curve defined by  $p$  by means of a composition of quadratic transformations, say  $\pi: W^0 \rightarrow W$ . In order to make this reduction possible, we view here  $\text{Der}_k(W)$  as a coherent sheaf over  $W$ . If  $p^0 \in W^0$  is isomorphic to  $p \in W$  via  $\pi$ , we identify the localizations of  $\text{Der}_k(W^0)$  at  $p^0$  with that of  $\text{Der}_k(W)$  at  $p$ . Finally note that the operator  $\star$  is defined in terms of  $\text{Der}_p(W)$ . It suffices now to take  $\pi: W^0 \rightarrow W$  as an embedded desingularization of the curve defined by  $p$  and reduce to the case  $p$  regular (case 1).



## References

- [AJ] D. Abramovich and A. J. de Jong, Smoothness, semistability and toroidal geometry. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 6 (1997), pp 789-801.
- [AW] D. Abramovich and J. Wang, Equivariant resolution of singularities in characteristic 0. *Mathematical Research Letters* 4 (1997), pp 427-433.
- [AHV1] J.M. Aroca, H. Hironaka and J.L. Vicente, The theory of maximal contact, *Memorias de Matemática del Instituto "Jorge Juan" (Madrid)*, 29, (1975).
- [AHV2] J.M. Aroca, H. Hironaka and J.L. Vicente, Desingularization theorems, *Memorias de Matemática del Instituto "Jorge Juan" (Madrid)*, 30, (1977).
- [Ber] P. Berthelot, Iterations des variétés algébriques, *Sem. Bourbaki* 48, 815, (1995{96).
- [BM] E. Bierstone and P. Milman, Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing-up the maximal strata of a local invariant, *Inv. Math.* 128 (1997).
- [BP] F. Bogomolov and T. Pantev, Weak Hironaka Theorem *Mathematical Research Letters* 3 (1996) pp 299-307.
- [BS1] G. Bodnar and J. Schicho. A Computer Program for the Resolution of Singularities in Resolution of Singularities. Volume 181 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- [BS2] G. Bodnar and J. Schicho. Automated Resolution of Singularities for Hypersurfaces in Applications of Gröbner Bases. To appear in *J. Symbolic Computation*.  
Also available at  
<http://www.risc.uni-linz.ac.at/projects/basic/adjoints/blowup>
- [EV1] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor, Good points and constructive resolution of singularities, *Acta Math.* Vol. 181:1 (1998).
- [EV2] S. Encinas and O. Villamayor. A Course on Constructive Desingularization and Equivariance in Resolution of Singularities. Volume 181 of *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.
- [G1] J. Giraud, Sur la théorie du contact maximal, *Math. Zeit.*, 137, (1974), pp 285{310.
- [G2] J. Giraud, Contact maximal en caractéristique positive, *Ann. Scien. de l'Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 4 série, 8 (2), (1975), pp. 201{234.
- [H1] H. Hironaka, Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero I-II, *Ann. Math.*, 79, (1964), pp 109{326.
- [H2] H. Hironaka, Idealistic exponent of a singularity, *Algebraic Geometry. The John Hopkins centennial lectures*, Baltimore, John Hopkins University Press (1977), pp 52{125.

- [L] J. Lipman, Rational Singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization. Publ. Math. IHES., 36 (1969), 195-279.
- [LT] M. Lejeune and B. Teissier, Quelques calculs utiles pour la resolution des singularites, Centre de Mathematique de l'Ecole Polytechnique, (1972).
- [M] H. Matsumura, Commutative Algebra (second Edition) Mathematics Lecture Note Series. The Benjamin Cumming Publishing Company 1980.
- [O] T. Oda. Infinitely near-singularity points (complex analytic singularities). Adv. Studies in Pure Math., 8 pp. 363-404, 1986.
- [V1] O. Villamayor, Constructiveness of Hironaka's resolution, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4<sup>e</sup> serie, 22, (1989) pp 1-32.
- [V2] O. Villamayor, Patching local uniformizations, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 25, (1992), pp 629-677.
- [V3] O. Villamayor. Introduction to the algorithm of resolution. in Progress in Mathematics, Vol 134, (1996), Birkhauser Verlag Basel/Switzerland.