

## Some results related to the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem

Oleg Kovrijkine

Department of Mathematics 253-37

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, CA 9125, USA

*E-mail address:* olegk@its.caltech.edu

### Abstract

We prove several results related to the theorem of Logvinenko and Sereda on determining sets for functions with Fourier transforms supported in an interval. We obtain a polynomial instead of exponential bound in this theorem, and we extend it to the case of functions with Fourier transforms supported in the union of a bounded number of intervals. The same results hold in all dimensions.

The purpose of this work is to study the behavior of functions whose Fourier transforms are supported in an interval or in a union of finitely many intervals on “thick” subsets of the real line. A main result of this type was proven by Logvinenko and Sereda.

By a “thick” subset of the real line we mean a measurable set  $E$  for which there exist  $a > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$|E \cap I| \geq \gamma \cdot a \quad (1)$$

for every interval  $I$  of length  $a$ .

**The Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem:** *let  $J$  be an interval with  $|J| = b$ . If  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset J$  and if a measurable set  $E$  satisfies (1) then*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \exp(-C \cdot \frac{(ab+1)}{\gamma}) \cdot \|f\|_p. \quad (2)$$

It is a well-known fact that the condition (1) is also necessary for an inequality of the form

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq C \cdot \|f\|_p$$

to hold. See for example ([3], p.113).

We will improve the estimate (2) by getting a polynomial dependence on  $\gamma$  and show that our estimate is optimal except for the constant  $C$ :

**Theorem 1:**

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C}\right)^{C \cdot (ab+1)} \cdot \|f\|_p.$$

We will also generalize the Logvinenko-Sereda theorem to functions whose Fourier transforms are supported on a union of finitely many intervals:

**Theorem 2:** *let  $J_k$  be intervals with  $|J_k| = b$ . If  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \bigcup_1^n J_k$  and if a measurable set  $E$  satisfies (1) then*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq c(\gamma, n, ab, p) \cdot \|f\|_p$$

where  $c(\gamma, n, ab, p) = \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{-ab\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^n - n + \frac{p-1}{p}}$  depends only on the number of intervals but not how they are placed.

Note that the constant  $C$  below is not fixed and varies appropriately from one equality or inequality to another even without mentioning it.

**Proof of Theorem 1:**

First we treat the case when  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ . Without loss of generality we can always assume that  $J = [-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}]$ . By considering  $f(\frac{x}{a})$  instead of  $f$  we can also assume that  $|E \cap [x, x+1]| \geq \gamma \forall x$  and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-\frac{ab}{2}, \frac{ab}{2}]$ , just say  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset [-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}]$ . Bernstein's inequality ([1], Theorem 11.3.3) gives that

$$\int |f^{(\alpha)}|^p \leq (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p} \cdot \int |f|^p$$

with  $C = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Divide the whole  $\mathbb{R}$  into intervals of length 1. Choose  $A > 1$ . Call an interval  $I$  bad if  $\exists \alpha \geq 1$  such that

$$\int_I |f^{(\alpha)}|^p \geq A^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p} \cdot \int_I |f|^p.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is bad}} I} |f|^p &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is bad}} I} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p}} |f^{(\alpha)}|^p \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p}} \int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is bad}} I} |f^{(\alpha)}|^p \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p}} \int |f^{(\alpha)}|^p \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A^{\alpha p}} \int |f|^p \\
&= \frac{1}{A^p - 1} \int |f|^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Choose  $A = 3$  and apply (3). So

$$\int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is bad}} I} |f|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |f|^p.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is good}} I} |f|^p \geq \frac{1}{2} \int |f|^p. \tag{4}$$

We claim that  $\exists B > 1$  such that if  $I$  is a good interval then  $\exists x \in I$  with the property that

$$|f^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p \leq 2 \cdot B^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p} \cdot \int_I |f|^p \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0.$$

Suppose towards a contradiction that this is not true. Then

$$2 \cdot \int_I |f|^p \leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p}} |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p \quad \forall x \in I. \tag{5}$$

Integrate both sides of (5) over  $I$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \cdot \int_I |f|^p &\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B^{\alpha p} (C \cdot b)^{\alpha p}} \int_I |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B^{\alpha p}} \int_I |f|^p \\
&= \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{B}\right)^p} \int_I |f|^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Choose  $B = 3$  and apply (6). So

$$2 \cdot \int_I |f|^p \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_I |f|^p.$$

This contradiction proves our claim.

We will need to prove the following local estimate:

$$\int_{E \cap I} |f|^p \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C}\right)^{Cbp+2} \int_I |f|^p$$

for every good interval  $I$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $I = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  by considering a shift  $f(x-n)$  which has  $\text{supp } \widehat{f(x-n)} \subset [-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}]$ . Therefore if  $y \in D(0, R) \subset D(x, R + \frac{1}{2})$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(y)| &\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} \frac{|f^{(\alpha)}(x)|}{\alpha!} \cdot |y-x|^\alpha \\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha=0}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{(R + \frac{1}{2})^\alpha \cdot (Cb)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \|f\|_{L^p(I)} \\
&= 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \exp(Cb(R + \frac{1}{2})) \cdot \|f\|_{L^p(I)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Now we will give a local estimate for analytic functions.

**Lemma 1:** *Let  $\phi(z)$  be analytic in  $D(0, 5)$  and let  $I$  be an interval of length 1 such that  $0 \in I$  and let  $E \subset I$  be a measurable set of positive measure. If  $|\phi(0)| \geq 1$  and  $M = \max_{|z| \leq 4} |\phi(z)|$  then*

$$\sup_{x \in I} |\phi(x)| \leq \left( \frac{C}{|E|} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \sup_{x \in E} |\phi(x)|. \quad (8)$$

Proof of **Lemma 1**:

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  be the zeros of  $\phi$  in  $D(0, 2)$ . If

$$g(z) = \phi(z) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{4 - \bar{a}_k z}{2(a_k - z)} = \phi(z) \cdot \frac{Q(z)}{P(z)}$$

then  $|g(0)| \geq 1$  and  $\max_{|z| \leq 2} |g(z)| \leq M$  by the property of Blaschke products. Applying Harnack's inequality to the positive harmonic function  $\ln M - \ln |g(z)|$  in  $D(0, 2)$  we have:

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1} (\ln M - \ln |g(z)|) \leq 3 \ln M.$$

Therefore

$$\min_{|z| \leq 1} |g(z)| \geq M^{-2}.$$

This gives us

$$\frac{\max_{x \in I} |g(x)|}{\min_{x \in I} |g(x)|} \leq M^3.$$

We can give a similar estimate for  $Q$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\max_{x \in I} |Q(x)|}{\min_{x \in I} |Q(x)|} &\leq \frac{\max_{|z| \leq 1} \prod_{k=1}^n |4 - \bar{a}_k z|}{\min_{|z| \leq 1} \prod_{k=1}^n |4 - \bar{a}_k z|} \\ &\leq 3^n. \end{aligned}$$

From the Remez inequality for polynomials ([2], Theorem 5.1.1) it follows that:

$$\sup_{x \in I} |P(x)| \leq \left( \frac{4}{|E|} \right)^n \cdot \sup_{x \in E} |P(x)|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \in I} |\phi(x)| &\leq \max_{x \in I} |g(x)| \cdot \frac{\max_{x \in I} |P(x)|}{\min_{x \in I} |Q(x)|} \\
&\leq M^3 \cdot 3^n \cdot \left( \frac{C}{|E|} \right)^n \cdot \min_{x \in I} |g(x)| \cdot \frac{\sup_{x \in E} |P(x)|}{\max_{x \in I} |Q(x)|} \\
&\leq M^3 \cdot \left( \frac{C}{|E|} \right)^n \cdot \sup_{x \in E} |\phi(x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

From Jensen's formula it follows that  $n \leq \frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}$ . Therefore

$$\sup_{x \in I} |\phi(x)| \leq \left( \frac{C}{|E|} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \sup_{x \in E} |\phi(x)|.$$

□

**Corollary:** if  $p \in [1, \infty)$  then

$$\|\phi\|_{L^p(I)} \leq \left( \frac{C}{|E|} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2} + \frac{1}{p}} \|\phi\|_{L^p(E)}. \quad (9)$$

It follows from (8) that:

$$|\{x \in I : |\phi(x)| < \left( \frac{\epsilon}{C} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)}\}| \leq \epsilon \quad \epsilon > 0.$$

If we put  $\epsilon = \frac{|E|}{2}$  then

$$|\{x \in I : |\phi(x)| < \left( \frac{|E|}{2C} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)}\}| \leq \frac{|E|}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_E |\phi|^p &\geq \int_E \chi_{|\phi| \geq \left( \frac{|E|}{2C} \right)^{\frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)}} \cdot |\phi|^p \\
&\geq \frac{|E|}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{|E|}{2C} \right)^{p \frac{\ln M}{\ln 2}} \cdot \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(I)}^p \\
&\geq \left( \frac{|E|}{2C} \right)^{p \frac{\ln M}{\ln 2} + 1} \cdot \int_I |\phi|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof of our theorem. We can assume that  $\int_I |f|^p = 1$ . Then  $\exists x_0 \in I$  such that  $|f(x_0)| \geq 1$ . Applying (9) to  $\phi(z) = f(z + x_0)$ ,  $I - x_0$  and  $(E \cap I) - x_0$  we have:

$$\int_{E \cap I} |f|^p \geq \left( \frac{|E \cap I|}{C} \right)^{p \frac{\ln M}{\ln 2} + 1} \int_I |f|^p.$$

Apply (7) to get

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq \max_{|z| \leq 4 + \frac{1}{2}} |f(z)| \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \exp(5Cb). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have :

$$\int_{E \cap I} |f|^p \geq \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cbp+2} \int_I |f|^p. \quad (10)$$

Summing (10) over all good intervals and applying (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f|^p &\geq \int_{E \cap \bigcup_{I \text{ is good}} I} |f|^p \\ &\geq \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cbp+2} \cdot \int_{\bigcup_{I \text{ is good}} I} |f|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cbp+2} \cdot \int |f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $b$  with  $ab$  and choosing a new  $C$  we have:

$$\int_E |f|^p \geq \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cabp+2} \cdot \int |f|^p.$$

If  $p = \infty$  then the proof is almost the same:  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\bigcup_{I \text{ is good}} I)} = \|f\|_\infty$ . If  $I$  is good then  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(E \cap I)} \geq \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cb+1} \cdot \|f\|_{L^\infty(I)}$ . Hence

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(E)} \geq \left( \frac{\gamma}{C} \right)^{Cb+1} \cdot \|f\|_\infty.$$

End of proof of **Theorem 1**.

If we keep track of all the constants and do the calculations more accurately then we can get that if  $p \in [1, \infty)$ :

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{300}\right)^{33ab + \frac{2}{p}} \cdot \|f\|_p,$$

if  $p = \infty$ :

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(E)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{100}\right)^{33ab+1} \cdot \|f\|_\infty.$$

However, if we try to minimize the factor in front of  $ab$  then we can get the following estimate:

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C}\right)^{\left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{2} + \epsilon\right) \cdot ab + A(\epsilon)} \cdot \|f\|_p \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

The following example suggests that the right behavior of the estimate in the Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem is  $\gamma$  to the power of a linear function of  $ab$  and how far we are from the exact factor in front of  $ab$ :

Let  $E$  be a 1-periodic set such that

$$E \cap \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right] = \left[-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right] \cap \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$$

and let

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{\sin(2\pi x)}{x}\right)^{\left[\frac{b}{4\pi}\right]}$$

If  $b$  is large enough we have:

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \leq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C}\right)^{\frac{b}{4\pi} - 1} \|f\|_p$$

and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \left[-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right]$ .

**Remark 1:** when  $ab$  is sufficiently small the proof of the theorem is much simpler: if  $ab \leq 1$  then  $\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \frac{\gamma^{\frac{1}{p}}}{2} \|f\|_p$ . This can be proven very easily.



If  $p \in [1, +\infty)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)|^p &= |f(y) - \int_x^y f'(t)dt|^p \geq \frac{|f(y)|^p}{2^{p-1}} - |\int_x^y f'(t)dt|^p \\ &\geq \frac{|f(y)|^p}{2^{p-1}} - \int_I |f'|^p \cdot a^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x, y \in I$ ,  $|I| = a$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot \int_{E \cap I} |f(x)|^p dx &= \int_I \left( \int_{E \cap I} |f(x)|^p dx \right) dy \\ &\geq |E \cap I| \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \int_I |f|^p - a^p \int_I |f'|^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot \int_{E \cap I} |f|^p \geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \int_I |f|^p - a^p \int_I |f'|^p$ . Summing over all intervals  $I$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma} \cdot \int_E |f|^p &\geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \int |f|^p - a^p \int |f'|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \int |f|^p - \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^p a^p \int |f|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^p} \cdot \int |f|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\|(f^p)'\|_1 \leq \frac{pb}{2} \|f^p\|_1$  we can get a similar result. The proof for  $p = \infty$  is even easier.

In a similar way we can treat the case when  $1 - \gamma$  is sufficiently small depending on  $ab$ : if  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $1 - \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2+pad}$  then  $\|f\|_{L^p(E)}^p \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_p^p$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2:**

Let  $J_k = [\lambda_k - \frac{b}{2}, \lambda_k + \frac{b}{2}]$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . First we will prove a special case of **Theorem 2**:

**Theorem 2'**: if  $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \geq 2b > 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ) then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq c(\gamma, n, ab, p) \cdot \|f\|_{L^p}$$

where  $c(\gamma, n, ab, p) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{C}\right)^{ab\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^n + n - \frac{p-1}{p}}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 2':**

Let  $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \hat{f}_k(x - \lambda_k)$  where  $\text{supp} \hat{f}_k \subset [-\frac{b}{2}, \frac{b}{2}]$  and  $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) e^{i\lambda_k x}$ . The following lemma gives an estimate of  $\|f_k\|_p$  from above.

**Lemma 2:**

$$\|f_k\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (11)$$

**Proof of Lemma 2:**

Let  $\phi$  be a Schwartz function such that  $\text{supp } \hat{\phi} \subset [-1, 1]$  and  $\hat{\phi}(x) = 1$  for  $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Then  $\hat{f}_k(x) = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{\phi}(\frac{x - \lambda_k}{b})$ . Therefore  $f_k = f * (b\phi(bx)e^{i\lambda_k x})$ . Applying Young's inequality we have  $\|f_k\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \cdot \|\phi\|_1$ .  $\square$

We will also need the following auxiliary lemma:

**Lemma 3:** if  $r(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k(x) e^{i\lambda_k x}$

where  $p_k(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq m - 1$  and  $E \subset I$  is measurable with  $|E| > 0$  then

$$\|r\|_{L^p(I)} \leq \left(\frac{C|I|}{|E|}\right)^{nm - \frac{(p-1)}{p}} \cdot \|r\|_{L^p(E)}. \quad (12)$$

**Proof of Lemma 3:**

First we prove the statement for pure trigonometric polynomials, i.e.

if  $g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k e^{i\lambda_k x}$  then

$$\|g\|_{L^p(I)} \leq \left(\frac{C|I|}{|E|}\right)^{n - \frac{(p-1)}{p}} \cdot \|g\|_{L^p(E)}. \quad (13)$$

This follows from a theorem on trigonometric polynomials by F. Nazarov ([4], Theorem 1.5) saying that:

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty(I)} \leq \left(\frac{C|I|}{|E|}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^\infty(E)}. \quad (14)$$

An argument similar to the proof of the **Corollary** to **Lemma 1** shows that (13) follows from (14).

If  $p(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l x^l$  is a polynomial of degree  $m-1$  then it can be approximated uniformly on an interval with a trigonometric polynomial of order  $\leq m$

$$\tilde{p}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} a_l \left( \frac{e^{i\lambda x} - 1}{i\lambda} \right)^l = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \tilde{a}_l e^{il\lambda x}$$

because  $x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{i\lambda x} - 1}{i\lambda}$  uniformly on an interval. Applying (13) to the trigonometric polynomial of order  $mn$

$$\tilde{r}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{p}_k(x) e^{i\lambda_k x}$$

and taking the limit we have the desired result:

$$\|r\|_{L^p(I)} \leq \left( \frac{C|I|}{|E|} \right)^{nm - \frac{(p-1)}{p}} \cdot \|r\|_{L^p(E)}.$$

□

We will need the Taylor formula:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{g^{(l)}(s)}{l!} (x-s)^l + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_s^x g^{(m)}(t) (x-t)^{m-1} dt \\ &= p(x) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_s^x g^{(m)}(t) (x-t)^{m-1} dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $p(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $m-1$ .

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof of **Theorem 2'**.

First we assume that  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Divide the whole  $\mathbb{R}$  into intervals of length  $a$  each. Consider one of them:  $I = [s, s+a]$ . Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) e^{i\lambda_k x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^n p_k(x) e^{i\lambda_k x} + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{i\lambda_k x} \int_s^x f_k^{(m)}(t) (x-t)^{m-1} dt \\
&= r(x) + T(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Holder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I |T(x)|^p dx &\leq \frac{n^{p-1}}{[(m-1)!]^p} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_I \left| \int_s^x f_k^{(m)}(t) (x-t)^{m-1} dt \right|^p dx \\
&\leq \frac{n^{p-1} a^{pm}}{[m!]^p} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_I |f_k^{(m)}|^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_I |f|^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \int_I |r|^p + 2^{p-1} \int_I |T|^p \\
&\leq \left( \frac{C|I|}{|E \cap I|} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_{E \cap I} |r|^p + 2^{p-1} \int_I |T|^p \\
&\leq \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \left( 2^{p-1} \int_{E \cap I} |f|^p + 2^{p-1} \int_{E \cap I} |T|^p \right) + 2^{p-1} \int_I |T|^p \\
&\leq \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_{E \cap I} |f|^p + \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_I |T|^p \\
&\leq \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_{E \cap I} |f|^p + \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \frac{n^{p-1} a^{pm}}{[m!]^p} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_I |f_k^{(m)}|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is based on **Lemma 3**. The last follows from (15).

Summing over all intervals  $I$  we have:

$$\int |f|^p \leq \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p + \left( \frac{C}{\gamma} \right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \frac{n^{p-1} a^{pm}}{[m!]^p} \sum_{k=1}^n \int |f_k^{(m)}|^p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p + \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \frac{n^{p-1} a^{pm} (Cb)^{pm}}{[m!]^p} \sum_{k=1}^n \int |f_k|^p \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p + \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \frac{n^p (ab)^{pm}}{[m!]^p} \int |f|^p \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm-(p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p + \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pnm} \frac{(ab)^{pm}}{m^{pm}} \int |f|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality follows from Bernstein's Theorem. The third is an application of (11). The last inequality is due to Stirling's formula for  $m!$  and the fact that  $n \leq 2^n$ .

Choose  $m$  such that it is a positive integer and  $\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^n \frac{ab}{m} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , e.g.  $m = 1 + \left[\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^n \cdot ab\right]$  for some  $C > 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |f|^p &\leq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{pn(1+(\frac{C}{\gamma})^n \cdot ab) - (p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p \\
&\leq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{p(\frac{C}{\gamma})^n \cdot ab + pn - (p-1)} \cdot \int_E |f|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for  $p = \infty$  is similar and even simpler.  
End of proof of **Theorem 2'**.

Now we can proceed with the proof of **Theorem 2**. We will apply induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  the theorem follows from **Theorem 2'** or the usual Logvinenko-Sereda Theorem. Suppose the statement is true for  $n \leq m$ . Let  $n = m + 1$ .

If  $\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k \geq 2b > 0$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) then the result follows from **Theorem 2'**.

If  $0 < \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k < 2b$  for some  $k$  then we can replace  $b$  with  $3b$  reducing

the number of frequencies  $\lambda_k$ . Therefore by induction:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{L^p(E)} &\geq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{-3ba\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^m - m + \frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot \|f\|_p \\ &\geq \left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{-ab\left(\frac{C}{\gamma}\right)^{(m+1)} - (m+1) + \frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot \|f\|_p.\end{aligned}$$

End of proof of **Theorem 2**.

The purpose of this theorem is to prove the existence of a constant  $c(\gamma, n, ab, p) > 0$  depending only on the number of intervals and not how they are placed rather than to get the best possible estimate.

**Final remark.** By a “thick” subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we mean a measurable set  $E$  for which there exist a parallelepiped  $\Pi$  with sides of length  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d$  parallel to coordinate axes and  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$|E \cap (\Pi + x)| \geq \gamma |\Pi| \quad (16)$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . **Theorems 1** and **2** can be easily extended to higher dimensions with polynomial dependence on  $\gamma$  for the former. The proofs are analogous to the previous proofs. We can assume that  $\Pi$  is a unit cube. Define good cubes in a similar way. The main issue is how to obtain a local estimate for good cubes. If  $|f|$  attains its maximum in a cube  $\Pi$  at  $y \in \Pi$  then following an idea of F. Nazarov we can use spherical coordinates centered at  $y$  to find a segment  $I$  in  $\Pi$  such that  $y \in I$  and  $\frac{|E \cap I|}{|I|} \geq C(d)\gamma$ , and reduce our problem to a 1-dimensional one. In case of **Theorem 1** we can define an analytic function of one complex variable which coincides with  $f$  on  $I$ . In case of **Theorem 2** we will approximate  $f$  on  $I$  with a polynomial defined on  $I$ .

**Theorem 3.** *let  $J$  be a parallelepiped with sides of length  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d$  parallel to coordinate axes. If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset J$  and if a measurable set  $E$  satisfies (16) then*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{C^d}\right)^{C(d + \sum_{k=1}^d a_k b_k)} \|f\|_p.$$

By an example similar to the one after **Theorem 1** (with  $\text{supp} \hat{f}$  in a neighborhood of a main diagonal of  $J$  with the direction of  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$  and  $E$  periodic along the same direction with period  $\sim \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}/|\mathbf{b}|$ ) we can show that this estimate is optimal except for the constant  $C$ .

**Theorem 4.** *let  $J_l$  be parallelepipeds with sides of length  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_d$  parallel to coordinate axes. If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ , and  $\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^n J_l$  and if a measurable set  $E$  satisfies (16) then*

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} \geq c(\gamma, n, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}, p, d) \|f\|_p$$

where  $c(\gamma, n, \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}, p, d) = \left(\frac{C^d}{\gamma}\right)^{-\left(\frac{C^d}{\gamma}\right)^n \cdot \sum_{k=1}^d a_k b_k - n + \frac{p-1}{p}}$  depends only on the number of parallelepipeds but not how they are placed.

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Thomas Wolff for his interest in this work.

## References

- [1] R.P. Boas, *Entire functions*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1954.
- [2] P. Borwein, T. Erdelyi, *Polynomials and polynomial inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [3] V. P. Havin, B. Jorjke, *The Uncertainty Principle in Harmonic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1994.
- [4] F. L. Nazarov, *Local estimates of exponential polynomials and their application to inequalities of uncertainty principle type*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 5(1994), 663-717.