HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF BIGRADED ALGEBRAS

TIM RÖMER

ABSTRACT. We study the x- and y-regularity of a bigraded K-algebra R as introduced in [2]. These notions are used to study asymptotic properties of certain finitely generated bigraded modules. As an application we get for any equigenerated graded ideal I upper bounds for the number j_0 for which $\operatorname{reg}(I^j)$ is a linear function for $j \geq j_0$. Finally we give upper bounds for the x- and y-regularity of generalized Veronese algebras.

Introduction

Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ be a standard bigraded polynomial ring with $\deg(x_i) = (1,0)$ and $\deg(y_j) = (0,1)$, and let $J \subset S$ be a bigraded ideal. In this paper we study homological properties of the bigraded algebra R = S/J.

First we consider the x- and the y-regularity of R. According to [2] they are defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S}(R) = \max\{a : \beta_{i,(a+i,b)}^{S}(R) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \text{ and } b\},\$$

$$\operatorname{reg}_{y}^{S}(R) = \max\{b \colon \beta_{i,(a,b+i)}^{S}(R) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \text{ and } a\},\$$

where $\beta_{i,(a,b)}^S(R) = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(K,R)_{(a,b)}$ is the i^{th} bigraded Betti number of R in bidegree (a,b). We give a homological characterization of these regularities similarly as in the graded case (see [3]). As an application we generalize a result of Trung [13] concerning d-sequences. Furthermore we prove that

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S}(S/J) = \operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S}(S/\operatorname{bigin}(J)),$$

where $\operatorname{bigin}(J)$ is the bigeneric initial ideal of J with respect to the bigraded reverse lexicographic order induced by $y_1 > \ldots > y_m > x_1 > \ldots > x_n$.

It was shown in [7] (or [12]) that for $j \gg 0$, reg (I^j) is a linear function cj + d in j for a graded ideal I in the polynomial ring. In [12] the constant c is described in terms of invariants of I. In this paper we give, in case I is equigenerated, bounds j_0 such that for $j \geq j_0$ the function is linear and give also a bound for d. Our methods can also be applied to reg $(S^j(I))$, where $S^j(I)$ is the j^{th} symmetric power of I.

In the last section we introduce a generalized Veronese algebra in the bigraded setting. For a bigraded algebra R and $\tilde{\Delta} = (s, t)$ we set

$$R_{\tilde{\Delta}} = \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2} R_{(as,bt)}.$$

In the same manner as it is done for diagonal subalgebras in [6], we prove that for these algebras

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x_{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{reg}_{y_{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}}) = 0, \text{ if } s \gg 0 \text{ and } t \gg 0.$$

1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let K be an infinite field, let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ be a standard bigraded polynomial ring with $\deg(x_i) = (1,0)$ and $\deg(y_j) = (0,1)$. Let M be a finitely generated bigraded S-module. For some bihomogeneous $w \in M$ with $\deg(w) = (a,b)$ we set $\deg_x(w) = a$ and $\deg_y(w) = b$. Sometimes we will consider the \mathbb{Z} -graded modules $M_{(a,*)} = \bigoplus_b M_{(a,b)}$ or $M_{(*,b)} = \bigoplus_a M_{(a,b)}$. If in addition M is $\mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^m$ -graded, we write $M_{(u,v)}$ for the homogeneous component (u,v), where $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}^m$. For $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we set $\sup(u) = \{i : u_i > 0\}$.

Define $\mathfrak{m}_x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (\mathbf{x})$, $\mathfrak{m}_y = (y_1, \ldots, y_m) = (\mathbf{y})$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_x + \mathfrak{m}_y$. Let $S_x = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $S_y = K[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ be the polynomial rings with respect to the x-variables and the y-variables respectively.

For some $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ we write $x^u y^v$ for the monomial $x_1^{u_1} \ldots x_n^{u_n} y_1^{v_1} \ldots y_m^{v_m}$. For $u, u' \in \mathbb{N}^n$ let $u \leq u'$, if $u_i \leq u'_i$ for all i. Furthermore we set $|u| = u_1 + \ldots + u_n$. Let $\varepsilon_i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, where the entry 1 is at the ith position. For $t \in \mathbb{N}$ define $[t] = \{1, \ldots, t\}$.

We will consider bigraded algebras R = S/J, which are quotients of S by some bigraded ideal J. For a finitely generated bigraded R-module M and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\beta_{i,(a,b)}^R(M) = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M,K)_{(a,b)}$ the i^{th} bigraded Betti number in bidegree (a,b). We recall from [2] that

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{R}(M) = \sup\{a \colon \beta_{i,(a+i,b)}^{R}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \text{ and } b\},\$$

$$\operatorname{reg}_y^R(M) = \sup\{b \colon \beta_{i,(a,b+i)}^R(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \text{ and } a\},\$$

is the x- and y-regularity of M. For R=S we set $\operatorname{reg}_x^S(M)=\operatorname{reg}_x(M)$ and $\operatorname{reg}_y^S(M)=\operatorname{reg}_y(M)$.

Let $K_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$ denote the Koszul complex of M and $H_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$ denote the Koszul homology of M with respect to x_1, \ldots, x_k and y_1, \ldots, y_l (see [5] for details). If it is clear from the context, we will write $K_{\bullet}(k, l)$ and $H_{\bullet}(k, l)$ instead of $K_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$ and $H_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$. Note that $K_{\bullet}(k, l; M) = K_{\bullet}(k, l; S) \otimes_S M$, where $K_{\bullet}(k, l; S)$ is the exterior algebra on e_1, \ldots, e_k and f_1, \ldots, f_l with $\deg(e_i) = (1, 0)$ and $\deg(f_j) = (0, 1)$ together with a differential ∂ induced by $\partial(e_i) = x_i$ and $\partial(f_j) = y_j$. For a cycle $z \in K_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$ we denote by $[z] \in H_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$ the corresponding homology class.

There are two long exact sequences relating the homology groups:

$$\dots \to H_i(k, l; M)(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{x_{k+1}} H_i(k, l; M) \to H_i(k+1, l; M) \to H_{i-1}(k, l; M)(-1, 0)$$

$$\xrightarrow{x_{k+1}} \dots \to H_0(k, l; M)(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{x_{k+1}} H_0(k, l; M) \to H_0(k+1, l; M) \to 0$$

and

$$\dots \to H_i(k, l; M)(0, -1) \stackrel{y_{l+1}}{\to} H_i(k, l; M) \to H_i(k, l+1; M) \to H_{i-1}(k, l; M)(0, -1)$$

$$\stackrel{y_{l+1}}{\rightarrow} \ldots \rightarrow H_0(k,l;M)(0,-1) \stackrel{y_{l+1}}{\rightarrow} H_0(k,l;M) \rightarrow H_0(k,l+1;M) \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $H_i(k, l; M) \to H_i(k+1, l; M)$ is induced by the inclusion of the corresponding Koszul complexes. Every homogeneous element $z \in K_{\bullet}(k+1, l; M)$ can be uniquely written as $e_{k+1} \wedge z' + z''$ with $z', z'' \in K_{\bullet}(k, l; M)$. Then $H_i(k+1, l; M) \to H_{i-1}(k, l; M)(-1, 0)$ is given by sending a cycle [z] to [z']. Furthermore $H_i(k, l; M)(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{x_{k+1}} H_i(k, l; M)$ is just the multiplication with x_{k+1} . The maps in the other exact sequence are analogue.

2. Regularity

Let R be a bigraded algebra. Following [3] (or [13] under the name filter regular element) we call an element $x \in R_{(1,0)}$ an almost regular element for R (with respect to the x-degree), if

$$(0:_R x)_{(a,*)} = 0 \text{ for } a \gg 0.$$

A sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in R_{(1,0)}$ is an almost regular sequence (with respect to the x-degree), if for all $i \in [t]$ the x_i is almost regular for $R/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})R$.

Analogue we call an element $y \in R_{(0,1)}$ an almost regular element for R (with respect to the y-degree), if

$$(0:_R y)_{(*,b)} = 0 \text{ for } b \gg 0.$$

A sequence $y_1, \ldots, y_t \in R_{(0,1)}$ is an almost regular sequence (with respect to the y-degree), if for all $i \in [t]$ the y_i is almost regular for $R/(y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1})R$.

It is well known that, provided $|K| = \infty$, after a generic choice of coordinates we can achieve that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are almost regular for R with respect to the x- and y-degree. For the convenience of the reader we give a proof of this fact, which follows from the following lemma (see also [13]).

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a bigraded algebra, then there exists $x \in R_{(1,0)}$ ($y \in R_{(0,1)}$) which is almost regular for R.

Proof. By symmetry it is enough to prove the statement for x. We claim that it is possible to choose $0 \neq x \in R_{(1,0)}$ such that for all $Q \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(0:_R x)$ one has $Q \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$. It follows that $\mathrm{Rad}_S(\mathrm{Ann}_S(0:_R x)) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$. Hence there exists an integer i with $\mathfrak{m}_x^i(0:_R x) = 0$ and this proves the lemma.

It remains to show the claim. If $P \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$ for all $P \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(R)$, then we may choose $0 \neq x \in R_{(1,0)}$ arbitrarily, because $\mathrm{Ass}_S(0:_R x) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}_S(R)$. Otherwise there exists a $P \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(R)$ with $P \not\supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$. In this case we may choose

$$x \not\in \bigcup_{P \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(R), P \not\supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x} P,$$

since $|K| = \infty$. Let $Q \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(0:_R x)$ be arbitrary. Then $x \in Q$, because $x \in \mathrm{Ann}_S(0:_R x)$. But we have also that $Q \in \mathrm{Ass}_S(R)$ and this implies that $Q \supseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$, by the choice of x. This proves the claim.

Let \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} be almost regular for R with respect to the x- and y-degree. Define

$$s_i^x = \max\{a : (0 :_{R/(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1})R} x_i)_{(a,*)} \neq 0\}, \quad s^x = \max\{s_1^x,\dots,s_n^x\}$$

and

$$s_i^y = \max\{b : (0 :_{R/(y_1, \dots, y_{i-1})R} y_i)_{(*,b)} \neq 0\}, \quad s^y = \max\{s_1^y, \dots, s_m^y\}.$$

The following theorem characterizes the x- and y-regularity. It is the analogue of the corresponding graded version [3].

For its proof we consider $\tilde{H}_0(k-1,0)=(0:_{R/(x_1,\ldots,x_{k-1})R}x_k)$ for $k\in[n]$ and $\tilde{H}_0(n,k-1)=(0:_{R/(\mathfrak{m}_x+y_1,\ldots,y_{k-1})R}y_k)$ for $k\in[m]$. Then the beginning of the long exact Koszul sequence of the Koszul homology groups of R for $k\in[n]$ is

...
$$\to H_1(k-1,0)(-1,0) \xrightarrow{x_k} H_1(k-1,0) \to H_1(k,0) \to \tilde{H}_0(k-1,0)(-1,0) \to 0$$
, and for $k \in [m]$

$$\dots \to H_1(n,k-1)(0,-1) \xrightarrow{y_k} H_1(n,k-1) \to H_1(n,k) \to \tilde{H}_0(n,k-1)(0,-1) \to 0.$$

Note that for $k \in [n]$ and $i \ge 1$ one has $H_i(k,0)_{(a,*)} = 0$ for $a \gg 0$. Similar for $k \in [m]$ and $i \ge 1$ one has $H_i(n,k)_{(*,b)} = 0$ for $b \gg 0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let R be a bigraded algebra, \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} almost regular for R with respect to the x- and y-degree, then

$$\operatorname{reg}_x(R) = s^x \text{ and } \operatorname{reg}_y(R) = s^y.$$

Proof. By symmetry it is enough to show this theorem only for \mathbf{x} . Let

$$r_{(k,0)} = \max\{a \colon H_i(k,0)_{(a+i,*)} \neq 0, i = 1,\ldots,k\}$$

for $k \in [n]$ and

$$r_{(n,k)} = \max\{a \colon H_i(n,k)_{(a+i,*)} \neq 0, i = 1,\dots, n+k\}$$

for $k \in [m]$. Then $r_{(n,m)} = \operatorname{reg}_x(R)$, because $H_0(n,m) = K$. We claim that:

- (i) For $k \in [n]$ one has $r_{(k,0)} = \max\{s_1^x, \dots, s_k^x\}$.
- (ii) For $k \in [m]$ one has $r_{(n,k)} = \max\{s_1^x, \dots, s_n^x\}$.

This will prove the theorem. We show (i) by induction on k. For k = 1 we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to H_1(1,0) \to \tilde{H}_0(0,0)(-1,0) \to 0$$

which proves this case. Let k > 1. Since

$$\dots \to H_1(k,0) \to \tilde{H}_0(k-1,0)(-1,0) \to 0,$$

we get $r_{(k,0)} \geq s_k^x$. There exists an integer i with $H_i(k-1)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i,*)} \neq 0$. Then by

$$\ldots \to H_{i+1}(k,0)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i+1,*)} \to H_i(k-1,0)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i,*)}$$

$$\rightarrow H_i(k-1,0)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i+1,*)} \rightarrow \dots$$

we have $H_{i+1}(k,0)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i+1,*)} \neq 0$, because $H_i(k-1,0)_{(r_{(k-1,0)}+i+1,*)} = 0$. This gives $r_{(k,0)} \geq r_{(k-1,0)}$. On the other hand let $a > \max\{r_{(k-1,0)}, s_k^x\}$. If $i \geq 2$, then by

$$\dots \to H_i(k-1,0)_{(a+i,*)} \to H_i(k,0)_{(a+i,*)} \to H_{i-1}(k-1,0)_{(a+i-1,*)} \to \dots$$

we get $H_i(k,0)_{(a+i,*)} = 0$, because $H_i(k-1,0)_{(a+i,*)} = H_{i-1}(k-1,0)_{(a+i-1,*)} = 0$. Similarly $H_1(k,0)_{(a+1,*)} = 0$. Therefore $r_{(k,0)} = \max\{r_{(k-1,0)}, s_k^x\} = \max\{s_1^x, \ldots, s_k^x\}$, by the induction hypothesis. We prove (ii) also by induction on k, $0 \le k \le m$. The case k = 0 was shown in (i), so let k > 0. Consider $a > s^x$. For $i \ge 2$ one has

$$\dots \to H_i(n, k-1)_{(a+i,*)} \to H_i(n, k)_{(a+i,*)} \to H_{i-1}(n, k-1)_{(a+i,*)} \to \dots$$

Then we get $H_i(n, k)_{(a+i,*)} = 0$, because $H_i(n, k-1)_{(a+i,*)} = H_{i-1}(n, k-1)_{(a+i,*)} = 0$. Similarly $H_1(n, k)_{(a+1,*)} = 0$ and therefore $r_{(n,k)} \leq s^x$.

There exists an integer i with $H_i(n, k-1)_{(s^x+i,*)} \neq 0$. Consider

$$\dots \to H_i(n, k-1)_{(s^x+i, *)} \xrightarrow{y_k} H_i(n, k-1)_{(s^x+i, *)} \to H_i(n, k)_{(s^x+i, *)} \to \dots$$

Assume $H_i(n,k)_{(s^x+i,*)} = 0$. But then $H_i(n,k-1)_{(s^x+i,*)} = y_k H_i(n,k-1)_{(s^x+i,*)}$, which is a contradiction by Nakayamas lemma, because $H_i(n,k-1)_{(s^x+i,*)}$ is a finitely generated module over S_y . Therefore $H_i(n,k)_{(s^x+i,*)} \neq 0$, and this proves the theorem.

3. d-sequences and s-sequences

The concept of a d-sequence was introduced by Huneke [11]. Recall that a sequence of elements f_1, \ldots, f_r in a ring R is called a d-sequence, if

- (i) f_1, \ldots, f_r is a minimal system of generators of the ideal $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_r)$,
- (ii) $(f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}) : f_i \cap I = (f_1, \ldots, f_{i-1}).$

A result in [13] motivated the following theorem. For a bigraded algebra R denote by n_x and n_y the ideals generated by the (1,0)-forms and (0,1)-forms of R respectively.

Proposition 3.1. Let R be a bigraded algebra, then

- (i) $reg_x(R) = 0$ if and only if any generic minimal system of generators of (1,0)forms for n_x is a d-sequence.
- (ii) $\operatorname{reg}_y(R) = 0$ if and only if any generic minimal system of generators of (0,1)-forms for n_y is a d-sequence.

Proof. By symmetry we have only to prove (i). Without loss of generality $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ is an almost regular sequence for R with respect to the x-degree, because any generic minimal system of generators of (1,0)-forms for n_x has this property.

By 2.2 one has $\operatorname{reg}_x(R) = 0$ if and only if $s^x = 0$. By definition of s^x , this is equivalent to the fact that for all $i \in [n]$ and all a > 0 we have

$$\left(\frac{(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}):_R x_i}{(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1})}\right)_{(a,*)} = 0.$$

Equivalently, for all $i \in [n]$,

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}):_R x_i \cap n_x = (x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}).$$

This concludes the proof.

For an application we recall some more definitions. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d. Denote with R(I) and with S(I) the Rees algebra

and the symmetric algebra of I respectively. It is well known that both algebras are bigraded and have a presentation S/J. For example $R(I) = S_x[It] \subset S_x[t]$. Define

$$\varphi: S \to R(I), \ x_i \mapsto x_i, \ y_i \mapsto f_i t,$$

and let $J = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$. With the assumption that I is generated in one degree we have that J is a bigraded ideal. Then we will always assume that R(I) = S/J. Note that then $I^j \cong (S/J)_{(*,j)}(-jd)$ for all j. Similarly we may assume that S(I) = S/J for a bigraded ideal J. We also consider the finitely generated S_x -module $S^j(I) = (S/J)_{(*,j)}(-jd)$, which we call the j^{th} symbolic power of I.

For the notion of an s-sequence see [10]. The following results were shown in [10] and [13].

Corollary 3.2. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d. Then

- (i) I can be generated by an s-sequence (with respect to the reverse lexicographic order) if and only if $reg_y(S(I)) = 0$.
- (ii) I can be generated by a d-sequence if and only if $reg_y(R(I)) = 0$.

Proof. In [10] and [13] it was shown that

- (i) I can be generated by an s-sequence (with respect to the reverse lexicographic order) if and only if $n_y \subseteq S(I)$ can be generated by a d-sequence.
- (ii) I can be generated by a d-sequence if and only if $n_y \subseteq R(I)$ can be generated by a d-sequence.

These facts together with 3.1 conclude the proof.

4. BIGENERIC INITIAL IDEALS

We recall the following definitions from [2]. For a monomial $x^u y^v \in S$ we set

$$m_x(x^u y^v) = m(u) = \max\{0, i \text{ with } u_i > 0\},\$$

$$m_y(x^u y^v) = m(v) = \max\{0, i \text{ with } v_i > 0\}.$$

Similarly we define for $L \subseteq [n]$,

$$m(L) = \max\{0, i \text{ with } i \in L\}.$$

Let $J \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. We denote by G(J) the unique minimal system of generators. If $G(J) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$ with $\deg(z_i) = (u_i, v_i)$, then we set $m_x(J) = \max\{|u_i|\}$ and $m_y(J) = \max\{|v_i|\}$.

J is called *bistable* if for all monomials $z \in J$, all $i \leq m_x(z)$, all $j \leq m_y(z)$ one has $x_i z / x_{m_x(z)} \in J$ and $y_j z / y_{m_y(z)} \in J$.

J is called *strongly bistable* if for all monomials $z \in J$, all $i \leq s$ with x_s divides z, all $j \leq t$ with y_t divides z one has $x_i z / x_s \in J$ and $y_j z / y_t \in J$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $J \subseteq S$ be a bistable ideal and R = S/J. Then

- (i) x_n, \ldots, x_1 is an almost regular sequence for R with respect to the x-degree.
- (ii) y_m, \ldots, y_1 is an almost regular sequence for R with respect to the y-degree.

Proof. This follows easily from the fact that J is bistable.

We fix a term order > on S by defining $x^uy^v>x^{u'}y^{v'}$ if either (|u|+|v|,|v|,|u|)>(|u'|+|v'|,|v'|,|u'|) lexicographically or (|u|+|v|,|v|,|u|)=(|u'|+|v'|,|v'|,|u'|) and $x^uy^v>x^{u'}y^{v'}$ reverse lexicographically induced by $y_1>\ldots>y_m>x_1>\ldots>x_n$ (see [8] for details on monomial orders). For a bigraded ideal J we denote by $\mathrm{in}(J)$ the monomial ideal generated by $\mathrm{in}(f)$ for $f\in J$.

In [2] the bigeneric initial ideal bigin(J) was constructed in the following way: Let $G = \operatorname{GL}(n, K) \times \operatorname{GL}(m, K)$ and $g = (d_{ij}, e_{kl}) \in G$. Then g defines an S automorphism by extending $g(x_j) = \sum_i d_{ij}x_i$ and $g(y_l) = \sum_k e_{kl}y_k$. There exists a non empty Zariski open set $U \subset G$ such that for all $g \in U$ we have bigin(J) = in(gJ). We call these $g \in U$ generic (for J).

If char(K) = 0, then bigin(J) is strongly bistable for every bigraded ideal J. See for example [3] for similar results in the graded case.

Proposition 4.2. Let $J \subset S$ be a bigraded ideal. If char(K) = 0, then

$$\operatorname{reg}_x(S/J) = \operatorname{reg}_x(S/\operatorname{bigin}(J)).$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{x} = x_n, \ldots, x_1$, choose $g \in G$ generic for J and let $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{x}_n, \ldots, \tilde{x}_1$ with $x_i = g(\tilde{x}_i)$. We may assume that the sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is almost regular for S/J with respect to the x-degree. Furthermore \mathbf{x} is almost regular for S/bigin(J) with respect to the x-degree by 4.1. We have

$$(0:_{S/((\tilde{x}_n,\dots,\tilde{x}_{i+1})+J)}\tilde{x}_i) \cong (0:_{S/((x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})+q(J))}x_i).$$

It follows from [8, 15.12] that

$$(0:_{S/((x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})+g(J))} x_i) \cong (0:_{S/((x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})+\operatorname{bigin}(J))} x_i).$$

By 2.2 we get the desired result.

Remark 4.3. (i) In general it is not true that

$$\operatorname{reg}_y(S/J) = \operatorname{reg}_y(S/\operatorname{bigin}(J)).$$

For example let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_3, y_1, \ldots, y_3]$ and $J = (y_2x_2 - y_1x_3, y_3x_1 - y_1x_3)$. Then the minimal bigraded free resolution of S/J is given by

$$0 \to S(-2, -2) \to S(-1, -1) \oplus S(-1, -1) \to S \to 0.$$

Therefore

$$reg_x(S/J) = 0$$
 and $reg_y(S/J) = 0$.

On the other hand bigin(J) = $(y_2x_1, y_1x_1, y_1^2x_2)$ with the minimal bigraded free resolution of S/bigin(J)

$$0 \to S(-2, -2) \oplus S(-1, -2)$$

 $\to S(-1, -1) \oplus S(-1, -1) \oplus S(-1, -2) \to S \to 0.$

Hence

$$\operatorname{reg}_x(S/\operatorname{bigin}(J)) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{reg}_y(S/\operatorname{bigin}(J)) = 1.$$

(ii) It is easy to calculate the x- and the y-regularity of bistable ideals. In fact, in [2] it was shown that for a bistable ideal $J \subset S$ we have

$$\operatorname{reg}_x(J) = m_x(J)$$
 and $\operatorname{reg}_y(J) = m_y(J)$.

5. Regularity of powers and symbolic powers of ideals

Consider a bigraded algebra R = S/J, where J is a bistable ideal. Note that by 4.1 the sequence x_n, \ldots, x_1 is almost regular for R with respect to the x-degree. For $i \in [n]$ and $j \geq 0$ we define

$$m_j^i = \max\{a : (0 :_{R/(x_n, \dots, x_{i+1})R} x_i)_{(a,j)} \neq 0\}.$$

Furthermore for a bistable ideal J and $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we set $J_{(*,v)} = I_v y^v$, where $I_v \subset S_x$ is again a monomial ideal, which is stable in the usual sense, that is if $x^u \in I_v$, then $x_i x^u / x_{m(u)} \in I_v$ for $i \leq m(u)$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $J \subseteq S$ be a bistable ideal and R = S/J. Then

- (i) For every $i \in [n]$ and for $j \ge 0$ we have $m_j^i \le m_x(J) 1$.
- (ii) For every $i \in [n]$ and for $j \geq m_y(J)$ we have $m_j^i = m_{m_y(J)}^i$.

Proof. If $G(J) = \{x^{u^k}y^{v^k} : k = 1, ..., r\}$, then $I_v = (x_{u^k} : v^k \leq v)$. This means that for all $x^u \in G(I_v)$ one has $|u| \leq m_x(J)$. For fixed v with |v| = j we have

$$(0:_{R/(x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})R} x_i)_{(*,v)} = \frac{((x_n,\dots,x_{i+1}) + I_v:_{S_x} x_i)}{(x_n,\dots,x_{i+1}) + I_v} y^v$$

and as a K-vector space

$$\frac{((x_n, \dots, x_{i+1}) + I_v :_{S_x} x_i)}{(x_n, \dots, x_{i+1}) + I_v} y^v = \bigoplus_{x^u \in G(I_v), m(u) = i} K((x^u / x_{m(u)}) y^v),$$

because I_v is stable. It follows that

$$m_j^i \le m_x(J) - 1,$$

which is (i).

To prove (ii) we replace J by $J_{(*,\geq m_y(J))}$ and hence may assume that J is generated in y-degree $t=m_y(J)$. Then $G(J)=\{x^{u^k}y^{v^k}: k=1,\ldots,r\}$ with $|v^k|=t$. Let $|u^k|$ be maximal with $m(u^k)=i$ and define $c^i=|u^k|-1$. We show that $m^i_j=c^i$ for $j\geq t$ and this will prove (ii).

First we claim that for $s \geq 0$

$$0 \neq [(x^{u^k}/x_i)y^{v^k}y_n^s] \in (0:_{R/(x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})R} x_i)_{(*,s+t)}.$$

Assume this is not the case, then either

$$(x^{u^k}/x_i)y^{v^k}y_n^s = x_l x^{u'}y^{v'}$$

for some u', v' and $l \ge i + 1$ which contradicts to $m(u^k) = i$. Or

$$(x^{u^k}/x_i)y^{v^k}y_n^s = x^{u^{k'}}y^{v^{k'}}x^{u'}y^{v'}$$

for $x^{u^{k'}}y^{v^{k'}} \in G(J)$. It follows |v'| = s. Let k_1 be the largest integer l with $y_n^l|y^{v^{k'}}$, then

$$(x^{u^k}/x_i)y^{v^k} = ((x^{u^{k'}}y^{v^{k'}}x^{u'})/y_n^{k_1})y^{v'}/y_n^{s-k_1} \in J,$$

because J is bistable, and this is again a contradiction. Therefore the claim is true and we get $m_{s+t}^i \geq c^i$ for $s \geq 0$. By an analogue argument to (i) we have $m_{s+t}^i \leq c^i$, and this concludes the proof.

Remark 5.2. This proposition could also be formulated by changing the roles of x and \mathbf{y} .

Let A be a standard graded K-algebra. For a finitely generated graded A-module M the usual Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is defined to be

$$\operatorname{reg}^{A}(M) = \sup\{r : \beta_{i,i+r}^{A}(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}.$$

In [7] and [12] it was shown that for a graded ideal $I \subset S_x$ the function $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j)$ is a linear function pj + c for $j \gg 0$. In the case that I is generated in one degree we give an upper bound for c and find an integer j_0 for which $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j)$ is a linear function for all $j \geq j_0$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d. Let R(I) = S/J for a bigraded ideal J. Then

- (i) $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) \le jd + \operatorname{reg}_x^S(R(I)).$
- (ii) $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) = jd + c$ for $j \geq m_y(\operatorname{bigin}(J))$ and some constant $0 \leq c \leq \operatorname{reg}_x^S(R(I))$.

Proof. We choose an almost regular sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \tilde{x}_n, \dots, \tilde{x}_1$ for R(I) over S with respect to the x-degree. Thus we have that for all j the sequence $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is almost regular for I^j over S_x in the sense of [3], because $R(I)_{(*,j)}(-dj) \cong I^j$ and

$$(0:_{R(I)/(\tilde{x}_n,\dots,\tilde{x}_{i+1})R(I)}\tilde{x}_i)_{(*,j)}(-dj) = (0:_{I^j/(\tilde{x}_n,\dots,\tilde{x}_{i+1})I^j}\tilde{x}_i).$$

Define m_i^i for bigin(J) as in 5.1. Since

$$(0:_{R(I)/(\tilde{x}_n,\dots,\tilde{x}_{i+1})R(I)}\tilde{x}_i) \cong (0:_{S/((x_n,\dots,x_{i+1})+\text{bigin}(J))}x_i),$$

it follows that

$$jd + m_j^i = r_j^i = \max\{l: (0:_{I^j/(\tilde{x}_n,\dots,\tilde{x}_{i+1})I^j} \tilde{x}_i)_l \neq 0\}.$$

By a characterization of the regularity in [3] we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) = \max\{jd, r_j^1, \dots, r_j^n\}.$$

Hence the assertion follows from 4.2, 4.3(ii) and 5.1.

Similarly as in 5.3 one has:

Theorem 5.4. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d. Let S(I) = S/J for a bigraded ideal J. Then

- (i) $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^j(I)) \leq jd + \operatorname{reg}_x^S(S(I))$. (ii) $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^j(I)) = jd + c$ for $j \geq m_y(\operatorname{bigin}(J))$ and some constant $0 \leq c \leq m_y(\operatorname{bigin}(J))$ $\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S}(S(I)).$

Blum [4] proved the following with different methods.

Corollary 5.5. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d.

(i) If
$$\operatorname{reg}_x(R(I)) = 0$$
, then $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) = jd$ for $j \ge 1$.

(ii) If $\operatorname{reg}_{x}(S(I)) = 0$, then $\operatorname{reg}^{S_{x}}(S^{j}(I)) = jd$ for $j \geq 1$.

Proof. This follows from 5.3 and 5.4.

Next we give a more sophisticated bound for the regularity function becoming linear. Consider a bigraded algebra R. Let y be almost regular for all $\operatorname{Tor}_i^S(S/\mathfrak{m}_x, R)$ with respect to the y-degree. Define

$$w(R) = \max\{b : (0 :_{\text{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/\mathfrak{m}_{x},R)} y)_{(*,b)\neq 0} \text{ for an } i \in [n]\}.$$

Lemma 5.6. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d.

- (i) For j > w(R(I)) we have $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^{j+1}) \ge \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) + d$.
- (ii) For j > w(S(I)) we have $\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^{j+1}(I)) \ge \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^j(I)) + d$.

Proof. We prove the case R = R(I). For j > w(R) one has the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/\mathfrak{m}_{x}, R)_{(*,j)} \xrightarrow{y} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/\mathfrak{m}_{x}, R)_{(*,j+1)}.$$

In [7, 3.3] it was shown that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S}(S/\mathfrak{m}_{x},R)_{(a,j)} \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{S_{x}}(K,I^{j})_{a+jd}$$

and this concludes the proof.

Lemma 5.7. Let R be a bigraded algebra. Then

$$H_{\bullet}(0,m)_{(*,j)} = 0 \text{ for } j > \text{reg}_{u}(R) + m.$$

Proof. We know that

$$H_{\bullet}(0,m) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_{\bullet}(S/\mathfrak{m}_y,R) \cong H_{\bullet}(S/\mathfrak{m}_y \otimes_S F_{\bullet}),$$

where F_{\bullet} is the minimal bigraded free resolution of R over S. The assertion follows.

Thus we get the following exact sequences.

Corollary 5.8. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d.

(i) For $j > \text{reg}_y(R(I)) + m$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to I^{j-m}(-md) \to \bigoplus_m I^{j-m+1}(-(m-1)d) \to \dots \to \bigoplus_m I^{j-1}(-d) \to I^j \to 0.$$

(ii) For $j > \text{reg}_y(S(I)) + m$ we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to S^{j-m}(I)(-md) \to \bigoplus_{m} S^{j-m+1}(I)(-(m-1)d) \to$$
$$\dots \to \bigoplus_{m} S^{j-1}(I)(-d) \to S^{j}(I) \to 0.$$

Proof. This statement follows from 5.7 and the fact that $R(I)_{(*,j)}(-jd) \cong I^j$ and $S(I)_{(*,j)}(-jd) \cong S^j(I)$, respectively.

Corollary 5.9. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d. Then

(i) For $j \ge \max\{\operatorname{reg}_{u}(R(I)) + m, w(R(I)) + m\}$ we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^{j+1}) = d + \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j).$$

(ii) For $j \ge \max\{\operatorname{reg}_y(S(I)) + m, w(S(I)) + m\}$ we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^{j+1}(I)) = d + \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(S^j(I)).$$

Proof. We prove the corollary for R(I). By 5.8 we get by standard arguments (see 6.1 for the bigraded case) that for $j \geq \text{reg}_{\nu}(R) + m$

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^{j+1}) \le \max_{i \in [m]} \{ \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^{j+1-i}) + id - i \}.$$

This together with 5.6 proves the claim.

We now consider a special case, where $reg^{S_x}(I^j)$ can be computed precisely.

Proposition 5.10. Let R = S/J be a bigraded algebra, which is a complete intersection. Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$ be a homogeneous minimal system of generators of J which is a regular sequence. Assume that $\deg_x(z_t) \geq \ldots \geq \deg_x(z_1) > 0$. Then for all $j \geq t$

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(R_{(*,j+1)}) = \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(R_{(*,j)}).$$

If in addition $\deg_x(z_k) = 1$ for all k, then for $j \geq 1$

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(R_{(*,i)}) = 0.$$

Proof. The Koszul $K_{\bullet}(\mathbf{z})$ complex in $\{z_1, \ldots, z_t\}$ provides a minimal bigraded free resolution of R, because these elements form a regular sequence. (*,j) is an exact functor on complexes of bigraded modules. Note that $K_{\bullet}(\mathbf{z})_{(*,j)}$ is an exact complex of free S_x -modules, because

$$K_i(\mathbf{z}) \cong \bigoplus_{\{j_1,\dots,j_i\}\subset [t]} S(-\deg(z_{j_1}) - \dots - \deg(z_{j_i})),$$

and

$$S(-a,-b)_{(*,j)} \cong \bigoplus_{|v|=j-b} S_x(-a)y^v.$$

Furthermore $K_{\bullet}(\mathbf{z})_{(*,j)}$ is minimal by the additional assumption $\deg_x(z_k) > 0$. For $j \geq t$ we have

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(R_{(*,j)}) = \max_{i \in [t]} \{ \deg_x(z_t) + \ldots + \deg_x(z_{t-i+1}) - i \}$$

and this is independent of j. If in addition $\deg_x(z_k) = 1$ for all k, then for $j \geq 1$

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(R_{(*,j)}) = 0.$$

Recall that a graded ideal I is said to be of linear type, if R(I) = S(I). For example ideals generated by d-sequences are of linear type. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset$

 S_x be a graded ideal, which is Cohen-Macaulay of codim 2. By the Hilbert-Burch theorem S_x/I has a minimal graded free resolution

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m-1} S_x(-b_i) \xrightarrow{B} \bigoplus_{i=1}^m S_x(-a_i) \to S_x \to S_x/I \to 0.$$

where $B = (b_{i,j})$ is a $m \times m - 1$ -matrix with $b_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{m}$ and we may assume that the ideal I is generated by the maximal minors of B. The matrix B is said to be the Hilbert-Burch matrix of I. If I is generated in degree d, it follows that S(I) = S/J, where J is the bigraded ideal $(\sum_{i=1}^m b_{i,j}y_i \colon j=1,\ldots,m-1)$.

Corollary 5.11. Let $I = (f_1, \ldots, f_m) \subset S_x$ be a graded ideal generated in degree d, which is Cohen-Macaulay of codim 2 and of linear type. Then for $j \geq m-1$

$$\operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^{j+1}) = \operatorname{reg}^{S_x}(I^j) + d.$$

If additionally $\deg_x(b_{i,j}) = 1$ for $b_{i,j} \neq 0$, then the equality holds for $j \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $B = (b_{i,j})$ be the $m \times m - 1$ Hilbert-Burch matrix of I. Because I is of linear type, we have R(I) = S/J with $J = (\sum_{i=1}^m b_{i,j}y_i : j = 1, \ldots, m-1)$. One knows that (Krull-) dim(R(I)) = n + 1. Since J is defined by m - 1 equations, we conclude that R(I) is a complete intersection. Now apply 5.10.

6. Bigraded Veronese algebras

Let R be a bigraded algebra and fix $\tilde{\Delta} = (s, t)$. We call

$$R_{\tilde{\Delta}} = \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2} R_{(as,bt)}$$

the bigraded Veronese algebra of R with respect to $\tilde{\Delta}$ (see for example [9] for the graded case and [6] for similar constructions in the bigraded case). Note that this is again a bigraded algebra.

We want to relate $\operatorname{reg}_x^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}})$ and $\operatorname{reg}_y^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}})$ to $\operatorname{reg}_x^S(R)$ and $\operatorname{reg}_y^S(R)$. We follow the way presented in [6] for the case of diagonals.

Lemma 6.1. Let R be a bigraded algebra and

$$0 \to M_r \to \ldots \to M_0 \to N \to 0$$

be an exact complex of finitely generated bigraded R-modules. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}_x^R(N) \le \sup_{0 \le k \le r} \{\operatorname{reg}_x^R(M_k) - k\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{reg}_{y}^{R}(N) \leq \sup_{0 \leq k \leq r} \{\operatorname{reg}_{y}^{R}(M_{k}) - k\}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on r the inequality for $\operatorname{reg}_x^R(N)$. The case r=0 is trivial. Now let r>0, and consider

$$0 \to N' \to M_0 \to N \to 0,$$

where N' is the kernel of $M_0 \to N$. Then for every integer a we have the exact sequence

$$\dots \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(M_0, K)_{(a+i, *)} \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(N, K)_{(a+i, *)} \to \operatorname{Tor}_{i-1}^R(N', K)_{(a+1+i-1, *)} \to \dots$$
 and get

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{R}(N) \le \sup\{\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{R}(M_{0}), \operatorname{reg}_{x}^{R}(N') - 1\} \le \sup_{0 \le k \le r} \{\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{R}(M_{k}) - k\},$$

where the last inequality follows from the induction hypothesis. Analogously we get the inequality for $\operatorname{reg}_y^R(N)$.

Lemma 6.2. Let A and B be graded K-algebras, let M be a finitely generated A-module and let N be a finitely generated B-module. Then $M \otimes_K N$ is a finitely generated $A \otimes_K B$ -module with

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{A\otimes_{K}B}(M\otimes_{K}N) = \operatorname{reg}^{A}(M)$$
 and $\operatorname{reg}_{y}^{A\otimes_{K}B}(M\otimes_{K}N) = \operatorname{reg}^{B}(N)$.

Proof. Let F_{\bullet} be a minimal graded free resolution of M over A and G_{\bullet} be a minimal graded free resolution of N over B. Then $H_{\bullet} = F_{\bullet} \otimes_K G_{\bullet}$ is a minimal bigraded free resolution of $M \otimes_K N$ over $A \otimes_K B$ with $H_i = \bigoplus_{k+l=i} F_k \otimes G_l$. Since $A(-a) \otimes_K B(-b) = (A \otimes_K B)(-a, -b)$, the assertion follows.

Theorem 6.3. Let R be a bigraded algebra, $\tilde{\Delta} = (s,t)$. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x_{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}}) \le \max\{c \colon c = \lceil a/s \rceil - i, \beta_{i,(a,b)}^{S}(R) \ne 0 \text{ for some } i, b\},\$$

and

$$\operatorname{reg}_{y^{\bar{\Delta}}}^{S_{\bar{\Delta}}}(R_{\bar{\Delta}}) \leq \max\{c \colon c = \lceil b/t \rceil - i, \beta_{i,(a,b)}^{S}(R) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, a\}.$$

Proof. By symmetry it suffices to show the inequality for $\operatorname{reg}_x^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Lambda}})$. Let

$$0 \to F_r \to \ldots \to F_0 \to R \to 0$$

be a minimal bigraded free resolution of R over S. We obtain the exact complex of finitely generated $S_{\tilde{\Delta}}$ -modules

$$0 \to (F_r)_{\tilde{\Delta}} \to \ldots \to (F_0)_{\tilde{\Delta}} \to R_{\tilde{\Delta}} \to 0.$$

By 6.1 we have

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}}) \le \max\{\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}((F_{i})_{\tilde{\Delta}}) - i\}.$$

Since

$$F_i = \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}^2} S(-a,-b)^{\beta_{i,(a,b)}^S(R)},$$

one has

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x^{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}((F_{i})_{\tilde{\Delta}}) = \max\{\operatorname{reg}_{x^{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}} S(-a, -b)_{\tilde{\Delta}} \colon \beta_{i,(a,b)}^{S}(R) \neq 0\}.$$

We have to compute $\operatorname{reg}_x^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}} S(-a, -b)_{\tilde{\Delta}}$. Let M_0, \ldots, M_{s-1} be the relative Veronese modules of S_x and N_0, \ldots, N_{t-1} be the relative Veronese modules of S_y . That is

 $M_j = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (S_x)_{ks+j}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, s-1$ and $N_j = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (S_y)_{kt+j}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, t-1$. Then

$$S(-a,-b)_{\tilde{\Delta}} = \bigoplus_{(k,l)\in\mathbb{N}^2} (S_x)_{ks-a} \otimes_K (S_y)_{lt-b} = M_i(-\lceil a/s \rceil) \otimes_K N_j(-\lceil b/t \rceil),$$

where $i \equiv -a \mod s$ for $0 \le i \le s-1$ and $j \equiv -b \mod t$ for $0 \le j \le t-1$.

The relative Veronese modules over a polynomial ring have a linear resolution over the Veronese algebra by [1]. Hence by 6.2

$$\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}} S(-a, -b)_{\tilde{\Delta}} = \lceil a/s \rceil.$$

This concludes the proof.

Corollary 6.4. Let R be a bigraded algebra.

- (i) For $s \gg 0$ and $\tilde{\Delta} = (s, t)$ one has $\operatorname{reg}_{x}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Lambda}}) = 0$.
- (ii) For $t \gg 0$ and $\tilde{\Delta} = (s, t)$ one has $\operatorname{reg}_{y_{\tilde{\Delta}}}^{S_{\tilde{\Delta}}}(R_{\tilde{\Delta}}) = 0$.

References

- [1] A. Aramova, S. Barcanescu and J. Herzog, On the rate of relative Veronese submodules, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **40** (1995), no. 3-4, 243-251.
- [2] A. Aramova, K. Crona and E. de Negri, Bigeneric initial ideals, diagonal subalgebras and bigraded Hilbert functions, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **150** (2000), no. 3, 215-235.
- [3] A. Aramova and J. Herzog, Almost regular sequences and Betti numbers, *Amer. J. Math.* **122** (2000), no. 4, 689-719.
- [4] S. Blum, personal communication.
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, "Cohen-Macaulay rings", Revised Edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] A. Conca, J. Herzog, N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Diagonal subalgebras of bigraded algebras and embeddings of blow-ups of projective spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997), no. 4, 859-901.
- [7] S. D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog and N. V. Trung, Asymptotic behavior of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Compositio Math.* **118** (1999), no. 3, 243-261.
- [8] D. Eisenbud, "Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [9] D. Eisenbud, A. Reeves and B. Totaro, Initial ideals, Veronese subrings and rates of algebras, *Adv. Math* **169** (1994), no. 2, 168-187.
- [10] J. Herzog, G. Restuccia and Z. Tang, s-sequences and symmetric algebras, preprint (2000).
- [11] C. Huneke, The theory of d-sequences and powers of ideals, Adv. in Math. 46 (1982), no. 3, 249-279.
- [12] V. Kodiyalam, Asymptotic behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), no. 2, 407-411.
- [13] N. V. Trung, The Castelnuovo regularity of the Rees algebra and the associated graded ring, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 7, 2813-2832.

Tim Römer FB6 Mathematik und Informatik Universität GH-Essen 45117 Essen Germany tim.roemer@uni-essen.de