

Metrics on the Real Quantum Plane

G. Fiore,^{1,2} M. Maceda,³ J. Madore^{3,4}

¹Dip. di Matematica e Applicazioni, Fac. di Ingegneria
Università di Napoli, V. Claudio 21, 80125 Napoli

²I.N.F.N., Sezione di Napoli
Mostra d'Oltremare, Pad. 19, 80125 Napoli

³Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Energies
Université de Paris-Sud, Bâtiment 211, F-91405 Orsay

⁴Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Physik
Invalidenstrasse 110. D-10115 Berlin

Abstract

Using the frame formalism we consider some possible metrics on the real quantum plane. We require that the metric be real and symmetric. In practice this means that we use the freedom of noncommutative geometry to impose a different ‘ σ -symmetry’, which is chosen so that a complex metric is ‘ σ -real’ and an un-symmetric metric is ‘ σ -symmetric’. The notion of reality and symmetry are changed so that the definition of hermitian does not change. An analysis is then made of a set of possible metrics.

1 Introduction and notation

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $*$ -algebra with differential calculus $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ [1] and suppose that it has a frame [2, 3], a set of 1-forms θ^i dual to a set of inner derivations $e_i = \text{ad } \lambda_i$ and which therefore commutes with the elements of the algebra:

$$\theta^i f = f \theta^i. \quad (1.1)$$

The differential calculus will be real [4, 5] if the λ_i are anti-hermitian. Using the frame we can set

$$df = e_i f \theta^i \quad (1.2)$$

from which it follows that the module structure of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is given by

$$f dg = (f e_i g) \theta^i, \quad dg f = (e_i g) f \theta^i.$$

If a frame exists the module $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is free of rank n as a left or right module. It can therefore be identified with the direct sum

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_1^n \mathcal{A} \quad (1.3)$$

of n copies of $\mathcal{A}$. In this representation θ^i is given by the element of the direct sum with the unit in the i -th position and zero elsewhere. We shall refer to the integer n as the dimension of the geometry.

Let π be the product in $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ and set

$$\pi(\theta^i \otimes \theta^j) = P^{ij}_{kl} \theta^k \otimes \theta^l, \quad P^{ij}_{kl} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Since π is a projection we have

$$P^{ij}_{mn} P^{mn}_{kl} = P^{ij}_{kl} \quad (1.4)$$

and the product $\theta^i \theta^j$ satisfies

$$\theta^i \theta^j = P^{ij}_{kl} \theta^k \theta^l. \quad (1.5)$$

If the θ^i anti-commute then

$$P^{ij}_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_k^i \delta_l^j - \delta_k^j \delta_l^i). \quad (1.6)$$

Since the exterior derivative of θ^i is a 2-form it can necessarily be written as

$$d\theta^i = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk} \theta^j \theta^k.$$

where, because of (1.5), the structure elements can be chosen to satisfy the constraints

$$C^i_{jk} P^{jk}_{lm} = C^i_{lm}.$$

From (1.3) it follows immediately that the algebra and its differential calculus are related in a simple manner. Let Λ_P^* be the exterior algebra over $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the twisted product defined by (1.5). Then with the identification (1.3) it follows that one can write

$$\Omega^*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \otimes \Lambda_P^*. \quad (1.7)$$

It follows that a representation of the algebra yields a representation of the differential calculus. Furthermore if one uses the embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into the quotient algebra $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})/\Omega^2(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$x^i \mapsto x^i + dx^i \quad (1.8)$$

then inversely any representation of $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ yields a representation of $\mathcal{A}$. This procedure can be continued indefinitely. If $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is of rank n then a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ will yield a representation of $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})/\Omega^2(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ and the embedding (1.8) will yield a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$. If the module structure of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ is trivial then this new representation is just n copies of the original one. In general this will not be the case.

From the generators θ^i we can construct a 1-form

$$\theta = -\lambda_i \theta^i \quad (1.9)$$

in $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ which plays the role [1] of a Dirac operator:

$$df = -[\theta, f].$$

We introduce the coefficients K_{ij} by the equation

$$d\theta + \theta^2 = -\frac{1}{2}K_{ij}\theta^i\theta^j. \quad (1.10)$$

If we write then the identity $d^2 = 0$ as

$$d(\theta f - f\theta) = [d\theta, f] + [\theta, [\theta, f]] = [d\theta + \theta^2, f] = 0$$

we see that the K_{ij} must lie in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Again from (1.5) they can be chosen to satisfy the constraints

$$K_{jk}P^{jk}_{lm} = K_{lm}.$$

It will also be convenient to introduce the quantities

$$C^{ij}_{kl} = \delta^i_k \delta^j_l - 2P^{ij}_{kl}. \quad (1.11)$$

Then from (1.4) we find that

$$C^{ij}_{kl}C^{kl}_{mn} = \delta^i_m \delta^j_n. \quad (1.12)$$

From the general consistency of the differential calculus it follows that

$$2P^{ij}_{kl}\lambda_i\lambda_j - F^i_{kl}\lambda_i - K_{kl} = 0$$

for some array of numbers F^i_{jk} .

We introduce [6] a flip σ :

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}). \quad (1.13)$$

In terms of the frame it is given by $S^{ij}_{kl} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$\sigma(\theta^i \otimes \theta^j) = S^{ij}_{kl} \theta^k \otimes \theta^l.$$

It must satisfy the reality constraint [5], which takes the simple form

$$(S^{ji}_{kl})^* S^{lk}_{mn} = \delta_m^i \delta_n^j \quad (1.14)$$

if $(\theta^i)^* = \theta^i$.

A covariant derivative on the module $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ must satisfy both a left and a right Leibniz rule. We use the ordinary left Leibniz rule and define the right Leibniz rule as

$$D(\xi f) = \sigma(\xi \otimes df) + (D\xi)f \quad (1.15)$$

for arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$.

For every differential calculus and flip one can construct the linear connection

$$\omega^i_{jk} = \lambda_l (S^{il}_{jk} - \delta_j^l \delta_k^i). \quad (1.16)$$

The connection 1-form is given by

$$\omega^i_k = \lambda_l S^{il}_{jk} \theta^j + \delta_k^i \theta. \quad (1.17)$$

When $F^i_{jk} = 0$ the curvature of the covariant derivative D defined in (1.16) can be readily calculated. One finds the expression

$$\frac{1}{2} R^i_{jkl} = S^{im}_{rn} S^{np}_{sj} P^{rs}_{kl} \lambda_m \lambda_p - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i K_{kl}. \quad (1.18)$$

This can also be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} R^i_{jkl} = -S^{im}_{rn} S^{np}_{sj} S^{rs}_{uv} P^{uv}_{kl} \lambda_m \lambda_p - \frac{1}{2} \delta_j^i K_{kl}.$$

In complete analogy with the commutative case a metric g can be defined as an $\mathcal{A}$ -bilinear, nondegenerate map [7]

$$\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{A} \quad (1.19)$$

and as such it can [8] be used to define a ‘distance’ between ‘points’. It is important to notice here that the bilinearity is an alternative way of expressing locality. In ordinary differential geometry if ξ and η are 1-forms then the value of $g(\xi \otimes \eta)$ at a given point depends only on the values of ξ and η at that point. Bilinearity is an exact expression of this fact. In general the algebra introduces a certain amount of non-locality via the commutation relations and it is important to assure that all geometric quantities be just that nonlocal and not more. Without the bilinearity condition it is not possible to distinguish for example in ordinary space-time a metric which assigns a function to a vector field in such a way that the value

at a given point depends only on the vector at that point from one which is some sort of convolution over the entire manifold.

We define frame components of the metric by

$$g^{ij} = g(\theta^i \otimes \theta^j).$$

They lie necessarily in the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ of the algebra. The condition that (1.16) be metric-compatible can be written as

$$S^{im}_{ln} g^{np} S^{jk}_{mp} = g^{ij} \delta_l^k. \quad (1.20)$$

One can understand this seemingly odd condition by introducing a ‘covariant derivative’ $D_i X^j$ of a ‘vector’ X^j . The covariant derivative $D_i(X^j Y)$ of the product of X^j by a ‘field’ Y must be then defined as

$$D_i(X^j Y) = D_i X^j Y + S^{jl}_{im} X^m D_l Y$$

since there is a ‘flip’ as the index on the derivation crosses the index on the first ‘vector’. If we apply again this rule to $Y = Y^k Z$, with Y^k also a ‘vector’ and Z another ‘field’ we find

$$D_i(X^j Y^k Z) = D_i(X^j Y^k) Z + S^{jl}_{im} X^m Y^p S^{kn}_{lp} D_n Z.$$

Since g^{jk} is a bivector, the ‘crossing rule’ is the same as for $X^j Y^k$:

$$D_i(g^{jk} Z) = D_i g^{jk} Z + S^{jl}_{im} g^{mp} S^{kn}_{lp} D_n Z.$$

The condition that the metric be constant under parallel transport then implies (1.20) and

$$D_i g^{jk} = 0.$$

We shall require that the metric be symmetric in the sense

$$g \circ \pi = 0 \quad (1.21)$$

that it annihilates the 2-forms. We shall impose also the condition

$$\pi \circ (\sigma + 1) = 0 \quad (1.22)$$

that the antisymmetric part of a symmetric tensor vanish. This can be considered as a condition on the product or on the flip. In ordinary geometry it is the definition of π ; a 2-form can be considered as an antisymmetric tensor. Because of this condition the torsion is a bilinear map [7]. The most general solution can be written in the form

$$1 + \sigma = (1 - \pi) \circ \tau \quad (1.23)$$

where τ is arbitrary. Suppose that τ is invertible. Then because of the identity

$$1 = \pi + (1 + \sigma) \circ \tau^{-1}$$

one can identify the second term on the right-hand side as the projection onto the symmetric part of the tensor product. The choice $\tau = 2$ yields the value $\sigma = 1 - 2\pi$. If τ is not invertible then there arises the possibility that part of the tensor product is neither symmetric nor antisymmetric.

We use σ to impose the reality condition [5]

$$S^{ij}{}_{kl}g^{kl} = (g^{ji})^* \quad (1.24)$$

on the metric, valid in this form for a real frame. This is a combination of a ‘twisted’ symmetry condition and the ordinary condition of reality on a complex matrix. It can also be written as an ordinary condition of symmetry and a ‘twisted’ definition of reality. Using σ one can also impose [5] a reality condition on the curvature.

Every representation of $\mathcal{A}$ yields a distance between ‘points’ because of (1.7). Let $dt = \xi_i \theta^i \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$ be an exact form, which we can think of as an infinitesimal displacement along an axis t and suppose that $|p\rangle$ is a common eigenvector of all the ξ_i : $\xi_i|p\rangle = \tilde{\xi}_i|p\rangle$. This would be the case for example if only one of them is not equal to zero. We define the element of distance δs along the ‘coordinate’ t at the state $|p\rangle$ by the equation

$$(\delta s)^2 = \langle p|ds^2|p\rangle = g^{ij}\tilde{\xi}_i\tilde{\xi}_j.$$

Let $\hbar$ be the length scale at which points become fuzzy and K^{-1} the scale at which the curvature effects become important. The definition of g which we have given is unambiguous but the interpretation of the norm $|\delta s|^2$ of an infinitesimal displacement as a distance can be only made within the range

$$\hbar \ll |\delta s|^2 \ll K^{-1}.$$

If the displacement is too small then the points are not defined; if it is too large then an integral must be taken. The second problem was solved by Leibniz/Newton; the first is a feature, not a bug, of noncommutative geometry. We are especially interested in the region $|\delta s|^2 \simeq \hbar$ where the noncommutative effects become of interest. Let $\mathcal{A}(t)$ be a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ generated by a single hermitian element t and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{A} \\ \uparrow \iota & & \downarrow \pi \\ \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}(t)) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}(t)} \Omega^1(\mathcal{A}(t)) & \xrightarrow{g(t)} & \mathcal{A}(t) \end{array}$$

where π is a projection of $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{A}(t)$. The $g(t)$ is defined as the composition

$$g(t) = \pi \circ g \circ \iota.$$

The ι is defined by the above construction. One must identify dt as an element of $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A})$. We shall say that the geometry we have associated to $\mathcal{A}$ is complete if every commutative

subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(t)$ generated by some $t \in \mathcal{A}$ is the algebra of functions on a complete 1-dimensional manifold with respect to the metric $g(t)$.

There exist other definitions of distance. One proposal [9, 10, 11] uses the Dirac operator to define distance on the space of pure states. Several authors [12, 13] do not consider the bilinearity condition we have imposed as important and several [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] consider the invariance under the coaction of a quantum group as essential.

It is sometimes convenient to write the metric as a sum

$$g^{ij} = g_S^{ij} + g_A^{ij}$$

of a symmetric and an antisymmetric part (in the usual sense of the word) The inverse matrix we write as a sum

$$g_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + B_{ij}$$

of a symmetric and an antisymmetric term. We shall choose as normalization when possible the condition that η_{ij} be the standard Minkowski or euclidean form.

2 The Wess-Zumino calculus

The extended quantum plane is the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by the hermitian elements $x^i = (x, y)$ with their inverses and the relation

$$xy = \tilde{q}yx \tag{2.1}$$

as well as the usual relations between inverses. We define, for $\tilde{q}^4 \neq 1$,

$$\lambda_1 = -\epsilon_1 \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\tilde{q}^4 - 1} x^{-2} y^2, \quad \lambda_2 = \epsilon_2 \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^4 - 1} x^{-2}.$$

There is an ambiguity in this definition due to the fact that the defining relations (2.1) are homogeneous and which we have reduce to a sign: $\epsilon_a = \pm 1$. The extra minus is a ‘historical convenience’. The important fact is that the λ_a are singular in the limit $\tilde{q} \rightarrow 1$ and that they are anti-hermitian if $\tilde{q}$ is of unit modulus. We find for $\tilde{q}^2 \neq -1$

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 x &= \epsilon_1 \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{(\tilde{q}^2 + 1)} x^{-1} y^2, & e_1 y &= \epsilon_1 \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\tilde{q}^2 + 1} x^{-2} y^3, \\ e_2 x &= 0, & e_2 y &= -\epsilon_2 \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + 1} x^{-2} y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

These derivations are again extended to arbitrary polynomials in the generators by the Leibniz rule. Using them we find

$$dx = \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{(\tilde{q}^2 + 1)} x^{-1} y^2 \epsilon_1 \theta^1, \quad dy = \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\tilde{q}^2 + 1} x^{-2} y (\tilde{q}^2 y^2 \epsilon_1 \theta^1 - \epsilon_2 \theta^2) \tag{2.3}$$

and solving for the θ^i we obtain

$$\epsilon_1 \theta^1 = (\tilde{q}^2 + 1)xy^{-2}dx, \quad \epsilon_2 \theta^2 = -(\tilde{q}^2 + 1)x(xy^{-1}dy - dx).$$

The module structure which follows from the condition (1.1) that the θ^i commute with the elements of the algebra is equivalent to the Wess-Zumino relations [21]

$$\begin{aligned} xdx &= \tilde{q}^2 dx, & xdy &= \tilde{q} dyx + (\tilde{q}^2 - 1)dx, \\ ydx &= \tilde{q} dxy, & ydy &= \tilde{q}^2 dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

One can show that they are invariant under the coaction of the quantum group $SL_q(2, \mathbb{C})$. This invariance was encoded in the choice of λ_a .

Consider the change of generators defined by

$$u = \epsilon_2 x^2, \quad v = \epsilon_1 (\sqrt{\tilde{q}} xy^{-1})^2.$$

We shall see that each of the four possible choices of sign combinations corresponds to an identification of x and y as the coordinates of one of the four regions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by the light cone of a metric with Minkowski signature. If one sets also $q = \tilde{q}^{-4}$ then one finds that (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} udu &= q duu, & udv &= q dvu, \\ vdu &= q^{-1} duv, & vdv &= q^{-1} dvv. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The algebra is still defined by a quadratic relation $uv = qvu$. In terms of the new generators the θ^i become

$$\theta^1 = q^{-1/2}(uv^{-1})^{-1}du, \quad \theta^2 = q^{1/2}(uv^{-1})dv.$$

What we have done in fact is use the λ_a^{-1} as generators of the algebra and the differential calculus; otherwise nothing has been changed. The form θ is most conveniently expressed in terms of the λ_a . Since

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{q^{1/2}}{q-1}v^{-1}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{q^{1/2}}{q-1}u^{-1} \tag{2.6}$$

we find that

$$\theta = \frac{1}{q-1}(q\lambda_2^{-1}d\lambda_2 - \lambda_1^{-1}d\lambda_1).$$

It is an anti-hermitian closed form. The volume element is a product of two exact forms:

$$\theta^1 \theta^2 = dudv.$$

The structure of the differential algebra is given by the relations

$$(\theta^1)^2 = 0, \quad (\theta^2)^2 = 0, \quad \theta^1 \theta^2 + q \theta^2 \theta^1 = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

This can be written in the form (1.5). If we reorder the indices $(11, 12, 21, 22) = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ then the C^{ij}_{kl} introduced in (1.11) is given by the expression

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

That is, $C^{12}_{21} = q$ and $C^{21}_{12} = q^{-1}$. The reality of the differential implies that the structure elements must satisfy the conditions

$$((C^i_{jk})^* + C^i_{jk})P^{jk}_{lm} = 0$$

from which follows that

$$(C^i_{21})^* = -C^i_{12} = q^{-1}C^i_{12}, \quad (C^i_{12})^* = -C^i_{21} = qC^i_{21}.$$

are given by

$$C^1_{12} = (q^{-1} - 1)\lambda_2, \quad C^2_{12} = (q^{-1} - 1)\lambda_1. \quad (2.8)$$

The C^i_{jk} do not depend on the sign ambiguities. With the generators

$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u + v), \quad r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u - v) \quad (2.9)$$

the four possible sign combinations can be written as

$$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 : \quad \text{sgn}(t) = \epsilon_1, \quad \epsilon_1 = -\epsilon_2 : \quad \text{sgn}(r) = \epsilon_2.$$

We shall later in Section 5.1 introduce a light-cone and interpret these relations in terms of space-like and time-like.

Introduce the notation

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ r \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Xi = \begin{pmatrix} dt \\ dr \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi\gamma) & i \sin(\pi\gamma) \\ i \sin(\pi\gamma) & \cos(\pi\gamma) \end{pmatrix} \quad q = e^{2\pi i \gamma}.$$

Then Q is unitary. The commutation relations in $\Omega^*(\mathcal{A})$ can be written in the form

$$X^t(Q\sigma_2)X = 0, \quad X\Xi^t = \Xi(Q^2X)^t, \quad \Xi^tQ\Xi = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

The σ_2 is the Pauli matrix.

3 Alternative reality conditions

There are alternative reality conditions which allow for real q . One can impose the conditions $u^* = v$, $v^* = u$. In terms of the original variables x and y this implies that

$$x^* = \pm \tilde{q}^{1/2}xy^{-1}, \quad y^* = y.$$

It follows that the frame satisfies

$$(\theta^1)^* = \theta^2, \quad (\theta^2)^* = \theta^1$$

and so one can introduce a real frame by taking the real and imaginary parts or consider the resulting structure as a q -deformed complex line. This is better with the change of generators

$$t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u + v), \quad r = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(u - v). \quad (3.1)$$

It is equivalent to a replacement $\gamma \mapsto i\gamma$ in the formula (2.10).

There is a second differential calculus over the quantum plane. If we distinguish it with an index ‘−’ and add an index ‘+’ to the one we have been using then the relations between the two calculi can be written as

$$\lambda_{1\pm} = \frac{q_{\pm}^{1/2}}{q_{\pm} - 1} v_{\pm}^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{2\pm} = -\frac{q_{\pm}^{1/2}}{q_{\pm} - 1} u_{\pm}^{-1}$$

where the generators are related by

$$u_{\pm} = q_{\pm}^{1/2} u_{\mp} v_{\mp}^{-1}, \quad v_{\pm} = v_{\mp}^{-1}.$$

The frames can be now written in the form

$$\theta_{\pm}^1 = u_{\mp} du_{\pm}, \quad \theta_{\pm}^2 = q_{\pm} u_{\mp} dv_{\pm}.$$

4 Representations

An extensive discussion of the representations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ has been given [22]. We recall parts of it to illustrate our interpretation of the geometry. It is easy to see that there can be no normed basis with u or v diagonal. Suppose in fact that there is a basis with $v|j\rangle = v_j|j\rangle$. Since v is hermitian the eigenvalue $v_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the commutation relations one sees that $v(u|j\rangle) = q^{-1}v_j(u|j\rangle)$ and so $u|j\rangle$ is also an eigenvector with eigenvalue $q^{-1}v_j \notin \mathbb{R}$. One concludes therefore that $u|j\rangle \notin \mathcal{H}$. More specifically one can consider $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the plane-wave basis $|k\rangle = e^{ikx}$. The operator $u = -i\partial_x$ is hermitian on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and diagonal: $u|k\rangle = k|k\rangle$. We can formally set

$$v|k\rangle = |qk\rangle = e^{-iqkx}$$

in order to have the correct commutation relations but u is not properly defined on the plane-wave basis.

As solution to this problem we restrict our representation space to the positive real line $\mathbb{R}^+$ with free boundary condition at $x = 0$. The Laplace transform replaces the Fourier transform and so we choose as basis $|k\rangle = e^{-kx}$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re k > 0$. We need in fact

represent only one (at a time) of the four regions defined by the light ‘cone’ and we choose the one defined by $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1$. Our sign conventions were partly dictated by the desire that this be the forward light-cone. We choose [22] then two positive real numbers α and β with $\alpha\beta = \gamma$ and we define on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$

$$(uf)(x) = f(x + i\beta), \quad (vf)(x) = e^{-2\pi\alpha x} f(x).$$

Both u and v are formally hermitian and bounded. It is more convenient to express them in terms of the Laplace transform, which we recall is given by

$$F(k) = (Lf)(k) = \int_0^\infty f(x)e^{-kx} dx, \quad f(x) = (L^{-1}F)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} F(k)e^{kx} dk$$

where a depends on the growth rate of the function. We have then

$$(uF)(k) \equiv (L(uf))(k) = e^{i\beta k} F(k), \quad (vF)(k) \equiv (L(vf))(k) = F(k + 2\pi\alpha).$$

In particular these transformation formulae are valid on the basis $|k\rangle = e^{-kx}$. The operators u and v are well-defined and positive for $\Re k > 0$.

5 The metrics and their connections

We now consider some possible metrics on the real quantum plane. We require that the metric be ‘ σ -real’ and ‘ σ -symmetric’. This means that we use the extra freedom of noncommutative geometry to impose a different symmetry, which is chosen so that a complex metric becomes real and a non-symmetric metric is symmetric. The notion of reality and symmetry are changed so that the definition of hermitian does not change.

With our index conventions the metric is written as $g^{ij} = (g^1, g^2, g^3, g^4)$ and so the condition (1.20) can be written in the matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} S^1_1 & S^1_2 & S^1_3 & S^1_4 \\ S^2_1 & S^2_2 & S^2_3 & S^2_4 \\ S^3_1 & S^3_2 & S^3_3 & S^3_4 \\ S^4_1 & S^4_2 & S^4_3 & S^4_4 \end{pmatrix} \times (S_{(g)}) = \begin{pmatrix} g^1 & 0 & g^3 & 0 \\ 0 & g^1 & 0 & g^3 \\ g^2 & 0 & g^4 & 0 \\ 0 & g^2 & 0 & g^4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.1)$$

where we have introduced the matrix $S_{(g)}$ defined by

$$S_{(g)} = \begin{pmatrix} S^1_1 g^1 + S^1_2 g^3 & S^1_3 g^1 + S^1_4 g^3 & S^3_1 g^1 + S^3_2 g^3 & S^3_3 g^1 + S^3_4 g^3 \\ S^1_1 g^2 + S^1_2 g^4 & S^1_3 g^2 + S^1_4 g^4 & S^3_1 g^2 + S^3_2 g^4 & S^3_3 g^2 + S^3_4 g^4 \\ S^2_1 g^1 + S^2_2 g^3 & S^2_3 g^1 + S^2_4 g^3 & S^4_1 g^1 + S^4_2 g^3 & S^4_3 g^1 + S^4_4 g^3 \\ S^2_1 g^2 + S^2_2 g^4 & S^2_3 g^2 + S^2_4 g^4 & S^4_1 g^2 + S^4_2 g^4 & S^4_3 g^2 + S^4_4 g^4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2)$$

If we introduce the matrix

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -q & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

of frame components for π then the condition (1.21) is equivalent to the relation

$$g^2 = qg^3. \quad (5.4)$$

The consistency condition (1.22) is equivalent to the conditions

$$S^1_3 = qS^1_2, \quad S^2_3 = q(S^2_2 + 1), \quad S^3_3 = qS^3_2 - 1, \quad S^4_3 = qS^4_2. \quad (5.5)$$

The equations to be solved then are Equations (5.1), (5.4) and (5.5). We are especially interested in real solutions, which satisfy therefore also (1.24). We have found that there are several types of solutions, four of which we shall describe in the following subsections. One can show that there are no solutions with $\tau = 2$. A complete classification has been given [23] of the solutions to the braid equation as well [24, 25] as of those which satisfy a weaker modified equation.

If one considers locality as of importance only in the commutative limit then there is no restriction on the coefficients of the metric, except that they be local functions in this limit. If one considers locality as of importance even before the limit but is willing to accept a metric which is real and symmetric only in the commutative limit then the most general line element one can obtain is of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}\theta^i \otimes_S \theta^j.$$

The subscript S indicates a symmetrized tensor product; the g_{ij} is a real symmetric matrix and the moving frame θ^i is defined by

$$\theta^1 = vu^{-1}du, \quad \theta^2 = uv^{-1}dv.$$

The line element becomes then

$$ds^2 = g_1v^2u^{-2}du^2 + 2g_2dudv + g_4u^2v^{-2}dv^2. \quad (5.6)$$

The product here is the symmetrized tensor product; not the exterior product.

The associated metric connection is given by the structure functions

$$C^1_{12} = u^{-1}, \quad C^2_{12} = -v^{-1}.$$

If we interpret the matrix g_{ij} as the components of the Killing metric on $SO(2)$ or $SO(1, 1)$ then we can use it to calculate the connection form. The result will be of the form

$$\omega^i_j = A^i_{jk}u^{-1}\theta^k + B^i_{jk}v^{-1}\theta^k$$

with $g_{ik}\omega^k_j$ antisymmetric in the two indices. The Gaussian curvature K is a second-order homogeneous polynomial in the variables u^{-1} and v^{-1} :

$$K = \kappa_{11}u^{-2} + 2\kappa_{12}u^{-1}v^{-1} + \kappa_{22}v^{-2}.$$

5.1 Solution I

A family of solutions can be found with a Minkowski-signature metric. These are the most interesting solutions. With the convenient normalization of the metric so that $g^3 = q^{-1/2}$ the flip is given by the matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} q & -q^{-1/2}\zeta & -q^{1/2}\zeta & q^{-1}(q^2 - 1)^{-1}\zeta^2(q^2 + 1) \\ 0 & 0 & q & -q^{-1/2}\zeta \\ 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & q^{-3/2}\zeta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It tends to the ordinary flip as $q \rightarrow 1$ and for $\zeta = 0$ is a solution to the braid equation. The corresponding metric given by

$$g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} (q - 1)^{-1}\zeta & q^{1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

From (5.4) one sees that it is σ -symmetric for all g^1 and real if $g^1 = 0$. In this case σ is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

The σ and π are related as in (1.23) with (using the same conventions)

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.9)$$

The fact that T is not proportional to the identity is due to the fact that the map $(1 + \sigma)/2$ is not a projector and that we would like it to act as such and be the complementary to π . The metric is of indefinite signature and in ‘light-cone’ coordinates. If we use the expression $q = e^{2\pi i \zeta}$ we find that

$$g_S^{ij} = \cos(\pi \zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_A^{ij} = i \sin(\pi \zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.10)$$

The inverse metric components are defined by the equation

$$g_{ij} g^{jk} = \delta_i^k.$$

This matrix also can be split. If we rescale so that the symmetric part is of the standard form we find

$$\eta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{ij} = i \tan(\pi \zeta) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The metric connection has vanishing curvature. The linear connection (1.16) is given by

$$\omega^i_j = (1 - q) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \theta.$$

Because of the identities

$$d\theta = 0, \quad \theta^2 = 0$$

the curvature vanishes; with the choice (5.8) of flip the quantum plane is flat. In the commutative limit the line element is given by

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}\theta^i \otimes_S \theta^j = 2\theta^1 \otimes_S \theta^2 = 2du \otimes_S dv = dt^2 - dr^2.$$

The frame is singular along the light cone through the origin. Suppose $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 1$. If in a representation one forces x and y to be hermitian then the u and v must be positive operators. One concludes then that $t > |r|$; the geometry describes only the forward light-cone through the origin. The other three regions are given by the other three possible combinations of signs.

5.2 Solution II

A family of solutions defined by flips which are not solutions to the braid equation is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-2} & -1 - q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.11)$$

The metric is given again by (5.7). The linear connection (1.16) is

$$\omega^i_j = (1 + q^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \theta + (1 + q^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \theta^2 + (q + 1) \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \lambda_2 \theta^2.$$

The curvature Curv is defined by

$$\Omega^i_j = -(q^2 - 1)q^{-3}(1 + q + q^2) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\lambda_1)^2 \theta^1 \theta^2.$$

It diverges as $(q - 1)^{-1}$ when $q \rightarrow 1$. This is then the case of a regular metric which has a singular metric connection.

5.3 Solution III

A third family satisfies no reality conditions

$$S = \frac{1}{q^2 + 1} \begin{pmatrix} 2q & 0 & 0 & 1 - q^2 \\ 0 & 1 - q^2 & 2q & 0 \\ 0 & 2q & q^2 - 1 & 0 \\ q^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 & 2q \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.12)$$

A σ -symmetric metric is given by

$$g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is real for $q = \pm 1$. For $q = -1$ this means it is pure imaginary in the usual sense of the word. The compatible connection form is

$$\omega^i_j = \frac{(q-1)^2}{q^2+1} \delta^i_j \theta + \frac{q^2-1}{q^2+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\lambda_2 \theta^1 + \lambda_1 \theta^2).$$

The curvature 2-form is

$$\Omega^i_j = \frac{(q^2-1)}{(q^2+1)^2} \left\{ -q^{-1}(q^2-1)^2 \delta^i_j \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + 2(q-1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2) \right\} \theta^1 \theta^2.$$

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ this becomes

$$\Omega^i_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (u^{-2} + v^{-2}) \theta^1 \theta^2.$$

5.4 Solution IV

As a final example we mention the solution given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -q & \zeta^{-1} & q\zeta^{-1} & -(1+q^2)^{-1}\zeta^{-2} \\ q^3\zeta & 0 & q & -(1+q^2)^{-1/2}\zeta^{-1} \\ q^2\zeta & 0 & -1 & -q(1+q^2)^{-1/2}\zeta^{-1} \\ (q^4-1)\zeta^2 & -q\zeta & -q^2\zeta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The corresponding metric is defined by the matrix

$$g^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & (1+q^2)\zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This flip does not tend to the ordinary one when $q \rightarrow 1$ and so from (1.16) we see that the corresponding connection diverges in this limit.

5.5 The $\hat{R}$ -matrix solution

Finally one might ask whether one can find a solution (S, g) using the formalism of Faddeev et al. [26], as has been done [27, 28] for the q -euclidean ‘spaces $\mathbb{R}_q^n$ with $n > 2$. This would imply an S proportional to the braid matrix $\hat{R}$ of $SL_q(2)$ or to its inverse. One can show that there is a solution only if one admits non-symmetry metrics.

We recall that the braid matrix which defines the Hopf algebra $SL_q(2)$

$$\hat{R}_q = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q - q^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}$$

fulfills the braid relation, admits the projector decomposition

$$\hat{R}_q = qP_{s,q} - q^{-1}P_{a,q}$$

and fulfills the relations

$$\hat{R}_q^{\pm 1 ij}{}_{hk} \varepsilon_q^{kl} \hat{R}_q^{\pm 1 rs}{}_{jl} = q^{\mp 1} \varepsilon_q^{ir} \delta_h^s, \quad \hat{R}_q^{\pm 1 ij}{}_{hk} \varepsilon_q^{hk} = -q^{\mp 1} \varepsilon_q^{ij}, \quad (5.13)$$

where ε_q^{ij} is the q -deformed epsilon tensor

$$\varepsilon_q^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -q^{-1/2} \\ q^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So one finds

$$P_{a,q}{}^{ij}{}_{hk} = (\varepsilon^{lm} \varepsilon_{lm})^{-1} (\varepsilon^{ij} \varepsilon_{hk}) = \frac{1}{q + q^{-1}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By a straightforward computation one can check that (2.7) can be given the form (1.4) by setting

$$P = P_{a,q^{-1}}.$$

The first relation in (5.13) suggests that we make the Ansatz $S \propto \hat{R}_{q^{-1}}^{\pm 1}$, $g^{ij} \propto \varepsilon_{q^{-1}}^{ij}$, so that we can fulfill (1.20) at least up to a conformal factor. Equation (1.22) fixes the first proportionality constant to be either

$$S = q^{-1} \hat{R}_{q^{-1}} \quad \text{or} \quad S = q (\hat{R}_{q^{-1}})^{-1}$$

which respectively imply that

$$S^{im}{}_{ln} g^{np} S^{jk}{}_{mp} = q^{-1} g^{ij} \delta_l^k \quad S^{im}{}_{ln} g^{np} S^{jk}{}_{mp} = q g^{ij} \delta_l^k \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$S^{ij}{}_{hk}g^{hk} = -g^{ij}. \quad (5.15)$$

This ‘antisymmetry’ relation is to be contrasted with Equation (1.21), which, with the above choice of S , amounts to replacing at the rhs of (5.15) -1 respectively by q^{-2} or q^2 , as can be seen writing P as a combination of S and of the identity matrix. Using the fact that $|q| = 1$ and $\hat{R}_{q^{-1}}^{ij}{}_{hk} = \hat{R}_q^{-1ji}{}_{kh}$ one can easily see that the reality conditions (1.14) and (1.24) are satisfied. The curvature (1.18) can be easily calculated to be zero using the conditions $K^{ij} = 0$ and $F_{ij}^h = 0$ as well as the fact that P_q is a polynomial in S , which it turn fulfils the braid equation.

For hyperplanes of dimension ≥ 3 the q -epsilon tensor carries three or more indices and therefore cannot be a candidate for an antisymmetric metric in the sense (5.15). One could look for the latter in the form

$$g^{ij} = P_{a,q}{}^{ij}{}_{hk}u^{hk},$$

with suitable coefficients $u^{hk} \in \mathbb{C}$ to be determined by the requirement that the metric be nondegenerate and fulfill all the other conditions set forth, beside (5.15). By an explicit computation one can show that there exist no such coefficients.

5.6 Non-solutions

There are a certain number of partial solutions which are unsatisfactory for some reason or other. As an example, to underline the possibility of exotic metrics which are neither symmetric nor anti-symmetric according to our definitions, we consider σ defined by the matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \zeta^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. This value of S is a solution to the braid equation. The σ and π are related as in (1.23) with (using the same conventions)

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \zeta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \zeta^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.16)$$

This means that τ is not invertible and the case is degenerate. The problem here is that $(1 + \sigma)/2$ cannot even be twisted to a projector. The metric is given by

$$g^{ij} = i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\zeta^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.17)$$

One has $\tau = 1 + \sigma$ and the flip is degenerate. Instead of interchanging g^2 and g^3 as does the ordinary flip, it interchanges g^1 and g^4 . It also changes the sign, which accounts for the i in the metric components. Also $g \circ (1 + \sigma) = 0$ so in a certain sense the metric has vanishing symmetric as well as antisymmetric parts. We refer to σ nonetheless as a ‘flip’ because it satisfies (1.22).

The linear connection (1.16) is given by

$$\omega^i_j = \delta^i_j \theta + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\zeta^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\zeta \lambda_1 \theta^2 - \lambda_2 \theta^1)$$

The curvature is given by

$$\Omega^i_j = q^{-1}(q^2 - 1)\delta^i_j \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \theta^1 \theta^2$$

The connection is singular in the commutative limit as is the curvature. Because of (1.21) it cannot be satisfied for any curvature which is proportional to the metric.

6 Jordanian deformation

It has been shown recently (See, for example, Aneva *et al.* [25]) that the jordanian deformation is a singular limit of a family of q deformations. The transformation from the set of generators of one algebra to the other has also been studied in some detail [29]. We can now discuss to what extent the limit can be understood in a geometric manner. We recall that the jordanian deformation is defined using a parameter h and that the generators (x', y') satisfy the commutation relations $[x', y'] = hy'^2$. The differential calculus is given by two elements λ'_a similar to the λ_a which satisfy the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ relation $[\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2] = \lambda'_1$, a relation which is not quadratic. This must be compared with the quadratic relation $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = q^{-1} \lambda_2 \lambda_1$ satisfied by the elements (2.6). We must find a smooth map from one algebra into the other, that is, one which respects the commutation relations between the elements which define the derivations dual to the frame. Consider [29] the map

$$\lambda'_1 = h_0^{-1} \lambda_1, \quad \lambda'_2 = h_0^{-1} \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2} h^{-1} h_0, \quad h_0 = \frac{2h}{1-q}. \quad (6.1)$$

This change defines a deformation of the differential calculus. From the commutation relations of the λ_i we deduce that

$$[\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2] = h_0^{-2} [\lambda_1, \lambda_2] = h_0^{-2} (1-q) \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \lambda'_1 + (1-q) \lambda'_1 \lambda'_2.$$

In the (singular) limit when $q \rightarrow 1$ the differential calculus tends to that of the jordanian deformation.

The relations between the two calculi can be written in terms of a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x, y) & \longrightarrow & (u, v) = (\epsilon_2 x^2, \epsilon_1 q^{-1/2} x^2 y^{-2}). \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (x', y') & \longrightarrow & (u', v') = (x' y'^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} h, y'^{-2}) \end{array} \quad (6.2)$$

The two horizontal arrows are changes of generators. The two vertical ones define a map between the two deformations. In terms of the generators u and v and their analogues [30] u' and v' for the jordanian deformation, the map (6.1) can be written as

$$u' = \sqrt{q}u^{-1} - h_0, \quad v' = -\sqrt{q}v^{-1}$$

with $h_0 \rightarrow \infty$. It has been shown [30] that the local metric on the jordanian deformation is that of Lobachevsky. This must be a limit of one of the family of metrics (5.6). The Lobachevsky metric can be described with the line element $ds'^2 = v'^{-2}(du'^2 + dv'^2)$. To compare we write (5.6) in the primed variables:

$$ds^2 = g_1(u' + h_0)^{-2}v'^{-2}du'^2 - 2g_2(u' + h_0)^{-2}v'^{-2}du'dv' + g_4(u' + h_0)^{-2}v'^{-2}dv'^2.$$

We see then that we must choose $g_2 = 0$ and let $g_1, g_4 \rightarrow \infty$ with the constraint

$$g_1 h_0^{-2} = g_4 h_0^{-2} = 1.$$

The quantum-plane metric belongs to the family III. Another interesting metric obtained in the same limit is with $g_1 = g_4 = 0$ and $g_2 \rightarrow \infty$ so that $g_2 h_0^{-2} = 1$:

$$ds^2 = -2v'^{-2}du'dv' = -2du'dv.$$

This solution belongs to the family I.

7 Patching

To each of the four regions defined by the light cone through the origin in two dimensions we have associated an algebra and differential calculus. With the metric we have found, none is complete as ‘manifold’. However we could expect to obtain a complete ‘manifold’ if we could smoothly patch the four regions together to form one. From the form of the metric we see that this can be done using the generators (t, r) or (u, v) but that the generators (x, y) are singular on the cone. The patching is done [22] by extending the domain of definition of u for example to negative eigenvalues. The frame θ^i is also singular on the cone but the equivalent frame du^i is quite regular. We can write $\theta^i = \Lambda^i_j du^j$ where

$$\Lambda^i_j = \sqrt{q} \begin{pmatrix} vu^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & uv^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a local Lorentz transformation in the commutative limit.

8 Discussion

We have given a partial classification of the solutions to the three conditions of metric compatibility (1.20), symmetry (1.21) and the consistency condition (1.22) without due

regard to quantum covariance. In fact we could show that there was no solution which respected a coaction of the quantum group. A similar problem was found by Cotta-Ramusino & Rinaldi in trying to construct holonomy groups [31]. Written in terms of the components in the frame basis one sees that S^{ij}_{kl} has 16 unknowns and g^{ij} has 4 unknowns. The condition (1.22) gives 4 equations and metric compatibility gives 16 equations. So a naive computation would say that the solution is unique up to a rescaling of g^{ij} , which is not fixed by the equation. We have indeed found a finite set of solutions.

Another conclusion concerns the uniqueness of the vacuum. It has been claimed [32] that within the context of the present formalism there is essentially a unique differential calculus which has associated to it a given metric, unique that is up to a choice of norm on the frame. This statement needs qualification since we have here shown that the quantum plane is naturally endowed with the Lorentz-signature flat metric and it is known that the same is true of the Heisenberg algebra with its natural differential calculus.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank A. Chakrabarti for enlightening conversations. One of them (JM) was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and he would like to thank Dieter Lüst for his hospitality at the Institut für Physik, Berlin, where part of this research was carried out. Also MM would like to thank the National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT, México) for financial support.

References

- [1] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, 1994.
- [2] J. Madore, “Kaluza-Klein aspects of noncommutative geometry,” in *Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics*, A. I. Solomon, ed., pp. 243–252. World Scientific Publishing, 1989. Chester, August 1988.
- [3] A. Dimakis and J. Madore, “Differential calculi and linear connections,” *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), no. 9, 4647–4661, q-alg/9601023.
- [4] A. Connes, “Noncommutative geometry and reality,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 6194.
- [5] G. Fiore and J. Madore, “Leibniz rules and reality conditions,” *Euro. Phys. Jour. C* (1998) DOI:10.1007/s100520000470, math/9806071.
- [6] J. Mourad, “Linear connections in non-commutative geometry,” *Class. and Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) 965.

- [7] M. Dubois-Violette, J. Madore, T. Masson, and J. Mourad, “On curvature in noncommutative geometry,” *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), no. 8, 4089–4102, [q-alg/9512004](#).
- [8] B. L. Cerchiai, R. Hinterding, J. Madore, and J. Wess, “The geometry of a q -deformed phase space,” *Euro. Phys. Jour. C* **8** (1999) 533–546, DOI:10.1007/s100529901096, [math.QA/9807123](#).
- [9] A. Connes and J. Lott, “The metric aspect of non-commutative geometry,” in *Proceedings of the Cargèse Summer School, July 1991*. Plenum Press, New York, 1992.
- [10] G. Landi, *An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and their Geometries*, vol. 51 of *Lecture Notes in Physics. New Series M, Monographs*. Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [11] H. Figueroa, J. Gracia-Bondía, and J. Váilly, *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*. Birkhauser Advanced Texts. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [12] M. Fichtmüller, A. Lorek, and J. Wess, “ q -deformed phase space and its lattice structure,” *Z. Physik C - Particles and Fields* **71** (1996) 533.
- [13] A. Lorek, W. Weich, and J. Wess, “Non-commutative Euclidean and Minkowski structures,” *Z. Physik C - Particles and Fields* **76** (1997) 375.
- [14] P. Podleś and S. Woronowicz, “Quantum deformation of Lorentz group,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **130** (1990) 381.
- [15] S. Majid, “Braided momentum in the q -Poincaré group,” *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 2045.
- [16] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Bicovariant calculus on twisted $ISO(N)$, quantum Poincaré group and quantum Minkowski space,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **11** (1996) 4513.
- [17] P. Podleś, “Solutions of the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations on quantum Minkowski spaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **181** (1996) 569.
- [18] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani, and A. M. Scarfone, “Quantum orthogonal planes: $ISO_{q,r}(n+1, n-1)$ and $SO_{q,r}(n+1, n-1)$ bicovariant calculi,” *Euro. Phys. Jour. C* **7** (1999) 159, [q-alg/9709032](#).
- [19] S. Majid, “Quantum and braided group Riemannian geometry,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **30** (1999) 113.
- [20] P. Kosinski, J. Lukierski, and P. Maslanka, “Local $D = 4$ field theory on κ -deformed Minkowski space,” *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 025004, [hep-th/9902037](#).
- [21] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Covariant differential calculus on the quantum hyperplane,” *Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.)* **18B** (1990) 302.

- [22] K. Schmüdgen, “On the quantum quarter plane and the real quantum plane,” (*to appear*) (2000) [math.OA/0005225](#).
- [23] J. Hietarinta, “Solving the two-dimensional constant quantum Yang-Baxter equation,” *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993) 1725, [q-alg/9702006](#).
- [24] M. Gerstenhaber and A. Giaquinto, “Boundary solutions of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and solutions in three dimensions,” (*to appear*) (1997) [q-alg/9710033](#).
- [25] B. Aneva, D. Arnaudon, A. Chakrabarti, V. Dobrev, and S. Mihov, “On combined standard-nonstandard or hybrid (q, h) -deformations,” (*to appear*) (2000) [math.QA/0006206](#).
- [26] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin, and L. Takhtajan, “Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras,” *Lenin. Math. Jour.* **1** (1990) 193.
- [27] G. Fiore and J. Madore, “The geometry of quantum euclidean spaces,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **33** (2000) 257–287, [math/9904027](#).
- [28] B. L. Cerchiai, G. Fiore, and J. Madore, “Geometrical tools for quantum euclidean spaces,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* (2000) (*to appear*), [math.QA/0002007](#).
- [29] A. Chakrabarti, “RTT relations, a modified braid equation and noncommutative planes,” (*to be published*) (2000) [math.QA/0009178](#).
- [30] S. Cho, J. Madore, and K. Park, “Noncommutative geometry of the h -deformed quantum plane,” *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** (1998), no. 11, 2639–2654, [q-alg/9709007](#).
- [31] P. Cotta-Ramusino and M. Rinaldi, “Link-diagrams, Yang-Baxter equation and quantum holonomy,” *Contemp. Math.* **134** 19–44.
- [32] J. Madore, *An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications*. No. 257 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, second ed., 1999.