# ON CONTRACTIVELY COMPLEMENTED SUBSPACES OF SEPARABLE L<sub>1</sub>-PREDUALS

IOANNIS GASPARIS

ABSTRACT. It is shown that for an  $L_1$ -predual space X and a countable linearly independent subset of  $ext(B_{X^*})$  whose norm-closed linear span Y in  $X^*$  is  $w^*$ -closed, there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection from  $X^*$  onto Y. This result combined with those of Pelczynski and Bourgain yields a simple proof of the Lazar-Lindenstrauss theorem that every separable  $L_1$ -predual with non-separable dual contains a contractively complemented subspace isometric to  $C(\Delta)$ , the Banach space of functions continuous on the Cantor discontinuum  $\Delta$ .

It is further shown that if  $X^*$  is isometric to  $\ell_1$  and  $(e_n^*)$  is a basis for  $X^*$  isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis, then there exists a  $w^*$ -convergent subsequence  $(e_{m_n}^*)$  of  $(e_n^*)$  such that the closed linear subspace of  $X^*$  generated by the sequence  $(e_{m_{2n}}^* - e_{m_{2n-1}}^*)$  is the range of a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection in  $X^*$ . This yields a new proof of Zippin's result that  $c_0$  is isometric to a contractively complemented subspace of X.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A Banach space X is said to be an  $L_1$ -predual provided its dual  $X^*$  is isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  for some measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Perhaps the most natural example of an  $L_1$ -predual is C(K), the Banach space of real-valued functions continuous on the compact Hausdorff space K, under the supremum norm.  $L_1$ -preduals were the subject of an extensive study in the late 1960's and early 1970's. For a detailed survey of results on  $L_1$ -preduals we refer to [13]. For the connection between  $L_1$ -preduals and infinite-dimensional convexity we refer to the recent survey article [8].

For some time it was thought that every  $L_1$ -predual is isomorphic to a C(K)-space for suitable K, but the example given by Benyamini and Lindenstrauss [3] disproved this. The present paper is concerned with the existence of subspaces of a separable infinite-dimensional  $L_1$ -predual X, isometric to C(K)-spaces. It was proven by Zippin [27] that X contains a contractively complemented subspace isometric to  $c_0$ . When  $X^*$  is non-separable, Lazar and Lindenstrauss [16] proved that X contains a contractively complemented subspace isometric to  $C(\Delta)$ , where  $\Delta$  denotes the Cantor discontinuum. These results complement each other in the sense that neither of them implies the other.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46B25. Secondary: 46B04, 46B03. Key words and phrases.  $L_1$ -preduals, complemented subspaces, C(K) spaces.

In the present paper we demonstrate a unified approach towards these results. Our method consists of establishing Theorem 3.2 which describes a technique for constructing a strictly increasing sequence  $(V_n)$  of finitedimensional subspaces of  $X^*$ , where each  $V_n$  is isometric to some  $\ell_1^{k_n}$ , for which there exists an almost commuting sequence  $(P_n)$  of  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections in  $X^*$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} P_n = V_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The proof of Theorem 3.2 is an elementary application of the principle of local reflexivity [17], [10]. We apply Theorem 3.2 in order to provide an alternative proof for the following result

**Theorem 1.1.** Let X be an  $L_1$ -predual and let K be a countable subset of  $ext(B_{X^*})$  such that  $K \cap (-K) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that the norm-closed linear span Y of K is  $w^*$ -closed in  $X^*$ . Then there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection from  $X^*$  onto Y.

We remark that Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result formulated by Lazar and Lindenstrauss as Corollary 1 in [16]:

Suppose that X is a Banach space so that  $X^*$  is isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$ . Let F be a face of  $B_{X^*}$  and denote by H the convex hull of  $F \cup (-F)$ . Assume that H is w<sup>\*</sup>-closed and metrizable. Then, there exists a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous, affine, symmetric retraction of  $B_{X^*}$  onto H.

Evidently, this result yields Theorem 1.1. However, the authors of [16] offer no proof of this result and moreover, via their preceding discussion, seem to require that the face F be  $w^*$ -closed. Note that if F is  $w^*$ -closed, so is H but the converse is not true in general. We also note that the proof of the aforementioned result that appears in [13] is false. Specifically, the map  $\phi$  defined in the proof of the Corollary on page 224 of [13] is not convex.

Theorem 1.1 has applications in the study of  $\ell_1$ -preduals [1], [9]. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain

**Corollary 1.2.** Let X be a separable  $L_1$ -predual and let K be a countable,  $w^*$ -compact subset of  $ext(B_{X^*})$  such that  $K \cap (-K) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a contractively complemented subspace of X isometric to C(K).

This corollary combined with the results of Pelczynski [22] and Bourgain [4] yields the next

**Corollary 1.3.** Let X be a separable  $L_1$ -predual such that  $X^*$  is non-separable. Then there exists a contractively complemented subspace of X isometric to  $C(\Delta)$ .

This result was obtained in [16] with a different method. Their proof is based on a remarkable affine version of Michael's selection theorem [19] and makes use of a non-trivial result established in [14].

Another application of Theorem 3.2 is the following

**Theorem 1.4.** Let X be a Banach space such that  $X^*$  is isometric to  $\ell_1$ , and let  $(e_n^*)$  be a basis for  $X^*$  isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis. Then there exists a w<sup>\*</sup>-convergent subsequence  $(e_{m_n}^*)$  of  $(e_n^*)$  such that the subspace generated by the sequence  $(e_{m_{2n}}^* - e_{m_{2n-1}}^*)$  is the range of a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection in  $X^*$ .

Theorem 1.4 combined with Corollary 1.3 yields an alternative proof of Zippin's result [27]

**Corollary 1.5.** Let X be a separable infinite-dimensional  $L_1$ -predual. Then there exists a contractively complemented subspace of X isometric to  $c_0$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

We shall make use of standard Banach space facts and terminology as may be found in [18]. In this section we review some of the necessary concepts. All Banach spaces under consideration will be over the field of real numbers. By the term *subspace* of a Banach space X we shall mean a closed linear subspace. We let  $B_X$  stand for the closed unit ball of X, while X<sup>\*</sup> denotes its topological dual. A subspace Y of X is said to be *complemented* if it is the range of a bounded linear projection  $P: X \to X$ . When ||P|| = 1, Y is a *contractively* complemented subspace of X.

 $\ell_1$  denotes the Banach space of absolutely summable sequences under the norm given by the sum of the absolute values of the coordinates. The usual  $\ell_1$ -basis is the Schauder basis of  $\ell_1$  consisting of sequences having exactly one coordinate equal to 1 and vanishing at the rest of the coordinates.  $\ell_1^k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the k-dimensional subspace of  $\ell_1$  spanned by the first k members of the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis. A sequence  $(x_n)$  in some Banach space is *isometrically equivalent* to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis, if  $\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and scalar sequences  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ . A finite sequence  $(x_i)_{i=1}^k$  in some Banach space is isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1^k$ -basis, if  $\|\sum_{i=1}^k a_i x_i\| = \sum_{i=1}^k |a_i|$ , for all scalar sequences  $(a_i)_{i=1}^k$ .

 $c_0$  stands for the Banach space of null sequences under the norm given by the supremum of the absolute values of the coordinates.  $\ell_{\infty}^n$  denotes the Banach space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under the norm given by the maximum of the absolute values of the coordinates.

Given a measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $\mu$  positive,  $L_1(\mu)$  denotes the Banach space of equivalence classes of absolutely integrable functions on  $\Omega$  under the norm  $||f|| = \int_{\Omega} |f| d\mu$ .  $L_{\infty}(\mu)$  denotes the Banach space of equivalence classes of essentially bounded  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on  $\Omega$  under the norm ess  $\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} |f(\omega)|$ .

An  $L_1$ -predual is a Banach space X such that  $X^*$  is isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$ for some measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . According to a result of Pelczynski [21], Proposition 1.3, there exists another measure space  $(\Omega', \Sigma', \nu)$  with  $L_1(\nu)$ isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$  and such that  $L_1(\nu)^*$  is canonically isometric to  $L_{\infty}(\nu)$ . Thus in the sequel, by an  $L_1$ -predual we shall mean a Banach space X with  $X^*$  isometric to some  $L_1(\mu)$  such that  $L_1(\mu)^*$  is canonically isometric to  $L_{\infty}(\mu)$ .

Given a linear topological space V and  $A \subset V$ , we let co(A) denote the convex hull of A. Let now K be a convex subset of V. A point  $x \in K$  is

called an *extreme point*, if whenever y, z are in K and x = ay + (1 - a)zfor some 0 < a < 1, then x = y = z. We let ext(K) denote the set of extreme points of K. It is well known that for an  $L_1$ -predual space X with  $X^*$  isometric to  $L_1(\mu)$ ,  $ext(B_{X^*})$  consists precisely of functions of the form  $\sigma\chi_A/\mu(A)$ , where  $\sigma \in \{-1,1\}$ , A is an atom with  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$  and  $\chi_A$ stands for the indicator function of A.

We next recall the important principle of local reflexivity [17], [10] (cf. also [26]).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let X be a Banach space and let  $E \subset X^{**}$  and  $F \subset X^*$  be finite-dimensional subspaces. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an invertible linear operator  $T: E \to X$  such that  $||T|| ||T^{-1}|TE|| \le 1 + \epsilon$ ,  $T|E \cap X = id$ , and f(Te) = e(f) for all  $f \in F$  and  $e \in E$ .

### 3. A construction of $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.2 which provides a method of constructing  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections onto certain finite-dimensional subspaces of  $X^* = L_1(\mu)$ , isometric to  $\ell_1^k$ . Repeated applications of Theorem 3.2 will in turn enable us to construct a sequence  $(P_n)$  of almost commuting  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections in  $X^*$  such that  $(\operatorname{Im} P_n)$  is strictly increasing and  $\operatorname{Im} P_n$  is isometric to some  $\ell_1^{k_n}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In order to construct  $w^*$ -continuous projections onto subspaces of  $X^*$  isometric to  $\ell_1$ , we shall make use of the following

**Proposition 3.1.** Let X be a Banach space and let Y be a w<sup>\*</sup>-closed subspace of X<sup>\*</sup>. Assume that there exists a net  $\{Y_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of w<sup>\*</sup>-closed subspaces of Y with  $Y_{\lambda_1} \subset Y_{\lambda_2}$  whenever  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$  in  $\Lambda$ , and such that  $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Y_{\lambda}$  is normdense in Y. Assume further that each  $Y_{\lambda}$  is the range of a w<sup>\*</sup>- continuous projection  $P_{\lambda}$  in X<sup>\*</sup>, so that  $\sup_{\lambda} ||P_{\lambda}|| \leq M < \infty$ , and  $\lim_{\lambda} \sup_{\lambda \leq \mu} ||P_{\lambda}P_{\mu} - P_{\lambda}|| = 0$ . Then there exists a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous projection P from X<sup>\*</sup> onto Y with  $||P|| \leq M$ .

*Proof.*  $B_Y$  is  $w^*$ -compact. By Tychonoff's theorem we infer that  $K = \prod_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} MB_Y$  is compact when endowed with the cartesian topology. We can now identify  $\{P_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  with a net in K to obtain a sub-net  $\{P_{\lambda'}\}_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'}$  of  $\{P_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  which converges to an element P of K. Since Y is  $w^*$ -closed in  $X^*$ , P induces a bounded linear operator from  $X^*$  into Y, which we still denote by P. Clearly  $Px^* = w^* - \lim_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} P_{\lambda'}x^*$ , for all  $x^* \in X^*$  and thus  $\|P\| \leq M$ . Our assumptions yield that  $Px^* = x^*$ , for all  $x^* \in \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Y_{\lambda}$  and hence P is a projection onto Y.

We next demonstrate that P is  $w^*$ -continuous. By a classical result [12] it suffices to show that for every net  $(x_{\nu}^*)$  in  $B_{X^*}$  such that  $w^* - \lim_{\nu} x_{\nu}^* = \mathbf{0}$ , we have that  $w^* - \lim_{\nu} P x_{\nu}^* = \mathbf{0}$ . Note that  $||Px_{\nu}^*|| \leq M$ , for all  $\nu$ , and let  $y^* \in MB_Y$  be any  $w^*$ -cluster point of  $(Px_{\nu}^*)_{\nu}$ . We will show that  $y^* = \mathbf{0}$ . To this end set  $\delta_{\lambda} = \sup_{\lambda \leq \mu} ||P_{\lambda}P_{\mu} - P_{\lambda}||$ . Then  $||P_{\lambda}P - P_{\lambda}|| \leq \delta_{\lambda}$ , as  $P_{\lambda}$ is  $w^*$ -continuous. It follows that  $||P_{\lambda}Px_{\nu}^* - P_{\lambda}x_{\nu}^*|| \leq \delta_{\lambda}$ , for all  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$ , and thus as  $P_{\lambda}$  is  $w^*$ -continuous, we obtain that  $||P_{\lambda}y^*|| \leq \delta_{\lambda}$ , for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $Py^* = \mathbf{0}$ . Because  $y^* \in Y$  and P is a projection onto Y, we deduce that  $y^* = \mathbf{0}$ , completing the proof of the assertion.

We next pass to the key result which is related to Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 of [10].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let X be an  $L_1$ -predual and let V be a subspace of  $X^*$  isometric to  $\ell_1^k$ . Let  $(\delta_i)_{i=1}^n$  be a finite sequence of positive scalars and assume that there exist  $w^*$ - continuous linear operators  $T_i: X^* \to V$ ,  $||T_i|| \leq 1$ ,  $i \leq n$ , as well as linear operators  $R_i: V \to V$ ,  $||R_i|| \leq 1$ ,  $i \leq n$ , so that  $||R_iT_n - T_i|| < \delta_i$  for all  $i \leq n$ . Assume further that there exist collections of vectors  $(f_j)_{j=1}^q \subset \text{ext}(B_{X^*})$  and  $(v_j)_{j=1}^q \subset B_V$ , with  $(f_j)_{j=1}^q$  linearly independent, such that  $||R_iv_j - T_if_j|| < \delta_i$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $j \leq q$ . Then there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $T: X^* \to V$ ,  $||T|| \leq 1$ , such that  $||R_iT - T_i|| < \delta_i$  for all  $i \leq n$ , and  $Tf_j = v_j$  for all  $j \leq q$ .

The proof of this result will follow after establishing the next

**Proposition 3.3.** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $S: X^* \to V$ ,  $||S|| \leq 1$ , such that  $||R_iS - T_i|| < \delta_i + \epsilon$  and  $||Sf_j - v_j|| < \epsilon$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $j \leq q$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(e_l)_{l=1}^k$  be a basis for V isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1^k$ basis. Since  $T_i$  is  $w^*$ -continuous and  $||T_i|| \leq 1$ , there exist vectors  $(z_{il})_{l=1}^k$ in  $B_X$  such that  $T_i x^* = \sum_{l=1}^k x^*(z_{il})e_l$  for all  $x^* \in X^*$ . There also exist scalars  $(a_{ils}), i \leq n, l \leq k, s \leq k$ , such that  $R_i e_l = \sum_{s=1}^k a_{ils} e_s$  for all  $i \leq n$  and  $l \leq k$ . Finally, there exist scalars  $(v_{jl}), j \leq q, l \leq k$ , such that  $v_j = \sum_{l=1}^k v_{jl} e_l, j \leq q$ . Observe that for  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $i \leq n$  we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x^{*}(z_{nl}) \right) e_{s} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} x^{*}(z_{nl}) \sum_{s=1}^{k} a_{ils} e_{s} = R_{i} T_{n} x^{*}.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{s=1}^{k} \left| \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x^*(z_{nl}) \right) - x^*(z_{is}) \right| = \|R_i T_n x^* - T_i x^*\| < \delta_i$ , for all  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  and  $i \leq n$ . Thus

(1) 
$$\left\|\sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_s \left[ \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} z_{nl} \right) - z_{is} \right] \right\| < \delta_i,$$

for all choices of signs  $(\rho_s)_{s=1}^k$  and all  $i \leq n$ . Similarly,  $R_i v_j = \sum_{s=1}^k (\sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} v_{jl}) e_s$  for all  $i \leq n, j \leq q$ , and thus

(2) 
$$\sum_{s=1}^{k} \left| \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} v_{jl} \right) - f_j(z_{is}) \right| = \|R_i v_j - T_i f_j\| < \delta_i, j \le q, i \le n.$$

We first show that there exist vectors  $(x_l^{**})_{l=1}^k$  in  $B_{X^{**}} = B_{L_{\infty}(\mu)}$  such that  $x_l^{**}(f_j) = v_{jl}$  for all  $l \leq k, j \leq q$ , and  $\left\|\sum_{s=1}^k \rho_s\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} x_l^{**}\right) - z_{is}\right]\right\| \leq \delta_i$ , for all  $i \leq n$  and all choices of signs  $(\rho_s)_{s=1}^k$ . Indeed, let  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_q$  be signs

and let  $A_1, \ldots, A_q$  be distinct atoms in  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $f_j = \sigma_j \chi_{A_j} / \mu(A_j)$  for all  $j \leq q$ . We can assume without loss of generality that the  $A_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint. We define  $(x_l^{**})_{l=1}^k$  in  $L_{\infty}(\mu)$  as follows:

$$x_l^{**}|A_j = \sigma_j v_{jl}, j \le q, \text{ while } x_l^{**}|\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \le q} A_j = z_{nl}|\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \le q} A_j,$$

where we regard  $z_{nl}$  as an element of  $X^{**} = L_{\infty}(\mu)$ . Clearly,  $||x_l^{**}|| \le 1$  and  $x_l^{**}(f_j) = \int_{A_j} \sigma_j v_{jl} \sigma_j \chi_{A_j} / \mu(A_j) d\mu = v_{jl}$ , for all  $j \le q$  and  $l \le k$ .

Given signs  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$  and  $i \leq n$  we have that

(3) 
$$\left\|\sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_{l}^{**}\right) - z_{is}\right] |\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{j \leq q} A_{j}\right\| \leq \left\|\sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s}\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} z_{nl}\right) - z_{is}\right]\right\| < \delta_{i} \text{ by } (1) .$$

We next fix signs  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k, i \leq n$  and  $j \leq q$ . We set

$$H_{ij}(t) = \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_s \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} \sigma_j v_{jl} \right) - z_{is}(t) \right], t \in A_j.$$

We claim that  $|H_{ij}| < \delta_i$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere in  $A_j$ . Indeed, note first that  $\int_{A_j} z_{is} d\mu = \sigma_j \mu(A_j) f_j(z_{is})$  for all  $s \leq k$ , and thus

(4) 
$$\left| \int_{A_j} H_{ij} d\mu \right| = \left| \sum_{s=1}^k \rho_s \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} \sigma_j v_{jl} \mu(A_j) \right) - \sigma_j \mu(A_j) f_j(z_{is}) \right] \right|$$
$$\leq \mu(A_j) \sum_{s=1}^k \left| \left( \sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} v_{jl} \right) - f_j(z_{is}) \right| < \delta_i \mu(A_j), \text{ by } (2) .$$

On the other hand, setting  $B_{ij} = \{t \in A_j : |H_{ij}(t)| \geq \delta_i\}$  and taking in account that  $A_j$  is an atom, we infer that  $\mu(B_{ij}) = 0$ . Indeed, otherwise,  $\mu(B_{ij}) = \mu(A_j)$  and thus  $|H_{ij}| \geq \delta_i$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $A_j$ . But also, as  $A_j$  is an atom,  $H_{ij}$  has a constant sign  $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $A_j$  and so  $|\int_{A_j} H_{ij} d\mu| \geq \delta_i \mu(A_j)$ , contradicting (4). Therefore,  $\mu(B_{ij}) = 0$  and hence  $|H_{ij}| < \delta_i$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere in  $A_j$ , as claimed. We conclude that  $\left\|\sum_{s=1}^k \rho_s\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} x_l^{**}\right) - z_{is}\right]|A_j\right\| \leq \delta_i$ , for all  $i \leq n$ ,  $j \leq q$ , and all choices of signs  $(\rho_s)_{s=1}^k$ . Combining with (3) we deduce that  $\left\|\sum_{s=1}^k \rho_s\left[\left(\sum_{l=1}^k a_{ils} x_l^{**}\right) - z_{is}\right]\right\| \leq \delta_i$ , for all  $i \leq n$  and all choices of signs  $(\rho_s)_{s=1}^k$ .

We next set  $W = [\{x_l^{**} : l \leq k\} \cup \{z_{is} : i \leq n, s \leq k\}]$  and choose  $0 < \delta < \epsilon$ . Theorem 2.1 yields a linear operator  $U : W \to X$ ,  $||U|| \leq 1 + \delta$ , so that  $U|X \cap W = id_{X \cap W}$  and  $g(f_j) = f_j(Ug)$ , for all  $g \in W$  and  $j \leq q$ . Setting  $x_l = Ux_l^{**}/(1 + \delta)$ ,  $l \leq k$ , we obtain that for every choice of signs

## $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$ and all $i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_{l} \right) - z_{is} \right] \right\| = \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} U x_{l}^{**} / (1+\delta) \right) - U z_{is} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq (1+\delta) \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_{l}^{**} / (1+\delta) \right) - z_{is} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_{l}^{**} \right) - (1+\delta) z_{is} \right] \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} \left[ \left( \sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_{l}^{**} \right) - z_{is} \right] \right\| + \delta \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{k} \rho_{s} z_{is} \right\| \leq \delta_{i} + \delta, \text{ as } \|T_{i}\| \leq 1. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\sum_{s=1}^{k} |x^* (\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{ils} x_l) - x^* (z_{is})| \leq \delta_i + \delta$ , for all  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ . If we define  $S: X^* \to V$  by  $Sx^* = \sum_{l=1}^{k} x^* (x_l) e_l$ , we see that S is  $w^*$ -continuous and  $||S|| \leq 1$ . Indeed, for the latter assertion we observe that for every choice of signs  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$ ,  $||\sum_{l=1}^{k} \rho_l x_l^{**}|| \leq 1$ , by the definition of the sequence  $(x_l^{**})_{l=1}^k$  and the fact that  $||v_j|| \leq 1$  for  $j \leq q$ , and  $||T_n|| \leq 1$ . It follows now, by the choice of U, that  $||\sum_{l=1}^{k} \rho_l x_l|| \leq 1$  for every choice of signs  $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k$ , and therefore  $||S|| \leq 1$ .

We deduce that  $||R_iSx^* - T_ix^*|| \le \delta_i + \delta$  for all  $x^* \in B_{X^*}$  and every  $i \le n$ . Hence  $||R_iS - T_i|| < \delta_i + \epsilon$  for all  $i \le n$ . Finally,

$$\begin{split} \|Sf_j - v_j\| &= \sum_{l=1}^k |f_j(x_l) - v_{jl}| \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k |(1+\delta)^{-1} f_j(Ux_l^{**}) - v_{jl}| = \sum_{l=1}^k |(1+\delta)^{-1} x_l^{**}(f_j) - v_{jl}| \\ &= \delta(1+\delta)^{-1} \|v_j\| < \epsilon. \end{split}$$

The proof of the proposition is now complete.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2**. We first choose  $\theta_i < \delta_i$  so that  $||R_iT_n - T_i|| < \theta_i$ and  $||R_iv_j - T_if_j|| < \theta_i$  for all  $j \le q$  and  $i \le n$ . We then choose  $0 < \epsilon_0 < (\delta_i - \theta_i)/4$  for all  $i \le n$ , and a sequence  $(\epsilon_m)$  of positive scalars such that  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_m < \epsilon_0$ .

Proposition 3.3 yields a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $S_0: X^* \to V$ ,  $||S_0|| \leq 1$ , such that  $||R_iS_0 - T_i|| < \theta_i + \epsilon_0$  for  $i \leq n$ , and  $||S_0f_j - v_j|| < \epsilon_0$ , for  $j \leq q$ .

We next apply Proposition 3.3 for "n" = 1, " $R_1$ " =  $id_V$ , " $T_1$ " =  $S_0$ , " $\delta_1$ " =  $\epsilon_0$  and " $\epsilon$ " =  $\epsilon_1$ , to obtain a w\*-continuous linear operator  $S_1: X^* \to V$ ,  $||S_1|| \leq 1$ , so that  $||S_0 - S_1|| < \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1$  and  $||S_1f_j - v_j|| < \epsilon_1$ , for  $j \leq q$ . Continuing in this fashion we construct w\*-continuous linear operators

 $S_m \colon X^* \to V$  with  $||S_m|| \le 1$  and such that

 $||S_{m-1} - S_m|| < \epsilon_{m-1} + \epsilon_m, ||S_m f_j - v_j|| < \epsilon_m, \text{ for all } j \le q, m \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

Clearly, the sequence of operators  $(S_m)$  converges in norm to a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $T: X^* \to V$  such that  $||T|| \leq 1$  and  $Tf_j = v_j, j \leq q$ . In addition to that we have

$$||S_m - S_0|| < \epsilon_0 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon_i + \epsilon_m, \ m > 1,$$

and thus  $||T - S_0|| < 3\epsilon_0$ . We conclude that

$$||R_iT - T_i|| \le ||R_i(T - S_0)|| + ||R_iS_0 - T_i|| < \theta_i + 4\epsilon_0 < \delta_i, \ i \le n.$$

**Corollary 3.4.** Let X be an  $L_1$ -predual and let V be a subspace of  $X^*$ isometric to  $\ell_1^k$ . Assume that there exist collections of vectors  $(f_j)_{j=1}^q \subset ext(B_{X^*})$  and  $(v_j)_{j=1}^q \subset B_V$ , with  $(f_j)_{j=1}^q$  linearly independent, so that every linear operator  $R: X^* \to X^*$  satisfying  $Rf_j = v_j$  for all  $j \leq q$ , also satisfies  $R|V = id_V$ . Then there exists a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous contractive projection  $P: X^* \to V$ , such that  $Pf_j = v_j$  for all  $j \leq q$ .

*Proof.* We apply Theorem 3.2 for n = 1,  $R_1 = id_V$ ,  $T_1 = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\delta_1 > 1$ , to obtain a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $P: X^* \to V$ ,  $||P|| \leq 1$ , so that  $Pf_j = v_j$  for all  $j \leq q$ . Our assumptions yield that P is the desired projection.  $\Box$ 

**Remark**. We note that Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 of [10] yield for every  $\epsilon > 0$  a w<sup>\*</sup>-continuous projection  $P: X^* \to V$ ,  $||P|| \le 1 + \epsilon$ , such that  $Pf_j = v_j$  for all  $j \le q$ .

#### 4. Main results

In this section we present the proofs of the results mentioned in the introduction.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Assume K is infinite and let  $(e_n^*)$  be an enumeration of K. (The argument for finite K is implicitly contained in the proof of the infinite case.) It is clear that  $(e_n^*)$  is isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis. Set  $Y_n = [e_1^*, \ldots e_n^*]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $(\delta_n)$  be a null sequence of positive scalars. We shall inductively construct  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections  $P_n: X^* \to Y_n$  such that  $\|P_k P_n - P_k\| < \delta_k$ , whenever  $k \leq n$ .  $P_1$  is selected by applying Corollary 3.4 for the subspace  $Y_1$  and the vectors  $f_1 = v_1 = e_1^*$ . Suppose  $(P_i)_{i=1}^n$  have been selected so that  $\|P_i P_j - P_i\| < \delta_i$  whenever  $i \leq j \leq n$ . Apply Theorem 3.2 for " $V^* = Y_{n+1}$ , " $T_i^* = P_i$ , " $R_i^* = P_i | Y_{n+1}, i \leq n$ , and the collections of vectors " $(f_j)_{j=1}^q$ " =  $(e_j^*)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ , " $(v_j)_{j=1}^q$ " =  $(e_j^*)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ , in order to obtain a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $P_{n+1}: X^* \to Y_{n+1}, \|P_{n+1}\| \leq 1$ , such that  $P_{n+1}e_j^* = e_j^*$  for

8

all  $j \leq n+1$ , and  $||P_iP_{n+1} - P_i|| < \delta_i$  for all  $i \leq n$ . Clearly,  $P_{n+1}$  is the required projection onto  $Y_{n+1}$ . This completes the inductive construction. The assertion of the theorem now follows from Proposition 3.1.

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** Clearly, K is linearly independent. When K is finite the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 as [K] is isometric to  $\ell_1^{|K|} = C(K)^*$ . If K is infinite let  $(e_n^*)$  be an enumeration of K and set  $Y = [(e_n^*)]$ . Of course  $(e_n^*)$  is isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis, and applying the Choquet representation and the Krein-Millman theorems, we infer that  $\overline{\operatorname{co}}^{w^*}(K \cup -K) = B_Y$ . A classical result [12] yields that Y is  $w^*$ -closed in  $X^*$ . It is not hard to see (cf. also Lemma 2 of [2]) that Y is  $w^*$ -isometric to  $C(K)^*$ . The result follows from Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** We regard  $B_{X^*}$  in its  $w^*$ -topology and set  $H = \exp(B_{X^*})$ . Since X is separable and  $X^*$  is non-separable, H is an uncountable  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $B_{X^*}$ . It follows that H is an uncountable Polish space in its relative  $w^*$ -topology. We will show that H contains a  $w^*$ -compact subset L homeomorphic to the Cantor set  $\Delta$ , such that  $L \cap (-L) = \emptyset$ . Indeed, let  $\sigma: H \to H$  denote negation  $(\sigma h = -h)$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a fixed-point free homeomorphism on the uncountable Polish space H and therefore there exists an uncountable relatively open subset G of H such that  $G \cap \sigma G = \emptyset$ . By a classical result G contains a compact subset L homeomorphic to the Cantor set which clearly satisfies  $L \cap (-L) = \emptyset$ .

Of course L contains homeomorphs of all countable compact metric spaces. Corollary 1.2 now yields that X contains subspaces isometric to C(K), for every countable compact metric space K. Because X is separable, a result of Bourgain (Proposition 9 of [4]) implies that X contains a subspace isometric to  $C(\Delta)$ . The existence of a contractively complemented subspace of X isometric to  $C(\Delta)$  now follows from a result of Pelczynski [22].

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** We first choose an infinite  $w^*$ -convergent subsequence  $(e_m^*)_{m \in M}$  of  $(e_n^*)$  and set  $x_0^* = w^* - \lim_{m \in M} e_m^*$ . Clearly,  $||x_0^*|| \leq 1$ . If  $x_0^* = \mathbf{0}$  then  $Z = [(e_m^*)_{m \in M}]$  is  $w^*$ -closed in  $X^*$  by Lemma 1 of [2]. We deduce from Theorem 1.1 that Z is the range of a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection in  $X^*$ . It is easy to see that if  $(r_n)$  is an enumeration of M then the subspace  $Y = [(e_{r_{2n}}^* - e_{r_{2n-1}}^*)]$  is the range of a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection in Z. Hence by composing the projections previously obtained we see that the assertion of the theorem holds in this case.

We shall next deal with the case of  $x_0^* \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Suppose that  $x_0^* = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j e_j^*$ and choose a sequence of positive scalars  $(\epsilon_i)$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i < 1$ . Choose also  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\sum_{j>n_1} |a_j| < \epsilon_1 \sum_{j \le n_1} |a_j|$ . We shall inductively construct increasing sequences  $(m_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset M$  and  $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$  with  $n_k < m_{2k-1} < m_{2k} < n_{k+1}$ , and  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections  $P_k \colon X^* \to Y_k$ , where  $Y_k = [u_i^* : i \le k]$  and  $u_k^* = (e_{m_{2k}}^* - e_{m_{2k-1}}^*)/2$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(5) 
$$\sum_{j>n_i} |a_j| < \epsilon_i \sum_{j \le n_1} |a_j|, \ i \in \mathbb{N}$$

(6) 
$$P_1 e_j^* = \mathbf{0}, \ j \le n_1, \ \text{while } \|P_i e_j^*\| < \sum_{l \le i} \epsilon_l, \ j \le n_1, \ i \ge 2.$$

(7) 
$$P_i\left(\sum_{j\leq n_i}a_je_j^*\right) = \mathbf{0}, \, i\in\mathbb{N}.$$

(8) 
$$P_i e_{m_{2j}}^* = u_j^*, \ P_i e_{m_{2j-1}}^* = -u_j^*, \ j \le i, \ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(9) 
$$||P_iP_j - P_i|| < \sum_{l=i}^{j-1} \epsilon_l, \ i < j \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

(10) 
$$||P_i e_{m_j}^*|| < \epsilon_i, j \in \{2l-1, 2l\}, i < l \text{ in } \mathbb{N}.$$

Once this is accomplished, condition (9) will enable us to apply Proposition 3.1 and deduce that  $Y = [(u_k^*)]$  is the range of a  $w^*$ -continuous projection in  $X^*$ . Note that Y is  $w^*$ -closed in  $X^*$  by Lemma 1 of [2] as  $(u_k^*)$  is isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis.

We first choose  $m_1 < m_2$  in M with  $m_1 > n_1$ , and apply Corollary 3.4 for "V" =  $Y_1$ , "q" =  $n_1 + 2$ , " $f_j$ " =  $e_j^*$   $(j \le n_1)$ , " $f_{q-1}$ " =  $e_{m_1}^*$ , " $f_q$ " =  $e_{m_2}^*$ , and " $v_j$ " =  $\mathbf{0}$   $(j \le n_1)$ , " $v_{q-1}$ " =  $-u_1^*$ , " $v_q$ " =  $u_1^*$ . We obtain a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection  $P_1: X^* \to Y_1$  such that  $P_1e_j^* = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $j \le n_1$ .

Suppose that we have constructed  $(n_i)_{i=1}^k$ ,  $(m_i)_{i=1}^{2k}$  and  $(P_i)_{i=1}^k$  so that conditions (5)-(10) are satisfied. We next choose  $n_{k+1} > m_{2k}$  so that (5) is satisfied for i = k + 1. By (5) and (7) of the induction hypothesis we infer that  $||P_i x_0^*|| < \epsilon_i$ , for  $i \le k$ . We can therefore choose  $m_{2k+1} < m_{2k+2}$  in Mwith  $m_{2k+1} > n_{k+1}$ , such that  $||P_i e_{m_{2k+1}}^*|| < \epsilon_i$  and  $||P_i e_{m_{2k+2}}^*|| < \epsilon_i$  for all  $i \le k$ . Hence (10) is satisfied for l = k + 1.

We next put  $q = n_{k+1} + 2$  and set  $f_j = e_j^*$ , for  $j \leq n_{k+1}$ ,  $f_{q-1} = e_{m_{2k+1}}^*$ and  $f_q = e_{m_{2k+2}}^*$ . We claim that there exist vectors  $(v_j)_{j=1}^q$  in  $B_{Y_{k+1}}$  so that

(11) 
$$||v_j|| < \sum_{l=1}^k \epsilon_l, \ j \le n_1.$$

(12) 
$$v_j = P_k e_j^*, \ j \in (n_1, n_{k+1}], \ v_{q-1} = -u_{k+1}^*, \ v_q = u_{k+1}^*.$$

(13) 
$$\sum_{j \le n_{k+1}} a_j v_j = \mathbf{0}$$

(14) 
$$||P_i f_j - P_i v_j|| < \sum_{l=i}^k \epsilon_l, \ i \le k, \ j \le q.$$

Having achieved this and taking in account (9) of the induction hypothesis, we employ Theorem 3.2 for "V" =  $Y_{k+1}$ , "n" = k, " $\delta_i$ " =  $\sum_{l=i}^k \epsilon_l$ , " $T_i$ " =  $P_i$ , " $R_i$ " =  $P_i|Y_{k+1}$  ( $i \leq k$ ), and the collections of vectors  $(f_j)_{j=1}^q$ ,  $(v_j)_{j=1}^q$ 

described above, to find a  $w^*$ -continuous linear operator  $P_{k+1} \colon X^* \to Y_{k+1}$ , described above, to find a *w*-continuous infeat operator  $P_{k+1}: X \to P_{k+1}$ ,  $||P_{k+1}|| \leq 1$ , such that  $||P_iP_{k+1}-P_i|| < \sum_{l=i}^k \epsilon_l$ , for all  $i \leq k$ , and  $P_{k+1}f_j = v_j$ for all  $j \leq q$ . It is easy to verify that  $P_{k+1}$  is a projection onto  $Y_{k+1}$  so that  $(n_i)_{i=1}^{k+1}, (m_i)_{i=1}^{2k+2}$  and  $(P_i)_{i=1}^{k+1}$  satisfy conditions (5)-(10). The collection  $(v_j)_{j=n_1+1}^q$  is explicitly defined in (12). It remains to define  $(v_j)_{j=1}^{n_1}$ . We first choose scalars  $(b_i)$ , where  $i \leq k$  and  $l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]$ , such

that  $P_k e_l^* = \sum_{i=1}^k b_{il} u_i^*$ . Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^k |b_{il}| \leq 1$  for every  $l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]$ since  $||P_k|| = 1$ . We also define scalars  $(\rho_i)_{i=1}^k$  by

$$\rho_i = \left(-\sum_{l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_l b_{il}\right) / \sum_{j \le n_1} |a_j|,$$

and set  $\rho_{ij} = sg(a_j)\rho_i$  for  $i \leq k$  and  $j \leq n_1$ . Observe that  $\sum_{i=1}^k |\rho_i| < \epsilon_k$ , by (5) of the induction hypothesis. We now set

$$v_j = P_k e_j^* + \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{ij} u_i^*, \ j \le n_1.$$

It follows now by (6) of the induction hypothesis that (11) is satisfied. To establish (13) we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j \le n_{k+1}} a_j v_j &= \sum_{j \le n_1} a_j v_j + \sum_{j \in (n_1, n_{k+1}]} a_j P_k e_j^* \\ &= \sum_{j \le n_1} a_j \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_{ij} u_i^* + \sum_{j \le n_{k+1}} a_j P_k e_j^* \\ &= \sum_{j \le n_1} |a_j| \sum_{i=1}^k \rho_i u_i^* + \sum_{j \le n_k} a_j P_k e_j^* + \sum_{j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_j P_k e_j^* \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_l b_{il} u_i^* + \sum_{j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_j P_k e_j^*, \text{ by (7)} \\ &= -\sum_{l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_l \sum_{i=1}^k b_{il} u_i^* + \sum_{j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_j P_k e_j^* \\ &= -\sum_{l \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_l P_k e_l^* + \sum_{j \in (n_k, n_{k+1}]} a_j P_k e_j^* = \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

Finally, we show that (14) holds. Indeed, when  $j \in \{q - 1, q\}$ , this is a consequence of the choice of  $m_{2k+1}$  and  $m_{2k+2}$ . When  $j \in (n_1, n_{k+1}]$  the assertion follows from (9) of the induction hypothesis. When  $j \leq n_1$  it follows from (9) of the induction hypothesis and the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} |\rho_i| < 1$ П  $\epsilon_k$ .

**Proof of Corollary 1.5.** If  $X^*$  is non-separable the assertion follows from Corollary 1.3. If  $X^*$  is separable, then  $ext(B_{X^*})$  is countable and  $X^*$  is isometric to  $\ell_1$ . Let  $(e_n^*)$  be a basis for  $X^*$  isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ -basis, and choose a  $w^*$ -convergent subsequence  $(e_{m_n}^*)$  of  $(e_n^*)$  according to Theorem 1.4. Let  $Y = [(e_{m_{2n}}^* - e_{m_{2n-1}}^*)]$ . Then it is easy to see that Y is  $w^*$ -isometric to  $c_0^*$ . The result follows from Theorem 1.4.

Our last corollary is a special case of the structural result for separable  $L_1$ -preduals established in [20] and [15].

**Corollary 4.1.** Suppose that  $X^*$  is isometric to  $\ell_1$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(E_n)$  of finite-dimensional subspaces of X such that  $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$  for all n, each  $E_n$  is isometric to  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ , and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is dense in X.

Proof. Let  $(e_n^*)$  be a basis for  $X^*$  isometrically equivalent to the usual  $\ell_1$ basis. Let  $Y_n = [e_i^* : i \leq n], n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $(\delta_n)$  be a sequence of positive scalars such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$ . The argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 now yields a sequence  $(P_n)$  of  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projections in  $X^*$ with  $\operatorname{Im} P_n = Y_n$  and such that  $\|P_k P_n - P_k\| < \delta_k$  whenever  $k \leq n$ . Given  $k \leq n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we set  $Q_k^n = P_k \cdots P_n$ . Clearly  $Q_k^n$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection onto  $Y_k$ . Moreover, our assumptions yield that  $\|Q_k^n - Q_k^{n+1}\| < \delta_n$ , for all  $n \geq k$  and thus the sequence of operators  $(Q_k^n)_{n\geq k}$  converges in norm to a  $w^*$ -continuous contractive projection  $Q_k$  from  $X^*$  onto  $Y_k$ . It is easily seen that  $Q_k^m Q_l^n = Q_k^n$ , whenever  $k \leq l \leq m \leq n$  and hence  $Q_k Q_l = Q_k$ when  $k \leq l$ .

We now let  $E_n = Q_n^* Y_n^*$ .  $E_n$  is naturally identified to a subspace of X as  $Q_n$  is  $w^*$ -continuous, and of course it is isometric to  $\ell_{\infty}^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $Q_n Q_{n+1} = Q_n$  we deduce that  $E_n \subset E_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is also easily verified that  $Q_n^*$  acts as a contractive projection from X onto  $E_n$ . Rainwater's theorem now yields that  $\lim_n Q_n^* x = x$ , weakly, for all  $x \in X$  and thus  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  is dense in X.

**Remark**. 1. We note that the proof of Corollary 1.5 that appears in [27] makes use of the structural result established in [20] and [15].

- 2. Theorem 1.4 is no longer valid if we consider isomorphic  $\ell_1$ -preduals. Indeed, it is shown in [5] that there exist isomorphic  $\ell_1$ -preduals which do not contain isomorphic copies of  $c_0$ .
- 3. According to a result of Fonf [7], every Banach space X such that  $ext(B_{X^*})$  is countable contains a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ .

It was shown in [11] that every separable  $L_1$ -predual is isometric to a quotient of  $C(\Delta)$ . It is an open problem [2] whether every  $\ell_1$ -predual is isomorphic, or even almost isometric, to a quotient of C(K) for some countable compact metric space K.

Question: Suppose X is an  $\ell_1$ -predual such that for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and some countable ordinal  $\alpha$ , the  $\epsilon$ -Szlenk index of X [25] exceeds  $\omega^{\alpha}$ . Does X contain a contractively complemented subspace isometric to  $C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha}})$ ? Does X contain a subspace isomorphic to  $C(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha}})$ ?

#### References

- [1] D. Alspach, A quotient of  $C(\omega^{\omega})$  which is not isomorphic to a subspace of  $C(\alpha)$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , Israel J. Math. **35** (1980), 49–60.
- [2] D. Alspach, A  $\ell_1$ -predual which is not isometric to a quotient of  $C(\alpha)$ , Contemp. Math. **144** (1993), 9–14.
- [3] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, A predual of ℓ<sub>1</sub> which is not isomorphic to a C(K) space, Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 246–259.
- [4] J. Bourgain, The Szlenk index and operators on C(K)-spaces, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 31 (1979), 87–117.
- [5] J. Bourgain and F. Delbaen, A class of special L<sup>∞</sup> -spaces, Acta Math 145 (1980), 145–176.
- [6] G. Choquet, Lectures on Analysis, Vol I-II Benjamin (1969).
- [7] V. Fonf, One property of Lindenstrauss spaces, Funct. Anal. Appl. 13 (1979), 66–67.
- [8] V.P. Fonf, J. Lindenstrauss and R.R. Phelps, Infinite dimensional convexity, preprint.
- [9] I. Gasparis, A class of l<sub>1</sub>-preduals which are isomorphic to quotients of C(ω<sup>ω</sup>), Studia Math. 133 (2) (1999), 131–143.
- [10] W.B. Johnson, H.P. Rosenthal and M. Zippin, On bases, finite dimensional decompositions and weaker structures in Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 488-506.
- [11] W.B. Johnson and M. Zippin, Separable  $L_1$ -preduals are quotients of  $C(\Delta)$ , Israel J. Math. 16 (1973), 198-202.
- [12] M. Krein and V. Smulian, On regularly convex sets in the space conjugate to a Banach space, Ann. of Math. 41 (1940), 556–583.
- [13] H.E. Lacey, The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces, Springer-Verlag (1974).
- [14] A. Lazar, The unit ball in conjugate  $L_1$ -spaces, Duke Math. J. **36** (1972), 1–8.
- [15] A. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, On Banach spaces whose duals are L<sub>1</sub> spaces, Israel J. Math. 4 (1966), 205–207.
- [16] A. Lazar and J. Lindenstrauss, Banach spaces whose duals are  $L_1$  spaces and their representing matrices, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 165–194.
- [17] J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, The L<sub>p</sub> spaces, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325– 349.
- [18] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces I and II, Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [19] E. Michael, Continuous selections I, Ann. of Math. 63 (1956), 361–382.
- [20] E. Michael and A. Pelczynski, Separable Banach spaces which admit ℓ<sub>∞</sub>(n) approximations, Israel J. Math. 4 (1966), 189–198.
- [21] A. Pelczynski, On Banach spaces containing  $L_1(\mu)$ , Studia Math. **30** (1968), 231–246.
- [22] A. Pelczynski, On C(S)-subspaces of separable Banach spaces, Studia Math. 31 (1968), 513–522.
- [23] R.R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, Van Nostrand Math. Studies, No. 7 (1966).
- [24] H.P. Rosenthal, On the Choquet representation theorem, Lecture notes in Math. 1332, Springer (1988), 1–32.
- [25] W. Szlenk, The non-existence of a separable reflexive Banach space universal for all separable reflexive Banach spaces, Studia Math. 30 (1968), 53–61.
- [26] P. Wojtaszczyk, Banach spaces for analysts, Cambridge University Press (1991).
- [27] M. Zippin, On some subspaces of Banach spaces whose duals are L<sub>1</sub> spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 378–385.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078-1058, U.S.A.

E-mail address: ioagaspa@math.okstate.edu