

# Effective Actions of the Unitary Group on Complex Manifolds<sup>\*†</sup>

A. V. Isaev and N. G. Kruzhilin

*We classify all connected  $n$ -dimensional complex manifolds admitting an effective action of the unitary group  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. One consequence of this classification is a characterization of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by its automorphism group.*

## 0 Introduction

We are interested in classifying all connected complex manifolds  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 2$  admitting effective actions of the unitary group  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations.

One motivation for our study was the following question that we learned from S. Krantz: assume that the group  $\text{Aut}(M)$  of all biholomorphic automorphisms of  $M$  and the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of all biholomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  are isomorphic as topological groups equipped with the compact-open topology; does it imply that  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ? The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is very large (see, e.g., [AL]), and it is not that clear from the start what automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  one can use to approach the problem. The isomorphism between  $\text{Aut}(M)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  induces a continuous effective action on  $M$  of any subgroup  $G \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . If  $G$  is a Lie group, then this action is in fact real-analytic. We consider  $G = U_n$  which, as it turns out, results in a very short list of manifolds that can occur.

In Section 1 we find all possible dimensions of orbits of a  $U_n$ -action on  $M$ . It turns out (see Proposition 1.1) that an orbit is either a point (hence  $U_n$  has a fixed point in  $M$ ), or a real hypersurface in  $M$ , or a complex hypersurface in  $M$ , or the whole of  $M$  (in which case  $M$  is homogeneous).

Manifolds admitting an action with fixed point were found in [K] (see Remark 1.2).

In Section 2 we classify manifolds with a  $U_n$ -action such that all orbits are real hypersurfaces. We show that such a manifold is either a spherical

---

<sup>\*</sup>**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32Q57, 32M17.

<sup>†</sup>**Keywords and Phrases:** complex manifolds, group actions.

layer in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or a Hopf manifold, or the quotient of one of these manifolds by the action of a discrete subgroup of the center of  $U_n$  (Theorem 2.7).

In Section 3 we consider the situation when every orbit is a real or a complex hypersurface in  $M$  and show that there can exist at most two orbits that are complex hypersurfaces. Moreover, such orbits turn out to be biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  and can only arise either as a result of blowing up  $\mathbb{C}^n$  or a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin, or adding the hyperplane  $\infty \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  to the exterior of a ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or blowing up  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  at one point, or taking the quotient of one of these examples by the action of a discrete subgroup of the center of  $U_n$  (Theorem 3.3).

Finally, in Section 4 we consider the homogeneous case. In this case the manifold in question must be equivalent to the quotient of a Hopf manifold by the action of a discrete central subgroup (Theorem 4.5).

Thus, Remark 1.2, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.5 provide a complete list of connected manifolds of dimension  $n \geq 2$  admitting effective actions of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. An easy consequence of this classification is the following characterization of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by its automorphism group that we obtain in Section 5:

**THEOREM 5.1** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Assume that  $\text{Aut}(M)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  are isomorphic as topological groups. Then  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

We acknowledge that this work started while the second author was visiting Centre for Mathematics and its Applications, Australian National University.

## 1 Dimensions of Orbits

In this section we obtain the following result, which is similar to Satz 1.2 in [K].

**Proposition 1.1** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Let  $p \in M$  and let  $O(p)$  be the  $U_n$ -orbit of  $p$ . Then  $O(p)$  is either*

- (i) *the whole of  $M$  (hence  $M$  is compact), or*

- (ii) a single point, or
- (iii) a complex compact hypersurface in  $M$ , or
- (iv) a real compact hypersurface in  $M$ .

**Proof:** For  $p \in M$  let  $I_p$  be the isotropy subgroup of  $U_n$  at  $p$ , i.e.,  $I_p := \{g \in U_n : gp = p\}$ . We denote by  $\Psi$  the continuous homomorphism of  $U_n$  into  $\text{Aut}(M)$  (the group of biholomorphic automorphisms of  $M$ ) induced by the action of  $U_n$  on  $M$ . Let  $L_p := \{d_p(\Psi(g)) : g \in I_p\}$  be the linear isotropy subgroup, where  $d_p f$  is the differential of a map  $f$  at  $p$ . Clearly,  $L_p$  is a compact subgroup of  $GL(T_p(M), \mathbb{C})$ . Since the action of  $U_n$  is effective,  $L_p$  is isomorphic to  $I_p$ . Let  $V \subset T_p(M)$  be the tangent space to  $O(p)$  at  $p$ . Clearly,  $V$  is  $L_p$ -invariant. We assume now that  $O(p) \neq M$  (and therefore  $V \neq T_p(M)$ ) and consider the following three cases.

**Case 1.**  $d := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V + iV) < n$ .

Since  $L_p$  is compact, one can consider coordinates on  $T_p(M)$  such that  $L_p \subset U_n$ . Further, the action of  $L_p$  on  $T_p(M)$  is completely reducible and the subspace  $V + iV$  is invariant under this action. Hence  $L_p$  can in fact be embedded in  $U_d \times U_{n-d}$ . Since  $\dim O(p) \leq 2d$ , it follows that

$$n^2 \leq d^2 + (n - d)^2 + 2d,$$

and therefore either  $d = 0$  or  $d = n - 1$ . If  $d = 0$ , then we obtain (ii). If  $d = n - 1$ , then the above relation is in fact the equality  $\dim O(p) = 2d = 2n - 2$ , and therefore  $iV = V$ , which yields (iii).

**Case 2.**  $T_p(M) = V + iV$  and  $r := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V \cap iV) > 0$ .

As above,  $L_p$  can be embedded in  $U_r \times U_{n-r}$  (clearly, we have  $r < n$ ). Moreover,  $V \cap iV \neq V$  and since  $L_p$  preserves  $V$ , it follows that  $\dim L_p < r^2 + (n - r)^2$ . We have  $\dim O(p) \leq 2n - 1$ , and therefore

$$n^2 < r^2 + (n - r)^2 + 2n - 1,$$

which shows that  $\dim O(p) = 2n - 1$ . This yields (iv).

**Case 3.**  $T_p(M) = V \oplus iV$ .

In this case  $\dim V = n$  and  $L_p$  can be embedded in the real orthogonal group  $O_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and therefore

$$\dim L_p + \dim O(p) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n < n^2,$$

which is a contradiction.

The proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 1.2** It is shown in [K] (see Folgerung 1.10 there) that if  $U_n$  has a fixed point in  $M$ , then  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to either

- (i) the unit ball  $B^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , or
- (ii)  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or
- (iii)  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .

The biholomorphic equivalence  $f$  can be chosen to be an isomorphism of  $U_n$ -spaces, more precisely,

$$f(gq) = \gamma(g)f(q),$$

where either  $\gamma(g) = g$  or  $\gamma(g) = \bar{g}$  for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in M$  (here  $B^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  are considered with the standard actions of  $U_n$ ).

## 2 The Case of Real Hypersurface Orbits

We shall now consider orbits in  $M$  that are real hypersurfaces. We require the following algebraic result.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a connected closed subgroup of  $U_n$  of dimension  $(n-1)^2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then either  $G$  contains the center of  $U_n$ , or  $G$  is conjugate in  $U_n$  to the subgroup of all matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\alpha \in U_1$  and  $\beta \in SU_{n-1}$ , or for some  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ ,  $k_2 \neq 0$ , it is conjugate to the subgroup  $H_{k_1, k_2}$  of all matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $B \in U_{n-1}$  and  $a \in (\det B)^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} := \exp(k_1/k_2 \operatorname{Ln}(\det B))$ .

**Proof:** Since  $G$  is compact, it is completely reducible, i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  splits into a sum of  $G$ -invariant pairwise orthogonal complex subspaces,  $\mathbb{C}^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$ , such that the restriction  $G_j$  of  $G$  to each  $V_j$  is irreducible. Let  $n_j := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_j$  (hence  $n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$ ) and let  $U_{n_j}$  be the group of unitary transformations of  $V_j$ . Clearly,  $G_j \subset U_{n_j}$ , and therefore  $\dim G \leq n_1^2 + \dots + n_m^2$ . On the other hand  $\dim G = (n-1)^2$ , which shows that  $m \leq 2$ .

Let  $m = 2$ . Then there exists a unitary change of coordinates  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that in the new variables elements of  $G$  are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $a \in U_1$  and  $B \in U_{n-1}$ . We note that the scalars  $a$  and the matrices  $B$  in (2.3) corresponding to the elements of  $G$  form compact connected subgroups of  $U_1$  and  $U_{n-1}$ , respectively; we shall denote them by  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  as above.

If  $\dim G_1 = 0$ , then  $G_1 = \{1\}$ , and therefore  $G_2 = U_{n-1}$ . Thus we get the form (2.2) with  $k_1 = 0$ .

Assume that  $\dim G_1 = 1$ , i.e.,  $G_1 = U_1$ . Then  $(n-1)^2 - 1 \leq \dim G_2 \leq (n-1)^2$ . Let  $\dim G_2 = (n-1)^2 - 1$  first. The only connected subgroup of  $U_{n-1}$  of dimension  $(n-1)^2 - 1$  is  $SU_{n-1}$ . Hence  $G$  is conjugate to the subgroup of matrices of the form (2.1). Now let  $\dim G_2 = (n-1)^2$ , i.e.,  $G_2 = U_{n-1}$ . Consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . It consists of matrices of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} l(b) & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $b$  is an arbitrary matrix in  $\mathfrak{u}_{n-1}$  and  $l(b) \neq 0$  is a linear function of the matrix elements of  $b$  ranging in  $i\mathbb{R}$ . Clearly,  $l(b)$  must vanish on the commutant of  $\mathfrak{u}_{n-1}$ , which is  $\mathfrak{su}_{n-1}$ . Hence matrices (2.4) form a Lie algebra if and only if  $l(b) = c \cdot \operatorname{trace} b$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Such an algebra can be

the Lie algebra of a subgroup of  $U_1 \times U_{n-1}$  only if  $c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$ . Hence  $G$  is conjugate to the group of matrices (2.2) with some  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k_2 \neq 0$ , and one can always assume that  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ .

Now let  $m = 1$ . We shall proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [IK]. Let  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{u}_n \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$  its complexification. Then  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  acts irreducibly on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and by a theorem of É. Cartan (see, e.g., [GG]),  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is either semisimple or the direct sum of a semisimple ideal  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the center of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  (which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Clearly, the action of the ideal  $\mathfrak{h}$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  must be irreducible.

Assume first that  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is semisimple, and let  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$  be its decomposition into the direct sum of simple ideals. Then (see, e.g., [GG]) the irreducible  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  given by the embedding of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is the tensor product of some irreducible faithful representations of the  $\mathfrak{g}_j$ . Let  $n_j$  be the dimension of the corresponding representation of  $\mathfrak{g}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $n_j \geq 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}_j \leq n_j^2 - 1$ , and  $n = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_k$ . The following observation is simple.

**Claim:** *If  $n = n_1 \cdot \dots \cdot n_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $n_j \geq 2$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^k n_j^2 \leq n^2 - 2n$ .*

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = (n-1)^2$ , it follows from the above claim that  $k = 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is simple. The minimal dimensions of irreducible faithful representations of complex simple Lie algebras are well-known (see, e.g., [VO]). In the table below  $V$  denotes representations of minimal dimension.

$\mathfrak{g}$	$\dim V$	$\dim \mathfrak{g}$
$\mathfrak{sl}_k$ $k \geq 2$	$k$	$k^2 - 1$
$\mathfrak{o}_k$ $k \geq 7$	$k$	$\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$
$\mathfrak{sp}_{2k}$ $k \geq 2$	$2k$	$2k^2 + k$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	27	78
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	56	133
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	248	248
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	26	52
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	7	14

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = (n-1)^2$ , it follows that none of the above possibilities realize. Hence  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  contains the center of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains the center of  $\mathfrak{u}_n$ . Thus  $G$  contains the center of  $U_n$ .

The proof of the lemma is complete.  $\square$

We can now prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Let  $p \in M$  and let the orbit  $O(p)$  be a real hypersurface in  $M$ . Then the isotropy subgroup  $I_p$  is isomorphic to  $U_{n-1}$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $O(p)$  is a real hypersurface in  $M$ , it arises in Case 2 in the proof of Proposition 1.1. We shall use the notation from that proof. Let  $W$  be the orthogonal complement to  $V \cap iV$  in  $T_p(M)$ . Clearly,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V \cap iV = n-1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} W = 1$ . The group  $L_p$  is a subgroup of  $U_n$  and preserves  $V$ ,  $V \cap iV$ , and  $W$ ; hence it preserves the line  $W \cap V$ . Therefore, it can act only as  $\pm \text{id}$  on  $W$ . Since  $\dim L_p = (n-1)^2$ , the identity component  $L_p^c$  of  $L_p$  must in fact be the group of all unitary transformations preserving  $V \cap iV$  and acting trivially on  $W$ . Thus,  $L_p^c$  is isomorphic to  $U_{n-1}$  and acts transitively on directions in  $V \cap iV$ . Hence  $O(p)$  is either Levi-flat or strongly pseudoconvex.

We claim that  $O(p)$  cannot be Levi-flat. For assume that  $O(p)$  is Levi-flat. Then it is foliated by complex hypersurfaces in  $M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on  $O(p)$  corresponding to the automorphisms of  $O(p)$  generated by the action of  $U_n$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{u}_n$ . For  $q \in O(p)$  we denote by  $M_q$  the leaf of the foliation passing through  $q$  and consider the subspace  $\mathfrak{l}_q \subset \mathfrak{m}$  of all vector fields tangent to  $M_q$  at  $q$ . Since vector fields in  $\mathfrak{l}_q$  remain tangent to  $M_q$  at each point in  $M_q$ ,  $\mathfrak{l}_q$  is in fact a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Clearly,  $\dim \mathfrak{l}_q = n^2 - 1$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{l}_q$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}_n$ . Since there exists only one way to embed  $\mathfrak{su}_n$  in  $\mathfrak{u}_n$ , we obtain that the action of  $SU_n \subset U_n$  preserves each leaf  $M_q$  for  $q \in O(p)$ . Hence each leaf  $M_q$  is a union of  $SU_n$ -orbits. But such an orbit must be open in  $M_q$ , and therefore the action of  $SU_n$  is transitive on each  $M_q$ .

Let  $\tilde{I}_q$  be the isotropy subgroup of  $q$  in  $SU_n$ . Clearly,  $\dim \tilde{I}_q = (n-1)^2$ . It now follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\tilde{I}_q^c$ , the connected identity component of  $\tilde{I}_q$ , is conjugate in  $U_n$  to the subgroup  $H_{k_1, k_2}$  (see (2.2)) with  $k_1 = -k_2 = 1$ . Hence  $\tilde{I}_q^c$  contains the center of  $SU_n$ . The elements of the center act trivially on  $SU/\tilde{I}_q$  (which is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $M_q$ ). Thus, the central elements of  $SU_n$  act trivially on each  $M_q$ , and therefore on  $O(p)$ . Consequently, the action of  $U_n$  on the real hypersurface  $O(p)$ , and therefore

on  $M$ , is not effective, which is a contradiction showing that  $M$  is strongly pseudoconvex.

Hence  $L_p$  can only act identically on  $W$ . Thus,  $L_p$  is isomorphic to  $U_{n-1}$  and so is  $I_p$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

We now classify real hypersurface orbits up to equivariant diffeomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.3** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Let  $p \in M$  and assume that the orbit  $O(p)$  is a real hypersurface in  $M$ . Then  $O(p)$  is isomorphic as a homogeneous space to a lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1} := S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  obtained by identifying each point  $x \in S^{2n-1}$  with  $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}x$ , where  $m = |nk + 1|$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (here  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  is considered with the standard action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ ).*

**Proof:** By Proposition 2.2,  $I_p$  is isomorphic to  $U_{n-1}$ . Hence it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $I_p$  either contains the center of  $U_n$  or is conjugate to some group  $H_{k_1, k_2}$  of matrices of the form (2.2) with  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The first possibility in fact cannot occur, since in that case the action of  $U_n$  on  $O(p)$ , and therefore on  $M$ , is not effective.

Assume that  $K := k_1(n-1) - k_2 \neq \pm 1, 0$ . Since  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ , either  $k_1$  or  $k_2$  is not a multiple of  $K$ . We set  $t := 2\pi k_1/K$  in the first case and  $t := 2\pi k_2/K$  in the second case. Then  $e^{it} \cdot \text{id}$  is a nontrivial central element of  $U_n$  that belongs to  $H_{k_1, k_2}$ . Hence the action of  $U_n$  on  $O(p)$  is not effective, which is a contradiction. Further, assuming that  $K = 0$  we obtain  $k_1 = \pm 1$  and  $k_2 = \pm(n-1)$ . But the center of  $U_n$  clearly lies in  $H_{1, n-1}$ , which yields that the action is not effective again. Hence  $K = \pm 1$ .

Now let  $K = -1$ . It is not difficult to show that each element of the corresponding group  $H_{k_1, k_1(n-1)+1}$  can be expressed in the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\det B)^k & 0 \\ 0 & (\det B)^k B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $B \in U_{n-1}$  and  $k := k_1$ . In a similar way, if  $K = 1$ , then each element of the corresponding group  $H_{k_1, k_1(n-1)-1}$  can be expressed in the form (2.5) with  $k := -k_1$ .

Let  $m := |nk + 1|$  and consider the lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . We claim that  $O(p)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . We identify  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  with the subgroup of  $U_n$  consisting of the matrices  $\sigma \cdot \text{id}$  with  $\sigma^m = 1$  and consider the standard action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  on  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . The isotropy subgroup  $S$  of the point in  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  represented by the point  $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{2n-1}$  is the standard embedding of  $U_{n-1}$  in  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , namely, it consists of elements  $C\mathbb{Z}_m$ , where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $B \in U_{n-1}$ . The manifold  $(U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)/S$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . We now show that it is also isomorphic to  $O(p)$ . Indeed, consider the Lie group isomorphism

$$\phi_{n,m} : U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow U_n, \quad \phi_{n,m}(A\mathbb{Z}_m) = (\det A)^k \cdot A, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $A \in U_n$ . Clearly,  $\phi_{n,m}(S) \subset U_n$  is the subgroup of matrices of the form (2.5), that is,  $H_{k_1, k_2}$ . Thus, it is conjugate in  $U_n$  to  $I_p$ , and therefore  $(U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)/S$  is isomorphic to  $U_n/I_p$  and to  $O(p)$ . More precisely, the isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1} \rightarrow O(p)$  is the following composition of maps:

$$f = f_1 \circ \phi_{n,m}^* \circ f_2, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $f_1 : U_n/H_{k_1, k_2} \rightarrow O(p)$  and  $f_2 : \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1} \rightarrow (U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)/S$  are the standard equivariant equivalences and the isomorphism  $\phi_{n,m}^* : (U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)/S \rightarrow U_n/H_{k_1, k_2}$  is induced by  $\phi_{n,m}$  in the obvious way. Clearly,  $f$  satisfies

$$f(gq) = \phi_{n,m}(g)f(q), \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $g \in U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $q \in \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ .

Thus,  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  and  $O(p)$  regarded as homogeneous spaces, as required.  $\square$

The next result shows that isomorphism (2.7) in Proposition 2.3 is either a CR or an anti-CR diffeomorphism.

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. For  $p \in M$  suppose that  $O(p)$  is a real hypersurface in  $M$  isomorphic as a homogeneous*

space to a lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . Then an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1} \rightarrow O(p)$  can be chosen to be a CR-diffeomorphism that satisfies either the relation

$$\mathcal{F}(gq) = \phi_{n,m}(g)\mathcal{F}(q), \quad (2.9)$$

or the relation

$$\mathcal{F}(gq) = \phi_{n,m}(\bar{g})\mathcal{F}(q), \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $g \in U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $q \in \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  (here  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  is considered with the CR-structure inherited from  $S^{2n-1}$ ).

**Proof:** Consider the standard covering map  $\pi : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  and the induced map  $\tilde{\pi} := f \circ \pi : S^{2n-1} \rightarrow O(p)$ , where  $f$  is defined in (2.7). It follows from (2.8) that the covering map  $\tilde{\pi}$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\pi}(gq) = \tilde{\phi}_{n,m}(g)\tilde{\pi}(q), \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in S^{2n-1}$  where  $\tilde{\phi}_{n,m} := \phi_{n,m} \circ \rho_{n,m}$  and  $\rho_{n,m} : U_n \rightarrow U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is the standard projection.

Using  $\tilde{\pi}$  we can pull back the CR-structure from  $O(p)$  to  $S^{2n-1}$ . We denote by  $\tilde{S}^{2n-1}$  the sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  equipped with this new CR-structure. It follows from (2.11) that the CR-structure on  $\tilde{S}^{2n-1}$  is invariant under the standard action of  $U_n$  on  $S^{2n-1}$ .

We now prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5** *There exist exactly two CR-structures on  $S^{2n-1}$  invariant under the standard action of  $U_n$ , namely, the standard CR-structure on  $S^{2n-1}$  and the structure obtained by conjugating the standard one.*

**Proof of Lemma 2.5:** For  $q_0 := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{2n-1}$  let  $I_{q_0}$  be the isotropy subgroup of this point with respect to the standard action of  $U_n$  on  $S^{2n-1}$ . Clearly,  $I_{q_0} = U_{n-1}$ , where  $U_{n-1}$  is embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way. Let  $L_{q_0}$  be the corresponding linear isotropy subgroup. Clearly, the only  $(2n-2)$ -dimensional subspace of  $T_{q_0}(S^{2n-1})$  invariant under the action of  $L_{q_0}$  is  $\{z_1 = 0\}$ . Hence there exists a unique contact structure on  $S^{2n-1}$  invariant under the standard action of  $U_n$ .

On the other hand there exist exactly two ways to introduce in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$  a  $U_{n-1}$ -invariant structure of complex linear space: the standard complex structure and its conjugation (this is obvious for  $n = 2$ , and easy to show

for  $n \geq 3$ , and therefore we shall omit the proof). Let  $J_q$  be the operator of complex structure in the corresponding subspace of  $T_q(S^{2n-1})$ ,  $q \in S^{2n-1}$ . Since there exist only two possibilities for  $J_q$ , and  $J_q$  depends smoothly on  $q$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$

Proposition 2.4 easily follows from Lemma 2.5. Indeed, if the CR-structure of  $\tilde{S}^{2n-1}$  is identical to that of  $S^{2n-1}$ , then we set  $\mathcal{F} := f$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a CR-diffeomorphism and satisfies (2.9). On the other hand, if the CR-structure of  $\tilde{S}^{2n-1}$  is obtained from the structure of  $S^{2n-1}$  by conjugation, then we set  $\mathcal{F}(t) := f(\bar{t})$  for  $t \in \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a CR-diffeomorphism and satisfies (2.10).

The proof of the proposition is complete.  $\square$

We introduce now additional notation.

**Definition 2.6** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $|d| \neq 1$ , let  $M_d^n$  be the Hopf manifold constructed by identifying  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  with  $d \cdot z$ , and let  $[z]$  be the equivalence class of  $z$ . Then we denote by  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the complex manifold obtained from  $M_d^n$  by identifying  $[z]$  and  $[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z]$ .*

We are now ready to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.7** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that all orbits of this action are real hypersurfaces. Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that, for  $m = |nk + 1|$ ,  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to either*

(i)  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $S_{r,R}^n := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : r < |z| < R\}$ ,  $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$ , is a spherical layer, or

(ii)  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

The biholomorphic equivalence  $f$  can be chosen to satisfy either the relation

$$f(gq) = \phi_{n,m}^{-1}(g)f(q), \quad (2.12)$$

or the relation

$$f(gq) = \phi_{n,m}^{-1}(\bar{g})f(q), \quad (2.13)$$

for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in M$ , where  $\phi_{n,m}$  is defined in (2.6) (here  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  are equipped with the standard actions of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ ).

**Proof:** Assume first that  $M$  is non-compact. Let  $p \in M$ . By Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, for some  $m = |nk + 1|$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists a CR-diffeomorphism  $f : O(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  such that either (2.12) or (2.13) holds for all  $q \in O(p)$ . Assume first that (2.12) holds. The map  $f$  extends to a biholomorphic map of a neighborhood  $U$  of  $O(p)$  onto a neighborhood of  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . We can take  $U$  to be a connected union of orbits. Then the extended map satisfies (2.12) on  $U$ , and therefore maps  $U$  biholomorphically onto the quotient of a spherical layer by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

Let  $D$  be a maximal domain in  $M$  such that there exists a biholomorphic map  $f$  from  $D$  onto the quotient of a spherical layer by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  that satisfies a relation of the form (2.12) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in D$ . As shown above, such a domain  $D$  exists. Assume that  $D \neq M$  and let  $x$  be a boundary point of  $D$ . Consider the orbit  $O(x)$ . Extending a map from  $O(x)$  into a lense manifold to a neighborhood of  $O(x)$  as above, we see that the orbits of all points close to  $x$  have the same type as  $O(x)$ . Therefore,  $O(x)$  is also equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . Let  $h : O(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  be a CR-isomorphism. It satisfies either relation (2.12) or relation (2.13) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in O(x)$ .

Assume first that (2.12) holds for  $h$ . The map  $h$  extends to some neighborhood  $V$  of  $O(x)$  that we can assume to be a connected union of orbits. The extended map satisfies (2.12) on  $V$ . For  $s \in V \cap D$  we consider the orbit  $O(s)$ . The maps  $f$  and  $h$  take  $O(s)$  into some surfaces  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , respectively, where  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ . Hence  $F := h \circ f^{-1}$  maps  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  onto  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and satisfies the relation

$$F(ut) = uF(t), \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $u \in U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $t \in r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Let  $\pi_1 : r_1 S^{2n-1} \rightarrow r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $\pi_2 : r_2 S^{2n-1} \rightarrow r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be the standard projections. Clearly,  $F$  can be lifted to a map between  $r_1 S^{2n-1}$  and  $r_2 S^{2n-1}$ , i.e., there exists a CR-isomorphism  $G : r_1 S^{2n-1} \rightarrow r_2 S^{2n-1}$  such that

$$F \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ G. \quad (2.15)$$

We see from (2.14) and (2.15) that, for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $y \in r_1 S^{2n-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2(G(gy)) &= F(\pi_1(gy)) = F(\rho_{n,m}(g)\pi_1(y)) = \\ &= \rho_{n,m}(g)F(\pi_1(y)) = \rho_{n,m}(g)\pi_2(G(y)) = \pi_2(gG(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho_{n,m} : U_n \rightarrow U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is the standard projection. Since the fibers of  $\pi_2$  are discrete, this leads to the relation

$$G(gy) = gG(y), \quad (2.16)$$

for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $y \in r_1 S^{2n-1}$ .

The map  $G$  extends to a biholomorphic map of the corresponding balls  $r_1 B^n$ ,  $r_2 B^n$ , and the extended map satisfies (2.16) on  $r_1 B^n$ . Setting  $y = 0$  in (2.16) we see that  $G(0)$  is a fixed point of the standard action of  $U_n$  on  $r_2 B^n$ , and therefore  $G(0) = 0$ . Combined with (2.16) this shows that  $G = d \cdot \text{id}$ , where  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . This means, in particular, that  $F$  is biholomorphic on  $(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Now,

$$H := \begin{cases} F \circ f & \text{on } D \\ h & \text{on } V \end{cases}$$

is a holomorphic map on  $D \cup V$ , provided that  $D \cap V$  is connected.

We now claim that we can choose  $V$  such that  $D \cap V$  is connected. We assume that  $V$  is small enough, hence the strictly pseudoconvex orbit  $O(x)$  partitions  $V$  into two pieces. Namely,  $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup O(x)$ , where  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and each intersection  $V_j \cap D$  is connected. Indeed, there exist holomorphic coordinates on  $D$  in which  $V_j \cap D$  is a union of the quotients of spherical layers by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . If there are several such ‘‘factorized’’ layers, then there exists a layer with closure disjoint from  $O(x)$  and hence  $D$  is disconnected, which is impossible. Therefore,  $V_j \cap D$  is connected and, if  $V$  is sufficiently small, then each  $V_j$  is either a subset of  $D$  or is disjoint from  $D$ . If  $V_j \subset D$  for  $j = 1, 2$ , then  $M = D \cup V$  is compact which contradicts our assumption. Thus, only one set of  $V_1, V_2$  lies in  $D$ , and therefore  $D \cap V$  is connected. Hence the map  $H$  is well-defined. Clearly, it satisfies (2.12) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in D \cup V$ .

We will now show that  $H$  is one-to-one on  $D \cup V$ . Obviously,  $H$  is one-to-one on each of  $V$  and  $D$ . Assume that there exist points  $p_1 \in D$  and  $p_2 \in V$  such that  $H(p_1) = H(p_2)$ . Since  $H$  satisfies (2.12) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in D \cup V$ , it follows that  $H(O(p_1)) = H(O(p_2))$ . Let  $\Gamma(\tau)$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  be a continuous path in  $D \cup V$  joining  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ . For each  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  we set  $\rho(\tau)$  to be the radius of the sphere corresponding to the lense manifold  $H(O(\Gamma(\tau)))$ . Since  $\rho$  is continuous and  $\rho(0) = \rho(1)$ , there exists a point  $0 < \tau_0 < 1$  at which  $\rho$  attains either its maximum or its minimum on  $[0, 1]$ . Then  $H$  is not one-to-one in a neighborhood of  $O(\Gamma(\tau_0))$ , which is a contradiction.

We have thus constructed a domain containing  $D$  as a proper subset that can be mapped onto the quotient of a spherical layer by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  by means of a map satisfying (2.12). This is a contradiction showing that in fact  $D = M$ .

Assume now that  $h$  satisfies (2.13) (rather than (2.12)) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in O(x)$ . Then  $h$  extends to a neighborhood  $V$  of  $O(x)$  and satisfies (2.13) there. For a point  $s \in V \cap D$  we consider its orbit  $O(s)$ . The maps  $f$  and  $h$  take  $O(s)$  into some lense manifolds  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , respectively, where  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ . Hence  $F := h \circ f^{-1}$  maps  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  onto  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and satisfies the relation

$$F(ut) = \bar{u}F(t), \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $u \in U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $t \in r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . As above,  $F$  can be lifted to a map  $G$  from  $r_1 S^{2n-1}$  into  $r_2 S^{2n-1}$ . By (2.17) and (2.15), for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $y \in r_1 S^{2n-1}$  we obtain

$$\frac{\pi_2(G(gy))}{\rho_{n,m}(g)} = F(\pi_1(gy)) = F(\rho_{n,m}(g)\pi_1(y)) = \rho_{n,m}(\bar{g})F(\pi_1(y)) = \rho_{n,m}(\bar{g})\pi_2(G(y)) = \pi_2(\bar{g}G(y)).$$

As above, this shows that

$$G(gy) = \bar{g}G(y), \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $y \in r_1 S^{2n-1}$ .

The map  $G$  extends to a biholomorphic map between the corresponding balls  $r_1 B^n$ ,  $r_2 B^n$ , and the extended map satisfies (2.18) on  $r_1 B^n$ . By setting  $y = 0$  in (2.18) we see similarly to the above that  $G(0)$  is a fixed point of the standard action of  $U_n$  on  $r_1 B^n$ , and thus  $G(0) = 0$ . Hence  $G = d \cdot U$ , where  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $U$  is a unitary matrix. This, however, contradicts (2.18), and therefore  $h$  cannot satisfy (2.13) on  $O(x)$ .

The proof in the case when  $f$  satisfies (2.13) on  $O(p)$  is analogous to the above. In this case we obtain an extension to the whole of  $M$  satisfying (2.13). This completes the proof in the case of non-compact  $M$ .

Assume now that  $M$  is compact. We consider a domain  $D$  as above and assume first that the corresponding map  $f$  satisfies (2.12). Since  $M$  is compact,  $D \neq M$ . Let  $x$  be a boundary point of  $D$ , and consider the orbit  $O(x)$ . We choose a connected neighborhood  $V$  of  $O(x)$  as above, and let

$V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup O(x)$ , where  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and each  $V_j$  is either a subset of  $D$  or is disjoint from  $D$ . If one domain of  $V_1, V_2$  is disjoint from  $D$ , then, arguing as above, we arrive at a contradiction with the maximality of  $D$ . Hence  $V_j \subset D$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $M = D \cup O(x)$ .

We can now extend  $f|_{V_1}$  and  $f|_{V_2}$  to biholomorphic maps  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , respectively, that are defined on  $V$ , map it onto spherical layers factorized by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , and satisfy (2.12) on  $V$ . Then  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  map  $O(x)$  onto  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , respectively, for some  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ . Clearly,  $r_1 \neq r_2$ . Hence  $F := f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$  maps  $r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  onto  $r_2 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and satisfies (2.14). This shows, similarly to the above, that  $F(\langle t \rangle_1) = \langle d \cdot t \rangle_2$  for all  $\langle t \rangle_1 \in r_1 S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\langle t \rangle_j \in r_j S^{2n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is the equivalence class of  $t \in r_j S^{2n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Since  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , it follows that  $|d| \neq 1$ . Now, the map

$$H := \begin{cases} f & \text{on } D \\ f_1 & \text{on } O(x) \end{cases}$$

establishes a biholomorphic equivalence between  $M$  and  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and satisfies (2.12).

The proof in the case when  $f$  satisfies (2.13) on  $D$  is analogous to the above. In this case we obtain an extension  $H$  that satisfies (2.13).

The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

### 3 The Case of Complex Hypersurface Orbits

We now discuss orbits that are complex hypersurfaces. We start with several examples.

**Example 3.1** Let  $B_R^n$  be the ball of radius  $0 < R \leq \infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $\widehat{B}_R^n$  be its blow-up at the origin, i.e.,

$$\widehat{B}_R^n := \left\{ (z, w) \in B_R^n \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} : z_i w_j = z_j w_i, \text{ for all } i, j \right\},$$

where  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  are the standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$  are the homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We define an action of  $U_n$  on  $\widehat{B}_R^n$  as follows. For  $(z, w) \in \widehat{B}_R^n$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set

$$g(z, w) := (gz, gw),$$

where in the right-hand side we use the standard actions of  $U_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The points  $(0, w) \in \widehat{B}_R^n$  form an orbit  $O$ , which is a complex hypersurface biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . All other orbits are real hypersurfaces that are the boundaries of strongly pseudoconvex neighborhoods of  $O$ .

We fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  the quotient of  $\widehat{B}_R^n$  by the equivalence relation  $(z, w) \sim e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}(z, w)$ . Let  $\{(z, w)\} \in \widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be the equivalence class of  $(z, w) \in \widehat{B}_R^n$ . We now define in a natural way an action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  on  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ : for  $\{(z, w)\} \in \widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set

$$(g\mathbb{Z}_m)\{(z, w)\} := \{g(z, w)\}.$$

The points  $\{(0, z)\}$  form the unique complex hypersurface orbit  $O$ , which is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , and each real hypersurface orbit is the boundary of a strongly pseudoconvex neighborhood of  $O$ .

Now let  $S_{r,\infty}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| > r\}$ ,  $r > 0$ , be a spherical layer with infinite outer radius and let  $\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n$  be the union of  $S_{r,\infty}^n$  and the hypersurface at infinity in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , namely,

$$\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n := \{(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in S_{r,\infty}^n, z_0 = 0, 1\}.$$

We shall equip  $\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n$  with the standard action of  $U_n$ . For  $(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) \in \widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set

$$g(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) := (z_0 : u_1 : \dots : u_n),$$

where  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) := g(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . The points  $(0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)$  at infinity form an orbit  $O$ , which is a complex hypersurface biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . All other orbits are real hypersurfaces that are the boundaries of strongly pseudoconcave neighborhoods of  $O$ .

We fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  the quotient of  $\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n$  by the equivalence relation  $(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) \sim e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)$ . Let  $\{(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\} \in \widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be the equivalence class of  $(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n) \in \widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n$ . We consider  $\widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  with the standard action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , namely, for  $\{(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\} \in \widetilde{S}_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set

$$(g\mathbb{Z}_m)\{(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\} := \{g(z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\}.$$

The points  $\{(0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\}$  form a unique complex hypersurface orbit  $O$  which is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , and each real hypersurface orbit is the boundary of a strongly pseudoconcave neighborhood of  $O$ .

Finally, let  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}$  be the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  at the point  $(1 : 0 : \dots : 0) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ :

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n} := \left\{ \left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1} : z_i w_j = z_j w_i \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } i, j \neq 0, z_0 = 0, 1 \right\},$$

where  $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$  are the homogeneous coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We define an action of  $U_n$  in  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}$  as follows. For  $\left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set

$$g\left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) := \left( (z_0 : u_1 : \dots : u_n), gw \right),$$

where  $(u_1, \dots, u_n) := g(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . This action has exactly two orbits that are complex hypersurfaces: the orbit  $O_1$  consisting of the points  $\left( (1 : 0 : \dots : 0), w \right)$  and the orbit  $O_2$  consisting of the points  $\left( (0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right)$ . Both  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The real hypersurface orbits are the boundaries of strongly pseudoconvex neighborhoods of  $O_1$  and strongly pseudoconcave neighborhoods of  $O_2$ .

We fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and denote by  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  the quotient of  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}$  by the equivalence relation  $\left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \sim e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} \left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right)$ . Let  $\left\{ \left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\} \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be the equivalence class of  $\left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}$ . We shall consider  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  with the standard action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , namely, for  $\left\{ \left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\} \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  and  $g \in U_n$  we set:

$$(g\mathbb{Z}_m)\left\{ \left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\} := \left\{ g\left( (z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\}.$$

As above, there exist exactly two orbits that are complex hypersurfaces: the orbit  $O_1$  consisting of the points  $\left\{ \left( (1 : 0 : \dots : 0), w \right) \right\}$  and the orbit  $O_2$  consisting of the points  $\left\{ \left( (0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\}$ . Both  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The real hypersurface orbits are the boundaries of strongly pseudoconvex neighborhoods of  $O_1$  and strongly pseudoconcave neighborhoods of  $O_2$ .

We show below that the complex hypersurface orbits in Example 3.1 are in fact the only ones that can occur.

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that each orbit is a real or a complex hypersurface in  $M$ . Then there exist at most two complex hypersurface orbits.*

**Proof:** We fix a smooth  $U_n$ -invariant distance function  $\rho$  on  $M$ . Let  $O$  be an orbit that is a complex hypersurface. Consider the  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $U_\epsilon(O)$  of  $O$  in  $M$ :

$$U_\epsilon(O) := \left\{ p \in M : \inf_{q \in O} \rho(p, q) < \epsilon \right\}.$$

If  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, then the boundary of  $U_\epsilon(O)$ ,

$$\partial U_\epsilon(O) = \left\{ p \in M : \inf_{q \in O} \rho(p, q) = \epsilon \right\},$$

is a smooth connected real hypersurface in  $M$ . Clearly,  $\partial U_\epsilon$  is  $U_n$ -invariant, and therefore it is a union of orbits. If  $\partial U_\epsilon(O)$  contains an orbit that is a real hypersurface, then  $\partial U_\epsilon(O)$  obviously coincides with that orbit.

Assume that  $\partial U_\epsilon(O)$  contains an orbit that is a complex hypersurface. Then  $\partial U_\epsilon(O)$  is a union of such orbits. It follows from the proof of Proposition 1.1 (see Case 1 there) that if an orbit  $O(p)$  is a complex hypersurface, then  $I_p$  is isomorphic to  $U_1 \times U_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 2.1 of [IK],  $I_p$  is in fact conjugate to  $U_1 \times U_{n-1}$  embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way. Hence the action of the center of  $U_n$  on  $O(p)$  is trivial. Thus, the center of  $U_n$  acts trivially on each complex hypersurface orbit and hence on the entire  $\partial U_\epsilon(O)$ . Then its action on  $M$  is also trivial, which contradicts the assumption of the effectiveness of the action of  $U_n$  on  $M$ .

Hence, if  $\epsilon$  is sufficiently small, then  $U_\epsilon(O)$  contains no complex hypersurface orbits other than  $O$  itself, and the boundary of  $U_\epsilon(O)$  is a real hypersurface orbit. Let  $\tilde{M}$  be the manifold obtained by removing all complex hypersurface orbits from  $M$ . Since such an orbit has a neighborhood containing no other complex hypersurface orbits,  $\tilde{M}$  is connected. It is also clear that  $\tilde{M}$  is non-compact. Hence, by Theorem 2.7,  $\tilde{M}$  can be mapped onto  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , for some  $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$ , by a biholomorphic map  $f$  satisfying either (2.12) or (2.13). The manifold  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  has two ends at infinity, and

therefore the number of removed complex hypersurfaces is at most two, which completes the proof.  $\square$

We can now prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.3** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Suppose that each orbit of this action is either a real or complex hypersurface and at least one orbit is a complex hypersurface. Then there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that, for  $m = |nk + 1|$ ,  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to either*

- (i)  $\widehat{B}_R^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $0 < R \leq \infty$ , or
- (ii)  $\widehat{S}_{r,\infty}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $0 \leq r < \infty$ , or
- (iii)  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n} / \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

The biholomorphic equivalence  $f$  can be chosen to satisfy either (2.12) or (2.13) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in M$ .

**Proof:** Assume first that only one orbit  $O$  is a complex hypersurface. Consider  $\tilde{M} := M \setminus O$ . Since  $\tilde{M}$  is clearly non-compact, by Theorem 2.7 there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for  $m = |nk + 1|$  and some  $r$  and  $R$ ,  $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$ , the manifold  $\tilde{M}$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\widehat{S}_{r,R}^n / \mathbb{Z}_m$  by means of a map  $f$  satisfying either (2.12) or (2.13) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in \tilde{M}$ . We shall assume that  $f$  satisfies (2.12) because the latter case can be dealt with in the same way.

Suppose first that  $n \geq 3$ . We fix  $p \in O$  and consider  $I_p$ . We denote for the moment by  $H \subset U_n$  the standard embedding of  $U_1 \times U_{n-1}$  in  $U_n$ . As mentioned in the proof of Proposition 3.2, there exists  $g \in U_n$  such that  $I_p = g^{-1}Hg$ . For an arbitrary real hypersurface orbit  $O(q)$  we set

$$N_{p,q} := \{s \in O(q) : I_s \subset I_p\}.$$

Since  $I_s$  is conjugate in  $U_n$  to a subgroup  $H_{k_1,k_2}$ , where  $k_1 := k$  and  $k_2 = k(n-1) + 1 \neq 0$  (see (2.5) in the proof of Proposition 2.3), it follows that

$$N_{p,q} = \{s \in O(q) : I_s = g^{-1}H_{k_1,k_2}g\}.$$

It is easy to show now that if we fix  $t \in N_{p,q}$ , then  $N_{p,q} = \{ht\}$ , where

$$h = g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix} g, \quad \alpha \in U_1.$$

Let  $N_p$  be the union of the  $N_{p,q}$ 's over all real hypersurface orbits  $O(q)$ . Also let  $N'_p$  be the set of points in  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  whose isotropy subgroup with respect to the standard action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $\phi_{n,m}^{-1}(g^{-1}H_{k_1,k_2}g)$  (see (2.6) for the definition of  $\phi_{n,m}$ ). It is easy to verify that  $N'_p$  is a complex curve in  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  biholomorphically equivalent to either an annulus of modulus  $(R/r)^m$  (if  $0 < r < R < \infty$ ), or a punctured disk (if  $r = 0, R < \infty$  or  $r > 0, R = \infty$ ), or  $\mathbb{C} \setminus 0$  (if  $r = 0$  and  $R = \infty$ ). Clearly,  $f^{-1}(N'_p) = N_p$ , and hence  $N_p$  is a complex curve in  $\tilde{M}$ .

Obviously,  $N_p$  is invariant under the action of  $I_p$ . By Bochner's theorem there exist local holomorphic coordinates in the neighborhood of  $p$  such that the action of  $I_p$  is linear in these coordinates and coincides with the action of the linear isotropy subgroup  $L_p$  introduced in the proof of Proposition 1.1 (upon the natural identification of the coordinate neighborhood in question and a neighborhood of the origin in  $T_p(M)$ ). Recall that  $L_p$  has two invariant complex subspaces in  $T_p(M)$ :  $T_p(O)$  and a one-dimensional subspace, which correspond in our coordinates to  $O$  and some holomorphic curve. It can be easily seen that  $\overline{N_p}$  is precisely this curve. Hence  $\overline{N_p}$  near  $p$  is an analytic disc with center at  $p$ , and therefore  $N'_p$  cannot in fact be equivalent to an annulus, and we have either  $r = 0$  or  $R = \infty$ .

Assume first that  $r = 0$  and  $R < \infty$ . We consider a holomorphic embedding  $\nu : S_{0,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  defined by the formula

$$\nu(\langle z \rangle) := \{(z, w)\},$$

where  $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$  is uniquely determined by the conditions  $z_i w_j = z_j w_i$  for all  $i, j$ , and  $\langle z \rangle \in (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is the equivalence class of  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly,  $\nu$  is  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant. Now let  $f_\nu := \nu \circ f$ . We claim that  $f_\nu$  extends to  $O$  as a biholomorphic map of  $M$  onto  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

Let  $\hat{O}$  be the orbit in  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  that is a complex hypersurface and let  $\hat{p} \in \hat{O}$  be the (unique) point such that its isotropy subgroup  $I_{\hat{p}}$  (with respect to the action of  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  on  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  as described in Example 3.1) is  $\phi_{n,m}^{-1}(I_p)$ . Then  $\{\hat{p}\} \cup \nu(N'_p)$  is a smooth complex curve. We define the extension  $F_\nu$  of  $f_\nu$  by setting  $F_\nu(p) := \hat{p}$  for each  $p \in O$ .

We must show that  $F_\nu$  is continuous at each point  $p \in O$ . Let  $\{q_j\}$  be a sequence of points in  $M$  accumulating to  $p$ . Since all accumulation points of the sequence  $\{F_\nu(q_j)\}$  lie in  $\hat{O}$  and  $\hat{O}$  is compact, it suffices to show that each convergent subsequence  $\{F_\nu(q_{j_k})\}$  of  $\{F_\nu(q_j)\}$  converges to  $\hat{p}$ . For every  $q_{j_k}$

there exists  $g_{j_k} \in U_n$  such that  $g_{j_k}^{-1}I_{q_{j_k}}g_{j_k} \subset I_p$ , i.e.,  $g_{j_k}^{-1}q_{j_k} \in \overline{N_p}$ . We select a convergent subsequence  $\{g_{j_{k_l}}\}$  and denote its limit by  $g$ . Then  $\{g_{j_{k_l}}^{-1}q_{j_{k_l}}\}$  converges to  $g^{-1}p$ . Since  $g^{-1}p \in O$  and  $g_{j_{k_l}}^{-1}q_{j_{k_l}} \in \overline{N_p}$ , it follows that  $g^{-1}p = p$ , i.e.,  $g \in I_p$ . The map  $F_\nu$  satisfies (2.12) for all  $g \in U_n$  and  $q \in M$ , hence  $F_\nu(q_{j_{k_l}}) \in \overline{N_{\phi_{n,m}^{-1}(g_{j_{k_l}})}\hat{p}}$ , where  $N_{\phi_{n,m}^{-1}(g_{j_{k_l}})}\hat{p} \subset \widehat{B_R^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is constructed similarly to  $N_p \subset \tilde{M}$ . Therefore the limit of  $\{F_\nu(q_{j_{k_l}})\}$  (equal to the limit of  $\{F_\nu(q_{j_k})\}$ ) is  $\hat{p}$ . Hence  $F_\nu$  is continuous, and therefore holomorphic on  $M$ . It obviously maps  $M$  biholomorphically onto  $\widehat{B_R^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

The case when  $r > 0$  and  $R = \infty$  can be treated along the same lines, but one must consider the holomorphic embedding  $\sigma : S_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  such that

$$\sigma(\langle z \rangle) := \{(1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n)\},$$

the map  $f_\sigma := \sigma \circ f$ , and prove that  $f_\sigma$  extends to  $O$  as a biholomorphic map of  $M$  onto  $\widetilde{S_{r,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

If  $r = 0$  and  $R = \infty$ , then precisely one of  $f_\nu$  and  $f_\sigma$  extends to  $O$ , and the extension defines a biholomorphic map from  $M$  to either  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , or  $\widetilde{S_{0,\infty}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

Let now  $n = 2$ . We fix  $p \in O$  and consider  $I_p$ . There exists  $g \in U_2$  such that  $I_p = g^{-1}Hg$ . As above, we introduce the sets  $N_{p,q}$ , i.e., for an arbitrary real hypersurface orbit  $O(q)$  we set

$$N_{p,q} := \{s \in O(q) : I_s \subset I_p\}.$$

Since  $I_s$  is conjugate in  $U_2$  to a subgroup  $H_{k_1,k_2}$ , where  $k_1 := k$  and  $k_2 = k + 1 \neq 0$ , it follows that

$$N_{p,q} = \{s \in O(q) : I_s = g^{-1}H_{k_1,k_2}g\} \cup \{s \in O(q) : I_s = g^{-1}h_0H_{k_1,k_2}h_0g\},$$

where

$$h_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., for  $n = 2$ ,  $N_{p,q}$  has two connected components. We denote them  $N_{p,q}^1$  and  $N_{p,q}^2$ , respectively. It is easy to show now that if we fix  $t \in N_{p,q}$ , then  $N_{p,q}^1 = \{ht\}$  and  $N_{p,q}^2 = \{g^{-1}h_0ght\}$ , where

$$h = g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g, \quad \alpha \in U_1.$$

We now consider the corresponding sets  $N_p^1$  and  $N_p^2$ . The point  $p$  is the accumulation point in  $O$  for exactly one of these sets. As above, we obtain that either  $r = 0$ , or  $R = \infty$ . For example, assume that  $r = 0$  and  $R < \infty$ . Let  $\hat{O}$  be the orbit in  $\widehat{B}_R^2/\mathbb{Z}_m$  that is a complex hypersurface. There are precisely two points in  $\hat{O}$  whose isotropy subgroups in  $U_2/\mathbb{Z}_m$  coincide with  $\phi_{2,m}^{-1}(I_p)$ . These points  $\hat{p}_1$  and  $\hat{p}_2$  are the accumulation points in  $\hat{O}$  of  $\nu(N_p^1)$  and  $\nu(N_p^2)$ , where  $N_p^1, N_p^2 \subset S_{0,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  are the sets of points with isotropy subgroups equal to  $\phi_{2,m}^{-1}(g^{-1}H_{k_1,k_2}g)$  and  $\phi_{2,m}^{-1}(g^{-1}h_0H_{k_1,k_2}h_0g)$  respectively. We then define the extension  $F_\nu$  of  $f_\nu$  by setting  $F_\nu(p) = \hat{p}_1$  if  $N_p^1$  accumulates to  $p$  and  $F_\nu(p) = \hat{p}_2$  if  $N_p^2$  accumulates to  $p$ . The proof of the continuity of  $F_\nu$  proceeds as for  $n \geq 3$ . The arguments in the cases  $r > 0$ ,  $R = \infty$  and  $r = 0$ ,  $R = \infty$  are analogous to the above.

Assume now that two orbits  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  in  $M$  are complex hypersurfaces. As above, we consider the manifold  $\tilde{M}$  obtained from  $M$  by removing  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ . For some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m = |nk + 1|$ , and some  $r$  and  $R$ ,  $0 \leq r < R \leq \infty$ , it is biholomorphically equivalent to  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  by means of a map  $f$  satisfying either (2.12) or (2.13). Arguments very similar to the ones used above show that in this case  $r = 0$ ,  $R = \infty$ , and  $f_\tau := \tau \circ f$  extends to a biholomorphic map  $M \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Here  $\tau : (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{Z}_m \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is a  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ -equivariant map defined as

$$\tau(\langle z \rangle) := \left\{ \left( (1 : z_1 : \dots : z_n), w \right) \right\},$$

where  $w = (w_1 : \dots : w_n)$  is uniquely determined from the conditions  $z_i w_j = z_j w_i$  for all  $i, j$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 The Homogeneous Case

We consider now the case when the action of  $U_n$  on  $M$  is transitive.

**Example 4.1** Examples of manifolds on which  $U_n$  acts transitively and effectively are the Hopf manifolds  $M_d^n$  (see Definition 2.6). Let  $\lambda$  be a complex number such that  $e^{\frac{2\pi(\lambda-i)}{nK}} = d$  for some  $K \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . We define an action of

$U_n$  on  $M_d^n$  as follows. Let  $A \in U_n$ . We can represent  $A$  in the form  $A = e^{it} \cdot B$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $B \in SU_n$ . Then we set

$$A[z] := [e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz]. \quad (4.1)$$

Of course, we must verify that this action is well-defined. Indeed, the same element  $A \in U_n$  can be also represented in the form  $A = e^{i(t + \frac{2\pi k}{n} + 2\pi l)} \cdot (e^{-\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} B)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then formula (4.1) yields

$$A[z] = [e^{\lambda(t + \frac{2\pi k}{n} + 2\pi l)} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} Bz] = [d^{kK+nKl} e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz] = [e^{\lambda t} \cdot Bz].$$

It is also clear that (4.1) does not depend on the choice of representative in the class  $[z]$ .

The action in question is obviously transitive. It is also effective. For let  $e^{it} \cdot B[z] = [z]$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $B \in SU_n$ , and all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B = e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} \cdot \text{id}$ , and some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$  the following holds

$$e^{\lambda t} \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} = d^s.$$

Using the definition of  $\lambda$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{2\pi s}{nK}, \\ e^{\frac{2\pi ik}{n}} &= e^{-\frac{2\pi is}{nK}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $e^{it} \cdot B = \text{id}$ , and thus the action is effective.

The isotropy subgroup of the point  $[(1, 0, \dots, 0)]$  is  $G_{K,1} \cdot SU_{n-1}$ , where  $SU_{n-1}$  is embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way and  $G_{K,1}$  consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \cdot \text{id} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\beta^{(n-1)K} = 1$ .

Another example is provided by the manifolds  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  (see Definition 2.6). Let  $\{[z]\} \in M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be the equivalence class of  $[z]$ . We define an action of  $U_n$  on  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  by the formula  $g\{[z]\} := \{g[z]\}$  for  $g \in U_n$ . This action is clearly transitive; it is also effective if, e.g.,  $(n, m) = 1$  and  $(K, m) = 1$ .

The isotropy subgroup of the point  $\{[(1, 0, \dots, 0)]\}$  is  $G_{K,m} \cdot SU_{n-1}$ , where  $G_{K,m}$  consists of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \cdot \text{id} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

with  $\alpha^m = 1$  and  $\alpha^K \beta^{K(n-1)} = 1$ . Note that in this case every orbit of the induced action of  $SU_n$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ .

One can consider more general actions by choosing  $\lambda$  such that  $e^{\frac{2\pi(\lambda-i)}{n}} = d^K$ , but not all such actions are effective.

We shall now describe complex manifolds admitting effective transitive actions of  $U_n$ . It turns out that such a manifold is always biholomorphically equivalent to one of the manifolds  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . To prove this we shall look at orbits of the induced action of  $SU_n$ . We require the following algebraic lemma first.

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $G$  be a connected closed subgroup of  $U_n$  of dimension  $n^2 - 2n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then either*

- (i)  $G$  is irreducible as a subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , or
- (ii)  $G$  is conjugate to  $SU_{n-1}$  embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way, or
- (iii) for  $n = 3$ ,  $G$  is conjugate to  $U_1 \times U_1 \times U_1$  embedded in  $U_3$  in the standard way, or
- (iv) for  $n = 4$ ,  $G$  is conjugate to  $U_2 \times U_2$  embedded in  $U_4$  in the standard way.

**Proof:** We start as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Since  $G$  is compact, it is completely reducible, i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  splits into a sum of  $G$ -invariant pairwise orthogonal complex subspaces,  $\mathbb{C}^n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$ , such that the restriction  $G_j$  of  $G$  to every  $V_j$  is irreducible. Let  $n_j := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_j$  (hence  $n_1 + \dots + n_m = n$ ) and let  $U_{n_j}$  be the unitary transformation group of  $V_j$ . Clearly,  $G_j \subset U_{n_j}$ , and therefore  $\dim G \leq n_1^2 + \dots + n_m^2$ . On the other hand  $\dim G = n^2 - 2n$ , which shows that  $m \leq 2$  for  $n \neq 3$ . If  $n = 3$ , then it is also possible that  $m = 3$ , which means that  $G$  is conjugate to  $U_1 \times U_1 \times U_1$  embedded in  $U_3$  in the standard way.

Now let  $m = 2$ . Then either there exists a unitary transformation of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that each element of  $G$  has in the new coordinates the form (2.3) with  $a \in U_1$  and  $B \in U_{n-1}$  or, for  $n = 4$ ,  $G$  is conjugate to  $U_2 \times U_2$ . We note that, in the first case, the scalars  $a$  and the matrices  $B$ , that arise from elements of  $G$  in (2.3) form compact connected subgroups of  $U_1$  and  $U_{n-1}$  respectively; we shall denote them by  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  as above.

If  $\dim G_1 = 0$ , then  $G_1 = \{1\}$ , and therefore  $G_2 = SU_{n-1}$ .

Assume that  $\dim G_1 = 1$ , i.e.,  $G_1 = U_1$ . Therefore,  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $(n-1)^2 - 2 \leq \dim G_2 \leq (n-1)^2 - 1$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1 of [IK] that, for  $n \neq 3$ , we have  $G_2 = SU_{n-1}$ . For  $n = 3$  it is also possible that  $G_2 = U_1 \times U_1$ , and therefore  $G$  is conjugate to  $U_1 \times U_1 \times U_1$  embedded in  $U_3$  in the standard way. Assume that  $G_2 = SU_{n-1}$  and consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . It consists of all matrices of the form (2.4) with  $b$  an arbitrary matrix in  $\mathfrak{su}_{n-1}$  and  $l(b)$  a linear function of the matrix elements of  $b$  ranging in  $i\mathbb{R}$ . However,  $l(b)$  must vanish on the commutant of  $\mathfrak{su}_{n-1}$  which is  $\mathfrak{su}_{n-1}$  itself. Consequently,  $l(b) \equiv 0$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $G_1 = U_1$ .

The proof is complete.  $\square$

We can now prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective transitive action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n, m) = 1$ , such that for each  $p \in M$  the orbit  $\tilde{O}(p)$  of the induced action of  $SU_n$  is a real hypersurface in  $M$  that is  $SU_n$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  endowed with the standard action of  $SU_n \subset U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $M$  is homogeneous under the action of  $U_n$ , for every  $p \in M$  we have  $\dim I_p = n^2 - 2n$ . We now apply Lemma 4.2 to the identity component  $I_p^c$ . Clearly, if  $I_p^c$  contains the center of  $U_n$ , then the action of  $U_n$  on  $M$  is not effective, and therefore cases (iii) and (iv) cannot occur. We claim that case (i) does not occur either.

Since  $M$  is compact, the group  $\text{Aut}(M)$  of all biholomorphic automorphisms of  $M$  is a complex Lie group. Hence we can extend the action of  $U_n$  to a holomorphic transitive action of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  on  $M$  (see [H], pp. 204–207). Let  $J_p$  be the isotropy subgroup of  $p$  with respect to this action. Clearly,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} J_p = n^2 - n$ . Consider the normalizer  $N(J_p^c)$  of  $J_p^c$  in  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . It is known from results of Borel-Remmert and Tits (see Theorem 4.2 in [A2]) that  $N(J_p^c)$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . We note that  $N(J_p^c) \neq GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For otherwise  $J_p^c$  would be a normal subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . But  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  contains no normal subgroup of dimension  $n^2 - n$ . Indeed, considering the intersection of such a subgroup with  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we would obtain a normal subgroup of  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of positive dimension thus arriving at a contradiction.

All parabolic subgroups of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  are well-known. Let  $n = n_1 + \dots + n_r$ ,  $n_j \geq 1$ , and let  $P(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  be the group of all matrices that have blocks of sizes  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  on the diagonal, arbitrary entries above the blocks, and zeros below. Then an arbitrary parabolic subgroup of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  is conjugate to some subgroup  $P(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ .

Since the normalizer  $N(J_p^c)$  does not coincide with  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , it is conjugate to a subgroup  $P(n_1, \dots, n_r)$  with  $r \geq 2$ . Hence there exists a proper subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that is invariant under the action of  $N(J_p^c)$ , and therefore under the action of  $I_p^c$ . Thus,  $I_p^c$  cannot be irreducible.

Hence there exists  $g \in U_n$  such that  $gI_p^c g^{-1} = SU_{n-1}$ , where  $SU_{n-1}$  is embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way. Clearly, the element  $g$  can be chosen from  $SU_n$ , and hence  $I_p^c$  is contained in  $SU_n$  and is conjugate in  $SU_n$  to  $SU_{n-1}$ .

Consider now the orbit  $\tilde{O}(p)$  of a point  $p \in M$  under the induced action of  $SU_n$ , and let  $\tilde{I}_p \subset SU_n$  be the isotropy subgroup of  $p$  with respect to this action. Clearly,  $\tilde{I}_p = I_p \cap SU_n$ . Since  $I_p^c$  lies in  $SU_n$ , it follows that  $\tilde{I}_p^c = I_p^c$ . In particular,  $\dim \tilde{I}_p = n^2 - 2n$ , and therefore  $\tilde{O}(p)$  is a real hypersurface in  $M$ .

Assume now that  $n \geq 3$ . We require the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $G$  be a closed subgroup of  $SU_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , such that  $G^c = SU_{n-1}$ , where  $SU_{n-1}$  is embedded in  $SU_n$  in the standard way. Let  $m$  be the number of connected components of  $G$ . Then  $G = G_{1,m} \cdot SU_{n-1}$ , where the group  $G_{1,m}$  is defined in (4.2).*

**Proof of Lemma 4.4:** Let  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  be the connected components of  $G$  with  $C_1 = SU_{n-1}$ . Clearly, there exist  $g_1 = \text{id}, g_2, \dots, g_m$  in  $SU_n$  such that  $C_j = g_j SU_{n-1}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Moreover, for each pair of indices  $i, j$  there exists  $k$  such that  $g_i SU_{n-1} \cdot g_j SU_{n-1} = g_k SU_{n-1}$ , and therefore

$$g_k^{-1} g_i SU_{n-1} g_j = SU_{n-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

Applying (4.3) to the vector  $v := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , which is preserved by the standard embedding of  $SU_{n-1}$  in  $SU_n$ , we obtain

$$g_k^{-1} g_i SU_{n-1} g_j v = v,$$

i.e.,

$$SU_{n-1} g_j v = g_i^{-1} g_k v,$$

which implies that  $g_j v = (\alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $|\alpha_j| = 1$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Hence  $g_j$  has the form

$$g_j = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & 0 \\ 0 & A_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_j \in U_{n-1}$  and  $\det A_j = 1/\alpha_j$ . Since  $A_j$  can be written in the form  $A_j = \beta_j \cdot B_j$  with  $B_j \in SU_{n-1}$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $A_j = \beta_j \cdot \text{id}$ . Clearly, each matrix

$$g_j \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \cdot \text{id} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $j$  is arbitrary and  $\sigma^{n-1} = 1$ , also belongs to  $G$ . Further, it is clear that the parameters  $\alpha_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , are all distinct and form a finite subgroup of  $U_1$ , which is therefore the group of  $m$ th roots of unity.

Thus,  $G = G_{1,m} \cdot SU_{n-1}$ , as required.  $\square$

It now follows from Lemma 4.4 that if  $n \geq 3$ , then for each  $p \in M$ ,  $\tilde{I}_p$  is conjugate in  $SU_n$  to one of the groups  $G_{1,m} \cdot SU_{n-1}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\tilde{O}(p)$  is  $SU_n$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . Clearly, the  $SU_n$ -action is effective on  $\tilde{O}(p)$  only if  $(n, m) = 1$ . The integer  $m$  does not depend on  $p$  since all isotropy subgroups  $I_p$  are conjugate in  $U_n$ . This proves Proposition 4.3 for  $n \geq 3$ .

Now let  $n = 2$ . Since  $\tilde{O}(p)$  is a homogeneous real hypersurface, it is either strongly pseudoconvex or Levi-flat. Assume that  $\tilde{O}(p)$  is Levi-flat. Then it is foliated by complex curves. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on  $\tilde{O}(p)$  corresponding to the automorphisms of  $\tilde{O}(p)$  generated by the action of  $SU_2$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}_2$ . Let  $M_p$  be the leaf of the foliation passing through  $p$ , and consider the subspace  $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  of vector fields tangent to  $M_p$  at  $p$ . The vector fields in  $\mathfrak{l}$  remain tangent to  $M_p$  at each point  $q \in M_p$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{l}$  is in fact a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . However,  $\dim \mathfrak{l} = 2$  and  $\mathfrak{su}_2$  has no 2-dimensional subalgebras. Hence  $\tilde{O}(p)$  must be strongly pseudoconvex.

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can now show that  $\tilde{I}_p$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $U_1$ . This means that  $\tilde{I}_p$  is a finite cyclic group, i.e.,  $\tilde{I}_p = \{A^l, 0 \leq l < m\}$  for some  $A \in SU_2$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A^m = \text{id}$ . Choosing new coordinates in which  $A$  is in the diagonal form, we see that  $\tilde{I}_p$

is conjugate in  $SU_2$  to the group of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha^m = 1.$$

Hence  $\tilde{O}(p)$  is  $SU_2$ -equivariantly diffeomorphic to the lense manifold  $\mathcal{L}_m^3$ . Clearly, the action of  $SU_2$  is effective on  $\tilde{O}(p)$  only if  $m$  is odd. The integer  $m$  does not depend on  $p$  since all isotropy subgroups  $I_p$  are conjugate in  $U_2$ . This proves Proposition 2.2 for  $n = 2$  and completes the proof in general.  $\square$

We can now establish the following result.

**THEOREM 4.5** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  endowed with an effective transitive action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations. Then  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to some manifold  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n, m) = 1$ . The equivalence  $f : M \rightarrow M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  can be chosen to satisfy either the relation*

$$f(gq) = gf(q), \tag{4.4}$$

or, for  $n \geq 3$ , the relation

$$f(gq) = \bar{g}f(q), \tag{4.5}$$

for all  $g \in SU_n$  and  $q \in M$  (here  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is considered with the standard action of  $SU_n$ ).

**Proof:** We claim first that  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to some manifold  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . For a proof we only need to show that  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1 \times \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n, m) = 1$ . Then biholomorphic equivalence will follow from Theorem 3.1 of [A1].

Choose  $m$  provided by Proposition 4.3. For  $p \in M$  we consider the  $SU_n$ -orbit  $\tilde{O}(p)$ . Let  $t_0 := \min\{t > 0 : e^{it}p \in \tilde{O}(p)\}$ . Clearly,  $t_0 > 0$ . For each point  $q \in \tilde{O}(p)$  there exists  $B \in SU_n$  such that  $q = Bp$ . Hence

$$e^{it_0}q = e^{it_0}(Bp) = (e^{it_0}B)p = (Be^{it_0})p = B(e^{it_0}p), \tag{4.6}$$

and  $e^{it_0}\tilde{O}(p) = \tilde{O}(p)$ . This shows that  $M' := \cup_{0 \leq t < t_0} e^{it}\tilde{O}(p)$  is a closed submanifold of  $M$  of dimension  $n$ . Since  $M$  is connected, it follows that  $M' = M$ .

Let  $p_t := e^{it}p$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ . We consider a curve  $\gamma : [0, t_0] \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(t_0) = p$ ,  $\gamma(t) \in \tilde{O}(p_t)$  for each  $t$ , and  $\gamma([0, t_0])$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^1$ . We can assume that  $\tilde{I}_p = G_{1,m} \cdot SU_{n-1}$ , which is also the isotropy subgroup, with respect to the standard action of  $SU_n$  on  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ , of the point  $q \in \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  represented by the point  $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in S^{2n-1}$ . Further, for each  $0 < t < t_0$ , there exists  $g_t \in SU_n$  such that  $\tilde{I}_{\gamma(t)} = g_t \tilde{I}_p g_t^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{I}_{\gamma(t)}$  is the isotropy subgroup of the point  $q_t := g_t q$  in  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . Hence the map

$$\phi_t(h\gamma(t)) = hq_t,$$

where  $h \in SU_n$ , maps the orbit  $\tilde{O}(p_t)$  diffeomorphically (and  $SU_n$ -equivariantly) onto  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$  (here we set  $g_0 := g_{t_0} := \text{id}$ ,  $q_0 := q_{t_0} := q$ ).

We define now a map  $\Phi : M \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$ . For each  $x \in M$  there exists a unique  $0 \leq t < t_0$ , such that  $x \in \tilde{O}(p_t)$ . We set

$$\Phi(x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi it}{t_0}}, \phi_t(x)).$$

It is clear that  $g_t$ , and therefore  $q_t$  can be chosen so that  $\Phi$  is a diffeomorphism. Hence  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to one of the manifolds  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

Let  $F : M \rightarrow M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  be a holomorphic equivalence. Using  $F$ , the action of  $SU_n$  on  $M$  can be pushed to an action of  $SU_n$  by biholomorphic transformations on  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  of all biholomorphic automorphisms of  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is isomorphic to  $Q_{d,m}^n := (GL_n(\mathbb{C})/\{d^k \cdot \text{id}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\})/\mathbb{Z}_m$  (this can be seen, for example, by lifting automorphisms of  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  to its universal cover  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ ). Each maximal compact subgroup of this group is conjugate to a subgroup of the form  $(U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m) \times K$ , where  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  is embedded in  $Q_{d,m}^n$  in the standard way, and  $K$  is isomorphic to  $S^1$ . The action of  $SU_n$  on  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  induces an embedding  $\tau : SU_n \rightarrow Q_{d,m}^n$ . Since  $SU_n$  is compact, there exists  $s \in Q_{d,m}^n$  such that  $\tau(SU_n)$  is contained in  $s((U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m) \times K)s^{-1}$ . However, there exists no nontrivial homomorphism from  $SU_n$  into  $S^1$ , and therefore  $\tau(SU_n) \subset s(U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m)s^{-1}$ . Since  $(n, m) = 1$ , it follows that  $\tau(SU_n) = sSU_n s^{-1}$ , where  $SU_n$  in the right-hand side is embedded in  $Q_{d,m}^n$  in the standard way.

We now set  $f := \hat{s}^{-1} \circ F$ , where  $\hat{s}$  is the automorphism of  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  corresponding to  $s \in Q_{d,m}^n$ . Pushing now the action of  $SU_n$  on  $M$  to an action of  $SU_n$  on  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  by means of  $f$  in place of  $F$ , for the corresponding embedding  $\tau_s : SU_n \rightarrow Q_{d,m}^n$  we obtain the equality  $\tau_s(SU_n) = SU_n$ , where  $SU_n$  in

the right-hand side is embedded in  $Q_{d,m}^n$  in the standard way. Thus, there exists an automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $SU_n$  such that

$$f(gq) = \gamma(g)f(q),$$

for all  $g \in SU_n$  and  $q \in M$ .

Assume first that  $n \geq 3$ . Then each automorphism of  $SU_n$  has either the form

$$g \mapsto h_0 g h_0^{-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

or the form

$$g \mapsto h_0 \bar{g} h_0^{-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

for some fixed  $h_0 \in SU_n$  (see, e.g., [VO]). If  $\gamma$  has the form (4.7), then considering in place of  $f$  the map  $q \mapsto h_0^{-1}f(q)$  we obtain a biholomorphic map satisfying (4.4). If  $\gamma$  has the form (4.8), then considering in place of  $f$  the map  $q \mapsto h_0^{-1}f(q)$  we obtain a biholomorphic map satisfying (4.5).

Let  $n = 2$ . Then each automorphism of  $SU_2$  has the form (4.7) and arguing as above we obtain a biholomorphic map satisfying (4.4).

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 4.6** For  $n \geq 3$  Theorem 4.5 can be proved without referring to the results in [A1]. We note first that the  $SU_n$ -equivariant diffeomorphism between  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  and  $\tilde{O}(p)$  constructed in Proposition 4.3 is either a CR or an anti-CR map (here we consider  $\mathcal{L}_m^{2n-1}$  is with the CR-structure inherited from  $S^{2n-1}$ ). The corresponding proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4. We must only replace  $U_n$  and  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  by  $SU_n$  and  $\phi_{n,m}$  by the identity map. Further we argue as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 2.7 for compact  $M$ , replacing there  $U_n$  by  $SU_n$ .

**Remark 4.7** Ideally, one would like the biholomorphic equivalence in Theorem 4.5 to be  $U_n$ -equivariant, rather than just  $SU_n$ -equivariant. However, as Example 4.1 shows, there is no canonical transitive action of  $U_n$  on  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

## 5 A Characterization of $\mathbb{C}^n$

In this section we apply the results obtained above to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.1** *Let  $M$  be a connected complex manifold of dimension  $n$ . Assume that  $\text{Aut}(M)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  are isomorphic as topological groups. Then  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .*

**Proof:** The theorem is trivial for  $n = 1$ , so we assume that  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $M$  admits an effective action of  $U_n$  by biholomorphic transformations,  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to one of the manifolds listed in Remark 1.2, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.5. The automorphism groups of the following manifolds are clearly Lie groups:  $B^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $S_{r,R}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  for  $r > 0$  or  $R < \infty$ ,  $M_d^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $\widehat{B}_R^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $\widehat{S}_{r,\infty}^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Since  $\text{Aut}(M)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is not locally compact,  $\text{Aut}(M)$  cannot be isomorphic to a Lie group and hence  $M$  is not biholomorphically equivalent to any of the above manifolds.

Therefore,  $M$  is biholomorphically equivalent to either  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or  $\mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $\mathbb{C}^{n*} := \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$  and  $m = |nk + 1|$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We will now show that the groups  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  are not isomorphic.

Let first  $m = 1$ . The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$  consists of exactly those elements of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  that fix the origin. Suppose that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$  are isomorphic and let  $\psi : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$  denote an isomorphism. Clearly,  $\psi(U_n)$  induces an action of  $U_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n*}$ , and therefore, by our results above, there is  $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$  such that for the isomorphism  $\psi_F : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$ ,  $\psi_F(g) := F \circ \psi(g) \circ F^{-1}$ , we have: either  $\psi_F(g) = g$ , or  $\psi_F(g) = \bar{g}$  for all  $g \in U_n$ .

Consider  $U_{n-1}$  embedded in  $U_n$  in the standard way, and consider its centralizer  $C$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , i.e.,

$$C := \{f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) : f \circ g = g \circ f \text{ for all } g \in U_{n-1}\}.$$

It is easy to show that  $C$  consists of maps  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= az_1 + b, \\ f'_1 &= h(z_1)z', \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where  $z' := (z_2, \dots, z_n)$ ,  $f' := (f_2, \dots, f_n)$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $h(z_1)$  is a nowhere vanishing entire function. Similarly, let  $C^*$  be the centralizer of  $U_{n-1}$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$ . It consists of maps  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= az_1, \\ f' &= h(z_1)z', \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ ,  $h(z_1)$  is entire and nowhere vanishing. Clearly,  $\psi_F(C) = C^*$ .

Let  $C'$  and  $C^{*'}$  denote the commutants of  $C$  and  $C^*$  respectively. Clearly,  $\psi_F(C') = C^{*'}$ . It is easy to check that  $C^{*'}$  consists exactly of all maps of the form (5.2) where  $a = 1$  and  $h(0) = 1$ . In particular,  $C^{*'}$  is Abelian. We will now show that  $C'$  is not Abelian. Indeed, consider the following elements of  $C$  (see (5.1)):

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_1, z') &:= (z_1 + 1, z'), \\ g(z_1, z') &:= (2z_1, z'), \\ u(z_1, z') &:= (z_1 + 1, e^{z_1}z'). \end{aligned}$$

We now see that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z_1, z') &:= f \circ g \circ f^{-1} \circ g^{-1} = (z_1 - 1, z'), \\ G(z_1, z') &:= u \circ g \circ u^{-1} \circ g^{-1} = (z_1 - 1, e^{\frac{z_1-2}{2}}z'). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $F, G \in C'$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} F \circ G &= (z_1 - 2, e^{\frac{z_1-2}{2}}z'), \\ G \circ F &= (z_1 - 2, e^{\frac{z_1-3}{2}}z'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F \circ G \neq G \circ F$ , and thus  $C'$  is not Abelian. Therefore,  $C'$  and  $C^{*'}$  are not isomorphic. This contradiction shows that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*})$  are not isomorphic.

Let now  $m > 1$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n*}$  denote as before by  $\langle z \rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m$  its equivalence class. Let

$$H_m^n := \{f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*}) : \langle f(z) \rangle = \langle f(\tilde{z}) \rangle, \text{ if } \langle z \rangle = \langle \tilde{z} \rangle\}.$$

The group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  is isomorphic in the obvious way to  $H_m^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Suppose that  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  are isomorphic and let  $\psi : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  denote an isomorphism. Clearly,  $\psi(U_n)$  induces an action of

$U_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{n^*}/\mathbb{Z}_m$ , and therefore there is  $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n^*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  such that for the isomorphism  $\psi_F : \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n^*})$ ,  $\psi_F(g) := F \circ \psi(g) \circ F^{-1}$ , we have: either  $\psi_F(g) = \phi_{n,m}^{-1}(g)$ , or  $\psi_F(g) = \phi_{n,m}^{-1}(\bar{g})$  for all  $g \in U_n$ , where we consider  $U_n/\mathbb{Z}_m$  embedded in  $H_m^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

The rest of the proof proceeds as for the case  $m = 1$  above with obvious modifications. We consider the centralizer  $C_m^*$  of  $\phi_{n,m}^{-1}(U_{n-1}) = \phi_{n,m}^{-1}(\overline{U_{n-1}}) \subset H_m^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Clearly,  $\psi_F(C) = C_m^*$ . Then we find the commutant  $C_m^{*'}$  of  $C_m^*$ , and we have  $\psi_F(C') = C_m^{*'}$ . As above, it turns out that  $C_m^{*'}$  is Abelian. Therefore,  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n^*}/\mathbb{Z}_m)$  cannot be isomorphic.

The proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [A1] Akhiezer, D. N., On the homotopy classification of complex homogeneous spaces (translated from Russian), *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 35(1979), 1–19.
- [A2] Akhiezer, D. N., Homogeneous complex manifolds (translated from Russian), *Encycl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 10, Several Complex Variables IV, 1990, 195–244, Springer-Verlag.
- [AL] Andersen, E. and Lempert, L., On the group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , *Invent. Math.* 110(1992), 371–388.
- [GG] Goto, M. and Grosshans, F., *Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, 1978.
- [H] Hochschild, G., *The Structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, 1965.
- [IK] Isaev, A. V. and Krantz, S. G., On the automorphism groups of hyperbolic manifolds, to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.*
- [K] Kaup, W., Reelle Transformationsgruppen und invariante Metriken auf komplexen Räumen, *Invent. Math.* 3(1967), 43–70.
- [VO] Vinberg, E. and Onishchik, A., *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications  
The Australian National University  
Canberra, ACT 0200  
AUSTRALIA  
E-mail address: Alexander.Isaev@anu.edu.au

Department of Complex Analysis  
Steklov Mathematical Institute  
42 Vavilova St.  
Moscow 117966  
RUSSIA  
E-mail address: kruzhil@ns.ras.ru